1	TRIBAL LEADER CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT
2	MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
3	BETWEEN
4	THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
5	AND
6	THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR -
7	BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION
8	
9	* * * * * *
10	MAY 31ST, 2012
11	AT BLN OFFICE PARK, CONFERENCE ROOM 3
12	2001 KILLEBREW DRIVE
13	BLOOMINGTON, MINNESOTA
14	8:19 A.M.
15	* * * * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Taken before Shannon Caflisch, RPR
23	www.nwcourtreporters.com
24	nwcr@nwcourtreporters.com
25	1-800-628-7551

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BRUCE MACALLISTER - Facilitator
4	MONIQUE MCKAY - Facilitator
5	WILLIAM MENDOZA - Panel Member
6	KEITH MOORE - Panel Member
7	DION KILLSBACK - Panel Member
8	LIZZIE MARSTERS - Panel Member
9	BRIAN BOUGH - Panel Member
10	JEFFREY HAMLEY - Panel Member
11	BRIAN DRAPEAUX - Panel Member
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	BRUCE MACALLISTER: I apologize for the late
2	start. We'll try to accommodate everything in
3	spite of our start time, so we'll work with that.
4	And if we can be anything, it's flexible.
5	All right. So at this point, I'm going to
6	turn it over to our panel and I'll just let the
7	panel introduce themselves. And I think I'll just
8	start at this end and let people introduce
9	themselves right on down the table, all right?
10	BRIAN DRAPEAUX: Good morning. My name is
11	Brian Drapeaux, I'm the Chief of Staff for the
12	Bureau of Indian Education.
13	LIZZIE MARSTERS: Good morning. I am Lizzie
14	Marsters, and I'm the Chief of Staff to the Deputy
15	Secretary of the Department of Interior.
16	DION KILLSBACK: Good morning, everybody.
17	(Speaking in native language). My name is Dion
18	Killsback, and I am Counselor to the Assistant
19	Secretary of Indian Affairs.
20	KEITH MOORE: Good morning. Keith Moore,
21	Director of the Bureau of Indian Education. Good
22	morning to you from Rosebud.
23	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Good morning. William
24	Mendoza, Director for the White House initiative on
25	American Indian and Alaska Native education

1	BRIAN BOUGH. Good morning. My name is Brian
2	Bough, I'm a member of the Sauk-Suiattle Indian
3	tribe, and I'm a supervising educational analyst
4	with the Bureau of Indian Education.
5	JEFFREY HAMLEY: Good morning. Jeff Hamley,
6	Turtle Mountain Chippewa, Associate Deputy Director
7	for the BIE.
8	BRUCE MACALLISTER: And in keeping with
9	tradition, I'd like to start by introducing Robert
10	Cournoyer from the Yankton Sioux tribe who is going
11	to give our opening invocation.
12	ROBERT COURNOYER: Good morning, everyone. We
13	ask that Wakan Tanka, the Great Spirit, come and
14	watch over us as we discuss these very important
15	issues that will affect our children, especially
16	education. We need to work with everyone to come
17	out with a great outcome so that we can move
18	forward and and then come out with some
19	solutions that that the Good Lord will watch
20	over us and guide us throughout this day or this
21	morning through this consultation process.
22	(Speaking in native language).
23	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you very much, sir.
24	And at this point, we'll begin the official session
25	of the tribal consultation and we'll start with our

1	panel. And, Bill, would you like to
2	WILLIAM MENDOZA: First of all, let me
3	apologize for making us late this morning. I was
4	trying to think of, okay, what excuse could I give
5	other than I left my phone in the hotel room and I
6	didn't want it to disappear into the bedside? I
7	was going to say the evil BIE was trying to take
8	over the world and I had to stop them. No.
9	I'm so happy to be here, of course, with
10	our our friends from the Interior, Bureau of
11	Indian Affairs, and Bureau of Indian Education.
12	From Secretary Duncan, I bring you greetings and
13	his further commitment to tribal consultation and
14	engagement with the people who know and understand
15	this work best, and that's you all, our tribal
16	leaders, our tribal educators, and those of you who
17	are doing work in these communities under just
18	tremendous challenges and, you know, very historic
19	contributions, you know, up to this point. And I
20	don't want that to go unsaid, you know, with all of
21	the conversations that we're having here. We are
22	here to listen, we are here to to learn from
23	from that listening, and so, you know, trying to be
24	active in that engagement.

And from the standpoint of education, this

Act, if you will, is historic. And it speaks to kind of the paradigm shift that we have taken in regards to this president and all of the senior leadership that have responded exponentially to the Memorandum on Executive Order 13175 for tribal consultation.

And, you know, it's about extending the trust responsibility to areas which don't do as good of a job and maybe don't even acknowledge it within the federal government. And I think what I'm really proud of working with Secretary Duncan is that he understands that this needs to be a deliberative process and that there are learning curves on each side of that.

So what we have done in education is after we're all said and done here, approximately 16 to 18 listening and learning and consultations that did not occur in the past, and we are, you know, developing our process. And as new as this policy forum is to federal agencies as a whole, it's about that word consultation and what that means. The Interior signed in their consultation policy in December as old as they are accustomed to this process.

We will be revamping our consultation policy

in 2012. Director Silverthorn and myself have made it our top priority in response to the President's Executive Order in Tribal Leaders Speak 2010 in the State of Indian Education, which covers our 2010 consultations.

And so, you know, at that time we'll be bringing that process out to you for collaboration, as well. We're, of course, going to be looking to our friends at the Interior, certainly what HHS has done with consultation, and other agencies. A lot of this work has been -- analysis of consultation has been done by National Congress of American Indians and others. And so we really want to look at those carefully.

So I just want to acknowledge the consultation today and I'm very happy about, you know, some of the agenda items here we knew were coming down the pipeline, the Memorandum of Understanding, the increased engagement in tribal leaders on the strategic implementation engagement of the Executive Order, and the initiative itself; and then, you know, the Bureau of Indian Education's proposal to look at, you know, comprehensive reform within the Bureau, a unitary assessment system and what that means in relationship to ESEA flexibility

as is what we're doing with states right now.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The center of that conversation is, of course, the MOU. And it's not something that is -- we have to do some education around this, and I'm sure many of you in this room know the history of that, that is ESEA mandated Memorandum of Understanding, that for all intents and purposes establishes the Bureau of Indian Education as an SEA for particular title programs. And within the background document that you have in front of you, we have tried to, you know, take an objective this is what the MOU is summarized as and try not to, you know, delve into, you know, everything that it -- that it covers and everything that it doesn't cover. And so if you refer to that document, you know, that is basically, as we've kind of been referring to it, the cliff notes of the MOU, if you will.

I want to also reference you to the Executive Order, which you should have, as well. Encompassed within that MOU has been this effort of looking at not disconnecting policy and budgetary concerns.

And so it was a difficult choice to proceed with separating the two or keeping them together. And given that this Executive Order was about looking at Indian education cradle to career,

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

comprehensively connecting the dots and breaking
down silos, that is what we attempted to do with
this Memorandum of Understanding. Knowing along
the way that there are, you know, procedural things
that we can establish in terms of the relationship
between the agencies, but there are also
substantive things that we would like to have a
conversation about. But that we need to, within
our respective agencies, go through the appropriate
protocol and processes to make any of those
changes.

So this is, for all intents and purposes, our proposal, the MOU, that we think the agencies have a mutual agreement on in terms of the principles.

Not the actual acts itself, but the principles.

And so the policy changes, the -- the implementation of this MOU, you know, those will all at the appropriate level, you know, trigger our consultation policy and will come further conversation about directions that are being taken there.

So with that, I just want to make sure I'm covering everything under the packet that's in front of you. I referenced the Executive Order, I referenced the Memorandum of Understanding. We are

also looking at making sure that you know who we're
communicating with. Very often we hear from
educators that there's a disconnect between tribal
leaders and tribal educators in terms of who is
receiving the information and at what time. And so
it was important for us to make sure that you saw
the press release regarding these consultations and
to just receive assurance from us that, you know,
we utilize every method at our disposal, whether
it's the federal registry, looking at, you know,
our listservs, intergovernmental listservs which
facilitate our consultation policy of the
Department of Ed side, making sure that we're
communicating with our grantees as to these
consultations, and then, of course, creating public
mechanisms for accessing this. For us it's our
edtribalconsultations.org, in which we are
increasingly seeking, with the Bureau of Indian
Education, in our consultation efforts. And this
is a part of that increased and historic, if you
will, at least on the ED side and I'll let BIE
speak for themselves. But on the ED side, this is
historic collaboration. We have never before
created the kinds of mechanisms that this MOU puts
into place. And that itself is a new process for

us. And it impacts everything from our regular communications to our clearance processes, and especially how we convey this information through websites and other means.

So the tribal leader letter is also in there, as it's been communicated to your tribal leaders, so that we have clarity around there. We also -- I reference the background memo, as well, for your perusal. And then we have an executive summary of the ESEA flexibility request encompassed in looking at a unitarian assessment system for the Bureau of Indian Education. And I just want to speak to this very briefly. The Department of Education and -under ESEA has been providing flexibility to states. There's a whole host of information that you can access regarding this process, and we are engaged in conversations now with BIE as they have put forth this proposal, and we have been very glad to support the fact that this is a deliberative consultation item. When we say this is hot off the press, it -- it truly is, and it is an aspect -and I'll speak to this more, is that they're speaking your collaboration -- meaningful collaboration. So there's going to be ongoing conversations about this, and we will be staying in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

close communication with the BIE about what education envisions this process will look like given that there's different relationships with federal agencies, then states. Some things we may be able to move faster on, some things we may need to be following different processes than we normally would in states.

But in general, states have submitted us these proposals for flexibility where they have proposed large-scale reforms consistent with our principles, and we have taken those proposals and put them through a peer-review process, at which point the appropriate edits are made to be consistent with the feedback that we've received from peer reviewers. You know, we -- we take that process and at some point make an improvement of that. Typically for our flexibility processes, this is a nine to 12-week process if all goes well. And 100 percent of the applications that have come in from states now under flexibility have required extensive revisions to that proposal. And some of them are currently in, you know, proposed form, if you will, and it's not entirely clear if they will come out of that, you know. And we talk about this as is it a road to yes, or is there significant

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reforms that are just not in line with what the expectations are of the education reforms that we like to see states take.

So that approach with the BIE will apply, as well, you know, looking at this in detail. So we will reserve -- because as a Department, we will adjudicate this, if you will, to have final approval over it. We will reserve our analysis of that; and as is consistent with states, those final determinations will be made available to the public, as well, if we get to that junction.

With that, I just want to thank everybody who is here from our host nations. It feels good to be back in Sioux Country. I was just over in the Northwest and the Southwest, and as much as I like to lay claim to Sioux Country, it feels good to be back in our historic homelands. So I want to thank you all for having us here and the graciousness of our collaborators here, the Department of Interiors, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and Bureau of Indian Education. Thank you.

KEITH MOORE: Well, good morning, everybody.

Bill, I'm glad it was you that was late and not us
on this side. But thanks for the opening. And

I'll be brief this morning. We're the Bureau of

Indian Education. And I think there were two things here that we are really excited about.

Obviously the new Executive Order, 13592. We're excited about the pieces of it. I think it's important for us at the Bureau of Indian Education that we're collaborating closely with the -- with the Department of Education in D.C. in terms of policy and funding and all of the important mechanisms that, you know, support and roll the work out for Indian education.

And so the new Executive Order really starts to bring Indian education into a tighter ball, so to speak. It calls on all of us to focus on BIE students, tribal grant students, public school students, start to talk about all the pieces that are in those three separate and unique parts of education and how do we, you know, build and do our work in a more collaborative effort is, in my mind -- and I'm excited to hear from you your thoughts -- how we build the important policies and funding pieces for Indian education.

They've been really disjointed. And in my time at the Bureau of Indian Education, all of those pieces are disjointed. There are a lot of people doing different work, and when you're not

working closely and you're not pulling all of those pieces together, it becomes very fractionated. And we see some of the struggles that we have, I think in D.C., to do good work together when you don't have a policy that pulls it together.

The President's new Executive Order begins a process to, you know, tighten the pieces of work that we all do for Indian students across the country, and to me that's exciting. So that's the -- that's, to me, the important piece here.

The other part that I think is important is what former Chairman Cournoyer said this morning in his prayer is that we're looking for solutions.

We're not here to dictate, we're not here to try to tell folks this is what we ought to be doing, but we should all come together as folks that are -- that are doing the work in the fields, at the schools, at the tribal levels. Those that are at the state level, those that are at the federal level, how do we start to collaborate and cooperate on the pieces that are going to truly provide solutions to the long-standing struggles that go on for hundreds years of our educational struggles in Indian Country? Hopefully we don't politicize that to death, hopefully we don't issue that to death.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hopefully we really take a hard look at the data with our Indian students across the country and we can come together as the professionals and the adults, the educators and talk about what we can really do to solve and -- and strengthen our communities through education.

And that's what we've tried to do in a respectful manner at all levels with tribal leaders, school leaders. And I think this process again pulls that together and allows us to have conversations that hopefully that's where we're getting is at the end of the road we're going to close the achievement gap that is far too wide and we're going to improve graduation rates, and kids that are graduating are graduating truly ready for college and career readiness and not with a 9th grade education when they graduate from the 12th grade. All of those are the current issues that we face with our students. And, again, I always say it's not blaming anybody, it's not disrespecting anybody, but it's -- it's the truth. Those are the things we need to face and then put solutions on the table so we can strengthen our communities through education.

And I firmly believe this MOU begins to,

again, like I said at the beginning, tighten the circle and bring all of the important people that do the work on behalf of those Indian students together to really start to try to lay policy and do funding work to really make a difference for our students when it comes to their educational achievement. So excited about the conversation, excited to hear from all of you, and thanks for being here today.

DION KILLSBACK: Thanks, Keith and Bill. On behalf of the Acting Assistant Secretary Del Laverdure, he sends his regards. He had originally planned to attend this consultation on behalf of the Indian Affairs Hallway, but with the recent departure of Larry Echo Hawk, his duties have now been elevated since he is now acting. And so I'm here in his place, but he asked that I send his regards on behalf of himself, but also on behalf of the Indian Affairs and BIA.

You know, when I came to Indian Affairs as a counselor, one of the priorities that -- that Larry and Del had was Indian education. And for the Indian Affairs budget, it's, you know, very important because it, you know, makes up almost half or 40 percent of -- of the budget. And -- and

1	a lot of the business that Indian Affairs does
2	mostly is with BIA. But what what really
3	concerned Larry and Del was the attention that
4	that BIE was not receiving. And so they made a
5	commitment in in working with Keith and Brian
б	and also with Bill, Bill Mendoza here, to to
7	make sure that we do something substantively
8	tangible so that that we can begin to see the
9	real changes in Indian education.
10	You know, going through the process that we're
11	going through now is important. The consultation
12	process, you know, was pioneered with Indian
13	Affairs. And what we're doing is is it's now a
14	department-wide policy and it's in line with the,
15	you know, secretarial order, but also the
16	President's Executive Order, as well.
17	So this consultation is formal, but it's
18	important in the process that that Bill had laid
19	out. So I want to emphasize that we are here to
20	engage, as Keith said as well, in a respectful, but
21	in a resolution/solution approach.
22	And and I see a tribal leader joined us.

Let me say any tribal leaders, if you're out in the audience, feel free to come here and sit at the table with us, 'cause this is

government-to-government relationship consultation.
And so if you're here on behalf of a tribe, tribal
education department because your elected tribal
leader is not here, please feel free to come up
here and sit, as well. I know that there's a lot
of things going on with meetings in D.C. with
health and budget formulations and all that, but
we're here in, as Bill said, Sioux Country to
engage with tribes. And I just want to
pass that along, that's a message from Indian
Affairs Hallway. And I look forward to your
comments and look forward to working together. So
thank you.
LIZZIE MARSTERS: Hi. My name is Lizzie
Marsters, and I'll be very briefly, but I just
wanted I'm here on behalf of the Office of the
Secretary. Secretary Salazar and his No. 2
Secretary David Hayes are very interested in this
initiative and have made it a priority. In fact,

wanted -- I'm here on behalf of the Office of the Secretary. Secretary Salazar and his No. 2

Secretary David Hayes are very interested in this initiative and have made it a priority. In fact, before we went out for consultation, Deputy

Secretary David Hayes had weekly phone calls with the Department of Ed, so I'm here to relay that is a huge priority for this Department, and I'm here to learn and listen and I will take that back to the Secretary. So thank you very much for being

1 here.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: At this point, we're going to have a presentation on the flexibility issue, and Brian Bough will be presenting that. And you can introduce one another as you need to for this presentation.

BRIAN BOUGH: Good morning, again, everyone.

As I discovered on a recent trip, whenever I talk, people are happier when I talk less. So I'm going to be trying to make this as fast as possible and get to your consultation, and I have to -- to hear your comments. 'Cause I have to say at this point in the process, we're really looking at comments to see how we can improve our application for waiver and flexibility to the U.S. Department of Education. Your comments to us are very important, they will be taken into consideration, and we will try to incorporate them as best we can into our application. So this is consultation in the truest sense of the word. We will take your advice and make sure that we use it in our system.

And it's a tremendous honor for me to be addressing the tribal leaders and the other interested parties here today. My name is Brian Bough, again, and I'm the supervisory research

analyst within the Bureau of Indian Education, and I'm chiefly responsible for making Adequate Yearly Progress determinations. Because we see a way out, I'm not ducking. AYP is not a very fair system for judging our schools. And I'm going to try to emphasize that today, and then what our solution is to address the flexibility proposals that we seek and active forums stemming from the Department of Education for allowance of systematic waivers of No Child Left Behind.

Real quickly, I think we got an agenda here we're going to go through. We're going to talk a little bit about No Child Left Behind, we're going to go into our waiver request and go into an overview of it, then talk about the benefits that we hope will come from the waiver application itself. And then lastly, we'll entertain questions we may have before moving to a more structured comment period.

As most people are familiar with in this room,
No Child Left Behind was the reauthorization of the
Elementary and Secondary Education Act in 2001, it
went into effect in 2002. It said 100 percent is
the proficiency level expected of schools for their
students in mathematics and reading by the time

1 2014 rolled around.

The way the BIE addressed the implementation of No Child Left Behind was by going to negotiator rule making and adopting a specific definition of Adequate Yearly Progress that would be applied to the Bureau of Indian Education's rules. What we got from that negotiator rule making back in 2005 is that we would use the definitions for Adequate Yearly Progress in the states where our schools are located. That would include the states' academic content standards for mathematics and reading, using the states' assessment, and using the states' definitions by which Adequate Yearly Progress would be judged at the school level.

The Bureau of Indian Education has not been well-served by the No Child Left Behind Act and how we adopted the negotiator rule making policy.

Consequently, we are going to pursue an application to receive a waiver from the implementation of the very strict mandates of No Child Left Behind. That includes waiver of 25 CFR Section 30.104(a) which defines the definitions of the states where we are located, our schools are located, to determine if schools are making Adequate Yearly Progress.

What we will move to is something Bill Mendoza

eluded to earlier, and that is a single accountability system where we have a single set of content standards and we have a single set of AYP criteria by which all of our schools will be judged. And so what we're looking for is comments on our implementation of the policy as proposed here in ways in which we can improve it.

The way in which the flexibility application can help us is by improving educational outcomes. It allows us to focus directly on what our students are doing, how they are achieving. And this is -- under No Child Left Behind was measured strictly by a academic performance on the math and reading assessments. No Child Left Behind emphasized the narrowing of the achievement gaps between the lowest performing students in the school and highest performing students in the school. This was conceived under No Child Left Behind as the performance of student subgroups, such as special education versus the all-students category of measuring academic achievements. So the difference between either of those categories.

Under No Child Left Behind, all students, no matter what their subgroup status was, were expected to perform at the same level against the

same rigorous standards. What we saw with the annual measurable objectives being raised to 100 percent by 2014 was that the goal was not really attainable, that 100 percent was not realistic.

And we saw the states starting to change against having 100 percent be the target in 2014 and so they started asking for ways to get out of No Child Left Behind.

We're also going to be able to move in our application from the current system, which is kind of punitive towards schools, by identifying them as student improvement statuses to a system where we are working with them, providing schools technical assistance and professional development, to address achievement gaps as they're indicated by the assessments. This particular application will build on the reforms the BIE has already started to undertake to improve its delivery of services and to improve the educational quality in our schools.

The principles of the waiver application.

This is going to be something that you see in every single application that the states make to the U.S.

Department of Education. Currently 11 states have received flexibility from No Child Left Behind.

