Public Assistance Personnel, Jan.-June 1943

By Jack Charnow and Saul Kaplan*

FACED WITH THE LOSS of trained and experienced personnel to other governmental agencies, private industry, wartime welfare services, and the armed forces, public assistance agencies are intensively examining their personnel practices-the salary schedules and working conditions, promotion policies and methods of staff utilization and development, as well as recruitment and selection. Acute shortages of experienced personnel and high rates of turn-over are jeopardizing the quality of public assistance administration in many areas and constitute one of the most serious current problems of assistance administrators.

To meet the need for comparable Nation-wide information, the Social Security Board, in cooperation with State public assistance agencies, began in the latter half of 1942 to gather semiannual statistics on public assistance personnel. It is planned to include interpretive information in future reports as an aid in indicating the reasons for variations among States and significant changes within States. This article is based on reports from 39 agencies in 35 States¹ for the half year ended June 30, 1943, and includes national estimates dcrived from these reports and other information available to the Board.

Number of Employees and Distribution by Type of Position

State and local public assistance agencies employed an estimated 45,-000 persons in June 1943. These agencies gave financial assistance to about 4 million persons. The number of employees was about equal to the

Б

C

combined number administering the U. S. Employment Service and the unemployment compensation and oldage and survivors insurance programs. The great majority—38,500 or 86 percent—worked in offices established in county, city, or other local governmental units, while the remaining 14 percent worked in the central and district supervisory offices of the State public assistance agencies (table 1).

In most States the number of employees was not large. Almost threefourths of the States had less than 1,000 employees each, and almost onehalf had less than 500. Five States, on the other hand, with about onethird of all public assistance cases, had about 40 percent of all employees. The distribution of States by number of staff in State and local offices was as follows:

Number of employees Total	Number of States 40
Less than 100.	
100-499	
500-099. 1,000-1,499	
1,500-1,999	
2,000 or more	

Employees are classified into two broad groups-executives and social workers, and clerical and other em-The executive and social ployees. work group is made up of directors, director-workers, social workers who carry case loads or are engaged in intake and special investigation, and all other social workers. The director class includes administrators, their deputies, and other employees in executive positions of an administrative character. The director-worker class is confined to local office directors who, in addition to being the administrative heads of local offices, also carry case loads. Social workers who carry case loads or are engaged in intake and special investigation include supervisors whose major work is directly with applicants or recipients. Case work supervisors whose primary work is supervisors whose primary and clarks as well as messengers, janitors, custodial workers, and the like.

The executive-social worker group of 25,600 comprised more than half— 56 percent—of all employees. The group represented about one-third of the total number of social and welfare workers in public and private agencies in the country, as reported in the 1940 census.

States did not vary greatly in the proportion of executive and social workers among all employees. In nearly half the reporting States the proportion was between 50 and 60 percent; it was more than 65 percent in only four States, and less than 45 percent in only one. These proportions were about the same as 6 months earlier.

Because local offices work directly with applicants and recipients, the proportion of executives and social workers in local offices (6 out of every 10 employees) was more than double that in State offices. Visitors—that is, social workers carrying case loads, and director-workers—constituted 84 percent of the executive and social work group in local offices. Directorworkers, employed only in small offices, outnumbered local office directors, indicating that the majority of local offices in the country are small.

Table 1.—Estimated distribut.	on of staff by type of posit	ion. 49 States. June 1943
Table I.—Limmatea anitiona	on of suil of the of bost	1011, 47 011103, 51110 -745

	То	tul	State	offices	Local offices			
Position	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Total	45,000	100	6, 500	100	38, 500	100		
Exceptives and social workers	25, 600	56	1,800	28	23,800	62		
Directors. Director-workers. Social workers carrying case loads ' All other social workers.	2, 250 2, 400 17, 800 3, 150	6 5 39 7	300 300 1, 200	5 18	1, 050 2, 400 17, 500 1, 050	5 6 46 5		
Clerical and other employees	19, 400	44	4, 700	72	14,700	38		

Includes social workers engaged in intake and special investigation.

