Guidance for Industry

Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication of the *Federal Register* notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1601, Rockville, MD 20857. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that published in the *Federal Register*.

For questions on the content of the draft document contact Wallace Adams, 301-594-5618.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> Biopharmaceutics April 2003

Guidance for Industry

Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action

Additional copies are available from:

Division of Drug Information (HFD-240) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 Internet at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Biopharmaceutics April 2003

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	NTRODUCTION	
II.	BACKGROUND	
A	BA and BE Data	4
В	 Local Delivery BA/BE Concepts Systemic Exposure and Systemic Absorption BA/BE Concepts CMC and In Vitro BA Tests (Noncomparative) Versus BE Tests (Comparative) 	
III.	FORMULATION AND CONTAINER AND CLOSURE SYSTEM	6
A	Formulation	6
В	Container and Closure System	7
IV.	OOCUMENTATION OF BA AND BE	7
A	NDAs	7
В	ANDAs	8
C	 Solution Formulations Suspension Formulations with PK Systemic Exposure Data Suspension Formulations without PK Systemic Exposure Data Postapproval Change 	
V.	N VITRO STUDIES	
A	Batches and Drug Product Sample Collection	9
В	 NDAs ANDAs Tests and Metrics 	
	 Single Actuation Content (SAC) Through Container Life	
A	General Information	
	. NDAs P. ANDAs	

Draft — Not for Implementation

B.	Clinical Study Batches	22
C.	Clinical BE Study Design and Subject Inclusion Criteria	23
D.	Clinical BE Study Endpoints	24
VII.	PK STUDIES FOR SYSTEMIC EXPOSURE	25
А.	General Information	25
B.	Study Batches	26
C.	Study Design and Subject Inclusion Criteria	26
D.	Study Measures	27
VIII.	PD OR CLINICAL STUDIES FOR SYSTEMIC ABSORPTION	27
А.	General Information	27
B.	Clinical Study Batches	28
C.	Clinical BE Study Designs and Subject Inclusion Criteria	29
D.	Clinical BE Study Endpoints for Corticosteroids	29
IX. N	UMBER OF RESERVE SAMPLES FOR BA AND BE TESTING	30
X. N	AULTIPLE STRENGTHS	31
A.	Solution Formulation Nasal Sprays	31
B.	Suspension Formulation Nasal Sprays	32
XI. S	MALLER CONTAINER SIZES	32
REFI	CRENCES	34
TABI	JE 1	36

Note: The following stand alone documents will be provided when completed.

APPENDIX A: DECISION TREE FOR PRODUCT QUALITY STUDIES
APPENDIX B: STATISTICS FOR IN VITRO BA DATA
APPENDIX C: NONPROFILE IN VITRO BE DATA — USING PBE STATISTICS
APPENDIX D: NONPROFILE IN VITRO BE DATA — USING PBE STATISTICS
APPENDIX E: STATISTICS FOR IN VITRO PROFILE COMPARISONS
APPENDIX F: STATISTICS FOR ALLERGIC RHINITIS STUDIES
APPENDIX G: STATISTICS FOR SYSTEMIC EXPOSURE AND ABSORPTION

Draft — Not for Implementation

Guidance For Industry¹

Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Nasal Aerosols and Nasal Sprays for Local Action

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

16

1 2

3

4

17

18

19 I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance is intended to provide recommendations to applicants who are planning product quality studies to measure bioavailability (BA) and/or establish bioequivalence (BE) in support of new drug applications (NDAs) or abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs) for locally acting drugs in nasal aerosols (metered-dose inhalers (MDIs)) and nasal sprays (metered-dose spray pumps). This guidance addresses BA and BE studies of prescription corticosteroids, antihistamines, anticholinergic drug products, and the over-the-counter (OTC) mast-cell stabilizer cromolyn sodium. Applicability of the guidance to other classes of intranasal drugs that may be developed in the future should be discussed with the appropriate CDER review division.

This guidance does not cover studies of nasal sprays included in an applicable OTC monograph² or studies of (1) metered-dose products intended to deliver drug systemically via the nasal route or (2) drugs in nasal nonmetered dose atomizer (squeeze) bottles that require premarket approval.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Oral Inhalation and Nasal Drug Products Technical Committee, Locally Acting Drug Products Steering Committee, Biopharmaceutics Coordinating Committee, with contributions from the Inhalation Drug Products Working Group, the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Coordinating Committee, in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

 $^{^2}$ 21 CFR 341. Cold, Cough, Allergy, Bronchodilator, and Antiasthmatic Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use.

Draft — Not for Implementation

36 The first draft of this guidance was issued in June 1999 for comment. Because of changes made

37 as a result of comments received to the docket, internal discussions, and deliberations of the

38 Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, we have decided to issue the guidance once

39 again in draft. A series of attachments are being developed and will be posted with this draft

40 guidance as stand alone documents on the Internet as soon as they have been completed.

41

42 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable 43 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should 44 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are 45 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

- 46
- 47 48

49 II. BACKGROUND

50

51 Product quality studies provide information that pertains to the identity, strength, quality, purity, 52 and potency of a drug product. These studies include information on chemistry, manufacturing, 53 and controls (CMC), microbiology, BE and certain aspects of BA. A BE study is normally used 54 to compare a test product (T) to a reference product (R) C the to-be-marketed product is 55 compared to a pivotal clinical trial material, and a generic product is compared to a reference 56 listed drug. A BE study thus provides information on product quality. BA studies for ensuring 57 product quality relate to the release of the active ingredient or active moiety from the drug 58 product (Williams et al., 2000). BA studies may also address biopharmaceutical and clinical 59 pharmacology issues, such as absorption, distribution, and elimination of the active ingredient 60 and its metabolites and dose proportionality. These latter BA/PK studies provide information 61 beyond product quality BA characterization and would also be included in the Human 62 Pharmacokinetics section (Item 6) of an NDA. These latter studies are not the subject of this 63 guidance. Rather, this guidance discusses studies that focus on product performance (i.e., release 64 of a drug substance from a drug product). Subsequent references to BA studies in this guidance 65 refer only to BA studies for ensuring product quality. 66 This guidance should be used with other, more general CMC and BA and BE guidances 67

available from CDER.³ Product quality information is different from, yet complementary to, the 68 clinical safety and efficacy information that supports approval of an NDA. For information on 69

70 the type of safety and efficacy studies that may be requested for a new active ingredient/active

71 moiety intended for local action in the nose, or for a new product such as a nasal aerosol that

72 may include an active ingredient/active moiety previously approved in a nasal spray, we

73 recommend appropriate CDER review staff be consulted.

74

75 Note: Detailed CMC information relevant to nasal aerosols and nasals sprays is presented in the 76 final guidance Nasal Sprav and Inhalation Solution, Suspension, and Sprav Drug Products

³ Guidances are available on the Internet at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation.⁴ The document provides
 complementary information on the BA/BE testing methods recommended in this guidance.

79 80

A. BA and BE Data

81

82 *Bioavailability* is defined at 21 CFR 320.1 as Athe rate and extent to which the active ingredient 83 or active moiety is absorbed from a drug product and becomes available at the site of action. For 84 drug products that are not intended to be absorbed into the bloodstream, bioavailability may be 85 assessed by measurements intended to reflect the rate and extent to which the active ingredient 86 or active moiety becomes available at the site of action.@ *Bioequivalence* is defined as Ahe 87 absence of a significant difference in the rate and extent to which the active ingredient or active 88 moiety in pharmaceutical equivalents or pharmaceutical alternatives becomes available at the 89 site of drug action when administered at the same molar dose under similar conditions in an 90 appropriately designed study.@ BA and BE are closely related, and the same approach used to 91 measure BA in an NDA can generally be followed in establishing BE for an NDA or ANDA. 92 Although BA may be comparative, establishing BE specifically involves a comparison of the BA 93 of one product with the BA of another product. BE is usually established using (1) a criterion to 94 allow the comparison, based on means and/or variances for BA measures, (2) a confidence

95 interval for the criterion, and (3) a BE limit (goalpost) for the criterion.

96

BA and BE data must be provided in accordance with the regulations.⁵ BA and BE can be 97 98 established using in vivo (pharmacokinetic (PK), pharmacodynamic (PD), or clinical) and in vitro studies, or, in certain cases, using in vitro studies alone.⁶ BA and BE assessments for 99 100 locally acting nasal aerosols and sprays are complicated because delivery to the sites of action 101 does not occur primarily after systemic absorption. Droplets and/or drug particles are deposited 102 topically. The drug is then absorbed and becomes available at local sites of action. A drug 103 administered nasally and intended for local action has the potential to produce systemic activity, 104 although plasma levels do not in general reflect the amount of drug reaching nasal sites of action. 105 Systemic exposure following nasal administration can occur either from drug absorbed into the 106 systemic circulation from the nasal mucosa, or after ingestion and absorption from the 107 gastrointestinal tract (Daley-Yates et al., 2001). For these reasons, BA and BE studies generally 108 would consider both local delivery and systemic exposure or systemic absorption.

109 110

- 1. Local Delivery BA/BE Concepts
- For local delivery, BA is a function of several factors, including release of the drug substance from the drug product and availability to local sites of action. Release of the drug from the drug product produces droplet or drug particle sizes and distribution

⁴ A draft guidance, *Metered Dose Inhaler (MDI) and Dry Powder Inhaler (DPI) Drug Products C Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation*, was issued in October 1998. Once finalized, it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic.

⁵ 21 CFR 320.21, Requirements for submission of in vivo bioavailability and bioequivalence data.

⁶ 21 CFR 320.24, Types of evidence to establish bioavailability or bioequivalence.

Draft — Not for Implementation

115patterns within the nose that are dependent upon the drug substance, formulation, and116device characteristics. Availability to local sites of action is usually a function of droplet117or drug particle sizes and distribution patterns, as well as drug dissolution in the case of118suspension products, absorption across mucosal barriers to nasal receptors, and rate of119removal from the nose. From a product quality perspective, the critical issues are release120of drug substance from drug product and delivery to the mucosa. Other factors are of121lesser importance.

123 A critical question in assessing product quality BA and BE is the extent to which one can 124 rely on in vitro methods alone, or upon in vitro methods plus clinical endpoints, to 125 measure (benchmark) BA and/or establish BE. In vitro methods are less variable 126 (Newman et al., 1995; Borgstrom et al., 1996; Suman et al., 2002), easier to control, and 127 more likely to detect differences between products if they exist, but the clinical relevance 128 of these tests, or the magnitude of the differences in the tests, can not always be clearly 129 established. Clinical endpoints may be highly variable (Welch et al., 1991; Meltzer et al., 130 1998) and relatively insensitive to dose differences over an eightfold or higher dose range 131 (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001), thus insensitive in detecting 132 potential differences between products. However, clinical studies can unequivocally 133 establish effectiveness of the drug product. 134

135 In this guidance, the recommended approach for solution formulations of locally acting 136 nasal drug products, both aerosols and sprays, is to rely on in vitro methods to assess BA. 137 To establish BE, the recommended approach relies on (1) qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation of test and reference products, (2) comparability in container 138 139 and closure systems, and (3) in vitro methods that demonstrate equivalent performance. 140 This approach is based on the premise that in vitro studies would be more sensitive 141 indicators of drug delivery to nasal sites of action than would be clinical studies. For 142 solution formulations, see Section IV.B.1. 143

144 The recommended approach for establishing BA and BE of suspension formulations of locally acting nasal drug products, both aerosols and sprays, is to conduct in vivo studies 145 in addition to in vitro studies.⁷ As with the solution formulation aerosols and sprays, to 146 147 establish BE, the approach also relies on qualitative and quantitative sameness of 148 formulation of test and reference products and comparability in container and closure 149 systems. We recommend that in vitro studies be coupled with a clinical study for BA, or 150 a BE study with a clinical endpoint (Section VI), to determine the delivery of drug 151 substance to nasal sites of action. In vivo studies are recommended because of an 152 inability at the present time to adequately characterize drug particle size distribution 153 (PSD) in aerosols and sprays (Sections V.B.3, 4). Drug PSD in suspension formulations 154 has the potential to influence the rate and extent of drug availability to nasal sites of 155 action and to the systemic circulation.

