Notes and Brief Reports

Disability Insurance Benefits in Current-Pavments Status, by State, February 28, 1959*

At the end of February 1959, the old-age, survivors, and disability insurance program was paying disability insurance benefits to 255,000 disabled workers aged 50-64-a 70-percent increase from the number in December 1957. The accompanying table shows the average monthly benefit amount as of February 28, 1959, and gives a percentage distribution of the number of beneficiaries according to the size of their benefit. The data are classified by the beneficiaries' State of residence at the end of February 1959.

In February 1959 the average disability insurance benefit amounted to \$88.11-\$15.35 more than the average in December 1957. The higher average resulted chiefly from provisions in the 1958 amendments that (1) repealed, effective August 1958. the "offset" provision, under which disability insurance benefits were reduced by payments based on disability payable under certain other programs, and (2) increased benefits by about 7 percent, effective January 1959. Another factor increasing the average benefit was the rise in the proportion of benefits computed on the basis of earnings after 1950; the proportion increased from 62 percent in December 1957 to almost 69 percent in November 1958, the latest date for which this information is available. The average disability insurance benefit went up in each of the 14 months-from \$72.76 in December 1957 to \$88.11 in February 1959.

Almost half of all disabled-worker beneficiaries were receiving monthly benefits of \$90-\$116. The proportion receiving the maximum amount ----\$116 in February 1959 and \$108.50 in December 1957-increased from 1.4 percent in December 1957 to 7.2 percent in February 1959. Forty-four percent were receiving benefits in the \$60-\$89 range, and only 1 in 15 was receiving less than \$60.00. Minimum benefits were being paid to only 0.2 percent of all disabled-worker beneficiaries.

Among the 50 States and the District of Columbia. disability insurance beneficiaries living in Alaska

and Michigan were receiving the highest monthly benefits-an average of \$96.39 in Alaska and \$95.93 in Michigan-and those in Mississippi were receiving the lowest benefits--an average of \$77.81. Benefits ranging from \$90 to \$116 were being paid to 67 percent of the disabled-worker beneficiaries in Michigan and to 27 percent in Mississippi. Only 14 percent of the disability insurance beneficiaries in Michigan but 48 percent

Number and average monthly amount of disability insurance benefits 1 in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, by State, February 28, 1959

