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#### Abstract

The majority of women Social Security beneficiaries receive at least part of their benefit based on their status as the wives or widows of entitled workers. This article discusses the impact of past and present marital status of women as a factor in establishing eligibility for monthly benefits and the amount of the benefit payment. The data are drawn from the 1980 and 1985 June Marital History Supplements to the Current Population Survey. Whether or not they are currently receiving auxiliary benefits, most older women are potentially eligible for them based on their current marital status or past marital duration.


Almost from the beginning of the Social Security program, old-age benefits could be paid to both retired workers and to their wives. Over the years, auxiliary benefits, based on the earnings of a present or former spouse, were made available to eligible widows, divorced wives, and surviving divorced wives.' These benefits are important to older women. Although an increasing number of women have begun to receive benefits based on their own earnings record, the majority of older women's Social Security benefit payments continue to be based on the amount of the auxiliary benefits to which they are entitled as wives or widows. In 1985, about 6 in 10 of the 18.4 million beneficiary women aged 62 or older received auxiliary benefits as wives or widows. Among these beneficiaries, about 2 in 10 had dual entitlement-that is, they received a small retired-worker benefit based on their own earnings record and an auxiliary benefit that raised their payment level to the amount they would have received as the eligible wife or widow of an entitled worker. The remaining 4 in 10 of these beneficiaries were receiving monthly benefits based only on their status as wives or widows of entitled workers (table 1). ${ }^{2}$

Among women who receive an auxiliary benefit, for wives and divorced wives, the amount of the benefit or combination of benefits is equal to 50 percent of the

[^0]spouse's Primary Insurance Amount (PIA). For widows and surviving divorced widows, the amount is 100 percent of the deceased spouse's PIA. In both instances, the PIA is actuarily adjusted for age at the time of entitlement. Table 2 summarizes various combinations of earnings records and marital history that are the basis for entitement to primary and auxiliary Social Security benefits.

In contrast to the majority of women who received auxiliary benefits as wives and widows, only about 1 percent of women who met the age requirement for benefits received them based on a marriage that ended in divorce.' However, this situation could change in the future. Divorce rates increased rapidly during the 1960's and 1970's. ${ }^{4}$ Rates during this period were even higher than the long-term trend during the past century would have suggested,' and when they finally stabilized in the 1980's, it was at what is historically a very high

[^1]Table 1.-Percentage distribution of women, by age, current marital status, and total number of marriages, 1980 and 1985

| Current marital status and total number of marriages | Middie aqe ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Late middie ape ${ }^{2}$ |  | Older age' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 |
| Total number in thousands) | 13,127 | 13,168 | 11,639 | 11,570 | 11,068 | 10,950 |
| Carrent status: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married. | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.3 |
| Ever married. | 76.8 | 73.1 | 76.2 | 72.6 | 68.4 | 61.3 |
| Separated | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Widowed. | 2.1 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 10.1 | 17.6 | 25.4 |
| Divorced. | 11.2 | 13.9 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| Total number of marriages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None. | 5.3 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.3 |
| One | 76.6 | 73.6 | 77.5 | 76.9 | 76.4 | 76.7 |
| Two. | 15.2 | 17.3 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 15.7 |
| Three or more. | 2.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Defined as ages $35-44$ in 1980 and ages $40-49$ in 1985.
Defined as ages 45.54 in 1980 and ages 50.59 in 1985.
Defined as ages $45-54$ in 1980 and ages $50-59$ in 1985.
sevel." The growing numbers of women who have ever divorced may affect the type of Social Security benefits they receive in future years.
As part of the process of understanding what role the Social Security program will play as a source of income for women retiring in the future, it is necessary to estimate their potential eligibility for auxiliary benefits. This estimation is based on both their current marital status and the duration of any past marriage. Eligibility for a spouse's benefit is based on a valid current marriage to an entitled worker, regardless of duration; for a widow(er), benefit eligibility usually requires the marriage to have lasted at least 9 months. For individuak whose marriage ended in divorce the duration requirement is much more important to eligibility for auxiliary benefits. In these cases, a woman must have been married to the entitled worker for at least 10 years.

