## Notes and Brief Reports

## Aged Persons Receiving Both OASDHI and OAA, Early 1970*

In order to effectively plan and evaluate oldage, survivors, disability, and health insurance (OASDHII) and public assistance programs and assess their changing relationship to each other, it is essential to have comprehensive data on aged persons who receive money payments from both programs concurrently. The latest report from the National Center for Social Statistics of the Social and Rehabilitation Service indicates that three-fifths of those receiving old-age as-

Table 1.-Recipients of OAA money payments also receiving OASDHI cash benefits, all OAA money payment recipients, and all OASDHI beneficiaries aged 65 or over, specified months in 1948-70


[^0][^1]Table 2.-Average payments to concurrent recipients of OASDHI cash benefits and OAA money payments and to nonbeneficiary-recipients of OAA money payments, specified months in 1951-70

| Month and year | A verage payments to conenment recinjents of OASDIII and OAA money payments |  |  | A verage <br> OAA money payment to non-beneficiaryrecipients of OAA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> OASDHI <br> cash <br> benefit | Average <br> OAA <br> money <br> payment | Combined average OASIDII and OAA p.yyments |  |
| August 1951. | \$20.85 | \$36.00 | \$65.85 | \$44.85 |
| February 1952.- | 29.60 | 36.85 | 66.45 | 46.60 |
| February 1953.- | 33.90 | 38.75 | 72.85 | 51.55 |
| February 1954.- | 33.90 | 40.35 | 74.30 | 50.35 |
| February 1955.- | 38.75 | 37.40 | 76.15 | 50.65 |
| Fe oruary 1956... | 38.70 | 40.35 | 79.05 | 52.00 |
| February 1957... | 40.10 | 42.70 | 82.75 | 55.45 |
| February 1958. | 40.70 | 43.60 | 84.30 | 59.25 |
| March 1954...- | 43.85 | 43.80 | 87.70 | 60.60 |
| February 1960 | 43.30 | 45.80 | 84.10 | 61.70 |
| February 1961..- | 45.80 | 44.90 | 90.65 | 63.35 |
| February 1962.-. | 47.30 | 41.95 | 81.20 | 65.30 |
| February 1963.-- | 47.75 | 44.10 | 91.80 | 70.50 |
| February 1964.-- | 48.80 | 47.80 | 96.65 | 70.15 |
| February 1965.-- | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| February 1966--- | 52.75 | 47.70 | 100.45 | 77.75 |
| February 1967.-- | 52.95 | 56.75 | 104.70 | 80.30 |
| May 1968 ${ }^{2}$-..-- | 61.85 | 56.60 | 118.35 | 82.05 |
| February 1969 3 | 63.10 | 59.30 | 122.40 | 85.80 |
| February 1970 ${ }^{3}$-- | 465.60 | 64.10 | 129.70 | 92.35 |

${ }^{1}$ Reporting requirement waived for 1965.
2 Excludes Guam and Nevada; data not reported.
${ }^{3}$ Excludes Guam and the Virein Islands; data not reported.
${ }_{4}$ This average was subsequently increased by about 15 percent under the Tax Reform Act of 1969, which increased social security benefits by this percentage, effective January 19n0. nereases in benefit amounts, however, were not paid to beneficiaries until April
Source: National Center for Social Statistics, Social and Rehabiliduon Service.
sistance (OAA) under State-Federal public assistance programs in February 1970 also received cash insurance benefits under OASDHI in that month.

These data have been gathered annually from the States since 1948 and include figures on the incidence of concurrent receipt and the amount of such monthly payments from the insurance and assistance programs. The most recent information presented here was taken from reports for February 1970 submitted by State public assistance agencies administering or supervising the administration of approved plans for old-age assistance. They do not include recipients of medical assistance for the aged as they have in some previous years.

Early in 1970 more than 1.2 million persons aged 65 or over were receiving monthly incomemaintenance payments both under old-age assistance and under old-age, survivors, disability, and health insurance. Persons getting both types of payments were only slightly more numerous than they had been in February 1969 and they represented about 7.4 percent of all beneficiaries aged 65 or over. For the first 3 of the past 5 years
the proportion of beneficiary-recipients rose at a rate of about 4 percent per year. For the past 2 years, however, there has been only a $1-21 / 2$ percent increase. For the first time, beneficiaryrecipients represented more than 60 percent of all persons receiving old-age assistance.

The average monthly old age or survivors benefit going to persons getting both types of pay-

Table 3.-OAA money payment recipients also receiving OASDHI cash benefits, by State, February 1970

