Relationships of Home Relief to Private Employment and to Other Public Programs in New York City, 1940-42

ELIZABETH G. EPLER*

The past decade has seen the development of a variety of public programs for meeting the needs of the unemployed, the aged, and others who have madequate personal resources. Benefit payments under the insurance programs established by the Social Security Act are made without regard to need and on the basis of previous earnings from private employment. Unemployment compensation is designed to furnish partial compensation to the worker for wage loss during temporary periods of unemployment. Old-age and survivors insurance benefits are payable to an insured wage earner and his dependents when he retires from the labor market or to his survivors when his earnings are interrupted by death.

The special types of public assistance—old-age assistance, aid to dependent children, and aid to the blind-are granted to needy individuals meeting specified eligibility requirements; payments are based on need and are ordinarily determined in accordance with budget schedules. The WPA, NYA, and CCC programs, although constituting sources of income to needy families, were primarily concerned with providing jobs for individual unemployed workers who were eligible and available for assignment. Family responsibilities of WPA workers were recognized in rulings prohibiting simultaneous employment of more than one member of the same family and giving preference to family heads, but the WPA wage rates varied according to region and the type of work performed rather than the extent of need. The NYA and CCC programs provided employment and training for individual youths; CCC enrollees were required to allot fixed portions of their earnings to their families, without regard to family size.

With the development of the social security and Federal work programs, general assistance (or general relief) has gradually been limited to the residual groups of needy families—those who are ineligible under other public programs; those who, though theoretically eligible, are not receiving income under other programs; and those whose needs, in terms of prevailing relief standards, exceed earnings from private employment or payments received under other public programs and who, therefore, are eligible for partial or supplementary relief grants.

A consideration of existing relationships among these various public programs is essential to planning any integrated program for social security. These relationships have resulted primarily from the character of individual programs or in some cases have been determined by legislative or administrative rulings relating to individual programs. For example, overlapping between oldage assistance and aid to the blind is expressly prohibited by the Social Security Act, and WPA regulations specified that a worker might not be employed on a WPA project while he was receiving unemployment benefits. Not only the size but also the character of the load of one public agency may be affected by changes in legal provisions or administrative rulings primarily relating to another program and by the availability of funds for other programs. The tendency of the general relief rolls to rise in response to drastic reductions in WPA quotas is a well-known phenomenon, and, when waiting lists for the special types of public assistance are long, general assistance rolls are likely to be heavily weighted with persons presumably eligible for other assistance.

The general assistance program is probably most directly related to all other public programs. Except in areas where standards are so low as to make families with even meager sources of income ineligible for general assistance, it has usually had a recognized responsibility for supplementing income from other programs for families whose needs exceed such income. The movement of families between general assistance rolls and other

^{*} Bureau of Research and Statistics, Division of Coordination Studies.

programs is also of special significance, in that general assistance ordinarily fills in the gaps by providing income for needy families not eligible for or not currently receiving income under other public programs.

A complete analysis of the interrelationships of social security and public welfare programs would take into account the relationships of each program to all others. This study is limited to a consideration of the relations between general assistance and private employment and between general assistance and other public programs in one city, New York. Analyses of the extent to which general assistance supplements other public programs have usually been limited to the numbers and proportions of relief cases concurrently receiving income under other programs. It is equally important, however, to know the proportions of beneficiaries under other programs who are members of households receiving supplementary relief grants. One reason for the scarcity of such data is the limited comparability of figures reported by the various agencies; for instance. general assistance statistics are expressed in terms of cases, whereas figures on the loads of other programs usually represent individual beneficiaries. Furthermore, variations from State to State or even from city to city in legislative provisions and administrative regulations, as well as in economic patterns which affect the size and character of the groups assisted by the various public programs, complicate the problem of estimating the amount of overlapping between general assistance and each of the other programs on any broad geographical scale.

This study discusses the extent of concurrent supplementation of earnings from private employment and income from other public programs by general assistance (called home relief in New York City) both in relation to all home relief cases and in relation to the total number of persons on the rolls of the Federal emergency work program, and the total number receiving old-age assistance, aid to dependent children, aid to the blind, and unemployment insurance in New York City for each month from January 1940 through June 1942. Consideration is given also to the movement of cases

between general assistance and the other public programs. New York City was chosen for the study because of the availability of detailed relief statistics and of data on the loads of other programs. Because relief standards in New York were relatively liberal, the supplementation of other programs by relief was probably close to the maximum which may be expected under current arrangements with economic conditions such as those which prevailed in New York in this period.

Trends in Industrial Activity and Public Programs in New York City, January 1940– June 1942

The extent of overlapping of general assistance and other public programs is, of course, directly related to the broad trends in each of the programs; these trends are in turn affected by changing economic conditions.

In the Nation as a whole, declining unemployment during the 2½-year period ended June 1942 was reflected in decreases in the total number of households receiving income from general assistance or the Federal work programs or the special types of public assistance. Such decreases did not, however, keep pace with the decline in total unemployment, for the principle of "last fired. first hired" gives preference to recently unemployed persons, many of whom have not found it necessary to apply for any form of public aid. In general, expanding opportunities for private employment have a more rapid effect on the WPA load than on the general assistance rolls, and, except in areas where relief is limited to households with no employable members, the general assistance rolls are more directly affected by trends in private employment than are those for old-age assistance, aid to dependent children, and aid to the blind, under which income is provided for persons not normally a part of the labor market.

Predominantly a center of trade, service, and consumer-goods manufacturing industries, New York City has experienced a much less spectacular growth in manufacturing employment since the defense program started than have many warproduction centers; in fact, the city had the Nation's largest pool of unemployed workers in mid-1942. Nevertheless, manufacturing employment in the New York City area rose more than

¹ This proportion is calculated as the ratio of all home relief cases with concurrent income from another program to the number of beneficiaries under that program. No figures are available on the actual number of beneficiaries under any other program who are living in households also receiving relief grants.

40 percent from June 1940 to June 1942,² and the number of persons actively seeking work through local offices of the U. S. Employment Service declined almost a fourth during the same period.³

In New York City, as in the country as a whole, rising industrial activity was reflected in declining work program and relief rolls and in decreased demands on the unemployment insurance system. WPA employment dropped from 103,000 in June 1940 to 48,000 in June 1942 or 53 percent; the home relief load declined only about 29 percent, from 153,000 to 109,000 cases (tables 1 and 3). Figures on CCC enrollees from New York City are available only for 1940; a decline of 14 percent occurred in the average enrollment from the third to the last quarter of that year. Since the average CCC enrollment from New York State decreased sharply, from 12,700 in January 1941 to 1,500 in June 1942, it can be assumed that the number of enrollees from New York City showed a very marked decline during the same period. Available figures on NYA employment are not entirely comparable for all months, but apparently both the student and the out-of-school work programs contracted during the latter part of 1941 and the early months of 1942.

Month-to-month trends in the number of persons receiving unemployment benefits were far more variable than trends in the emergency work program and in relief loads, for the unemployment insurance load is affected not only by changes in employment but also by the uniform benefit year. Rights to unemployment benefits in New York are determined for all eligible workers for a specified calendar period, the benefit year. There is ordinarily a concentration of claims at the beginning of each new benefit year, since workers who have exhausted their rights and again become unemployed in one year must wait until the new benefit year before they can again draw benefits. In both 1940 and 1941, the beginning of a new benefit year on April 1 resulted in a steep rise in the number of beneficiaries in May and, as the maximum period during which a worker was entitled to benefits in any one year (13 weeks) came to a close, the load tapered off.

In 1942, in accordance with amended provisions of the State unemployment insurance law, the new benefit year did not begin until the first of June, and as of June 1 the waiting period was reduced from 3 to 2 weeks. Additional benefits were allowed for not more than 3 weeks in the transitional period, April 1 to May 31. Thus in 1942, a rise in the number of beneficiaries in April was due partly to claims for the additional weeks, and in June and July it resulted from the opening of a new benefit year. In each month of 1941, except January, the number of unemployment beneficiaries was lower than in the corresponding month of 1940, and in each of the first 6 months of 1942, except April, the insurance load was well below that of the comparable month of 1941.

Although the case load for aid to dependent children declined fairly steadily, from 25,800 in June 1940 to 19,700 in June 1942, the other special public assistance programs continued to expand until September 1941, when recipients of old-age assistance had reached a peak of 55,700 and recipients of aid to the blind a peak of 1,600. Thereafter these loads leveled off somewhat, but in June 1942 the old-age assistance load was 2.3 percent higher than in the corresponding month of 1940 and the number of persons receiving aid to the blind had declined by only 3.3 percent in contrast to a 23-percent decrease in recipients of aid to dependent children. Though new job opportunities associated with relaxation of employers' hiring specifications may have contributed directly to declines in the aid to the blind and old-age assistance rolls, indirect effects of general business improvement were probably of considerably greater importance. Old-age assistance case closings because of increased resources or support from relatives were 55 percent higher during the first half of 1942 than during the corresponding period of 1941, whereas the total number of cases closed under this program had increased only 18 percent.4

Although, in a broad sense, the entire home relief load may be considered "supplementary," in that the relief program is designed to provide a more or less temporary source of income to replace all or part of the loss of income from other sources, the term "supplementary relief"

¹ According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics index; includes employment in Government arsenals and navy yards and covers all parts of the metropolitan area except Newark, Jersey City, Paterson, and Elizabeth, N. J., and Yonkers, N. Y.

New York State Department of Labor, Industrial Bulletin, July 1942, p. 244.

⁴ New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report, January-June 1941 and January-June 1942.

is generally applied only to relief granted concurrently with the receipt of another type of income. But the family, for example, which moves from private employment to the relief rolls and back to private employment may properly be said to have received relief supplementary to private employment during the period when private employment was not available. In the same way, a person eligible for old-age assistance or unemployment insurance but carried on the relief rolls during a waiting period may be said to have received relief which supplements another public program. Patterns of supplementation in this broad sense may, of course, be exceedingly complex, since any one family may receive income under a variety of programs, in addition to the home relief program, over a given period of time.

