UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)	PUBLIC
ASPEN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,)	
Respondent.)	Docket No. 9310

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO NON-PARTY HTRI'S MOTION FOR IN CAMERA TREATMENT OF DOCUMENTS DESIGNATED AS TRIAL EXHIBITS

Complaint Counsel have reviewed the *in camera* motion filed by non-party HTRI.

Complaint Counsel do not oppose *in camera* treatment of documents or portions of documents for which HTRI seeks *in camera* status.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

Peter Richman Lesli C. Esposito Mary N. Lehner Vadim M. Brusser

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C.

Dated: May 27, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vadim M. Brusser, hereby certify that I caused copies of Complaint Counsel's Statement Of Non-Opposition To Non-Party HTRI's Motion For *In Camera* Treatment Of Documents Designated As Trial Exhibits to be delivered this day:

Two copies by hand delivery:

Hon. Stephen J. McGuire Chief Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission Room H-112 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20580

By electronic mail and by hand delivery:

Donald S. Clark, Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-159 Washington, DC 20580

By electronic mail and by first class mail to:

Mark W. Nelson George S. Cary Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 mnelson@cgsh.com gcary@cgsh.com

/s/

Vadim M. Brusser Attorney Federal Trade Commission

Dated: May 27, 2004