

January 5, 2012

Evidence-based Guidelines for Selected and Previously Considered Hospital- Acquired Conditions

Report Update

Prepared for

Linda A. Radey, PhD
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation
Mail Stop WB-06-05
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Prepared by

Kenneth A. LaBresh, MD
Nicole Jarrett, MSPH
Linda Lux, MPA
RTI International
3040 Cornwallis Road
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

RTI Project Number 0209853.231.002.122

Evidence-Based Guidelines for Selected and Previously Considered Hospital-Acquired Conditions

by Kenneth A. LaBresh, MD, Task 12.2 Leader and
Nicole Jarrett, MSPH
Linda Lux, MPA

CMS Project Officer: Linda A. Radey, PhD

RTI International

CMS Contract No. 500-T00007

January 5, 2012

This project was funded by the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation under contract no. 500-T00007. The statements contained in this report are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation. RTI assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the information contained in this report.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 Brief Background on Hospital-Acquired Conditions (HACs) and the Importance of Obtaining the Evidence-Based Guidelines Regarding Prevention of these Conditions	3
1.2 Organization of the Report.....	3
SECTION 2 METHODS	5
2.1 Approach Used to Identify the Appropriate Guidelines	5
2.2 Definition of Evidence-Based Guidelines Applied.....	6
SECTION 3 RESULTS	9
3.1 Selected HACs	9
3.2 Candidate Condition	44
3.3 Previously Considered Conditions.....	48
SECTION 4 DISCUSSION	71
4.1 Selected Conditions	71
4.2 Candidate Condition	72
4.3 Previously Considered Conditions.....	72
Appendices	
A: Pertinent Evidence-Based CDC Guidelines Withdrawn from the National Guideline Clearinghouse	73
B: Evidence-Based Guidelines for Selected and Previously Considered Hospital- Acquired Conditions: Withdrawn Guidelines.....	75
List of Tables	
1 Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition.....	10
2 Identified guidelines for the candidate hospital-acquired condition.....	46
3 Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition	49
4 Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines.....	71
5 Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines.....	72
6 Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines.....	72

[This page left intentionally blank.]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment provisions for preventable hospital-acquired conditions (HAC) are one of many recent CMS “value-based purchasing” initiatives through which the Medicare program is striving to tie payment to performance. Through collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and extensive public input, CMS identified 10 HACs as being reasonably preventable based on the application of published, evidence-based guidelines and thus targeted these HACs for program payment reductions. Selected HACs have to be conditions that are high volume and/or high cost, be identified in the CMS grouper as a complication or comorbidity (CC) or major complication or comorbidity (MCC) for purposes of MS-DRG assignment, and be reasonably preventable using evidence-based guidelines (73 FR 48471-48491). In addition to 10 preventable HACs, there are seven “previously considered conditions” and one candidate condition under agency and public review (75 FR 50042-50677).

The purpose of this report is to identify and characterize the contemporary evidence-based guidelines available for each of the selected and previously considered HACs that provide recommendations for the prevention of the corresponding condition in the acute hospital setting. Guidelines were primarily identified using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) National Guidelines Clearing House (NGCH) and the CDC, along with relevant professional societies. Guidelines published in the United States were used, if available. In the absence of U.S. guidelines for a specific condition, international guidelines were included.

Evidence-based guidelines that included specific recommendations for the prevention of the condition were identified in nine of the 10 selected conditions. In the absence of evidence based guidelines reviews with specific prevention recommendations were cited. There are no current guidelines that address the prevention of air embolism, but two review articles which address specific prevention practices were identified. There were no U.S. guidelines for prevention of blood incompatibility. In this instance, three international guidelines citing evidence and providing specific prevention recommendations were also included.

There is one candidate condition, contrast –induced acute kidney injury, in the report for which evidenced based guidelines were identified. Seven previously considered conditions are also included in the report. Evidence-based guidelines with prevention recommendations were found for each of the previously considered HACs. For methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), guidelines were also included that covered strategies in the community to detect and reduce the presence of MRSA in the population served, since MRSA is most commonly brought into the hospital by asymptomatic carriers. Thus, community detection and control is an important additional strategy for transmission prevention in the hospital.

Both the CDC CAUTI 2009 guidelines for urinary catheter-related infection and the ICSI Health Care Protocol: Perioperative Protocol provide estimates of the effectiveness of the recommended in actions in preventing the condition, the former for catheter-associated urinary tract infection, and the latter for surgical site infections following select procedures.

[This page left intentionally blank.]

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Brief Background on Hospital-Acquired Conditions (HACs) and the Importance of Obtaining the Evidence-Based Guidelines Regarding Prevention of these Conditions

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' (CMS') payment provisions for preventable hospital-acquired conditions (HACs) are one of many recent CMS "value-based purchasing" initiatives through which the Medicare program is striving to tie payment to performance. Through collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and extensive public input, CMS identified 10 HACs as being reasonably preventable based on the application of published, evidence-based guidelines, and thus targeted these HACs for program payment reductions. Selected HACs must be conditions that are high volume and/or high cost, be identified in the CMS grouper as a complicating (CC) or major complicating (MCC) conditions for purposes of MS-DRG assignment, and be reasonably preventable using evidence-based guidelines (73 FR 48471-48491). In addition to 10 preventable HACs, there are seven "previously considered conditions" under agency and public review (75 FR 50042-50677) and an additional "candidate condition" recently proposed in the CMS FY2011 Proposed Rule.

This report represents a summary of evidence-based guidelines that can be used as a basis for hospital care that will reasonably be expected to prevent these specific HACs. Thus, this evidence-based guideline information is an essential ingredient in the selection of conditions and the maintenance of the payment decisions for HACs.

1.2 Organization of the Report

In the following sections of this report, we present our methodological approach to identifying the HAC-related evidence-based guidelines (Section 2), the results of our review of those guidelines (Section 3 and Tables 1, 2, and 3) and a summary of the findings (Section 4 and Tables 4, 5, and 6).

[This page left intentionally blank.]

SECTION 2 METHODS

2.1 Approach Used to Identify the Appropriate Guidelines

Our search for evidence-based guidelines for each of the HACs applied the following inclusion criteria:

- addressed the HAC of interest
- developed in the United States or international guidelines if no appropriate U.S. guidelines were located
- included information on actions to be taken to prevent the HAC of interest.

We included only current guidelines, excluding guidelines listed as withdrawn either by the National Guideline Clearing House (NGCH) or guideline developers. Relevant systematic reviews that meet the above criteria were included only when evidence based guidelines could not be identified. Please refer to Section 2.2 for further definition of “guideline” as used in this report.

2.1.1 Search of Guidelines.gov (<http://www.guidelines.gov/>)

We began our systematic approach by searching the National Guideline Clearing House (NGCH) for guidelines representing each of the HACs. Alternative terms were used if we did not find the appropriate guidelines. For example, for blood incompatibility, we used the terms ABO compatibility, transfusion, transfusion reaction, and administration of blood products to identify relevant guidelines. Using a snowball approach, as we reviewed one guideline and it referred to another, we would investigate that guideline as well. We were aided by the fact that the NGCH contains a link to each of the HACs.

2.1.2 Search of CDC.gov

We also searched the CDC website for guidelines representing each of the HACs. The CDC did not have guidelines for foreign objects retained after surgery, pressure ulcers Stage III and IV, manifestations of poor glycemic control, or deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. We included all relevant CDC guidelines except those withdrawn by the National Guideline Clearing House. CDC guidelines withdrawn recently by NGCH are included among those listed in the Appendix to this report.

2.1.3 Search of Other Sources

In addition to the key sources listed above, we also searched the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to locate HACs not found in the NGCH. In each incident, we were referred back to the NGCH. We also looked at the Federal Register and used PubMed and Google to identify other government and professional clinical associations that may have relevant information. For example, with blood incompatibility, we also searched the American Society of Transplantation, American Association of Blood Banks, and American Society of

Clinical Pathology. For conditions with no available guidelines, we searched the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews in order to identify potentially applicable review articles. In addition, links attached to the guidelines for additional information were used to clarify processes for evidence evaluation and as a means to identify other relevant guidelines.

2.1.4 Limitations of the Methods Used

The method of identifying primary and secondary sources of guidelines rely on the NGCH and the CDC as primary sources of guidelines relevant to selected and previously considered HACs. RTI recognizes that most, but potentially not all, evidence-based guidelines are contained in the NGCH. We assumed that all U.S. guidelines have been developed by professional societies or governmental agencies and employed a secondary search strategy to identify these sources that may not have provided their guidelines to the NGCH. It is possible that there are other *ad hoc* groups that have developed guidelines that may be missed by these techniques. For HACs for which U.S. guidelines were not identified, we did search for international guidelines that may be applicable. Because international guidelines may not be perceived to be applicable to U.S. providers, we did not perform a more extensive search and thus may have missed guidelines for those HACs from outside the United States.

2.2 Definition of Evidence-Based Guidelines Applied

Guideline-development processes have been evolving from expert panel recommendations supported by a selective literature search or based on a consensus of the panel members, to the more recent adoption of systematic processes. These processes employ an explicit evidence-grading and strength-of-evidence designation. A full systematic review also includes a literature search framed by critical questions as well as defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. There continue to be important clinical areas for which there is no definitive clinical trial or other relevant evidence base. This issue is typically addressed by either making no recommendation when there is clinical uncertainty, or by making recommendations, clearly specified as being expert opinion, that are typically based on clinical experience and reasoning from underlying scientific principles. To account for this evolution in “evidence-based guidelines,” we developed a three-tiered set of criteria to categorize the type of evidence-base used for each guideline.

We set Level I as the highest level of evidence-based guideline. To account for current guidelines-development processes, we have subdivided this level into Level Ia: guidelines that used a systematic literature search, rated the quality of each individual study considered, and graded the overall strength of evidence, or demonstrated that they used a “best evidence” approach through exclusion of information from studies deemed to be of lower internal validity; and Level Ib: guidelines that rated the quality of each individual study considered, graded the overall strength of evidence, or demonstrated the use of a “best evidence” approach, but did not employ a systematic methodology for the review of the literature. For those guidelines that did not describe a systematic methodology and only provided citations for the recommendation, we called these “evidence-cited” and designated them as Level II. Our lowest level, Level III, represented those guidelines that were based on expert opinion or no specific information to describe the basis of the recommendation. Guidelines typically present various levels of recommendation depending on the quality of evidence, and most employ expert opinion for some

of the recommendations that are made when there is not sufficient evidence in the literature. The use of expert opinion may occur with Level Ia, Ib, or Level II guidelines and thus, guidelines may be rated as either Level Ia, Ib, or Level II and, **in addition**, Level III.

Level of Evidence

- **Level Ia:** Systematic literature search and review, indication of review of the quality of the studies or the overall body of literature, or a “best evidence” approach.
- **Level Ib:** Literature review and review of the quality of the studies or the overall body of literature, or a “best evidence” approach.
- **Level II:** Evidence is cited, but no discussion of quality or strength of evidence.
- **Level III:** Expert opinion or no information on how recommendations were developed.

[This page left intentionally blank.]

SECTION 3 RESULTS

In this section, we describe the evidence based guidelines found for the 10 selected HACs, the candidate HAC, and the seven previously considered HACs.

3.1 Selected HACs

In **Table 1** below, we present the current guidelines identified through our searches that provide recommendations to prevent the ten selected HACs:

- Foreign object retained after surgery
- Air embolism
- Blood incompatibility
- Pressure ulcers (Stage III and IV)
- Injuries from falls & trauma (fractures, dislocations, intracranial injuries, crushing injuries, burns, electric shock)
- Deep vein thrombosis (DVT)/pulmonary embolism(PE) associated with total knee replacement or hip replacement
- Manifestations of poor glycemic control (*Diabetic ketoacidosis, Hypoglycemic coma, Nonketotic hyperosmolar coma; Secondary diabetes with ketoacidosis or hyperosmolarity*)
- Catheter-associated urinary tract infection
- Vascular catheter-associated infection
- Surgical site infection (SSI) following Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (mediastinitis), bariatric surgery (laparoscopic gastric bypass, gastroenterostomy, or laparoscopic gastric restrictive surgery), or certain orthopedic procedures (spine, neck, shoulder, or elbow).

Each HAC is discussed in the text immediately below the table, which includes identification of the guideline developer and commentary on the evidence level and whether the guideline includes identification of appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the HAC. Note that, for clarity, the guidelines are references in the text by the guideline developer. Only two of the identified guidelines included a statement of the anticipated magnitude of prevention of events anticipated with use of the guideline recommendations.