Those are the first 11 to apply. I don't know if

1	additional states have been added on since then.
2	KEITH MOORE: Eight more the other day.
3	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Yeah.
4	BRIAN BOUGH: So now we're up to a total of 19
5	states that have received flexibility under No
6	Child Left Behind. They have a lot more freedom in
7	addressing their accountability in the states.
8	After the 11 that were applied for in the first
9	round, we saw 28 more states apply in the second
10	round, and almost all the remaining states will
11	apply the third round, which is, I think, in
12	September when they start considering the last
13	round of applications.
14	The first principle you're going to see on
15	every application is to a move to college and
16	career-ready standards. Virtually every state has
17	moved to these common core standards that were
18	developed by the states with one another in two
19	consortium. The idea behind having common core
20	standards is the acknowledgement that math and
21	reading don't tend to vary much by state. And so
22	it doesn't make sense to have all these academic
23	content standards that vary by state.
24	So if we have a single set of standards that
25	result in students being prepared for either

college or career upon graduation from high school, then we have a single way of measuring across the country whether students are prepared for those activities.

The second way which you will see all the applications unified is that they all develop a new system of identifying schools for statuses. They call it differentiated recognition. They all have new ways to identify these schools using an accountability system that changes the formula by which Adequate Yearly Progress is calculated to be a little less restrictive, a little less confined to the very strict mandates of No Child Left Behind.

And just sort of as a refresher, No Child Left Behind looked at performance in math, performance in reading, student participation rates, and either attendance rates or graduation rates, depending on the state, or if you're in Idaho, an additional assessment called language usage. Every subgroup had to make AYP on every one of those categories in order for the school to be judged as making AYP. That meant if any of those subgroups failed to make AYP in any one of those areas, the school was judged to be failing.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

So states have gotten a lot more leeway in determining how that accountability system will look, and that's what they had to put in their application. This is the meat and potatoes of the entire application.

Principle 3 looks at educator effectiveness.

This is ways in which student achievement outcomes can be incorporated into your accountability system by judging teachers and principals based on the performance of their students. And that's going to be something that's a little difficult for us to handle, so we don't have the same things going into our application that the states will have in theirs.

Lastly, we have sort of a -- one last principle which is the ability for us to look at the ways to reduce the reporting burdens and duplication within the accountability system. I do the ED facts reporting, I do the data collection with the Bureau of Indian Education, and I can tell you there are a lot of instances where we see some of the same data collected twice or reported twice to the U.S. Department of Education. So ways in which we can reduce the reporting burden, identify duplication and eliminate it. That's going to

improve the ability of our schools to comply with the rules and to frankly use their time doing educational activities rather than reporting activities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our new accountability system follows along the same lines here. We see that all students will be prepared for college and career upon graduation from our schools. The way in which we're doing this is we're going to adopt the common core standards. These common core standards can be found on the Internet at edcorestandards.org. We will use a single assessment. Because that assessment is currently in the contracting process, I'm not at liberty to publicly announce that it will be one assessment or another. If you're familiar with the Bureau of Indian Education, you'll know that about 130 schools have one assessment in common, we will use that assessment. That assessment will be aligned to the common core standards and the students will be measured against it.

The way in which students will be measured, this is going to be new, is by looking at student growth in addition to proficiency levels. So no longer are we just looking at whether students are

themselves, we're going to look at these students at the beginning of the year, at the middle of the year, and at the end of the year, and compare their progress across the year to determine if they are making the progress that is necessary to reach a level of proficiency over a given period of time. This gives schools a lot more credit for what level of success that they are able to achieve with their students regardless of what actual level of proficiency that student is at.

You might be asking, okay, what does this question really mean. Under No Child Left Behind, you will either be judged as being proficient on the assessment or not proficient on the assessment. So if you had a student that came into your school performing at an entire grade level below where they were expected to be performing and you got that student almost up to that level of proficiency by the end of the year in which you were educating them, you didn't get credit for that student unless that student scored proficient on the assessment. So you could have the best growth of that student in the world, but if that student wasn't proficient, you weren't able to count them as being

successful in your school. By looking at factors such a growth, we are able to give schools credit for what level they are able to achieve with their students.

Lastly, we will also be maintaining the indicators of attendance and graduation rates. When we reconfigure the system to look at student achievement, we really reconfigure the system in a way that helps schools have a valid and accurate measure of what's really going on there. When we are able to focus on student achievement, then we're no longer concerned as much with reporting or with just some of the bureaucratic necessities of checking boxes on paper. We're actually focused on student achievement, which is entirely what our school should be about.

Our accountability determinations. By using an accountability index, which is part of our proposal, we're able to limit down the certain degree of influence of any one of those indicators on a system as a whole. No longer will there be an automatic veto as it was conceived under No Child Left Behind where if you missed an indicator in one subgroup, your entire school was judged to be failing regardless of how the rest of the school

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

performed. We're going to take and incorporate each of the indicators and weigh it proportionately in such a way that it reflects what is going on in the school, but so that no one indicator has an overriding value on the entire accountability index.

By having a single standard assessment and set of accountability criteria, we're going to be judging all of our schools on the same level playing field. No longer are we going to have a situation where one state's standards may be easier than another and, therefore, have it where the schools have an easier time making AYP in one state versus another, because that's not really fair, generally speak. But when we talk about school improvement status and we talk about making personnel decisions, we see just how unfair that system is. So by taking the playing field and making it level for all of our schools, we have a judgment that applies for everyone. If you have 23 different accountability criteria, you really don't have one accountability criteria. So if you take that and make it the same everywhere, then every school is being held accountable in exactly the same way. This will give us a true measure of

1 their performance.

The new accountability system will be less punitive. The Bureau of Indian Education will work to provide technical assistance and professional development opportunities in schools based on how their students are scoring. So when we have three assessments and they're all on the computer, we can get those test results back very quickly. We can identify trends in student achievement and we can craft the appropriate technical assistance to get out to the schools to help improve teacher instruction so their scores increase by the end of the year. So the BIE will be an active partner in helping to promote student achievement relative to the standards and based on the assessment throughout the school year.

A better alignment across the Bureau towards the common core standards allows the Bureau's resources at the state education agency level to be more effectively used. Right now we have to look at having 23 different standards accommodated, and so it's very difficult for us to coordinate the activities of professional development and technical assistance, because we're not able to address fully each states' standards and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assessments. By having a single set of standards and a single set of assessments, that makes our resources much more efficiently used.

The benefits from the waiver is that we are able to get out of the No Child Left Behind system, and this is very important to our schools. I have to emphasize the unfairness of the current system that's based on the state models because, again, each state has a different set of standards; and those standards are crafted for public schools, not for the Bureau of Indian Education schools. And this is -- you know, I like to try out this example 'cause it's so true. In Arizona, we have about 60 schools. But the State of Arizona, whenever it gets down to a certain level where the numbers of students enrolled in the school fall below a certain level, they start closing schools. That's not an option for us. So doing AYP in Arizona requires that each school have at least 40 students in a full academic year in a grade to do an accountability determination in that grade. Almost none of our schools have it. And as a result of that, a direct result of that, we have to start rolling together years and years of academic information to make an AYP determination for those

schools. So even if the school had incredible improvements in achievement over time, because they're rolling together either two or three years of data, that improvement gets washed out. And so our schools in Arizona have an extremely difficult time making AYP.

Another state that's a great example is South Dakota. I think we've only had two instances of schools making AYP in South Dakota in the last five years. All of our schools in Mississippi have made AYP in exactly the same time frame. And I have to say that our schools down in Choctaw are really great schools, but our schools in South Dakota are also good. And to have this wide variation in how we determine AYP be applied to schools, it makes our schools that are doing well in South Dakota feel bad about the job they're doing. And our schools in Mississippi are getting rewarded year after year virtually for how well they're doing no matter how this is. And, again, I have to emphasize our schools in Mississippi are great schools.

And the analogy is this: If you ask Superman to crush an aluminum can and he does so, and then you come back and say, well, gee, anyone could have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

done that, it's not a real test of Superman's skills. The schools in Mississippi aren't served by having easy standards by which they make AYP.

Our schools in South Dakota aren't served by standards that don't reflect what's actually going on in the schools, being judged by standards that are that different. And then at the system level, comparing our schools in South Dakota with our schools in Mississippi based on AYP is not a fair comparison.

And so the opportunity here with the waiver request is to take the school and give them credit for what they're able to achieve, and then set the expectations such that year after year that improvement is expected and that the goals year after year are attainable. Even if they're challenging, they are still attainable. And so this rewards our schools for improvement over time.

And I think at this point I should be done.

Dr. Hamley is right here, and he's collecting some information. I think he's got some things to say, as well.

JEFFREY HAMLEY: No, I think you covered it.

I just want to say that the full flexibility in

draft form is on our website bie.edu, as well as

the summary. So we recut the summary to make it clearer. So a lot of people are going to want to read the summary instead of the full document. But it's on the website now, bie.edu. Part of the process is to get wide-spread stakeholder input. So we are reaching out to tribal organizations, tribes, all the schools, parents, students, staff, teachers, principals, everyone, everyone who has an interest in this, and also the national organizations, the NEA, NCAI, NIEA, you know them all. So we really want to, over the next several weeks, get your input about this. Have we got it right, are we on the right track, what are your suggestions to make it better?

And the central problem is that students in

And the central problem is that students in BIE schools are significantly below the national norms in reading and math. It's time to fix that, we need to move forward. Thank you.

KEITH MOORE: Let me add a couple comments before we take questions, as well. One, I think it's important to note that under the common core in adopting standards there, you have 15 percent flexibility in the standard development. So you have 85 percent of the standards that will be set, and then you have a 15-percent flexibility piece to

infuse important pieces into the standards. That gives states the right to infuse what it is they want their students to know and understand in specific states. What that gives us at the BIE is the ability to infuse language and culture into the 15 percent of our standards, which will be an important component that doesn't exist today.

We follow the state standards. And back in '02 when we did the negotiated rule making and we agreed to go to the 23 states and follow the state standards for 23 states and take the assessment, it's my opinion, and my opinion only, that we gave up some of our tribal sovereignty when we did that. We should have not kicked the can down the road then, we should have developed standards that had our history and culture and language infused in them and worked with tribes in order to do that.

So what this also gives us, again, is the ability with that 15 percent in the standard flexibility to infuse language and culture into our standards, which will then be reflected in the curriculums that are used, and it allows us to address the issue that I feel that we have -- we've given some of our sovereignty away to states by not taking hold, creating a unitary set of standards,

and an assessment on our own behalf that we can put into the 183 schools that are across Indian Country.

BRIAN BOUGH: And if I can expand real quick here on what Mr. Moore just said. 6111 money has been used by the Bureau of Indian Education to help development these local standards. And just this week we saw an issuance of \$1.2 million to help schools to develop standards around their languages. So it's very important to understand that the BIE is willing to help tribes to develop these standards that reflect their local values, and that way they can be incorporated into the accountability system and the accountability system then reflects what the tribe wants it to reflect in those areas. So we're not just committed, we're actually putting money behind this process.

KEITH MOORE: Yeah, good example. Brian

Drapeaux just reminded me of a good example in

South Dakota. Back in 2005 -- 2004 or 5, South

Dakota adopted an Indian Education Act in the

state. And a piece of it was to develop standards
that would be infused in the state standards around
the Oceti Sakowin, the history and culture of the

native people of the area, the state. And today

what South Dakota has moved forward with -- and this is the kind of work that, I think, this Executive Order in tightening the ball and the circle and bringing folks together to do the work is really important.

In South Dakota, what they're doing now as they move forward, they have the 15-percent flexibility in their state standards. They're taking the Oceti Sakowin standards and infusing those within the 15-percent flexibility that they have. That kind of stuff is exciting to me. That means that the state standards in public schools in South Dakota will be infused with the history and culture of our people in that state and then reflected on the state assessment.

So it's that kind of work that we're trying to move forward with, that we can do here, that we can infuse our language and culture in our -- in our standards and have it reflected on our assessments. Those are the things that I think are really important that we take steps forward to address that we currently are not doing today.

DION KILLSBACK: I would also like to comment, as well, on behalf of Indian Affairs. This was one of the key aspects of this initiative that really

caught the attention Larry Echo Hawk and Del
Laverdure, the ability of tribal nations
essentially to reassert sovereignty with regard to
educational progress. In particular, the emphasis
on native language and native culture.

And I can't emphasize enough how important that is for our tribal nations. When we as -- as policy makers and as career folks within the Interior, when we are charged with accomplishing the goals of Indian Country, the goals of Indian Country are set by tribal leaders, and -- and we're responsive to those. But always what we see as a priority, in addition to just providing services, but allowing and recognizing the ability of tribes to assert their sovereignty. And -- and the most unique aspect of tribal sovereignty is maintaining your tribal identity. And for -- for schools and -- and educators on reservations or tribal schools or grant schools, BIE schools, to assert that and exercise that is a priority, and -- and we are 100 percent behind that initiative.

So that 15 percent is significant for us because what it does is it means that in addition to, you know, math, science, algebra, biology, they're going to learn their -- their history,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

whether it be Lakota, whether it being Northern
Cheyenne, whether it be Navajo. But then also it
will be from a language perspective, as well.

Because as we all know as educators, that -there's so much more to just reading text. It is
also living it and being part of it and being
infused. And so that's very important from our
perspective.

And so I just want to tout that on behalf of Del Laverdure that we see this as very significant from the White House initiative and the Bureau of Indian Education. So that's my comment.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Other comments from the panel? All right. We're just a few minutes, actually, ahead of our agenda, which is excellent. I think what we'll do, 'cause I see that there's people stacked up in the back, I'd like to encourage people to come sit at the table. We're going to take a brief break. When we return, let's have -- if you're serving in any representative capacity for the tribe -- for your tribe, please join us at the table. That will also free up additional chairs in the back, so that we can have people sit down and not wear themselves out to participate. And then we'll tie right into the

1	consultation process.
2	And in the during the break, Ms. McKay is
3	going to be circulating and getting people's names
4	on our sign-up sheet. I'll be working through that
5	sign-up sheet first, but that does not limit us to
6	those that will be able to comment, as long as we
7	have time.
8	So let's take a let's take a few minutes
9	break, a 15-minute break, and we'll be back here at
10	9:25. Thank you.
11	(A recess was taken.)
12	BRUCE MACALLISTER: At this stage at this
13	point we'll just start at the table. And, again,
14	just give your name, your position with your tribe
15	and your affiliation, your tribal affiliation, and
16	we'll have you comment. We will start with you,
17	ma'am.
18	NORMA BIXBY: These are heavy, need muscles.
19	My name is it Norma Bixby, and I'm the Northern
20	Cheyenne Tribal Education Director. And I've been
21	director for some 24 years. It's been a very
22	exciting position. And I also have been a Montana
23	state legislator in the Montana legislature as a
24	representative. And I hold several other positions

in the state. I'm also the Montana Advisory

Council for Indian Education chairperson. I'm on the board of director for the Northern Cheyenne

Tribal Schools. And so I'm here in several capacities.

And I am very excited about the MOU and the State Department of Education and the BIE working together. I think this is -- has been a long time in coming. Although, some of my comments are going to be geared towards tribal perspective. I feel that we're missing one leg of the stool here, and that's our tribal education departments. I feel that we need to be in part of MOU. I think it's really important that we are at the table.

As you know, in the state of Montana we have a 1-501, which is the Educational for All, and we do have a common core standards that include Indian education for. We're also really fortunate that's on its way, has been presented to the state board of public ed and is up for public comment. So we're moving forward in Montana on that level. And that has always been I think a part of Montana's is always to include the tribes. And so I don't see why the federal government cannot also include tribal education departments in this process, because I think we're really an important part of

improving education, albeit we don't have any
funding. We don't have any money to do that.
We basically most of us run on federal grants
versus 38 contracts, which is higher ed development
training and Johnson-O'Malley. So the work in the
state has been allowed by the tribe so that we
create change for Indians. And I think we've done
that.

Our Indian ed scores have improved statewide in math and reading and also in science. And I think it's because we have Indian Education for All in our state. But it's unfortunate that we have to do this at the state level rather than at the local level. We can recommend. We can be part of meetings. And they don't have to take our advice because we have no authority from anybody to do that, and not even the tribal government, because we do have public schools.

We have one contract school on our reservation. And I sit on that as an ad hoc board member at the request of the tribal council. We needed to get our school back on track. And I believe we have done that in the few years that we have. Our school is improving and we're moving forward. And also we received state accreditation

1	approval from the state of Montana.
2	And so, I don't know, I'm talking and
3	listening to the presentation if a state can
4	tribal schools exclude themselves from the BIE
5	process once that is in play? I don't know that's
6	going to be a possibility, since we have a really
7	good working relation with our state educational
8	system. And they do have us at tribal schools even
9	though we are a grant school. They still provide
10	the services so that we can be accredited.
11	So I really feel that then the MOU shouldn't
12	be included in a third leg, and that's tribal
13	education departments. And I briefly looked
14	through your ESEA policy that you presented. And
15	it doesn't seem like tribal ed department are
16	also are not a part of that policy development.
17	And I think we need to be a part of that process.
18	And so I hope that you will consider putting us as
19	part of that process. And I know one of the things
20	that is in the MOU is to provide grants through the
21	Department of Ed as well as DOI. I know we are
22	authorized under both DOE and the BIE to receive

And they do have a pilot project. And that pilot project, I watched Secretary Duncan on

funds.

23

24

youtube yesterday and as he outlined that whole new process for a pilot project for tribal ed departments to assume some of those responsibilities of accessing state funds. And I watched them discuss whoever gets that pilot project, because I know it will probably work and that it will be a process that hopefully the rest of the tribal ed departments will be able to access.

I do want to recommend that if in your consideration when you're recommending appropriations that you provide tribal education departments with formula based funding and not discretionary money, that we have to go out and try to get discretionary dollars, but do formula based funding for tribal education departments to assist with the work in our -- for our tribes and our educational system.

The other thing I would like to mention is that I know that the MOU will require the BIE to take on a new role at the state. And I know that will take considerable dollars. And I do know they have made some percentages that they will take off of. And it sounded like take off the top, not in addition to. So I would think that that would take

away money from our schools and the grants that they do apply for.

Those -- in these times I know Bureau of
Indian Affairs, the BIE is going to have to
streamline their budget by 3 million. And so -and that's the whole BIE streamlining their budget
because of the budget cuts. And so I would hope
that the -- the Department of Ed and the BIE
consider money above what's appropriated for our -all of our grant programs that will be available to
contract for our BIE schools as well as our public
schools.

I know in Montana some of our schools, our urban schools are really important. The majority of our Indian kids are in public schools. Like we say, we only have two contract schools in Montana. So we have a lot of urban kids. And there has to be a process where tribal governments can still serve those children. And, again, that means more people down at the local level so that we can work with our urban schools where our Indian children are or provide some kind of services. And so we need to look at some kind of funding to where we'll be able to do that.

I know we're stressed for time, but I want to

1	make one more point, and that is the FERPA. I
2	think the MOU talks about data collection. Are
3	the tribal governments are not included in the
4	FERPA law. And we need to be a part of, tribes
5	need to be added to FERPA so they can access data
6	from our local schools that serve Northern Cheyenne
7	children or any other tribe. We need to get that
8	language changed. And I don't know whether that's
9	possible through the MOU to make that
10	recommendation that tribes be added to FERPA so we
11	can access the data.
12	And right now we do not have any money to do
13	an educational database for Northern Cheyenne. And
14	because we could just do the data on the
15	reservation using our own tribal enrollment, but we
16	can't access any educational data from the schools.
17	So if tribes can be added to the FERPA law or added
18	to this MOU in some way that we can access data.
19	So I have some other comments, but I better
20	I see you getting antsy over there, so I better
21	pass it on.
22	MONIQUE McKAY: He just looks like that all
23	the time.
24	BRUCE MACALLISTER: That's just the way I
25	look

1	NORMA BIXBY: So I'll stop there.
2	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Any comments from the
3	panel before we move to the next? All right.
4	DION KILLSBACK: I would like to say thank
5	you, Norma, for those comments. Being Northern
6	Cheyenne myself, I'm glad you're here on behalf of
7	the Northern Cheyenne tribe. And I think that
8	Brian and Keith and Bill all know the work you've
9	done with the legislative bodies for the state of
10	Montana as well with working with OPI. You're
11	doing a great job.
12	For those of you don't know, Montana has very
13	unique constitutional provision with regards to
14	Education for All. And Norma, along with Denise's
15	mother, Carol, work hard to try to breath life into
16	that provision in the constitution. And with
17	Denise now at the helm, the first native female
18	elected to the public office, the highest public
19	office in the state of Montana, she's made great
20	leaps and strides and provided opportunities for
21	education to be successful. Thank you for those
22	comments, Norma. And I appreciate the work you've
23	done for our people. Thanks.
24	WILLIAM MENDOZA: It's good to see you again
25	Just want to speak to the FERPA situation, of

course, through the initiative. The role of tribal
education agencies is critical to, you know, the
kinds of activities that we are looking at, cradle
to career. I've said this on the numerous venues.
And it's, of course, validated and driven from the
executive orders, that the future of Indian
education rests in the strength of tribal education
agencies and tribal colleges cradle to career.
That being said, you mentioned the STEPP pilot and
the critical role that that plays in terms of
incentivizing agreements between states and
building the capacity of tribal education agencies.
And so that is our flagship program, if you will,
that we are looking to mobilize around to create,
strengthen efforts geared towards tribal education
agencies looking at, you know, what is afforded to
states and consistent with ways that we can engage
our tribal education agencies and the Bureau of
Indian Education.
But you're right, there is, you know, some
degree of, you know, how does this interact with
the approach from the Bureau of Indian Education?
And I think, you know, there are some solutions out
there that Director Moore spoke to on numerous

occasions regarding the single grant solution.

