	Page 1
1	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION
2	REGULATORY REVIEW CONSULTATION
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	MAY 2, 2011
12	9:00 A.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	HELD AT: SPA RESORT CASINO
18	100 North Indian Canyon Drive
19	Palm Springs, California
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. NJ328050

Г

Page 2 1 TNDEX 2 **APPEARANCES:** 3 DANIEL J. LITTLE, NIGC Associate Commissioner and Chairman presiding 4 ERIC SCHALANSKY, NIGC Regional Director LAWRENCE S. ROBERTS, NIGC General Counsel 5 6 STATEMENTS PRESENTED PAGE 7 Statement by Michael Prieto 3 6 Statement by Daniel Little 8 9 Statement by Lawrence Roberts 9, 23 Statement by Norm DesRosiers 20, 23, 27, 29, 34, 42, 10 46, 50 11 Statement by Joseph Webster 21, 27, 31 33, 34, 48, 52 12 Statement by Jan Sullivan 25 13 Statement by Jane Zerbi 25, 28, 33 14 Statement by John McGee 41 15 Statement by Ron Jaeger 42, 44, 48 16 46 Statement by Anthony Barnes 17 Statement by Chris White 54 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Palm Springs, California, Monday, May 2, 2011, 9:09 a.m.

MR. LITTLE: All right. Good morning. I'd like to take this opportunity to welcome all the tribal leaders, elders and trial representatives to the National Indian Gaming Commission consultation session on Group 1 of our regulatory review schedule.

Additionally, I'd like to extend my gratitude
to the Agua Caliente tribe for allowing the NIGC to hold
this session on your land. On behalf of the Commission,
we're very grateful and honored to be able to address
everyone this morning and discuss this very important
issue contained in our Notice of Regulatory Review.

14 My name is Dan Little. I'm the Associate 15 Commissioner with the NIGC. Today I'm here on behalf of 16 Chairwoman Tracie Stevens and Vice Chairwoman Steffani 17 Cochran. They send their regards and look forward to 18 reviewing the comments submitted.

Before I get started, I'd like to turn the
session over to Chairman Michael Prieto of the Agua
Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians for opening remarks.
STATEMENT BY MICHAEL PRIETO
MR. PRIETO: Good morning, everyone. My name
is Michael Prieto. I'm the chairman for the Agua
Caliente Gaming Commission. On behalf of the tribe and

1

2

Page 3

1 the Commission, welcome. Good morning. Just briefly, I 2 wanted to just let everyone know we welcome any kind of comments, anything in regards to the consultation, and 3 we welcome everyone here at the Spa Resort. Thank you 4 5 for coming. MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Chairman. 6 7 I'd also like to go around the table and we can start, Michael, on your left and do some introductions. 8 9 If you want to state your name and who you're with? 10 Kelly Hause, Agua Caliente Gaming Commission. 11 Norm DesRosiers, Commissioner of San Manuel. 12 Ed Magdalano, Sycuan Gaming Commission. 13 Queenette Pettiford, Chairwoman, Sycuan Gaming Commission. 14 15 Ron Jaeger, United Auburn Tribal Gaming Agency, 16 chairman of the commission. 17 Dora Bernal, Karuk Tribe. 18 Darlene Vega, Pala Gaming Commission. 19 I'm Larry Roberts. I'm the general counsel of 20 the National Indian Gaming Commission. 21 I'm Jen Ward. I'm a staff attorney with the 22 National Indian Gaming Commission. 23 I'm Chris White. I'm the comptroller for the 24 National Indian Gaming Commission. 25 Norm Hansen, Commissioner, Twenty Nine Palms.

Page 5 1 Good morning, I'm Jan Sullivan, the Executive 2 Director for the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians. John Magee, Pechanga Gaming Commission. 3 William Ramos, Pechanga Gaming Commission. 4 5 Sherry Rodriguez, La Jolla Gaming Commission. Jan Costa, Tribal Administrator, Chicken Ranch 6 7 Rancheria. Scott Bachtelle, Chicken Ranch Gaming 8 Commission. 9 Jan DePauli, Chicken Ranch Gaming Commission. 10 11 Ed Roybal, Ak Chin Indian Community, on behalf 12 of the Arizona Indian Gaming Association. Joe Marinko, Twenty Nine Palms Gaming 13 14 Commission. 15 Joe Murillo, Executive director, Twenty Nine 16 Palms Gaming Commission. 17 Joe Webster with Hobbs, Straus on behalf of Seminole Tribe of Florida. 18 19 MR. LITTLE: Okay. Let's not let everybody off the hook on this side. 20 21 Summer Hathaway, from the Santa Ysabel Gaming 22 Commission. 23 I'm Bob Goodwin. I'm the Self Government 24 Coordinator for Karuk. 25 Jane Zerbi, attorney with United Auburn Indian

973-410-4040

12

13

14

from our regional office. We have our regional Phillips, Frank Hernandez, Manny Sanchez and Kim Angeles. MR. LITTLE: When this Commission organized last summer, we developed a vision for this Agency that includes a commitment to building a meaningful In practical terms, we are committed to tribes. respecting tribes as the primary regulators and to state, and so do their gaming operations. Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

1 Community and the Auburn Band.

2 Peggy Rhoades, Deputy Executive Director for the Yuroc Tribe. 3

I'm Eric Kenny, Director for Office of 4 5 Government.

John Toro, Agua Caliente Gaming Commission. 6 7 MR. LITTLE: Okay. We also have some staff 8 director, Eric Schalansky, and his staff includes Alan 9 10 11

STATEMENT BY COMMISSIONER DAN LITTLE

15 16 government-to-government working relationship with the 17 18 19 understand our responsibilities of federal oversight in 20 this industry. We understand that tribes and their 21 regulators are most familiar with their own operations. 22 We know that tribes differ from region to region, state 23 24 In order to fully support tribal regulation, we 25 must work with tribes to ensure the integrity of the

industry is maintained. Tribes, states and the NIGC
 each have an important function in the regulatory
 structure created by IGRA. The industry is best
 protected when we all perform our role effectively.

5 We've heard from tribes that the process of 6 consultation is just as important as the substance of 7 consultation. True government-to-government 8 consultation must be inclusive, collaborative, 9 meaningful and must be ongoing dialog and exchange of 10 ideas. It's not merely a box to check off when 11 developing policy.

12 With this in mind, last fall the Commission 13 began a process to identify regulations that possibly 14 needed review, and on November 18, 2010, we issued a 15 Notice of Inquiry advising the public that the NIGC 16 would be conducting a comprehensive review of all 17 regulations promulgated to implement IGRA and requested comment on which of its regulations were most in need of 18 19 revision, in what order should they be reviewed, and 20 what process should the commission utilize to make 21 revisions.

In January and February the NIGC held a series of eight consultations throughout the country to listen to tribal leaders and invited written comments to be submitted by February 12th. After reviewing all the

consultation transcripts and submitted comments, on
 April 1st the Commission published a Notice of
 Regulatory Review Schedule. The review schedule
 provides a comprehensive agenda for addressing the
 regulations raised during the Notice of Inquiry.

Based upon the tribal consultations and 6 7 comments, the Commission decided to organize the regulatory review into five groups. The regulations in 8 9 each group will be reviewed separately from the 10 regulations in the other groups, and specific 11 regulations in each group may proceed through the 12 regulatory review process independently from the other 13 regulations in a particular group.

14Today we're here to talk about those15regulations included in Group 1 of the Notice of16Regulatory Review Schedule. I'm now going to turn the17mike over to Larry Roberts who will proceed in a more18detailed explanation of the process and items contained19in Group 1.

But before I do that, once again, I'd like to invite any tribal leaders to the table or their representatives, and I want to let everybody know we have Denise Jones over here. She's a transcriber. There will be a recording and then a written transcript. All the proceedings will be on our website at a later

1 date. So when you speak, please say your name and your 2 organization before you make your comments. And then 3 all the comments will be posted on our website at a 4 later date.

5 I'm going to turn it over to Larry Roberts 6 right now. Thank you.

7 STATEMENT BY LAWRENCE ROBERTS MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, everyone. 8 Thank 9 you all for attending this consultation this morning, 10 early Monday morning, starting the week off right, and 11 we're here to hear from you, the tribes, in terms of 12 what regulations we should be looking at and how the 13 Commission shall proceed. So I'm going to run through a 14 relatively short PowerPoint, and it's going to outline 15 the process, and then after that, Commissioner Little is 16 going to open up the floor to comments.

For those of you that attended consultations on our Notice of Inquiry, the Commission has been very clear about its commitment to Executive Order 13175 and consultation with tribes. And today is part of that process.

The executive order reads that, where possible when we're formulating and implementing policies that have tribal implications, that we consult with tribes, and in determining whether to establish federal

standards, that we consult with tribes as to the need of
 federal standards and alternatives.

And so today, as we're going through this process for Group 1, you'll see that there's a proposed draft that should be in all of your packets of materials. That's a preliminary draft, and it is intended to encourage and invite comment from tribes before the Commission decides whether to move forward with that draft.

10 So the review process is moving forward in 11 three phases and we're in Phase 1, the preliminary 12 drafting phase. We are encouraging the tribes to submit 13 written comments on Group 1 as soon as possible as well 14 as holding these consultation meetings across the 15 country.

16 It's important to note that in these three 17 phases after this preliminary phase, if the Commission 18 decides to move forward with a proposed rule and any of 19 these regulations in Group 1, that the proposed rule 20 would be put out for a 60-day comment period, and 21 consultation would occur on the proposed rule. And then 22 after reviewing comments on any proposed rule that's 23 issued, the Commission would then move forward with the 24 final rule.

25

And what's important for folks to keep in mind

1 in the context of this process is the Commission has 2 laid out a consultation schedule that extends basically from last week until early February, I believe. And so 3 consultations at this point, for example, in Group 1, or 4 5 in the preliminary drafting phase, the preliminary draft 6 of the fee reqs that will be circulated, at some point 7 in time those may move forward in a proposed ruling, in which case consultations that are already scheduled we 8 9 would cover on a proposed fee ruling if the Commission decides to move forward. 10

11 So it's important to note that this 12 consultation schedule that's established from now 13 through February will overlap all three of these phases.

The Commission has decided to move forward with five groups of regulations, and the group numbers are not indicating a priority, per se, but they are basically groups of regulations that the Commission thought would make sense in moving forward with in terms of consultation and having the most use of these meetings.

And so they concern a number of factors, including subject matter, the comments we receive from tribes and the public in response to NOI and the estimated time and resources to complete these tasks. Again, this is the preliminary discussion

drafts and you should know that these preliminary discussion drafts and any future preliminary discussion drafts will be posted on our website. Also, keep in mind, as Commissioner Little mentioned, this meeting is being transcribed and that any written comments received as part of these consultations or the transcripts, those will also be posted on our website.

8 The Commission's commitment to the public and 9 to tribes is that every comment received will be 10 reviewed and considered, that any proposed or final rule 11 will include a summary of all the comments received from 12 you, and that the Commission is committed to making this 13 process as clear and transparent as possible.

14 So today we're covering Group 1, and we have 15 five areas that the Commission has identified for 16 consultation. The commission would really like to hear 17 feedback from all of you in terms of your thoughts and moving forward: fees, the review and approval of 18 19 existing ordnances or resolutions, facility licenses, a proposed Buy Indian Act regulation, and how to move 20 21 forward with minimum internal control standards for 22 Class III gaming.

23 With regard to fees, in your packet of 24 materials we have a preliminary discussion draft, and 25 there are a number of proposals that we can walk through

1	in more detail. But primarily one of the primary
2	aspects of the draft is to move the collection of
3	fees the calculation of fees to be based on a gaming
4	operation's fiscal year rather than a calendar year, as
5	it's currently drafted.

6 It's also moving the fee rate to be published 7 by March 1st rather than February 1st so that the 8 Commission has more detailed and accurate information 9 for an accurate preliminary rate.

To remove the term "amortization" from the section of the regulations to reflect the existing practices. The Commission is, in this preliminary draft, understanding that our intent was not to be a substantive change, this is something we would like comments from in terms of if you think that removal of amortization would actually have a substantive change.

17 It would again move to a quarterly payment 18 schedule and clarify that when computing fees, that the 19 operation will use a calculation as set forth in Part 20 514(b), and this is not intended to be a substantive 21 change.

And then notification. A notification period for when a gaming operation may change its fiscal year so that we have that information and can adjust accordingly. One of the things that the Commission has put forward in this preliminary draft for discussion with tribes is a late payment system. We heard some comment and some interest from tribes and the public in response to the NOI. So this section, which we can talk about in more detail today, basically defines a late payment versus a failure to pay annual fees.

8 And the way that is structured is that a late 9 payment would result in a fee, some sort of a fee based 10 either on percentage of the fees that were owed or some 11 sort of flat fee for a late payment. Whereas a failure 12 to pay would actually constitute a substantial violation 13 that could result in an NOV.

14 Also, as I'm sure most of you are aware, for 15 some time the Commission has been processing 16 fingerprinting cards that it receives from tribes and 17 forwards on to the FBI and provides that information and 18 service to tribes. This part of the regulation is new and basically just clarifies that process and sets forth 19 20 that the NIGC will publish fees for the 21 fingerprinting processing on a biannual basis.

And some of the questions that the Commission would like feedback from Indian Country on is whether, in the context of the fee regs itself, whether admission fees should be changed to an entry fee.

We've heard that in the broader context of fees and admission fees, that entry fee is more of an incorporated term rather than admission fee. Also, should tournament fees be included in that example of admission or entry fees.

We received some comments from the tribes in 6 7 response to the NOI about the Commission should look closely at whether it should accept or adopt a 8 9 definition of gross gaming revenue consistent with GAAP. That is not in this discussion draft, but we do want to 10 11 hear from Indian Country as to whether we should move 12 forward, whether the Commission should work to 13 incorporate the definition of gross gaming revenue so 14 that it is consistent with GAAP, or, if not, why not. 15 Also whether the Commission should consider defining 16 "wager" and "payout" as set forth in the statute.

The next regulation in Group 1 the Commission has put forward in terms of a proposed repeal of Part 523. Part 523 only applies to ordinances that were enacted by tribes prior to 1993 and that were not submitted to the Chairwoman for review and approval.

The Commission's inclination is that this part is no longer relevant, that every tribe has an approved gaming ordinance and that there are no other ordinances that would be affected by the repeal of Part 523.

