# FY 2007 Government Unique Standards used in lieu of Voluntary Consensus Standards - (Rescinded)

Agency: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Government Standard: 40 CFR 89 - Control of Emissions from New and In-Use Non-

Road Compression Ignition Engines [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 8178 - Reciprocating Internal

Combustion Engines, Exhaust Emission

Measurement

Procedures would be impractical because they rely too heavily on reference testing conditions. Agency decides instead to continue to rely on procedures outlined in 40

CFR Part 90.

Government Standard: 40 CFR 90 - Control of Emission from Non-Road Spark Ignition Engines at or below 19KV [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 8178 - Reciprocating Internal

Combustion Engines, Exhaust Emission

Measurement

Procedures would be impractical because they rely too heavily on reference testing conditions. Agency decides instead to

continue to rely on procedures outlined in 40

CFR Part 90.

Government Standard: 40 CFR 92 - Control of Air Pollution from Locomotives and

Locomotive Engines [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 8178 - Reciprocating Internal

Combustion Engines, Exhaust Emission

Measurement

Procedures would be impractical because they rely too heavily on reference testing conditions. Agency decides instead to

continue to rely on procedures outlined in 40

CFR Part 90.

Government Standard: EPA Method 10 - Carbon Monoxide, NDIR [Incorporated:

1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASTM D3162 (1994) Standard Test This ASTM standard, which is stated to be

Method for Carbon Monoxide in the applicable in the range of 0.5-100 ppm CO,

Atmosphere (Continuous Measurement by Non-dispersive Infrared Spectrometry)

does not cover the range of EPA Method 10 (20-1,000 ppm CO) at the upper end (but states that it has a lower limit of sensitivity). Also, ASTM D3162 does not provide a procedure to remove carbon dioxide interference. Therefore, this ASTM standard is not appropriate for combustion source conditions. In terms of non-dispersive infrared instrument performance specifications, ASTM D3162 has much higher maximum allowable rise and fall times (5 minutes) than EPA Method 10 (which has 30 seconds).

CAN/CSA Z223.21-M1978, Method for the Measurement of Carbon Monoxide: Infrared Spectrometry

1. This standard is lacking in the following areas: (1) Sampling procedures; (2) 3—Method of Analysis by Non-Dispersive procedures to correct for the carbon dioxide concentration; (3) instructions to correct the gas volume if CO2 traps are used; (4) specifications to certify the calibration gases are within 2 percent of the target concentration; (5) mandatory instrument performance characteristics (e.g., rise time, fall time, zero drift, span drift, precision); (6) quantitative specification of the span value maximum as compared to the measured value: The standard specifies that the instruments should be compatible with the concentration of gases to be measured, whereas EPA Method 10 specifies that the instrument span value should be no more than 1.5 times the source performance standard. 2. Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 15 - Hydrogen Sulfide/Carbon Disulfide/Carbon

Sulfide [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASME C00031 or PTC 19-10-1981 - Part Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense.

10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses Covers Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.

ASTM D4323-84 (1997) - Standard Test Method for Hydrogen Sulfide in the Atmosphere by Rate of Change of Reflectance

ASTM D4323 only applies to concentrations of H2S from 1 ppb to 3 ppm without dilution. Many QC items are missing, such as calibration drift and sample line losses. The calibration curve is determined with only one point.

Government Standard: EPA Method 1650 - Organic Halides, Absorbable

(AOX) [Incorporated: 1998] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO, DIN, SCAN, and Standard Methods (SM 5320)

EPA decided to use EPA Method 1650. This Method was developed by drawing on various procedures contained in the methods of voluntary consensus standards bodies and other standards developers, such as ISO, DIN, SCAN, and Standard Methods (SM 5320). However, none of these more narrowly focused voluntary consensus standards contained the standardized quality control and quality control compliance criteria that EPA requires for data verification and validation in its water programs. Therefore, EPA found none of these VCS standing alone to meet EPA's needs.

