## Old-Age Benefit Awards, 1951

During 1951, old-age benefits were awarded to 703,000 persons, an increase of 24 percent from the previous high established a year earlier. Almost 49 percent of these persons were new eligibles-persons who qualified for old-age benefits solely as a result of the liberalized insuredstatus provisions in the 1950 amendments to the Social Security Act (table 1). Women represented 34 percent of the new eligibles and 18 percent of the 1939 eligibles.

Of the awards to 1939 eligibles, almost 24 percent (slightly less than in 1950) were to persons who attained age 65 during the year; the proportion was slightly higher for women than for men. Likewise, 24 percent of the awards to new eligibles were made to persons who reached age 65 in 1951. The percentages of new eligibles awarded benefits show a steady decline at the older ages since the liberaization in the insured-status requirements was greatest for persons aged 65 (from 28 or 29 quarters of coverage to only 6) and was less at each successive age. For persons reaching their seventy-sixth birthday in the first 6 months of 1951 or earlier, there was no liberalization at all, since they needed only 6 quarters of coverage to be fully insured under the 1939 amendments.
Persons aged 75 or over represented almost 23 percent of the 1939 eligibles; this age group represented only 16 percent in 1950 and only 9 percent in 1949. The large increase resulted chiefly from the provision in the 1950 amendments that permits beneficiaries aged 75 or over to receive monthly benefits even though they are earning more than $\$ 50$ a month in covered employment.
For persons awarded old-age benefits who were ellgible under the 1939 legislation, the average ages were 70.1 for men and 69.5 for women-an increase of about three-fourths of a year in each case from 1950. These older average ages resulted chiefly from the large number of awards to persons aged 75 or over. About 41 percent of the 1939 eligibles awarded benefits in 1951 were aged 70 or over, an increase from the 35 percent in 1950 and equal to the all-time high set in 1946. Among the new eligibles, the average
ages were 68.2 for men and 67.7 for women, only slightly higher than in 1950. These average ages reflect the
larger percentage of awards to newly eligible persons at ages 65-69 and the absence from the group of persons

Table 1.-Number, percentage distribution, and average monthly amount of old-age benefits awarded in 1951, by eligibility status, age, and sex of beneficiary
[Based partly on 20 -percent sample]

