

Afghanistan 2005





DoD IG Team — Afghanistan Project Briefing for DoD IG

Management Decision Model

for

Capabilities Assessment of the Afghanistan

Ministry of Defense and

National Army

26 JULY 2005







DoD IG Team – Afghanistan 5 June – 8 July 2005







UN Humanitarian Assistance Flight into Kabul







Gator Alley, Camp Eggers - Kabul, Afghanistan







Team with Gen Wardak, Minister of Defense & MGen Abulfazil, MOD IG







Team with MOD and ANA Inspector General's







Team Afghanistan 'Hooch' - Tent #3, Connecticut Safe House







4th of July Celebration – Camp Eggers









Sequence of Events



- 19 Apr: Ambassador Khalilzad Request "Joint IG Office and Ops Matters"
- 13 May: DoD IG Announcement Memo PRT Assessment
- 7 June: Arrived in Kabul/Mission Change #1– Assist CFC-A DCG on MoD/ANA Assessment
- 12 June: Mission Change #2 Create the MDM
 - 26 June: CFC-A CG's Request for Assistance
 - 2 July: CFC-A Chief of Staff's PRT Memo
- 3 July: Exit Brief/Report to CFC-A CG
- 4 July: Revised DoD IG Announcement Memo
- 5-6 July: Staff Teach and Train/Out Briefs



DOD IG Process



- Business <u>consulting</u> approach
- Independent and objective perspective
- Promote efficiency and effectiveness
- Recommend process improvements
- Foster public confidence—transparency
- Prevent fraud, waste, abuse and mismanagement
- Report to SecDef and Congress



CFC-A CG and MoD Agreement



 12 June 2005: CG and Afghanistan Minister of Defense mutually agreed to conduct a capabilities assessment of the MoD and ANA

 Need a well conceived <u>approach to</u> <u>measure and analyze</u> progress and capability



Request for Assistance



- Create a Management Decision Model for <u>'Health Check'</u> of Afghanistan Ministry of Defense (MOD) and the Afghan National Army (ANA)
- Develop a <u>systemic approach</u> to monitoring and managing the critical activities required. . .to achieve desired levels of organizational and readiness capacity of the MOD and ANA

CG, CFC-A MEMO, 26 JUNE 2005



MOD & ANA CAPABILITIES ASSESSMENT



STRATEGIC LEVEL



PHASE 2
•CFC-A TEAM
•MOD/GS IGS

DoD IG TEAM
Created MDM
To Support
PHASE 2

GAPS AND RISKS ANALYSIS

•FUNCTIONAL MANAGERS

•PROCESS OWNERS

•STAKEHOLDERS

•SME

BOTTOM UP

PHASE 1
•CFC-A TEAM
•MOD/GS IGS

TACTICAL-OPERATIONAL LEVEL



Model Requirements



- A universal top-down mapping of high level elements
- Reusable and scalable
- Expandable architecture
- Adaptable to existing software applications, e.g., MS Word and Excel
 - Easy for staff to use
 - Minimal training requirement



Decision Making



DRIVERS OF SUCCESS IN DECISION MAKING

STRATEGIC

LEADERSHIP

ROLES

PROCESS /SYSTEMS/-PROCEDURES

MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL



Model Architecture



- Employs <u>DOTMLPF "plus"</u> technique
- Maps " vital" high-level elements required to establish a viable, <u>self-sustaining</u> <u>institution</u>
- Identifies <u>management indicators and</u> <u>decision milestones</u> to establish priorities and facilitate achievement of the "end state"
- Incorporates the <u>"continuum"</u> perspective to measure capabilities, capacity, and readiness



Model Benefits



- Provides a "global" view of challenges
- Requires cooperation and collaboration among functional managers and decision makers
- Advocates establishment and sharing of metrics
- Facilitates "gap and risk" analyses
- Identifies resource requirements



MDM Roll-up View (Notional)



		OVERALL ORGANIZATION RATING INTERIM RATING				52% 71%
	> 84.5% + 50.0% - 84.5% < 50%	(Good) 2 (Satisfactor) 1 (Needs Improve 0				
		Level I Element	Weight			
		Doctrine	1		60%	
		Organization / Structure	1		77%	
		Training	4		71%	
		Material	1		73%	
		Leadership Personnel	1 3		73% 86%	
		Facilities	1		50%	
		Resource Management	1		87%	
		Intelligence	1		49%	
		Medical	1		0%	
\setminus		Education	1		0%	
		Transportation	1		53%	



MDM Level I and II (Notional)



OVERALL ORGANIZATION RATING INTERIM RATING							
> 84.5% +		(Good)	2				
50.0% - 84.5%		(Satisfactory)	1				
< 50%		(Needs Improvement)	0				
Level I Element	Weight		Level II Element	Weight			
Material	1	73%]				
			Acquisition/Procurement	1	86%		
			Maintenance/Repair	1	77%		
			Sustainment	1	54%		



MDM Level I, II, and III (Notional)



OVERALL ORGANIZATION RATING INTERIM RATING							
			> 84.5%+	(Good)	2		
			50.0% - 84.5%	(Satisfactory)	1		
			< 50%	(Needs Improvement)	0		
Level I Element	Weight	Level II Element	Weight	Level III Element	Weight		
Material	1	73%					
		Acquisition/	1 86%				
		Procurement		Capability Requirements Analys	1	75%	
				Acquisition Strategy	1	100%	
				Procurement Procedures	1	83%	
				Support Infrastructure	1	87%	



MDM Level I, II, III and IV (Notional)



OVERALL ORGA INTERIM RATIN		TING					62% 71%
		>84.5%+	(Good)	2			
		50.0% - 84.5%	(Satisfactory)	1			
		<50%	(Needs Improvement)	0			
Level I Element	Weight	Level II Element Weight		Level III Element	Weight	Level IV Element	
Material	1	73 %					
		Acquisition/ 1 Procurement	86%	Acquisition Strategy	1 100%	Statements of Work Life Cycle Costs estimate Market Research Performance / Functionality Production Location	2 2 2 2 2 2



Demonstration and Summary



- Easy to use
- Drill down architecture
- Variable weighting function
- Aggregate snap shots
- Deliverables
 - Model
 - Documentation
 - Follow-up Assistance



Discussion and Feedback



- "Brilliant. . .very sophisticated. . ."OMC-A Deputy Commander
- Will use to benchmark, validate our study . . .we're grateful for your assistance. . ."
 CFC-A Deputy Commanding General
- We'll use it. . .it's excellent. . .it will help us establish a baseline for assessing MOD's and ANA's progress. . ."

CFC-A Commanding General