Available data for New York City do not provide information on the movement of cases from one program to another over any period of time longer than a month. For each month from January 1940 through June 1942, however, and for private employment and each of the public programs considered in relation to home relief, we can determine: (1) the number of cases receiving income from each source concurrently with relief; (2) the number of cases known to have been primarily dependent on income from each source up to the time of applying for relief (cases opened or reopened because of inadequacy or loss of income from the given source); and (3) the number of cases known to have received income from each source immediately following receipt of relief (cases closed because of receipt of income from the given source). The number of cases which received income from any one of these sources both before coming to relief rolls and after leaving is not known; in any one month, the number of cases moving from another program to relief and back again is probably negligible, but over a longer period it might assume considerable importance. Cases closed and reopened during the same month did not exceed 0.2 percent of the total relief load in any one month of 1940 or 1941, but more than three-fourths of all relief cases opened during the 2-year period had previously received relief.

Trends in Concurrent Supplementation

Throughout the 2½-year period studied, cases receiving relief supplementary to other income

varied from about a fourth to a third of the total monthly case load. The number of cases receiving relief concurrently with other income increased with some consistency through April 1941, and the concurrent supplementation load in relation to the total home relief load rose from 26 percent of all relief cases in January 1940 to 34 percent in December 1941 (table 1). During the first half of 1942, the supplementary portion of the relief load remained relatively stable at approximately a third of the total number of cases.

The upward trend in 1940 and 1941 is mainly attributable to a rise in the proportion of cases receiving relief income supplementary to private earnings or other private income. Apparently the first effect of expanding employment was to increase the number of households with private earnings which were still not entirely adequate, This increase more than offset a slight decline in the proportion with relief supplementary to income from other public sources, a decline resulting entirely from the decreased supplementation of WPA earnings. Undoubtedly, the leveling off of the concurrent supplementation load in 1942 is associated with a variety of factors, such as the contraction of the emergency work program and of special public assistance loads and the improvement in opportunities for private employment not requiring relief supplementation. Furthermore, unattached persons, who are much less likely than family groups to be eligible for supplementary relief since even small earnings may exceed the relief budget for a single person, were assuming increasing importance in the total relief load. During the year ended June 1941 the proportion of unattached persons rose from 36 to 38 percent of the total relief load, and by June 1942 it had risen to 42 percent. It can be assumed that the proportion of relief eases with no employable workers also increased. A survey of the relief load in September 1940 showed that 44 percent of all relief cases had no employable members;5 even with changing concepts of employability the percentage was probably higher by June 1942.

Throughout the entire period, the most important source of outside income for home relief cases was earnings from private employment. Of almost equal importance in 1940 was the supplementation of WPA earnings. By June 1942, the

^{*} State of New York, Department of Social Welfare, Some Phases of the Present Relief Situation, Albany, June 12, 1911, p. 30.

number of cases with income from WPA was only half the number with income from private employment. Rising slightly throughout the period, the number of households receiving both old-age assistance and home relief by June of 1942 was as large as the number with WPA earnings. A slightly smaller proportion of home relief cases also received aid to dependent children, and less than 1 percent of the supplementation cases were receiving aid to the blind, earnings from CCC or NYA, and unemployment or old-age and survivors insurance benefits.

If one looks to the importance of supplementary relief to persons receiving income from these other

Table 1.—Number and percentage distribution of home relief cases in New York City, by source of income and by month, January 1940-June 1942

				,		zo June						
			Ca	ses receivir	ıg		Perc	entage dist	ribution of	cases by s	source of ir	icome
	}		Hor	ne relief an	d other Inc	eome			llon	no relief an	d other in	come
Year and month	All home relief cases	liome relief only ¹	Total	1 public source only 2	Private employ- ment or other private sources only ?	Combination of sources 4	Total	Home relief only !	Total	1 public source only 1	Private employ- ment or other private sources only \$	Combina- tion of sources 4
1910												
January-June average	156, 410	113,666	42,744	19,886	20,786	2,072	100.0	72.7	27.3	12.7	13.3	1.3
January. February. March. April. May. Juno.	156, 499 156, 534 157, 462 158, 615 156, 460 152, 893	116, 515 115, 469 114, 565 114, 421 112, 745 108, 280	30, 984 41, 065 42, 807 44, 191 43, 715 44, 613	19, 307 20, 085 20, 832 20, 441 19, 265 19, 390	18, 904 19, 084 19, 973 21, 482 22, 295 22, 973	1, 773 1, 896 2, 092 2, 271 2, 155 2, 250	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	74. 5 73. 8 72. 8 72. 1 72. 1 70. 8	25. 5 26. 2 27. 2 27. 9 27. 9 29. 2	12. 3 12. 8 13. 2 12. 9 12. 3 12. 7	12. 1 12. 2 12. 7 13. 5 14. 2 15. 0	1. 1 1. 2 1. 3 1. 5 1. 4 1. 5
July-December average	151,610	103, 430	48, 180	17,024	25, 594	5,562	100.0	68. 2	\$1.8	11.2	18.9	3.7
July August September October November December	154, 063 152, 824 151, 644 151, 892 150, 370 118, 870	106, 548 104, 759 103, 177 103, 488 102, 275 100, 330	47, 515 48, 065 48, 467 48, 404 48, 095 48, 510	17, 418 17, 416 17, 422 16, 808 16, 322 16, 758	24, 476 25, 020 25, 437 26, 068 26, 301 26, 263	5, 621 5, 629 5, 608 5, 528 5, 472 5, 519	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	69. 2 68. 5 68. 0 68. 1 68. 0 67. 4	30. 8 31. 5 32. 0 31. 9 32. 0 32. 6	11.3 11.5 11.5 11.1 10.8 11.3	15. 9 16. 3 16. 8 17. 1 17. 5 17. 6	3. 6 3. 7 3. 7 3. 7 3. 7 3. 7
1941												
January-Aune average	148, 270	98,678	40, 592	16,836	26,912	5,844	100.0	66.6	35.4	11.4	18.1	3.9
January February March April May Juno	149, 137 148, 533 151, 951 151, 016 146, 508 142, 472	100, 053 99, 022 101, 481 100, 085 97, 100 94, 332	49, 084 49, 511 50, 470 50, 931 49, 408 48, 140	17, 006 17, 477 16, 617 17, 542 16, 537 15, 827	26, 544 26, 354 28, 170 27, 220 26, 727 26, 457	5, 534 5, 680 5, 683 6, 169 6, 144 5, 856	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	67. 1 66. 7 66. 8 66. 3 66. 3	32. 9 33. 3 43. 2 33. 7 33. 7 33. 8	11.4 11.8 10.9 11.6 11.3	17. 8 17. 7 18. 5 18. 0 18. 2 18. 6	3.7 3.8 3.8 4.1 4.2 4.1
July-December average	135, 150	90, 180	44, 970	13, 850	26,012	5, 108	100.0	66.7	33.3	10.3	19. 2	3.8
July	143, 290 143, 852 137, 336 133, 715 127, 170 125, 533	96, 213 97, 385 91, 913 89, 087 83, 839 82, 646	47, 077 46, 467 45, 423 44, 628 43, 331 42, 887	14, 351 14, 254 14, 080 13, 757 13, 507 13, 149	27, 021 27, 098 26, 198 25, 968 24, 933 24, 844	5, 702 5, 115 5, 139 4, 903 4, 891 4, 894	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	67. 1 67. 7 66. 9 66. 6 65. 0 65. 8	32. 9 32. 3 33. 1 33. 4 34. 1 34. 2	10. 1 9. 9 10. 3 10. 3 10. 7 10. 5	18. 8 18. 8 19. 1 19. 4 19. 6 19. 8	4. 0 3. 6 3. 7 3. 7 3. 8 3. 9
1942								_				
January-June average	117, (85	77, 145	40, 340		04.000	4 050	100.0	65.7	34.3	10.	10.0	9.0
January February March April May June	125, 408 121, 651 119, 815 116, 004 112, 823 109, 116	82, 804 79, 728 78, 365 76, 045 74, 116 71, 810	42, 694 41, 923 41, 450 39, 959 38, 707 37, 306	13, 019 13, 286 13, 071	24, 823 23, 880 23, 710	4, 852 4, 757 4. 640	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	66. 0 65. 6 65. 4 65. 6 65. 7 65. 8	34. 0 34. 4 34. 6 34. 4 34. 3 34. 2			3, 9 3, 9 3, 9

¹ For January-June 1940, data include cases receiving 1 or more types of home relief only, and cases receiving 2 types of home relief and outside income from private sources other than private employment. For subsequent months, data include only cases receiving 1 or more types of home relief and no other income. Types of home relief are "regular," "nonsettled," and "veterans." Cases with outside income included in this column for the first half of 1940 are estimated to be no more than 0.2 percent of the total home relief load for any 1 month.

private employment and other private sources. For subsequet months, data include cases receiving other income from private employment only or from any combination of private sources, exclusive of private employment. Data not available for April-June 1942.

4 For January-June 1940, data include home relief cases receiving outside income from any combination of public sources only, from any combination of a public source or sources and private employment, or from private sources exclusive of private employment. For subsequent months, data include cases receiving outside income from any combination of public sources only, from public and private sources, or from private employment and other private sources.

vate sources.

Source: New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report, January and July 1941, January, March, and June 1942. Additional data supplied by the New York State Department of Social Welfare.

¹ For January-June 1940, data include home relief cases receiving other income from 1 public source and any private source or sources, exclusive of private employment. Data not available for April-June 1942.

