## Disability Insurance Benefits In Current-Payment Status, By State, December 31, 1960\*

At the end of December 1960, disability insurance benefits under the old-age, survivors, and

\* Prepared by Hammett Buchanan, Division of Program Analysis, Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance. disability insurance program were going to 455,000 disabled workers under age 65, including about 54,000 under age 50 who were first eligible for benefits in November 1960. The total number was more than a third greater than that in December 1959. The disabled-worker beneficiaries have been classified by their State of residence at the end of the year in the accompanying table, which shows the average monthly benefit being

Number and average monthly amount of disability insurance benefits <sup>1</sup> in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, <sup>2</sup> by State, December 31, 1960

bence ( unker) by size (n arrenge bench) ability bench bench ( unker) bench ( unker) stal ( unker)	State <sup>3</sup> (ranked by size of average benefit)			Percent of disability beneficiaries receiving-								
Michigan97.5918.00100.0.1.42.710.718.217.628.4California.94.1833.85100.0.2.73.613.822.210.622.5Ohio94.1833.85100.0.2.53.913.721.613.622.4Ohio93.6423.635100.0.2.53.913.721.619.623.7Ohio93.771061100.00-44.214.020.118.924.5Utah93.671061100.00-44.214.222.020.822.6Utah93.18107100.00-44.214.222.720.622.4Utah93.18107100.01-43.314.922.720.625.6Ornecticut.92.626055100.01-43.715.123.024.524.6Pennsylvania.92.626055100.01-43.715.123.024.624.624.6Pennsylvania.92.626055100.01-43.715.123.024.624.624.624.6Pennsylvania.92.626055100.01-43.715.724.624.624.624.6Pennsylvania.92.626055100.01-43.616.021.216.724.62				Total	\$33	\$34- 44	\$45- 59	\$60 74	\$75- 89			\$116- 119 *
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total	\$89.31	455,371	100.0	0.4	1.2	5.8	18.1	24.1	18.9	18.9	12.6
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Michigan	97.56	18,001	100.0	.1	.4	2.7	10.7	18.2	17.6	28.4	21,9
West Virginia94.088.935100.0.2.73.613.121.719.624.0Nevada33.76123100.0003.311.510.721.030.2Nevada33.77123100.00043.311.510.721.030.2Nevada33.77123100.00-44.213.314.721.610.2Nevada33.711.6100.02.64.114.222.00.822.8Oregon.63.84.272100.01.43.315.022.420.122.5Washington93.026.427100.01.43.715.122.010.721.8Pennsylvania92.643.521100.01.43.814.723.421.621.8Pennsylvania92.678.49100.0.4.88.411.621.712.922.0Plorida91.641.560100.0.4.75.116.421.210.721.222.0Plorida92.078.49100.0.4.48.716.117.722.022.522.0New York90.231.56100.0.4.75.110.421.222.022.0New York90.241.5610.0.4.75.110.412.210.112.210.0 <t< td=""><td>Arizona</td><td>94.32</td><td>3,855</td><td>100.0</td><td>.1</td><td>.2</td><td>3.4</td><td>12.7</td><td>23.2</td><td>19.9</td><td>22.6</td><td>17.9</td></t<>	Arizona	94.32	3,855	100.0	.1	.2	3.4	12.7	23.2	19.9	22.6	17.9
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ualifornia Wort Vierinia				.1	.4						16.5
Alaska93.75122100.0003.311.519.723.030.030.67Uah93.67508100.00-44.714.020.518.924.5Uah93.671.16100.0-2-74.213.821.420.922.8Oregon93.6810.671100.0-1-43.314.922.728.122.6Washington93.686.427100.0-1-43.315.022.422.420.126.5Ormecticut92.926.055100.0-1-43.715.123.019.721.3Pomsyvania92.2443.5231100.0-2-64.514.724.421.621.8Pomsyvania92.2443.5231100.0-2-64.516.422.513.320.9Motana92.645.64110.0-3-75.010.721.418.822.9Motana90.3416.17710.0-3-35.010.721.418.522.9Motana90.6116.17710.0-3-47.75.210.223.918.017.7Idalo00.281.136100.0-4-54.717.623.221.220.9Motana90.611.07110.0-4-54.717.623.221.220.9Vew York </td <td>Obio</td> <td>94.08</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.2</td> <td>.7</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>13.1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>17.1</td>	Obio	94.08			.2	.7	3.6	13.1				17.1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Alaska	03.75	20,000									17.0 12.3
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nevada	93.67										17.0
	Utah	93.37										16.0
	Indiana	í 93.18	10,671		.2				22.0			15.3
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oregon	93.18	4,272		.1	.3	3.3		22.7	20.5	25.1	13.1
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Connectiont} \\ \text{Pennsylvania} \\ Pen$	wasnington	93.03	6,427	100.0	.1	1.4	3.3	15.0	22.4	20.1	26.5	12.2