156

⁷ Types of in vivo BE studies that may be submitted in support of an ANDA include, in addition to pharmacokinetic studies, tests in humans in which an acute pharmacological effect is measured as a function of time and appropriately designed comparative clinical trials for demonstration of BE (21 CFR 320.24).

Draft — Not for Implementation

157 158

2. Systemic Exposure and Systemic Absorption BA/BE Concepts

159 Locally acting drugs are intended to produce their effects upon delivery to nasal sites of 160 action without relying on systemic absorption. Although systemic absorption may 161 contribute to clinical efficacy for certain corticosteroids and antihistamines, the 162 consequences of systemic absorption (e.g., hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis 163 suppression by corticosteroids) are generally undesirable. In the absence of validated in 164 vitro methodology for characterizing drug PSD for suspension products and when 165 measurable plasma levels can be obtained, this guidance recommends PK studies to 166 measure systemic exposure BA or to establish systemic exposure BE (see Section VII). For suspension products that do not produce sufficient plasma concentrations to allow 167 168 assessment of systemic exposure, clinical studies or BE studies with a pharmacodynamic 169 or clinical endpoint are recommended to measure systemic absorption BA and establish 170 systemic absorption BE, respectively (Section VIII). For a schematic representation of 171 recommended studies, see Appendix A: Decision Tree.

172 173 174

175

B. CMC and In Vitro BA Tests (Noncomparative) Versus BE Tests (Comparative)

176 Generally, CMC tests help characterize the identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency of the 177 drug product and assist in setting specifications (tests, methods, acceptance criteria) to allow 178 batch release. These tests have a different purpose than do BA/BE tests, which focus on the 179 release of the drug substance from the drug product. Some of the in vitro BA/BE tests described 180 in this guidance may be the same as CMC tests for characterization and/or batch release. CMC 181 and in vitro BA tests have acceptance criteria. In vitro BE tests have BE limits. A specification 182 (test, method, acceptance criterion) for a CMC test for batch release or an in vitro BA test is 183 usually based on general or specific manufacturing experience. For example, a CMC test such as 184 dose content uniformity has acceptance criteria based on repeated manufacturing of batches. In 185 contrast, BE tests have limits that are not usually based on manufacturing experience, but are 186 part of equivalence comparisons between test and reference products. BE limits may be based on a priori judgments and may be scaled to the variability of the reference product (see 187 188 Appendices C, E). When conducted premarket for an NDA, some of the in vitro BA tests 189 described in this guidance can be noncomparative and serve primarily to document (benchmark) 190 the product quality BA of a pioneer product.

- 191
- 192

193III.FORMULATION AND CONTAINER AND CLOSURE SYSTEM

194 195

A. Formulation

196

Particle size, morphic form, and state of solvation of an active ingredient have the potential to
affect the BA of a drug product as a result of different solubilities and/or rates of dissolution.
We recommend for an ANDA of a suspension formulation, data demonstrating comparable PSD
and morphic form of the drug particles, size and number of drug aggregates in the dosage form,
and hydrous or solvate form of the active drug in the dosage form to the reference listed drug, be

Draft — Not for Implementation

provided, where possible. Where impossible, the rationale for not providing this full set of 202 203 comparative data is requested. For suspension formulations marketed in more than one strength, 204 we recommend that the drug substance in each strength product be micronized under identical 205 parameters, and the PSD of the resultant bulk drug used in each product strength be identical.

206 207

208

B. **Container and Closure System**

209 Nasal aerosols usually consist of the formulation, container, valve, actuator, dust cap, associated 210 accessories, and protective packaging, which together constitute the drug product. Similarly, 211 nasal sprays usually consist of the formulation, container, pump, actuator, protection cap, and 212 protective packaging, which together constitute the drug product.

213

214 For nasal aerosols and nasal sprays approved under an ANDA, we recommend BE be 215 documented on the basis of validated in vitro and vivo tests, or, in the case of solutions, validated 216 in vitro tests alone may be appropriate. Assurance of equivalence on the basis of in vitro tests is 217 greatest when the test product uses the same brand and model of devices (particularly the 218 metering valve or pump and the actuator) as used in the reference product. If this is infeasible, 219 we recommend that valve, pump, and actuator designs be as close as possible in all critical 220 dimensions to those of the reference product. We recommend that metering chamber volumes 221 and actuator orifice diameters be the same. For a nasal spray, spray characteristics can be 222 affected by features of the pump design, including the precompression mechanism, actuator 223 design, including specific geometry of the orifice (Kublic and Vidgren 1998), and the design of 224 the swirl chamber. The external dimensions of the test actuator are expected to ensure 225 comparable depth of nasal insertion to the reference actuator. A test product is expected to attain 226 prime within the labeled number of actuations for the reference product. We recommend you 227 consider the volume of components of the device that must be filled to deliver an actuation, 228 including the internal diameter and length of the diptube because this volume can influence the

- 229 number of actuations required to prime a spray pump.
- 230 231

232 **IV. DOCUMENTATION OF BA AND BE**

- A. **NDAs**
- 234 235

233

236 For product quality, we recommend that in vitro BA studies be provided in NDAs for solution and suspension products, and in vivo BA studies be provided for suspension products. These 237 238 data are useful as a benchmark to characterize the in vitro performance, and for suspensions, the 239 in vivo performance of the product. Where the formulation and/or method of manufacture of the 240 pivotal clinical trial product changes in terms of physicochemical characteristics of the drug 241 substance, the excipients, or the device characteristics, BE data using in vitro tests (for solution 242 and suspension products) and in vivo tests (for suspension products) may be useful in certain 243 circumstances to ensure that the to-be-marketed product (T) is comparable to very similar 244 clinical trial batches and/or to batches used for stability testing (R) (Section V.A.1). We 245 recommend sponsors discuss the usefulness of these BE approaches with the appropriate CDER

246 review staff.

Draft — Not for Implementation

B. ANDAs

248 249

247

250 251

inactive ingredients in the test product formulation be qualitatively $(O_1)^8$ the same and 252 253 quantitatively (Q) essentially the same as the inactive ingredients in the formulation of the 254 reference listed drug, and the container and closure recommendations of Section III be followed. 255 Quantitatively *essentially the same* has been determined by CDER to mean that the 256 concentration or amount of the inactive ingredient(s) in the test product would not differ by more 257 than "5 percent of the concentration or amount in the reference listed drug. We recommend a 258 side-by-side O_1 and O_2 comparison of the compositions of the test and reference listed drug 259 formulations be provided. Please also provide a side-by-side comparison of the components of the container and closure system, listing brand and model, dimensions of critical components 260 (Section IIIB), and engineering drawings if possible. 261

262 263

1. Solution Formulations

264 265 We believe in vitro tests alone can be relied on to document BE for nasal solution 266 formulation products intended for local action. This approach is based on an 267 understanding that for solution products, equivalent in vitro performance and adherence to Q₁ and Q₂ recommendations and to container and closure recommendations will 268 269 ensure comparable delivery to the nasal mucosa and to the respiratory and 270 gastrointestinal tracts. Suggested methodology and validation approaches for the recommended tests are provided in Section V. Suggested statistical methods to allow 271 comparisons will be discussed in the appendices to this document. When in vitro data 272 273 fail to meet acceptance criteria, the applicant is encouraged to modify the test product to 274 attain equivalent in vitro performance. Because of insensitivity to potential differences 275 between T and R, in vivo studies would not be sufficient in the face of failed in vitro 276 studies.

277 278 279

2. Suspension Formulations with PK Systemic Exposure Data

280 To document BE for suspension formulation products intended for local action, we 281 recommend both in vitro and in vivo data be used. In vivo studies would include both a 282 BE study with a clinical endpoint (local delivery) and a pharmacokinetic study (systemic 283 exposure). This approach is only applicable for those suspension formulation products 284 that produce sufficiently high plasma concentrations of the moiety(ies) to be measured to 285 allow reliable analytical measurement for an adequate length of time after nasal 286 administration. Suggested methodology and validation approaches for the recommended 287 tests are provided for in vitro studies in Section V, and for in vivo studies in Sections VI 288 and VII. As with solutions, in vivo studies would not be sufficient in the face of failed in 289 vitro studies (i.e., in vitro BE studies that fail to meet the statistical tests) even though the

⁸ See 21 CFR 314.94(a)(9)(v).

Draft — Not for Implementation

BE study with a clinical endpoint or the PK study meets the statistical test. Conversely,
ANDAs with acceptable in vitro data, but with in vivo data that fail to meet the statistical
tests, would be insufficient to establish BE.

3. Suspension Formulations without PK Systemic Exposure Data

For those products intended for local action that produce blood or plasma levels that are too low for adequate measurement, given current assay constraints, a BE study with a clinical endpoint to establish equivalent local delivery to nasal sites (Section VI) and a study with a pharmacodynamic or clinical endpoint to establish equivalent systemic absorption (Section VIII) are recommended. In vivo studies that meet the statistical test would not be sufficient in the face of in vitro studies that fail to document BE. As for suspensions with PK data, ANDAs with acceptable in vitro data, but with in vivo data that fail to meet the statistical tests, would be insufficient to establish BE.

C. Postapproval Change

This document does not cover postapproval changes. Sponsors planning such changes can
 consult the guidance for industry *Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA* and contact the
 appropriate review division prior to instituting the change.

- 312 V. IN VITRO STUDIES
- 313314315

317

311

293

295 296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304 305

306

- - A. Batches and Drug Product Sample Collection
- 316 *1. NDAs*

318 We recommend in vitro BA studies for nasal aerosols and sprays be performed on 319 samples from three or more batches: a pivotal clinical trial batch to provide linkage of in vitro performance to in vivo data; a primary stability batch; and if feasible, a production-320 321 scale batch. This selection of batches will ensure consistency of in vitro performance 322 among the three types of batches. If a production-scale batch is unavailable, a second pivotal clinical trial batch or second primary stability batch can be substituted. When 323 324 three batches are studied, we recommend the batches be manufactured, preferably from 325 three different batches of the drug substance, different batches of critical excipients, and 326 different batches of container and closure components. However, the container (canister 327 or bottle) can be from the same batch. We prefer that the three batches be studied at the 328 same time, if possible, to remove interstudy variation from the estimation of between 329 batch means and variances. 330

The BA batches to be studied would be equivalent to the to-be-marketed product and representative of production scale. The manufacturing process for these batches would simulate that of large-scale production batches for marketing (additional information on large-scale batches is provided in the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH)

Draft — Not for Implementation

335 guidance for industry Q1A Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products, 336 Section II.B.3). Complete batch records, including batch numbers of device components 337 used in the batches, would accompany the BA submission. 338 339 In vitro BA studies are intended to characterize the means and variances of measures of 340 interest for canisters (nasal aerosols) or bottles (nasal sprays) within a batch and between 341 batches, where applicable. However, under 21 CFR 320.1 and 320.21, the studies can be 342 noncomparative to other formulations or products. The in vitro tests and metrics are 343 described in Section V.B of this guidance. The recommended number of canisters or 344 bottles of each batch to be used in the above studies, and recommendations for statistical 345 analyses, are described in Appendix B. 346 347 2. **ANDAs** 348 349 In vitro BE studies for nasal aerosols and sprays would generally be performed on samples from each of three or more batches of the test product and three or more batches 350 of the reference listed drug. Test product samples would be from the primary stability 351 352 batches used to establish the expiration dating period. When three batches are studied, 353 we recommend the test product be manufactured, preferably from three different batches 354 of the drug substance, different batches of critical excipients, and different batches of 355 container and closure components. However, the container (canister or bottle) can be 356 from the same batch. For nasal sprays formulated as solutions, in vitro BE tests can alternatively be performed on three sublots of product prepared from one batch of the 357 solution.9 358 359 360 The BE batches to be studied would be equivalent to the to-be-marketed product. The manufacturing process of these batches would simulate that of large-scale production 361 362 batches for marketing. Complete batch records, including batch numbers of device 363 components used in the batches or sublots (for solution nasal sprays) would accompany 364 the BE submission. 365 366 Reference product samples would be from three different batches available in the marketplace. The recommended in vitro tests and metrics are described in Section V.B. 367 368 The recommended number of canisters or bottles of each product and batch to be used in 369 the above studies, and recommended statistical approaches, are described in Appendices 370 C. D and E. 371 372 В. **Tests and Metrics** 373 374 In vitro BA and BE for locally acting drugs delivered by nasal aerosol or nasal spray are usually 375 characterized using seven tests:

⁹ For solution formulation nasal sprays, variability in in vitro BE study data between batches is expected to be due primarily to variability in the device components of the product rather than in the solution. Therefore, a single batch of solution can be split-filled into three equal size sublots of product. The sublots would be prepared from three different batches of the same device (pump and actuator) components.

