NAME OF SPECIES: Polygonum perfoliatum L. (1)		
Synonyms: Ampelygonum perfoliatum (L.) Roberty & Vautier, Persicaria perfoliata (L.) H. Gross (4)		
Common Name: Asiatic tearthumb (1). Asiatic tearthumb, devil's-tail tearthumb, mile-a-minute-vine, mile-a-minute-weed, minuteweed, tearthumb (4).		
I. In Wisconsin?	1. YES 🗌 NO 🖂	
	2. <u>Abundance</u> :	
	3. <u>Geographic Range</u> :	
	4. <u>Habitat Invaded</u> :	
	Disturbed Areas 🗌 Undisturbed Areas 🗌	
	5. Historical Status and Rate of Spread in Wisconsin:	
	6. Proportion of potential range occupied:	
II. Invasive in Similar Climate	1. YES NO	
Zones	<u>Where (include trends)</u> : The introduction of P. perfoliatum	
	occurred in the late 1930's to a nursery site in York County,	
	Pennsylvania produced a successful population of this plant. It is	
	speculated that the seed was spread with Rhododendron stock.	
	(4)	
	Currently escaped in the East from Virginia north to Rhode Island	
	and west to Ohio. (5)	
III. Invasive in Similar Habitat	1. Upland 🗌 Wetland 🖾 Dune 🗌 Prairie 🗌 Aquatic 🗌	
Types	Forest 🛛 Grassland 🗌 Bog 🗌 Fen 🗌 Swamp 🗌	
	Marsh 🗌 Lake 🗌 Stream 🔀 Other: Generally colonizes	
	open, disturbed areas. Light—at least 63% of the available sunlight–	
	-is required for this species to successfully colonize a site. Areas	
	that have "little canopy cover, sparse vegetation, a good moisture	
	regime, a protective leaf mulch, and continual disturbance are	
	vulnerable".	
	This species is commonly found in roadsides, ditches, rights-of-way,	
	vacant lots, clearcuts and young tree farms, and other disturbed	
	habitats. It may also be found in wet meadows that may support	
	rare wetland plants and in natural riparian and floodplain areas.	
IV. Habitat Effected	(5) 1. <u>Soil types favored or tolerated:</u> Mile-a-minute has a preference	
IV. Habitat Ellected	for moist soils, but can survive relatively low soil moisture, especially	
	areas with abundant leaf litter (5). It also occurs in environments	
	that are extremely wet with poor soil structure (4).	
	2. Conservation significance of threatened habitats: While at this	
	time mile-a-minute weed is not nearly as widspread as kudzu in the	
	U.S, it is rapidly spreading and could present as great a threat to	
	native biodiversity as kudzu in the near- to medium-term (5).	
V. Native Habitat	1. <u>List countries and native habitat types</u> : P. perfoliatum's native	
	range is from India to Eastern Asia, China, and the islands from	
	Japan to the Philippines; it is also native to Nepal, Burma,	
	Manchuria, Korea, Taiwan and the Malay Peninsula. (4)	
VI. Legal Classification	1. <u>Listed by government entities?</u> Alabama: Class A noxious weed;	
	Connecticut: Invasive, banned; Massachusetts: Prohibited; North	
	Carolina: Class A noxious weed; Ohio: Prohibited noxious weed;	
	Pennsylvania: Noxious weed; South Carolina: Plant pest (1)	

	2. Illegal to sell? YES 🛛 NO 🗌		
	Notes: Connecticut; Massachusetts; Ohio; Pennsylvania. (1)		
B. ESTABLISHMENT POTENTIAL AND LIFE HISTORY TRAITS			
I. Life History	 <u>Type of plant</u>: Annual Biennial Monocarpic Perennial <u>Herbaceous Perennial</u> Vine Shrub Tree <u>Time to Maturity</u>: Can reach reproductive maturity very early in the season and can alter the allocation of energy from vegetative growth to earlier reproduction when intraspecific competition is high. Will produce seeds as early as July, and seeds can germinate as early as March (5). <u>Length of Seed Viability</u>: Seed viability is 3 years (5). <u>Methods of Reproduction</u>: Asexual Sexual Sexual Notes: Fruits in September (3) <u>Hybridization potential</u>: NA 		
II. Climate	 <u>Climate restrictions</u>: The plant is a tender annual and dies at the first frost but the seeds must undergo a minimum 8 week period of temperatures 10 degrees C or below in order to break dormancy and germinate (5). <u>Effects of potential climate change</u>: May be able to move further north. 		
III. Dispersal Potential	1. Pathways - Please check all that apply:		
	Unintentional: Bird Animal ✓ Vehicles/Human ✓ Wind Water ✓ Other: Birds can disperse the seeds moderately long distances as can water (fruit can remain buoyant for 7-9 days). Seeds are also inadvertently transported in nursery stock. (5) Transport of seeds short distances by native ant species has been observed. These seed-carrying ants may play an important role in the survival and germination of the seeds of mile-a-minute weed. Other animals observed eating mile-a-minute weed fruits are chipmunks, squirrel and deer. (4) Intentional: Ornamental Forage/Erosion control		
	Medicine/Food: Other:		
	2. <u>Distinguishing characteristics that aid in its survival and/or</u> <u>inhibit its control</u> : This incredibly fast growing, annual vine can reach 20 - 25 ft in 6 - 8 weeks (3). The ability of mile-a-minute to attach to other plants with its recurved barbs and climb over the plants to reach an area of high light intensity is a key to its survival(4). Can reach reproductive maturity very early in the season and can alter the allocation of energy from vegetative growth to earlier reproduction when intraspecific competition is high (5).		
IV. Ability to go Undetected			
C. DAMAGE POTENTIAL			

