Question 12B. What is the evidence that physical activity in obese adults effects a change in abdominal fat?

	Design/Demographics	Overweight defined as:	Adjuvant therapy	Intervention	Drop-out total	Mean weight change (n) mean weight change (95%CI)	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Baseline (n) mean (95%CI)	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Change (n) mean (95%CI)	Comments/side effects
	Exercise only								
685	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: yes Included: postmenopausal women, included non- overweight Mean age: not given Mean weight: 79.7 Female/Total 40/40	post- menopausal women	Diet: no Exercise: yes 60 min 5x/wk Max HR: 60% Behavioral: no	1. Control (no exercise) 2. Exercise	1. 6/16 (37%) 2. 9/24 (37%)	Weight in kg 1. 0.6 21.9 2vs1 -2.5 (-11.0, 6.0)	WHR 1. (10) 0.82 (0.8, 0.9) 2. (15) 0.8 (0.8, 0.8)	WHR 1.(10) 0.82 (0.8, 0.9) 2.(15) 0.79 (0.8, 0.8) 2vs1 -0.01 (-0.04, 0.02)	
700	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: yes Included: not specified Mean age: 59 Mean weight: 94 Female/Total: none/20	not given	Diet: group 1 only AHA Step I Exercise: yes 40 min 3-5x/wk Max HR: 75-85% Behavioral: yes group weekly	Weight loss diet Exercise training	none	111.4 20.3 2vs1 11.1 (5.31, 16.8)	WHR 1. (10) 0.97 (0.9, 1.0) 2. (10) 0.96 (0.9, 1.0) Skinfolds, d 1. (10) 1.62 (1.4, 1.9) 2. (10) 1.52 (1.4, 1.7)	WHR 1. (10) 0.95 (0.9, 1.0) 2. (10) 0.98 (0.9, 1.0) 2vsl 0.04 (-0.01, 0.09) Skinfolds, d 1. (10) 1.6 (1.2, 2.0) 2. (10) 1.78 (1.4, 2.2) 2vsl 0.3 (0.0, 0.6)	
707 *Standford Exercise	Randomization: yes Self-selected: no Included: <140% IBW Mean age: not given Mean weight: not given Female/Total: 0/81	<140% IBW	Diet: no Behavioral: no	Sedentary control (no intervention) Supervised running	1. 1/33 (3%) 2. 2/48 (4.2%)	1. 1.1 21.4 2vs1 -2.5 (-4.5, -0.5)		Skinfold, abdominal 2. (46) -5.3 (-7.7, -2.9) 1. (32) -0.2 (-2.4, 2.0) 2vs1 -5.1 (-7.58, -2.62) Waist circumference, cm 2. (46) -3 (-4.1, -1.9) 1. (32) -0.1 (-1.4, 1.2) 2vs1 2.9 (1.7, 4.1) Skinfold, c 2. (46) -3.1 (-4.3, -1.9) 1. (32) -0.4 (-1.7, 0.9) 2vs1 2.7 (1.48, 3.92) WHR 2. (35) -1.3 (-2.0, -0.6) 1. (29) 0.2 (-0.6, 1.0) 2vs1 1.5 (0.8, 2.2)	

Question 12B. What is the evidence that physical activity in obese adults effects a change in abdominal fat?

Reference	Design/Demographics	Overweight defined as:	Adjuvant therapy	Intervention	Drop-out total	Mean weight change (n) mean weight change (95%CI)	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Baseline (n) mean	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Change (n) mean (95%CI)	Comments/side effects
Suter 705	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: unclear Included: non-overweight Mean age: 41.2 Mean weight: 24.9 kg/m ² Female/Total: 0/47	not given	Diet: no Exercise: yes 30 min 4-6x/wk Max HR: 50-75%	No intervention Walking Jogging	none	10.09 20.07 30.28 *mean diff in BMI 3vs1 -0.19 (-0.5, 0.1) 3vs2 -0.2 (-0.5, 0.19) 2vs1 0.02 (-0.38, 0.42)	(95%CI)	Skinfolds, mm 1. (19) -3.3 (-7.5, 0.9) 2. (28) -1.5 (-7.0, 4.0) 3. (28) -3.7 (-8.0, 0.6) 3vs1 -0.40 (-4.5, 3.7) 3vs2 -2.2 (-7.0, 2.6) 2vs1 1.8 (-3.1, 6.7) WHR 1. (19) 0.03 (0.0, 0.0) 2. (28) 0.04 (0.0, 0.1) 3. (28) 0.03 (0.0, 0.0) 3vs1 0 (0, 0) 3vs2 -0.01 (-0.04, 0.02) 2vs1 0.01 (-0.03, 0.05)	Pearson correlation coefficient between amount of training and changes in Joggers Walkers Change in BMI 0.07 -0.26 Change in skinfolds -0.10 -0.48 Change in WHR 0.05 -0.45
Gwinup 725	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: yes Included: 30-40% total body weight as fat Mean age: 30.3 Mean weight: 149.5 lbs Female/Total: 44/44	30-40% total body weight as fat	Diet: no Exercise: yes daily Behavioral: no	Walking Cycling Swimming	1. 4/15 (27%) 2. 5/15 (33%) 3. 7/15 (47%)	117 219 3. 5 *lbs	Skinfolds 1. (11) 32 (n/a, n/a) 2. (10) 30 (n/a, n/a) 3. (8) 28 (n/a, n/a)	Skinfolds 1. (11) 19 (n/a, n/a) 2. (10) 17 (n/a, n/a) 3. (8) 28 (n/a, n/a) * no statistics done	
Hellenius 365	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: no Included: includes non- overweight Mean age: 46.2 Mean weight: 25.3 mg/kg ² Female/Total: 0/158	includes non- overweight	Diet: NCEP I Exercise: yes groups 3 and 4 30-45 min 3x/wk Max HR: 60-80% Behavioral: no	Control (no intervention) Diet alone Exercise alone Diet and exercise	1. 1/40 (2%) 2. 0/40 3. 0/39 4. 0/39	BMI 1. (39) 0.3 (0.1, 0.5) 2. (40) -0.3 (-0.6, 0.03) 3. (39) -0.3 (-0.5, -0.01) 4. (39) -0.6 (-0.9, -0.3) BMI 4vs3 -0.30 (-0.57, -0.03) BMI 4vs2 -0.30 (-0.60, 0.00) BMI 4vs1 -0.90 (-1.15, -0.65) BMI 3vs1 -0.60 (-0.82, -0.38) BMI 3vs2 0.00 (-0.28, 0.28) BMI 2vs1 -0.60 (-0.86, -0.34)	Waist circumference 1. (39) 89.8 (86.2, 93.4) 2. (40) 91.3 (87.8, 94.8) 3. (39) 93.3 (90.4, 96.2) 4. (39) 95.9 (93.0, 98.8) WHR 1. (39) 0.97 (1.0, 1.0) 2. (40) 0.98 (1.0, 1.0) 3. (39) 0.98 (1.0, 1.0) 4. (39) 0.97 (1.0, 1.0)	Waist circumference 1. (39) 0.3 (-0.5, 1.1) 2. (40) -1.3 (-2.5, -0.1) 3. (39) -2.2 (-3.2, -1.3) 4. (39) -3. (-3.9, -2.0) 4vs3 -0.8 (-1.7, 0.1) 4vs2 -1.7 (-2.8, -0.6) 4vs1 -3.3 (-4.2, -2.4) 3vs1 -2.5 (-3.4, -1.6) 3vs2 -0.9 (-2.0, 0.2) 2vs1 -1.6 (-2.6, -0.6) WHR 1. (39) -0.05 (-0.07, -0.04) 2. (40) -0.05 (-0.07, -0.02) 3. (39) -0.06 (-0.08, -0.05) 4. (39) -0.05 (-0.06, -0.03) 4vs3 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) 4vs2 0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	

Question 12B. What is the evidence that physical activity in obese adults effects a change in abdominal fat?

Reference	Design/Demographics	Overweight defined as:	Adjuvant therapy		Drop-out total	Mean weight change (n) mean weight change (95%CI)	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Baseline (n) mean (95%CI)	WHR Waist circumference Skinfolds Change (n) mean (95%CI)	Comments/side effects
								$\begin{array}{c} \underline{4vs1} \ 0.00 \ (-0.01, 0.01) \\ \underline{3vs1} \ -0.01 \ (-0.02, 0.0) \\ \underline{3vs2} \ -0.01 \ (-0.03, 0.01) \\ \underline{2vs1} \ 0.0 \ (-0.02, 0.02) \end{array}$	
Blonk 470	Randomization: unclear Self-selected: no Included: BMI >27 diabetes Mean age: ng Mean weight: ng Female/Total: ng		Diet: yes 500 kcal less than usual intake Exercise: yes 60 min daily Max HR 60-80% Behavioral: yes q4mo	Conventional diet program (diet counseling alone) Comprehensive diet, behavioral and exercise program	1. 4/26 (15%) 2. 3/27 (11%)	*Median difference between groups (comprehensive vs. conventional) Weight in kg 2vs1 -1.3 (-3.3, 0.7)		Results are reported as median changes for the comprehensive group compared to the conventional program. WHR 2vs1 -0.002 (-0.018, 0.016)	