UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

	`
In the Matter of)
CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.	<i>)</i>)
a foreign corporation,	Docket No. 9300
CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY	<i>)</i>)
a corporation,	PUBLIC
PITT-DES MOINES, INC.,))
a corporation.	,)
)

RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION TO CLARIFY RESPONDENTS' OBLIGATIONS AS TO THE PITT-DES MOINES <u>AND CB&I CORPORATE NAMES</u>

Respondents¹ file this Response to Complaint Counsel's Petition for Reconsideration to Clarify Respondents' Obligations as to the Pitt-Des Moines and CB&I Corporate Names ("Counsel's Petition to Clarify"), subject to and without waiving Respondents' Petition to Reconsider the Opinion and Order in Light of Entry after the Close of the Record and Overbreadth and Respondents' Motion For Clarification or, in the Alternative, For a Stay, filed February 1, 2005.

I. Clarification Regarding the CB&I Name.

Respondents do not oppose Counsel's Petition to Clarify to the extent that it seeks to ensure that, in the event of and upon divestiture of any part of CB&I, the purchaser of the assets sold pursuant to a final order of the Federal Trade Commission shall not acquire any right or title in or to the CB&I corporate name.

¹ Respondents Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. and Chicago Bridge & Iron Company are referred to herein collectively as "Respondents" or "CB&I."

Respondents do, however, oppose the inclusion of the language "except to the extent

necessary to achieve the purpose of, and to assure compliance with, this Order" in sections I.J.

and IV. of the Proposed Order Modifying Final Order, attached to Counsel's Petition to Clarify.

The exception language creates an unnecessary ambiguity in the Order.

II. **Clarification Regarding the PDM Name.**

Respondents object to those portions of Counsel's Petition to Clarify that impose an

affirmative duty on CB&I to transfer any right or title in and to the corporate name of Pitt-Des

Moines ("PDM"), including the PDM mark. On the acquisition of the Industrial Division of

PDM, CB&I acquired only a one-year, non-renewable, non-exclusive transitional license to the

use of the PDM mark. That license expired on February 6, 2002. Accordingly, CB&I has no

right or title in or to the PDM corporate name or mark which it could transfer to a purchaser.

Dated: February 10, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Clifford H. Aronson

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

4 Times Square

New York, NY 10036-6522

Telephone No.: 212-735-2644

Facsimile No.: 917-777-2644

Charles W. Schwartz

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

1600 Smith, Suite 4400

Houston, TX 77002-7348

Telephone No.: 713-655-5160

Facsimile No.: 888-329-2286

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY N.V.

AND CHICAGO BRIDGE & IRON COMPANY

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sara L. Bensley, hereby certify that on February 10, 2005, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the following persons by hand delivery:

Donald S. Clark Secretary Federal Trade Commission Room H-159 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

Steven L. Wilensky, Esq. Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Room NJ-6120 Washington, D.C. 20001 Rhett R. Krulla, Esq. Assistant Director Bureau of Competition Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Room NJ-6120 Washington, D.C. 20001

Sara L. Bensley

Certificate for Electronic Filing

I, Sara L. Bensley, hereby certify that the attached *Response to Complaint Counsel's Petition for Reconsideration to Clarify Respondents' Obligations as to the Pitt-Des Moines and CB&I Corporate Names* is a true and correct copy of the original document that was filed today, February 10, 2005, by hand delivery with the Secretary of the Commission, Room H-159, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

Sara L. Bensley