**Integrating Exome Variants with** Other Genomic Data and **Functional Annotations** 

David Adams MD PhD Pediatrics/Biochemical Genetics William Gahl Laboratory/MGB/NHGRI Undiagnosed Diseases Program/OD/DIR

## Introduction

Practicing pediatrician/medical geneticist

- **Research** interests
  - Diagnostic dilemmas
- **Biochemical genetics** 
  - Inherited pigmentation disorders
- Next generation sequencing
  - Undiagnosed Diseases program
    - Families/individuals with mystery syndromes
    - Often requires an "agnostic" approach No preexisting clues, similarity to prior projects, etc

 Will present examples and ideas from multiple UDP projects and work with collaborators



#### Next Generation or NextGen

- Any of the new technologies that attempt to sequence an entire cell's worth of DNA or genes or transcripts
- e.g. the "-omes" exome, genome, transcriptome

#### Variant

• A difference from a defined reference sequence

#### Pathogenic varian

• A variant that is wholly or partially responsible for a phenotype of interest (≈ mutation)

#### Candidate variant or candidate

 A variant with characteristics suggesting that it may be a pathogenic variant

# **Outline and Scope**

- 1. Next Generation Project Design Considerations
- 2. Integration of Next Generation Techniques with Other Genetic Analyses and Data
  - 1. SNP arrays
  - Phenotype and family history data

#### Validation and Reanalysis

- 1. Functional validation
- 2. Strategies to reanalyze uninformative datasets







Exam	Project Selection ple Tool							
Criterion	Less Interesting (1)	Intermediate (3)		More Interesting (5)				
Phenotype	Multifactorial			Genetic (early onset, severe, developmental pattern)				
Material	Single Individual	Trio		Better than quartet or equivalent (one unaffected sib allowed)				
Interest	Mild phenotype, overlaps with common conditions			Severe/compelling phenotype, unique presentation, treatments imaginable				
Family	One affected individual	>2 affected individuals who are not sibs		>2 affected children of same parents (AR) or transmitted new dominant pattern (AD)				

## **Data Integration**

- Criteria for applying external data
- An extended example: combining exome and SNP array data
  - Explore various types of information obtainable from SNP chips
  - Integration
  - Other examples:
  - Clinical phenotyping and pedigrees
  - Using biological clues
  - Using accumulated data from multiple exome projects

![](_page_4_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Why Combine Exomes and SNP Arrays?

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Dosage changes (reliably above 10 50 kb)
  Single and double copy deletions, duplications
- Chromosomal mosaicism
- Consanguinity
- Uniparental Disomy
- Regions of "anomalous continuous homozygosity"
  - Contiguous homozygous regions that are markedly longer than expected for a given genomic region
- Recombination mapping (with pedigrees)

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

Manufacturer software/visual inspection

- Illumina, Affymetrix
- PennCNV
  - A open source program to automatically detect dosage abnormalities (deletions/duplications) in SNP chip data
  - http://www.openbioinformatics.org/penncnv/
  - Generates a list of genomic spans with potential copy number changes

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Using Dosage Abnormalities

- Reanalyzed data with new, automated filtering tool (VAR-MD) → relaxed filtering constraints → found a candidate
- The candidate had been filtered out initially because the pattern of variants in the pedigree did not follow segregation rules

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# <section-header><section-header>

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Manufacturer software/visual inspection
  - Illumina, Affymetrix

#### PLINK

- http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/
- "PLINK is a free, open-source whole genome association analysis toolset, designed to perform a range of basic, large-scale analyses in a computationally efficient manner."
- Can auto-detect regions of homozygosity

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Can identify regions of homozygosity using "B allele" plots
- Can look at the subset of homozygous variants
- May alter planning of NextGen experiments
  - Custom capture instead of exome capture, esp. if standard kits don't cover region well
  - Specific genes can be investigated with Sanger sequencing
- Optimal consanguinity level is probably ~2<sup>nd</sup> (3%) to 3<sup>rd</sup> cousins (0.8%).