¹ For January-June 1940, data include home relief cases receiving other income from private employment only, from any 1 other private source, or from

public programs, the relative position of the programs is somewhat different. In 1941 about one-fourth of the persons receiving aid to the blind were in households also receiving home relief. About one-sixth of the households receiving aid to dependent children had supplementary relief grants. About one-tenth of the recipients of old-age assistance, the same proportion of WPA workers, and a slightly larger proportion of persons employed on NYA out-of-school projects lived in households also receiving home relief. Less than 1 percent of the unemployment compensation beneficiaries were in relief households.

Home Relief and Private Employment

Cases receiving relief concurrently with income from private employment (including those with income from other sources as well) rose fairly steadily from 5.6 percent of all relief cases in January 1940 to 8.4 percent in November, reached 9.3 percent in March 1941, and stood at 9.7 percent in December (table 2). Although there was a slight tapering off during the first half of 1942, the ratio was somewhat higher for each of these 6 months than for the corresponding month of 1941. The actual number of these cases also increased fairly steadily through 1940 and in March 1941 reached a peak of 14,100. Thereafter the trend was generally downward, but not until November 1941 did the number fall below the figure for the comparable month of the preceding year.

Thus, in the early stages of expanding private employment, increases were apparent not only in the number of cases leaving the relief rolls for private employment but also in the number of families with earnings which reduced but did not

Table 2.—Number and percent of New York City home relief cases in households receiving other specified types of income, by month, January 1940–June 1942

	Γ					ouseholds re						
Wasan and month	All home		Earning	s from—		lousenous re						
Year and month	relief cases	Private employ- ment	WPA	NYA	ccc	Unemploy- ment in- surance	Old-ago and surviv- ors insur- anco	Old-ago assistance	Aid to de- pendent children	Aid to the blind		
		Number of cases										
1940 Average	154,011	10, 827	10, 577	2,512	424	654	18	4,984	3, 559	ate		
January. February. March April. May. June. July. August Septomber October. November.	156, 490 156, 534 157, 462 158, 615 150, 460 152, 893 154, 063 152, 824 151, 644 151, 892 150, 370 148, 870	8, 708 8, 655 9, 110 9, 820 10, 155 10, 448 11, 730 11, 802 11, 905 12, 374 12, 505 12, 507	10, 576 11, 591 12, 357 11, 646 11, 037 10, 484 9, 792 10, 005 10, 318 9, 772 9, 354 9, 996	2, 124 1, 989 2, 073 2, 337 2, 294 2, 420 2, 628 2, 540 2, 427 2, 387 2, 298 2, 232	474 342 401 438 334 405 457 305 444 490 431 476	391 456 706 458 360 858 1, 194 807 504 452 409	(C)	4, 803 4, 957 4, 906 6, 992 4, 862 4, 920 5, 037 6, 923 5, 041 6, 047 5, 038 4, 901	3, 203 3, 271 3, 214 3, 544 3, 350 3, 494 3, 743 3, 702 3, 734 3, 740 3, 750 3, 750 3, 750	, 311 315 320 337 331 351 350 370 376 360 371 373		
1941 Average	141,700	12,861	7,887	2 , 118	150	421	156	5,324	3,903	107		
January February March April May June July August Septembor October November December	149, 137 148, 533 151, 951 151, 016 146, 508 142, 472 143, 290 143, 852 137, 336 133, 715 127, 170 125, 533	12, 505 12, 505 14, 077 13, 340 13, 308 13, 102 13, 370 12, 890 12, 722 12, 485 12, 003 12, 120	10, 266 10, 857 9, 367 10, 209 9, 413 8, 278 5, 935 5, 798 6, 109 6, 804 6, 427 5, 937	2, 165 2, 310 2, 687 2, 466 2, 240 2, 298 2, 404 2, 251 1, 916 1, 760 1, 370 1, 551	481 403 516 541 041 637 479 400 373 358 295	401 490 450 385 356 547 684 616 366 203 212 235	49 65 110 133 139 129 168 150 153 170 183 184	4, 986 5, 010 4, 362 5, 457 5, 291 5, 582 5, 682 5, 706 5, 520 5, 572 5, 380 5, 322	3, 725 3, 756 4, 082 4, 241 4, 205 4, 111 4, 004 3, 608 3, 923 3, 822 3, 651 3, 585	405 386 408 398 416 415 409 416 414 406 410		
1942 Average (6 months)	117, 485	11,038	5,767	96 3	140	242	221	5,186	5, 479	\$97		
January February March April May June	125, 498 121, 651 119, 815 110, 004 112, 823 100, 116	11, 892 11, 426 11, 261 10, 933 10, 619 10, 098	5, 857 6, 290 6, 323 5, 900 5, 200 4, 930	1, 484 1, 261 1, 057 815 659 500	229 170 143 113 90 85	276 209 259 231 208 179	197 207 229 235 228 228	5, 346 5, 323 5, 240 5, 027 4, 079 4, 900	3, 585 3, 575 3, 571 3, 454 3, 376 3, 314	398 405 402 403 393 382		

eliminate the need for relief. Many of these relief families may have had earnings from odd jobs only or from part-time employment; others may have had income from full-time employment of a secondary wage earner but not the head of the household. No information is available on the types of private employment represented by these cases of concurrent supplementation or on the size of the families, but it is known that relief families with outside income from private earnings are, on the average, larger than those receiving home relief only. As private employment rises, it is possible that from a group of families with no employed members and receiving full relief grants there will emerge a group requiring relief to supplement earnings which do not cover their family

needs. Furthermore, rising living costs may result in need for concurrent supplementation of earnings which might previously have made the family ineligible for relief. Revisions of allowances and of permissible deductions from earnings tended to liberalize supplementary relief grants during the 2½-year period.

The ratio of cases opened specifically for supplementation of wages from private employment to all cases receiving such concurrent supplementation did not exceed 6 per 100 in any month of 1940 and declined considerably in 1941 and 1942. However, it is not possible to determine the number of continuing relief cases, some member of which obtained private employment, nor do we know how many of the families which were able to

Table 2.—Number and percent of New York City home relief cases in households receiving other specified types of income, by month, January 1940-June 1942—Continued

	ince	me, oy n										
					Cases in h	ouscholds re	celving 1—					
Year and month	All home		Earnings	s from—		Unemploy-	Old-age		Aid to de-			
	relief cases	Private employ- ment	WPA	NYA	cco	ment in- surance	and surviv- ors insur- ance	Old-age assistance	pendent children	Aid to the blind		
		Percent of all home relief cases										
1940 Arerage		7.0	6.0	1.5	0.5	0.4	(1)	5. 2	2.5	0. 2		
January February March April May June July August September October November December		5. 6 5. 8 6. 2 6. 5 6. 8 7. 6 7. 7 7. 0 8. 1 8. 4 8. 4	6. 8 7. 4 7. 8 7. 3 7. 1 6. 9 6. 4 6. 5 6. 8 6. 4 6. 2 6. 7	1. 4 1. 3 1. 3 1. 5 1. 5 1. 6 1. 7 1. 7 1. 6 1. 6 1. 5	.3 .2 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3	.2 .3 .4 .3 .2 .0 .8 .8 .5 .4	000000000000	3. 1 3. 2 3. 1 3. 2 3. 3 3. 3 3. 3 3. 3 3. 4 3. 4	2.0 2.1 2.2 2.1 2.3 4 2.4 2.5 2.5 2.5	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2		
1041 Average		0.1	8.6	1. 6	. <i>5</i>	.8	0.1	5 .8	2 . 8	.5		
January February March April May Juno July August Beptember October November December		8. 4 8. 3 9. 3 8. 8 9. 1 9. 2 9. 3 9. 0 9. 3 9. 3 9. 5 9. 7	0. 0 7. 3 6. 2 6. 8 6. 4 5. 8 4. 1 4. 0 4. 5 4. 4 5. 1	1. 5 1. 6 1. 8 1. 6 1. 5 1. 6 1. 7 1. 6 1. 4 1. 3 1. 1	.3 .3 .4 .4 .4 .3 .3 .3	.3 .3 .3 .2 .4 .5 .4 .3 .2 .2 .2	(*) (*) .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1	3.3 3.4 2.9 3.6 3.6 3.9 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.2 4.2	2.5 2.5 2.8 2.9 2.8 2.5 2.0 2.9 2.9	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3		
1042												
Average (6 months)	1	9.4	4.7	1.2	<u>·1</u>	.2	.2	4.4	3.0	.3		
February March April May June		9. 4 9. 4 9. 4 9. 4 9. 4	5. 2 5. 3 5. 1 4. 7 4. 5	1. 2 1. 0 . 9 . 7 . 6	.1 .1 .1 .1	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2	.2	4. 4 4. 4 4. 3 4. 4 4. 5	2.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3		

¹ Figures for each source shown include cases receiving outside income from the specified source only and cases receiving outside income from the specified source in combination with any other source or sources.
¹ Data not available.

Source: New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report, January 1941, and January and June 1942.

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

leave the relief rolls because of earnings from private employment had previously received relief supplementary to private earnings. Undoubtedly, with continued expansion of job opportunities and relaxation of hiring standards, there was a decline in the competitive disadvantage of relief family workers, many of whom may have been handicapped by age, long unemployment, inexperience, or outmoded skills, and they were increasingly likely to command wages ending need for either full or supplementary relief grants.

The average monthly number of cases closed because of private employment was 30 percent higher in 1941 than in 1940 and 18 percent higher during the first half of 1942 than in the corresponding period of 1941 (table 5). Conversely, the average monthly number of cases opened because

of loss of private employment declined 38 percent from 1940 to 1941 and during the first half of 1942 was 36 percent lower than in the first 6 months of 1941 (table 4). The seasonal trend in case openings due to loss of private employment, with month-to-month decreases in case openings in the spring and increases in the winter months, was more or less the reverse of that in case closings.

