

### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

August 19, 2002

The Honorable Richard B. Cheney President of the Senate Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. President:

Enclosed is an appropriations report, as required by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (section 251(a)(7)), as amended, for P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States.

Sincerely,

Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.

Enclosure



#### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

August 19, 2002

The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert Speaker of the House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Enclosed is an appropriations report, as required by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (section 251(a)(7)), as amended, for P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States.

Sincerely,

Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.

MEDomisg

Enclosure

Identical Letter Sent to The Honorable Richard B. Cheney

# CBO ESTIMATES COMPARED TO OMB ESTIMATES P.L. 107-206, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

	FY 20	02	FY 2003		
	ВА	OL	ВА	OL	
EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS					
CBO ESTIMATE, EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS 1	29,853	7,783		10,793	
Scorekeeping Differences:					
CBO Plug Account:  CBO uses this account to bring account level detail (tracked in thousands) in line with the bill total (tracked in millions).	-1				
Technical Outlay Estimating Differences:					
P.L. 107-206, the FY 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act, included \$5.1 billion in contingent emergency funds. On August 13, 2002, the President announced that he would not designate these funds as emergency requirements. As a consequence, OMB does not score any outlays associated with the \$5.1 billion while CBO scores outlays of \$962 million in FY 2002 and \$2,400 million in FY 2003 for amounts provided as contingent emergency appropriations, as noted below.					
Department of Defense:					
Operation and Maintenance:					
Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide		405		-295	
Operation and maintenance, Army (includes contingent funds)  OMB has higher estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		38		-95	
Procurement:					
Other procurement, Air Force		350		-188	
Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation:					
Research, developemnt, test, and evaluation, Air Force (includes contingent funds) OMB has higher estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		7		-91	
Department of Energy:					
National Nuclear Security Administration:					
Weapons activities (includes contingent funds)		-7		-86	

# CBO ESTIMATES COMPARED TO OMB ESTIMATES P.L. 107-206, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

	FY 20	FY 2002		03
	ВА	OL	ВА	OL
Department of Health and Human Services:				
Departmental Management:				
General departmental management (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		-5		-51
Department of Justice:				
Federal Bureau of Investigation:				
Salaries and expenses (includes contingent funds)		-62		-80
Department of Transportation:				
Transportation Security Administration (includes contingent funds)		-800		66
Coast Guard:				
Acquisition, construction, and improvements (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		-19		-81
Federal Aviation Administration:				
Grants-in-aid for airports (includes contingent funds)OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority than CBO.		-150		
Department of Veterans Affairs:				
Veterans Health Administration:				
Medical care (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		-96		-176
Corps of Engineers Civil Works:				
Operation and maintenance, general (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.				-76
Election Administration Reform:				
Election Administration Reform (contingent funds) OMB has lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.				-220
Federal Emergency Management Agency:				
Emergency management planning and assistance (includes contingent funds)  OMB has higher estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		101		-92

# CBO ESTIMATES COMPARED TO OMB ESTIMATES P.L. 107-206, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

	FY 20	FY 2002		03
	ВА	OL	ВА	OL
Disaster relief (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.				-346
Office of Cerro Grande Fire Claims (includes contingent funds)				-50
International Assistance Programs:				
International Security Assistance:				
Economic support fund (includes contingent funds)		87		-77
Nonproliferation, antiterrorism, demining, and related Programs. (includes contingent funds)  OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		-14		-109
Agency for International Development:				
Assistance for the independent states of the former Soviet Union		-2		66
Postal Service:				
Payment to Postal Service fund  OMB has lower estimates of outlays from new authority and higher estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		-87		87
Other net technical estimating differences (includes contingent funds)		-67		-101
TOTAL DIFFERENCES, EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS	 -1	-321		-1,995
OMB ESTIMATE, EMERGENCY SPENDING	29,852	7,462		8,798
OTHER DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS				
CBO ESTIMATE, OTHER DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS 1	-497	17		-51
Scorekeeping Differences:				
Department of Agriculture:				
Farm Service Agency:				
Comoodity Credit Corporation fund	-445	-445		

# CBO ESTIMATES COMPARED TO OMB ESTIMATES P.L. 107-206, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

	FY 20	02	FY 2003	
	ВА	OL	ВА	OL
Department of Defense:				
Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation:				
Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force	1			
Department of Housing and Urban Development:				
Public and Indian Housing Programs:				
Housing certificate fundOMB and CBO round the amount appropriated (\$388,500,000) differently.	1			
Technical Outlay Estimating Differences:				
Department of Education:				
Office of Student Financial Assistance:				
Student financial assistanceOMB has higher estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.				1,000
Department of Transportation:				
Federal Railroad Administration:				
Capital grants to National Railroad Passenger Corporation		179		-96
Department of Veterans Affairs:				
Veterans Health Administration:				
Medical careOMB has higher estimates of outlays from new authority and lower estimates of second-year outlays than CBO.		78		-79
Second-year outlays than CBO.				
Across-the-board rescission to travel and administrative expenses:  OMB estimates more outlay savings from this rescission in the first year than CBO, but less outlay savings in the second year.		-245		227
Other technical estimating differences		-64		36
TOTAL DIFFERENCES, OTHER DISCRETIONARY SPENDING	-443	-497		1,088
OMB ESTIMATE, OTHER DISCRETIONARY SPENDING	-940	-480		1,037

Table 1.

# CBO ESTIMATES COMPARED TO OMB ESTIMATES P.L. 107-206, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

_	FY 20	FY 2002		003
	BA	OL	BA	OL
•				
<u>SUMMARY</u>				
CBO TOTAL, 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act <sup>1</sup>	29,356	7,800		10,742
TOTAL DIFFERENCES	-444	-818		-907
OMB TOTAL, 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act	28,912	6,982		9,835

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CBO's estimates are based on CBO data received by OMB on 8/14/02.