# Data Integration: Intensity Measurements → Boolean Queries

#### Examples

- Uniparental Disomy (not explored today)
- Mapping recombination events onto chromosomes
- Based on Boolean logic that filters SNPs based on Mendelian segregation
  - Examples (straightforward genetics)
    - If a mother is AB and a father is AA, then a child who is AB had to get the B allele from the mother
    - At the next locus (SNP), the same is true
    - If some children are  $AB_1/AB_2$  and some are  $AB_1/AA_2$ , a recombination is suggested

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Recombination Mapping Versus Linkage Analysis

#### • Classic linkage analysis

- Robust markers (tandem repeats, etc)
- Fewer/more widely spaced (440 in ABI set)
- Analysis (LOD score) must take into account the chance of double recombinations between markers

#### SNP-based linkage mapping

- Less robust markers (SNP genotype more likely to be wrong or uninformative)
- Much higher density of markers (30,000 on average)
- Many "assays" to test for recombinations
- Double-recombination errors unlikely

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Recombination Mapping Example

- 2 children out of 4 are affected with a neurodegenerative disorder
- 6 family members sent for exome sequencing
- ~112,000 variants
- Recomination mapping applied

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Recombination Mapping Example

Total variants	=	112936
<1% frequency (1Kgenome)	=	51025
Gene name kill list (pseudogenes, etc.)	=	51008
Chromosome segregation( SNP linkage)	=	4638
Mendelian segregation (locus by locus)	=	198
Stop/frameshift/splice/Nonsynonymous	=	43
Deleterious prediction (CDpred)		13
Genes with 2 variants(passing all above)		2

