# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE QUARTERLY ENFORCEMENT REPORT April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This is the Food Safety and Inspection Service's (FSIS) Quarterly Enforcement Report. The report provides a summary of the enforcement actions FSIS has taken to ensure that products that reach consumers are safe, wholesome, and properly labeled. Although this report focuses on enforcement actions taken, it is important to recognize that this is only one aspect of the Agency's work. The Agency's main purpose is to protect public health by achieving compliance with laws and regulations.

FSIS inspects products produced in over 6,000 meat, poultry, and egg product plants. Since January 1998, meat and poultry plants have been operating HACCP Systems. Publication of this information is another step in the Agency's commitment to openness and transparency in its work to protect the public from adulterated or misbranded meat, poultry, and egg products.

The report is presented in sections that correspond with the category of action. Activities reported within the categories are either pending or experienced new activity during the reporting period. FSIS also coordinated administrative actions, where regulatory or other authorities were applied in inspected plants, and managed USDA participation in criminal cases pending in Federal courts. These actions, along with the thousands of inspections made each day in plants

1

throughout the country, form strong underpinnings for promoting compliance with food safety

laws. Each section of this report is described and reported in more detail as follows:

FSIS ENFORCEMENT PROCESSES
NONCOMPLIANCE RECORDS AND APPEALS
PRODUCT CONTROL ACTIONS
LETTERS OF WARNING
ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS
CRIMINAL ACTIONS
CIVIL ACTIONS

#### FSIS ENFORCEMENT PROCESSES

USDA's Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is charged with ensuring that meat, poultry, and egg products are safe, wholesome, and properly labeled. FSIS, in cooperation with State counterparts, inspects, monitors, and verifies the proper processing, handling, and labeling of meat and poultry products from the delivery of animals to the slaughterhouse until the products reach consumers. FSIS, in cooperation with FDA and the states, provides similar coverage for egg products – the processed whole egg ingredients used in manufacturing other foods. (More information concerning egg products inspection and enforcement is provided in the FSIS publication "Focus on Egg Products" that can be accessed at:

http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/eggprod.htm. If FSIS detects problems with any products it regulates, the Agency takes product control and enforcement measures to protect consumers.

USDA conducts monitoring and verification activities at plants that slaughter food animals and process products to ensure that products at these establishments are produced in a sanitary environment and potential food safety hazards are identified and eliminated. These establishments must apply for a grant of inspection from FSIS and demonstrate the ability to meet certain requirements for producing safe, wholesome, and accurately labeled food products. Requirements include meeting sanitation, facility, and operational standards, and having preventive systems in place to ensure the production of safe and unadulterated food. Products from official establishments are labeled with the mark of inspection, indicating that they have been inspected and passed by USDA and can be sold in interstate commerce.

FSIS uses Compliance Officers throughout the chain of distribution to detect and detain potentially hazardous foods in commerce and to investigate violations of law. Even if products are produced under conditions that are safe and sanitary, abuse can occur on their way to the consumer. For example, if foods are transported in trucks that are too warm, or if exposed to contamination, then that can result in product that can cause illness or injury.

FSIS also works closely with USDA's Office of Inspector General (OIG), which assists FSIS in pursuing complex criminal cases. In addition, many state and local jurisdictions have enforcement authorities that apply to USDA-regulated products. FSIS cooperates with these other jurisdictions in investigations and case presentations. FSIS also participates with OIG and the U.S. Department of Justice in monitoring conditions of probation orders and pretrial diversion agreements developed to resolve cases.

This report provides a summary of enforcement actions, including actions that address the Pathogen Reduction/HACCP regulatory requirements, FSIS has taken to ensure that products that reach consumers are safe, wholesome, and properly labeled. The Agency recognizes that this report is a snapshot in time of a dynamic process. Some information will be out-of-date by the time this report is published. For example, many matters shown as under appeal will have been resolved by the time this report is published. Other actions could be appealed or closed after this reporting period. This information will be updated on a quarterly basis and made available to the public through future reports.

#### NONCOMPLIANCE RECORDS AND APPEALS

FSIS inspection program personnel perform thousands of inspection procedures each day to determine whether or not inspected plants are in compliance with regulatory requirements. Each time inspection program personnel make a non-compliance determination they complete a report explaining the nature of the regulatory action. They notify plant managers of problems by a written Noncompliance Record (NR).