					-						
State ²	Average dis- ability benefit	Number of dis-	Percent of disability beneficiaries receiving-								
(ranked by size of average benefit)		ability bene- ficiaries	Total	\$33	\$34- 44	\$45- 59	\$60 74	\$75 89	\$90 104	\$105- 115	\$116
Total	\$88.11	254,701	100.0	0.2	0.9	5.6	19.1	25.4	20.4	21.2	7.2
Alaska	96.39	69	100.0	0	0	2.9	10.3	16.2	19.1	41.2	10.3
Michigan	95.93	10,010	100.0	(3)	. 3	2.5	10.8	19.2	20.5	33.5	13.2
Connecticut	91.99	3,933	100.0	`.1	.2	2.7	14.8	24.8	23.6	24.8	9.0
Ohio	91.98	13,638	100.0	.1	.4	3.5	14.8	23.6	21.6	26.5	9.5
Arizona	91.88	2.089	100.0	.1	.4	3.4	13.9	25.2	21.2	25.0	10.8
New Jersey	91.83	9,029 18,798	100.0	.1	.4	3.3	15.5	23.4	22.4	25.1	9.8
California	91.74	18,798	100.0	(8)	.3	3.4	15.0	24.7	21.2	26.4	9.0
Washington	91.57	3,890	100.0	.1	.2	3.0	15.2	24.7	23.5	26.6	6.7
Indiana	91.50	6,519	100.0	.1	.6	4.2	15.0	22.9	22.2	26.0	9.0
Nevada	91.43	271	100.0	0	0	3.4	16.5	24.4	22.6	24.8	8.3
Wisconsin	91.18	4,843	100.0	.3	.7	4.2	16.2	22.1	21.7	24.8	10.0
Utah	91.01	576	100.0	.2	.5	4.6	15.4	24.5	21.4	21.9	11.5
Pennsylvania	90.88	21,136	100.0	.1	.6	3.7	14.3	25.3	26.1	23.1	6.8
Oregon	90.86	2,194	100.0	.2	.3	3.0	16.1	24.2	24.4	25.2	6.6
West Virginia	90.83	4,809	100.0	(3)	.6	3.7	13.7	27.1	23.4	24.7	6.8
Illinois	90.58	13,993	100.0	`.2	.6	4.4	16.7	23.4	21.2	24.6	8.9
Montana	90.12	754	100.0	.1	.9	5.8	17.2	24.6	16.6	23.0	11.8
Florida	89.95	8,893	100.0	.1	.9	5.4	17.4	22.3	18.6	25.7	9.6
Wyoming	89.72	269	100.0	.8	.4	4.9	15.5	24.2	23.5	23.5	7.2
Colorado	89.28	1,515	100.0	.1	.5	5.6	16.8	26.1	22.1	21.3	7.5
New York	89.16	27,280	100.0	.1	.5	4.7	18.4	25.9	20.5	21.7	8.2
Delaware	88.83	575	100.0	.4	.7	5.0	21.6	22.9	18.9	18.8	11.7
Idaho	88.63	559	100.0	0	1.4	5.5	19.5	24.4	22.0	22.4	5.8
Minnesota	88.32	2,912	100.0	.2	1.0	6.1	19.1	23.2	21.4	22.1	6.9
Maryland	87.37	3,545	100.0	.1	1.7	6.7	20.0	25.3	19.1	21.5	6.6
Hawaii	87.10	588	100.0	.2	.5	5.5	16.8	31.0	24.6	18.5	2.9
Kansas	86.84	2,275	100.0	.5	1.4	6.0	20.1	25.5	20.5	19.4	6.6
New Mexico	86.77	649	100.0	.2	.8	5.8	20.6	27.6	20.1	18.8	6.1
Massachusetts	86.59	9,000	100.0	.1	.4	5.0	21.6	29.5	20.9	17.2	5.3
Iowa	86.44	2,761	100.0	.2	1.2	5.9	20.8	26.7	20.8	19.0	5.4
Oklahoma	86.41	2,950	100.0	.2	1.1	7.3	21.6	23.4	20.0	19.9	6.5
Virgin Islands	86.40	5	100.0	0		0	40.0	0	40.0	20.0	0
Rhode Island	86.20	1,814	100.0	.1	.3	5.3	21.7	30.0	21.0	16.9	4.7
Missouri	80.82	6,432	100.0	.2	1.2	7.3	22.1	25.5	19.1	19.0	5.6
New Hampshire	85.70	944	100.0	٥.	1.0	4.5	22.2	31.5	21.6	14.9	4.3
Nebraska	84.96 84.60	1,338 4,950	100.0	.5	1.7	7.5	19.9 21.9	28.8 27.8	20.2 19.8	16.5	4.6
Kentucky Vermont	84.00	4,950	100.0	.3	1.0	7.0	21.9	29.5	21.8	14.8	3.7
South Dakota	84.44	421	100.0	.4	1.0	8.0	22.0	27.4	22.5	16.5	2.7
Bouth Dakoparresses	0		100.0					1		1	
Texas	84.16	10,210	100.0	.3	1.6	8.6	23.6	25.2	18.0	17.1	5.6
Dist. of Col.	83.57	1,007	100.0	0	.6	7.2	26.2	29.5	19.7	13.3	3.5
Virginia	83.14	5,983	100.0	.4	1.8	8.0	24.9	27.5	18.4	14.5	4.5
Maine	83.04	1,702	100.0	.2	1.9	7.9	23.3	30.4	19.2	13.7	3.4
North Dakota	82.72	272	100.0	.4	.7	10.9	25.4	25.4	18.4	12.4	6.4 4.4
Tennessee	82.35	5,063	100.0	.3	2.0	8.4 8.4	25.7 25.7	28.6	16.6	14.0	3.6
Alabama	81.96 81.19	4,209	100.0	.7 .7	2.1	10.4	25.7	28.1	17.4	14.0	4.5
Louisiana Arkansas	81.19	2,873	100.0	.4	2.3	10.4	26.8	24.0	15.5	14.4	4.1
Georgia	78.61	6,178	100.0	.7	2.4	10.4	30.8	28.7	14.0	10.0	3.0
South Carolina		3,677	100.0	.4	1.9	10.4	30.2	31.3	15.0	8.4	2.0
North Carolina	78.38	7,541	100.0	.3	1.6	10.5	31.7	31.1	14.0	8.4	2.4
Mississippi		2,681	100.0	.6	3.0	11.7	32.4	25.2	13.9	10.1	3.1
Puerto Rico	65.51	303	100.0	5.1	16.8	19.9	22.1	19.9	10.1	5.4	.7
					-			-		0.0	
Foreign	92.98	765	100.0	0	.3	1.6	10.7	26.9	25.3	29.2	6.0
	·	-	•		•		•	•	•	•	

¹ Payable to disabled workers aged 50-64.

Beneficiary's State of residence.
Less than 0.05 percent.

^{*} Prepared in the Division of Program Analysis, Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance.

of those in Mississippi were receiving benefits of \$33-\$74.

Coverage of State and Local Government Employees Under OASDI*

Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance coverage of State and local government employees has increased in each of the 8 years that the protection of the Federal program has been available to this group. As of January 1959 about $3\frac{1}{4}$ million, or 55

Table 1.-State and local government employment, total number and number covered under OASDI through voluntary agreements, October 1950–58 and January 1959

[Numbers in thousands]

Month	State and local government employment ¹							
	Contine							
	Total	Cov under (Total number covered under					
	number ²	Number	Percent of total	OASDI 3				
October: 1950 1951 1952 1953	4,230 4,230 4,470 4,610	540 695 810	13 16 18	540 735 850				
1954 1955 1955 1956 1957 1958 January 1959	4,805 5,005 5,225 45,700 5,840 45,900	960 1,220 1,935 2,275 3,180 3,230	20 24 37 40 54 55	1,000 1,270 1,985 2,360 3,295 3,345				

¹ Excludes the District of Columbia, which is not

¹ Excludes the District of Collingia, which is not included in the statutory definition of State for purposes of agreement. ² Excludes employment for transit systems com-pulsorily covered; based on data from the Bureau of the Census.