376		
377	1.	Single Actuation Content Through Container Life
378	2.	Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction
379	3.	Drug in Small Particles/Droplets, or Particle/Droplet Size Distribution by Cascade
380		Impactor
381	4.	Drug Particle Size Distribution by Microscopy
382	5.	Spray Pattern
383	6.	Plume Geometry
384	7.	Priming and Repriming
385		
386		are relevant to all nasal aerosols and nasal sprays, whether formulated as solution or
387		products, with the exception of drug particle size distribution by microscopy, which
388	applies only	to suspension products. The in vitro tests are summarized in Table 1.
389		
390		end you validate all in vitro tests for accuracy and precision prior to the study. For
391	11	tudies, instrument settings established during prestudy validation would be used in
392		or comparative studies, use of the same settings will ensure that T and R are studied
393		me instrumental conditions. The in vitro tests would be conducted on canisters or
394		ted in a random manner from the test batch, including units from the beginning,
395		end of the production run. Actuation should be conducted in a manner that removes
396		erator bias, either by employing automatic actuation, or by employing blinded
397	-	when manual actuation is used. However, we recommend automated actuation
398	•	all comparative in vitro BE tests. These systems are expected to decrease variability
399	in arug deliv	very due to operator factors, thereby increasing the sensitivity for detecting potential between products in the above tests. ¹⁰ In addition, it is important that the analyst
400		
401		the postactuation evaluations of the collected data be blinded to the identity of the
402 403		e recommend analytical methods used for analysis of samples from the in vitro tests . ¹¹ Unexpected results and deviations from protocol or SOPs, with justification for
403		would be reported. Examples include, but are not limited to, canisters or bottles
404	,	ring in vitro analyses, failure to use the specific actuations required by the protocol,
405	-	ents rejected due to assignable causes (e.g., instrument failure, sample collection, or
400	1	errors). The original and reanalyzed data, with the reason for reanalysis, would be
407	· ·	the study report. The validation reports for the in vitro tests and analytical methods,
408		zation procedure, and all test methods or SOPs for each test would accompany the
410		1 1
	data in the c	ubmission. When appropriate, we recommend the test method or SOP include a

¹⁰ Automatic actuation systems can be stand-alone or accessories for spray characterization instruments. Systems can include settings for force, velocity, acceleration, length of stroke, and other relevant parameters. Selection of appropriate settings would be relevant to proper usage of the product by the trained patient, and for nasal sprays, may be available from pump suppliers for tests such as Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction and Spray Pattern. In the absence of recommendations from the pump supplier, we recommend that settings should be documented based on exploratory studies in which the relevant parameters are varied to simulate in vitro performance upon hand actuation. Selected settings used for the in vitro studies would be specified in the test method or SOP for each test for which the system is employed.

¹¹ A draft guidance for industry entitled *Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation* was issued in August 2000.

Draft — Not for Implementation

412 In addition to submission of all raw data, the agency would like to see supporting documentation 413 414 for the following tests: Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction, Spray Pattern, and Plume 415 Geometry. Documentation includes instrument output reports and photographic or graphic 416 material as applicable. We recommend that documents be clearly labeled to indicate the product 417 (e.g., T or R), batch number, and testing conditions (e.g., distance, lifestage, delay time), as 418 appropriate. For Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction, profiles of droplet size and 419 obscuration or percent transmission over the complete life of the single sprays would be 420 submitted. For Spray Pattern and Plume Geometry, we recommend each image display the 421 relevant BA/BE measures described in this guidance. Supporting documentation for Droplet 422 Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction, Spray Pattern, and Plume Geometry would include 423 representative copies, preferably electronic, of \$20 percent of the total observations. For Spray 424 Pattern and Plume Geometry quantitated by automatic image analysis, representative electronic 425 images rather than paper copies of \$20 percent of the total observations would be submitted, as 426 electronic files are definitive. For automated image analysis of Spray Pattern and Plume 427 Geometry, in addition to the electronic images, we recommend paper copies of a few screen 428 images be submitted as reference samples. 429 430 1. Single Actuation Content (SAC) Through Container Life 431 432 For noncomparative data, SAC through container life testing is used to characterize the delivery of drug discharged from the actuator of an aerosol or nasal spray relative to label 433 434 claim through container life. For comparisons of T and R products, this test ensures that 435 the T product delivers an equivalent amount of drug relative to the R product over the 436 labeled number of actuations. The tests are distinct from and do not apply dose content 437 uniformity (DCU) or spray content uniformity (SCU) acceptance criteria. 438 439 The dosage unit sampling apparatus for collection of an emitted dose from an aerosol is 440 described in U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) 25, <601>. We recommend a suitable apparatus 441 be used for collecting an emitted dose from a nasal spray. For both solution and suspension formulations of nasal aerosols and nasal sprays, the mass of drug per 442 443 actuation would be based on a stability-indicating chemical assay unless use of a 444 nonstability-indicating method is justified. Because the data at beginning (B) lifestage 445 will also be used for confirmation of priming (Section V.B.7), SAC through container life 446 would be based on *single actuation data per determination*. For BA and BE 447 submissions, the tests would determine delivered (emitted or ex-actuator) drug mass from 448 primed units at the beginning of unit life, at the middle of unit life, and at the end of unit life¹² for nasal aerosols, and at beginning and end of unit life for nasal sprays. The 449 450 delivered mass of drug substance would be expressed both as the actual amount and as a 451 percentage of label claim. We recommend that mean and variability in SAC through

¹² Based on the labeled number of actuations, this guidance uses the terms *beginning lifestage (B), middle lifestage (M)*, and *end lifestage (E)* interchangeably with the terms *beginning of unit life* (the first actuation(s) following the labeled number of priming actuations); *middle of unit life* (the actuation(s) corresponding to 50 percent of the labeled number of actuations); and *end of unit life* (the actuation(s) corresponding to the labeled claim number of actuations).

Draft — Not for Implementation

452 container life be determined based on within and between unit (container) data and
453 between batch (or sublot) data. For BE data, equivalence of T and R data would be based
454 on the statistical methodology of Appendix C.

456 To use the SAC through container life data for priming studies, we recommend aerosols 457 and sprays be unprimed prior to the conduct of the tests. Therefore, for aerosols, the test 458 would be performed at such time that the product meets two conditions: (1) after the 459 lagering period and (2) not less than one month after the last actuation conducted as part 460 of batch release testing. During the time period between batch release and SAC through 461 container life testing, the aerosol product would not be actuated. Also, during this one 462 month period, both T and R aerosols would be stored in the valve upright position, unless 463 labeling indicates that the product be stored in the valve down position, in which case the 464 test would be conducted on products stored in the valve down position. For sprays, the 465 SAC through container life test would be conducted not less than one month after 466 completion of batch release testing. During the time period between batch release and SAC testing, the product would not be actuated. 467

468 469

470

471 472

473

475 476

477

478

455

2. Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction

Droplet size distribution is an important property influencing the nasal deposition of aerosols and sprays, and we recommend that it be thoroughly characterized.

474 a. Nasal sprays

We recommend that droplet size distribution be determined using laser diffraction or an appropriately validated alternate methodology.

479 Laser diffraction is a nonaerodynamic optical method of droplet sizing that 480 measures the geometric size of droplets in flight. Modern laser diffraction 481 instrumentation can provide plots of obscuration (optical concentration) or 482 percent transmission (%T) and droplet size distribution (D_{10}, D_{50}, D_{90}) over the 483 entire life of a single spray. Span $((D_{90} - D_{10})/D_{50})$ can be computed from these 484 data. These profile data indicate that each plume can be characterized by three 485 phases: formation, fully developed, and dissipation. For nasal sprays, the general profile for obscuration or percent T versus time can be characterized by a rapid 486 487 increase in obscuration, or decrease in percent T, early in the life of the spray 488 (formation phase), followed by attainment of a plateau (fully developed phase), 489 then a rapid decrease in obscuration, or increase in percent T, late in the life of the 490 spray (dissipation phase). Changes in droplet size occur coincident with the 491 changes in obscuration or percent T, with droplet sizes attaining plateau values 492 within the same approximate time period as the plateau in obscuration or percent 493 T. Profiles of the droplet size and obscuration or percent T over the complete life 494 of the single sprays are recommended to be determined at each of two distances 495 (see below) to establish the fully developed phase during which data would be 496 collected. Droplet size distribution and span during the fully developed phase are

Draft — Not for Implementation

497 requested. The sponsor's protocol or SOP would state the criterion selecting the 498 region of the plateau at which droplet size data will be determined (e.g., the 499 average of all scans over the entire plateau, the data of a single scan (sweep) only 500 at the maximum obscuration (or minimum percent T), or the average of a 501 specified range of scans around this obscuration or percent T). This criterion 502 would be established prior to the study for each of the two distances and 503 implemented consistently during the study. 504 505 We would also like to see instrument setup and operation conditions. We 506 recommend the instrument be operated within the manufacturer's recommended 507 obscuration or percent T range, which would be stated in the submission, to 508 avoid or minimize multiple scattering (due to high droplet concentration). 509 Avoidance of multiple scattering is preferred to use of a correction algorithm that 510 compensates for this effect. 511 512 Single spray droplet size distribution and span would be reported based on 513 volume (mass) rather than count (number of droplets). We would like to request 514 data be provided for nasal sprays at: 515 516 Fully developed phase only • 517 B and E lifestages • 518 Two distances from the actuator orifice. For increased ability to detect • 519 potential differences between products, it is recommended that the studies be 520 performed within a range of 2 to 7 cm from the orifice, with the two distances 521 separated by 3 cm or more. 522 523 b. Nasal aerosols 524 525 Droplet size distribution can be determined using laser diffraction or 526 appropriately validated alternate methodology. 527 528 We would like to see instrument setup and operation conditions. We recommend 529 the instrument be operated within the manufacturer's recommended obscuration 530 or percent T range, which would be stated in the submission, to avoid or 531 minimize multiple scattering (due to high droplet concentration). Avoidance of 532 multiple scattering is preferred to use of a correction algorithm that compensates 533 for this effect. 534 535 Beam steering resulting from refractive index effects due to evaporation of 536 propellant is an additional concern for nasal aerosols. Droplet size distribution 537 would be characterized at distances from the actuator that eliminate or minimize 538 beam steering, if possible. If a correction algorithm is used, we recommend an 539 explanation of the corrections be provided. 540