The Social Security Administration's files do not contain this type of marital information for potential applicants. However, the Marital History Supplement to the Current Population Survey (CPS), conducted by the Bureau of the Census, has included questions about the marital status and marital duration of past and current marriages for most potential women beneficiaries. The primary purpose of the CPS is to measure levels of employment and unemployment, but for this pur-

[^2]Source: Tabulations from the 1980 and 1985 Marital History Supplement to the Current Population Survey.
pose its survey universe is quite similar to the Social Security covered population. The CPS includes the civilian, noninstitutionalized population of the 50 States and the District of Columbia. On one hand, it excludes certain groups covered by Social Security-for example the military, the institutionalized, and certain U.S. citizens working abroad. On the other hand, it owerestimates the Social Security universe because a small minority of the civilian labor force is not covered by Social Security. Nevertheless, the coverage rate is high enough-about 88 percent in 1981'-that the CPS survey universe is quite similar to the population of potential beneficiaries. The 1980 and 1985 Marital History Supplements to the CPS consequently provide a base for estimating the potential benefit status of most women.

This study uses the Marital History Supplement to the June 1985 CPS to examine the potential entitlement to auxiliary benefits of women who were defined as middle aged (40-49), older middle aged (50-59), and older ( $60-69$ ) in 1985. Figures for the same age cohorts, averaging about 5 years younger, are also taken from the 1980 CPS to indicate the pattern of changes for these groups during recent years. These women constitute most of the current and future Social Security femak beneficiary population until the year 2010 . Younger women are excluded from this study because much of their marital history lies in the future and cannot be used to project eligibility for auxiliary benefits. In addition, the well $k$ nown increase in laborforce participation among younger cohorts of women

[^3]suggests that their lifetime work experience will be distinctly different from that of women currently in middle and oider age. Consequently, auxiliary benefits may not play the central role at retirement age for these young women that they do for women currently in middle and older age.

## Marital Status and Duration of Marriage

How many older women are already eligible for an auxiliary spousal benefit based only on their current marital status? Benefits as a wife are potentially available to women who are currently married or separated from a fully insured husband; almost all surviving wives are eligible for a widow's benefit. According to data from the June 1985 Marital History Supplement, most oder women were in these categories. In 1985, about 63 percent of women aged $60-69$ were currently married or separated; an additional 25 percent were widowed. About 76.77 percent of women in middle age and late middle age (40-49 and $50-59$, respectively) were currently married or separated; about 3-10 percent were widowed (table 1).

Currently divorced women are potentially eligible for spousal benefits only if at least one marriage had lasted for at least 10 years. The percent of divorced women in the CPS sample varied inversely with age: Among women aged $60-69$, about 7 percent were currently divorced, compared with 14 percent of those aged 40-49. Most of these women met the Social Security duration-of-marriage requirement. Among
older divorced women, only 18 percent had not been in a marriage lasting 10 years, but some of them had been widowed and thus were potentially eligible for an auxiliary benefit. Overall, only 1 percent of older women who had ever married were not potentially eligible for an auxiliary benefit (table 3).

The percentage of divorced women with less than 10 years in any marriage varies inversely with age, ranging from about 18 percent in older age, and about 22 percent in late middle age, to about 33 percent in middle age These divorced women are only a very modest 2 percent of ever-married women in late middle age and about 5 percent of ever-married women in middle age.

Even these ratios probably overstate the potential numbers of women ineligible for auxiliary benefits. Despite trends in divorce rates during recent decades, few older women (about 7 percent) are currently divorced and still fewer (about 1 percent) receive auxiliary benefits as divorced or surviving divorced wives. In part, the limited percentage of women claiming benefits as a divorced spouse reflects the fact that many divorced women are eligible for higher benefits based on their own earnings in covered employment rather than on the earnings records of their former spouse. Women who have spent only a small portion of their adult life in marriage are likely to have a substantial working career and thus to be unaffected by ineligibility for auxiliary benefits.

In part, the proportion of women claiming benefits is ako limited because fow of them remain divorced. Although 18 percent of the older women included in the June 1985 Marital History Supplement had ever divorced (table 4), more than two-thirds of them even-

Thble 2.-Entitlement to auxiliary and primary benefits based on marital history and insured status
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l}\hline \text { Marital history and insured status } & & \text { Retired-worker benefits }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{1}$ Dual benefits are paid if auxiliary benefits exceed retired-worker benefits. The two benefit amounts are not combined; the higher benefft amount is paid. The amount in escess of the retired-worker benefit is the auxiliary benefit amount.