Government Standard: EPA Method 18 - VOC/GC [Incorporated: 1999]

[Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASTM D6060-96 (in review 2000) Practice for Sampling of Process Vents
with a Portable Gas Chromatography

This standard lacks key quality control and assurance that is required for EPA Method 18. For example: lacks acceptance criteria for

calibration, details on using other collection media (e.g. solid sorbents), and reporting/documentation requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 180.1 - Turbidity Nephelometric [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 7027 - Water Quality Determination EPA has no data upon which to evaluate

of Turbidity

whether the separate 90 degrees scattered or transmitted light measurement evaluations according to the ISO 7027 method would produce results that are equivalent to results produced by the other methods.

Government Standard: EPA Method 2 - Velocity and S-type Pitot [Incorporated:

1999] [Rescinded: 2007]\*

Voluntary Standard

ASTM 3796-90 (1998), Standard Practice They are too general, too broad, or not

for Calibration of Type S Pitot Tubes

ASTM D3154-00, Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

## Rationale

They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

1. The standard appears to lack in quality control and quality assurance requirements. It does not include the following: (1) Proof that openings of standard pitot tube have not plugged during the test; (2) if differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers (e.g., magnehelic gauges) are used, their calibration must be checked after each test series; and (3) the frequency and validity range for calibration of the temperature sensors. 2. They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ASTM D3154-91 (1995), Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method) Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 23 - Dioxin and Furan (PCDD and

PCDF) [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

European Committee for Standardization (CEN) EN 1948-3 (1997), Determination of the Mass

Concentration of PCDD'S/PCDF'S--Part 3: Identification and Quantification

Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA

regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 24 - Surface Coatings, Volatile Matter

Content [Incorporated: 1998] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 11890-1 (2000) part 1, Paints and Varnishes--Determination of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Content-

Difference Method

Measured nonvolatile matter content can vary with experimental factors such as temperature, length of heating period, size of weighing dish, and size of sample. The standard ISO 11890-1 allows for different dish weights and sample sizes than the one size (58 millimeters in diameter and sample size of 0.5 gram) of EPA Method 24. The standard ISO 11890-1 also allows for different oven temperatures and heating times depending on the type of coating, whereas EPA Method 24 requires 60 minutes heating at 110 degrees Celcius at all times. Because the EPA Method 24 test conditions and procedures define volatile matter, ISO 11890-1 is unacceptable as an alternative because of its different test conditions.

ISO 11890-2 (2000) Part 2, Paints and Varnishes--Determination of Volatile

ISO 11890-2 only measures the VOC added to the coating and would not measure any VOC

Organic Compound (VOC) Content-Gas

Chromatographic Method

generated from the curing of the coating. The EPA Method 24 does measure cure VOC, which can be significant in some cases, and, therefore, ISO 11890-2 is not an acceptable alternative to this EPA method.

Government Standard: EPA Method 26 - Hydrogen Chloride, Halides, Halogens

Emissions [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

# Voluntary Standard

Emissions-- Manual Method of of Gases Ratified European Text--Part 2: Gaseous Compounds Absorption Ratified European Text-- Part 3: Adsorption Solutions Analysis and Calculatio

## Rationale

EN 1911-1,2,3 (1998), Stationary Source Part 3 of this standard cannot be considered equivalent to EPA Method 26 or 26A because Determination of HCI--Part 1: Sampling the sample absorbing solution (water) would be expected to capture both HCI and CI2 gas, if present, without the ability to distinguish between the two. The EPA Methods 26 and 26A use an acidified absorbing solution to first separate HCl and Cl2 gas so that they can be selectively absorbed, analyzed, and reported separately. In addition, in EN 1911 the absorption efficiency for CI2 gas would be expected to vary as the pH of the water changed during sampling.