And, you know, certainly ED takes that from capacity standpoint, but still rests on the agreements with states, which we know can be trying at times. And so we're trying to look at, you know, ways that we can strengthen that. And ultimately it requires statutory change to create that kind of authorizations. So we're also examining that from a standpoint of Navajo nation and their perusal of not just alternative AYP, but they are too long at the whole accountability system to be able to reflect more what state education agencies do.

So those are critical conversations that -- we are undoubtedly in historic times and how those are going to be impacting tribal education agencies to come.

The FERPA fix, if you will, I know requires statutory change as well. So there will need to be a lot of mobilization around that to amend FERPA in a way that creates those connections. But there again, we have capacity concerns and issues as well. As much as we hear from tribal leaders and educators about the need for this data, when the meeting disperses, we have parents, we have community members and some cases even

1	decisionmakers coming up and saying do not give my
2	tribe access to that data. I do not trust my
3	tribe. I do not trust my school. And so
4	there's there's concerns there and how do we
5	balance those out and the appropriate role of the
6	federal government in those conversations as well.
7	We're trying to mitigate it.
8	We have released FERPA regulations that create
9	a mechanism for tribes and non-profit entities to
10	better access that data. I'll make sure that
11	follow up with you on what those regs entail.
12	Thank you.
13	NORMA BIXBY: Could I make one comment? I
14	also notice that Keith in the ESEA, the policy
15	goals, and I was as I was listening, I was
16	thinking that how will the Department of Ed's goals
17	match with the BIE schools? And it seems like it
18	would be ideal if the goals were within the MOU at
19	least listed, and because I could see BIE coming
20	out with different goals than DOE. And I think
21	they need to be integral and working together as
22	one and the goals need to be addressed together
23	rather than separately.
24	WILLIAM MENDOZA: I'll let my colleagues
25	speak to their perspective on this, but we're

really excited about that transition, you know. As
you know, as hard as things are to change within
our schools, you can amplify that at the federal
agency level. What is the short-term principles
that we can agree on, knowing that we need to be
better coordinated at in terms of the long-term
strategy at the federal level and not just looking
at it through the myopic lens of Department of
Interior and Education, but also looking at the
rest of the federal family, the 32 federal
agencies, and engaging states more in this issue.
And then also, you know, the P3, as I
sometimes refer to it, private and public sector,
private philanthropic entities out there, how can
we engage them better in this? And all of them
have their own passion and investments and concrete
directions in Indian education. And from the
standpoint, the initiative we would like to say
what do we agree on, where are we different and how
does that impact our students' lives and how can we
work to develop plans, develop measurable
objectives and advance those interests in a

So we're very excited about those conversations already, how we've created the kinds

coordinated way?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of mechanisms through this MOU that we are already in point in terms of Brian's understanding of how he is being impacted by data issues now and how we're chaining conversations with the Department of Education. We need to extend that. We need to strengthen it, of course, within the two agencies, but also expands it out to the other agencies as well.

KEITH MOORE: I would say I would agree. I think this MOU in the first step that it's taken, what we would like to see is really probably what you're asking as well is when you talk about goals and objectives and how do you align the president's message on education, Secretary Duncan's message on educational policy, how do we bring that all together to -- to be coherent policy and funding around what the president and the administration would like to see going forward? And when I said earlier what, you know, my opinion is as a person, who we try to do the day-to-day work, so to speak, in the department of the bureau, we're a fractionated. And the messages aren't connected across the board from the president to the secretary to the agencies to the states to the schools. Somehow through this MOU can we get to

1	the point where those things are connected? We
2	have specific goals and objectives and then we know
3	policy and funding is going directly for those
4	goals and objectives. I think in the future will
5	be an exciting step as we move forward. Obviously
6	this is the first great step, the Executive Order.
7	But how do we even tighten it more to focus on
8	whether it would be we're going to improve
9	graduation rates this much or close the achievement
10	gap or reduce dropout rate and then talk about what
11	policy and funding and so forth mean around all
12	those specific pieces and then direct, you know,
13	our work to that.
14	NORMA BIXBY: That's why TED seems to be at
15	the table. Tribal government need to be at the
16	table.
17	KEITH MOORE: Let me say thank you for your
18	service, Norma. The state of Montana is a great
19	example of the native tribes infusing themselves in
20	the state work and legislative process to make a
21	difference for Indian people, not only
22	educationally, but in other areas as well. So
23	thanks for your years of work.
24	DION KILLSBACK: I'll also add to that a
25	little bit just briefly. You know, Bill did a

great job of explaining the the layers		
essentially that we're dealing with in terms of the		
policy decisionmakers in which, you know, our boss,		
Secretary Salazar and his is Secretary Duncan. For		
those two political appointees to take such a bold		
step in addressing this Indian education issue is		
significant, because everybody has always talked		
about wanting to improve the Indian education. But		
the ability to drill down and essentially have		
direction and rely on, you know, career folks and		
bringing in new folks that really want to get it		
done, and in terms of learning and also trying to		
bridge those gaps.		

We all have a different set of legal regimes that we work under. And a lot of them are very focused and very detailed in how they approach to meet -- to approach goals. But a lot of them don't mesh well with Indian education. And that's what we're doing now. We're bridging those gaps to meet the Indian education. And I can't say enough how important this is, but how significant it is as well in terms of laying the groundwork for the future. So I just want to say that.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you. Can we move to our next official for comment?

GAY KINGMAN: Hello, my name is Gay Kingman.
I'm the executive director of Great Plains Tribal
Chairman's Association. And I'm here representing
the 16 tribes in North Dakota, South Dakota and
Nebraska. Many of our chairmen today are attending
the Tribal Interior Budget Council meeting in D.C.
It's good to have some of our own Indian
neonle in D.C. working for us in our governments

people in D.C. working for us in our governments.

I was a political appointment under President

Carter on the tran team that started the Department of Education. And I have a long history in education. I was 25 years, as a teacher, principal, superintendent and president of a college. And before going into tribal government, now I'm -- I work for tribes as executive director of NCI and help found and establish the National Indian Gaming Association before coming home now to work for the tribes in the Great Plains. So I've seen a lot of change in education.

What we had planned when we started the

Department of Education under President Carter, it

was somewhat disappointing today to see that title

for now Title VII was kind of demoted within the

department. It's not what it used to be, what we

had envisioned it to be. Nevertheless, I'm hopeful

under the current administration with the MOU that we hope will happen between the Department of Education and the Department of Interior.

The Great Plains tribes are seriously concerned about our Indian education. And I -- there's been several references here and allusions to like tribes don't -- they put education on the back burner or they don't attend to it. But in the Great Plains we're all treaty tribes. It is in the treaty that education is a trust responsibility. And we take it very seriously.

In the Great Plains we have the most tribal community schools and 10 of the Indian colleges nationwide. And the reason for that is because our forefathers, our ancestors, set it up not only in the treaties, but also that we establish our own schools to educate our own people so we have our own languages and our own culture and our own spiritualism in our schools for our education. And so I feel a little bit that I have to stick up for the tribes, because not only what we've done historically but what we do today.

We have tribal education departments that have not been funded, and yet we struggle. We have schools that are struggling with bear minimum on

the reservations in remote areas dealing with the most serious hazards with construction, with roads, with school bus, everything. And some of them are here today. But I think our tribal governments take very seriously education.

And I wanted to address the MOU and some of the things -- I have a paper here that I will put into the record, not to day because it's still being formulated. And I'm going to take some of the things that were said here today and it will be submitted officially. First of all, on January 20th, when we had the consultation in Rapid City, I don't see any of the recommendations featured in the MOU that the Great Plains tribal chairman put into that position paper. And so I would like to -- I will be repeating a few of those things because they are not in the MOU at all.

Also on consultation, the proposed MOU between BIE and DOE reaches far beyond the plan date of Executive Order 13592 to address how BIE and DOE will collaborate. And here again it ignores our recommendations. And so we do not feel that there's been adequate meaningful consultation.

And there's a lot of talk that this is a set, a done deal. And we are concerned about that

because we feel that meaningful consultation is when we have dialogue one with another. And those consultations that we submit, and it takes a lot for our tribal leaders to come together and our educators who come together and don't have a lot of funds to come, but we come together and we -- we pore it over. And we submitted a real paper on what we thought should happen in our region.

First of all, the MOU does not relate or reference at all any of the trust responsibility or tribal sovereignty. And it does not commit DOE or BIE to abide by this trust responsibility and does not respect and promote the fostered tribal self-determination and tribal sovereignty. The MOU does not reflect the stated purposes of Executive Order 13592 or the stated purpose of BIE and Federal Indian Education Laws in describing the role and interests of DOE and BIE.

The MOU purpose of transferring grant funds under ESEA and McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act of 1987 as amended are not purposes of the MOU mandated by Executive Order 13592. BIE cannot and should not use the precious and scarce funds provided for Indian education by Congress for the implementation of Executive Order depriving our

tribal schools of the funds needed to operate and pay for the direct education costs of children.

Some of the items that were in our position paper that we submitted on January 20th we wanted a coordinator established within DOE to carry out all of the -- and coordinate the accountability and proper delivery of services for impact aid, migrant education, Indian education, Title I, and several other things. We would really like to see that happen because, as you know, most of our children are in public schools. The MOU does not set forth adequately the structure of the initiative.

You mention a subcommittee, a working group.

But where is NACIE in all this, the National

Advisory Council on Indian Education? Would we be

duplicating services? I don't know.

I tried calling a couple of the members this week. They didn't even know about the consultation. But with scarce dollars, we'd sooner have this funding go to our schools and the local level rather than fund more things at the top in the higher level and central office. The other thing we had recommended in our paper is that funding for tribal education departments and that we are possible -- in some cases that the tribal

education or the tribe be the SEA. We feel that,
here again, the administration is top heavy at the
central office. And we need the resources and the
funds at the local level. We have tribal education
departments that are very readily equipped now
to to administer and take over these funds.
I want to skip through here because I will
submit this for the record. Each one of these
points has quite a lengthy description on it.
We're also asking that we move away from a
centralized tribal education delivery system to our
tribal education departments. We certainly agree
with Northern Cheyenne on this. The role of BIE
under an MOU with DOE needs to have this reflected
in it. And we need to streamline the flow of
government funding by eliminating unneeded
bureaucracies at the central office. We want full
support for implementation of the Tribal Controlled
Schools Act. And we want the schools funded before

you fund BIE initiatives at the national level. We are in support of forward funding under TCSA and

ESEA. And here again, we want

government-to-government consultation all the way

24 through according to 25 USC and under the

25 president's consultation. And we want -- we'd like

to se	ee triba	al col	lleges	ful	.ly f	unded	with		and	set
aside	e funds	from	TRIO	for	trib	al col	leges	١.		

And the last consultation that we had we had requested several things also. Here again, it points to consultation that data has not been available. And we still have tribes that have letters in requesting that documentation and has not come. And so we want to repeat that request to just respond to the tribes for the data. And that data would be copy of the 2002 application and plan for use of SEA state level activity funds, breakdown of 2011-2012 funds. That BIE receives but is not required to distribute to LEAs. And there's some other things here, but in the brevity of time, I will do that and submit this for the record. And I thank you for your time.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you very much, ma'am. Any comments from the panel?

WILLIAM MENDOZA: Thank you, Ms. Kingman, for your -- we certainly appreciate -- I'm not sure if you were here at the beginning for our opening comments, but I just wanted to -- I wanted -- I don't want it to go unacknowledged for the sincere appreciation and respect we have for our educators and what they do in this country, especially for

our students in some of our most neediest communities. And that was at least expressed by us in the beginning. And so you know, in that respect we don't want in any way to put you in a position where you have to, you know, speak up for the tribes on these issues. We know the tremendous challenges that they face and the extenuating circumstances of which they operate. And, you know, trying to mitigate how we're addressing those challenges alongside the sincere capacity issues to be able to justify rational greater program authority and funding support for what is existing, not to mention just expansion of all of that. We know we need to have more. We try to look at all of those angles. We know it's a complex playing field, if you will.

Many of the issues that you spoke to, and certainly there is a lot there, you know, what we're going to look at, when we sat down with this Memorandum of Understanding, you know, we know that if it's a substantive policy issue, it has to be -- it has to go through that process of, you know, what are we -- how does it impact that body of existing law? And not to mention the more meaningful step that we take in coordination of

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those statutes. The kinds of things that we're talking about in terms of flexibility and Tribally Controlled Schools Act in the TCCU Act, you know, those -- and how they work out with the Indian Self-Determination Education Act and ESEA, all those statutes. And what overrides what and what interplays with what, you know, that is a tremendous process for us.

And I'm not here to give you a civics lesson today. Your experiences know all too well that process. But I just wanted to, you know, convey that, you know, to get us to those substantive issues at this point just is not possible given the charge from the president and turn this around in 120 days to make sure that we can have a mechanism to coordinate those kinds of substantive policy issues.

And we are at a unique time, and that's why we've approached this in this expedited fashion to do the -- to do the roundtables in a timeline that we did, coordination of Office of Indian Education, Bureau of Indian Education, and, you know, key offices in each initiative to make sure that we can reach out and get the kind of collaboration that we're looking for.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

And I remember the first one there, Rapid
City, and you know just seeing the kinds of
conversations between tribal leaders and educators
happen in a way that they did was tremendously
inspiring. And we want to look at further creating
those kinds of environments, because the feedback
that we received from there was that it was
historic, that the recognition of tribal leaders
needing to present these issues as top priority by
and large, 566 represented was a necessity. We
want to create those mechanisms.

You mentioned the joint education, the DOI committee. That is what one mechanism to have kitchen table discussions about where we need to inject, who we need to bring to the table on these substantive policy issues, and how do they relate to the whole gamut of initiatives?

We also have inter agency working groups under the Executive Order. Senior officials from each agency. We are going to be pursuing implementation teams within each department to carry out both the policy and budgetary planning and measurable objectives that we can garner from those agencies.

So that -- that is the framework, but at the end of the day, you know, this charge is the

president's executive office's charge to, you know, our senior leaders. So we work, of course, within that body of law and of course in tandem with Congress and representative of the people.

So it's a lot of moving parts to this that we're trying to take into consideration. So all of these issues, our approach, Director Moore, have looked at that and, okay, if we can't bring the agencies together on this now, how does this relate to the strategic objectives of the initiative? If it's not something we can pursue immediate in the short term, how about the long term? How do we get there? What do we need to do to begin to garner the kinds of collaboration around these issues?

So I just want to offer that as kind of our umbrella approach to some of those specific issues that you talked about.

KEITH MOORE: My comment is real quick.

Thanks, Ms. Kingman, for your comments and folks you represent. We've been excited. We've met I think of all the tribal leaders that you represent many times over the last two years. We've met with many of them personally one-on-one or where they have wanted us to be. We have worked hard to be

1	there in the number of listening sessions and
2	consultations to come out and address some of the
3	issues. There's probably always still going to be
4	disconnect and things that don't always connect.
5	But I think we've worked hard to come out and meet.
6	Specifically on some of your comments,
7	administratively, as we look at the tough fiscal
8	environment we're all operating in, I really
9	feel I have to say that over the last two years,
10	you talk about central office and the increase in
11	the money that goes there, we I say we, but it's
12	really been from the Secretary Salazar and on down,
13	have really protected, I feel, as I look at our
14	budgets over the last two years, school level
15	funding as much as possible. When I say that,
16	because in the education program management line
17	item, which is an administrative piece for the BIE
18	that funds the ELOs all the way up to the director,
19	has seen a 48 percent cut over the last two fiscal
20	years. At the school level we've had minimal cut
21	ISEP, and look at programs. Now it's going to be
22	very difficult going forward and continued tough

fiscal budgets to say that we're going to be able

good day, from 1.1 to 1.4 billion dollars.

to do that, simply because we manage anywhere, on a

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

23

24

And that administrative line item in any organization you try to operate under 8 to 10 percent administrative office to manage the money that you oversee. We're going to be operating on a 1 percent budget next year. We're going to have roughly 10 million dollars from the yellows on up to the director managing anywhere from 1 billion dollars to, you know, 1.2 billion dollars. So I think this administration has worked hard and tough, again, fiscal environment to cut administratively at the top, protect at the local level programs and school funding.

And if you look at the budgets tightly and go through them, I think you'll find the same. And you'll see that even though we see our budgets have been pretty relatively flat as the rest of the many bureaus in Interior have seen drastic cuts, Indian Affairs, BIA, BIE, really this administration has worked hard to hold the line and tow the line, so to speak, where we've seen cuts is administrative and not at program level. I credit the secretary and his team for that. Some days we're not happy about it. To be quite frank, on the agency level we're getting nervous to do the work that we're called to do. They're inherent government

functions, as I know you know well, that we are
called to do. If we don't do a good job of those
inherent functions, it affects tribes as well. If
we don't have good data, we can't answer the
questions from the Hill, we can't answer questions
from OMB, or we don't have the appropriate
administrative staff to do what we need to do.
That hurts school level funding and hurts program
funding, because they say if you guys can't do the
job for us, and many of the tribal leaders that you
represent tell us regularly, and they're right, say
you're our bureau, you're there to do our work, to
listen to us and defend us and help defend budgets
and programs and policy. And we think that we work
hard to try to listen to them, do that work,
oversee and monitor what we're called to do so that
when the Hill and the OMB and different people say
to us what's the data, what's the research, what
impact are these programs having, that we're trying
to structure ourselves well enough to be able to
answer those questions very well. When we came in,
to be quite frank and honest, we weren't doing it.
And it was hurting Indian country.
So those are things that are important to note

too, whenever we say cut, you know, the central top

and protect us, the bureau is going to always
exist. And you have to have a functioning D.C. and
feel that has inherent government responsibilities
to perform. And if that is cut and sliced and
diced and not effective either, that affects our
students, our kids and our programs in the field.
So we have to be able to have these really

So we have to be able to have these really strong conversations about what it means to always cut in a certain area and protect all of this over here and realize that if you cut that all the way down and it can't operate either, that's going to affect us as people and our students in the field as well.

What's really -- it's really important that we understand those budgets and where they've been cut. And what it means when we get diced down all the way and then how do we go forward to make sure we can do the work that we're called to do is really important, because those are inherent government functions that will never go away.

So -- and I really be interested to know how we're not following the Tribally Controlled Schools Act specifically.

We feel as a bureau that we allow tribal grant schools to function, you know, pretty darn

autonomously. We don't inject ourselves in the day-to-day work. The curriculums they develop, the programs they develop, work they do is really done at the local level through school boards and administrators and school leaders. We don't feel we can be dictating. The less we are dictated through or ESEA or NCLB or different policies that say we have a say and role in the Tribally Controlled Schools Act or Tribally Controlled Schools. I would be interested specifically what we're doing to not follow that law and order 102.97. Thanks a lot for your comments.

WILLIAM MENDOZA: I just would like to kind of speak to the finality of this MOU. And this is to credit the Bureau of Indian Education is that this was signed in 2005. And it's pretty much remained stagnant, the funding part of the MOU. Coupling that with the policy implications and creating the mechanisms that we have and strengthening the existing ones, needs to be an ongoing process, you know. So we want this, we envision this living, breathing document that is consistently revisited for applicability alongside administrative initiatives, you know, changing context and educational landscape. And so that —

that's our intent, you know. We have to commit something to paper. But, you know, we see this as a guiding document for not only the initiative but also for the ED DOI committee as well.

DION KILLSBACK: I'd like to add on to that, echo what Bill and Keith have stated here, is that in terms of, you know, looking at the budget, you know, outlook, as you said today that the tribal leaders from this region along with other tribal leaders throughout the country are in Washington, D.C. this week for tribal reading. And, you know, Larry Echo Hawk and Gail Labrador have held their position and hold it harmless Indian Affairs budget. And that message has been carried through Secretary Salazar's administration. And in the past three years the Indian Affairs budget is increased 15 percent more than any Department of Interior.

Having said that though, we know that there's still isn't enough funds to address things out in the country for trying to meet the core, you know, obligations, as you state, the trust obligations, education, but the other members of Indian Affairs would -- it's still inadequate.