hr LeftFlank 3 156380910 7 65195280 16 1334980	RightFlank 156380912 65195282	refseq MME	type Non-syn	ref_allele	var allal						
3 156380910 7 65195280 16 1334980	156380912 65195282	MME	Non-syn	and applying the descention of the	ival allel	e ref aa	var aa	aa pos	CDPred	seen in more than 2 samples /	
7 65195280 16 1334980	65195282	ASI		T	C	M	T	741	-11	0	73849
16 1334980	4004000	NOL	Non-syn	G	A	V	1	448	-4	0	92619
	1334982	BAIAP3	Non-syn	A	C	E	A	642	-3	0	35404
5 150905154	150905156	FAT2	Non-syn	G	A	T	1	1909	-3	0	83375
7 65741468	65741470	KCTD7	Non-syn	G	Т	D	Y	229	-3	0	92649
7 65741595	65741597	KCTD7	I-Syn	. T	A	L	Н	271	-3	0	92648
11 101824757	101824759	TMEM123		G	A	S	L	51	-2	0	20772
19 43681720	43681722	RYR1	Non-syn	A	G	N	S	2342	-2	0	51730
2 215993643	215993645	FN1	Non-syn	C	Т	V	1	558	-2	0	61431
7 157056922	157056924	PTPRN2	Non-syn	C	G	G	A	898	-2	0	95465
10 134849596	134849598	KNDC1	Non-syn	G	A	R	Q	252	1	0	15840
8 106883117	106883119	ZFPM2	Non-syn	G	A	M	1	544	1	0	98188
8 110516687	110516689	PKHD1L1	Non-syn	G	C	G	A	1145	2	0	98215
19 48276950	48276952	PSG2	Non-syn	C	G	G	R	118	-9	1	52231
19 48267744	48267746	PSG2	Non-syn	C	Т	R	Н	304	-4	1	52231
19 40541861	40541863	FFAR3	Non-syn	A	G	N	S	77	-2	1	51465
19 40554330	40554332	GPR42	Non-syn	A	G	N	S	77	-2	1	51471
19 41028276	41028278	NPHS1	Non-syn	T	C	E	G	588	-2	1	51542,
19 45927006	45927008	ITPKC	Non-syn	G	A	R	Н	439	-2	1	51961,
19 40541652	40541654	FFAR3	Non-syn	G	C	Q	Н	7	0	1	51467,
19 40554121	40554123	GPR42	Non-syn	G	С	Q	Н	7	0	1	51472,
19 53492141	53492143	CCDC114	Non-syn	C	Т	S	N	432	1	1	52754,
		11									
Genotype	MPG score	coverage									
AT	192	120									
AT	93	117	Th	0 0000	nda	han	TO fre	m +h	Not	hor is passed	
AT	192	123	1110	e secc	mu c	nang	ge m	JIII U	le mot	ner is passed	
Π	66	100	dor	wn on	ly to	both	) affo	hotod	childre	an	
Π	64	89	uov	wii oli	iy to	bou	I alle	cieu	ciniure	211	
Π	135	200									
	7 65741595 11 010824757 9 43581720 2 215993943 7 15705692 10 134849598 8 1058817 8 1058817 9 48270950 19 4825774 9 40554321 9 40554321 9 40554321 9 405541851 9 405541855 9 405541855 9 405541855 9 405541855 9 40554185555 9 40555555555555555555555555555555555555	7         85741505         85741505         85741507           11         101824757         101824757         101824757           19         4381720         43681720         43681722           2         215993643         215993643         215993643           10         134449596         1344849596         1344849596           148449596         134849596         134849598         134849599           19         10516687         110516689         105863117           19         428276950         48276950         48276950           19         482276950         48276954332         49541861           19         405541331         40554332         49554332           19         405541331         40554132         49527006           19         40554152         40541851         40554123           19         40554121         40554123         1953492143           30         45327006         4927706         4927708           19         40554121         40554123         1953492143           319         5342141         53492143         53492143           30         47         192         1192           TT	7         65741595         65741597         KCTD7           11         101824757         101824759         TMEMI23           9         43681720         43081722         RVR1           2         215993643         215993645         FMI           7         157056922         157056924         FVRPN2           10         134849596         13449598         KNDC1           8         10568171         10686319         ZFM2           9         48267964         48270650         FSG2           9         48276950         48270650         FSG2           9         48276774         48267764         FSG2           9         49541861         40541863         FFAR3           9         40554323         OFR42         1945421           9         45827006         45827006         17827           9         40554121         40554123         OFR42           9         45827006         158292141         S4892143           9         45827006         1782         172           47         93         117         172           47         93         117         172           47	7         85741595         85741597         KCTD7         Isyn         Isyn           11         101824757         101824759         HEM123         In-syn         Isyn         In-syn           11         101824757         HTMEM123         In-syn         Isyn         In-syn         Isyn           11         101824757         HTMEM123         In-syn         In-syn         Inor-syn           12         215993643         215993642         PTFRNU         Non-syn           157056922         157056924         PTFRNU         Non-syn           10         