While the total number of cases closed was approximately the same in 1940 and 1941, the proportion of cases closed because of private employment increased from 22 to 29 percent. In the first half of 1942, the number of case closings dropped sharply, reflecting the decreased size of the total load, and the cases closed because of private employment increased both absolutely and proportionately to 42 percent of the total. During

Table 3.—Number of persons employed under specified Federal work programs in New York City, number of recipients of unemployment benefits and the special types of public assistance, and percent in households receiving home relief, by month, January 1940–June 1942

	Persons empl	oyed under programs	Federal work		Recipie	nts of	
Year and month	WPAI	NYA?	CCC 1	Unemploy- ment bene- fits 4	Old-age as- sistance (persons) [‡]	Aid to de- pendent children (cases) ³	Aid to the blind (persons)
				Number			
Average1940	105, 047	12, 891	7, 7.93	116, 491	52, 826	25, 499	1,53
January February March April May June July August September Oxfober November December	110, 601 113, 331 110, 568 107, 816 107, 218 103, 054 101, 185 103, 939 102, 114 99, 505 100, 813 100, 416	10, 418 11, 213 11, 838 12, 645 13, 129 13, 385 12, 564 12, 701 13, 206 13, 013 13, 692 16, 790	8, 583 8, 347 5, 951 9, 085 8, 687 6, 292 9, 340 8, 904 6, 140 8, 350 7, 811 5, 297	86, 120 86, 032 80, 127 65, 121 168, 448 206, 060 204, 318 159, 071 95, 405 80, 463 83, 895 82, 229	50, 677 50, 909 51, 488 52, 571 53, 301 53, 310 53, 577 53, 622 53, 677 53, 683 53, 683 53, 683	20, 109 25, 720 25, 825 25, 809 25, 692 25, 775 25, 787 25, 520 25, 330 25, 070 24, 759 24, 448	1, 49 2, 49 1, 50 1, 53 1, 53 1, 53 1, 57 1, 56 1, 56 1, 55 1, 55
Arerage1941	74, 960						
January February March April May June July August September October November December	100, 500 04, 202 89, 994 85, 789 81, 120 76, 619 58, 827 61, 846 62, 219 62, 490 63, 409 62, 328	23, 540 26, 614 23, 769 20, 080 18, 833 18, 955 12, 150 15, 596 14, 123 11, 681 12, 903 12, 198		93, 523 82, 838 69, 689 51, 248 103, 482 127, 003 131, 784 93, 424 54, 973 53, 214 65, 464 67, 055	54, 776 54, 102 54, 357 54, 290 54, 290 54, 204 54, 572 55, 057 55, 208 56, 669 54, 944 55, 371	21, 227 24, 111 23, 961 23, 788 23, 727 23, 506 23, 188 23, 070 22, 592 22, 246 21, 692 21, 312	1, 59 1, 55 1, 55 1, 54 1, 55 1, 58 1, 54 1, 54 1, 58 1, 58 1, 58 1, 58 1, 58
1942 Arerage (6 months)	55,332	8, 673		67, 868	55, 191	20, 056	1, 498
anuary February	61, 171 60, 078 57, 939 51, 080 50, 726 47, 997	10, 984 10, 058 9, 363 8, 638 6, 979 6, 016		79, 540 67, 332 51, 832 67, 375 53, 620 87, 499	55, 402 55, 371 55, 563 55, 332 54, 934 54, 541	21, 167 21, 369 21, 017 20, 567 20, 069 19, 748	1, 500 1, 517 1, 502 1, 493 1, 496 1, 496

these 6 months, the proportion of case closings as a result of WPA employment was 21 percent of all closings as compared with 40 percent in 1941 and 44 percent in 1940. Thus the relative positions of private and of WPA employment with respect to their effect on home relief case closings was reversed during this period.

In 1940, the average monthly number of cases opened because of inadequate carnings or loss of private employment exceeded cases closed because of private employment. In 1941, however, this relation was reversed; closings outnumbered openings in all months except January, February, and

March, although the net reduction in the relief load as a result of transfers to private employment was relatively small in November and December. Transfers to private employment resulted in a net reduction in the relief load in each of the first 6 months of 1942 (table 6).

The great majority of workers moving from private employment to relief rolls were ineligible for unemployment insurance, because they had not been in covered employment or because their earnings were too low to entitle them to benefits or because they had exhausted their benefits for the current year during an earlier spell of unem-

Table 3.—Number of persons employed under specified Federal work programs in New York City, number of $_{recipients}$ of unemployment benefits and the special types of public assistance, and percent in households receiving home relief, by month, January 1940-June 1942-Continued

	Persons emp	loyed under F programs	'ederal work		Recipie	nts of—	_					
Year and month	WPA	NYA 2	ccc ;	Unemploy- ment bene- fits ⁴	Old-age assistance (persons)	Aid to de- pendent children (cases) *	Aid to the blind (persons)					
	Percent in households receiving home relief *											
1940 Arerage	10. 1	17.9	8. 5	0.6	9.4	13.9	22.7					
January February March April May June July Algust September October November December	9. 6 10. 2 11. 2 10. 8 10. 3 10. 2 9. 7 9. 0 10. 1 9. 8 9. 3 10. 0	20. 4 17. 7 17. 5 18. 5 17. 5 18. 1 20. 9 20. 0 18. 3 18. 3 16. 8 13. 3	5. 5 4. 1 6. 7 4. 8 3. 8 6. 4 4. 9 4. 4 7. 2 5. 9 5. 5	.5 .5 .9 .7 .2 .6 .8 .8	9. 7 9. 5 9. 7 9. 2 9. 4 9. 4 9. 4 9. 4 9. 4	12. 3 12. 7 12. 4 13. 7 13. 1 13. 6 14. 5 14. 7 14. 9 15. 1	20. 21. 21. 22. 22. 22. 23. 24. 23. 24.					
1941 Acerage	10. 8	12.1		. 5	9.7	16.9	26.					
Sanuary	10. 2 11. 5 10. 4 12. 0 11. 6 10. 8 10. 1 9. 4 10. 0 9. 4 10. 1 9. 7	9. 2 8. 7 11. 3 12. 3 11. 9 12. 1 10. 8 14. 4 13. 6 16. 1 10. 6 12. 7		.4 .6 .7 .3 .4 .5 .7 .7 .6 .4	9, 2 9, 2 8, 0 10, 1 9, 8 10, 2 10, 3 10, 3 10, 3 10, 3 10, 3 9, 9	15. 4 15. 6 17. 0 17. 8 17. 7 17. 5 15. 9 17. 4 17. 2 16. 8	26. 24. 8 25. 25. 26. 8 20. 8 20. 20. 20. 26. 20.					
Acerage (6 months)	10. 4	11.1	 	. 4	9, 3	10.8	26.					
January February March April My	9. 0 10. 8 10. 9 10. 9 10. 4 10. 3	13. 5 12. 5 11. 3 9. 4 9. 4 8. 3		.3 .4 .5 .3 .4	9. 6 9. 6 9. 4 9. 1 9. 1 9. 0	16. 9 16. 7 17. 0 16. 8 16. 8	26. 4 26. 2 26. 2 27. 0 26. 4 25. 1					

¹ Data from WPA Statistical Bulletin, March 1940-July 1942. Data represent average weekly employment during calendar month and include employment on WPA projects operated by other Federal agencies and financed by allocation of WPA funds.

1 Data from WPA Statistical Bulletin, March 1940-July 1942. Figure for June 1942 was supplied by the WPA. Data represent number of persons employed on out-of-school program during each month, January 1940-June 1941; beginning with July 1944, data are based on average weekly employment on out-of-school program during month. Beginning with October 1940, Long Island NYA workers are included in count.

Data from the New York State Department of Social Welfare, represent Junior enrollees only. Data are not available for January 1941-June 1942.

Data from the Bureau of Research and Statistics, Division of Placemonts and Unemployment Insurance, New York State Department of Labor, represent highest number of compensable claims in any 1 week during each month.

Data from New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report, January 1941, January 1942, and June 1942.

See table 2 for number of home relief cases receiving income from each specified source.

ployment. Only about 1 out of every 6 clief cases opened because of loss of private employment during the 2½-year period was awaiting unemployment benefits when accepted for relief.

Home Relief and WPA Employment

In 1940 the average number of home relief cases with WPA earnings was as large as the number with earnings from private employment. As the WPA load declined throughout 1940, 1941, and the first half of 1942, however, cases receiving home relief as concurrent supplementation of WPA income also declined, both numerically and proportionately to the total relief load. Relief cases

receiving income from WPA (including those receiving income from other sources in addition) made up 6.9 percent of all home relief cases in 1940 but only 5.6 percent in 1941 and 4.9 percent in the first half of 1942. However, the proportion of all WPA workers receiving concurrent supplementary relief changed very little; 10.1 percent of the families of WPA workers required additional income from home relief in 1940, 10.5 percent in 1941, and 10.4 percent in the first 6 months of 1942. Presumably the larger families and the families of workers at the bottom of the WPA wage scale predominate among families receiving supplementary relief, since maximum relief allowances would be