Table 1
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Foreign object retained after surgery				
AORN. "Best Practices for Preventing a Retained Foreign Body." AORN Journal. July 2006, S30-S36; Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (AORN).	http://www.aornjournal.org/article/S0001-2092%2806%2960083-5/fulltext (accessed 11/16) http://download.journals.elsevierhealth.com/pdfs/journals/0001-2092/PIIS0001209206600835.pdf (pdf link must be pasted into browser) (accessed 11/16)	Level III: Expert opinion	Not all recommendations are referenced.	Prevention recommendations include: consistently performing surgical counts according to national standards and facility policy, using only x-ray detectable [items], and conducting a methodical wound exploration before wound closure."
10 AORN. "Recommended Practices for Sponge, Sharps, and Instrument Counts." AORN Journal. February, 2006;83(2):418, 421-6, 429-33. Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (AORN).	http://www.aornjournal.org/article/S0001-2092%2806%2960172-5 (Article available for purchase)	Level II: Evidence Cited	Full-text is also available here in html format: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0FSL/is_2_83/ai_n26857830/ (accessed 11/16))	Contains comprehensive recommendations for timing of counts, responsible persons, and proper use of sponges and sharps.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
American College of Surgeons and the Council of Surgical and periOperative Safety. Statement on the Prevention of Retained Foreign Bodies after Surgery, 2005; <i>Bulletin of the American College of Surgeons</i> Vol. 90, No. 10, October 2005.	http://www.facs.org/fellows_info/statements/st-51.html (accessed 11/16)	Level III: Expert opinion	1 page statement	“Consistent application and adherence to standardized counting procedures”
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health Care Protocol: Prevention of Unintentionally Retained Foreign Objects During Vaginal Deliveries. November 2009. Third edition.	http://www.icsi.org/retained_foreign_objects_during_vaginal_deliveries/retained_foreign_objects_during_vaginal_deliveries_prevention_of_unintentionally_protocol.html (accessed 11/16) (Redirects to pdf document)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	A list of changes from the previous version is here: http://www.icsi.org/retained_foreign_objects_during_vaginal_deliveries/retained_foreign_objects_during_vaginal_deliveries_prevention_of_unintentionally_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/16)	“The recommendations for prevention of unintentionally retained foreign objects during vaginal deliveries are presented in the form of a protocol and an algorithm with 12 components, accompanied by detailed annotations.”
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health Care Protocol: Prevention of Unintentionally Retained Foreign Objects in Surgery. September 2007. First edition.	http://www.icsi.org/home/retained_foreign_objects_in_surgery_prevention_of_unintentionally_protocol_21475.html (accessed 11/16) (Redirects to pdf document)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Does not provide recommendation-specific evidence grading, instead providing grades applicable to a protocol section.	“This protocol will describe the necessary steps, which if implemented, will prevent the unintentional retention of a foreign object in patients in the operating room (OR).”

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Perioperative protocol. Health care protocol, 2010.	http://www.guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=24226 (accessed 11/16) http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_protocol.html (accessed 11/16) (redirects to PDF file)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Summary of changes is here: http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_summary_of_changes.html (redirects to PDF) (accessed 11/16)	Contains detailed annotations for prevention of retained foreign bodies, including comprehensive descriptions of counting processes and recommendations for implementation.
Air embolism <i>[no current guidelines available]</i>				
Fathi AR, Eshtehardi P, Meier B. Patent Foramen Ovale and Neurosurgery In Sitting Position: A Systematic Review. British Journal of Anesthesia. 2009 May;102(5):588-96. Epub 2009 Apr 4.	http://bjaoxfordjournals.org/content/102/5/588.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/16)	Systematic review with non-specific reference to study quality grading	Literature review and recommendations for prevention, not explicitly labeled as a guideline.	Describes recommendations for neurosurgery. "...we recommend screening for PFO and considering closure in cases in which the sitting position is the preferred neurosurgical approach. Our proposed management including the time of PFO closure according to available data is presented. However, the conclusions from our systematic review may be limited due to the lack of level A evidence and from using data from observational cohort studies."

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Mirski AM, Lele AV, Fitzsimmons L, Toung TJK. "Diagnosis and Treatment of Vascular Air Embolism." <i>Anesthesiology</i> 2007;106:164-77.	http://www.sarasotaanesthesia.com/re-ading/literature/Anes_Jan07_VenouseAir.pdf (accessed 11/16)	Review. Evidence cited but not graded for quality.	Review article.	Reviews recommendations for patient positioning, insertion and removal of central venous access catheters, military antishock trousers, positive end-expiratory pressure, and avoidance of nitrous oxide during various surgical and non-operative procedures
Peter DA, Saxman C. Preventing air embolism when removing CVCs: an evidence-based approach to changing practice. <i>Medsurg Nurs.</i> 2003;12(4):223-228.	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14515601 (accessed 11/16) (Subscription required)	Review. Evidence cited but not graded for quality.	Review article. Full text is also available here in html format: http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0FSS/is_4_12/ai_n18616012/pg_2/?tag=content:coll (accessed 11/16)	Reviews recommendations for patient positioning, insertion and removal of venous catheters, and patient participation and education
Blood incompatibility British Committee for Standards in Haematology Blood Transfusion task Force. Guidelines for Compatibility Procedures in Blood Transfusion Laboratories- Standards in Haematology, 2004 <i>Transfusion Medicine</i> , 2004; 14:59-73.	http://www.bcshguidelines.com/documents/compatibility_bjh_2004.pdf (accessed 11/16)	Level II: Evidence cited	Included British and Finnish work due to lack of standards from USA. Guideline currently under revision.	"Errors in patient identification and sample labeling may lead to ABO incompatible transfusions."

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Finnish Medical Society Duodecim. Blood transfusion: indications and administration. In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine. Helsinki, Finland: Wiley Interscience, John Wiley & Sons; 2008 Jan 10	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12787&nbr=6589&css+6&xl=999 (accessed 11/16) Article available for purchase.	Level II: Evidence cited	Finnish Guidelines	“Verify the identity of the patient. Ask the patient to state his/her own identification details. If necessary, check them against the patient’s identity wrist band.The blood group of the product to be transfused must correspond with the patient’s blood group.”
Pressure ulcers (Stage III and IV)				
14 Ayello EA, Sibbald RG. Preventing pressure ulcers and skin tears. In: Capezuti E, Zwicker D, Mezey M, Fulmer T, editor(s). Evidence-based geriatric nursing protocols for best practice. 3rd ed. New York (NY): Springer Publishing Company; 2008 Jan. p. 403-29.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12262&nbr=006346 (accessed 11/16) Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerim.org/topics/pressure_ulcers_and_skin_tears/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/16)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Not specific to stage III and IV pressure ulcer.	“Assess skin daily, use moisturizers on dry skin”
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health care protocol. Pressure ulcer prevention and treatment, 2010 update.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=16004 (accessed 11/16) http://www.icsi.org/pressure_ulcer_treatment_protocol_review_and_comment/pressure_ulcer_treatment_protocol_.html (accessed 11/16)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Strength of evidence included for some, but not all of the recommended processes	“Avoid prolonged positional immobilization whenever possible.” “Full risk assessment includes determining a person’s risk for pressure ulcers.”

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (EPUAP). Clinical Practice and Quick Reference Guide for Pressure Ulcer Prevention. 2010.	Full guideline available for purchase, http://www.npuap.org/resources.htm ; (accessed 11/16) Quick reference guide available free of charge, http://www.npuap.org/Final_Quick_Prevention_for_web_2010.pdf (accessed 11/16)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Jointly developed by NPUAP (USA) and EPUAP (European). Only the Quick Reference guide is available free of charge; however, the authors note that it contains only excerpts and should be used in conjunction with the Clinical Practice Guidelines	Provides recommendations for risk assessment policy and practice, skin assessment, patient nutrition, patient repositioning techniques and practices, support surfaces. Includes some recommendations specific to patients in the operating room.
Injuries from falls & trauma (fractures, dislocations, intracranial injuries, crushing injuries, burns, electric shock) Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Prevention of falls (acute care). Health care protocol, 2010 update.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=16005 (accessed 11/16) http://www.icsi.org/falls_acute_care_prevention_of_protocol/falls_acute_care_prevention_of_protocol_24255.html (accessed 11/16)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Summary of changes is here: http://www.icsi.org/falls_acute_care_prevention_of_protocol/falls_acute_care_prevention_of_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/16)	Protocol with 6 detailed annotations for fall reduction

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Gray-Micelli D. Preventing falls in acute care. In: Capezuti E, Zwicker D, Mezey M, Fulmer T, editor(s). Evidence-based geriatric nursing protocols for best practice. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing 3rd ed. New York (NY): Springer Publishing Company; 2008. p. 161-98.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12265&nbr=006349 (accessed 11/16)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerirn.org/topics/falls/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/16)	Includes recommendations for risk assessment and prevention of falls in older patients.
Health Care Association of New Jersey. Fall management guideline, 2007.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=13484&006893 (accessed 11/16) http://www.hcanj.org/docs/hcanjbp_fallmgmt6.pdf (accessed 11/16)	Level III: Expert opinion; (evidence not specifically stated)	None	Very detailed description for performing elements of a clinical assessment to prevent falls.
American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Operating Room Fires. Practice Advisory for Prevention and Management of Operating Room Fires, 2008.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12547&nbr=006463 (accessed 11/16) http://journals.lww.com/anesthesiology/Fulltext/2008/05000/Practice_Advisory_for_the_Prevention_and.6.aspx (accessed 11/16)	Levels II and III: Evidence cited and expert opinion	None	“For all procedures: Surgical drapes should be configured to minimize the accumulation of oxidizers under the drapes and from flowing into the surgical site.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Zwicker D, Fulmer T. Reducing Adverse Drug Events In: Evidence-based geriatric nursing best protocols for practice, 2008 Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing 3rd ed. New York (NY): Springer Publishing Company; 2008. p 257-308.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12258 (accessed 11/16) Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerirn.org/topics/medication/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/16)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	“Initiation of a new medication—Assess for potential drug-disease and drug-drug interactions and correct doses—the most common causes of adverse drug reactions” that might lead to falls.
AORN Guidance Statement: Fire Prevention in the Operating Room. AORN J. 2005 May;81(5):1067-75.	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15974389 (accessed 11/16) http://chua2.fiu.edu/Nursing/anesthesiology/COURSES/Semester%201/NGR%206010%20ANE%20Techno/ANR%20Techno%20SLides/AORNState%20ment_FireSafety.pdf (accessed 11/16)	Levels II and III: Evidence cited and expert opinion	None	Describes recommendations for health-care personnel education, development of an evacuation plan, and prevention strategies specific to different ignition sources (e.g., electrosurgical units, lasers, etc.)

(continued)

**Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition**

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
<p>Deep vein thrombosis (DVT)/pulmonary embolism(PE) for total knee replacement or hip replacement</p> <p>American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Clinical Guideline on the Prevention of Symptomatic Pulmonary Embolism in Patients Undergoing Total Hip or Knee Arthroplasty, 2011.</p>	<p>http://www.aaos.org/Research/guidelines/VTE/VTE_full_guideline.pdf (accessed 11/23)</p>	<p>Levels Ia and III: Systematic review of literature and expert opinion</p>	<p>Update to the 2007 guideline. The NGC summary has not yet been updated as of 11/23, and still links to the 2007 guidelines: http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=10850 (accessed 11/23)</p> <p>Some recommendations are based partly on results of network meta-analyses conducted by guideline authors.</p> <p>Links to supplemental information including responses to peer reviews and a quality of studies report can be found here: http://www.aaos.org/Research/guidelines/VTE/VTE_guideline.asp (accessed 11/23)</p>	<p>Provides 14 specific recommendations in ten statements for determining risk classification, screening, and prevention therapy, including a recommendation against routine post-operative duplex ultrasonography screening of patients who undergo elective hip or knee arthroplasty. (Grade of Recommendation: Strong)</p>

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis Guideline, Tenth edition, 2010.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=24025 (accessed 11/16) http://www.icsi.org/guidelines_and_more/glos_prot/cardiovascular/venous_thromboembolism/venous_thromboembolism_6.html (accessed 11/16)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	List of changes in the updated version is provided here: http://www.icsi.org/venous_thromboembolism/venous_thromboembolism_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/16) March 11 2011 Recommendations related to differences between “old” and Heparin Sodium, USP, per the latest FDA test results are described here: http://www.icsi.org/venous_thromboembolism/venous_thromboembolism_4.html (accessed 11/16)	Specific recommendations for prevention in patients undergoing hip replacement or knee replacement
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Perioperative protocol. Health care protocol, 2010.	http://www.guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=24226 (accessed 11/16) http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_protocol.html (accessed 11/16) (redirects to PDF file)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Summary of changes is here: http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/16) (redirects to PDF)	Contains three annotations related to prevention of thromboembolism, not specific to orthopedic procedures, through medical and mechanical prophylaxis, bridging procedures, and patient positioning.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Kearon C, Kahn SR, Agnelli G, Goldhaber S, Raskob GE, Comerota AJ. Antithrombotic therapy for venous thromboembolic disease: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest 2008 Jun;133(6 Suppl):454S-545S.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12957&nbr=006666&string=DVT (accessed 11/16) http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/133/6_suppl/454S.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/16)	Level Ib: Literature search and evidence rating	None	Addresses treatment of DVT to prevent PE
Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Samama CM, Lassen MR, Colwell CW. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest 2008 Jun;133(6 Suppl):381S-453S.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12956 (accessed 11/16) http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/133/6_suppl/381S.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/16)	Level Ia: Systematic review of literature	None	Anticoagulant prophylaxis during Elective Hip Replacement and elective Knee Replacement, in patients with and without a high risk of bleeding