1

2

3

4

And so before moving forward with any process on whether to repeal Part 523, the Commission would greatly encourage tribes to let us know if they believe that they would be impacted by that repeal.

5 Part 559 of the regulation, Facility License 6 Notifications, Renewals, and Submissions. The 7 Commission is interested in moving forward with a 8 preliminary draft regulation of this part.

But before moving forward, some of the 9 10 questions that we would like to hear from Indian Country 11 is, should this part be revised. We've heard, in 12 response to the comments to NOI, a number of tribes 13 asking the Commission to review this part. And as part 14 of our discussion today, the Commission would like to 15 hear what are the issues that tribes are confronted with Part 559 and which sections should be reviewed further. 16

17 In the NOI the Commission asked the question of tribes as to whether they should consider adopting a 18 19 regulation that would implement the Buy Indian Act, and 20 comments from the public and tribes and the NOI were 21 generally supportive of a regulation. It would allow --22 again, this regulation would apply to the NIGC itself. 23 It wouldn't apply to tribes, and so it would be 24 requiring the NIGC to encourage buying Indian when 25 purchasing goods and services and property.

1

2

3

This is already supported by the statutory text of IGRA which provides the Commission with authority to contract with states, tribes and other entities when implementing the act. And this is another proposal that the Commission is intending to distribute a preliminary draft for in the near future.

7 The last part is the Class III MICS issue, and 8 this issue is in both Group 1 and Group 5 because the 9 Commission recognizes that not only is it an important 10 issue, it's an issue that has a number of implications 11 and affects different tribes and regions across the 12 country.

And so today, as part of this discussion, what we're really hoping to hear from tribes is what structure should NIGC use to address the Class III MICS issue and getting feedback from tribes as to how to move forward after the group decision.

In Group 5 -- so the Commission structure in Group 1 is to hear from tribes as to how NIGC should or should not address the Class III MICS issue, and in Group 5 looking at actual practical implementation of the discussions of what we hear and the proposals we hear in this consultation.

And I think probably everyone in the room is aware that the National Indian Gaming Commission did not

have authority to promulgate regulations establishing
Class III MICS, and the Class III MICS are, in some
areas of the country, incorporated by compacts.
Obviously, the Commission is aware in California some
tribes have moved forward to amend their gaming
ordinances to provide NIGC with authority over Class III
MICS issues.

8 I know that it affects tribes in California 9 differently as well, and so we want to hear -- the 10 Commission wants to hear suggested approaches on how to 11 move forward. Here's what we heard in response to NOI, 12 and the NOI was just asking the question obviously 13 should the Commission look at Class III and how should 14 they move forward in terms of process.

15 In response to that very basic question, we had 16 a number of tribes and the public basically tell the 17 Commission here are different ways in which you should consider moving forward. Some tribes suggested 18 19 replacing Part 542 with guidelines. Some tribes 20 suggested a compacting process between NIGC and tribes. 21 Some tribes support an approach incorporating 542 into a 22 tribal ordinance, and some tribes suggested maintaining 23 Part 542 and convening a tribal advisory committee to 24 update, and then finally some tribes suggested repealing 25 Part 542.

So with that I'm going to turn it back over to
 Commissioner Little.

MR. LITTLE: Okay. Before we begin, I just want to invite any tribal leaders that might have come in to take a seat at the table if they'd like. The second thing is the meeting is being recorded and it will be transcribed, so if you do make a comment, please say your name and your organization, party speaking.

9 And then lastly I obviously want to point out,
10 and hopefully embarrass, former Commissioner Norm
11 DesRosiers is here with us today, so thank you for being
12 here.

13 With that, let's get right into it and I'll 14 open up the floor for comments on Part 514, the fees 15 regulation, and see if anyone has any comments they want 16 to start off with. I hope you've had an opportunity to 17 take a look at our preliminary draft. I think it's been 18 up on the Web for about a week. We have Chris White, 19 our comptroller, here to help us maybe answer any questions you might have. 20

21

Would anybody like to start off?

22 Okay. I guess I could start some conversation. 23 What we talked about, it was suggested an effective date 24 of 12/31 for the new fee reg and in doing so will avoid 25 further confusion by keeping the timeline consistent with the fee rate which will continue to apply to a
 calendar year.

Anybody have any comments how this date may affect the implementation of the new reg by your gaming operation accounting systems? Does anybody prefer a different effective date?

STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS

8 MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers, San Manuel. 9 I don't know about everybody else, but this particular 10 subject matter is probably beyond the technical 11 expertise of most of us in this room. We rely on our 12 accountants and our CPAs and our auditors to comment on 13 this.

I mean, my intention is to take your draft back and promptly give it to those that do the bean counting and let them let me know what their comments are. So I'm not -- although I appreciate having this today, I'm not sure you're going to get -- unless we have some accountants in the room -- much meaningful comment on it.

21 MR. LITTLE: All right. I appreciate that.
22 Thank you.

23 Would it be helpful maybe if we kind of skipped 24 around the 514? because there's a lots of stuff in 25 there, and I think the most comments that we heard about

was the late fees/ticketing system. Does anybody have any comments on how they would -- if they support that process?

4 You know, a notice of violation is a very 5 important issue and having 12 years of experience in 6 working in this industry, over ten years with the tribe, 7 I understand that those issues are not taken lightly. They're critical for tribes when they're trying to go 8 9 out and get financing, when they're negotiating 10 compacts. Public relations-wise, there are major 11 problems, and the Commission wants to talk about, you 12 know, is there a better process.

13 Obviously, you know, you don't like anybody 14 paying their fees late, but is there a better process 15 for getting the point across to tribes that fees are 16 critical to our operation? That's how we fund the NIGC. 17 We don't get a federal appropriation and it's important at that time tribes pay the fees on time. But does 18 19 anybody have any comment on a proposal to change the way 20 that late fees are handled? 21 STATEMENT BY JOE WEBSTER

22 MR. WEBSTER: Joe Webster, attorney with Hobs, 23 Straus, Dean & Walker. I certainly agree in terms of 24 the approach. I think it's preferable to get a 25 (inaudible) approach. I guess one question I had, 1 though, in looking at it, this is only a preliminary 2 draft, but the percentages and the amounts for what 3 those late fees would be are blank.

I guess I'm curious whether the Commission had some concept in mind in terms of, you know, what would be the magnitude of late fees that would be applied.

7 MR. LITTLE: To be honest, we're actually open 8 to hear what tribes think about what the -- whether it 9 be percentage, flat fee. That's up until 92 days, 10 which, I think, is -- I believe is still that after 92 11 days there still should be probably a notice of 12 violation.

Like I said earlier, the fees are critical to our operations, but at some point we do have to kind of move in a manner that is appropriate. So I think we're really kind of interested to hear what folks think, whether it's based upon a percentage, a flat fee, the size of the gaming operation, what have you.

So if anybody has any comments or suggestions,
we're interested in hearing about it.

MR. WEBSTER: Thank you.

22 STATEMENT BY LAWRENCE ROBERTS 23 MR. ROBERTS: I'm Larry. I also want to note 24 that in the draft -- well, these are reference for 25 everyone. What we did is, we're looking at basically

4

5

6

page 5 and then we've numbered the lines. So this late fee schedule really starts to kick off at about line 13 of page 5, and so it's drafted in a way that we hope would provide clarity to tribes.

5 Again, as Commissioner Little stated, either in 6 terms of a flat fee or a percentage, but it also 7 provides a process whereby the Chair may propose something lower than what's set forth in here. It's 8 drafted to provide discretion and to take into account 9 10 certain situations as well as providing an appeals 11 process of -- let's say, for example, we issue a letter 12 stating that the fees are submitted late, and the tribe 13 comes back relatively quickly and says, no, actually we didn't submit on X date. 14

So it provides that process for appeals to make clear that this process, before you get to the annual -or to the actual failure to pay an annual fee, there's a process in place for the tribe and the Commission to interact on this issue.

### STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS

21 MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers, San Manuel 22 Gaming Commission. I agree with Mr. Webster. I like 23 the concept. NOVs are a serious matter and, of course, 24 in my experience almost always result in a fine of some 25 sort and a settlement agreement.

I think a percentage is appropriate and answers the question of whether it's a big operation, a small operation, whatever that fee is, you know, will be -- a percentage will be relative to -- takes away that concern. So it's a constant penalty, if you will, no matter what the size of your operation is.

7 I just have one curious question that perhaps 8 you general counsel can answer, and I'm wondering what 9 the legal distinction is between a late fee imposed and 10 a fine.

MR. ROBERTS: The way this preliminary draft is structured is that this is -- the way this is set out is that it's a late fee, and it doesn't constitute a violation until you get to the 92nd day, so then it becomes a failure to pay annual fees under the act.

And so we've set forth a regulatory structure where basically there's a 90-day window before we're interpreting whether there's a failure to actually pay a fee.

20 MR. LITTLE: I hope that answers your question. 21 Maybe not?

22 MR. DESROSIERS: Yes.
23 STATEMENT BY JAN SULLIVAN
24 MS. SULLIVAN: I'm Jan Sullivan with the
25 Cabazon Band. I have a question regarding is this fee

# Page 24

1 specific to these or would this apply to other areas 2 where NOVS would be applicable? 3 MR. LITTLE: My understanding is this is just inclusive of fees. Do you suggest any other areas, 4 5 or --6 MS. JAN SULLIVAN: No. The prior meeting in 7 San Diego, I thought it was going to apply more broadly some type of a structure for late fees or other areas 8 9 where an NOV might be a little too strong. So I was 10 just curious if that was going to be carried across and 11 that would affect how it's calculated and such. 12 MR. LITTLE: Okay. Well, if you have any 13 thoughts, if you want to put them in some words and send 14 it, we'll be interested in hearing from you. 15 MR. ROBERTS: My understanding is, there has 16 been some discussions and other consultations that this 17 be applied to audit reports and late audit reports being 18 submitted, so that might be another area where this 19 might be applied in the future. 20 STATEMENT BY JANE ZERBI 21 Jane Zerbi, attorney with MS. ZERBI: Hi. 22 United Auburn Indian Community of the Pala Band of 23 Mission Indians. At the consultation at San Diego, we 24 raised the concept of, in California, we have seen a 25 practice of prior to moving to an NOV, the issuance of a

Page 25

1 letter or even a meeting where an NIGC site inspector 2 would bring it to the attention of the gaming agency or 3 Commission and potentially the tribe of an issue, giving 4 that tribe an opportunity to implement this (inaudible) 5 practice.

I think that's what Jan may be referring to,
because what we saw -- or I saw the kind of ticketing
system suggestion. My comment was, let's not go
immediately to a ticketing situation in every instance
and use this practice (inaudible) to the best practice.

MR. LITTLE: It's my understanding, correct me if I'm wrong or fill in the blank, is that the Chairwoman has a lot of discretion. I think she would obviously take into account whether there was any past violation or not.

16 MR. ROBERTS: I think your question about --17 and actually it gets back to your question as well in 18 terms of the enforcement situation. That's going to be 19 covered in Group 2 of the consultation. So what you 20 heard in San Diego, I guess it was, that's something 21 that the Commission is in the process of looking at now 22 and will be rolling out as a part of Group 2 23 consultation. 24 STATEMENT BY JOE WEBSTER

MR. WEBSTER: Just a follow-on question. In

1	looking at the way that it's structured with the late
2	fees, I guess up through about three months or so, and
3	then possibly an NOV after that, would a tribe that
4	failed to pay for those three months then be subject to
5	both a late fee and a fine as far as the NOV process?
6	MR. ROBERTS: It's a good question. It's
7	something that we would have to brief the Commission on,
8	and I'm sure it's something that would form their
9	analysis. It's not addressed one way or another in this
10	draft, so it's something the Commission would want to
11	address in the future.
12	MR. LITTLE: Was there anyone else that would
13	like to make a comment?
14	STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS
15	MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers. It's more of
16	a question and I think this all ties in together
17	but if 60 days late, a tribe submits what they owe in
18	fees but doesn't want to pay the late fee, what happens?
19	MR. LITTLE: Like I said, the Chairwoman has a
20	lot of discretion, and I think each case would be
21	handled independently, and we definitely will want to
22	address that regulation, so I mean
23	Would you say Group 2?
24	MR. ROBERTS: It's a good question. We would
25	have to structure how that would be addressed in the

actual regulation itself, but, you know, there are going
 to be a number of different options for the Commission
 to move forward.

I suppose one option would be if they paid the fee but they don't pay the late fee, is there then some violation of the regulation and how the Commission would move forward. I think that's a good observation and a good question and it's something that the Commission would have to take into consideration in terms of moving forward with a proposed rule.

## STATEMENT BY JANE ZERBI

MS. ZERBI: Jane Zerbi. I also have a question. In the past when you've done an NOV, if a tribe pays late, does that always come with a fine or a penalty, financial penalty, or is that a slight change here?

MR. LITTLE: I'm not aware -- I think I can say
it's handled on a case-by-case basis.

Larry would definitely know.

20 MR. ROBERTS: The idea here is to obviously 21 avoid an NOV at all just because of the ramifications of 22 that. I haven't personally done a comprehensive review 23 of all of the NOVs, but I think there's always been some 24 sort of payment involved and, if not, then it was sort 25 of handled on a case-by-case basis.

11

1 MR. LITTLE: Are there any other comments on 2 this issue or any of the other -- anything specific to 3 If not, I guess we can move on to Part 523, Part 514? and these are the repeal of, I quess you could say 4 5 ordinances that were in place prior to --6 MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers. I'm sorry, 7 but I didn't realize it was part of 514, but the fingerprint thing? 8 9 MR. LITTLE: Oh, okav. Sure. 10 STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS 11 MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers. The concept 12 here again, and I respect and, of course, I went through 13 a lot of this with Chris, and we actually reduced the 14 fees from what they used to be based on the same type of 15 (inaudible), but for your benefit, NIGC's, you're 16 locking yourself into this review every couple years, 17 and from my limited knowledge, those -- well, your costs 18 may vary, but, I mean, certainly, the FBI's cost didn't 19 change for, like, 20 years almost, and those stay pretty 20 constant, and I'm wondering if there's enough 21 anticipated fluctuation. 22 And, Chris, you would know better probably than 23 anybody, but that would justify the need to go through 24 this hoop every other year, maybe every five years. Ι 25 don't know.

