# Experience Rating in Wisconsin, 1940* 

This article is the second of a series of analyses of experience-rating data received during 1940 from a number of State employment security agencies. An analysis of similar data for Nebraska appeared in the January Bulletin, and a summary of Indiana data will be carried in a subsequent issuc.

At the beginning of 1940, the third year for which employers obtained modified contribution rates under the experience-rating provisions of the Wisconsin unemployment compensation act, approximately 4,900 or 60.5 percent of the 8,100 experience-rating accounts cligible for rate modifications obtained rates of less than the standard 2.7 percent, while 600 accounts, ropresenting 7.5 percent of the total, received rates higher than 2.7 percent. The remaining third received the standard rate. There were 3,600 additional employers in Wisconsin who were not eligible for rate variations, primarily because of the brief period during which they had been subject to the law, and who therefore paid contributions during 1940 at the 2.7 -pereent rate. It has been estimated that the revenue obtained from the contributions for 1940 of the former group of 8,121 employers would be about 20 percent less than in 1939, or 40 percent less than the amount which would have been obtained under a uniform 2.7 -percent rate. Somewhat more than half of the aggregate 1940 pay roll of these employers was subject to contributions at the rate of 1 percent. It has also been estimated that the average contribution rate for 1940 of these 8,121 employers would be about 1.6 percent, ${ }^{1}$ in contrast to the average rate of 2.0 percent in 1939, and that the average contribution rate for all sulject employers in the State would be approximately 1.7 percent for 1940.

It may be noted, in this connection, that the bnhance in the State fund at the close of 1939 was in excess of $\$ 50$ million. Colloctions and interest during 1939 exceeded $\$ 15$ million, while benefit payments were slightly greater than $\$ 3.5$ million.

Data now available do not indicate conclusively that a direct relationship exists between size of pay roll and contribution rates, for, while a greater proportion of the employers with larger pay rolls obtained rate reductions than employers

[^0]with smaller annual pay rolls, the average annual pay roll of those accounts with the lowest rates was lower than the pay roll of accounts with highest contribution rates. As pointed out below, the experience of large and small employers is comparable only for employers with the same period of coverage under the law, for most small employers have had a shorter period of experience under it than is the caso for larger omployers. However, industries characterized by little fluctuation in levels of production and operations-such as banks, utilities, and trade groups-contain the largest proportion of employers obtaining rate reductions. In industries most subject to seasonal influences, such as construction, a largo proportion of employers were assigned rates in excess of 2.7 percent. These data, however, do not indicate the extent to which reduced rates under the experience-rating provisions reflect employer eflorts to stabilize employment. It should bo noted, in this connection, that the amount of benefits charged to employers' accounts not only reflects the risk of unemployment to which employers in given industries were exposed but, in addition, the benefit structure of the unemployment compensation law.
About 11 percent of the 8,121 accounts, representing about 8 percent of the total pay roll of these accounts, were exempt from contributions for 1940 , and an additional 49 percent were contributing at the 1 -percent rate. In contrast, 7.5 percent of these 8,121 accounts, comprising 8.5 percent of the total pay roll, were contributing at higher than the standard rate (table 1). Both the accounts with 1-percent rates and those with increased rates had higher average annual pay rolls than accounts contributing at the standard rate, primarily because the standard rate applies to more than a thousand small employers whose recent coverage has not given them ns much time as larger employers have had to build up the roquired reserves. The highest average pay roll applies to the accounts paying the 3.7 -percent
contribution rato, and tho lowest to accounts for which no 1940 contributions wore payable.

Benofit payments during 1939 amounted to about $\$ 29$ for each $\$ 100$ of contributions for that year; benefits equaled 60 cents for each $\$ 100$ of pay roll, while contributions for the year wore $\$ 2$ for onch $\$ 100$ of pay roll. During 1938, when the average contribution rate was 2.7 percent, benefit payments equaled $\$ 58$ for each $\$ 100$ of contributions.

| Year | Average contribution rate (percent) | Porcentage change In averago contributiou rate from- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Procoding } \\ \text { year }}}{ }$ | 2.7 average |
| 1038. | 2.7 |  |  |
| 1039. | - 2.0 | $1-20$ | 1-20 |
| 1940. | 11.0 | 1-20 | 1-41 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimatod.