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Douketis JD, Berger PB, Dunn AS, Jaffer AK, Spyropoulos AC, Becker RC, Ansell J. The perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest 2008 Jun;133(6 Suppl):299S-339S.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12962 (accessed 11/16) http://chestjournal.chestpubs.org/content/133/6_suppl/299S.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/16)	Level Ia: Systematic review of literature	None	Recommended procedures for bridging of anticoagulation therapy

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
<p>Manifestations of poor glycemic control</p> <p><i>Diabetic ketoacidosis, Hypoglycemic coma, Nonketotic hyperosmolar coma; Secondary diabetes with ketoacidosis or hyperosmolarity</i></p> <p>American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists medical guidelines for clinical practice for developing a diabetes mellitus comprehensive care plan. 2011</p>	<p>http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=34038 (accessed 11/18)</p> <p>https://www.aace.com/sites/default/files/DMGuidelinesCCP.pdf (accessed 11/21)</p>	<p>Levels Ia and III: Literature search and evidence rating</p>	<p>This guideline replaces “Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Management of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes management in the hospital setting. 2007; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists.</p>	<p>Recommendations for the routine glucose monitoring and a plan for treatment of hospitalized patients with diabetes designed to maintain glucose control and prevent hyperglycemic or hypoglycemic episodes and resultant complications. Recommendations also provide for special circumstances, including the use of concomitant medication that may worsen glucose control. Recommendation 32 is focused on control of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients. Responses to question 7.5 and 9 provide justification and also recommendations for prevention of hypoglycemia, respectively. Response to question 11.1 summarized findings related to glycemic control in cardiovascular patients.</p>

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Perioperative protocol. Health care protocol, 2010.	http://www.guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=24226 (accessed 11/21) http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_protocol.html (accessed 11/21)(redirects to PDF file)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Summary of changes is here: http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/21) (redirects to PDF)	Glucose monitoring should be part of standardized preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative care. Tight glucose control may or may not be beneficial among nondiabetic patients.
American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care in Specific Settings. In: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, VIII. Diabetes Care. 2011 Jan; 34(Suppl 1):S11-S61.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=25334 (accessed 11/21) http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/34/Supplement_1/S11.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/21)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Yearly guideline update to the previous version, Diabetes Care. 2010 Jan; 33(Suppl 1):S11-S61, available here: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/33/Supplement_1/S11.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/21)	Recommendations for the monitoring, treatment of glucose to prevent and treat manifestations of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia. Section VIII presents recommendations specific to patients in the hospital setting.
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Diagnosis and Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Adults, 2010. Fourteenth Edition.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=24137 (accessed 11/21) http://www.icsi.org/guidelines_and_more/glos_prot/other_health_conditions/diabetes_mellitus_type_2/diabetes_mellitus_type_2_management_of_6.html (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion,	List of updates since previous version: http://www.icsi.org/diabetes_mellitus_type_2/diabetes_mellitus_type_2_management_of_summary_of_changes_2.html (accessed 11/21)	Recommendations for the monitoring, treatment of glucose to prevent and treat manifestations of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Catheter-associated urinary tract infection				
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Prevention of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI), 2009.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=15519 (accessed 11/21) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTIguideline2009final.pdf Appendices here: http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTI_GuidelineAppendices2009final.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Level Ia: Systematic Review	None	Recommendation for the appropriate use and procedures for insertion and maintenance of urinary catheters to minimize the occurrence of urinary tract infection
Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (IDSA/SHEA). Strategies to Prevent CAUTI in Acute Care Hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008 Oct;29 Suppl 1:S41-50.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13394 (accessed 11/21) http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdf/10.1086/591066 (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm (accessed 11/21)	Recommendations for preventing and monitoring catheter-associated urinary tract infection
American Urologic Association Education and Research, Inc. Best Practice Policy Statement on Urological Surgery Antimicrobial Prophylaxis, 2007.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12210 (accessed 11/21) http://www.auanet.org/content/guidelines-and-quality-care/clinical-guidelines/main-reports/antimicroprop08.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Prophylactic antibiotic recommendations for urologic instrumentation. Including catheters to prevent systemic infections in select patients

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
American Association of Neuroscience Nurses. Guide to the care of the hospitalized patient with ischemic stroke. 2008. 2nd edition.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=13575 (accessed 11/21) http://www.aann.org/pdf/cpg/aannischemicstroke.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Stroke patients are at risk for a higher incidence of UTI because of changes in sphincter control and frequent use of an indwelling catheter. If at all possible, placement of indwelling catheters should be avoided because of this risk (Level 3 ; Adams et al., 2007). A change in a patient's LOC should lead to suspicion of a UTI if there are no other reasons for neurological deterioration. Urinalysis and cultures should be obtained if a UTI is suspected (Adams et al., 2007; Roth et al., 2001).
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens	Describes disinfection and sterilization techniques for surgical and medical devices, including catheters.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

26

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Betsy Lehman Center for Patient Safety and Medical Error Reduction, JSI Research and Training Institute, and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008; p. 83-93.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12923 (accessed 11/21) http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/patient-safety/haipcp-final-report-pt1.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	Detailed list of protocols for prevention of CAUTI. Includes “Special Approaches for Prevention of CAUTI: Recommended for Use in Locations and/or Populations within the Hospital for Which Outcome Data and/or Risk Assessment Suggest Lack of Effective Control Despite Implementation of Basic Practices.”
Vascular catheter-associated infection IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to Prevent Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection in Acute Care Hospitals, Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008 Oct; 29 Suppl 1:S22-30.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13395 (accessed 11/21) http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdf/10.1086/591059 (accessed 11/21)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	An update of prior guidelines From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm	Comprehensive recommendations for the insertion and maintenance of central line catheters

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Betsy Lehman Center for Patient Safety and Medical Error Reduction, JSI Research and Training Institute, and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Prevention of blood stream infections. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008; p. 69-82.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12922 (accessed 11/21) http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/patient-safety/haicp-fnal-report-pt1.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	“Maintain aseptic technique for the insertion and care of intravascular catheters.”
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens	Describes disinfection and sterilization techniques for surgical and medical devices, including catheters.
Association for Vascular Access (AVA), American Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Nephrology (ASDIN). Preservation of peripheral veins in patients with chronic kidney disease. 2008.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12497 (accessed 11/21) http://www.avainfo.org/website/download.asp?id=193195 (accessed 11/21)	Level III: Expert opinion	None	“Currently there are no nationally recognized policies or guidelines which address the need for specialized venous access care in patients with chronic kidney disease. The American Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Nephrology/Association for Vascular Access (ASDIN/AVA) Joint Clinical Practice Committee proposes the following...”

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
NKF-KDOQI, Vascular Access Work Group. Clinical practice guidelines for vascular access, update 2006. <i>Am J Kidney Dis</i> 2006 Jul;48 Suppl 1:S248-73.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=10017 (accessed 11/21) http://download.journals.elsevierhealth.com/pdfs/journals/0272-6386/PIIS0272638606006469.pdf (please paste address into browser address bar) HTML: http://www.kidney.org/professionals/KDOQI/guideline_upHD_PD_VA/index.htm (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Detailed.	Includes recommendations for “Infection-control measures that should be used for all HD catheters and port catheter systems”
28 CDC. Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-related Infections, 2011.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/bsi-guidelines-2011.pdf (accessed 11/21) http://cid.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2011/03/30/cid.cir257.full.pdf+html (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Work on the <i>Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-Related Infections</i> was initiated prior to implementation of CDC’s revised methodology for guideline development. Therefore, this guideline reflects the development methods that were used for guidelines produced prior to 2009.	Detailed recommendations for prevention of infection during central venous line and vascular access catheter insertion and maintenance in adults and children.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Surgical site infection (SSI)				
IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to Prevent Surgical Site Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, 2008. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol</i> 2008 Oct;29 Suppl 1:S51-61.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13399 (accessed 11/21) http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/10.1086/591064.pdf?acceptTC=true (accessed 11/21)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm	General recommendations for prevention of surgical site infection. Includes some recommendations related to cardiac surgery. “Stop prophylaxis within 24 hours after the procedure for all procedures except cardiac surgery; for cardiac surgery, antimicrobial prophylaxis should be stopped within 48 hours”

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
<p>Betsy Lehman Center for Patient Safety and Medical Error Reduction, JSI Research and Training Institute, and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Prevention of surgical site infections, In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008; p 61-68.</p>	<p>http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12921 (accessed 11/21)</p> <p>http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/patient-safety/haipcp-final-report-pt1.pdf (accessed 11/21)</p>	<p>Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion</p>	<p>No specific reference to bariatric or orthopedic procedures</p>	<p>Comprehensive description of preoperative, interoperative, and postoperative SSI prevention measures.</p> <p>“Whenever possible, identify and treat all infections remote to the surgical site before elective operation and postpone elective operations on patients with remote site infections until the infection has resolved.”</p> <p>“For adult cardiac surgery patients, ensure that blood glucose levels measured at 6 a.m. on postoperative days one and two are maintained below 200 mg/dL.”</p>
<p>North American Spine Society (NASS). Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Spine Surgery, 2007.</p>	<p>http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12191 (accessed 11/21)</p> <p>http://www.spine.org/Documents/Antibiotic_Prophylaxis_Web.pdf (accessed 11/21)</p>	<p>Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion</p>	<p>No specific guidelines for CABG or shoulder or elbow surgeries.</p>	<p>“Patients undergoing spine surgery should receive preoperative prophylactic antibiotics.”</p>

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens	Describes disinfection and sterilization techniques for surgical and medical devices, including endoscopes. “Steam is the preferred method for sterilizing critical medical and surgical instruments that are not damaged by heat, steam, pressure, or moisture. <i>Category IA</i> ”
Society of Thoracic Surgeons Workforce on Evidence Based Surgery. Antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, Part II, 2005.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=10411 (accessed 11/21) http://ats.ctsnetjournals.org/cgi/reprint/83/4/1569 (accessed 11/21)	Levels Ib and III Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	The type of evidence is identified and graded for major recommendations.	Major recommendations for prevention of surgical site infections following cardiac surgery—soft tissue sternal infections and suppurative mediastinitis—include those application of topical antibiotics, choice of primary prophylactic antibiotic, and appropriate dosing.

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each selected hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, The Obesity Society, and American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Perioperative Nutritional, Metabolic, and Nonsurgical Support of the Bariatric Surgery Patient. <i>Endocr Pract.</i> 2008;14(Suppl 1).	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13022 (accessed 11/21) https://www.aace.com/sites/default/files/Bariatric.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Minimal mention of surgical site infection.	“Prophylactic antibiotics that cover skin organisms should be administered at the time of LAGB to prevent wound infection at the adjustment port site.” “Removal of excess fluid is recommended to decrease the risks for major wound infections.” (Evidence level 4)
Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Perioperative protocol. Health care protocol, 2010.	http://www.guidelines.gov/content.aspx?id=24226 (accessed 11/21) http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_protocol.html (accessed 11/21) (redirects to PDF file)	Levels Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Summary of changes is here: http://www.icsi.org/perioperative_protocol_36011/perioperative_summary_of_changes.html (accessed 11/21) (redirects to PDF)	“By focusing on adherence to recognized techniques and protocols, the National Surgical Infection Prevention Collaborative was able to reduce surgical site infections by 27% by focusing on timing of antibiotic prophylaxis, use of appropriate antibiotics, and the discontinuation of antibiotics within 24 hours in patients undergoing a variety of major procedures.” p 8

A. Foreign Object Retained after Surgery

1. Guidelines identified

We found six current guidelines relating to foreign object retained after surgery:

- Statement on the Prevention of Retained Foreign Bodies after Surgery. American College of Surgeons (ACS), 2005
- Best Practices for Preventing a Retained Foreign Body. Association of periOperative Registered Nurses (AORN), 2006
- Recommended Practices for Sponge, Sharps, and Instrument Counts. AORN, 2006
- Health Care Protocol: Prevention of Unintentionally Retained Foreign Objects in Surgery. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2007
- Health care protocol: Prevention of unintentionally retained foreign objects during vaginal deliveries. ICSI, 2009
- Health Care Protocol: Perioperative Protocol. ICSI, 2010.