But the purpose of this meeting, as I stated

earlier, that we're looking at bridging gaps, allowing for funding sources to address concerns and the -- I guess the emphasis is to really engage at a high level but also on the ground level and meet in the middle of how the Department of Education as well as the Bureau of Indian Education in executing and implementing those. So I want to state that the budget-wise, you know, it's difficult to predict, but we -- you know, in terms of cuts, all the departments within the interior are, you know, 5 to 10 percent cut. Indian Affairs is looking at that. And that was significant in terms of our ability to maintain holding harmless in the tribes.

And the cuts, as Keith said, are being made at the administration level. Tribes out in the field, agencies in the regions are being fund at near at levels. So that's a significant.

I know that my message is down to the -- but, you know, it's the work of folks here and the folks in D.C. that to make sure that we do what we can to improve and make things work. You guys know Indian country, that it's very difficult to stretch a dollar. You guys are the best at doing it. And we're trying to make sure you have that ability.

1	So	I	want	to	say	that.
---	----	---	------	----	-----	-------

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 BRUCE MACALLISTER: All right. Can we move on 3 to our next tribal representative?

> CURTIS POITRA: Curtis Poitra, vice-chairman of Turtle Mountain Band, Belcourt, North Dakota. Good morning. I'll keep it short. The MOU has great goals and objectives, but as was mentioned here before, it doesn't have the budget to go along with to meet those goals and objectives. And we're all aware of that. And the Turtle Mountain Band has taken proactive approaches to find innovative ways to keep the resources to our students through cooperative agreements. And our main priority is to protect our tribe's sovereignty. But that's our job. When we bring a cooperative agreement with the public school district or, you know, I think it should be a priority to your office, and I hope this new MOU will take the proactive approach for the tribes that are trying to find innovative ways to keep the resources and the direct services to their students.

The BIA had a -- in the last few years has gone through change in the IT. They've gone through the schools in Indian country. And that's another battle that the Turtle Mountain Band has

fought because our system has -- happens to be one of the best in Indian country. And the BIA wanted to come in and change it and shrink it down.

And now we're working on MOA through Roxanne Brown. But these things shouldn't take a year, two years. Okay? I know the solicitors, we spoke about this at the last time, and I'm sure, Keith, I'm one of the ones you've said you've had plenty of tribal consultations with because we have taken a proactive approach because, you know, you can't come to us all the time, so we go to you, you know. But is it Norma, she made probably the best remark when she said the tribes should be included, because it says the agreement can be changed at any time after tribal consultation by written consent of both of you, your departments. But it doesn't say how often are you going to have those tribal consultations? Is it any time -- is there going to be biannual? It's not spelled out in there. And I think some tribes don't have the resources to go to you, because I've gone to wherever Keith is, many times gone to Albuquerque to get some of these things pushed through to help our students maintain the direct services because we know the budgets are not going to allow it.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with the two of your departments, listen to the tribes and expedite those things that you can when they're trying to help their people and their students by keeping the direct services and other ways than you're funding. So that's a message I'll leave you with. And we'll support this MOU, but please think of the direct services to the tribes and listen to the tribes individually, because we are not all the same. In one sense we are, but we are individual tribes also. So I'll leave you with that.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you, sir. Response?

WILLIAM MENDOZA: I just want to speak to the consultation timeline issue. And I really appreciate your closing emphasis on the uniqueness, and that's exactly how do we provide a framework but not make it constrictive? How do we garner as much access to that framework as we can?

You know, the MOU, you're right, is an agreement between the agencies about how we work together to advance not only the ESEA mandated component but also the objectives under the Executive Order and what that speaks to. And so this kind of goes back to Ms. Kingman's concern

about the emphasis and trust responsibility and tribal sovereignty is that they kind of reference one another, you know. And that whole process, even to, you know, establish the BIE as being uniquely distinct from how we treat states is a part of that acknowledgment of that tribal sovereignty as well. So there's a broad context of which that MOU is working.

And specifically the consultation, it's a moving target. We -- you know, we knew that we were working on this Executive Order to try to have it come to fruition, but we didn't know if it was going to be December. We hoped it would have been August. We didn't know if it was going to be next term. So these policy initiatives should really take a shape and then change the context for us. So we mobilize and commandeered resources for other consultations to do roundtables that we did. And the best thing that we can do there is just kind of coordinate and try to communicate in as much advance as we can to tribal leaders and tribal educators and the state folks that have an interest.

I can assure you that our process and procedures that we implemented so far, consistent

1	with our consultation policies. So that is kind of
2	our measuring stick, if you will, that guides us
3	into this process. And anything extenuating
4	outside of that we try to approach in a responsible
5	way. So, you know, trying to create knowing
6	tribal budgets are not conducive to travel to
7	Washington, D.C. or some of these other locations
8	that we go, we are in Department of Education
9	are increasingly looking at accessibility to tribal
10	consultations. For the first time from a
11	Department of Education standpoint and the tribal
12	leader roundtables, we webcast them. We provide
13	teleconference calling where tribal leaders can
14	call in or anybody else in the public to be able to
15	access that. We provide on a regular basis email
16	access, and ability to snail mail, if you will, the
17	testimony feedback on these efforts, and of course
18	making them available on the Internet and listserv
19	distribution form and mailing form as is cost
20	effective. So all of those efforts and in sum are
21	how we are trying to respond and address those
22	access issues. We appreciate any feedback again on
23	how we can do a better job at that.
24	CURTIS POITRA: And, you know, our
25	consultation, and I'm going to say relationship

that we build with Keith and Brian, it's always come out in a good way. It's the time it takes, the step it takes that probably shouldn't have to take so many steps is what I'm getting at, you know, and the resources to keep going after it, to keep going after it, you know. And Keith's hands are tied because of solicitors. You know, I think with your MOU you need to look at things that have been in place through the BIE with the tribes and with maybe public school district. You have a boilerplate, you know. And I would hope it wouldn't take the time to sit on some solicitor's desk. We're not reinventing the wheel. That's the point. You know, they've always come out in a good way, our consultation. I mean, sometimes it's takes nine months, but it comes in and out a good way. KEITH MOORE: I just wanted to say real quick, it's been a -- I mean, I credit him. It's been a

KEITH MOORE: I just wanted to say real quick, it's been a -- I mean, I credit him. It's been a great process for us to work over the last two years with Turtle Mountain. I'll say this, you know, it's just kind of a fun deal. They used to want to hang us in effigy. Now they just want to talk strongly to us in our face. The relationship has gotten better over the last two years. I mean,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I commend you for -- you're always here. You always show up. You meet us in D.C. We try to get in the field where we can meet in the field. And we develop a nice understanding of each other. And you understand our process that can become cumbersome that we're still trying to work through it. And hopefully at some point we're getting streamlining things to be more effective to address your issues. I commend you for the work you've put in to develop the relationship with us and just the things that we've been able to address through that process. So thanks a lots.

CURTIS POITRA: Thank you.

WILLIAM MENDOZA: We've been notified we're talking too much. I couldn't agree more. And in our jobs, our superiors say less is more. And so, but we want to -- we've been engaging primarily because of specific questions have been asked. And we want to be as responsive as we can be in this forum. So please provide us with feedback or our moderator -- we'll button up, but let us know when you need to hear from us.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Certainly if there's a question, the idea is communication, so we want that, but we also want to hear as many people as we

1	can too, so we're
2	KEITH MOORE: Interior has been succinct.
3	WILLIAM MENDOZA: We've been thorough.
4	BRUCE MACALLISTER: All right. Ma'am, you had
5	a question and follow-up to that comment. They're
6	very heavy. Here, just hand her this mike.
7	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Those things weigh a ton.
8	BEVERLY TUTTLE: I'm going to say peoria
9	washtay to everybody here. I felt refreshed after
10	all of our spew over at first of all, I'm
11	introduce myself. I'm Beverly Tuttle from the
12	Ogala Sioux Tribal Council sitting on the education
13	committee. And I have with me another
14	representative on the education committee.
15	As you know, we have quite the dialogue. And
16	my heart is still in the place where I left it in
17	Rapid City. I want you to understand that. It is
18	very, very, I guess, kind of reprocess everything
19	that we discussed in Rapid City. And we know, such
20	as the federal government is, they're going to
21	pursue whatever they want to. However, I just
22	really have some questions about, you know,
23	redundancy.
24	And, you know, this is all fine and well, this
25	MOII saving what you're going to do It's nothing

different for many of us sitting back in our -- on our reservations with our educational system. It's just kind of like probably another process that, initiative that is going to be coming but with less money. However, you know, I think I want to say for the record that our tribal grant school representation from our tribe has been always trying to collaborate with our BIE, local agency representation from the ELO, Education Line Officer. It's never been a consistency of dialogue or sharing of information.

I just want to share with you that, that

leaving back there what's going to fill in the -- I

guess the -- the -- there's a void there. And I'm

seeing this -- you know, this Memorandum of

Agreement saying they're going to do all these fine

things that -- you know, again -- I'm going to say

again the trust level, you know, is going to be

neither here nor there. We'll have to wait and

see. But I want to know where -- when I say it's

going to be redundant, is our tribal graduate

school, especially from your tribe has been our

oversight from the Ogala Education Coalition and

our Department of Education from the tribe. I want

to know how -- how you're going to get leverage.

We're doing our own accountability. We're doing our own data collection. Where we are with our six graduate schools, I just want to know what it would look like without the public 127 -- I don't speak to all the tribes. And this is 38 tribal grant schools out of this MOU. There's just another layer of bureaucracies that is really looking at having, I guess, tribally controlled -- not looking at tribally controlled authority as far as our destiny for our educational system. I want to know how that would look? Does that mean this MOU -- you know, we've already had stress at our last meeting in Rapid City that there was MOUs already being done with the state of South Dakota. And our tribes didn't have input in that.

So I just would like to ask Mr. Moore how you would see that without that, without mentioning our tribally controlled schools in 102.97? Where would that review with trying to help us, like monetarily looks like we'll be competitive with many of the grants coming out, right? Is that what I'm understanding from this MOU? And having to compete with the state SEA, which is probably the state of South Dakota. Or are you going to recognize our state agency being our tribal education department?

1	I'd	like	to	just	ask	you	what's	your	vision	for
2	that	:?								

WILLIAM MENDOZA: Your inquiry is important.

And you know what we have now encompassed within this MOU is, you know, how are we going to continue to support one formula support for BIE tribal grant contract schools? And, two, how do we garner greater access to discretionary funds that are afforded to state education agencies and LEAs and representative to your structure?

The more that we go down the trajectory of BIE as an SEA and full force of competing on 51st SEA level, those considerations will need to be weighed within that structure. And the important part of that is what is the role of TEAs in that. I'll let Director Moore speak more to the single grant solution and what is proposed under that structure and how, you know, they envision that process. But from the Department of Education standpoint, our approach has been, one, how do we incentivize collaboration between states without specific authorization for tribes as SEAs? The impetus to date for us to include tribes as SEAs is largely focused on the statutory definition of who is an SEA. We would have to pursue that through

Congress. There would have to be, you know, tremendous effort to educate about the ability of tribes to handle the capacity of what a State Education Agency does in terms of monitoring, enforcement, and facilitation of both formula and discretionary funding.

Our conversations to date kind of delve into TEAs, you know, on the whole 566 tribal nations level looking more at, you know, nothing consistent there basically. Some of them want more TEA activities, the implementation of those resources, the selection of those resources, looking at strategies and how that plays out in the school and classroom level. Others are primarily interested in monitoring enforcement and the ability to, you know, be effective and competing for some of these discretionary programs, particularly in light of the emphasis that our secretary has placed on the kind of innovation and excellence that can be derived out of grant competitions.

So our response to that was the Tribal

Education Agency pilot initiative, which we now

call the State Tribal Education Partnership Pilot.

If that acronym, as we characterize it STEPP, and

its expansion isn't qualifying enough as to the

1	politics that are involved in this in terms of
2	states and their authority over local control of
3	education issues as state education agencies, I
4	don't know what is. And we said incentivize it.
5	This has been, of course, the pilot initiative of
6	the TEDNA organization as well, the representative
7	organization, for those of you who are not
8	familiar, of Tribal Education Departments.
9	National assembly is that acronym. And that 2
10	million dollars and after the administrative costs
11	for that program to implement that competition is
12	designed to incentivize those Memorandum of
13	Agreements between states and tribes to have
14	greater role in terms of ESEA functions within the
15	tribal jurisdiction that they are interested in.
16	And so, you know, taking that into
17	consideration, it is a different approach to
18	addressing that capacity, one, and the authority of
19	tribes as education agencies. And so those
20	conversations, we shift them to BIE. Is the BIE
21	under the 51st SEA model looking for like an LEA or
22	is it a different structure? When we talk about
23	collapsing as much funding as we can under the

existing authorities providing a mechanism for

monitoring enforcement of those funds, which

24

Director Moore already spoke to, is always going to have to exist. And how do we make that process collaborative?

I hope my response was kind of expressing, you know, what's involved in this but especially, you know, how the single grant solution relates to this issue.

KEITH MOORE: Single grant concept -- I know,
Bruce, you want to try to keep it short. But we
propose, made the comment federal wants to do what
they want to do. From my chair, we collaborate and
conversations. And one of the concepts that has
come out in the conversation, in the collaboration
we've done with tribal leaders is the single grant
concept. And we propose that to the Ogala. They
were not interested at the time with doing.

Proposal with all the tribes that have three or more tribal grant or BIE-funded schools on their lands, we're working right now with the Navajo nation who has 67 of our schools on its land on single grant concept where the tribe will be able to single grant their school system, keep local school boards and collect administrative cost dollars, where we think today we could strengthen and build tribal ed departments through this

mechanism of shared administrative dollars at the local level and at tribal level and then go forward building capacity where we see shortfalls. So it's a concept where we want to work directly with tribes, tribal leaders, tribal ed departments, strengthen tribal ed departments to be able to create a vision and mission on their lands rather than what many folks are not being able to do that today.

We're not saying this is what we are going to do. We're saying here is a concept. Here's an idea. Do you want to work on it with us or are you not interested at all in working on it? So it's a -- it's a concept. And those are the kind of things that we've tried to be proactive on in listening to folks.

Also your comment on ELO or ineffectiveness on tribal level. We also have proposed concepts around what to do there with tribes. And tried to address many issues with certain tribes that have issues or struggles at the administrative level with us. So I feel like we've been very responsive. I feel like we've tried to put forward new concepts where we've heard about struggles and also address the shortcomings that we have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

educationally, at the end of the day, hopefully put
the focus on student achievement and outcomes and
solutions to make sure we do a better job for
tribes so they have quality citizens and effective
workforces in the future. So I mean that's my
short answer. And I look forward to further data
on some of these.
BRUCE MACALLISTER: Follow-up, and we'll

BEVERLY TUTTLE: Thank you. I just have a comment to that. Thinking about what you had just told me, I know our president still sent us as representatives to sit at this table. And when he went to D.C. in January, he came back with I thought it was a very highly political move to not address tribal grant schools because he came to our education committee and said just what you had said about, you know, gaining -- giving more responsibility to the tribe and probably streamlining the monies directly to the tribe. And, in essence, it was not a very good taste in our mouths after, you know, it was eventually to dissolve local control, but to keep it at the political level. And that's where the gap is between our understanding of -- if we agree to this, then, you know, it's going to -- I really

feel like I think as many tribes may well be not too I guess I would just say politically entrusted in our education system because mainly, you know, we won't get the monies to have our school boards, for administrative work to be done by them. And it kind of just left a really big void. Maybe he was misunderstanding, but we just totally objected to him. And that's where I'm saying we don't want to have that fallout.

We -- I really want to see a clear, concise document that specifically spells out what that would mean to you and how we see it interpreted.

That's the part I really want to express. And so what's the time frame to get all of this done so that it's going to join in with the streamlining of everything here? I really have questions to that, what's the time frame, because we have to go back to our reservation and we have to really get all of our school systems to -- I mean, that's the work.

We've always been that way.

But, like I say, every school wants to be unique. And I respect that, because they all have unique needs that -- some are prospering way further than other schools. And how do we help each other? That's where we want to go, especially

1	with teaching the Lakota language again, so make it
2	an integral part of every subject of our
3	curriculum. And I think that's worked. I think
4	there's a big void. Where are we really going to
5	be? So not forgetting the federal responsibility
6	either from your side to the tribes, you know,
7	under the treaty tribes. People don't like to hear
8	that statement, but we're going to stick to the
9	federal responsibility leveraging your your
10	offer to our offer. It's a give and take here.
11	So I just come with that thought on my mind.
12	And I would really like to hear response.
13	President really asks for some response. He said
14	back in Rapid City 15 days, and we have not heard a
15	response, you know, from anybody. And I checked
16	with our ELO, I want to say he's very visible now.
17	Mr. Swan is very visible right now with student
18	graduations. And I think finally the dialogue is
19	happening. But I think we really need to keep on
20	task with that dialogue either through him so he
21	can give the information to us. And if he's just
22	temporary, it's almost like, you know, I don't know
23	when I'm going to go. I don't like that feeling.
24	The consistency needs to be there, whoever is

there, whether or not straight from the mouth of

1	37011	Thank	37011
⊥	you.	IIIaIIA	you.

KEITH MOORE: Final response would be, first
of all, this isn't to dissolve local control. We
need local control and local school boards, old
school teacher, coach, administrator. Very much
believe in local control of education. But I also
believe, I also trust tribes. And I also trust
tribal leaders. And I believe that they want to be
SEAs, which I think strongly they do want to be
SEAs, then they should be able to have a stronger
voice when it comes to our school system on their
lands and work directly with us to set a vision and
a mission for the schools that exist there. That's
what a single grant was about was bringing tribal
leaders and tribal ed departments very very
strongly into the conversation of education and
help them build capacity and then impact education
as a tribe. I believe in it. I trust it. I think
as a federal government employee I don't think
you're going to get a stronger person who believes
and is excited and who our forefather's ability to
put trust in treaty responsibility of education and
treaties. We respect that. We honor it. So we do
our best to do what we get to do as federal
government employees to do our inherent functions,

but also understand as tribal members, you know, how do we do our best to work with tribes and strengthen their capacity to do what it is they would like to do going forward.

So the Lakota language issue is one that you could. As you don't get to address right now on behalf of the seven schools, we get anywhere from 1.7 1/2 million to 2 million dollars specifically in ISEP for Lakota language and culture. And if you want to infuse that in your curriculum, if the tribe wanted to, you know, look at ISEP and look at contracting that money out of the ISEP. There are all kind of things we can talk about to address how Lakota language is strengthened and the students learn it and it's infused in the curriculum. Again, I'm not sure what the Ogala nation would like to do. But those are the things you know exist and know are specific monies. We think the best organization in education that supports language and culture through the ISEP formula funding it directly anywhere from 24 to 25 million dollars a year in our school system specifically for language and culture development in schools.

So there are a number of things that you can do today. Nobody is telling the tribes that they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't. We support whatever it is they want to go forward with in developing language and culture and infusing it stronger and better in their school system.

WILLIAM MENDOZA: I just want to point out too that there's a -- I might have characterized that the two approaches are in conflict with one another. I would argue that at some point, yes, it does beg the question that if we increasingly address the capacity issues and we gravitate more towards greater authority and access of TEAs, you know, the question does become then what is the role of BIE in that versus directly to tribes? These, of course, are conversations that from a tribal leader's standpoint, especially as under the Native Class Act and the conversations emerged about the merger of BIE and/or the transfer of it, you know, and that whole conversation, tribes were pretty adamant do not touch the BIE, do not change that structure. We are entirely comfortable with that structure. But the alternative is a disaggregation of that system, taking our Department of Education approach from 50 states to adding on, you know, arguably two-thirds to a third of tribes that are functioning high capacity TEAs,

as is sometimes the language that's thrown around there. And so the single grant solution speaks to the 48 some thousand students that BIE serves and the STEPP pilot speaks to public schools on Indian reservation lands, of course, contingent upon agreement with states and that is from the standpoint the one lacking authority is that it's still a contingent on agreement with SEAs.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Okay. Moving right along, sir.

DUANE POITRA: Duane Poitra, I'm the business manager for the Turtle Mountain community grant high school, located of course 12 miles out of Canadian border in central North Dakota. I just wanted to make some comments and actually have a technical question that relate to tribal grants support costs, costs, so to speak. But before I go on, I just want to recognize the efforts of this initiative. They are very, very positive and well taken. And also recognize some of the efforts by BIA in relation to tribal support costs. I know that within BIA, not BIE, BIA some of the costs, some of these contract for costs have actually increased over the last few years since an FY '09, which is a good thing.

But getting back to education, one of the first comments was recalling No Child Left Behind, we knew there were all types of several -- or unfunded mandates, so to speak. And now looking at the MOU itself, it looks more and more like BIE will be treated as a state, which has several good aspects. But before I go on, I want to touch on the administrative cost grants.

Within our grant school, some of the expectations have been that the -- we use the administrative costs support grant to administer several of these ESEA programs. However, according to the BIE formula, we'll use our schools, example, according to formula, we should be able to dissipate right around \$920,000. Of course jump into reality, the actual grant allocations come in about 587,000, which means the administrative costs to run these grant schools, which I believe there's 106 -- excuse me, 125, 126 of the 186 funded means it's telling us this is one area that's severely underfunded. I would say that's -- it's at about funded at 65 percent BIE's only calculated.