541		We ask that single-spray droplet size distribution and span be reported based on
542		volume (mass) rather than count (number of droplets). Data would be provided
543	1	for nasal aerosols at:
544		
545	•	• Fully developed phase only
546	•	• B and E lifestages
547		• Two distances from the actuator orifice
548		
549	For both	h nasal sprays and nasal aerosols, mean D_{10} , D_{50} , D_{90} values for a given bottle or
550		can be computed from the mean of up to three consecutive sprays from that unit
551		lifestage. However, to assess precision, the data of each spray would also be
552	reported	
553	1 pointe	**
554	3.	Drug in Small Particles/Droplets, or Particle/Droplet Size Distribution by
555		Cascade Impactor
556		
557	(Sizing of droplets or particles by multistage cascade impactor (CI) measures
558		aerodynamic diameter based on inertial impaction, an important factor in the
559		deposition of drug in the nasal passages. Analytical data should be based on a
560		validated chemical assay. ¹¹ We recommend that analytical runs include at least
561		three or more concentrations of quality control samples that represent the entire
562		range of the standard curve or the expected concentration range of samples from
563		the various stages of the CI. An analytical validation report would accompany the
564		CI data report. The SOP or validation report would indicate the minimum
565		-
	(quantifiable mass of drug deposited on each location reported.
566		Negal arraya Drug in Small Particles /Dranlats
567	ċ	a. Nasal sprays: Drug in Small Particles/Droplets
568	1	
569		For nasal sprays, the majority of the emitted dose is deposited prior to or on the
570		first stage of the CI test. Small droplets, for this test and dosage form defined as
571		smaller in size than the nominal effective cutoff diameter (ECD) of the top stage
572		of a suitable CI, may potentially be delivered to regions of the airways beyond the
573		nose. This test is intended to determine the amount of drug in small
574		particles/droplets. For example, for USP 25 Apparatus 1 (<601>), an eight stage
575		CI operated with the standard 28.3 liter per minute configuration, small droplets
576		are those under 9.0 microns. For BA, the CI test is intended to quantify the mass
577		of drug in small droplets. For BE, the mass of drug in small droplets for the T
578		product would be less than or equivalent to the corresponding mass of drug from
579		the R product. The comparative test addresses a potential safety concern — an
580		excess of small droplets due to T relative to R might deliver to regions beyond the
581		nose excipients with possible adverse pulmonary effects. The CI test for nasal
582	S	sprays is not intended to provide PSD of drug or aerosolized droplets.
583		
584	I	Measurable levels of drug below the top stage of the CI would be a function of
585	t	the specific drug product and the experimental setup and procedure, including the

586	number of actuations and assay sensitivity. Thus, we recommend a validated,
587	highly sensitive assay be used. In Agency experience, a two-liter or larger
588	induction port (expansion chamber) is preferred to a one-liter chamber. We prefer
589	studies use the fewest number of actuations (generally not exceeding 10) justified
590	by the sensitivity of the assay, to be more reflective of individual doses. Drug
590 591	deposition would be reported in mass units. Mass balance accountability would
591 592	
592 593	be reported. Mass balance would be based on drug deposition on each of
	valvestem, actuator, adapters, induction port, any other accessories, the top stage,
594 505	and all lower stages to the filter. The total mass of drug collected on all stages
595 507	and accessories is recommended to be between 85 and 115 percent of label claim
596 507	on a per actuation basis. The total mass of drug below the top stage is of primary
597	interest. Therefore the pooled mass of drug deposited on all lower stages and
598 500	filter can be reported.
599	
600	For BA and BE, CI test would be data requested only at the beginning lifestage.
601	Statistical approaches will be provided in Appendices B and D, respectively.
602	
603	b. Nasal aerosols: Particle/Droplet Size Distribution
604	
605	CI studies for nasal aerosols would use an induction port (expansion chamber)
606	that maximizes drug deposition below the top stage of the CI. For this reason, a
607	one-liter induction port is preferred to the USP 25 (<601>) induction port,
608	although other sizes may also be appropriate. Agency experience indicates that
609	with a suitable induction port and CI, the amount of drug deposited below the top
610	stage from nasal aerosols formulated with either chlorofluorocarbon or
611	hydrofluoroalkane propellants is of the same order of magnitude as from orally
612	inhaled aerosols. Therefore, unlike for nasal sprays in which the total mass of
613	drug below the top stage is of interest, we recommend a particle/droplet size
614	distribution be provided for this dosage form. Selection of the most suitable CI
615	may be influenced by the effective cutoff diameters (ECDs) of stages of various
616	brands of cascade impactors, the geometry of the induction port, and other factors.
617	The number of actuations recommended for the CI study of aerosols is described
618	in the draft guidance Metered Dose Inhaler (MDI) and Dry Powder Inhaler (DPI)
619	Drug Products ! Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation. Drug
620	deposition would be reported in mass units. Mass balance accountability would
621	be reported.
622	
623	For BA and BE, CI data would be requested only at the beginning lifestage. At
624	this time, it is recommended that studies of nasal aerosols use USP 25 Apparatus
625	1 (<601>) operated at the standard 28.3 liter per minute configuration. We
626	recommend determination of a profile based on drug deposition at 11 sites: (1)
627	sum of valve stem plus actuator; (2) induction port; (3 - 10) eight individual
628	stages; and (11) filter. Deposition in the valve stem plus actuator would be
629	included to provide a profile of drug deposition ex-valve rather than ex-actuator.
630	It should be noted that the in vitro BE limit for the profile comparison depends on

Draft — Not for Implementation

631 the number of stages and other accessory deposition sites. Statistical approaches 632 for BA and BE will be provided in Appendices B and E, respectively. 633 634 4. Drug Particle Size Distribution by Microscopy 635 636 For suspension products, drug particle size may be important for rate of dissolution and availability to sites of action within the nose. Therefore, drug particle size distribution 637 638 (PSD) and extent of agglomerates would be characterized in the spray or aerosol 639 formulation prior to actuation, and in the spray following actuation. Determination of 640 PSD and agglomerates in both the formulation and following actuation are intended to 641 characterize the potential influence of the device on deagglomeration. Determination in 642 the spray is only requested at the beginning lifestage. Nasal spray formulations 643 frequently contain suspended drug substance in the presence of insoluble suspending 644 agent, which complicates the particle size characterization. When examining 645 formulations containing suspending agents, and currently available technology cannot be 646 acceptably validated to determine drug particle size, a qualitative and semi-quantitative 647 method for examination of drug and aggregated drug particle size distribution can be 648 used. We recommend studies of nasal sprays include placebo product to provide an 649 estimate of the occurrence of apparent drug particles (*false positives*) due to excipient. 650 Evaluation may use light microscopy or other appropriate means. 651 652 For NDAs and ANDAs of both sprays and aerosols, we recommend drug PSD and 653 agglomerates data be provided in the BA or BE submission, along with a description of 654 the test method. Sponsors can submit representative photomicrographs, if desired. For 655 BE, PSD by light microscopy, even if qualitative or semi-quantitative, can be useful to 656 the applicant to estimate particle size relative to the precursor product prior to further 657 product development and testing. These data are supportive, and formal statistical testing 658 is not applicable. 659 5. 660 Spray Pattern 661 Spray pattern studies characterize the spray either during the spray prior to impaction, or 662 following impaction on an appropriate target such as a thin-layer chromatography (TLC) 663 plate. Spray patterns for certain nasal spray products may be *spoked* or otherwise 664 665 irregular in shape. 666 667 Spray patterns can be characterized and quantitated by either manual or automated image analysis, if validated. Both analyses will allow shape and size to be determined. 668 669 Automated analysis systems may also allow determination of center of mass (COM; unweighted for image intensity) and/or center of gravity (COG; weighted for image 670 671 intensity) within the pattern to be determined. COG is of greater interest and is preferred 672 in the automated analyses of spray patterns. Automated image analysis is expected to 673 increase objectivity in spray pattern measurement. The technology enables the perimeter 674 of the true shape of the spray pattern to be determined, identifies COM and/or COG, and 675 enables the area within the perimeter to be quantitated, thus its use is encouraged.

676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 684 685 686 687	 Equivalence of spray patterns between T and R products can be established based on a combination of qualitative and quantitative measures: Comparative visual inspection for shape. For the automated analyses, the true shapes identified by the software serve as the basis of comparison (qualitative). Establishment of qualitative sameness of T and R spray pattern shapes is a prerequisite to the quantitative analyses in the following two bullets. Equivalent area within the perimeter of the true shape for automated analysis, or equivalent D_{max} for manual analysis (quantitative) Equivalent ovality (ellipticity) ratio (quantitative)
688	a. For nonimpaction systems
689	
690	Spray patterns can be visualized using a system based on a laser light sheet and
691	high-speed digital camera that enables visualization of a pattern perpendicular to
692	the axis of the nasal spray. The perimeter of the true shape, area within the
693	perimeter (to include a high proportion, e.g., \$ 95% of the total pattern), COG,
694 695	and D_{max} (longest diameter) and D_{min} (shortest diameter) that pass through the
695 696	COG and extend to the perimeter of the true shape, can be determined based on
696 697	automated analysis using time-averaged images over the duration of a single spray. Software settings can be established during prestudy validation and the
698	settings should be used consistently in the study. Statistical analysis at each
699	distance would be based on equivalence of area within the perimeter and ovality
700	ratio (D_{max} divided by D_{min}).
701	
702	b. For impaction systems
703	
704	The number of sprays per spray pattern would preferably be one. We recommend
705	that the visualization technique be specific for the drug substance. If exploratory
706	studies document that a drug-specific reagent cannot be found, a nonspecific
707	visualization reagent can be used. We recommend that application of the reagent
708	be controlled to maintain the details of the image intensity of the pattern.
709	
710	Manual analysis
711	The companying to COM second the identified and D and D drawn through this
712 713	The approximate COM would be identified, and D_{max} and D_{min} drawn through this
713	center. The two lines may not be orthogonal to each other. Representative plots can be submitted, and each figure can be marked with the COM, D_{max} and D_{min} ,
714	each based on visual analysis. The ovality ratio would be provided for each spray
716	pattern. Statistical analysis at each distance would be based on equivalence of
717	D_{max} and ovality ratio.
718	
719	Automated analysis
720	

721	The automated image analysis software can define the perimeter of the true shape
722	of the spray pattern to include a high proportion (e.g, \$ 95%) of the total pattern.
723	T and R would both be sprayed on each TLC plate to ensure measurement of the
724	spray pattern at the same intensity range for a given plate. D_{max} and D_{min} would
725	pass through the COM or the COG, as appropriate, and extend to the perimeter of
726	the true shape. Statistical analysis at each distance would be based on
727	equivalence of area within the perimeter and ovality ratio.
728	
729	c. For both nonimpaction and impaction systems
730	
731	The information above would apply to spray patterns in which the COM or COG
732	falls within the perimeter of the image of the actual spray pattern, and the D_{max}
733	axis doesn't extend outside of the perimeter. Infrequently, the COM or COG may
734	fall outside the perimeter of the spray pattern, and/or the D _{max} axis may cross the
735	perimeter. Horseshoe-shaped and certain other patterns may cause such an effect.
736	When this occurs, automated analysis using a system that has the capability of
737	fitting the perimeter with an appropriate geometric shape is recommended.
738	Statistical analysis at each distance would be based on equivalence of area within
739	the perimeter of the <i>true shape</i> of the spray pattern (not within the fitted
740	geometric shape), and ovality ratio, where D_{max} and D_{min} are computed from the
741	<i>fitted geometric shape</i> (e.g., ellipse).
742	Juica geometrice shape (0.5., ompso).
743	For all cases above, we recommend spray patterns be determined based on:
744	Tor an eases above, we recommend spray patients be determined based on.
745	• Single actuations (nonimpaction systems), or preferably single actuations
746	(impaction systems)
740	
	Beginning lifestage only True distances from the extent on ariticle allow discounting to reach ility
748	• Two distances from the actuator orifice, which allow discriminatory capability
749	between individual pump units and between T and R products. For nasal
750	sprays, these distances are recommended to be at least 3 cm apart within the
751	range of 3 to 7 cm.
752	
753	For manual quantitation of spray patterns based on impaction studies such as TLC
754	plate methodology, we recommend the submission include copies, preferably
755	electronic, of images of representative spray patterns at two distances, and each
756	figure would clearly indicate the estimated COM (manual analysis), D _{max} and
757	D_{min} . When automated image analysis software is used for impaction studies, data
758	would be presented in electronic files. For automated image analysis of either
759	impaction or nonimpaction studies, electronic files would be definitive.
760	Submission of electronic files is recommended to avoid printer-dependent
761	variations in spatial calibration of images. These files would contain the images,
762	showing the COG or COM and the perimeter of the true shape of the spray
763	pattern, and the accompanying quantitation reports. Each image would also
764	include a legible scale used for measurement.
765	

Draft — Not for Implementation

Some automated image analysis software may not include automated quantitation of spray pattern images. For such cases, the analyst would determine and display the quantitative parameters on the electronic image. As mentioned above, quantitation of electronic images would be definitive.