[^4]Table 3.-Percentage distribution of currently divorced women, by duration of marriage and age, 1985

| Marital status' and duration of marriage | Middle aped ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Late midale age' |  |  | Older age ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total number | Proem of currently divorced | Proent of ever married | Tatal number | Percent of curremtly divorced | Percent of ever married | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Toral } \\ \text { number } \end{array}$ | Percent of carrently divorced | Procnt of ever married |
| Tacal ever married. | 12,443 |  |  | 11,100 |  |  | 10,483 |  |  |
| Total carrently divorced. | 1,827 | 100.0 | 14.7 | 1,194 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 806 | 100.0 | 7.7 |
| First marriage............. | 1,296 | 70.9 | 10.4 | 827 | 69.3 | 7.5 | 565 | 70.1 | 5.4 |
| Previously widowed | 26 | 1.4 | . 2 | 34 | 2.8 | . 3 | 49 | 6.1 | . 5 |
| Previously divorced. | 505 | 27.6 | 4.1 | 333 | 27.9 | 3.0 | 192 | 23.8 | 1.8 |
| Less than 10 years in one marriage | 597 | 32.7 | 4.8 | 265 | 22.2 | 2.4 | 146 | 18.1 | 1.4 |
| First marriage. ...... | 352 | 19.3 | 2.8 | 161 | 13.5 | 1.5 | 90 | 11.2 | . 9 |
| Previously widowed | 11 | . 6 | . 1 | 6 | . 5 | . 1 | 8 | 1.0 | . 1 |
| Previously divorced . . . . . . . | 234 | 12.8 | 1.9 | 98 | 8.2 | . 9 | 48 | 6.0 | . 5 |
| 10 years or more in one marriage | 1,230 | 67.3 | 9.9 | 929 | 77.8 | 8.4 | 660 | 81.9 | 6.3 |
| First marriage . . . . . . . . . . | 944 | 51.7 | 7.6 | 666 | 55.8 | 6.0 | 475 | 58.9 | 4.5 |
| Previously widowed. . . . . . . | 15 | . 8 | . 1 | 28 | 2.3 | . 3 | 40 | 5.0 | . 4 |
| Previously divorced . . . . . . . | 271 | 14.8 | 2.2 | 235 | 19.7 | 2.1 | 145 | 18.0 | 1.4 |

'Currently separated women are included with married women. Women who are both previously divorced and widowed are included with previously widowed women.

Defined as ages 35-44 in 1980 and ages 40-49 in 1985.
'Defined as ages 45-54 in 1980 and ages $50-59$ in 1985.
Defined as ages $55-64$ in 1980 and ages $60-69$ in 1985.
Source: Tabulations from the 1985 Marital History Supplement to the Current Population Survey.

Table 4.-Percentage of women by marital status and age, 1980 and 1985

| Marital status | Middie age |  | Lute middle ase ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Older age' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 | 1980 | 1985 |
| Toul number in thousands). | 13,127 | 13,168 | 11,639 | 11,570 | 11,068 | 10,950 |
| Never mamrried Ever married. | 5.3 94.7 | 5.5 94.5 | 4.4 95.6 | 4.1 95.9 | 4.7 95.3 | 4.3 95.7 |
| Ever widowed. | 3.8 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 13.5 | 22.2 | 30.1 |
| Carrently remarried | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| Currently divarced but previously widowed | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 5 | . 4 |
| Currently widowed. | 2.1 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 10.1 | 17.6 | 25.4 |
| From first marriage | 1.6 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 14.2 | 20.5 |
| From subsequent marriage | . 5 | . 6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| Ever divorced. | 25.2 | 29.1 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| Carrently remarried. | 13.5 | 14.6 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 8.4 | 7.2 |
| Currently widawed bux previously divoroed | . 5 | . 6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| Currently divorced. . | 11.2 | 13.9 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 7.4 |
| From first mariage. | 8.6 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| From subsequent marriage | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 |

[^5]Scource: Pbulations from the 1980 and 1985 Marital Hisory Supplement to the Current Population Survey.
tually remarried. Most remarried women did not subsequently divorce again, leaving only about 7 percent of these older women in currently divorced status. This pattern of widespread remarriage after divorce was repeated among middle-aged women. Thus, many of the currently ineligible divorced women in middle or late middie age can be expected to remarry before retirement age and become eligible for spousal (or widow's) benefits from the remarriage by the time they retire.
Potential eligibility for an auxiliary benefit might be overestimated if it is predicted on the basis of current marital status. Women who are now married might divorce before retirement and then face a 10 -year duration test. Even so, the great majority of married women aged 50 or odder have been married long enough to qualify for auxiliary benefits (table 5). By the time they reach ofd age, virtually all of them will
have been married the required 10 years, and indeed most of them also will meet the former, more restrictive 20 -year duration of-marriage requirement.