1	MR. WHITE: The process we anticipate going
2	forward in reviewing the fingerprint processing costs is
3	not that labor intensive. I think the initial review we
4	did that you were a part of a couple years ago was the
5	most took the most time. It's something that we can
6	update pretty easily looking at our personal costs,
7	equipment replacement, overhead, those sorts of items.
8	And what we sort of envisioned when we wrote
9	this draft was publishing the fingerprint rate
10	concurrently with the regulatory fee rate as part of the
11	same announcement. And so the review that we undergo to
12	review the regulatory rate, we would do at the same
13	time, review our fingerprint processing costs.
14	MR. LITTLE: Are there any other comments on
15	514?
16	In the NOI, the comments we heard from tribes,
17	is that they reflected the ability to choose to pay fees
18	based on a calendar or a fiscal year. These regulations
19	require a fiscal year. Does anybody have any comments
20	on how changing from a calendar year to a fiscal year
21	will affect your tribes?
22	I know that, Norm, you (inaudible) but it's
23	something we're definitely interested in. If you folks
24	want to submit written comments later, that would be
25	fine. Is there anyone commenting on that?

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

Otherwise I think we'll go to Part 523, and 1 2 these are what we've heard as basically obsolete regulations and they apply to gaming ordinances enacted 3 by tribes prior to January 22nd, 1993, and which were 4 5 not submitted for approval of the Chair of the National Indian Gaming Commission. What the Commission is 6 7 interested in is should this part be repealed. If not, if there are no comments, we'll move on 8 9 to Part 559, facility license regulations. Does anybody 10 want to start off with some comments on that particular 11 part? The Commission is interested in knowing what 12 sections of 559 should consider amending to maybe reduce redundancies. 13 14 Mr. Webster, you're all ready for a question 15 there. 16 STATEMENT BY JOE WEBSTER 17 I'll make a comment. The written MR. WEBSTER: 18 comments that the Seminole Tribe submitted suggested 19 rather than just taking an approach of modifying the 20 rates, if it really would be more appropriate to 21 withdraw the regulation completely, which, as certainly 22 you know and others in this room have discussed, there's

24 Commission's authority to promulgate facility licensing

always been some significant questions about the

25 regulations in the first place.

So it seems to me, as a threshold question before getting into the details of the regulation itself, is maybe a discussion of whether the regulation itself is even appropriate and should remain in place.

5 MR. LITTLE: Thank you. Anyone else want to 6 comment?

7 MR. ROBERTS: Setting aside the question on 8 authority, I think some of the things that the 9 Commission is interested in hearing if they are looking 10 at -- rather than repealing the regulation, if there are 11 going to be amendments made to it, are there areas where 12 we can (inaudible) the Commission can consider amending 13 it to reduce redundancies.

So, for example, the regulation provides for tribes that provide information to NIGC on environmental health and safety issues. We've heard in the context of comments from tribes and the public that some of these things are already covered in the tribal-state compacts, some of these are covered through tribal agreement with EPA or with states outside of the compacting process.

So we would like to -- and I see a number of heads nodding around the room, we would like to know how can we reduce the redundancies, if there are any in this regulation, that would streamline the process but still ensure that the facilities are adequately protected.

1

2

3

Page 33 1 MR. LITTLE: Any comments? 2 STATEMENT BY JANE ZERBI Jane Zerbi. The United Auburn 3 MS. ZERBI: Indian Community, in its written position -- so I'll 4 5 repeat it here, their comment was that as you look at 6 amending or revising it, to retain the part of it that 7 they believe are tribal sovereignty in the sense of asking tribes to identify what tribal laws we have in 8 9 place and to certify compliance. 10 MR. LITTLE: Okay. Thank you. Does anybody 11 have any comment on the time frame? You know, how 12 frequently should a tribe renew their facility license? We'll be interested in hearing that. 13 14 STATEMENT BY JOSEPH WEBSTER 15 MR. WEBSTER: Just, I guess, a process 16 clarification: If the approach is going to be to look 17 at the drafting -- make drafts of changes to the 18 regulations, is the thinking of the Commission that a 19 tribe should submit their comments and the Commission 20 will be producing a proposed draft, or that some other 21 mechanism will be working through, you know, who should 22 be used to try to take an additional crack at a rewrite? 23 MR. LITTLE: I think that's the purpose of this 24 discussion today, is to hear what tribes want to do or 25 what they suggest, whatever aspect, whether we review

1 it, whether we go to an advisory committee. So we're 2 definitely interested in hearing what the tribes feel 3 would be an appropriate mechanism for moving forward 4 with this. So any comments would be appreciated on 5 that.

### STATEMENT BY JOSEPH WEBSTER

7 MR. WEBSTER: I certainly think there would be 8 some value to maybe having a working group of some form 9 in trying to address some of these issues. I think it 10 would be easier to do that in that type of format rather 11 than a large group discussion like this.

MR. LITTLE: We're just making great time here. And if, for some reason, you think of a comment on an issue that we may have already covered, please just pipe in and we can go back. We want to make sure everybody has an opportunity to fully express their ideas. As you know, former commissioner DesRosiers has --

18 Norm, do you want to --19 STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS 20 MR. DESROSIERS: To follow a little bit on the 21 process, I'm not sure I'm clear, and maybe I 22 misunderstood, but the NOI -- I thought this was it. Ι 23 thought it articulated what was under review, what the 24 Commission's concern was, what kind of things you want 25 to comment on, and you've done plenty of it, comments,

1 that was a great process. So I want to -- now what do we do? How much comment do you need? How many times are we supposed to submit comments on that stuff? 3 So that's where I'm a little -- wondering what's going on. 4

5 MR. ROBERTS: And in terms of clarifying the 6 process, the NOI was really structured not for 7 substantive comments on these or the actual changes that should be made and here's why: It was more to ask the 8 9 basic questions of what regulations should be reviewed 10 and in what order and through what process.

11 And so as a result -- and the NOI, a number of 12 tribes, as most folks know, submitted not only their 13 thoughts in terms of which regulations were important to 14 be reviewed, but why they were important and here are 15 the changes that should be made. So some of those 16 comments were substantive. A lot of comments were 17 substantive.

So the Commission has reviewed those comments 18 19 and said, okay, the NOI was really intended to structure 20 the process moving forward of the regulatory review. 21 Now we are in the substantive phase of the Commission 22 review and regulations, and what the Commission has 23 decided -- the approach that they decided to adopt here 24 is that, rather than just issuing a notice of proposed 25 rulemaking in the federal register and dropping that and

saying, here it is, provide your written comments, this
 Commission, in taking a step back, is saying we've heard
 from you through the NOI process. Here are the regs
 that we want to talk about today.

5 And for some of these we have preliminary drafts available. For some of these we would like your 6 7 comments in terms of how do we move forward substantively. Is it a tribal working group that puts 8 together their comments and submits them to NIGC? Is it 9 some sort of more formal process where NIGC is actually 10 11 involved in that? Or is it where tribes say, you know 12 what, NIGC, you move forward with the preliminary draft, circulate it and we'll comment on that. 13

14 From that process, we'll move forward -- the 15 Commission will move forward with a notice for proposed 16 rulemaking if it makes sense. So, for example, and we 17 do not have deadlines right now for written comments, I expect the Commission is going to be issuing those 18 19 shortly so the tribes know, okay, here's the closing 20 date for the preliminary draft review. Here's when we 21 would like written comments from you in terms of 22 Group 1.

But during this process, then the Commission will review those substantive comments and decide to move forward either with a proposed rule or to say, you

1 know what, we've reviewed all the comments we've 2 received, and tribes have said, for example, Part 523 3 should not be repealed and here are the reasons why and 4 the Commission would, if they decided not to move 5 forward with that repeal, they would issue a notice 6 saying we've decided that we're not moving forward with 7 a proposed repeal of Part 523.

And so this process, while it feels like there's a lot of consultation and back and forth on substance, that's exactly what the Commission intends to achieve, is to have a back-and-forth dialog before we get too far down the road of proposed rules.

13 As I mentioned during the PowerPoint, at some 14 point, the Commission is going to be moving forward, for 15 example, fees. Consultation on the preliminary draft of 16 fees last week, we're holding this one today, we're 17 holding another one on Friday in another part of the country, and after a few of those, the Commission may 18 19 decide, okay, we're going to set out a written timeline 20 for -- or a timeline for written comments and after those are received, we're going to move forward with 21 22 that or we're not going to move forward and we're going to tell tribes we're not. So that process may be 23 24 popping up during the consultation.

25

So there's the preliminary, then there's the

proposed rule, in which case when there's a proposed rule, it will just follow the normal rulemaking context. There would be an opportunity for public comment, there will be an opportunity for consultations, and then they move forward with the final regulations.

6 So we are at the early stage in this 7 preliminary process, but this is intended to -- and I understand that the Commission understands that most of 8 9 the types of comments may come through writing, through 10 the written format, but we want to engage early with tribes. You guys are the primary regulators. We want 11 12 to make sure that any proposed changes take into account 13 that viewpoint.

14 Thank you. That helps me MR. DESROSIERS: 15 I would comment that I, and I think a lot understand. 16 of us, do find it helpful to have that preliminary 17 draft. I think that stimulates more thought and 18 discussion and comments than looking at a slide 19 visualizing what might need changing. So that's as far 20 as process goes.

Now, the other question is the process developing that preliminary draft, should that be an advisory committee? Should that be through your office of general counsel? How do you get there? Either of these steps are important before the proposed rule. So 1 I don't know.

I don't think I like advisory committees.
Subject matter experts out there in the field I think
contribute a lot to developing a good regulation, but I
don't think that every regulation, you know, is at the
level where it needs a committee to do it.
So I'll just leave it at that. Thank you.
MR. LITTLE: Larry's an attorney. Obviously
you never say anything without a lot of words.
MR. ROBERTS: One more quick follow-up is just
that, you know, the preliminary draft may be a useful
approach to stimulate discussion, and if the Commission
goes forward with the preliminary draft and circulates
that, that certainly doesn't preclude any sort of
working groups or travel advisory committees after that
based on feedback after the commission hears from
tribes.
MR. LITTLE: It's important that we that's
the purpose of today, we want to hear what do you think.
A lot of folks like working groups or travel advisory
committees, but the (inaudible) can get expensive.
We're very cognizant of that. If every one of these
regulations required advisory, a committee, they could
bear costs themselves, but it's very important that you
share with us what your thoughts are.

And I know this regulation is very contentious when it was promulgated a few years ago, and we want to make sure that -- you know, tribes are not happy with the way the process went. We want to make sure that we avoid that, that everybody is comfortable that their comments are received and taken seriously.

So does anybody have some comments over here?
Did anybody else have any comments? Larry? No?

9 I quess we can move on to the Buy Indian Act. 10 One thing I think is important to point out, this is 11 actually just for the Commission, this isn't something 12 that we're asking from the tribes. This is just for how 13 the Commission procures goods and services, and we're 14 interested in hearing what tribes feel about how we go 15 about doing that, whether we adopt a regulation similar 16 to something that Indian Health Services has, whether it 17 be a policy.

One of the things, my personal observation is, 18 19 you know, we want to make sure we try to utilize tribal facilities as much as we possibly can. We understand 20 21 that our -- we don't get a federal appropriation, that 22 our proffering budget comes exclusively from the fees 23 that you all pay. We want to be very good stewards of 24 those fees, and I quess maybe a testament to the 25 strength of this industry is that sometimes we go to

different areas and the tribal facility is more
 expensive. It's a lot more expensive than a non-Indian
 facility.

Do tribes feel that we should still utilize that tribal facility even though it might be more expensive than a non-tribal facility which could incur greater costs? Or is it more appropriate to go with a lower cost and save some fees or some funding?

9 So does anybody have any comments about how 10 they feel? Once again, this is only for the Commission. 11 It is not something that we're requesting that tribes 12 adopt. It's a sovereignty issue that each tribe will 13 take upon themselves.

#### STATEMENT BY JOHN McGEE

MR. McGEE: John McGee of Pechanga. The comment that I have to say is that your salaries and the support of the NIGC structure comes from the tribes themselves. So it only seems that -- I get the cost structure, but it would seem that to reciprocate you probably should be staying at the tribal facility, even if they are more expensive. Thank you.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you. Anyone else?
 STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS
 MR. DESROSIERS: Norm DesRosiers. Just one
 comment. I just happen to think that you could

14

adequately satisfy the desires to buy Indian through
 policy rather than regulation.

3 MR. LITTLE: Thank you. One of the issues that we come across quite often is that at the federal agency 4 5 we have to follow the GSA schedule, we have to follow annual -- our area of per diem rates and things like 6 And it's difficult sometimes finding a tribal 7 that. facility that's offered in the federal fee and 8 9 quidelines.

10 So, you know, these are some of the things to 11 take into account for a different area, but I understand 12 your concern on how we could address that issue.

Yes, sir.

13

17

18

STATEMENT BY RON JAEGER
MR. JAEGER: Yes, Rob Jaeger with the United
Auburn Indian Community.

(Statement inaudible.)

MR. LITTLE: Speak louder.

19MR JAEGER: No, I totally agree, you should be20attending -- staying at Indian facility. I think most21of the tribes, at least the ones that -- (inaudible.)

22 MR. WHITE: Just to hit on the issue of policy 23 versus regulation, as Commissioner Little said, we're 24 subject to federal procurement laws, and they're 25 extremely complicated and will get you into a lot of

trouble very quickly. But the golden rule of federal
 procurement is lowest cost to government trumps.

Now, there are mechanisms to get around that 3 rule. There are mechanisms that provide for 4 5 minority-owned businesses, veteran-owned businesses, and in the case of Buy Indian, native-owned businesses. 6 As 7 a matter of policy, we can navigate the federal procurement system and procure goods and services from 8 native-owned businesses. 9

It's difficult to do, though. I have a procurement specialist back in D.C., and basically all she does all day is deal with procurement issues and justify to the contracting officers why we want to stay at a native facility versus a facility down the road.

15 If we have regulations in place, it makes that 16 process a lot easier for us. You know, going to a 17 contracting officer with a regulation versus just a policy makes guite a bit of difference in the 18 19 procurement process. And so that's sort of where we're 20 coming from with the adopting a regulation for Buy 21 Indian. So I'm just throwing that out there. 22 MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Chris. 23 Are there any other comments on Buy Indian? 24 Any other comments on any other regulations we've 25 already gone through? Okay. If not we'll move on to

1 the Class III MICS regulation.