NRs document noncompliance determinations that occur in the plant's sanitation and other controls and notify the plant that it must take action to remedy a problem and prevent its recurrence. If this is done, then the plant will continue to operate without interruption. Problems reported on NRs vary from minor labeling discrepancies to serious breakdowns in food safety controls. When noncompliance occurs repeatedly, or when the plant fails to prevent adulterated product from being produced or shipped, FSIS takes action to control products and may take an action to withhold or suspend inspection.

During this quarterly reporting period, FSIS performed 1,548,142 HACCP verification procedures. **Table 1a** provides numbers of NRs issued by FSIS inspection personnel between April 1, 2002 to June 30, 2002. **Table 1b** shows the number of appeals and the dispositions of the appeals filed by plants during this reporting period.

### **Table 1a. Noncompliance Record Totals**

### **NR Totals**

NRs Issued (4/01/02 to 6/31/02): **31,367** 

\* Table 1b. Appeals NRs (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# Number of Plants Filing Appeals:

Appeal of NR Appeal of NR Appeal of NR Total NRs Granted Denied Pending Appealed NA NA NA NA NA

NA

#### PRODUCT CONTROL ACTIONS

FSIS takes product control actions to gain physical control over products when there is reason to believe they are adulterated or misbranded. The actions ensure that those products do not enter commerce or, if they are already in commerce, that they do not reach consumers.

In official establishments, FSIS inspectors may retain products whenever there is evidence of unwholesomeness, or if products are adulterated or mislabeled. FSIS inspectors condemn animals for disease, contamination, or adulteration to prevent their use as human food. Figures for condemnations of livestock for the reporting period are as follows: FSIS inspected 26,186,545 livestock carcasses, of which 57,982 carcasses were condemned. FSIS inspected 1,777,277,144 poultry carcasses of which 10,114,391 carcasses were condemned.

<sup>\*</sup> Information is currently unavailable due to enhancements being made in the Agency automated appeals tracking system

# **Detentions**

After products are distributed from plants, FSIS Compliance Officers detain any that may be adulterated or misbranded. If product disposition cannot be made within 20 days, then FSIS may request a federal court to seize the product (see Civil Actions). **Table 2** provides the number of detentions and the pounds of product involved in these actions for meat and poultry, reported in total and by FSIS District Offices, for this quarterly reporting period. Most detentions result in voluntary disposal of the product and do not require court seizures.

Table 2. Detention Summary (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# **Detentions**

Total number of detentions by FSIS 219
Total pounds of product detained 22,987,852

District	Detentions	Pounds Detained
ALAMEDA, CA	16	154,250
ALBANY, NY	32	142,343
ATLANTA, GA	10	136,840
BELTSVILLE, MD	17	94,956
BOULDER, CO	27	2,148,205
CHICAGO, IL	7	14,202,044
DALLAS, TX	25	300,721
DES MOINES, IA	25	1,611,926
JACKSON, MS	3	862,220
LAWRENCE, KS	2	175
MADISON, WI	11	3,081,477
MINNEAPOLIS, MN	0	0
PHILADELPHIA, PA	8	237,957
RALEIGH, NC	13	7,632
SPRINGDALE, AR	23_	7,106
Totals	219	22,987,852

### Recalls

A recall is a voluntary action by a firm to remove adulterated, misbranded, or suspect products from distribution. FSIS cannot require recalls but can recommend and monitor those that occur. Class I recalls involve a health hazard when there is a reasonable probability that the use of the product will cause serious adverse health consequences or death. Class II recalls involve a potential health hazard when there is a remote probability of adverse health consequences from use of the product. Class III recalls involve a situation in which use of the product is not likely to cause adverse health consequences. For current information on recalls, go to the FSIS recalls web page at: <a href="http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/recalls/rec\_intr.htm">http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/recalls/rec\_intr.htm</a>.

#### **Import Reinspections**

FSIS maintains a comprehensive system of import controls to carry out the requirements of the Federal meat, poultry, and egg products inspection laws to ensure the wholesomeness of imported products. The system of import controls involves evaluation of the inspection system of each country seeking to export product to the United States and reinspection of imported products at the port of entry. FSIS conducts a rigorous review of an exporting country's inspection system to ensure it is equivalent to our inspection system prior to the country being permitted to export to the United States. After the country gains eligibility to export to the U.S., FSIS audits the foreign country inspection system at least annually. Reinspection of meat, poultry and egg products as they enter the United States is a further check on the performance of the foreign inspection system. This activity is referred to as reinspection because all imported products have been inspected and passed by a foreign inspection system equivalent to the U.S. system. All shipments must be presented to FSIS inspectors, who check documentation and general condition. FSIS also randomly selects shipments for more in-depth inspections, based on statistical sampling. A shipment that fails to meet U.S. requirements is refused entry into this country. The product must be re-exported, destroyed or, in some cases, converted to animal food. Table 3 provides the total number of lots and pounds of imported meat, poultry, and egg products presented to FSIS; the number selected for in-depth reinspection; and the number refused entry during the reporting period.

