BLUE RIBBON COMMISSION ON AMERICA'S NUCLEAR FUTURE

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY,

JANUARY 28, 2011

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 8:30 a.m. in the Sendero Room at the Hyatt Regency, 330 Tijeras N.W., in Albuquerque, New Mexico, Brent Scowcroft, Co-Chair, presiding.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

BRENT SCOWCROFT, Chair
MARK AYERS
VICKY A. BAILEY
PETE V. DOMENICI
PER PETERSON
JOHN ROWE

PHIL SHARP

ALSO PRESENT:

TIM FRAZIER, Designated Federal Official RICHARD BERRY, Mayor of Albuquerque, New Mexico

PATRICIA DOMINGUEZ, Office of Senator Jeff Bingaman

ANDREW WALLACE, Office of Senator Tom Udall

PATRICK DURAN, Office of Representative Ben Ray Lujan

INES TRIAY, US Department of Energy

DAVE MARTIN, New Mexico Environment
Department

BOB NEILL, Environmental Evaluation Group

SUSAN GORDON, Alliance for Nuclear Accountability

NEIL WEBER, San Ildefonso Pueblo

ALEX SCHROEDER, Western Governors' Association

BILL MACKIE, US Department of Energy-Carlsbad

J.R. STROBLE, Carlsbad Office of National TRU Program

PUBLIC COMMENTERS:

JILL FRAWLEY
DALE JANWAY
STEVE McCUTCHEON
RUDY DOMINGUEZ
CHRISTOPHER TIMM
CHARLES POWELL
JOANNE ALLEN
DAVE McCOY
SCOTT KOVAC
ALLISON BERBER
JONI ARENDS
LOKESH CHATURVEDI

JOSEPH WEXLER
PETER NEILS
SUSAN SHOTLONG-RODRIGUEZ
MIGUEL PACHECO
PENELOPE McMULLEN
SANDERS MOORE
MARLENE QUINTANA

SYLVIANA DIAZ D'OUVILLE JEFF RADFORD ROSAMUND EVANS FLOY BARRETT

	Ра	ıge	3
C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S	Pa	age	
DOE Designated Federal Official	•	.5	
Opening Remarks by Commission Co-Chairman Scowcroft			
Official Greetings and Statement Richard Berry, Mayor of Albuquerque, New Mexico	•	14	
Office of U.S. Senator Jeff Bingaman Patricia Dominguez	•	19	
Office of U.S. Senator Tom Udall Andrew Wallace		23	
Patrick Duran		26	
Assistant Secretary of Energy Ines Triay		27	
Commission Questions		47	
Panel 1: State, Local and Tribal Perspectiv	е		
Dave Martin	•	58	
Bob Neill	•	65	
Susan Gordon	•	78	
Neil Weber		90	
San Ildefonso Pueblo			
Commission Questions		97	

PROCEEDINGS

2 (8:31 a.m.)

MR. FRAZIER: We are going to get started. Commissioners, please take your seats. The rest of you sit down.

My name is Tim Frazier. I'm the

Designated Federal Officer for the Blue Ribbon

Commission. I'd like to thank you all for

coming today.

We're going to run a tight schedule. We are going to use a lighting system, a red, yellow, green lighting system to keep everyone on track. When the green light starts flashing, you have two minutes left. The yellow light will come on, you've got one minute left, and you will know when your time is up.

So, with that, General Scowcroft, are you ready, sir?

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes, I am.

Thank you, Tim. Good morning to all, and thank you all for coming to this meeting of

the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future.

2.0

The Commission was formed by the Secretary of Energy at the direction of the President. The Commission's purpose is to conduct a comprehensive review of policies for managing the back-end of the nuclear fuel cycle, and to recommend a new plan. That is what we're working to do.

We would like to remind those with us today we are not a siting Commission. We should also point out that our Commission's charter does not include the details of the Department of Energy's ongoing operations at WIPP and elsewhere in New Mexico; although, we certainly recognize the importance of these federal responsibilities.

In keeping with the Commission charter, we decided to visit New Mexico, because we wanted to learn more about the history and lessons from the WIPP experience that may help us in devising a new plan for

1 managing spent fuel, and high-level waste.

productive.

Our tour of WIPP and our meeting in Carlsbad were most informative, and we are grateful to all those who helped make that visit so

We will hear first this morning from local and state elected officials. We will then hear from two panels, the first panel will provide us a range of perspectives on the establishment and operation of the WIPP facility, itself. The second will provide experiences and lessons from the National Transuranic Waste Program.

We recognize that there are many other individuals and organizations in this region, and across the country, who care deeply about the issues before this Commission. We, of course, cannot hear from all of them during our visit. We look forward to hearing from more people and groups going forward, and we encourage anyone with an interest in our work to submit written input

to the Commission now, or at any point in the process. Your comments will be posted on the Commission website, and will be made available to the full Commission.

2.0

We remind our invited speakers
that they should keep their formal
presentations to their allotted time. The
remaining time will be used for discussion
with the Commission. We appreciate the time
and effort the speakers have put into their
presentations, and we look forward to hearing
what they have to say.

We are webcasting this meeting, as we have done for all of our meetings. We want people who are not able to get to our meeting locations to be able to follow our proceedings. The video and transcript from this and all Commission meetings will be posted on the Commission website.

At the end of today's session, we will hear from any member of the audience who wishes to speak. We have allowed for an

extended public comment period at the end of the meeting in light of the large number of people who have wished to comment on our prior meetings. A sign-up list for public comment is available now, and will be available until 11:30 this morning. The amount of time we can allocate to each speaker will, of course, depend on the number of people who wish to speak.

With that, I will open the floor for the Commissioners for any statement or comment they wish to make before we hear from our first speaker. Are there any such comments?

MEMBER DOMENICI: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes, Senator

Domenici.

MEMBER DOMENICI: I made a few comments in Carlsbad, and I'd like to make a few today. I hope they're even briefer than yesterday's, but I think it would be fair to say that several of my fellow Commissioners,

one of whom was with us and had to leave
today, so one more than is here today, had an
opportunity to visit WIPP, Mr. Chairman. You
were there with us, and they met with the
Carlsbad community, they met with DOE
officials. And I'm actually very pleased,
almost thrilled to tell you that those who
visited Carlsbad and WIPP, and my colleagues
included, share my positive enthusiasm for
this project. Many of the Commissioners had
heard of it, but had not seen it.

WIPP has been operating for over a decade. We do have an underground repository into which nuclear waste is being deposited, Transuranic military waste, but, nonetheless, it is waste. It has a long life, and it is nuclear.

The community of Carlsbad has supported it, is supporting it, and continues to support it. For those who are concerned about WIPP, they ought to do two things. They ought to go there and visit it. It is open

for visitation. Don't worry, you don't have to go inside if you want to feel the -- what it is that this facility is contributing environmentally. If you choose, just go there and be tested, let them test you outside of WIPP out in the atmosphere, and lo and behold you'll find that they have a facility for testing, and the effect is zero. The effect of that facility is zero. There are no emissions that come from it that harm anybody.

They showed us that. It seems to me that for those who are not interested, or interested in the facts, they ought to avail themselves of the fact. If they're interested in myth, of course, they can come here today and talk about all kinds of things that aren't true about WIPP, and somebody will hear them.

Nonetheless, I am hopeful that at least the Commissioners have seen the truth, have seen the facts. And I hope that we will find a way in our report to lay out the successes, and the success story of WIPP, because people of

our country don't know what they have

purchased in WIPP. They paid for it, it's

there. And we already have an underground

permanent repository. It's called WIPP. And

there's no question it exists. There's no

question that for a change DOE is being

praised by the people there. They're changed,

they're working together, that is the

community and DOE, and scientists.

So, today we'll be so many miles away from WIPP giving people an opportunity to appear before the Commission, not to have to drive or fly so far. I hope that we'll have a good day, Mr. Chairman. And I personally want to thank you and your Co-Chairman for accepting my invitation, and my almost insistence that you come here. I am so pleased to tell everybody here last night that this is one Commission that after they were invited to come to New Mexico, to a person said, "Thank you, Senator Domenici. We really saw something we did not know existed."

That's the Commissioners of the United States,
many of this Commission, many of whom have
been citizens -- I mean, this man has been a
citizen almost forever.

(Laughter.)

MEMBER DOMENICI: And he didn't know about WIPP. And he went to see WIPP, and he said, "Oh, my God, we already have an underground facility." And I said, "I've been telling you so." So, now we have to put together a report in a few months, put together with these Commissioners what we saw down there, and find a way to make what we saw, and what we know relevant to what we have to do. Because, indeed, the facts are different than many people in the United States, and even many of our Commissioners knew before they visited WIPP.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

much, Senator, for those very wise words.

Now, we're pleased to welcome to

the podium the Mayor of Albuquerque, Honorable Richard Berry.

2.0

MR. BERRY: Good morning. I'd like to welcome the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future to the great City of Albuquerque. We're glad you're here today to listen to comments, and to help make your decisions.

I'm glad the Commission under the leadership of Brent Scowcroft and Lee Hamilton chose to spend a few days in New Mexico listening to our citizens about the future of nuclear energy in our country.

On a personal note, I would like to especially welcome home one of New Mexico's favorite sons, Senator Pete Domenici.

Senator, I'm honored to be with you this morning. I think I speak for all New Mexicans when I say that your leadership in Washington is greatly missed, and we're fortunate that you continue to work on matters important to New Mexico, and our nation.

1 I'm glad that the Commission is

2 taking the opportunity to listen to New Mexico

3 about the impacts of nuclear energy in our

4 state, and more specifically, the Waste

5 Isolation Project. I believe that New Mexico

6 is on the forefront of nuclear power. And,

7 indeed, Albuquerque and the entire state of

8 New Mexico have benefitted greatly from having

9 nuclear energy leaders, such as Sandia

10 National Laboratories, Los Alamos National

11 Laboratories, and WIPP in the State of New

12 Mexico.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Each of these organizations
provides our state with the ability to make
nuclear energy a safe and reliable source of
power. Their contribution to our state's
economy is vital. Each of these institutions
provides high quality employment to thousands
of New Mexicans, and their presence enhances
other economic development opportunities from

Although WIPP is not located in

the private sector, as well.

Albuquerque, I believe it benefits our entire state not only because of the economic impact, but because it also can be used as a national, and international model for nuclear cleanup.

2.0

Since WIPP received its first shipment on March 26th of 1999, there have been no accidents that have resulted in serious injury, or the release of radioactive materials into the environment.

I believe that WIPP is a great example of how local, state, and federal governments can work together to create a high functioning, safe, and reliable location for nuclear waste storage, and it has the added benefit of being a driving force for Carlsbad's growing economy.

France has led the way in nuclear power for quite some time obtaining over 75 percent of their electricity from nuclear power. And as the Mayor of Albuquerque, I would like to see our state harness the power of nuclear energy in a safe and reliable

manner so that the cost of energy for the average New Mexican can be reduced. I believe we have the ability through the great work being done at Sandia, Los Alamos, and WIPP to accomplish this.

We have a first-class workforce in New Mexico, and oftentimes I talk about the fact that unemployment isn't the only problem facing New Mexico, but unemployment in our state and nation are also an important topic to discuss. New Mexico has a skilled workforce, and continued research and development in nuclear energy, whether it be at Sandia, Los Alamos National Labs, or WIPP will mean better job opportunities for all New Mexicans.

We are in a new millennium, and we understand the challenges that accompany nuclear energy, but we have the technology, and the ability to harness that power in a safe and reliable manner. This is being demonstrated on a daily basis across the

globe. WIPP is a great example of how we can effectively deal with nuclear energy.

I look forward to continuing to have conversations about nuclear energy, whether it be on the research and development side, or on the cleanup and storage side. I believe nuclear energy will continue to be a great resource for years to come, and will continue to be an economic driver for our state, and our nation.

So, once again, thank you all for taking time to visit New Mexico. I look forward to visiting with many of you, and I hope that you will take a few minutes to enjoy the beauty of Albuquerque and New Mexico while you're here. And don't forget to enjoy some of that local New Mexican cuisine; there's nothing like it. So, once again, welcome to Albuquerque. We wish you a great day, and I know you'll get a lot of great information, so thanks for being here.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

1 much, Mayor Berry.

2.0

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: We will next have a statement from Senator Jeff Bingaman to be delivered by Patricia Dominguez.

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Good morning. I have a statement on behalf of Senator

Bingaman. He was unable to join us today.

"I am glad to join Mayor Berry and the other members of New Mexico's

Congressional Delegation in welcoming the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future to Albuquerque. I appreciate the Commission taking the time to come to New Mexico to tour the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, to learn the lessons that may be drawn from WIPP, and to hear the views of a wide range of New Mexicans on this important subject.

It is appropriate for the

Commission to do so, because WIPP has been a

success. WIPP has succeeded, I believe, not

just because it had the support of the local

1 community, but because it was developed

2 through a transparent and collaborative

3 approach. It is overseen and regulated by

4 independent federal and state regulators, and

5 it has a narrow statutorily defined mission.

I hope the Commission studies
these lessons well, as it reviews our nuclear
waste management policies, considers
alternative approaches, and prepares its
recommendations.

I hope the Commission will not just look upon WIPP as it exists today, as a successfully operating waste repository, but that it will study and understand the long history stretching back to the early 1970s that has brought us to this point today.

The geology of WIPP salt beds and the support of the local community did not guarantee WIPP's success. Public opinion and New Mexico's political leadership could have turned against WIPP as strongly and as decisively as Nevada turned against the Yucca

Mountain Repository. They nearly did in 1977, when the Department of Energy considered using WIPP to dispose of high-level military waste, and again in 1978 when the Department considered using WIPP to dispose of commercial spent fuel. In the end, though, Congress statutorily prohibited using WIPP for commercial spent fuel in 1979, and even more explicitly bound emplacement or disposal of any high-level waste or spent fuel in WIPP in 1992. The ban on spent fuel and high-level waste was an essential element of the compromise that allowed WIPP to move forward.

Congress decided to manage spent fuel and high-level waste not at WIPP, but at geologic repositories developed under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. One of the principal tenets of the NWPA was geographic balance, the notion that no one state or community should bear the entire burden. Another major tenet of the Act was the repository site should be chosen only on the

б

basis of a rigorous science-based siting process. Congress broke both of these tenets in 1987 when it indefinitely deferred the second repository program and chose Yucca Mountain as the site for the first repository without fully examining alternative sites.

The Blue Ribbon Commission is, of course, not a siting Commission. Recommending a site is not part of its mission, or its charter. Congress clearly understood in 1987 that it was putting all of its eggs in the Yucca Mountain basket, and that if Yucca Mountain failed, there was no backup plan. The siting program would be over, and it would be up to Congress to decide what to do next. This is written into law.

By design, the original Nuclear
Waste Policy Act of 1982 did not select a site
for a repository. It set up a process by which
a suitable site could be found. That process
was short-circuited, and has now failed. What
we now need is not another candidate site, but

another process, which will ensure that any 1 2 ultimate decision is based on sound science, 3 enjoys public support and confidence, and was 4 arrived at fairly, transparently, and 5 credibly. The Commission can learn a lot from studying the history of WIPP, and I look 6 7 forward to the lessons the Commission learns 8 from that study. Sincerely, Jeff Bingaman, 9 United States Senator." Thank you. 10 (Applause.) 11 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very 12 much, Ms. Dominguez. 13

We will next have a statement from Senator Tom Udall to be delivered by Andrew Wallace.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WALLACE: Thank you,

Commissioners. This is a letter from Senator

Tom Udall to the Commission.

"Dear Co-Chair Hamilton, and Co-Chair Scowcroft. I would like to extend to you and your Commission members and staff a warm welcome to New Mexico. I would also like

to recognize former Senator Pete Domenici for his continued service to our nation as a Commission member. Thank you for providing me the opportunity to enter these comments into the record.

2.0

While I am in Washington this week attending to business in the United States

Senate, I know you will hear from a number of interested New Mexicans with valuable insight and expertise during your public hearings.

Staff from my office in Carlsbad, Albuquerque, and Washington will attend these meetings to listen to these contributions, as well. I'd like to note that our State Director, Bianca Ortiz-Wertheim is here in attendance.

As you know well, the history of the management of the nuclear fuel cycle in America is complex, and I believe we will not be able to tell where we are going unless we know where we have been. With that in mind, no assessment of American nuclear policy can be complete without an understanding of New

Mexico's nuclear history, from the legacy of
the Manhattan Project, and uranium mining, to
the operation of the Waste Isolation Pilot
Plant, and the new URENCO LES enrichment
facility.

2.0

I trust your visit will be productive, and I look forward to hearing from the Commission's recommendations. Thank you again for your visit to New Mexico, and for your service. Sincerely, Tom Udall, United States Senator."

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Wallace.

We will next hear from Mr. Patrick
Duran, speaking on behalf of Representative
Ben Ray Lujan.

MR. DURAN: Good morning.

Unfortunately, Congressman Lujan could not be here this morning, so I do have brief remarks from him.

"To Chairman Hamilton and Scowcroft, Commission Members, and my fellow

1 New Mexicans. Thank you for all being here.

2.0

As the Commission considers

America's nuclear future, it is important they
hear from New Mexicans, as we have been part
of America's nuclear past to an uncommon
degree. I am sorry I am not able to be here
in person to speak with you, and I am
confident you will hear from many of my
constituents.

As I have said before, and shared with my colleagues in Committee hearings, I hope we agree that simply putting waste into the ground is not sustainable, and, quite honestly, not a solution. I wanted to convey my desire through this statement that we pursue as vigorously as possible technologies to reduce the amount of waste produced both through greater efficiency, and through recycling techniques that break down the waste.

I think one of the lessons from our experience with fossil fuels is that we

should start addressing fuel efficiency and environmental effects earlier than just leaving it. We have this opportunity now, and I hope we resist the temptation to pass this off to future generations.

I appreciate your invitation to speak here today, and look forward to working with you in the future on this very important issue. Sincerely, Ben Ray Lujan, U.S.

Representative." Thank you.

2.0

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Duran.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: We will next
hear from Assistant Secretary of Energy, Ines
Triay, Assistant Secretary for Environmental
Management.

MS. TRIAY: Good morning. It's a great pleasure to be able to present the journey of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, as well as the lessons learned from my perspective. I'll give you a little bit of

1 background.

I was the Field Manager of the

Carlsbad Field Office for the Department of

Energy from 1999 through 2004. And, in

addition to that, my group at Los Alamos

National Laboratory made the first shipment to

WIPP on March the 25th of 1999. So, I have a

lot of experience in understanding the

improvements that we have made at the Waste

Isolation Pilot Plant over the years. I'm

extremely proud of WIPP, and it is my great

pleasure today to take you through the journey

of WIPP.

I know that my colleagues in

Carlsbad talked to you yesterday, and did an

excellent job with very thorough

presentations, and my goal here today is to

summarize the journey of WIPP, and spend some

time answering any questions that you may

have. Next viewgraph, please.

I believe that like probably Roger Nelson emphasized yesterday, we have a very

good medium at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant for the isolation of nuclear waste. As early as 1957, the National Academy of Science concluded that most promising disposal option for radioactive waste was actually in salt deposits. And, of course, you saw for yourself yesterday, WIPP is in the Delaware Basin, and probably you got the very clear sense for those salt bed and the stability of the salt at WIPP. Next viewgraph, please.

So, the disposal site selection criteria dealt, of course, with geologic, hydrologic, tectonic stability, and physical/chemical compatibility. The bottom line is that the natural barrier, itself, at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant prevents the release of radionuclides as a result of the processes that -- the natural processes that one could envision occurring at the repository.

In addition to that, the disposal site selection criteria did include economical

and social acceptability. I believe that
disposal site selection criteria is, perhaps,
the most important one. It's a given that the
natural barrier has to be robust. But in
addition to that, the acceptability from an
economical and social perspective is essential
in order to be able to select a site for a
repository. Next viewgraph.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In 1960, the Project Salt Vault tested the efficacy of disposal in salt using actual used fuel from a reactor in Idaho, as well as heat-producing waste using electric This project provided then the heaters. technical evidence that the 1957 recommendation by the National Academy of Science would work, and the experience in how to site and design a repository. In 1972, the Carlsbad city leaders asked the Atomic Energy Commission to explore Southeast New Mexico for candidate sites. And, of course, they knew that they had a very robust natural system in their community. Next viewgraph.

Now, one of the siting criteria was that the footprint of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, essentially, be more than about two miles from any previous bore holes. And since the Delaware Basin is potentially attractive to both oil and gas production, and potash extraction, there were numerous exploration bore holes drilled throughout the area. So, this map shows the final site selection to respect and honor the two miles from any previous bore holes. Next.

Now, the focus on the WIPP site characterization was on the sub-surface properties. Geologic and hydrologic studies were conducted not only by drilling bore holes, but also full-scale opening and inspection of an entry shaft. The tests for the effects of packaging, heat, and brine on the salt waste matrix were conducted in the 1980s. Permeability, porosity, pressure, other characteristics were measured both in the disturbed rock zone, and in the undisturbed

rock. All of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant performance assessments demonstrate compliance with the Environmental Protection Agency's standards that were set for the long-term repository compliance. And all of those performance assessments are founded on the results of those tests. Next.

2.0

In 1980, the Congress passed the DOE National Security and Military
Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization
Act, and it separated weapons production waste disposal from power production waste. The
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant construction began in 1981, and a cooperation and consultation agreement between the Department of Energy and New Mexico State to protect the state and the federal interests was entered into. Next, please.

So, the Waste Isolation Pilot

Plant construction began in 1981. The

engineered facility was ready for waste

disposal from the engineering perspective in

1988, but it took 11 more years to gain the appropriate regulatory approval in order to start operating WIPP. Next viewgraph, please.

It is essential from the lessons learned perspective that we recognize that the regulatory approvals are an essential part of this process, and, therefore, we do not underestimate the huge burden that we have when it comes to the science that we need to have in order to obtain that regulatory approval. Next.

In 1992, Congress passed the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act, and it recognized the consultation and cooperation agreement with New Mexico, established 15-years of economic assistance for New Mexico mainly for the construction of roads so that we could minimize the impact of disposing of transuranic waste here in New Mexico from all over the country. It also provided funding and assistance related to transportation for states and tribes. I cannot emphasize enough

the importance of the Department of Energy and the Western Governors Association, a collaboration, and the protocols that were designed in order to ensure that the shipments were safe and uneventful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The routes were approved. The routes are routinely evaluated for safe travel, considering weather reports, and other conditions that may impact adversely the shipments. Provisions are made to follow, and to allow for safe parking along the approved If a condition warrants stopping a routes. shipment en route prior to arrival at WIPP, advanced notification of the two-way shipments at several different intervals before departure and during transit, including constant monitoring of shipment activity and communications through a satellite tracking system with state and tribal access to that system, public information, participation which allows venues for the public to actually see the tractor trailer package configuration,

and program evaluations conducted by the states that provide the Department of Energy with a periodic report card for the states.

Lastly, I think that the personnel that works on transportation realizes the huge responsibility that they have from the drivers, we have some of the best drivers in the nation performing this work, to the other workers that are the support system for the transportation program.

I believe that you heard from
Casey Gadbury yesterday, and I cannot
emphasize enough the importance of a robust
transportation program when it comes to the
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

The Land Withdrawal Act also withdrew 16 square miles for WIPP development, and authorized a test phase, and established the conditions for initiation of disposal operations. Next, please.

The Land Withdrawal Act was amended in 1986, and at that point, the

conditions for initiation of disposal operations were revised. The transuranic waste was exempted from land disposal restrictions, and we -- also, the Congress authorized the beginning of economic assistance to New Mexico. In that amendment, also, the transuranic waste packaging needs to be certified by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. So, the certified shipping packages are tested in a very robust manner. There are drop tests on non-yielding surfaces, and a spike, and thermal test to ensure that in the event of an accident, a transportation accident, the package is robust to withstand fires. Next viewgraph.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In this particular graph, we -- I go through the regulatory framework that governs the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. In short, the Environmental Protection Agency regulates the radioactivity both in the characterization phase, and in the disposal phase of WIPP. The New Mexico Environment

Department regulates the hazardous components of the waste, both for characterization and disposal. And the Nuclear Regulatory

Commission needs to certify the transportation packages that are utilized in order to transport the waste from the generator sites to the WIPP facility.

2.0

Just to briefly summarize some of the salient points here. As I was telling you, on March the 25th, 1999 we sent the first shipment from Los Alamos National Laboratory. At Los Alamos, there were a lot of my colleagues cheering in the streets, and on March the 26th the first shipment arrived in Carlsbad. And I understand that there was a similar celebration going on at the Carlsbad site.

And later on in 1999, we received a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act permit from the New Mexico Environment

Department. The Environmental Protection

Agency certified WIPP in 1998, and the first

shipments that we made from Los Alamos were of non-mixed waste. In other words, only the transuranic waste, there were no hazardous components associated with that waste. Once we received the permit late in 1999, we could open the pipeline to receive not only straight transuranic waste without hazardous components, but also transuranic waste, including waste with hazardous components in it.

2.0

In 2003, we -- the Congress also issued Section 311, sponsored by Senator Pete Domenici, and in that section Congress asked the Department of Energy to send permit modification into the New Mexico Environment Department to try to streamline the characterization requirements needed in order to certify transuranic waste to go to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

That permit modification that ultimately was processed by the New Mexico Environment Department has allowed us to

requirements, and utilize what we call acceptable knowledge, the knowledge of the process that generated the waste in order to do the streamlining that needed to be done, in order for WIPP to be more efficient.

2.0

In 2006, WIPP was re-certified by the Environmental Protection Agency, and, again, in 2010. That was our last recertification by the Environmental Protection Agency. Our RCRA permit was renewed, as well, in 2010. Next.

WIPP has had a long history of external oversight prior to the time when we had actually regulators. And the New Mexico Environmental Evaluation Group was an interdisciplinary group of scientists and engineers here in New Mexico that provided independent technical evaluation of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant from 1978 through 2004.

The National Defense Authorization

Act assigned EEG to the New Mexico Institute

of Mining and Technology, and that authorization continued until 2004. Next, please.

The National Defense Authorization

Act required the use of competitive procedures

for a contract to conduct independent reviews,

and the Department of Energy issued a contract

to PECOS Management Services of Albuquerque,

and that contract was in effect through

September of 2010.

As we became more regulated using well established procedures across the complex, the need for external oversight diminished, but at the beginning, when we were still not actively being regulated, because WIPP was not in operation, the external oversight actually assisted greatly in establishing the technical credibility of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant science. Next, please.

So, let me talk a little bit about the lessons learned. First, as I was

delineating, the regulatory approval period is an all important period in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant journey. And the experience with the regulators for it to be successful requires establishing credibility, and technical exchange meetings, topical workshops, multi-jurisdictional meetings where we have different regulators, for instance, the regulators that regulate the transuranic waste at the Idaho site, and the regulators that regulate the transuranic waste at the New Mexico site, like Los Alamos National Laboratory coming together with the New Mexico Environment Department that regulates the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, the Environmental Protection Agency. And we were very active in trying to make sure that those meetings, and that shared understanding with our regulators occurred at all levels within the Department of Energy complex.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

interesting, because your natural tendency is

Negotiation sessions is very

just a human natural tendency, is to talk to people who agree with you. It's no surprise, perhaps, that some of my colleagues in the New Mexico Environment Department approached me when I was the Field Manager at Carlsbad and said that that may be the human natural tendency, but in reality most of the time of the federal officers in a business such as nuclear waste disposition needs to be spent talking to people that disagree with you, because it is only by that dialogue that you get to better solutions.

Routine visits, phone calls, development of position papers that clearly delineate the reasons for a particular request for modification of the permit are essential when one is dealing with regulators. This is an area that is very difficult. It has a lot of aspects with respect to the regulatory framework, and those active interactions with regulators are all important for success. Next viewgraph, please.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

setting the repository performance criteria before siting I think is paramount. And the science must be focused on compliance. very interesting many times to talk to the scientists in our National Laboratories, many of the scientists generated the transuranic waste that today we're disposing. sometimes when, in those scientific discussions, it becomes evident to the scientists what doesn't need to be done any longer, or what needs to be done in a streamlined manner, or what needs to be done differently. And we need to recognize that good science is the first step to the regulatory process. And that having tremendous patience to make absolutely certain that everybody can come to the table and understand the specifics of any proposals to modify the current regulatory framework is paramount.

With respect to siting studies,

Finally, the public, community,

and stakeholders. I believe that project transparency, early continuous public outreach, and response to public interest, public meetings, grants and agreements. are times that a segment of the public wants to participate but because of the demands on their time during their routine work, that doesn't give them the time to truly understand the issues associated with a particular aspect of WIPP. And those grants to colleges, to communities sometimes helps in terms of having the community have the time, the resources in order to understand the specific aspects associated with the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, and how we can move forward together, and what is the compromise that needs to be reached. Next.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Finally, I think that the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant is a national success. We have cleaned up 17 sites in 12 states, totally we have 29 sites that need to be cleaned up. We're down to five large sites,

large quantity sites. We have already inventoried one of the large quantity sites, which is the Rocky Flats plant. And that was completely cleaned up by the Department of Energy. And, in addition to that, we are now looking at finishing the inventorying of the legacy transuranic waste from the Savannah River site in 2012.

2.0

We have made over 9,200 safe shipments, and traveled 11 million loaded miles, miles with the waste in our shipments. We have disposed of over 72,000 cubic meters of transuranic waste over the 12 years that we have been in operation. It's going to be 12 years in March of this year. And we have a plan, a vision to be able to dispose of 90 percent of the legacy transuranic waste in the Department of Energy complex by 2015.

I believe that WIPP is an international model for safe disposal of radioactive waste, and the fact that we can clean up our installations in the Department

of Energy complex, and our New Mexico
facilities, such as Los Alamos National
Laboratory, is extremely important for the
success of the Environmental Management
Program, and the success of the Waste
Isolation Pilot Plant. So, with that, I turn
the floor to you for questions.

2.0

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Secretary Triay for a very informative presentation. Are there any questions from the Commissioners? Per.

MEMBER PETERSON: I'd like to start, actually, just with a comment. We've had the opportunity to visit many different places where DOE has operated facilities over the years, and we've heard a variety of opinions about DOE, sometimes rather negative. I'd just like to say that it's been very impressive to me, and I believe all of the other Commissioners to see what's been accomplished here in terms of DOE's interactions with the public, and how it has

conducted the operations here. And for people who work for the government, sometimes one is subject to more criticism than praise. I'd like to do at this point, at least, is to tell you how much I personally appreciate -- I think I probably speak for all of the members of the Commission. Your dedicated service and the things that you have accomplished here, furthermore, in this presentation, it is filled with insights that I believe helped to inform us in terms of how a process can be constructed that in the end will do the right thing in all dimensions, and hear what's been accomplished in terms of cleaning up the legacy of the Cold War is really impressive. So, again, I'm just deeply grateful to you and your colleagues who serve in the DOE, and I want you to hear that praise, since sometimes that doesn't happen. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. TRIAY: I accept that on behalf of all of those hardworking people in the Department of Energy, and, in particular,

at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, our federal officers, and our contractors, and definitely the community that has carried us on their shoulders for so many years.

2.1

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Per.

I think you expressed the sentiments of the
entire Commission. Are there other comments
or questions? Yes.

MEMBER SHARP: First, I certainly echo the comments of the significant public service you and others have performed here, as well as local community leaders.

Let me ask a question about one of the most impressive things, from my point of view, was the environmental monitoring that occurs daily, I gather, and the capacity of a local citizen in the area to actually go in and have themselves, their children tested to see if, in fact, there is any consequence from having the facility there, and transporting the materials there.

I've never heard anywhere else in

the country, or in any other industry, that such a program exists. And I wondered if you can enlighten us, and I gather this was a requirement the State of New Mexico put into place, but could you comment on that?

MS. TRIAY: Yes. We -- I believe that, partly, the program that you refer to, I think that we at WIPP, at least when I was there, we used to call it lie down and be counted, which means that you can have an entire body count to make sure that you know - our intent was to establish a baseline, with the idea of demonstrating that the disposal of transuranic waste didn't change that baseline of the state of the public when we initiated the program.

I think that partly what you're saying is that at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, you see almost a modern way of us conducting business versus, perhaps, the many decades of weapons production where the sense of urgency of winning the Cold War, and the

lack of development of environmental laws led
the Department of Energy to make certain
decisions that from the environmental
perspective clearly needed to be addressed at
a later time, and that was the essence of the
Environmental Management Program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

So, we have capability for body counting for performing urinalysis of people who feel that they have been exposed to radioactivity as a result of exposures from the Department of Energy operations. I think that what you see at WIPP that is different, is that the way that we started the operation was already based on clear rules of engagement versus other DOE facilities that have had to mature decades after they were already in operation. I quess, that from my accent perhaps you notice that I am a Cuban American, and I do think that winning the Cold War was the most important accomplishment of humankind in our lifetimes. So, I think that it was a very important accomplishment, because of the

sense of urgency that our colleagues at that time felt. The environmental protection truly needed to be strengthened once we recognized that we can perform nuclear work, and at the same time protect the environment. And I think that WIPP is the best example of how a modern operation would be conducted in the nuclear field.

MEMBER SHARP: Well, I just want to reemphasize that, because as any community or state considers going forward, where we might put either an interim long-term storage, or put a permanent depository kind of proposition, this strikes me as one element that is absolutely essential, and especially at least has the capacity to reassure individuals that they are being taken seriously, as long, of course, the system is run independently so that you can have confidence in it.

MS. TRIAY: I agree completely.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Pete.

1 MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you, Mr. 2 First, I wanted to say, Ines, you Chairman. and I worked together for a long time, and you 3 got promoted from the site to Washington. 4 5 don't see you nearly as much. I did want to 6 tell you that as I grow old, I have to 7 frequently invent an excuse for not 8 remembering somebody, and what I do is 9 occasionally say to them "Oh, yes, I don't remember, because you changed, you're wearing 10 a cowboy hat today." Sure enough, if they 11 12 were, I'm right. They say, "Oh, I never wore it when you saw me before." So, you see, it 13 14 really works. I was almost going to tell you, I don't know who you are, and then I would 15 have said, "Oh, you changed your hairdo." 16 17 (Laughter.) 18 MEMBER DOMENICI: And that really 19 is the case. But, nonetheless, I did remember 20 you in spite of the change in your hairdo. 21 (Laughter.) 22 MEMBER DOMENICI: Now, what I

would like to ask you, just a couple of
things. Senator Bingaman in his testimony
delivered by that wonderful lady who came over
here and gave me a copy. I didn't have it, so
I thank you for that. But at the end of it,
I want to just read you this, see if you
listened carefully. He said, "What we need
now is not another candidate site, but another
process which will ensure that any ultimate
decision is based on sound science, enjoys
public support and confidence, and was arrived
at fairly, transparently, and credibly."

Now, I ask you, is that not the way WIPP has been arrived at?

MS. TRIAY: I believe that the process that was utilized at WIPP, Senator, has all of the elements that you have read in the statement of Senator Bingaman. I do emphasize, however, that the compromises, the negotiations, the regulatory approvals, all the ground that we have gained at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant has been done in a

collaborative manner with the State of New
Mexico, with regulators.

3 MEMBER DOMENICI: Oh, I

4 understand.

MS. TRIAY: And for that reason, I believe that both you and Senator Bingaman are right in saying that the process that was utilized here is the process that we need moving forward.

MEMBER DOMENICI: But I want to make a couple of points with you. At one point in your discussion, you said, "One regulatory achievement took 11 years, and that this process took much more than 11 years from beginning to end." Now, I really want you to think before you answer this.

Is it not true that we ought to be able to approve, regulatorily speaking, a license or a permit for something like WIPP in less than 25 years?

MS. TRIAY: And I believe, the answer is yes, we should, and I believe that

if we started this process today, we would do it in a lot less than the time that was -- that that took. And the reason is, all the lessons that we have learned --

MEMBER DOMENICI: Okay.

MS. TRIAY: -- on how we need to proceed out front so that it doesn't take the amount of time that WIPP took, absolutely.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Okay. Let me do this now. Could you, not now, but for the record, if the Chairman thinks it's all right, could you for the record, you and your staff, go through every regulatory hiccup, every regulatory stop for any point in time, every - itemize each one, and tell us how long it took to get that done. And you, as the expert, indicate how important it was or wasn't in your opinion that we do that.

See, what I'm worried about is some of these regulatory bumps take seven years, and when the expert sees it, they say it was really much to-do about nothing. It had

very little impact, if any, but it's in the rules, it's in the law. And I'd like to know some of those, so we can write them out when we tell the Secretary of Energy next time through, do this, but don't do this. Okay?

Can you do that for us?

MS. TRIAY: We would be happy to do that, Senator. And I just emphasize that the idea of having experts at the table, but experts that can clearly communicate their studies, the work that they have done, and that independence of experts from academia, from external oversight groups, that is essentially what pushes the process forward. So, we definitely will do that, and we will make sure that we don't have the same issues in the important work that you are doing, that we have had at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. Absolutely.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you, Mr.

21 Chairman.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

much, Secretary Triay. We appreciate your 1 2 presentation, and coming to meet with us. 3 Thank you very much. 4 (Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: We will now convene a panel of state, local, and tribal perspectives on the WIPP issue. And I would ask Dave Martin, Secretary of New Mexico Environmental Department, Bob Neill, the Environmental Evaluation Group, Susan Gordon from the Alliance for Nuclear Accountability, and Neil Weber from the San Ildefonso Pueblo to take their seats.

MR. FRAZIER: If I may, Mr.

Chairman.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes.

MR. FRAZIER: A programming note, panelists, please mind your 10-minute limit. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: I'd like to welcome the panel, and Secretary Martin, you may begin, either where you are, or from the

1 podium, as you choose.

Chairman, members of the Blue Ribbon

Commission, fellow citizens and guests. I am

Dave Martin. I'm the designee to the Cabinet

Secretary for the New Mexico Environment

Department. I've been in this position for

about two weeks, so I don't claim to be an

expert on everything that we're going to

discuss today. I will try to convey the

Environment Department's perspective on what's

happened at WIPP, and how that might apply to

future deliberations that this Commission will

have.

As we heard yesterday from James
Bearzi, our Bureau Chief of the Hazardous
Waste Bureau, the WIPP model worked for a
number of reasons, some of which are listed
here. First off, it's, as we know, the only
deep geologic repository that's permitted in
the country. It's looking at disposal of
radioactive waste from research activities and

production of nuclear weapons. And the

facility handles about 90 percent contact—

handled waste, and 4 percent remote—handled

waste. So, what we hope to present here is to

apply the lessons that were learned at WIPP,

couple those lessons with requirements for

America's Nuclear Future.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The key components in the Department's view for the successful WIPP project included, and you're hearing these themes over, and over, and over again, support of local community, early involvement of all the interested parties, outreach to public and community groups, public participation, and the involvement of the Department of Energy, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the National Labs, Los Alamos and Sandia, the Environmental Evaluation Group that we'll hear from later this morning, the Carlsbad Environmental Monitoring and Research Center that we heard from yesterday, the Environment Department,

and the Site Operator, Washington TRU Solutions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Transparency and visibility is very important, and technical and also nontechnical issues need to be identified and addressed early on. A collaborative approach to problem-solving, a consensus is sought early and often, and as James Bearzi pointed out yesterday, consensus may not be quite the right word, because of the various opinions. The goal is to develop a framework that everyone can accept. Everyone may not like all aspects of it, but it's something that all the parties can accept. Credibility and trust developed and maintained, it's been mentioned, and extensive monitoring air, soil, water, and people. And an important thing here is to develop a baseline so that the continued monitoring can evaluate whether there's been any change in that baseline or not.

And one thing that's not on this slide, I visited WIPP early this week, and I

was very impressed, not only with the quality of the people there, but with their rigorous attention to detail and procedure. And that's absolutely essential in a project of this type.

The permitting success, the EPA, the initial certification, re-certification every five years, the New Mexico Environment Department, the Hazardous Waste Permit was first issued October of 1999, the 10-year permit renewal was issued this past November, and, as mentioned previously, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission with the packaging and transportation.

Obviously this is an extremely complex project, and the various aspects that need to be considered include technical, social, political, economic, geographic, and transportation. The scientific parameters need to be outlined. The non-technical factors need to be considered. How much specificity should go into the permit? As Senator

Domenici pointed out, these permits need to be issued in a more timely fashion in the future.

And having gone through this process, as the Secretary said, that should be -- there should be the ability to speed that up considerably in the future. Nuclear safety, that's paramount, the environmental monitoring, and also legal aspects.

Sound science has been mentioned here 100 times probably, and I can't over-emphasize that. My background is technical. I'm an engineer, so I'm familiar with the scientists, and I know that scientists sometimes will disagree, but we need to make sure that the data is good data and that the science is as sound as you can get it.

So there'll be a lot of research needed for looking at the future projects, such as you're looking into. You'll need to consider the availability of the required scientists and engineers for such a project.

Also the technical support, the types of

equipment required will vary depending on what sort of waste product you're looking at. So our feeling is that a framework needs to be provided for evaluation and also to implement quality assurance.

This is a project that involves a very multi-disciplinary approach and personnel. You've got people involved in physics, chemistry, geology, engineering, geophysics, mining, environmental management and monitoring, safety and emergency management, security, accounting, legal, and others. It's a very complex project involving a lot of people.

We feel the mission should be clear but flexible. As new technology develops, there may be reason to alter things somewhat, so you don't want to be so rigid that you're not able to accommodate that.

Identify the type of waste or wastes involved, whether that's military or civilian. As Senator John Heaton pointed out yesterday,

some of this waste may actually be a resource, so the used nuclear fuel may be able to be processed, and you want to maintain access to that so that it could be processed whenever the time was proper, and then also considering high-level waste. So the disposal or disposition paths could be interim or temporary storage, surface storage, or deep geologic disposal facility for a repository.

This is a possible process that we propose for making site selection. The suitability of the site for the type of waste or wastes involved, the ability of highly trained technical and support staff, existing infrastructure, highways, power, utilities, people, emergency response, a sound scientific infrastructure and foundation for the type of disposal or reprocessing, a clear but flexible mission statement, decisions based on sound science, federal-state cooperative agreement, and a partnership amongst all of the parties, and that includes DOE, EPA, the state, NRC,

the National Labs, industry, and as we've heard, most importantly, the community, not only the local community, but the entire community that might be affected.