So when we look at some of these other programs, such as Title I, for example, I know that if we're going to be somewhat comparable to acting

such as a state entity, then we would be able to use this becomes my question. The first remark was the tribal administrative cost grants are very, very well underfunded. It's been like this for 20 years. And we continue to make that point. And we're at a point where we're having to use a lot of the direct services money, such as ISEP for these administrative purposes.

Then the second or the question that goes with this, in our school, anyway, we've been advised that the Title I money is a restricted money, so where it's only used for direct services as well as areas of professional development as well as homeless. However, I know that the SEAs are allowed to use a portion of their allocations for indirect costs to administrative programs.

So now with recognizing this in such an agreement is that going to hold true now for the grant schools? Would we be able to use a portion of the title program money for indirect costs or administrative costs or what's now been transferred referred to as tribal grant support costs?

WILLIAM MENDOZA: I think Keith would probably be the more appropriate person at that level of detail on those questions.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

KEITH MOORE: Thank you. I appreciate the
comments, especially on the BIE contract support
issue and taking a look at the tribally controlled
schools and administrative costs. It's funded at
about, I think, approximately 62, 63 percent right
now. And, you know, you take this is where the
important mechanisms and process pieces are
important. The tribal of which where many of
our tribal leaders are today, the Tribal Interior
Budget Committee meetings held three or four times
a year, and tribal leaders bring to the table their
budgetary all of their budgetary issues. And as
we took our seats and saw that process, we saw the
important piece that was missing in that
conversation was really strong and robust
conversation around education and BIE and the
issues that, you know, needed to be brought
forward. So when you look at the BIA went from I
think it was approximately in the same
administrative costs we're receiving right now for
tribally controlled schools, 62 percent, the BIA
contract support programs were about similar, 65,
70 percent. But the number one priority of that
Tribal Interior Budget Committee over a year or two
was we want to see tribal contract support at a

hundred percent in our DPA BIA programs. So what we saw was that commitment. All of a sudden those programs go to a hundred percent.

So when you sit in these spots and watch all these processes, you start to connect the dots. And what I would say to us as educators is we need to know who is on the Tribal Interior Budget

Committee from across the regions in the country, make sure that we take our educational budgetary issues to them and make sure that it's carried forward at the national and D.C. level in those meetings to say here is where we have a shortfall, here is what we would like to see improved in terms of the education budget. And then that becomes not priority number seven but hopefully it becomes priority number one, two, and then you see the process of making sure that it's allocated at a higher rate.

So I hope I explained well why I think it's a disconnect between no contract support on the BIA side and contract support for grant schools on the BIE side.

DUANE POITRA: And the second part of that question was then are we going to be able to use a portion of the Department of Education money for

1	those indirect cost purposes?
2	KEITH MOORE: I would have to I would have
3	to it would be a U.S. Department of Ed question.
4	Thanks.
5	WILLIAM MENDOZA: I got a new joke. I plead
6	the Mr. Ed on that one.
7	KEITH MOORE: Jeff Hamley, Doctor Hamley may
8	be able to answer that on behalf of the BIE.
9	JEFF HAMLEY: This thing is heavy. Jeff
10	Hamley, associate deputy direct of BIE. In the
11	MOU, this basically mirrors exactly what happens to
12	states, the 1.5 percent for admin. And by each of
13	the title programs it varies from 3.5 to something
14	like that. And those you know, so the state of
15	Minnesota, South Dakota, when they get their title
16	money, it's exactly the same.
17	So I I don't it's written in ESEA right
18	now. That's the way it is. And that's the way the
19	money is distributed by title program percentage.
20	And with that money we have to carry out the
21	responsibilities, as do the states, of the
22	monitoring, the compliance and providing the
23	technical assistance. Unless ESEA changes, the
24	distribution of monies won't change, I believe, in
25	how they're distributed. And but I'm also

1	interested, you know, how that money gets pushed
2	down to the local level by the states. I think
3	that, you know, that's an area we could explore.
4	Maybe they found a way to do it. But the way it's
5	currently written, the money stays at the SEA
6	level.
7	WILLIAM MENDOZA: And then just I think
8	it's been said here, but just want to stress it.
9	Those are different from title to title too, those
10	rates.
11	BRUCE MACALLISTER: All right. We're going to
12	basically move in the order that we had people
13	appearing at the table. And I'm going to hand the
14	mike, because these things do weigh a ton, give you
15	the mike rather than let you do your exercises this
16	morning.
17	BARBARA BLACKDEER-MACKENZIE: Okay. Thank
18	you. My name is Barbara Blackdeer-Mackenzie. And
19	I'm from the Ho-Chunk Nation Department of
20	Education. My title is education planning
21	specialist.
22	Greetings and thanks to each and every one of
23	you for having this consultation here today.
24	Through a number of requests, and specifically
25	from Mr. Echo Hawk, that we ended up taking a look

at this from a departmental standpoint. And so this kind of goes through terms of Ho-Chunk's position and then compare them to probably some of the position papers you've already analyzed.

With JOM we need to move back to a formula style funding and increase the per people spend. Like Norma stated earlier, I recommend that appropriations for ITA formula rather than discretionary grants. We keep fighting for the same nickel. I don't know if this is OMB or Congressional issue. We have real needs that require funding in order to accomplish the goals and objectives we set for ourselves. We need increase in appropriations to assure the fiduciary responsibilities, like what several have discussed here today.

In terms of the position statements, I'll just quickly go through like with NIEA, they talk about the student count should be updated, and just touched on that, that additional funding needs to be available. Also touched on that. NIEA supports their reinstatement of national JOM coordinator. I would agree with that because we often wonder how our grant reports are consolidated and received. And we understand that with the removal of the

regional officer those grants have not been compiled in a fashion that has been standard or able to be compared in a tribally or across tribally so we would get a better picture of what's going on within Native America.

He also talks about the proactivity, why -from the overall scope of native education and
advocates -- and advocates against any effort to
merge JOM and Title VII programs for native
students. I think I touched on that in
conversation with Bill earlier this month, where
we -- where JOM is the only federally controlled
although tribally administered funding stream we
have. And we'd rather not see that go through the
DOE because then it tends -- or my perception is
that it typically tends to then run into ESEA
funding and potentially be subject then to
consolidation under ESEA and therefore cuts. We
need to keep that separate identity there.

With the organizational streamlining plan,
NIEA supports the overall goal of improving the
efficiency and resource sharing, but those should
not be -- served by the BIE. And I agree with that
in terms of the cost savings going toward direct
service support for classrooms, academic support,

social workers, health, health needs or whatever happens at the schools that require direct service attention for our students.

And quickly, NIEA position statement with NJOMA, their position paper also opposed the elimination and commencing of JOM program and Title VII. It undermines tribal sovereignty in a very short statement. With -- excuse me.

I'll move on to TEDNA statement from Amy

Cordalis, her memorandum of May 14th talks about

the draft MOU talks about the MOU is not broad

enough in scope. And I guess my understanding is

that this is a start and it can always be changed.

And then on the second portion she talks about the

MOU would make the BIE an SEA or LEA for purposes

of Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

I guess my question is are we -- as an educator, are we, the tribe, spent on federal and state standards. We're trying to get away from standardized testing so that the standards that we choose would drive instruction, which would then drive assessment. But it seems like as soon as we get into that assessment realm for funding purposes, then that tends to drive our instruction. So it tends to get into this whirlpool cycle of

1 standardized testing equals funding.

With the increase provision regarding TEAs, which is a Section V of the same memo, she talks about a new section should be added to the MOU that regards how the DOE and BIE will implement these goals. To me that's not good policy. It's the MOU is a what document. We need a separate document that outlines the how-tos. And that would also allow us then to go tribally in terms of what the understandings are in order to regionalize some of the concerns, culture, some of the standards that need to be created and where we need to move from there.

With the final document that I have there —
it's the Bronner Group PowerPoint from the Office
of the Assistant Secretary. And it was based off
of the tribal consultations from April and May of
2012. And that was available on the DOE website, I
believe or BIE website. The points I have there
include that we need a significant increase. And I
say we as us talking here just based on the service
needs of the tribes have, and specifically
Ho-Chunk. We need a significant increase in
training and retraining of staff in order to be
productive in this streamline. The Bronner Group

presentation offers us a comparison in the 2004 to 2011 work charts for the input showing the satisfaction with the implementation with the 2011 changeover.

My suggestion based on that would be that we need to get more input from regional staff as to how some of these shifts and changes are going to take place. And then, generally, we need better government type -- government relationships with professional development communities in order that we can share good knowledge. NIEA does a good job. TEDNA does a good job. But we need more based on government relationships with tribes. And those of us who are tribal members, while certain superstars rise within different particular organizations, we also need general academic shift for all education professionals to assist in the success of our native students' education.

I guess this would be a question for Brian or Keith. And on slide 21 they talk about the recommended to be organizational chart. The main question I have, and you don't have to answer it right now, since it's not in front of you, is is the information development position like marketing or is it an internal research position to

facilitate internal evaluation and assessment? I
guess I would like that response later on so that
we can actually better understand the context for
that. When I managed different pieces of my tribe,
one of the things that I always consider personally
as a leader is strategy, bottom line, service
provisions, technology, employees and community.
With the comparison of the organizational charts,
the pieces that I have outlined are that the NIEA
is going to cover strategy. And CFOs cover bottom
line and are going to cover the services. And
technologies is covered by ITA. Employees are
human capital management, but the community piece.
And this is also something others have talked about
today to me is really about the feds. We really
don't have a specific position that is noted here
that addresses that completely. Now that's an
expectation that's more cross-departmental and
cross-positionwise where all of the employees are
required to have that community involvement.
I think that that needs to be explicitly
outlined with each and every one of the
communications that come out with regards to how
we're supposed to address that from the tribal

level as well as grant technical assistance.

One last thing I noted was not last, but
almost last was the BIA regional directors
generalist training for all services provided by
the BIA, BIE, especially if they're responsible for
representations field officers providing technical
assistance. And that's absolutely necessary for us
as tribes, because a lot of our parents have
questions with regards to the implementation of
these grants. And if we're going to shift a little
bit, then our parents Indian Education Committees
definitely need to have that training and technical
assistance available to empower themselves.
The last point is then on safety, which is in
the last part of that presentation on slide 57, and
it talks about how communications, internal
communications concerning support office policies

the last part of that presentation on slide 57, and it talks about how communications, internal communications concerning support office policies and decisions are fractured. With that statement I guess my question would be will Homeland Security then be the auditor for your safety? And there needs to be some formal field office support in D.C. and then the auditability needs to also be there.

So my hopes that these comments will assist you in this processes, streamlining these processes. Thank you.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Inank you very much.
2	We'll continue with this direction and come back
3	and catch
4	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: Thank you Mr.
5	MacAllister, Mr. Mendoza, Mr. Moore, panel members,
6	tribal leaders, Congresswoman Norma, educators and
7	guests.
8	My name, for the record, is Richard
9	Marcellais. I'm currently the North Dakota state
10	senator for District 9 since 2007 to present.
11	Within District 9, turtle Mountain reservation is
12	included. I was the former tribal chairman from
13	2008 to 2010. Currently sitting on four school
14	boards in Turtle Mountain. I'm the president of
15	two of them. And I'm a school board member of the
16	other two. I'm the president of the National
17	Indian School Board Association.
18	I do have testimony here, but I'm not going to
19	go through it all because it's about seven pages
20	long, but I'll share it with Mr. Mendoza and Mr.
21	Moore. I do have some exhibits with me. The first
22	thing I have here in my testimony is the history of
23	the Turtle Mountain Community Schools beginning in
24	1931 up to the present time. The second thing I
25	have is the accomplishments, the recent

1 accomplishments. And with that I mention some 2 exhibits.

As you all know, we have a national stop
bullying campaign. And we have that in North
Dakota also. I have a picture here of where
students out on the football field, stopped
bullying is on the football field. That's one of
our campaign logos there. We did that last
October. And I introduced the bill in North Dakota
because there was six states that didn't have that
legislation. And I believe Montana is working on
it, because they called me after I introduced it.

The second thing I want to mention in our accomplishments, we recently had relay to walk for cancer. Our middle school raised over \$18,000 for this campaign. We did another picture last week with hope on the football field with the middle school students.

We're doing a lot of things at our local level with the education. Those are just a couple of the ones that I wanted to mention.

The next thing I have is comments and questions. There's about four pages in here on the Memorandum of Understanding. I guess at this time I'd like to ask both of you when can we get answers

1	to these? Next week? Nine months from now?
2	KEITH MOORE: That would depend on the
3	question specifically. I'm sure
4	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: Any idea?
5	KEITH MOORE: I wouldn't be able to
6	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Let us pick nine months.
7	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: You know, you're starting
8	to sound like BIA and IHS, their MOU started in
9	2004 and still hasn't been completed. If you could
10	have an MOU, you better get it done, at least this
11	administration.
12	WILLIAM MENDOZA: That's exactly what our
13	priority is. And we'll look at the questions and
14	provide you with feedback, what it would take to
15	issue you a timeline response.
16	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: I'll leave you with my
17	business card too so you can send me an email or
18	somebody can send me an email letting me know when
19	they're going to respond.
20	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Yeah.
21	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: I also have three
22	questions here on the Executive Order. So that
23	might have to go higher up. I don't know. And
24	then I had some general comments in here also.
25	That's the third thing or the last thing that's in

1	here.
2	General comments on the overall BIE
3	educational system, I guess, is this going to cut
4	staff or what's I mean, that's some of the
5	the questions that were brought up. Reduction in
6	force they call it in government. I work for the
7	government for 27 years.
8	KEITH MOORE: You mean the MOU, the Executive
9	Order?
10	MR. MARCELLAIS: Yeah, the MOU, the MOU.
11	KEITH MOORE: Yeah, I
12	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: Are you going to do more
13	with less?
14	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Yeah, the MOU, you know,
15	those again, it's the agency relationship. And so
16	you know, there is no personnel provisions in
17	there. These are, you know, kind of the mechanisms
18	in place for the relationship and, you know, some
19	areas of principle that we think we need to
20	advance. So we haven't yet addressed, you know, of
21	course how are we going to do that with existing
22	resources and what are, any if, any additional
23	resources that we need to be examined. And that
24	would involve, as you know with the work with the
25	government, Office of Management Budget.

1	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: 27 years I know the
2	government.
3	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Yeah, yeah. So there's a
4	lot of other actors in those kind of conversations,
5	not to mention the existing budget reductions that
6	are presented before both agencies.
7	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: I have knowledge, skills
8	and abilities on tribal, state and federal
9	government so
10	MR. MENDOZA: I think you have a monopoly on
11	the school board system too.
12	RICHARD MARCELLAIS: I like to get things
13	done. That's how you get elected. And I have a
14	question for your education specialist on this
15	PowerPoint. You mentioned the fact that there was
16	some assessments reporting mechanisms. I'd like to
17	know, are they those reports going to go to the
18	tribe, the state and the federal government or just
19	stop at the federal government level?
20	BRIAN BOUGH: As it was under No Child Left
21	Behind, we do public reporting through our report
22	cards that's required under the law. If you go to
23	bie.edu you can look under reports and see reports
24	for the Bureau and aggregate and school by school I
25	think going to the 2007 2008 school year

RICHARD MARCELLAIS: They change that acronym. It's not No Child Left Behind. It's no chance of loving Bush. You mention this morning about the Native American language. I just want to let you know in the 61st legislative session 2009, we did pass a bill in North Dakota to recognize all native languages in the state of North Dakota as credit foreign languages for the diplomas and things.

The other nice thing we have at Turtle

Mountain, next week I'm going to a drop-out summit
in Bismarck. What we've done at Turtle Mountain is
we developed an alternative school. That's for the
students that can't finish high school. They have
a couple credits they have to have, they go to the
alternative school. We have a waiting list for it.
We can only accommodate about 20 students. But
it's really working well. So that's another thing
you might want to consider in the educational
system throughout Indian country.

With that, I'll have my closing comments. In closing, first of all, I want to thank you for giving me this opportunity to testify this morning. And I'm going to use President Obama's saying, as he would say, yes, we can make a difference for our Native American students. Thank you and have a

1	good day.
2	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you very much, sir.
3	All right. And finally, rather than wrestle the
4	mike over, maybe take the mike over to our last
5	person at the table and we'll open it up to the
6	list of others who have questions.
7	SPEAKER: (Speaking in native language.) My
8	friends and relatives, I needed to open my comments
9	in our language today because back home we tell our
10	children we are Lakota first, first and foremost.
11	And that is what they seek and desire. And they
12	are not getting it in our school systems right now.
13	I come from Standing Rock. I'm a member of
14	the Standing Rock tribe. I'm chairman of the
15	education committee. I humbly say that. I also
16	come from Sitting Bulls people. Standing Rock is
17	on North and South Dakota. I humbly share that
18	with all of you as well. But as we take a look at
19	what's going on today, we're going to continue to
20	raise these issues and concerns because we don't
21	fit in that colonized box. We never wanted to be
22	in that colonized box.
23	You take a look at the data, the number of
24	deaths when we were first put on Indian

reservations. You take a look at policies that try

1	to eradicate us and our languages, which are
2	spiritual. You take a look at all of those trying
3	situations. And my message to those Native
4	Americans who are in these positions right now,
5	remember where you come from. Try to not put us in
6	that box. Take heed to what we are saying. When
7	we speak our languages, we come from that vein and
8	that venue of thinking. It's about respect, but
9	it's about honesty as well. Nothing else.
10	We're not here to challenge anybody. We're
11	not here to try to talk about who is more
12	accountable.
13	Sadly, Indian education, if we look at it,
14	that's our concern, the accountability or lack of
15	it. We don't want to go there. What we do want to
16	do is point out some issues and some solutions.
17	This is a third meeting I've gotten a chance
18	to participate in, January and earlier this month.
19	It's my extreme concern as I sit here that this is
20	an exercise futility on our side. And on the
21	government side as a treaty partner, it's
22	exercising the fiduciary responsibility. And
23	that's downright frustrating.
24	When treaties were mentioned this morning, I
25	hope Mr. Moore was talking about Article VII of the

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1868 Treaty. And it talks about education. The
legal interpretation of the United States of
America says treaties are to be interpreted as the
Indians deem. We learn that in 1980 when the
Supreme Court made its ruling on the illegal taking
of the Black Hills in South Dakota. We are here
with our interpretation of what Indian education
must be, must be. And we don't want to be put in
that box any longer.

The MOU of 2005 and the MOU of today that we're talking about is of great concern because it keeps us in that box. We also understand that with this MOU it's going to treat the BIE as a state. And as treaty partners, no bureaucracies speaks for an Indian nation. We know how to speak for ourselves. We do not belong in that box of colonization any longer. You were there to help get rid of that box. Don't throw it along the road because somebody might pick it up. Don't put it there. We don't want anybody else to go through what we've gone through for decades and generations, those of us that grew up on a reservation, those of us that grew up in the communities, those of us whose heart is there and shall remain there as our ancestors did. Change

that. That's what we're asking. This MOU is going to keep us in that box.

I am concerned that through all this consultation what will be put in place. We've taken time, energy, resources to come to these meetings. What will be put in place that's going to benefit our children back home and our communities. What will be put in its place?

My concern, likewise, is I'm concerned that the pen is merely to the paper now with the MOU. The fiduciary responsibility is being accomplished. We know that. We've been through this for decades, for generations. Since the signing of the first treaty we've had this kind of treatment. Don't do that to us any longer.