Table 4.—Number and percentage distribution of New York City home relief cases opened, by major reason for opening and by month, January 1940–June 1942

			open	ing and	• 05 1110	men, je		1/10						
		Priva	te employ	rment		Public em	ployment		Unempl insur		8p pul	ecial type: olic assista	s of inco	
1	All		Emplo enc	yment led		ges quate	Emplo	yment led				Ald to		Other
Year and month	cases opened	Wages inade- quate	Await- ing unem- ploy- ment insur- ance	Not await- ing un- employ- ment insur- ance	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	Benefit inade- quate	Benefit ox- hausted	Old-age assist- ance discon- tinued	depend- ent chil- dren discon- tinued	Aid to the blind discon- tinued	roasons
							Nun	nber						
1940	P. 605	382	380	1,985	393	14	2,058	48	54	140	8	128	1	2,631
Average January February March April May June July August September October November December	8, 505 12, 140 11, 719 11, 390 9, 485 8, 510 6, 306 7, 258 7, 992 6, 919 6, 543 6, 421 7, 378	474 497 472 411 396 292 316 361 320 295 327 429	583 591 574 584 557 280 309 269 183 208 189 238	3, 192 3, 103 2, 910 1, 893 1, 679 1, 403 1, 515 1, 753 1, 575 1, 429 1, 436 1, 904	659 664 581 367 354 265 253 307 254 241 386 379	23 28 12 8 11 20 8 14 23 8 5	3, 552 3, 276 2, 861 3, 075 2, 510 1, 531 2, 078 1, 333 1, 024 1, 149 1, 043 1, 235	61 69 76 41 51 57 34 45 38 24 33 20	76 54 53 34 52 63 66 63 41 54 45 50	426 454 426 188 100 40 156 996 924 593 477 502	3 5 4 2 2 3 3 4 4 2 1 4 4 3 3 3	121 107 124 139 135 103 112 144 137 122 90 121	3 0 0 0 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 2	2, 964 2, 871 3, 297 2, 743 2, 662 2, 248 2, 407 2, 702 2, 399 2, 415 2, 378 2, 483
Average	7, 184	297	222	1, 249	177	10	2,623	18	28	182	1	181		2, 233
January February March April May June June October November December	7, 707 8, 085 10, 288 8, 986 8, 415 6, 284 7, 991 9, 113 5, 018 4, 838 4, 160 5, 082	424 413 425 345 268 242 262 238 208 224 219 291	386 357 362 342 177 155 142 131 120 140 146 202	2, 102 1, 935 1, 655 1, 130 906 925 876 951 977 1, 069 1, 103 1, 358	421 361 259 153 94 101 87 111 150 179 114 96	13 18 24 14 10 3 6 10 4 9 9	1, 120 1, 836 4, 278 4, 075 4, 513 2, 757 4, 380 5, 187 1, 261 812 567 682	21 22 32 28 17 12 10 23 14 22 11	08 51 31 25 20 21 25 17 15 13 10 28	384 370 291 118 38 34 53 273 220 157 111 138	2 2 0 0 3 2 0 1 1 2 2 0 0 0 0	143 109 144 163 124 122 116 127 113 106 80	0 0 1 0 2 0 2 2 0 0 0	2, 623 2, 611 2, 786 2, 500 2, 244 1, 912 2, 026 2, 042 1, 934 2, 105 1, 781 2, 166
1942		464	100	05:	,,,,		170	11	17	49	,	91	(1)	1 1, 872
Average (6 months)	3, 916 4, 942	213	250	1, 428	107	(1)	700	27	28	97	1	95		2 1, 978
March	4, 248 4, 500 3, 455 3, 124 3, 223	192 228 156 146 152	206 184 141 117 181	1, 103 1, 010 770 627 668	134 117 99 83 81	000000	581 622 375 305 254	17 4 6 6 8	25 10 15 8 8	65 73 19 28 14	0 0 2 0 4	85 101 82 91 91	000000	2 1, 837 2, 151 2 1, 790 2 1, 713 3 1, 762

above WPA earnings only for these groups. But it is important to note that, despite changes in the size and composition of the WPA load and the increases in WPA wages in November 1941, the earnings of no fewer than 9 of every 100 WPA workers in any month during a 30-month period were inadequate to meet their family needs according to home relief standards.

In the number of cases moving from home relief to WPA or from WPA to relief, there was considerable month-to-month variation, associated with seasonal factors, changes in WPA quotas, and, most important in 1941, the "18-month provision" that any worker who had been employed on WPA projects continuously for 18 months must be laid off for not less than 30 days. The monthly number of cases opened because of loss of WPA employment declined fairly steadily throughout 1940, although an increase over the preceding month was apparent in April, in July, and again in October and December. Early in 1941, case openings due to loss of WPA employment increased sharply-from 1,800 in February to 4,300 in March; they remained at a high level in April and

Table 4.-Number and percentage distribution of New York City home relief cases opened, by major reason for opening and by month, January 1940-June 1942-Continued

		Priva	te employ	ment	:	Public em	ployment		Unempl insu	oyment ance	8p pul	ecial types blic assista	s of ance	
	Ali	*	Emplo	ymont ded	Wa inade	ges quate	Emplo	yment led				Aid to		Other
Year and month	cases opened	Wages inado- quato	Await- ing unem- ploy- ment insur- ance	Not await- ing un- employ- ment insur- auco	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	Benefit inade- quate	Benefit ex- bausted	Old-age assist- ance discon- tinued	dependent children dren discontinued	Aid to the blind discon- tinued	reasons
	Percentage distribution													
1910 /iverage	100,0	4.5	4.5	23.3	4. 6	0, 2	24. 2	0.5	0, 6	5.8	(4)	1.5	(4)	30.9
·									!				l	
January Fobruary March April May June July August September October November December	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	3.9 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.7 4.7 4.5 4.6 4.5 5.1 5.8	4.8 5.1 5.0 6.1 6.5 4.4 4.3 3.4 2.6 3.2 2.9 3.2	20. 3 20. 5 25. 0 20. 0 19. 7 22. 2 20. 9 21. 9 22. 8 21. 8 22. 4 25. 8	5. 4 5. 7 5. 1 3. 9 4. 2 4. 2 3. 8 3. 7 6. 0 5. 1	.2 .2 .1 .1 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .1 .1	29. 3 27. 9 25. 1 32. 4 29. 5 24. 3 28. 6 16. 7 14. 8 17. 5 16. 2 16. 7	.5.6.7.4.6.9.5.6.6.5.3	.0 .5 .5 .4 .6 1.0 .9 .8 .6 .8 .7	3. 5 3. 8 3. 7 2. 0 1. 2 . 6 2. 1 12. 4 13. 3 9. 1 7. 4 6. 8	(a) 1 (b) 1 (c) 1	1.0 .9 1.1 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.9 2.0 1.9 1.6	(3)	24. 5 24. 5 29. 0 28. 9 31. 3 35. 7 33. 2 33. 8 34. 7 30. 9 37. 33. 7
1911 Average	100.0	4.1	3.1	17. 6	2.5	.,	36.6	.5		2.5	(3)	1.7	(3)	31.8
January February March April May June July August September October November December	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	5. 5 5. 1 4. 1 3. 8 3. 2 3. 9 3. 3 2. 6 4. 1 4. 6 5. 3 5. 7	5. 0 4. 4 3. 5 3. 8 2. 1 1. 8 1. 4 2. 4 2. 9 3. 5 4.0	27. 3 23. 9 16. 1 12. 6 10. 8 14. 7 10. 9 10. 5 19. 5 22. 1 20. 5 26. 7	5. 5 4. 5 2. 5 1. 7 1. 1 1. 0 1. 1 1. 2 3. 0 3. 7 2. 7 1. 9	.2 .2 .2 .2 .1 (1) .1 .1 .1 .2 .2	14. 5 22. 7 41. 6 45. 3 53. 6 43. 9 54. 9 56. 9 25. 1 10. 7 13. 6 13. 4	.3 .3 .3 .2 .2 .1 .3 .5	. 0 . 0 . 3 . 3 . 2 . 3 . 3 . 2 . 3 . 3 . 4	5.0 4.6 3.8 1.3 .5 .6 .0 3.0 4.4 3.2 2.7 2.7	(3)	1. 9 1. 4 1. 4 1. 8 1. 5 1. 9 1. 5 1. 4 2. 3 2. 3 1. 9 2. 1	(3)	34.0 32.3 27.1 28.8 26.7 30.4 25.4 22.4 38.5 42.8 42.8
1942														
Average (6 months)	100.0	4. 6	4.6	23.9	2.7	(1)	12, 1	.3	.4	1, 5	(1)	2.5	(1)	2 47.8
January February March April May June	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	4.3 4.5 5.1 4.5 4.7 4.7	5. 1 4. 8 4. 1 4. 1 3. 7 5. 6	28. 9 26. 0 22. 4 22. 3 20. 1 20. 8	2. 5 3. 2 2. 6 2. 9 2. 7 2. 6	9999999	14. 2 13. 7 13. 8 10. 9 9. 8 7. 9	.5 .4 .1 .2 .2	.6 .6 .4 .4 .3	2.0 1.5 1.6 .5 .9	.1	1. 9 2. 0 2. 2 2. 4 2. 9 2. 8	333355	2 40.0 2 43.8 2 47.7 2 51.7 2 54.7 2 54.7

¹ Data not available.
¹ Includes inadequate wages from public employment other than WPA and discontinuance of aid to the blind.
¹ Less than 0.05 percent.

Source: New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report, January 1940-June 1942; Social Statistics, New York State Department of Social Welfare, 1940 and 1941 issues.

May, and then rose again sharply in July and August. Small seasonal increases in cases opened because of WPA separations were registered in January and March 1942, but in general the volume of such case openings was relatively small throughout the first half of 1942.

The extreme variations in the movement of cases from WPA to relief in 1941 illustrate the close interrelationships of the relief and WPA programs and the way in which legal and administrative policies relating directly to one program inevitably affect the other. WPA separations under the 18-month provision rose steeply in the early months of 1941. We know that workers separated under this ruling in the spring of 1941 fell into two groups. Many of them had once before been laid off from WPA projects when the 18-month clause first went into effect in the sum-

mer of 1939, had subsequently been reassigned to WPA, and had then been continuously employed for another 18-month period. Others were work. ers who had been assigned to WPA to replace those laid off in 1939 and had remained on WPA projects for 18 months. In a very real sense, they represent the hard core of unemployed workers on WPA rolls, those who for one reason or another are least acceptable to private industry. Inevitably, a substantial number of them found home relief the only alternative to WPA. The rise in relief case openings due to loss of WPA employment in July and August 1941 presumably resulted largely from the very great increase in WPA lay-offs in June—an increase associated with sharp quota cuts at the turn of the fiscal year.