And with that, I'll close and just say that we appreciate the opportunity to present our thoughts, and we'd be happy to provide additional information if the Commission so desires.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Secretary Martin. Next is Bob Neill of the Environmental Evaluation Group.

MR. NEILL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. In 1978 the State of New Mexico had a number of technical concerns about the proposed project to dispose of defense high-level waste at WIPP. It lacked the resources with which to address them. DOE stepped forward and said we will fund a totally independent technical review group, no strings attached, hire your own people, do what you will. Now this is a very admirable approach

by the Department, and it was unprecedented at that point in time. Their motivations weren't totally altruistic, however, because there were some efforts in the state at that time to have a referendum put on the ballot, you know, do you want a nuclear waste facility.

I set up EEG in 1978 later that year and directed the review for 22 years retiring in the year 2000, one year after WIPP opened. I couldn't help but note after Dr. Triay was speaking today that during that time I worked with no less than eight different DOE WIPP Project Managers, of which Dr. Triay was the last one that I worked with.

Now what are some of the essential elements for state review? We had -- the mission of EEG was to evaluate the impact on the public health and the environment. And it is essential that a state review be totally objective, neither pro nor anti in the evaluations. Similarly, to be independent, not have to -- reports reviewed or approved by

any other entity. At one point, when a governor thought we were being too hard on DOE in our evaluations, we had two patron saints that stepped forward, Senator Domenici, and Senator Bingaman, who took the necessary steps to move us from state government to assign us in New Mexico Tech, and for that I'll be eternally appreciative to both those gentlemen for ensuring the objectivity and the independence of the groups.

You also need very competent people that are senior, knowledgeable. The recent June graduates are fine and important, but it's important to have a perspective on many of these things. And in addition, it is important to be multi-disciplinary. We have geologists, hydrologists, but, again, the main focus is on the radiation protection or radiological health, and to that end, that's what we focused on there.

It is essential that one not just write a nasty letter to DOE or give a public

talk but to publish the analyses, the reports, and the results for it. And during that time, we published over 80 reports at different 4 times. These are typical ones. They're simple cardboard covered reports, nothing fancy, but thoroughly referenced. And over that period, I never did have to eat one of those reports.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

It is essential -- in fact, one of them is the probability of a hoist accident done by a consultant to us, Professor Moe Greenfield from UCLA who came out and worked with us a number of times, and the NRC was so impressed with the analysis on catastrophic hoist accidents that they not only referenced the report in their instructions to other agencies but republished the report in its entirety as guidance, more than guidance, that you have to follow that.

Presentations at public meetings are very important. I testified -- in New Mexico, we have a Joint Committee from the

House and Senate for those that are addressing the radioactive waste disposal facilities, and I must have testified four times a year for over 20 years in that regard. Also, to keep the Congress informed as to what is going on is absolutely essential.

2.0

We had formal field trips where we brought in members from the National Academy of Science and others, and I can recall one major meeting where we brought in people of diverse views to evaluate the significance of deep dissolution, deep brine reservoirs that are nearby. The Deputy Attorney General of the state was there. He came up to me at the end of the three days and said, "Bob, I didn't understand one word, but I am confident seeing this that you're addressing all the diverse views and ensuring that all these different quarters are heard."

It's also important to encourage the staff to have key roles. I was blessed with being appointed to Advisory Committees

for the DOE, for EPA, for the National Academy of Sciences, the Aspen Institute, and several others, the Office of Technology Assessment of the U.S. Congress at one point.

Now part of the -- we determined that DOE had met the EPA standards, and we recommended that disposal begin. Now part of the success, I believe, of WIPP is public confidence from the EEG evaluation of the impact on public health. One other important factor is that the local officials, as you well know, supported this project and set the stage to be considered for it. And the governor and the legislature committed to give the project a fair hearing. And that is so essential and important that you don't stack the deck one way or the other.

Now in addition to these recommendations for the Commission to consider, I think there are some additional ones to look at as well. It is essential to resolve jurisdictional disputes between the

regulatory agencies promptly. We had a twoyear hiatus where EPA and NRC were at
loggerheads in trying to decide what the
standards would be and what the turf would be,
and that should no longer occur.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Next, predicting a radiation dose for the period now of a million years in my judgment is meaningless. Basically, you have to exhume the materials to the surface, resuspend the materials, and then do calculations of the ingestion. I was on the NAS Committee that looked at some of the mill tailings. We found a factor of ten to the three between the observed measurements and the predicted values over three decades, over 100,000 decades it would be meaningless, similarly, for the diet as to what -- how much tofu or algae or plankton we're going to be eating in the long-term future. And all I'm suggesting is that the standards must be revisited, particularly since the EPA standards apply only to Yucca Mountain.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

evaluate, and plan some more to avoid this

Now it's important to plan,

3 changed-our-mind syndrome which we've suffered

4 from in our country for the disposal of

5 radioactive waste. Deep ocean disposal,

6 shallow open pits, mill tailings, TRU, and now

7 high-level waste, we've changed our mind on

8 what we want to do, so it's important, it's

9 essential to do that.

Frequently one invokes the 1957

National Academy of Sciences report, but they also said, "Hey, resolve the technical issues before authorizing construction." And, as we know, we've spent anywhere from 10 to 13 billion to date on Yucca Mountain.

Don't use the screening criteria of selecting five sites where you list the desirable characteristics, narrow it to three, and then to one. As I've said jokingly, that you don't use that in selecting a wife or a husband, and a repository is an equally serious proposition.

1

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

Congress required a second site to be developed, and DOE decided, and I agreed with DOE, that it would be much easier to request Congress to increase the capacity of the first one because it was exceeding the capacity, than to develop a second repository. Well, since we no longer have a first, we no longer have a second repository.

It is essential to look at both the benefits as well as the risks. know, the regulatory agencies are strictly enjoined to address the impact on the public health of the proposed activity. There are many other factors to be addressed, such as cost, the benefits, political, social, and other things, such as even patriotism that one point, as you all note, that the disposal of defense transuranic waste, people have a greater level of acceptability of things for the defense of our country than they may have for other activities. We also believe that DOE should publish their planned geographical

distribution. If the repository is 100 billion, as Nevada was, whether it's one-quarter in the community, and a quarter in the state to get some commitment for that, there are a number of other advantages, eliminating storage costs. It also aids the defense activities and reduces the potential of terrorist attacks, where we have all the spent fuel stored at multiple sites throughout our nation. It increases, if you do this, the probability of utilities authorizing the construction of a new nuclear power plant.

Now it's also important to take a look at the radiation relative risks. This slide was developed by the National Commission on Radiation Protection in a report last year. Two points to note from it. Number one, and this is the total population exposure, the medical diagnostic radiation exposure is about a factor of 9,000 greater than that exposed from U.S. nuclear power plants. That by itself is a significant factor. Secondly, in

the course of two decades, the astonishing increase in medical radiation exposure went up by a factor of 7.3. Now this is seemingly accepted by the American public, and the reason -- for mammography, for CT scans, for nuclear medicine, and the reason is that people believe that the benefits of early diagnosis of disease outweighs any of the risks associated with that radiation exposure. So it is really important that we address the issue of relative risk in getting a context of a comparison for it.

There is an important need for candor and humility on our work. When you tell your own brother, "Let me tell you what's going to happen in the next 10,000 or 100,000 years," it's very difficult to convince members of your own family of the validity of your calculations and the analyses. You also find that papers presented at national meetings don't talk about problem areas. They don't say we had this effort, and it was

wasted, it was lost. We need a greater amount of candor in our presentations. And, again, as I said, calculations of dose in thousands of years in the future of limited behavior.

Now does this mean we should throw up our hands in despair? Heavens, no. Bear in mind that a bucket of arsenic is as toxic today as it was 10,000 years ago, and the toxicity does not diminish with time.

However, with radiological decay, it does change.

And, in summary, the successful disposal of high-level waste requires more than a determination of the acceptable health risks by a regulator, that you really require actions on the part of elected and appointed officials on both the local, state, and federal level.

Secondly, I believe the various benefits must also be quantified, as well as the risks. I realize that they're not always easy to do. They are in different units.

1 Some are in cost. How do you evaluate

confidence? For example, I think that EEG'swork increased the confidence of the people of

4 New Mexico that it could be done safely, but

5 you have to make such determinations.

And, lastly, my personal belief is we don't need to educate the public. What we need to do is to create a climate that all concerns are being fairly addressed, resolved with candor and humility, and decisions made.

Most importantly, we need to make decisions and stick with them.

One other point I did want to mention, a question was raised before about monitoring. As you know, the area down in WIPP, I'm sure it was pointed out yesterday, had a Plowshare test that vented. This is a peaceful use of a nuclear weapon that vented and had radioactivity in the area. EEG set up a monitoring program to measure the radioactivity from that so that WIPP would not be blamed for measured radioactivity. And

that's a very -- and we published the results
of that, as we did for all other things, too.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.0

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Neill. We appreciate it.

Next presentation is by Susan Gordon of the Alliance for Nuclear Accountability.

MS. GORDON: Good morning. My name is Susan Gordon, and I'm the Director of the Alliance for Nuclear Accountability. And I want to thank the Blue Ribbon Commission for the opportunity to speak to you today, and I especially want to thank Mary Woollen for helping to make that possible.

The Alliance for Nuclear

Accountability is made up of 36 member

organizations, most of whom live directly

downwind and downstream from the Department of

Energy's nuclear weapons production and waste

dump sites. We have been working

collaboratively since 1987 before there even

was a cleanup program at the Department of Energy.

2.0

2.1

Visible on this map are the major aquifers and rivers across the United States. You can see the largest production facilities in the nuclear weapons complex, all of which are located near major water supplies. The gray dots and outlines indicate Native American tribes and reservations. At one point, there were over 5,100 industrial facilities involved in nuclear weapons production, and approximately 3,900 of those facilities handled radioactive materials and were contaminated.

The production of nuclear weapons has produced the largest and most expensive environmental cleanup program in history. The Department of Energy now estimates cleanup costs between 274 and 329 billion dollars, and the cleanup timelines have been extended out to 2062.

Approximately 3,750 square miles

of land were confiscated for the nuclear
weapons production complex sites, leaving
behind 75 million cubic meters of contaminated
soil and 1.8 billion cubic meters of
contaminated groundwater. Also contributing to
the environmental contamination are the
radioactive releases from the 972 nuclear
weapons detonations that occurred within the
United States.

2.0

2.1

Everyone who was alive during the `50s and `60s was exposed to radiation as a result of the above-ground nuclear explosions at the Nevada Test Site. If you want more information about your potential exposure, go to the National Cancer Institute website and look for the Iodine-131 study.

I'm here to present to the Blue
Ribbon Commission our recommendations from the
Alliance for Nuclear Accountability. We
support hardened on-site storage of spent
nuclear fuel at site of generation. We are
opposed to reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel.

We're opposed to centralized interim storage, and we are also opposed to expanding the mission at WIPP to include commercial waste, which would break agreements made with the State of New Mexico.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I thought it would be useful to go over the nuclear weapons waste categories, and I thought it might be fun to just review the top seven. I didn't have 10. I could have had 10, but I chose seven. So uranium mill tailings. As you know, New Mexico has a long and nasty legacy from uranium mining and milling. Low-level waste, there is an estimated 200,000 cubic meters of legacy lowlevel waste buried in unlined trenches at Area G at Los Alamos National Lab. Greater-than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste, both WIPP and Los Alamos are being considered as sites for disposal of the Greater-than-Class-C Mixed-waste, transuranic waste, which, Waste. as you know, is now being buried at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. The high-level waste,

so this includes the reprocessing waste at the Savannah River site, Hanford, Idaho National Lab, and West Valley. And the number one category for today, drum roll, spent nuclear fuel.

So one of the things that you can see is -- and this has been mentioned earlier, that the definitions are very complicated and convoluted for different categories of waste, and the agencies that oversee them are varied and have different roles and rules governing what they can do.

These next two graphs are put together by the Department of Energy. This graph shows the volumes of waste in each of the categories. So based on the size of this graph, if it was on a regular 8-1/2 by 11 sheet of paper, the arrow bar on the left represents the mining and milling waste, and this bar, again, based on the piece of paper, would need to be 11 feet high. Spent fuel from commercial nuclear reactors and research

reactors is not shown in these graphs. And please keep in mind that the ANA communities are the ones most impacted by the environmental and health legacy of nuclear weapons production.

2.0

2.1

This graph shows the same categories; however, it is representing the amounts of radioactivity of each waste stream. This time the arrow indicates that the most radioactivity is a result of reprocessing. If this graph were on that same sheet of paper, the arrow would actually be 100 feet tall.

So, again, these are the wastes that the ANA communities are living with today.

One of our main concerns is that the Blue Ribbon Commission may recommend interim storage and consolidation of spent nuclear fuel. We strongly oppose any recommendation that would create centralized interim storage at one or more DOE sites that would add to the burden that these communities already bear. Our opposition to reprocessing

is the legacy of this reprocessing waste, which is dramatically shown here on this graph.

2.0

The Hanford site, which you have visited, has the largest volume of reprocessing waste. It is at least 10 years behind schedule and \$9 billion over budget in building the waste treatment plant, which is to stabilize the 53 million gallons of waste from reprocessing.

In 2009, the Government Accounting Office estimated the cost to treat just Hanford's reprocessing waste could well exceed \$100 billion and take 80 years. None of these estimates takes into account the estimated 1 million gallons of leaked reprocessed waste in the soil beneath Hanford's tanks.

In a time of fiscal conservatism on Capitol Hill, proposing spending even more billions of dollars on reprocessing, which doesn't solve the problem, will not be a welcome recommendation.

1

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

production.

19

2021

22

spent nuclear fuel. This graph is a couple of years old, but it shows the trend. know as long as nuclear power plants continue to operate, they will continue to produce spent nuclear fuel. The best option for spent fuel is hardened on-site storage, as close to site of generation as possible, which eliminates transportation risks and saves Centralized interim storage of spent money. nuclear fuel does not solve the problem. increases risk by transporting the material to a temporary site then again to a permanent disposal site, wasting taxpayer money. only sites immediately available would be the DOE sites already burdened with the environmental legacy of nuclear weapons

So back to that pesky problem of

It would be a very bad idea to expand the mission at WIPP to include commercial waste. New Mexico and Department of Energy communities have been told for more

than three decades that WIPP is only for
transuranic waste. People in the shadows of
DOE nuclear weapons sites don't want more
broken promises at any DOE site. Expanding
the mission at WIPP --

(Applause.)

MS. GORDON: Expanding the mission at WIPP would make it harder for future repositories because people would rightly distrust legal protections and promises.

So just to review ANA's recommendations, we support hardened on-site storage of spent fuel at site of generation which eliminates transportation risks and saves money. No reprocessing of spent nuclear fuel. There is no solution to the millions of gallons of toxic waste that has been generated by reprocessing. No centralized interim storage that will target communities already burdened with nuclear weapons waste, and do not expand the mission at WIPP by breaking agreements with New Mexico, which would make

it more difficult to find future disposal sites.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

So I want to leave you with ANA's principles, which we have developed after working together for 24 years collaboratively on DOE nuclear weapons cleanup issues. Nuclear waste should be stored as safely as possible, as close to site of generation as possible, in a manner that maximizes worker, public, and environmental protection. to the most protective standards that prevents harm to the environment and the health and safety of current and thousands of future generations to the maximum extent possible. Compliance with legally enforceable agreements with regulators independent of the Department of Energy to ensure progress and accountability along with the necessary regular and consistent enforcement.

Meaningful public participation processes that require early, continuous, and effective public involvement for tribes,

states, and the public, and access to all
historical and current data relating to the
possible health and environmental effects at
nuclear weapons sites. Health services for
those exposed to radioactive and toxic
contamination.

7 MEMBER DOMENICI: Ma'am, your time 8 has expired.

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. GORDON: Thank you. I would like to finish two statements.

MEMBER DOMENICI: You -- how much
longer do you have, please, ma'am?

MS. GORDON: I have two statements to make. Thank you, Mr. Domenici.

I listened to the hearing
yesterday in Carlsbad, and I wanted to respond
and make a couple of points. First, that when
communities are truly engaged in cleanup
decisions in their neighborhoods, then the
cleanup is better and money will be saved.
The Fernald site is often held up by DOE as a
model example. While we may not like all of

the decisions made regarding cleanup at

Fernald, we do believe that the community was
actively engaged and, therefore, agreed to
tough decisions that sent the worst waste
offsite, but kept the majority of waste
onsite, saving millions of dollars. ANA
agrees that the public process engagement that
led to the success of the Fernald cleanup is
a model and that it should be repeated across
the weapons complex.

Second, I want to say that I was disturbed listening to the attacks on individuals who raised safety and environmental concerns about WIPP before it opened and continue to raise concerns about its operation now. I truly believe that if those issues had not been raised in the past, and if DOE and the State of New Mexico and other regulators had not been pressured to improve the plans, build in the safety measures, build the bypass around Santa Fe, and meet environmental regulations, the people

of Carlsbad, Lea County, and Eddy County would
not have been praising the WIPP project but
would have been lamenting environmental
contamination in their neighborhood.

We all should be thankful for the work that concerned New Mexican citizens and Don Hancock, in particular, did to protect us all in the State of New Mexico. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you.

Thank you very much, Susan. We would have given you the time. I wasn't intending to have an argument with you. I was just trying to find out how long you had. Thank you for your statement, appreciate it.

Next witness, Neil Weber. Is that correct?

MR. WEBER: Yes, sir.

MEMBER DOMENICI: From San

Ildefonso Pueblo. Thank you for coming today,

21 sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. WEBER: Thank you. Good

1 morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.

Ildefonso's view on WIPP.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak before you and give you the perspective of San

The perspective that I give you is solely that of the Pueblo of San Ildefonso, and keep in mind that -- I'll just wait until the PowerPoint comes up.

The perspective that I give you is that of only the Pueblo of San Ildefonso and not of any other tribal community. Keep in mind that there are in excess of 565 federally recognized tribes throughout the nation. They all have their individual views as sovereign nations.

MR. FRAZIER: I apologize for the delay. We'll get it in just a second and start you over.

MR. WEBER: Okay. Thank you.

Just to give you a picture of the location of the Pueblo of San Ildefonso, it's located in North Central New Mexico, and what's unique

about the Pueblo of San Ildefonso is that it's the only Native American community that borders upon a national nuclear research facility. In fact, the black outline which I show right here is San Ildefonso's ancestral domain. And tongue in cheek, we say that we're the only Native American community that owns a national nuclear research facility, which is Los Alamos National Laboratory. But we are working as good neighbors and have cooperative agreements.

One thing of importance is that

San Ildefonso's sacred area, which juts right
into Los Alamos National Laboratory and
borders directly upon Technical Area 54, which
is the WIPP staging area, the WIPP TRU Waste,
the staging area for the drums which are
eventually shipped to Carlsbad by TRUPact.
Unfortunately, you weren't able to view the
lands of San Ildefonso, but this will give you
an idea of the close proximity that the San
Ildefonso sacred area has to Technical Area

54. The drums are stored here in white domeshaped structures which eventually will be torn down, but the common border is right down the middle of the canyon, Canyon del Buey, which borders directly south of the sacred area.

What's important about this one shot, it just shows you the prevailing winds from the south-southwest which flow directly onto to San Ildefonso's sacred area, and this causes the Pueblo some concern.

The issues we have, as I said,
we're a federally recognized tribal nation,
and, as such, we are able to work on a
government-to-government relationship with the
federal government and directly with

Department of Energy. And as I mentioned
before, that San Ildefonso is the only Native

American community that shares a common
boundary with a national nuclear research
facility, which is Los Alamos National
Laboratories.

WIPP designated TRU waste is stored at TA-54, and the sacred area is of importance to the tribal members of San Ildefonso because it's used for religious, cultural purposes, hunting, and gathering.

And the tribal utilization of natural resources is much different than the outside world. There's a unique utilization, which normal people who perform risk assessments don't take into consideration. And contamination of the natural environment will have a different health effect upon Native American communities.

We're also concerned about the potential contamination of our sacred area from any of the wastes that are stored at TA-54, not only are WIPP-destined waste stored there, but there's also a low-level radioactive waste disposal facility. And any level of contamination above background is considered an insult by Native American communities. Probably what's most important,

though, is the transportation of nuclear waste, both the timing and the routing and proper notification.

Just to give you an example, these are the shipping routes, the truck routes through the area and through San Ildefonso Pueblo. The pink area or the pink outline is the designated shipping route, and the yellow truck route is through sacred area.

There was an agreement with the Department of Energy not to ship transuranic waste with the TRUPacts through sacred area. And much to the chagrin of tribal communities, I know Secretary Ines said she -- there were people outside clapping the first day that they shipped waste from Los Alamos to WIPP; however, the truck driver instead of making a right-hand turn, made a lefthand turn and went right through sacred area in violation of the agreement. That's never happened again, thank goodness.

There's also an issue that we have

with Homeland Security. As you can see, the close proximity that San Ildefonso Pueblo's sacred area has to the waste disposal area and Los Alamos National Laboratory could, possibly, involve infiltration by terrorist activities. And that's never been properly addressed by the federal government to give San Ildefonso proper protection and support.

And of concern, also, is that we wanted to make sure that DOE and Los Alamos
National Laboratories meets the 2015 deadline
of closure of TA-54 and transfer of all stored
TRU waste to the WIPP facility. There is
concern by the laboratory that there may not
be sufficient funding by DOE to meet this
deadline. We hope that will come to fruition,
though.

What we have accomplished, though, throughout the years, that San Ildefonso has developed an excellent working relationship with DOE. We do have a candid and honest dialogue with DOE, with Los Alamos National

Laboratories. And even though we may disagree or agree to disagree on topics, we can come to some type of compromise.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Are you

finished, sir?

2.0

MR. WEBER: Yes.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you very much. Our Chairman will be back shortly. He asked me to proceed. I'm looking at the schedule here. It's time for questions from the Commissioners. Let's start with you, Phil.

MEMBER SHARP: Yes. Well, thank you very much for your testimony, folks. Let me address Ms. Gordon first.

First, I hope you did not get the impression from any of us that we thought it was not appropriate for citizens and citizen groups to raise tough challenges that needed to be answered and needed to be engaged with, however effectively and sometimes ineffectively that may have been done, because

it seems to me it is central to both at the time of any decision process of deciding on where to put stuff and then afterward in terms of monitoring to have mechanisms and ways in which people can raise questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

One of my main concerns has been how do we also help make sure that we can bring scientific information to bear because when, of course, the people managing a project or the federal government, as was the case for many years, claimed to be the sole control of that, that's an insufficient, in my judgment, way to operate, and we need to provide mechanisms at which science can be challenged, as well as political decisions to be challenged. So I do think and share the perspective that citizens have made a major contribution here and elsewhere to push authorities and engage them.

Indeed, my sense is, I don't know the history of what happened, is the monitoring system that I mentioned earlier

1 that I was so impressed with came about

2 precisely because citizens and then the state

3 insisted on that being a part of the

4 operation. I could be wrong about that.

5 Let me ask you a question, and I

6 think I understand most of the recommendations

7 that your organization was making. It was not

8 clear to me, and perhaps you just haven't

9 taken a position on this, as to whether you

10 simply uniformly oppose for the foreseeable

11 future any deep geologic disposal. And,

partly, I get at the conundrum that I'm sure

13 your organization faces, as we face and the

country faces, that we have made in trying to

15 preserve commitments made to the State of

16 | Washington and the State of South Carolina and

others about what to do with the high-level

waste out of the defense establishment, that

19 it would go underground. It was to have gone

20 to, as I understand it, Yucca Mountain. And,

21 at the moment, Yucca Mountain is off the

22 table. Courts and others may bring it back on

the table, but I didn't know if your organization had a position about Yucca

Mountain or about moving that -- and/or moving that around?

2.0

MS. GORDON: We did oppose Yucca
Mountain based on the lack of scientific
credibility for it. I think that Yucca
Mountain was really set up to address the
spent nuclear fuel from the commercial
reactors. And in addressing that waste, we
believe that hardened onsite storage at the
existing operating reactors is the best
solution at this point.

We don't have a repository. We do believe that it's an environmental risk and a terrorist risk, and it needs to be addressed quickly. And we believe that hardened onsite storage in dry casks is the way to move forward at this point.

We don't have a position on -yes, we support a repository here or there.
We just -- there isn't one to support, so we

don't really have a position on that.

2.0

The high-level waste from reprocessing, you know, we do support vitrification. We think that the material should be put in a solid form that is most protective of the environment, so it's not going to leak. And what to do with it once it's in that form, we don't necessarily have a position on that.

MEMBER SHARP: I mean, the consequence, not for you, but for the government at this moment is that as we witnessed at both of these facilities where we actually walked on top of after it had been vitrified and put underground in South Carolina and in the State of Washington, is to simply have it stay there as you're suggesting the spent fuel stay at the reactor site.

MS. GORDON: Right.

MEMBER SHARP: That's where we are. That does, my understanding is, violate the agreements that were made with these

states, that it would be -- try to move it out of there, but that's -- I'm not asking you to solve that problem.

MS. GORDON: Yes. I wish I was the State of South Carolina.

MEMBER SHARP: Although I would say that this illustrates the fact that we do need to find answers to some of these things within a reasonable period of time after we do the scientific work.

Let me turn to Mr. Weber for a moment. I was a little unclear as to whether you and various tribes now find you have a good relationship with WIPP and it works, except for that offensive left turn of the driver. My impression is that you have an agreement with the federal government on this and that that works, and this is ongoing. I'm trying to find out whether you people are in opposition to WIPP at this point, or what's the relationship?

MR. WEBER: We're not in

opposition to WIPP, as long as the materials are transferred out of Los Alamos to WIPP.

The biggest concern is the storage and disposal of any radioactive material so close to the Pueblo itself.

2.0

We do have good notification on the shipments. In fact, they've been quite honest with us to tell us when the shipments are going through tribal lands and will halt any shipments during various religious and cultural activities, such as feast days, dances that are held on the Pueblo, because that would be an insult. And, also, the potential for an accident to occur during that period of time.

We also have some funding from DOE to operate emergency response capabilities, so WIPP is a good alternative for disposal of the waste from Los Alamos.

MEMBER SHARP: I mean, because, again, looking at this as an example, if we should recommend either a long-term temporary

storage, and/or -- certainly are going to

recommend, I can't believe we won't, an

ultimate disposal path that we ought to get on

at some point in this country. This sounds to

me like we have evidence that there are

techniques we can develop of how to engage

tribes, how to engage local communities that

provide some model for us where you can work

out and not have everybody in opposition.

MR. WEBER: I believe one of the individuals on your next panel probably could address that beyond San Ildefonso because he's had the opportunity to work with numerous tribal communities throughout New Mexico.

MEMBER SHARP: Thank you very much.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Commissioner.

MEMBER AYERS: Yes. Thank you,

Senator.

You know, in the past couple of days since we've been in New Mexico, rarely is workforce health and safety mentioned, as we

hear of all the other critical processes and agencies that are involved in the process.

And I guess, initially, I want to make a statement. It should be a component of community acceptance, and maybe it has been, but I just haven't heard too much about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Quite frankly, a good job needs to be a safe job. Now there are state and federal occupational safety and health agencies involved with, for example, the WIPP project, but their roles at WIPP seem to have been somewhat minimal, at least, again, from what I've observed and what I've heard. observations are with foundation, then I think it's time, as we move forward, to correct this important oversight. But I will say that I'm very impressed with the safety and the performance record at WIPP. So I don't know if, Mr. Martin or Mr. Neill, either of you could comment on that.

MR. NEILL: Mr. Chairman, there are a number of other regulatory agencies

involved in WIPP. For example, the carbonsteel drum that the contact-handled

transuranic waste is stored in, that's a DOT

Type A drum, in which the lid must stay on for
a three-foot drop test. WIPP also conforms,
although, I guess, really Dr. Triay might even
comment on this, conforms to the requirements
of OSHA and of MSHA in terms of the
occupational radiation exposure. And, of
course, EPA has had to certify, not approve
but certify, that WIPP complies with the EPA
Standards 40 CFR 191.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Mr. Secretary, did you want to comment?

SEC. MARTIN: Well, my only comment is when we visited the site, we received quite a bit of assurance about worker safety. And, really, the best person to address that issue might be the site operator. I don't know if you'd want to hear from him at this time or not, but I know he's in the audience.

MEMBER DOMENICI: We thank you very much. Is that adequate, sir? All right, next. Vicky.

MEMBER BAILEY: All right. Thank you, and I want to thank the panel, as well.

I wanted to go back to Ms. Gordon and just kind of continue something you were talking about with Congressman Sharp, this issue of leaving it on site. One of my concerns has been some of the legacy sites and the decommissioned sites where these dry casks are. My concern is if I leave them in these communities, which is basically taking up land and property that could be used in other ways. I didn't know if your group had taken a position on that. I just wanted to be clear about that. That's all.

MS. GORDON: The example that I gave of the Fernald site, which was cleaned up, it's in Ohio, 90 percent of the waste that was generated at the Fernald site has been left there in three large disposal cells. And

the community made that decision, because,
well, first, they got an agreement that the
most hot waste would be shipped off site, and
that happened. But they recognized that the
land and the property there was already
contaminated, and they could not agree to ship
their waste to somebody else's backyard that
was not contaminated. And I think that that's
something that needs to be considered, as
we're looking at waste.

One of the community concerns around Yucca Mountain was, it was a pristine location. There was no radioactive anything there, so it really was confiscating land that didn't -- wasn't contaminated. So, keeping the material as safely as possible as close to site of generation as possible keeps it in communities that agree to have it there to start with, bear responsibility for containing it in the future, and limits the risk for other communities through transportation or other processes that might happen to that

1 material.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER BAILEY: That's an interesting characterization. I was actually thinking of the Maine Yankee area, and areas where they would like to have that property for other economic development, as well. I think you and I probably have a little different view on some of these sites. thought isn't that well, it's there, so you're stuck with it, and deal with it. I think we have a responsibility, I guess, to move these maybe to more centralized areas, interim areas, and I think we can look at diversified areas, not just in one state would have the risk or the benefit, but possibly there might be other locations and other locales who would welcome such a thing, and be a volunteer or host site, is where my thinking is going. But let me move to Mr. Neill. Can

you just give us some information, your views on the Environmental Evaluation Group? I think this is something that DOE had set up,

and you might have some views on this, whether or not the evolution of the institutional home for the EEG should be partnered maybe with an academic institution, maybe a private organization. What are your thoughts on that?

MR. NEILL: Yes. Of course, I believe passionately in the importance of an independent state technical review, and would urge that it be done, that the Commission make such a strong recommendation for any future repository, regardless of where it's located.

When I started EEG, and I met with the Deputy Secretary, Jack O'Leary, he said to me, "You know, I'm going to ask you for a favor, that if any of my people do not cooperate fully with you, I'm going to ask you for the favor to call me first before you call the press, because I'm committed to having this project move ahead successfully, and have this -- we're confident that an independent technical review will work out okay."

I have been appalled by some other

states, which shall go nameless, where reports that they issued by the state were approved by the DOE in another instance where reports that an independent so-called review group had to have their distribution list approved by a DOE official. Hard to believe, but this is what I've been informed. So, it is essential to be able to do this work independently in order to maintain credibility with the public. And I could go on all afternoon on that, but the material that I've presented, I think, substantiates that belief. Thank you.

MEMBER SHARP: Can I just --

MEMBER BAILEY: Go ahead.

MEMBER SHARP: In your -- you started out by saying that there should be a state independent. I didn't know whether you meant that is something that's approved by the state government, or are you saying -- I guess you would have said it's independent of both the federal government and the state

I'm not sure what you

government, or not.

1 | were telling --

MR. NEILL: Yes, let me make that clear. The review should be a technical review, and if you have confidence enough in the technical people, they should publish those reports.

At one point, when a member of the legislature in New Mexico was unhappy with some of our reports, he wanted to introduce a resolution that our reports would have to be approved by the President of New Mexico Tech. The President of Tech said, "Look, if you do this, I'm not going to keep that contract. Forget it." And it was another step in insuring the independence of it.

Now, in all candor, it may well be in the future that a governor, or a state government may not want a totally independent group, and that's part of the political process. I know at times there were different people didn't like what we published. This is on the pro side, or on the anti side, but as

you well know as members of the Commission,
whatever you come up with is not going to be
unanimously endorsed by all sectors of the
environment. And that goes with the
territory, and that's what they pay us for.
And that's why I really want to commend each
of you for tackling this difficult task of
helping to ensure that America can meet the
energy needs in the future, not so much longterm either. Nuclear energy, clearly, is
going to have to be part of that requirement
to meet that demand.

MEMBER BAILEY: Thank you.

MEMBER PETERSON: Could I follow on just quickly with a follow-up, and then I have my own questions, which is on the civil radioactive waste side, we have a Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board that was established under the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. Could you comment on your thoughts about how it's structured, and the role it plays? And then, given that there's not a

corresponding national independent oversight scientific review on the defense side, and,

instead it's been delegated to the states,

4 would we want both state and WOTRB or NWTRB

5 members appointed by state governors, or how

6 might one do that on the civil waste side?

7 MR. NEILL: I'm not quite sure,

8 Dr. Peterson, that the answer I'd give today

9 | would be the same next year. The bottom line

10 is this. To date, we have been unsuccessful

in our ability to dispose of high-level waste.

12 It's been a failure. And there have been a

13 | number of things that we've tried to do

14 unsuccessfully. Certainly, the appointment of

a Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, I

think, is an excellent effort, and we keep

17 trying different things.

18

19

20

21

22

Certainly, Congress set up, for example, the system where they assigned roles to the three federal agencies, EPA to set the standards, NRC to implement the standards, and DOE to demonstrate to the NRC that they can

comply with them. And now we have seemingly abandoned this process in the middle of it, after expending close to \$13 billion. So, there, obviously, are -- we need to do something better, and that's why I commend this Commission in their efforts to try to identify different approaches and alternatives.

I don't think there's any one simple answer. And I think that the success of this program is going to be contingent upon a number of different groups, including industry, the Congress, the state, the local communities, and others as well. But I think that we certainly can do it, and make decisions, and make them stick, and not abandon them in mid-course.

MEMBER PETERSON: Thank you.

MS. GORDON: I wanted to say that for the nuclear weapons programs, there is an independent scientific committee which is called JASON. I no longer remember what JASON

stood for, but JASON is out there. And there have been a number of technical questions that have been put to them around the nuclear weapons program, and I think that they are very credible in terms of their independence, and the way they come up with answers.

MEMBER PETERSON: Susan, could you follow also, because there's also the Defense Nuclear Facilities --

MS. GORDON: Safety Board.

MEMBER PETERSON: -- Safety Board.

What's your thought on them?

2.0

MS. GORDON: We think that they've done a pretty good job. I think that they have raised a number of technical concerns that -- they've made those recommendations, for instance, to Los Alamos National Lab.

Some of them have been addressed, and sometimes the Lab says, well, we don't really have to do that. So, I think that there is an implementation aspect in thinking about an independent, scientific, credible committee

I understand.

that makes recommendations, but what happens with those recommendations if they're not followed?

MEMBER PETERSON:

So, the next question I have relates to, again, some things that have been done within WIPP Program that differ from what we've done on the civil side. And I think learning from best practice is very important. It also struck Commissioner Sharp, the fact that you have this extensive monitoring program, and all nuclear facilities that operate in the United States are required to monitor air, water, and soil, and to report the data publicly.

The thing I found very intriguing is that with WIPP, one also provides the ability to monitor people, where you can -- to very, very, very accurate levels, extraordinarily small concentrations of radioactive material, determine if you want, if you're a member of the public what is --

how radioactive are you? What is the inventory of radioactive material from all different sources in your body? Have you been exposed? Are you carrying a burden from, say, the operation of the facility? That seems to be a unique and valuable thing to be doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The other one, which is actually related to it, is the requirement for a periodic recertification or re-permitting of the facility every five years by the EPA, every ten years by the state. And this is also something I don't believe is mirrored on the civil radioactive waste management side. But I guess my question for the panel is, in particular, the recertification, how helpful is that in terms of, perhaps, increasing confidence that the facility will be operated safely, and will continue -- is likely to meet the performance standards that it's supposed to over the longer term, because it's something that one might want to actually adopt, also, for the civil side.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

MR. NETLI: I think it's essential that we provide monitoring networks for this. In 1958, I started a publication called "Radiological Health Data," which is a compilation of environmental measurements by all the various federal agencies. This is when I was in the Public Health Service. was a time when there was enormous apprehension about radioactive fallout, the effects of strontium-90 in milk, radioiodine-131 in milk in Salt Lake and St. Louis and various communities, and I think the publication each month of a conscientious compilation of all the measurements of the

Prior to that time, you had concepts like a picocurie of strontium-90 per gram of calcium was called a "sunshine unit."

All of that went out the window in this effort to be open and candid with the public. And I think that is also really essential. What is

various agencies went a long way in assuring

the public that we're not hiding stuff.

going on in Carlsbad on the whole body
measurements is an excellent effort to
reassure people of what their potential or
their actual exposures are.

MS. GORDON: I think that it's been beneficial to have the permit review process, to have community groups and advocates involved in that process. So, I do think that that would be a good way to recommend moving forward when you're looking at commercial waste disposal.

SEC. MARTIN: The state would also agree with that. It gives an opportunity for all concerned parties to be part of the process, adds credibility, and it should be considered, I believe.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Mr. Chairman,

I'm just going to comment on that last

question, if I might, for the Commission. It

seems to me, a question has been raised as to

whether we should recommend a continuation of

the certification process that we have, and I

would just suggest that before we answer that, that we get from the Department more information. How long does it take for that certification? How much do we spend? What has it accomplished in terms of tangible results? It could be that you need them, but maybe every 10 years, instead of every five.

Just because we started it at five, and are doing it, doesn't mean that it's a good thing.

I can tell you, I know it costs a lot of money, and I know it takes a lot of people from their other jobs to do it here in New Mexico. And I think we should just look at that, maybe five is right, maybe it isn't. I think raising it is correct, because we don't know enough about it.

MEMBER SHARP: Mr. Chairman, I certainly agree we want to know more. I think what has not been mentioned is one of its key functions, is not simply the capacity of the state, or citizens' groups, or others to ask questions and what not, but it is the fact

that I think it is one of the devices for keeping the people running the operation accountable. In other words, if they know they're at risk of being disciplined, most of us behave a little better when we are in that position.

MEMBER DOMENICI: You bet.

MEMBER SHARP: Not as successful with my own children, but that's -- but the point is, I must admit that my impression is there are a lot of children among us adults.

MEMBER PETERSON: I have one additional question, and I want to direct it, again, Susan, to you.

In many dimensions when we're looking at nuclear systems, the risks and hazards, there are difficult policy questions where you really are facing a conundrum. I think that, for example, Vicky, Commissioner Bailey hit on one of these, which is the question of what is the right thing to do with the spent fuel that's currently stored at the

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

shutdown reactor sites? What's appropriate?

2 Also, recognizing that it's fairly clear if you look at the legal dimensions that 3 the most rapid way you could come into 4 5 compliance with the contracts with utilities, and reduce the very, very large drain on 6 7 taxpayer revenues would be to begin moving 8 spent fuel from decommissioned reactor sites 9 to some centralized storage, so there's a balance of many different considerations. 10 It's not -- it's not nearly as easy a decision 11 12 as what's the logical thing to do technically and physically with fuel that's at operating 13 14 reactor sites, for example.

There's another one I just wanted to explore with you, which relates to statutory capacity limits on facilities. And, in particular, the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant has also a capacity limit. I believe that it is possible that it might be exceeded if one were to actually go through the process of disposing of all the transuranic contaminated

waste that could be generated in the complex in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, there's two things that could -- two activities that could continue to generate waste that could take you past that limit. One is the decommissioning of nuclear weapons. And then, of course, the other is production or maintenance of nuclear weapons so they can continue to be used. And what I'd like to know is, what would be your -- what you think your position would be with regard to the question of continued operation of a facility like WIPP? And I would expect that it's probably tied to the source of generation, or would you say we should just stop WIPP and do something else, leave those wastes in some place instead of disposing them?

MS. GORDON: My understanding is that WIPP was designated for TRU waste only, and that's what I think, you know, it should complete its life cycle, and that's what it

should be used for. So, the idea of expanding WIPP's capacity to accept spent nuclear fuel from commercial facilities is not acceptable to us.

2.0

MEMBER PETERSON: But I'm trying to push you on this other question of the fact that the decommissioning of nuclear weapons will continue to produce transuranic-contaminated waste. So, it is possible that you do need -- you would want to, there would be reasons to expand the mission from the perspective of quantities of transuranic waste greater than what the statutory limit permits. Right?

So, again, this is sort of a difficult question. I'm curious how your group would tackle that.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Before you answer that, could I just clarify? You're saying, you're not changing the quality of the waste. You're just saying more transuranic than is currently limited. That's the

1 question. Right?

MS. GORDON: I don't have an upand-down, yes-or-no answer to it. I'm thinking
about the decommissioning of the warheads, and
it's not clear to me immediately where the
transuranic waste would be coming from in that
process. Is there transuranic waste that is
coming from the Pantex facility that is going
to WIPP right now as a result of
decommissioning of warheads?

in the processes associated with converting the pits into a form that's suitable for permanent -- some type of disposition of the plutonium, those processes. They may not be occurring at Pantex. I think that the pit-conversion processes most likely would be occurring at Savannah River, so that would be the principal source of origin for transuranic waste generated by the continuing decommissioning of nuclear weapons.

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

MS. GORDON: I don't have an

1 answer.

2.0

MEMBER DOMENICI: I think we're getting close. Were there any other questions by Commissioners? Our Chairman is back. Did you have any? I'm going to turn it back over to you, anyway, now at this point.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: If there are no more questions, I want to thank the panel for a fascinating and very educational experience.

Thank you very much.

We will be recessed for 15 minutes, and reconvene at 11:00.

