Senior Executive Service: Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the names of the standing Performance Review Board Roster.

DATES: August 6, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elliott H. Davis, Director of Personnel, Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 6th & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326–2022.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c) (1) through (5) of title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more performance review boards. The board shall, among other things, review and evaluate the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, and make appropriate recommendations to the appointing authority.

The following persons are appointed to the FTC's Performance Review Board Roster: Office of the Chairman: James Hamill, Lorraine Miller, Susan DeSanti; Office of the Inspector General: Frederick Zirkel; Office of the Executive Director: Robert Walton, Rosemarie Straight, Alan Proctor, James Giffin, Richard Arnold; General Counsel: Stephen Calkins, Jay Shaffer, Ernest Isenstadt, Christian White; Office of Secretary: Donald Clark; Bureau of Competition: William Baer, George Cary, Mark Whitener, Ann Malester, Michael McNeely, Phillip Broyles, Walter Winslow, Robert Leibenluft; Bureau of Consumer Protection: Joan Bernstein, Teresa Schwartz, Lydia Parnes, David Medine, Elaine Kolish, Eileen Harrington, Dean Graybill, C. Lee Peeler; Bureau of Economics: Jonathan Baker, Ronald Bond, Gary Roberts, Paul Pautler.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–19941 Filed 8–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[INFO–96–20]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639–7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques for other forms of information technology. Send comments to Wilma Johnson, CDC Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Projects

1. Reliability and Validity Assessment of the Use of Scales of Stressful Life Events in Black Women of Reproductive Age—(0920–0356)—Reinstatement—A CDC review of studies of psychosocial factors and adverse pregnancy outcome supports the hypothesis that high levels of exposure to stressful life experiences put black women at increased risk for adverse reproductive outcome, particularly Preterm Delivery (PTD) and Very Low Birth Weight (VLBW). The purpose of this study is to evaluate the reliability and validity of existing instruments that measure stressful life events in black women of reproductive age. Respondents will consist of reproductive age residents who live in the Atlanta area and who attend a health care facility that has a behavioral prenatal unit. Approximately one half of the women will be pregnant at the time of data collection.

Women enrolled in the study respond to a series of demographic and psychosocial questionnaires. Women are also asked to provide a 24 hour urine sample and saliva sample. Both samples are used to correlate reported levels of stress with laboratory measures of stress.

Participation in this study is voluntary and participants will receive a reimbursement for their time. A written informed consent will be obtained and oversight will be provided by local institutional review.

The study is ongoing and by December 31, 1996, approximately two-thirds of data collection will be completed. In January 1997, we need to continue data collection so that we will have 100 women for the validity study and 29 women for the reliability study. To complete the validity study, 100 women will be interviewed and will submit one 24 hour urine collection and a saliva sample. To complete the reliability study, 29 women will be interviewed on two separate occasions to determine whether responses to the structured stress scales are consistent. These women will also submit a 24 hour urine collection and a saliva sample. the total estimated cost to respondents is at $8,616.

Respondents	No. of respondents	No. of responses/respondent	Avg. burden/response (in hrs.)	Total burden (in hrs.)
Reliability study group—African-American Women for the ages of 18 to 45	29	1	13	377
Validity study group—African-American Women for the ages of 18 to 45	100	1	7	700
Total				1,077