## How 1940 Contribution Rates Were Determined

For oach firm, a ratio known as a "reserve percontage" was computed as follows: the net reserve (i. e., the excess of cumulative contributions over cumulative benofits charged) in the individual omployer account at the ond of December 31, 1039, was divided by the 1939 pay roll, or the average of the 1938 and 1939 pay rolls, or threc-fourths of the 1938 pay roll, ${ }^{2}$ whichever was largest.

To qualify for a rato reduction, an account had to meet two conditions. If the employer's net reserve was at least 5 times the largest amount of benefits charged in either 1937, 1938, or 1939, and if, in addition, the reserve was at least 7.5 percent

[^1]of the employer's annual (or average ammual) pay roll, he became oligible for a rate reduction. Un. less both conditions were fulfilled, no reduction was allowed. If the reserve percentage was 7.5 percont or moro, but less than 10 percent, the contribution rate was 1 percent; if 10 percent or moro, no contribution was required.

If the resorve percentage was less than 4.0 , an increased rate was assigned. If the reserve percentage was 2.5 or more, but less than 4 percent, the rate assigned was 3.2 percent; if it was loss than 2.5 the rate was 3.7 percent. ${ }^{3}$ However, if the 1939 contribution rate of an account with a reserve ratio of less than 2.5 percent had been 2.7 percent or less, no higher rate than 3.2 percent could bo imposed for 1040. Employers with reserve percentages between 4.0 and 7.5 porcent obtained the standard rate of 2.7 percent.

One reason why a high proportion of Wisconsin employers had attained the reserve ratios requisite for rate reductions is that many of these employers contributed at the 2.0 -percent rate from July 1, 1934, to December 31, 1937, and at the 2.7 -percont rate thereafter. In most other States employers' contribution rates were 0.9 percent in 1936 and 1.8 percent in 1937. Provided that no bencfits had been charged to his account, a Wisconsin employer with a uniform pay roll from July 1934 would have had a reserve ratio of 12.4 percent at the close of 1939, while in most other States such a reserve ratio would have been 8.1 percent.
Under the terms of the Wisconsin law, benefits paid to an unemployed worker are charged to the reserve account of the employer for whom he had worked immediately prior to the current spoll of

[^2]Table 1.-Distribution of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, their 1939 pay roll, and estimated contributions, by 1940 contribution rate '

| [Amounts in thousands] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution rato (percent) | Accounts |  | 1030 pry roll |  |  | Estimated contributions |  |
|  | Number | y'ercent | Amount | lercent | Averago | 1010 rintes | 2.7 rato |
| Total... | 8,121 | 100.0 | \$501, 087 | 100.0 | \$73 | \$0, 303 | \$15,084 |
| $0 \ldots$ | ${ }_{0}^{003}$ | 11.1 | 40,415 | 8.3 | 85 |  | 1,334 8 |
| 2.7. | 2, 203 | 32.0 | 167, 813 | 28.4 | 64 | 3, <br> 4,613 <br> 182 | 8,832 4,632 |
| 3.2. | 331 235 | 4.7 | 27, 3315 | 4.6 | 72 | 875 | 738 |
| 3.7. | 225 | 2.8 | 23,012 | 3.0 | 102 | 8.53 | 82 |

1 Data relate to employer accounts oliglbie for rate varlations for 1040. As of abovo, wero paying contribution rates at the standard rate of 2.7 ; these emMar. 31, 1040, approximately 3,600 employers, In addition to 2,603 shown ployers will not be eligible for rate variations until 1011, 1912, or 1013.
unemployment. If the worker remains unemployed after tho total amount of benefits chargeable to that employer's account has been drawn, benofits aro then chargeable to the reserve accounts of preceding employers. Should such an employer's reserve account be exhnusted, benefits which the worker is still eligible to receive, on the basis of his past employment record, are charged to the so-cnlled balancing (or pooled) account. This account is credited with interest earnings on money in the unemployment trust fund, interest on delinquent contributions, and, under certain conditions, balances romaining in the reserve accounts of employers no longer subject to the act; certain other revenues are also credited.

## Analysis by Size of Firm and Length of Period Covered

There is a tendency for the proportion of accounts with reduced rates to increase as employers' pay rolls increase. $\Lambda s$ may be observed in tablo 2 , from 51 to 60 percent of the groups of employers whose amnual pay rolls were below $\$ 20,000$ obtained such reductions, as compared with 63 to 71 percent of the employers with pay rolls of $\$ 20,000$ or more. The proportion of employers with contribution rates of 2.7 percent is lower for employers with higher annual pay rolls than for employers with lower pay rolls. However, these data do not indicate a direct relation between size of pay roll and increased rates of contribution, since, as a result of the gradual extension of coverage to smaller employers, a relatively large proportion of employers in the smaller payroll groups obtained the 2.7 -percent rate.