134849596         134484598         KNDC1         Non-syn           8         106883117         106883119         ZFPAR         Non-syn           8         1058687         110516689         PKHD1L1         Non-syn           9         4826744         4826746         PS62         Non-syn           19         49541861         40541803         FFRA3         Non-syn           19         40554120         40554123         OPR42         Non-syn           19         40554121         40554123         OPR42         Non-syn           19         40554121         40554123         GPR42         <	7         85741595         85741597         KCTD7         isyn         T           11         101824757         101824759         TMEM123         n	7         85741595         85741597         KCTD7        sym.         T         A           11         101824757         101824759         TMEM123        sym.         6         A           11         101824757         101824769         TMEM123        sym.         6         A           11         101824757         101824769         TMEM123        sym.         6         A           2         215993843         215993845         FN1         Non-sym.         C         T           7         157056922         157056924         PTPRN2         Non-sym.         C         A           8         10588171         10688119         ZFM2         Non-sym.         C         G           9         48276950         48276952         PSG2         Non-sym.         C         G           9         48276950         48276952         PSG2         Non-sym.         C         G           9         48276744         48276764         PSG2         Non-sym.         C         G           9         48276744         48276764         PSG2         Non-sym.         C         C           9         40541852         40841853	7         85741595         85741597         KCID7         1997         1         A         A           11         101824757         101824759         TMEM123	7         85741595         85741597         CCTD7         isym.         T         A         L         H           11         101824757         TTMEM123         TMEM123         TMEM133         TMEM133	7         85741595         85741597         KCTD7         Ism.         Fm         T         A         L         H         271           11         101824757         101824759         TMEMI23         Imm.         Fsm.         T         A         L         H         271           11         101824757         101824759         TMEMI23         Imm.         Fsm.         G         A         S         L         51           11         101824757         101824759         TMEMI23         Imm.         Fsm.         G         A         S         L         51           11         33881720         133881720         170506924         TV         I         558           10         34849598         FNDC1         Non-sym.         G         A         R         Q         2252           11051687         11051689         PKHD1L1         Non-sym.         G         A         M         1         544           8         11051687         11051689         PKHD1L1         Non-sym.         C         G         R         1145           9         48267744         48267764         PS62         Non-sym.         T         R         N04	7         85741595         85741597         KCID7         1897         1         A         H         271         -3           11         101824757         101824759         TMEM123         1         1         1         1         A         N         4         211         -3           11         101824757         101824759         TMEM123         1         1         A         5         L         61         -2           19         43681727         101824759         TMEM123         1         Non-sym         C         T         V         1         556         -2         -2           2         15903642         215903642         PTRNX         Non-sym         C         T         V         1         556         -2         1           10143449596         134449598         KNDC1         Non-sym         C         A         M         1         544         1           8         1058887         10516889         PKHD1L1         Non-sym         C         G         G         R         1145         2         1           9         45270450         45227647         PS62         Non-sym         C	7         95741595         95741597         KCID7         197         A         H         271         -3         0           11         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101824757         101         134849596         134495986         134495986         134495986         134495986         134495986         134495986         134495986         134849598         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950         148276950