2. Guidelines considered “evidence-based”

The ICSI Health Care Protocols cites evidence and provide ratings for the strength of evidence, but not individual studies; protocols are finalized based on consensus from a panel of experts. The ACS statement cites evidence and expert opinion, but does not mention quality rating of individual studies or strength of evidence. The AORN article “Recommended Practices for Sponge, Sharps, and Instrument Counts” cites evidence, while “Best Practices for Preventing a Retained Foreign Body” is based on expert opinion.

3. Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition

All statements describe actions to take to prevent the retention of foreign objects. The ACS statement recommends consistent application and adherence to standardized counting procedures and use of the AHRQ Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs), which are a set of indicators for measuring in-hospital complications and adverse events. The ICSI Health Care Protocol for Prevention of Unintentionally Retained Foreign Objects During Delivery and AORN Recommended Practices for Sponge, Sharps, and Instrument Counts describe specific procedures for use in the operating room and labor and delivery, in order to provide guidance on when, how, and why counts should be performed in the delivery room. The Protocol for Prevention of Unintentionally Retained Foreign Objects during Vaginal Deliveries delineates differences in procedures between the operating arena and labor and delivery.

Common recommendations include establishing an accurate baseline count prior to surgery, minimizing distractions and interruptions during surgery, and methodical performance

of wound exploration. The ICSI Perioperative Protocol provides three different algorithms for use during the perioperative, intraoperative, and postoperative periods.

B. Air Embolism

1. Guidelines identified

We identified no current guidelines for air embolism in the NGCH. The guideline listed in the June 5, 2010 version of this report, *Access Device Guidelines: Recommendations for Nursing Practice and Education (NGC-4666)*, has been withdrawn. Therefore, we list below three pertinent reviews.

- Two non-systematic reviews of the risk of air embolism during various surgical and non-operative procedures were selected (Mirski *et al*, 2007; Peter and Saxman, 2003). In addition to risk factors, the authors describe methods for prevention and treatment of air embolism.
- One systematic review of air embolism complications in neurosurgery was identified (Fathi *et al*, 2009).

2. Guidelines considered “evidence-based”

None.

3. Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition

Fathi et al. recommend peri-operative screening for patent Foramen Ovale and considering closure two to four weeks before surgery, especially in cases where the horizontal position confers considerably higher risk. They also note that, “For most intradural surgical procedures, antithrombotic therapy should be stopped seven to 10 days before surgery and not recommenced until one week after surgery, provided no perioperative major bleeding occurs.” The reviews by Mathi et al. and Peter and Saxman describe recommendations for patient positioning and insertion and removal of venous catheters. The former also reviews evidence for use of military antishock trousers and avoidance of nitrous oxide, while the later emphasizes patient participation during catheter insertion and removal.

C. Blood Incompatibility

1. Guidelines identified

No current U.S. guidelines and two current international guidelines were identified for blood incompatibility:

- Guidelines for Compatibility Procedures in Blood Transfusion Laboratories—Standards in Haematology. British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCHS) Blood Transfusion Task Force, 2004

- Blood Transfusion: Indications and Administration. Finnish Medical Society Duodecim, 2008.

Recently withdrawn were “Transfusion guidelines for neonates and older children. (2) Amendments and corrections to the transfusion guidelines for neonates and older children.” (British Committee for Standards in Haematology [BCHS] Blood Transfusion Task Force)

The guideline listed in the June 5, 2010 version of this report, *Access Device Guidelines: Recommendations for Nursing Practice and Education (NGC-4666)*, has also been withdrawn.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All of the guidelines cite evidence, and the Finnish guideline also cites expert opinion. Neither guideline provides quality ratings of individual studies or grades the strength of evidence contained therein.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

Both guidelines describe actions to reduce the occurrence of blood incompatibility. The Finnish guideline recommends verification of the patient by asking the patient to state his or her own name and other identifying details, checking patient wristbands, and making sure that the blood group of the transfused patient matches the product to be transfused. The BCCHS Guidelines for Compatibility Procedures in Blood Transfusion Laboratories delineate crucial patient identification information as the surname, first name in full, date of birth “(not age or year of birth),” and (in the UK) hospital number/accident, and recommends that any labels pre-printed away from the bedside should not be accepted for grouping or testing pretransfusion samples prior to transfusion. It also contains recommendations for crossmatching.

D. Pressure Ulcers (Stages III and IV)

1. *Guidelines identified*

Three current U.S. guidelines, including one written in collaboration with an international advisory group, were identified relating to pressure ulcers:

- Preventing pressure ulcers & skin tears, In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008
- Health Care Protocol: Pressure Ulcer Prevention and Treatment Protocol. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2010
- Clinical Practice Guidelines and Quick Reference Guide for Pressure Ulcer Prevention. National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (EPUAP), 2010.

Note: The *Clinical Practice Guideline—Prediction, Prevention, Early Treatment of Pressure Ulcers in Adults* (U.S. Preventive Services Task Force) has been withdrawn by AHRQ.

The *Pressure Ulcer Prevention and Treatment Following Spinal Cord Injury* (Paralyzed Veterans of America) guideline has also been withdrawn from the NGC.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All of the guidelines cite evidence and use expert opinion for some recommendations. All provide evidence grading and strength of recommendation for each recommendation. The NPUAP-EPUAP Clinical and Quick Reference Guide incorporate individual study quality rating and strength of evidence grading; it discusses and reconciles international differences in ulcer categorization, but does not contain recommendations specific to Stage III and IV pressure ulcers. The Hartford Institute protocol uses a six-tier grading level of evidence. Systematic reviews are the highest level of evidence (Level I), followed by randomized controlled trials (RCTs) at Level II, and on down to Level VI for expert opinions and consensus panels. The guideline recommendations for prevention of pneumonia are graded Level IV.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The Hartford Institute guideline is targeted to “older adults with identified intrinsic and/or extrinsic risk factors for pressure ulcers,” and recommends that all individuals at risk should have a systematic skin inspection at least once a day, with results documented. It also describes the use of moisturizers on dry skin as part of a very detailed protocol. The Hartford Institute guidelines does not contain information specific only to stage III and IV pressure ulcers. The ICSI guidelines recommend a head-to-toe skin assessment at admission in conjunction with a reliable risk assessment tool. The NPUAP-EPUAP Quick Reference Guide provides a comprehensive set of recommendations including pressure ulcer risk assessment, patient skin assessment, patient positioning, support surfaces, and recommendations specific to patients in the operating room. All guidelines contain recommendations for nutrition and/or nutrition counseling.

E. Injuries from Falls and Trauma

1. *Guidelines identified*

Six current U.S. guidelines relating to injuries from falls and trauma were identified through the NGCH:

- AORN Guidance Statement: Fire Prevention in the Operating Room. Association of Registered periOperative Nurses (AORN), 2005
- Falls Management Guideline. Health Care Association of New Jersey (HCANJ), 2007
- Practice Advisory for Prevention and Management of Operating Room Fires. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA), 2008
- Preventing falls in acute care. In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008

- Reducing Adverse Drug Events. In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008
- Health Care Protocol: Prevention of Falls (acute care). Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2010 update.

The guideline “Changing the Practice of Physical Restraint Use in Acute Care (University of Iowa)” has been withdrawn.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All but one of the guidelines, the HCANJ guideline, cite evidence for most or all of their recommendations. Five of the guidelines use level-of-evidence levels and strength of recommendations, including expert opinion, for each recommendation opinion. The ASA guideline and AORN guidance statement cite evidence, but do not report an evidence-grading system.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

Four of the fall-related guidelines provide detailed recommendations: the Hartford Institute “Preventing Falls” guideline; the ICSI guideline (protocol with 6 detailed annotations); the Hartford Institute “Reducing Adverse Events” guideline; and the HCANJ guideline (detailed procedures for clinical assessment). Recommendations include familiarizing the patient to the environment, keeping patient’s personal possessions within patient reach, keeping floor surfaces clean and dry, setting up regular voiding schedules for patients who are bowel and/or bladder incontinent, and monitoring cognitively impaired patients on an hourly basis. Communication of patient risk among healthcare personnel is emphasized; both the ICSI and Hartford guidelines suggest using stickers on patients’ doors.

The Hartford Institute protocol, “Reducing Adverse Events,” recommends assessing the patient for any potential drug-disease and drug-drug interactions or incorrect doses, which are the most common causes of adverse drug reactions that could lead to falls. The ICSI protocol contains a comparison of three common risk assessment tools, including the Morse Tool, and Hendrich II Tool, and the JHH (Hopkins) Tool. Periodic risk assessment is recommended regardless of the falls assessment tool selected. Identified risk factors include cognitive dysfunction, delirium, dementia, impaired mobility, medications, and physical hazards in the environs.

For burn prevention, the ASA guideline recommends that for all procedures, surgical drapes should be configured to minimize the accumulation of oxidizers under the drapes and prevent them from flowing into the surgical site. The AORN Guidance Statement contains comprehensive recommendations for prevention of operating room fires.

F. Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)/Pulmonary Embolism (PE) for Total Knee Replacement or Hip Replacement

1. Guidelines identified

Six current prevention guidelines were identified for deep vein thrombosis (DVT)/pulmonary embolism (PE) for total knee replacement or hip replacement:

- Guideline on Preventing Venous Thromboembolic Disease in Patients Undergoing Elective Hip or Knee Arthroplasty, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), 2011
- Antithrombotic therapy for venous thromboembolic disease, In: *The American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guidelines, (8th edition)*. American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP), 2008
- Prevention of venous thromboembolism. In: *The American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines, (8th edition)*. ACCP, 2008
- The perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy. In: *The American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th edition)*. ACCP, 2008
- Health care Protocol: Perioperative Protocol. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2010
- Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis Guideline. ICSI, 2010.

Only two of the above guidelines, the AAOS and ACCP Prevention of venous thromboembolism, are specific to total knee and hip replacement surgeries. Both the Perioperative Protocol (ICIS) and ACCP Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy contain recommendations for bridging procedures.

AHRQ published an online document, *Preventing Hospital-Acquired Venous Thromboembolism: A Guide for Effective Quality Improvement*, as a general guide for quality improvement. (Maynard G, Stein J. AHRQ Publication No. 08-0075, August 2008. (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. <http://www.ahrq.gov/qual/vtguide/>) While DVT-PE is used as an example preventable condition, this document provides a best practice example of guideline recommended prevention practices at two medical centers. The ACCP guidelines referenced by the AHRQ document is included in Tables 1 and 3.

2. Guidelines considered “evidence-based”

All of the guidelines are evidence-based, and all but the ACCP guidelines also cite expert opinion. There are quality ratings of individual studies and strength of evidence for recommendations for all of the guideline recommendations. The AAOS guideline workgroup noted, “No data specific to hip or knee arthroplasty were found addressing many potential risk

factors, and in many instances where it was found, it was of very low quality and it was contradictory.” (AAOS 2011 p 15). Of the 14 recommendations listed by AAOS, one was graded “Strong” and three were graded “moderate.” All others were graded “inconclusive,” “consensus,” or “weak.”

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

All guidelines describe actions to take to prevent DVT/PE. The AAOS guideline recommends against routine use of duplex ultrasonography screening of patients who have undergone elective hip or knee arthroplasty. The ICSI guidelines recommend that risk factor assessment be completed pre-operatively for every patient whose surgical admission is planned. The ACCP guideline “Prevention of venous thromboembolism” contains recommendations specific to various surgical procedures, including total hip replacement, hip fracture surgery, total knee replacement, and knee arthroscopy. “The perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy” (ACCP) lists recommendations for perioperative management of patients who are receiving: Vitamin K antagonists, bridging anticoagulation, or antiplatelet therapy, as well as those who require urgent surgical or other invasive procedures. The ICSI Perioperative Protocol includes recommendations for DVT/PE prevention as part of a set of standard pre-, inter-, and post-operative protocols.

G. Manifestations of Poor Glycemic Control

1. *Guidelines identified*

Four current guidelines were identified that address manifestations of poor glycemic control:

- American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for Developing a Diabetes Mellitus Comprehensive Care Plan. (AAACE), 2011
- Diagnosis and Management of Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus in Adults. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2010
- Health care Protocol: Perioperative Protocol. ICSI, 2010
- The Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, VIII. American Diabetes Association (ADA), 2011.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All four of the guidelines cite evidence and use levels of evidence and strength of recommendation for each recommendation. The ADA standards cite evidence and expert opinion. Both ICSI guidelines use systematic review with quality rating of individual studies and strength of evidence mentioned, as well as expert opinion. The AAACE guideline authors reviewed the strengths and weaknesses of multiple EBG methodologies and concluded that an “optimal... strategy might not be entirely evidence-based” due to cost, complexity, and rigidity.