[•]Bureau of Public Assistance, Statistics and Analysis Division.

The summary is based upon a lesser number of States for certain items because of incomplete reporting. About 10 percent of the time of these agencies was devoted to welfare programs other than public assistance, such as child welfare services, probation and parole, mental hygiene, etc. For a more detailed discussion of data presented in this summary, see release of Bureau of Public Assistance, Statistics and Analysis Division, April 25, 1944, Staff of State and Local Public Assistance Agencies, July 1942-June 1943.

The relation between the number of clerical workers and the number of executives and social workers in local offices is of considerable administrative intcrest. The job of the social work staff is, of course, facilitated by adequate clerical service. In considering effective use of personnel, the relation between clerical, and executive and social work staff is significant but many additional factors must also be considered. A relatively high ratio of clerical workers in an agency may, for example, indicate either an insufficient number of visitors, with the assumption of visitors' functions by

clerical workers, or an effort to free visitors from the burden of clerical routine. The number of local units staffed only by a director-worker and a stenographer and the extent to which agency procedures require local disbursement of assistance payments and pay rolls are factors which may

Table 2.—Employees and vacancies, June 1943, and separations and accessions, January-June 1943

	June 1943									January-June 1943			
				Employees 2				Vacaucies ?		Separations		Accessions	
Sinto	Agency	Pro- grains 1		on	ice 1	Type of posl- tion			 		Rate		Rato
			Totn]	State	Local	Exec- utive nud social work- er	Cler- ical and other	Num- ber	Rate per 100 Posi- tions	Num- ber	per 100 em- ploy- ces (Num- ber	per 100 em- ploy- ces (
Total 4			27, 974	4, 607	23, 367	15, 753	12, 221		1 6.8		7 19. 3		7 15, 3
Alabama. Councetleut	Department of Public Welfare. Office of Commissioner of Welfare: Division	ABCG O	441	85	356	260	181	30	6, 4	05	21, 3	83	18.6
District of Columbia.	Board of Public Welfare: Division of Public	ABC 0	154	37 132	117	85 66	69 66	29 12	15.8	25 27	16, 4 18, 9	28 5	18.4
Florida Georgia Illinois	Assistance Welfare Board Department of Public Welfare Denartment of Public Welfare; Division of		518 518 758	132 54 115	467 643	344 433	· 174 325	60 113	8.3 10.4 13.0	201 148	37.4 19.5	162 145	3.5 30.1 10,1
Indiana Iowa	Public Assistance Department of Public Welfare Department of Social Welfare	ABC O	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,781\\ 1,388\\ 720 \end{smallmatrix} }$	141 280 246	1, 640 1, 168 474	1, 093 854 355	688 534 365	95 137 34	5.1 9.0 4.5	211 254 193	11, 8 18, 3 26, 1	. (*) (*) 118	(°) (°) 15, 5
Kentucky Louisiawa Maine Massachusetts	Department of Public Welfare: Division of Public Assistance Department of Public Welfare. Department of Health and Welfare Department of Public Welfare.	ABC O ABCG O ABCG O ACG O	362 1, 317 302 2, 307	102 216 98 316	200 1, 101 204 1, 961	283 661 148 1, 307	70 656 154 1,000	48 (9) 23 61	11.7 (9) 7.1 2.7	60 (?) 69 177	16, 6 (9) 22, 8 7, 6	(P) (P) 68 109	(9) (9) 22, 5 4, 7
Michigan Minnesota	Department of Education: Division of the Blind. Boeial Welfare Commission. Department of Social Security: Division of Social Welfare	ABCCPO	20 1,008 1,262	26 87 210	921 1,052	12 602 613	14 316 649	0 43 111	0 4.1 8.1	1 179 274	(19) 17.8 20,8	(9) 168	(10) (9) 12.8
Missouri Montana. Nebraska Nevada	Social Welfare, Social Security Commission Department of Public Welfare Department of Assistance and Child Welfare, Welfare Department: Division of Old-Age	ACG + 0 ABCO 0 ABCG 0 ABCG 0	$1,385 \\ 260 \\ 451$	140 90 57	1, 245 170 397	700 119 282	586 141 172	(9) 14 26	(9) 5. 1 5. 4	$201 \\ 62 \\ 146$	$20.0 \\ 24.0 \\ 31.4$	144 65 124	0, 9 25, 1 26, 7
New Hampshire New Mexico North Carolina	Assistance. Department of Public Welfare. Department of Public Welfare. Board of Charitles and Public Welfare, and	A ABC O ABCG O	20 148 245	7 49 51	13 09 104	15 77 133	5 71 115	0 17 39	0 10, 3 13, 0	0 28 80	(10) 18, 3 32, 3	7 18 (9)	(10) 11.8 (9)
North Dakota	Commission for the Blind " Public Welfare Board Department of Public Welfare:	ABCCIO ABCCIO	772 265	118 73	$\begin{array}{c} 654\\ 102 \end{array}$	425 116	347 149	113 14	12, 8 5, 0	1j1 80	12.7 30,1	50 70	7.0 29.8
Ohio Oregon Premsylvania South Carolinn South Dakota Tremessee Texas Utah Vermont	Department of Public Welfare. Division of Xie for the Aged. Division of Social Administration Department of Public Welfare. Department of Public Assistance Department of Public Welfare. Department of Public Welfare.	A BCG O ABCGO ABCGO ABCGO ABCGO ABCGO ABCGO ABCGO A BC O	1, 041 1, 300 850 471 3, 411 408 214 528 1, 073 307 24 60	182 73 108 09 658 76 37 101 135 49 24 23	859 1, 230 751 372 2, 823 177 427 038 258 37	677 614 592 230 1, 655 257 127 320 068 171 16 21	304 695 267 241 1,766 151 87 203 405 130 8 39	30 9 71 143 191 24 25 68 (°) 2 0 3	2.8 7.6 23.3 5.4 10.5 11.9 0 (⁹)	120 518 178 122 550 50 41 114 (*) 61 4 13	$12.3 39.0 20.7 25.9 10.1 12.3 10.2 23.1 (^{(1)})(^{(2)})(^{(2)})$	244 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) 52 (*) 52 (*) 185 (*) 80 4 11	24.0 (?) (?) 12.8 (?) 37.6 (?) 23.2 (10) (?)
Virginia West Virginia	Department of Public Assistance	ABCO O ABCO O	673 780	111 160	562 620	370 453	294 327	85 (%)	11. 2 (P)	122 207	18, 1 24, 8	110 99	17.0 11.9
Wisconsin	Department of Public Welfare: Division of Public Assistance	лвса∗о	788	81	707	401	387	32	3. 9	190	22.6	87	10.4