Government Standard: EPA Method 26A - Hydrogen Halide and Halogen,

Isokinetic [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

Emissions-- Manual Method of of Gases Ratified European Text--Part 2: Gaseous Compounds Absorption Ratified European Text-- Part 3: Adsorption Solutions Analysis and

Calculatio

EN 1911-1,2,3 (1998), Stationary Source Part 3 of this standard cannot be considered equivalent to EPA Method 26 or 26A because Determination of HCI--Part 1: Sampling the sample absorbing solution (water) would be expected to capture both HCI and CI2 gas, if present, without the ability to distinguish between the two. The EPA Methods 26 and 26A use an acidified absorbing solution to first separate HCI and CI2 gas so that they can be selectively absorbed, analyzed, and reported separately. In addition, in EN 1911 the

absorption efficiency for CI2 gas would be expected to vary as the pH of the water changed during sampling.

Government Standard: EPA Method 2C - Velocity and Flow Rate, Standard

Pitot [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] **Voluntary Standard** 

## Rationale

ASTM D3154-00, Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

1. The standard appears to lack in quality control and quality assurance requirements. It does not include the following: (1) Proof that openings of standard pitot tube have not plugged during the test; (2) if differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers (e.g., magnehelic gauges) are used, their calibration must be checked after each test series; and (3) the frequency and validity range for calibration of the temperature sensors. 2. They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 3 - Molecular Weight Carbon Dioxide,

Oxygen [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Rationale Voluntary Standard

ASME C00031 or PTC 19-10-1981--part 10, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses"

Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ASTM D3154-00, Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

1. The standard appears to lack in quality control and quality assurance requirements. It does not include the following: (1) Proof that openings of standard pitot tube have not plugged during the test; (2) if differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers (e.g., magnehelic gauges) are

used, their calibration must be checked after each test series; and (3) the frequency and validity range for calibration of the temperature sensors. 2. They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 320 - Vapor Phase Organic and Inorganic

Emissions, FTIR [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard

ASTM D6348-98, Determination of Gaseous Compounds by Extractive Direct Interface Fourier Transform (FTIR) Spectroscopy Rationale

Suggested revisions to ASTM D6348-98 were sent to ASTM by the EPA that, would allow the EPA to accept ASTM D6348-98 as an acceptable alternative. The ASTM Subcommittee D22-03 is currently undertaking a revision of ASTM D6348- 98. Because of this, we are not citing this standard as a acceptable alternative for EPA Method 320 in the final rule today. However, upon successful ASTM balloting and demonstration of technical equivalency with the EPA FTIR methods, the revised ASTM standard could be incorporated by reference for EPA regulatory applicability. In the interim, facilities have the option to request ASTM D6348-98 as an alternative test method under 40 CFR 63.7(f) and 63.8(f) on a case-by-case basis.

Government Standard: EPA Method 3A - Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen

Concentrations, IAP [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]\*

Voluntary Standard

ASTM D5835-95, Standard Practice for Sampling Stationary Source Emissions for Automated Determination of Gas Concentration

Rationale

 They lack in detail and quality assurance/quality control requirements.
 Specifically, these two standards do not include the following: (1) Sensitivity of the method; (2) acceptable levels of analyzer calibration error; (3) acceptable levels of sampling system bias; (4) zero drift and calibration drift limits, time span, and required testing frequency; (5) a method to test the interference response of the analyzer; (6) procedures to determine the minimum sampling time per run and minimum measurement time; and (7) specifications for data recorders, in terms of resolution (all types) and recording intervals (digital and analog recorders, only). 2. Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

CAN/CSA Z223.2-M86(1986), Method for 1. It does not include quantitative the Continuous Measurement of specifications for measurement system of Carbon performance, most notably the call procedures and instrument performance of Nitrogen in Enclosed Combustion characteristics. The instrument performance of Carbon performance, most notably the call procedures and instrument performance of Nitrogen in Enclosed Combustion characteristics. The instrument performance of Carbon performance of Nitrogen in Enclosed Combustion characteristics that are provided as

