#### NTSB National Transportation Safety Board

Presentation to: Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting Name: Christopher A. Hart Date: April 6, 2011

STAL

Reducing Risk While Improving Productivity:

**Key Lessons Learned** 

#### **The Contrast**

#### - Conventional Wisdom:

Improvements that reduce risk usually also reduce productivity

#### - Lesson Learned from Proactive Aviation Safety Information Programs:

Risk can be reduced in a way that also results in immediate productivity improvements





### Process Plus Fuel Creates A Win-Win



April 6, 2011

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting

3 NTSB



# <u>Outline</u>

- The Context
- Importance of Better Information
- Importance of "System Think"
- Safety Benefits
- Productivity Benefits
- Aviation Successes and Failures
- The Role of Leadership

4

# **The Context: Increasing Complexity**

#### More System

#### **Interdependencies**

- Large, complex,
  interactive system
- Often tightly coupled
- Hi-tech components
- Continuous innovation
- Ongoing evolution
- Safety Issues Are More Likely to Involve Interactions Between Parts of the System





## Effects of Increasing Complexity:

More "Human Error" Because

- System More Likely to be Error Prone
- Operators More Likely to Encounter Unanticipated Situations
- Operators More Likely to Encounter Situations in Which "By the Book" May Not Be Optimal ("workarounds")

6

#### **The Result:**

#### Front-Line Staff Who Are - Highly Trained - Competent - Experienced, -Trying to Do the Right Thing, and - Proud of Doing It Well

... Yet They Still Commit

### Inadvertent Human Errors

April 6, 2011



# When Things Go Wrong

How It Is Now . . .

You are highly trained

and

If you did as trained, you would not make mistakes

so You weren't careful enough How It Should Be . . .

You are human and Humans make mistakes

SO

Let's *also* explore why the system allowed, or failed to accommodate, your mistake

SO

and

8

You should be **PUNISHED!** Let's IMPROVE THE SYSTEM!



#### Fix the Person or the System?

Is the Person *Clumsy?* 

Or Is the Problem . . .

# The Step???

April 6, 2011

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting



9

## Enhance Understanding of Person/System Interactions By:

# - Collecting,

# - Analyzing, and

# - Sharing Information

April 6, 2011



# **Objectives:**

Make the System (a) Less Error Prone and

# *(b) More Error Tolerant*

April 6, 2011





#### **The Health Care Industry**

### To Err Is Human:

Building a Safer Health System

"The focus must shift from blaming individuals for past errors to a focus on preventing future errors by designing safety into the system."

# Institute of Medicine, Committee on Quality of Health Care in America, 1999



#### **Current System Data Flow**



April 6, 2011



#### **Heinrich Pyramid**



April 6, 2011

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting

NTSB

14

## Major Source of Information: Hands-On "Front-Line" Employees

# "We Knew About That Problem"

(and we knew it might hurt

someone sooner or later)

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting

15

NTSB

April 6, 2011

#### Legal Concerns That Discourage Collection, Analysis, and Sharing

- Public Disclosure
- Job Sanctions and/or Enforcement
- Criminal Sanctions
- Civil Litigation



## **Typical "Cultural" Barrier**





Middle Management



**"Production First"** 

Front-Line Employees



#### "Please the Boss First... THEN Consider Safety?"

17

NTSB

April 6, 2011



April 6, 2011

### **Information Overload**



"EUREKA! MORE INFORMATION !"

April 6, 2011



### **From Data to Information**

#### Tools and processes to convert large quantities of data into useful information



#### **Prioritization: The Most Difficult Step**

# How Many *Other Pressing Issues* (If Any) Were Being Addressed When:

- NASA responded inadequately to previous events of separated foam that struck the orbiter during launch
- **Concorde** manufacturer and operators responded inadequately to previous tire disintegrations during takeoff
- Ford and Firestone responded inadequately to previous tire failures and rollovers in Ford Explorers

- The intelligence community responded inadequately to reports about people who wanted to learn to fly – but not how to land – in an airliner flight simulator

#### Missing Element – The Harsh Glare of Hindsight



### **Aviation Success Story**

#### 65% Decrease in Fatal Accident Rate, 1997 - 2007

largely because of

#### **Proactive**

#### **Safety Information Programs**

#### plus System Think

P.S. Aviation was already considered VERY SAFE in 1997!!

April 6, 2011



#### **Aviation "System Think" Success**

- Engage <u>All</u> Participants In Identifying Problems and Developing and Evaluating Remedies
- Airlines
- Manufacturers
  - With the systemwide effort
  - With their own end users
- Air Traffic Organizations
- Labor
  - Pilots
  - Mechanics
  - Air traffic controllers



• Regulator(s) [Query: Investigator(s)?]