The AACE 2011 guideline is therefore based upon a modified EBG approach that nonetheless assigns recommendations to one of four evidence grade levels, based on the quality and strength of supporting evidence.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

All of the guidelines provide comprehensive recommendation for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes mellitus and its complications. They each include hospital-specific guidelines that provide recommendations to appropriately monitor and treat glucose levels to prevent hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia for primary and secondary causes of poor glucose control. The second and third guidelines listed above also address treatment of ketoacidosis and hyperosmolar coma after they have developed. The ICSI Perioperative Protocol discusses glycemic control in the context of surgical site infection prevention, and notes that “tight glycemic control (blood sugar <110 except parturients, blood sugar <100)” is controversial and may “add risks of hypoglycemia to selected patients...” (p 23, 59).

H. Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection

1. *Guidelines identified*

Six guidelines were identified for catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI):

- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008
- Best Practice Policy Statement on Urological Surgery Antimicrobial Prophylaxis. American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc. (AUA), 2007
- Guide to the care of the hospitalized patient with ischemic stroke. 2nd ed. American Association of Neuroscience Nurses (AANN), 2008
- Prevention of catheter-associated urinary tract infections. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH), 2008
- Strategies to Prevent Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection in Acute Care Hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008
- Guideline for Prevention of Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infection 2009. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC), 2009.

The HICPAC guidelines were identified through the CDC website, and the others were identified via the NGCH.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All of the guidelines cite evidence. The HICPAC guidelines use systematic review with the GRADE system, which does not provide for expert opinion. The remaining four guidelines use level-of-evidence grading for every recommendation, as well as expert opinion.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The HICPAC 2009 guideline recommends the use of urinary catheters in operative patients only as necessary, rather than routinely. **The guideline notes that 17% to 69% of CAUTI may be preventable with recommended infection control measures.** “The strategies to prevent CAUTI in acute care recommended the insertion of urinary catheters only when necessary for patient care and leaving them in place only as long as indications persist.” The AANN guideline recommends against the placement of indwelling catheters among patients receiving treatment for stroke, because of the elevated risk of infection among this population. The MDPH guidelines include recommendations for surveillance and education and training, in addition to catheter insertion and management, while the HICPAC CDC 2008 guideline contains recommendations for catheter sterilization

I. Vascular Catheter-Associated Infection

1. *Guidelines identified*

Six current guidelines were identified for vascular catheter-associated infection:

- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008
- Clinical Practice Guidelines for Vascular Access, Update 2006. National Kidney Foundation, Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative Vascular Access Work Group (NFK-DOQI), 2006
- Preservation of peripheral veins in patients with chronic kidney disease. Association for Vascular Access (AVA) and the American Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Nephrology (ASDIN), 2008
- Prevention of blood stream infections, In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH), 2008
- Strategies to Prevent Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection in Acute Care Hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008
- Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-related Infections 2011. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC), 2011.

All of these guidelines were obtained through the NGCH except for the CDC's Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-related Infections.

2. *Guidelines considered "evidence-based"*

Four of the five guidelines cite evidence. The SHEA/IDSA guidelines use level-of-evidence and strength-of-recommendation methodology and incorporated expert opinion. The MDPH guideline uses the CDC grading system for level of evidence and strength of recommendation and cites expert opinion. The ONS guidelines cite evidence, but do not provide level of evidence and strength of recommendation. The CDC and NKF-KDOQI guidelines use systematic review and provided for expert opinion.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The SHEA/IDSA guideline recommends use of a catheter checklist to ensure adherence to infection prevention practices at the time of CVC insertion. The MDPH guideline recommends the maintenance of aseptic technique for the insertion and care of intravascular catheters. The strategies to prevent central line-associated infections in acute-care hospitals recommend the use of aseptic technique, including the use of a cap, mask, sterile gown, sterile gloves, and a large sterile sheet for the insertion of central venous catheters (CVCs), such as peripherally inserted central catheters, or guide-wire exchange. NKF-KDOQI recommendations also contain recommendations for vascular catheter access and cleansing of catheter sites. The HICPAC 2011 recommendations cover staffing and training of healthcare personnel who insert and maintain catheters, catheter and site selection, hand hygiene, patient preparation, antibiotic ointment and prophylaxis, and catheter placement and replacement. Guideline authors recommend not replacing peripheral and midline catheters more frequently than every 72-96 hours in adults, and only when necessary in children. Central lines (including PICCS and hemodialysis catheters) should not be replaced routinely in an effort to prevent infection or on the basis of fever alone. Other specific recommendations include: using "maximal sterile barrier precautions during central venous catheter insertion, using a > 0.5% chlorhexidine skin preparation with alcohol for antisepsis, and using antiseptic/antibiotic impregnated short-term central venous catheters and chlorhexidine impregnated sponge dressings if the rate of infection is not decreasing despite adherence to other strategies." The HICPAC 2008 guidelines contain recommendations for catheter sterilization.

These guidelines do not provide information on the likelihood of preventing vascular catheter-associated infection if the prevention strategies are followed.

J. Surgical Site Infection following Coronary Bypass Artery Graft (CABG), Bariatric Surgery for Obesity, and Certain Orthopedic Procedures

1. *Guidelines identified*

Seven current guidelines were identified for surgical site infection, of which four are general guidelines for SSI prevention applicable to all surgical categories.

- Antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, Part II. Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Workforce on Evidence Based Surgery, 2007 (Note: Part I has been withdrawn).
- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008.
- Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Spine Surgery. North American Spine Society (NASS), 2007.
- Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Perioperative Nutritional, Metabolic, and Nonsurgical Support of the Bariatric Surgery Patient. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, The Obesity Society, and American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (AACE/TOS/ASMBS), 2008.
- Prevention of surgical site infections. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH), 2008.
- Strategies to Prevent Surgical Site Infection in Acute Care Hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008.
- Health care Protocol: Perioperative Protocol, 2010, ICSI.

The CDC guideline was identified through the CDC website, and the others were identified via searches of the NGCH.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

All seven guidelines use a level-of-evidence grading system and strength-of-recommendation grade for each recommendation. All sets of guidelines except the ACOG guideline incorporate expert opinion into their level-of-evidence grading.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The SHEA/IDSA and the MDPH guidelines recommend clipping rather than shaving the surgical site, the timely and appropriate use of prophylactic antibiotics, and the control of blood glucose for patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery. These guidelines also specify that, whenever possible, all infections remote to the surgical site should be identified and treated before elective operation, and elective operations on patients with remote site infections should be postponed until the infection has resolved. The CDC guidelines provide recommendations for disinfection of environmental surfaces and sterilization of surgical instruments. All guidelines except the AACE/TOS/ASMBS guideline consider the evidence for the most appropriate class of antibiotic for a specific surgical procedure, timeliness of administration, and duration of administration. The ICSI Perioperative Protocol contains extensive recommendations for

general SSI prevention and notes that **“By focusing on adherence to recognized techniques and protocols, the National Surgical Infection Prevention Collaborative was able to reduce surgical site infections by 27%.”**

The guidelines for prevention of surgical site infection among cardiac and bariatric, patients focus on appropriate and timely preoperative prophylactic antibiotics. Additionally, the AACE/TOS/ASMBS guidelines note that infections rates are lower following laparoscopic surgeries and pars flaccid band insertion during LAGB procedures, and that drainage of seromas may also help prevent infection.

3.2 Candidate Condition

In **Table 2** below, we present the current guidelines identified through our searches that provide recommendations to prevent contrast-induced acute kidney injury.

1. Guidelines identified

Our search revealed three guidelines for the single candidate HAC, contrast-induced acute kidney injury (CI-AKI). Because the sole US-based guideline is limited to use for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), two more general international guidelines have been included.

- 2007 Focused update of the ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 guideline update for percutaneous coronary intervention. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA), 2007.
- Canadian Association of Radiologists: consensus guidelines for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. Canadian Association of Radiologists (CAR), 2007.
- Guideline 3.3—AKI: Prevention of Contrast-Induced AKI (CI-AKI). In: Clinical Practice Guidelines, Acute Kidney Injury. 5th edition. UK Renal Association, 2011.

These guidelines were located using the National Guideline Clearinghouse, popular internet search engines, and searches of publication references.

2. Guidelines considered “evidence-based”

The UK Renal Association guidelines were developed on the basis of systematic literature searches followed by panel review. Strength of evidence was assessed according to a modified GRADE system (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) that takes into account overall quality of evidence across studies (“Strong,” Grade 1, vs. “weak,” Grade 2), as determined by a panel of experts, as well as individual study design (Grades A-D, ranging from high to low quality respectively). Strongest evidence is therefore graded 1A, while the weakest receives a grade of 2D. Weak evidence based on studies with robust methodology might receive a grade of 2A or 2B.

The ACC/AHA/SCI guidelines classify evidence based on four levels of class (I, IIa, IIb, and III), which indicate support of statement (e.g., “Procedure should be administered,” “procedure may be considered”), and three support levels (A, B, or C), which indicate the “certainty or precision” of effect.

The Canadian Association of Radiologists’ (CAR) guideline represents a consensus document based on an ‘in-depth literature search with critical review.’ Evidence is cited but does not receive a quality rating.

Table 2
Identified guidelines for the candidate hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Contrast-Induced Acute Kidney Injury				
UK Renal Association. Guideline 3.3—AKI: Prevention Contrast-Induced AKI (CI-AKI). Clinical Practice Guidelines, Module 5: Acute Kidney Injury, 2011. 5 th edition.	http://www.renal.org/Clinical/GuidelinesSection/AcuteKidneyInjury.aspx (accessed 11/21) http://www.renal.org/Libraries/Guidelines/Acute_Kidney_Injury_-_Final_Version_08_March_2011.sflb.ashx?download=true (accessed 11/21)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Non-USA. Also includes general recommendations for AKI general management and treatment. Guideline development methodology is described here: http://www.renal.org/Libraries/Clinical_Practice_Guidelines_Committee/Guideline_Development_Process_Policy_Manual_-_15_June_2010.sflb.ashx (accessed 11/21)	“We recommend that patients identified as being at risk of contrast induced-AKI (CI-AKI) should have a careful assessment of volume status and receive pre-procedure volume expansion with 0.9% sodium chloride or isotonic sodium bicarbonate if clinically indicated. (1A)”
46 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Focused update of the ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 guideline update for percutaneous coronary intervention, 2007. <i>Circulation</i> 2008. 117(2):261-295. Epub 2007 Dec 13.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=12193 (accessed 11/21) http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/117/2/261?ijkey=f35c70e295ff087f25da9ca4f764a85fcdc1501e&keytype=tf_ipsecsha (accessed 11/21) http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/117/2/261?ijkey=f35c70e295ff087f25da9ca4f764a85fcdc1501e (redirects to pdf) (accessed 11/21)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	“It is important to note that this focused update is not intended to represent an update based on a full literature review from the date of the previous guideline publication.” [published correction appears in <i>Circulation</i> . 2008;117(6):e161].	“In chronic kidney disease patients undergoing angiography, isosmolar contrast agents are indicated and are preferred.” (Class I, Level A)

(continued)

Table 2 (continued)
Identified guidelines for the candidate hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Canadian Association of Radiologists: consensus guidelines for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. <i>Can Assoc Radiol J.</i> 2007 Apr;58(2):79-87.	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17521052 (accessed 11/21) http://www.gehealthcare.com/caen/md/docs/car_guidelines_cin.pdf (accessed 11/21)	Level II and III: Evidence cited and expert opinion	None	“Alternative imaging that does not require CM should be considered. Fluid volume loading is the single most important protective measure. Nephrotoxic medications should be discontinued 48 hours prior to the study. CM volume and frequency of administration should be minimized, but satisfactory image quality should still be maintained. High-osmolar contrast should be avoided in patients with renal impairment.”

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The ACC/AHA/SCI guideline recommends that patients undergoing PCI currently on dialysis for chronic kidney disease receive isosmolar contrast media in order to decrease further risk to the kidneys, although the authors of the CAR guideline suggest that further study is needed to determine the superiority of isosmolar contrast to low-osmolar contrast. The very detailed UK Renal Association guideline strongly recommends that patients at risk of AKI “should have a careful assessment of volume status and receive pre-procedure volume expansion with 0.9% sodium chloride or isotonic sodium bicarbonate if clinically indicated.” Both the UK guideline and the CAR guideline recommend avoidance of potentially nephrotoxic medications.

3.3 Previously Considered Conditions

In **Table 3**, we present the current guidelines identified through our searches, for the seven previously considered conditions listed below:

- Delirium
- Ventilator-associated pneumonia
- *Clostridium difficile* associated disease (CDAD)
- Legionnaires’ disease
- Iatrogenic pneumothorax
- *Staphylococcus aureus* sepsis
- Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

The text sections immediately below the table discuss each previously considered HAC and provide the developer of the guidelines, commentary on the evidence level, and whether the guideline includes identification of appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the HAC. Note that, for clarity, the guidelines are references in the text by the developer. None of the guidelines identified included a statement of the magnitude of prevention of events anticipated with use of the guideline recommendations.