(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the record at 10:48 a.m., and went back on the record at 11:00 a.m.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: All right. If everyone would be please be seated so we can get started. Okay. Thank you very much.

Our next panel is on transportation and the National TRU Program.

We're pleased to have with us Mr. Alex

Schroeder of the Western Governors

1 Association, Mr. Bill Mackie from the

Department of Energy at Carlsbad, and Mr. J.R.

3 Stroble, the Carlsbad Office of the National

4 TRU Program. Mr. Schroeder, you may begin.

MR. SCHROEDER: Thank you,

6 Chairman. On behalf of the Governors, I'd

7 like to thank the Chairman and the esteemed

8 members of this Commission for the opportunity

9 to discuss the Western Governors' experience

10 with the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

11 Transportation Safety Program. I am the

12 Program Director with the Western Governors

13 | Association for Radioactive Waste

14 Transportation. The Western Governors

15 Association represents the governors of 19

western states and three U.S. Pacific flag

17 islands.

5

Western Governors has been working together for over 100 years to promote values

of the region. They're united by issues that

21 transcend partisan lines. Their history of

22 regional achievement is marked by commitment

to finding common ground, which often involves working with our federal partners.

2.1

With that shared understanding,
the Western Governors have developed
bipartisan policies on a number of topics that
this Commission will be considering.
Specifically to WIPP, the Governors have a
Memorandum of Agreement with the Secretary of
Energy, and we also have a longstanding
agreement with our colleagues on the podium at
the Department of Energy Carlsbad Field
Office.

So, today I'd like to provide the Commission with a brief overview of the western regional effort to develop the WIPP Transportation Safety Program, some successes and lessons learned, and also how this experience relates back to your task of making recommendations towards the management of nuclear spent fuel and high-level waste.

So, the Western Governors have long recognized that transportation corridor

states have the responsibility for ensuring
the safety of their residents and for
responding to any incident which might occur
during the transportation of nuclear waste.

As far back as 1986, the western states, through the Western Interstate Energy Board, began discussions with the Department of Energy to plan for shipments of transuranic waste. In 1988 and 1981, WGA, through cooperative agreements with the U.S.

Department of Transportation and the U.S.

Department of Energy, submitted reports to Congress outlining state expectations for the elements of a safe transportation program.

The report called attention to unique attributes in the western states, such as long distances between population centers, and mountainous areas where conditions can quickly deteriorate in both the summer and winter seasons. The report noted the public's general lack of trust in government to safely transport radioactive waste and called for all

levels of government to actively work to prevent accidents, but also to be ready to respond even with the slightest mishap.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

With these things in mind, the report identified accident prevention, emergency preparedness and public involvement information, medical preparedness and routing as critical components for WIPP in inter-site shipments of transuranic waste. Perhaps most significantly, the 1991 report to Congress noted that "a highly volatile polarized debate between the states and the Department of Energy had transferred into a working partnership." And that goes back to the Assistant Secretary's remarks earlier. really been a great story of success between the states and the federal government working together, and just the transformation that occurred between 1988 and 1991 was very substantial.

So, working from these reports to Congress as the foundation, the western states

implement and formalize the protocols in what would become the WIPP Transportation Safety

Program Implementation Guide. The WIPP guide is still used today, and is the basis for DOE's transportation plan for WIPP shipments, for its carrier management plans, for state implementation programs, and similar programs in the southern and Midwestern states.

The procedures in the WIPP guide are tested nearly every day, and the results can be seen in over more than 9,000 shipments that have been successfully completed. There have been a few minor accidents involving these shipments, but no accident has resulted in a release of radioactive materials. That's not to say the more serious accidents can't or won't happen, but the safety record speaks for itself. So, I'm providing this background information because it really outlines the process the Western Governors advocate for when working with their federal partners in

developing safe transportation programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As this Commission has likely heard before, public trust of government officials typically increases with proximity. And building from the WIPP experience, one of the keys of success to the Transportation Safety Program was that the federal government sought the input of states both early and often. The states, in turn, engaged their local officials. It's noteworthy that substantive discussions happened between the states and the Department of Energy 13 years before the first shipment arrived at WIPP, and DOE should be commended for its early commitment to reaching out to the states and tribes as the WIPP Transportation Safety Program was being developed.

This point directly ties into my second observation, which is: the regional planning process that WGA employed works. The collaborative problem-solving that is encouraged by a regional approach produces a

diversity of ideas and also fosters broad
support towards streamlined expectations and
procedures. In doing so, the regional process
builds a valuable network of communications
and trust that can only be gained through
personal interaction.

We had a recent WGA meeting with our Transportation Technical Advisory Group in San Francisco in October, and we had a Lessons Learned panel regarding a new WIPP shipment route that had opened through California and Nevada. One of the key conclusions of that meeting was that coordination and preparation for these shipments were greatly enhanced by the close working relationships that have developed among state representatives since the late `80s through the WGA WIPP Technical Advisory Group.

The third element that I'd stress to the success of the WIPP Transportation

Program is continued vigilance and support.

The program currently in place between the

Western Governors and Secretary of Energy signals support for the program at the highest level of government.

2.0

So, what does this mean to your task? The success of WIPP Transportation

Program provides a number of parallels that may be applied to a campaign for transporting our country's spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste. The Western Governors firmly believe that the WIPP experience should be the template used when planning efforts for spent fuel and high-level waste are contemplated.

The contrast that results by straying from this approach is evident in the difficulty that the DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management had in gaining public trust and acceptance of its transportation efforts.

The WIPP Transportation Safety

Program was designed with the explicit

interest of being extra-regulatory. As the MOA

between the Western Governors and Secretary

Chu states, "Many of the procedures are above the minimum federal regulatory requirements, but were employed to achieve a high level of safety and shipment success."

The correlation between these extra-regulatory measures and success leads the governors to their policy position that the WIPP Transportation Guide should serve as the base document for future efforts in developing high-visibility shipment campaigns. At the same time, I'd be remiss to not point out key differences between the WIPP protocols and what this Commission will be considering.

For example, the involvement of commercial interests introduces a layer of complexity that was not addressed in WIPP, because shipments occurred between DOE sites. The prospects of shipments by rail also introduces a number of issues that potentially limit the implementation of several of the WIPP protocols as they currently exist.

During the period in which

shipments to WIPP were considered -- rail
shipments to WIPP were considered, the states
encountered strong resistance from at least
some rail carriers for working cooperatively
with the states in developing specific
procedures and protocols. This indicated the
need for the federal government to build
specific conditions within its rail contracts
to ensure that state concerns are addressed.

2.1

Finally, WIPP funding from DOE to the states has created a national network of transportation experts and trained responders that will facilitate future planning and implementation efforts. Last May, the Department of Energy began hosting a National Transportation Stakeholders Forum to provide an opportunity for states and affected tribes to meet with federal officials responsible for various aspects of radio or hazardous materials transportation.

So, in conclusion, I would offer that the success of the WIPP Program, as we've

all heard over the last two days, is really a tribute to officials from all levels of government working together both early and often. WGA would recommend that the transportation safety issues be integral to this Commission's recommendations in any decision for the temporary or permanent dispositioning of radioactive materials and not an afterthought.

2.0

The impact of the transformation of the state-federal relationship that was described in the 1991 report to Congress can, and should not be understated. And as the Western Governors expressed in their May 24th letter to Secretary Chu, it will be critical for this Commission to adequately define the role of the states in any Commission recommendations, so as not to undermine their effectiveness and public's acceptability.

So, with that, I'd like to thank you for the opportunity. And I assume we'll take questions after the presenters?

1	СНАТБ	R SCOWCROFT:	Yes

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Thank you.

3 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

much, Mr. Schroeder.

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

(Applause.)

6 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Mr. Mackie.

MR. MACKIE: General Scowcroft,

Senator Domenici, members of the Blue Ribbon

Commission, my name is Bill Mackie. I am the

Institutional Affairs Manager for the Carlsbad

11 Field Office in Carlsbad, New Mexico.

Throughout the last few days, you've heard the WIPP guide, or the program implementation guide referred to a number of times as one of the major set of regulations that we follow when we transport transuranic waste. I would like to spend some time with you this morning talking about the Institutional Affairs Program and how it interacts with these different stakeholder groups in the transportation of waste.

The Institutional Affairs Program

was established to facilitate communications
between the Department of Energy Carlsbad
Field Office and stakeholders, so that support
could be gained during the development of the
Transportation Program for the shipment of
transuranic waste to and from the Waste
Isolation Pilot Plant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Recognizing that corridor states had the responsibility for ensuring the safety of their residents and for responding to any incident which might occur, stakeholders have unanimously adopted several policy resolutions to address the safety of the WIPP shipments. Among these are policy resolutions on routing, training, emergency management response, the safe and uneventful transportation of TRU waste through accident prevention and public information. These policy resolutions have been accumulated into a guide, which Mr. Schroeder referred to, which is used by both Carlsbad Field Office and corridor states throughout the country when transporting

radioactive waste from generator sites to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant.

One of the most important aspects of the Institutional Affairs Program was the negotiation with corridor states to develop acceptable routes. When the program was being developed, the Carlsbad Field Office agreed that all transuranic waste shipments would be treated as highway route controlled quantity shipments, and would meet the requirements set forth in the guidelines for selecting preferred highway routes, for highway route controlled shipments of radioactive material.

These requirements include the state selecting routes to minimize radiological risks, and selections must be preceded by substantive consultation of all impacts and continuities of designated routes. Additionally, the state routing agency may designate preferred routes as an alternative to, or in addition to, one or more Interstate-System highways. Once the state routing

agencies designate a route for the transportation of highway route controlled quantity shipments of radioactive material, it becomes effective only when the state gives written notice to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, and receipt thereof is acknowledged in writing by the administration.

It should be noted that only
loaded shipments are required to utilize
designated routes. Empty shipments are
treated as any other commercial vehicle on the
highway, and are authorized to travel on any
acceptable route, as long as the Carlsbad
Field Office has been consulted and has
provided approval of the use of that route.

A second important aspect of the program is the provision of technical assistance and funds to train public safety officials and other emergency responders in any state or Indian tribe through whose jurisdiction TRU waste is transported to or from the WIPP. WIPP, through its management

and operations contractor, provides the

following training to these public safety

officials and emergency responders: Modular

Emergency Response Radiological Transportation

Training. We refer to that as MERRTT

training, and MERRTT training is the only

course that has been approved by OSHA to be

presented. That is a requirement from the

Land Withdrawal Act. Incident Command Systems

training, medical examiner or coroner

procedures training, and a Hospital Emergency

Room Management of Radiation and Other

Hazardous Material Accidents course.

Since the beginning of the program, WIPP has trained a total of 29,769 public officials and emergency responders. As part of this training, emergency management personnel -- ensure that they have done advanced planning, and exercising of emergency response plans to ensure all key response actions and responsibilities are covered.

shipping corridors takes its own individual approach to Transportation Emergency Response Planning, all plans are tested periodically during full-scale exercises hosted by the Department of Energy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Undoubtedly, the success of the safe transportation of this program is attributed to the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance, North American Standard Inspection Program, whose goal is to provide an enhanced inspection program for highway route controlled quantity radiologic material shipments. The foundations for these inspections are rigid inspection standards and And in order to pass these safequards. inspections, the tractor trailer must be defect-free of Level VI inspection items at the point of origin and must undergo Level VI inspections en route, if required by local Commercial Vehicle Safety Enforcement personnel in the various corridor states.

Lastly, the program would not be

successful without a public information

program. The Carlsbad Field Office provides

informational briefings and road shows to

state, local, and tribal elected officials and

stakeholders on the transportation of

transuranic waste. They maintain liaison with

all officials through whose jurisdiction TRU

waste is transported, and are available to

answer questions whenever they arise.

2.0

Prior to concluding, I would like to address the tribal program. I am also the Tribal Liaison Officer, and I liaison with about nine different tribes throughout the country. San Ildefonso is one of them. I am in constant contact with these tribal people through their Emergency Management Department. I am available to answer their questions. I am available to provide them training, if they need it or request it, and I am available to make visits to those different tribal entities at their request. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

much, Mr. Mackie. Mr. Stroble.

Carlsbad Field Office.

2.0

MR. STROBLE: Thank you,

Commissioners, for inviting me to speak today.

My name is J.R. Stroble. I'm the Director of
the National TRU Program, part of the DOE

When WIPP opened in 1999, the U.S. Department of Energy determined that some of its sites in the nuclear weapons complex were not prepared to meet the WIPP requirements to ship their transuranic waste. TRU waste is radioactive waste that is contaminated with TRU elements from defense-related activities generated and stored at many DOE sites.

It was clear to the DOE that a national priority and a focus on packaging and characterizing TRU waste for WIPP disposal was necessary. To resolve this issue, the DOE

Office of Environmental Management established the National TRU Program, or NTP, and assigned the management of the program to CBFO. CBFO had already established a national

transportation system for TRU waste, so it was
combined with the oversight of a packaging and

characterization program to establish the NTP.

The purpose of NTP was to integrate DOE TRU waste sites nationwide, to align budget, equipment, and personnel resources with a focus on full utilization of WIPP, which was the only approved permanent disposal system for TRU waste.

Communication is the key to the success of the program. TRU waste sites, DOE offices and their contractors, along with DOE Headquarters, WIPP regulators and stakeholders communicate regularly on resolving issues to proceed with the overall plans of the project. For example, CBFO brings the DOE and contractor management from the TRU waste sites together twice a year in the form of a TRU Corporate Board to prioritize resources, to establish goals, and to resolve issues, and NTP communicates at least weekly with TRU waste sites to track the status of completing

those goals and to focus on the resolution of issues at each site.

One of the main approaches that

NTP established to resolve issues was a

national system of centralized, standardized,

and efficient characterization and loading

systems. The system has been successfully

established and implemented at TRU waste sites

that ship TRU waste to WIPP.

WIPP opened with the shipment and disposal of contact-handled, or CH, TRU waste, and added remote-handled, or RH, TRU waste in 2007. After proving that WIPP could operate safely with CH TRU waste for seven years, DOE received approval from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, and the New Mexico Environment Department, or NMED, to dispose of RH TRU waste.

To minimize radiation exposure to workers and the public, RH TRU waste requires special shielding and machinery. The packaging characterization, loading, and

transport systems for RH TRU waste, as well as CH TRU waste, have all been designed and effectively implemented to provide safe and clean transfers to WIPP.

2.0

CBFO audits TRU waste sites,
utilizing nuclear quality assurance standards,
and certifies each characterization and
transportation program at those sites to
ensure that waste is compliant with WIPP
requirements before the waste is shipped. The
NMED oversees those audits and the EPA Office
of Radiation inspects the same programs
independently. CBFO only certifies those
systems and those waste streams approved by
the NMED and the EPA.

The transportation system for WIPP was recognized by the United States Transport Council in 2009 for being a national leader in the safe transport of nuclear waste. Each shipping weight of the year, TRU waste sites and the WIPP Transportation Systems package and transport an average of over 20 TRU waste

shipments to WIPP. Some of these numbers you've heard several times in the last two days, but they're significant.

2.0

WIPP TRU waste carriers have successfully logged over 11 million miles loaded with TRU waste on U.S. highways without any significant events. WIPP has safely operated for 11 years now with no significant accidents, and with shipments loaded with TRU waste, no spills, no leaks, and no issues with security that would pose a threat to the public or to workers.

The waste containers and trailers that DOE uses for highway transport of TRU waste are certified by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The containers and the trailers must pass stringent tests, and be maintained with a high quality under those certifications.

The truck drivers are some of the best-qualified drivers in the country, and have to meet stringent standards to transport

TRU waste to WIPP. WIPP trucks are equipped with satellite tracking systems using the TRANSCOM system, which is managed by the NTP for the federal government.

2.0

NTP schedules WIPP shipments and communicates those schedules to state organizations along the shipping route so they can be prepared with emergency response systems and so they can inspect the shipments along the way. NTP monitors the weather along those routes closely and carefully, and controls the departure of those shipments when weather is questionable anywhere along the planned routes.

The results of the focused efforts of the NTP speak for themselves. TRU waste from 17 DOE sites has been cleaned up. WIPP is the world's only operating geologic repository for TRU waste. Over 1 million curies of TRU-contaminated waste has been disposed and permanently isolated from its accessible environment. NTP uses a holistic approach to

manage the TRU waste complex in a businesslike manner. And, in conclusion, WIPP is a national asset. Thank you.

(Applause.)

2.0

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much for those presentations. We appreciate it.

I have an initial question. Your safety record is certainly enviable: no significant incidents. Would you define a little more what a significant incident is, in terms of what is the most serious accident that's happened, and how does your safety record compare with that of other truck transportation organizations?

MR. MACKIE: General Scowcroft, we have -- to define significant incidents, a significant incident, to us, is any radiation release, fatality, or things of that nature. We have been involved in accidents over the past 11 years. We have not been cited for any of those accidents. They have not been our

fault. We have had people run into the back of us, run into the side of us when we're on the Interstate Highway System, and it's inattentiveness on their part.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you.

Another question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I have two MEMBER PETERSON: questions that I'd like to pose. The first relates to one of the unique aspects of transportation, which is that, in general, the communities that are affected by transportation don't enjoy the same types of benefits that communities that have fixed facilities typically do. There's no tax revenues. There's typically not much in the way of jobs. And this is one of the reasons that transportation, I think, is commonly viewed differently from the fixed facilities, because there are some external costs with no corresponding benefits. But there is one important way in which there -- you can see there is a benefit. And I'd like to explore

that a little bit, and get some comments on it.

One of the things I was interested to learn is that, for example, at the WIPP facility, which is a fixed facility, one of the benefits that comes, comes from the investments in emergency response. And, in fact, the fire brigade at WIPP is very commonly the first responder to highway accidents, and they've saved lives. And this is, actually, an interesting positive element of the fact that from nuclear facilities you have this capacity to provide emergency response, and it can be used for non-nuclear-related things, as well.

Now, what's been described here is rather extensive investments in upgrading the emergency response capability along transportation corridors. And, actually, we know that shipments of radioactive materials are completely dwarfed by the level of shipments that we have for hazardous

chemicals. And, furthermore, safety
standards, perhaps, are much lower for
hazardous chemicals. You have higher risks
associated with accidents, leaks, explosions,
and such. So, I think one of the interesting
dimensions here is that these emergency
response capabilities, correct me if I'm
wrong, actually can be applied equally to nonnuclear-related emergencies, and there may be
some benefits, then, that come just from these
investments.

I'd like to see if having, at this point, a pristine safety record, but having made these investments, has there been a corresponding set of benefits to what we've observed with the WIPP fire brigade; that is, have these investments resulted in the capability to respond better to non-nuclear-related accidents, and those sorts of things?

MR. MACKIE: Mr. Peterson, as I said in my presentation, we provide training

to first responders mainly along the corridor

routes, and we do this prior to any movement of transuranic material. The training that we provide is not just for radioactive material. It is training the first responders, to ambulance people, and people of that -- police people -- of that nature so that they are capable and know what to do when responding to an accident. We do put quite a bit of emphasis on radioactive material, because that's our job. But we try to provide them with incident command training, so that if they have an accident, regardless of the cause, whether it be a hazardous material accident, a gasoline truck, or anything of that nature, they would have the ability to set up an incident command system, and have an Incident Commander at the scene to run and to respond to this accident.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

If it were a WIPP accident, the Incident Commander would not be a DOE employee. The Incident Commander is a state official in that local area. We would come

in, or we would communicate with them to provide them with whatever advice or help we can give them, but we do not take over that incident. That is their responsibility.

MR. SCHROEDER: And I would just add from a state perspective, I mean, it certainly increases a state's robustness in emergency response. And you look at the shipping routes, these are major shipping routes for all kinds of goods. And where the training is concentrated are along some of these major interstate systems.

MEMBER PETERSON: And then my second question is actually directed to you, Alex. Last night, we actually had a chance to speak some, and one of the things that we discussed is the fact that the WIPP experience is dominantly with truck transportation of waste. And for the transport of civil nuclear fuel, the size of the casks and other things makes it more desirable, perhaps, to use rail transport more extensively.

Now, we do have significant
experience also with -- I think within the
Western Governors Association with rail
transport of naval spent fuel, which occurs
routinely. But, as you pointed out, there's
differences in state authority between truck
transport and rail transport. And, at least,
it's my personal belief that substantial state
authority and oversight is a critical element
of making a transportation system work.

So, I guess my question is, do we need to do something to assure that if you have rail transport of spent fuel, that there is sufficient and adequate state authority and county authority in terms of oversight of that transportation? Is it different from truck transportation?

MR. SCHROEDER: I think the answer would be yes, and I tried to directly address that in my remarks. There are certainly nuances that need to be addressed, but the state role is fundamentally different when

you're working with railroads and you're 1 2 working with highway shipments, where the states have a clear line of jurisdiction. 3 With regards to routing, high-quality drivers, 4 5 some of the things that we really stress in the WIPP Transportation Program, it's a whole 6 7 new ballgame when dealing with rail carriers. 8 And this did come to a point, I think it was 9 about 2003, when WIPP was looking at using rail shipments to get TRU waste down to WIPP. 10 And it really was a bit of a struggle kind of 11 12 working with the railroads and getting them to acknowledge the state's role, and their desire 13 14 to see some of these fundamentals of the 15 safety program be implemented in rail. And so I think certainly addressing that up front 16 17 would help smooth the future process. 18 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Mark? 19 Thank you, General. MEMBER AYERS: 20 You had mentioned that, and I'm 21 sorry, I can't read the names from here.

But you had mentioned that

22

eyes are bad.

Level VI inspections could be done state by state. Does that typically happen?

MR. MACKIE: Yes, sir, it does.

Every state has their own commercial vehicle

program. Every state in the United States has

accepted the Commercial Vehicle Safety

Alliance Level VI Program. Actually, there

are seven levels. Level VI is the radioactive

program, and that's the one that we watch.

Every one of our shipments must be defect-free when they leave a generator site, so they undergo a Level VI inspection at the generator site before they leave. However, any state has the authority to pull our trucks over, or any state that -- any port of entry that we go through in the state has the authority to give us a Level VI inspection.

MEMBER AYERS: Is that common practice for them to do that, or do they, typically, waive that right?

MR. MACKIE: No, there are two -- there are a number of states right now that by

statute or Executive Order from the governor require Level VI inspections, and we do stop for those states. New Mexico is one of them.

Another one is the State of Colorado. And we have been stopped along the route in Wyoming and other states in the west, and had inspections done along the route.

2.0

MEMBER AYERS: Another question.

I assume that you do extensive background and security checks on all the drivers, escorts?

MR. MACKIE: Let me speak about escorts first. We do not require escorts for our shipments. That is a state decision. If a state wants to escort our shipment, we just follow their guidelines, but we do not require it.

As far as our drivers go, we have two contract companies that have a five-year contract to provide us transportation services. Prior to an individual driving for us, they must meet certain criteria, such as have driven 350,000 miles over a five-year

period without an accident or a citation, no
DUIs or DWIs at all, must undergo a rigorous
training program before they can get behind
the wheel and pull our waste, and they cannot
have a felony. So, they're well trained prior
to even starting to drive for us.

MEMBER AYERS: Okay. And then one five question. Since there's no escort, is there a shotgun rider with the driver?

MR. MACKIE: Each driver -- each of our trucks are team driven. We have a driver, and we have a second driver who is in the bunk. We must maintain and abide by all Department of Transportation regulations, and right now they say on hours of service that a driver can only drive for 11 hours, and then he must spend nine -- the next nine hours in the bunk, and he has two hours of discretionary time that he can either spend in the bunk, or he can spend reading, or doing whatever he wants to do. So, we have two drivers on every loaded shipment, yes, sir.

1 MEMBER AYER

MEMBER AYERS: And then the

2 material is constantly moving. There is no

3 rest stops. Once it leaves the generator, the

4 wheels are rolling, unless there's an

5 inspection. Is that correct?

6 MR. MACKIE: For the most part,

7 yes, sir. Our requirements require that 50

8 miles after our shipment leaves the generator

9 site, that the driver pull over, get out and

do a walk-around inspection, checking tires,

11 checking tie-downs on our containers, things

of that nature, and then they must do the same

thing every 150 miles, or three hours

14 thereafter until they arrive at the WIPP.

We do allow them to stop for

16 comfort, for meals, and for fuel, and that's

the only time they can stop, and it can be for

no more than 30 minutes. We track that on our

19 TRANSCOM system that Mr. Stroble talked about,

20 so we know where they are, and they must

21 report to us that they have stopped, and when

22 they're ready to go again.

1 MEMBER AYERS: Okay. I keep 2 saying my last question, but you lead into another one. So, if they stop for meals, they 3 both don't go in and --4 5 MR. MACKIE: No, sir. MEMBER AYERS: Okay. One always 6 7 with the truck. 8 MR. MACKIE: One driver must be 9 with the loaded truck every minute that truck is on the road until it gets to WIPP. 10 11 MEMBER AYERS: Thank you very 12 much. 13 MR. MACKIE: Yes, sir. 14 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Senator? MR. SCHROEDER: And if I could 15 16 just add to that, there are other instances in 17 the case of adverse weather where we do have 18 safe parking procedures where a driver will 19 stop and pull over to stay at designated 2.0 facilities. 21 MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you, 22 General. I was just going to ask, probably it

isn't in your jurisdiction, you might know.

You told us how safe the travel is. How safe are the TRUPacts? Have they gone through tests that would indicate it's very difficult to break one? How stable and robust are they, so the public knows that you have something that won't break very easy. Can you describe that in layman's language, the strength and stability, and robustness of what you are carrying?

MR. MACKIE: Yes, sir. We have more than just TRUPacts that we carry waste in. We have TRUPact-IIs, we have HALFPacts, and we have a new TRUPact-III, which we will be introducing this year to our fleet that carry contact-handled waste. We also have what we call and RH-72b cask, which carries remote-handled waste, plus we have a 10-160b cask, which we have one, that could be used for handling waste.

All of these casks that I mentioned to you have been NRC-certified.

They have been certified by the Nuclear
Regulatory Commission. They have undergone
rigid testing, rigorous testing to include a
drop from 30 meters onto an unyielding
surface, and I think Secretary Triay talked
about this this morning, they also are dropped
from 3 meters onto a spike to try to penetrate
them. They undergo a burn test at 1,475
degrees for 30 minutes, and with a minimal
increase in temperature inside. And then,
lastly, and by computer simulation only, they
undergo a test where they are submerged in
water for a period of time to check for leaks.

Our casks, and specifically the TRUPACT-II, since that's the one that carries most of our contact-handled waste, is a double containment package. Only the TRUPACT-II and the HALFPact are double containment. Okay? So, they are under a vacuum, kind of like a pressure cooker.

My mother used to have a pressure cooker that she'd seal down and it would kind

of go under a vacuum. Our casks do the same thing, and they are sealed down, and they remained sealed until they get to the WIPP site from the generator site. And then the vacuum is released, and the lid can be taken off of it. They're very robust.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Thank you very much.

MEMBER PETERSON: Could I follow with just a quick question? Could you compare and contrast that with the types of containers that are used to transport chlorine and gasoline?

MR. MACKIE: I'm scared.

(Laughter.)

MR. MACKIE: Our casks are -again, I think that they're much more robust.

The instances of an accident, I don't think
that our casks -- I know our casks will not
leak, as they will. I know our casks have
been tested for fire, and things, and have
been tested for water. And I just think it's

1 a much, much safer cask.

MEMBER PETERSON: In Berkeley about four years ago, we ended up with about a 4-foot deep crater in the highway from a propane truck, so thank you.

MR. MACKIE: Yes, sir.

MEMBER DOMENICI: What kind of

truck?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER PETERSON: Propane.

MEMBER DOMENICI: Propane.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Are there other questions? I want to thank the panel for a very instructive session. We appreciate your

being with us. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: We will now begin our public comment session. We have 37 speakers who have signed up to talk. We will allow two minutes each. There is a light signal here. You will begin with a green light. When there is one minute remaining, an orange light, and when the red light comes on,

we would appreciate it if you would conclude the sentence that you're on, and cease.

I will call the names of the person to come up first, and the following two. The first presentation will be by Jill Frawley, and followed by Dale Janway, and Steve McCutcheon.

MS. FRAWLEY: Hello, my name is

Jill Frawley. I've been a Registered Nurse for

40 years, a resident of this state for 18.

And the language of these presentations is

very interesting to me, especially the word

"sacred." And I do believe these are sacred

lands here, and they should not be used as a

garbage can for other people's waste. So,

WIPP at a moderate level is fine, but we don't

want everybody else's garbage. And there are

many, many, many, many citizens that feel the

same way as I do.

So, my concern, obviously, because of my profession is health and safety. And it is very interesting to me there are no Native

Americans presenting. There is a huge crosssection of ethnic diversity here. So, once
again we have an establishment, everybody is
making a living here, everybody is doing what
they think they need to do to keep their job.

I'm here pro bono, and I have about 10 or 15
more years of viability, and I would like to
spend that time fighting with everything I've
got this kind of expanded containment of very
toxic waste. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Dale Janway, followed by Steve McCutcheon and Rudy Dominguez.

MR. JANWAY: Good afternoon. My name is Dale Janway, and I am the Mayor of Carlsbad. Members of the Blue Ribbon Commission, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments to you here in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

In the last couple of days, you have seen WIPP firsthand, and you have seen that project's success is backed by a staff of experts that are second to none, and a community whose support is unrivaled in the nation.

The point I wish to impress upon you today, however, is that support of WIPP and nuclear-related projects is not limited by geographic borders to the southeast corner of the state. The need to cleanup the nation, and the need to develop clean, renewable, and abundant sources of energy is understood by many in the State of New Mexico.

I believe strongly that America's nuclear future is tied to America's future as an economic superpower. If we fail to meet the future energy demands of the nation, we will not have the ability to lead the world in manufacturing and ingenuity that is the backbone of the country.

With WIPP, the National

1 Laboratories, and other nuclear-related 2 projects, New Mexico is already playing a role in America's nuclear future, but we can do 3 more. We must put our collective scientific 4 5 knowledge, and our unequaled experience to practical use. There is support throughout the 6 7 state to be part of the solution. Let's move 8 forward on solving the challenges of the 9 nuclear fuel cycle. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Janway.

(Applause.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: The next presenter is Mr. Steve McCutcheon, Carlsbad Department of Development, followed by Rudy Dominguez, and Christopher Timm.

MR. McCutcheon: Mr. Chairman,
members of the Commission, my name is Steve
McCutcheon. I represent five generations of
Carlsbad residents. My grandfather came to
Carlsbad in 1912, 99 years ago, my father,
myself, my children, and my grandchildren all

of Carlsbad. I'm employed full-time in the potash industry. I, along with my children and spouse own and operate two ranches in Eddy County, and I am immediate past President of the Carlsbad Department of Development.

with came from the potash industry.

The mayor who just spoke with you was a safety manager in potash. He won the MSHA prestigious award, Sentinels of Safety, five times. There are -- and, normally, a potash manager would win that once. I think there are three reasons for winning that award, and for Carlsbad's safety culture.

First is, that it has been fostered since 1931 by underground miners. Secondly, there is leadership and individual responsibility which are taken by individuals in that area, and all this was crosspollinated when WIPP opened up because of the individuals that they hired to mine and work in the underground at WIPP came from the

potash industry. And, lastly, and maybe the most important, is the inherently safe and stable qualities of salt in the underground.

I have known virtually all the DOE managers and contractors, the leadership since the 1980s, the mid-1980s. They have been credible individuals who carried out the core mission of WIPP with integrity.

Now, in the 1980s when WIPP was proposed, no one wanted it, but Carlsbad stepped up. And I am suggesting that Carlsbad is ready to step up again, if the opportunity comes.

I am not suggesting that we put defense high-level waste in WIPP. That is not WIPP's mission, but there are 16 square miles withdrawn, two of which are used for WIPP.

Does that buzzer mean something?

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes.

MR. McCUTCHEON: What does it

21 mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: You're done.

1 MR. McCutcheon: Oh, I'm done.

Okay. We thank you very much. We hope that

if you choose salt as a medium, you will

consider Carlsbad as the place to look at this

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you,

7 Steve.

problem.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Applause.)

Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker is Rudy Dominguez, followed by Christopher Timm, and Charles Powell.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Good morning. My name is Rudy Dominguez, and I work for a potash mine near Carlsbad, New Mexico.

In the early 1970s, at the age of 18-years old, and freshly out of high school, I began a mining career in the underground mines of Arizona. Back in those days, production ruled, and the focus on the safety of mining employees was almost non-existent. Emphasis was placed on producing as much ore as quickly, and as humanly possible.

Shortcuts were taken, people were hurt, and in too many cases people were killed. I am happy to say that 38 years later, things are much different. Thanks to training, technology, education, oversight by agencies like MSHA and OSHA, and a tremendous change over culture in the mining industry regarding safety, our mines, particularly the potash mines, continue to improve their safety performance, and are the safest mines in the world.

At our mine in Southeastern New Mexico, the cooperation, benchmarking activities, and the sharing of information that takes place with WIPP, and other mines in the area, are just some of the reasons why our safety record today is one of the best in the mining industry.

While relatively a newcomer to the Carlsbad area, WIPP has, and continues to set very high standards in safety, as it applies to its employees, and the surrounding communities. In many cases, WIPP's emergency

response teams have been the first on the scene, and have provided critical and emergency assistance to unfortunate victims of automobile accidents in the area.

Our mine rescue teams share best practices, work together and compete against one another, which has helped these two very important teams to improve, and be ready and able to provide emergency rescue services, should they be required. There is no denial of the positive social and economic impact that WIPP has had in the local communities, and in the State of New Mexico.

I, personally, strongly support WIPP in its endeavor to remain a productive and local contributor to the success of our great community, and the great State of New Mexico. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Mr.

Dominguez.

2.0

2.1

(Applause.)

22 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker

is Christopher Timm of PECOS Management

Services, followed by Charles Powell, and Dr.

JoAnne Allen.

2.0

MR. TIMM: Honorable Commission

Members, ladies and gentlemen, having been the independent oversight contractor for WIPP for the past five years, and having well over 40 years of experience with environmental protection and waste management, I'll offer the following comments.

First, I applaud the Blue Ribbon

Commission for your efforts to assimilate what

we have learned since WIPP opened, in fact,

since the 1957 National Academy of Sciences

report that started our quest for a sound,

high-level waste disposal strategy. It's good

to see people who recognize that decisions

made 20 to 50 years ago should be re-examined

based on new knowledge, new experience, and

new information.

The International Atomic Energy

Commission's Joint Convention on the Safety of

Spent Fuel Management and the Safety of

Radioactive Waste Management that the United

States, and most other countries with nuclear

capabilities have signed contains the

following two overall objectives.

First, the generation responsible for generating the radioactive waste is responsible for its safe disposition. And, second, the disposition solution chosen by the generating generation should not impose undue burden on the future generations or environment.

Unfortunately, with the decision to not open WIPP, this country has failed to meet the first objective. In fact, it is several generations behind. However, the good news is that over that 60 plus years that we've been generating radioactive waste, a significant quantity of it has already disappeared through natural decay. More than half of the cesium-137 and strontium-90, for instance, are gone. So, keep in mind that

	Page 180
1	this is a problem that does go away with time.
2	With respect to the second
3	objective, the key is how "undue" is defined.
4	And I want to I've got a feeling I'm going
5	to run out of time, so I want to run into it.
6	Basically, to minimize the undue impact on
7	future generations, I believe that we should
8	be doing everything we can now to minimize the
9	volume of waste both we generate, and that has
10	to be disposed. It just means reprocessing,
11	recycling, and all those type of devices.
12	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very
13	much, Mr. Timm, appreciate it.
14	(Applause.)
15	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next
16	presenter is Charles Powell of Veterans for
17	Peace, followed by Dr. JoAnne Allen, and Dave
18	McCoy.
19	MR. FRAZIER: Mr. Chairman, if I
20	could make one statement.
21	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes.
22	MR. FRAZIER: Before you get

started, for those of you that have written statements and don't happen to get all the way through them, please feel free to email them to us, and we'll make sure that we get them on the record in their entirety.

2.0

MR. POWELL: Members of the

Commission, I'm Charles Powell, and I've lived

in New Mexico for 25 years. I'm a retired

postal worker, father, grandfather, and a

cancer survivor, so far. I'm also President

of the Albuquerque Chapter of Veterans for

Peace.

I'm here today because it's my understanding that the government cannot push nuclear waste on states that don't want it, and that's why the waste is no longer going to Yucca Mountain. The people of Nevada said they no longer wanted it. Well, we don't want it either.

(Applause.)

MR. POWELL: We are tired of being used as a national sacrifice zone. We say

enough with the mining or uranium ore, enough with the research and development of nuclear weapons, enough with the deployment of nuclear weapons, enough with the storage of nuclear weapons, and enough with the dumping of nuclear waste. If my voice on this issue means anything, please record my answer as a firm no.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Mr. Powell. Our next presenter is Dr. Allen, followed by Dave McCoy and Scott Kovac.

DR. ALLEN: Yes, we have had enough mismanagement, corruption, negligence, deceit, and irresponsible behavior at the hands of the government, the nuclear weapons industry, and the war machine. They have led to the degradation of the health of our citizens, environment, and economy in this beautiful state.

Mr. Peterson, I know you're interested in what has been accomplished by

the DOE, the DOD, and the nuclear industry.

Allow me to fill you in.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Permanent contamination of our drinking water supply of this very city with 8 million gallons of jet fuel, plumes of toxic waste flowing towards our drinking water from Los Alamos, unlined pits of toxic waste at Kirland Base threatening our water supply, open burning of waste at Los Alamos contaminating farmland and surface drinking water in Northern New Mexico, contamination of Indian lands and water, and the health of native people in Western New Mexico from uranium mining, disruption of our communities with jet noise from their aerial activities, and 2,000 plus nuclear weapons stored at the end of the airport runway you'll be flying over.

Our Environment Department, and the public have been obstructed from the inventory of Sandia National Labs and Los Alamos National Labs, yet activities that

directly affect our water occur there. Our
elected officials have been ineffective at
protecting us. Our governor has recommended
cutting funding for the very Environment
Department praised by Mrs. Triay. Our City
Council will not stand with us in our efforts
to remove the WMDs from our city.

We made an agreement with you in 1992, and we have kept our part of the bargain. It's time for you to keep yours.

Honor your agreement with us.

(Applause.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. ALLEN: No more high-level waste at WIPP, no interim waste at WIPP. We couldn't stop you last time, but we will stop you this time.

Mr. Scowcroft, I invite you to add a word to your vocabulary, "suficiente," enough.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Dr.

Allen. Next presenter is Dave McCoy of

Citizen Action, followed by Scott Kovac, and Allison Berber.

2.0

MR. McCOY: Good afternoon. I'm

Director for Citizen Action New Mexico.

There's a dark side to nuclear power, and it

hasn't been discussed.

The Blue Ribbon Commission is being used to unclog the back-end of the nuclear fuel cycle to resume nuclear power production. Nuclear power generation is nothing more than subsidized corporate welfare. No company will risk construction of a reactor unless the financial risk, the insurance risk, and the spent fuel waste problems are subsidized. Because it's the most expensive way to generate electricity, it represents the greatest opportunity for corporate interests by holding the ratepayer captive to the highest rates possible.

The new construction of nuclear power plants will absorb funds that could otherwise be used for building alternative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

energy. Nuclear energy is far from being a clean source of energy, as proponents claim. Studies from Germany bear that out, about leukemia in children.

My experience with the nuclear power industry over the years started in Oregon with the Trojan Nuclear Reactor, when I tried to bring documents that showed there could be an earthquake twice what the Trojan Nuclear Reactor could withstand. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission prevented me from bringing those facts forward, and told me that we couldn't discuss waste problems because the government would have a solution in five That was in 1978. The spent fuel from years. the Trojan Nuclear Reactor is still sitting a couple of hundred feet from the Columbia River, and no sign it's going to be moved.

There's been a lot of lack of transparency in this entire waste management process. Just a couple of things here in New Mexico is the political influence on the New

Mexico Environment Department. They sued
Citizen Action to keep critical documents
about computer models at Sandia for waste
movement out of our hands. After we finally
got that document, it was three years later,
and we also got another 13,000 documents about
hazardous waste throughout the State of New
Mexico that was not made available to any of
the citizens during the 10 years that the New
Mexico Environment Department withheld them.

2.1

So, there's -- one final thing I want to mention to you. All this safety about transport, you tested any of those casks against a handheld missile? You checked into any of the IED problems?

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much.

MR. McCOY: Let the Iranians and the Iraqis run those tests for you, and we'll see how good those casks are. Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Next presenter

is Scott Kovac, followed by Allison Berber, and Joni Arends.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. KOVAC: Thank you. My name is Scott Kovac with Nuclear Watch New Mexico.

We're a nonprofit community group here in the state.

I had the opportunity to participate in the EPA Recertification, and also the RCRA renewal negotiations in the past year. I think these are very important processes. I want to thank the NMED, and the DOE, and the WIPP contractors for their upfront and transparent negotiations. to keep this permit and EPA renewals, and recertifications in effect. They provide continued and regular public updates, and opportunities for public input. They also allow computer model points to be replaced with actual data, and constantly tweaking the process.

This is a living process. Over time, are there things that were overdone at

WIPP? Probably. Are there things that we missed? Probably, but only time will tell.

No matter how long it takes, we need to take that time and figure out the process forward.

The final impacts of WIPP are still to be known.

We are at the dawn of the nuclear age. It's only been 65 years. More than half that time we've been trying to figure out what to do with the waste, and we're still working on that. And that's not too long. We still have time. Once the waste goes down a hole, it's irretrievable, and our problems only can possibly increase.

This time that we're spending on this process is just an instant compared to the tens of hundreds and thousands of years that the waste will be radioactive. Out of sight must not be out of mind. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Kovac.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker will be Allison Berber of NAYGN, followed by Joni Arends, and Lokesh Chaturvedi.

2.0

MS. BERBER: Hello. My name is

Allison Berber, and I'm a nuclear engineer. I

represent many different roles in my

community. I represent taxpayer, voter,

citizen in the great State of New Mexico. I

also represent my nuclear industry peers, the

6,000 young professionals across North

America, also known as North American Young

Generation in Nuclear.

