1	Lindsey Evans, Illinois No. 6286125 (levans@cftc.gov)			
2	David Chy, Colifornia No. 242046			
3	(dchu@cftc.gov) Ava Gould, Illinois No. 6194202 (agould@cftc.gov)			
4	Scott R. Williamson, Illinois No. 6191293			
5	(swilliamson@cftc.gov) Rosemary Hollinger, Illinois No. 3123647 AUG & 9 2012 RICHARD W. WILLIAMS			
6	(rhollinger@cftc.gov) OLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
7	U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission 525 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100			
8	Chicago, IL 60661			
9	Telephone: (312) 596-0500 Facsimile: (312) 596-0714			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
11				
12	THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES)			
14	TRADING COMMISSION, Civil Action No:			
15	TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff, CV12-04519 HRL			
16	v. COMPLAINT FOR			
) INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER			
17	JEFFREY GUSTAVESON,) EQUITABLE RELIEF AND EOD CHIL MONETA DY			
18) FOR CIVIL MONETARY Defendant.) PENALTIES UNDER			
19) THE COMMODITY			
20) EXCHANGE ACT)			
21				
	I. <u>SUMMARY</u>			
22	1. From at least January 2010 through approximately July 2010 ("relevant period"),			
23	1. Prom at least ranuary 2010 infough approximately ruly 2010 (relevant period),			
24	Defendant Jeffrey Gustaveson ("Gustaveson" or "Defendant"), accepted at least \$2,495,000 from			
25	at least four individuals ("participants") for the purpose of operating a pool to trade commodity			
26				
	1			

futures (the "Pool") on their behalf. Rather than trade the Pool funds as promised, Gustaveson only used approximately \$400,000 of the Pool funds for trading commodity futures, which resulted in a net loss, and Gustaveson stored the remaining funds in a checking account that he used to pay his personal expenses. To conceal his fraud, Gustaveson distributed false trading account statements to the Pool participants that misrepresented the value of the Pool, reported false profits, and failed to disclose Gustaveson's misappropriation of Pool participants' funds.

- 2. By virtue of this conduct and the conduct further described herein, Gustaveson has engaged, is engaging or is about to engage in conduct in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. (Supp. III. 2009) as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-246, Title XIII (the CFTC Reauthorization Act of 2008 ("CRA")), §§ 13101-13204, 122 Stat. 1651 (enacted June 18, 2008), to be codified at 7 U.S.C § 1 et seq. (Supp. III 2009).
- 3. In particular, Gustaveson has violated the anti-fraud provisions of the Act, Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C), 4b(a)(1)(B), as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A),(C), 6b(a)(1)(B) (Supp. III 2009) and Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1) (2006).
- 4. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Gustaveson is likely to continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in this Complaint and in similar acts and practices, as more fully described below.
- 5. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2006), the Commission brings this action to enjoin such acts and practices and compel compliance with the provisions of the Act. In addition, the Commission seeks civil penalties, an accounting, restitution, disgorgement, rescission and such other statutory and equitable relief as the Court may deem necessary or appropriate under the circumstances.

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2006). Section 6c(a) of the Act authorizes the CFTC to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear to the CFTC that such person has engaged, is engaging or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation or order promulgated thereunder.
- 7. Venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2006), because Gustaveson transacted business in this District, and the acts and practices in violation of the Act have occurred, are occurring or are about to occur within this District and elsewhere.

III. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

8. A substantial portion of the events which give rise to these claims occurred in Santa Clara County. Therefore, this action is properly assigned to the San Jose Division.

IV. PARTIES AND RELATED ENTITIES

- 9. Plaintiff <u>U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission</u> (the "Commission") is an independent federal regulatory agency charged by Congress with the responsibility for administering and enforcing the provisions of the Act, as amended by the CRA and the Dodd-Frank Act, and the Commission Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 *et seq.* (2012).
- 10. On information and belief, Defendant <u>Jeffrey Gustaveson</u> is 39 years old and resides in Carlsbad, California. During the relevant period Gustaveson maintained an office in Morgan Hill, California. Gustaveson also operated several companies in the futures industry, including a company named GCM Ventures LLC (which later changed its name to Ashby ST

Fund LLC), a registered Commodity Trading Advisor named Quiksilver Capital LLC, and a registered Introducing Broker named VTrader LLC. Gustaveson has not been registered with the Commission in any capacity since 2009.