2 The NOI asks several additional questions to assess the consequences each of the options might have 3 on the industry. These are: If Class III MICS are no 4 5 longer regulations but are changed to guidelines, what, 6 if any, impact will it have on tribal gaming? If the 7 Class III MICS are repealed, how would it impact tribal regulators and operators? If the Class III MICS are 8 9 repealed, how would it affect compacts that have 10 incorporated? If the repeal -- how would it affect 11 those tribes who have amended their gaming ordinance to 12 authorize the NIGC to enforce the Class III MICS? And 13 then how would it affect compacts that recognize federal oversight of Indian gaming enough to not provide the 14 15 states regulating Class III MICS? 16 I know this is obviously an important subject.

16 I know this is obviously an important subject. 17 Does anyone have any comments they want to start off 18 with?

#### STATEMENT BY RON JAEGER

20 MR. JAEGER: Ron Jaeger, United Auburn Tribe. 21 In February 8 we submitted our CRIT comments to 22 Chairwoman Stevens. For the record today, I just would 23 like to comment that we support -- our tribe supports 24 the NIGC continuation of the MICS standard for Class III 25 gaming, and since '99 it served as a uniform baseline

19

for tribes to issue their own tribal internal control
 standards.

3 And in our comments we suggested also that we had -- our tribe has adopted the federal MICS standards 4 5 and a tribal gaming ordinance approved by NIGC, which 6 concurs regulatory oversight to the NIGC and to enforce 7 the MICS, and in California our gaming -- in our gaming compacts a regulation or tribal gaming ordinance and 8 9 regulatory procedures. California, at least in our 10 compact --

MR. LITTLE: I'm sorry. Please speak up.

12 MR. JAEGER: -- at least in our compacts -- I'm 13 sorry. We support -- it's in our compact, we support 14 the Class III MICS through our ordinance the tribe has 15 adopted. So we think that the federal Class III MICS 16 should stay in place, and a review of this would have to 17 be done with really careful concern as to how we might 18 change it or how it might impact those that are 19 operating under the Class III MICS.

20

11

MR. LITTLE: Thank you.

Any others? This is an important issue here. We've actually put this in Group 1 and Group 5. The idea is that, you know, we're here to hear what tribes want to do, whether through those questions that I asked previously or -- I mean, we can go around the country

1 and you have, like Larry said in the presentation, 2 (inaudible) tribes to have adopted MICS in their gaming ordinances, the Class III MICS, you've got compacts, 3 that's an issue in California. 4 5 So these aren't questions that you have to 6 answer right now. If you want to think about them and 7 submit some written comments at a later date, that will be fine also. 8 STATEMENT BY ANTHONY BARNES 9 10 MR. BARNES: Anthony Barnes, Chairman of the 11 Pala Gaming Commission representing the Pala Band of 12 Mission Indians. I just want to say that we concur with 13 everything Ron Jaeger said from United Auburn, that we 14 are in full support of the NIGC Class III MICS. 15 MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Chairman. Any other 16 comments? Norm? I know you're just waiting. 17 STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS 18 MR. DESROSIERS: I'm glad you're asking for me 19 to repeat everything that we've said before. I trust 20 you read the article in the Indian Gaming magazine, 21 March issue, on this subject, and I really studied that 22 CRIT ruling pretty hard before I wrote that article. One of the points -- I think a critical point -- that I 23 24 made is disputing this statement that you have on your 25 slide that CRIT held that the Commission does not have

authority to promulgate regulations establishing Class
 III MICS.

I didn't see that in the -- I saw you couldn't 3 promulgate mandatory regulations, I saw you couldn't --4 5 certainly couldn't enforce the regulations, but the ruling didn't say abolish the regulations that exist. 6 7 The ruling, in fact, recognized that you may well have to do some Class III MICS for tribes that might be 8 9 operating without a compact or under sectarian 10 procedures. So I know that there's an -- and I 11 certainly know that not all tribes agree with this 12 position, and I know that there historically has been a 13 sense at NIGC that this statement is very (inaudible).

14 So one of things I'm trying to do in that 15 article is to give you guys a way out, give you a door 16 and some wiggle room to where you could keep these 17 regulations inspite of the CRIT ruling. So I hope you take those points seriously, because I think -- I 18 19 believe where there's a will there's a way to do this. If there isn't a will to do it, then it's easy to 20 21 discard it. Thank you.

22 MR. LITTLE: Thank you. Are there any other 23 comments? 24 STATEMENT BY RON JAEGER

MR. JAEGER: Ron Jaeger, United Auburn. I'd

25

1	just like to add one more comment just so that it shows
2	the impact I think it would have in California, and that
3	is if we just passed a new statewide regulation called
4	CGCC-8 and that regulation incorporates the Class III
5	MICS. And so you're dealing with 60 tribes in
6	California upward of 60 tribes in California under
7	that state regulation, and so the impact of any kind of
8	change would be fairly huge in California.
9	MR. LITTLE: Thank you.
10	STATEMENT BY JOSEPH WEBSTER
11	MR. WEBSTER: Along those lines, I think one of
12	the concerns, certainly that's been expressed here, is
13	that you do have some of these tribes that have made use
14	of the MICS and have incorporated those either in
15	ordinances or compacts that, frankly, the repercussions,
16	if the Commission were to repeal the MICS, frankly it's
17	not clear when you have a compact that specifically
18	incorporates a particular version (inaudible). The
19	issue really, it seems to me, goes to keeping the MICS
20	up to date and making sure that the MICS are adjusted to
21	accommodate a new technology, new issues that come
22	about.
23	So I do think this is one area the Commission
24	does have to be very, very careful about. Although I

25 think -- whatever else CRIT said, I think one thing that

1 is absolutely clear is it's really up to the tribes 2 whether the Class III MICS will fly or not. So the CRIT decision really goes to the 3 Commission's authority to force a tribe to comply with a 4 5 Class III MICS, and the Court was very clear that the 6 Commission doesn't have that authority. So it's really 7 a question, you know, for each tribe to determine as part of its compact negotiations or its gaming ordinance 8 what role it would like for federal standards. 9 So I guess that in looking at this I think 10 11 this, the Commission does have to be very careful. I 12 also do think that certainly there are ways, and we'll 13 be following up with written comments, to try to 14 maintain some standard that tribes would have as they --15 at least as a quide or as a reference that could be 16 used. 17 Thank you. Any other comments? MR. LITTLE: 18 We've specifically placed this issue in both 19 Group 1 and Group 5 so that we can get more information, 20 more comments. Like I said, feel free to submit 21 additional comments that you may think about after 22 today's session. 23 When we do address this in Group 5, we'll have 24 a better understanding of the issue and what tribes believe. 25 This is a complicated issue, so we have to

travel around the country. In Oklahoma, the comments are generally sometimes different. And, actually, in California you've got a major difference of opinion. So it's a very tough issue, that we want to make sure that we somehow form a way that we can address it effectively.

7 Because, Joe, you make some very good comments, 8 that technology is changing and that, you know, the 9 issues are out there, and we're going to make sure that 10 it works for everyone. So I appreciate it. Thank you.

11 Does anyone have any other comments on Class 12 III?

#### STATEMENT BY NORM DESROSIERS

14 MR. DESROSIERS: Well, looking at your slide on 15 the structure, I just would comment on -- first, well, 16 of course, we don't want to see Part 542 repealed. But 17 I find it interesting that there's an issue there of 18 tribes who have incorporated Part 542 and their 19 ordinance would pay a higher annual fee. And I think I can understand the concept there, but that strikes me as 20 21 a little discriminatory. There's a lot of NIGC 22 regulations that have varying degrees of impact in 23 enforcement costs. We get into, well this regulation costs more for this tribe so their fees should be more, 24 25 and this regulation costs more to enforce than this

13

1 tribe so these fees should be more. Let's not -- I 2 would be very opposed to any notion like that. MR. LITTLE: Your point is well taken. 3 This is what we've heard from tribes, so that's why it is that 4 5 we've had tribes that are in California compacts that 6 require the same, so these are comments we have heard 7 from tribes, that we're spending more time there. We should looking at this stuff. I appreciate that thanks. 8 9 I don't know if there's any other comments. It 10 would probably be a good time to take a break. When we 11 come back, maybe we could come back at 10:45. Τf 12 there's no other comments, we'll continue along and see 13 if there's any other comments when we come back. So let's break until 10:45. 14 15 (Recess.) 16 MR. LITTLE: There's a number of issues: the 17 fees, the Buy Indian act, the obsolete ordinances, 18 facility license, and then we discussed the Class III 19 MICS and any efforts to solicit comments on how tribes 20 feel about the issues. 21 Are there any other comments that anybody would 22 like to put on the record? It could be on any issue, 23 not just the Class III, which is the last topic that we 24 addressed. Maybe the break gave you an opportunity to 25 think of some ideas or questions that you may want to

1 put forward or comments that you might want to make. 2 We talked about this Group 1 consultation is a series of, I think, five or six consultations throughout 3 the country that we're doing. You're more than welcome 4 5 to submit any additional written comments to the 6 Commission at a later date. I know many of you need to get back and meet with your operations folks or your 7 council before you put together some written statements, 8 9 that's fine. We definitely would appreciate that and 10 encourage that. 11 Does anyone have any final thoughts? 12 Joe. 13 STATEMENT BY JOSEPH WEBSTER 14 MR. WEBSTER: Just a follow-up question on 15 process and schedule. In looking ahead as we get past 16 these first few meetings, a number of meetings have 17 groups 1, 2, 3 some of them have four groups all being 18 addressed at the same consultation meeting. I'm just 19 kind of curious in terms of how that would be 20 structured. Will those meetings be held separately at 21 the same location? Is there going to be the idea that 22 those will be a single group that will just go through 23 the issues of the Group 1, the Group 2, and so forth? MR. LITTLE: Yes, the latter part of your 24 25 comment. When we do the consultations where there's

1	more than one group represented on the agenda, we will
2	go through all of them in one session. I think and I
3	need to reference the schedule, I think on those
4	sessions where more than one group is scheduled to
5	discuss, I think we've scheduled consultation for
6	multiple days, but we understand it will take longer
7	especially when we get into the technical standards and
8	Class II and things like that. There's obviously going
9	to be a lot more interest as we move further along in
10	the process, so we'll have a better idea.
11	Like as in the Buy Indian Act, we'll have a
12	preliminary draft out by then. So, yes, we will be
13	addressing them concurrently. It will be in one session
14	when we discuss, all the groups.
15	MR. WEBSTER: Thank you.
16	MR. LITTLE: I don't want to shake anything out
17	of anybody. If there are no other comments, we can
18	adjourn early today. There's a nice pool outside. In
19	walking over there, I was wondering why we didn't have a
20	table around the pool.
21	I'd hate to close the meeting and then anybody,
22	you know, really wanting to say anything, so like I
23	said, feel free to collect your thoughts, get your
24	councils and submit written comments if you'd like. We
25	will have the transcript posted on our website, probably

in a couple weeks or a week or so. Feel free to review
 those.

If you'd like some additional follow-up you want to submit, that would be helpful. Otherwise, if I don't have any additional comments from the tribal leaders or our staff, I will close the meeting, if everybody's in agreement with that.

#### STATEMENT BY CHRIS WHITE

9 MR. WHITE: I just wanted to follow-up on 10 something Commissioner DesRosiers brought up earlier, 11 and that's specifically 514. We're very interested in 12 hearing not just on the substantive changes proposed in 13 the regulation but also the implementation process. And 14 so I was happy to hear that Norm is taking that back to 15 your accountants and having them look at that. Because 16 implementation is very important to all these reqs, but 17 especially with the regulation, we want to do it in a manner that's not burdensome to tribes, to turn over to 18 19 a new system. So please take this draft policy back to 20 your accounting departments. Have your comptrollers and 21 accounts payable folks look at it, and we're really 22 looking for comments, not just on the proposed draft but 23 also the implementation of any final rule. Thank you. 24 MR. LITTLE: If there's no other comments, I

just want to once again thank everyone for coming out

8

Page 55 1 today. I want to thank the NIGC regional staff who 2 helped organize a lot of this. I know half of our staff is in D.C. and the other half is spread throughout the 3 4 regional offices, and the regional staff does a great 5 job on behalf of the Commission, and they're out here 6 day to day working with the tribes and providing 7 assistance. So I want to thank them for all their help. And I just want to say thank you on behalf of 8 9 the entire Commission, Chairman Stevens and Vice 10 Chairman Cochran, and we wish you all safe travels back 11 to your homes. 12 Thank you. 13 (The proceedings were concluded at 10:57 a.m.) 111 14 15 /// 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	
2	
3	
4	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
7	before me at the time and place herein set forth; that a
8	record of the proceedings was made by me using machine
9	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
10	direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate
11	transcription thereof.
12	I further certify that I am neither financially
13	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
14	any attorney of any of the parties.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed
16	my name.
17	
18	Dated:
19	
20	
21	DENISE A. JONES
	CSR No. 12900
22	
23	
24	
25	