Currently, the country's 104

commercial reactors produce about 2,000 metric

tons of used fuel annually, and it is safely

securely stored at plant sites in the used

fuel pool or in dry containers. The utilities

and vendors have extensive experience and

success handling used fuel, and securing these

facilities. We have a track record and

expertise with managing fuel right here in the

United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Considering these facts, proven science, my own personal education, the safe and secure interim storage and transportation of used fuel is feasible in the United States. North American Young Generation Nuclear recommends that the Commission consider interim storage facilities, a permanent storage facility, reprocessing, and the responsibility of used fuel management be transferred to an independent entity, and a management financial structure capable of withstanding political change that does not impede the growth of nuclear power, and the sustainability of the energy in the United States.

22

As a nuclear engineer who often spends time educating the community about safety aspects of nuclear power, I would like to give my community a better answer than the utility manages the used fuel safely and securely in onsite containers. While the used fuel is safety stored onsite in power plants,

the lack of having a plan for used fuel challenges credibility and the future of nuclear technology. We as young professionals are faced with this question far too often.

2.0

To continue with expected growth of the nuclear industry, a path must be developed to manage used nuclear fuel. The Young Generation of Nuclear Professionals is looking to this Commission to help answer the growing concerns about long-term storage of used fuel. Answering these concerns places confidence in the nuclear industry, and local communities.

We know the Blue Ribbon Commission will be successful in development of forward-looking recommendations for the management of used fuel in the United States. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker will be Joni Arends from Concerned Citizens

for Nuclear Safety, followed by Lokesh Chaturvedi, and Joseph Wexler.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. ARENDS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. My name is Joni Arends. I am the Executive Director and a Co-Founder of Concerned Citizens for Nuclear Safety.

CCNS formed in 1988 to address the community's concern about the transportation of waste through the center of Santa Fe from Los Alamos National Laboratory to the then proposed Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. since our founding, CCNS has participated in hundreds of meetings with regulators, with DOE through the National Environmental Policy Act proceedings. We participated in the original WIPP permitting process in 1998, in the extensive negotiations about the remotehandled 311 matter in 2006, and then the most recent permit renewal process. And based on that experience, I would like to talk to you a little bit about what was talked about

yesterday about informed consent.

Yesterday, Mr. Bearzi from the Environment Department said that it's too strong of a phrase that rather, it's a decision that we can live with. And it's a very difficult decision, as you're working on putting together a process, recommending a process. It's a very difficult decision for NGOs to decide to sit at the negotiating table. And several times, our NGO colleagues call us sellouts for sitting at the table.

An NGO must weigh a number of factors, including what may be gained or lost, whether we support the position of the regulators, or the Department of Energy, or whether to promote another position. And how best to use our limited, limited resources to participate in a time-consuming process.

If I may finish. It is especially ominous when former Senator Domenici's namesake is representing the DOE, and the federal contractors in these proceedings. Part

of we can live with it also involves 1 2 representing those that are not sitting at the table, whether it's the people living along 3 the transportation routes, or those living 4 5 down river from the WIPP site. But there is a silent group that has neither voice, nor 6 7 representation, and that is future generations who will have to live with the decisions we 8 9 make today.

This waste was generated to protect the nation, but we are placing the burden of that security on future generations who may, or may not benefit from that.

Considering the impact --

15 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Ms.

16 Arends.

10

11

12

13

14

2.0

22

MS. ARENDS: Excuse me, I would like to finish.

19 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes, I know.

(Chorus of "let her finish.")

21 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Please.

MS. ARENDS: On future

generations, as it is challenging as it can get. It presents deep moral and philosophical questions. It requires placing future generations at the table in the best way that we can. Therefore, CCNS respectfully requests that the Commission add a seat at your table for future generations, and that they be included in all of your recommendations to President Obama. Thank you for the extra time, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Ms.

Arends. Our next speaker is Lokesh

Chaturvedi, followed by Joseph Wexler, and

Peter Neils.

MR. CHATURVEDI: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, my name is Lokesh Chaturvedi. I was the Deputy Director of EEG for 20 years, and I have also reviewed the license application for Yucca Mountain as a consultant to Sandia National Labs.

I just wanted to comment on two

things that came out today. One is the operational safety through monitoring and whole body count, the lie down and be counted program. So, I just want to make sure that everybody understands that the operational safety is not confused by the real long-term safety, which can only be established through a process called performance assessment.

In fact, the operational safety answers somewhat irrational fears, because after all, before anybody can get exposed, we will know an accident has taken place, and the country knows there are monitors that will detect the leak from the shafts.

The second point is about how long it takes for regulators to do their job. It was said that it took 11 years. The fact of the matter is that from 1988 to 1993, DOE tried to bring waste to WIPP for the reason of conducting experiments with waste. That program was abandoned in 1993. The Compliance Certification Application was prepared in

three years, from `93 to `96. EPA took only 1 2 two years from `96 to `98 to issue Compliance Certification, in `99 the waste came to WIPP. 3 And during that period, the parallel course of 4 5 sending an application to New Mexico 6 Environment Department for the regular part of 7 the waste was also created. Thank you very 8 much. 9 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Chaturvedi. 10 11 (Applause.) 12 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Joseph Wexler, followed by Peter 13 14 Neils, and Susan Shotlong-Rodriguez. 15 MR. WEXLER: It appears that I'm 16 coming up to the plate at a critical moment 17 I hope I can get a base hit. here. My name is Joe Wexler. I'm a Civil 18 19 I've been working in New Mexico Engineer. 20 since 1964 basically on flood control, and 21 that's what I'm going to talk about at

22

Carlsbad.

The Pecos Basin, a little known 1 2 fact about the Pecos Basin, that it has some of the most horrendous rainstorms in the 3

5 the summer of 1954 at the 105th longitude

In 1954, a flood occurred in

location, which Pecos Basin is at, of 1

7 million cubic feet per second. That's a flow

8 the size that usually occurs in the

United States.

4

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

9 Mississippi River, and it occurred at the

Pecos Basin somewhat south of Carlsbad. 10

> Now, Brantley Dam was designed not too long after that, in the 1980s, designed and built with that flow in mind. Now, that flow -- that storm can occur below Brantley And my calculations show that 1 million Dam. cfs from the Pecos Basin will raise the river 30 feet, that's 10 meters, and will expand the water flow to within three miles of the western edge of the WIPP site.

I want somebody to review that in detail before anyone starts considering putting more higher level radioactive

materials at that location. I don't think
anybody has considered that. I haven't heard
anybody discuss it, but I wrote the Operations
Manual for Brantley Dam in 1986, so I know
quite a bit about it. And those flows are
unheard of in this part of the world, from
there to California. So, please take that in
your sights and think about it. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Peter Neils at Los Alamos Study Group, followed by Susan Shotlong-Rodriguez, and Miguel Pacheco.

MR. NEILS: Thank you. I'm the President of Los Alamos Study Group.

Nuclear power has been with us for 50 years, a little more than 50 years. It's never been economically viable without extensive subsidies and loan guarantees. It's a mature industry that cannot survive off the public dole. If not for the Herculean efforts

of Senator Domenici, we would not be contemplating any future for nuclear energy at this time.

we have done something quite extraordinary with nuclear power. We've socialized the development, the construction, and the liability, while privatizing the profits. This has never, and still does not make economic sense. And regardless of how one feels about the safety issues, it is a loser from the economic standpoint.

The MIT study used to inform the Senator's book, "A Brighter Tomorrow," and bolster many of the arguments that led to the National Energy Policy Act of 2005, concluded that there was a role for nuclear power in America's energy future. However, because they were specifically outside the scope of the study, concentrating solar, photovoltaic, wind, heat co-generation, energy conservation, hydro and tidal power were not factored into the study. It cannot be regarded as a

comprehensive or unbiased point of reference from which to view, and look into our energy future.

If subsidies, loan guarantees, and tax incentives for alternatives are brought up to the same levels nuclear has enjoyed for the past 50 years, and the Price-Anderson Act is repealed so that investors have to soberly face the potential consequences of nuclear power, we'd be comparing apples to apples.

power because it makes no economic sense.

This Commission would be in good company if it did the same. Thank you.

Wall Street has abandoned nuclear

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Mr. Neils.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Susan Shotlong-Rodriguez, followed by Miguel Pacheco, and Penelope McMullen.

MS. SHOTLONG-RODRIGUEZ: I'd like

to make a few remarks. I am not originally from this state, but it's a beautiful state, and I'm very disappointed in, first of all, Senator Domenici.

New Mexico has more than done its job in being part of the solution. The rest of the country, if they support the nuclear industry with this high-level waste problem, should chip in and do something.

WIPP was never authorized to hold high-level waste, and to do so would break the legal guidelines. We have kept our part of the bargain, now you want to change the rules, and the law. Shame on you.

Number two, to the Mayor of Carlsbad --

(Applause.)

MS. SHOTLONG-RODRIGUEZ: -- and to the representatives there, dangling jobs in front of people who need jobs, especially in this economy, to a public that is not educated, or isn't interested in the dangers

that is inherent, health and accidents, in this kind of an occupation, to my mind is one, cruel, and two, deceitful. And if I had more time, I would probably think of other adjectives.

Number three, as stated earlier this morning, the San Ildefonso Pueblo on the first day of the waste shipments, the truck carrying the waste made a wrong turn, and went -- there's a border between San Ildefonso and LANL, and they went right onto sacred territory.

Four, that's just a mistake, and you can brush that off, like you're doing with a lot of things here. You may not be aware that we always tout when you want to, the French, and how much the French have used nuclear reactors, but you don't hear about, in this country, anyway, about the accidents.

Google it, 19 -- I think it's 2005, there was a big accident, and two rivers were contaminated.

Number five, where is the health care for New Mexico due to this high-level waste that we will be exposed to, and I mean low-cost public affordable health care. This year alone, we have insurance in my family, we spent almost \$2,000 out-of-pocket to my child who we found out is healthy, but it cost money, and we don't have anything -- we don't have cancer.

Number six, the escorts for these trucks are -- you don't have to have them, so that means if the governor wants to have it, do we, as New Mexicans, have to pay for it?

Is it going to be federal money to provide these escorts? It should be mandatory.

And, seven, just like the Titanic won't sink, and the Challenger wouldn't explode, we say no to using New Mexico as a national dumping ground. Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you. Our next speaker is Miguel Pacheco, of the --

1

PARTICIPANT: Pacheco.

2

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: All right.

3

Pacheco, of Northern New Mexico Stewards for

4

the Earth, followed by Penelope McMullen.

5

MR. PACHECO: That's okay. Miguel

6

Pacheco. It's good to see people here that

7

aren't on the clock. But, also -- yes.

8

(Applause.)

9

MR. PACHECO: America's nuclear

10

future, that sounds like an oxymoron to me. I

11

think our Energy Secretary, Steven Chu, if

12

that's correct, solar power provides safe,

13

clean, reliable power for New Mexico and the

14

world, building a low-carbon future. Somehow

15

he got it confused, and he said nuclear power.

16

That doesn't make any sense.

17

No one wants the spent fuel rods.

18

That's very obvious. New Mexico doesn't want

19

them. Talk about broken promises. We did not

20

ask for the waste, now they want remote-

21

handled to be put there, which you're already

22

doing, high-level. Broken promises. I think

the idea storing nuclear waste onsite is a viable solution with stopping all nuclear production right now, we don't need any nuclear power plants throughout the country and the world. That's absurd. Generations to come are going to wonder why these fine minds chose such a thing.

We have former Governor Richardson stated that we are the alternative capital pthe solar capital of alternative energy. Why aren't we capitalizing on solar energy?
There's a lot of money to be made in the nuclear process from the mining, et cetera.

Now they want to do in situ mining, where they turn uranium ore into liquid form before they take it out. How absurd.

Gentlemen, I'd ask you, and lady, to please investigate the situation. Nuclear power is not clean, safe, and reliable. We do not want it. Dr. Richard Phillips spoke about the coarse terrain in Carlsbad, and these caskets, these steel caskets actually

dissolve, erode in five, seven years, and that's acceptable to the industry. It's going to wash into the Pecos, and into our ocean.

We do not need that. We need to respect all life. All life requires clean air and water, and it's basics. We have to get back to basics. It all begins with respect for all life. We have to remember our place in this world. It's not all about money.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Mr.

12 Pacheco. Thank you.

responsible.

2.0

MR. PACHECO: Also, one more thing, there are -- we have a U.S.

Constitution, a New Mexico Constitution, where our officials have to abide by laws that provide for the control of pollution, and to protect our environment, and we will hold you

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mr. Pacheco.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker is Penelope McMullen, followed by Sanders
Moore, and Judith Kidd.

MS. McMULLEN: My name is Penelope
McMullen. I'm speaking on behalf of the
Loretto Community of Sisters and Co-Members.

I took the train from Santa Fe this morning,
and with me are Sylvia Sedillo from
Albuquerque, and Trish Herron from Rio Rancho,
both Loretto women.

The Loretto community opposes
disposing high-level waste at WIPP for a
number of reasons. I'll just mention a few,
and then submit a full statement online.

First, the theory that salt will safely encase waste containers is based on the salt being dry, but the salt at WIPP is not dry, and wet salt will eventually corrode the waste containers. The crust formation at WIPP could quickly spread any leakage into the groundwater, and Pecos River, affecting not only New Mexico, but also Texas, and the

1 nation of Mexico.

2.0

People did cheer when the first shipment came through. That was mentioned several times, but they didn't mention that there were also people demonstrating against that first shipment, and wailing.

We thank you for holding these public meetings. I hope you will listen to the public, not just to the nuclear industry and government officials. The Loretto community has been serving the people of New Mexico for 158 years, and the health of this land of enchantment, and the health of future generations is one of our highest social justice priorities.

Because of this unconscionable short time for public comment, I request a show of hands in the audience of how many people here oppose bringing high-level waste to WIPP.

(A show of hands.)

MS. McMULLEN: And I would like a

count taken, and the count put into the 1 2 record. And while they are counting, I'd like to add that when I visited Carlsbad, people 3 told me there that they were afraid to speak 4 5 up against WIPP, because of some violence that had been -- they had experienced when they did 6 7 speak up. And that is why maybe you didn't 8 hear from many non-WIPP-related people at 9 Carlsbad this week. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you.

(Applause.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next speaker will be Sanders Moore, followed by Judith Kidd, and Lily Rendt.

MS. MOORE: Good afternoon. My
name is Sanders Moore, and I'm the advocate
for Environment New Mexico. Environment New
Mexico is a statewide citizens-based
environmental advocacy group with over 13,000
members and supporters in New Mexico alone.

Environment New Mexico is opposed to New Mexico becoming the country's high-

level nuclear waste repository. We don't produce any high-level nuclear waste in this state, and our citizens should not have to suffer the consequences of this highly 4 5 radioactive material.

> High-level nuclear waste lasts thousands of years, and can reek environmental damage on the surrounding land and water. And, in addition, it is a known carcinogen causing people living in the area to pay the ultimate price. New Mexico should not become the nation's garbage dump for high-level nuclear waste.

> > (Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much. Our next presenter will be Judith Kidd of AVAT, followed by Lilly Rendt and Marlene Ouintana.

> MS. QUINTANA: Quintana.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Quintana, thank

21 you.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 MS. RENDT: Good afternoon. I'm

sure we're all ready for lunch.

First of all, I'd like to say that
I have been to so many of these meetings that
I feel like this is deja vu. I have heard it
all before, all the promises that nothing was
going to happen, that everything was so safe.
And I was on the cleanup committee for
Kirtland, and right now they're putting in
hundreds of wells into the south area of
Albuquerque because of contamination from
airplane fuel that was dumped there years ago.
And we can't always foresee the circumstances
that will occur as a result of our actions.

In the war, nobody cared whether anybody dumped any airplane fuel, but I'm sure that the people in that vicinity are really annoyed by all the wells. And I spoke up at that meeting, because I was a teacher for a total of 53 years, and nobody thought about the kids. These wells are going up and down, up and down, and I just saw some little fingers being hurt. So, I said to them, what

are you doing about the safety of the children? And they said, we will stop the wells when they go to school, and we will stop the wells when they go home from school. So, perhaps it might be safe for the children to go despite all the wells in the south area right now.

2.0

Well, this is the sort of thing that I am trying to foresee in the case of nuclear waste that is more powerful than what we already have. And we have low-level right now, but there have been numerous deaths of plants and animals around Carlsbad. And I am a biologist. I now -- the last position I took was one of biology at UNM, and there have been hundreds of animals dying in the last few years, and I blame part of it, at least, on the nuclear trucks coming through.

Also, the pollution of the water is much more severe. I went to several water meetings at -- being on the Citizens for Pure Air and Water, and they said that they were

1 using 50 picocuries as their criterion. 2 my daughter had just been taking HAZMAT in California at the state university, and she 3 said, mother, California only allows 25. 4 5 I notice that the allowance now is 30 parts, and they told me they cut it down to 25 6 7 because of California's regulations. And this 8 is a little brochure that I have that comes 9 from Agua es Vida Action Team. 10 Thank you very CHAIR SCOWCROFT:

much, Ms. Kidd. Thank you much.

MS. RENDT: All right. Can I finish this sentence?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Yes, you may finish the sentence.

MS. RENDT: Okay. AVAT claims that we have radium-228 in the water, uranium in the water, strontium-55, and 90, 65, and 137 cesium have not even been explored yet. And there are plutonium is also high in our water. It wasn't before. I'm glad you listened. Thank you.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Applause.)

2 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Ms.

Rendt.

MR. FRAZIER: Okay. I feel 4

> inclined -- sorry, General, let me -- give me one second. I would appreciate very much if we behaved in a more respectful manner, and if we don't behave in a more respectful manner we will close this meeting right now and be done with it. And you can send your comments in on the website, and on the -- you can email them, you can send them on the website, but the outbursts need to stop. Everybody gets two Those are the rules, those are the minutes. rules we're going to stick with. Thank you.

> CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Tim. The next presenter is Lilly Rendt from CARD followed by Marlene Quintana, and Sylviana D'Ouville. That was Lilly, excuse me. Marlene Quintana.

MS. QUINTANA: Hello. I'm been an anti-nuclear activist for six years now, and

I've lived in New Mexico all of my life. And
I work hard to educate our youth about the
dangers of the nuclear cycle here in New
Mexico. And I work hard to protect our
future, especially for our youth.

I am speaking for all of my family, all of my friends, my siblings, and New Mexico's youth when I say we do not want high-level waste coming to New Mexico. New Mexico is supposed to be the land of enchantment, not the land of a waste dump. I feel that high-level waste should be stored as close to where it's made as possible, and transporting nuclear waste all over the country is not a good idea, especially in relation to high-level waste.

We were promised by the government that high-level waste would not come to New Mexico, and I feel that the government needs to keep their promise.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very

much. Our next presenter is Sylviana

D'Ouville, followed by Allen Cooper and Jeff
Radford.

MS. D'OUVILLE: Bienvenidos. I'm

Sylviana Diaz D'Ouville, and I guess we're

citing pedigrees here. I guess on both sides

of the family we've been here about, I don't

know, 800 years, give or take. This is a

theatrical and rhetorical question. How much

more do you demand of my poor state, and how

much more will the land be disrespected by the

nuclear industry?

The list of dubious excesses,
bombs, and unforeseen and possibly if you're
inclined to think so, foreseeable consequences
of the nuclear enterprise and actions have
already been listed. The fact that an
impoverished state like New Mexico leaps at
the opportunity of accepting high-level waste
is pathetic. If anything, it shows a
deplorable lack of imagination by our
leadership, political, past and present, and

our community people, or willful disregard of
President Eisenhower's warning about the
military-industrial complex and the influence

they might have. But follow the money, no?

By the way, we were dirt poor when the nuclear industry came to us. And guess what, we're still dirt-poor. We're comfortably down there with Mississippi and Louisiana, so so much for helping us out. Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you. Our next presenter is Allen Cooper, followed by Jeff Radford, and Rosamund Evans. Is Allen Cooper here? How about Jeff Radford?

MR. RADFORD: Yes. My name is

Jeff Radford. I just came in the door as my

name was being called, so I'm a little out of

breath, but I think I can do this in under two

minutes.

The name of this Commission implies a broader scope than the topic which

I understand to be addressed here this afternoon, what to do with the nation's nuclear waste in the absence of disposal at Yucca Mountain. So, first let me make it clear what I think that any sane response should be regarding -- that it should encompass regarding nuclear waste disposal in the context of America's nuclear future; and that is, for God's sake, stop producing more nuclear waste. It is patently irresponsible to have incurred the production of ever-larger quantities of exceedingly long-lived radioactive waste for more than 60 years without having a surefire means of ridding the environment of this toxic threat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

But to the question of how to proceed with selecting an appropriate disposal site, my layman's experience of more than 35 years learning about this issue indicate three levels of guidance for this Commission. One, insist upon strict adherence to the primary site selection criteria. The Waste Isolation

Pilot Plant is a good example of what not to do. One of the primary criteria was to avoid sites with significant natural resources. The oil, gas, and potash deposits should have disqualified the WIPP site immediately in adherence to the site selection criteria.

Two, expect and recognize compromised science when it appears, as it most assuredly will having done so at Yucca Mountain, and at the WIPP site. Regarding the latter, I would refer the Commission to the reputable work of Roger Y. Anderson, Larry Barrows, and Richard Phillips, to understand how the scientific process has been systematically compromised for political purposes.

Third, understand past failures in radioactive waste handling and disposal. My own understanding of the complexity of persistent failures in nuclear waste disposal are drawn from the experience related to me by Robert A. Frosch, former Assistant Secretary

of the Navy for Research and Development, also
a former head of NASA, and now Senior
Associate for Science, Technology, and Public
Policy at Harvard's Belfer Center for Science
and International Affairs. Thank you.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Rosamund Evans, followed by Floy Barrett, and Catherine Montano.

MS. EVANS: I came to New Mexico about 37 years ago. I really fell in love with the beauty of this state. Over a period of time, I have learned about what nuclear weapons industry and the military has really done to destroy this state.

I echo the sentiment that if the Commission wants to truly look at the situation in New Mexico, they need to, I think, listen outside of the nuclear industry. I'm very aware of how many of you here are part of the nuclear industry, have earned your

industry. And those of us who are not part of that, are not treated, and this is the experience of many, many hearings, we are not able to have the same information. And I do appreciate getting the information from you. The public needs that. But we're also treated with a great deal of disdain, really, because we're interfering with your project.

Because of our tax money paying for very large skilled public relations firms to promote the nuclear industry as necessary to the economy of this state, we have accepted a lot. Many of those industries have been named today. We don't know the extent of the damage.

I can tell you that I lived on the Navajo Reservation, I observed firsthand some of that result, but it's all over the state.

And you need to find that out.

I am opposed, of course, to anything more coming to this state, any high-

level waste, anything more than we already have accepted. And I have a perspective that is different from those of you in the nuclear industry. I worked in the federal government, I worked on programs, I know what it is to be involved in what you're doing, but this, as many people have said, nuclear power is not feasible economically. And the nuclear weapons industry will really drive us completely into the loss of the planet. cannot take something that is 10,000 years requiring high-level monitoring, and not expect to have some disaster around it. can't expect that. And just saying it would be God's will, is not really acceptable to me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think Carlsbad has kept things safe, but it's not because there haven't been for 35 years people learning about nuclear waste, learning about the whole cycle, and learning about health effects, and pushing, pushing, pushing. Some people have done civil disobedience, some people have devoted, I

don't know how much of their time for years to this project. I'm glad it's safe. I don't want any more, and I really don't believe that there is permanent safety there.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Ms. Evans.

(Applause.)

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next presenter is Floy Barrett, followed by Catherine Montano, and Simon Polakowski.

MS. BARRETT: My name is Floy
Barrett, and I've been in New Mexico for 40
years. And I'd just like to share with the
Blue Ribbon Commission some of the activities
things I have participated in, and what I have
seen as a result.

And we have had years ago many problems with all kinds of uranium, and what has resulted with the waste. We had a big meeting at Mount Taylor when we had hundreds of people from four states there, and the nuclear tailings were still piled high. It

was like a mesa out there. All these nuclear tailings, and they were blowing into the river, in the Pecos River. And the Navajo sheep, there were hundreds and hundreds of Navajo sheep that died because they were drinking the water in that river.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In addition to the whole fact of the nuclear waste, if WIPP has not proven already what other people have said, that is leaking, and there is leakage in the WIPP. The other thing about the fuel waste, the nuclear waste is that years and years ago, the concern, the Union of Concerned Scientists and perhaps you've heard of them, they're pretty well known nationally. The Union of Concerned Scientists said that you cannot transport high-level nuclear waste. It is utterly impossible. It will destroy anything that happens along the way. And their recommendation was to leave it where it is, and contain it. And we do have a possibility of containing it where it is. I think that

1 transportation of it is totally insane.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

We had a spill, an overturn of a truck in Las Vegas, Nevada two years ago, and it took six hours for the Rapid Response

Network to get there. And when they arrived, they didn't even have a Geiger counter to check what had been released in those six hours. Our Rapid Response Network has never been put in place. We were promised we would have that all the way down to WIPP. And you can go anywhere along that route, and you cannot find a Rapid Response person that knows that there's going to be an alarm that's going to let them know to come and check it out.

So, no more waste in New Mexico, absolutely no more. We cannot afford this.

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you very much, Mrs. Barrett.

MS. BARRETT: And thank you.

(Applause.)

21 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Our next

22 presenter is Catherine Montano, followed by

Simon Polakowski, and Camille Kiegel.

MS. MONTANO: Can I have another volunteer for this map? I need another volunteer to help hold this map up.

All atomic activity must cease upon the earth. There is no safe way of storing it, or disposing of it, and nuclear facilities are making too much of it. It is the greatest crime to humanity, and all life on the planet. And a lot of you say that coal is what's heating up the earth, well, it's not, it's radiation, nuclear radiation is what's heating up our earth.

Mother Earth already has a degree of fever caused by radioactivity, not greenhouse effect, nor missing ozone. The one degree has already upset the earth's metabolism enough to cause many fishes in the sea to migrate into colder waters. It is causing ice caps and glaciers to melt.

Actually, the increase registered a bit more above one degree and rising. Less than 10

more degrees of radioactivity fever will make our planet a barren waste. Either we stop nuclear technology, or nuclear technology is going to stop all life on the planet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I have sat in nuclear hearings for 20 years, and it was not by choice, it was a divine calling. And as you look at this map, New Mexico has been the sacrifice zone since nuclear technology came into existence. All our rivers are radioactive. Albuquerque and Santa Fe are drinking radioactive water. And, Commissioners, if you end up with cancer, diabetes, neurological disease, losing your mind because you lose your memory from nuclear radiation. Diabetes is linked to radiation exposure. Diabetes is rampant throughout the United States. It is also rampant in our children, leukemia. Yes, these trucks are irradiating the people on the highways.

When I was in the nuclear hearings, we had technicians that were actually the ones that checked those drums,

and they came to the hearings and said that
the drums were being mislabeled, and being
shipped to WIPP. And those people were fired,
and they came from different facilities around
the country.

And I went to Washington last year, and presented a Constitutional Letter to Congress, let them know how contaminated New Mexico is, and the whole United States. We are in a nuclear holocaust.

I want you to see this map. This map here shows the United States and how contaminated we are. And to continue to make nuclear waste is outright criminal. And Obama, when he was campaigning, he said that if we could not store nuclear waste safely, that we would not build nuclear plants. Well, no, we cannot store it safely. Down at WIPP, Locheck, he knows that --

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Ms.

Montano.

MS. MONTANO: -- there is a water

	Page 231
1	falls that was bridged by the Department of
2	Energy since the beginning of WIPP, and yes,
3	they were illegally moving waste to WIPP
4	before
5	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Thank you, Ms.
6	Montano.
7	MS. MONTANO: WIPP was legally
8	open. They moved all the waste from
9	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Will you turn
10	the microphone off.
11	MS. MONTANO: Rocky Flats on
12	regular semis, contaminating the people. It
13	is wrong to continue with this
14	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Ms. Montano.
15	MR. FRAZIER: No, don't touch her.
16	(Off mic comment.)
17	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: No, don't touch
18	her.
19	MS. MONTANO: And Los Alamos Labs
20	needs to stop
21	CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Come on.
22	(Off mic comment.)

8 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Ms. Montano, you 9 are hurting your cause.

MS. MONTANO: You know what, it's no cause, it's life on this planet. And no matter where we --

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Ms. Montano --

(Off mic comment.)

10

11

12

19

20

MR. FRAZIER: No, no.

16 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: No, no, don't

17 touch -- no, don't touch her. No, don't touch

18 her.

MS. MONTANO: You guys come here and you say that WIPP is fine.

21 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: We're not saying 22 anything.

MS. MONTANO: Why don't they talk about the people that have died down at WIPP.

There have been workers that have died down at WIPP. They could have an accident in Las

Vegas --

CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Ms. Montano, please.

MS. MONTANO: You know what, our town didn't even know about it. And you know, our state policemen, and everybody on the highways are being radiated to death. And for Obama to continue this, believe me, we have the supreme law of the land, the Constitution, and we will stop any elected and appointed officials for what they are doing to the human race and all life on the planet. And here I am giving you all this letter -- let me give you this letter.

You all have noticed that we are tired of being contaminated to death. And you know what, there's 15 Commissioners on your committee. What are they? There's only seven

1 If you have 15, where are the rest? here. 2 You come here -- okay, let me give these out. 3 CHAIR SCOWCROFT: Just give them to him. 4 5 MS. MONTANO: And I have named every Commissioner, and I'm putting them all 6 7 on notice, I am putting the Congress, and the 8 President, and everybody that we are not going to continue to see our children being 9 devoured, and radiation is bad, and these 10 trucks are irradiating the people, and it is 11 12 wrong and criminal to continue. And you should be in prison for what you have done to 13 the State of New Mexico. You are a criminal 14 for what you have done. 15 16 (Applause.) 17 MS. MONTANO: It is criminal what 18 you have done to the --19 (Applause.) 20 MR. FRAZIER: Okay, ladies and 21 gentlemen. We're now done for the day. Thank

22

you very much.

	24.10.64.2	25.01.27.10.20.00		105.10.22.114.20
A	access 34:19 64:3	35:21 37:19 39:22	addressing 27:1	105:10,22 114:20
abandon 115:17	88:1	40:5 113:20 143:9	69:1,17 100:10	119:6,15 142:1
abandoned 115:2	accessible 151:21	193:15 201:15	159:16	176:5
197:21 202:11	accident 36:13,14	202:7	adds 120:15	agency 36:19 37:22
abide 162:13	68:10 103:14	Action 185:1,4	adequate 107:2	39:8,11 41:16
208:16	131:5 132:15	187:2 215:9	158:14	59:16 141:19
ability 15:14 17:3	140:17 152:12	actions 76:16	adequately 138:16	148:16
17:20 62:5 64:13	156:8,12,14,18,19	143:21 213:13	adherence 220:21	Agency's 32:3
114:11 117:18	162:1 167:18	218:16	221:6	ago 76:8 168:3
156:15 171:19	197:12 204:21	active 41:16 42:20	adjectives 204:5	172:21 178:18
able 8:15,16 24:19	233:4	actively 40:15 89:3	Adjournment 4:22	213:11 222:12
26:6 27:19 30:7	accidents 16:7	131:1	administration	225:17 226:12
45:16 54:18 63:19	68:15 131:2	activist 216:22	142:6,7	227:3
64:2 92:19 93:14	132:14,17 143:13	activities 58:22	admirable 65:22	agree 26:12 42:2
111:8 177:9 223:5	150:9 152:20,22	73:21 74:7 96:6	admit 122:10	51:21 97:2 108:6
above-entitled	154:10 155:4,19	103:11 124:4	adopt 118:22	108:18 120:13
235:5	177:4 204:1,19	146:13 176:13	adopted 140:12	121:18
above-ground	accommodate	183:15,22 225:14	adults 122:11	agreed 73:2 89:3
80:12	63:19	activity 34:17	advanced 34:14	141:7
absence 220:3	accompany 17:18	73:13 228:5	143:19	agreement 32:15
absolutely 43:17	accomplish 17:5	actual 30:11 120:4	advantages 74:5	33:14 64:20 95:10
51:15 55:8 56:19	accomplished	188:19	adverse 164:17	95:20 102:17
61:4 69:6 227:16	46:21 47:8,14	add 83:21 157:6	adversely 34:9	108:2 129:8,10
absorb 185:21	96:18 121:5	164:16 184:17	advice 157:2	184:8,11
absurd 207:5,16	182:22	196:6 211:3	Advisory 69:22	agreements 44:4
abundant 171:13	accomplishment	added 16:14	134:8,18	81:4 86:22 87:15
academia 56:12	50:20,22	148:12	advocacy 211:19	92:11 101:22
academic 110:4	account 84:15	addition 28:5 29:21	advocate 132:21	130:10
Academy 29:3	accountability 2:2	30:5 45:5 67:15	211:16	agrees 89:7
30:15 69:8 70:1	3:22 57:11 78:8	70:18 141:21	advocates 120:8	Agua 215:9
72:11 178:14	78:11,17 80:19	212:9 226:7	aerial 183:15	ahead 110:19
accent 50:17	87:18	additional 65:8	Affairs 139:10,19	111:14
accept 47:20 60:12	accountable 122:3	70:20 122:13	139:22 141:4	aids 74:6
60:14 125:2	accounting 63:12	Additionally	222:5	air 60:16 117:13
acceptability 30:1	84:11	141:19	affect 184:1	208:5 214:22
30:5 73:19 138:19	accumulated	address 65:18	afford 227:16	airplane 213:11,15
acceptable 39:3	140:19	73:12 75:10 97:15	affordable 205:4	airport 183:17
76:14 125:3 141:6	accurate 117:19	100:8 104:12	afraid 211:4	Alamos 15:10 17:4
142:13 208:2	achieve 136:3	106:19 140:13	afternoon 111:10	17:14 28:5 37:11
224:15	achievement 54:13	145:11 158:19	170:17 185:3	37:12 38:1 41:12
acceptance 105:5	128:22	193:8	193:3 211:15	46:2 59:18 81:16
135:17	acknowledge	addressed 50:4	212:22 220:2	81:18 92:9,14
accepted 75:4	159:13	60:6 73:14 77:9	afterthought 138:9	93:21 95:16 96:4
160:6 223:13	acknowledged	96:7 100:16	afterward 98:3	96:10,22 103:2,19
224:2	142:7	116:18 136:16	age 175:15 189:8	116:17 183:7,9,22
accepting 12:16	Act 21:17,21 22:18	137:9 158:21	agencies 68:17 71:1	193:11 200:12,16
218:19	32:11 33:13 35:16	220:1	73:11 82:10 105:2	231:19
210.17	5			
			I	

alarm 227:13	94:13,21 144:9	appears 198:15	135:14 144:2	227:5
Albuquerque 1:10	190:11 191:5	221:8	151:22	arrow 82:18 83:9
1:19 3:8 14:1,6	Americans 170:1	applaud 178:11	approached 42:4	83:12
15:7 16:1,20	America's 1:1 6:1	Applause 19:2	approaches 20:9	arsenic 76:7
18:15,19 19:13	14:5 19:12 26:3,5	23:10 27:13 57:4	115:7 148:3	asked 30:18 38:13
24:11 40:8 170:21	59:7 171:15,16	86:6 90:9 139:5	appropriate 19:19	97:9
181:11 209:9	172:3 201:17	152:4 168:15	33:2 97:18 123:1	asking 102:2
213:10 229:10	206:9 220:8	170:13 172:12	220:17	aspect 44:9 116:21
Alex 2:4 4:8 127:21	amount 9:6 26:17	175:8 177:21	approval 33:2,11	142:16
157:15	55:8 76:1	180:14 181:20	41:1 142:15	aspects 42:19 44:13
algae 71:18	amounts 83:8	182:9 184:12,20	148:15	60:13 61:16 62:8
align 147:6	ANA 83:2,13 89:6	187:21 189:22	approvals 33:6	137:19 141:3
alive 80:10	analyses 68:1 75:19	192:20 196:11	53:20	153:9 191:18
Allen 2:13 178:3	analysis 68:14	198:11 200:10	approve 54:18	Aspen 70:2
180:17 182:11,13	ANA's 86:11 87:3	202:17 203:17	106:10	assessment 24:21
184:13,22 218:2	ancestral 92:5	205:20 206:8	approved 34:6,11	70:3 197:8
219:13,14	Anderson 221:12	208:10,22 211:11	66:22 111:2,5,18	assessments 32:2,6
Alliance 2:2 3:22	Andrew 1:21 3:12	212:14 216:1	112:11 143:7	94:9
57:11 78:7,11,16	23:14	217:21 219:11	147:8 149:14	asset 152:3
80:19 144:9 160:7	and-down 126:3	222:7 225:7	approximately	assign 67:6
Allison 2:14 185:2	and/or 100:3 104:1	227:20 234:16,19	79:12,22	assigned 39:22
188:1 190:2,5	animals 214:13,16	apples 202:10,10	aquifers 79:4	114:19 146:20
allocate 9:7	annoyed 213:17	application 196:20	area 31:9 42:18	assimilate 178:12
allotted 8:7	annually 190:15	197:22 198:5	48:17 77:15,19	assistance 33:16,21
allow 34:11 163:15	answer 54:16,22	Applications 32:10	81:15 92:13,15,16	36:6 142:18 177:3
168:19 183:2	114:8 115:10	applied 135:7	92:17,22,22 93:6	Assistant 3:14
188:18	121:1 125:19	155:8	93:10 94:2,15	27:15,16 131:15
allowance 215:5	126:3 127:1 145:9	applies 176:20	95:6,7,9,12,19	221:22
allowed 8:22 21:13	145:17 158:18	apply 58:12 59:5	96:3,3 109:4	assisted 40:17
38:22	182:7 191:19	71:22	156:22 173:19	Associate 222:3
allows 34:21 215:4	192:9	appointed 69:22	176:15,19 177:4	associated 38:4
alter 63:17	answered 97:20	76:16 114:5	212:10 213:9	44:9,14 75:9
alternative 20:9	answering 28:19	233:14	214:6	126:12 155:4
22:6 103:18	192:11	appointment	areas 75:21 109:4	Association 2:4 4:9
141:20 185:22	answers 102:8	114:14	109:12,13,14	34:2 128:1,13,15
207:9,10	116:6 197:10	appreciate 8:9	130:18	158:3
alternatives 115:8	anti 66:20 112:22	19:13 27:6 47:5	Arends 2:15 188:2	assume 138:21
202:5	anti-nuclear	57:1 65:6 78:5	190:3 192:22	161:9
altruistic 66:3	216:22	90:15 152:6	193:3,5 195:16,17	assurance 63:5
ambulance 156:5	anybody 11:10	168:13 169:1	195:22 196:13	106:17 149:6
amended 35:22	197:11 200:2,3	180:13 216:6	argument 90:13	assure 158:12
amendment 36:6	213:15	223:6	arguments 201:14	assuredly 221:9
America 24:18	anyway 127:6	appreciative 67:8	Arizona 175:18	assuring 119:15
113:8 190:11	204:19	apprehension	arrival 34:13	astonishing 75:1
American 24:21	apologize 91:16	119:9	arrive 163:14	atmosphere 11:6
50:18 75:4 79:9	appalled 110:22	approach 20:3 60:6	arrived 23:4 37:14	atomic 30:18
92:2,7 93:19	appear 12:12	63:7 65:22 133:22	53:11,14 133:13	178:21 228:5

attached 65:21 **Basin** 29:7 31:5 В attacks 74:8 89:12 199:1.2.6.10.16 back 20:15 85:1 **attend** 24:12 basis 17:22 22:1 97:8 99:22 107:6 attendance 24:15 132:5 127:4,5,14 129:18 **basket** 22:12 attending 24:7 130:5 131:14 attention 61:3 bear 21:20 76:6 153:1 175:18 130.15 83:22 98:8 108:19 208:7 Attorney 69:13 186:3 **backbone** 171:21 attractive 31:6 **Bearzi** 58:16 60:8 **backed** 171:3 attributed 144:8 194:2 background 28:1 beautiful 182:20 attributes 130:16 62:11 94:20 audience 8:21 203:2 132:19 161:9 **beauty** 18:15 106:22 210:18 **backup** 22:13 222:13 **audits** 149:5.11 backvard 108:7 authorities 98:19 **becoming** 211:22 back-end 6:7 185:8 authority 158:6,9 **bed** 29:9 **bad** 85:19 159:22 158:14,15 160:14 **beds** 20:17 234:10 160:17 began 32:13,20 **Bailey** 1:14 107:4 authorization 130:7 137:15 109:2 111:14 32:10 39:21 40:2 175:17 113:13 122:20 40:4 beginning 36:5 balance 21:19 authorized 35:18 40:14 54:15 123:10 36:5 142:12 143:14 231:2 ballgame 159:7 203:10 **begins** 208:7 **ballot** 66:5 authorizing 72:13 **behalf** 19:7 25:15 ban 21:11 74:11 47:21 128:6 209:5 bar 82:18,20 automobile 177:4 behave 122:5 216:8 **bargain** 184:10 **behaved** 216:7 avail 11:13 203:13 availability 62:20 behavior 76:4 **barren** 229:2 **available** 8:3 9:5,5 182:15 Barrett 2:22 85:15 145:8,17,18 **behold** 11:6 222:10 225:9,11 145:19 187:8 **Belfer** 222:4 225:12 227:18,19 **AVAT** 212:17 **belief** 77:6 111:12 **barrier** 29:15 30:4 215:16 158:8 **Barrows** 221:13 average 17:2 **believe** 15:5 16:1 base 136:9 183:8 149:22 16:10 17:2 18:7 198:17 avoid 72:2 221:2 19:21 24:18 28:21 based 23:2 50:14 award 173:10,13 30:1 35:11 44:1 53:10 64:19 82:16 aware 204:15 45:19 46:19 47:10 82:20 100:6 222:21 49:6 53:15 54:6 178:19 193:20 **AYERS** 1:13 54:21,22 70:8 209:16 104:18 159:19 73:21 75:7 76:19 **baseline** 49:12,14 160:18 161:8 89:2,16 100:11,15 60:18,20 162:7 163:1 164:1 100:17 104:2,10 basically 71:8 164:6,11 110:7 111:6 107:13 180:6 **a.m** 1:9 5:2 127:14 118:12 120:16 198:20 127:15 123:19 135:10 **basics** 208:6,7