Of the 8,121 accounts eligible for rate modification for 1040 , only 3,837 had been liable for benefit charges since July 1030, when benefit payments were initinted. As may be noted in table 3, 520 additional employers became liable for benefit charges in January 1037, 2,575 in January 1938, and 1,183 in January 1939. Theso differences in dates are attributable to changes in coverage, for the statute has successively extended coverage to employers with smaller numbers of workers. These provisions affected employers who were eligible for rate variation 'at the end of 1039 as follows:

| Number of workers and year of em. ploymont | Date liable for contrjbutions | Dato llable for bonofts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 or more durlng 1933. | July 1034. | July 1936. |
| 10 or moro during 1034. | January 1035. | January 1037. |
| 8 or more during 1036. | January 1036. | January 1038. |
| 8 or more during 1037. | January 1037. | January 1030. |

As a result of the gradual extension of coverage under the Wisconsin law, a large proportion of the smaller employers (those with 8 or 9 workers) had not been subject to the statute long enough to have accumulated, by the close of 1939, a sufficient surplus of contributions over benefits to qualify for rate reductions. For the snme reason, benefits had not been chargeable to their accounts for a period long enough to cause benefits to exceed contributions and thus lead to the assignment of an incrensed rate. This factor may help to explain why a relatively high proportion of the accounts with the briefest period

[^3]Table 2.-Percontage distribution of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, by 1940 contribution rate, for average annual pay-roll groups


TThe "avernge ammal jay roll' reprecents 1039 phy roll, avernge of 1038 and 1030 pay rolls, or threcefourths of 1038 pay roll, whichever wns the largest.

Table 3.-Distribution of Wisconsin experience-ruting accounts by benefit-liability date 'and 1940 contribution rate

| Benent-liability dato ${ }^{1}$ | Accounts |  | Reduced rate |  | Staudard rate |  | lucrensel rato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | P'ereent |
| 'Total.. | 8, 121 | 100.0 | 4, 012 | 60.5 | 2, c03 | 32.0 | 600 | 7.8 |
| July 1030 | 3.837 | 100.0 | 2,714 | 70.7 | 854 | 22.3 | 269 | 7.0 |
| January 1937 Jnnuary 1038. | $\begin{array}{r}530 \\ 2,575 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 325 1,528 | 61.8 69.3 | 149 812 812 | 28.3 31.5 |  | 0.0 0.2 |
| January 1030.. | 1,183 | 100.0 | 1, 315 | 20.1 | 788 | 66.7 | 50 | 4.2 |

1 Relates to statutory changes in sizo-of-flim coverage (seo text).
of coverage retained the 2.7 -percent rate in 1940, and why accounts in the 2.7 -percent rate bracket also had a lower average annual pay roll.

There are significant differences in the proportion of accounts obtaining modified rates in each of these groups. Thus, while 71 percent of the group with the longest period of coverage obtained rate reductions, such reductions were granted to only 62 percent of the next group, and 59 percent and 29 percent of the two following groups, respectively. Similarly, the proportion of accounts paying contributions at 2.7 percent declined as the period of bencfit liability increased; the trend is not as clearly evident, however, with respect to the assignment of increased contribution rates.

An employer with a relatively low ratio of current benefits to contributions tends to accumulate an increasing "surplus" of contributions over benefits from year to year, which results in increasing the employer's reserve ratio and thus reducing his contribution rate. In contrast, an employer with a relatively high ratio of current bencfits to contributions tends to experience a reduction from year to year in his reserve balance, which in turn reduces his reserve ratio. When a reserve ratio falls below 4 percent, an increased contribution rate ${ }^{5}$ is assigned.

## The Effects of Voluntary Contributions

Approximately 1,000 Wisconsin employers made voluntary contributions totaling $\$ 355,480$ for the year 1939 in order to improve their experiencerating records. These contributions represented 3 percent of the $\$ 11,841,000^{\circ}$ contributed by the 8,121 employers eligible for modified rates for 1940, under the requirements of the statute.

A large number of accounts had reserve ratios

[^4]barely above the level necessary to qualify for the 1-percent and zero rates, while a smaller number had ratios slightly below the level necessary to qualify for such rates.