1. It does not include quantitative specifications for measurement system performance, most notably the calibration procedures and instrument performance characteristics. The instrument performance characteristics that are provided are nonmandatory and also do not provide the same level of quality assurance as the EPA methods. For example, the zero and span/calibration drift is only checked weekly, whereas the EPA methods requires drift checks after each run. 2. Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ISO 10396:1993, Stationary Source
Emissions: Sampling for the Automated
Determination of Gas Concentrations

 They lack in detail and quality assurance/quality control requirements.
 Specifically, these two standards do not include the following: (1) Sensitivity of the method; (2) acceptable levels of analyzer calibration error; (3) acceptable levels of sampling system bias; (4) zero drift and calibration drift limits, time span, and required testing frequency; (5) a method to test the interference response of the analyzer; (6) procedures to determine the minimum sampling time per run and minimum measurement time; and (7) specifications for data recorders, in terms of resolution (all types) and recording intervals (digital and analog recorders, only). 2. Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 3B - Oxygen, Carbon Dioxide, Carbon Monoxide, Emission Rate Correction Factor [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

## Voluntary Standard

ASTM D3154-00, Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

#### Rationale

1. The standard appears to lack in quality control and quality assurance requirements. It does not include the following: (1) Proof that openings of standard pitot tube have not plugged during the test; (2) if differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers (e.g., magnehelic gauges) are used, their calibration must be checked after each test series; and (3) the frequency and validity range for calibration of the temperature sensors. 2. They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ASTM D3154-91 (1995), Standard

Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently

Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 4 - Moisture Content in Stack

Gases [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASTM D3154-00, Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method)

1. The standard appears to lack in quality control and quality assurance requirements. It does not include the following: (1) Proof that openings of standard pitot tube have not plugged during the test; (2) if differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers (e.g., magnehelic gauges) are used, their calibration must be checked after each test series; and (3) the frequency and validity range for calibration of the temperature sensors. 2. They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ASTM D3154-91 (1995), Standard Method for Average Velocity in a Duct (Pitot Tube Method) Is too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

ASTM E337-84 (1996), Standard Test Method for Measuring Humidity with a Psychrometer (the Measurement of Wet- and Dry-Bulb Temperatures) They are too general, too broad, or not sufficiently detailed to assure compliance with EPA regulatory requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 5 - Particulate Matter, Stationary

Sources [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASME PTC-38-80 R85 or C00049,
Determination of the Concentration of
Particulate Matter in Gas Streams

It lacks sufficient quality assurance and quality control requirements necessary for EPA compliance assurance requirements.

ASTM D3685/D3685M-98, Test Methods for Sampling and Determination of Particulate Matter in Stack Gases

It lacks sufficient quality assurance and quality control requirements necessary for EPA compliance assurance requirements.

ISO 9096:1992, Determination of Concentration and Mass Flow Rate of Particulate Matter in Gas Carrying Ducts-- Manual Gravimetric Method It lacks sufficient quality assurance and quality control requirements necessary for EPA compliance assurance requirements.

Government Standard: EPA Method 515.1 - Chlorinated Acids in Water by

CC/ECD [Incorporated: 1998] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale

Standard Methods 6640B

Standard Methods 6640B for acid herbicides was tentatively deemed impractical for EPA's needs because its sample preparation and quality control procedures were not similar enough to EPA Method 515.1 to ensure that there would not be underreporting of acid herbicide contamination. EPA plans to offer to work with the Standard Methods committee to resolve this issue prior to the next publication.

Government Standard: EPA Method 6 - Sulphur Dioxide Emissions [Incorporated:

1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASME C00031 or PTC 19-10-1981 - Part 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.