169:13 171:15 180:7 225:3 233:12 Ben 1:23 3:13 25:16 27:9 benchmarking 176:12 **beneath** 84:17 beneficial 120:6 benefit 16:15 109:15 153:22 195:13 **benefits** 16:1 73:10 73:15 75:7 76:20 153:13,20 154:6 155:10,15 benefitted 15:8 **Berber** 2:14 185:2 188:1 190:2,4,5 Berkeley 168:2 **Berry** 1:19 3:8 14:2 14:3 19:1.9 **best** 35:7 51:6 85:6 100:12 106:18 117:9 176:16 177:5 194:17 196:4 best-qualified 150:21 **bet** 122:7 **better** 17:15 42:12 88:20 115:5 122:5 155:18 191:19 **beyond** 104:12 **Bianca** 24:14 **Bienvenidos** 218:4 **big** 204:21 225:19 **biggest** 103:3 Bill 2:5 4:11 128:1 139:9 **billion** 72:15 74:2 79:19 80:4 84:7 84:14 115:3 **billions** 84:20 **Bingaman** 1:21 3:9 19:4.8 23:8 53:2 53:18 54:6 67:5

biologist 214:14 **biology** 214:15 bipartisan 129:5 bit 27:22 40:21 106:17 154:1 156:8 159:11 193:22 200:5 228:21 **black** 92:4 **blame** 214:17 **blamed** 77:22 **blessed** 69:21 **blowing** 226:2 **Blue** 1:1 5:7 6:1 14:4 19:11 22:7 58:3 78:12 80:17 83:16 139:8 170:19 178:11 185:7 192:14 225:14 **Board** 113:18 114:15 116:10.11 130:7 147:19 **Bob** 2:1 3:20 57:9 65:11 69:15 **body** 49:11 50:7 118:3 120:1 197:3 232:5.6 **bolster** 201:14 **bombs** 218:14 **bono** 170:6 **book** 201:13 **border** 93:3 204:10 **borders** 92:3,15 93:5 171:10 **bore** 31:4,8,11,15 **bottom** 29:14 114:9 **bound** 21:9 boundary 93:20 **Brantley** 199:11,14 200:4 **break** 26:19 81:4 165:5,7 203:11 breaking 86:21 **breath** 219:19 **Brent** 1:10.13 14:10

hwidged 221.1	hymaga 90.21	appitalizing 207.11	angag 176,2 22	certifications
bridged 231:1 brief 25:19 129:14	bypass 89:21	capitalizing 207:11	cases 176:2,22	150:19
	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	Capitol 84:19	Casey 35:12	
briefer 9:20	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ 5:1	caps 228:20	cask 165:17,19	certified 36:8,9
briefings 145:3	Cabinet 58:5	captive 185:19	168:1	37:22 150:15
briefly 37:8	calcium 119:19	carbon 106:1	caskets 207:22,22	166:1
brigade 154:8	232:6	carcinogen 212:9	casks 100:18	certifies 149:7,13
155:16 P : 14 - 201 12	calculations 71:11	card 35:3 216:17	107:11 157:20	certify 37:4 38:18
Brighter 201:13	75:19 76:3 199:15	cardboard 68:5	165:21 166:14	106:10,11
brine 31:18 69:12	California 134:11	care 7:16 205:2,4	167:1,16,19,19,20	cesium 215:19
bring 98:8 99:22	200:7 215:3,4	cared 213:14	187:13,20	cesium-137 179:21
186:8 197:19	California's 215:7	career 175:17	catastrophic 68:14	cetera 207:13
bringing 186:12	call 39:2 49:9	carefully 53:7	categories 81:7	CFR 106:12
210:19		151:11	82:9,16 83:7	cfs 199:16
brings 147:16	110:17,17 165:17	Carlsbad 2:5,6	category 82:4	CH 148:11,14
broad 134:1	169:3 194:11	4:16 7:2 9:19	Catherine 222:10	149:2
broader 219:22	called 12:4 115:22	10:5,8,18 24:11	225:10 227:22	chagrin 95:13
brochure 215:8	119:3,19 130:15	28:3,15 30:18	cause 156:13	Chair 1:13 5:20
broke 22:2	130:22 197:8	37:15,16 42:5	228:18 232:9,11	9:16 13:20 18:22
broken 86:4 206:19	219:18	59:20 88:16 90:1	caused 228:15	19:3 23:11,20
206:22	calling 229:7	92:18 120:1 128:2	causes 93:11	25:12 27:11,14
brother 75:15	calls 42:13	128:3 129:11	causing 212:10	46:8 48:5 51:22
brought 20:16 69:8	Camille 228:1	139:10,11 140:2	228:20	56:22 57:5,16,20
69:10 202:5	campaign 135:7	140:21 141:7	CBFO 146:21,21	65:10 78:4 127:7
brush 204:14	campaigning	142:13 145:2	147:16 149:5,13	127:16 139:1,3,6
bucket 76:7	230:15	146:6 170:19	CCNS 193:8,13	145:22 152:5
budget 84:7 147:6	campaigns 136:10	172:14,20,21	196:5	153:5 159:18
Buey 93:4	cancer 80:15	173:1,5 174:10,11	cease 169:2 228:5	164:14 168:11,16
build 89:20,21	181:10 205:9	175:4,14 176:19	celebration 37:16	170:11,14 172:10
137:7 230:17	229:12	198:22 199:10	cells 107:22	172:13 174:19,22
building 84:8 133:5	candid 96:21	203:16 207:21	center 59:21	175:6,9 177:19,22
185:22 206:14	119:21	211:3,9 214:13	193:10 222:4	180:12,15,21
builds 134:4	candidate 22:22	224:16	centers 130:17	182:10 184:21
built 199:13	30:20 53:8	Carlsbad's 16:16	central 91:22 98:1	187:16,22 189:20
bumps 55:20	candor 75:14 76:2	173:6,14	centralized 81:1	190:1 192:18,21
bunk 162:13,18,20	77:10 112:16	Carolina 99:16	83:19 85:10 86:18	195:15,19,21
burden 21:20 33:8	canyon 93:4,4	101:16 102:5	109:12 123:9	196:12 198:9,12
83:21 118:4	capabilities 103:17	carried 48:3 174:7	148:5	200:9,11 202:15
179:11 195:12	155:7 179:4	carrier 132:7 142:5	certain 43:17 50:2	202:18 205:21
burdened 85:16	capability 50:7	carriers 137:4	161:21	206:2 208:11,20
86:20	154:18 155:18	150:4 159:7	certainly 6:16 48:9	209:1 211:10,12
Bureau 58:16,17	capable 156:7	carries 165:17	104:1 114:14,18	212:15,20 215:10
buried 81:15,21	191:11	166:15	115:15 121:18	215:14 216:2,16
burn 166:8	capacity 48:16	carry 165:12,16	152:9 157:7	217:22 219:12
burning 183:9	51:16 73:4,6	carrying 118:4	158:20 159:16	222:6,8 225:5,8
business 24:7 42:8	121:20 123:17,19	165:10 204:9	certification 39:10	227:17,21 230:20
49:20 152:1	125:2 154:13	case 52:19 98:10	61:7 120:22 121:4	231:5,9,14,17,21
buzzer 174:18	capital 207:9,10	164:17 214:9	197:22 198:3	232:1,8,13,16,21
	•	•	•	•

233:6 234:3	227:14	211:18	Cold 47:15 49:22	9:12 46:13 49:5
Chairman 9:15	checked 187:14	city 14:5 30:18	50:19	105:20 106:7,14
10:3 12:14 13:19	229:22	183:4 184:5,7	colder 228:19	106:16 113:20
25:21 52:2 55:11	checking 163:10,11	civil 113:16 114:6	collaboration 34:3	120:18 168:17
56:21 57:15 58:3	checks 161:10	117:8 118:13,22	collaborative 20:2	196:22 210:17
65:14 78:3 91:1	cheek 92:6	157:19 198:18	54:1 60:6 133:21	231:16,22 232:14
97:8 105:21	cheer 210:2	224:21	collaboratively	COMMENTERS
120:17 121:17	cheering 37:13	civilian 63:21	78:22 87:5	2:8
127:4 128:6,7	chemicals 155:1,3	135:15	colleagues 10:8	comments 4:20 8:2
172:17 180:19	chemistry 63:9	claim 58:8 186:2	26:11 28:14 37:13	9:14,19 14:7 24:4
193:4 196:10,16	Chief 58:16	claimed 98:11	42:3 47:17 51:1	48:7,10 154:1
challenged 98:14	child 205:6	claims 215:16	129:10 194:10	170:21 178:10
98:16	children 48:18	clapping 95:15	collective 172:4	216:10 235:2
Challenger 205:17	122:9,11 172:22	clarify 125:19	colleges 44:10	commercial 21:5,8
challenges 17:18	173:2 186:4 214:2	Class-C 81:17	Colorado 161:4	81:3 82:22 85:21
97:19 172:8 192:2	214:5 229:18	clean 45:22 149:4	Columbia 186:17	100:9 120:11
challenging 196:1	234:9	171:12 186:2	combined 147:2	125:3 136:15
chance 157:15	chip 203:9	206:13 207:19	come 5:15 11:10,15	142:11 144:8,20
change 12:6 49:14	chlorine 167:12	208:5	12:17,20 18:8	160:4,6 190:14
52:20 60:20 76:11	choice 229:6	cleaned 44:20,22	19:14 43:18 96:16	Commission 1:1,9
176:6 191:12	choose 11:4 58:1	45:4 107:19	97:2 113:2 116:6	3:4,16,25 4:18 5:8
203:13	175:3	151:17	123:4 155:10	6:1,3,11,18 7:18
changed 12:7 52:10	Chorus 195:20	cleaning 47:14	156:22 159:8	8:1,3,4,9,18,19
52:16 72:7	chose 14:11 22:4	cleanup 16:4 18:6	169:4 207:6	12:12,19 13:2
changed-our-mind	81:10 207:7	79:1,17,18,20	217:18 227:14	14:4,9 15:1 19:12
72:3	chosen 21:22 179:9	87:6,10 88:18,20	231:21 232:19	19:13,20 20:6,11
changing 125:20	Christopher 2:12	89:1,8 171:11	234:2	22:7,8 23:5,7,18
Chapter 181:11	172:16 175:10	213:7	comes 33:9 35:14	23:21 24:3 25:22
characteristics	178:1	clear 29:8 50:14	91:8 154:6,6	26:2 30:19 36:9
31:21 72:18	Chu 136:1 138:15	63:16 64:18 99:8	168:22 174:13	37:4 47:7 48:7
characterization	206:11	107:16 112:3	215:8	58:4,13 59:17
31:13 36:21 37:2	circumstances	123:3 126:5	comfort 163:16	61:13 65:9 70:19
38:17 39:1 109:3	213:12	146:15 159:3	comfortably 219:8	74:15 78:12 80:18
147:3 148:6,22	citation 162:1	220:5	coming 5:9,22	83:16 110:9 113:1
149:7	cited 152:21	clearly 22:10 42:14	41:13 57:2 90:20	115:6 120:19
characterizing	citing 218:6	50:4 56:10 113:10	126:6,8 198:16	128:8 129:6,14
146:17	citizen 13:4 48:17	climate 77:8	214:18 217:9	133:2 136:13
Charles 2:12	97:18 185:1,4	clock 206:7	223:22	138:16,17 139:9
175:11 178:2	187:2 190:8	close 65:5 85:7 87:8	command 143:9	150:16 166:2
180:16 181:7	citizens 13:3 14:12	92:21 96:2 103:4	156:11,16	170:20 172:18
charter 6:13,19	58:4 90:6 97:18	108:16 115:3	Commander	178:4,12 181:7
22:10	98:17 99:2 121:21	127:3 134:15	156:17,20,21	185:7 186:11
Chaturvedi 2:15	169:18 182:19	216:9 217:13	commend 113:6	191:6 192:9,14
190:3 193:2	187:9 192:22	closely 151:11	115:5	193:4 196:6,10,17
196:14,16,18	193:7 212:3	closure 96:12	commended	202:13 219:21
198:10	214:21	coal 228:10	133:14	220:20 221:11
check 166:13 227:7	citizens-based	coarse 207:21	comment 9:1,3,4	222:18 225:14
	•	-	-	-

	l			
Commissioner	12:9 20:1,18	compliant 149:9	137:8	consideration
104:17 117:10	21:20 30:22 43:22	complicated 82:8	conduct 6:6 40:6	94:10
122:19 234:6	44:12 48:3,12	complies 106:11	conducted 31:15	considerations
Commissioners 5:4	51:10 59:12,14	comply 115:1	31:19 35:1 47:1	123:10
9:11,22 10:10	65:2,3,4 74:3 89:2	component 105:4	51:7	considered 21:2,5
11:19 13:1,12,17	91:11 92:2,7	components 37:1	conducting 49:20	61:17,21 70:13
23:17 46:11,20	93:19 105:5 108:1	38:4,8,9 59:8	197:20	81:18 94:21 108:9
91:1 97:11 127:4	108:11 120:7	131:8	confidence 23:3	120:16 137:1,2
146:3 229:12	171:5 177:17	comprehensive 6:6	51:20 53:11 70:9	200:2
233:21	188:5 190:7	202:1	77:2,3 112:4	considering 34:8
Commission's 6:5	191:17,19 209:6	compromise 21:13	118:17 192:12	64:5 129:6 136:13
6:12 25:8 138:6	209:11 210:11	44:16 97:3	confident 26:8	191:1 195:14
178:22	219:1	compromised	69:16 110:20	199:21
commitment 74:4	community's 193:9	221:8,15	configuration	considers 20:8 26:2
128:22 133:15	companies 161:18	compromises 53:19	34:22	51:11
commitments	company 185:12	computer 166:11	confiscated 80:1	consistent 87:19
99:15	202:13	187:3 188:18	confiscating 108:14	consolidation
committed 70:14	compare 152:14	concentrated	conforms 106:5,7	83:17
110:18	167:10	157:11	confused 197:6	constant 34:17
committee 26:11	compared 189:16	concentrating	206:15	145:15
68:22 71:12	comparing 202:10	201:19	Congress 21:6,14	constantly 163:2
115:21 116:22	comparison 75:12	concentrations	22:2,10,15 32:8	188:19
213:7 233:22	compatibility	117:20	33:12 36:4 38:11	constituents 26:9
Committees 69:22	29:14	concepts 119:18	38:13 69:5 70:4	Constitution
common 93:3,19	compete 177:6	concern 93:11 96:9	73:1,4 114:18	208:15,15 233:13
129:1 160:18	competent 67:11	96:14 103:3	115:13 130:13	Constitutional
commonly 153:17	competitive 40:5	107:12 169:20	131:10,22 138:12	230:7
154:9	compilation 119:5	193:9 226:13	230:8 234:7	constructed 47:12
communicate	119:14	concerned 10:20	Congressional	construction 32:13
56:10 147:14	complete 24:22	90:6 94:14 120:14	19:11	32:20 33:17 72:13
157:1	124:22	192:22 193:6	Congressman	74:12 185:12,20
communicates	completed 132:13	226:13,15	25:18 107:8	201:6
147:21 151:6	completely 45:4	concerns 65:15	conscientious	consultant 68:11
Communication	51:21 154:21	77:9 83:15 89:14	119:13	196:21
147:10	224:10	89:15 98:6 107:10	consensus 60:7,9	consultation 32:14
communications	completing 147:22	108:11 116:15	consent 194:1	33:14 141:17
34:18 134:4 140:1	complex 24:18	137:9 192:10,11	consequence 48:19	consulted 142:14
communities 44:11	40:13 41:20 45:18	conclude 169:1	101:11	contact 59:2
83:2,14,21 85:22	46:1 61:16 63:13	concluded 29:4	consequences	145:15
86:19 88:18 94:13	79:6 80:2 89:10	201:15	202:9 212:4	contact-handled
94:22 95:13 104:7	124:1 146:9 152:1	concluding 145:10	218:15	106:2 148:11
104:14 107:13	219:3	conclusion 137:21	conservation 37:19	165:16 166:16
108:18,21 115:14	complexity 136:16	152:2	201:20	contain 226:21
119:12 153:11,13	221:19	conclusions 134:12	conservatism 84:18	containers 150:13
176:22 177:12	compliance 32:2,5	condition 34:12	consider 62:20	150:16 163:11
183:14 192:13	43:4 87:15 123:5	conditions 34:9	70:20 175:4 191:6	167:11 190:17
community 10:5,18	197:21 198:2	35:19 36:1 130:18	considerably 62:5	191:21 209:16,19
	177.21 170.2	33.17 30.1 130.10	Complete upiy 02.3	171.21 207.10,17
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		1=110		
containing 108:19	contractor 143:1	corner 171:10	couple 53:1 54:11	criticism 47:3
226:22	147:17 178:6	coroner 143:10	59:6 85:2 88:17	cross 170:1 173:19
containment	contractors 48:2	corporate 147:19	104:20 171:1	cruel 204:3
166:17,18 170:9	147:12 174:5	185:11,18	186:17,21	crust 209:19
contains 179:4	188:12 194:22	correct 90:17	course 7:18 9:7	CT 75:5
contaminated	contracts 123:5	105:15 121:15	11:15 22:8 29:6	Cuban 50:18
79:14 80:3,5	137:8	155:7 163:5	29:12 30:20 51:18	cubic 45:12 80:3,4
108:6,8,15 123:22	contrast 135:13	206:12	75:1 98:9 106:10	81:14 199:7
125:9 146:12	167:11	correlation 136:5	110:6 124:7 143:7	cuisine 18:17
151:20 204:22	contributing 11:3	corresponding	143:13 198:4	cultural 94:5
230:8,13 233:20	80:5	114:1 153:20	223:21	103:11
contaminating	contribution 15:16	155:15	Courts 99:22	culture 173:7,14
183:10 231:12	98:18	corridor 129:22	covered 68:5	176:6
contamination	contributions	140:8,21 141:5	143:21	curies 151:19
80:6 88:6 90:4	24:13	144:21 155:22	cowboy 52:11	curious 125:16
94:11,15,20 183:3	contributor 177:16	corridors 144:1	Co-Chair 1:10	current 43:20
183:11 213:10	control 98:11	154:19	23:19	87:13 88:2
contemplated	198:20 208:17	corrode 209:18	Co-Chairman 3:5	currently 122:22
135:12	controlled 141:9,13	corruption 182:14	12:15	125:22 134:22
contemplating	142:2 144:12	cost 17:1 73:15	Co-Founder 193:6	136:21 190:13
201:2	controls 151:12	77:1 84:12 205:7	co-generation	cut 215:6
context 75:11	Cont'd 4:2	costs 74:6 79:19	201:20	cutting 184:4
220:8	conundrum 99:12	121:10 153:19	Co-Members 209:6	cycle 6:8 24:17
contingent 115:11	122:18	Council 149:18	crater 168:4	124:22 172:9
continuation	convene 57:6	184:6	create 16:12 77:8	185:9 217:3
120:21	convened 1:9	count 49:11 197:3	83:19	224:19
continue 14:21	Convention 178:22	211:1,1	created 137:11	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
18:7,9 85:4,5	conversations 18:4	counted 49:10	198:7	3:1 4:2
89:15 107:7	conversion 126:17	197:3	credibility 40:18	
118:18 124:4,9	converting 126:12	counter 227:6	41:5 60:14 100:7	D
125:8 132:1 176:8	convey 26:14 58:10	counting 50:8	111:9 120:15	D 5:1
192:5 230:13	convince 75:17	211:2	192:2	daily 17:22 48:16
231:13 233:12	convoluted 82:9	countries 179:3	credible 116:5,22	Dale 2:10 169:6
234:9,12	cooker 166:20,22	country 7:16 12:1	174:7	170:15,18
continued 17:12	Cooper 218:2	14:13 33:20 49:1	credibly 23:5 53:12	Dam 199:11,15
24:2 40:2 60:18	219:13,15	58:21 72:4 73:20	crime 228:9	200:4
124:12 134:21	cooperate 110:16	99:14 104:4	criminal 230:14	damage 212:8
188:16	cooperation 32:14	140:22 145:14	234:12,14,17	223:16
continues 10:19	33:14 176:12	150:21 171:21	criteria 29:12,22	dances 103:12
176:19	cooperative 64:20	179:14 197:13	30:2 31:1 43:2	dangers 203:22
continuing 18:3	92:11 130:10	203:7 204:19	72:16 161:21	217:3
126:20	cooperatively	207:4 217:15	220:22 221:2,6	dangling 203:19
continuities 141:18	137:4	230:5	criterion 215:1	dark 185:5
continuous 44:2	coordination	country's 135:8	critical 105:1 131:8	data 62:15,15 88:2
87:21	134:13	190:13 211:22	138:15 158:9	117:14 119:4
contract 40:6,7,9	copy 53:4	county 90:1,1	177:2 187:2	188:19
112:13 161:18,19	core 174:7	158:15 173:4	198:16	date 72:15 114:10
112.13 101.10,17	COIC I / T. /	150.15 1/5.7	170.10	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

1 14 245 2	l	l ,,,,,,,	1	l
daughter 215:2	decommissioned	114:22	designate 141:20	222:1
Dave 1:24 2:13	107:11 123:8	demonstrated	142:1	develops 63:17
3:18 57:8 58:5	decommissioning	17:22	designated 1:18 3:2	devices 122:1
180:17 182:12	124:6 125:7 126:4	demonstrating	5:7 94:1 95:8	180:11
184:22	126:10,21	49:13 210:5	124:20 141:18	devising 6:22
dawn 189:7	dedicated 47:7	denial 177:10	142:10 164:19	devoted 224:22
day 12:14 18:19	deep 58:20 64:8	Department 1:23	designed 34:4	devoured 234:10
95:15 132:11	69:12,12 72:5	1:25 2:5 3:19	135:20 149:2	diabetes 229:13,15
204:8 234:21	99:11 168:4 196:2	6:14 21:2,4 28:3	199:11,12	229:16
days 14:11 69:15	deeply 7:17 47:16	32:15 34:1 35:2	designee 58:5	diagnosis 75:8
103:11 104:21	defect-free 144:17	37:1,21 38:14,16	desirable 72:18	diagnostic 74:19
138:1 139:12	160:11	38:22 40:7 41:14	157:21	dialogue 42:11
150:3 171:1	defense 39:21 40:4	41:19 42:4 45:4	desire 26:15 159:13	96:22
175:18	65:16 73:18,20	45:18,22 47:22	desires 65:9	Diaz 2:20 218:5
deadline 96:11,16	74:6 99:18 114:2	50:2,11 57:9 58:7	despair 76:6	died 226:5 233:2,3
deal 18:2 109:10	116:8 174:15	59:15,22 61:9	despite 214:6	diet 71:17
223:8	defense-related	66:1 78:19 79:1	destroy 222:16	differ 117:7
dealing 42:17	146:13	79:18 82:14 85:21	226:18	differences 136:12
159:7	deferred 22:3	87:16 93:17 95:11	detail 61:3 199:21	158:6
dealt 29:12	define 138:16	121:2 128:2	details 6:13	different 13:16
Dear 23:19	152:10,17	129:11 130:7,11	detect 197:14	34:15 41:8 46:14
death 233:11,20	defined 20:5 180:3	130:12 131:12	deteriorate 130:19	50:12 66:12 68:3
deaths 214:12	definitely 48:3	133:12 137:15	determination	69:18 76:22 82:9
debate 131:11	56:15	140:2 144:5	76:14	82:11 94:7,12
decade 10:13	definitions 82:8	145:16 146:8	determinations	109:8 112:20
decades 49:21	degradation	148:17 162:14	77:5	114:17 115:7,12
50:16 71:15,16	182:18	172:15 173:5	determine 117:21	118:3 123:10
75:1 86:1	degree 26:6 228:14	183:19 184:5	determined 70:5	139:20 145:13,20
decay 76:10 179:20	228:17,22	187:1,10 194:3,15	146:8	158:16,22 176:4
deceit 182:15	degrees 166:9	198:6 231:1	detonations 80:8	190:6 224:3 230:4
deceitful 204:3	229:1	Department's	develop 60:11,18	differently 43:14
decide 22:15 71:3	deja 213:4	58:11 59:9	73:6 104:6 129:15	153:18
194:9	del 93:4	departure 34:16	141:5 171:12	difficult 42:18
decided 6:19 21:14	Delaware 29:7 31:5	151:12	developed 20:1	75:17 87:1 113:7
73:2	delay 91:17	depend 9:8	21:16 60:15 73:2	122:17 125:16
deciding 98:2	delegated 114:3	depending 63:1	74:15 87:4 96:20	165:5 194:6,8
decision 23:2 53:10	Delegation 19:11	deplorable 218:21	129:4 133:17	difficulty 135:15
98:2 108:1 123:11	deliberations 58:13	deployment 182:3	134:16 141:7	dimensions 47:13
138:7 161:13	delineate 42:15	deposited 10:14	192:7	122:15 123:3
179:13 194:5,6,8	delineating 41:1	depository 51:13	developing 133:1	155:6
decisions 14:8 50:3	delivered 19:5	deposits 29:6 221:4	136:10 137:5	diminish 76:9
64:19 77:10,11	23:14 53:3	Deputy 69:13	development 15:20	diminished 40:14
88:19 89:1,4	demand 113:12	110:13 196:18	17:13 18:5 35:17	direct 122:13
98:15 115:16	218:10	describe 165:8	42:14 50:1 109:6	directed 66:8
178:17 195:8	demands 44:6	described 138:12	140:4 172:15	157:14
decisively 20:22	171:18	154:16	173:5 182:2	direction 6:4
deck 70:17	demonstrate 32:2	design 22:17 30:17	192:15 201:6	directly 78:18

02.15 02.5 0 16	45.16.65.16	111.2 5 114.00	Dom 00.7	d
92:15 93:5,9,16	45:16 65:16	111:3,5 114:22	Don 90:7	dumped 213:11,15
133:18 158:19	114:11 148:17	132:1 133:14	door 219:17	dumping 182:5
184:1	disposed 45:12	135:15 136:17	dose 71:6 76:3	205:19
Director 24:14	151:20 180:10	137:10 146:5,14	DOT 106:3	Duran 1:22 3:13
78:10 128:12	disposing 33:18	146:15,18 147:5	dots 79:8	25:15,17 27:12
146:4 185:4 193:6	43:8 123:22	147:11,12,16	double 166:16,18	dwarfed 154:21
196:18	124:17 209:12	148:14 150:14	downstream 78:19	DWIs 162:2
dirt 219:5	228:7	151:17 156:20	downwind 78:19	dying 214:16
dirt-poor 219:7	disposition 42:9	174:4 183:1	Dr 66:10,13 106:6	D'Ouville 2:20
disagree 42:10	64:7 126:14 179:8	188:12 193:14	114:8 178:2	216:19 218:2,4,5
62:14 97:1,2	179:9	194:21 197:18	180:17 182:11,13	E
disappeared	dispositioning	DOE's 46:21 132:6	184:13,21 207:20	
179:20	138:8	DOE-Carlsbad	drain 123:6	E 5:1,1
disappointed 203:3	disputes 70:22	4:12	dramatically 84:2	earlier 27:2 82:7
disaster 224:13	disqualified 221:5	doing 56:17 118:6	drawn 19:16	98:22 131:15
disciplined 122:4	disregard 219:1	121:9 134:3	221:21	204:6
discretionary	disrespected	162:20 170:4	drilled 31:8	early 20:15 29:2
162:19	218:11	180:8 204:14	drilling 31:15	44:2 59:12 60:6,8
discuss 17:11 58:10	disruption 183:14	206:22 214:1	drinking 183:4,6	60:22 75:7 87:21
128:9 186:13	dissolution 69:12	224:6 233:15	183:10 226:6	133:8,14 138:3
200:3	dissolve 208:1	dole 200:22	229:11 232:4	175:15
discussed 157:17	distances 130:17	dollars 79:19 84:20	drive 12:13 162:6	earned 222:22
185:6	distribution 74:1	89:6	162:16 224:9	earth 206:4 228:6
discussion 8:8	111:5	domain 92:6	driven 161:22	228:11,13,14
54:12	distrust 86:10	dome 93:1	162:11	earthquake 186:9
discussions 43:10	disturbed 31:22	Domenici 1:14 3:6	driver 18:9 95:17	earth's 228:17
130:7 133:11	89:12	9:15,17,18 12:21	102:16 162:9,10	easier 73:3
disdain 223:8	diverse 69:11,17	13:6 14:16 24:1	162:12,12,16	easy 76:22 123:11
disease 75:8 229:13	diversified 109:13	38:13 52:1,18,22	163:9 164:8,18	165:7
disobedience	diversity 134:1	54:3,10 55:5,9	drivers 35:7,7	eat 68:7
224:22	170:2	56:20 62:1 67:4	150:20,21 159:4	eating 71:19
disposal 21:9 29:4	divine 229:7	88:7,11,14 90:10	161:10,17 162:22	echo 48:10 222:17
29:11,21 30:2,10	document 136:9	90:19 97:4,7	driving 16:15	economic 15:20
32:12,22 35:19	187:5	104:17 106:13	161:20	16:2 18:9 33:15
36:1,3,21 37:3	documents 186:8	107:1 120:17	drop 36:11 106:5	36:5 61:18 109:6
45:20 49:13 58:21	187:2,6	122:7 125:18	166:4	171:17 177:11
64:6,9,18 69:2	DOD 183:1	127:2 139:8	dropped 166:6	201:9,11 202:12
70:7 72:4,5 73:17	DOE 3:2 10:5 12:6	164:21 167:7	drum 82:4 106:2,4	economical 29:22
76:13 81:19 85:14	12:9 32:9 46:15	168:7,10 201:1	drums 92:17 93:1	30:6
87:1 94:19 96:3	46:17 47:17 50:15	203:4	229:22 230:2	economically
99:11 103:4,18	64:22 65:18 66:12	Domenici's 194:20	dry 100:18 107:11	200:19 224:8
104:3 107:22	67:2,22 70:1,6	dominantly 157:18	190:17 209:17,18	economy 15:17
120:11 146:17	73:2,3,22 83:20	Dominguez 1:20	dubious 218:13	16:16 182:19
147:9 148:11	85:16 86:3,4 87:6	2:11 3:10 19:5,6	due 205:2	203:21 223:13
178:16 220:3,7,17	88:21 89:18 96:10	23:12 170:16	DUIs 162:2	Eddy 90:1 173:3
221:18,20	96:15,21,22	172:16 175:10,12	dump 78:21 212:12	edge 199:19
dispose 21:3,5	103:16 109:22	175:13 177:20	217:11	educate 77:7 217:2
	100.10 107.22	1,0.10 1/7.20		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

educated 203:22	element 21:12	ended 168:3	144:10	46:4 48:15 50:1,3
educating 191:17	51:14 134:19	endorsed 113:3	enhances 15:19	50:6 51:2 57:9,10
educating 171.17	154:11 158:9	energy 1:23 2:5	enjoined 73:12	59:16,18,20 62:7
191:2	elements 53:17	3:14 6:4 14:13	enjoy 18:14,16	63:10 65:12 79:17
educational 127:9	66:16 130:14	15:3,9,15 16:22	153:12	80:6 83:4 85:17
EEG 39:22 66:7,17	146:13	17:1,13,19 18:2,4	enjoyed 202:6	87:10 88:3 89:14
70:9 77:19 110:3	eliminates 85:9	18:7 21:2 27:15	enjoyed 202.0 enjoys 23:3 53:10	89:22 90:3 100:15
110:12 196:18	86:14	28:4 30:18 32:10	enlighten 49:3	109:21 119:5
EEG's 77:2	eliminating 74:5	32:15 34:1 35:2	enormous 119:8	146:19 148:15
effect 11:8,8 40:9	else's 108:7 169:17	38:14 40:7 41:20	enrichment 25:4	178:8 193:15
94:12 188:15	email 181:3 216:11	45:5,18 46:1	ensure 23:1 34:4	211:19 212:7
228:16	235:3	47:22 50:2,11	36:12 53:9 87:17	environmentally
effective 87:22	emergencies 155:9	56:4 59:15 79:2	113:8 137:9	11:4
142:4	emergency 63:11	79:18 82:14 85:22	143:18,20 149:9	envision 29:19
effectively 18:2	64:16 103:17	87:17 93:17 95:11	ensuring 67:9	EPA 61:6 64:22
97:21 149:3	131:6 140:15	113:9,10 128:2	69:18 130:1 140:9	70:1,6 71:2,21
effectiveness	142:19 143:3,4,11	129:9,11 130:6,8	enter 24:4	106:10,11 114:20
138:19	143:16,17,19	130:12 131:13	entered 32:17	118:10 148:16
effects 27:2 31:18	144:2 145:16	133:12 135:1	enterprise 218:16	149:11,15 188:8
88:3 119:10	151:8 154:7,13,18	137:15 140:2	enthusiasm 10:9	188:14 198:1
224:20	155:6 157:8	144:5 146:8	entire 15:7 16:1	equally 72:21
efficacy 30:10	176:22 177:3,9	171:13,18 178:21	21:20 48:7 49:11	155:8
efficiency 26:18	emissions 11:10	186:1,1,2 191:14	65:3 186:20	equipment 63:1
27:1	emphasis 156:9	194:15 201:2,15	entirety 68:18	147:6
efficient 39:6 148:6	175:21	201:17,20 202:2	181:5	equipped 151:1
effort 8:10 75:22	emphasize 33:22	206:11 207:10,11	entities 145:20	erode 208:1
114:16 119:20	35:13 53:19 56:8	231:2	entity 67:1 191:10	es 215:9
120:2 129:15	62:11	Energy's 6:14	entry 31:17 160:15	escort 161:14 162:8
efforts 66:4 115:6	emphasized 28:22	78:20	enviable 152:9	escorts 161:10,12
135:11,18 136:9	emplacement 21:9	enforceable 87:15	environment 1:24	161:12 205:10,15
137:14 151:15	employed 133:20	enforcement 87:19	3:19 16:9 36:22	especially 14:15
178:12 184:6	136:3 173:1	144:20	37:20 38:15,22	51:15 78:14
200:22	employee 156:21	engage 98:19 104:6	41:14 42:4 51:5	169:12 194:19
eggs 22:11	employees 175:20	104:7	58:6,11 59:22	203:20 217:5,15
eight 66:12	176:21	engaged 88:18 89:3	61:8 66:18 87:12	essence 50:5
Eisenhower's	employment 15:18	97:20 133:9	94:11 101:6 113:4	essential 21:12
219:2	Empty 142:10	engagement 50:14	148:17 151:22	30:6 33:4,6 42:16
either 51:12 57:22	en 34:13 144:19	89:7	179:12 182:19	51:15 61:4 66:15
103:22 105:19	encase 209:16	engineer 62:12	183:19 184:4	66:19 67:21 68:9
113:10 162:19	enchantment	190:5 191:16	187:1,10 194:3	69:6 70:16,21
181:19 229:2	210:13 217:11	198:19	198:6 208:18	72:9 73:9 111:7
elected 7:7 76:16	encompass 220:7	engineered 32:21	211:17,17,21	119:1,22
145:4 184:2	encountered 137:3	engineering 32:22	220:15	essentially 31:3
233:14	encourage 7:21	63:9	environmental 2:1	56:14
electric 30:12	69:20	engineers 39:18	3:21 27:2,16 32:3	establish 49:12
electricity 16:19	encouraged 133:22	62:21	36:19 37:21 39:8	147:3,20
185:16	endeavor 177:15	enhanced 134:14	39:10,16 41:15	established 33:15
	•	•	-	-

	İ			
35:18 40:12	95:4 103:21	41:3 127:9 128:9	223:15	199:2 218:17
113:19 140:1	105:10 106:1	129:18 133:5	external 39:14	226:7
146:19,22 148:4,8	107:18 114:19	135:10 157:17	40:13,16 56:13	factor 70:11 71:13
197:7	122:19 123:14	158:2 172:5 178:8	153:19	74:20,22 75:3
establishing 40:18	136:14 147:16	178:19 186:5	extra 196:9	factored 201:21
41:5	154:4 221:1	190:18 193:21	extraction 31:7	factors 61:20 73:14
establishment 7:10	exceed 84:13	220:18 221:21	extraordinarily	194:13
99:18 170:3	exceeded 123:20	223:4	117:20	facts 11:13,20
esteemed 128:7	exceeding 73:5	experienced 211:6	extraordinary	13:15 186:12
estimated 81:14	exceedingly 220:12	experiences 7:12	201:5	191:1
84:12,15	excellent 28:16	experiments	extra-regulatory	fail 171:17
estimates 79:18	96:20 114:16	197:20	135:21 136:6	failed 22:13,21
84:15	120:2	expert 55:17,21	extremely 28:11	179:14
et 207:13	excess 91:12	58:9	46:3 61:15	failure 114:12
eternally 67:8	excesses 218:13	expertise 24:10	eyes 159:22	failures 221:17,20
ethnic 170:2	exchange 41:6	190:21		fair 9:21 70:15
evaluate 60:19	excuse 52:7 195:17	experts 56:9,10,12	<u>F</u>	fairly 23:4 53:12
66:17 69:11 72:2	216:19	137:12 171:4	face 99:13 202:9	77:9 123:2
77:1	Executive 161:1	expired 88:8	faced 192:4	fallout 119:9
evaluated 34:7	193:5	explicit 135:20	faces 99:13,14	falls 231:1
evaluation 2:1 3:21	exempted 36:3	explicitly 21:9	facilitate 137:13	familiar 62:12
39:16,19 57:10	exercises 144:4	explode 205:18	140:1	family 75:18 205:5
59:19 63:4 65:12	exercising 143:19	exploration 31:8	facilities 46:2,15	217:7 218:7
70:9 109:21	exhume 71:9	explore 30:19	50:15 69:2 79:5	fancy 68:6
evaluations 35:1	exist 136:21	123:16 153:22	79:11,13 101:13	far 12:13 130:5
66:21 67:3	existed 12:22	explored 215:19	116:9 117:12	161:17 181:10
Evans 2:21 219:14	existence 229:9	explosions 80:12	123:17 125:3	186:1 192:4
222:9,11 225:6	existing 64:14	155:4	153:14,18 154:12	farmland 183:10
event 36:13	100:12	exposed 50:9 74:20	164:20 190:20	fascinating 127:9
events 150:7	exists 12:5 20:12	80:11 88:5 118:4	191:7 228:8 230:4	fashion 62:2
eventually 92:18	49:2	197:11 205:3	facility 7:11 11:3,7	fatality 152:19
93:2 209:18	expand 85:20	exposure 74:18,19	11:9 13:9 25:5	father 172:21
everybody 12:18	86:21 125:11	75:2,9 80:14	32:21 37:7 48:20	181:9
43:18 104:9	199:17	106:9 148:19	59:2 64:9 66:6	fault 153:1
169:17 170:3,4	expanded 170:9	229:16	92:4,8 93:21	favor 110:15,17
197:5 216:13	expanding 81:2	exposures 50:10	94:19 96:13 118:5	235:1
233:10 234:8	86:4,7 125:1	120:4	118:10,17 124:13	favorite 14:16
ever-larger 220:11	expect 124:13	expressed 48:6	126:8 154:5,5	Fe 89:21 193:10
evidence 30:14	221:7 224:13,14	138:14	191:8	209:7 229:11
104:5	expectations	extend 23:20	facing 17:9 122:18	fears 197:10
evident 43:10	130:13 134:2	extended 9:1 79:20	fact 11:14 17:8	feasible 191:4
135:14	expected 192:5	extensive 60:16	45:21 48:19 68:9	224:8
evolution 110:2	expending 115:3	117:11 154:17	92:4 102:7 103:7	feast 103:11
examiner 143:10	expensive 79:16	161:9 190:18	117:10 121:22	federal 1:18 3:2 5:7
examining 22:6	185:16	193:18 200:20	125:6 154:8,12	6:17 16:11 20:4
example 16:11 18:1	experience 6:21	extensively 157:22	157:17 178:13	32:17 42:8 48:2
51:6 77:2 88:22	26:22 28:8 30:16	extent 87:14	179:15 197:9,17	76:18 93:16 96:7
L	•	•	•	•