Table 3. Imported Meat, Poultry and Egg Products (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# Presented, Reinspected, and Refused Entry

#### **Meat and Poultry**

Number o	f Presented	Number of	Reinspected	Number of I	Refused Entry
Lots	Pounds	Lots	Pounds	Lots	Pounds
50,964	1,098,192,964	10,108	228,858,614	4,162	3,025,087

#### **Egg Products**

Number o	f Presented	Number of I	Refused Entry
Lots	Pounds	Lots	Pounds
358	4,068,711	6	26,094

#### LETTERS OF WARNING

FSIS issues letters of warning (LOW) for minor violations of law that are not referred to United States Attorneys for prosecution. FSIS may also issue these warnings when a United States Attorney declines to prosecute a case or bring action against a specific business or person. These letters warn that FSIS may seek criminal action based on continued violations. Letters of warning may be issued to any individual or business, including Federal plants, wholesalers, distributors, restaurants, retail stores and other entities that process, store, or distribute meat and poultry products. **Table 4** shows letters of warning issued by headquarters and by each of the FSIS District Offices during the reporting period.

10

Table 4. Letters of Warning for Criminal Actions (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# **Letters of Warning for Criminal Violations**

Total number of LOWs issued for violations: 333
Number issued by Headquarters: 3

District	Number of LOWs Issued by Districts
ALAMEDA, CA	40
ALBANY, NY	46
ATLANTA, GA	32
BELTSVILLE, MD	21
BOULDER, CO	60
CHICAGO, IL	29
DALLAS, TX	11
DES MOINES, IA	19
JACKSON, MS	12
LAWRENCE, KS	1
MADISON, WI	19
MINNEAPOLIS, MN	4
PHILADELPHIA, PA	1
RALEIGH, NC	13
SPRINGDALE, AR	22
Total number issued by Districts	330

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS**

FSIS inspects meat and poultry products and applies the marks of inspection when inspectors are able to determine that products are not adulterated. FSIS may temporarily withhold the marks of inspection from specific products, suspend inspection, or withdraw a grant of inspection if a plant is not meeting regulatory requirements.

Effective January 25, 2000, FSIS amended its Rules of Practice that apply to Agency enforcement actions. The Rules of Practice, which are located in 9 CFR Part 500, define each type of enforcement action FSIS can take, the conditions under which it is likely to take these actions, and the procedures FSIS will follow in doing so.

#### Withholding the Marks of Inspection

If a plant fails to prevent preparation and shipment of adulterated products or develops a pattern of noncompliance showing the plant's sanitation or process control systems have failed, FSIS may notify plant managers that the USDA mark of inspection is being withheld from some or all of the products in the plant. This action effectively shuts down affected operations, because it is illegal to sell products in interstate commerce that do not bear the USDA mark of inspection. Other non-affected parts of the plant, if any, may still operate.

#### Suspension of Inspection

FSIS may temporarily suspend the assignment of inspectors if a plant fails to prevent preparation and shipment of adulterated products, fails to present a corrective action plan to bring the plant sanitation or process control systems into compliance, or for other reasons specified in the Rules of Practice. As with withholding actions, a suspension shuts down all or part of the plant's operations. USDA may place the suspension in abeyance if corrections are presented, put into effect, and effectively prevent additional problems. FSIS District Offices have established procedures to monitor and verify a plant's corrective and preventive actions.

#### Notification to Establishments of Intended Enforcement Actions

FSIS has an established procedure to notify establishments of intended enforcement actions related to certain types of noncompliance that have not resulted in actual shipment of adulterated products. Under this procedure, a notice is issued to an establishment when the Inspector-in-Charge determines that the establishment has experienced multiple, recurring noncompliances, or for other reasons, as specified in the Rules of Practice, the establishment has failed to implement

adequate corrective and preventive measures. The Notice informs the establishment of the nature and scope of the noncompliance, and that FSIS intends to withhold the marks of inspection or suspend the assignment of inspectors. The Notice explains the basis of and references documentation for the intended enforcement action, and provides the establishment three business days to contest the basis for the proposed enforcement action or to demonstrate how compliance has been or will be achieved. In appropriate situations, USDA may defer a decision based on corrections submitted by the establishment.