Cases opened for supplementation of WPA carnings were generally fewer in 1941 and 1942

Table 5.—Number and percentage distribution of New York City home relief cases closed, by reason for closing and by month, January 1940–June 1942

					Cases closed	because of-						
Year and month	All cases		Public em	ployment	Social is	nsurance	P	ublic assistan	ce	Other reasons		
- Cur una	closed	Private employ- ment	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	Unem- ployment insurance	Old-age and survivors insurance	Old-age assistance	Aid to dependent children	Aid to the blind			
		Number										
1940 Average	8,836	1,956	3, 894	46	332	10	247	232	10	2,110		
January February March April May June July August September October November December Jesti Average January February March April May June July May June July August September Jesti Average	11, 856 10, 916 8, 706 9, 651 10, 862 6, 284 8, 626	1, 416 1, 383 1, 634 1, 928 2, 697 2, 108 2, 070 1, 8855 2, 188 2, 485 2, 015 1, 097 2, 646 1, 702 1, 703 2, 131 2, 806 3, 160 2, 944 3, 140 2, 929 3, 065	7, 403 6, 767 3, 821 4, 461 3, 737 1, 012 3, 661 4, 479 1, 514 2, 040 4, 648 3, 172 5, 648 4, 333 2, 855 6, 002 5, 952 3, 205 5, 952 3, 205 5, 953 3, 325 5, 963 3, 335 5, 963	40 38 43 38 68 68 54 67 44 55 37 41 29 37 42 36 41 44 25 27	173 159 163 155 1, 298 663 381 267 210 173 167 171 167 171 288 166 116 710 288 161 111	0 3 5 11 8 9 7 7 13 12 12 12 15 15 16 11 21 17 18 18 18	207 250 5590 412 202 141 102 167 127 171 218 200 £58 304 213 401 375 500 229 142 140	228 231 263 222 208 313 230 183 166 180 250 227 227 248 253 277 314 270 233 217 160	11 4 15 6 12 6 10 10 7 17 9 7 8 4 4 7 10 4 4 8 4	2, 378 2, 081 2, 173 2, 418 2, 452 1, 906 2, 039 2, 017 1, 872 2, 205 1, 876 1, 818 2, 088 1, 938 1, 778 1, 859 2, 422 2, 316 2, 059 2, 422 2, 409 2, 138		
October November December	9, 740 6, 183 5, 710	3, 001 2, 089 1, 938	4, 257 1, 970 1, 579	20 27 15	58 75 67	7 13 13	168 118 97	193 223 138	3 5 3	2, 027 1, 663 1, 860		
Average (6 months)	6,734	2, 823	1,405	26	68	10	323	267	8	1,818		
January February March April May June	7, 274 6, 764 6, 957 6, 358 7, 022 6, 026	2, 173 2, 357 2, 778 3, 176 3, 394 3, 057	2, 224 1, 919 1, 677 741 1, 033 834	26 28 28 19 17 37	63 58 54 82 71 63	0 5 12 14 16 9	677 309 218 190 263 189	406 323 220 184 248 160	4 9 6 14 5	1, 695 1, 066 1, 964 1, 938 1, 975 1, 668		

than in 1940, but the monthly totals varied seasonally, declining somewhat in the summer and rising as relief budgets were revised upward to meet winter needs.

As was to be expected in a period of declining WPA rolls, the average monthly number of relief cases closed because of WPA employment was lower in 1941 than in 1940 and dropped still further in 1942. Peaks in March and April 1941 reflect the high turn-over of the WPA load as relief clients were assigned to WPA jobs to replace workers laid off under the 18-month regulation. Thus, as an effect of this ruling, there was a movement of one group of workers from WPA to relief rolls, and of another group from relief to WPA.

In general, during the 2½-year period, more cases went from relief to WPA rolls than from WPA to relief—an indication that the movement of workers from WPA to private employment more than offset the curtailment of WPA quotas and expenditures.

The WPA program had a greater direct influence on relief turn-over than did private employment in almost all months of 1940 and 1941. However, with continued contraction of the WPA and expansion of industrial activity, private employment became the major factor in the turn-over of the relief load; case closings because of private employment outnumbered those for WPA employment in all months but January from November 1941 through June 1942.

Table 5.—Number and percentage distribution of New York City home relief cases closed, by reason for closing and by month, January 1940–June 1942—Continued

		by mont	h, Janua	ry 1940-J	une 1942	-Continu	ued				
					Cases closed	because of—				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Year and month	All cases	l'rivate	Public em	ployment	Social is	nsurance	Pt	ıblic assistan	co	Other	
	closed	employ- ment	WPA	NYA, CCC, or other	Unem- ployment insurance	Old-age and survivors insurance	Old-age assistance	Aid to dependent children	Aid to the blind	reasons	
		Percentage distribution									
1940 Arerage	100.0	22.1	44. 1	0.5	3.8	0.1	2.8	2.0	0.1	25. 9	
January. February March. April. May. Juno. July August Soptembor October November Decembor	100. 0 100. 0	11. 9 12. 7 18. 7 20. 0 24. 8 33. 5 24. 1 20. 5 35. 6 33. 8 21. 8 23. 1	02. 4 02. 0 43. 9 46. 2 34. 4 16. 1 42. 4 49. 5 24. 7 27. 9 50. 4 43. 1	.3 .3 .5 .4 .0 .0 .0 .7 .7 .7 .7	1. 5 1. 5 1. 0 1. 6 12. 0 10. 0 4. 4 3. 0 3. 4 2. 4 1. 8 2. 3	(1) (1) .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .2 .2	1. 8 2. 3 6. 9 4. 3 2. 7 2. 2 1. 8 2. 1 2. 3 2. 4 2. 8	1. 9 2. 1 2. 9 2. 3 2. 7 5. 0 2. 7 2. 0 2. 7 2. 5 3. 1	(1) .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .2 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1	20. 1 19. 1 25. 0 25. 0 22. 6 31. 8 23. 6 22. 3 30. 5 30. 0 20. 3 24. 7	
Average	100 0	28. G	40.0	.4	1.9	.2	2.7	2. 0	.1	23. 5	
January February March April May June July August Soptember October November December	100. 0 100. 0	19. 4 23. 8 21. 7 23. 3 30. 0 30. 4 36. 7 24. 9 33. 7 30. 8 33. 8 33. 8	49. 3 41. 4 50. 9 49. 4 31. 9 22. 3 27. 1 50. 6 37. 3 43. 7 31. 9 27. 7	.5 .4 .4 .3 .5 .5 .2 .3 .3	1. 6 1. 5 . 9 1. 0 6. 9 3. 8 1. 9 . 9 . 9 . 6 1. 2	.2 .2 .2 .1 .2 .2 .1 .2 .2 .2 .2	3, 5 3, 1 4, 1 3, 1 4, 0 3, 1 1, 7 1, 3 1, 7 1, 7 1, 7	2.8 3.7 2.8 2.0 2.7 3.1 2.5 1.4 2.2 2.0 3.0	(t) .1 .1 (t) .1 (t) .1 (t) .1 (t) .1 (t) .1 .1 .1	22. 7 25. 8 18. 9 20. 1 22. 5 27. 5 29. 4 20. 5 23. 6 20. 8 26. 9 32. 6	
1942											
Average (6 months)	100.0	41.9	20.9		1.0	.1	4.8	3 . 8	.1	27.0	
January. Pobruary March April. May. Juno.	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	29. 8 34. 8 39. 9 49. 9 48. 4 50. 8	30. 5 28. 4 24. 1 11. 7 14. 8 13. 8	.4 .4 .3 .2	.9 .9 .8 1.3 1.0	.1 .2 .2 .2	9. 3 5. 9 3. 1 3. 0 3. 7 3. 1	5. 6 4. 8 3. 2 2. 0 3. 5 2. 7	.1 .1 .2 .1 .1	23. 3 24. 6 28. 2 30. 5 28. 1 27. 8	

Less than 0.05 percent.

Sources: New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical

Report, January 1940-July 1942; Social Statistics, New York State Department of Social Welfare, 1940 and 1941 Issues; Outdoor Relief in New York City, Welfare Council of New York City, January 1941-June 1942.

Home Relief and NYA and CCC Employment

During the 21/2-year period, concurrent relief supplementation of NYA and CCC earnings was of less importance in relation to the total relief load than was supplementation of WPA earnings largely because of the far greater number of WPA workers. In 1940 and 1941, on the average. only 1.5 percent of all home relief cases had income from NYA earnings and 0.3 percent from CCC allotments. The proportion of relief cases having NYA or CCC income declined during the latter part of 1941 and the first half of 1942: in June 1942 only 0.5 percent of all cases were receiving relief supplementary to NYA earnings, and 0.1 percent had income from the CCC.

Since the small earnings of high school students employed on NYA projects were not counted as income in determining eligibility for relief or the size of the relief grants, the NYA student work

program had little effect on the home relief load. Therefore, in relating cases of relief suppler ntation of NYA to the total NYA load, only outof-school work program has been c ...idered. During 1940 the number of relief family swith income from NYA represented, on the average, about 18 percent of the total number of out-ofschool NYA workers.