³ Includes Alaska and Hawaii, and Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. 4 Estimated.

percent of the almost 6 million persons employed by State and local governments, were covered through voluntary agreements made by the States with the Federal Government.

In October of 1951-the first year coverage for these workers was possible-more than half a million employees were covered; they represented approximately 13 percent of all persons employed by State or local governments at that time. The 55 percent covered in January 1959 was more than four times the proportion with coverage in October 1951, and the number of covered employees had increased to six times the number covered in 1951.

One reason for the rise in number was the growth in State and local government employment, which increased by more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ million during the period October 1951-January 1959. The increase in the number of persons employed by State and local governments and in their coverage by old-age, survivors, and disability insurance under successive amendments to the Social Security Act is shown in table 1.

The Social Security Act Amendments of 1950 permitted coverage, effective in 1951, of State and local government employees who were not protected by retirement systems. By October 1954 coverage under this provision had been extended to approximately 1 out of every 5 of these government employees. The 1954 amendments granted eligibility for coverage, effective in 1955, to most employees who were under a State or local retirement system. By October 1957, 2 out of every 5 State or local government employees were covered, including almost a million who were members of retirement systems. Under the 1956 amendments, specified States were permitted to divide positions under their retirement systems into two groups in order to provide

Table 2.—State and local government employment covered under old-age. survivors, and disability insurance through voluntary agreements, by State, January 1959

State	Num- ber 1	Approximate percent of all State and local gov- ernment employment ²						Approximate percent of all State and local gov- ernment employment ²			
		Total	Type of government			State	Num- ber 1	Total	Type of government		
			State	Coun- ty	Lo- cal ‡			Total	State	Coun- ty	Lo- cal ³
Total	3,346,100	(1)	(*)	(4)	(1)	Mississippi Missouri	$58,800 \\ 91,200$	80 74		82 82	
Continental U.S	3,227,900	55	65	70	48	Montana Nebraska Nevada	23,300 56,100 700	84 95 6	91 94	142 110	64 92 8
Alabama Alaska	4,100	(4)	(4)		(4)	New Hampshire. New Jersey	$19,900 \\ 151,300$	85 83	90 101	133 80	80 79
Arizona Arkansas California	$30,700 \\ 51,200$	56 9	(5)	71 17	38 10	New Mexico New York North Carolina	17,500 450,000 111,400	71 89	85 90	80 93	81
Colorado Connecticut Delaware	24,200	31	6 44 92	86	25	North Dakota Ohio ⁷ Oklahoma	15,800 62,800	51 75			
Dist. of Col. ⁶ Florida Georgia		48 54	 74 69		32 46	Oregon Pennsylvania	64,200 241,200				
Hawaii Idaho	13.300	(4)	(4) 97	(1)	(4)	Puerto Rico Rhode Island South Carolina	98,900 15,700 62,500	62			(†) 50 87
Illinois Indiana	118,100	80	72	92	22 81	South Dakota Tennessee	$24,600 \\ 63,500$	78 59	84 69	115 69	70 45
Iowa Kansas Kentucky	77,300 48,000	79 60	102 82 99	99 82	94 74 37	Utah	$ \begin{array}{r} 141,000 \\ 32,300 \\ 9,200 \end{array} $	48 96 57	105	108	
Louisiana Maine Maryland	$31,200 \\ 7,300 \\ 73,100$	20	10 13 88	50 38	34 23 61	Virginia	109,900 1,900 94,900	96 (+)	94 (4)	106 (1)	88 (1)
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	700	(⁵) 76	2 77 73	100	(5)	West Virginia Wisconsin	94,900 49,900 83,500 14,300	91 59	93 69	99 79	89
	l						_,				

¹ Estimated employment for which coverage has

¹ Estimated employment for which coverage has been approved, regardless of effective dates. ² Excludes employment for transit systems, compulsorily covered under old-age, survivors, and disability insurance. Based on data from the Bureau of the Census for October 1958 (latest avail-able). Where the percentage exceeds 100, the excess is due primarily to the difference in reference

periods, and the percentage should be taken as 100

Other than county governments.

7 No agreement.

^{*} Prepared in the Division of Program Analysis, Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance. Detailed data, by State and type of government unit, appear in a quarterly statistical report, State and Local Government Employment Covered by Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance Under Section 218 of the Social Security Act.

<sup>Other than county governments.
Not available.
Less than 0.5 percent.
Not included in the statutory definition of</sup> State for purposes of agreement.