771 *6. Plume geometry*

766

767 768

769

770

772 773

774

775

776

777

795

796 797

798

799

800 801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

Plume geometry describes a side view of the aerosol cloud parallel to the axis of the plume, and we recommend it be based on high-speed photography, a laser light sheet and high speed digital camera, or other suitable methods. The image would be *snapshot*, not time-averaged. Quantitation can be by manual analysis or automated image analysis.

778 During the very early life of an aqueous nasal spray plume, formulation may exit the 779 actuator orifice as a narrow stream that subsequently forms a relatively stable, fully 780 developed, conical plume prior to separating from the orifice. We recommend plume 781 angle, width, and height, all quantitated by the same analytical method, be reported at a 782 single delay time while the fully developed phase of the plume is still in contact with the 783 actuator tip. The applicant would provide documentation that the plume is fully 784 developed at the selected delay time. The angle would be based on the conical region of 785 the plume extending from a vertex that occurs at or near the actuator tip. Plume angle 786 based on spray pattern dimensions and distance from actuator tip to an impaction surface 787 is not appropriate. For this guidance, the recommended plume width would be the width 788 at a distance equal to the greater of the two distances selected for characterization of the 789 spray pattern. Plume width data would thus complementary to spray pattern data 790 obtained at the same distance. Plume height would be the distance from the actuator 791 orifice (sprays) or end of the inhaler tube (aerosols) to the leading edge of the plume. We 792 request that the criteria for defining the plume angle, width, and height borders be 793 provided. 794

- Plume geometry would be performed at:
 - Beginning lifestage only
 - One side view only
- A single delay time

The submission would include photographs when quantitation is by manual analysis, or digital images when quantitation is by automated image analysis. Each image would also include a legible scale used for measurement, and the delay time would be clearly indicated. Images would clearly indicate the plume angle, width, and height. When automated image analysis is used, quantitation of electronic images would be definitive. Manual quantitation based on paper copies of electronic images would not be appropriate.

809We recommend plume geometry measurements be summarized as mean, geometric810mean, and %CV. Comparative data would be supportive, thus for BE studies, the ratio of

Draft — Not for Implementation

811the geometric mean of the three batches of T to that of the three batches of R, based on812log transformed data, would fall within 90 - 111% (point estimates) for plume angle and813width. Due to subjectivity in the measurement of plume height, point estimates would814not be applicable.

815 816 817

818

819

820

821

822

823

834

7. Priming and Repriming

Priming and repriming data will ensure delivery of the labeled dose of drug following labeled instructions for use. Priming would be established based on the same B lifestage data obtained for the single actuation content (SAC) through container life study (Section V.B.1). For products approved under an NDA, priming and repriming data based on single actuations would be provided in the CMC portion of the submission.

824 For products approved under an ANDA, the labeling would be the same as that for the R 825 product, except for specific changes described in the regulations (21 CFR 826 314.94(a)(8)(iv)). For nasal sprays and some nasal aerosols, the R product labeling 827 (package insert and/or patient package insert) describes the number of actuations to prime 828 the product on initial use and on repriming following one or more periods of nonuse (e.g., 829 24 hours and 7 days following last dose). For these products, we request priming and 830 repriming data for T and R products. Studies would follow the recommended time 831 periods described in Section V.B.1 between lagering and/or batch release testing and 832 conduct of the priming test. Priming and/or Repriming studies would not be requested 833 when the R product lacks priming and/or repriming instructions, respectively.

835 We recommend that priming and repriming data for T in multiple orientations be 836 provided in the CMC portion of the ANDA submission. Therefore, for the BE 837 submission, studies can be based on products stored in the valve upright position, with 838 the exception of nasal aerosols in which R labeling recommends storage in the valve 839 down position. For the latter products, priming data, and repriming data when 840 applicable, would be provided following storage in the valve down position. Priming 841 studies would be based on the emitted dose of the single actuation at B lifestage 842 immediately following the specified number of priming actuations in the R product 843 labeling. For ANDAs, priming would be established providing that the geometric mean 844 emitted dose of the 30 canisters or bottles calculated from the SAC data at B lifestage 845 falls within 95 – 105 percent of label claim. Repriming would be similarly established 846 based on a single actuation following the specified number of repriming actuations in the 847 R product labeling. Although noncomparative to R, the priming studies would be 848 essential to the BE submission to document that each product delivers the labeled dose 849 within the number of actuations stated in the R product labeling, thus ensuring that the 850 SAC through container life studies are conducted on primed T and R products.

851 852

853 VI. CLINICAL STUDIES FOR LOCAL DELIVERY

Draft — Not for Implementation

 <i>NDAs</i> <i>I. NDAs</i> At the present time, of the classes of drugs covered in this guidance, only certain corticosteroids are formulated as suspension formulation nasal aerosols and nasal sprays and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>C Inical</i> studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Studies</i>, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Studies</i>, including clinical studies on document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Studies</i>, 	855	А.	General Information
858 At the present time, of the classes of drugs covered in this guidance, only certain 860 corticosteroids are formulated as suspension formulation nasal acrosols and nasal sprays 861 and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). 862 The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an 863 authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in 864 support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish 865 BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). 866 authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in 867 2. ANDAs 868 Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may 870 not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary 871 for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the 872 lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal 873 aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 874 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE 875 study with a clinical endpoint. ¹³ <td>856</td> <td></td> <td></td>	856		
859At the present time, of the classes of drugs covered in this guidance, only certain corticosteroids are formulated as suspension formulation nasal aerosols and nasal sprays and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24).8662.ANDAs8672.ANDAs8686869Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.1387689877A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products:881Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 822883Equivalence of in vitro tests884Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption 825885Equivalence of the local delivery study.886886887A number of FDA guidances provide information about the gen	857	1.	NDAs
 corticosteroids are formulated as suspension formulation nasal aerosols and nasal sprays and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>ANDAs</i> Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 82 Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 	858		
 corticosteroids are formulated as suspension formulation nasal aerosols and nasal sprays and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>2. ANDAs</i> Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 82 Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 	859	At th	e present time, of the classes of drugs covered in this guidance, only certain
 and require in vivo studies as a component of the BE or BA submission (21 CFR 320.21). The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of the local delivery study. 	860		
 The same adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>BA</i> or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>C ANDAs</i> <i>C ANDAs</i> <i>C L ANDAs</i> <i>C L incl studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³</i> <i>A</i> determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: <i>C</i> comparability in container and closure systems <i>E</i> quivalence of in vitro tests <i>E</i> quivalence of the local delivery study. <i>A</i> number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: General Considerations for Clinical Trials 	861		
 authorized IND, used to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>ANDAs</i> <i>C</i>linical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of the local delivery study. 	862		•
 support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>ANDAs</i> Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 			1
 BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 320.24). <i>ANDAs</i> Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 			
 <i>ANDAs</i> <i>Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal areasol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³</i> <i>A</i> determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: <i>Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation</i> <i>Comparability in container and closure systems</i> <i>Equivalence of in vitro tests</i> <i>Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption</i> <i>Equivalence of the local delivery study.</i> 			
 <i>ANDAs</i> <i>ANDAs</i> Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			-,
 Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 		2.	ANDAs
 869 Clinical studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may 870 not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary 871 for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the 872 lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal 873 aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 874 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE 875 study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ 876 877 A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for 878 locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises 879 for T relative to R products: 880 881 Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 882 Comparability in container and closure systems 883 Equivalence of in vitro tests 884 Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption 885 Equivalence of the local delivery study. 886 887 A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 not be consistently reproducible. However, a showing of dose-response is not necessary for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 		Clini	cal studies are at times incapable of showing a dose-response relationship and may
 for BE studies with a clinical endpoint, as these studies are intended only to confirm the lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i>			· · · · · ·
 lack of important clinical differences between T and R suspension formulation nasal aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 			
 aerosol and nasal spray products (Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science, 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. 			
 874 2001). For an ANDA, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed for the conduct of a BE 875 study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ 876 877 A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for 878 locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises 879 for T relative to R products: 880 881 Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 882 Comparability in container and closure systems 883 Equivalence of in vitro tests 884 Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption 885 Equivalence of the local delivery study. 886 887 A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			•
 study with a clinical endpoint.¹³ A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 A determination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 		5	
 locally acting nasal suspension drug products would be based on the following premises for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 	877	A det	termination of bioequivalence of a rhinitis BE study with a clinical endpoint for
 for T relative to R products: Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 	878		
 880 881 • Qualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation 882 • Comparability in container and closure systems 883 • Equivalence of in vitro tests 884 • Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption 885 • Equivalence of the local delivery study. 886 887 A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 	880		1
 Comparability in container and closure systems Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 	881	• C	Pualitative and quantitative sameness of formulation
 Equivalence of in vitro tests Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 Equivalence of systemic exposure or systemic absorption Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 Equivalence of the local delivery study. Equivalence of the local delivery study. A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			•
 A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			
 A number of FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies, including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i> 			quivalence of the focul derivery study.
888 including clinical studies to document BA and BE: <i>General Considerations for Clinical Trials</i>		A number of	FDA guidances provide information about the general conduct of clinical studies
e v			
$-\infty \tau = -\tau m \alpha m \alpha m \alpha m \alpha \alpha m \alpha \alpha m m \alpha m m \alpha m$	889		I Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) E8); Structure and Content of Clinical Study
890 <i>Reports</i> (ICH E3); <i>Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guidance</i> (ICH E6); <i>Statistical</i>		•	· · · · ·
891 Principles for Clinical Trials (ICH E9), and Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in		1	
892 <i>Clinical Trials</i> (ICH E10).		1 0	
893		2000000 1700	
894 B. Clinical Study Batches		B.	Clinical Study Batches

¹³ Office of Generic Drugs Policy and Procedure Guide # 36-92, *Submission of an "Investigational New Drug Application" to the Office of Generic Drugs (OGD)*, October 13, 1992.

Draft — Not for Implementation

896 We recommend that the batch used for the BA study be the same pivotal clinical trial batch used 897 in the in vitro BA studies (Section V.A). Where BE studies are conducted for an NDA, the 898 batches of test and reference products would be the same batches employed in the in vitro 899 testing. Each of the T and R batches used to establish local delivery BE for an ANDA would be 900 one of the three batches used for the in vitro BE studies. We recommend that the inactive 901 ingredients of the placebo (P) product meet Q₁ and Q₂ recommendations relative to the R product (Section IV.B); the P container and closure would meet the recommendations of Section III.B.