# [& - appeals]

0	16 2.10 16	accountants 20.12	aganay 1.15 6.14
&	<b>46</b> 2:10,16	accountants 20:12 20:19 54:15	<b>agency</b> 4:15 6:14 26:2 42:4
<b>&amp;</b> 21:23	48 2:11,15	4	
1	5	<b>accounting</b> 20:5 54:20	<b>agenda</b> 8:4 53:1 <b>ago</b> 30:4 40:2
<b>1</b> 3:7 8:15,19 10:4	<b>5</b> 17:8,18,21 23:1,3	accounts 54:21	agree 21:23 23:22
10:11,13,19 11:4	45:22 49:19,23	accurate 13:8,9	42:19 47:11
12:14 15:17 17:8,19	<b>50</b> 2:10	56:10	agreement 23:25
36:22 45:22 49:19	<b>514</b> 13:20 19:14	<b>achieve</b> 37:11	32:19 54:7
52:2,17,23	20:24 29:3,7 30:15	act 12:20 16:19 17:4	
<b>100</b> 1:18	54:11	24:15 40:9 51:17	<b>agua</b> 3:9,20,24 4:10 6:6
<b>10:45</b> 51:11,14	<b>52</b> 2:11	53:11	ahead 52:15
<b>10:57</b> 55:13	<b>523</b> 15:19,19,25	action 56:13	<b>aheau</b> 52.15 <b>ak</b> 5:11
<b>10.</b> 57 55.15 <b>12</b> 21:5	16:2 29:3 31:1 37:2	actual 17:21 23:17	<b>ak</b> 5.11 <b>alan</b> 6:9
<b>12 1</b> 2/31 19:24	37:7	28:1 35:7	<b>allow</b> 16:21
<b>12/01</b> 19:24 <b>12900</b> 56:21	<b>54</b> 2:17	add 48:1	allowing 3:9
1200 30.21 12th 7:25	<b>542</b> 18:19,21,23,25	additional 33:22	alternatives 10:2
<b>13</b> 23:2	50:16,18	44:2 49:21 52:5	amend 18:5
<b>13175</b> 9:19	<b>559</b> 16:5,16 31:9,12	54:3,5	amended 44:11
<b>18</b> 7:14	6	additionally 3:8	amending 31:12
<b>1993</b> 15:20 31:4	<b>6</b> 2:8	address 3:11 17:15	32:12 33:6
<b>1st</b> 8:2 13:7,7	<b>60</b> 10:20 27:17 48:5	17:20 27:11,22 34:9	amendments 32:11
2	48:6	42:12 49:23 50:5	amortization 13:10
<b>2</b> 1:11 3:1 26:19,22	8	addressed 27:9,25	13:16
27:23 52:17,23	<b>8</b> 44:21 48:4	51:24 52:18	amounts 22:2
<b>20</b> 2:10 29:19		addressing 8:4	analysis 27:9
<b>2010</b> 7:14	9	53:13	angeles 6:11
<b>2010</b> 1:11 3:1	9 2:9	adequately 32:25	announcement
<b>21</b> 2:11	<b>90</b> 24:17	42:1	30:11
<b>22nd</b> 31:4	<b>92</b> 22:9,10	adjourn 53:18	<b>annual</b> 14:7 23:16
<b>23</b> 2:9,10	<b>92nd</b> 24:14	adjust 13:24	23:17 24:15 42:6
<b>25</b> 2:12,13	<b>99</b> 44:25	adjusted 48:20	50:19
27 2:10,11	<b>9:00</b> 1:12	administrator 5:6	answer 19:19 24:8
<b>28</b> 2:13	<b>9:09</b> 3:1	admission 14:24	46:6
<b>29</b> 2:10	a	15:2,3,5	<b>answers</b> 24:1,20
3	<b>a.m.</b> 1:12 3:1 55:13	adopt 15:8 35:23	anthony 2:16 46:9
<b>3</b> 2:7 52:17	ability 30:17	40:15 41:12	46:10
<b>3</b> 2:7 32:17 <b>31</b> 2:11	<b>able</b> 3:11	adopted 45:4,15	anticipate 30:1
<b>31</b> 2.11 <b>33</b> 2:11,13	abolish 47:6	46:2	anticipated 29:21
<b>34</b> 2:10,11	absolutely 49:1	adopting 16:18	anybody 19:21 20:3
4	accept 15:8	43:20	20:5 21:1,13,19
	accommodate 48:21	advising 7:15	22:19 29:23 30:19
<b>41</b> 2:14	account 23:9 26:14	advisory 18:23 34:1	31:9 33:10 40:7,8
<b>42</b> 2:10,15	38:12 42:11	38:23 39:2,15,20,23	41:9 51:21 53:17,21
<b>44</b> 2:15		affect 20:4 25:11	appeals 23:10,15
		30:21 44:9,10,13	

## [appearances - chris]

appearances 2:2	<b>attending</b> 9:9 42:20	<b>began</b> 7:13	california 1:19 3:1
applicable 25:2	attention 26:2	<b>behalf</b> 3:10,15,25	18:4,8 25:24 45:7,9
applied 22:6 25:17	<b>attorney</b> 4:21 5:25	5:11,17 55:5,8	46:4 48:2,6,6,8 50:3
25:19	21:22 25:21 39:8	<b>believe</b> 11:3 16:3	51:5 56:5
applies 15:19	56:14	22:10 33:7 47:19	called 48:3
apply 16:22,23 20:1	<b>auburn</b> 4:15 5:25	49:25	canyon 1:18
25:1,7 31:3	6:1 25:22 33:3	benefit 29:15	cards 14:16
appreciate 20:17,21	42:16 44:20 46:13	bernal 4:17	careful 45:17 48:24
50:10 51:8 52:9	47:25	<b>best</b> 7:3 26:10	49:11
appreciated 34:4	audit 25:17,17	<b>better</b> 21:12,14	carried 25:10
approach 18:21	auditors 20:12	29:22 49:24 53:10	case 11:8 27:20
21:24,25 31:19	authority 17:2 18:1	<b>beyond</b> 20:10	28:18,18,25,25 38:1
33:16 35:23 39:12	18:6 31:24 32:8	biannual 14:21	43:6
approaches 18:10	47:1 49:4,6	<b>big</b> 24:2	casino 1:17
appropriate 22:15	authorize 44:12	<b>bit</b> 34:20 43:18	certain 23:10
24:1 31:20 32:4	available 36:6	<b>blank</b> 22:3 26:12	certainly 21:23
34:3 41:7	avoid 19:24 28:21	<b>bob</b> 5:23	29:18 31:21 34:7
appropriation	40:5	<b>box</b> 7:10	39:14 47:5,11 48:12
21:17 40:21	aware 14:14 17:25	break 51:10,14,24	49:12
approval 12:18	18:4 28:17	<b>brief</b> 27:7	certified 56:4
15:21 31:5	b	briefly 4:1	<b>certify</b> 33:9 56:5,12
approved 15:23	<b>b</b> 13:20	<b>bring</b> 26:2	<b>cgcc</b> 48:4
45:5	bachtelle 5:8	broader 15:1	<b>chair</b> 23:7 31:5
april 8:2	back 19:1 20:14	broadly 25:7	<b>chairman</b> 2:3 3:20
<b>area</b> 25:18 42:6,11	23:13 26:17 34:15	<b>brought</b> 54:10	3:24 4:6,16 46:10
48:23	36:2 37:9,11 43:11	<b>budget</b> 40:22	46:15 55:9,10
areas 12:15 18:3	51:11,11,13 52:7	building 6:15	chairwoman 3:16
25:1,4,8 32:11 41:1	54:14,19 55:10	burdensome 54:18	3:16 4:13 15:21
arizona 5:12	<b>band</b> 3:21 5:2 6:1	<b>businesses</b> 43:5,5,6	26:13 27:19 44:22
<b>article</b> 46:20,22	24:25 25:22 46:11	43:9	<b>change</b> 13:14,16,21
47:15	<b>barnes</b> 2:16 46:9,10	<b>buy</b> 12:20 16:19	13:23 21:19 28:15
articulated 34:23	46:10	40:9 42:1 43:6,20	29:19 45:18 48:8
aside 32:7	<b>based</b> 8:6 13:3 14:9	43:23 51:17 53:11	<b>changed</b> 14:25 44:5
<b>asked</b> 16:17 45:24	22:17 29:14 30:18	buying 16:24	<b>changes</b> 33:17 35:7
asking 16:13 18:12	39:16	с	35:15 38:12 54:12
33:8 40:12 46:18	baseline 44:25	<b>cabazon</b> 5:2 24:25	changing 30:20
asks 44:2	<b>basic</b> 18:15 35:9	cahuilla 3:21	38:19 50:8
aspect 33:25	basically 11:2,17	calculated 25:11	<b>check</b> 7:10
aspects 13:2	14:6,19 18:16 22:25	calculation 13:3,19	<b>chicken</b> 5:6,8,10
assess 44:3	24:17 31:2 43:11	<b>calendar</b> 13:4 20:2	<b>chin</b> 5:11
assistance 55:7	basis 14:21 28:18,25	30:18,20	<b>choose</b> 30:17
associate 2:3 3:14	<b>bean</b> 20:15	caliente 3:9,21,25	chris 2:17 4:23
association 5:12	<b>bear</b> 39:24	4:10 6:6	19:18 29:13,22
attended 9:17			43:22 54:8

	1	r	
circulate 36:13	10:13,22 11:22 12:5	commissioner 2:3	concurs 45:6
circulated 11:6	12:11 13:15 15:6	3:15 4:11,25 6:12	conducting 7:16
circulates 39:13	16:12,20 19:14,15	9:15 12:4 19:2,10	confronted 16:15
clarification 33:16	20:3,16,25 21:2	23:5 34:17 42:23	confusion 19:25
clarifies 14:19	22:19 29:1 30:14,16	54:10	consequences 44:3
clarify 13:18	30:19,24 31:8,10,18	commitment 6:15	consider 15:15
clarifying 35:5	32:17 33:1,19 34:4	9:19 12:8	16:18 18:18 31:12
clarity 23:4	34:25 35:3,7,16,16	committed 6:17	32:12
class 12:22 17:7,15	35:18 36:1,7,9,17	12:12	consideration 28:9
17:20 18:2,2,6,13	36:21,24 37:1,20	committee 18:23	considered 12:10
44:1,4,7,8,12,15,24	38:9,18 40:6,7,8	34:1 38:23 39:6,23	consistent 15:9,14
45:14,15,19 46:3,14	41:9 43:23,24 44:17	committees 39:2,15	19:25
47:1,8 48:4 49:2,5	44:21 45:3 46:7,16	39:21	<b>constant</b> 24:5 29:20
50:11 51:18,23 53:8	47:23 49:13,17,20	<b>community</b> 5:11 6:1	constitute 14:12
<b>clear</b> 9:19 12:13	49:21 50:1,7,11	25:22 33:4 42:16	24:13
23:16 34:21 48:17	51:6,9,12,13,19,21	<b>compact</b> 45:10,13	<b>consult</b> 9:24 10:1
49:1,5	52:1,5 53:17,24	47:9 48:17 49:8	consultation 1:2 3:6
<b>close</b> 53:21 54:6	54:5,22,24	compacting 18:20	4:3 7:6,7,8 8:1 9:9
closely 15:8	commission 1:1 3:6	32:20	9:20 10:14,21 11:2
closing 36:19	3:10,25 4:1,10,12	compacts 18:3	11:12,19 12:16
<b>cochran</b> 3:17 55:10	4:14,16,18,20,22,24	21:10 32:18 44:9,13	17:23 25:23 26:19
cognizant 39:22	5:3,4,5,9,10,14,16	45:8,12 46:3 48:15	26:23 37:9,15,24
collaborative 7:8	5:22 6:6,13 7:12,20	51:5	52:2,18 53:5
collect 53:23	8:2,7 9:13,18 10:8	complete 11:24	consultations 7:23
collection 13:2	10:17,23 11:1,9,14	completely 31:21	8:6 9:17 11:4,8 12:6
<b>come</b> 19:4 28:14	11:17 12:12,15,16	compliance 33:9	25:16 38:4 52:3,25
38:9 42:4 48:21	13:8,12 14:1,15,22	complicated 42:25	<b>contained</b> 3:13 8:18
51:11,11,13	15:7,12,15,17 16:2	49:25	contentious 40:1
<b>comes</b> 23:13 40:22	16:7,13,14,17 17:2	comply 49:4	<b>context</b> 11:1 14:24
41:17	17:5,9,18,25 18:4	comprehensive 7:16	15:1 32:16 38:2
comfortable 40:5	18:10,13,17 21:11	8:4 28:22	continuation 44:24
<b>coming</b> 4:5 43:20	22:4 23:18,22 26:3	comptroller 4:23	<b>continue</b> 20:1 51:12
54:25	26:21 27:7,10 28:2	19:19	contract 17:3
<b>comment</b> 7:18 10:7	28:6,8 31:6,6,11	comptrollers 54:20	contracting 43:13
10:20 12:9 14:3	32:9,12 33:18,19	computing 13:18	43:17
19:7 20:12,19 21:19	35:18,21,22 36:2,15	<b>concept</b> 22:5 23:23	contribute 39:4
26:8 27:13 31:17	36:18,23 37:4,10,14	25:24 29:11 50:20	<b>control</b> 12:21 45:1
32:6 33:5,11 34:13	37:18 38:8 39:12,16	<b>concern</b> 11:21 24:5	convening 18:23
34:25 35:2 36:13	40:11,13 41:10	34:24 42:12 45:17	conversation 19:22
38:3,15 41:16,25	46:11,25 48:16,23	concerns 48:12	coordinator 5:24
44:23 48:1 50:15	49:6,11 52:6 55:5,9	concluded 55:13	correct 26:11
52:25	commission's 12:8	<b>concur</b> 46:12	<b>cost</b> 29:18 41:8,18
commenting 30:25	15:22 31:24 34:24	concurrently 30:10	43:2
<b>comments</b> 3:18 4:3	49:4	53:13	costa 5:6
7:24 8:1,7 9:2,3,16			