ISO 11632:1998 - Stationary Source Emissions - Determination of the Mass Concentration of Sulfur Dioxide - Ion Chromatography ISO 11632:1998 - Stationary Source Emissions -Determination of the Mass Concentration of Sulfur Dioxide - Ion Chromatography

ISO 7934:1998 - Stationary Source

This standard is only applicable to sources

Emissions - Determination of the Mass Concentration of Sulfur Dioxide -Hydrogen Peroxide/Barium Perchlorate/ Thorin Method with 30 mg/m3 SO2 or more. In addition, this method does not separate SO3 from SO2 as does EPA Method 6; therefore, this method is not valid if more than a negligible amount of SO3 is present. Also, does not address ammonia interferences.

Government Standard: EPA Method 6c - Sulpher Dioxide Emissions Stationary by

IAP [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASTM D5835-95 - Standard Practice for Sampling Stationary Source Emissions for Automated Determination of Gas Concentration

Similar to Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, ALT 004, CTM 022. Lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.

CAN/CSA Z223.2-M86 - (1986) Method for the Continuous Measurement of Oxygen, Carbon Doixide, Carbon Monoxide, Sulphur Dioxide, and Oxides of Nitrogen in Enclosed Combustion Flue Gas Streams

Too general. This standard lacks in detail and quality assurance/quality control requirements. Appendices with valid quality control information are not a required part of this method.

ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source
Emissions: Sampling for the Automated
Determination of Gas Concentrations

Duplicates Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, ALT 004, CTM 022. Lacks in detail and quality assurance plus quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.

Government Standard: EPA Method 7 - Nitrogen Oxide Emissions Stationary

Sources [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]
Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASME C00031 or PTC 19-10-1981 - Part To 10 Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses Co

Too broad to be useful in regulatory sense. Covers Methods 3, 6, 7, and 15 with variants.

Government Standard: EPA Method 7e - Nitrogen Oxide, Instrumental [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Voluntary Standard Rationale ASTM D5835-95 - Standard Practice for Sampling Stationary Source Emissions for Automated Determination of Gas Concentration

Similar to Methods 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, ALT 004, CTM 022. Lacks in detail and quality assurance and quality control requirements. Very similar to ISO 10396.

CAN/CSA Z223.2-M86 - (1986) Method for the Continuous Measurement of Oxygen, Carbon Doixide, Carbon Monoxide, Sulphur Dioxide, and Oxides of Nitrogen in Enclosed Combustion Flue Gas Streams

Too general. This standard lacks in detail and quality assurance/quality control requirements. Appendices with valid quality control information are not a required part of this method.

ISO 10396:1993 - Stationary Source
Emissions: Sampling for the Automated
Determination of Gas Concentrations

Duplicates Method 3a, 6c, 7e, 10, ALT 004, CTM 022. Lacks in detail and quality assurance plus quality control requirements. Similar to ASTM D5835.

Government Standard: EPA Method GG - (Title not found in index) [Incorporated: 2003] [Rescinded: 2007]

# Voluntary Standard

# ASTM D3031-81 - Method of Test for Total Sulfur in Natural Gas (Hyrogenation), Withdrawn

## Rationale

This method has been deleted from the final rule because it was discontinued by the ASTM in 1990 with no replacement. If the total sulfur content of the fuel being fired in the turbine is less than 0.4 weight percent, we are adding a provision that the following methods may be used to measure the sulfur content of the fuel: ASTM D4084-82 or 94, D5504-01, D6228-98, or the Gas Processors Association Method 2377-86. This provision is consistent with the provision in 40 CFR 60.13(j)(1) allowing alternatives to reference method tests to determine relative accuracy of CEMS for sources with emission rates demonstrated to be less than 50 percent of the applicable standard.

**Government Standard: EPA Performance Specifications 11 - Particulate Matter** Continuous Monitoring System [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

## Voluntary Standard

ISO 10155:1995 - Stationary source emissions. Automated monitoring of mass concentration of particles -Performance characteristics, test methods and specifications.