- 902
- 903 904

С. **Clinical BE Study Design and Subject Inclusion Criteria**

905 906 The study design would be the traditional treatment study in which T and R are assessed for a 907 two-week duration. The two-week duration, in addition to allowing a comparison of equivalent 908 efficacy, will also allow for an assessment of safety and tolerability over a reasonable period of 909 use. We recommend the study be conducted at the lowest labeled adult recommended dose in an 910 attempt to optimize study sensitivity. Primed products according to labeling instructions prior to 911 dosing. Ensure that priming occurs out of range of the patients, to avoid inhalation of drug fired 912 to waste. Documentation would rely on the inclusion of a test product placebo (P) dosed at the 913 same frequency and number of actuations per nostril as T and R.

914

915 A study population consisting of seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) patients will allow

916 documentation of BE, which may extend to all indications in product labeling for locally acting

917 nasal corticosteroids. In addition to a history of SAR, we recommend patients have a positive

918 test for relevant specific allergens (e.g., allergen skin test) and be experiencing a defined

919 minimum level of symptom severity at the time of study enrollment. We discourage the

920 inclusion of patients with other significant diseases including asthma, with the exception of mild

- 921 intermittent asthma.
- 922

923 The recommended design for this study is a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel group study of 14 days duration, preceded by a 7-day placebo run-in period to establish a 924 baseline and to identify placebo responders.¹⁴ We recommend placebo responders be excluded 925 926 from the study to increase the ability to show a significant difference between active and placebo 927 treatments (efficacy analysis), and to increase sensitivity to detect potential differences between 928 T and R products (equivalence analysis). The protocol would define *placebo responders* a 929 priori. Whether the drug is labeled for once or twice daily dosing, clinical evaluations would be 930 made twice daily (AM and PM, 12 hours apart at the same times daily) throughout the 7-day 931 placebo run-in period and the 14-day randomized treatment period. Scoring should be made 932 immediately prior to each dose, to reflect the previous 12 hours (reflective scores) and how the 933 patient is feeling at the time of evaluation (*instantaneous* or *snapshot* scores). Because the 934 primary BE endpoint would be based on reflective symptom scores, placebo responders should 935 be identified based on reflective scores, although BE endpoints would include both reflective and

936 instantaneous scores.

¹⁴ A draft guidance for industry entitled Allergic Rhinitis: Clinical Development Programs for Drug Products was issued in April 2000. This guidance discusses general protocol issues including blinding. Once finalized, it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

937

938 We recommend baseline scoring preferably consist of reflective AM and PM scoring on Days 5, 939 6, and 7 of the placebo run-in period, and AM scoring (prior to drug dosing) on Day 1 of the 14 940 day randomized treatment period, resulting in 7 total AM and PM ratings. Placebo responders 941 would be identified based on the mean total nasal symptom score (TNSS) over the 7 total AM 942 and PM ratings. The study protocol would state the minimum qualifying reflective TNSS for 943 enrollment at screening, and the same minimum qualifying TNSS would be met based on the 944 mean of the 7 total AM and PM ratings prior to each patient's participation in the randomized 945 portion of the study. We recommend randomization occur after evaluation of the 7 total AM and 946 PM ratings, and the randomized portion of the study can start in the morning of Day 1 after the 947 AM baseline scoring.

948

949 Symptom scores during the randomized treatment period would consist of the PM score on Day 950 1, and the 26 AM and PM ratings on Days 2 to 14, resulting in 27 total ratings. We recommend 951 the study be multicenter to avoid potential investigator bias. A double dummy design is not 952 recommended for study blinding of aqueous nasal sprays due to a concern that the doubled fluid 953 volume may result in washing the drug from its nasal deposition sites, potentially resulting in an 954 altered safety and efficacy profile. However, study blinding is a critical consideration, and we 955 recommend a description of how the T, R and P products are to be masked be carefully described 956 in the study protocol.

957

958 We recommend the *equivalence analysis* be conducted as an evaluable (per protocol) analysis 959 rather than an intent-to-treat analysis. The evaluable population would consist of compliant 960 patients who missed no more than a specified number of days of symptom scores, took no 961 contraindicated concurrent medications, and had no protocol violations. The protocol would 962 describe the specific criteria used to exclude randomized subjects, resulting in the reduced subset 963 of subjects for analysis (FDA Guideline for the Format and Content of the Clinical and 964 Statistical Sections of an Application, Section III.B.9). In addition to the equivalence analysis, 965 an efficacy analysis would be conducted to demonstrate study sensitivity to the T and R 966 products. The efficacy analysis would be conducted as an intent-to-treat analysis, and the intent-967 to-treat population would be clearly defined. Because specific study recommendations are not 968 provided in this guidance, we recommend a protocol for a BE study with a clinical endpoint for a 969 specific suspension drug product be submitted prior to the conduct of the study to the appropriate 970 review division at FDA.

- 971
- 972

D. **Clinical BE Study Endpoints**

973

974 The endpoints for the *equivalence* and *efficacy analyses* should be patient self-rated *TNSS*. 975 These most often include a composite score of runny nose, sneezing, nasal itching, and 976 congestion, although addition of non-nasal symptoms to the composite score maybe pertinent for certain drug products.¹⁵ TNSS is a categorical variable, classified into a number of discrete 977 978 categories, as opposed to a continuous variable. A common allergic rhinitis rating system uses a

¹⁵ Draft guidance Allergic Rhinitis: Clinical Development Programs for Drug Products, was issued in April 2000, once finalized it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic.

four-point scale with signs and symptoms ordered in severity from 0 (no symptoms) to 3 (severe symptoms), as follows ¹⁶ :
 0 = absent symptoms (no sign/symptom evident) 1 = mild symptoms (sign/symptom clearly present, but minimal awareness; easily tolerated)
 2 = moderate symptoms (definite awareness of sign/symptom that is bothersome but tolerable)
• 3 = severe symptoms (sign/symptom that is hard to tolerate; causes interference with activities of daily living and/or sleeping)
We recommend the endpoints for the equivalence and efficacy analyses be expressed as mean change from baseline (pretreatment) of the TNSS, expressed in absolute units, rather than
percent change from baseline. The study report would include the daily AM and PM 12-hour reflective symptom scores. In addition, the report would include the mean symptom score over
the 7 total AM and PM ratings of the placebo run-in period and the mean symptom score over the 27 ratings of the randomized treatment period. For the equivalence and efficacy analyses,
the <i>primary</i> endpoint would be reflective scores for the 12-hour pooled TNSS over the two-week randomized portion of the study. However, instantaneous scores would also be provided as a <i>secondary</i> endpoint. Statistical approaches for analysis of the rhinitis study data are provided
in Appendix F.
Safety assessments would be made before (at screening or baseline) and at end-of-treatment. Adverse events would be reported daily.
VII. PK STUDIES FOR SYSTEMIC EXPOSURE
A. General Information
The Agency recommends that plasma concentration-time profiles from BA and BE studies be used to evaluate systemic exposure for suspension drug products that produce sufficiently high concentrations of the moiety(ies) to be measured to allow reliable analytical measurement for an
adequate length of time after nasal administration. The recommended moiety(ies) to be measured in the BA and BE studies are described elsewhere. ¹⁷
Systemic drug levels that occur with locally acting drug products are generally in the low ng/mL or low pg/mL range, depending on the drug and the drug product. Validated bioanalytical methodology may be available for many of the nasal corticosteroid drugs. For these drugs, pilot studies are not needed prior to conducting the full-scale PK study. If validated methodology is unavailable, a small-scale, single-dose pilot study, or when appropriate, a small-scale, multiple-

¹⁶ Other scoring systems were proposed in the draft guidance Allergic Rhinitis: Clinical Development Programs for Drug Products April 2000. Once finalized, it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic. ¹⁷ Guidance for Industry, Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products -

General Considerations (October 2000). Once finalized it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

dose pilot study, may be helpful in assessing the proposed analytical methodology and
determining whether sufficiently high drug concentrations are attained. A PK study for systemic
exposure would be preferred to a PD or clinical study for systemic absorption (Section VIII). If a
sponsor has convincing data based on unsuccessful attempts to conduct the PK study in order for
a PD or clinical study for systemic absorption could be used. If systemic exposure were
established based on a PK study, a PD or clinical study for systemic absorption (Section VIII)
would not be requested.

1027 1028

B. Study Batches

The Agency recommends that the BA batch used for the PK systemic exposure study be a
pivotal clinical trial batch. Alternatively, a PK batch similar to the batch used in a pivotal
clinical trial can be used, in which case we recommend that any differences between the PK
batch and the pivotal clinical trial batch be discussed with the appropriate CDER review division
prior to the study. If the PK batch is not one of the three batches used for the in vitro BA studies
(Section V.A.1), make sure that in vitro BA data are provided for the PK batch using the same
protocols as for the three batches.

1037

For a BE study, the batches of T and R would be the same batches used for the clinical study for
local delivery, and each of these batches would be one of the three batches used for the in vitro
BE studies.

- 1041
- 1042 1043

C. Study Design and Subject Inclusion Criteria

The BA study to characterize systemic exposure can be one of the same PK studies conducted to address clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics questions of regulatory interest. The BA study can be conducted in healthy subjects or allergic rhinitis (AR) patients. Where appropriate, the BA study would include a reference product that may be an oral or intravenous solution, oral suspension, or other nasal product. Consultation with the appropriate review division is recommended regarding whether a comparative or noncomparative BA study is appropriate.

1050

1051 For an NDA or an ANDA, the in vivo BE study would be conducted with a replicate or 1052 nonreplicate randomized crossover design. For aqueous nasal sprays, the study would be 1053 conducted at the maximum labeled adult dose to maximize plasma drug levels, while avoiding 1054 the possibility of alteration of the drug deposition pattern within the nose at higher volumes 1055 when dosed above label claim. The deposition pattern could be altered due to loss of drug from 1056 the nasal cavity at these higher volumes, due either to drainage into the nasopharynx or 1057 externally from the nasal cavity. Although alteration of the deposition pattern may be less likely 1058 for a nasal aerosol when dosed above the maximum labeled number of actuations, the same study

design and dose as for aqueous nasal sprays would be followed. We recommend that subjects

1060 for the study be healthy, with exclusions primarily for reasons of safety. The study protocol

1061 would include information regarding time interval between doses to each nostril and subject

1062 head position during dosing.