#### Query

#### Effect of collaboration between the

#### **Securities Industry**

#### and the

# Mortgage Banking Industry ?????

April 6, 2011



#### Manufacturer "System Think" Success

Aircraft Manufacturers are Increasingly Seeking Input, Throughout the Design Process, From

- Pilots (User Friendly)
- Mechanics (Maintenance Friendly)
- Air Traffic Services (System Friendly)

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting



25

#### Failure: Inadequate "System Think"

- 1995 Cali, Colombia
- Risk Factors
  - Night
  - Airport in Deep Valley
  - No Ground Radar
  - Airborne Terrain Alerting Limited to "Look-Down"
  - Last Minute Change in Approach
    - More rapid descent (throttles idle, spoilers)
    - Hurried reprogramming
- Navigation Radio Ambiguity
- Spoilers Do Not Retract With Power



26

April 6, 2011

#### **Recommended Remedies Include:**

- Operational
  - Caution Re Last Minute Changes to the Approach
- Aircraft/Avionics
  - Enhanced Ground Proximity Warning System
  - Spoilers That Retract With Max Power
  - Require Confirmation of Non-Obvious Changes
  - Unused or Passed Waypoints Remain In View
- Infrastructure
  - Three-Letter Navigational Radio Identifiers
  - Ground-Based Radar
  - Improved Reporting of, and Acting Upon, Safety Issues

#### Note: All but one of these eight remedies address system issues



# Major Benefit: Savings\*

\*Significantly More Than Savings From Mishaps Prevented ACCI DENT REVENTION

Long-Term Benefits

#### OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE Immediate Benefits

April 6, 2011



#### Not Only Improved Safety, But Improved Productivity, Too

- Ground Proximity Warning System
  - S: Reduced warning system complacency
  - P: Reduced unnecessary missed approaches, saved workload, time, and fuel
- Flap Overspeed
  - S: No more potentially compromised airplanes
  - P: Significantly reduced need to take airplanes off line for VERY EXPENSIVE (!!) disassembly, inspection, repair, and reassembly



But Then . . .

# Why Are We So Jaded in The Belief That Improving Safety Will Probably Hurt The Bottom Line??

April 6, 2011



### Costly Result\$ Of Safety Improvements Poorly Done

#### Safety Poorly Done

- 1. Punish/re-train operator
- Poor workforce morale
- Poor labor-management relations

#### Safety Well Done

Look beyond operator, also consider system issues

31

- Labor reluctant to tell management what's wrong
- Retraining/learning curve of new employee if "perpetrator" moved/fired
- Adverse impacts of equipment design ignored, problem may recur because manufacturers are not involved in improvement process
- Adverse impacts of procedures ignored, problem may recur because procedure originators (management and/or regulator) are not involved in improvement process

April 6, 2011

#### Costly Result\$ Of Safety Poorly Done (con't)

#### Safety Poorly Done

#### Safety Well Done

Apply "System Think,"

and solve problems

with workers, to identify

- 2. Management decides remedies unilaterally
- Problem may not be fixed
- Remedy may not be most effective, may generate other problems
- Remedy may not be most cost effective, may reduce productivity
- Reluctance to develop/implement remedies due to past remedy failures
- Remedies less likely to address multiple problems

# 3. Remedies based upon instinct, gut feeling

- Same costly results as No. 2, above

Remedies based upon evidence (including info from front-line workers)





#### Costly Result\$ Of Safety Poorly Done (con't)

#### Safety Poorly Done

Safety Well Done

4. Implementation is last step

Evaluation after implementation

- No measure of how well remedy worked (until next mishap)
- No measure of unintended consequences (until something else goes wrong)

#### **Conclusion:** Is Safety Good Business?

- Safety implemented poorly can be very costly (and ineffective)
- Safety implemented well, in addition to improving safety more effectively, can also create benefits greater than the costs

Wells Fargo Leadership Meeting



33

### **The Role of Leadership**

- Demonstrate Safety Commitment . . . But Acknowledge That Mistakes Will Happen - Include "Us" (e.g., System) Issues, Not Just "You" (e.g., Training) Issues - Make Safety a Middle Management Metric - Engage Labor Early - Include the System --Manufacturers, Operators, Regulator(s), and Others Encourage and Facilitate Reporting - Provide Feedback - Provide Adequate Resources

- Follow Through With Action

34

### **How The Regulator Can Help**

- Emphasize importance of System issues *in addition to* (*not instead of*) worker issues

- Encourage and participate in industry-wide "System Think"

- Facilitate collection and analysis of information
  - Clarify and announce policies for protecting information and those who provide it
    - Encourage other industry participants to do the same
  - Recognize that compliance is very important, but the mission is reducing systemic risk

April 6, 2011



#### Thank You!!!



# Questions?

April 6, 2011