Of the 940 accounts with ratios of 7.5 to 7.7 percent and 10.0 to 10.2 percent, approximately 60 percent had made voluntary contributions during 1939. Undoubtedly these accounts would have obtained higher rates upon the basis of their past contribution and benefit experience, had no voluntary contributions been made. It is also evident that accounts with reserve ratios barely above the level necessary for rate reductions had much higher pay rolls than accounts whose reserve ratios were just below the necessary level (table 4). Employers without funds available for voluntary contributions or without awareness of the statutory provisions are placed at a relative disadvantage at times when a voluntary contribution might enable them to obtain a reduced contribution rate. It would seem that smaller employers have been unable to take advantage of this provision to as great an extent as larger employers.

The proportion of voluntary contributions made by employers in given industries varied considerably (table 5). Thus while the voluntary contributions made by employers in the construction industry were 1.2 percent of their other contributions, in comparison with 3 percent for all employers in the State, voluntary contributions of employers in transportation, commmication, and utilities were nearly 12 percent of their other contributions. For the other industries, with the exception of the service group, the proportion of all contributions was roughly similar to the proportion of voluntary contributions.

There is no indication that the ratio of voluntary contributions to all other contributions is directly related to the percontage of accounts obtaining rate reductions (table 6). The construction industry had both the lowest ratio and the smallest
percentago of employers obtaining rate reductions of all groups in the State. On the other hand, employers in transportation and utilities had $a$ relatively high ratio of voluntary contributions to all contributions and a higher than average percentage of accounts with rate reductions. The high proportion of reduced rates in the case of trade and finance is not accompanied by a similarly high ratio of voluntary contributions to all contributions, probably because relatively fewer employers in these groups needed to make voluntary contributions in ordor to qualify for rato reductions. A conclusive explanation of this relationship would necessarily rest upon information regarding the contribution rates which employers would have been assigned had no voluntary contributions been paid, but such information is not now available.

## Industry Amalysis

It is apparent from table 6 that there were significant differences in the average experience of the employers in different industry divisions, though even greater differences exist in the experience of individual employers within the same industry groups. Industries which are directly influenced by seasonal fluctuations have the lowest proportion of reduced contribution rates and the highest proportion of rate increases, while industries characterized by little fluctuation in levels of production and operations--such as utilities, banks, and printing and publishing-contain the largest proportion of employers obtaining rate reductions.

Manufacturing industries, with one-fourth of all employers and three-fiftlis of all pay rolls in the State, had been charged with $\$ 31$ of benefits

Tahle 4.-Distribution of Wisconsin experience-ratink accounts and their 1939 pay roll, by selected employers' reserve ratio ${ }^{1}$

| \{Amounts In thousands |
| :--- |

[^5] annual jay roll.

Table 5.-Distribution of 1939 voluntary and all other contributions of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, and ratio of voluntary to all other contributions, by industry
[Amounts in thousands]


1 Total eontributions of all employers for 2030, Including those not oliglblo for rate variation, were $\$ 13,471,000$, Including voluntary contributions.
includes agrleulture, forestry, fishery, and mining.
for each $\$ 100$ of contributions credited as of the close of 1939 (table 6). Employers in the trade groups, representing nearly two-fifths of all omployers but less than one-fifth of all pay rolls in tho State, had been charged with less than $\$ 12$ in benefits for each $\$ 100$ in contributions. On the other hand, employers in the construction industry, who represented one-tenth of all employers in the State, were charged with over $\$ 43$ in benefits for each $\$ 100$ in contributions. Data covering the year 1939 indicate that the ratio of benofits to contributions for employers in this group was over 72 percent, and benefit payments constituted 1.8 percent of 1939 pay rolls (table 7). Industries characterized by low ratios of benefits to contributions had a high proportion of accounts with no benefits charged and a relatively low number of overdrawn accounts.