| Age ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Total |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Average monthly amount | Number | Percent | Average monthly amount | Number | Percent | Arerage monthly amount |
| Total--- | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 702, 984 | 100.0 | \$37. 54 | 521,300 | 100.0 | \$40. 34 | 181,018 | 100.0 | \$29.49 |
| 65 | 165, 314 | 23.5 | 41.20 | 117,124 | 22.5 | 45.37 | 48,190 | 26.5 | 31.07 |
| 66 | 114, 006 | 16.2 | 39.34 | 82.362 | 15.8 | 42.85 | 31, 644 | 17.4 | 30.22 |
|  | 74,454 | 10.6 | 36.30 | 53.146 | 10.2 | 39.44 | 21, 308 | 11.7 | 28.47 |
|  | 59,002 | 8.4 | 35.28 | 42,035 | 8.1 | 38.29 | 16,967 | 9.3 | 27.81 |
| 69 | 51,391 | 7.3 | 33.90 | 37,339 | 7.2 | 36.42 | 13,992 | 7.7 | 27.14 |
|  | 42,298 | 6.0 | 33.91 | 31,274 | 6.0 | 36.30 | 11,024 | 6.1 | 27.12 |
| 71 | 37.542 | 5. 3 | 32. 64 | 28, 032 | 5.4 | 34.59 | 9,510 | 5.2 | 20.89 |
| 72. | 28,840 | 4.1 | 32.48 | 21,802 | 4.2 | 34.32 | 7,038 | 3.9 | 26.79 |
| 73 | 23,122 | 3.3 | 32.52 | 17,903 | 3.4 | 34.10 | 5,214 | 2.9 | 27.11 |
| 74. | 17,479 | 2.5 | 32.99 | 13,913 | 2.7 | 34.26 | 3,566 | 2.0 | 28.04 |
| 75. | 23,399 | 3.3 | 41.85 | 19,691 | 3.8 | 43.40 | 3,708 | 2.0 | 33.62 |
| 76 | 16,325 | 2.3 | 40. 86 | 13, 718 | 2.6 | 41.98 | 2,607 | 1.4 | 34.94 |
| 77 | 11,766 | 1. 7 | 40.75 | 9,981 | 1.9 | 41.70 | 1,785 | 1.0 | 35.42 |
| 78 | 9,454 | 1.3 | 40.67 | 8,109 | 1.6 | 41.42 | 1,345 | . 7 | 36.15 |
| 70. | 8,022 | 1.1 | 30.71 | 6,808 | 1.3 | 40.44 | 1, 124 | . 6 | 35.19 |
| 80-84-------- | 16,791 | 2.4 | 39.15 | 14,606 | 2.8 | 39.77 | 2,185 | 1.2 | 35.03 |
| 85-89....---- | 3,308 | . 5 | 40. 63 | 2,949 | . 6 | 40.80 | 359 | . 2 | 38.32 |
| 90 and over- | 471 | . 1 | 44.12 | 419 | . 1 | 44.24 | 52 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 43.11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 39 eligible |  |  |  |  |
| Total... | 361,437 | 100.0 | \$49.17 | 295, 764 | 100.0 | \$50.84 | 65, 673 | 100.0 | \$41.61 |
| 65.-.-.-....- | 84, 835 | 23.5 | 53.49 | 68, 725 | 23.2 | 55.52 | 16, 110 | 24.5 | 44.85 |
| 66...-.-....-- | 53,371 | 14.8 | 52.93 | 43, 270 | 14.6 | 54.91 | 10, 101 | 15.4 | 44.44 |
| 67 | 31,288 | 8.7 | 51.81 | 25, 322 | 8.6 | 53.67 | 5,966 | 9.1 | 43.92 |
| 68 | 24,147 | 6.7 | 51.38 | 19,321 | 6.5 | 53.58 | 4, 826 | 7.3 | 42.60 |
| 69 | 20,215 | 5.6 | 50.02 | 16, 269 | 5.5 | 52. 11 | 3,946 | 6.0 | 41.43 |
| 70----------- | 17, 144 | 4.7 | 49.84 | 13,651 | 4.6 | 52.27 | 3,493 | 5.3 | 40.38 |
| 71 | 14, 981 | 4. 1 | 48.25 | 11, 890 | 4.0 | 50.45 | 3,091 | 4.7 | 39.78 |
| 72 | 12,389 | 3.4 | 46.48 | 9, 869 | 3.3 | 48. 64 | 2,520 | 3.8 | 38.00 |
| 73 | 10, 922 | 3.0 | 45. 25 | 8, 863 | 3.0 | 47.10 | 2,059 | 3.1 | 37.29 |
| 74 | 9,780 | 2.7 | 42.39 | 7,955 | 2.7 | 44.02 | 1,825 | 2.8 | 35.26 |
| 75 | 18,085 | 6.0 | 47.48 | 15,453 | 5.2 | 48.96 | 2, 632 | 4.0 | 38. 79 |
| 76 | 14,468 | 4.0 | 42.76 | 12,214 | 4.1 | 43.84 | 2, 254 | 3.4 | 36.93 |
| 77 | 11,766 | 3.3 | 40.75 | 9,981 | 3.4 | 41.70 | 1,785 | 2.7 | 35.42 |
| 78 | 9, 454 | 2.6 | 40.67 | 8,109 | 2.7 | 41.42 | 1,345 | 2.0 | 36.15 |
| 79 | 8,022 | 2.2 | 39.71 | 6,898 | 2.3 | 40.44 | 1,124 | 1.7 | 35. 19 |
| 80-84 | 16,791 | 4.6 | 30.15 | 14, 606 | 4.9 | 39.77 | 2,185 | 3.3 | 35.03 |
| 85-89 | 3,308 | . 9 | 40.53 | 2,949 | 1.0 | 40.80 | 359 | . 5 | 38.32 |
| 90 and over. | 471 | .1 | 44.12 | 419 | . 1 | 44.24 | 52 | . 1 | 43.11 |


| Total... | New eligibles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 341, 547 | 100.0 | \$25. 23 | 225, 602 | 100.0 | \$26. 57 | 115,945 | 100.0 | \$22.62 |
| 65.-.........- | 80,479 | 23.6 | 28.24 | 48,399 | 21.5 | 30.95 | 32,080 | 27.7 | 24. 14 |
| 06 | 60, 635 | 17.8 | 27.38 | 39,092 | 17.3 | 29.50 | 21,543 | 18.6 | 23. 55 |
| 67. | 43, 166 | 12.6 | 25.06 | 27, 824 | 12.3 | 26.49 | 15,342 | 13.2 | 22,46 |
| 69. | 34,855 | 10.2 | 24.12 | 22, 714 | 10.1 | 25.29 | 12, 141 | 10.5 | 21.93 |
| 69.--...----.- | 31,176 | 9.1 | 23.44 | 21, 130 | 9.4 | 24.35 | 10,046 | 8.7 | 21.52 |
| 70 | 25, 154 | 7.4 | 23. 04 | 17,623 | 7.8 | 23.93 | 7,531 | 6.5 | 20.97 |
| 71 | 22,561 | 6.6 | 22.28 | 16, 142 | 7.2 | 22.92 | 6,419 | 5,5 | 20.69 |
| 72 | 16,451 | 4.8 | 21.94 | 11,933 | 5.3 | 22.46 | 4,518 | 3.9 | 20.54 |
| 73 | 12, 200 | 3.6 | 21.13 | 9,045 | 4.0 | 21.36 | 3,155 | 2.7 | 20.47 |
| 74 | 7,699 | 2.2 | 21.06 | 5,958 | 2.6 | 21.23 | 1,741 | 1.5 | 20.47 |
| 75 | 5,314 | 1.6 | 22.68 | 4,238 | 1.9 | 23.11 | 1,076 | . 9 | 20.97 |
| 763 | 1,857 | . 5 | 26.03 | 1,504 | . 7 | 26.93 | 353 | .3 | 22.21 |

[^0]${ }^{3}$ Only persons reaching their 76th birthday during July-December 1951 can qualify as new eligibles.