Although after June 30, 1940, proof of financial need was no longer a prerequisite for NYA employment, the proportion of NYA workers in households also receiving relief was greater in July and August 1940 than earlier in the year Figures on NYA employment from October 1941 onward are not entirely comparable with those for preceding months, but it may be assumed from comparable data for later months that the proportion of NYA workers whose families were receiving relief declined somewhat during 1941

Table 6.—Net change in New York City home relief case load, by reason for opening 1 or closing cases and by month, January 1940-June 1942

		Net change because of—							
Year and month	Net change in case load	Private em- ployment	WPA employ- ment	Other public employment	Unemployment insurance	Public assist- ance	Other reasons		
1940									
Arerage	-331	+789	-1,448	+14	+162	-362	+511		
January February March April May June July August September October	+284 +803 +2, 684 -160 -2, 352 +22 -1, 368 -1, 066 +770 -804	+2, 833 +2, 808 +2, 322 +050 -05 -133 +04 +528 -110 -553	-3, 192 -3, 827 -379 -1, 019 -873 +784 -1, 330 -2, 839 -246 -059	+47 +59 +45 +11 -6 +41 -12 -8 +17	+320 +349 +316 +407 -1,146 -560 -1792 +755 +474	-319 -373 -739 -409 -464 -353 -202 -211 -102	+586 +787 +1, 119 +314 +202 +243 +361 +672 +515 +198		
November December 1941 Arerage 1941	-2, 811 +24 -1, 705	-63 +874 -779	-3, 210 -1, 558 -748	+1 -12	+355 +381 +45	-375 -311 -548	+490 +650 +138		
January February March April May June July August September October November December	-1,079 +1,193 +467 -3,074 -1,903 -1,197 -579 -2,664 -4,054 -4,902 -2,023 -628	+1, 210 +1, 062 +311 -989 -1, 809 -1, 622 -1, 861 -1, 760 -1, 760 -1, 568 -621 -87	-2,792 -0,58 -465 -1,724 +1,312 +1,193 +2,148 -605 -1,975 -3,266 -1,289 -801	-10 +11 +19 0 -9 -26 -28 +8 -0 +5 -7	+308 +315 +230 +27 -652 -233 -83 +179 +153 +112 +55 +90	- 349 - 111 - 359 - 540 - 533 - 655 - 344 - 248 - 192 - 212 - 256 - 266 - 132	+616 +822 +906 +145 -907 -165 -507 -335 -221 +103 +203		
1942 Acerage (6 months)	- 2 . 818		0.00						
Anterage (o monins) February March April May June	-2, 373 -2, 332 -2, 516 -2, 451 -2, 903 -3, 898 -2, 803	-1,588 -282 -856 -1,356 -2,109 -2,504 -2,056		1-15 1-11 1-24 1-14 1-11 1-29	+1 +62 +32 +35 -48 -35 -41	3-495 3-991 3-646 3-343 3-304 3-425 3-263	4+44 4+277 4+166 4+175 4-162 4-278 4+85		

Cases opened include those opened because of inadequacy, as well as because of loss, of income from given source.
 Does not include cases opened because of inadequacy of wages.
 Does not include cases opened because of discontinuance of aid to the blind.

Includes cases opened because of inadequacy of wages from public employment other than WPA, and cases opened because of discontinuance of aid to the blind.

and in the first half of 1942. Until March 1942, hover, it remained higher than the proportion of allfiWPA workers whose earnings were concurrendo supplemented by relief. The greater extent frelief supplementation of NYA earnings is probably due to the fact that NYA was designed to provide individual rather than family income; monthly NYA earnings are well below WPA earnings, and, furthermore, NYA workers are usually secondary wage earners, for whom New York City relief allowances and permissible deductions from earnings are generally somewhat more liberal than for employed heads of families. Cases of concurrent supplementation of CCC Income were of much less importance than either WPA or NYA supplementation, not only in relation to the home relief load, but also in relation to the CCC load. The families of fewer than 6 percent of the CCC enrollees received concurrent supplementary relief during 1940, the only period for which data on CCC enrollment are available.

Neither the NYA nor the CCC program had much influence on openings or closings of relief cases. However, the CCC program influenced relief turn-over more greatly than did the larger NYA program, probably partly because the fixed CCC allotments to dependents were roughly comparable with total NYA earnings of the out-of-school workers and partly, too, because needs of the entire family group may have been considerably affected by the CCC enrollee's absence from home or by his return.

Home Relief and Unemployment Insurance

The direct measurable effect of the unemployment insurance system on the relief load, in terms both of concurrent supplementation and of relief turn-over, was considerably less than that of the WPA or private employment. Undoubtedly, the chief effect of unemployment insurance was indirect, in that partial compensation for loss of earnings enabled many workers to manage without relief who might otherwise have had to apply for some form of public aid. Then, too, expanding industrial activity in 1940 and 1941 increased the chances of a worker's returning to private employment before or shortly after his benefit rights had been exhausted.

Relief cases with income from unemployment insurance constituted no more than 8 in every 1,000 relief cases in any month of 1940 and over the entire year averaged only 4 in every 1,000. In 1941 and 1942 the proportion declined, averaging approximately 3 in every 1,000 cases for 1941 and 2 in every 1,000 for the first 6 months of 1942. The declines may be attributed partly to the reduction of the unemployment insurance load and probably also to generally higher benefit payments. The proportion of unemployment insurance beneficiaries in households concurrently receiving relief ranged from a high of 9 in 1,000 in March 1940 to 2 in 1,000 in June 1942.

One reason for the relatively low proportion of insurance beneficiaries who received supplementary relief is the fact that workers who move from full-time private employment to unemployment insurance may have some savings to tide them over for a short period or can for a time get credit from the grocer, the landlord, or other sources. On the other hand, the very fact that certified WPA workers were eligible for relief at the time of assignment to WPA makes them less likely to have such savings or income from other sources and hence more likely to qualify for relief even though WPA earnings are in general substantially higher than unemployment benefits. Moreover, insurance beneficiaries have not ordinarily been a part of the relief load immediately before they become eligible for insurance benefits, whereas in New York where persons certified to WPA all come from the relief rolls, the needs of these workers are known to the relief agency at the time of assignment and, if the budgeted needs of the family exceed the WPA earnings plus any other outside income, the family is not dropped from the rolls but receives supplementary relief.

Turn-over of relief cases is affected in various ways by the operation of the unemployment insurance system. Relief cases closed because of receipt of unemployment insurance are usually those of workers who either received relief only during a waiting period or were on relief and again became eligible for benefits early in the new benefit year. A case classified as opened because of unemployment benefits may be accepted for relief while awaiting benefits, while receiving benefits which fall below the full relief budget for the family's needs, or after benefit payments have ceased.

In a broad sense, the opening of relief cases because of loss of private employment by persons not awaiting unemployment insurance is also

related to the insurance system. No specific information is available on the reasons why workers in these relief cases are not eligible for unemployment insurance. A special survey 6 of all New York City relief cases accepted during a 5-week period in November and December 1941 indicated that, of the 2,755 adults in these cases who had been formerly employed, 2,117 were ineligible for unemployment benefits in the benefit year beginning April 1, 1941. Of this number, 42 percent had been in noncovered employment. chiefly in establishments with fewer than 4 cmployees. Second in importance to noncoverage was lack of sufficient wage credits, which barred 36 percent, the majority of whom had had no earnings in covered employment in the base year. Others were ineligible because they were either not able to work or not available for employment. Only 3.7 percent were ineligible at the time of acceptance for relief because they had exhausted their benefits for the current year during earlier spells of unemployment.

The average monthly number of home relief cases opened because of inadequacy or cessation of unemployment benefits declined from 490 in 1940 to 210 in 1941, and for the first 6 months of 1942 was only 66. Of the New York City workers who exhausted their benefit rights in the calendar year 1940, about 2 percent were accepted for relief specifically because of the cessation of benefit payments; during 1941, the proportion dropped to about 1 percent. Cases opened for workers awaiting unemployment insurance also declined during the 2½-year period, although less sharply: they averaged 380 a month in 1940, 220 in 1941, and 180 in the first half of 1942. Some of these cases were opened during the required 3week waiting period for unemployment benefits; others undoubtedly were those of workers temporarily disqualified for benefits because of withdrawal from the labor market or refusal to accept employment, or for some other reason.

Cases closed because unemployment insurance was received dropped from a monthly average of 330 in 1940 to 170 in 1941 and only 65 during the first 6 months of 1942. This downward trend is associated not only with a generally declining unemployment insurance load but also with

higher earnings in private employment and better opportunities for workers to manage without resorting to relief during waiting periods for unemployment insurance. In 1940, 1.4 relief cases were closed for every 100 first payments of unemployment benefits, but in 1941 this figure was only 0.5.

Relief case openings due to inadequacy or cessation of benefit payments outnumbered case closings due to receipt of benefits in all months of 1940 and 1941, except May, June, and July of both years, when the relationship was reversed. Thus, the uniform benefit year (beginning April 1 until 1942, when the date was changed to June 1) influenced seasonal trends not only in the number of insurance beneficiaries but also in the movement of cases between the unemployment insurance and the relief programs. In 1942, case closings directly related to the insurance system exceeded case openings in April, May, and June, as workers applied for additional benefits for the extended benefit year or became eligible for insurance in the new benefit year in June.

Some information on the characteristics and circumstances of unemployment insurance beneficiaries in relief households is available from three special surveys.7 None of these surveys attempts to measure the extent of concurrent supplementation of insurance by relief. They provide data on beneficiaries in households which received relief at some time during a specified period—in one case, the benefit year; in one, 18 months; and in the third, 3 years. All three studies indicate, as do the New York City figures, that exhaustion of unemployment benefit rights is not a primary cause of relief supplementation. In Detroit, Mich., 26 percent of the beneficiaries who exhausted their rights in the benefit year ended June 30, 1939, were in households which received relief at some time between January 1, 1938, and June 30, 1939. However, 16 percent received relief before receipt of benefits, 7.5 percent both before and after, and only 2.6 percent received relief for the first time during these 18 months after exhausting their benefit rights. In Polk

[•] Results of this survey conducted by the New York Unemployment Insurance Advisory Council and the Department of Welfare are summarized in Unemployment Insurance and Itome Relief, Department of Welfare, City of New York, Sept. 26, 1942. For reasons for Ineligibility, see p. 18, table 16.