A comparison of the data on 1940 rate variations with similar data for 1939 indicates that a much higher proportion of all employers in manufacturing, transportation and communication, retail and wholesale trade, and financo and real estate obtained rate reductions in 1940 than in 1939. In contrast to these industries, the proportion of the employors in construction and in mining who obtained rate reductions in 1940 was approximately the same as in 1939. In both years, increased contribution rates were assigned to $a$ far ligher proportion of the employers in

Table 6.-Distribution of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, percent with reduced rates, with no benefits charged, and with accounts overdrawn, and ratio of benefits to contributions, by industry, as of Dec. 31, 1939

| Industry | Accounts |  | Percent of accounts- |  |  | Ratio(percont)of bone-ftstocontri-butions(cumu-lative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Num}_{\text {ber }}$ | Per. cent | $\begin{gathered} \text { With } \\ \text { reduced } \\ \text { rates } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { With } \\ \text { no ben. } \\ \text { chits } \\ \text { charged } \end{array}\right\|$ | Overdrawn |  |
| Total. | 8, 121 | 100.0 | 60.5 | 25.8 | 2.0 | 24.8 |
| Construction. | 740 | 9.2 | 23.9 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 43.2 |
| Manufacturing | 2,183 | 28.9 | 55.5 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 31.0 |
| Transportation, communication, and other publio utilities. | 327 | 4.0 | 03.3 | 20.6 | 2.4 | 8.5 |
| Wholesalo and retail trado. | 3,237 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 30.9 | .4 | 11.0 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estato | 499 | 6.1 | 70.0 | 54.5 | 6 | 3.7 |
| Service industrios.... | 1,024 | 12.6 | 60.0 | 31.7 | 3.5 | 20.8 |
| Not elsowhere classified. | 103 | 1.3 | 41.0 | 22.9 | 7.0 | 31.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes agriculture, forestry, fishery, and mining.
construction and mining than in trade, transportation and communication, and finance.

The proportion of accounts with reduced rates in industries such as trado and finance, which are characterized by low average ratios of benefits to contributions, tended to increase in 1940 as compared with 1939. On the other hand, the proportion of accounts with increased contribution rates has tended to rise in the construction industry, which is characterized by a high ratio of current benefits to contributions. Experience is still too brief to determine whether or not this tendency will persist in the future. It is possible that the smaller amounts of contributions credited currently to the accounts of employers now enjoying rate reductions may, in some cases,
lower thoir reserve percentages to $a$ level which will cause the assignment of higher rates of contributions in a subsequent year.

Trade.-Nearly 70 percent of the $2,000 \mathrm{om}$. ployors in the trade groups obtained rate reductions in 1940, as compared with 60 percent of all employers in the State, and only 2 percent of the employers in this group received contribution rates in excess of 2.7 percent, in contrast to the 7 percent shown for all employers in the State. In general, although about $\$ 25$ in benefits was charged for each $\$ 100$ in contributions credited in the State, firms in the trade groups were charged with less than $\$ 12$ per $\$ 100$ of contributions. Furthermore, about 31 percent of all employers in this group had no benefits charged to thoir accounts, as compared with slightly less than 26 percent for all employers in the State (table 8), and the number of these employers with overdrawn accounts was about 9 percent of all employors with overdrawn accounts.

Although, in a few respects, the record of wholesale distributors was less favorable than that of certain retail groups-such as retail food, automobile, and general merchandise-the record of all wholesalers in Wisconsin was somewhat moro favorable than was that of all retailers. Tho more favorable record of wholesalers may be explained in part by the fact that local fluctuations in business conditions, which affect retailers directly, do not have as marked an influence on wholesalers, who operate in a wider market. Retailers are also more directly influenced by seasonal fluctuations than are wholesalers.

In the retail groups which had between 300

Table 7.-Distribution of 1939 benefits, contributions, and pay roll of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, and ratios of benefits to contributions, and of benefits to pay roll, by industry, as of Dece. 31, 1939


1 Total contributions of all employers for 1839 , including those not eligible for rate variation, werc $\$ 13,47,000$, including voluntary contributions.
? Includes agriculture, forestry, fishery, and inining.
and 400 individual reserve accounts, there were no accounts in which benefits charged oxceeded credited contributions, while 0.1 percent of all retail accounts were overdrawn. Over a longer period of time, however, some of the retail groups which now contain no overdrawn accounts may bo found to include accounts for which benefits charged exceed the amount of contributions credited. Similarly, only in retail apparel does the proportion of accounts with no benefits charged fall markedly below 30 porcent; the percentage for all accounts in the State was 26.
Although, as mentioned above, difforences in periods of coverage make the interpretation of variations in the experience of accounts within industry groups rather difficult when they are classified by size of annual pay roll, the experience of accounts with pay rolls in excess of $\$ 20,000$ can be regarded as fairly comparable, since most of these accounts have been subject to the law for an equal period. Examination of the experience of this group of employers with modified rates indicates that the proportion obtaining rate reductions tended to increase with increnses in the size of their annual pay roll. Less than 70 percent of the accounts in wholesale trade with pay rolls from $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 30,000$ obtained rate reductions, while reduced rates were obtained by about 80 percent of those with pay rolls in excess of $\$ 40,000$, and more than 90 percent of those with pay rolls of $\$ 50,000$ or over. In retail trade, the contrast is not quite as clear, but the data indicate that fewer than 77 percent of all accounts with pay rolls under $\$ 40,000$ received rate reductions, as compared with 86 percent of those with pay rolls of $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 49,999,80$ percent with pay rolls of $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 99,999$, and 87 percent of those with pay rolls of $\$ 100,000$ or over.

