

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Release No. 64333/April 25, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-14315

In the Matter of	:	
	:	
CENTRACK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	:	ORDER MAKING FINDINGS AND
ALTERNAFUELS, INC.,	:	REVOKING REGISTRATIONS
INTELLIGENT MEDICAL IMAGING, INC., and	:	BY DEFAULT
OPTIMARK DATA SYSTEMS, INC.	:	

SUMMARY

This Order revokes the registrations of the registered securities of Centrack International, Inc., Intelligent Medical Imaging, Inc., and Optimark Data Systems, Inc. (collectively, Respondents).¹ The revocations are based on Respondents' repeated failure to file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

I. BACKGROUND

The Commission initiated this proceeding on April 4, 2011, with an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that each Respondent is a corporation with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and that each has repeatedly failed to file with the Commission annual and quarterly reports in compliance with the Exchange Act. Each was served with the OIP in accordance with 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii) on April 6, 2011.² To date, none has filed an Answer to the OIP, due ten days after service. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b). Thus, Respondents have failed to answer or otherwise to defend the proceeding within the meaning of 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a)(2). Accordingly, Respondents are in default, and the undersigned finds that the allegations in the OIP are true as to them. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f). Official notice has been taken of the Commission's public official records concerning Respondents, pursuant to 17 C.F.R. § 201.323.

¹ Alternafuels, Inc., filed an Answer to the Order Instituting Proceedings and remains in the proceeding.

² Each Respondent was served with the OIP by USPS Express Mail attempted delivery at "the most recent address shown on [its] most recent filing with the Commission." 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii).

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Centrack International, Inc. (CENK)³ (CIK No. 1094220),⁴ is a forfeited Delaware corporation located in Boca Raton, Florida, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). CENK is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB⁵ for the period ended February 28, 2002, which reported a net loss of \$659,959 for the prior nine months. As of March 30, 2011, the common stock of CENK was quoted on OTC Link (formerly “Pink Sheets”) operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. (OTC Link), had five market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

Intelligent Medical Imaging, Inc. (IMI IQ) (CIK No. 930090), is a void Delaware corporation located in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). IMI IQ is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1999, which reported a net loss of \$10,783,580 for the prior nine months. On November 29, 1999, IMI IQ filed a Chapter 11 petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida, which was terminated on August 18, 2005. As of March 30, 2011, the common stock of IMI IQ was quoted on OTC Link, had two market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

Optimark Data Systems, Inc. (OPMK) (CIK No. 941904), is a British Columbia corporation located in Tampa, Florida, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). OPMK is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB for the period ended August 31, 1999, which reported a net loss of \$121,815 (CAD) for the prior nine months. As of March 30, 2011, the common stock of OPMK was quoted on OTC Link, had five market makers, and was eligible for the “piggyback” exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c211(f)(3).

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to file required annual and quarterly reports, Respondents violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

³ The short form of each issuer’s name is also its stock symbol.

⁴ The CIK number is a unique identifier for each corporation in the Commission’s EDGAR database. The user can retrieve filings of a corporation by using its CIK number.

⁵ Forms 10-KSB and 10-QSB could be filed, in lieu of Forms 10-K and 10-Q, by a “small business issuer,” pursuant to 17 C.F.R. §§ 228.10-.703 (Regulation S-B). These “SB” forms are no longer in use. See Smaller Reporting Company Regulatory Relief and Simplification, 73 Fed. Reg. 934 (Jan. 4, 2008) (eliminating Regulation S-B and phasing out the forms associated with it, while adopting a different reporting regime for “smaller reporting companies”).

IV. SANCTION

Revocation of the registrations of the registered securities of Respondents will serve the public interest and the protection of investors, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act. Revocation will help ensure that the corporate shell is not later put to an illicit use involving publicly traded securities manipulated to the detriment of market participants. Further, revocation accords with Commission sanction considerations set forth in Gateway Int'l Holdings, Inc., 88 SEC Docket 430, 438-39 (May 31, 2006) (citing Steadman v. SEC, 603 F.2d 1126, 1139-40 (5th Cir. 1979)), and with the sanctions imposed in similar cases in which corporations violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) by failing to file required annual and quarterly reports. See Eagletech Commc'ns, Inc., 88 SEC Docket 1225 (July 5, 2006); Neurotech Dev. Corp., 84 SEC Docket 3938 (A.L.J. Mar. 1, 2005); Hamilton Bancorp, Inc., 79 SEC Docket 2680 (A.L.J. Feb. 24, 2003); WSF Corp., 77 SEC Docket 1831 (A.L.J. May 8, 2002). Respondents' violations were recurrent, egregious, and deprived the investing public of current and accurate financial information on which to make informed decisions.

Failure to file periodic reports violates a crucial provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of the periodic reporting requirements is to publicly disclose current, accurate financial information about an issuer so that investors may make informed decisions:

The reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is the primary tool which Congress has fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of stock and securities. Congress has extended the reporting requirements even to companies which are "relatively unknown and insubstantial."

SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp., 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977) (quoting legislative history); accord e-Smart Techs., Inc., 57 S.E.C. 964, 968-69 (2004). The Commission has warned that "many publicly traded companies that fail to file on a timely basis are 'shell companies' and, as such, attractive vehicles for fraudulent stock manipulation schemes." e-Smart Techs., Inc., 57 S.E.C. at 968-69 n.14.

V. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78l(j):

the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of Centrack International, Inc., is REVOKED;

the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of Intelligent Medical Imaging, Inc., is REVOKED; and

the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of Optimark Data Systems, Inc., is REVOKED.

Carol Fox Foelak
Administrative Law Judge