Table 3
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Delirium				
Delirium: Prevention, early recognition, and treatment, In: Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice, 2008; Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing—Academic Institution 3rd ed. 2008 Jan. p. 111-25.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=12261 (accessed 11/22) Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerim.org/topics/delirium/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/22)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	Guidelines developed by nursing experts from across the country; update of 2003 guidelines	Addresses assessment and mitigation of risk factors for delirium, as well as monitoring of delirium
University of Iowa Gerontological Nursing Interventions Research Center, Research Translation and Dissemination Core. Evidence-based practice guideline: Acute confusion/delirium. <i>J Gerontol Nurs.</i> 2009 Nov;35(11):11-8.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=14340 (accessed 11/22) Summary article: https://wiki.umn.edu/pub/HealthInformatics/ConsumerHealthTopic2-Summer2010/Acute_Confusion.pdf (accessed 11/22)	Level Ia: Systematic review	Update of 1998 guidelines	Delirium rarely has one single cause for the condition, but has a multi-factorial etiology (Inouye and Charpentier, 1996). Studies suggest that primary prevention of delirium is probably the most effective strategy for reducing the overall incidence of delirium of hospitalized medical/surgical patients.
Comprehensive assessment and management of the critically ill. In: Evidence-based geriatric nursing protocols for best practice. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing. 3rd ed., 2008. p. 565-93.	http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=12253 (accessed 11/22) Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerim.org/topics/critical_care/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/22)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	“Closely monitor the older adult’s neurologic/mental status. Screen for delirium and sedation level at least once per shift. Implement interventions to reduce delirium.”

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Ventilator-associated pneumonia				
American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Hospital-acquired, Ventilator-associated, and Healthcare-associated Pneumonia. <i>American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2005; Vol. 171. pp. 388-416.	http://ajrcm.atsjournals.org/cgi/content/full/171/4/388 (accessed 11/22)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Recommendation for assessment and reduction of risk factors and treatment of Ventilator-associated pneumonia
Betsy Lehman Center for Patient Safety and Medical Error Reduction, JSI Research and Training Institute, and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. p. 56-60.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=12920%20 (accessed 11/22) http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/patient-safety/haipcp-final-report-pt1.pdf (accessed 11/22)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Recommendation for assessment and reduction of risk factors and treatment of Ventilator-associated pneumonia

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Comprehensive assessment and management of the critically ill. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing. In: Evidence-based geriatric nursing protocols for best practice. 3rd ed, 2008.	http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12253 (accessed 11/22) Chapter available for purchase; corresponding protocol available here: http://consultgerim.org/topics/critical_care/want_to_know_more (accessed 11/22)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	“Exercise standard ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) precautions Keep the head of the bed elevated to more than 30 degrees Provide frequent oral care Assess the need for stress ulcer prophylaxis Turn the patient as tolerated Maintain general hygiene practices”
AARC Clinical Practice Guidelines: Care of the Ventilator Circuit and Its Relation to Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia. <i>Respir Care</i> . 2003;48:869–879.	http://www.rcjournal.com/cpgs/pdf/09.03.0869.pdf (accessed 11/22)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	Identifies risk factors and recommends practices for management of the ventilator circuit to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
<p>IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals.</p> <p><i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.</i> 2008 Oct; 29 Suppl 1:S31-40.</p>	<p>http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=13396 (accessed 11/22)</p> <p>http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/591062 (accessed 11/22)</p>	<p>Level Ib: Literature search and evidence rating</p>	<p>From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm (accessed 11/22)</p>	<p>Includes Special approaches for the prevention of VAP for use in locations and/or populations within the hospital that have unacceptably high VAP rates despite implementation of the basic VAP prevention procedures listed herein; also approaches that should not be considered a routine part of VAP prevention. Each recommendation includes a ranking for the strength and the quality of evidence supporting it.</p>
<p><i>Clostridium difficile</i></p> <p>IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to Prevent <i>Clostridium difficile</i> Infections in Acute Care Hospitals. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol</i> 2008 Oct;29 Suppl 1:S81-92.</p>	<p>http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13398 (accessed 11/22)</p> <p>http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/591065 (accessed 11/22)</p>	<p>Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion</p>	<p>From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm (accessed 11/22)</p>	<p>Comprehensive recommendations for prevention of <i>C. difficile</i> transmission and implementation of prevention and monitoring strategies.</p>

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
IDSA/SHEA. Clinical Practice Guidelines for <i>Clostridium difficile</i> Infection in Adults: 2010 Update. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.</i> 2010 May;31(5):431-55.	http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/651706 (accessed 11/22) neither linked nor archived on NGC	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	Lists prevention and control measures including recommendations for hospital staff and visitors, environmental disinfection, antimicrobial use restrictions, and probiotics.
Betsy Lehman Center for Patient Safety and Medical Error Reduction, JSI Research and Training Institute, and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Hand hygiene recommendations. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. p. 36-41.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=12913 (accessed 11/22) http://www.mass.gov/eohhs/docs/dph/patient-safety/haipcp-final-report-pt1.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	“Based on in vitro data, alcohol is not effective at killing spores of organisms such as <i>Clostridium difficile</i> or <i>Bacillus anthracis</i> . (III) Although no direct comparison studies have been conducted, washing hands with water and soap physically removes spores from the skin and therefore may be more effective in this clinical setting. In the setting of an outbreak of a spore-forming organism such as <i>Clostridium difficile</i> , washing hands with soap and water is recommended.

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
CDC. Management of Multidrug Resistant Organisms in Health Care Settings, 2006.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=10764 (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/MDROGuideline2006.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Approaches to Minimize <i>C. difficile</i> transmission, and appropriate use of antibiotics
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for medical and surgical devices.	Describes specific inactivation techniques for <i>C. difficile</i>
Legionnaires' disease HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens	Contains general recommendations for disinfection of water supplies and hydrotherapy equipment.

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Iatrogenic pneumothorax				
Ray CE Jr, English B, Funaki BS, Burke CT, Fidelman N, Ginsburg ME, Kinney TB, Kostelic JK, Kouri BE, Lorenz JM, Nair AV, Nemcek AA Jr, Owens CA, Saleh AG, Vatakencherry G, Mohammed TH, Expert Panel on Interventional Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Biopsies of Thoracic Nodules and Masses. Reston (VA): American College of Radiology (ACR); 2011.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=32616 (accessed 11/22) http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonInterventionalRadiology/NeedleBiopsyintheThoraxUpdateinProgressDoc3.aspx (accessed 11/22) Evidence table available here: http://www.acr.org/acet/Needle-Biopsy-in-the-Thorax-ET.pdf (accessed 11/22)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	Individual studies were assigned “strength of evidence” quality scores, but no ratings of evidence strength across studies were provided. Methods are described here: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/Overview.aspx (accessed 11/22).	“Using a steeper angle of the biopsy needle may decrease the risk of pneumothorax.” “The most common complication requiring intervention is pneumothorax (10% to 30%).”

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> sepsis				
CDC. Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-related Infections, 2011.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/BSI/BSI-guidelines-2011.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/bsi-guidelines-2011.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Levels Ia and III: Systematic review and expert opinion	None	Addresses central venous line and vascular access catheters insertion and maintenance in adults and children. Use of 10% povidone iodine at site of catheter insertion may decrease “colonization, exit-site infection, or bloodstream infection” among patients undergoing hemodialysis, especially those with nasal colonization of <i>S. aureus</i> .
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens	Describes sterilization techniques for surgical and medical devices
IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to Prevent Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infection in Acute Care Hospitals. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.</i> 2008 Oct;29 Suppl 1:S22-30.	http://www.ngc.gov/content.aspx?id=13395 (accessed 11/23) http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdf/10.1086/591059 (accessed 11/23)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	An update of prior guidelines From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm (accessed 11/23)	Comprehensive recommendations for prevention of various catheter-associated blood stream infections.

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>				
<p>IDSA/SHEA. Strategies to Prevent Transmission of Methicillin-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in Acute Care Hospitals. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.</i> 2008 Oct; 29 Suppl 1:S62-80.</p>	<p>http://www.guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=13397 (accessed 11/23)</p> <p>http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/pdf/10.1086/591061 (accessed 11/23)</p> <p>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840090?dopt=Abstract (accessed 11/23)</p>	<p>Level Ib and III:</p> <p>Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion</p>	<p>From: SHEA/IDSA Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections in Acute Care Hospitals, http://www.shea-online.org/about/compendium.cfm (accessed 11/23)</p> <p>Not adapted from a previous guideline</p>	<p>Strategies to detect MRSA colonization, prevent its spread and treat infections are recommended</p>
<p>SHEA. Guideline for Preventing Nosocomial Transmission of Multidrug Resistant Strains of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and Enterococcus. <i>Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.</i> 2003 May;24(5):362-86.</p>	<p>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12785411?dopt=Abstract (accessed 11/23)</p>	<p>Level Ia:</p> <p>Systematic Review</p>	<p>Not listed in the National Guideline Clearinghouse</p>	<p>Recommends strategies to detect and prevent the spread of MRSA in the community and the hospital setting</p>

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)
Identified guidelines for each previously considered hospital-acquired condition

Evidence-based guideline and publishing organization	Location	Evidence level	Comments	Prevention recommendations
HICPAC, CDC. Management of Multidrug-resistant Organisms in Healthcare Settings, 2006.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/MDROGuideline2006.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ib and III: Literature search, evidence rating and expert opinion	None	Strategies to detect and prevent the spread and treat infections with multidrug resistant organisms, including MRSA are recommended
HICPAC, CDC. Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities, 2008.	http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/Disinfection_Sterilization/acknowledg.html (accessed 11/23) http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/Disinfection_Nov_2008.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level Ia and II: Systematic review and evidence cited	Addresses sterilization for a broad range of pathogens; MRSA mentioned only briefly.	Describes sterilization techniques for surgical and medical devices
Wisconsin Division of Public Health. Community Associated Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus Aureus</i> : Guidelines for Clinical Management and Control of Transmission, 2005.	http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/communicable/resources/pdffiles/CAMRSAGuide_1105.pdf (accessed 11/23)	Level II and III: Evidence cited and expert opinion	None	Guidelines for the detection of colonization, prevention of transmission and treatment of MRSA

A. Delirium

1. Guidelines identified

Three current U.S. guidelines were found that addressed the prevention, recognition, and treatment of delirium in hospitals:

- Delirium: prevention, early recognition, and treatment. In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008
- Comprehensive assessment and management of the critically ill In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008
- Evidence-based practice guideline: acute confusion/ delirium. University of Iowa Gerontological Nursing Interventions Research Center, Research Translation and Dissemination Core; 2009.

The Hartford Institute developed their guidelines in 2008 as an update to their 2003 guidelines; expert nurses from across the country developed the guideline's recommendations. The Iowa guideline was published in 2009. The guideline, "Prevention of Delirium in older hospitalized patients, In: Making Health Care Safer: A Critical Analysis of Patient Safety Practices, 2001 (AHRQ) has been withdrawn. Also considered out of date is the Levels Ib and III Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Delirium, 1999; American Psychiatric Association (<http://psychiatryonline.org/content.aspx?bookid=28§ionid=1663978#42494> (accessed 11/23)), though an informal "Guideline Watch" may be found at <http://www.psychiatryonline.com/content.aspx?aid=147844> (accessed 11/23)).

2. Guidelines considered "evidence-based"

The Hartford Institute protocols use a six-tier grading level of evidence. Systematic reviews are the highest level of evidence (Level I), followed by randomized controlled trials (RCTs) at Level II, and on down to Level VI for expert opinions and consensus panels. The protocols' recommendations are based on the protocols from two multi-component delirium prevention studies, published in 1999 and 2001. The Iowa guideline is a comprehensive review with evidence grading and expert opinion.

3. Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition

The Hartford Institute protocols provide parameters for assessment, nursing care strategies to eliminate or minimize risk factors, and instructions for establishing a therapeutic environment and providing follow-up measures of quality care. For example, the protocols' specific recommendations for eliminating or minimizing risk factors include the following:

- Administer medications judiciously; avoid high-risk medications
- Prevent/promptly and appropriately treat infections

- Prevent/promptly treat dehydration and electrolyte disturbances
- Provide adequate pain control
- Maximize oxygen delivery (supplemental oxygen, blood, and blood pressure support as needed)
- Use sensory aids as appropriate
- Regulate bowel/bladder function
- Provide adequate nutrition.

The Iowa guideline provides information on assessment principles, assessment tools, and recommended interventions for the prevention of delirium in older hospitalized patients. Single, multi-, and pharmaceutical interventions are listed with evidence grades. Recommended interventions include nurse and/or physician education, guidance for staff nurses regarding care, promotion of proper nutrition and electrolyte balance, pain management, discontinuation of urinary catheter, and early mobilization.

B. Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia

1. Guidelines identified

Five current guidelines were identified for ventilator-associated pneumonia:

- AARC Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines: Care of the Ventilator Circuit and Its Relation to Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia. American Association of Respiratory Care (AARC), 2003
- Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Hospital-acquired, Ventilator-associated, and Healthcare-associated Pneumonia. American Thoracic Society (ATS) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), 2005
- Comprehensive assessment and management of the critically ill. In: *Evidence-based Geriatric Nursing Protocols for Best Practice*. Hartford Institute for Geriatric Nursing, 2008
- Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH), 2008
- Strategies to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008.

Note: The “Guidelines for Preventing Health-Care-Associated Pneumonia, 2003” (CDC) were withdrawn from the National Guideline Clearinghouse in 2010.

The ATS/IDSA guidelines were published in 2005, on the management of adults with hospital acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. The SHEA/IDSA guidelines for VAP prevention were likewise published in 2008 and were developed using the same process as other SHEA/IDSA guidelines. Expert nurses from across the country developed The Hartford Institute guidelines in 2008 as an update to their 2003 guidelines. The ATS guidelines were the primary source for the most recent 2008 guidelines from the MDPH. The MDPH guidelines focus on the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia and are part of a larger body of work on prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. The AARC guidelines for the care of the Ventilator Circuit was published in 2003 and provides specific recommendations for identifying risk factors and for management of the ventilator circuit to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. The CDC recommendations were the combined effort of the CDC and HICPAC. This effort provided no new recommendations for ventilator-associated pneumonia from their 1994 guidelines, but the new guidelines did note that there were unresolved issues in regard to oral decontamination.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

The ATS-IDSA guidelines use the same grading system for evidence-based recommendations previously used for the ATS Community-Acquired Pneumonia statement. Using three tiers of evidence levels, the guidelines’ highest level consists of RCTs. Well designed, non-randomized controlled trials (e.g., large case series, cohort studies, case-controlled studies) are considered Level II, with case studies and expert opinion at the lowest level, Level III.

Though the MDPH guidelines use the ATS guidelines as their source, they employ a more specific grading system when reviewing the evidence. In addition, the MDPH guidelines are developed from a comprehensive reference library developed by a local specialist in hospital-acquired infection and further supplemented by searches conducted by an experienced librarian (as advised by the Expert Panel and Task Group members). All studies were reviewed for internal validity or methodological rigor, and only high-quality studies were used for the evidence base from which guidelines’ recommendations were developed. The MDPH grading system consists of five tiers of levels of evidence, with RCTs at the highest level (Level I), continuing on through to Level IV for expert opinions. Level V is reserved for when no quality studies are identified and no other clear guidance are available. The strength of recommendation ranking consists of six tiers, from A (strongly recommended) to D (recommended against implementation), followed by UI (unresolved issue) and finally by no recommendation when there is insufficient evidence or no consensus regarding efficacy. This panel adapts the strength-of-recommendation ranking scales from the system developed by HICPAC and published in 2005. (McKibben L, Horan T, et al). Guidance on public reporting of healthcare associated infections: Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. AJIC. 2005; 33:217-226)

The Hartford Institute protocols use a six-tier grading level of evidence. Systematic reviews are the highest level of evidence (Level I), followed by randomized controlled trials

(RCTs) at Level II, and on down to Level VI for expert opinions and consensus panels. The guideline recommendations for prevention of pneumonia are graded Level IV.

The AARC guidelines use a systematic review of the literature, grading of the evidence, and expert opinion.

The SHEA/IDSA guidelines adapt the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. Their quality rating is based on three tiers: the highest level (Level I) requires evidence from one or more proper RCTs, followed by the second level (Level II) comprised of evidence from one or more well-designed non-randomized clinical trials or cohort, case-controlled, multiple-time-series studies or from “dramatic results of uncontrolled experiments.” Level III is expert opinion, consensus statements, or descriptive studies. The strength of the recommendation is described using a three-tiered ranking labeled A-C, with A the strongest.

3. Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition

The ATS guidelines cover the major points and recommendations for modifiable risk factors, general prophylaxis, diagnosis, clinical strategy, comparing diagnostic strategies, initial antibiotic therapy, optimal antibiotic therapy, selected multi-drug resistant pathogens, assessing response to therapy and performance indicators. Specific performance indicators include:

- Circulate hospital-acquired infection prevention guidelines to appropriate medical staff (administrators for quality and safety, physicians, and nurses) for review
- Provide epidemiologic data on the prevalence and types of multi-drug resistant pathogens in intensive care unit patients and current antibiograms to select appropriate initial antibiotic therapy
- Select specific parts of the guideline for implementation by the medical and surgical services, including the intensive care units, and monitor compliance with the guidelines in relation to patient outcomes
- Identify modifiable risk factors and develop programs to reduce the risk of pneumonia through changing these risk factors.

The MDPH guidelines include basic practices for prevention and monitoring of ventilator-associated pneumonia in acute care hospitals. This includes components on education, surveillance, practice, accountability, and approaches for special circumstances. The SHEA/IDSA guidelines include special approaches for prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in hospitals with unacceptably high ventilator-associated pneumonia rates after following basic prevention procedures, such as “using an endotracheal tube with in-line, subglottic suctioning for all eligible patients, and ensure that all intensive care unit beds used for patients undergoing ventilation have a built-in tool to provide continuous monitoring of the angle of incline.” The guidelines also provide guidance on approaches that should not be considered a routine part of ventilator-associated pneumonia prevention, such as the following:

- Do not routinely administer intravenous immunoglobulin, white-cell–stimulating factors (filgrastim or sargramostim), enteral glutamine, or chest physiotherapy
- Do not routinely use rotational therapy with kinetic or continuous lateral rotational therapy beds
- Do not routinely administer prophylactic aerosolized or systemic antimicrobials or actions that are not consistently supported one way or another by evidence (referred to as unresolved issues)
- Avoidance of H2 antagonist or proton pump inhibitors for patients who are not at high risk for developing gastrointestinal bleeding
- Selective digestive tract decontamination for all patients undergoing ventilation
- Use of antiseptic-impregnated endotracheal tubes
- Intensive glycemic control.

The AARC guidelines make specific recommendations for the changing of ventilator circuits, suction procedures, and the use of humidifiers.

The Hartford guidelines recommend standard ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) precautions, including elevation of the head of the bed to more than 30 degrees, frequent oral care, assessment of the need for stress ulcer prophylaxis, turning of the patient, and maintenance of general hygiene practices.

The SHEA/IDSA guidelines include recommendations for education, surveillance, practices for disinfection and sterilization of equipment, and assignment of accountability, as well as special approaches for prevention in hospitals with high rates of VAP. They also distinguish approaches that should not be routine in VAP prevention practices.

C. *Clostridium difficile*—Associated Disease

1. Guidelines identified

Five current U.S. guidelines were found that address strategies to prevent *Clostridium difficile* infections (CDI) in acute care hospitals:

- Management of Multidrug Resistant Organisms in Health Care Settings. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2006.
- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008.

- Hand hygiene recommendations. In: Prevention and control of healthcare-associated infections in Massachusetts. Part 1: final recommendations of the Expert Panel. 2008. Massachusetts Department of Public Health (MDPH), 2008.
- Strategies to Prevent *Clostridium difficile* Infections in Acute Care Hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008.
- Clinical Practice Guidelines for *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Adults: 2010 Update. SHEA/IDSA, 2010.

The SHEA/IDSA Compendium recommendations are based on previously published guidelines from the HIPAC and the CDC, SHEA, ISDA, and the Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, as well as from other relevant literature recently published after the prior guidelines were released. The SHEA/IDSA 2010 Clinical Practice guidelines were located using an internet search engine.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

The IDSA/SHEA quality-of-evidence approach is based on three tiers: Level I requires evidence from one or more RCTs; Level II is comprised of evidence from one or more well-designed non-randomized clinical trials or cohort, case-controlled, multiple-time-series studies or from “dramatic results of uncontrolled experiments”; and Level III involves expert opinion, consensus statements, or descriptive studies. This approach was adapted from the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination.

The CDC guidelines use a bi-level approach with specific categories. Level I, the highest level, consists of three categories: a) well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies, b) “certain” clinical or epidemiologic studies and by strong theoretical rationale, and c) mandated by federal or state regulation or standard. Category II evidence is suggestive clinical or epidemiologic studies or strong theoretical rationale. Insufficient evidence or no consensus is rated as “No recommendation” or “Unresolved Issue.”

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

Recommendations include components of a CDI prevention program, performing a CDI risk assessment; employment of routine prevention approaches, as well as approaches that should *not* be used for routine prevention; and a discussion of unresolved issues. Specifically, the components of a CDI prevention program should include the following:

- Use contact precautions for infected patients, with a single-patient room preferred
- Ensure cleaning and disinfection of equipment and the environment
- Implement a laboratory-based alert system to provide immediate notification to infection prevention and control personnel and clinical personnel about patients with newly diagnosed CDI

- Conduct CDI surveillance, and analyze and report CDI data
- Educate healthcare personnel, housekeeping personnel, and hospital administration about CDI
- Educate patients and their families about CDI, as appropriate
- Measure compliance with CDC or World Health Organization hand-hygiene and contact precaution recommendations.

The Clinical Practice Guidelines for *Clostridium difficile* Infection in Adults: 2010 (SHEA/IDSA) contain recommendations for the prevention of horizontal transmission of *C. difficile* in the hospital setting, including:

- Use of gloves and gowns by healthcare workers and visitors
- Compliance with good hand hygiene practices
- Private rooms or, at the least, dedicated commodes for patients with CDI
- Duration of control measure implementation
- Routine identification of asymptomatic carriers is not recommended.

The CDC-HIPAC guideline describes practices for inactivation of *C. difficile* spores, including the use of diluted hypochlorite solutions to disinfect rooms of patients with CDI. Contaminated medical devices should be cleaned with two percent glutaraldehyde, peracetic acid, or *ortho*-Phthalaldehyde. For routine disinfection of the environment, use of an EPA-registered germicidal agent is recommended.

The MPDH guidelines for hand hygiene note that alcohol is not effective at killing *C. difficile* spores in vitro. Washing hands with soap and water to physically remove spores is recommended.

D. Legionnaires' Disease

1. Guidelines identified

We found one current guideline that addresses Legionnaires' disease:

- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008.

Note: The Guidelines for Preventing Health Care–Associated Pneumonia, 2003 (CDC-HICPAC) have been withdrawn from the NGC, as have the Guidelines for Environmental Infection Control in Health-Care Facilities, 2003 (CDC).

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

The levels of evidence used in the CDC-HICPAC guideline are based on two levels, one with categories. Level 1, the higher level, consists of three categories: a) well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies, b) “certain” clinical or epidemiologic studies and by strong theoretical rationale, and c) mandated by federal or state regulation or standard. Category II evidence is suggestive clinical or epidemiologic studies or strong theoretical rationale. Insufficient evidence or no consensus is rated as “No recommendation” or “Unresolved Issue.”

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The CDC-HICPAC guideline notes that chlorine disinfection (via hyperchlorination or chlorine dioxide of hospital water supplies), disinfection of municipal water supplies using monochloramine, and use of Chloramine T and hypochlorites have been used to control the spread of *Legionella* in hospital settings.

E. Iatrogenic Pneumothorax

1. *Guidelines identified*

We found one guideline that addressed iatrogenic pneumothorax, but only in the setting of thoracic needle biopsy:

- ACR Appropriateness Criteria® needle biopsy in the thorax. American College of Radiology (ACR), 2008.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

This guideline is based on a literature review and expert opinion. Individual studies identified during the review were assigned “strength of evidence ratings” (quality assessment scores) from 1 to 4, with 1 defined as “The conclusions of the study are valid and strongly supported by study design, analysis and results”; 2 as “The conclusions of the study are likely valid, but study design does not permit certainty”; 3 as “The conclusions of the study may be valid but the evidence supporting the conclusions is inconclusive or equivocal”; and 4 as “The conclusions of the study may not be valid because the evidence may not be reliable given the study design or analysis.” Recommendations for individual outcomes (e.g., pneumothorax) were not provided.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The ACR guideline states that “Using a steeper angle of the biopsy needle may decrease the risk of pneumothorax,” (from a study with a quality rating of “2”) and that “Prior surgery and prone positioning during biopsy seem to provide a “protective effect” against clinically significant post-biopsy pneumothorax” (study quality rating “1”). These guidelines also note that pneumothorax is most common complication of percutaneous lung biopsy requiring intervention, occurring in 10%–30% of these procedures.