| State | OAA money payment recipients also receiving OASDHI cash benefits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | As percent of- |  |
|  |  | OAA money payment recipients | OASDHI cash beneciaries aged 65 or over |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 1,243,000 | 60.4 | 7.4 |
| Alabama. | 64,600 | 58.4 | 25.8 |
| Alaska. | 1,000 | 64.9 | 21.1 |
| Arizona. | 7,700 | 57.4 | 6.0 |
| Arkansas | 28,100 | 49.1 | 14.8 |
| California | 242,000 | 76.6 | 16.2 |
| Colorado | 21,100 | 61.1 | 13.8 |
| Connecticut | 4,700 | 58.9 | 1.9 |
| Delaware | 1,700 | 75.6 | 4.3 |
| District of Columbia. | 1,400 | 48.7 | 2.7 |
| Florida.-. | 40,000 | 62.2 | 5.2 |
| Georgia. | 52,800 | 56.9 | 18.8 |
| Hawaii. | 1,400 | 62.8 | 3.6 |
| Idaho-- | 2,300 19,600 | 66.0 51.4 | 3.8 2.1 |
| Indiana. | 11,400 | 68.6 | 2.6 |
| Iowa | 15,700 | 65.5 | 5.1 |
| Kansas | 6.700 | 48.0 | 3.0 |
| Kentucky | 38,000 | 58.6 | 13.7 |
| Louisiana. | 72,700 | 60.9 | 32.9 |
| Maine. | 7,400 | 69.0 | 7.2 |
| Maryland | 3,500 | 40.9 | 1.5 |
| Massachusetts | 38,300 | 75.5 | 7.2 |
| Michigan. | 22,400 | 59.3 | 3.3 |
| Minnesota | 12,400 | 58.8 | 3.6 |
| Mississippi | 42,300 | 58.5 | 24.8 |
| Missouri. | 53,800 | 64.3 | 13.0 |
| Montana. | 1,800 | 50.4 | 3.0 |
| Nebraska | 3,500 | 43.3 | 2.2 |
| Nevada | 2,300 | 67.2 | 9.4 |
| New Hampshire. | 3,300 | 75.9 | 4.7 |
| New Jersey.... | 9,800 | 61.0 | 1.7 |
| New Mexico | 3,400 | 42.1 | 7.0 |
| New York. | 58,700 | 63.0 | 3.5 |
| North Carolina | 16,500 | 43.6 | 4.7 |
| North Dakota. | 2,300 | 58.5 | 3.9 |
| Ohio..-.- | 32,700 | 56.3 | 3.9 |
| Oklahoma | 42,000 | 56.2 | 17.9 |
| Oregon-.- | 4,300 | 66.3 | 2.5 |
| Pennsylvania. | 24,800 | 51.8 | 2.3 |
| Puerto Rico.. | 190 | . 9 | 1 |
| Rhode Island. | 3,100 | 81.3 | 3.5 |
| Suuth Carolina. | 5,100 | 26.8 | 3.2 |
| South Dakota. | 2,400 | 53.4 | 3.3 |
| Tennessee. | 25,000 | 47.3 | 8.0 |
| Texas. | 139,000 | 59.8 | 18.1 |
| Utah.. | 1,400 | 38.4 | 2.1 |
| Vermont | 3,200 | 72.6 | 7.7 |
| Virginia | 5,300 | 44.1 | 1.7 |
| Washington. | 15,600 | 67.3 | 5.6 |
| West Virginia_ | 1,500 | 35.3 | 2.7 |
| Wisconsin... | 11,200 | 60.1 | 2.6 |
| W yoming.- | 1,100 | 65.4 | 4.1 |

[^2]ments in February 1970 was $\$ 65.60$, and their average OAA money payment was $\$ 64.10$. For those receiving only an OAA payment, the average amount was $\$ 92.35$ (table 2 ).

## Workmen's Compensation Offset, 1967-69*

At the end of 1969 , nearly 19,000 disabledworker families comprising more than 61,000 social security beneficiaries were affected by the workmen's compensation offset provision of the Social Security Act. The proportion of all dis-abled-worker families and beneficiaries thus affected was relatively small ( 1.3 percent of the families and 2.4 percent of the beneficiaries). The ratio is growing steadily, however, as more and more of the new awardees have their benefits either partially or completely offset (table 1).

Provisions of the 1965 amendments.-The workmen's compensation offset provision currently operative was enacted by Congress in 1965 . Its purpose was to keep a disabled worker from collecting benefits that exceed his "average current earnings" or some specified percentage thereof. Cuder this provision, social security benefits would be reduced when the combined social security and State workmen's compensation benefits

Table 1.-Benefits for disabled workers and dependents: Total and those partially or completely offset as a result of workmen's compensation offset, 1967-69

| Year | Disabled workers |  |  | Disabled workers and dependents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total number | Benefits partially or completely offset |  | Total number | Benefits partially or completely offset |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Nun}_{\text {ber }}-$ | Percent of total |  | $\underset{\text { ber }}{\text { Num- }}$ | Percent of total |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1967 \\ & 1968 \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | Awards during year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 301,500 | 8,900 | 3.0 | 671,200 | 28,000 | 4.2 |
|  | 324,000 | 10,600 | 3.3 | 714.000 | 34,200 | 4.8 |
|  | On the rolls at end of year |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 1,207,800 | 10,000 | 0.8 | 2,205,100 | 29,800 | 1.4 |
| 1968 | 1,310,200 | 13,400 | 1.0 | 2,395,300 | 44, 200 | 1.8 |
| 1969 | 1,410,900 | 18,900 | 1.3 | 2,561,900 | 61,100 | 2.4 |

[^3][^4]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimated by the Social Security Administration.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data on concurrent receipt of OAA and OASDHI payments estimated on basis of national figures; State reporting waived for February 1965.
    on Exis of national fgures; State reporting waived for Februar
    Source: National Center for Social Statistics, Social and Rehabilitation Service.

[^1]:    * Data from tabular release on Conourrent Receipt of Puslic Assistance Money Payments and Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance Cash Benefits by Persons Aged 65 or Over, 1948-70 and February 1970 (Social and Rehabilitation Service, National Center for Social Statistics), 1970.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes Guam and the Virgin Islands; data not reported.
    Source: National Center for Social Statistics, Social and Ro'abilitation Service.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data not available.

[^4]:    * Prepared by Wayne Long, Division of Statistics, Office of Research and Statistics.