- 11. A California court entered a confession of judgment against Gustaveson on May 23, 2011 in which Gustaveson admitted to misappropriating investor money and falsifying financial statements in connection with the acts described in this complaint. *See Solomon v. Gustaveson*, No. 111CV201457 (Cal. Super. Ct. filed on May 23, 2011).
- 12. Ashby ST Fund, LLC ("Ashby ST Fund"), a related entity, was a Nevada limited liability company formed by Gustaveson in order to engage in the business of commodity futures and options trading. Ashby ST Fund functioned as a commodity pool and commodity pool operator, and its principal place of business was 17485 Monterey Rd. #204, Morgan Hill, California 95037. Gustaveson previously operated the legal entity as GCM Ventures, LLC and changed its name to Ashby ST Fund LLC on January 28, 2010. The state of Nevada revoked Ashby ST Fund's corporate registration on September 1, 2011.

V. <u>STATUTORY BACKGROUND</u>

- 13. A "commodity pool" is defined in Section 1a(10) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(10), and Commission Regulation 4.10(d)(1), 17 C.F.R. § 4.10(d)(1) (2012), as any investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise engaged in the business of investing its pooled funds in trading commodity futures and/or commodity options.
- 14. A commodity pool operator ("CPO") is defined in Section 1a(11) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(11), and Regulation 1.3(cc), 17 C.F.R. 1.3(cc) (2012), as any person engaged in a business that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise, and who in connection therewith, solicits, accepts, or receives from others, funds, securities, or

property, either directly or through capital contributions, the sale of stock or other forms of securities, or otherwise, for the purpose of trading in any commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility.

15. A "participant" is defined in Commission Regulation 4.10(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.10(c) (2012), as any person who has any direct financial interest in a commodity pool.

VI. FACTS

16. From at least January 2010 through around July 2010, Gustaveson accepted at least \$2,495,000 from at least four Pool participants for purposes of investing in a commodity pool. Gustaveson obtained that money through a business partnership he had with an investor ("Investor").

A. Gustaveson's Partnership with Investor

- 17. In late 2009, Investor was looking for someone with whom to partner in a trading business. He was hoping to find someone who could trade his money in the commodity futures market and trade additional funds that the Investor intended to raise.
- 18. Investor contacted Gustaveson via Linkedin, and the two first met at Gustaveson's office located in Morgan Hill, California in January 2010. During that meeting, Gustaveson gave Investor a PowerPoint presentation indicating that Gustaveson (through his business, Quiksilver Capital, LLC) applied systematic short-term trading models to numerous global, liquid futures markets. His presentation said that applying his models would "produce consistent upward sloping equity curves when constructed in a diversified portfolio." The presentation indicated that he had \$6.5 million under management and an impressive ratio of returns.

B. Formation of Ashby ST Fund

- 19. After meeting, Gustaveson and Investor decided to form a company, Ashby ST Fund, for the purpose of trading options and commodity futures. On January 29, 2010, Investor gave \$245,000 to Gustaveson as an initial investment by wiring the money to a Wells Fargo account titled in the name of GCM Ventures, LLC. Gustaveson changed the name on the Wells Fargo account to Ashby ST Fund, LLC on February 2, 2010.
- 20. Gustaveson was the managing member of Ashby ST Fund, and had exclusive control over Ashby ST Fund's bank accounts and trading accounts. At all relevant times, Gustaveson controlled and made decisions on behalf of Ashby ST Fund.
- 21. In February 2010 Investor began talking to his friends about investing in the Ashby ST Fund, and he showed them the PowerPoint presentation that Gustaveson had provided to him. Based on that information, three of Investor's friends wired a total of \$2,250,000 in March and April 2010 to the Ashby ST Fund account at Wells Fargo.
- 22. Gustaveson and Investor prepared and signed a Subscription Agreement that described the Ashby ST Fund investment program and stated that "100% of each subscriber's subscription amount will be used as trading capital." Each of the additional investors signed the Subscription Agreement after they sent their initial deposits.

C. Gustaveson's Misappropriation of Customer Funds

23. Gustaveson transferred only approximately \$400,000 of the funds received from the Pool participants into commodity futures trading accounts at two registered futures commission merchants ("FCMs"), Crossland LLC and Penson GHCO. Gustaveson realized a net loss of approximately \$34,657 in those trading accounts, which the FCMs carried in the name of Ashby ST Fund. Gustaveson stored the remaining \$2,095,000 in the Ashby ST Fund bank

account, from which he used at least \$400,000 of Pool funds to pay his personal expenses, including hotels, restaurants, and online gambling, among other expenditures.

- 24. To conceal his misappropriation, Gustaveson distributed false account statements dated February 28, 2010, April 30, 2010 and May 31, 2010 to at least one Pool participant. The statements purported to be issued by Dorman Trading LLC ("Dorman"), another FCM, that on information and belief, Gustaveson sent electronically using an info@dormantradestmts.com email address. The statements indicated that Gustaveson was trading more than \$3,000,000 in the Pool trading accounts and that the Pool had a net profit for the period from January through May. The statements were false because (i) Gustaveson had not opened any trading accounts in the name of the Pool at Dorman, (ii) Gustaveson only traded approximately \$400,000 of the Pool participants' funds, and (iii) he lost approximately \$34,657 of those funds trading. Moreover, the statements did not disclose that Gustaveson was using customer funds for his personal expenses.
- 25. In July 2010 Investor contacted Dorman with a question about one of the account statements and learned that Dorman had no record of the accounts listed on the statements.