While contribution rates in excess of 2.7 percent were assigned to 2.2 percent of all accounts in the trade groups, only among wholesale distributors and the "all other" elassification did the number of accounts with increased rates exceed 4 percent of all accounts in such groups.

As has been mentioned, the ratio of voluntary contributions made by employers in the trade groups to all other contributions was not quite as high as was the case of all employers in the State. The high ratio for retail general merchandisers is probably attributable to the voluntary contributions of large amounts made by a number of
department stores interosted in obtaining more substantial rate reductions.

Manufacturing.-The proportion of manufacturing employors obtaining reductions and increases in their contribution rates bears some resemblance to the distribution of all employers in the State. While the percentages of all omployers in the State obtaining reduced, standard, and increased rates were $60.5,32.0$, and 7.5 percent, respectively, the corresponding figures for manufacturing were 55.5, 36.7, and 7.8 percent. However, manufacturing omployers did experience a loss favorable record with respect to benefits charged as compared with all employers in the State, for $\$ 31$ in benefits was chargod to their accounts for each $\$ 100$ of contributions, in contrast to less than $\$ 25$ for all omployers in the State (table 8). On the other hand, nearly 20 percent of all omployers in the State had no bonofits charged to their accounts, in contrast to 14 percont for manufacturing employers.

Although it has been found that no direct rolationship exists between an employer's ratio of benefits to contributions and his contribution rato, there appears to be a fairly close correspondence between relatively high ratios of benefits to contributions and increased contribution rates for cortain industry groups. Thus, while 7.8 percent of all manufacturing employers had increased contribution rates for $1940,8.0$ percent of these employers had been charged with at least $\$ 00$ in benefits for each $\$ 100$ of contributions by the close of 1939. The same relationship appears to exist in the case of employers in the food, textile, paper, and publishing groups. It is significant to note that in industries such as food, paper manufacturing, and printing and publishing, where regularity of employment is a characteristic pattern, the percentage of firms with increased rates of contributions does not rise above 0 percent, and, similarly, less than 7 percent of the firms have ratios of benefits to contributions in excess of 00 percent. In contrast to these, more than 22 percent of the textile and automobile manufacturing employers obtained increased contribution rates, while more than 24 porcent had ratios of benefits to contributions in excess of 00 percent.

While all manufacturing employers' contributions were $\$ 2.20$ per $\$ 100$ of pay roll, the benefits charged to thoir accounts during 1030 amounted to 60 conts por $\$ 100$ of pay roll, or less than
ono-third of that figure (table 7). Although contributions at the figure cited represented an average reduction of more than 18 percent from $\Omega$ uniform 2.7-percent rate, the amount of benefits charged during 1939 was so low, relatively spoaking, as to indicate that contribution rates will probably decline further in 1941 if the ratio of benefits to contributions remains unchanged during 1940.

In individual industry groups such as paper manufacturing, in which the average contribution rate was only 1.3 percent, benefit payments during that yoar amounted to only 0.5 percent of pay roll. On the other hand, the avorage contribution rate of automobile manufacturers was 3.2 percent while the benefit payments charged to the accounts of such employers were 1.5 percent of their pay roll. Although a further decline in the average contribution rate may be expected in the papermanufacturing industry, a decline is not so likely to occur in the case of employers in the nutomobile industry.

