

# FSIS Risk Assessments for *E. coli* O157:H7

Dr. Carl Schroeder Office of Public Health Science Risk Assessment and Residue Division

April 9, 2008



# **Risk Assessment Process**

- Develop a plan and gather data
- Formulate questions
- Develop risk assessment model
- Peer review
- Public presentation
- Update based on comments
- Post to web







# Questions

- What is the risk of illness from *E. coli* O157:H7 in ground beef?
- What is the occurrence and extent of *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination at points along the farmto-table continuum?



# Model





# Results

- Risk of illness
  - June to September: 1 in every 600,000 ground beef servings
  - October to May: 1 in every 1.6 million ground beef servings
- Risk factors for contamination
  - Prevalence of *E. coli* at feedlots
  - Occurrence and extent of carcass contamination
  - Effectiveness of procedures used to decontaminate carcasses
  - Effect of carcass chilling



# Conclusion

 Mitigations during cattle production and slaughter are effective for reducing the risk of illness from *E. coli* O157:H7 in ground beef



Comparative Risk Assessment for Intact (Non-Tenderized) and Non-Intact (Tenderized) Beef: Technical Report

Prepared by:

Risk Assessment Division Office of Service United States Department of Agriculture Public Health Science Food Safety and Inspection

March, 2002





# Question

 Do non-intact blade-tenderized beef steaks pose a greater risk to the consumer from *E. coli* O157:H7 compared to intact beef steaks?







# Results

- Contamination with E. coli O157:H7
  - 2.6 per 10 million servings for intact
  - 3.7 per 10 million servings for non-intact
- Risk of illness
  - 1 illness per 15.9 million servings for intact
  - 1 illness per 14.2 million servings for non-intact



# Conclusion

• The risk of illness from *E. coli* O157:H7 in nonintact beef steaks is not significantly higher than intact beef steaks



# *E. coli* O157:H7 Pre-harvest Risk Assessment



# Objectives

- Develop a screening tool to allow more rapid assessment of pre-harvest risk management options
- Determine if pre-harvest intervention is a cost effective food safety strategy under most optimistic assumptions



### **Proposed Model**





# **Estimated Completion**

• Fall 2008



# Updated Comparative Risk Assessment for *E. coli* O157:H7 Intact and Non-intact Beef



# Objectives

• Estimate the risk of illness from *E. coli* O157:H7 in non-intact vs. intact beef steaks



# **Proposed Model**

#### • Updated 2002 model to include data for

- Translocation and distribution of *E. coli* O157:H7 in beef from mechanical and chemical tenderization
- Growth of *E. coli* O157:H7 in non-intact at various temperatures
- Effect of cooking on *E. coli* O157:H7 in non-intact steaks
- Effect of sanitation on removal of *E. coli* O157:H7 from blades/needles used to tenderize beef



# **Estimated Completion**

• Fall 2009



# Summary

- FSIS is conducting a risk assessment on preharvest interventions for reducing *E. coli* 0157:H7 in beef
- FSIS plans to update its comparative risk assessment for *E. coli* O157:H7 in intact and non-intact beef
- All FSIS risk assessments available on the web: www.fsis.usda.gov/science/risk\_assessments