 Investor immediately contacted Gustaveson and demanded all of the Pool participant's money back. Gustaveson repaid \$2,080,000 to Investor between July and August 2010, which Investor then distributed to the Pool participants.
- 26. Despite repeated requests, Gustaveson has not returned \$415,000 of the money that the Pool participants provided to him. Gustaveson confessed that he had spent the money on personal expenses, past-due taxes, and repaying a previous "investor."

VII. VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT

COUNT ONE Violations of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act: Commodity Futures Fraud

- 27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are re-alleged and incorporated herein.
- 28. Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A),(C), make it unlawful for any person, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce or for future delivery that is made, or to be made, on or subject to the rules of a designated contract market, for or on behalf of any other person: (A) to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud such other person; or (C) willfully to deceive or attempt to deceive such other person by any means whatsoever in regard to any order or contract or the disposition or execution of any order or contract, or in regard to any act of agency performed, with respect to any order or contract for the other person, with respect to any order or contract for such other person.
- 29. During the relevant period, Gustaveson violated Sections 4(b)(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A),(C), in that he cheated or defrauded, or attempted to cheat or defraud, and willfully deceived, or attempted to deceive, Pool participants by misappropriating Pool participant funds for his personal benefit.
- 30. Each act of misappropriation made during the relevant time period, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A) and (C) of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A),(C).

COUNT TWO Violations of Sections 4b(a)(1)(B) of the Act: False Statements

31. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are re-alleged and incorporated herein.

	32.	Section 4b(a)(1)(B), to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(B), makes it unlawful
for ar	y person	, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract of sale
of an	y commo	dity in interstate commerce or for future delivery that is made, or to be made, on o
subje	ct to the	rules of a designated contract market, for or on behalf of any other person:
(B) w	rillfully to	o make or cause to be made to the other person any false report or statement or
willfi	ılly to en	ter or cause to be entered for the other person any false record.

- 33. Gustaveson also violated Sections 4(b)(a)(1)(B) of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(B), in that he willfully made or caused to be made false reports or statements to at least one Pool participant who invested money with the Pool to trade commodity futures contracts. Specifically, Gustaveson distributed false account statements dated February 28, 2010, April 30, 2010 and May 31, 2010 by email.
- 34. Each false report or statement made during the relevant time period, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Sections 4b(a)(1)(B) of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(B).

COUNT THREE

Violations of Section 4o(1) of the Act: Fraud by a Controlling Person of a Commodity Pool Operator

- 35. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are re-alleged and incorporated herein.
- 36. From at least January 28, 2010 through July 2010, Ashby ST Fund acted as a commodity pool operator in that it engaged in a business that is of the nature of an investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise and in connection therewith, and has solicited, accepted or received funds, securities or property from others for the purpose of trading in any commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any contract market or derivatives transaction execution facility.

15

17

19

21

22

23 24

25

26

- Ashby ST Fund, through its control person, Gustaveson, violated Section 4o(1) of 37. the Act, 7 U.S.C. §60(1), in that it directly or indirectly employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud commodity pool participants or prospective commodity pool participants, or have engaged or are engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon commodity pool participants or prospective commodity pool participants by using the mails or other means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and by means of the acts and practices described in paragraphs 1 through 24 above. Specifically, Gustaveson used Ashby ST Fund to misappropriate more than \$400,000 of investor funds and issue false account statements via email to at least one investor.
- 38. Gustaveson, directly or indirectly, controlled Ashby ST Fund, and he did not act in good faith or knowingly induced, directly or indirectly, the acts constituting Ashby ST Fund's violation of Section 40(1). Gustaveson is thereby liable for Ashby ST Fund's violations of Section 40(1) of the Act, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13c(b).
- 39. Each act of engaging in a transaction, practice or a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon commodity pool participants or prospective commodity pool participants during the relevant time, including but not limited to the acts and practices specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1).