#### Withdrawal of Inspection

In some situations, FSIS may decide that it is necessary to withdraw inspection from a plant. In these cases, FSIS withdraws inspection from a Federal plant by filing a complaint with the USDA Hearing Clerk. The plant may request a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. If the action is based on insanitation, the plant will remain closed while proceedings go forward. In other cases that do not involve a threat to public health, operations may continue. These actions may be resolved by FSIS and the plant entering into a consent decision, which allows the plant to operate under certain specified conditions. Once inspection is withdrawn, a closed plant must reapply to receive Federal inspection.

As specified in the Rules of Practice, USDA may initiate withholding, suspension, or withdrawal actions to limit a plant's slaughtering or processing, or prevent the plant from operating altogether, based on reasons related to the PR/HACCP regulations such as:

- failure to collect and analyze samples for the presence of generic *E. coli* and record test results;
- failure to develop or implement Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures; or
- failure to develop or implement a required HACCP plan.

In addition, USDA may initiate a withholding, suspension, or withdrawal action for other reasons such as:

- failure to meet "sanitation performance standards,"
- insanitary conditions,
- inhumane handling or slaughtering of livestock,
- failure to destroy condemned product, or
- interference with inspection personnel.

**Tables 5, 6, and 7** list administrative actions (other than actions based on convictions) by establishment, initiated, pending, or closed, for the period, along with whether the action is based on an SSOP or HACCP Systems failure, or for some other reason, such as inhumane handling. In some plants, FSIS may find more than one basis for taking enforcement action or may take more than one action. For example, the plant has sanitation problems and is not conducting *E. coli* testing, or a sanitation problem occurs more than once. These tables list actions taken at large, small, and very small plants. The enforcement action can be for any of the identified reasons. During this period, activity is reported concerning 155 plants. Fifty of the actions in these plants were initiated during this reporting period. Sixty-four actions were closed by letters of warning or other means during this period.

**Tables 5, 6, and 7** also identify those cases in which an appeal of the suspension action may have been made, along with whether the appeal was granted or the administrative action was sustained (appeal denied). When decisions on appeals have not been made during the period of this report, the appeal is shown as pending and will be reported in the next quarterly report. No appeal of suspension actions were filed during this period.

# Table 5. Administrative Actions: Large HACCP Plants (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis for	Action	1		С	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
CONAGRA BROILER CO. 07016 P EL DORADO, AR	6/1/01			6/6/01	X	X				ı		5/23/02	
			8/22/01	8/24/01	Х	Х						5/23/02	On 08/22/01, suspension was reinstated.
CONAGRA POULTRY CO 05787 M05787 P NATCHITOCHES, LA	7/9/01	7/12/01				х					4/4/02		
EXCEL CORP. 00086H M PLAINVIEW, TX	2/6/02		2/13/02	2/15/02	Х								
FARMER'S PRIDE, INC. 01304 P FREDERICKSBURG, PA	11/28/01	12/20/01				Х					6/14/02		
FARMLAND NATIONAL BEEF PK. CO. 00208A M LIBERAL, KS			2/4/02	2/5/02					Х			6/26/02	
IBP INC. 09268 M PASCO, WA	4/12/01	4/20/01				Х					6/19/02		
KOCH FOODS LLC 00308 P MORTON, MS			2/13/02	2/13/02	Х	Х						6/20/02	
LUIGINO'S INCORPORATED 18297 M18297 P JACKSON, OH	4/8/02	4/12/02			Х	Х							
SWIFT-ECKRICH, INC. 07174 P HUNTSVILLE, AR	3/11/02	3/20/02			X	X							