Under the present Social Security system, or any revision that continues to give credit for long-lasting marriages, spousal benefits will be an important option for many women at least through the year 2010, when the wormen in these age cohorts will all have reached retirement age. Not only have the vast majority of older women been married, but their marraiges have lasted for most of their adult lives. Older women spent more than fourfifths of their adult years in marriage (table 9 . More than 9 in 10 odder women had already been married at least 20 years at the time of the CPS and more than two-thirds of them had been married 35 years or more. These durations predictably varied by marital status-they were longest among women who were currently married or separated and shortest

Thble 5.- Percentage distribution of longest marriage, for ever-married women, by age, 1985


Thble 6.-Percentage distribution and average duration of all marriages for ever-married women, by age and marital status, 1980 and 1985

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Marital status of ever-married
women} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number (in thousands)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Preentage distribution} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Average number of years married} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percent of years married since age 21} <br>
\hline \& 1980 \& 1985 \& 1980 \& 1985 \& 1980 \& 1985 \& 1980 \& 1985 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Late middle aqe ${ }^{\text {a }}$} <br>
\hline Tatal. \& 11,130 \& 11,100 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 24.5 \& 28.7 \& 85.9 \& 85.5 <br>
\hline Currently married. \& 9,287 \& 8,743 \& 83.4 \& 78.8 \& 25.8 \& 30.8 \& 90.5 \& 91.8 <br>
\hline First marriage. \& 7,659 \& 7,138 \& 68.8 \& 64.3 \& 26.3 \& 31.6 \& 92.4 \& 94.1 <br>
\hline Previously widowed \& 296 \& 366 \& 2.7 \& 3.3 \& 23.3 \& 26.8 \& 79.4 \& 78.3 <br>
\hline Previously divorced . . . . . . . . . \& 1,332 \& 1,239 \& 12.0 \& 11.2 \& 23.3 \& 27.4 \& 81.6 \& 82.8 <br>
\hline Currently widowed. \& 798 \& 1,163 \& 7.2 \& 10.5 \& 20.4 \& 23.9 \& 69.0 \& 69.5 <br>
\hline From firs martiage \& 631 \& 927 \& 5.7 \& 8.4 \& 20.8 \& 24.2 \& 70.3 \& 70.3 <br>
\hline Previously widowed \& 38 \& 70 \& . 3 \& . 6 \& 20.0 \& 21.0 \& 66.0 \& 61.8 <br>
\hline Previously divorod. \& 129 \& 166 \& 1.2 \& 1.5 \& 18.6 \& 23.8 \& 63.4 \& 68.8 <br>
\hline Currently divorced. \& 1,045 \& 1,194 \& 9.4 \& 10.8 \& 16.5 \& 18.2 \& 58.5 \& <br>
\hline From first marriage \& 734 \& 827 \& 6.6 \& 7.5 \& 16.7 \& 18.1 \& 59.2 \& 54.2 <br>
\hline Previously widowed \& 40 \& 34 \& . 4 \& . 3 \& 16.9 \& 21.1 \& 61.4 \& 62.0 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Previously divoroed