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Connection} \\ \text{Dermsylvania} \\ \text{Permsylvania} \\ Perm$	New Jersey	93.02	15.166	100.0	.1	.4	3.7	15.1	23.0	19.7	21.3	16.7
$ \begin{array}{                                    $	Connecticut	92.92	6,065		.1	.2						14.1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pennsylvania	92.54	35,231		.2	.6			22.7			13.3
	LIIIII018	92.29	24,061		.2	.6						15.6
	Montana	92.07	8,449		.4	1.8					21.2	16.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Florida	90.34			.0					17.3		14.
New York90.2145.367100.0.2.65.018.124.619.318.5Delaware90.071.071100.0.4.7.521.9.223.018.017.7Colorado89.742.969100.0.11.05.517.024.420.520.2Wyoming88.13548100.0.9.25.419.023.819.018.7Kansas88.895.447100.0.51.56.017.724.019.919.1Maryland88.724.280100.0.51.56.017.724.019.919.1Massachusetts88.3214.405100.0.51.56.017.817.817.8Massachusetts88.3214.405100.0.5.55.016.989.924.119.617.7Hawaii87.445.080100.0.5.55.010.931.623.113.618.5New Hampshire87.261.537100.0.5.55.020.230.021.015.7Rhode Island87.172.774100.0.1.46.920.025.618.118.2New Mexico86.661.377100.0.41.46.920.025.618.118.216.9Rhode Island87.172.774100.0.1.45.520.230.021.0	Idano	1 90.28										11.6
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	New York	90.21	45,367		.2	.6			24.6			13.1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Delaware	90.07			.4			19.2				14.9
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Colorado	. 89.74	2,969	100.0	.1	1.0	5.5	17.0	24.4	20.5	20.2	11.8
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Wyoming	89.13	548	100.0	9.	.2	5.4	19.0	23.8	19.0	19.9	11.8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Minnesota	88.89	5,447		.5	1.2	6.5	18.2	23.3		18.7	12.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kansas.	88.72							24.0			11.3
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $												12.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oklahoma	88.32			1.							10.11.11
	Hawaii	87.47				1 0						8.
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Kissouri} \\ \text{Missouri} \\ \text{Rhode Island} \\ \text{Rhode Island} \\ \text{New Mexico} \\ New $	lowa	87.44			.5	1.5						9.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	New Hampshire	87.26		100.0	1 .1	1 .0						7.
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Missouri	. 87.18			.4	1.5				18.2		10.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			2,114	100.0		.4	5.8	20.2	30.0	21.0	15.0	7.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	New Mexico	86.86			.4		6.9		25.6			9.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vermont.	. 86.40							27.8			8.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Teras	. 80.34					7.0			1 19.7		9. 10.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nebraska	85.01	9 350				7 9			19.6		7.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maine	84.58	2,693								13.6	5.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Virginia	. 84.39									14.1	8.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Alabama	. 83.67	10,449				8.3			17.0	13.5	8.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District of Columbia	83.42	1,762		.4		8.3	25.1	29.5	17.4	12.2	6.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Louisiana	- 83.28										8. 8.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1	1		1					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	South Dakota	82.61										5.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Arkaness	- 82.34										7.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Georgia	- 81.32										
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	South Carolina	79.4										
Mississippi 77.69 5,569 100.0 2.2 4.3 12.1 28.0 25.2 14.0 9.8   Virgin Islands 76.20 10 100.0 0 10.0 10.0 20.0 10.0	North Carolina	. 79.17			1 .8	2.0						3.
Virgin Islands	Mississippi	- 77.69	5,569	100.0	2.2	4.3	12.1	28.0	25.2	14.0	9.8	4.
	Virgin Islands	- 76.20	) 10		0	10.0						
Puerto Rico 62.08 1,318 100.0 13.0 16.4 17.1 24.5 15.5 7.2 4.8	Puerto Rico	- 62.08	3 1,318	100.0	13.0	16.4	17.1	24.5	15.5	7.2	4.8	1.
Foreign 94,57 1,528 100.0 .3 .4 2.1 10.9 24.6 22.5 24.4	Foreign	94.5	7 1,528	100.0	.3	4	2.1	10.9	24.6	22.5	24.4	14.

