

RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE



National Laboratory Certification Program

March 8, 1999

0306
Dr. Stephen C. Erfurth
Oregon Medical Laboratories
722 East 11th Avenue
Eugene, OR 97401

Dear Dr. Erfurth:

The enclosed critique was developed from the inspection reports of the inspectors who conducted the fifteenth maintenance inspection of your laboratory under the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP). Based upon our review of these reports, the laboratory's performance in this inspection is highly acceptable.

RTI is recommending to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) that the laboratory's certification be continued based upon its acceptable performance in the inspection phase of the program. The laboratory must review the enclosed critique and take all necessary corrective actions. All corrective actions must be implemented within 30 days of receipt of this correspondence. It is *not necessary* for the laboratory to submit a written response to RTI. All corrective actions will be reviewed during the next inspection.

If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call me at (919) 541-7265 or Dr. Michael Baylor at (919) 541-7043.

Sincerely,

Deborah J. Denson
NLCP Inspection Analyst

Enclosure

cc: Project Files/M15
Dr. David Kuntz
Dr. Robert Pick



NATIONAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Document Review and Critique

Laboratory I.D. Number: 0306
Document No. Final

Laboratory: Oregon Medical Laboratories

Location: Eugene, OR

Document Reviewed: Application Form
 Inspection Report #M15 Date. 4 February 1999
 Other _____

Status: Highly Acceptable Acceptable
 Unacceptable Failure

A review of the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) inspection reports has been completed. It is the judgment of the reviewers that the laboratory has met the standards required for the inspection phase of the Program.

There were a number of comments and observations generated as a result of the inspection that are detailed on the following pages for the attention of the laboratory before its next inspection.

The following comments were noted, and appear in the same order as the corresponding questions in the Laboratory Inspection Report:

Section E. Standard Operating Procedures - Procedures Manual



Section F. Chain-of-Custody, Accessioning, and Security



Section G. Quality Control



Section H. Initial Tests



Section I. Confirmatory Tests



Section J. Records Audit

Section K. Reporting

Section L. Computers, Software, and LIMS

Section M. Equipment and Maintenance

Section N. Personnel

RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE



National Laboratory Certification Program

September 10, 1999

0306
Dr. Stephen C. Erfurth
Oregon Medical Laboratories
722 East 11th Avenue
Eugene, OR 97401

Dear Dr. Erfurth:

The enclosed critique was developed from the inspection reports of the inspectors who conducted the sixteenth maintenance inspection of your laboratory under the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP). Based upon our review of these reports, the laboratory's performance in this inspection is highly acceptable. However, the laboratory must take steps to correct/clarify the following issues raised:



RTI is recommending to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) that the laboratory's certification be continued based upon its acceptable performance in the inspection phase of the program. The laboratory must take steps to correct the issues cited above. The laboratory must also review the enclosed critique and take all necessary corrective actions. All corrective actions must be implemented within 30 days of receipt of this correspondence. It is *not necessary* for the laboratory to submit a written response to RTI. All corrective actions will be reviewed during the next inspection.

If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call me at (919) 541-6176 or Dr. Michael Baylor at (919) 541-7043.

Sincerely,



Susan Crumpton
NLCP Inspection Analyst

Enclosure

cc: Project Files/M16

NATIONAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Document Review and Critique

Laboratory I.D. Number: 0306
Document No. Final

Laboratory: Oregon Medical Laboratories

Location: Eugene, OR

Document Reviewed: Application Form
 Inspection Report #M16 Date: 12 August 1999
 Other _____


Status: Highly Acceptable Acceptable
 Unacceptable Failure

A review of the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) inspection reports has been completed. It is the judgment of the reviewers that the laboratory has met the standards required for the inspection phase of the Program.


There were a number of comments and observations generated as a result of the inspection that are detailed on the following pages for the attention of the laboratory before its next inspection.

The following comments were noted, and appear in the same order as the corresponding questions in the Laboratory Inspection Report:

Section E. Standard Operating Procedures - Procedures Manual




Section F. Chain-of-Custody, Accessioning, and Security



Section G. Quality Control



Section H. Initial Tests



Section I. Confirmatory Tests

Section J. Records Audit

Section K. Reporting

Section L. Computers, Software, and LIMS

Section M. Equipment and Maintenance

Section N. Personnel



RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE

National Laboratory Certification Program

March 3, 2000

0306
Dr. Stephen C. Erfurth
Oregon Medical Laboratories
722 East 11th Avenue
Eugene, OR 97401

Dear Dr. Erfurth:

The enclosed critique was developed from the inspection reports of the inspectors who conducted the seventeenth maintenance inspection of your laboratory under the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP). Based upon our review of these reports, the laboratory's performance in this inspection is highly acceptable. The inspection team had some areas of concern, which are detailed in the attached critique.