			Ì	
98:10 102:17	finding 129:1	flashing 5:14	214:9	Frazier 1:18 3:3
105:9 111:21	fine 67:13 169:16	Flats 45:3 231:11	foreseeable 99:10	5:3,6 57:14,17
114:20 119:6	207:6 232:20	fleet 165:15	218:15	91:16 180:19,22
129:2 131:17	fingers 213:22	flexible 63:16	forever 13:4	216:4 231:15
132:22 133:7	finish 88:10 194:19	64:18	forget 18:16 112:14	232:15 234:20
136:2 137:7,18	195:18,20 215:13	flood 198:20 199:4	form 101:5,8	free 181:3
142:5 151:4	215:15	floor 9:10 46:7	126:13 147:18	French 204:17,17
194:22 205:14	finished 97:5	flow 93:9 199:7,13	207:15	frequently 52:7
224:4	finishing 45:6	199:14,18	formal 8:6 69:7	72:10
federally 91:12	fire 154:8 155:16	flowing 183:6	formalize 132:2	freshly 175:16
93:13	167:21	flows 200:5	formation 209:19	FRIDAY 1:6
federal-state 64:20	fired 230:3	Floy 2:22 222:9	formed 6:3 193:8	friends 217:7
feel 11:2 50:9 63:15	fires 36:15	225:9,11	former 24:1 194:20	front 55:7 159:16
169:18 181:3	firm 182:8	fly 12:13	207:8 221:22	203:20
213:4 216:4	firmly 135:9	flying 183:17	222:2	Frosch 221:22
217:12,19	firms 223:11	focus 31:12 67:18	forth 141:11	fruition 96:16
feeling 63:3 180:4	first 7:6,8 9:13 16:5	146:16 147:7	fortunate 14:20	fuel 6:7 7:1 21:6,8
feels 201:10	22:5 28:6 37:10	148:1 175:19	Forum 137:16	21:10,11,15 24:17
feet 82:21 83:12	37:14,22 40:22	focused 43:4 67:20	forward 7:19,21	27:1 30:11 64:2
186:17 199:7,17	43:15 48:9 52:2	151:15	8:11 18:3,13	74:9 80:21,22
fell 222:12	58:19 61:10 73:5	folks 97:14	21:13 23:7 25:7	82:5,21 83:18
fellow 9:22 25:22	73:7 88:17 95:15	follow 8:16 34:10	27:7 44:15 51:11	85:2,6,7,11 86:13
58:4	97:15,16 108:2	68:19 113:14	54:9 56:14 65:19	86:16 100:9
felony 162:5	110:17 133:13	116:8 139:16	67:4 100:19	101:18 122:22
felt 51:2	153:8 154:9	161:15 167:9	105:15 120:10	123:8,13 125:2
Fernald 88:21 89:2	155:22 156:4	219:4	172:8 186:12	129:20 135:8,12
89:8 107:19,21	161:12 169:4,5	followed 117:3	189:4 192:15	157:20 158:4,13
fever 228:15 229:1	173:15 177:1	169:6 170:15	fossil 26:22	163:16 172:9
field 28:2,3 42:5	178:11 179:6,15	172:15 175:10	fostered 173:16	179:1 183:5 185:9
51:8 69:7 129:11	203:3 204:8	178:2 180:17	fosters 134:1	185:14 186:15
139:11 140:3,21	209:15 210:2,6	182:12 185:1	found 22:20 71:13	190:15,17,19,21
141:7 142:14	213:2 220:4	188:1 190:2 193:1	117:16 205:7	191:4,9,20,22
145:2 146:6	firsthand 171:2	196:14 198:13	foundation 64:17	192:1,7,11,17
fighting 170:8	223:18	200:13 202:20	105:14 131:22	206:17 213:11,15
figure 189:4,9	first-class 17:6	206:4 209:2	foundations 144:13	226:11
fill 183:2	fiscal 84:18	211:13 212:17	founded 32:6	fuels 26:22
filled 47:10	fishes 228:18	216:18 218:2	founding 193:13	full 8:4 147:7
final 31:9 187:11	five 44:22 61:8	219:13 222:9	four 69:3 168:3	209:14
189:5	72:17 118:10	225:9 227:22	204:13 225:21	fully 22:6 110:16
finally 43:22 44:18	121:7,8,14 162:8	following 143:2	framework 36:17	full-scale 31:16
137:10 187:4	172:19 173:10	169:4 178:10	42:20 43:20 60:11	144:4
financial 185:13	178:7 186:14	179:5	63:3	full-time 173:1
191:11	205:1 208:1	follow-up 113:15	France 16:17	fun 81:8
find 11:7,20 13:13	five-year 161:18,22	footprint 31:2	Francisco 134:9	functioning 16:13
75:20 87:1 90:14	fixed 153:13,18	force 16:15	frankly 105:7	functions 121:20
102:8,13,19	154:5	forefront 15:6	Frawley 2:9 169:6	fund 65:19
223:20 227:12	flag 128:16	foresee 213:12	169:8,9	fundamentally

158:22	159:19 164:22	233:17 234:2,3	103:6,18 105:7	governs 36:18
fundamentals	216:5	given 30:3 90:12	116:14 120:9	graduates 67:13
159:14	generate 124:5	113:22	121:9 170:17	gram 119:19
funding 33:20	180:9 185:16	gives 120:13 142:4	175:12 178:16	grandchildren
96:15 103:16		gives 120.13 142.4 giving 12:11	179:16 185:3	172:22
137:10 184:4	generated 39:4 43:7 86:17 107:21	233:17		
		: :	187:20 193:3	grandfather 172:20 181:9
funds 142:18 185:21	124:1 126:20	glaciers 228:20	202:13 206:6	
	146:14 195:10	glad 14:6,9 15:1	211:15 212:22 217:15 221:1	grants 44:4,10
furthermore 47:9	generating 179:7	19:9 215:21 225:2	· · · -	graph 36:16 82:15
155:1	179:10,18	globe 18:1	goodness 95:21	82:17 83:6,11
future 1:1 6:2 14:5	generation 80:21	go 10:22 11:2,4	goods 157:10	84:3 85:2
14:12 19:12 26:3	85:8 86:13 87:8	36:17 38:18 48:17	Google 204:20	graphs 82:13 83:1
27:5,8 58:13 59:7	108:17 124:15	55:13 61:22 80:14	Gordon 2:2 3:22	grateful 7:3 47:16
62:2,6,18 71:19	179:6,10 185:10	81:6 99:19 107:6	57:10 78:7,9,10	gray 79:8
76:4 86:8 87:1,13	190:12 191:5	111:1,10,14	86:7 88:9,13	great 14:5 16:10
99:11 108:20	192:8	123:21 160:16	97:15 100:5	17:3 18:1,8,19,20
110:10 112:17	generations 27:5	161:17 163:22	101:19 102:4	27:19 28:11
113:9 124:2 136:9	87:14 172:19	164:4 167:1 180:1	107:6,18 115:19	131:16 177:17,17
137:13 159:17	179:11,16 180:7	214:3,4,6 227:11	116:10,13 120:5	190:8 223:8
171:16,16,18	195:7,12 196:1,4	goal 28:17 60:11	124:19 126:2,22	greater 26:18
172:3 179:11	196:7 207:5	144:10	governing 82:11	73:19 74:20 76:1
180:7 192:2 195:7	210:14	goals 147:20 148:1	government 47:2	125:13
195:12,22 196:3,7	generator 37:6	God 13:8	67:6 84:11 93:16	Greater-than
201:2,17 202:3	141:1 160:11,13	God's 220:9 224:15	96:7 98:10 101:12	81:16
206:10,14 210:13	163:3,8 167:4	goes 113:4 131:14	102:17 111:19,21	Greater-than-Cl
217:5 220:8	gentlemen 67:8	189:12 232:6	111:22 112:18	81:19
	178:5 207:17	going 5:3,10,11	130:21 131:1,17	greatest 185:17
G	234:21	7:20 24:19 37:16	133:3,7 135:3	228:9
G 5:1 81:16	geographic 21:18	45:14 51:11 52:14	137:7 138:3 151:4	greatly 14:20 15:8
Gadbury 35:12	61:18 171:10	58:9 69:5 71:18	181:14 182:16	40:17 134:14
gain 33:1	geographical 73:22	75:16 101:7 103:9	186:14 210:10	green 5:12,13
gained 53:21 134:5	geologic 21:16	104:1 109:18	217:17,19 224:4	168:20
140:4 194:13	29:12 31:14 58:20	110:14,16 112:13	governments 16:12	Greenfield 68:12
gaining 135:16	64:9 99:11 151:18	113:2,11 115:11	government-to-g	greenhouse 228:16
gallons 84:9,16	geologists 67:17	120:1,18 126:8	93:15	Greetings 3:7
86:17 183:5	geology 20:17 63:9	127:5 164:22	governor 67:2	ground 26:13
garbage 169:15,17	geophysics 63:10	180:4 181:16	70:14 112:17	53:21 129:1
212:12	Germany 186:3	186:18 198:21	161:1 184:3	205:19
gas 31:6 221:4	getting 75:11 127:3	205:14 207:6	205:12 207:8	groundwater 80:5
gasoline 156:14	159:12 223:6	208:2 213:6,20	governors 2:4 34:2	209:21
167:13	give 27:22 44:8	216:15 227:13,13	114:5 127:22	group 2:1 3:21 28:5
gather 48:16 49:3	67:22 70:14 91:3	229:4 234:8	128:6,9,12,14,15	39:16,17 57:10
gathering 94:5	91:5,9,20 92:20	good 5:21 12:14	128:18 129:4,7,21	59:19 65:12,20
Geiger 227:6	95:4 96:7 109:20	14:3 19:6 25:17	132:21 135:1,9,22	107:15 109:21
general 5:18 69:13	114:8 157:3	27:18 29:1 43:15	136:7 138:14	111:4 112:19
130:21 139:7	160:17 191:19	62:15 78:9 90:22	158:3	125:17 134:8,18
152:16 153:10	216:5 218:8	92:10 102:14	Governor's 4:9	188:5 195:6
L	1	1	1	1

7.20 56.12	75.16.100.22	50.10.105.1	222.22 225.22	L-L-21.40 11 16
groups 7:20 56:13	75:16 108:22	59:19 105:1	223:22 225:22	holes 31:4,8,11,16
59:14 67:10 97:19	132:18 160:2	106:20 204:18	higher 155:3	holistic 151:22
115:12 120:7	181:2 213:6	211:8	199:22	holocaust 230:10
121:21 139:21	happened 58:12	heard 10:11 35:11	highest 135:2	home 14:15 110:2
grow 52:6	95:20 98:21 108:4	46:16 48:22 58:15	185:19 210:14	214:4
growing 16:16	133:11 152:13	59:21 65:2 69:19	highly 64:13	Homeland 96:1
192:10	happens 117:1	105:6,13 133:3	131:11 212:4	honest 96:21 103:8
growth 191:13	226:19	138:1 139:13	highway 141:9,12	honestly 26:14
192:5	happy 56:7 65:7	150:2 200:2 213:4	141:12 142:2,12	honor 31:10 184:11
guarantee 20:19	176:2	226:14	144:11 150:14	Honorable 14:1
guarantees 200:20	hard 67:2 111:6	hearing 7:20 8:11	153:3 154:9 159:2	178:4
202:4	217:2,4	25:7 59:10 70:15	168:4	honored 14:17
guess 50:17 105:3	hardened 80:20	88:15	highways 64:15	hope 9:20 11:20
106:6 109:11	85:7 86:12 100:11	hearings 24:10	141:22 150:6	12:13 18:14 20:6
111:19 118:14	100:17	26:11 223:4 229:5	229:19 233:11	20:11 26:12 27:4
158:11 218:5,6	harder 86:8	229:21 230:1 host 21:18 201:20	high-level 7:1 21:3	59:4 96:16 97:16
219:6	hardworking 47:21	heat 31:18 201:20	21:10,11,15 64:6	175:2 198:17
guests 58:4	harm 11:10 87:12	heaters 30:13	72:7 76:13 81:22	210:8
guidance 68:18,18 220:20	harness 16:21 17:20	heating 228:11,13 Heaton 63:22	99:17 101:2	hopeful 11:18 horrendous 199:3
			114:11 129:20	
guide 132:4,4,10	Harvard's 222:4	heat-producing 30:12	135:12 174:15	Hospital 143:11
136:8 139:13,14	hat 52:11		178:16 184:13	host 109:18
140:19	hazardous 37:1	Heavens 76:6	203:8,11 205:2	hosted 144:4
guidelines 141:11	38:3,7,9 58:16	held 88:21 103:12	206:22 209:12	hosting 137:15
161:15 203:12	61:9 137:19	Hello 169:8 190:4 216:21	210:19 212:2,6,12	hot 108:3
guys 232:19	143:13 154:22 155:3 156:13	help 6:22 14:7	217:9,12,16,18 218:19 224:12	hours 162:15,16,17 162:18 163:13
H	187:7	66:10 98:7 157:2	226:17	227:4,8
hairdo 52:16,20	hazards 122:17	159:17 192:9	high-quality 159:4	House 69:1
half 179:21 189:8	HAZMAT 215:2	228:4	high-visibility	huge 33:8 35:5
HALFPact 166:18	head 222:2	helped 7:4 47:10	136:10	170:1
HALFPacts 165:13	Headquarters	177:7	Hill 84:19	human 42:1,6
halt 103:9	147:13	helpful 118:15	hire 65:21	233:15
Hamilton 14:10	health 66:18 67:19	helping 78:15	hired 173:21	humanity 228:9
23:19 25:21	70:10 73:13 76:14	113:8 219:9	historical 88:2	humankind 50:20
Hancock 90:7	83:4 87:12 88:3,4	helps 44:11	history 6:21 20:15	humanly 175:22
handheld 187:14	94:12 104:22	Herculean 200:22	23:6 24:16 25:1	humility 75:14
handled 59:3 79:13	105:9 119:4,7	Herron 209:9	39:13 79:17 98:21	77:10
193:19 206:21	169:21 182:18	Hey 72:12	128:21	hundred 186:17
handles 59:2	183:12 204:1	hiatus 71:2	hit 122:20 198:17	hundreds 189:17
handling 165:20	205:1,4 210:12,13	hiccup 55:13	hits 232:5	193:14 213:9
190:19 221:18	224:20	hiding 119:16	hoist 68:10,15	214:16 225:20
hands 76:6 182:16	healthy 205:7	high 15:18 16:12	hold 203:10 208:18	226:4,4
187:4 210:18,21	hear 7:6,8,18 8:21	65:16 82:21 135:8	228:4	hunting 94:5
Hanford 82:2 84:4	9:12 11:17 19:17	136:3 150:18	holding 185:18	hurt 176:1 213:22
Hanford's 84:13,17	24:8 25:14 26:4,8	175:16 176:20	210:7	hurting 232:9
happen 47:19	27:15 47:13,18	211:22 215:20	hole 189:12	husband 72:21
	I	I	ı	ı

Hyott 1.0	136:20 137:14	inclined 216:5	industrial 79:10	input 7:22 133:8
Hyatt 1:9 hydro 201:21	130.20 137.14	218:15	industries 223:14	188:17
		include 6:13 29:22		insane 227:1
hydrologic 29:13 31:14	implemented 148:8 149:3 159:15	61:17 81:3 85:20	industry 49:1 65:1 115:13 173:2,7	inside 11:2 166:10
	implies 219:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
hydrologists 67:17	_	141:14 166:3	174:1 176:7,17	insight 24:9
I	importance 6:16 34:1 35:13 92:12	included 10:9	182:17 183:1	insights 47:10
ice 228:20	94:3 110:7	59:10 196:8 includes 64:22 82:1	186:6 190:9 192:6 192:12 200:21	insist 220:21 insisted 99:3
Idaho 30:11 41:10			203:8 208:2 210:9	insisted 99.3
82:2	important 14:21 17:10 19:18 26:3	including 34:16 38:9 115:12	218:12 219:6	inspect 151:9
idea 49:13 56:9	27:8 30:3 41:2	194:13	222:15,20,22	inspect 131.9
85:19 92:21 125:1	42:21 46:3 50:20	increase 73:4 75:2	223:2,12 224:4,9	144:9,11,14,17
207:1 217:15	50:22 55:17 56:17	166:10 189:14	ineffective 184:2	
ideas 134:1	60:4,17 67:13,14	228:21	ineffectively 97:22	160:12,17 163:5 163:10
identified 60:5	67:16 68:21 69:20	increased 77:3	Ines 1:23 3:15	
131:5	70:10,16 72:1,8	increased 77:3 increases 74:10	27:15 52:2 95:14	inspections 144:14 144:16,19 160:1
identify 63:20	74:13 75:10,13	85:12 133:4 157:7	infiltration 96:5	161:2,7
115:7	93:7 94:22 105:16		influence 186:22	*
IED 187:15	117:9 141:3	increasing 118:16 incurred 220:11	219:3	inspects 149:12 installations 45:22
Ildefonso 2:3 3:24	142:16 153:21		inform 47:11	instanations 45:22 instance 41:8 111:3
57:12 90:20 91:6	174:2 177:8	indefinitely 22:3	201:12	116:17 179:22
91:10,21 92:1,20	188:10	independence 56:12 67:10	information 18:20	instances 164:16
92:22 93:18 94:4		112:15 116:5	34:20 65:8 80:14	167:18
95:6 96:2,8,19	importantly 65:2 77:11	independent 20:4	98:8 109:20 121:3	instant 189:16
104:12 145:14	impose 179:10	39:19 40:6 65:20	131:7 132:20	Institute 39:22
204:7,10	impossible 226:18	66:21 87:16 110:8	140:18 145:1	70:2 80:15
Ildefonso's 91:4	impossible 220.18	110:20 111:4,17	176:13 178:20	institution 110:4
92:5,13 93:10	218:18	111:20 111:4,17	223:5,6	institutional 110:4
illegally 231:3	impress 171:7	114:1 115:21	informational	139:10,19,22
illustrates 102:7	impress 1/1./	114.1 113.21	145:3	139.10,19,22
imagination 218:21	68:14 99:1 105:17	191:10	informative 7:3	institutions 15:17
immediate 173:4	impression 97:17	independently	46:9	instructions 68:16
immediately 85:15	102:16 122:10	51:19 111:8	informed 69:5	instructive 168:13
126:5 221:5	impressive 46:19	149:13	111:7 194:1	insufficient 98:12
impact 16:2 33:18	47:15 48:14	Indian 142:20	infrastructure	insult 94:21 103:13
34:9 56:1 66:17	improve 89:20	183:12	64:15,17	insurance 185:14
70:10 73:12	176:9 177:8	indicate 55:17 79:8	ingenuity 171:20	205:5
138:10 177:11	improvements 28:9	165:4 220:19	ingestion 71:11	insuring 112:15
180:6 195:14	inattentiveness	indicated 137:6	inherent 204:1	integral 138:5
impacted 83:3	153:4	indicates 83:9	inherently 174:2	integrate 147:5
impacts 15:3	incentives 202:5	individual 91:14	inherited 173:6	integrate 147.3
141:18 189:5	incident 130:3	144:1 161:20	initial 61:7 152:8	intending 90:12
impede 191:13	140:11 143:9	173:17	initially 105:3	intent 49:12
implement 63:4	152:11,18 156:11	individuals 7:15	initiated 49:15	interaction 134:6
114:21 132:2	156:16,17,20,21	51:17 89:13	initiation 35:19	interactions 42:20
implementation	150.10,17,20,21	104:11 173:18,21	36:1	46:22
116:21 132:4,8	incidents 152:10,17	174:7	injury 16:8	interacts 139:20
	11101001165 152.10,17	1/7./	111jury 10.0	11101 acts 137.20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

39:17	invokes 72:10 involve 96:5	56:16 60:5 72:12 87:6 89:17 93:12	June 67:13 jurisdiction 142:21	77:15 81:11,21 85:4 95:14 98:20
interest 7:22 44:3			1 1111 180110 11011 1477.1	85:4 95:14 98:70
	involved 63:8,20	128:20 136:19	145:7 159:3 165:1	100:1 101:3
100.21	64:13 79:11 105:2	138:5 147:14,20	jurisdictional	104:20 105:18
interested 11:12,13	105:10 106:1	148:2,4 150:10	70:22	106:20,21 107:15
11:14 24:9 59:13	120:8 152:20	201:10	justice 210:15	110:14 111:17
154:3 182:22	224:6	itemize 55:15	juts 92:13	112:20 113:1
	involvement 59:12	items 144:17	J.R 2:6 4:14 128:2	121:10,11,16,18
interesting 41:22	59:15 87:22 131:6		146:4	122:3 124:10,21
43:5 109:3 154:11	136:14	J		154:20 156:7
155:5 169:12,22	involves 63:6 129:1	Jack 110:13	K	163:20 165:1
interests 32:17	195:1	James 58:15 60:8	keep 5:13 8:6 69:4	167:19,20 182:21
136:15 185:18	involving 63:13	JANUARY 1:6	83:2 91:7,11	192:14 195:19
interfering 223:9	132:14	Janway 2:10 169:6	112:13 114:16	197:12 200:4
interim 51:12 64:7	Iodine-131 80:16	170:15,17,18	164:1 170:5	218:8 223:15
81:1 83:17,20	Iranians 187:18	172:11	179:22 184:10	224:5 225:1
85:10 86:18	Iraqis 187:19	JASON 115:22,22	187:2 188:14	227:14 230:8
109:12 184:14	irradiating 229:19	116:1	217:20	232:10 233:8,9,9
191:3,7	234:11	Jeff 1:21 2:21 3:9	keeping 6:18	233:21
international 16:4	irrational 197:10	19:4 23:8 218:2	108:15 122:2	knowledge 39:3,3
45:20 178:21	irresponsible	219:14,15,17	keeps 108:17	172:5 178:19
222:5	182:15 220:10	jet 183:5,15	kept 89:5 184:9	knowledgeable
interstate 130:6	irretrievable	Jill 2:9 169:5,9	203:12 224:16	67:12
141:21 153:3	189:13	JoANNE 2:13	key 59:8 69:21	known 174:4 189:6
	islands 128:17	178:3 180:17	121:19 134:12	190:11 199:1
	isolated 151:21	job 17:15 28:16	136:12 143:20	212:9 226:15
	isolation 15:5	105:7,8 116:14	147:10 180:3	knows 165:6
intriguing 117:16	19:15 25:3 27:20	156:10 170:5	keys 133:6	197:13 227:12
introduce 112:9	28:10 29:1,2,16	197:16 203:6	Kidd 209:3 211:14	230:19
introduces 136:15	31:2 32:1,13,19	jobs 121:12 153:16	212:16 215:11	Kovac 2:14 182:12
136:19	35:15 36:18 38:19	203:19,20	kids 213:20	185:1 188:1,3,4
introducing 165:15	39:20 40:19 41:2	Joe 198:18	Kiegel 228:1	189:21
invent 52:7	41:15 44:14,19	John 1:15 63:22	killed 176:2	$oxed{ oxed{ L} }$
inventoried 45:2	46:6 48:1 49:18	join 19:8,9	kind 51:13 107:7	
inventory 118:2	53:22 56:18 81:22	Joint 68:22 178:22	159:11 166:19,22	Lab 81:16 82:3
183:21	123:18 128:10	jokingly 72:19	168:7 170:9 204:2 kinds 11:16 157:10	116:17,19 Laboratories 15:10
inventorying 45:6	140:7 141:2	Joni 2:15 188:2 190:3 192:22	225:18	15:11 43:6 93:22
investigate 207:18	193:12 220:22	190:3 192:22	Kirland 183:8	96:11 97:1 172:1
	issue 27:9 57:7	Joseph 2:16 193:2	Kirtland 213:8	laboratory 28:6
154:17 155:11,14	75:11 95:22	196:14 198:13	knew 13:18 30:20	37:11 41:13 46:3
155:17	106:19 107:9	journey 27:20	know 5:16 12:1,22	92:9,14 96:4,14
investors 202:8	146:18 182:6	28:12,18 41:3	13:7,14 18:20	193:11
invitation 12:16	198:2 220:19	judgment 71:8	24:8,16,20 28:14	Labs 17:14 59:18
	issued 38:12 40:7	98:12	49:11 52:15 56:2	65:1 183:21,22
invite 184:17	61:10,11 62:2	Judith 209:3	58:19 62:13 66:5	196:21 231:19
invited 8:5 12:20	111:2	211:13 212:16	70:12 72:14 73:11	lack 50:1 100:6
inviting 146:3	issues 7:17 44:9	211.13 212.10	70.12 /2.14 /3.11	IUUN 50.1 100.0
			1	

130:21 186:19	218:22	40:22 55:4 59:5,6	liquid 207:15	locations 8:16
192:1 218:21	leads 136:6	129:17 134:9	list 9:4 72:17 111:5	109:16
lacked 65:17	leak 101:7 167:20	letter 23:17 67:22	218:13	Locheck 230:19
ladies 178:5 234:20	197:14	138:15 230:7	listed 58:18 218:17	logged 150:5
lady 53:3 207:17	leakage 209:20	233:17,18	listen 14:7 15:2	loggerheads 71:3
Lake 119:11	226:10	Let's 97:11 172:7	24:13 210:8	logical 123:12
lamenting 90:3	leaked 84:16	leukemia 186:4	222:20	Lokesh 2:15 190:3
land 33:13 35:16	leaking 226:10	229:18	listened 53:7 88:15	193:1 196:13,17
35:21 36:3 80:1	leaks 150:10 155:4	level 65:17 73:19	215:22	long 10:16 20:14
107:13 108:5,14	166:13	76:18 81:15 94:20	listening 14:12	39:13 51:18 52:3
143:9 210:13	leaps 218:18	135:3,9 136:3	89:12	55:15 81:11 85:4
212:8 217:10,11	learn 6:20 19:15	144:17,18 154:21	little 27:22 40:21	90:14 103:1 113:9
218:11 233:13	23:5 154:4	160:1,7,8,12,17	56:1 102:12 109:7	119:15 121:3
lands 92:20 103:9	learned 27:21 33:5	161:2 169:16	122:5 152:11	129:22 130:17
169:14 183:12	40:22 55:4 59:5	199:22 212:1	154:1 193:22	142:13 189:3,11
language 165:8	129:17 134:10	224:1	199:1 200:18	197:15 199:12
169:11	178:13 222:14	levels 41:19 117:19	213:21 215:8	longer 43:12 71:5
LANL 204:11	learning 117:8	131:1 138:2 160:8	219:18	73:7,8 88:12
large 9:2 44:22	220:19 224:18,19	202:6 220:20	live 78:18 194:5	115:22 118:20
45:1,2 107:22	224:20	liability 201:7	195:1,8	181:16,18
123:6 223:11	learns 23:7	liaison 145:6,12,12	lived 181:7 217:1	longitude 199:5
largest 79:5,16	leave 10:1 87:3	license 54:19	223:17	longstanding 129:9
84:5	107:12 124:16	196:20	lives 154:10	long-lived 220:12
Larry 221:12	160:11,13 226:20	lid 106:4 167:5	living 83:14 170:4	long-term 32:4
Las 227:3 233:4	leaves 163:3,8	lie 49:9 197:3	188:21 195:3,4	51:12 71:19
lastly 35:4 77:6	leaving 27:3 80:2	life 10:16 124:22	212:10	103:22 192:10
144:22 166:11	107:9	208:5,5,8 217:1	lo 11:6	197:6
174:1	led 16:17 50:1 89:8	228:9 229:4	loaded 45:10 142:9	look 7:19 8:11 18:3
lasts 212:6	182:17 201:14	232:11 233:16	150:6,9 162:22	18:12 20:12 23:6
late 38:5 134:17	Lee 14:10	lifetimes 50:21	164:9	25:7 27:7 70:21
Laughter 13:5	left 5:15,16 82:18	light 5:14,15 9:2	loading 148:6,22	73:9 74:14 80:16
52:17,21 167:15	102:15 107:22	168:19,21,22,22	loan 200:20 202:4	109:13 112:12
law 22:16 56:2	lefthand 95:18	lighting 5:11,12	local 3:17 7:7 16:11	121:13 123:3
203:14 233:13	legacy 25:1 45:7,17	Lilly 212:17 216:17	18:17 19:22 20:18	157:8 175:4 202:2
laws 50:1 208:16	47:15 81:12,14	216:19	48:12,17 57:6	222:18 229:7
lay 11:21	83:4 84:1 85:17	Lily 211:14	59:12 65:3 70:11	looked 71:12
layer 136:15	107:10	limit 57:18 123:19	76:17 104:7	looking 45:6 58:21
layman's 165:8	legal 62:8 63:12	124:6 125:13	115:13 133:10	62:18,19 63:2
220:18	86:10 123:3	136:20	144:19 145:4	97:9 103:21
Lea 90:1	203:12	limited 76:4 125:22	156:22 177:12,16	108:10 120:10
lead 164:2 171:19	legally 87:15 231:7	171:9 194:17,17	192:12	122:16 159:9
leader 149:18	legislature 70:14	limits 108:20	locales 109:16	192:9,16
leaders 15:9 30:18	112:8	123:17	located 15:22 79:7	Loretto 209:6,10
48:12	LES 25:4	line 29:15 114:9	91:21 110:11	209:11 210:10
leadership 14:10	lessons 6:21 7:12	159:3	location 16:13	Los 15:10 17:4,14
14:19 20:20	19:16 20:7 23:7	lines 128:21	91:20 108:13	28:5 37:11,12
173:17 174:5	26:21 27:21 33:4	linked 229:15	199:6 200:1	38:1 41:12 46:2

50.10 01.16 10	162:13	220.11 12	172.14 17 10	210.9 212.2
59:18 81:16,18		230:11,12	172:14,17,19	210:8 213:3
92:9,14 93:21	maintained 60:15	March 16:6 28:7	174:20 175:1	214:21
95:16 96:4,10,22	150:17	37:10,14 45:15	McMULLEN 2:18	meets 96:11
103:2,19 116:17	maintenance 124:8	Mark 1:13 159:18	202:21 206:4	melt 228:20
183:7,9,21 193:11	major 21:21 69:10	marked 128:22	209:2,4,5 210:22	member 8:21 9:15
200:12,16 231:19	79:3,7 98:17	Marlene 2:19	meals 163:16 164:3	9:18 13:6 24:3
lose 229:14	139:15 157:9,12	212:17 216:18,19	mean 13:3 17:15	46:12 48:9 51:9
loser 201:10	majority 89:5	Martin 1:24 3:18	76:5 101:10	52:1,18,22 54:3
losing 229:13	making 64:11	57:8,21 58:2,5	103:20 121:9	54:10 55:5,9
loss 224:10	95:17 99:7 129:18	65:11 105:19	135:4 157:6	56:20 78:17 88:7
lost 76:1 194:13	158:10 170:4	106:15 120:12	174:18,21 205:3	88:11 90:10,19
lot 18:20 23:5 28:8	228:8	Mary 78:14	Meaningful 87:20	97:4,7,13 101:10
37:12 42:18 55:2	mammography	material 85:12	meaningless 71:8	101:20 102:6
62:17 63:14	75:5	101:4 103:4	71:16	103:20 104:15,17
121:11,11 122:11	man 13:3	108:16 109:1	means 49:10	104:18 106:13
186:19 204:15	manage 21:14	111:11 117:21	180:10 182:7	107:1,4 109:2
207:12 223:14	152:1 192:7	118:2 141:13	205:12 220:14	111:13,14,15
228:10	managed 151:3	142:3 143:13	meant 111:18	112:7 113:13,14
Louis 119:11	management 20:8	144:12 156:2,3,9	measure 77:20	115:18 116:7,11
Louisiana 219:9	24:17 27:17 40:8	156:13 163:2	measured 31:21	117:4,22 120:17
love 222:12	46:4 50:6 63:10	212:5	77:22	121:17 122:7,8,12
low 81:14	63:12 118:13	materials 16:9	measurements	125:5,18 126:11
lower 155:2	129:19 132:7	48:21 71:9,10	71:14 119:5,14	127:2 153:7
low-carbon 206:14	135:16 140:15	79:13 103:1	120:2	157:13 159:19
low-cost 205:4	142:22 143:12,17	132:16 137:20	measures 89:21	160:18 161:8
low-level 81:13,17	145:16 146:19,21	138:8 154:20	136:6	162:7 163:1 164:1
94:18 214:11	147:17 178:1,9	200:1	mechanisms 98:4	164:6,11,21 167:7
Lujan 1:23 3:13	179:1,2 186:20	matrix 31:19	98:14	167:9 168:2,7,9
25:16,18 27:9	191:9,11 192:16	matter 189:3	medical 74:19 75:2	168:10
lunch 213:1	manager 28:2 42:5	193:19 197:18	131:7 143:10	members 1:12
	139:10 173:9,12	232:12 235:6	medicine 75:6	19:10 23:21 25:22
M	managers 66:13	matters 14:21	medium 29:1 175:3	47:6 58:3 69:8
machine 182:17	174:5	mature 50:16	meet 57:2 89:22	75:18 94:3 113:1
machinery 148:21	manages 191:20	200:21	96:15 113:8,12	114:5 128:8 139:8
Mackie 2:5 4:11	managing 6:7 7:1	maximizes 87:9	118:18 137:18	170:19 172:18
128:1 139:6,7,9	98:9 190:21	maximum 87:14	141:10 146:10	178:5 181:6 193:4
146:1 152:16	mandatory 205:15	mayor 1:19 3:8	150:22 161:21	196:10,17 211:20
155:20 160:3,21	Manhattan 25:2	14:1 16:20 19:1,9	171:17 179:15	Memorandum
161:11 162:10	manner 17:1,21	170:18 173:8	meeting 1:4 5:22	129:8
163:6 164:5,8,13	36:10 43:13 54:1	203:15	7:2 8:13,15 9:2	memory 229:14
165:11 167:14,16	87:9 152:2 216:7	ma'am 88:7,12	69:10 134:7,13	mention 77:14
168:6	216:8	McCOY 2:13	213:18 216:9	187:12 209:13
main 67:17 83:15	Manual 200:4	180:18 182:12	225:20	210:4
98:6 148:3	manufacturing	184:22 185:3	meetings 8:14,18	mentioned 60:15
Maine 109:4	171:20	187:18	9:4 24:12 41:6,7	61:12 62:9 82:7
maintain 64:3	map 31:9 79:3	McCUTCHEON	41:17 44:4 68:20	93:17 98:22
111:9 145:6	228:3,4 229:7	2:10 169:7 170:16	75:21 193:14	104:22 121:19
	220.0, 1227.7	2.10 107.7 170.10	70.21 170.11	1022 121.17
	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

150 00 00 165 00	211 17 10 20 21	. 172.21	1 1 100 10	NA 4225 20
159:20,22 165:22	211:17,18,20,21	mine 173:21	moderate 169:16	Mount 225:20
210:3	211:22 212:11	175:14 176:11	modern 49:19 51:7	Mountain 21:1
MERRTT 143:5,6	217:1,4,9,10,19	177:5	modification 38:15	22:5,12,13 71:22
mesa 226:1	218:18 222:11,19	miners 173:16	38:20 42:16	72:15 99:20,21
met 10:4,5 70:6	225:12 227:15	mines 175:18 176:8	modify 43:20	100:3,6,8 108:12
110:12	229:8 230:9	176:8,10,14	Modular 143:3	181:17 196:20
metabolism 228:18	234:14	minimal 105:12	Moe 68:11	220:4 221:10
meters 45:12 80:3	Mexico's 14:15	166:9	moment 99:21	mountainous
80:4 81:14 166:4	19:10 20:20 25:1	minimize 33:18	101:12 102:12	130:18
166:7 199:17	217:8	141:15 148:19	198:16	move 21:13 44:15
metric 190:14	mic 231:16,22	180:6,8	money 85:10,14	67:6 100:18 102:1
Mexican 17:2	232:14	minimum 136:2	86:15 88:20	105:15 109:11,19
18:17 90:6	microphone 231:10	mining 25:2 40:1	121:11 205:8,14	110:19 172:7
Mexicans 14:18	middle 93:4 115:2	63:10 81:12 82:19	207:12 208:9	moved 186:18
15:19 17:16 19:17	Midwestern 132:9	175:17,20 176:7	219:4 223:10	231:8
24:9 26:1,4	mid-course 115:17	176:17 182:1	monitor 117:13,18	movement 156:1
205:13	mid-1980s 174:6	183:14 207:13,14	monitoring 34:17	187:4
Mexico 1:10,19,24	migrate 228:19	minor 132:14	48:15 59:21 60:16	moving 54:9 100:3
3:8,19 6:15,19	Miguel 2:18 200:14	minute 5:16 164:9	60:19 62:7 63:11	100:3 120:10
12:20 14:11,22	202:20 205:22	168:21	77:15,20 98:4,22	123:7 163:2 231:3
15:2,5,8,12 17:7,9	206:5	minutes 5:14 18:14	117:11 119:2	MSHA 106:8 173:9
17:11 18:12,15	miles 12:10 31:4,10	127:12 163:18	197:2 224:12	176:5
19:14 23:22 25:9	35:17 45:11,11	166:9 168:19	monitors 151:10	multiple 74:9
30:19 32:16 33:15	79:22 150:5	216:14 219:20	197:13	multi-disciplinary
33:16,19 36:6,22	161:22 163:8,13	mirrored 118:12	Montano 222:10	63:7 67:16
37:20 38:15,21	174:16 199:18	mishap 131:3	225:10 227:22	multi-jurisdictio
39:15,18,22 41:12	military 10:15 21:3	mislabeled 230:2	228:2 230:21,22	41:7
41:13 42:4 46:1	32:9 63:21 222:15	mismanagement	231:6,7,11,14,19	myth 11:15
49:4 54:2 57:8	military-industrial	182:14	232:2,3,8,10,13	
58:6 61:8 65:14	219:3	missed 14:20 189:2	232:19 233:1,6,8	N
67:7 68:22 77:4	milk 119:10,11	missile 187:14	234:5,17	N 5:1
81:5,11 85:21	mill 71:12 72:6	missing 228:16	month 119:13	name 5:6 78:10
86:22 89:18 90:8	81:10	mission 20:5 22:9	months 13:11	139:9 146:4 169:8
91:22 104:14,21	millennium 17:17	63:15 64:19 66:17	Moore 2:19 209:3	170:18 172:18
112:8,11 121:13	milling 81:13 82:19	81:3 85:20 86:5,7	211:13,15,16	175:13 188:3
139:11 148:16	million 45:10 71:7	86:21 125:11	moral 196:2	190:4 193:5
161:3 170:22	80:3 84:9,16	174:8,16	morning 5:21 7:6	196:17 198:18
171:14 172:2	150:5 151:19	Mississippi 199:9	9:6 14:3,18 19:6	209:4 211:16
175:14 176:12	183:5 199:7,15	219:8	25:17,19 27:18	219:16,18,21
177:13,18 181:8	millions 86:16 89:6	mistake 204:13	59:20 78:9 91:1	225:11
183:11,13 185:4	mind 24:20 57:18	MIT 201:12	139:18 166:6	named 223:15
186:22 187:1,8,10	72:7 76:7 83:2	Mixed-waste 81:20	175:12 204:7	234:5
188:4 190:8 198:5	91:7,12 131:4	MOA 135:21	209:7	nameless 111:1
198:19 203:5	179:22 189:19	model 16:4 45:20	mother 166:21	names 159:21
205:2,18 206:3,13	199:13 204:2	58:17 88:22 89:9	215:4 228:14	169:3
206:18 208:15	229:14	104:8 188:18	motivations 66:2	namesake 194:21
209:22 210:1,12	minds 207:6	models 187:3	Motor 142:5	narrow 20:5 72:18
207.22 210.1,12	11111US 207.0	1000018 107.3	1410101 144.3	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

NAS 71:12	NAYGN 190:2	90:16	159:7 161:3	206:3
NASA 222:2	near 79:7 175:14	Neill 2:1 3:20 57:9	165:14 170:22	note 14:14 24:14
nasty 67:22 81:12	nearby 69:13	65:11,13 78:5	171:14 172:2	57:17 66:10 73:17
nation 14:22 17:10	nearly 21:1 52:5	105:19,21 109:19	175:14 176:11	74:17
18:10 24:2 35:8	123:11 132:11	110:6 112:2 114:7	177:13,17 178:19	noted 130:20
74:10 91:13 93:13	necessarily 101:8	119:1	178:19,20 181:8	131:11 142:8
171:6,11,18	necessary 67:5	Neils 2:17 196:15	183:11,13 185:4	noteworthy 133:10
195:11 210:1	87:18 146:18	198:14 200:12,15	185:20 186:21,22	notice 50:18 142:5
national 2:6 4:16	223:12	202:16	187:7,9 188:4	215:5 234:7
7:12 15:10,10	need 22:22 33:9	neither 66:20 195:6	190:8 198:5,19	noticed 233:19
16:3 17:14 28:6	40:13 43:11,14	Nelson 28:22	203:5 205:2,13,18	notification 34:14
29:3 30:15 32:9	44:21 53:7 54:8	network 134:4	206:3,13,18	95:3 103:6
37:11 39:21 40:4	55:6 60:5 61:17	137:11 227:5,8	208:15 209:22	notion 21:19
41:12 43:6 44:19	61:19,21 62:1,14	networks 119:2	210:11 211:17,17	November 61:11
46:2 59:17 65:1	62:19 67:11 75:13	neurological	211:20,21,22	NRC 64:22 68:13
69:8 70:1 72:11	76:1 77:7,8,11	229:13	212:11 217:1,3,8	71:2 114:21,22
74:15 75:20 80:15	82:21 98:13 102:8	Nevada 20:22 74:2	217:9,9,18 218:18	NRC-certified
81:16 82:2 92:3,8	115:4 121:6	80:13 134:12	222:11,19 225:12	165:22
92:9,14 93:20,21	125:10 137:7	181:17 227:3	227:15 229:8	NTP 146:20 147:3
96:4,11,22 114:1	145:19 158:12,21	never 48:22 52:12	230:8 234:14	147:4,21 148:4
116:17 127:20	170:5 171:11,12	68:7 95:20 96:6	newcomer 176:18	151:3,5,10,16,22
128:3 137:11,15	188:13 189:3	200:19 201:8	news 179:17	nuances 158:21
146:5,16,20,22	203:20 207:3	203:10 227:8	NGO 194:10,12	nuclear 1:1 2:2
148:5 149:18	208:4,4 216:13	new 1:10,19,24 3:8	NGOs 194:9	3:22 6:2,7 10:14
152:3 171:22	222:19 223:20	3:19 6:8,15,19,22	night 12:18 157:15	10:17 14:5,13
178:14 181:22	228:3	12:20 14:11,15,18	nine 145:13 162:17	15:3,6,9,15 16:4
183:21,22 193:11	needed 38:17 39:5	14:22 15:2,5,8,11	162:17	16:14,17,19,22
193:15 196:21	50:4 51:3 62:18	15:19 17:2,7,9,11	NMED 148:17	17:13,19 18:2,4,7
201:15 205:19	97:19,20	17:15,17 18:12,15	149:11,15 188:11	19:12 20:7 21:17
nationally 226:15	needs 36:7 37:4	18:17 19:10,14,17	noise 183:15	22:17 24:17,21
nations 91:15	42:9 43:12,13	20:20 23:22 24:9	non 60:4 155:8	25:1 26:3,5 29:2
nationwide 147:5	44:16 63:3 100:16	24:22 25:4,9 26:1	nonprofit 188:5	32:10 36:8 37:3
nation's 212:12	105:7 108:9 113:9	26:4 30:19 32:16	non-existent	42:9 51:4,8 57:11
220:2	217:19 223:7	33:15,16,19 36:6	175:20	59:1,7,17 61:12
native 79:8 92:2,7	231:20	36:22 37:20 38:15	non-mixed 38:2	62:6 64:2 66:6
93:18 94:12,21	negative 46:17	38:21 39:15,18,22	non-nuclear	74:12,21 75:6
169:22 183:13	negligence 182:14	41:11,13 42:3	154:14 155:18	77:18 78:7,11,16
natural 29:15,18	negotiating 194:9	46:1 49:4 54:1	non-technical	78:20 79:6,11,15
30:4,21 41:22	negotiation 41:21	57:8 58:6 61:8	61:20	80:1,7,12,19,21
42:1,6 94:6,11	141:5	63:16 65:14 67:7	non-WIPP-related	80:22 81:7 82:4
179:20 221:3	negotiations 53:20	68:21 74:12 77:4	211:8	82:22 83:4,18
nature 152:19	188:9,13 193:18	81:5,11 85:21	non-yielding 36:11	85:2,4,6,11,17
156:6,15 163:12	neighborhood 90:4	86:22 89:18 90:6	normal 94:9	86:3,15,20 87:6,7
Navajo 223:18	neighborhoods	90:8 91:22 104:14	normally 173:11	88:4 92:3,8 93:20
226:3,5	88:19	104:21 112:8,11	North 91:22 144:9	95:1 100:9 113:10
naval 158:4	neighbors 92:10	121:13 134:10	190:10,11 191:5	113:17,19 114:15
Navy 222:1	Neil 2:3 3:23 57:12	139:11 148:16	Northern 183:11	115:20 116:3,9
				<u> </u>
	_			