¹ A signifies old-age assistance; B, aid to theblind; C, aid to dependent children; G, general assistance (includes all general assistance employees supervised by public assistance agencies; excludes general assistance employees not supervised by public assistance agencies as follows: Maine, Michigan, South Dakota, majority of local employees; Georgia, Jowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Wisconsin, some local employees. Includes, for Iowa, a few employees not engaged in general assistance); O, other welfure programs excluding institutions. ³ As of last pay-roll period. ³ State office represents contrai office and regional or district supervisory offices; local offices represent offices of county, city, or other local governmental units or branch offices of State agency administering public assistance. Employees —District of Columbla Division of Public Assistance, Massachusetts Division of the Blind, and Vermont Old Age Assistance Department—are counted as State office ounployees.

employees.

Average of number in December 1942 and June 1943 (including permanent, temporary, and provisional employces). For States not reporting for December 1942, separation rate based on number of employces in June 1943; these rates would differ only slightly from those based upon the average number of employces during the 6-month period.
Itepresents number of employces in June 1943 plus number of separations January-June 1943 minus number of employces at end of December 1942.
30 agencies in 35 States.
7 For vneancies, 35 agencies in 24 States.
8 See footnote 1.
Not available.

Not computed; base too small.
 Data for 2 agencles combined.

influence the ratio significantly. Differences in the case with which vacancies in the two groups can be filled are also reflected in the ratio.