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court, as authorized by Section 6c of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- A. Find Defendant liable for violating Sections 4b(a)(1)(A)-(C) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A)-(C) (Supp. III 2009) and Section 4o(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1) (2006).
- B. Enter an order of preliminary injunction pursuant to Section 6c(a) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a), restraining Defendant and all persons insofar as they are acting in the capacity of Defendant's agents, servants, successors, employees, assigns, and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with Defendant who receive actual notice of such order by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly:
 - 1. destroying, mutilating, concealing, altering, or disposing of any books and records, documents, correspondence, brochures, manuals, electronically stored data, tape records, or other property of Defendant, wherever located, including all such records concerning Defendant's business operations;
 - 2. refusing to permit authorized representatives of the Commission to inspect, when and as requested, any books and records, documents, correspondence, brochures, manuals, electronically stored data, tape records, or other property of Defendant, wherever located, including all such records concerning Defendant's business operations; and
 - 3. withdrawing, transferring, removing, dissipating, concealing, or disposing of, in any manner, any funds, assets, or other property, wherever situated, including, but not limited to, all funds, personal property, money, or securities held in safes or safety deposit boxes and all funds on deposit in any financial institution, bank, or savings and loan account held by, under the actual or constructive control of, or in the name of Defendant, whether jointly or otherwise;
- C. Enter orders of preliminary and permanent injunction restraining Defendant and all persons insofar as they are acting in the capacity of Defendant's agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, and attorneys, and all persons insofar as they are acting in active concert or participation with Defendant who receive actual notice of such order by personal service or otherwise, from directly or indirectly:

- a. engaging in conduct in violation of Sections 4b(a)(1)(A)-(C), to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(1)(A)-(C), and Section 4o(1), 7 U.S.C. § 6o(1);
- b. trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, as that term is defined in Section 1a of the Act, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a;
- c. entering into any transactions involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options (as that term is defined in Regulation 1.3(hh), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(hh) (2012)) ("commodity options"), security futures products, and/or foreign currency (as described in Section 2(c)(2)(B) and 2(c)(2)(C)(i) of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(B), 2(c)(2)(C)(i)) ("forex contracts") for his own personal account or for any account in which he has a direct or indirect interest;
- d. having any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts traded on his behalf;
- e. controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts;
- f. soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity futures, options on commodity futures, commodity options, security futures products, and/or forex contracts;
- g. applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2012); and
- h. acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2012)), agent, or any other officer or employee of any person (as that term is defined in Section 1a of the Act, as amended, to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 1a) registered, exempted from registration, or required to be registered with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2012);
- D. Enter an order directing that Defendant make an accounting to the Court of all of Defendant's assets and liabilities, together with all funds Defendant received from and paid to Pool participants and other persons in connection with commodity futures, or purported commodity futures, including the names, mailing addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers of any such persons from whom he received such funds from January 1, 2010 to the

date of such accounting, and all disbursements for any purpose whatsoever of funds received from Pool participants, including salaries, commissions, fees, loans, and other disbursements of money and property of any kind, from January 1, 2010 to and including the date of such accounting;

- E. Enter an order requiring Defendant to immediately identify and provide an accounting for all assets and property that he currently maintains outside the United States, including, but not limited to, all funds on deposit in any financial institution, FCM, bank, or savings and loan account held by, under the actual or constructive control of, or in the name of Defendant, whether jointly or otherwise, and requiring him to repatriate all funds held in such accounts by paying them to the Registry of the Court, or as otherwise ordered by the Court, for further disposition in this case;
- F. Enter an order requiring Defendant to disgorge to any officer appointed or directed by the Court, or directly to Pool participants, all benefits received, including, but not limited to, salaries, commissions, loans, fees, revenues, and trading profits derived, directly or indirectly, from acts or practices which constitute violations of the Act as described herein, including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- G. Enter an order directing Defendant to rescind, pursuant to such procedures as the Court may order, all contracts and agreements, whether implied or express, entered into between Defendant and any of the Pool Participants whose funds were received by Defendant as a result of the acts and practices which constitute violations of the Act as described herein;
- H. An order requiring Defendant to make restitution by making whole each and every pool participant whose funds were received or utilized by them in violation of the provisions of the Act as described herein, including pre-judgment interest.

1	I. Enter an order direc	ting Defendant to pay a civil monetary penalty in the amount		
2	of not more than the greater of: (1) triple the monetary gain to Defendant for each violation of			
3	the Act; or (2) \$140,000 for each violation of the Act;			
4	J. Enter an order requiring Defendant to pay costs and fees as permitted by			
5	28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412(a)(2) (2006); and			
6	K. Enter an order providing such other and further relief as this Court may deem			
7	necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.			
8	necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.			
9				
10		Respectfully submitted,		
11				
12	Date: August 29, 2012	am len		
13	Date. 1 (agust 2), 2012	Lindsey Evans, Esq.		
14		David Chu, Esq. Ava Gould, Esq.		
15		Scott R. Williamson, Esq. Rosemary Hollinger, Esq.		
16				
17		U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION		
18		Division of Enforcement 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100		
19		Chicago, Illinois 60661		
20		(312) 596-0500 (312) 596-0714 (facsimile)		
i				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				