<b>costs</b> 29:17 30:2,6	days 22:9,11 27:17	differ 6:22	drafts 12:1,2,3
30:13 39:24 41:7	53:6	difference 43:18	33:17 36:6
50:23,24,25	deadlines 36:17	50:3	<b>drive</b> 1:18
council 52:8	<b>deal</b> 43:12	different 17:11	dropping 35:25
councils 53:24	dealing 48:5	18:17 20:6 28:2	е
<b>counsel</b> 2:4 4:19	<b>dean</b> 21:23	41:1 42:11 50:2	earlier 22:13 54:10
24:8 38:24	<b>decide</b> 36:24 37:19	differently 18:9	early 9:10 11:3 38:6
counting 20:15	<b>decided</b> 8:7 11:14	<b>difficult</b> 42:7 43:10	38:10 53:18
<b>country</b> 7:23 10:15	35:23,23 37:4,6	direction 56:10	easier 34:10 43:16
14:23 15:11 16:10	<b>decides</b> 10:8,18	<b>director</b> 2:4 5:2,15	easily 30:6
17:12 18:3 37:18	11:10	6:2,4,9	easy 47:20
45:25 50:1 52:4	decision 17:17 49:3	discard 47:21	easy 47.20 ed 4:12 5:11
<b>couple</b> 29:16 30:4	defines 14:6	discretion 23:9	effective 19:23 20:6
54:1	defining 15:15	26:13 27:20	
<b>course</b> 23:23 29:12	definitely 27:21	discriminatory	effectively 7:4 50:6
50:16	28:19 30:23 34:2	50:21	efforts 51:19
<b>court</b> 49:5	52:9	discuss 3:12 53:5,14	eight 7:23
<b>cover</b> 11:9	definition 15:9,13	discussed 31:22	<b>either</b> 14:10 23:5
<b>covered</b> 26:19 32:18	degrees 50:22	51:18	36:25 38:24 48:14
32:19 34:14	<b>denise</b> 8:23 56:21	discussion 11:25	elders 3:5
covering 12:14	departments 54:20	12:2,2,24 14:2	embarrass 19:10
<b>cpas</b> 20:12	depauli 5:10	15:10 16:14 17:13	employee 56:13
crack 33:22	deputy 6:2	32:3 33:24 34:11	enacted 15:20 31:3
created 7:3	desires 42:1	38:18 39:12	encourage 10:7 16:3
<b>crit</b> 44:21 46:22,25	desrosiers 2:10 4:11	discussions 17:22	16:24 52:10
47:17 48:25 49:3	19:11 20:7,8,8	25:16	encouraging 10:12
critical 21:8,16	23:20,21,21 24:22	disputing 46:24	enforce 44:12 45:6
22:13 46:23	27:14,15,15 29:6,6	distinction 24:9	47:5 50:25
<b>csr</b> 56:21	29:10,11,11 34:17	distribute 17:5	enforcement 26:18
curious 22:4 24:7	34:19,20 38:14	doing 19:24 40:15	50:23
25:10 52:19	41:23,24,24 46:17	52:4	engage 38:10
currently 13:5	46:18 50:13,14	door 47:15	ensure 6:25 32:25
d	54:10	<b>dora</b> 4:17	entire 55:9
	<b>detail</b> 13:1 14:6	draft 10:5,6,9 11:5	entities 17:3
<b>d.c.</b> 43:11 55:3	detailed 8:18 13:8	12:24 13:2,13 14:2	entry 14:25 15:2,5
<b>dan</b> 3:14 6:12	details 32:2	15:10 16:8 17:6	environmental
daniel 2:3,8	determine 49:7	19:17 20:14 22:2,24	32:15
darlene 4:18	determining 9:25	24:11 27:10 30:9	envisioned 30:8
date 9:1,4 19:23	developed 6:14	33:20 36:12,20	epa 32:20
20:3,6 23:14 36:20	developing 7:11	37:15 38:17,22	equipment 30:7
46:7 48:20 52:6	38:22 39:4	39:11,13 53:12	eric 2:4 6:4,9
56:15	dialog 7:9 37:11	54:19,22	especially 53:7
dated 56:18	diego 25:7,23 26:20	drafted 13:5 23:3,9	54:17
<b>day</b> 10:20 24:14,17	diem 42:6	drafting 10:12 11:5	establish 9:25
43:12 55:6,6		33:17	
		55.17	

established 11:12	<b>fall</b> 7:12	<b>fine</b> 23:24 24:10	37:22 38:5 39:13
establishing 18:1	familiar 6:21	27:5 28:14 30:25	52:1
47:1	<b>far</b> 27:5 37:12 38:19	46:8 52:9	forwards 14:17
estimated 11:24	<b>fbi</b> 14:17	fingerprint 29:8	<b>four</b> 52:17
<b>everybody</b> 5:19 8:22	<b>fbi's</b> 29:18	30:2,9,13	<b>frame</b> 33:11
20:9 34:15 40:5	<b>february</b> 7:22,25	fingerprinting	<b>frank</b> 6:10
everybody's 54:7	11:3,13 13:7 44:21	14:16,21	frankly 48:15,16
exactly 37:10	federal 6:19 9:25	first 31:25 50:15	free 49:20 53:23
<b>example</b> 11:4 15:4	10:2 21:17 35:25	52:16	54:1
23:11 32:14 36:16	40:21 42:4,8,24	<b>fiscal</b> 13:4,23 30:18	frequently 33:12
37:2,15	43:1,7 44:13 45:4	30:19,20	<b>friday</b> 37:17
exchange 7:9	45:15 49:9	<b>five</b> 8:8 11:15 12:15	<b>full</b> 46:14
exclusively 40:22	<b>fee</b> 11:6,9 13:6 14:9	29:24 52:3	<b>fully</b> 6:24 34:16
<b>executive</b> 5:1,15 6:2	14:9,11,24,25 15:2	flat 14:11 22:9,17	function 7:2
9:19,22	15:3 19:24 20:1	23:6	<b>fund</b> 21:16
exist 47:6	22:9,17 23:2,6,17	<b>floor</b> 9:16 19:14	funding 41:8
existing 12:19 13:11	24:3,9,13,19,25	florida 5:18	<b>further</b> 16:16 19:25
expect 36:18	27:5,18 28:5,5	fluctuation 29:21	53:9 56:10,12
expensive 39:21	30:10 42:8 50:19	<b>fly</b> 49:2	<b>future</b> 12:2 17:6
41:2,2,6,21	feedback 12:17	folks 10:25 22:16	25:19 27:11
experience 21:5	14:23 17:16 39:16	30:23 35:12 39:20	g
23:24	<b>feel</b> 34:2 40:14 41:4	52:7 54:21	gaap 15:9,14
expertise 20:11	41:10 49:20 51:20	follow 26:25 34:20	gaming 1:1 3:6,25
experts 39:3	53:23 54:1	38:2 39:10 42:5,5	4:10,12,13,15,18,20
explanation 8:18	feels 37:8	52:14 54:3,9	4:22,24 5:3,4,5,8,10
express 34:16	<b>fees</b> 12:18,23 13:3,3	following 49:13	5:12,13,16,21 6:6
expressed 48:12	13:18 14:7,10,20,25	<b>force</b> 49:4	6:23 12:22 13:3,23
extend 3:8	15:1,2,4,5 19:14	foregoing 56:6,10	15:9,13,24 17:25
extends 11:2	21:1,14,15,18,20	form 27:8 34:8 50:5	18:5 20:4 22:18
extremely 42:25	22:3,6,13 23:12	<b>formal</b> 36:10	23:22 26:2 31:3,6
f	24:15 25:4,8 27:2	<b>format</b> 34:10 38:10	44:6,11,14,25 45:5
facilities 32:25	27:18 29:14 30:17	<b>former</b> 19:10 34:17	45:7,7,8 46:2,11,20
40:20	37:15,16 40:22,24	formulating 9:23	49:8
facility 12:19 16:5	41:8 50:24 51:1,17	forth 13:19 14:19	general 2:4 4:19
31:9,24 33:12 41:1	<b>field</b> 39:3	15:16 23:8 24:16	24:8 38:24
41:3,5,6,20 42:8,20	<b>fill</b> 26:12	37:9,11 52:23 56:7	generally 16:21 50:2
43:14,14 51:18	<b>final</b> 10:24 12:10	forward 3:17 10:8	getting 17:16 21:15
<b>fact</b> 47:7	38:5 52:11 54:23	10:10,18,23 11:7,10	32:2
factors 11:21	<b>finally</b> 18:24	11:14,18 12:18,21	give 20:15 47:15,15
failed 27:4	financial 28:15	14:2 15:12,18 16:1	giving 26:3
failure 14:7,11	financially 56:12	16:7,9 17:17 18:5	<b>glad</b> 46:18
23:17 24:15,18	<b>financing</b> 21:9	18:11,14,18 28:3,7	<b>go</b> 4:7 21:8 26:8
fairly 48:8	<b>find</b> 38:16 50:17	28:10 30:2 34:3	29:23 31:1 34:1,15
	finding 42:7	35:20 36:7,12,14,15	40:14,25 41:7 45:25
		36:25 37:5,6,14,21	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

## [go - information]

52:22 53:2	<b>guide</b> 49:15	<b>hobbs</b> 5:17	<b>important</b> 3:12 7:2
goes 38:20 39:13	guidelines 18:19	hobs 21:22	7:6 10:16,25 11:11
48:19 49:3	42:9 44:5	<b>hold</b> 3:9	17:9 21:5,17 35:13
<b>going</b> 8:16 9:5,13,14	guys 38:11 47:15	holding 10:14 37:16	35:14 38:25 39:18
9:16 10:3 19:1	h	37:17	39:24 40:10 44:16
20:18 25:7,10 26:18	half 55:2,3	homes 55:11	45:21 54:16
28:1 30:1 32:11	handled 21:20 27:21	honest 22:7	imposed 24:9
33:16 35:4 36:18		honored 3:11	inaudible 21:25
37:14,19,21,22,22	28:18,25 hansen 4:25	hook 5:20	26:4,10 29:15 30:22
43:16 50:9 52:21		hoop 29:24	32:12 39:21 42:17
53:8	happen 41:25	hope 19:16 23:3	42:21 46:2 47:13
golden 43:1	happens 27:18	24:20 47:17	48:18
<b>good</b> 3:3,23 4:1 5:1	happy 40:3 54:14	hopefully 19:10	inclination 15:22
9:8 27:6,24 28:7,8	hard 46:22	hoping 17:14	include 12:11
39:4 40:23 50:7	hate 53:21	huge 48:8	<b>included</b> 8:15 15:4
51:10	hathaway 5:21	i	includes 6:9,15
goods 16:25 40:13	hause 4:10		including 11:22
43:8	heads 32:22	idea 28:20 45:23	inclusive 7:8 25:4
goodwin 5:23	health 32:16 40:16	52:21 53:10	incorporate 15:13
government 5:23	hear 9:11 12:16	<b>ideas</b> 7:10 34:16	incorporated 15:3
6:5,16,16 7:7,7 43:2	15:11 16:10,15	51:25	18:3 44:10 48:14
grateful 3:11	17:14,19,22,23 18:9	identified 12:15	50:18
gratitude 3:8	18:10 22:8,16 33:24	<b>identify</b> 7:13 33:8	incorporates 48:4
great 34:12 35:1	39:19 45:23 54:14	<b>igra</b> 7:3,17 17:2	48:18
55:4	heard 7:5 14:3 15:1	<b>ii</b> 53:8	incorporating 18:21
greater 41:7	16:11 18:11 20:25	<b>iii</b> 12:22 17:7,15,20	incur 41:6
greatly 16:3	26:20 30:16 31:2	18:2,2,6,13 44:1,4,7	independently 8:12
gross 15:9,13	32:16 36:2 51:4,6	44:8,12,15,24 45:14	27:21
group 3:7 8:9,11,13	hearing 22:20 25:14	45:15,19 46:3,14	<b>index</b> 2:1
8:15,19 10:4,13,19	32:9 33:13 34:2	47:2,8 48:4 49:2,5	indian 1:1,18 3:6
11:4,15 12:14 15:17	40:14 54:12	50:12 51:18,23	4:20,22,24 5:11,12
	<b>hears</b> 39:16	immediately 26:9	5:25 12:20 14:23
17:8,8,17,18,19,21	<b>held</b> 1:17 7:22 46:25	<b>impact</b> 44:6,7 45:18	15:11 16:10,19,24
26:19,22 27:23 34:8 34:11 36:8,22 45:22	52:20	48:2,7 50:22	17:25 25:22 31:6
45:22 49:19,19,23	help 19:19 55:7	impacted 16:4	33:4 40:9,16 41:2
	helped 55:2	implement 7:17	,
52:2,22,23,23 53:1	helpful 20:23 38:16	16:19 26:4	42:1,16,20 43:6,21
53:4	54:4	implementation	43:23 44:14 46:20
groups 8:8,10 11:15	helps 38:14	17:21 20:4 54:13,16	51:17 53:11
11:17 39:15,20	hernandez 6:10	54:23	indians 3:21 5:2
52:17,17 53:14	<b>hi</b> 25:21	implementing 9:23	25:23 46:12
gsa 42:5	<b>higher</b> 50:19	17:4	indicating 11:16
guess 19:22 21:25	historically 47:12	implications 9:24	industry 6:20 7:1,3
22:4 26:20 27:2	hit 42:22	17:10	21:6 40:25 44:4
29:3,4 33:15 40:9			information 13:8,24
40:24 49:10			14:17 32:15 49:19

## [initial - maintain]

initial 30:3	items 8:18 30:7	36:11,19 37:1 39:1	listen 7:23
inquiry 7:15 8:5	•	39:5,11 40:1,3,19	little 2:3,8 3:3,14 4:6
9:18	J	42:10 43:16 44:16	5:19 6:7,12,13 9:15
inspector 26:1	<b>j</b> 2:3	45:23 46:16 47:10	12:4 19:2,3 20:21
inspite 47:17	<b>jaeger</b> 2:15 4:15	47:11,12 49:7 50:8	22:7 23:5 24:20
instance 26:9	42:14,15,15,19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	44:19,20,20 45:12	51:9 52:6 53:22	25:3,9,12 26:11
integrity 6:25	46:13 47:24,25,25	55:2	27:12,19 28:17 29:1
<b>intended</b> 10:7 13:20	<b>jan</b> 2:12 5:1,6,10	knowing 31:11	29:9 30:14 32:5
35:19 38:7	24:23,24 25:6 26:6	knowledge 29:17	33:1,10,23 34:12,20
intending 17:5	jane 2:13 5:25 25:20	<u>l</u>	35:4 39:8,18 41:22
intends 37:10	25:21 28:11,12 33:2	la 5:5	42:3,18,23 43:22
intensive 30:3	33:3	<b>labor</b> 30:3	45:11,20 46:15
<b>intent</b> 13:13	<b>january</b> 7:22 31:4	<b>laid</b> 11:2	47:22 48:9 49:17
intention 20:14	jen 4:21	land 3:10	50:21 51:3,16 52:24
interact 23:19	job 1:25 55:5	large 34:11	53:16 54:24
<b>interest</b> 14:4 53:9	<b>joe</b> 5:13,15,17 21:21	larry 4:19 8:17 9:5	location 52:21
interested 16:7	21:22 26:24 31:16	22:23 28:19 40:8	locking 29:16
22:16,20 25:14	50:7 52:12	46:1	longer 15:23 44:5
30:23 31:7,11 32:9	<b>john</b> 2:14 5:3 6:6	larry's 39:8	53:6
33:13 34:2 40:14	41:14,15	lastly 19:9	look 3:17 15:7 18:13
54:11 56:13	jolla 5:5	late 14:3,6,8,11 21:1	19:17 33:5,16 54:15
interesting 50:17	jones 8:23 56:21	21:14,20 22:3,6	54:21
<b>internal</b> 12:21 45:1	joseph 2:11 33:14	23:1,12 24:9,13	looking 9:12 17:21
interpreting 24:18	34:6 48:10 52:13	25:8,17 27:1,5,17	22:1,25 26:21 27:1
introductions 4:8	justify 29:23 43:13	27:18 28:5,14	30:6 32:9 38:18
<b>invite</b> 8:21 10:7 19:4		lawrence 2:4,9 9:7	49:10 50:14 51:8
invited 7:24	k	22:22	52:15 54:22
involved 28:24	<b>karuk</b> 4:17 5:24	laws 33:8 42:24	lot 26:13 27:20
36:11	<b>keep</b> 10:25 12:3	leaders 3:5 7:24	29:13 35:16 37:9
issuance 25:25	47:16	8:21 19:4 54:6	38:15 39:4,9,20
<b>issue</b> 3:13 17:7,8,10	keeping 19:25 48:19	leave 39:7	41:2 42:25 43:16
17:10,16,20 21:5	<b>kelly</b> 4:10	left 4:8	50:21 53:9 55:2
23:11,19 26:3 29:2	kenny 6:4	legal 24:9	lots 20:24
34:14 37:5 41:12	<b>kick</b> 23:2	letter 23:11 26:1	louder 42:18
42:12,22 45:1,21	<b>kim</b> 6:10	level 39:6	lower 23:8 41:8
46:4,21 48:19 49:18	kind 4:2 20:23		lowest 43:2
49:24,25 50:4,17	22:14,16 26:7 34:24	license 16:5 31:9	m
51:22	48:7 52:19	33:12 51:18	
<b>issued</b> 7:14 10:23	<b>know</b> 4:2 6:22 8:22	licenses 12:19	machine 56:8
issues 16:15 18:7	12:1 16:3 18:8 20:9	licensing 31:24	magazine 46:20
21:7 32:16 34:9	20:16 21:4,12,13	<b>lightly</b> 21:7	magdalano 4:12
42:3 43:12 48:21	22:5 24:3 28:1,19	<b>limited</b> 29:17	magee 5:3
50:9 51:16,20 52:23	29:22,25 30:22	line 23:2	magnitude 22:6
issuing 35:24 36:18	31:22 32:22 33:11	lines 23:1 48:11	maintain 49:14
	33:21 34:17 35:12		