117 10 100 16	104 12 214 12	2 6 2 0 11 12 4 16	207.1	210.10
117:12 122:16	104:13 214:12	2:6 3:9,11,13 4:16	207:1	218:19
124:6,8 125:2,7	Nurse 169:9	24:11 28:3 70:3	on-site 80:20 85:7	oppose 83:18 99:10
126:21 129:20	NWPA 21:18	84:12 128:3	86:12	100:5 210:19
130:4 135:8 146:9	NWTRB 114:4	129:12 135:15	open 9:10 10:22	opposed 80:22 81:1
149:6,19 150:15	N.W 1:10	139:11 140:3,21	38:6 72:6 119:21	81:2 211:21
154:12 157:19	0	141:7 142:14	179:14 183:9	223:21
166:1 171:16	$\frac{\mathbf{O}}{\mathbf{O}}$ 5:1	145:2 146:6,19	231:8	opposes 209:11
172:3,9 179:3	Obama 196:9	149:11	opened 66:10 89:15	opposition 83:22
181:15 182:2,3,4	230:15 233:12	Officer 5:7 145:12	134:11 146:7	102:20 103:1
182:6,16 183:1,16	objective 66:20	officers 42:8 48:2	148:10 173:20	104:9
185:5,9,9,10,20	179:15 180:3	offices 147:12	178:13	option 29:4 85:6
186:1,5,7,10,10	objectives 179:5	official 1:18 3:2,7	opening 3:4 31:16	orange 168:22
186:16 188:4	•	111:6 156:22	operate 85:5 98:13	order 30:7 33:2,10
189:7 190:5,9,12	objectivity 67:9 observation 133:19	officials 7:7 10:6	103:17 117:12	34:4 37:5 38:17
191:5,13,16,18	observations	70:11 76:17 133:4	148:13 173:3	39:4,6 44:13
192:3,6,7,8,12	105:14	133:10 137:18	operated 46:15	111:8 144:15
193:1,7 200:17		138:2 142:19	118:17 150:8	161:1
201:2,5,16 202:6	observed 71:14	143:3,16 145:4,7	operating 10:12	ore 175:21 182:1
202:9,11 203:7	105:13 155:16 223:18	184:2 208:16	20:13 33:3 100:12	207:15
204:18 206:9,15		210:10 233:15	123:13 151:18	Oregon 186:7
207:1,2,4,13,18	obstructed 183:20	offsite 89:5	operation 7:10	organization 99:7
210:9 212:1,2,6	obtain 33:10	oftentimes 17:7	25:3 40:16 45:14	99:13 100:2 110:5
212:13 214:10,18	obtaining 16:18	Oh 13:8 52:9,12,16	50:13,17 51:7	organizations 7:15
217:3,14 218:12	obvious 206:18	54:3 175:1	89:16 99:4 118:5	15:13 78:18 151:7
218:16 219:6	obviously 61:15 115:4 169:20	Ohio 107:20	122:2 124:12	152:15
220:3,7,8,10		oil 31:6 221:4	operational 197:2	origin 126:19
221:20 222:14,20	occasionally 52:9	okay 55:5,9 56:5	197:5,9	144:18
222:22 223:12	occupation 204:2	91:19 110:21	operations 6:14	original 22:17
224:3,7,8,18	occupational 105:9 106:9	127:18 139:2	35:20 36:2 47:1	193:16
225:22 226:1,8,12	occur 71:5 103:14	162:7 164:1,6	50:11 143:1 200:3	originally 203:1
226:17 228:7,12	130:3 140:11	166:18 175:2	operator 60:1	Ortiz-Wertheim
229:3,3,5,9,14,20	184:1 199:14	206:5 215:16	106:19	24:15
230:10,14,16,17	213:13	216:4 234:2,20	opinion 20:19	OSHA 106:8 143:7
nuclear-related	occurred 41:19	old 52:6 85:3	55:18	176:6
155:9 171:9 172:1	80:8 131:19	175:16	opinions 46:17	ought 10:21,22
number 9:2,8 24:8	136:17 199:4,9	ominous 194:20	60:10	11:13 54:17 104:3
58:18 65:15 68:13	occurring 29:19	once 18:11,18 38:4	opportunities	outbursts 216:13
74:5,17 82:3	126:16,18	51:3 101:7 141:22	15:20 17:15	outline 92:4 95:7
105:22 114:13	occurs 48:16 158:4	163:3 170:2	188:17	outlined 61:20
115:12 116:2,15	199:8	173:12 189:12	opportunity 10:3	outlines 79:8
129:5 135:6	ocean 72:5 208:3	ones 68:4 70:21	12:11 15:2 24:4	132:20
136:19 139:14	October 61:10	83:3 229:22	27:3 46:14 65:6	outlining 130:13
160:22 194:12	134:9	ongoing 6:14	78:13 91:2 104:13	outreach 44:3
203:15 204:6	offensive 102:15	102:18	120:13 128:8	59:13
205:1,10 209:13	offer 137:21 178:9	online 209:14	137:17 138:21	outright 230:14
numbers 150:1	office 1:20,21,22	onsite 89:6 100:11	170:20 174:12	outside 11:5 94:7
numerous 31:7	UITICE 1.20,21,22	100:17 191:21,22	185:17 188:7	95:15 201:18

	 	l	l	1
222:20	Pantex 126:8,16	150:17	195:3 203:20	person 12:20 26:7
outweighs 75:8	paper 82:18,20	passed 32:8 33:12	206:6 210:2,5,11	106:18 169:4
out-of-pocket	83:11	passionately 110:7	210:19 211:3,8	227:12
205:6	papers 42:14 75:20	patently 220:10	212:10 213:16	personal 14:14
overall 147:15	parallel 198:4	path 104:3 192:6	219:1 224:7,18,21	77:6 134:6 158:8
179:5	parallels 135:6	pathetic 218:20	224:22 225:21	191:2
overdone 188:22	parameters 61:19	paths 64:7	226:9 229:19	personally 12:14
oversee 82:10	paramount 43:3,21	patience 43:17	230:3 231:12	47:5 177:14
overseen 20:3	62:7	Patricia 1:20 3:10	233:2 234:11	personnel 35:4
oversees 149:11	parking 34:11	19:5	people's 169:15	63:8 143:18
oversight 39:14	164:18	Patrick 1:22 3:13	percent 16:19	144:21 147:6
40:13,17 56:13	part 22:9 26:4 33:6	25:14	45:17 59:2,3	perspective 3:17
105:16 114:1	70:5,7 76:16 99:3	patriotism 73:16	107:20	27:22 30:6 32:22
147:2 158:9,15	112:19 113:11	patron 67:3	perform 51:4 94:9	33:5 50:4 58:11
176:5 178:6	120:14 143:17	pay 113:5 205:13	performance 32:2	67:14 91:3,5,9
overturn 227:2	146:5 153:4 163:6	212:10	32:6 43:2 105:18	98:17 125:12
overview 129:14	172:7 184:9	paying 223:10	118:19 176:9	157:6 224:2
owns 92:8	194:22 198:6	Peace 180:17	197:8	perspectives 7:9
oxymoron 206:10	200:6 203:6,12	181:12	performed 48:11	57:7
ozone 228:16	214:17 222:22	peaceful 77:18	performing 35:8	pesky 85:1
O'Leary 110:13	223:2	Pecos 40:8 178:1	50:8	Pete 1:14 14:16
P	PARTICIPANT	199:1,2,6,10,16	period 9:1 41:1,2	24:1 38:12 51:22
$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{F}}$ 5:1	206:1	208:3 209:21	68:7 71:7 102:9	Peter 2:17 196:15
Pacheco 2:18	participate 44:6	226:3	103:15 136:22	198:13 200:12
200:14 202:20	188:8 194:18	pedigrees 218:6	162:1 166:13	Peterson 1:15
	participated	peers 190:9	198:4 222:13	46:12 113:14
205:22 206:1,3,5	193:13,16 225:15	Penelope 2:18	periodic 35:3 118:9	114:8 115:18
206:6,9 208:12,13 208:21	participation 34:20	202:20 206:4	periodically 144:3	116:7,11 117:4
Pacific 128:16	59:14 87:20	209:2,4	permanent 12:4	122:12 125:5
	particular 36:16	penetrate 166:7	51:13 85:13	126:11 153:7
package 34:22 36:14 149:21	42:15 44:9 47:22	people 7:20 8:15	126:14 138:7	155:20 157:13
166:17	90:7 118:15	9:3,8 11:22 12:7	147:8 183:3 191:7	167:9 168:2,9
packages 36:10	123:18	12:11 13:16 42:2	225:4	182:21
37:5	particularly 71:21	42:10 47:1,21	permanently	phase 35:18 36:21
packaging 31:18	176:8	50:8 60:17 61:2	151:21	36:22
36:7 61:13 146:16	parties 59:13 60:14	63:8,14 64:16	Permeability 31:20	Phil 1:16 97:12
147:2 148:22	64:21 120:14	65:21 67:12 69:10	permit 37:20 38:5	Phillips 207:20
Page 3:1 4:3	partisan 128:21	73:18 75:7 77:3	38:14,20 39:11	221:13
paid 12:2	partly 49:7,17 99:12	86:2,9 89:22 94:9	42:16 54:19 61:9	philosophical 196:2
panel 3:17 4:5 7:9		95:15 98:5,9 102:19 110:15	61:11,22 120:6	
57:6,21 104:11	partnered 110:3		188:14 193:20	phone 42:13
107:5 118:14	partners 129:2 132:22	112:5,21 117:18	permits 62:1 125:13	photovoltaic 201:19
127:8,19 134:10		120:3 121:12		
168:12	partnership 64:21 131:14	122:2 145:15	permitted 58:20	phrase 194:4
panelists 57:18		153:1 156:5,5,6	permitting 61:6 193:17	physically 123:13
panels 7:8	parts 215:5	176:1,2 178:17 181:17 183:13		physical/chemical 29:14
Paricis 7.0	pass 27:4 144:15	101.1/ 105.15	persistent 221:20	29.14
	l	l	l	1

1	147.15	66 2 67 1 70 4	014.14	1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 7
physics 63:9	147:15	66:2 67:1 70:4	214:14	preceded 141:17
picocurie 119:18	plant 19:15 25:4	73:17 77:13 79:10	positive 10:9	precisely 99:2
picocuries 215:1	27:20 28:10 29:1	100:13,19 102:20	154:11 177:11	predicted 71:15
picture 91:20	29:16 31:3 32:1	104:4 112:7	possibility 226:21	predicting 71:6
piece 82:20	32:13,20 35:15	122:10 127:6	possible 26:16	preferred 141:12
piled 225:22	36:18 38:19 39:20	133:18 136:11	64:10 78:15 85:8	141:20
Pilot 19:15 25:3	40:19 41:3,15	144:18 155:13	87:8,9,14 88:3	preparation 134:13
27:20 28:10 29:1	44:15,19 45:3 46:6 48:1 49:19	159:8 171:7	108:16,17 123:20	prepared 146:10
29:16 31:3 32:1		197:15 202:1	125:9 175:22	151:8 197:22
32:13,19 35:15 36:18 38:19 39:20	53:22 56:19 74:12 81:22 84:8 123:18	pointed 60:8 62:1 63:22 77:16 158:5	185:19 217:13	preparedness
			possibly 96:5 109:15 189:14	131:6,7
40:19 41:3,15	128:10 140:7 141:2 190:16	points 37:9 54:11 74:17 88:17	218:14	prepares 20:9
44:14,19 46:6 48:1 49:18 53:22	193:12 221:1	188:18	postal 181:9	presence 15:19 present 1:12,17
56:18 81:22	plants 74:21 85:4	Polakowski 225:10	postal 181.9 posted 8:2,19	27:19 59:4 65:7
123:18 128:10	185:21 191:22	228:1	poted 8.2,19 potash 31:7 173:2,7	80:17 218:22
140:7 141:2	207:4 214:13	polarized 131:11	173:9,11 174:1	presentation 46:10
193:12 221:1	230:17	police 156:5	175:14 176:8	47:9 57:2 78:6
pink 95:7,7	plate 198:16	policemen 233:10	221:4	155:21 169:5
pipeline 38:6	playing 172:2	policies 6:6 20:8	potential 74:7	presentations 8:7
pit 126:16	plays 113:21	129:5	80:14 94:15	8:11 28:17 68:20
pits 72:6 126:13	please 5:4 28:20	policy 21:17 22:18	103:14 120:3	76:2 152:6 169:11
183:7	29:10 32:18 33:3	24:21 113:19	202:9	presented 75:20
place 49:5 124:17	35:20 40:3,20	122:17 136:7	potentially 31:5	111:11 143:8
134:22 175:4	42:22 57:18 83:2	140:12,14,18	136:19	230:7
176:14 197:12	88:12 127:17	193:15 201:15	Powell 2:12 175:11	presenter 170:15
208:8 227:9	181:3 182:7	222:4	178:2 180:16	172:14 180:16
placed 175:21	195:21 200:7	political 20:20	181:6,7,21 182:11	182:11 184:22
places 46:15	207:18 233:7	61:18 73:15 98:15	power 15:6,16	187:22 198:13
192:11	235:2,3,3	112:19 186:22	16:18,20,21 17:20	200:12 202:19
placing 195:11	pleased 10:6 12:18	191:12 218:22	32:12 64:15 74:12	212:16 216:17
196:3	13:22 127:21	221:15	74:21 85:4 185:5	218:1 219:13
plan 6:8,22 22:13	pleasure 27:19	pollinated 173:20	185:9,10,21 186:6	222:9 225:9
45:16 72:1,2	28:12	pollution 208:17	191:13,18,22	227:22
130:8 132:6 192:1	Plowshare 77:17	214:19	200:17 201:5,16	presenters 138:22
planet 224:10	plumes 183:5	pool 190:17	201:21 202:10,12	presenting 170:1
228:10 229:2,4	plus 165:18 179:17	poor 218:10 219:5	206:12,13,15	presents 196:2
232:11 233:16	183:16	population 74:18	207:4,19 224:7	preserve 99:15
plankton 71:18	plutonium 126:15	130:17	powerful 214:10	President 6:5
planned 73:22	215:20	porosity 31:20	PowerPoint 91:8	112:11,12 173:4
151:14	plutonium-238	port 160:15	practical 172:6	181:10 196:9
planning 133:20	232:4	pose 150:11 153:8	practice 117:9	200:16 219:2
135:11 137:13	podium 14:1 58:1	position 42:14 58:7	160:19	234:8
143:19 144:3	129:10	99:9 100:2,20	practices 177:6	presiding 1:10
235:2	point 6:12 8:1	101:1,9 107:16	praise 47:3,18	press 110:18
plans 89:20 132:7	20:16 35:22 47:4	122:6 124:11	praised 12:7 184:5	pressure 31:20
143:20 144:3	48:14 54:12 55:14	136:7 194:14,16	praising 90:2	166:20,21

pressured 89:19	180:1 203:8	32:11,12 49:21	105:11 110:19	132:2 136:12,21
prestige 223:1	problems 185:15	59:1 78:20 79:5	147:15 223:9	137:6
prestigious 173:10	186:13 187:15	79:12,15 80:2	225:2	proud 28:11
pretty 116:14	189:13 225:18	83:5 85:18 124:8	projects 62:18	proven 191:1 226:8
226:14	problem-solving	175:19 185:10	171:9 172:2	provide 7:9,11 35:2
prevailing 93:8	60:7 133:21	207:3 220:11	project's 171:3	65:8 98:13 104:8
prevent 131:2	procedure 61:3	productive 7:5	progreet \$ 171.3	119:2 129:13
prevented 186:11	procedures 40:5,12	25:7 177:15	promised 217:17	137:16 144:10
prevention 131:5	132:10 134:3	profession 169:21	227:9	145:18 149:3
140:17	136:1 137:6	professionals	promises 86:4,10	154:13 155:21
prevents 29:16	143:11 164:18	190:10 192:3,8	206:19,22 213:5	154:13 133:21
87:11	proceed 55:7 97:9	Professor 68:11	promising 29:4	161:19 170:21
previous 31:4,11	147:15 220:17	profits 201:8	promote 128:19	177:9 188:15
previously 61:12	proceedings 8:17	program 2:6 4:5,16	194:16 223:12	205:14 208:17
price 212:11	127:13 193:16	7:13 22:4,14 35:1	promoted 52:4	provided 30:13
Price-Anderson	194:22	35:10,14 46:5	promoted 32.4 promptly 71:1	33:20 39:18 63:4
202:7	process 8:2 22:2,19	49:2,7,16 50:6	promptly 71.1 propane 168:5,9,10	142:15 177:2
primary 220:21	22:20 23:1 33:7	77:20 79:1,17	proper 64:5 95:3	provides 15:14,18
221:2	39:4 43:16 47:11	115:11 116:4	96:8	117:17 135:6
principal 21:18	53:9,16 54:7,8,14	117:7,11 127:20	properly 96:6	143:1 145:2
126:19	55:1 56:14 62:3	128:4,11,12	properties 31:14	206:12
principles 87:4	64:10 89:7 98:2	129:16 130:14	property 107:14	providing 24:3
prior 9:3 34:13	105:2 112:20	132:4 133:7,17	108:5 109:5	132:19
39:14 119:17	115:2 120:7,8,15	134:21,22 135:2,6	proponents 186:2	proving 148:13
145:10 156:1	120:22 123:21	135:20 137:22	proposals 43:19	provision 142:17
161:20 162:5	126:7 132:21	139:13,19,22	propose 64:11	Provisions 34:10
priorities 210:15	133:20 134:3	140:5 141:4,6	proposed 65:16	proximity 92:21
prioritize 147:19	159:17 186:21	142:17 143:15	73:13 174:10	96:2 133:4
priority 146:16	188:20,21 189:4	144:7,10,11,22	193:12	public 2:8 4:20 9:1
prison 234:13	189:16 193:17,20	145:2,11 146:5,20	proposing 84:19	9:4 20:19 23:3
pristine 108:12	194:7,8,18 197:8	146:21 147:3,11	proposition 51:14	24:10 34:20,21
155:13	207:13 221:14	149:8 159:6,15	72:22	43:22 44:2,3,4,5
private 15:21 110:4	processed 38:21	160:5,7,9 162:3	prospects 136:18	46:22 48:10 49:15
privatizing 201:7	64:3,4	197:4,21	protect 32:16 51:5	53:11 59:13,14
pro 66:20 112:22	processes 29:18,18	programming	90:7 195:11	66:18 67:22 68:20
170:6	87:21 105:1	57:17	208:18 217:4	70:8,10 73:12
probability 68:10	108:22 126:12,15	programs 115:20	protecting 184:3	75:4 77:7 87:10
74:11	126:17 188:11	132:8,8 133:1	protection 32:3	87:20,22 88:1
probably 28:21	produce 85:5 125:8	149:12 224:5	36:19 37:21 39:8	89:7 111:9 117:22
29:8 47:6 62:10	190:14 212:2	progress 87:17	39:10 41:16 51:2	119:7,16,21 131:6
94:22 104:11	produced 26:17	prohibited 21:7	59:16 67:18 74:16	133:3 135:17
109:7 124:14	79:16	project 10:10 15:5	87:10 96:8 148:16	140:17 142:18
164:22 189:1,2	produces 133:22	25:2 30:9,13 44:1	178:9	143:2,16 145:1
204:4	producing 175:21	59:10 61:4,16	protections 86:10	148:20 150:12
problem 17:8	220:9	62:21 63:6,13	protective 87:11	165:6 168:17
75:21 84:21 85:1	product 63:2	65:16 66:13 70:12	101:6	183:20 188:16,17
85:11 102:3 175:5	production 31:6	70:15 90:2 98:9	protocols 34:3	200:22 203:21
			1	
	I	I	<u> </u>	1

205:4 210:8,9,17	63:5 125:20 149:6	Radford 2:21	raise 89:15 97:19	213:16 222:12,15
222:3 223:7,11	150:18	218:3 219:14,15	98:5 199:16	223:8 224:9,15
publication 119:3	quantified 76:20	219:16,17	raised 77:14 89:13	225:3
119:13	quantities 125:12	radiated 233:11	89:17 116:15	reason 54:5 55:3
publicly 117:15	220:12	radiation 67:18	120:20	63:17 75:5,6
public's 130:20	quantity 45:1,2	71:6 74:14,16,19	raising 121:15	197:19
138:19	141:9 142:3	75:2,9 80:11	rampant 229:16,17	reasonable 102:9
publish 68:1 73:22	144:12 179:19	106:9 143:12	ranches 173:3	reasons 42:15
112:5	quarter 74:3,3	148:19 149:12	Rancho 209:9	58:18 125:11
published 68:3	quarters 69:19	152:18 228:12,12	range 7:9 19:17	153:16 173:13
78:1 112:21	quarters 05.15 quest 178:15	229:15,15 234:10	rapid 123:4 227:4,8	176:15 209:13
Pueblo 2:3 3:24	question 12:5,6	radio 137:19	227:12	reassure 51:16
57:12 90:20 91:6	48:13 77:14 99:5	radioactive 16:8	rarely 104:21	120:3
91:10,21 92:1	117:5 118:14	29:5 45:21 58:22	ratepayer 185:18	recall 69:9
93:11 95:7 103:5	120:19,20 122:13	69:2 72:5 79:13	rates 185:19	receipt 142:6
103:12 204:7	120:19,20 122:13	80:7 81:17 88:5	Ray 1:23 3:13	receive 38:6
Pueblo's 96:2	125:6,16 126:1	94:19 103:4	25:16 27:9	received 16:5 37:18
pull 160:14 162:4	152:8 153:6	108:13 113:17	RCRA 39:11 188:9	38:5 106:17
163:9 164:19	157:14 158:11	117:21 118:1,2,13	reached 44:17	148:15
pulls 232:6	161:8 162:8 164:2	117:21 118:1,2,13	reaching 133:15	recertification
purchased 12:2	167:10 192:4	130:22 132:16	reactor 30:11	118:9,15 188:8
Pure 214:21	218:9 220:16	135:16 138:8	101:18 123:1,8,14	recertifications
purpose 6:5 147:4	questionable	141:1,13 142:3	185:13 186:7,10	188:15
purposes 94:5	151:13	146:12 154:20	186:16	recessed 127:11
221:16	questions 3:16,25	156:3,9 160:8	reactors 82:22 83:1	recognize 6:16 7:14
pursue 26:16	4:18 28:19 46:7	179:2,7,18 189:18	100:10,12 190:14	24:1 33:5 43:14
push 98:18 125:6	46:10 48:8 97:10	199:22 212:5	204:18	178:17 221:7
181:14	98:5 113:16 116:2	220:13 221:18	read 53:6,17	recognized 33:13
pushes 56:14	121:22 122:17	229:10,11	159:21	51:3 91:13 93:13
pushing 224:20,21	127:3,8 138:22	radioactivity 36:20	reading 162:20	108:4 129:22
224:21	145:9,17 153:8	50:10 77:19,21,22	ready 5:19 32:21	149:17
put 8:10 13:10,11	168:12 196:3	83:8,10 228:15	131:2 163:22	recognizing 123:2
49:4 51:12,13	quick 167:10	229:1	174:12 177:8	140:8
66:5 82:13 98:3	quickly 100:17	radioiodine 119:10	213:1	recommend 6:8
101:5,15 116:3	113:15 130:19	radiologic 144:12	real 197:6	83:16 103:22
156:8 172:4	175:22 209:20	radiological 67:19	reality 42:7	104:2 120:10,21
174:14 206:21	Quintana 2:19	76:10 119:4	realize 76:21	138:4
211:1 227:9	212:18,19,19,20	141:16 143:4	realizes 35:5	recommendation
putting 22:11	216:18,20,21	radionuclides	really 12:21 47:15	30:15 83:19 84:22
26:12 194:7	quite 16:18 26:13	29:17	52:14,18 54:15	110:10 226:20
199:22 213:8	60:9 103:7 105:7	radium-228 215:17	55:22 75:10 76:15	recommendations
234:6,7	106:17 114:7	rail 136:18 137:1,4	100:8 101:1 106:6	20:10 25:8 70:19
p.m 235:6	156:8 200:5 201:4		106:18 108:14	80:18 86:12 99:6
		158:3,7,13 159:7	113:6 116:19	116:16 117:1,2
Q	R	159:10,15	119:22 122:18	129:19 138:6,18
qualities 174:3	R 5:1	railroads 159:1,12	131:16 132:20	192:16 196:8
quality 15:18 61:1	race 233:16	rainstorms 199:3	138:1 159:5,11	recommended 70:7
		TWILL TO THE TANK TO THE TANK	150.1 157.5,11	1 Jeonimiciaeu / U. /
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

104.2	magnilate 41.0 11	malayam4 12.14	96.0	106.7 126.2
184:3	regulate 41:9,11	relevant 13:14	86:9	106:7 136:2
recommending	regulated 20:3	reliable 15:15	repository 10:13	141:10,14 146:10
22:8 194:7	40:11,15	16:13,22 17:21	12:4 20:13 21:1	149:10 163:7
recommends 191:6	regulates 36:20	206:13 207:19	21:22 22:4,5,19	requires 41:5 76:13
reconvene 127:12	37:1 41:14	religious 94:4	29:20 30:8,17	148:20 196:3
record 24:5 55:11	regulations 89:22	103:10	32:5 43:2 58:20	208:5
55:12 105:18	139:15 162:14	remain 177:15	64:9 72:21 73:6,8	requiring 224:12
127:14,15 132:18	215:7	remained 167:3	74:1 100:14,21	rescue 177:5,9
152:9,14 155:13	regulator 76:15	remaining 8:8	110:11 151:18	research 17:12
176:16 181:5	regulatorily 54:18	168:21	212:1	18:5 58:22 59:21
182:7 190:20	regulators 20:4	remarks 3:4 25:19	represent 172:19	62:17 82:22 92:3
211:2 235:6	39:15 41:4,8,9,10	131:15 158:20	190:6,7,9	92:8 93:20 182:2
Recovery 37:19	41:18 42:17,21	203:1	representation	222:1
recycling 26:19	54:2 87:16 89:19	remember 52:10	195:7	Reservation 223:18
180:11	147:13 193:14	52:19 115:22	Representative	reservations 79:9
red 5:12 168:22	194:15 197:16	208:8	1:22 3:13 25:15	reservoirs 69:12
reduce 26:17 123:6	regulatory 33:2,6	remembering 52:8	27:10	resident 169:10
reduced 17:2	33:10 36:8,17	remind 6:10 8:5	representatives	residents 130:2
reduces 74:7	37:3 41:1 42:19	remiss 136:11	134:16 203:19	140:10 172:20
reek 212:7	43:16,20 53:20	remote 193:18	representing 83:7	resist 27:4
reemphasize 51:10	54:13 55:13,14,20	206:20	194:21 195:2	resistance 137:3
refer 49:7 143:5	59:17 61:13 71:1	remote-handled	represents 82:19	resolution 112:10
221:11	73:11 105:22	59:3 148:12	128:15 185:17	148:1
reference 202:1	136:2 150:15	165:18	reprocessed 84:16	resolutions 140:12
referenced 68:6,15	166:2 186:11	remove 184:7	reprocessing 64:18	140:14,18
referendum 66:5	related 33:21 118:8	Rendt 211:14	80:22 82:1 83:10	resolve 70:22 72:12
referred 139:14	154:15 155:19	212:17,22 215:12	83:22 84:1,6,10	146:18 147:20
140:20	221:21	215:16 216:3,17	84:13,20 86:15,18	148:4
regard 69:4 124:11	relates 117:5	renewable 171:12	101:3 180:10	resolved 77:9
regarded 201:22	123:16 129:18	renewal 61:11	191:8	resolving 147:14
regarding 89:1	153:9	188:9 193:20	republished 68:17	resource 18:8
134:10 176:7	relating 88:2	renewals 188:14	reputable 221:12	37:19 64:1
220:6,7 221:10	relation 217:16	renewed 39:11	request 42:15 73:4	resources 44:12
regardless 110:11	relations 223:11	repealed 202:8	145:19,21 210:17	65:17 94:7 147:7
156:12 201:9	relationship 93:15	repeated 89:9	requests 196:5	147:19 194:17
regards 159:4	96:20 102:14,21	replaced 188:18	require 76:15	221:3
Regency 1:9	138:11	report 11:21 13:11	87:21 161:2,12,15	respect 31:10 42:19
region 7:16 128:20	relationships	35:3 68:16,17	163:7	43:1 180:2 208:5
regional 128:22	134:15	72:11 74:16	required 40:5	208:7
129:15 133:19,22	relative 74:14	117:14 130:15,20	62:20 63:1 73:1	respectful 216:7,8
134:3	75:11	131:5,10 138:12	117:13 142:9	respectfully 196:5
registered 169:9	relatively 176:18	163:21 178:15	144:19 177:10	respond 88:16
228:21	release 16:8 29:17	reports 34:8 66:22	requirement 49:4	131:3 155:18
regular 82:17	132:16 152:19	68:1,3,5,8 111:1,3	113:11 118:8	156:18
87:19 188:16	released 167:5	112:6,9,10 130:12	143:8	responder 154:9
198:6 231:12	227:7	131:21	requirements	responders 137:12
regularly 147:14	releases 80:7	repositories 21:16	38:17 39:2 59:6	142:19 143:3,16
		_		,
			•	•

155:22 156:4	revisited 71:21	108:20 109:15	195:4	safer 168:1
responding 130:3	re-certification	122:4 185:12,13	routine 42:13 44:7	safest 176:10
140:10 156:7	61:7	185:14	routinely 34:7	safety 62:6 63:11
response 44:3	re-certified 39:7	risks 73:10 74:14	158:5	87:13 89:13,20
64:16 103:17	re-examined	75:9 76:15,21	routing 95:2 131:7	104:22 105:9,17
140:15 143:4,20	178:18	85:9 86:14 122:16	140:14 141:19,22	106:18 116:10,11
143:20 144:2	re-permitting	141:16 155:3	159:4	128:11 129:16
151:8 154:7,14,18	118:9	river 45:8 82:2	ROWE 1:15	130:2 132:3,18
155:7 157:8 177:1	RH 148:12,18,20	126:18 186:18	Rudy 2:11 170:16	133:7,16 135:19
220:5 227:4,8,12	149:1	195:5 199:9,16	172:15 175:10,13	136:4 138:5 140:9
responsibilities	rhetorical 218:9	209:21 226:3,3,6	ruled 175:19	140:13 142:6,18
6:17 143:21	RH-72b 165:17	rivers 79:4 204:21	rules 50:14 56:2	143:2 144:8,20
responsibility 35:6	Ribbon 1:1 5:7 6:1	229:10	82:11 203:13	152:9,13 155:1,13
108:19 109:11	14:4 19:12 22:7	road 145:3 164:10	216:14,15	159:15 160:6
130:1 140:9 157:4	58:3 78:12 80:18	roads 33:17	run 5:10 51:19	169:21 173:6,8,10
173:18 191:9	83:16 139:8	Robert 221:22	153:1,2 156:17	173:14 175:19
responsible 137:18	170:19 178:11	robust 30:4,21	180:5,5 187:19	176:7,9,16,20
179:6,8 208:19	185:7 192:14	35:13 36:10,14	running 122:2	178:22 179:1
rest 5:5 163:3	225:14	165:6 167:6,17	runway 183:17	187:12 191:18,22
203:6 234:1	Richard 1:19 3:8	robustness 157:7		193:1,7 197:2,6,7
restrictions 36:4	14:2 207:20	165:9	S	197:9 201:10
result 29:17 50:10	221:13	rock 31:22 32:1	S 5:1	214:1 225:4
80:12 83:10 126:9	Richardson 207:8	Rocky 45:3 231:11	sacred 92:13,22	saints 67:3
213:13 223:19	ridding 220:14	rods 206:17	93:5,10 94:2,15	sake 220:9
225:16	rider 162:9	Roger 28:21	95:9,12,19 96:3	salaries 223:1
resulted 16:7	right 47:12 52:12	221:12	169:13,13 204:11	salient 37:9
132:15 155:17	54:7 55:11 60:10	role 113:21 138:17	sacrifice 181:22	salt 20:17 29:5,9,9
225:19	92:5,13 93:3	158:22 159:13	229:8	30:9,10 31:19
results 32:7 68:2	95:19 101:19	172:2 201:16	safe 15:15 16:13,22	119:11 174:3
78:1 121:6 132:11	107:2,4 121:14	roles 69:21 82:11	17:21 34:5,7,11	175:3 209:15,17
135:13 151:15	122:21 125:14	105:11 114:19	45:9,20 105:8	209:17,18
resume 185:9	126:1,9 127:16	190:6	130:14 133:1	San 2:3 3:24 57:12
resuspend 71:10	160:20,22 162:15	roll 82:4	140:16 144:7	90:19 91:3,6,10
retired 181:8	190:21 204:11	rolling 163:4	149:3,19 164:18	91:21 92:1,5,13
retiring 66:9	206:2 207:3 213:8	Room 1:9 143:12	165:2,3 174:2	92:20,21 93:10,18
revenues 123:7	214:7,11 215:12	Rosamund 2:21	179:8 191:2	94:3 95:6 96:2,8
153:15	216:9	219:14 222:9	206:12 207:19	96:19 104:12
review 6:6 65:20	rightly 86:9	route 34:13 95:8,9	213:6 214:5	134:9 145:14
66:8,16,19 81:8	right-hand 95:18	134:11 141:9,12	224:17 225:2	204:7,10
86:11 110:8,21	rigid 63:18 144:14	142:1,2,13,15	228:6	Sanders 2:19 209:2
111:4 112:3,4	166:3	144:11,19 151:7	safeguards 144:15	211:13,16
113:18 114:2,15	rigorous 22:1 61:2	161:5,7 227:11	safely 77:4 87:7	Sandia 15:9 17:4
120:6 199:20	162:2 166:3	routes 34:6,7,12	108:16 118:18	17:14 59:18
reviewed 66:22	Rio 209:9	95:5,5 141:6,12	130:21 148:14	183:21 187:3
196:19	rising 228:22	141:15,18,20	150:7 190:15	196:21
reviews 20:7 40:6	risk 75:11 85:12	142:10 151:11,14	191:20 209:16	sane 220:5
revised 36:2	94:9 100:15,16	156:1 157:9,10	230:16,18	Santa 89:21 193:10

209:7 229:11	226:13,16	SEC 58:2 106:15	132:12 171:2,2	47:7 48:11 119:7
sat 229:5	scope 201:18	120:12	225:16	162:15
sat 229.3 satellite 34:18	219:22	second 7:11 22:4	sees 55:21	services 40:8 88:4
151:2	Scott 2:14 182:12	73:1,6,8 89:11		161:20 177:9
Savannah 45:7		91:17 133:19	segment 44:5 select 22:18 30:7	178:2
	185:1 188:1,4			
82:2 126:18	Scowcroft 1:10,13	142:16 157:14	selecting 72:17,20	serving 210:11
saved 88:20 154:10	3:5 5:18,20 9:16	162:12 171:4	141:11,15 220:17	session 8:20 168:13
saves 85:9 86:15	13:20 14:10 18:22	179:9 180:2	selection 29:11,22	168:17
saving 89:6	19:3 23:11,20	197:15 199:7	30:2 31:10 64:11	sessions 41:21
saw 12:22 13:12,14	25:12,22 27:11,14	216:6	220:22 221:6	set 22:19 32:4 66:7
29:6 52:13 213:21	46:8 48:5 51:22	Secondly 74:22	selections 141:16	70:12 77:19 100:8
saying 49:18 54:7	56:22 57:5,16,20	76:19 173:17	sellouts 194:11	109:22 114:18,20
111:16,19 125:20	65:10 78:4 127:7	Secretary 3:14 6:4	semis 231:12	132:1 139:15
125:21 164:2	127:16 139:1,3,6	27:15,16 46:9	Senate 24:8 69:1	141:10 155:15
224:14 232:21	139:7 145:22	56:4 57:1,8,21	Senator 1:20,21 3:6	156:16 176:19
says 116:19	152:5,16 153:5	58:6 62:4 65:11	3:9,11 9:16 12:21	setting 43:2
scans 75:5	159:18 164:14	95:14 106:13	13:21 14:16,17	seven 55:20 81:9,10
scared 167:14	168:11,16 170:11	110:13 129:8	19:4,7 23:9,14,17	148:14 160:8
scene 156:17 177:2	170:14 172:10,13	135:1,22 138:15	24:1 25:11 38:12	205:16 208:1
schedule 5:11 84:7	174:19,22 175:6,9	166:5 206:11	53:2,16,18 54:6	233:22
97:10	177:19,22 180:12	221:22	56:8 61:22 63:22	severe 214:20
schedules 151:5,6	180:15,21 182:10	Secretary's 131:15	67:4,5 104:19	shadows 86:2
school 175:16	184:17,21 187:16	section 38:12,13	139:8 164:14	shaft 31:17
214:3,4	187:22 189:20	170:2	194:20 201:1	shafts 197:14
Schroeder 2:4 4:8	190:1 192:18,21	sector 15:21	203:4	shallow 72:6
127:22 128:4,5	195:15,19,21	sectors 113:3	Senator's 201:13	Shame 203:14
139:2,4 140:20	196:12 198:9,12	secure 191:3	send 38:14 216:10	shaped 93:2
157:5 158:18	200:9,11 202:15	securely 190:16	216:12	share 10:9 98:16
164:15	202:18 205:21	191:21	Sendero 1:9	177:5 225:13
science 23:2 29:3	206:2 208:11,20	securing 190:19	sending 198:5	shared 26:10 41:18
30:16 33:9 40:19	209:1 211:10,12	security 32:9 63:12	senior 67:12 222:2	129:3
43:4,15 53:10	212:15,20 215:10	96:1 150:11	sense 29:8 49:21	shares 93:19
62:9,16 64:20	215:14 216:2,16	161:10 195:12	51:1 98:20 201:9	sharing 176:13
69:9 98:14 191:2	217:22 219:12	Sedillo 209:8	202:12 206:16	Sharp 1:16 48:9
221:8 222:3,4	222:6,8 225:5,8	see 13:7 16:21	sent 37:10 89:4	51:9 97:13 101:10
Sciences 70:2 72:11	227:17,21 230:20	34:22 46:20 48:19	sentence 169:2	101:20 102:6
178:14	231:5,9,14,17,21	49:19 50:12 52:5	215:13,15	103:20 104:15
science-based 22:1	232:1,8,13,16,21	52:13 53:6 55:19	sentiment 222:17	107:8 111:13,15
scientific 43:9	233:6 234:3	79:5 82:7 96:1	sentiments 48:6	117:10 121:17
61:19 64:16 98:8	screening 72:16	153:21 155:12	Sentinels 173:10	122:8
100:6 102:10	sea 228:19	159:14 178:17	separated 32:11	sheep 226:4,5
114:2 115:21	seal 166:22	187:20 206:6	September 40:10	sheet 82:18 83:11
116:22 172:4	sealed 167:2,3	230:11 234:9	serious 16:8 72:22	she'd 166:22
221:14	seasons 130:20	seeing 69:16	132:17 152:12	shielding 148:21
scientists 12:9	seat 196:6	seemingly 75:3	seriously 51:18	ship 95:11 108:6
39:17 43:6,7,11	seated 127:17	115:1	serve 47:17 136:8	146:11 148:9
62:13,13,21	seats 5:5 57:13	seen 10:11 11:19,19	service 24:2 25:10	shipment 16:6 28:6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