Draft — Not for Implementation

This guidance recommends that the PK study generally be conducted as a single-dose study. 1064 1065 Such studies are more sensitive than multiple dose studies in assessing rate of release of the drug 1066 substance from the drug product into the systemic circulation. In addition, the nasally dosed 1067 corticosteroids tend to have biologic half-lives ranging from less than one hour up to about eight 1068 hours. For these products, when dosed either once or twice daily, systemic accumulation is 1069 expected to be relatively low, thus a multiple dose study may not result in a more reliable 1070 analytical measurement. However, there may be drugs that, due to pharmacokinetic 1071 characteristics, yield higher concentrations in a multiple-dose study, enabling the drug 1072 moiety(ies) of interest to be measured more reliably than in a single-dose study. For these drugs, 1073 a multiple-dose PK study would be preferred to a single-dose study. 1074

D. Study Measures

1076 The following BA and BE measures are considered pivotal¹⁷ in a single-dose study: $AUC_{0-tlast}$ (a 1077 measure of total exposure); AUC₀₋₄ (a measure of total exposure); and C_{max} (peak exposure). If 1078 1079 AUC_{0-4} cannot be determined reliably due to inability to estimate k_{el} accurately, total exposure 1080 would be based only on AUC₀-tlast. The following BA and BE measurements and plasma concentrations provide supportive PK characterization: plasma concentrations at each sampling 1081 1082 time; T_{max}; and k_{el}. The following BA and BE measurements are considered-pivotal for a multiple-dose study: AUC_{0-J} (total exposure), where J is the dosing interval; and C_{max} (peak 1083 1084 exposure). T_{max} data should also be provided as supportive characterization. 1085

1086 Statistical analysis information is provided in Appendix G.

1089 VIII. PD OR CLINICAL STUDIES FOR SYSTEMIC ABSORPTION

1090 1091

1092

1087 1088

1075

A. General Information

1093 As stated in Section VI.A, at present only certain corticosteroids are formulated as suspension 1094 products and require product quality in vivo studies. For those suspension drug products for 1095 which the moiety(ies) to be measured in the blood or plasma (Section VII) are too low to allow 1096 reliable analytical measurement for an adequate length of time, PD or clinical endpoint studies 1097 serve as measures of systemic absorption (Section II.A.2). However, *PK studies as measures of* 1098 systemic exposure are preferred if at all possible. As stated in Section VII, if a sponsor has 1099 convincing data based on unsuccessful attempts to conduct the PK study a PD or clinical study 1100 would be used in lieu of the PK study. The BA study to characterize systemic absorption may be 1101 one of the same clinical studies conducted to establish the safety of the drug product. The study 1102 would be conducted under an authorized IND in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 CFR 1103 314.126).

1105

1105 If a PD or clinical study is to be conducted (see previous paragraph), the recommended systemic

- absorption BE study design for nasal corticosteroids would be assessment of the HPA axis. The
- 1107 study would be conducted at the maximum labeled adult dose of the nasal aerosol or nasal spray
- 1108 to maximize study sensitivity. However, the study design would be based on an understanding

Draft — Not for Implementation

1109 that the maximum labeled dose over a 6-week period (Section VIII.C) may not result in detectable adrenal suppression by T and R because this dose may be at or near the bottom of the 1110 adrenal suppression dose-response curve. In addition to a test product placebo (P), we 1111 1112 recommend an active control such as prednisone be included to ensure that the study is 1113 sufficiently sensitive to detect a drug effect (sensitivity analysis). Ensure that the active control 1114 dose is sufficiently large and the duration sufficiently long to produce a statistically significant 1115 response relative to placebo, with a duration sufficiently short to minimize undue exposure or 1116 risk to subjects. Determination of the optimum active control dose and dosing regimen may call 1117 for a pilot study by the sponsor. The pilot study may determine that an initial phase of the 1118 6-week study period may use a matching active control placebo, with active control given over 1119 the remainder of the study period, in an effort to reduce patient exposure to the active control. 1120 The pilot study can also provide an estimate of the number of subjects to be included in the 1121 pivotal study to yield a statistically significant difference in the HPA axis endpoint between the 1122 active control and the test product placebo (i.e., the aerosol or spray placebo). It may also allow 1123 estimation of the number of subjects to be included to characterize any HPA axis effects or lack 1124 thereof and to allow conclusions about any relative effects of T versus P and R versus P ("relative assessment of the HPA axis"; Appendix G.B). Conduct of the study in allergic rhinitis 1125 1126 (AR) patients will allow an efficacy assessment to evaluate compliance with the study protocol 1127 (efficacy analysis). Therefore, AR patients, rather than healthy, non-allergic patients are recommended as the study population. We also recommend that other measures of compliance 1128 1129 be instituted, including before and after weighing of the aerosol or spray container and diary 1130 entry of drug use.

1131

1132 Because this section does not provide specific recommendations, we recommend sponsors 1133 submit prior to the conduct of the study a protocol for a BE study with a PD or clinical endpoint 1134 for a specific drug product to the appropriate review division at FDA. For an NDA, the same 1135 adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in humans conducted under an authorized IND, used 1136 to establish the safety and effectiveness of a drug product in support of a forthcoming NDA (21 1137 CFR 314.126), can be used in some cases to establish BA or, when comparative, BE (21 CFR 1138 320.24). For an ANDA, if the maximum single or total daily dose of the active control in the 1139 pilot or full-scale study exceeds that specified in the labeling of the selected active control drug product, an authorized Bio-IND will be needed.¹³ 1140

- 1141
- 1142 1143

B. Clinical Study Batches

1144 The Agency recommends the BA batch used for the study be a pivotal clinical trial batch used in 1145 the in vitro BA studies (Section V.A). For BE studies for an NDA, the batches of T and R would 1146 be batches used in in vitro testing. For an ANDA, the batches of T and R used for the systemic 1147 absorption study would be the same batches used for the clinical study for local delivery. Each 1148 of these batches would be one of the three batches used for the in vitro BE studies. Formulation and device recommendations for the P are described in Section VI.B. An active control such as 1149 1150 prednisone is recommended. For blinding, matching active control placebo (identical in 1151 appearance to the active control) is also recommended.

Draft — Not for Implementation

1153

C. Clinical BE Study Designs and Subject Inclusion Criteria

1154 1155 We recommend the study be conducted as a placebo and active-controlled, randomized, double-1156 blind, parallel design comparing T and R for a 6-week duration. The study would not be 1157 conducted as a subset of the 2-week local delivery rhinitis study (Section VI). Subjects would be 1158 patients with a history of AR. The relative assessment of HPA axis suppression would be 1159 conducted as an evaluable (per protocol) analysis. The sensitivity analysis and efficacy analysis 1160 would be conducted as intent-to-treat analyses. The protocol would specify whether placebo responders will or will not be excluded from the analysis. We recommend that subjects be 1161 1162 domiciled within the clinical study center during the days of HPA axis assessment. Domiciling 1163 the subjects during the 24-hour urine or plasma collection periods can help to conduct the study-1164 related procedures reliably and completely. T and R would be dosed at the maximum labeled 1165 adult dose. P would be dosed at the same frequency and number of actuations per nostril as T 1166 and R. As stated above, the study would include an active control such as prednisone. Four 1167 study arms would be included: T, R, P, and the active control. The randomized portion of the 1168 study would be conducted according to a double-blinding design (i.e., all subjects would receive 1169 both the active control (either the active control itself or a matching placebo of the active 1170 control) and a spray or aerosol (either active or placebo)). The four treatment groups would be T 1171 plus matching active control placebo, R plus matching active control placebo, P plus matching active control placebo, and P plus active control. The matching active control placebo would be 1172 1173 dosed on days when the active control is not taken, including the placebo run-in period. We 1174 recommend the number of centers conducting the HPA assessment be kept to a minimum to 1175 avoid center-to-center variability. A double-dummy design is not recommended for aqueous 1176 nasal sprays, as explained in Section VI.C. However, study blinding is a critical consideration, 1177 and we recommend a description of how the T, R and P products are to be masked be carefully 1178 described in the study protocol.¹⁸

1179

The expected effect for the active control would be far larger than that for the T and R products. The sample size of the active control arm group may therefore be smaller in size than for the other study arms. We recommend the sample size for the T and R study arms be sufficient to characterize any HPA axis effects or lack thereof to allow conclusions about any relative effects of T versus P and R versus P, as stated in Section VIII.A.

1185

1186We recommend timed urine or plasma samples for determination of 24-hour urinary free cortisol1187(UFC) or 24-hour plasma cortisol levels, respectively, be collected. Collections would be made1188prior to dosing (baseline) and during the last 24 hours of the 42 days of dosing (i.e., over the day118941 - 42 period) while the drug is being actively dosed.

1190 1191

1192

D. Clinical BE Study Endpoints for Corticosteroids

1193 Whether the drug is labeled for once or twice daily dosing, the endpoint can be either 24-hour 1194 urinary free cortisol (UFC), based on a full 24-hour urine collection, or plasma cortisol levels

¹⁸ A draft guidance entitled *Allergic Rhinitis: Clinical Development Programs for Drug Products* was issued in April 2000. Once finalized, this guidance will represent the agency's thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

1195 collected every 4 hours over a 24-hour period, with exclusion of the middle of the night sample. 1196 For the UFC endpoint, urinary creatinine would also be measured to confirm completeness of the 1197 24-hour collection. The UFC value would not be corrected for creatinine. We recommend for 1198 the plasma cortisol endpoint, both AUC(0-24) and the trough (maximum effect) concentration 1199 during the dosing interval should be determined. The sensitivity analysis endpoint would be 1200 baseline-adjusted prior to analysis. Raw data would be provided for the relative assessment of 1201 HPA axis suppression. Efficacy analysis TNSS data would be expressed as change from 1202 baseline.

1203

1204 Statistical approaches for each of the analyses are provided in Appendix G.B.

1205 1206

1207 IX. NUMBER OF RESERVE SAMPLES FOR BA AND BE TESTING 1208

1209 Reserve samples must be retained for BA and BE studies (21 CFR 320.38 and 320.63) conducted 1210 in vivo or in vitro. The regulations state that each reserve sample must consist of a sufficient 1211 quantity of samples to permit FDA to perform five times all of the release tests required in the 1212 application or supplemental application. Dose content uniformity or spray content uniformity 1213 release tests alone usually require 30 units (canisters or bottles) per batch. Performance of other 1214 release tests requires additional units. The number of reserve sample units required for three 1215 batches of T and R could exceed 1000 units (up to 250 units for each batch of T and R) based on 1216 the *five-times-quantity* requirement.

1217

1218 The Agency has determined that in lieu of the *five-times-quantity* requirement, the quantity of inhalant (nasal aerosol or nasal spray) test article (T) and reference standard (R) retained for 1219 testing and analyses be at least 50 units for each batch.¹⁹ For NDAs, three batches are needed for 1220 1221 BA studies. Thus, we recommend at least 50 units from each of the three batches of nasal spray 1222 or nasal aerosol be retained. However, where the reference product is another nasal aerosol or 1223 nasal spray, at least 50 units of that batch would also be retained. For ANDAs, at least 50 units 1224 of each of three batches would be retained for each of T and R used in in vivo or in vitro BE 1225 studies. For NDAs and ANDAs, if the in vivo or in vitro studies include placebo aerosols or 1226 sprays, at least 50 units of each placebo batch would also be retained. These recommendations 1227 apply only to nasal aerosols and nasal sprays for local action covered in this guidance and which 1228 are marketed as multiple dose products, typically labeled to deliver 30 or more actuations per 1229 canister or bottle. The number of reserves for nasal aerosols and nasal sprays delivering less 1230 than 30 actuations per canister or bottle is not addressed in this guidance. Additional 1231 information regarding retention of BA and BE testing samples is pending.²⁰ 1232

¹⁹ Quantity of Reserve Samples, Preamble to final rule, Retention of Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Testing Samples, 58 FR 25918-26, 1993, IIC21.

²⁰ A draft guidance for industry entitled *Handling and Retention of BA and BE Testing Samples* was issued in August 2002. Once finalized, it will represent the Agency's thinking on this topic.

Draft — Not for Implementation

1234 X. **MULTIPLE STRENGTHS**

1235

1236 A small number of nasal sprays for local action are available in two strengths. Current examples 1237 are (1) ipratropium bromide nasal spray, a solution formulation, and (2) beclomethasone 1238 dipropionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation. Lower strengths of a product ordinarily 1239 would achieve the lower dose per actuation using a lower concentration formulation, without 1240 changing the actuator and metering valve or pump (other than diptube due to different volumes 1241 of product or other factors) used in the higher strength product. The following sections describe 1242 recommended BA and BE studies for low strengths of nasal sprays for which BA or BE for the 1243 higher strengths has previously been established. Recommendations are also provided for cases 1244 in which BA or BE is initially established on the low-strength product. No approved nasal 1245 aerosols are available in multiple strengths, thus BA and BE recommendations are not 1246 considered for these products.