For every 100 executive and social work employees, local offices employed 54 clerks.² Although the range among the reporting agencies was from no clerical workers to 93 for every 100 of the executive-social work group, in almost half the agencies the ratio was between 40 and 60. The distribution of agencies on the basis of the ratio of clerical to executive and social workers was:

Number of clerical workers for executives und social workers offices Total	6	geneies
Total 22 Contraction of Contraction		
Less than 30		3
30-39		- 4
40-49		7
50-59		8 5
60-69		
70-79		6
80 or more		1

Turn-Over

Although some staff turn-over is desirable as a means of introducing new blood into an agency, a constantly shifting staff produces serious administrative problems. Extra effort must be spent in recruiting, examining, placing, and orienting new employees, while staff morale and efficiency are lowered, and administrative direction suffers; case loads must be reassigned constantly and adequate coverage is made difficult. These problems are intensified when the newly hired workers have relatively little experience and training. Serious dislocation of agency operations can occur even though the net loss in number of employees is negligible.

During the first 6 months in 1943, about one-third of the employees either left the public assistance agencies or were newly hired. Out of every 100 employees, 19 left and 15 were added; the net loss was thus 4 per 100 employees.³ The total decline in staff is estimated at 1,700, since 8,700 employees left and only 7,000 were hired. Most of the net loss was in the

²Includes in this instance stenographers, typists, and all other clerks except statistical and accounting clerks.

^a Includes permanent, temporary, and provisional employees. If temporary and provisional employees were excluded, the separation rate would be somewhat lower and the accession rate considerably lower. Future reports will permit computation of rates both including and excluding these employees.

group of clerical and other employees, which lost an estimated 1,100 or about 65 percent of the total net loss; as noted above, however, this group comprised only 44 percent of all employees. The separation rate for clerical and other employees was 26 per 160 employees; for the executivesocial worker group, 15 per 100.

Not all separations are, of course, voluntary quits on the part of employees. Reorganization and mass lay-offs may in some instances account for a relatively high number of separations.

The separation rate was higher for the clerical and other employees group in all but 1 of the reporting States. In nearly half the States it was higher by more than 10 per 100 employees. The following tabulation shows the number of agencies with specified separation rates for each of these 2 groups:

Separations per 100 employees	All em- ployees	Executives and social workers	and other		
	Nu	mber of ager	reles		
Total	32	32	. 32		
Less than 10 10-10 20-29 30-39 40-49 20-59	1 15 11 5 0	$ \begin{array}{c c} 4 \\ 21 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} $	0 14 0 2		

In the same period, accession rates in 22 agencies for which information is available ranged from 4 to 38 per 100 employees. While in most agencies accession rates were lower than separation rates, resulting in a net loss in the total number of employees, in 3 they were substantially higher. The difference between the two rates was small in more than one-third of the agencies, each of which had a net loss or gain of less than 10 employees. In only 1 agency was the net change as much as 16 per 100. Accession rates were not available by type of position. For all employees, however, the rate of accessions, as of separations, was between 10 and 20 in almost half the agencies:

Accessions per 100 employe	63	Number of agencie	a
Total		2	22
Less than 10			1
20-20.			6 2

Vacancies

An additional measure of the acuteness of the staffing problem is the number of vacancies. If a State already has a large work load per employce, a considerable number of vacancies is particularly serious. In this report, vacancies are defined as unfilled positions which the agencies intend to fill and for which they have funds.

Use of this measure is subject to certain limitations, however. Intention to fill a vacancy is not readily susceptible of objective test. The attitude of agency administrators is subject to change as a result of such factors as ability to recruit qualified replacements, budgetary considerations, and uncertainty about the desirability of assigning work on a permanent basis to employees in other positions. Moreover, since the vacancy count is restricted to unfilled positions for which funds are available, it does not necessarily reflect the agency's concept of its need for additional staff.