## [maintained - operation]

maintained 7:1	<b>mike</b> 8:17	negotiating 21:9	notion 51:2
maintaining 18:22	<b>mind</b> 7:12 10:25	negotiations 49:8	<b>nov</b> 14:13 25:9,25
<b>major</b> 21:10 50:3	12:4 22:5	neither 56:12	27:3,5 28:13,21
making 12:12 34:12	<b>minimum</b> 12:21	<b>never</b> 39:9	november 7:14
48:20	minority 43:5	<b>new</b> 14:18 19:24	novs 23:23 25:2
mandatory 47:4	mission 5:2 25:23	20:4 48:3,21,21	28:23
manner 22:15 54:18	46:12	54:19	number 11:21 12:25
<b>manny</b> 6:10	misunderstood	<b>nice</b> 53:18	16:12 17:10 18:16
manuel 4:11 20:8	34:22	<b>nigc</b> 2:3,4,4 3:9,15	28:2 32:21 35:11
23:21	modifying 31:19	7:1,15,22 14:20	51:16 52:16
march 13:7 46:21	monday 3:1 9:10	16:22,24 17:15,19	numbered 23:1
marinko 5:13	months 27:2,4	18:6,20 21:16 26:1	numbers 11:15
materials 10:6	morning 3:3,12,23	32:15 36:9,10,12	0
12:24	4:1 5:1 9:8,9,10	41:17 44:12,24 45:5	observation 28:7
matter 11:22 20:10	<b>move</b> 10:8,18,23	45:6 46:14 47:13	40:18
23:23 24:6 39:3	11:7,10,14 12:20	50:21 55:1	<b>obsolete</b> 31:2 51:17
43:7	13:2,17 15:11 17:16	<b>nigc's</b> 29:15	obviously 18:4,12
mcgee 2:14 41:14,15	18:11,14 22:15 28:3	<b>nine</b> 4:25 5:13,15	19:9 21:13 26:14
41:15	28:7 29:3 31:8 36:7	nj328050 1:25	28:20 39:8 44:16
mean 20:14 27:22	36:12,14,15,25 37:4	nodding 32:22	53:8
29:18 45:25	37:21,22 38:5 40:9	<b>noi</b> 11:23 14:5 15:7	occur 10:21
meaningful 6:15 7:9	43:25 53:9	16:12,17,20 18:11	offered 42:8
20:19	<b>moved</b> 18:5	18:12 30:16 34:22	office 6:4,8 38:23
mechanism 33:21	<b>moving</b> 10:10 11:18	35:6,11,19 36:3	officer 43:17
34:3	12:18 13:6 16:1,7,9	44:2	officers 43:13
mechanisms 43:3,4	18:18 25:25 28:9	<b>non</b> 41:2,6	offices 55:4
<b>meet</b> 52:7	34:3 35:20 37:6,14	<b>norm</b> 2:10 4:11,25	oh 29:9
meeting 12:4 19:6	multiple 53:6	19:10 20:7,8 23:20	okay 5:19 6:7 19:3
25:6 26:1 52:18	murillo 5:15	23:21 27:14,15 29:6	19:22 25:12 29:9
53:21 54:6	n	29:10,11 30:22	33:10 35:19 36:19
meetings 10:14	<b>name</b> 3:14,23 4:9	34:18,19 41:23,24	37:19 43:25
11:20 52:16,16,20	9:1 19:8 56:16	46:16,17 50:13	oklahoma 50:1
mentioned 12:4	<b>national</b> 1:1 3:6	54:14	once 8:20 41:10
37:13	4:20,22,24 17:25	normal 38:2	54:25
<b>merely</b> 7:10	31:5	<b>north</b> 1:18	ones 42:21
<b>michael</b> 2:7 3:20,22	<b>native</b> 43:6,9,14	<b>note</b> 10:16 11:11	ongoing 7:9
3:24 4:8	<b>navigate</b> 43:7	22:23	open 9:16 19:14
<b>mics</b> 17:7,15,20 18:2	near 17:6	<b>notice</b> 3:13 7:15 8:2	22:7
18:2,7 44:1,4,7,8,12	need 7:18 10:1	8:5,15 9:18 21:4	opening 3:21
44:15,24 45:4,7,14	29:23 35:2 38:19	22:11 35:24 36:15	operating 45:19
45:15,19 46:2,3,14	52:6 53:3	37:5	47:9
47:2,8 48:5,14,16	needed 7:14	notification 13:22	operation 13:19,23
48:19,20 49:2,5	needs 39:6	13:22	20:5 21:16 22:18
51:19	10000 52.0	notifications 16:6	24:2,3,6
			2T.2,3,0

## [operation's - promulgated]

operation's 13:4	15:25 16:2,5,8,11	placed 49:18	previously 45:25
operations 6:21,23	16:13,13,16 17:7,13	please 9:1 19:7	<b>prieto</b> 2:7 3:20,22
22:14 52:7	18:19,23,25 19:14	34:14 45:11 54:19	3:23,24
operators 44:8	26:22 29:3,3,7 30:4	plenty 34:25	primarily 13:1
opinion 50:3	30:10 31:1,7,9,11	point 11:4,6 19:9	primary 6:18 13:1
opportunity 3:4	33:6 37:2,7,17 49:8	21:15 22:14 37:14	38:11
19:16 26:4 34:16	50:16,18 52:24	40:10 46:23 51:3	<b>prior</b> 15:20 25:6,25
38:3,4 51:24	particular 8:13 20:9	points 46:23 47:18	29:5 31:4
opposed 51:2	31:10 48:18	policies 9:23	priority 11:16
option 28:4	parties 56:14	<b>policy</b> 7:11 40:17	probably 17:24
options 28:2 44:3	party 19:8	42:2,22 43:7,18	20:10 22:11 29:22
<b>order</b> 6:24 7:19 9:19	passed 48:3	54:19	41:20 51:10 53:25
9:22 35:10	pay 14:7,12 21:18	<b>pool</b> 53:18,20	problems 21:11
ordinance 15:24	23:17 24:15,18 27:4	popping 37:24	procedures 45:9
18:22 44:11 45:5,8	27:18 28:5 30:17	<b>position</b> 33:4 47:12	47:10
45:14 49:8 50:19	40:23 50:19	<b>possible</b> 9:22 10:13	proceed 8:11,17
ordinances 15:19,24	payable 54:21	12:13	9:13
18:6 29:5 31:3 46:3	paying 21:14	<b>possibly</b> 7:13 27:3	proceedings 1:10
48:15 51:17	payment 13:17 14:3	40:20	8:25 55:13 56:6,8
ordnances 12:19	14:6,9,11 28:24	<b>posted</b> 9:3 12:3,7	process 7:5,13,20
organization 9:2	<b>payout</b> 15:16	53:25	8:12,18 9:15,21
19:8	<b>pays</b> 28:14	potentially 26:3	10:4,10 11:1 12:13
organize 8:7 55:2	pechanga 5:3,4	powerpoint 9:14	14:19 16:1 18:14,20
organized 6:13	41:15	37:13	21:3,12,14 23:7,11
outline 9:14	<b>peggy</b> 6:2	<b>practical</b> 6:17 17:21	23:15,16,18 26:21
outside 32:20 53:18	penalty 24:5 28:15	<b>practice</b> 25:25 26:5	27:5 30:1 32:20,24
overhead 30:7	28:15	26:10,10	33:15 34:21 35:1,6
overlap 11:13	percentage 14:10	practices 13:12	35:10,20 36:3,10,14
<b>oversight</b> 6:19 44:14	22:9,17 23:6 24:1,4	preclude 39:14	36:23 37:8,23 38:7
45:6	percentages 22:2	prefer 20:5	38:20,21 40:4 43:16
<b>owe</b> 27:17	perform 7:4	preferable 21:24	43:19 52:15 53:10
<b>owed</b> 14:10	<b>period</b> 10:20 13:22	preliminary 10:6,11	54:13
<b>owned</b> 43:5,5,6,9	<b>personal</b> 30:6 40:18	10:17 11:5,5,25	processing 14:15,21
р	personally 28:22	12:1,2,24 13:9,12	30:2,13
<b>packet</b> 12:23	pettiford 4:13	14:2 16:8 17:5	procure 43:8
packets 10:5	phase 10:11,12,17	19:17 22:1 24:11	procurement 42:24
page 2:6 23:1,3	11:5 35:21	36:5,12,20 37:15,25	43:2,8,11,12,19
paid 28:4	phases 10:11,17	38:7,16,22 39:11,13	procures 40:13
pala 4:18 25:22	11:13	53:12	producing 33:20
46:11,11	phillips 6:10	presentation 46:1	proffering 40:22
palm 1:19 3:1	<b>pipe</b> 34:14	presented 2:6	promptly 20:15
<b>palms</b> 4:25 5:13,16	place 23:18 29:5	presiding 2:3	promulgate 18:1
<b>part</b> 9:20 12:6 13:19	31:25 32:4 33:9	pretty 29:19 30:6	31:24 47:1,4
14:18 15:18,19,22	43:15 45:16 56:7	46:22	promulgated 7:17
			40:2

## [property - responsibilities]

property 16:25	questions 14:22	redundancies 31:13	relations 21:10
proposal 17:4 21:19	16:10 19:20 31:23	32:13,23	relationship 6:16
proposals 12:25	35:9 44:2 45:24	reference 22:24	relative 24:4 56:13
17:22	46:5 51:25	49:15 53:3	relatively 9:14
propose 23:7	<b>quick</b> 39:10	referring 26:6	23:13
<b>proposed</b> 10:4,18,19	<b>quickly</b> 23:13 43:1	<b>reflect</b> 13:11	relevant 15:23
10:21,22 11:7,9	<b>quite</b> 42:4 43:18	reflected 30:17	<b>rely</b> 20:11
12:10,20 15:18	r	<b>reg</b> 19:24 20:4	remain 32:4
28:10 33:20 35:24	raised 8:5 25:24	regard 12:23	remarks 3:21
36:15,25 37:7,12	ramifications 28:21	regarding 24:25	removal 13:15
38:1,1,12,25 54:12	ramos 5:4	<b>regards</b> 3:17 4:3	<b>remove</b> 13:10
54:22	ranch 5:6,8,10	region 6:22,22	<b>renew</b> 33:12
<b>protected</b> 7:4 32:25	rancheria 5:7	<b>regional</b> 2:4 6:8,8	renewals 16:6
<b>provide</b> 18:6 23:4,9	rate 13:6,9 20:1	55:1,4,4	<b>repeal</b> 15:18,25 16:2
32:15 36:1 43:4	30:9,10,12	regions 17:11	16:4 29:4 37:5,7
44:14	rates 31:20 42:6	register 35:25	44:10 48:16
provides 8:4 14:17	read 46:20	<b>regs</b> 11:6 14:24 36:3	<b>repealed</b> 31:7 37:3
17:2 23:7,15 32:14	reads 9:22	54:16	44:7,9 50:16
providing 23:10	ready 31:14	regulating 44:15	repealing 18:24
55:6	realize 29:7	regulation 6:24	32:10
<b>public</b> 7:15 11:23	really 12:16 17:14	12:20 14:18 15:17	<b>repeat</b> 33:5 46:19
12:8 14:4 16:20	22:16 23:2 31:20	16:5,8,19,21,22	repercussions 48:15
18:16 21:10 32:17	35:6,19 45:17 46:21	19:15 27:22 28:1,6	replacement 30:7
38:3	48:19 49:1,3,6	31:21 32:2,3,10,14	replacing 18:19
<b>publish</b> 14:20	53:22 54:21	32:24 39:4,5 40:1	reporter 56:5
<b>published</b> 8:2 13:6	reason 34:13	40:15 42:2,23 43:17	reporter's 1:10
publishing 30:9	reasons 37:3	43:20 44:1 45:8	<b>reports</b> 25:17,17
purchasing 16:25	receive 11:22	48:3,4,7 50:23,25	representatives 3:5
<b>purpose</b> 33:23 39:19	received 12:5,9,11	54:13,17	8:22
<b>put</b> 10:20 14:1	15:6 37:2,21 40:6	regulations 7:13,17	represented 53:1
15:18 25:13 45:22	receives 14:16	7:18 8:5,8,10,11,13	representing 46:11
51:22 52:1,8	receives 14.10 recess 51:15	8:15 9:12 10:19	requested 7:17
<b>puts</b> 36:8	reciprocate 41:19	11:15,17 13:11 18:1	requesting 41:11
q	recognize 44:13	30:18 31:3,9,25	require 30:19 51:6
quarterly 13:17	recognized 47:7	33:18 35:9,13,22	required 39:23
queenette 4:13	recognizes 17:9	38:5 39:23 43:15,24	requiring 16:24
question 16:17	record 44:22 51:22	44:5 47:1,4,5,6,17	resolutions 12:19
18:12,15 21:25 24:2	56:8	50:22	resort 1:17 4:4
24:7,20,25 26:16,17	recorded 19:6	regulators 6:18,21	resources 11:24
26:25 27:6,16,24	recording 8:24	38:11 44:8	respect 29:12
28:8,13 31:14 32:1	reduce 31:12 32:13	regulatory 1:2 3:7	respecting 6:18
32:7 38:21 49:7	32:23	3:13 7:2 8:3,8,12,16	<b>response</b> 11:23 14:4
52:14	reduced 29:13	24:16 30:10,12	15:7 16:12 18:11,15
J2.14	1 <b>cuuccu</b> 29.13	35:20 45:6,9	responsibilities 6:19
			-