# Data Integration: Recombination Mapping

#### Requires

- A defensible genetic model
- Multiple family members, but fewer than for a linkage study

#### Can be used to

- Define segments of the genome that segregate according to a given genetic model
- Exclude segregation-inconsistent regions and their associated variants

# Data Integration: Phenotype and Pedigree Incorporation

#### • Phenotyping:

- May implicate pathways
- May provide clues for candidate validation
   Model organism rescue experiments, etc
- Clues as to an appropriate genetic model

#### Pedigrees/Family History

- A powerful resource for variant filtering
- Phenotyping <u>critical</u>, just as with linkage projects
  - Affected/unaffected status
  - Penetrance estimation

# Data Integration: Phenotyping and Gene Lists

- Phenotyping may allow for the construction of gene lists:
  - Functional
    - Mitochondrial genes
    - Metabolic genes interacting with a given metabolite
  - Pathways
    - Developmental
  - Clinical syndromes
    - Multiple diagnostic hypotheses
    - Genetic hetrogeneity
      - Hereditary spastic paraparesis
      - Spinocerebellar ataxia
  - VarSifter can incorporate gene include lists.

# Data Integration: Phenotyping

- 19 y/o female with slowly progressive neurological disease
- Course suggestive of several known neurological disorders including  $G_{\rm M1}$  gangliosidosis
- However, that diagnosis had been excluded by the "gold standard" of enzymatic testing

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Phenotyping

- Exome sequencing detected candidate variants in the beta-galactosidase gene, the gene associated with  $G_{M1}$  gangliosidosis
- Molecular results plus strong clinical suspicion prompted retesting of enzyme activity
- Retesting showed enzymatic deficiency consistent with G<sub>M1</sub> gangliosidosis

![](_page_23_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Single exome

Less expensive

- Analysis more straightforward (fewer tools required)
- Generates more candidate variants
- Small pedigree
- More expensive
- Analysis requires additional tools
- Fewer candidate variants
- Filtration using this data can have low error rates with correct model and high quality data

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Data Integration: Single Exome vs Small Pedigree

#### Single Exome

- Use when other clues available
  - Likely pathway or cellular process implicated
  - Homozygosity mapping/region of anamalous homozygosity
    - Genetic heterogeneity/Gene list

#### More family members

- Few or no clues  $\rightarrow$  "Agnostic" approach
- Good phenotyping is available  $\rightarrow$  much less helpful without this information
- For mapping, should have both parents and at least one sibling of the proband (trios much less useful, esp for recessive models)

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

- Use all available resources when planning an next generation sequencing project
- For exome sequencing, consider using SNP arrays to evaluate genomic structure
- Study design should include information gleaned from careful phenotyping and family history
- New approaches are being published on a regular basis

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Validation and Reanalysis: Evaluation of Candidate Variants

- Editors will ask for evidence of functional consequences:
  - Protein and/or RNA measurements
  - Enzyme activity
  - Rescue experiments
  - Model organisms
  - Etc.

#### Exceptions

- Previously well characterized variants
- Severe variants in well characterized genes

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Functional Validation: Methods to Evaluate Coverage

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Targeting → BED file showing "baits"
- Capture/Complexity  $\rightarrow$  involved topic, but historical data can be used
- Sequencing/Alignment  $\rightarrow$  coverage and other metrics, historical data
- Base Calling → MPG and other metrics, historical data
- An accumulated set of data using the same techniques is an invaluable resource

# Revisiting Unrevealing Data: Prior Data Usage

- Using previously collected data
  - Used exome sequencing data from UDP and ClinSeq comprising several hundred exomes
  - Looked for genotypes out of Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium
    - Fischer's Exact Test
    - Bonferroni Correction for 10<sup>6</sup> positions

#### Two Error types

- All homozygous non-reference: ref has minor allele
- All heterozygous genotypes: likely two similar regions aligned together to form "compression"
- Data used to make site exclusion list

# Validation and Reanalysis: Example —Clinical Sequencing

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

- 114 exomes from 27 families
- Gene lists (Dias et al sumbitted/unpublished)
  - 64 genes associated with various muscle disorders
  - 24 genes associated with hereditary spastic paraparesis

#### Assumed standard for clinical sequencing

"If a clinical sequencing test comes back negative, then all of the sequenced gene regions were sequenced with sufficient quality to detect all variants in those regions."

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observations

Targeted capture kits (SureSelect 38 Mb and 50 Mb) included from 47% to 73% of nucleotides within the gene list (this is probably lower than average)

- While average coverage was high (~40x to >100x), 2 – 3% of nucleotides had < 4 fold</li>
- coverage

#### • Overall:

- Most sequenced nucleotides could be genotyped.
- For these particular lists, not all regions were
- sequenced adequately to rule out all pathogenic variants

In other words: know your assay characteristics

![](_page_31_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Validation and Reanalysis: Summary

- Functional validation is required to prove that a candidate variant is THE pathogenic variant
- If there are no good candidates at the end of the analysis
  - Revisit assumptions and analysis parameters
  - Study quality/coverage issues of project
  - Use historical data if available
  - Data quality is constantly improving, but
    - Failure modes need to be studied for each set of techniques/conditions

# Conclusions

- Give time to experimental design
- Consider using adjunct technologies to compliment exome analysis
- Phenotyping is critical
- Consider using additional family members in certain cases
- Functional proof of pathogenicity is *de rigueur*
- Analyze data in an integrative manner, altering assumptions and filtering constraints as needed

in
son
ker
erukuri
ay-Aygun
ndis
ay nd