About 7 out of every 100 positions were vacant in June 1943—a rate slightly lower than that 6 months earlier. Of the estimated 3,300 vacant positions, about 86 percent or 2,850 were in local offices; the other 14 percent were in State offices. The distribution of vacancies between State and local offices was therefore the same as that of employees.

The number of vacancies per 100 positions in the reporting States ranged from less than 1 to 23. About two-fifths of the States had 10 or more vacancies per 100 positions:

Vacancies per 100 positions	Number of States
Total.	- 29
Less than 5	
5-9 10-14	
15 or more.	

There were relatively more vacancies in executive-social worker positions than in clerical and other positions, although the net loss during the period was greater for the latter. One possible explanation is that unfilled positions for executives and social workers are considered vacant for longer periods of time than are those for clerical and other workers. Eight out of every 100 executive and social work positions were vacant as compared with about 5.5 of every 100 clerical and other positions. Of the 3,300 vacancies, two-thirds were for executive and social work positions.

In about half the reporting States the difference in vacancy rates between the executive-social work group and the clerical and other group exceeded 5 per 100 employees, and in all but two of these States the vacancy rate was higher for executive and social work positions.

Case Loads per Visitor

Visitors, who constitute more than half of all local office employees, have the basic job of determining original and continuing eligibility for public assistance. They include directorworkers as well as social workers carrying case loads and working on intake and special investigation. Because all public assistance agencies employ visitors and because the essentials of their jobs are the same, rough quantitative measures of their work can be used in State comparisons. One such comparison is the number of cases per visitor.

On the average, a visitor carried 192 cases in June 1943. The number of assistance cases per visitor was between 150 and 200 in 12 of the 38 reporting agencies, and 200 to 250 in 15 agencies. The range was from 59 to 388. Variations among State agencies in the number of cases per visitor were:

Number of assistance cases per visitor	Number of agencies
Total	38
Less than 100.	
100-149	7
150-199	12
200-249	15
250-209	1
300 or more	2
In interpreting these data	, various

mind. Since visitors work on both applications and cases, they can carry a relatively high number of assistance cases in States in which an unusually low proportion of their time is spent on applications. Usually, too, the amount of time spent per case varies according to the type of assistance. For this reason the kind of programs administered by an agency may also influence the number of cases per visitor. In the 15 agencies which are administering the 3 special types of public assistance and general assistance, the number of cases per visitor ranged from 105 to 230, with a median of 163; in the 13 agencies administering the 3 special types of public assistance only, the range was from 158 to 378, with a median of 215. Oldage assistance cases, on which visitors usually spend less time than on other

Chart 1.-Separation rates in 32 State public assistance agencies, January-June 1943, and vacancy rates in 30 agencies in June 1943, by