result 14:9,13 23:24	36:25 38:1,2,25	separately 8:9 52:20	sorts 30:7
35:11	43:1,4 54:23	series 7:22 52:3	sovereignty 33:7
retain 33:6	rulemaking 35:25	serious 23:23	41:12
<b>revenue</b> 15:9,13	36:16 38:2	seriously 40:6 47:18	<b>spa</b> 1:17 4:4
<b>review</b> 1:2 3:7,13	<b>rules</b> 37:12	served 44:25	<b>speak</b> 9:1 42:18
7:14,16 8:3,3,8,12	<b>ruling</b> 11:7,9 46:22	service 14:18	45:11
8:16 10:10 12:18	47:6,7,17	services 16:25 40:13	speaking 19:8
15:21 16:13 28:22	<b>run</b> 9:13	40:16 43:8	specialist 43:11
29:16 30:3,11,12,13	S	session 3:6,10,20	<b>specific</b> 8:10 25:1
33:25 34:23 35:20	<b>s</b> 2:4	49:22 53:2,13	29:2
35:22 36:20,24	s 2.4 safe 55:10	sessions 53:4	specifically 48:17
45:16 54:1	safety 32:16	set 13:19 15:16 23:8	49:18 54:11
reviewed 7:19 8:9	salaries 41:16	24:12,16 37:19 56:7	spending 51:7
12:10 16:16 35:9,14	san 4:11 20:8 23:21	sets 14:19	spread 55:3
35:18 37:1	25:7,23 26:20	setting 32:7	springs 1:19 3:1
<b>reviewing</b> 3:18 7:25	sanchez 6:10	settlement 23:25	<b>staff</b> 4:21 6:7,9 54:6
10:22 30:2	santa 5:21	<b>shake</b> 53:16	55:1,2,4
<b>revised</b> 16:11		share 39:25	<b>stage</b> 38:6
revising 33:6	<b>satisfy</b> 42:1 <b>save</b> 41:8	sherry 5:5	standard 44:24
revision 7:19		<b>short</b> 9:14	49:14
revisions 7:21	saw 26:7,7 47:3,4	shorthand 56:4,9	standards 10:1,2
rewrite 33:22	<b>saying</b> 36:1,2 37:6	shortly 36:19	12:21 45:2,4 49:9
rhoades 6:2	says 23:13	shows 48:1	53:7
<b>right</b> 3:3 9:6,10	schalansky 2:4 6:9	<b>side</b> 5:20	start 4:8 19:16,21
19:13 20:21 36:17	schedule 3:7 8:3,3	significant 31:23	19:22 31:10 44:17
46:6	8:16 11:2,12 13:18	similar 40:15	started 3:19
road 37:12 43:14	23:2 42:5 52:15	single 52:22	starting 9:10
<b>rob</b> 42:15	53:3	sir 42:13	starts 23:2
<b>roberts</b> 2:4,9 4:19	scheduled 11:8 53:4	site 26:1	state 4:9 6:22,23
8:17 9:5,7,8 22:22	53:5	<b>situation</b> 26:9,18	32:18 48:7 56:5
22:23 24:11 25:15	scott 5:8	situations 23:10	stated 23:5
26:16 27:6,24 28:20	se 11:16	six 52:3	statement 2:7,8,9,10
32:7 35:5 39:10	seat 19:5	size 22:18 24:6	2:11,12,13,14,15,16
rodriguez 5:5	second 19:6	skipped 20:23	2:17 3:22 6:12 9:7
role 7:4 49:9	sectarian 47:9	slide 38:18 46:25	20:7 21:21 22:22
rolling 26:22	section 13:11 14:5	50:14	23:20 24:23 25:20
ron 2:15 4:15 42:14	sections 16:16 31:12	slight 28:15	26:24 27:14 28:11
44:19,20 46:13	see 10:4 19:15 32:21	small 24:2	29:10 31:16 33:2,14
47:24,25	47:3 50:16 51:12	solicit 51:19	34:6,19 41:14,23
room 17:24 20:11	seen 25:24	<b>soon</b> 10:13	42:14,17 44:19 46:9
20:19 31:22 32:22	self 5:23	sorry 29:6 45:11,13	46:17,24 47:13,24
47:16	seminole 5:18 31:18	sort 14:9,11 23:25	48:10 50:13 52:13
roybal 5:11	send 3:17 25:13	28:24,24 30:8 36:10	54:8
<b>rule</b> 10:18,19,21,22	sense 11:18 33:7	39:14 43:19	statements 2:6 52:8
10:24 12:10 28:10	36:16 47:13	JJ.1T TJ.1J	5 attinents 2.0 52.0
10.24 12.10 26.10			

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

#### [states - travels]

states 7:1 17:3 32:20	subscribed 56:15	takes 24:4	49:10,12,21 50:19
44:15	<b>substance</b> 7:6 37:10	talk 8:14 14:5 21:11	51:25 52:3 53:2,3,5
statewide 48:3	substantial 14:12	36:4	thinking 33:18
stating 23:12	substantive 13:14	talked 19:23 52:2	thought 11:18 25:7
statute 15:16	13:16,20 35:7,16,17	tasks 11:24	34:22,23 38:17
statutory 17:1	35:21 36:24 54:12	<b>technical</b> 20:10 53:7	thoughts 12:17
stay 29:19 43:13	substantively 36:8	technology 48:21	25:13 35:13 39:25
45:16	suggest 25:4 33:25	50:8	52:11 53:23
<b>staying</b> 41:20 42:20	suggested 18:10,18	tell 18:16 37:23	<b>three</b> 10:11,16 11:13
steffani 3:16	18:20,22,24 19:23	<b>ten</b> 21:6	27:2,4
<b>step</b> 36:2	31:18 45:3	term 13:10 15:3	threshold 32:1
<b>steps</b> 38:25	suggestion 26:8	terms 6:17 9:11	throwing 43:21
stevens 3:16 44:22	suggestions 22:19	11:18 12:17 13:15	ticketing 21:1 26:7,9
55:9	sullivan 2:12 5:1	15:18 18:14 21:23	ties 27:16
stewards 40:23	24:23,24,24 25:6	22:5 23:6 26:18	time 11:7,24 14:15
stimulate 39:12	summary 12:11	28:9 35:5,13 36:7	21:18,18 30:5,13
stimulates 38:17	summer 5:21 6:14	36:21 52:19	33:11 34:12 51:7,10
straus 5:17 21:23	support 6:24 18:21	testament 40:24	56:7
streamline 32:24	21:2 41:17 44:23	<b>text</b> 17:1	timeline 19:25 37:19
strength 40:25	45:13,13 46:14	thank 4:4,6 9:6,8	37:20
strikes 50:20	supported 17:1	19:11 20:22 22:21	<b>times</b> 35:2
strong 25:9	supportive 16:21	32:5 33:10 38:14	today 3:15 8:14 9:20
structure 7:3 17:15	supports 44:23	39:7 41:21,22 42:3	10:3 12:14 14:6
17:18 24:16 25:8	suppose 28:4	43:22 45:20 46:15	16:14 17:13 19:11
27:25 35:19 41:17	supposed 35:3	47:21,22 48:9 49:17	20:17 33:24 36:4
41:19 50:15	sure 14:14 20:18	50:10 53:15 54:23	37:16 39:19 44:22
structured 14:8	27:8 29:9 34:15,21	54:25 55:1,7,8,12	53:18 55:1
24:12 27:1 35:6	38:12 40:3,4,19	thanks 51:8	today's 49:22
52:20	48:20 50:4,9	thereof 56:11	topic 51:23
studied 46:21	sycuan 4:12,13	thing 19:6 29:8	<b>toro</b> 6:6
stuff 20:24 35:3	system 14:3 21:1	40:10 48:25	totally 42:19
51:8	26:8 43:8 54:19	things 14:1 32:8,18	tough 50:4
subject 11:22 20:10	systems 20:5	34:24 40:18 42:6,10	tournament 15:4
27:4 39:3 42:24	t	47:14 53:8	<b>tracie</b> 3:16
44:16 46:21		think 13:15 17:24	transcribed 12:5
submissions 16:6	table 4:7 8:21 19:5	19:17 20:25 21:24	19:7 56:9
<b>submit</b> 10:12 23:14	53:20	22:8,10,15,16 24:1	transcriber 8:23
30:24 33:19 35:3	take 3:4 19:5,17	26:6,13,16 27:16,20	transcript 1:10 8:24
46:7 49:20 52:5	20:14 23:9 26:14	28:7,17,23 30:3	53:25
53:24 54:4	28:9 33:22 38:12	31:1 32:8 33:23	transcription 56:11
submits 27:17 36:9	41:13 42:11 47:18	34:7,9,13 38:15,17	transcripts 8:1 12:6
submitted 3:18 7:25	51:10 53:6 54:19	39:2,3,5,19 40:10	transparent 12:13
8:1 15:21 23:12	taken 21:7 40:6 51:3	41:25 42:20 45:15	travel 39:15,20 50:1
25:18 31:5,18 35:12	56:6	46:6,23 47:18 48:2	travels 55:10
44:21		48:11,23,25,25	
		orting Company	

Veritext/NJ Reporting Company

# [trial - yuroc]

trial 3:5	<b>turn</b> 3:19 8:16 9:5		weeks 54:1
	<b>turn</b> 3:19 8:16 9:5 19:1 54:18	W	
<b>tribal</b> 3:4 4:15 5:6		wager 15:16	welcome 3:4 4:1,2,4
6:24 7:24 8:6,21	twenty 4:25 5:13,15	waiting 46:16	52:4
9:24 18:22,23 19:4	type 25:8 29:14	walk 12:25	went 29:12 40:4
32:18,19 33:7,8	34:10	walker 21:23	whereof 56:15
36:8 40:19 41:1,5,6	<b>types</b> 38:9	walking 53:19	white 2:17 4:23
41:20 42:7 44:6,7	u	want 4:9 8:22 15:10	19:18 30:1 42:22
45:1,5,8 54:5	undergo 30:11	18:9 19:4,9,15	54:8,9
tribe 3:9,25 4:17	undersigned 56:4	22:23 25:13 27:10	wiggle 47:16
5:18 6:3 15:23 21:6	understand 6:19,20	27:18,21 30:24	william 5:4
23:12,18 26:3,4	21:7 38:8,15 40:20	31:10 32:5 33:24	window 24:17
27:3,17 28:14 31:18	42:11 50:20 53:6	34:15,18,24 35:1	wise 21:10
33:12,19 41:12	understanding	36:4 38:10,11 39:19	wish 55:10
44:20,23 45:4,14	13:13 25:3,15 26:11	40:2,4,19,23 43:13	withdraw 31:21
49:4,7 50:24 51:1	49:24	44:17 45:24 46:6,12	witness 56:15
<b>tribes</b> 6:17,18,20,22	understands 38:8	50:4,16 51:25 52:1	wondering 24:8
6:25 7:1,5 9:11,20	uniform 44:25	53:16 54:4,17,25	29:20 35:4 53:19
9:24 10:1,7,12	<b>united</b> 4:15 5:25	55:1,7,8	words 25:13 39:9
11:23 12:9 14:3,4	25:22 33:3 42:15	wanted 4:2 54:9	work 6:25 15:12
14:16,18 15:6,20	44:20 46:13 47:25	wanting 53:22	working 6:16 21:6
16:3,12,15,18,20,23	update 18:24 30:6	wants 18:10 21:11	33:21 34:8 36:8
17:3,11,14,16,19	upward 48:6	ward 4:21	39:15,20 55:6
18:5,8,16,18,19,20	<b>use</b> 11:19 13:19	way 14:8 21:19 23:3	works 50:10
18:21,22,24 21:8,15	17:15 26:10 48:13	24:11,12 27:1,9	writing 38:9
21:18 22:8 23:4	useful 39:11	40:4 47:15,19 50:5	written 7:24 8:24
30:16,21 31:4 32:15	utilize 7:20 40:19	ways 18:17 49:12	10:13 12:5 30:24
32:17 33:8,24 34:2	41:4	we've 7:5 15:1 16:11	31:17 33:4 36:1,17
35:12 36:11,19 37:2		23:1 24:16 31:2	36:21 37:19,20
37:23 38:11 39:17	V	32:16 36:2 37:1,1,6	38:10 46:7 49:13
40:3,12,14 41:4,11	value 34:8	43:24 45:22 46:19	52:5,8 53:24
41:17 42:21 44:11	vary 29:18	49:18 51:4,5 53:5	wrong 26:12
45:1,23 46:2 47:8	varying 50:22	web 19:18	wrote 30:8 46:22
47:11 48:5,6,13	<b>vega</b> 4:18	website 8:25 9:3	X
49:1,14,24 50:18	<b>version</b> 48:18	12:3,7 53:25	<b>x</b> 23:14
51:4,5,7,19 54:18	<b>versus</b> 14:7 42:23	webster 2:11 5:17	
55:6	43:14,17	21:21,22,22 22:21	y
trouble 43:1	veteran 43:5	23:22 26:24,25	year 13:4,4,23 20:2
<b>true</b> 7:7	vice 3:16 55:9	31:14,16,17 33:14	29:24 30:18,19,20
trumps 43:2	viewpoint 38:13	33:15 34:6,7 48:10	30:20
<b>trust</b> 46:19	violation 14:12 21:4	48:11 52:13,14	years 21:5,6 29:16
<b>try</b> 33:22 40:19	22:12 24:14 26:15	53:15	29:19,24 30:4 40:2
49:13	28:6	week 9:10 11:3	ysabel 5:21
trying 21:8 34:9	<b>vision</b> 6:14	19:18 37:16 54:1	<b>yuroc</b> 6:3
47:14	visualizing 38:19	17.10 37:10 34:1	

## [zerbi - zerbi]

Z
<b>zerbi</b> 2:13 5:25
25:20,21,21 28:11
28:12,12 33:2,3,3