The concern we have also is that there are hardly any changes in this MOU. When we look at Executive Order 13592, and I quote, for centuries the federal government's relationship with these tribes has been guided by trust responsibility, a long-standing commitment on the part of our government to protect the unique rights and ensure the well-being of our nation's tribal, respecting their sovereignty. There's two words in there, three words I want to talk about, trust

1	responsibility. That is not in a treaty. That is
2	the United States' interpretation of the treaty.
3	Trust responsibility. That's not ours. That's
4	true treaty partners if that's how we're going to
5	be looked at, and we should be looked at.
6	Tribal sovereignty, what is that? To me that
7	means we're treaty partners.
8	Prayers have been offered for generations for
9	Native Americans to be in positions like you.
10	Don't make it a continuing exercise of futility for
11	us and our children, our grandchildren and those
12	yet born. It's time to make this change.
13	So if you put the 2005 MOU, the 2012 MOU side
14	by side, what can we take home and say this is how
15	it's going to benefit Indians, Native Americans,
16	Lakota? What can we take home and tell them?
17	Because in our review, essentially it's all the
18	same. In our view, what the president has
19	outlined, President Barack Obama, the spirit of
20	that is being left out if you don't recognize us
21	with ability, which we do have, to speak for
22	ourselves, with the ability to create solutions,
23	which we already have.
24	There is mention of language revitalization,
25	saving the language. We are doing that. And I

1	humbly say that. Back home on Standing Rock, we're
2	having our sixth annual Lakota Summer Institute,
3	our language at Sitting Bull College. The BIE
4	didn't do that. The BIA didn't put any money in
5	that. We did that on our own with our relatives.
6	We know what to do. Don't keep us in that box with
7	this MOU. Look at our tribal education
8	departments. Treat them as ESEAs, as true treaty
9	partners would and should and must. That has to be
10	done.
11	We can't be treated with this placating
12	attitude any longer. Too many of our children are
13	dropping out in this system. The system that we
14	want to change and know how to change and are
15	changing. Don't keep us in this bureaucratic
16	system. That's all it is. One size fits all. I
17	could never look at relatives from the reservations
18	back home in the Dakotas as that. We all fit in
19	this one box. Absolutely not.
20	When the United States president is talking
21	about treaties, sovereignty, let's begin to put
22	those into documents and recognize tribal education
23	departments with that ability. And we will be
24	accountable.
25	We're not saying get out of the way. We're

1	saying understand, see, feel and hear what we're
2	doing. We know what that feels like to be shoved
3	around, pushed around, belittled and threatened.
4	We would never do that. Look at that.
5	The need to use Congressional venues is upon
6	us when we look at education and other issues of
7	matter of importance to our communities. The need
8	to look at legal venues is creeping upon us as
9	well. We can't sit back and say, well, maybe this
10	time it will work, maybe this coming school year we
11	won't have so many drop-outs, maybe this coming
12	school year our graduations are going to improve,
13	because we're seeing the same bureaucratic
14	language, verbiage put in documents, not
15	recognizing us as treaty partners.
16	There are two worlds of thought. There are
17	two ways of living. There are two languages and
18	maybe more that some of our children know about.
19	And from that comes a beautiful way of thinking. A
20	simple way of thinking that speaks to how can we
21	better the lives of our children and our elderly.
22	It doesn't speak to how can we save our jobs
23	because of the pending budget cuts. Doesn't speak
24	to that.

When we learned of the Johnson O'Malley

program using 1995 data, that was a travesty. That was something bordering criminal. And then to ask and not get an answer, why didn't you use current data even from last year, instead using 1995 data, then we've got to tell our children, well, we're sorry, this is a law but it doesn't have any -- any resources in it. So we go to find out, we're not told the answer. We're not told the answer when we ask what was the budget that was put forward for this year from the BIE? There was no response. Those are acts of criminality. If our children were sitting right here, you'd have to go beyond citing policy, bureaucratic policy. A bureaucratic response will not suffice. This is what we bring to the table.

If you think you're hurting, you talk to that young person who wanted to graduate from college, from high school and couldn't. If you think you're hurting, if you think you're offended, if you think life is difficult, go speak to them.

And we know we have the solutions. We know that. A Lakota language movement is a testimony to that. And for us at Standing Rock, we didn't have to use BIE dollars or policies. The Bronner Group study, another act that borders criminality that

puts us in a box, there was nothing, no data that
was collected from the Dakotas. Another testimony
of they all look the same, they all sound the same,
we put them all in the same box.

What a travesty for our children, not to me, but to our children to think that we're going to sit back and say oh, yeah, put us all in that same box, even though there's no data collected from the Dakotas, bringing that out to us, spend the millions of dollars. These are children's lives. These are our future.

Those of us that want to stay and will stay on our Indian reservations are demanding change.

Finally, the MOU that's in front of us, unless you look at change of tribal education departments to be treated the same as states, this MOU is about us, it's not with us. Let's change that. The MOU is about us. We will not sit back and say yes, we agree, we trust that the BIA knows and they're going to speak for us. They don't know. If they did know, we wouldn't be in this situation. The MOU is about us. It's not with us. As the president said in his Executive Order, respect their sovereignty. It's about us.

When we say sovereign or sovereignty, back

1	nome we say the oyate, the people, the nation, this
2	is what we bring. Treat our tribal education
3	departments as sovereigns. We can't wait anymore.
4	Look at us as treaty partners. We do know
5	something. And we will continue to show you.
6	Like I said earlier, the need to look at
7	Congressional venues is upon us. The need to look
8	at legal venues is upon us. We're saying these
9	things three times now. Three times because we
10	believe that the travesty in Indian education is a
11	human rights violation. Look at the drop-outs,
12	look at the low graduation rates. The time is upon
13	us.

I would like to know when this MOU is going to be signed. And I would like to know what changes are going to be made following this consultation and others that may be had. When is it going to be signed and what can we look at as changes? Right now we're looking at one almost a mirror of the one that was put into place in 2005. And that was before the president of the United States, Barack Obama, put out his Executive Order improving American Indian Alaskan Native education students and strengthen tribal colleges and universities, that was well before his time.

1	(Speaking in native language.) I needed to
2	extend my voice to you, share it with you, because
3	our children at home are suffering. That's what
4	I've come to do. And if I've offended you, I can't
5	say I'm sorry. Until the children's needs back
6	home and our recommendations are listened to as
7	treaty partners, the pain will continue. Please
8	keep that in your heart.
9	No more fighting. No more challenging. Let's
10	take a look at this as a true Lakota warrior will.
11	True Lakota warrior doesn't kill his enemy. He
12	touches his enemy. Let's not go there. We don't
13	have to do that.
14	So I will pack up the spirits of our children
15	back home and head back west to Standing Rock in a
16	few hours. I've accomplished what I wanted to
17	accomplish. I've shared with you what I wanted to
18	share for today. And we are looking for some
19	promising results. Take us out of that box.
20	(Speaking in native language.) Thank you.
21	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you, sir. We've
22	got half an hour for continuing dialogue. And
23	shall we move forward?
24	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Thank you. And if you're
25	not in touch with the people you certainly

(Speaking in Native language.). I want to acknowledge that and thank you for those strong words.

And I just feel compelled to provide a response to your fundamental question about the finality or signatures, as you characterized it, of this MOU. And I just want to express that the longer that we extend these conversations, the less and less able we are, under a critical timeline, to access those, as you characterize it, the Congressional, legal venues to act upon some of these initiatives and these reforms that you speak about. Whether it's the flexibility window, ESEA, Carlson Perkins Workforce Investment Act, there's tremendous amount of actors that need to be in place with us and on board with trying to pursue the objectives as set forth in the president's Executive Order.

And, you know, as much as you feel that this MOU mirrors the 2005 one, the seven objectives of the Executive Order is the strongest distinction and what is encompassed in this, that is really the substance of how we're going to be pursuing those more substantive issues. And it is at the end of the day an agreement between agencies. And it's in

place within the context of additional mechanisms. And I'm not sure if you were here earlier, but I spoke about these in-depth; namely, also our consultations and learning sessions that we've employed to garner input to garner, you know, thoughts about how this MOU impacts you and how we visit what we intend to be a living, breathing document as we move along. Whether that's on annual basis, biannual basis, we need to look at those issues. But I think we cannot afford to not have this be mobilized around in this what amounts to historic time period.

And I really appreciate your emphasis on the students in this conversation and what that means for this important work. I know, at least speaking for myself, when I talk to students, I hear them saying I'm hungry, I'm not safe, I don't have the expectations that are in alignment with what I can do as a student, and I want to know my language and my history and my culture. And to me, as much as we hear passionately from tribal leaders that sovereignty leads our conversations, it's those reflections of those students, what they envision for themselves and our future generations and all of our work and what we contribute to it that is

the substance of that sovereignty. And so by program from program we address that context and how can we advance within the scope of, as you mentioned, those other actors, Congress, the legal realm that impacts all of those regulations is how we're going to be employing this work.

I know you had a lot there, but I at least wanted to provide you with a response to the urgency of this MOU. And, you know, we certainly take heavily your concerns and the specifics that you've conveyed here today, and thank you for your important work. And you and I have talked on numerous occasions about these issues.

SPEAKER: Two comments back. Thank you.

(Speaking in native language.) I said to him that
you're speaking honestly and compassionately and we
can tell and feel your words.

Two things, though. One, we submitted from Standing Rock a tribal resolution that were requesting waivers. One of the solutions that is working for us is to incorporate what we call today in our English language Montessori curriculum. We requested waivers. We've gotten one from the state of South Dakota. We requested them to the BIE. We requested -- I think we visited you. And this is

what the frustrating issue is, why does it take so long if we're truly treaty partners and if you're looking at us as not one size fits all. If those words that are said have truth to them, why does it take so long? And we kind of understand that because it's the bureaucracies, you got to look at this policy, you got to get a solicitor, all that in the meantime our children are waiting. But we're going to continue to hammer away at this if we need to. We don't want to do that, but we have to, we will continue to do that.

The second portion in regard to your comments, we can't, for the life of us, figure out why it's so difficult to insert language that would say tribal education departments shall be treated as the states do. And then maybe the next sentence, BIA can -- BIE can be treated as a state because we're sovereigns. We're treaty partners. We can't figure out in our thinking why that can't be done. But maybe it goes back to bureaucracies. And the bureaucracies is not good for Indian country. Look at the data. Look at all those things. This is -- thank you so much, but that's the frustrating part.

We have the experts. We have graduate level degrees. They have a wealth of experience and

1	knowledge. They can and they have put these things
2	together. But they get thrown into that box
3	because some people think they can do that. I just
4	want to say it's the spirit of our children that
5	we're talking about. Don't learn the hard way.
6	Advice from our ancestors. Thank you.
7	BRUCE MACALLISTER: We'll be moving now into
8	the additional comments on our speakers' list and
9	meanwhile, we will see how our time frames are
10	going to run.
11	(A break was taken.)
12	JAMES MONCHAMP: Thank you. I'm James
13	Monchamp, and I work with the Minneapolis Public
14	School District for the Johnson-O'Malley program,
15	I'm also the vice-president of the National
16	Johnson-O'Malley Association. A parent committee
17	member here, Andrea Lawrence, is handing out our
18	position paper. Hopefully, our stenographer will
19	get a copy of that.
20	This is my second forum that I've been to. I
21	was fortunate to be in the Phoenix area, so I went
22	to that. And you guys are kind of lucky, I spent a
23	day-and-a-half there, so half a day here.
24	Anyway, I just want to touch on two things.
25	In our conference call yesterday, there were a

couple people that talked about Johnson-O'Malley
and what direction that association is taking. We
strongly advocate the position in D.C. There was
some talk that the position would be at a regional
level, but that that wouldn't be acceptable to
any of the programs for Johnson-O'Malley in that,
because we do need a central office in the D.C.
area. And we're also looking at funding back at
the 1995 level, and there's some talk about,
also in that position paper, we have seven
suggestions in that. If anybody needs a copy of
that from our standpoint, you can go to our
website, the National Johnson-O'Malley Association.
The acronym is NJOMA. I facilitate that website,
so get a hold of me and I can get you a copy of it.
I was also requested to ask two questions of

I was also requested to ask two questions of these guys. The student count that we sat through in Phoenix, and I think that Lakota guy had said he attended three others and this is his third, and one was a presentation on the student count, and we want -- we want to know when that deadline is. And secondly, our suggestion is to have that student count be in October. School starts in September.

Our Minneapolis area here, according to Andrea here, will be starting in August. Our

recommendation is to have that student count in October, because we get our list from all the prospective schools on the reservation, off the reservation, rural areas.

Lastly -- not lastly, we also had some emails and some conversation with some of the programs around the area in regard to our -- our spending is down from our -- our funds for the year. I talked to Bill about it a little bit, and I'll be getting him some information, but I'm asking the BIE people here if there's any policy in place, new directives, what have you, in regard to spending down our Johnson-O'Malley program moneys. We're not allowed to carry it over from year to year as we've been doing since I've been with the program in its double digit years. And as I stated, when is the deadline for the student count? That's it.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you, sir. There was a question are we randomly picking or are we sticking to our list? Indeed, we are trying to work through in order of the sign-up for people that spoke or want to speak. Our next speaker would be Donna Yellow Owl.

DONNA YELLOW OWL: Thank you. First of all, my name is Donna Yellow Owl, and I'm a member of

the Black Feet Tribe, but today I stand before you as the chairwoman of the School Board of Trustees for Browning Public School District No. 9 in Montana.

First of all, I would just like to thank and applaud everyone in this room for coming here today, because I think that everybody speaking out on the behalf of our Indian children and putting them to the forefront, instead of leaving them behind, is the best thing that we could do for Indian education. And so I applaud and thank each and every one of you for that.

Browning School District No. 9 is a just that, a public school. We are state and federally funded. We are not tribally funded. We do not receive any tribal funding from our tribal government. We do have a JOM program who works directly with our parent advisory board. And I'll be honest with you, I don't know what they do and we're working with that. But that is the only kind of assistance we receive from our tribal government.

One thing that I would like to inform you of is this past Sunday we graduated 115 students. We had a run for our valedictorian seat. We had three

gentlemen sitting as the valedictorians of our class this year, three. We also have an academy school -- just like this gentleman in the pink.

Sorry, that's that guy right there -- that we've had for seven years. And through the past seven years, we've graduated from 150 to 200 students in that academy school. And that school accommodates students not because they're bad, because they have had hardships in their lives. They have either had to raise their parents, no way to school, have had children themselves, but we've got them back into our school district, and we've educated them and they've all received diplomas. And we're very proud of them, as well.

As I stated, we are a public school district

As I stated, we are a public school district and we do have 98 percent Native American students who attend our school district. We also have a Black Feet boarding dormitory which is under the BIE program. This program only houses these students, it does not educate these students. These students are transported to our school district up to our public school and educated.

Now, my question -- I have actually two questions. First of all, we had heard a rumor or we -- I don't know if it's a rumor, but last Friday

we had heard that the Senate basically kicked out the impact aid issue regarding tribal government's running the funding. And I was just wondering if that was true or not true. Is that just that, a rumor, or what?

WILLIAM MENDOZA: Normally I receive briefings on Congressional activity. I know in terms of the involvement on the step pilot, that's one that's in agreement with Congress. It's in our budget for 2013 and we intend to look at that program and proceed with whatever is necessary that we learn from those grantees. As to the HELP Committee -- Health, Labor, Educations, Pensions -- there are numerous bills out there that address Indian education on the ESEA standpoint ranging from -- everywhere from the Native Class Act and how that has derived from Indian Country and formed by Indian Country and came out of the Senate. There's strong provisions for greater tribal control widely supported by tribes. So that's one extreme.

The other extreme is certainly looking at

Senator Klein and others as to how do we collapse

funding for those students in the state budgets.

And, you know, this is a gross oversimplification

of these bills, but those are the two extreme

approaches. So I think the concerns that you talk about, these are kind of encompassed more in what we're hearing from educators about the collapsing of these grants for states. And that has been one that's been ongoing ever since the ESEA was considered for reauthorization. And Senator Klein put out that bill, I believe, in early December, around that time. And so I haven't heard any update as to any other activity around that. So those are kind of the three issues I could speak to at this time. But Congress, they do their own thing and we try to keep tabs on what's being developed.

DONNA YELLOW OWL: Well, in my opinion, I would say to that the local level should keep control of their impact aid dollars. That is what trustees are voted in there to do, hold financial systems of their public schools, and I believe that that is where it should stay.

With that said, I'll move on. Under your MOU on Page 4, the fiscal year 2012 you have funding for a pilot program under the Indian Education, it's a competitive grant to tribal education agencies. We are a public school district who teach students who house in BIE dormitories. My

1	question, those grants, are they going to be are
2	public school districts going to be eligible for
3	that? If so, is there a criteria or a formula for
4	those? And are we putting in an application, or
5	are we even going to have a chance in receiving
6	those?
7	WILLIAM MENDOZA: The notice for intent for
8	applications has been published as of, I believe,
9	the day before yesterday on the federal registry.
10	It should be up on grants.gov today, or what we
11	call the State Education Partnership Pilot. And so
12	that that is the pilot that's referenced in the
13	MOU. And that the eligible grantees under that
14	will be tribes, but it is in collaboration with
15	state agencies which gets down to the LEA at school
16	level.
17	DONNA YELLOW OWL: I haven't seen that.
18	WILLIAM MENDOZA: We can have certainly other
19	conversations if you've got more questions.
20	DONNA YELLOW OWL: I haven't seen that, but I
21	will check that. I've been at the Mall of America
22	for two days, but thank you very much.
23	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you very much.
24	ROBERT RIDES AT THE DOOR: First of all, I
25	want to introduce myself. I'm Robert Rides At The

Door. I, too, am a school board member from
Browning, Montana and I want to thank the
committee, the BIE, and all of you that are here
for showing such an interest. It dawned on me last
night that a Black Feet guy in Minneapolis, you can
relax, I'm not here to steal your women or horses.
I left my war horse at home.

I've got about a four-page resume' in education. In a couple years, I'll have 40 years into the educational system. And I think some of you were probably in elementary school when I started teaching school. I think that when we talk about the BIA, the tribe, and the public schools, the public schools has always been a stepchild, because at times when the state doesn't recognize the tribal jurisdiction, when the state doesn't realize that public schools have to have the same expenses that them state dollars can't be used for, we go to the tribe and we go to the Bureau and we get the same rhetoric -- we get the same rhetoric, well, you're under the public school, the state should provide for you.

And I think you heard briefly that impact aid is probably 45 percent of our total school budget in Browning. And when you talk about taking impact

aid moneys, which is actually tax dollars owed to
the schools because of the tax from taxes on the
trust property, you begin to realize that if that
was to go through the tribes -- and the tribe
imposes 18 to 25 percent indirect costs on that, to
Browning that's over \$2-and-a-half million.
\$2-and-a-half million that would be taken away from
the direct services of children. Donna, where did
she go? Those moneys that would be taken away from
our school district is very important.

We have probably, I'm going to say, 75 percent of our total 180 teaching staff predominantly from my hometown. My entire school board that is here today is alumni from Browning. So you can see that the consistency that we as a community try to uphold is there, but it's the inconsistencies of the political world that we haven't put our children in when we have to go to the agencies for assistance and that assistance isn't there.

When we talk about the -- the stats of our -of our Indian school boards and school systems, we
educate 92 percent of the Indian children in
America. Sadly to say, the Bureau only educates
about eight percent. So when we go to the Bureau
for funding requirements that we have a shortfall

in terms of what we can get out of compensation in state government, in other realms of moneys, the Bureau seems to always turn their cheek the other way.

When we talk about tribal ED departments, I think Donna had mentioned earlier, we don't even know what our tribal ED department does on Black Feet. We get \$6,000 from our JOM program into our school. We have a 23 million plus budget for the school district and we get 6,000 on JOM.

When we talk about the MOU -- to me an MOU -- in the business world that I'm in, if I was to take this MOU and apply it and say, all right, according to our MOU you haven't satisfied your part of the deal and I was to take you to court, that MOU would be thrown out by the judge. It's only a piece of paper.

So when we talk about the education of our Indian children and we talk about the Bureau of trust and responsibilities and we talk about all of the responsibilities that we as people have, we seem to have failed those young people. We have some outstanding results in the Browning School System. We're the largest school district in Montana, the third largest in the United States.

And I think when we were talking with Mr. Mendoza last year, even in the impact aid community,

Browning community leaders are always there because we can substantiate numbers, we can substantiate success because we try hard as a community and as a reservation. And I also want to include Heartview schools as part of our -- although the government separated them into a different county, we're all Black Feet members of that reservation.

So I think that when we talk about that MOU, we need to include in them public schools so that we have input. Because we were very surprised when we had heard the rumor that the Office of

Management & Budget and Department of Ed and BIE and whoever whoever was going to consolidate all education funds to the tribe, you could hear that rumbling going around the world. Because we weren't even notified in terms of what's going to happen there, but yet we provide those 92 percent of Indian students in America that education and weren't ever once notified that was on the table for proposals.

When we talk about a chain of command, I think that when we realistically look at the western philosophy as a way of life, it's easy to see it,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I have yet to see it. I don't know where public -- where Indian public schools lie within the realm of the public world that we're into right now. I thank my -- my fellow Sitting Bull relative over here for his statements, because I think we're getting to the position where you as tribal leaders in this community, in this room today need to remember that those treaties were not signed with the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Bureau of Indian Education, they were signed with the United States government. You shouldn't even have to submit your budget requests through the Bureau, it should be to the Office of Management & Budget at the Presidential level. I'm also a little radical, too. I think when we look at the BIA funding, the

I think when we look at the BIA funding, the cycle of it, many times the tribe doesn't submit to you education as their top priority. Because in the political world, Indian children can't vote. So you'll see a list of items. I was on the Council in the '80s, one of the youngest tribal council to be elected with a bunch of elders. And we did that list of priorities for the BIA for budget, and many times I've looked at those budgets from those tribes in Montana and education was

never on the top of it. Simple words like "may" and "will". When you look at legislation, a lot of it will say the BIA, the state, the federal government may fund, may provide. It should say will, will provide, will fund. But we get a play on English words. And when you talk about Indian language, such as my brother over here, those are specifics.