qualifying factors must be kept in

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 0 5 10 15 20 25 TOTAL 7227277777777777777777777777777777777				RATE (PERCE							CANCY RAT			
FLA, 20110112000000000000000000000000000000		<u>0 10</u>	20	30 40	0 5	0 6	0		0 5		0 1	5 :	20 2	25
N. DAK, 222222222222222222222222222222222222	TOTAL	minning	min					TOTAL	in in in			ł	1	
N. DAK, 22227272727272727272727272727272727272	FLA,	in marine	man		77777777		ļ	OREG.	a di anti di	anini.	antam	min		in the second se
N. MEX. 20222322222222222222222222222222222222		minni		1 1				CONN.	minn	ininin .			1	
IOWA 222222222222222222222222222222222222	N. MEX.	mmm		1	21	l		N. MEX.	alanin	and provide]
N.H. 22/22/2/22/2014 WIS. 22/22/2/22/22/22/22/22/22/22/22/22/22/2	OHIO~DSA	minimum	minn	innen	mm	 ZZZ]		N. C.	Inninin	inits"				
WIS. 1777777777777777777777777777777777777	IOWA	minin	minne					VA.	anina				1	
MO. 2222222122222222222222222222222222222	N. H.	manning		- I i				N.H.	277227772		5 10 X 1 1			
W. VA. 222222222222222222222222222222222222	WIS.	mannin	ining and			(1	S. DAK.	minia			ļ	1	i i
ALA. 222222222222222222222222222222222222	MO.	minimum	inno.		j	}	ł	FLA.	1111111			1		
MONT. 222222222222222222222222222222222222	W.VA.	minin	manna					TENN.	mann	min	21	l	[1
S. DAK. 2722222222222222222222222222222222222	ALA.	annian	unun					IND.	minno	أحصبيها				ļ
VA. 1211111111111111111111111111111111111	MONT.		innin	a				KY.	Finner	mm	mm	l đ		
UTAH Intractional international internationaline internaternatintereformation internaternational international	S. DAK.	amonth	2222				1	GA.	minne	inn.	min	222		1
D.C. 121712171217121712171217121712171217121	VA.	manun	223				1	MONT,	2	0.7		ļ.	ļ	
OKLA. Internative construction NEBR. Internative construction MAINE Internative construction MINN. Internative construction PA. Internative construction MICH. Internative construction MICH. Internative construction KY. Internative construction GA. Internative construction OREG. Internative construction OREG. Internative construction It.L. Internative construction N.C. Internative construction OHIO-OAA Internative construction WORKER Internative construction S.C. Internative construction OHIO-OAA Internative construction OHIO-OAA Internative construction OHIO-OAA Internative construction OHIO-OAA Internative construction OHIO-OA		and an	tana		' I		•		22 1	· · · · · ·		ł	ł	
NEBR. 2000000000000000000000000000000000000		in many m	an p			2	1			22 22		ļ		
MAINE MAINE MINN. MINN. MINN. MINN. MINN. ALA. MINN. ND. MINN. MINN. S.C. 2222221 PA. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. KY. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. GA. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. KY. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. GA. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. GONN. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. GREG. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. JOREG. MICH. MICH. MASSDPW MICH. MASSDPW MICH. MILL. MICH. MICH. MIS. MICH. MICH. MICH. MICH. HLL. MICH. MICH. </td <td>-</td> <td>mathing</td> <td>mann</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>aninin</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	-	mathing	mann						aninin			1		
MINN. 777777777777777777777777777777777777		in in a start	mann		ma]	OKLA.	2122220	er Art i				
IND. IND. IND. S. C. IND. PA. IND. IND. IND. IND. MICH. IND. IND. IND. IND. MICH. IND. IND. IND. IND. KY. IND. IND. MICH. IND. GA. IND. IND. PA. IND. GA. IND. IND. IND. PA. IND. GONN. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. GREG. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. ILL. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. ILL. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. OHIO-OAA IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. OHIO-OAA IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. ILL. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. OHIO-OAA IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. IND. <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>annie marthant</td><td>man</td><td></td><td></td><td>ł</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>2 I</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>}</td><td>•</td></t<>	-	annie marthant	man			ł	1		2 I		•		}	•
PA. IDMA IDMA IDMA MICH. IDMA IDMA IDMA KY. IDMA IDMA IDMA GA. IDMA IDMA IDMA GA. IDMA IDMA IDMA OREG. IDMA IDMA IDMA OREG. IDMA IDMA IDMA ILL. IDMA IDMA IDMA N.C. IDMA IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA VORKER WIS. IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA VORKER IDMA IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA ILL. IDMA IDMA IDMA OHIO-OAA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA IDMA <td></td> <td>milinin</td> <td>min</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ł</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1.</td> <td>ł</td>		milinin	min			ł	1					1	1.	ł
MICH. Солотителиции в солотители в солот		mannin				ł	1		and in	3		1		1
KY. FORTIGATION FORTUGATION FORTUGAT		minin	2221		1	{	1		annies 1			ł	1	
GA. MEBR. MEBR. MEBR. CONN. MASSDPW D.C. MASSDPW OREG. MEDITIONITY (MEDITION) MASSDPW MASSDPW ILL. MASSDPW MASSDPW MASSDPW N.C. MASSDPW MASSDPW MASSDPW OHIO-OAA MASSDPW MASSDPW MASSDPW S.C. MASSDPW MASSDPW MASSDPW		aminin	777777777	1			1		<u>22</u>				ļ	1
CONN. TREATMENT OF INTERVIEW OF ICON O		minan	mann				1		in the second					
OREG. D.C. D.C. D.C. TENN. D.C. D.C. HLL. D.C. D.C. N.C. D.C. D.C. OHIO-OAA D.C. D.C. S.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. D.C. WIS. D.C. D.C. D.C.		and the second	and and a second							ן נ			}	
TENN, DATATAL AND DECEMBER ILL. DATATAL AND DECEMBER N. C. DATATAL AND SOCIAL WORKER OHIO-OAA DATATAL AND OTHER S. C. DATATAL AND OTHER		in and in the							222					
ILL. ILL. WIS. ILL. N.C. ILL. Executive and social WORKER WIS. ILL. OHIO-OAA ILL. ILL. ILL. ILL. OHIO-OAA ILL. ILL. ILL. ILL. S.C. ILL. ILL. ILL. ILL.			mann				i			777777	777222	1	1	
N. C. UTAH T EXECUTIVE AND SOCIAL UTAH T OHIO-OAA 707777777777777777777777777777777777		minnin	mann	mmm	a								1	
OHIO-OAA		mining		1					inner				1	
S. C. • CLERICAL AND OTHER OHIO-OSA		minun	Z2.	EXEC	UTIVE AND	SOCIAL	í		-t			EXE	OUTIVE AND	SOCIAL
								OHIO-OSA	p (· ·				
MASSDPW					ICAL AND									1
	MASSDPW	manies							L				<u> </u>	<u> </u>