Unlike the situation with respect to trade groups, in which the proportion of accounts with reduced rates tended to increase with increases in the size of annual pay roll, no such relationship appears to exist with respect to the manufactur-
ing groups. However, it may be noted that rates in oxcess of 2.7 percent were assigned to nearly 8 percent of all manufacturing accounts, and approximately 56 percent obtained rato reductions. About 23 percent of the accounts in the textile-manufacturing groups received incrensed rates as compared with 37 percent obtaining rate reductions; increased rates were assigned to nearly 5 percent of those in machinery manufacturing (except electrical machinery), while 50 percent obtained reduced rates. In the automobile, furnituro, and lumber-manufacturing groups, the variations in individual experience were also clearly marked. On the other hand, no accounts in the printing and publishing group and less than 4 percent of those in the nonferrous metals, chemicals, and paper-manufacturing groups received increased rates.

Other industries.-As has been indiented, the experience of the construction industry has been characterized by a high ratio of benefits to contributions and a low proportion of accounts obtaining rate reductions. The proportion of accounts with increased rates rose between 1939 and 1940, while those with reduced rates remained almost stationary. In contrast to this experience, firms in transportation and utilities and finance, es-

Table 8.-Number and percent of Wisconsin experience-rating accounts, percentage distribution by 19.10 contribution rates, ratio of benefits to contributions, percent of accounts with no benefits charged, and poreent overdratw, and ratio of voluntary to all other contributions, by selected industry, as of Dee. 31, 1939

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Industry} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of nccounts} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{l'ercent of accounts with-} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{liatio (per(ent) of henofts tocontributions (cumula. tive)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{lercent of accounts-} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rntio (percent) of voluntary to all other contribu(Jons, 1030} \\
\hline \& \& Reduced
rates \& \[
\underset{\text { rate }}{\text { Standard }}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Increased } \\
\& \text { rates }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& With no bencflts charged \& Overltawn \& \\
\hline All industrics \& 8, 121 \& 60.5 \& 32.0 \& 7.6 \& 24.8 \& 25.8 \& 2.0 \& 3.0 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Wholesale and retall trade, total \\
All wholesalers
\end{tabular} \& 3, 2,37 \& 60.9
708 \& 27.9 \& 2.2 \& 11.9 \& 30.9 \& 4 \& 2.8 \\
\hline All wholesalers Wholcsale merchants. \& 898
651 \& 70.8
73.9 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
27.4 \\
2.4 \\
2.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 2.8
1.6 \& 1.85
7.8 \& 33.2 \& 1.1 \& 3.0 \\
\hline Wholesale distributors \& \({ }_{347} 651\) \& 73.9
66.0 \& 2.4 .5
20.4 \& 1.6
4.6 \& \({ }_{11.8}^{7.8}\) \& \(\begin{array}{r}29.2 \\ 39.5 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 8.3 \& 3.9 \\
\hline All retallers......... \& 2, 020 \& 68.9 \& 29.1 \& 2.0 \& 11.3 \& 39.5
30.5

3 \& 2.3 \& 3.1 <br>
\hline Retall qeneral merchandise. \& 212 \& 83.0 \& 16.5 \& 2.5 \& 18.3 \& 830.7 \& $0^{-1}$ \& 2.7
3.7 <br>
\hline Retall food (includes lifuer stores) \& 320 \& 73.8 \& 25.3 \& .9 \& 8.0 \& 31.0 \& 0 \& 1.9 <br>

\hline Retall apparel and accessorics. \& | 338 |
| :--- |
| 294 |
| 8 | \& 73.1

62.1 \& | 20.0 |
| :--- |
| 3.4 |
| 10 | \& 3.3 \& 11.2 \& 218. 6 \& 0 \& 2.3 <br>

\hline Rectail trade, not elsewhere classifed \& 487 \& 68.0 \& 33.0 \& 3.1 \& | 15.2 |
| :--- |
| 13.0 |
| 1 | \& 18.7

30 \& 1.0 \& 2.7 <br>
\hline Other wholesale and retail trade \& 375 \& 81.6 \& 33.1 \& 5. 3 \& 9.4 \& 39.1 \& \& 2.4
2.9 <br>
\hline Manufacturing, total .-........... \& + 313 \& 7.4 .4 \& 24.3 \& 1.3 \& 11.1 \& 28.1 \& 3 \& 2.9
2.3 <br>
\hline Food and kindred products...... \& 2. ${ }_{611}$ \& 55.5
68.5 \& 36.7
35.0
25 \& 7.8
5.9 \& 31.0 \& 13.9 \& 2.5 \& 2.7 <br>
\hline Textile-mill products .-............. \& 57 \& 36.8 \& 40.4 \& 6.9
29.8 \& 14.18 31.8 \& 19.8
8.8
8 \& 2.3 \& 3.1 <br>
\hline Lumber and timber basic products. ${ }^{\text {Furniture and finlshed lumber products. }}$ \& 137 \& 45.3 \& 43.8 \& 10.9 \& 28.7 \& 8.8