## Rationale

This international standard is only applicable on a site specific basis by direct correlation with the manual method ISO 9096 (which does not produce particulate matter measurements like EPA Method 5). This appears to be a PM CEMS performance specification similar to EPA Performance Specification 11, but does not contain detailed RATA procedures. Also, EPA doesn't have a final performance specification to compare this to.

# Voluntary Standard

of Turbidity

# Government Standard: GLI Method 2 [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007] Rationale

ISO 7027 - Water Quality Determination EPA has no data upon which to evaluate whether the separate 90 degrees scattered or transmitted light measurement evaluations according to the ISO 7027 method would produce results that are equivalent to results produced by the other methods.

Government Standard: Standard Method 2130B [Incorporated: 1999] [Rescinded: 2007]

### **Voluntary Standard**

ISO 7027 - Water Quality Determination EPA has no data upon which to evaluate of Turbidity

### Rationale

whether the separate 90 degrees scattered or transmitted light measurement evaluations according to the ISO 7027 method would produce results that are equivalent to results produced by the other methods.

Agency: Government Printing Office (GPO)

Government Standard: FED-STD 209 [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2005]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 14644-1 & ISO 14644- Quality Assurance. Second ISO standard not issued until end

of FY 2000. Being phased out.

Government Standard: MIL-STD 105 [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2005]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ANSI/ASQC Z1.4 Quality Assurance. Cited in small number of contracts due

to editing errors. These are being corrected and phased

out.

Government Standard: MIL-STD 1189 [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2005]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ANSI/AIM X5-2 & ANSI Quality Assurance. Cited in small number of contracts due

X3.182 to editing errors. These are being corrected and phased

out.

Government Standard: MIL-STD 498 [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2005]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

IEEE/EIA 12207.0, Quality Assurance. Cited in small number of contracts due

IEEE/EIA 12207.1, & to editing errors. These are being corrected and phased

IEEE/EIA 12207.2 out.

Agency: General Services Administration (GSA)

Government Standard: Federal Specification A-A-1925 - Shield, Expansion (Nail

Anchors) [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2004]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ASTM E488 - Standard This government-unique standard is prepared & maintained

Test Methods for Strength by the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). Both the GSA & DLA

of Anchors in Concrete contract for products that reference A-A-1925. In order to

and Masonry Elements maintain product continuity in the Federal marketplace, we

must cite the standard as the DLA.

Government Standard: FF-L-2740 [Incorporated: 2000] [Rescinded: 2001]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

UL 768 These government specifications cover products used for

the protection of national security information. The standards were developed after government review and testing determined that the commercial standards did not

provide the required level of protection, or those commercial products that did provide the level of protection significantly exceeded the price of products

meeting the government standards.

Agency: Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)

Government Standard: CDC/NIOSH use of 42CFR Part 84 in their mandated respirator certification program [Incorporated: 2007] [Rescinded: 2007]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

None. None available.

Government Standard: FDA Guidelines on Asceptic Processing

(1987) [Incorporated: 1997] [Rescinded: 2004]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ISO 13408-1 - Aseptic FDA/CBER is not using the ISO standard because the

Processing of Health Care applicability of these requirements is limited to only

Products, Part 1, General portions of aseptically manufactured biologics and does not Requirements include filtration, freeze-drying, sterilization in place,

cleaning in place, or barrier-isolator technology. There are also significant issues related to aseptically produced bulk

drug substance that are not included in the document

Government Standard: National Standard Format [Incorporated: 1997]

[Rescinded: 2004]

Voluntary Standard Rationale

ANSI X12 837 The NSF is used widely across the health care payment

industry and has become a defacto national standard. However, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) have directed their contractors to discontinue use of the NSF standard and replace it with ANSI X12 837 by the beginning of FY 2003.

<sup>\*</sup>GUSs in grayed text represent rescission of cited Voluntary Standard and rationale, not rescission of the GUS