tNo vacancies.

30

types, constituted a lower proportion of total cases in the first group of agencies than in the second group. The frequency of reinvestigations required by an agency may also affect the size of visitors' loads; for example, semiannual reinvestigations will necessitate lower case loads than annual reinvestigations.

The scope, content, and objectives of a public assistance agency's program are basic factors underlying variations in visitors' loads. All agencies are bound by laws and regulations for determining eligibility for assistance, but agencies vary in the extent to which they recognize areas requiring the exercise of administrative discretion and in the degree to which they individualize the process of determining eligibility. Agencies which emphasize individualization in the process of establishing eligibility and determining amounts of assistance are likely to have comparatively low visitors' case loads.

Other factors which may influence the size of visitors' loads in public assistance agencies are the extent of staff turn-over, the degree of administrative efficiency, the proportion of visitors' time spent in travel, and the amount and kind of clerical services available for routine tasks.

An agency whose visitors carry very high case loads may appear to save money in visitors' salaries, but the resulting economy may be deceptive, because it tends to weaken the agency's effectiveness in discharging its responsibility to the community, i. e., to grant assistance to needy individuals in accordance with their need. Visitors with unduly high case loads are forced to limit the frequency of their interviews and delay adjustments in assistance payments to meet changing circumstances, thus causing underpayments or overpayments to assistance recipients. They cannot spend the time required to learn the factors relevant to the need of recipients and may thus neglect certain special needs. Utilization of community resources through referral to other social agencies may be neglected. Serious need for medical care, for example, may escape unnoticed or remain unmet because of a visitor's heavy work load. Small visitors' loads, however, do not in themselves produce quality of public assistance service; other factors are equally important.

The average case load per visitor in June 1943 was lower than it had been 6 months earlier, because, in general, cases declined more than the number of visitors. The declines, occurring in more than two-thirds of the reporting agencies, were for the most part moderate and did not exceed 25 cases per visitor; in the median agency the decline was 9 cases.

Visitors usually work on a combination of assistance programs. When their time is converted into full-time equivalents for individual programs, however, the case load per visitor (full time) in June 1943, in the median agency for each program was: old-age assistance, 235; aid to the blind, 152; aid to dependent children, 115; and general assistance, 91.

In addition to assistance cases, visitors also worked on applications. The average number of applications per visitor in June 1943 was 10 in the median agency, and between 5 and 15 in almost three-fourths of the reporting agencies. In most State agencies the number of applications per visitor declined moderately from the level 6 months earlier.