RTI is recommending to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) that the laboratory's certification be continued based upon its acceptable performance in the inspection phase of the program. The laboratory must review the enclosed critique and take all necessary corrective actions. All corrective actions must be implemented within 30 days of receipt of this correspondence. It is *not necessary* for the laboratory to submit a written response to RTI. All corrective actions will be reviewed during the next inspection.

If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call me at (919) 541-7265 or Dr. Michael Baylor at (919) 541-7043.

Sincerely,

Deborah J. Denson
NLCP Inspection Analyst

Enclosure

cc: Project Files/M17



NATIONAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Document Review and Critique

Laboratory I.D. Number: 0306
Document No. Final

Laboratory: Oregon Medical Laboratories

Location: Eugene, OR

Document Reviewed: Application Form
 Inspection Report #M17 Date: 3 February 2000
 Other _____

Status: Highly Acceptable Acceptable
 Unacceptable Failure

A review of the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) inspection reports has been completed. It is the judgment of the reviewers that the laboratory has met the standards required for the inspection phase of the Program.


There were a number of comments and observations generated as a result of the inspection that are detailed on the following pages for the attention of the laboratory before its next inspection.

The following comments were noted, and appear in the same order as the corresponding questions in the Laboratory Inspection Report:


Section E. Standard Operating Procedures - Procedures Manual



Section F. Chain-of-Custody, Accessioning, and Security



Section G. Quality Control



Section H. Initial Tests



Section I. Confirmatory Tests



Section J. Records Audit

Section K. Reporting

Section L. Computers, Software, and LIMS

Section M. Equipment and Maintenance

Section N. Personnel



RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE

National Laboratory Certification Program

September 19, 2000

0306
Dr. Stephen C. Erfurth
Oregon Medical Laboratories
722 East 11th Avenue
Eugene, OR 97401

Dear Dr. Erfurth:

The enclosed critique was developed from the inspection reports of the inspectors who conducted the eighteenth maintenance inspection of your laboratory under the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP). Based upon our review of these reports, the laboratory's performance in this inspection is highly acceptable. The inspection team had some areas of concern, which are detailed in this cover letter and attached critique.



Dr. Erfurth
Page 2 of 2
09/19/00

RTI is recommending to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) that the laboratory's certification be continued based upon its acceptable performance in the inspection phase of the program. The laboratory must take steps to correct the issues cited above. The laboratory must also review the enclosed critique and take all necessary corrective actions. All corrective actions must be implemented within 30 days of receipt of this correspondence. It is *not necessary* for the laboratory to submit a written response to RTI. All corrective actions will be reviewed during the next inspection.

If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call me at (919) 541-6176 or Dr. Michael Baylor at (919) 541-7043.

Sincerely,



Susan Crumpton
NLCP Technical Analyst

Enclosure

cc: Project Files/M18

NATIONAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Document Review and Critique

Laboratory I.D. Number: 0306
Document No. Final

Laboratory: Oregon Medical Laboratories

Location: Eugene, OR

Document Reviewed: Application Form
 Inspection Report #M18 Date: 3 August 2000
 Other _____


Status: Highly Acceptable Acceptable
 Unacceptable Failure

A review of the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) inspection reports has been completed. It is the judgment of the reviewers that the laboratory has met the standards required for the inspection phase of the Program.

There were a number of comments and observations generated as a result of the inspection that are detailed on the following pages for the attention of the laboratory before its next inspection.

The following comments were noted, and appear in the same order as the corresponding questions in the Laboratory Inspection Report:

Section E. Standard Operating Procedures - Procedures Manual



Section F. Chain-of-Custody, Accessioning, and Security

Section G. Quality Control

Section H. Initial Tests

Section I. Confirmatory Tests

Section J. Records Audit

Section K. Reporting

Section L. Computers, Software, and LIMS

Section M. Equipment and Maintenance

Section N. Personnel