In the Black Feet tradition, there are four realms of where you have transfer of that right of knowledge. When we talk about the right of education, it takes the venue, it takes the people who have passed it to the younger generation, but it takes a song. And once you've completed those three areas, that is an automatic transfer to the recipient of that. When you talk about spiritual, mental, and physical aspects, politics never takes all of those into play, never.

When we talk about No Child Left Behind,

Montana was the first to challenge that. Because

Norma and -- and some of the people that were

there, Denise Juneau that she had mentioned, is a

part of Black Feet, those schools challenged that.

Because we're finding that in No Child Left Behind,

when you're talking about 100 percent of something,

1	when you have children I visited a first grade
2	in Browning. Of 140 newborns, 80 of them have some
3	kind of mental disability from drugs and alcohol
4	and meth use. How can you have 100 percent of
5	anything? We need help. We need social help from
6	the schools. When you talk about education,
7	education is bigger than business. How many of you
8	would put your grandchildren ahead of your
9	business? I don't think anybody would.
10	Trying to break the tension here a little bit.
11	Back home one of our announcers, he's always going
12	1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4. My grandson he told me,
13	Papa, how come he always does that? Can he only
14	count to 4? But you see, grandchildren are who our
15	future leaders are going to be. You young people
16	that are here, you have a big advantage because of
17	technology that you have, the access to those
18	people.
19	I think when we talk about the moral issues
20	that are here, I think we have to really realize
21	that, yeah, it's a job. When we started our case
22	15 years ago, sadly the lady that started it did
23	not even live to see the results of it, other than
24	knowing that it was in preliminary approval.
25	It isn't a job. When we're talking about

educating children, it's a way of life. Because if we don't educate our children, we're going to continue to have the social ills that we're having now. We say that education is the key to success, let's prove it. Let's take that education to that four-step process to where we transfer that right of education to those young people and continue to have that.

And, again, I just want to thank you for -for allowing us to be here, for allowing public
comments. I do hope and I wish that at future
hearings you'd have a little bit more time than
just four hours. I think that this afternoon could
have been well used for a lot of other people to
make comments other than just on your list. And
also with respect that would be shown to these
tribal leaders in terms of some of their responses
they had probably had in terms of their questions.

And so in closing, I, too, am a traditional elder back home and people say, well, why don't you talk to people in your Black Feet language? Well, I don't know my language fluently enough to say it. If I did it anyway, you wouldn't know what I was calling you anyway, so I won't do that. And I will be praying, as I do every day and every morning and

every evening, and hopefully that instead of an MOU, we'll have something a little bit more hard that we can take it and say, yes, in the Obama presidential era, we did make an impact in Indian education. And for you tribal leaders, yes, we do need that seat on the United Nation so that we do have that authority to regulate the United States government and services. Thank you. And I'm not running for office.

DION KILLSBACK: I want to add real quickly that we do have the ability to receive comments both via the Internet and email, also snail mail. But also what we are also going to do is we have staff here and our court reporter. So this was set to go to 12 or 1. But also after that, we're going to have our court reporter to be available to take more comments, as well. So I just wanted to say that.

MONIQUE MCKAY: Robert Cournoyer.

ROBERT COURNOYER: Mr. Robert Cournoyer. I guess we've had a lot of people address a lot of the issues that -- concerning this MOU. And I've been involved in this process going back over 24 years. I was -- I served as a member of the school board, but also I'm a former chairman of our tribe

1	for six years, and I was the vice-chairman for four
2	years, so I have a little bit of experience. And
3	even before that, you go way back to Mr. Drapeaux
4	and his father and my father served on the founding
5	school board on our reservation. So our lives take
6	us back that far. You know, listening to my
7	father, and I know Brian did a lot of the same, I
8	have a lot of respect for our fathers and what they
9	did. My father was involved in on the
10	council, too, tribes council, so I think that
11	before I got involved, you know, we had plenty of
12	experience of listening to these issues and talking
13	about consultation and all the issues associated
14	with consultation and how these processes go. And
15	I think that a lot of our leaders and I've
16	been I'm no longer on any board or serve
17	anymore, I'm just a private citizen out here. But
18	I still have these concerns because I've served so
19	many years in this process. And maybe some day I
20	might step up to the plate again, who knows. But I
21	feel that a lot of our leaders have addressed this
22	issue. Sometimes well and sometimes we don't need
23	to comment as adversaries. I think we have to work
24	together, because we all have a job to do, and that
25	job is to ensure that our children get educated.

1	And at this time, I see that education is at a
2	crossroads. You know, education is a crisis on a
3	lot of our reservations, especially in the Dakotas.
4	We have No. 1, we face high unemployment. And I
5	think when you when it comes to serving people,
6	we all serve a lot of our reservations are high
7	poverty areas and, you know, it takes a lot of
8	money to fight poverty, but it also is not a good
9	conducive learning environment for education
10	because it has many detractions. A lot of our
11	kids there's a lot of alcoholism, there's a lot
12	of drug use, there's gangs, and many, many social
13	ills that face our children. And sometimes it's
14	hard for them to get up and face that day in a
15	normal non-reservation setting. You know, we just
16	have kids average daily attendance is sometimes
17	poor. But, you know, they face all these issues,
18	because a lot of times a lot of these issues aren't
19	important because they have to face the day-to-day
20	of just surviving, you know. And that makes it
21	doubly hard to to get educated when you have to
22	face all these social ills.
23	But I think that what we're doing here today
24	and what we're trying to do is that we're moving
25	forward in a cooperative way that hopefully we can

solve some of these problems. We'll never be able
to solve all the social ills, but I think that if
we work as partners in that and tribes are part of
the stakeholders, that we're part of the decision
making, that part of the testimony that we deliver
is listened to. I think that we can come come
to some good outcomes, we could come to some good
solutions with the problems that face us in
education. And it's not an easy job for for any
of us, because I really feel that now is the time
to try to address all these issues, because I don't
think we would like to have our next generation
of of leaders be not not be able to read or
write very well. And, I mean, I've seen that. I
worked at our school, being a tribal leader and all
these, I've seen kids graduate from high school
that could read on a fourth-grade level. You know,
I've seen kids I substitute taught at our
schools, and I've seen kids on an open-book
question where they can read and find the answers,
they couldn't even find the answers. I found that
very disturbing. A lot of times you go to these
classrooms and the kids have very little
interaction with the teacher. It's all about
putting these handouts out and letting them work

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

off handouts, you know. So I feel -- and I commend the schools that are doing very, very well, but we do have schools that are doing very poorly. And I don't know where all the answers are, and I think that we have to pool our minds together and pool new and innovative ways how to educate our children, because I don't think it's happening in some schools.

You know, I can go back to my day -- I wasn't taught in a BIA school or contract or grant school, I was taught at a Catholic boarding school. And, you know, people always have a lot of things that they can tear that system down or speak ill of it, but I felt that I got a really great education there. And, you know, I don't know what the difference is between some of those same reservations that had those Catholic schools or even, like, government boarding schools or even other -- other denomination schools that had schools on reservations, that somehow between that time and now that we've lost that ability, in some way, to educate those children. Not all, but we find ourselves at that crossroads where I think that we really need to do something. Something needs to happen.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1	And I see a lot of you up there are all
2	well-educated Native American people, and I really
3	commend you for that. But I see that our kids
4	some of our kids coming up in today's world are
5	really struggling just getting past an elementary
6	education. So we got to work together. I mean,
7	it's good that we can come together and, you know,
8	I guess, speak ill of each other or maybe not say
9	so many great things about each other, but we have
10	to create an environment where we can all work
11	together to make it a successful outcome for all of
12	us and our reservations. Thank you.
13	MONIQUE MCKAY: Barb Paquin. I have about
14	seven speakers left.
15	BRUCE MACALLISTER: We have about 40 minutes,
16	so we'll try to get everybody worked in. Just,
17	once again, we also have the court reporters here.
18	We will not miss a statement, we'll get those
19	statements. We'll try to get as many live as we
20	can.
21	BARBARA PAQUIN: I'll be short. I just have a
22	few questions, and then I will let others come and
23	talk and I will be respectful of their time. I'm
2.4	talking about the flexibility plan. The document

says generating baselines. And, Brian, probably

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll talk to you about this or whoever designed
this document. Is that an externally generated
baseline, or is it a baseline that's generated by
the school? Because if it's not generated by the
school itself, it is not it's negating the
concept of a growth model.

BRIAN BOUGH: The first year of which the flexibility plan goes into place will generate a baseline for all the schools. So we are essentially moving away from the NCLB system of identifying a school's status based on their AYP performance. So what we'll do is we'll take the growth demonstrated at the school, proficiency levels demonstrated at the school, and we'll identify schools for a new status under the new system. That baseline there will then determine the projectory what annual measurable objective the school needs to attain year by year, and that's based on the school's performance. So that's what it will be based on is the school's actual performance, but it's also based on their performance relative to other schools in the system.

BARBARA PAQUIN: So our baseline numbers are going to be generated by our school, and we are not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be held accountable to a baseline that's generated bureau-wide?

BRIAN BOUGH: The way in which we preconceive our annual measurable objective is we take the data from all the schools on the new bureau-wide assessments and we create a system that more or less approximates a quintile; that is, schools are compared with one another across the entire BIE, then schools identified in each one of those sectors, those quintiles, has a projected growth target. They need to hit an annual measurable objective. That's going to be based on the school's actual performance relative to other BIE schools, but also based on its own performance. And then every five years we will reset a baseline so that we have a continual improvement of the system over time. That's different than the current AMO model which says schools will hit 100 percent proficiency rates by 2014.

BARBARA PAQUIN: But if you don't hold the baselines that comes from the school itself, then you're holding us to an accountability process that is external to our system. In other words, you're going to be holding us to an accountability system that's going to include Arizona and New Mexico and

1	everyone else.
2	BRIAN BOUGH: No, no, no. This is for the
3	BIE-funded schools.
4	BARBARA PAQUIN: Right, exactly. 'Cause I
5	know the document says scores. And once you start
6	to talk about those scores, they have to be
7	generated by a calculation that takes all of the
8	assessment into account, which will negate a growth
9	model. Because a growth model is only effective if
10	it involves the accounting pool that you're coming
11	from. So if we're going to be accountable, we
12	should be accountable to our own system, because
13	that's a true growth model. It shows where we
14	started and it shows how much we've grown.
15	But if you're using baseline numbers external
16	to our system, then you are putting us into a
17	situation where we are going to be in competition
18	again, just like NCLB, we're in competition with
19	others than than ourselves. And if we're going
20	to go to a real true growth model, it should be
21	where we start as a school with our children and
22	where they grow, not involving numbers outside of
23	ourselves.
24	JEFFREY HAMLEY: Are you reading you're
25	reading from the summary?

1	BARBARA PAQUIN: Yeah.
2	JEFFREY HAMLEY: Bie.edu is the full document,
3	129 pages. I would want you to look at that and
4	then maybe we can revisit this. It talks also
5	about the differentiated recognition system. And
6	the reward one of the rewards is for
7	proficiency is No Child Left Behind, but also
8	growth. So there are substantial growth based on
9	your school. I think probably the summary
10	you're asking very good questions, but look at the
11	full document. And then if you want us to talk to
12	you more about this, we can.
13	BARBARA PAQUIN: Yeah, I definitely would like
14	this clarified because of what has happened to us
15	through No Child Left Behind. I know that I've
16	read the draft flexibility plan, and I do know that
17	there's the word penalty sprinkled liberally
18	through that document and it not being defined. So
19	I'm concerned about the word penalty that's used
20	over and over again.
21	BRIAN BOUGH: Yeah. I think we have to be a
22	little more clear here. I hate to get too
23	technical, because I was told specifically don't be
24	so technical. Whenever we look at the growth,
25	those are actually not generated at the school

level, those are generated on a student-by-student
level. So we're going to have expectations of a
student to grow on a yearly basis. That is, they
take the test at the beginning of the year, it
gives them a growth target at the end of the year.
The expectation for every school is to have 100
percent of their students hits growth target, not
that they're 100 percent proficiency level. The
idea is that if schools hit their growth target
year after year, then the proficiency levels will
come up.

Under No Child Left Behind, we still have that carryover of maintaining the proficiency as an indicator under the new system. That's something that we're not going to be able to get away from under the current flexibility model, because ED is requiring that. We still have to look at proficiency.

So I understand exactly where you're coming from, you don't have a growth system if you're comparing it to every student in every system.

That's why growth targets are on a student-by-student level. And it's the goal of all schools to have all of their students hit the growth targets, that's internal to the school,

	_	_	_	
1	+ h - + 1 -	a+ +ha	student	1 0 0 1
I .	i nai s	a	STUCELL	16761

BARBARA PAQUIN: Now I'm glad you brought that up, because my last question is how will AYP be determined then? I know that you're trying to develop a bureau-wide assessment tool. And if you're saying we are going to go to this combination of the old system plus the growth model, then how is our AYP going to be determined by that once-a-year test that's being designed by the other group; or are we going to -- our AYP be determined by our internal assessment which is mandated three times a year from where those students started to where they are, or is it going to be a combination of that?

BRIAN BOUGH: It's going to be a combination. The idea behind moving to an index described in great detail in the application is that you can give the schools credit for the amount of achievement they have. Let's say that not 100 percent of your students made growth on their assessment, let's say only 75 percent. You'll get a credit for that 75 percent incorporated into the accountability index. So that if you have 75 percent of your students hitting the targets -- the growth targets in reading, but you have 90 percent

1	of your students hitting the targets in math, and
2	maybe the general amount, not the one that's going
3	to pass or fail, but for each of the specific
4	indicators is met overall, then the school has a
5	better chance of making the accountability
6	determination.
7	So we take all the different indicators and
8	roll them into one score, and the idea is that
9	score should hit the annual measurable objective of
10	the school.
11	BARBARA PAQUIN: But you're no longer going to
12	use that once-a-year test as the only indicator?
13	BRIAN BOUGH: No, it's going to be growth.
14	And the end-of-the-year test will be looking at
15	proficiency.
16	BARBARA PAQUIN: I do want to remind you, as I
17	did in Rapid City, that the failure of the tribes
18	in this area was partly to do with miscalculations
19	by the DPA regarding the AYP status. And I think
20	that's why we're sensitive as to how we're going to
21	be held to what account.
22	BRIAN BOUGH: Yeah, I think that there's some
23	eccentricities that apply to the Bureau in how we
24	had to carry out the states' accountability plan.
25	And if you thought that was in the weeds this is

1	in the forest. Your comments are taken into
2	consideration.
3	BARBARA PAQUIN: Thank you.
4	WILLIAM MENDOZA: When we told Brian and Jeff
5	not to be so technical, we meant don't info wow.
6	Asking these two questions, sometimes it's like
7	getting a drink from a fire hydrant. So that's
8	what we meant by that.
9	BARBARA PAQUIN: Those questions seriously
10	impact our schools.
11	WILLIAM MENDOZA: They're important.
12	BARBARA PAQUIN: They haven't been asked, so
13	we're going to ask them.
14	WILLIAM MENDOZA: Thank you. Appreciate it.
15	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Elia Bruggeman. Going,
16	going, gone. How about Chris next?
17	CHRIS BORDEAUX: Good afternoon everybody. My
18	name is Chris Bordeaux. I'm executive director of
19	the Oceti Sakowin Education Consortium of tribal
20	schools, public schools, colleges, anybody that
21	wants to be a part of our consortium in South
22	Dakota. We provide any services that the schools
23	want. We're owned by the tribal schools. Our
24	board is all superintendents and a couple of board
25	members from different schools, including to the

county. And looking over the flexibility plan,
the -- when I first read through it -- I read
through the 129 pages. The first time I read
through it, I said, boy, this is really dumb. But
that was my own opinion, that it was really dumb.
And so I put it aside and then I got the MOU and
read through that. And I thought, gee, this looks
just like the 2005 one. How can this be something
that's supposed to be new if it was written in
2005?

If you take all the tribal schools and the contract schools out of the MOU, you don't need an MOU. You don't need it in the first place because the Indian Self-Determination Education Act and Tribally Controlled Schools Act already says all of that stuff. You take tribal schools out of the MOU, all you'll have left is the bureau operated schools. And they have to do what the bureau says anyway.

So I think the MOU needs a lots of work. And I think the work that needs to be done is for everybody in the BIA to go out to the tribal schools and ask them what they want. The bureau is there to provide us technical assistance of how -- over the years how we could get on our own. But we

1 haven't seen that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I -- I really like what Jesse said, and how eloquently he says things, that this MOU is good for the bureau. It's not good for tribal schools. The bureau can't tell tribal schools what to do. That's -- that's the law. No Child Left Behind cannot override Indian Self-determination Education Act nor the Tribally Controlled Schools Act. And that's what this MOU is trying to do. And I -- the thank the gentleman who talked about if you take it to court, it's just a piece of paper. It doesn't mean anything. And I -- I agree with that. I was also texting my wife, what he said about stealing women over there. And she said, well, you have to promise next time you go up there you won't steal any more of their women. I said okay, I promise that.

And I think Jesse and all -- all the other tribal leaders said it, you know, this needs more work. It needs more than what's there. And I think the tribal schools, the school board, the administrators needs to be included in all this. The tribal councils depend on us to tell them about this, about what BIE is all about. And just wanted to say that.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1	And to talk we said don't get too
2	technical. You can get technical with Barb. She
3	knows her stuff.
4	But I just wanted to say that, that we need to
5	take the tribal schools out of this MOU. That's
6	just my I think that's the feeling of a lot of
7	the tribes. Thank you.
8	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Les Munro.
9	LES MONRO: Les Monro, I'm from Browning,
10	Montana and school administrator. My boss is here,
11	so I better make sure all my wording is pretty
12	good.
13	Anyway, but we're located on Blackfeet Indian
14	Reservation. We're the largest impact aid school
15	in the state of Montana. I sit on a National
16	Indian Impact Aid School Association board.
17	It's we have three sub groups, the military,
18	public housing, and they have federal federal
19	land schools and Indian land schools. And I sit on
20	the board of the Indian land schools. And a lot of
21	people have a misunderstanding about impact aid.
22	It's not a forward-funded program from the
23	Department of Ed or anything. It's we're
24	ours our program is a tax issue. We educate
25	students whether they be Indian, Chinese or

1	whatever, students that live on federal lands.
2	That's how we have military bases that is covering
3	the impact aid and Indian land students. Our
4	funding is a tax issue, because we can't tax
5	federal lands, such as military bases or
6	reservation, so we go to the taxpayer, who is the
7	federal government. We basically tell the federal
8	government you need to pay your taxes because we're
9	educating kids that live on your land. So we're
10	supplementally funded through impact aid. They
11	have a formula. It's locked. It's based on need.
12	So that's how we get our funding. It's not an
13	education department funding. It comes from taxes
14	that the federal government owes. And I know a lot
15	of people say, well, you know, that's our money.
16	That's Indian money. No, it's goes to public
17	schools directly from the Department of Ed to the
18	local school district because we're educating kids
19	on federal lands.
20	Our our board is going to different
21	committees and different conferences telling our
22	story what impact aid is about. And one of the
23	things we you know, this is a good thing, this
24	MOU, because we educate 93 percent of all the
25	Indian kids nationwide. 93 percent of all Indian

1 kids go through public schools.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two things I would like to request and go on the MOU is, number one, because the states are -feel their funding being cut, so they see this, oh, this -- there's some money we can go after because this guy is -- they're under the state standards so they're under the state. We have four states that are equalizing. A good example how that hurts impact aid schools, in New Mexico, Gallup, New Mexico, because of their -- you know, their account for the kids that go to that school, they're supposed to get 14 million dollars to operate their school. That's the only thing they get. You know, so they don't get no Indian funding for their students. But they educate -- and they're supposed to get like 14 million dollars, but equalization will bring that money to the state education department and throw all that money in. And they equally distribute it through all the schools in the state. So Gallup, New Mexico, even though their count requires they get 14 million dollars impact aid, they only get 9 million. To me that's where I think impact aid schools that represent Indian land schools because they're on reservations.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

I think if we can get some kind of wording in the MOU to support the Indian public -- public schools that's on reservation, that that money should stay with because to educate Indian kids.

We educate the Indian kids. That's an area that the impact aid schools need help in because right now if we reach the level that they set for us, a state can either just take our impact aid money.

And we need every penny that comes to us.

We're not against working with the tribes, but because we see this happening from the state side trying to take impact aid money -- we love working with the tribes and Indian programs, but the thing if we want to be -- we want to be at the table impact aid schools. And this thing that says that the money should go through the tribes, I think is going to be like 18 percent right off the top for our impact aid money. We lose that. We need every penny to graduate, to educate our kids. Every penny that we get goes towards that Indian kids.