[?] Creamer, Daniel, and Wellman, Arthur, "Adequacy of Unemployment Benefits in the Detroit Area During the 1938 Recession," Social Security Bulletin, Vol. 3, No. 11 (November 1940) pp. 3-11; Freeman, Homer J., "Unemployment Benefit Rights and Beneficiaries in Polk County, Iowa, 1938-39," Social Security Bulletin, Vol. 5, No. 1 (January 1942), pp. 15-24; Bloom, Marvin, "Unemployment Compensation Beneficiaries and Benefit Rights in Ramsey County, Minnesota, 1939," Social Security Bulletin, Vol. 5, No. 8 (August 1942), pp. 17-30.

County, Iowa, in 1939, 13 percent of all beneficiaries studied were in households which received relief at some time during the benefit year, while 5.2 percent received relief only after exhaustion of benefit rights.

In the Ramsey County, Minn., study, relief experience was measured over a 3-year period, 1938-40, for beneficiaries whose benefit years ended in the first quarter of 1940. Altogether, 23 percent of the beneficiaries who exhausted their benefit rights were in households known to relief, but only 1.6 percent first received relief after exhausting benefit rights. The great majority of households had been receiving relief prior to the beneficiary's separation from employment. In other words, it would appear that many of the families which receive relief in supplementation of unemployment benefits have also received relief in supplementation of private earnings.

In both the Iowa and Minnesota studies it was clear that the unemployment insurance beneficiaries in relief and in nonrelief households differed little with respect to age, occupation, weekly benefit amount or potential duration of benefits, but that the relief households were notably larger and the beneficiaries receiving relief had on the average more dependents than those not receiving relief. The special New York City relief study referred to above also indicated that the size of the unemployment beneficiary's family affected his relief status; the larger the family, the sooner after loss of employment was it necessary for the family to apply for relief.

Home Relief and Old-Age and Survivors Insurance

Old-age and survivors insurance, under which the first monthly benefits were paid in January 1940, has had no very great direct effect on the home relief load, but the number of relief cases with outside income from old-age and survivors benefits rose with some consistency from 4 cases in July 1940, the first month for which data are available, to 230 in June 1942. The effects of old-age and survivors benefits were presumably greater with respect to old-age assistance and aid to dependent children than with respect to home relief.

Because of the nature of old-age and survivors insurance benefits, it is unlikely that many relief cases have been opened because of the discontinu-

ance of such benefits, although specific information is not available. The average monthly number of relief cases closed because of receipt of old-age and survivors insurance benefits was 10 in 1940, 15 in 1941, and 10 in the first half of 1942.

Home Relief and Special Types of Public Assistance

Cases of concurrent relief supplementation of old-age assistance, aid to dependent children, and aid to the blind may have an importance not entirely revealed by their relatively low ratios to the total home relief load, for the relative importance of these types of supplementation cases increased somewhat while concurrent supplementation of other public programs generally declined. There are, of course, two basic reasons for the rising proportions of home relief cases with outside income from these special types of public assistance: First, case loads for old-age assistance and aid to the blind increased during 1940 and 1941, and, although the load for aid to dependent children declined, the decrease was less rapid than that of the WPA load, for example; second, because of the long-term nature of these programs, turn-over within the public assistance loads is not nearly so great as in unemployment insurance and Federal emergency employment.

These assistance programs differ considerably from the public programs previously discussed not only in their characteristics but also in their relationships to the home relief program. Since the amounts of payments under the special types of public assistance are determined in accordance with budget schedules similar to those used for general relief, concurrent supplementary relief is not granted in New York for individuals receiving special assistance payments but may be allowed other members in those households. Furthermore, the influence of the special assistance programs on relief turn-over, unlike that of other public programs or private employment, is consistently apparent chiefly in the movement of cases from relief to special assistance. Ordinarily these cases have been accepted for relief pending verification of eligibility for assistance—a period which usually does not exceed 3 months—or have become eligible for special assistance for the first time while receiving relief. The much smaller number of cases moving in the opposite direction that is, from special assistance to the relief rollsrepresents cases of continuing need that have ceased to meet other eligibility requirements for special assistance, such as age of children or

absence of the parent from home.

The proportion of relief cases which had income from old-age assistance rose from 3.1 percent in January 1940 to 4.5 percent in June 1942. Similarly, home relief cases with income from aid to dependent children increased from 2.0 to 3.0 percent. Home relief cases receiving aid to the blind made up 0.2 percent of all relief cases in January 1940 and 0.4 percent in June 1942.

Of perhaps greater importance than the increases in the proportions of relief cases receiving special assistance—a rise which partly reflects the relative stability of these cases in a generally declining relief load—is the fact that such cases also increased somewhat in relation to the size of the loads of the various special assistance programs during 1940 and 1941. Old-age assistance recipients in households receiving relief averaged 9.4 percent of the total number of old-age assistance recipients for all months of 1940 and 9.7 percent for all months of 1941, but by June 1942 had dropped to 9.0 percent. Cases receiving both relief and aid to dependent children rose more sharply, from 12.3 percent of all aid to dependent children cases in January 1940 to 17.8 percent in April 1941; thereafter they declined somewhat, and during the first half of 1942 remained fairly constant at about 17 percent of the total. The proportion of all recipients of aid to the blind in households receiving relief rose fairly steadily from 21 percent in January 1940 to 26 percent in January 1941 and thereafter varied only slightly from month to month.

One possible reason for increases apparent in 1940 and 1941 is that, as living costs rise, the budget deficit for the entire family group is more likely to exceed the amount of the individual public assistance payment, in which case supplementary home relief may be needed. On the other hand, a rise in living costs may be more than offset by new or increased family income from private sources, a factor which may account for the slight reductions in 1942 in the number of old-age assistance and aid to dependent children cases with income from home relief.

That relief is granted concurrently with aid to the blind more frequently than with either of the other types of public assistance is perhaps

due partly to the fact that, unlike payments for aid to dependent children, which in New York City may include an allowance for the parent or other relative responsible for the care of eligible children, payments under the aid to the blind program in New York City are limited to the individual recipient. Furthermore, a larger proportion of persons receiving aid to the blind than of persons receiving old-age assistance live in households with other persons, and fewer live alone or with their spouses only. Consequently. a larger proportion of persons receiving aid to the blind are found in households receiving some other type of assistance. In New York City, aid to the blind is not granted to children under 18 if they are living in families receiving relief or to children under 16 receiving aid to dependent children. Undoubtedly, a high proportion of the recipients of aid to the blind are adults, many of whom may have dependents whose needs can be met only by home relief.

As was to be expected, throughout the 2½-year period, cases closed because of receipt of any one of the three types of special assistance far outnumbered cases opened because of the cessation of such assistance. In fact, during 1940 and 1941 the average monthly number of cases closed because of receipt of special assistance was almost four times the average number of cases opened because of loss of special assistance, and, during the first 6 months of 1942, case closings outnumbered case openings more than 6 to 1. The ratio of case openings to case closings differed, however, for the three special assistance programs. During the 2½-year period the monthly number of relief cases closed because of receipt of old-age assistance ranged from 97 to a high of 677, whereas no more than 5 cases were opened in any month because of loss of income from this type of assistance, which generally is discontinued only if adequate private resources become available or if the recipient dies. Almost half of all old-age assistance case closings during the entire period were due to the death of the recipients; other reasons for case closings included increased resources, savings, or support from relatives; institutionalization; and moving away from the city.8

Naturally enough, since aid to the blind is a

New York City Department of Welfare, Monthly Statistical Report. January 1940-June 1942.

relatively small program, even fewer relief cases were opened because of discontinuance of aid to the blind than because of discontinuance of oldage assistance. Relief case closings because of receipt of aid to the blind were considerably higher than case openings but were still insignificant.

On the other hand, relief cases opened because of discontinuance of aid to dependent children not only greatly outnumbered cases opened because of discontinuance of either of the other two types of special assistance but also much more nearly approached the number of case closings directly related to this assistance program. This situation was to be expected, as cases may cease to be eligible for aid to dependent children for a variety of reasons not necessarily associated with increased resources. Of all such cases closed during the year ended June 1942, almost a third were no longer eligible for this type of assistance either because the children had reached their sixteenth birthday or because parents had returned home, recovered from illnesses, or remarried.

Conclusion

Although, in a period of declining relief rolls, the extent of supplementation of other programs by general relief may not be great in terms of absolute numbers of cases, the proportion of New York City home relief cases receiving income from other sources is by no means insignificant. In no month of the first half of 1942 did the supplementary relief load fall below a third of the total case load. The proportions of beneficiaries of other programs in households also receiving home relief in June 1942 ranged from less than 1 percent of all unemployment insurance beneficiaries to one-fourth of all recipients of aid to the blind. About a sixth of all cases of aid to dependent children and a

tenth of all WPA workers were in households receiving supplementary relief grants.

Relief case closings outnumbered case openings in most months of the 2½-year period ended June 1942, and in all months but one from April 1941 onward. Transfers to WPA employment were of greatest significance in the over-all reduction of the relief load in 1940 and in most months of 1941, but, during the second quarter of 1942, private employment was by far the most important single factor. In June, transfers to private employment accounted for almost three-fourths of the total net reduction in the case load.

Relationships between home relief and other public programs and between home relief and private employment in New York City cannot of course be considered representative of such relationships in other areas, but the data for New York City do reveal the extent and patterns of the interrelationships of relief and other programs in a city where home relief grants and policies are relatively liberal. In many areas where relief is limited to "unemployables" or where relief budgets are so low as to make families with very meager income ineligible for any form of relief, the total supplementation load would of course be relatively smaller than in New York City. Also, the movement of cases between general relief and other programs is conditioned by the character of the relief load and the policies and procedures of the relief and other agencies in any given area.

Many of the trends in relief supplementation and in turn-over apparent during years of rising industrial activity may be reversed if there is heavy post-war unemployment. Within the more immediate future, the liquidation of the WPA program may result in an increase in the relief rolls when adequate private employment is not immediately available.