I. Competitive Ability	1. Presence of Natural Enemies: Japanese Beetles (7).
II. Environmental Effects	2. Competition with native species: Large infestations of mile-a-minute weed eventually reduce native plant species in natural areas. Small populations of extremely rare plants may be eliminated entirely. (4) In a comparison of changes in plant diversity on sites with and without mile-a-minute weed in Virginia, plant diversity was reduced in the first year in plots with mile-a-minute weed, compared to controls. Loss of native plant species diversity from mile-a-minute weed affects wildlife species by reducing or eliminating their food plants and habitats. (7) 3. Rate of Spread:
D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC Effects	
I. Positive aspects of the species	Notes: Medicial uses for: Abscess; Alexiteric; Bite(Snake); Boil;
to the economy/society:	Circulation; Diuretic; Dysentery; Dysuria; Enteritis; Fever; Hematuria; Trauma; Tumor. (2).
II. Potential socio-economic effects of requiring controls: Positive: Negative:	Notes: NA
III. Direct and indirect socio- economic effects of plant:	Notes: Because it can smother tree seedlings, mile-a-minute weed has a negative effect on Christmas tree farms, forestry operations on pine plantations and reforestation of natural areas. It has the

are not regu This weed is commercial regeneration incurred for burning), ar comm.). Und regeneration herbicide ap soil. Mile-a-minut and the den	be a problem to nursery and horticulture crops that larly tilled as a cultivation practice. (4) a particular threat to forest regeneration. In forest areas where mile-a-minute weed has affected n, costs ranging from about \$60 to \$500/ha are site preparation, weed management (e.g., herbicides, ad labor to replant seedlings (Charles Brown, pers. Fortunately, in both commercial and natural n areas, this weed is difficult to control with a single pplication due to prolonged persistence of seeds in the minute weed can invade apple orchards. The weed also infests recreational and residential areas, se, prickly thickets formed by this weed are especially to people and their pets. (7)
IV. Increased cost to sectors Notes: NA	
caused by the plant:	
V. Effects on human health: Notes: NA	
VI. Potential socio-economic effects of restricting use: Positive: Negative:	
E. CONTROL AND PREVENTION	
I. Costs of Prevention (including Notes: NA education; please be as specific as possible):	
II. Responsiveness to prevention Notes: NA efforts:	
Times and u hand pulling herbicides w broad spect (Round-up a weed germi effect again annual germi	Biological Chemical S ses: Mechanical control can be done by mowing or g, especially before seed set. For chemical control, with surfactants may be needed to wet the leaves. Two rum, non-selective herbicides are glyphosphate and other brand names) and Finale. The seed from this nates in late spring. Applying a pre-emergence that is st broad leaf weeds in late spring will prevent the hinating seeds from emerging. (3) (5)
continued for	seed survival at 4 years, monitoring needs to be or at least that long (5).
V. Costs of Control: Notes:	
VI. Cost of prevention or control Notes: vs. Cost of allowing invasion to occur:	
VII. Non-Target Effects of Notes: Hert Control:	vicides may have a negative effect on native species.
VIII. Efficacy of monitoring: Notes:	
IX. Legal and landowner issues: Notes:	

F. REFERENCES USED:

UW Herbarium
UW DNR
TNC
Native Plant Conservation Alliance
IPANE
SUBA Plants

Number Reference USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, 2 May 2007). National Plant Data Center, 1 Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN) 2 [Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. URL: http://www.arsgrin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?29263 (02 May 2007) Stanton Gill, Regional Specialist, Central Maryland Research and Education Center, University of Maryland, 3 Cooperative Extension Service. http://www.agnr.umd.edu/users/cmrec/art3.htm 4 Okay, J.G. 2005. Fact Sheet: Mile-a-minute Weed. Plant Conservation Alliance's Alien Plant Working Group Weeds Gone Wild: Alien Plant Invaders of Natural Areas. http://www.nps.gov/plants/alien/fact/pope1.htm 5 NatureServe. 2006. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 6.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available http://www.natureserve.org/explorer. (Accessed: May 2, 2007). Czarapata, Elizabeth J. 2005. Invasive Plants of the Upper Midwest: An Illustrated Guide to their Identification 6 and Control. The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI. 7 Wu, Yun; C. Reardon, and Ding Jian-ging. Mile-a-Minute Weed. In: Van Driesche, R., et al., 2002, Biological Control of Invasive Plants in the Eastern United States, USDA Forest Service Publication FHTET-2002-04, 413 p. http://www.invasive.org/eastern/biocontrol/26MileAMinute.html

Author(s), Draft number, and date completed: Mariquita Sheehan, 1st Draft, 2 May 2007

Reviewer(s) and date reviewed: Brock Woods

Approved and Completed Date: Thomas Boos, 9-10-07