11.0 \& | 7.0 |
| :--- |
| 2.0 |
| 2.0 | \& 1.8 <br>

\hline Paper and nllicd products..... \& 150 \& 49.1 \& 42.1 \& 8.8 \& 24.0 \& 10.1 \& 2.5 \& 1.2 <br>
\hline Printing, publishlng, and aliled industries \& 232 \& 8.14
79.3 \& 212.5 \& ${ }_{3}^{3.1}$ \& (i. 1 \& 9.4 \& 0 \& 3.6 <br>
\hline Chemleals and allicd products............. \& ${ }_{68} 8$ \& 70.0 \& 26.5 \& 2.9 \& 4 \& 27.2 \& 0 \& 2.3 <br>
\hline Leatlier and leather products.. \& 95 \& 44.2 \& 20.1
12.1 \& 13.7 \& 10.8
25.6 \& 22.1
8.4 \& \& 19.3 <br>
\hline Iron and steel and their products. \& 201 \& 35.3 \& 69.7 \& 5.0 \& 31.3 \& 8.4
5.5 \& 4.2
.5 \& $\begin{array}{r} \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>
\hline Nonferrous metals and their products. \& 60 \& 50.0 \& 48.3 \& 1.7 \& 21.6 \& 13.3 \& $0{ }^{\text {b }}$ \& . 8 <br>
\hline Machinery (except electricai) \& 4 \& 34.0 \& ${ }_{45}^{50.6}$ \& ค. 4 \& 30.4 \& 8.5 \& 4.2 \& 3.2 <br>
\hline Automobiles and automoblie cquipment \& 23
3 \& 49.8
21.2 \& 45.6
81.6 \& 4.7
24.2 \& 37.6
71.7 \& 7.7
3.11 \& 8.1 \& 1.7 <br>
\hline All other.--....... \& 251 \& 41.7 \& 39.4 \& 18.0 \& 11.1 \& 11.8 \& 7.5 \& 4.6 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

pecinlly the latter, had lower ratios of benefits to contributions than was the case for all employers in the State; nenrly 80 percent of the employers in the finance group obtained rate reductions during 1940.

Fewer than 2 percent of all accounts in financo were charged with $\$ 60$ of bencfits for each $\$ 100$ of contributions as compared with 18 percent of construction accounts. On the other hand, 47 percent of construction accounts were charged with less than $\$ 20$ in benefits for ench $\$ 100$ in contributions as compared with nonrly 93 percent for accounts in finance and 82 percent for accounts
in transportation and utilitios. Specifically, no bank or trust company and only threo gas and electric utility firms had ratios of benefits and contributions in excess of 20 percent; benofits charged to employers in those groups during 1039 equaled only 0.5 percent of pay roll for that yoar. On the other hand, nearly 35 percent of all general contractors (other than building) had ratios of benefits to contributions in excess of 00 percent; for 13 percent of them, benefits excoeded contributions; 1930 benefits charged to accounts in this group equaled 3 percent of their 1039 pay roll, while contributions were only 2.4 percent of pay roll.


[^0]:    - Prepared in the lesearchand Statisties Division, Bureau of Employment Socurity.
    ' Estimates based on the assumption that 1090 pay rolls of these 8,121 employers would be identical with those for 1030.

[^1]:    1 Uader the terms of the statutory provision, in computing the average 1038-30 pay roll, an amount equal to one-hall the employer's larger pay roll for either year included in such averago is used as the pay roll for any year for which his actual pay roll was loss than such amount.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ During 1011 or thereafter, the 4 -percent rate will be nuplied to employers with overdrawn accounts, excent that no cmployer's contribution rato can bo Increased above 2.7 percent by more than 0.5 percent per year.

[^3]:    - Employers of 7 or more have been declared liable for benofits in January 1040 and those of 0 or inore in January 1041, but none of these were eligiblo for rato varintion during 1040.

[^4]:    S Sce above, p. 18.

    - Total 1039 contrlbutions including voluntary contrlbutions for all cm ployers in the State amounted to $\$ 13,471,000$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Roprosents net reserve in account on Dec. 31, 1030, dlvided by average