And the last thing I would like to -- we talked with the BIE on this a few years ago that Lisa in Washington, D.C., but we would like to see is the military, because the military person gets moved about and around. But the funding for that

kid follows that kid. I think that's something that needs to be done in Indian lands, because at the beginning of the year we have kids that start at the public school, three or four weeks later they might go to a boarding school, BIA boarding school, and vice versa. Some kids might start at the BIA schools, but they come back to the public schools. But if they start on public schools, the public schools keep that money even though the kid leaves; or if they go to BIA school, then they come back home in about two to three weeks, they're counted in the BIA schools, but they come back home, but the money stays. So somebody always loses in that.

I think if we can do something like a formula or something, where the money would follow the kid whether he goes from public schools to the bureau schools or from the bureau schools to the public schools. That would help everybody moneywise that's educating the Indian kid.

Thank you. Appreciate your time. And, you know, it's good to be here. And thank you guys for the hard work that you guys are doing, trying to hear what the Indian people are saying today about education.

1	MONIQUE MCKAY. Kathy Denman-wilke.
2	KATHY DENMAN-WILKE: Good afternoon. My name
3	is Kathy Denman-Wilke, I'm from the Saginaw
4	Chippewa Nation. And I'm coming here, though,
5	today not as a voice of a tribal nation person, but
6	as a St. Paul Indian education director and a
7	member of Urban Native Education Council, which is
8	under NIA now.
9	One of the things that I was worried about
10	when I when I saw the MOU and when I read
11	through it was that our I didn't any see
12	consultation for our urban children. I'm here
13	representing 957 American Indians, most of them are
14	from the 11 tribes in the State of Minnesota, yet
15	we have Cheyenne children, we Navajo children, we
16	have Pine Ridge children, we have a large
17	population of Ho-Chunk children. And so we're here
18	in Indian education because of federal policies.
19	That's why we're here in Urban Indian Education,
20	federal policies, relocation, boarding schools,
21	things that happened to people that made our our
22	children have to come to the cities and our people
23	come to the cities, and now we're here to educate
24	them.
25	And I have we have you know, we work

1	really hard in the Urban Indian Education to make
2	sure that these children understand their
3	histories. And in Urban Indian Education, that
4	means understanding all the different tribal
5	histories, because we're representing so many of
6	them. We work hard to make sure that they
7	understand tribal sovereignty, that they are really
8	getting enrolled in their tribes. So many don't
9	know how and we work daily with that. We work hard
10	to attain, you know, their cultural identities in a
11	system. And that is what our students said in our
12	last surveys: They feel culturally isolated. So
13	we work really hard to do that.
14	And our main source of funding is Title VII,
15	Part A, which is formula funding that's based on
16	the number of Indian children in your district and
17	the number of programs that apply. So this year we
18	got less money because more programs applied. And
19	every year I get calls from, like, five or six
20	other programs saying how do I apply? And I help
21	them, because I want to help all Indian children,
22	but then I know that means less money in my
23	program. Because the more programs that apply, the
24	less we're going to get.

There are many Urban Indian programs in the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State of Minnesota that are operating with very
little money 'cause they have less populations than
we do in St. Paul Public Schools. We're the third
largest district in the State. If they receive
less money, they're going to lose their program
completely. They may only have one person.

We are competing against each other. We need to create a -- if we're going to do that, we need to have more funding in that line, more funding. So that's one of the recommendations. Or a way that we can work and partner with our tribes. 'Cause we're really wanting our children to go back and work on their tribes. We want them to become part of their nations and be contributing members to those nations. But because of those circumstances that led to them being in the cities, we have to work on all of that. And we want to be partners with the tribes, but this is -- I see this as maybe going to create some kind of division as we try to compete for the same little piece of the pie that we're getting when this should be a much bigger piece because of their responsibility by the treaties.

So that's one of the things I just wanted to say is I did not see a place in that MOU where

there's going to be any urban consultation, and I
don't know how you're going to hear the urban
voice. I'm really glad you allowed public comment
today in order to be able to hear some of that.
And then I also think we just need to think about
that, because we are going to create some of our
programs will just go under and those kids will be
left with nothing. And it is so important, this
Title VII, too, because of descendancy. I'm all
for being enrolled and being a member, but we have
a lot of descendancy numbers. And I work hard to
say, hey, you got to marry an Indian guy or Indian
girl, but they don't always have that available and
find the right one, and I'm a product of that. But
they want so much to be a part of our tribal
nations, they truly do. They are begging for their
history, they are begging to be a part. And I
think that's a whole other issue that we have to
talk about at some point, because we are seeing
less and less kids being able to be enrolled.
And so I just wanted to say that, you know,
and I'm hoping that this MOU in some way, either if
we go I kind of like that idea for state
governments within our tribe, why shouldn't we have
that? But I don't want the urban programs to be

1	forgotten. It's 92 percent of our kids, and so we
2	just really have to think about that. So thank you
3	for your time. I appreciate it.
4	MONIQUE MCKAY: Thank you. Okay. Michael
5	Rabideaux. Am I pronouncing that right?
6	MICHAEL RABIDEAUX: Michael Rabideaux, I'm an
7	enrolled band member of the Lake Superior Chippewa
8	tribe and principal and superintendent of the Fond
9	du Lac Ojibwe school located in central Minnesota
10	and northern Minnesota. And I'm, again, the
11	principal and superintendent.
12	Recently we had our graduation about a week
13	ago, and we graduated our first three fluent
14	speakers, one in Ojibwemowin who gave a
15	presentation, another in Spanish, and another in
16	French. So we had three world language speakers
17	present to the audience. And I can about guarantee
18	you that we struggled listening to the words of the
19	Ojibwemowin speaker, because like many other
20	reservations we, too, struggle to get our language
21	back. But we really had trouble with the Spanish
22	and the French guy, they were in two different
23	ones.
24	But the point being that we know how to
25	utilize resources, we are a tribal grant school. I

know a few of you from some other encounters. I appreciate that Christopher is here today. I hope I don't owe you any money, Christopher. I'm not going to look back to see if you're still in the room, but I echo the comments that Christopher made, as well. This document is a document that we've seen over the years. I've been in the system for about 25 years and we've attended many of these social gatherings. We don't consider this true consultation. If it were true consultation, you would be meeting with our tribal chiefs and we would not be disappearing at 1:00, we would talk until our issues were either defeated or examined or revised or at least something that we could live with.

We've been getting a lot of negative ink with our program, as far as measuring what these SEAs have determined to be important to Indian people. I told my father many times because he was very critical of our program, education program, fathers always know best. But he always shared with me -- and he's a decorated World War II navy veteran. He always shared with me that he never had trouble in school when he was a young man. Well, they did. They had trouble with racism, which we don't talk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about that much, but our kids talk about it. That to me is something that we can wrap our thoughts around, our children struggle with a lot, and we keep putting higher and higher expectations, but that's the key, who is we? Our tribal chiefs say one thing and when we go away and hear other chiefs talk, we hear quite a different thing. We hear a lot of acronyms, SEA.

This document created quite a few laughs not because maybe of all your hard work, but we understand that when your chief talks, you've got to do something. We get that. But why is the Bureau trying to be an SEA? We know that we answer already to an SEA with accountability in our state with AYP assessments. We have a highly qualified staff. DPA didn't suddenly come in to our program and make us qualify. We have a school board, we have a governing board, we have a strong accounting program, we are fully accredited, we know what compliance means, we know what the words requirement means. But then when we read this document, and if we would have submitted this document, well, we would not have, because the gentleman -- I can't recall his name now, and with respect I'll move quickly here, but this reminds me

of my father asking me one time whether or not he should get the credit card. And I said, well, you have to read the small print. And he said, I did, twice. I said, what did it tell you? He says, I don't want their credit card, but I need it.

Well, we need something, too. And there's a lot of power and authority in language. The gentleman from the Dakotas shared in his tongue, those are power full words. I don't know the language much or well, but I understand a piece of it. But when we look at this English language, in particular in areas where now somehow I have to go back and talk to my school improvement team because in Native Star we spent quite a bit of time talking about this kind of stuff.

And now I scratch my head, because on Page 4, Item 4, there's suddenly some kind of a power move going on to move money. But under 4A(2), it talks about the BIE's ability to monitor and enforce compliance. Strong words, monitor and enforce. Let's relax, as well as other SEA responsibilities. Why does the Bureau want to be a State Education Authority? With respect to funding, ED provides to BIE under Section 4 for BIE-funded schools, then the good part, in particular with respect to

tribally controlled grant and contract schools.
"In particular" the heat is on? In other words,
what were we doing that we didn't know that we were
doing correctly or wrongly? Are we the reason this
MOU is necessary? Is that why?

And, please, I appreciate you not responding and taking our time to present. I know you have to get going, but the other piece in here, too, there's been a lot of talk about streamlining. One of the most curious opportunities missed in many years of looking at our system, I guess, was when in about '99 through 2000 we were here again talking about streamlining, that's when the BIE streamlined all the national offices. I think a great opportunity was missed, because those agencies should have been located on reservations, not off reservation.

We're trying to create some employment opportunities for reservations, this is just a little shout-out, why don't we look at creating these positions and jobs, whether they're tribal education departments or a line office who I used to think was kind of an SEA -- we're the LEA, the state is the SEA, now you want to be the SEA, what does that make the line office? Janitors?

1	In this funding area I've tallied up, with my
2	reservation math and, again, I'm thinking about
3	my dad's credit card, because he didn't get the
4	credit card, he borrowed money from me but
5	there's a total of nine-percent administrative
6	money being taken from all of these. Each time you
7	did that in here, whoever the pronoun "they" are,
8	creative language was used "may" reserve for
9	administration or "after" reserving for
10	administration, or "can" reserve. You talk about
11	the credit card reads more simply than this. But
12	the total would be nine-and-a-half percent. But
13	then the catch, the catch in this card, there's
14	30.5 percent that the Interior or the SEA or the
15	Bureau, whoever is who, it's getting hard to figure
16	out figuring out the anatomy of this elephant,
17	but now they're getting a total of almost 40
18	percent of all these title moneys, where before we
19	used to get it and the DOE kept their piece, well,
20	we never found out about that anyway.
21	Ladies and gentlemen, I I would really
22	encourage you that this document is, at best,
23	laughable. Please. It was shared before 102.97 in
24	the whole progressions of 638s. It's very clear we
25	understand where we are, and to our best ability we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

always try to accommodate. I shared with DPA, Joe
and company down there, that one of the greatest
things that came out of DPA, regardless of some
good people, was programming. The effort and
enhancement direction on reading and math, that's
solid.

We made AYP in reading for a long time. I figured maybe we wouldn't be able to make it, but we did finally. And something exciting is going on with our math because of the targeted understandable flow of dollars that go into building staff development programs that actually work with Indian children that actually lower the teacher/student ratio, that actually use progression and monitoring to figure out response to intervention programs for Indian children, all that stuff works. And in public schools that could work, too, if money were directed to actually work with Indian children to actually do what all of us as Indian people take as one of the most -- seven most important, but not less important, trust. It's all about trust.

So I would encourage this group to really look at some of the language of this. And certainly if it passes, all of us as Indian people have enough

1	to worry about. My gosh, now I have to worry about
2	Indians stealing our women up north. Thank you for
3	your time.
4	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you very much, sir.
5	Thank you. We are out of time.
6	BEVERLY TUTTLE: I would like to say
7	something, sir. I have my name on that list.
8	BRUCE MACALLISTER: If the panel it's your
9	call, folks. We're at our closing time.
10	BEVERLY TUTTLE: I had my name on the list.
11	BRUCE MACALLISTER: We endeavor to hear
12	everybody at least once, if not more.
13	BEVERLY TUTTLE: I have final comments. I
14	thank you very much for begging to say my last
15	final comments, but I'm not going to have my back
16	to the people this time, I'm going to stand up. I
17	know it said 12:00, but I thank you audience from
18	my tribe and those that sit on the education
19	committee. Our committee chair is Kevin and he
20	couldn't come here, Kevin Yellow Bird Steele.
21	President Steel honored us very much to come here
22	and speak, so thank you for giving me time.
23	I reviewed this in short while I was sitting
24	here, and I wrote a lot of things down. And first
25	of all, I agree with many of you here who say this

is an unfinished document. We know if we're talking about a living document, it's similar to our treaty, responsibility, where back then our poor ancestors -- I say poor, because they were overridden by government policy. Now we're educated enough to say something about what this is going to entail. Like I said again, give and take. And I'm not going to give any of you guys grief over this because you are part of our ancestry sitting here.

I want to address you and I want to invite -and I'm glad Gay's here, because she's going to be
representing the tribal chairmen is to revive this
document and look truly at what it should be, this
living document. It shouldn't be in a hurry time
for us to develop a portfolio of all of our
accomplishments and our needs in our schools in the
Northern Plains area, a portfolio from the time of
when the No Child Left Behind came about and what
we had to scramble about, and that's why we're
failing. And I thank you, Barb, for mentioning
that. I think that we need to develop a portfolio
that consists -- and I will say this and I will -I believe this holistically, we're going to touch
on the areas of the social ills of our family.

That's not an excuse, it's part of our lifetime at home, no jobs, no employment, but that doesn't make the excuse for not to teach our children the way they need to learn, the way they should learn, the way they want to learn. It's in our hands and our communities, so we have a very strong movement.

This is 50 years of lack of movement. Not your fault, but for the government taking control of our lives in education. 50 years. There's a big gap. Now we're sitting here very educated. I'm proud of our Native Americans who have high degrees, they're working in our schools. But there's 50 years of a big gap, and we're trying to pile this into this election year. I think we need to go back. And I'm going to propose this to President Steele for our region to develop a portfolio so that we're going to ask you to come back, to take the time out of your busy life and to come back and listen to us in a good way, in a good spiritual way.

We all care. I was a school board member for 14 years. I'm sitting in this capacity, but I take my job very seriously. So I'm going to go back to my tribe, we're going to do a portfolio of every one of our schools, especially our tribal grant

schools, as to what our defects are. We're going to do an assessment and compare it to what you have in here. And it's going to take some time, but we will make it a mandatory -- a priority to do that.

Until then, this document is unfinished.

I also want to say, you know, partnerships, that's a healthy word. Instead of using MOUs, collaborative. To me that -- and then I think we really need to identify the acronyms, we need to identify the definitions of what SEA means to BIE, we really need to look at those and develop our own vocabulary what we're going to use when we talk in partnership.

So I'm really going to stress that when I go home, 'cause my relative said, from Standing Rock, they have a Montessori. I went to visit that Montessori school about a month ago because I know that we need to do something different. Your standard sitting-behind-the-desk classroom is just not working. Maybe it's redundant for our teachers, too, going into school. I saw them use the Montessori concept, they used it in a Lakota way, the children were hands-on. There's no segregation of disabilities to children. There's a little boy in a wheelchair, students shared a

commonality there as relationship in Lakota. They have to help each other. They're really taught the values. There's no grading system, it's developmental. I was really inspired by that. So I would like to have the opportunity to have our tribes look more and more into this concept of hands-on learning math. He was a little preschool guy, four years old. Do you know what? He could develop multiplication in the thousands by these beads just right off the top of his head. I was totally inspired by that.

So with that, I'm not going to take a lot of your time, but I'm just really, really looking at this as an unfinished document for us. Thank you all for giving me this time, but I am going to take this back. And we do have strong leadership in our Northern Plains region. We will get this together where we're going to develop our own portfolio and we would invite you down, have a working session.

And I wonder how much President Obama knows. Do you report to him back whenever you do these consultations? I would like to hear what his response is thus far. That's what President Steele wanted to know is some feedback in 15 days, that was a month ago. So we would really like to have

that respect between us, the value of our brothers and sisters sitting up here from our tribes, okay?

Thank you.

BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you. At this point, again, I'll remind everybody we've got our court reporters here. Anybody who didn't have a chance to give a statement, they will be available for an additional statement. We're going to have Dayna Brave Eagle give the closing prayer for us.

DAYNA BRAVE EAGLE: I want to introduce myself. My name is Dayna Brave Eagle, I'm with the Oglala Sioux tribe, and I'm the tribal education agency director for our tribe. So just forgive me for -- if I make any mistakes. And I'm sure I'm not the youngest here, but I'm here and I guess I'm just humbled and honored to be asked to do this.

(Praying in native language). We give thanks for today bringing us all here together. We come together for one heart and one mind to bring change for Indian education for our future. I ask that you watch over us and keep us as strong leaders so we can continue to change the future for our children and be the leaders that our children need us to be so that they can become our leaders in the

1	future. I give thanks for all us, for each and
2	every one of you and your words today. (Speaking
3	in native language).
4	BRUCE MACALLISTER: Thank you all for
5	attending. I appreciate all your time and patience
6	and look forward to seeing you again.
7	(The following is additional public comment.)
8	STEVE WYMORE: I'm the superintendent at Red
9	Lake Public Schools on the Red Lake Indian
10	Reservation, Red Lake, Minnesota. I wanted to
11	thank the BIE, the BIA, and the U.S. Department of
12	Education for the consultation opportunity. I
13	wanted to address concerns I had with the
14	Memorandum of Understanding. With regard to the
15	lack of resources for tribes to enforce the laws
16	that they have, particularly with regard to
17	truancy. Our law enforcement is seriously
18	underfunded, and our judicial system doesn't
19	provide us a judge to address the issue of truancy
20	for our students.
21	I also would like to thank them for the
22	opportunity to develop a network for sharing best
23	practices with Native American education. In my
24	opinion, creating a number of people draws on a
25	collective intelligence that can enhance the

1	opportunities our students have. The public
2	schools need to be more addressed or address
3	more fully, rather, in the Memorandum of
4	Understanding, since most of the concentration
5	seems to be with the Bureau of Indian Education
6	schools, the grant schools, and the tribal
7	education districts.
8	I feel that since we educate 93 percent of the
9	Indian students in the United States, that
10	resources need to be applied to enhance our
11	opportunities to reach student achievement.
12	On the Red Lake Indian reservation, we are a
13	turn-around school under No Child Left Behind and
14	recently had a third school identified this year,
15	so three out of our four school sites now are in
16	turn-around status. The ability to implement

Another issue for us is the regression that our students have over the summertime, and funding of year-round school would be beneficial for those

meaningful changes is somewhat limited by conflicts

that we may have with teachers' unions. And I was

hoping that at the federal level we could approach

the National Education Association and the American

Federation of Teachers to more broadly address the

needs of our American Indian children.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

students who need remediation, particularly in reading and math. The opportunities to remediate our kids is limited only by the resources that are provided currently by the federal government. Our state resources are insufficient to provide summer school.

Finally, one thing that I know was never mentioned was the deficits that we have in our food service. We transfer \$300,000 a year from our general education funding into our food service program to feed our children, and work needs to be done to bring the Department of Agriculture into this mix to help us address all of the needs of our children.

The social issues remain important to us. We lack adequate mental health services for our children, and we really can't get into the educational portion of their development without fully addressing their needs and their mental health issues.

In conclusion, it's been a wonderful opportunity to hear everything that was said today. I'm hoping that we can have some meaningful change through the initiative that was developed by President Obama.

NORTHWESTERN COURT REPORTERS

1	GERALD GRAY: I'd just like to recommend that
2	this committee take a hard look at the poverty
3	situation on reservations and with our schools. A
4	lot of them experience a large amount of
5	underfunding, but there are some that are in more
6	dire straits than others. I think you're going to
7	have to come up with some kind of formula to really
8	adequately address those schools that are really
9	truly without. And poverty, as they know, is
10	devastating thanks to our reservations, our
11	reservation tribal members. And this, of course,
12	has not been brought on by us, it's been brought or
13	by actions from the U.S. government by putting us
14	on reservations where we cannot make a decent
15	living for our tribal members. As they wanted the
16	most productive land, they put us on what they
17	thought was unusable land, no farms, ranches, et
18	cetera, et cetera.
19	But they really are going to have to take a
20	hard look at coming up with some kind of sliding
21	formula, adjustment formula, whatever, to really
22	concentrate on providing those schools that are
23	really hurting, seriously hurting without adequate
24	funding. Thanks.

(The tribal leader consultation and public

1	comment	came	to	а	close	at	approximately	1:18
2	p.m.)							
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	STATE OF MINNESOTA)
2	COUNTY OF DAKOTA)
3	
4	I, Shannon Caflisch, do hereby certify the foregoing is
5	a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me
6	in stenotypy and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of
7	my ability.
8	
9	Dated this 8th day of June, 2012.
10	
11	
12	Shannon Caflisch, RPR
13	Notary Public,
14	Dakota County, Minnesota
15	My Commission expires 1-31-2015
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF MINNESOTA)
2	COUNTY OF RAMSEY)
3	I, Pauline H. Hanson, do hereby certify the
4	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings
5	taken by me in stenotypy and thereafter transcribed by me to
6	the best of my ability.
7	
8	Dated this 10th day of June 2012.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Pauline Hanson, RPR
14	Notary Public,
15	Ramsey County, Minnesota
16	My Commission expires 1-31-2015
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	