<sup>1</sup> Payable to disabled workers under age 65.

<sup>2</sup> For persons receiving both a disability (disabled-worker) benefit and a disability secondary benefit, the disability benefit amount includes the reduced secondary benefit.

<sup>3</sup> Beneficiary's State of residence, based on the monthly benefit check address.

<sup>4</sup> About 100 beneficiaries receiving more than \$119 are included in the figures on which the percentages are based.

paid as well as a percentage distribution of the beneficiaries by size of benefit.<sup>1</sup>

The average disability insurance benefit being paid in December 1960 amounted to \$89.31-31 cents more than the average in December 1959. The higher average resulted chiefly from the rise in the proportion of benefits computed on the basis of earnings after 1950. The average benefit had reached a high of \$89.50 in October 1960. It then declined in November and December, when a large number of awards (54,000) were made to disabled workers under age 50; the average monthly amount of these awards was about \$5.00 less than that for disabled workers aged 50-64.

For about one-eighth of all disabled-worker beneficiaries the monthly benefit was \$116-\$119, and for three-eighths it was in the \$90-\$115 range. Only 1.0 percent were receiving less than \$40. The proportion of beneficiaries receiving more than \$115 increased from 10.7 percent in December 1959 to 12.6 percent in December 1960. During the same period the proportion receiving benefits of \$60-\$115 declined 2 percent, and the proportion receiving less than \$60 rose about  $\frac{1}{5}$ of 1 percent.

As in the preceding year, disability insurance beneficiaries living in Michigan were receiving the highest monthly benefits-an average of \$97.56-and those in Mississippi were receiving the lowest, \$77.69. Eighteen States retained the same position in the ranking by size of average benefit that they held a year earlier, and 15 States changed more than two positions. The average disability benefit payable in all but 12 States increased during the year. The average for beneficiaries in West Virginia showed the greatest increase-\$1.61-and the average for those in South Dakota had the largest decline-\$1.79. Benefits of \$90-\$119 were being paid to 68 percent of the disabled-worker beneficiaries in Michigan and to 28 percent in Mississippi. Only 14 percent of the beneficiaries in Michigan but 47 percent of those in Mississippi were receiving benefits of \$33-\$74.

## Recent Publications\*

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMNISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER. DIVISION OF THE ACTUARY. Analysis of Benefits, OASDI Program, 1960 Amendments, by John P. Jones and Marice C. Hart. (Actuarial Study No. 50.) Washington: The Division, Dec. 1950. 56 pp. Includes a detailed description of the procedure for determining benefit amounts. Limited free distribution; apply to the Division of the Actuary, Social Security Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER. DIVISION OF THE ACTUARY. Present Values of OASI Benefits in Current Payment Status, 1960, by J. A. Lazerson and Norma S. Arce. (Actuarial Study No. 51.) Washington: The Division, Feb. 1960. 13 pp.

Valuation of the benefits in selected years, 1940–59, with preliminary estimates for 1960. Limited free distribution; apply to the Division of the Actuary, Social Security Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. Establishing Money Accounts for Fuel for Heating, by Gladys O. White, and

\* Prepared in the Library, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Orders for items listed should be directed to publishers and booksellers; Federal publications for which prices are listed should be ordered from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C. Estimation of Annual Fuel Requirements for Existing Dwellings, by H. C. S. Thom. (Public Assistance Report No. 44.) Washington: The Bureau, 1961. 9 pp. and 34 pp. Processed.

The first article indicates the information—on housing, climate, and types of fuel used by public assistance recipients—that a State needs to establish money amounts for this item. The second, a technical manuscript prepared for the Bureau by the U.S. Weather Bureau, offers a formula for State use in arriving at quantities of fuel needed for heating houses occupied by assistance recipients. Limited free distribution; apply to the Bureau of Public Assistance, Social Security Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE. State Methods for Determining Need in the Aid to Dependent Children Program, by Gladys O. White. (Public Assistance Report No. 43.) Washington: U.S. Govt. Print. Office, Mar. 1961. 35 pp. Processed.

Limited free distribution; apply to the Bureau of Public Assistance, Social Security Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

## GENERAL

ELLIOTT, MABEL A., and MERRILL, FRANCES E. Social Disorganization. 4th ed. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1961. 795 pp. \$8.50.

Includes chapters on juvenile delinquency, children in industry, family disorganization, community disorganization, and unemployment.

(Continued on page 37)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Data for beneficiaries in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and foreign countries are excluded from the State comparisons.