Toral. . . . . . .} \& 271 \& 333 \& 2.4 \& . 3 \& 15.9 \& 18.3 \& 56.3 \& 54.7 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Oder ase'} <br>
\hline \& 10,546 \& 10,483 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 31.9 \& 35.4 \& 83.5 \& 82.0 <br>
\hline Currently married. \& 7,822 \& 6,898 \& 74.2 \& 65.8 \& 34.2 \& 39.1 \& 89.8 \& 90.9 <br>
\hline First marriage. . \& 6,392 \& 5,585 \& 60.6 \& 53.3 \& 35.0 \& 40.0 \& 91.8 \& 92.9 <br>
\hline Previously widowed \& 496 \& 523 \& 4.7 \& 5.0 \& 30.8 \& 35.2 \& 79.7 \& 81.6 <br>
\hline Previously divorced. \& 934 \& 790 \& 8.9 \& 7.5 \& 31.0 \& 35.5 \& 81.9 \& 82.8 <br>
\hline Currently widowed \& 1,952 \& 2,779 \& 18.5 \& 26.5 \& 27.3 \& 30.5 \& 70.1 \& 69.2 <br>
\hline From first marriage \& 1,569 \& 2,246 \& 14.9 \& 21.4 \& 27.8 \& 30.9 \& 71.2 \& 70.0 <br>
\hline Previously widowed . . . . . . . . \& 120 \& 202 \& 1.1 \& 1.9 \& 24.1 \& 29.4 \& 61.8 \& 66.8 <br>
\hline Previously divorced. . . . . . . . . \& 263 \& 332 \& 2.5 \& 3.2 \& 25.9 \& 28.4 \& 66.8 \& 65.3 <br>
\hline Currently divorced. \& 773 \& 807 \& 7.3 \& 7.7 \& 20.4 \& 21.3 \& 53.9 \& 50.0 <br>
\hline From first marriape \& 499 \& 565 \& 4.7 \& 5.4 \& 20.8 \& 21.1 \& 55.1 \& 49.4 <br>
\hline Previously widowed \& 56 \& 49 \& . 5 \& . 5 \& 20.1 \& 27.0 \& 52.3 \& 62.1 <br>
\hline Previously divoroed. \& 218 \& 192 \& 2.1 \& 1.8 \& 19.7 \& 20.5 \& 51.6 \& 48.7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

> ${ }^{1}$ Currently separated women are included with married women. Women who ere both previously divorced and widowed are included with previously widowed women.
> ${ }^{2}$ Defined as ages 45-54 in 1980 and ages 50-59 in 1985.

among currently divorced women. Naturally, the marriage durations of the relatively younger late-middleaged and middle-aged women were somewhat shorter on average, but they were already substantial.
Based on the experience of the recent past, this stable pattern is likely to continue well into the future. This stability can be clearly seen when the 1980 and 1985 Marital History Supplements are both used to compare the experiences of different age cohorts.
The percentages of women who ever married remained relatively constant at around 95 percent for late middle and older age groups (table 4). The only systematic change as the cohorts aged, not surprisingly, was an increase in widowhood. This status increased in all age groups, but the proportion ever widowed rose markedly with age-from 10 percent to 14 percent of
'Defined as ages 55-64 in 1980 and ages $60-69$ in 1985.
Source: Tabulations from the 1985 Marital History Supplement to the Current Population Survey.
late-middle-aged women and from 22 percent to 30 percent of older women. Because the majority of widows do not remarry, the proportion of currently widowed women also increased markedly. By comparison, despite historically high divorce rates, the prevalence of divorce remained relatively constant among women in the late middle and older age cohorts. The percent of everdivorced women increased slightly-from 25 percent to 29 percent-among middle-aged women. About half of them had remarried, so the percentage of both currently remarried and currently divorced wornen increased.

## Summary and Conclusion

Among older beneficiary women, for three out of five the amount of their monthly benefit was determined by
their receipt of an auxiliary benefit as a past or present spouse. Nearly all older women appear to be potentially eligible for an auxiliary benefit on the basis of their current marital status or their marital history. The only significant exceptions were the 4 percent of older women who never married and the 1 percent who were currently diworced after having been married less than 10 years.

Although divorce rates have been rising in the past decades, today few women (only 1 percent) claim benefits as a divorced spouse. In part, this situation may be a result of the fact that many divorced women are en-
tilled to a higher retired-worker benefit based on their own earnings than the amount to which they would be entided as a former spouse. In part, it may result from the fact that few women divorce and remain divorced. At older ages most ever-divorced women had remarried, and very few had divorced from a remarriage. Thus, only about 7 percent of the older women were currently divorced and most of them appear to have potential eligibility for auxiliary benefits. Only about 1 percent of older ever-married women reported both divorcing and failing to meet the 10 -year duration requirement.
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    It is possible to receive a widow's benefit regardless of the duration of the marriage under certain conditions, such as being the mother of the deceased worker's child(ren).

[^3]:    "William J. Nelson, Jr., "Employment Covered by the Social Security Program, 1935-84," Social Security Bulletin, April 1985, pages 33-39.

[^4]:    'The primary insurance amount (PIA) is the monthly amount payable to a retired worker who begins to receive benefits at age 65 or to a disabled-worker beneficiary who has never received a retired-worker benefit.

[^5]:    Defined as aese $35-44$ in 1980 and ages $40-49$ in 1985.
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