### Table 2. ENACTED APPROPRIATIONS AS OF AUGUST 19, 2002

	FY 2002		FY 2	003	
	ВА	Outlays	ВА	Outlays	
Highway Category Spending					
Highway Category spending limits <sup>1</sup>		28,489		29,100	
Amount Previously Enacted	[-62] <sup>2</sup>	28,489			
Total Enacted, Highway Category Spending	[-62] <sup>2</sup>	28,489			
Appropriations over/under (-) spending limits	N/A		N/A	-29,100	
Mass Transit Category Spending					
Mass Transit Spending Limits <sup>1</sup>		5,275		6,030	
Amount Previously Enacted	[1,348] <sup>2</sup>	5,272			
Total Enacted, Mass Transit Category Spending	[1,348] <sup>2</sup>	5,272			
Appropriations over/under (-) spending limits	N/A	-3	N/A	-6,030	
Conservation Category Spending					
Conservation Spending Limits <sup>1</sup>	1,735	1,469	1,922	1,872	
Amount Previously Enacted	1,733	1,469		<del></del>	
Total Enacted, Conservation Category Spending	1,733	1,469			
Appropriations over/under (-) spending limits	-2		-1,922	-1,872	

#### Table 2. ENACTED APPROPRIATIONS AS OF AUGUST 19, 2002

	FY 2002		FY 2	003
	ВА	Outlays	ВА	Outlays
Other Discretionary Spending				
Other Discretionary Spending Limits <sup>1</sup>	729,260	703,554	N/A	N/
Amount Previously Enacted	704,548	692,752		
Amount Provided in P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States <sup>3</sup>	23,772	6,982		9,83
Total Enacted, Other Discretionary Spending	728,320	699,734		9,83
Appropriations over/under (-) spending limits  Total Discretionary Spending	-940	-3,820	N/A	N/
Fotal Discretionary Spending limits <sup>1</sup>	730,995	738,787	1,922	37,00
Amount Previously Enacted	706,281	727,982		-
Amount Provided in P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States <sup>3</sup>	23,772  730,053	6,982  734,964	 	9,83  9,83
Appropriations Over/Under (-) Spending Limits	-942	-3,823	-1,922	-27,16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FY 2002 and FY 2003 limits are the limits that will be included in the 2003 August Update Report, which will be transmitted to the Congress the week of August 19, 2002. These limits include adjustments for enacted emergency appropriations as permitted under the Budget Enforcement Act (BEA) of 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mass Transit and Highway Category BA, under current law, do not count towards the discretionary spending limits. Therefore, enacted BA amounts under those categories are not counted toward any of the spending limits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> P.L. 107-206, the FY 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act, included \$5.1 billion in contingent emergency funds. On August 13, 2002, the President announced that he would not designate the funds as emergency requirements -- effectively eliminating the BA. As a consequence, the \$5.1 billion in BA and associated outlays are not scored against the 2002 or 2003 caps.



Dan L. Crippen Director

August 16, 2002

Honorable Mitchell E. Daniels Jr. Director Office of Management and Budget Washington, DC 20503

Dear Mr. Daniels:

The Congressional Budget Office provides the attached estimate of discretionary budget authority, outlays, and revenues for Public Law 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States.

This estimate supersedes CBO's previous estimate transmitted on July 30, 2002. In that earlier estimate, all \$783 million appropriated for Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) was classified as emergency spending, as specified in the legislation. The President, however, had requested \$750 million in emergency funding for the CDBG program, and he did not designate the additional \$33 million as emergency funding when he signed the act into law on August 2, 2002. As a result, CBO has revised the designation of that additional \$33 million for CDBG from emergency to nonemergency funding. Overall totals of budget authority and outlays for Public Law 107-206 have not changed.

We have provided OMB staff with backup at the account level for these estimates and will be happy to provide additional information if necessary. The CBO staff contact is Janet Airis, who can be reached at 226-2850.

Sincerely

Dan L. Crippen

Attachments

Table 1. CBO Estimate of the Discretionary Budget Authority and Outlays Resulting From P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States (By fiscal year, in millions of dollars)

19	20	2002		
	Budget Authority	Outlays	Budget Authority	Outlays
2002 Supplementals				**
2002 Supplemental <sup>a</sup> Nonemergency	-497	17	0	-51
Emergency	29,853	7,783	0	10,793
Total	29,356	7,800	ō	10,742

a. The nonemergency and emergency subtotals have been revised from the previous estimate CBO transmitted on July 30, 2002, to reflect the fact that only \$750 million of the \$783 million appropriated for Community Development Block Grants is designated as emergency funding. (The totals are unchanged from CBO's previous estimate.)

SOURCE: Congressional Budget Office.

Table 2. CBO Estimate of the Pay-As-You-Go Effects Resulting From P.L. 107-206, the 2002 Supplemental Appropriations Act for Further Recovery From and Response to Terrorist Attacks on the United States

			By Fisca	ll Year, i	n Million	s of Dol	ars		- 322-22
2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	201
Pay-As	-You E	stimate	—Chan	ges in R	eceipts				
1									
60	60	70	70	75	30	85	90	95	100
	Pay-As	Pay-As-You E	2003 2004 2005 Pay-As-You Estimate	2003 2004 2005 2006 Pay-As-You Estimate—Chan	2003 2004 2005 2006 2007  Pay-As-You Estimate—Changes in R	2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008  Pay-As-You Estimate—Changes in Receipts	2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009  Pay-As-You Estimate—Changes in Receipts	Pay-As-You Estimate—Changes in Receipts	2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011  Pay-As-You Estimate—Changes in Receipts