			Ì	
34:13,17 37:11,14	siblings 217:7	45:8 52:4 53:8	210:14	Southeastern
133:13 134:10	side 18:6,6 112:22	60:1 64:11,12	socialized 201:6	176:11
136:4,10 140:5	112:22 113:17	73:1 80:13,21	soil 60:16 80:4	southern 132:9
148:10 161:14	114:2,6 117:8	82:2 84:4 85:8,13	84:17 117:14	south-southwest
162:22 163:8	118:13,22 153:2	85:14 86:4,13	solar 201:19	93:9
210:3,6	185:5	87:8 88:21 101:18	206:12 207:10,11	sovereign 91:14
shipments 34:4,10	sides 218:6	106:16,19 107:9	sole 98:11	so-called 111:4
34:14 38:1 45:10	sight 189:19	107:19,21 108:3	solely 91:6	speak 8:22 9:9
45:11 103:7,8,10	sights 200:8	108:17 109:18	solid 101:5	14:18 26:7 27:7
130:8 131:9 132:6	sign 186:18	148:2 160:11,13	solution 26:14	47:6 78:13 91:2
132:12,15 134:14	signal 168:20	163:9 167:4,4	86:16 100:13	146:3 151:16
136:17,18 137:1,2	signals 135:2	195:5 199:19	172:7 179:9	157:16 161:11
140:13 141:8,10	signed 168:18	220:18,22 221:5,6	186:14 203:6	211:4,7
141:13 142:3,9,10	179:4	221:10	207:2	speaker 9:7,13
144:13 150:1,9	significance 69:11	sites 22:6 30:20	solutions 42:12	175:9 177:22
151:5,9,12 154:20	significant 48:10	37:6 44:20,21,22	60:2	190:1 192:21
154:22 159:2,10	74:22 150:3,7,8	45:1,2 72:17 74:9	solve 84:21 85:11	196:13 205:22
160:10 161:13	152:10,11,17,18	78:21 80:2 81:18	102:3	209:1 211:12
204:8	158:1 179:19	83:20 85:15,16	solving 172:8	speakers 8:5,10
shipped 92:18	221:3	86:3 87:2 88:4	somebody 11:17	168:18
95:16 108:3	significantly	107:10,11 109:8	52:8 108:7 199:20	speaking 25:15
149:10 230:3	131:10	123:1,8,14 136:17	somewhat 63:18	54:18 66:11 209:5
shipping 36:9 95:5	sign-up 9:4	141:1 146:9,14	105:12 197:10	217:6
95:8 144:1 149:20	silent 195:6	147:5,11,17,22	199:10	speaks 132:18
151:7 157:9,9	similar 37:16 132:8	148:8 149:5,8,20	sons 14:16	special 148:21
short 36:19 210:17	similarly 66:21	151:17 190:16	sorry 26:6 159:21	specific 44:13
Shortcuts 176:1	71:17	221:3	216:5	137:5,8
shortly 97:8	Simon 225:10	siting 6:11 22:1,8	sort 63:2 125:15	specifically 15:4
short-circuited	228:1	22:14 31:1 43:1,3	214:8	129:7 166:14
22:21	simple 68:5 115:10	sitting 186:16	sorts 155:19	201:18
shot 93:8	simply 26:12 99:10	194:11 195:2	sought 60:7 133:8	specificity 61:21
shotgun 162:9	101:17 121:20	situ 207:14	sound 23:2 53:10	specifics 43:19
Shotlong-Rodrig	simulation 166:11	situation 207:18	62:9,16 64:16,19	speed 62:5
2:17 198:14	Sincerely 23:8	222:19	178:15	spend 14:11 28:18
200:13 202:19,22	25:10 27:9	six 205:10 216:22	sounds 104:4	121:4 139:17
203:18	sink 205:17	227:4,7	206:10	162:17,19,20
shoulders 48:4	sir 5:19 90:18,21	size 82:16 157:20	source 15:15	170:8
show 92:5 199:15	97:5 107:2 160:3	199:8	124:14 126:19	spending 84:19
210:18,21	162:22 163:7	skilled 17:11	186:2	189:15
showed 11:11	164:5,13 165:11	223:11	sources 118:3	spends 191:17
186:8	168:6	slide 60:22 74:15	171:13	spent 7:1 21:6,8,10
shown 83:1 84:2	Sisters 209:6	slightest 131:3	south 93:5 99:16	21:11,14 42:9
shows 31:9 82:15	sit 5:5 194:9	small 117:20	101:15 102:5	72:14 74:8 80:20
83:6 85:3 93:8	site 21:22 22:5,9,18	smooth 159:17	199:10 213:9	80:22 82:4,21
145:3 218:20	22:20,22 29:11,22	soberly 202:8	214:6	83:17 85:2,6,6,10
230:12	30:2,7,17 31:9,12	social 30:1,6 61:18	southeast 30:19	86:13,15 100:9
shutdown 123:1	37:17 41:10,12	73:15 177:11	171:10	101:18 122:22

123:8 125:2	111:16 119:3	180:20 209:14	216:15	stretching 20:15
129:20 135:8,11	121:8 127:18	statements 88:10	stood 116:1	strict 220:21
158:4,13 179:1	178:15 181:1	88:13 181:2	stop 55:14 124:16	strictly 73:11
185:14 186:15	186:6	states 13:1,17 23:9	161:2 163:15,17	strikes 51:14
205:6 206:17	starting 162:6	24:7 25:11 33:22	164:3,19 184:15	stringent 150:17,22
spike 36:12 166:7	starting 102.0 starts 5:14 199:21	35:2,3 44:20 79:4	184:15 214:2,3	strings 65:20
spill 227:2	state 3:17 7:7 15:4	80:9 88:1 102:1	216:13 220:9	Stroble 2:6 4:14
spills 150:10	15:7,11,14 16:2	111:1 114:3	229:2,4 231:20	128:3 146:1,2,4
spite 52:20	16:11,21 17:10	117:13 128:16	233:14	163:19
spoke 173:8 207:20	18:10 20:4 21:19	130:1,6,16 131:12	stopped 161:5	strong 110:10
213:17	24:14 32:16,16	131:17,22 132:9	163:21	137:3 194:4
sponsored 38:12	34:19 49:4,15	133:8,9,12,15	stopping 34:12	strongly 20:21
spouse 173:3	51:11 54:1 57:6	136:1 137:2,5,11	207:2	83:18 171:15
spread 209:20	64:22 65:14 66:4	137:17 138:17	stops 163:3	177:14
square 35:17 79:22	66:16,19 67:6	140:8,21 141:5	storage 16:14 18:6	strontium-55
174:16	69:14 74:4 76:17	144:21 149:17	51:12 64:8,8 74:6	215:18
St 119:11	81:5 89:18 90:8	159:3 160:5,22	80:20 81:1 83:17	strontium-90
stability 29:9,13	99:2,15,16 101:16	161:3,6 179:3	83:20 85:7,10	119:10,18 179:21
165:9	102:5 105:8	181:15 190:22	86:13,19 100:11	232:5
stabilize 84:9	109:14 110:8	191:4,15 192:17	100:18 103:3	struck 117:10
stable 165:5 174:3	111:2,17,19,21	199:4 225:21	104:1 123:9 182:4	structure 191:11
stack 70:16	112:17 114:4,5	229:17 230:9,12	191:3,7,8 192:10	structured 113:21
staff 23:21 24:11	115:13 118:11	statewide 211:18	store 230:16,18	structures 93:2
55:12 64:14 69:21	120:12 121:21	state's 15:16 157:7	stored 74:9 87:7	struggle 159:11
171:3	130:13 132:7	159:13	93:1 94:2,16,17	stuck 109:10
stage 70:13	134:16 137:9	state-federal	96:12 106:3	studies 20:6 31:14
staging 92:16,17	141:15,19,22	138:11	122:22 146:14	43:1 56:11 186:3
stakeholder 139:20	142:4,20 143:22	status 147:22	183:16 190:16	study 20:14 23:8
stakeholders 44:1	145:4 151:6	statute 161:1	191:22 217:12	80:16 200:12,16
137:16 140:3,11	156:21 157:6	statutorily 20:5	storing 207:1 228:7	201:12,19,22
145:5 147:13	158:6,8,14,22	21:7	storm 199:14	studying 23:6
stand 184:6	160:1,2,4,5,14,15	statutory 123:17	story 11:22 131:16	stuff 98:3 119:16
Standard 144:9	160:16 161:4,13	125:13	straight 38:6	subject 19:18 47:3
standardized 148:5	161:14 169:10	stay 101:17,18	strategy 178:16	submerged 166:12
standards 32:4	171:11,14 172:7	106:4 164:19	straying 135:14	submit 7:22 209:14
70:6 71:4,20,22	177:13,17 182:20	steel 106:2 207:22	stream 83:8	submitted 130:12
87:11 106:12	187:7 188:6 190:8	step 43:15 112:14	streamline 38:16	subsidies 200:20
114:21,21 118:19	203:2,2 212:3	174:12	39:1	202:4
144:14 149:6	215:3 218:10,18	stepped 65:18 67:4	streamlined 43:13	subsidized 185:11
150:22 155:2	222:13,16 223:13	174:11	134:2	185:15
176:20	223:19,22 233:10	steps 67:5	streamlining 39:5	substantial 131:20
standpoint 201:11	234:14	Steve 2:10 169:7	streams 149:14	158:8
start 27:1 33:3	stated 204:6 207:9	170:15 172:14,18	Street 202:11	substantiates
46:13 91:18 97:11	statement 3:7 9:11	175:7	streets 37:13	111:12
108:19	19:4,7 23:13	Steven 206:11	strength 165:9	substantive 133:11
started 5:4 50:13	26:15 53:18 64:19	Stewards 206:3	strengthened 51:3	141:17
55:1 110:12	90:15 105:4	stick 77:12 115:16	stress 134:19 159:5	sub-surface 31:13

succeeded 19:21	140:3 171:5,8	exetome 122.16	tax 153:14 202:5	tendency 41:22
success 11:22 19:21	172:6 177:14	systems 122:16 143:9 148:7 149:1	223:10	42:1,7
20:19 42:21 44:19				<i>'</i>
	194:14 203:7	149:14,21 151:2,9	taxpayer 85:14	tenet 21:21
46:4,5 61:6 70:8	supported 10:19	157:12	123:7 190:7	tenets 21:18 22:2
89:8 115:10	70:12		Taylor 225:20	tens 189:17
131:16 133:6	supporters 211:20	TA 94:16	TA-54 94:2 96:12	term 113:10 118:20
134:20 135:5	supporting 10:19	table 43:18 56:9	teacher 213:18	terms 44:11 46:21
136:4,6 137:22	supposed 118:19	99:22 100:1	team 162:11 215:9	47:11,14 98:3
144:6 147:11	217:10	194:10,11 195:3	teams 177:1,5,8	106:8 116:5
171:3 177:16	supreme 233:13	196:4,6	Tech 67:7 112:11	118:16 121:5
190:19	sure 41:17 49:11	tackle 125:17	112:12	152:12 158:15
successes 11:21	52:11 56:16 62:15	tackling 113:7	technical 30:14	terrain 207:21
129:16	77:16 96:10 98:7	tailings 71:13 72:6	39:19 40:18 41:6	territory 113:5
successful 41:4	99:12 111:22	81:11 225:22	60:4,5 61:17	204:12
59:9 76:12 122:8	114:7 181:4 197:4	226:2	62:11,22 64:14	terrorist 74:8 96:5
145:1 192:15	213:1,15 235:4	take 5:4 18:14	65:15,20 72:12	100:16
successfully 20:13	surefire 220:14	28:12 55:7,20	92:15,22 110:8,21	test 11:5 35:18
110:19 132:13	surface 64:8 71:9	57:13 74:13 84:14	112:3,5 113:18	36:12 77:17 80:13
148:7 150:5	166:5 183:10	94:10 121:3 124:5	114:15 116:2,15	106:5 166:8,12
sued 187:1	surfaces 36:11	138:22 157:3	134:8,17 142:17	tested 11:5 30:10
suffer 212:4	surprise 42:2	189:3 200:7	technically 123:12	36:10 48:18
suffered 72:3	surrounding	207:16 218:8	technicians 229:21	132:11 144:3
sufficient 96:15	176:21 212:8	224:11	techniques 26:19	167:21,22 187:13
158:14	survive 200:21	taken 51:17 99:9	104:6	testified 68:21 69:3
suficiente 184:18	survivor 181:10	taken 31.17 99.9	technologies 26:16	factimany 53.7
		107.15 167.5	C	testimony 53:2
suggest 121:1	Susan 2:2,17 3:22	107:15 167:5	technology 17:19	97:14
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10	173:18 176:1	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11 62:22 64:14 80:20	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3 153:3 156:16	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5 tanks 84:17	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8 85:13 103:22	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2 91:19 95:20 97:7
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11 62:22 64:14 80:20 86:12 96:8 100:21	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3 153:3 156:16 158:10 163:19	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5 tanks 84:17 target 86:19	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8 85:13 103:22 138:7	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2 91:19 95:20 97:7 97:13 104:15,18
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11 62:22 64:14 80:20 86:12 96:8 100:21 100:22 101:3	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3 153:3 156:16 158:10 163:19 systematically	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5 tanks 84:17 target 86:19 task 113:7 129:18	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8 85:13 103:22 138:7 temptation 27:4	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2 91:19 95:20 97:7 97:13 104:15,18 107:1,4,5 111:12
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11 62:22 64:14 80:20 86:12 96:8 100:21	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3 153:3 156:16 158:10 163:19	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5 tanks 84:17 target 86:19	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8 85:13 103:22 138:7	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2 91:19 95:20 97:7 97:13 104:15,18
suggest 121:1 suggesting 71:20 101:17 174:11,14 suitability 64:12 suitable 22:20 126:13 summarize 28:18 37:8 summary 76:12 summer 130:19 199:5 sunshine 119:19 superpower 171:17 supplies 79:7 supply 183:4,8 support 10:20 19:22 20:18 23:3 35:9 53:11 59:11 62:22 64:14 80:20 86:12 96:8 100:21 100:22 101:3	Susan 2:2,17 3:22 57:10 78:6,10 90:11 116:7 122:14 198:14 200:13 202:19 sustainability 191:14 sustainable 26:13 Sylvia 209:8 Sylviana 2:20 216:18 218:1,5 syndrome 72:3 system 5:12,12 30:21 34:19,20 35:9 51:18 98:22 114:19 141:22 147:1,9 148:5,7 149:16 151:3 153:3 156:16 158:10 163:19 systematically	173:18 176:1 197:12 211:1 takes 84:15 121:11 144:1 176:14 189:3 197:16 talk 11:16 17:7 40:21 42:1 43:5 68:1 75:21 168:18 193:21 198:21 206:19 233:1 talked 28:15 163:19 166:5 193:22 talking 42:10 107:8 139:18 tall 83:12 tangible 121:5 tanks 84:17 target 86:19 task 113:7 129:18	technology 17:19 40:1 63:16 70:3 176:4 192:3 222:3 229:3,3,9 tectonic 29:13 teeth 232:7 tell 10:7 12:18 24:19 47:5 52:6 52:14 55:15 56:4 75:15,15 103:8 121:10 189:2 223:17 telling 13:10 37:9 112:1 temperature 166:10 template 135:11 temporary 64:8 85:13 103:22 138:7 temptation 27:4	97:14 testing 11:8 166:3,3 tests 31:17 32:7 36:11 150:17 165:4 187:19 Texas 209:22 thank 5:8,21,22 12:15,21 13:19,20 18:11,22 23:9,11 23:16 24:3 25:8 25:12 26:1 27:10 27:11 46:8 47:19 48:5 52:1 53:5 56:20,22 57:3,19 58:2 65:10,13 78:3,4,12,14 88:9 88:14 90:8,10,11 90:14,20,22 91:2 91:19 95:20 97:7 97:13 104:15,18 107:1,4,5 111:12

127.0 10 10 120.5	102.0 114.12 17	41	225.1	212.10
127:8,10,18 128:5	102:8 114:13,17	thoughts 65:7	225:1	213:19
128:7 138:20	117:6 124:3 131:4	110:5 113:20	timelines 79:20	totally 44:21 65:19
139:2,3 145:21,22	152:19 154:3,15	thousands 15:18	timely 62:2	66:3,19 112:18
146:2 152:3,5	155:19 157:16,20	76:3 87:13 189:17	times 43:5 44:5	227:1
153:5 159:19	159:5 163:11	212:7	62:10 68:4,13	touch 231:15,17
164:11,21 167:7	167:21 176:3	threat 150:11	69:3 112:20	232:17,17,17
168:5,12,14	186:21 188:22	220:15	139:15 150:2	tough 89:4 97:19
170:10,11,20	189:1 197:1	threatening 183:8	173:11 194:10	tour 7:2 19:14
172:9,10 175:2,5	204:15 224:16	three 69:15 71:14	210:4	tout 204:16
175:6 177:18,19	225:15	71:15 72:18 86:1	time-consuming	town 233:9
180:12 182:10	think 9:21 14:18	107:22 114:20	194:18	toxic 76:7 86:17
184:21 187:16,20	26:21 35:4 43:3	128:16 163:13	timing 95:2	88:5 170:10 183:5
188:3,11 189:19	44:18 47:6 48:6	173:13 187:5	Timm 2:12 172:16	183:7 220:15
189:20 192:17,18	49:8,17 50:11,19	198:1 199:18	175:11 178:1,4	toxicity 76:9
195:15 196:9,12	50:21 51:6 54:16	204:6 220:19	180:13	to-do 55:22
198:7,9 200:8,9	70:20 77:2 98:16	three-foot 106:5	tired 181:21 233:20	track 5:13 147:22
200:15 202:14,15	99:6 100:7 101:4	thrilled 10:7	tires 163:10	163:18 190:20
205:19,21 208:11	105:14 108:8	throw 76:5	Titanic 205:16	tracking 34:18
208:12,20 210:7	109:7,10,13,22	tidal 201:21	today 5:9 6:11 9:20	151:2
211:9,10 212:15	111:11 114:16	tied 124:14 171:16	10:2,2 11:15	tractor 34:22
212:20 215:10,11	115:9,10,14 116:4	ties 133:18	12:10 14:6 19:8	144:16
215:22 216:2,15	116:13,14,20	tie-downs 163:11	20:12,16 27:7	trailer 34:22
216:16 217:22	117:8 119:1,12,22	tight 5:10	28:12,17 43:8	144:16
219:10,12 222:5,6	120:5,9 121:13,15	Tijeras 1:10	52:11 55:1 58:10	trailers 150:13,16
225:5 227:17,19	121:18 122:1,19	Tim 1:18 3:3 5:6,21	66:11 76:8 78:13	train 142:18 209:7
230:20 231:5	124:11,21 126:16	216:16	82:4 83:14 90:20	trained 64:14
232:1 234:21	127:2 153:17	time 5:17 8:7,8,9	114:8 129:13	137:12 143:15
thankful 90:5	155:5 158:2,18	9:6 16:18 18:12	132:5 146:3 171:8	162:5
thanks 18:21 176:4	159:8,16 166:5	19:14 28:19 39:14	176:16 181:13	training 140:15
theatrical 218:9	167:17,18,22	42:7 44:7,8,12	195:9 197:1	143:2,5,6,6,10,11
themes 59:11	170:5 173:12	50:5 51:2,5 52:3	223:15	143:17 145:18
theory 209:15	188:10 200:1,8	55:2,8,14 56:4	today's 8:20	155:21 156:2,4,11
thereof 142:6	204:4,20 206:11	64:5 66:2,4,11	tofu 71:18	157:11 162:3
thermal 36:12	206:22 218:15	68:2 76:9 83:9	told 85:22 165:2	176:4
thing 47:13 60:17	219:19 220:5	84:18 88:7 90:12	186:12 211:4	transcend 128:21
60:21 92:12	222:20 224:16	97:10 98:2 102:9	215:6	TRANSCOM
109:17 117:16	226:22	103:15 105:15	Tom 1:21 3:11	151:3 163:19
118:6 121:9	thinking 109:4,18	106:21 119:8,17	23:14,18 25:10	transcript 8:17
122:21 123:12	116:21 126:3	136:11 139:17	Tomorrow 201:13	transfer 96:12
163:13 167:2	thinks 55:11	162:19 163:17	tongue 92:6	transferred 103:2
187:11 207:7	third 134:19	166:13 170:8	tons 190:15	131:13 191:10
208:14 214:8	221:17	180:1,5 184:10,15	top 81:9 101:14	transfers 149:4
226:11	thorough 28:16	184:16 188:22	topic 17:10 219:22	transformation
things 10:21 11:16	thoroughly 68:6	189:2,4,9,12,15	topical 41:6	131:18 138:10
47:8 48:14 53:2	thought 67:2 81:6,8	191:17 196:9	topics 97:2 129:5	transit 34:16
63:17 67:15 73:16	97:17 109:9	201:3 204:4	torn 93:3	transparency 44:2
73:19 78:2 82:6	116:12 213:19	210:17 222:14	total 74:18 143:15	60:3 186:20
		-	-	-

4 4 20 2	05 11 106 2	145714651112	207.15.221.0	1
transparent 20:2	95:11 106:3	145:7 146:5,11,13	207:15 231:9	underestimate 33:8
188:13	123:22 125:8,12	146:17,20 147:1,5	turned 20:21,22	undergo 144:18
transparently 23:4	125:21 126:6,7,19	147:9,11,17,18,21	tweaking 188:19	160:12 162:2
53:12	130:8 131:9	148:8,9,11,12,14	twice 147:18 186:9	166:8,12
transport 37:6	139:16 140:6	148:18,20 149:1,2	two 5:14 7:8 10:21	undergone 166:2
130:22 139:16	141:8 145:6	149:5,20,22 150:4	31:4,10 58:8 67:3	underground
149:1,17,19,22	146:11 156:2	150:6,9,14 151:1	71:1 74:17 75:1	10:13 12:3 13:9
150:14,22 157:19	travel 34:8 142:12	151:16,19,19	82:13 88:10,13	99:19 101:15
157:22 158:4,7,7	165:2	152:1 159:10	124:3,4 138:1	173:16,22 174:3
158:13 167:12	traveled 45:10	truck 95:5,9,17	150:2 153:7	175:17
187:13 226:16	treat 84:12	150:20 152:14	160:21 161:18	undermine 138:18
transportation	treated 141:9	156:14 157:18	162:18,21 168:19	understand 17:18
33:21 35:5,10,14	142:11 223:3,7	158:6,16 164:7,9	169:5 173:3	20:14 37:15 43:19
36:13 37:4 61:14	treatment 84:8	164:9 168:5,8	174:17 177:7	44:8,13 54:4
61:19 85:9 86:14	tremendous 43:17	204:8 227:3	179:5 196:22	69:16 99:6,20
95:1 108:21	176:6	trucks 151:1	198:2 203:15	117:4 220:1
127:20 128:11,14	trenches 81:15	160:14 162:11	204:3,21 216:13	221:13,17
129:16,22 130:4	trend 85:3	205:11 214:18	219:19 221:7	understanding
130:11,14 132:3,6	Triay 1:23 3:15	229:18 234:11	227:3	24:22 28:8 41:18
133:1,6,16 134:8	27:16,18 46:9	true 11:17 54:17	two-way 34:14	101:21 124:19
134:20 135:5,18	47:20 49:6 51:21	truly 44:8 51:2	type 61:5 63:20	129:3 181:14
135:19 136:8	53:15 54:5,21	88:18 89:16	64:12,17 97:3	221:19
137:12,16,20	55:6 56:7 57:1	222:18	106:4 126:14	understands 197:5
138:5 139:21	66:11,13 106:6	TRUPact 92:18	180:11	understated 138:13
140:5,16 142:2	166:5 184:5	TRUPacts 95:12	types 62:22 153:12	understood 22:10
143:4 144:2,7	tribal 3:17 34:19	165:3,12	167:11	171:13
145:5 147:1 149:8	57:6 91:11 93:13	TRUPACT-II	typical 68:4	undisturbed 31:22
149:16,21 152:15	94:3,6 95:13	166:15,17	typically 133:4	Undoubtedly 144:6
153:10,12,17	103:9 104:14	TRUPact-III	153:14,15 160:2	undue 179:10
154:19 157:18	145:4,11,12,15,20	165:14	160:20	180:3,6
158:10,16,17	tribe 142:20	TRUPact-IIs		unemployment
159:6 161:19	tribes 33:22 79:9	165:13	U	17:8,9
162:14 191:3	87:22 91:13	trust 25:6 60:14	UCLA 68:12	unequaled 172:5
193:9 195:4 227:1	102:13 104:7	130:21 133:3	Udall 1:22 3:11	uneventful 34:5
Transportation	133:16 137:17	134:5 135:17	23:14,18 25:10	140:16
4:5	145:13	truth 11:19	ultimate 23:2 53:9	unforeseen 218:14
transported 142:21	tribute 138:2	try 38:16 58:10	104:3 212:11	unfortunate 177:3
145:8	tried 114:13 158:19	102:1 115:6	ultimately 38:21	Unfortunately
transporting 48:20	186:8 197:19	156:10 166:7	unable 19:8	25:18 92:19
85:12 135:7	trips 69:7	trying 41:17 71:3	unanimously 113:3	179:13
140:22 217:14	Trish 209:9	90:13 99:14	140:12	unhappy 112:8
transuranic 7:13	Trojan 186:7,9,16	102:19 114:17	unbiased 202:1	unheard 200:6
10:15 33:19 36:2	TRÜ 2:6 4:5,16	125:5 189:9 214:9	unclear 102:12	uniformly 99:10
36:7 38:3,7,8,18	60:1 72:6 92:16	turf 71:4	unclog 185:8	Union 226:13,15
41:9,11 43:7 45:7	94:1 96:13 124:20	turn 46:6 95:18,18	uncommon 26:5	unique 91:22 94:8
45:13,17 49:14	127:20 128:4	102:11,15 127:5	unconscionable	118:6 130:16
73:18 81:20 86:2	140:16 142:21	133:9 204:9	210:16	153:9
	I	I	I	1

unit 119:19	utilize 39:2 142:9	victims 177:3	waive 160:20	99:16 101:16
united 13:1,16 23:9	utilized 37:5 53:16	Vida 215:9	walked 101:14	230:6
24:7 25:10 79:4	54:8	video 8:17	walk-around	wasn't 55:18 90:12
80:9 117:13	utilizing 149:6	view 48:15 59:9	163:10	108:15 215:21
128:20 149:17	utterly 226:17	91:4 92:19 109:8	Wall 202:11	waste 7:1,13 10:14
160:5 179:2	U.S 3:9,11,13 27:9	202:2	Wallace 1:21 3:12	10:15,16 15:4
190:22 191:4,14	70:4 74:21 128:16	viewed 153:18	23:15,16 25:13	16:14 19:15 20:8
190.22 191.4,14	130:10,11 146:7		want 8:14 11:2	20:13 21:3,10,12
229:17 230:9,12	148:15 150:6	viewgraph 28:20 29:10 30:8,22	12:15 47:18 51:9	21:15,17 22:18
units 76:22	208:14	33:3 36:15 42:22	52:5 53:6 54:10	25:3 26:12,17,20
university 215:3	200.14	views 19:17 69:11	54:15 63:18 64:3	27:20 28:9 29:1,2
unlined 81:15	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	69:18 91:14	66:6 72:8 77:13	29:5,16 30:12
183:7	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ 1:14	109:20 110:1	78:12,14 80:13	31:2,19 32:1,11
UNM 214:15	vacuum 166:19	vigilance 134:21	86:3 87:3 89:11	32:12,13,19,21
unprecedented	167:1,5	vigorously 26:16	105:3 106:14,20	33:19 35:15 36:3
66:1	validity 75:18	violate 101:21	103.5 100.14,20	36:7,18 37:2,6
unrivaled 171:5	Valley 82:3	violation 95:19	113:6 114:4	38:2,3,4,7,8,9,18
unrivaled 171.5 unsuccessful	valuable 24:9	violation 93:19 violence 211:5	117:21 118:21	38:19 39:4,19
114:10	118:6 134:4	virtually 174:4	121:18 122:13	40:19 41:2,10,11
unsuccessfully	values 71:15	visibility 60:3	125:10 127:8	41:15 42:9 43:8
114:14	128:19	Visible 79:3	168:12 169:17	44:14,18 45:7,11
unyielding 166:4	varied 82:10	vision 45:16	180:4,5 181:15,18	45:13,17,21 46:5
updates 188:16	variety 46:16	visit 6:19 7:4,19	187:12 188:11	48:1 49:14,18
upfront 188:13	various 60:10	10:3,22 18:12	197:4 199:20	53:21 56:18 58:17
upgrading 154:17	61:16 76:19	25:6,9 46:14	203:13 204:16	58:22 59:3,4 61:9
upset 228:17	102:13 103:10	visitation 11:1	206:18,20 207:14	63:2,20 64:1,6,12
uranium 25:2	119:6,12,15	visited 10:8 13:18	207:20 217:8	65:17 66:6 69:2
81:10,12 182:1	137:19 144:21	60:22 84:5 106:16	225:3 230:11	72:5,7 73:18
183:14 207:15	vary 63:1	211:3	wanted 6:20 26:14	76:13 78:20 81:3
215:17 225:18	Vault 30:9	visiting 18:13	52:2 88:16 96:10	81:7,13,15,17,20
URENCO 25:4	Vegas 227:3 233:5	visits 42:13 145:20	107:6,16 112:9	81:20,21,22 82:1
urge 110:9	vehicle 142:11	vistal 15:17	115:19 123:15	82:9,15,19 83:8
urgency 49:22 51:1	144:8,20 160:4,6	vitrification 101:4	174:10 181:18	84:1,6,8,9,13,16
urinalysis 50:8	vendors 190:18	vitrified 101:15	196:22	85:21 86:2,17,20
use 5:11 40:5 72:16	vented 77:17,18	vocabulary 184:18	wants 44:5 161:14	87:7 89:4,5 92:16
72:20 77:18	venues 34:21	voice 182:6 195:6	162:21 205:12	94:1,17,19 95:2
142:15 157:21	versus 49:20 50:15	volatile 131:11	206:17 222:18	95:12,16 96:3,13
172:6 194:17	Veterans 180:16	volume 84:5 180:9	war 47:15 49:22	99:18 100:10
useful 81:6	181:11	volumes 82:15	50:19 182:17	101:2 103:19
uses 150:14 151:22	VI 144:17,18 160:1	volunteer 109:17	213:14	106:3 107:20
usually 199:8	160:7,8,12,17	228:3,4	warheads 126:4,10	108:3,7,10 113:17
utilities 64:15	161:2	voter 190:7	warm 23:22	113:18,19 114:6
74:11 123:5	viability 170:7	vu 213:4	warning 219:2	114:11,15 118:13
190:17	viable 200:19 207:2		warrants 34:12	120:11 123:18
utility 191:20	vicinity 213:16	W	wash 208:3	124:1,5,20 125:9
utilization 94:6,8	Vicky 1:14 107:3	wailing 210:6	Washington 14:19	125:12,21 126:6,7
147:7	122:19	wait 91:7	24:6,12 52:4 60:1	126:20 128:10,13
	•	•	•	·

120-20 120-4 0 22		147.21	222.21.224.21	05.16.06.12
129:20 130:4,9,22	water 60:16 79:7	weekly 147:21	232:21 234:21	95:16 96:13
131:9 135:9,12,16	117:14 166:13	weeks 58:8	we've 46:13,16	102:14,20 103:1,2
139:17,21 140:6,6	167:22 183:4,6,8	weigh 194:12	65:1 72:3,7,14	103:18 105:10,11
140:17 141:1,2,8	183:11,12 184:1	weight 149:20	104:21 114:13	105:18 106:1,5,11
142:21 145:6,8	199:18 208:6	welcome 13:22	117:7 137:22	117:7,17 124:13
146:11,11,12,17	212:8 214:19,20	14:4,15 18:18	155:15 179:18	124:16,20 126:9
147:1,5,9,11,17	214:22 215:17,18	23:22 57:21 84:22	189:9 201:5 218:7	129:7,15 131:8
147:22 148:8,9,11	215:21 226:6	109:17	WGA 130:9 133:20	132:3,4,6,10
148:12,14,18,20	229:11 230:22	welcoming 19:11	134:7,17 138:4	133:5,13,16
149:1,2,5,9,10,14	waters 228:19	welfare 185:12	wheel 162:4	134:10,17,20
149:19,20,22	way 11:20 13:13	wells 213:9,17,20	wheels 163:4	135:5,10,19 136:8
150:4,6,10,13,15	16:17 49:19 50:13	214:3,4,6	white 93:1	136:12,16,21
151:1,16,19,20	53:14 70:17 98:13	went 13:7 75:2	wide 19:17	137:1,2,10,22
152:1 157:19	100:18 116:6	95:18 119:15,20	wife 72:20	139:13 140:13
159:10 162:4	119:15 120:9	127:13,14 204:9	willful 219:1	142:22,22 143:15
165:12,16,18,20	123:4 151:10	204:11 214:20	win 173:12	146:7,10,17 147:8
166:16 169:15	153:16,21 169:19	230:6 235:6	wind 201:20	147:13 148:9,10
170:10 174:15	181:2 185:16	weren't 66:2 92:19	window 119:20	148:13 149:4,9,16
178:9,16 179:2,7	196:4 219:5	west 82:3 161:6	winds 93:8	149:21 150:1,4,7
179:18 180:9	226:19 227:10	western 2:4 4:9	winning 49:22	151:1,1,5,17
181:15,16 182:6	228:6	34:2 127:22 128:9	50:19 173:13	152:2 154:4,8
183:6,7,9 184:14	ways 98:4 107:14	128:12,14,16,18	winter 130:20	155:16 156:19
184:14 185:14	weapon 77:18	129:4,15,21 130:5	WIPP 6:15,21 7:2	157:17 159:6,9,10
186:13,20 187:3,7	weapons 32:11	130:6,16 131:22	7:10 10:3,8,12,21	163:14 164:10
189:10,12,18	49:21 59:1 78:20	132:21 135:1,9,22	11:6,17,22 12:2,4	167:3 169:16
193:10,12 195:10	79:6,11,15 80:2,8	138:14 158:3	12:11 13:7,7,18	171:2,8,22 173:6
197:19,20 198:3,7	81:7 83:5 85:17	183:13 199:19	15:11,22 16:5,10	173:20,22 174:8,9
203:8,11 204:8,9	86:3,20 87:6 88:4	wet 209:18	17:4,14 18:1	174:15,17 176:14
205:3 206:20	89:10 115:20	Wexler 2:16 193:2	19:16,20,21 20:12	176:19 177:12,15
207:1 209:12,16	116:4 124:7,8	196:14 198:13,15	20:17,21 21:3,5,7	178:6,13 179:14
209:19 210:19	125:7 126:21	198:18	21:10,13,15 23:6	184:14,14 188:12
212:1,2,6,13	146:9 182:3,4,5	we'll 12:10,13	28:7,11,13,18	189:1,5 193:17
214:10 217:9,11	182:16 183:16	59:19 91:17	29:7,10 31:12	195:5 197:19
217:12,14,16,18	222:15 224:9	138:21 181:4	33:3,12 34:13	198:3 199:19
218:19 220:3,7,10	wearing 52:10	187:19 235:3	35:17 36:22 37:7	203:10 209:12,17
220:13,22 221:18	weather 34:8	we're 5:10 6:9	37:22 39:6,7,13	209:19 210:20
221:20 224:1,19	151:10,13 164:17	13:22 14:6,20	40:16 44:10 45:19	211:5 221:5,10
225:19 226:8,11	webcasting 8:13	43:8 44:22 58:9	49:8 50:12 51:6	226:8,10 227:10
226:12,17 227:15	Weber 2:3 3:23	71:18 81:1 92:7	53:14,16 54:19	230:3,18 231:2,3
229:2 230:14,16	57:12 90:16,18,22	93:13 94:14	55:8 57:7 58:12	231:7 232:20
231:3,8	91:19 97:6 102:11	102:22 108:10	58:17 59:5,9	233:2,4
wasted 76:1	102:22 104:10	110:20 119:16	60:22 65:17 66:9	WIPP's 20:19
wastes 63:20 64:13	website 8:3,19	122:15 127:2,21	66:13 70:8 77:16	125:2 174:16
83:13 94:16	80:15 216:11,12	153:2 188:5	77:21 81:3,17	176:22
124:17	235:4	189:10,15 213:1	85:20 86:1,5,8,21	WIPP-destined
wasting 85:14	week 24:6 60:22	216:15 218:5	89:14 90:2 91:4	94:17
watch 160:9 188:4	211:9	219:7,7 223:7,9	92:16,16 94:1	wise 13:21

wish 9:8,12 18:19	104:22	71:7 75:17 76:4,8	ф.	150 163:13
102:4 171:7	working 6:9 12:8	84:6,14 85:3 87:5	\$	150 103.13 152 4:18
wished 9:3	27:7 78:21 87:5	96:19 98:11	\$100 84:14	158 210:12
wishes 8:22	92:10 96:20	118:10,11 121:7	\$13 115:3	16 35:17 174:16
Withdrawal 33:13	128:18 129:2	128:19 133:12	\$2,000 205:6	168 4:20
35:16,21 143:9	131:13,17,21	148:14 150:8	\$9 84:7	17 44:20 151:17
withdrawn 174:17	131:13,17,21	152:21 168:3	1	18 169:10
withdrew 35:17	137:4 138:3 159:1	169:10 170:7	1 3:17 84:15 151:19	18-years 175:16
withheld 187:10	157.4 138.3 139.1	172:21 176:3		19 3:10 128:15
withstand 36:14	194:6 198:19	172.21 176.3	199:6,15 1,475 166:8	204:20
186:10	works 35:5 52:14	181:8 186:6,15	1,475 100:8 1.8 80:4	191 106:12
withstanding	102:14,18 133:20	187:5,9 189:8,17	1:01 235:6	191 100.12 1912 172:21
191:12	workshops 41:7	196:19 197:17		1912 172.21 1931 173:16
witness 90:16	world 94:8 171:19	198:1,2 200:18,18	10 72:14 81:9,10	1954 199:4,5
	176:10 200:6	202:7 208:1	84:6 121:7 170:6	1957 29:3 30:14
witnessed 101:13	206:14 207:5	210:12 212:7	187:9 199:17	
WMDs 184:7			228:22	72:10 178:14 1958 119:3
women 209:10 won 173:9	208:9 world's 151:18	213:11,19 214:17 216:22 218:8	10,000 75:16 76:8	1958 119:3 1960 30:9
wonder 207:6			224:11	
	worried 55:19	220:13,19 222:12	10-minute 57:18	1964 198:20
wondered 49:2	worry 11:1	224:11,18 225:1	10-year 61:10	1970s 20:15 175:15
wonderful 53:3	worst 89:4	225:13,17 226:12	10-160b 165:18	1972 30:17
Woollen 78:14	WOTRB 114:4	226:12 227:3	10:48 127:14	1977 21:1
word 60:10 69:16	wouldn't 205:17	229:6	100 62:10 74:1	1978 21:4 39:20
169:12 184:18	write 56:3 67:22	yellow 5:12,15 95:8	83:12 128:19	65:14 66:7 186:15
words 13:21 38:2	writing 142:7	yesterday 28:15,22	100,000 71:16	1979 21:8
122:3	written 7:22 22:16	29:7 35:12 58:15	75:16	1980 32:8
wore 52:12	142:5 181:1	59:22 60:9 63:22	104 190:13	1980s 31:20 174:6
work 7:22 14:21	wrong 99:4 155:8	77:16 88:16 194:1	105th 199:5	174:9 199:12
16:12 17:3 30:16	204:9 231:13	194:2	11 33:1 45:10 54:13	1981 32:14,20
35:8 44:7 47:2	234:12	yesterday's 9:21	54:14 82:17,21	130:9
51:4 56:11,17	wrote 200:3	yes-or-no 126:3	150:5,8 152:21	1982 21:17 22:18
75:14 77:3 90:6	Wyoming 161:5	young 190:10,11	162:16 197:17	1986 35:22 130:5
93:14 102:10	Y	191:5 192:3,8	11:00 127:12,15	200:4
104:8,13 110:21	-	youth 217:2,5,8	11:30 9:6	1987 22:3,10 78:22
111:8 131:1 132:1	Y 221:12	Yucca 20:22 22:4	12 44:20 45:13,14	1988 33:1 130:9
158:10 173:21	Yankee 109:4	22:12,12 71:22	128 4:8	131:19 193:8
175:13 177:6	year 45:15 66:8,9,9	72:15 99:20,21	13 72:14 133:12	197:18
217:2,4 221:12	69:3 71:2 74:16	100:2,5,7 108:12	13,000 187:6	1991 131:10,19
worked 52:3 58:17	114:9 147:18	181:17 196:20	211:19	138:12
66:12,14 68:12	149:20 165:15	220:4 221:9	131 119:11	1992 21:11 33:12
224:4,5	188:10 205:5	-Z	137 215:19	184:9
worker 87:9	230:7		139 4:11	1993 197:18,21
106:17 181:9	years 18:8 28:10	zero 11:8,9	14 3:8	1998 37:22 193:17
workers 35:9	33:1 45:13,15	zone 31:22 181:22	146 4:14	1999 16:6 28:4,7
148:20 150:12	46:16 48:4 54:13	229:8	15 127:11 170:6	37:10,18 38:5
233:3	54:14,20 55:21	<u>ъ</u>	233:21 234:1	61:10 146:7
workforce 17:6,12	61:8 66:8 69:4		15-years 33:15	
		þ 207:9		

				Page 27
2	330 1:10	9		
2 4:5	35 220:18 224:18	93:6		
	350,000 161:22			
2,000 183:16	36 78:17	9,000 74:20 132:12		
190:14	37 168:17 222:12	9,200 45:9		
20 69:4 149:22	38 176:3	90 3:23 45:16 59:2		
178:18 196:19	36 170.3	107:20 215:18		
229:6	4	93 198:1		
200,000 81:14	4 59:3	96 198:1,2		
2000 66:9	4-foot 168:4	97 3:25		
2003 38:11 159:9	40 106:12 169:10	972 80:7		
2004 28:4 39:20	178:7 225:12	98 198:2		
40:2	47 3:16	99 172:21 198:3		
2005 201:15 204:20	47 3.10			
2006 39:7 193:19	5			
2007 148:13	5 3:2,5			
2009 84:11 149:18	5,100 79:10			
2010 39:9,12 40:10	50 163:7 178:18			
2011 1:6	200:18,18 202:7			
2012 45:8	215:1			
2015 45:18 96:11	50s 80:11			
2062 79:21	53 84:9 213:19			
22 66:8	54 92:15 93:1 94:17			
23 3:12	565 91:12			
235 4:22	58 3:18			
239 232:4	30 3.10			
24 87:5	6			
24th 138:14	6,000 190:10			
242 232:4	60 179:17 220:13			
25 54:20 181:8	60s 80:11			
215:4,6	65 3:20 189:8			
25th 28:7 37:10	215:18			
26 3:13				
26th 16:6 37:14	7			
27 3:15	7.3 75:3			
274 79:19	72,000 45:12			
28 1:6	75 16:18 80:3			
29 44:21	78 3:22			
29,769 143:15				
	8			
3	8 183:5			
3 166:7	8-1/2 82:17			
3,750 79:22	8:30 1:9			
3,900 79:12	8:31 5:2			
30 163:18 166:4,9	80 68:3 84:14			
199:17 215:5	80s 134:17			
311 38:12 193:19	800 218:8			
329 79:19				
	•	•	· '	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Blue Ribbon Commission on

America's Nuclear Future

Before: n/a

Date: 01-28-11

Place: Albuquerque, NM

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &