UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

CERTAIN TONER CARTRIDGES AND COMPONENTS THEREOF

Investigation No. 337-TA-740

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION SETTING THE TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION AT 17 MONTHS

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) setting the 17-month target date as March 12, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-1999. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 12, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Lexmark International, Inc. of Lexington, Kentucky. 75 Fed. Reg. 62564-65 (Oct. 12, 2010). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,337,032; 5,634,169; 5,758,233; 5,768,661; 5,802,432; 5,875,378; 6,009,291; 6,078,771; 6,397,015; 6,459,876; 6,816,692; 6,871,031; 7,139,510; 7,233,760; and 7,305,204. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Ninestar Image Int'1, Ltd. of Guangdong, China; Seine Image International Co. Ltd. of New Territories, Hong Kong; Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd. of Piscataway, New Jersey; Ziprint Image Corporation of Walnut, California; Nano Pacific

Corporation of South San Francisco, California; IJSS Inc. (d/b/a/ TonerZone.com Inc. and Inkjet Superstore) of Los Angeles, California; Chung Pal Shin of Cerritos, California; Nectron International, Inc. of Sugarland, Texas; Quality Cartridges Inc. of Brooklyn, New York; Direct Billing International Incorporated (d/b/a/ Office Supply Outfitter and d/b/a The Ribbon Connection) of Carlsbad, California; E-Toner Mart, Inc. of South El Monte, California; Alpha Image Tech of South El Monte, California; ACM Technologies, Inc. of Corona, California; Virtual Imaging Products Inc. of North York, Ontario; Acecom Inc. – San Antonia (d/b/a/ Inksell.com) of San Antonia, Texas; Ink Technologies Printer Supplied, LLC (d/b/a/ Ink Technologies LLC) of Dayton, Ohio; Jahwa Electronics Co., Ltd of Chungchongbuk-do, South Korea; Huizhou Jahwa Electronics Co., Ltd. of Guangdong Province, China; Copy Technologies, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia; Laser Toner Technology, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia; C&R Service, Incorporated of Corinth, Texas; Print-Rite Holdings Ltd., of Chai Wan, Hong Kong; and Union Technology Int'l (M.C.O.) Co., Ltd. of Rodrigo Rodrigues, Macao.

On November 2, 2010, the ALJ issued the subject ID, setting the 17-month target date as March 12, 2012, pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.51(a) and 19 C.F.R. § 210.42(a)(1)(i). The ALJ also noted that the Initial Determination on the alleged violation of Section 337 shall be due on November 10, 2011. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

/s/ Marilyn R. Abbott Secretary to the Commission

Issued: November 17, 2010