F. *Staphylococcus aureus* Sepsis

1. *Guidelines identified*

We identified three guidelines that briefly addressed *Staphylococcus aureus* sepsis, both in the context of prevention of general blood stream infections. Most identified references from the searches were for methicillin-resistant staphylococcus (MRSA), which is another previously considered HAC. The CDC guidelines for prevention of central line infections address prevention strategies to prevent bacterial infection.

- Strategies to prevent central line-associated bloodstream infections in acute care hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008.
- Guidelines for the Prevention of Intravascular Catheter-related Infections 2011. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC), 2011.
- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008.

2. *Guidelines considered “evidence-based”*

The SHEA/IDSA guidelines use a quality rating is based on three tiers: the highest level (Level I) requires evidence from one or more proper RCTs, followed by the second level (Level II) comprised of evidence from one or more well-designed non-randomized clinical trials or cohort, case-controlled, multiple-time-series studies or from “dramatic results of uncontrolled experiments.” Level III is expert opinion, consensus statements, or descriptive studies. The CDC guidelines use a systematic review strategy and provide for expert opinion (“supported by suggestive clinical or epidemiologic studies or a theoretical rationale

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

The 2011 HICPAC guidelines for prevention of intravascular catheter-related infection recommend the use of aseptic technique including the use of a cap, mask, sterile gown, sterile gloves, and a large sterile sheet for the insertion of CVCs, including peripherally inserted central catheters, or guide-wire exchanged. The 2008 HICPAC CDC guidelines contain recommendation for the sterilization of medical and surgical devices to prevent transmission of a broad range of pathogens.

The SHEA/IDSA guidelines recommend use of antimicrobial ointments for hemodialysis catheter insertion sites. For patients with a history of recurrent *Staphylococcus aureus* central line-associated blood stream infection, Povidone-iodine or polysporin ointment should be applied to hemodialysis catheter insertion sites.

G. Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)

1. Guidelines identified

Five U.S. guidelines were identified that address MRSA:

- SHEA Guideline for Preventing Nosocomial Transmission of Multidrug Resistant Strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and Enterococcus, Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), 2003
- Community Associated Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Guidelines for Clinical Management and Control Of Transmission. Wisconsin Division of Public Health (WDPH), 2005
- Management of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Healthcare Settings. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2006
- Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC-HICPAC), 2008
- Strategies to Prevent Transmission of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Acute Care Hospitals. Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (SHEA/IDSA) Compendium, 2008.

Because the transmission of MRSA in the hospital is significantly impacted by the level of asymptomatic carriers in the community, the WDPG guideline, which addresses community control and prevention, was also included.

2. Guidelines considered “evidence-based”

Quality ratings for the evidence in the 2008 collaborative effort on strategies to prevent transmission (SHEA/IDSA) were adapted from the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. Quality of evidence is based on three tiers: the highest level (Level I) requires evidence from one or more proper RCTs, followed by the second level (Level II) comprised of evidence from one or more well-designed non-randomized clinical trials or cohort, case-controlled, multiple-time-series studies or from “dramatic results of uncontrolled experiments.” Level III is expert opinion, consensus statements or descriptive studies. The SHEA guidelines for preventing transmission used also used a systematic review strategy with evidence ratings.

The CDC guideline used a bi-level approach with specific categories. Level 1, the higher level consists of three categories: a) well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies, b) “certain” clinical or epidemiologic studies and by strong theoretical rationale, c) mandated by federal or state regulation or standard. Category II evidence is suggestive clinical or epidemiologic studies or strong theoretical rationale. Insufficient evidence or no

consensus is rated as “No recommendation” or “Unresolved Issue.” The WDPH guidelines cite references, but do not provide the search strategy or an evidence rating strategy.

3. *Identification of the appropriate actions to be taken to prevent the condition*

Each practice recommendation is associated with both strength of recommendation and quality of evidence grade. Components of a MRSA Transmission Prevention Program include risk assessment, monitoring, compliance with CDC and World Health Organization hand hygiene recommendations, use of contact precautions, cleaning and disinfection of equipment and environment, education, personnel, alert systems, special approaches and unresolved issues. The CDC guideline suggests implementing systems to communicate information about MRSA, among other reportable multi-drug resistant organisms, education and training, use of antimicrobial agents, surveillance, infection control procedures, and environmental and administrative measures. The SHEA/IDSA guideline released in 2008, covers strategies to prevent transmission of MRSA in acute care hospitals. It is the first MRSA guideline developed by this group and was not adapted from any other source. The 2008 HICPAC CDC guidelines address measures for the inactivation of antibiotic resistant bacteria.

[This page left intentionally blank.]

SECTION 4 DISCUSSION

Summaries of the numbers of guidelines found for each selected, candidate and previously considered condition are provided in **Tables 4, 5, and 6**, respectively. The number of guidelines with Level Ia: Systematic Reviews; Level Ib: Evidence-grading system Level II: Evidence Cited; Level III: Expert Opinion are also summarized by condition. Note that guidelines may employ Level III: Expert Opinion **in addition** to Level Ia, Level Ib or Level II.

4.1 Selected Conditions

Table 4
Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines

Condition	Guidelines with recommendations for prevention of the condition	Guidelines with Level Ia: Systematic review and evidence grading	Guidelines with Level Ib: evidence grading	Guidelines with Level II: evidence cited only	Guidelines with Level III: expert opinion
Foreign object retained after surgery	6	0	3	1	5
Air embolus	0	0	0	0	0
Blood incompatibility	2	0	0	2	0
Pressure ulcers (Stages III and IV)	3	2	1	0	3
Injuries from falls & trauma	6	2	1	2	6
Deep vein thrombosis pulmonary embolism for total knee or hip replacement	6	3	3	0	3
Manifestations of poor glycemic control	4	2	2	0	4
Catheter-associated urinary tract infection	5	3	3	1	4
Vascular catheter associated infection	5	3	2	1	5
Surgical site infection following selected cardiac, bariatric, or orthopedic surgeries	8	1	6	1	6

4.2 Candidate Condition

Table 5
Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines

Condition	Guidelines with recommendations for prevention of the condition	Guidelines with Level Ia: systematic review and evidence grading,	Guidelines with Level Ib: evidence grading	Guidelines with Level II: evidence cited only	Guidelines with Level III: expert opinion
Contrast-induced acute kidney injury	3	1	1	1	3

4.3 Previously Considered Conditions

Table 6
Summary of the number and ratings of available guidelines

Condition	Guidelines with recommendations for prevention of the condition	Guidelines with Level Ia: systematic review and evidence grading,	Guidelines with Level Ib: evidence grading	Guidelines with Level II: evidence cited only	Guidelines with Level III: expert opinion
Delirium	3	3	0	0	2
Ventilator-associated pneumonia	5	2	3	0	4
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> -associated disease	5	3	2	1	4
Legionnaires disease	1	1	0	1	0
Iatrogenic pneumothorax	1	0	1	0	1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> septicemia	2	2	1	1	2
Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4	2	2	2	3

APPENDIX A

PERTINENT EVIDENCE-BASED CDC GUIDELINES WITHDRAWN FROM THE NATIONAL GUIDELINE CLEARINGHOUSE

January 5, 2011

The following evidence-based guidelines were developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for prevention of selected and previously considered conditions. Although they are still available from the CDC website, they are listed by the National Guideline Clearinghouse as “withdrawn” for unspecified reasons.

<http://www.guideline.gov/browse/archive.aspx?type=2>

Guideline for Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, CDC 1999. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiology. 1999 Apr;20(4):250-78. NGC:001071

http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/SSI_1999.pdf (accessed 12/22)

- *Selected Condition: Surgical Site Infection*

Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. MMWR Recomm Rep 2002 Oct; 51(RR16):1-44. NGC:002710

<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr5116.pdf> (accessed 1/16)

- *Selected Conditions: CAUTI, CLABSI, Surgical Site Infection*
- *Previously Considered Conditions: Clostridium difficile-Associated Disease, Staphylococcus aureus sepsis, MRSA*

Guidelines for Preventing Health-Care-Associated Pneumonia. Recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR Recomm Rep 2004 Mar 26;53(RR-3):1-36. NGC:003506.

<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5303a1.htm> (accessed 11/23)

- *Previously Considered Conditions: Ventilator Associated Pneumonia, Legionnaires' Disease*

Guidelines for Environmental Infection Control in Health-Care Facilities. CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2003 June 6; 52(RR10):1-42. NGC:003059.

http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/guidelines/eic_in_HCF_03.pdf (accessed 11/23)

<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5210.pdf> (accessed 11/23)

- *Selected Condition: Surgical Site Infection*
- *Previously Considered Conditions: Legionnaires' Disease, Ventilator Associated Pneumonia, Staphylococcus aureus sepsis, Clostridium difficile-Associated Disease, MRSA*

[This page left intentionally blank.]

APPENDIX B

EVIDENCE-BASED GUIDELINES FOR SELECTED AND PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED CONDITIONS: WITHDRAWN GUIDELINES

January 5, 2011

In addition to the CDC guidelines listed in Appendix A, the following guidelines appeared in earlier versions of the RTI report but have since been withdrawn from the National Guideline Clearinghouse or directly by guideline developers.

The National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) maintains a list of withdrawn or archived guidelines at the following website: <http://www.guideline.gov/browse/archive.aspx?type=2> (accessed 11/23). Where possible, links have been provided below to full-text or companion articles. Withdrawn guidelines on the NGC site cannot be linked directly, but can be located alphabetically by developer.

I. Selected Conditions

A. Air Embolism

- “Access Device Guidelines: Recommendations for Nursing Practice and Education.” 2nd edition, 2004 Oncology Nursing Society NGC:004666. Available for purchase

B. Blood Incompatibility

- “Access Device Guidelines: Recommendations for Nursing Practice and Education.” 2nd edition, 2004. Oncology Nursing Society NGC:004666. Available for purchase
- “Transfusion guidelines for neonates and older children” (2004) and “Amendments and corrections to the transfusion guidelines for neonates and older children.” (2005). British Committee for Standards in Haematology [BCHS] Blood Transfusion Task Force. NGC:006583. Companion article:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14984493> (accessed 11/23);
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2004.04815.x/pdf> (accessed 11/23)

C. Pressure Ulcers (Stages III and IV)

- Clinical Practice Guideline: Prediction, Prevention, Early Treatment of Pressure Ulcers in Adults. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. AHCPR Supported Guide and Guidelines. 1992–2008.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bookshelf/br.fcgi?book=hsahcpr&part=A4521> (accessed 11/23) (withdrawal notice: <http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/cpgonline.htm> (accessed 11/23))

- Pressure ulcer prevention and treatment following spinal cord injury: a clinical practice guideline for health-care professionals. J Spinal Cord Med 2001 Spring;24(Suppl 1):S40-101. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11958176> (accessed 11/23)

D. Injuries from Falls and Trauma

- “Changing the Practice of Physical Restraint Use in Acute Care.” 2005. University of Iowa Gerontological Nursing Interventions Research Center, Research Translation and Dissemination Core. NGC:004806. Companion article: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17310658> (accessed 11/23)

E. Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)/Pulmonary Embolism (PE) for Total Knee Replacement or Hip Replacement

- Antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, Part I, Duration of Antibiotic Treatment 2005; Society of Thoracic Surgeons Workforce on Evidence Based Surgery. NGC:004297
- Clinical Guideline on the Prevention of Symptomatic Pulmonary Embolism in Patients Undergoing Total Hip or Knee Arthroplasty. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), 2007

F. Vascular Catheter-Associated Infection

- “Access Device Guidelines: Recommendations for Nursing Practice and Education.” 2nd edition, 2004 Oncology Nursing Society NGC:004666

G. Glycemic Control

- Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Management of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes management in the hospital setting. 2007; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists AACE Diabetes Mellitus Clinical Practice Guidelines Task Force. Endocr Pract 2007 May-Jun;13(Suppl 1):59-63 NGC:11100

II. Previously Considered Conditions

A. Delirium

- “Prevention of Delirium in older hospitalized patients, In: Making Health Care Safer: A Critical Analysis of Patient Safety Practices, 2001; AHRQ,” <http://archive.ahrq.gov/clinic/epcarch.htm> (accessed 11/23)
- “Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Delirium,” 1999; American Psychiatric Association. (<http://psychiatryonline.org/content.aspx?bookid=28§ionid=1663978#42494> (accessed 11/23))

B. Iatrogenic Pneumothorax

“ACR Appropriateness Criteria® needle biopsy in the thorax, 2008”; American College of Radiology. Ray CE Jr., Funaki BS, Brown DB, Gemery JM, Khan AR, Kinney TB, Kostelic JK, Lorenz JM, Millward SF, Nemcek AA Jr., Owens CA, Reinhart RD, Silberzweig JE, Siskin GP, Vatakencherry G, Kaiser L, Raof S, Expert Panel on Interventional Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® needle biopsy in the thorax. Reston (VA): American College of Radiology (ACR); 2008. NGC 13661