1247

1248 1249

A. **Solution Formulation Nasal Sprays**

1250 We recommend the BA of lower or higher strength solution formulation nasal sprays be based on 1251 conduct of all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V. These studies are generally 1252 noncomparative in character. Documentation of BE between T and R products would follow the 1253 recommendations described in Section III regarding formulation and container and closure 1254 system. Abbreviated in vitro testing, as follows, is recommended to document BE of the low-1255 strength T product to the low-strength R product, provided BE of the high-strength product has 1256 been documented. 1257

In vitro test	High Strength	Low Strength
Single Actuation Cont	ent	
Through Conta	ainer Life B, E ^a	B, E
Priming and Reprimin	g Yes	Yes
Droplet Size Distribut	ion	
by Laser Diffra	action B, E	В
Drug in Small Particle	es/Droplets	
by Cascade Im	pactor B	No
Spray Pattern	В	В
Plume Geometry	В	No

1270 ^a Beginning (B), Middle (M), End (E)

1271

1272 With the exception of the reduced testing, the Agency recommends the same protocols and 1273 acceptance criteria used to establish BE of the high-strength products be used for the low 1274 strength products. In vivo studies are not needed for documentation of BA or BE of solution 1275 formulation nasal sprays. Initial documentation of BE of the low-strength product would be 1276 based on all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V. For subsequent documentation of 1277 BE for the high-strength product, all applicable in vitro tests described above for the high-

1278 strength product would be conducted.

B. Suspension Formulation Nasal Sprays 1280 We recommend BA of lower strength suspension formulation nasal sprays be based on conduct of all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V and systemic exposure studies, assuming availability of bioanalytical methodology to allow measurement of systemic concentrations. In the absence of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. 1288 BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1289 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1294 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1296 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1209 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BF on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1306 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES <t< th=""><th>1279</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	1279		
 We recommend BA of lower strength suspension formulation nasal sprays be based on conduct of all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V and systemic exposure studies, assuming availability of bioanalytical methodology to allow measurement of systemic concentrations. In the absence of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product. vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro dat subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES <li< td=""><td></td><td>B.</td><td>Suspension Formulation Nasal Sprays</td></li<>		B.	Suspension Formulation Nasal Sprays
 We recommend BA of lower strength suspension formulation nasal sprays be based on conduct of all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V and systemic exposure studies, assuming atability of bioanalytical methodology to allow measurement of systemic concentrations. In the absence of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro data aerosols and nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented		21	
 of all applicable in vitro tests described in Section V and systemic exposure studies, assuming availability of bioanalytical methodology to allow measurement of systemic concentrations. In the absence of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and an sal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal acrosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium masal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal acrosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal spr		We recomme	end BA of lower strength suspension formulation nasal sprays be based on conduct
 the absence of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aecrosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone diproprionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container size of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container size of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has	1283		
1286 pharmacodynamic or clinical studies. 1287 BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1288 BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1289 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1291 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1296 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product. 1308 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1313 vitro and nasal sprays smay be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1314 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays would be formulation; (2) futicasone propionate nasal sprays would	1284	availability c	of bioanalytical methodology to allow measurement of systemic concentrations. In
1287 BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1289 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1291 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1294 2. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1300 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference products. 1302 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1308 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal acrosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal acrosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container size	1285	the absence of	of this methodology, we suggest BA for systemic absorption be documented through
1288 BE conditions for the lower strength product would include: 1290 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1291 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1296 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 9. 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the low-strength products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product. full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1308 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering		pharmacody	namic or clinical studies.
 1289 1. Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1293 1294 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1296 1297 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1298 1209 1300 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 10 vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1308 309 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal acrosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal acrosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation in and sub romoposition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentatio of			
 Documentation of BE for the high-strength test and reference products, based on acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinic		BE condition	ns for the lower strength product would include:
1291 acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems, comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1293 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products 1296 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 1300 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product. 1308 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1313 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1312 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1313 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container siz		1	
1292 comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data 1293 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the 1294 2. Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the 1295 10w-strength test and reference products 1296 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for 1298 all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 3. Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference product for 1300 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between 1301 high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength product, full in 1304 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product, full in 1306 for undation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product. 1308 solution formulation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1309 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1312		1.	
 Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 Acceptable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the low-strength test and reference products Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			comparative in vitro data, and comparative in vivo data
 low-strength test and reference products Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		2	Assortable comparative formulations and container and closure systems for the
 Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Barton A. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation, and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given 1322 		۷.	· · ·
 Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for all applicable in vitro tests in Section V Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition of which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is nec			low-strength test and reference products
1298 all applicable in vitro tests in Section V 1299 1300 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product 1302 10 10 vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1304 10 vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. 1305 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. 1308 11 1309 12 1310 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES 1311 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1312 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: 1313 11 1314 propionate nasal spray a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone 1314 propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a 1315 solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the 1316 same components and composition, metering va		3	Acceptable comparative studies for low-strength test and reference products for
 4. Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		21	
 high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product in vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product ln vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 	1300	4.	Proportionally similar Single Actuation Content Through Container Life between
 In vivo studies would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products. For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 	1301		high- and low-dose test product and high- and low-dose reference product
 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 	1302		
 For cases in which an ANDA applicant initially documents BE on the low-strength suspension formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		In vivo studi	es would not be needed for documentation of BE of the lower strength products.
 formulation product, and subsequently submits an ANDA for the high-strength product, full in vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 vitro and in vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product. XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		vitro and in v	vivo documentation of BE would be provided for the high-strength product.
 XI. SMALLER CONTAINER SIZES Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		VI SMA	I I ED CONTAINED SIZES
 Nasal aerosols and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are: (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		AI. SIVIA	ALLEN COMTAINEN SIZES
 (1) beclomethasone dipropionate nasal aerosol, a suspension formulation; (2) fluticasone propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		Nasal aeroso	ls and nasal sprays may be available in two container sizes. Current examples are:
 propionate nasal spray, a suspension formulation; and (3) cromolyn sodium nasal spray, a solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 solution formulation. Smaller container sizes of nasal aerosols would be formulated with the same components and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
Smaller container sizes of nasal sprays would be formulated with the same components and composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation,	1316	same compo	nents and composition, metering valve, and actuator as the large container size that
 composition, pump, and actuator as the large container size that was studied in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 	1317	was studied i	in pivotal clinical trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA).
 trials (NDA) or for which BE has been documented (ANDA). Where this is the case, no further documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 			
 documentation of either BA or BE is necessary. However, re-establishing proper priming, given a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation, 		1 /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a change in the volume of components of the device that will be filled to deliver an actuation,			
6			
may in some cases be appropriate (Section V.B./).		-	-
	1323	may in some	cases de appropriate (Section V.B./).

1324 1325 1326 1327	REFERENCES
1328	Advisory Committee for Pharmaceutical Science Meeting, "Report from the Orally Inhaled and
1329	Nasal Drug Products Subcommittee," Rockville, MD, Transcript, July 19, 2001, pp. 24-
1330	91.
1331	Borgstrom L, Asking L, Beckman O, Bondesson E, K@lJn A, Olsson B. Dose Variation, within
1332	and between individuals, with different inhalation systems. Respiratory Drug Delivery V,
1333	Interpharm Press, Buffalo Grove, IL, 1996, pp. 19-24.
1334	Daley-Yates PT, Price AC, Sisson JR, Pereira A, Dallow N. Beclomethasone dipropionate:
1335	absolute bioavailability, pharmacokinetics and metabolism following intravenous, oral,
1336	intranasal and inhaled administration in man. <i>Br J Clin Pharmacol</i> 2001;51:400-9.
1337	Kublic H., Vidgren MT. Nasal Delivery Systems and Their Effect on Deposition and Absorption.
1338	Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 1998;29:157-77.
1339 1340 1341	Meltzer EO, Jalowayski AA, Orgel HA, Harris AG. Subjective and objective assessments in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis: Effects of therapy with mometasone furoate nasal spray. <i>J Allergy Clin Immunol</i> 1998;102:39-49.
1342 1343 1344	Newman S, Steed K, Hooper G, K@lJn A, Borgstrom L. Comparison of gamma scintigraphy and a pharmacokinetic technique for assessing pulmonary deposition of terbutaline sulphate delivered by pressurized metered dose inhaler. <i>Pharm Res</i> 1995;12:231-6.
1345 1346	Suman JD, Laube BL, Lin T, Brouet G, Dalby R. Validity of in vitro tests on aqueous spray pumps as surrogates for nasal deposition. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2002;19:1-6.
1347 1348	Task Group on Lung Dynamics: Deposition and Retention Models for Internal Dosimetry of the Human Respiratory Tract. <i>Health Phys</i> , 1966;12:173-207.
1349	Welch MJ, Bronsky EA, Grossman J, Shapiro GG, Tinkelman DG, Garcia JD, Gillen MS.
1350	Clinical evaluation of triamcinolone acetonide nasal aerosol in children with perennial
1351	allergic rhinitis. <i>Annals Allergy</i> 1991;67:493-8.
1352	 Williams RL, Adams W, Chen M-L, Hare D, Hussain A, Lesko L, Patnaik R, Shah V, FDA
1353	Biopharmaceutics Coordinating Committee. Where are we now and where do we go next
1354	in terms of the scientific basis for regulation on bioavailability and bioequivalence?
1355	<i>Europ J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet</i> 2000;25:7-12.

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE 1 RECOMMENDED IN VITRO STUDIES FOR BA AND BE OF NASAL AEROSOLS AND NASAL SPRAYS

$TEST^1$	BA AND BE STUDY MEASURE(S)	BE MEASURE(S) FOR STATISTICAL EVALUATION	LIFESTAGE(S) B (beginning), M (middle), E (end)	STATISTICAL EVALUATION FOR BE PBE (population bioequivalence)	GUIDANCE SECTIONS
Single Actuation Content Through Container Life	Drug mass per single actuation	Same as previous column	B, M, E (aerosols) B, E (sprays)	PBE	V.B.1, App. B, C
Droplet Size Distribution by Laser Diffraction	D_{10} , D_{50} , D_{90} , span at 2 distances	D ₅₀ , span	B, E	РВЕ	V.B.2, App. B, C
Drug in Small Particles/Droplets by Cascade Impactor	Drug mass below upper stage	Same as previous column	B (sprays)	PBE modified to be one-sided with respect to the mean comparison	V.B.3, App. B, D
Particle/Droplet Size Distribution by Cascade Impactor	Drug mass on individual accessories, stages, etc – profile analysis	Deposition profile	B (aerosols)	Profile analysis	V.B.3, App. B, E
Drug Particle Size Distribution by Microscopy for suspensions	Drug CMD; extent of agglomerates	Same as previous column	В	Not applicable	V.B.4
Spray Pattern	Automated analysis: area, ovality ratio <u>at 2 distances</u> or Manual analysis: D _{max} , ovality ratio <u>at 2 distances</u>	Qualitative – shape comparison Quantitative - Same as previous column	В	PBE for area and ovality ratio (automated analysis) or D _{max} and ovality ratio manual analysis	V.B.5, App. C
Plume Geometry	Height, width, and cone angle of one side view at one delay time	Width and cone angle of one side view at one delay time	В	Point estimates	V.B.6
Priming and Repriming	Drug mass per single actuation at first primed or reprimed actuation	Same as previous column for Priming, and Repriming if in precursor product (R) labeling	B (Priming) Lifestage not specified (Repriming)	Point estimate relative to label claim if in precursor product (R) labeling	V.B.7

1356 ¹ Although alternate test methods may be appropriate for certain tests, if validated, we recommend sponsors planning to use such methods contact the appropriate reviewing division prior to use.