Table 2.-Number and percentage distribution of old-age benefits awarded in 1951, by eligibility status, amount of benefit, and sex of beneficiary
[Based on 20-percent sample]

| Amount of monthly benefit | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total..---.-- | 702,984 | 100 | 621, 360 | 100 | 181,618 | 100 |
| \$20.00... | 243, 342 | 34 | 149,197 | 29 | 94, 145 | 52 |
| $20.10-29.90$ 30 | 70.016 74.249 | 10 | 47,849 54,10 | 19 | 22, 167 | 12 |
| 40.00-49.90 | 102, 257 | 15 | 77, 136 | 15 | 25, 121 | 14 |
| 50.00-59.90 | 116,915 | 17 | 100,324 | 19 | 16,591 | 9 |
| 60.00-68.50. | 96, 205 | 14 | 92,850 | 18 | 3,350 | 2 |
| 1939 eligibles. | 361.437 | 100 | 205, 764 | 100 | 65,673 | 100 |
| \$20.00.. | 32,454 | 9 | 24,423 | 8 | 8,031 | 12 |
| 20.10-29.90. | 16, 931 | 5 | 11.247 | 4 | 5,684 | 9 |
| 30.00-39.90 | 29,630 | 8 | 18,910 | 6 | 10, 720 | 16 |
| 40. 00-49.90 | 77, 123 | 21 | 55, 007 | 19 | 22,016 | 34 |
| 50.00-59.90 | 111,810 | 31 | 95, 848 | 32 | 15,902 | 24 |
| 60.00-68.50 | 93, 589 | 26 | 90, 329 | 31 | 3,260 | 5 |
| Now eligibles..--- | 341, 547 | 100 | 225, 6102 | 100 | 115, 94.5 | 100 |
| \$20.00... | 210,888 | 62 | 124, 774 | 55 | 80,114 | 74 |
| 20.10-29.90 | 53,085 | 16 | 30,602 | 19 | 16,483 | 14 |
| 30.00-39.90 | 44.619 | 13 | 35. 100 | 16 | 9, 519 | 8 |
| 40. 00-49.90 | 25,234 | 7 | 22.129 | 10 | 3,105 | 3 |
| 50.00-59.90 | 5,105 | 1 | 4,476 | 2 | 629 | 1 |
| 60.00-68. 50 | 2,616 | 1 | 2,521 | 1 | 95 | (1) |

${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 perecnt.

Table 3.-Number and average monthly amount of old-age benefits in currentpayment status at the end of each calendar quarter, by eligibility status, June 1950-December 1951
[Based partly on 20-percent sample; corrected to May 30, 1952]


over age 76. For all persons, regardless of the type of eligibility, the average ages were about 69.5 for men and 68.5 for women, increases of about 1 year and $1 / 2$ year, respectively, from the corresponding figures in 1949.

The average old-age benefit award in 1951 was $\$ 37.54$, an increase of $\$ 4.30$
from the average amount awarded in the previous year under the 1950 amendments. This higher average benefit was due chiefly to the decrease in the proportion of new eligibles, who in 1950 represented two-thirds of the total number of persons awarded oldage benefits under the 1950 amend-
ments and in 1951 about half the total number. The average benefit for these new eligibles was $\$ 25.23$, slightly less than in 1950. The average benefit awarded to 1939 eligibles was $\$ 49.17$, also slightly less than in 1950. The average benefit amount for women was lower than for men; the difference was $\$ 9$ for 1939 eligibles and $\$ 4$ for new eligibles.

The minimum monthly amount of $\$ 20$ was payable in 62 percent of the awards to new eligibles; for women, comprising one-third of the newly eligible group, 74 percent of the awards were for the minimum amount (table 2). In contrast, the $\$ 20$ minimum was payable in only 9 percent of the awards to 1939 eligibles, while $\$ 50$ or more was payable in 57 percent of these cases. Most of the new eligibles were persons who had worked irregularly since 1936 or who had worked for only a limited period in employment covered by the Social Security Act. Consequently, they did not have enough quarters of coverage to be insured under the 1939 amendments but did qualify as a result of the liberalized insured-status provisions in the 1950 amendments. Such persons have, on the whole, substantially lower average monthly wages, fewer increment years, and, hence, lower benefit amounts than do persons insured under the 1939 amendments, who are more likely to have worked regularly in covered employment.

The rapid growth in the number of new eligibles receiving old-age benefits is indicated in table 3 ; by the end of December 1951, they comprised almost one-fourth of all old-age beneficiaries.

The average monthly bencfit payable at the end of December 1951 to new eligibles was $\$ 25.07$, only slightly more than half that payable to 1939 eligibles. Chiefly because of this low average for new eligibles, the increase from June 1950 to December 1951 in the average monthly amount for all old-age beneficiaries was only 60 percent, even though the average amount payable to 1939 eligibles increased during the same period by 80 percent.


[^0]:    I Age on birthday in 1951.