# ABBREVIATION KEY

# Table 6. Administrative Actions: Small HACCP Plants (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

4653A M04653A P OSTVILLE, IA  LLIANCE GOURMET FOODS, INC. 1879 M21879 P ALIDA, CA  TLANTIC MEATS CO., INC. 6543 M AVANNAH, GA  AKALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 2458 M02458 P A CROSSE, WI	24/02	12/14/01	9/10/01 4/12/02 6/4/02 6/27/02	9/10/01 4/23/02 6/19/02	X	X X	PS INH X	INT	Other	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
5896 M EURTISS, WI  GRIPROCESSORS, INC. 4653A M04653A P OSTVILLE, IA  LLIANCE GOURMET FOODS, INC. 1879 M21879 P ALIDA, CA  TLANTIC MEATS CO., INC. 6543 M EAVANNAH, GA EAKALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 2458 M02458 P A CROSSE, WI ERRY PACKING INC. 2325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR EOB EVANS FARMS INC. 0952 M		12/14/01	4/12/02 6/4/02	4/23/02								
653A M04653A P DSTVILLE, IA  LIANCE GOURMET FOODS, INC. 879 M21879 P ALIDA, CA  TLANTIC MEATS CO., INC. 11/1 543 M AVANNAH, GA  AKALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 458 M02458 P A CROSSE, WI  ERRY PACKING INC. 325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR  DB EVANS FARMS INC. 952 M		12/14/01	6/4/02				х					
653A M04653A P DSTVILLE, IA  LIANCE GOURMET FOODS, INC. 879 M21879 P ALIDA, CA  TLANTIC MEATS CO., INC. 11/1 543 M AVANNAH, GA  AKALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 458 M02458 P A CROSSE, WI  ERRY PACKING INC. 325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR  DB EVANS FARMS INC. 952 M		12/14/01		6/19/02								
879 M21879 P LIDA, CA  LANTIC MEATS CO., INC. 11/1 543 M VANNAH, GA  KALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 5/24 458 M02458 P CROSSE, WI  RRY PACKING INC. 5/20 325 M02325 P OSSETT, AR  BE EVANS FARMS INC.	1/16/01	12/14/01	6/27/02		v	Х						
543 M AVANNAH, GA  AKALARS BROS. SAUSAGE CO. 458 M02458 P A CROSSE, WI  ERRY PACKING INC. 325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR  DB EVANS FARMS INC. 952 M	1/16/01	12/14/01										
458 M02458 P 1 CROSSE, WI  ERRY PACKING INC. 5/20 325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR  DB EVANS FARMS INC. 952 M					Х	Х					4/16/02	
325 M02325 P ROSSETT, AR DB EVANS FARMS INC. 952 M	24/02	6/4/02				Х						
952 M	20/02	6/3/02			X	Х						
			1/29/02	1/31/02			Х					
OOKER PACKING COMPANY 2/7/ '162 M OOKER, TX	7/02	2/11/02					Х		(	6/18/02		
DRENSTEIN CATERERS, INC. 889 M08889 P MAICA, NY			4/29/02			X						On 05/08/02, DM closed case by firm requested voluntary withdrawal.  ABBREVIATION KEY  SPS Sanitation performance stan- INH Inhumane treatment/slaught

16

LOI Letter of Information LOW Letter of Warning

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis for	Action	n			Clo	sure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	INT	Othe	<u>er</u>	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
BROCCO FOODS INC. 18838 M18838 P NEW YORK MILLS, NY	8/25/00			9/7/00	ı	Х						ı		On 04/15/02, DM closed case by firm's requested voluntary withdrawal.
	2/22/01			3/2/01	Х									On 04/15/02, DM closed case by firm's requested voluntary withdrawal.
	12/28/01		1/14/02		Х	Х								On 1/14/02, suspension was reinstated. On 04/15/02, DM closed case by firm's requested voluntary withdrawal.
BUNGE FOODS CORP. 01886 M BRADLEY, IL	2/19/02	3/1/02			Χ	X					4/1	1/02		
CITY FOODS, INC. 01896 M19689 P CHICAGO, IL			2/19/02	3/11/02		Х								
			3/19/02	3/25/02			Х							
CLAUSEN MEAT PACKING INC. 00325 M TURLOCK, CA	12/4/01	12/31/01			Х						4/2	24/02		
COLLEGE HILL POULTRY 00533 P FREDERICKSBURG, PA	10/12/01	1/16/02			Х	Х								
DIBROVA FOODS, INC. 04832 M04832 P LODI, CA	6/28/02					Х								
EAST OLYMPIC POULTRY 04181 M04181 P LOS ANGELES, CA			5/30/02	5/30/02	X									
FARMERS LIVESTOCK COOP. 06208 M WAIPAHUI, HI			12/3/01	12/3/01		Х							6/28/02	

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis for	Action	1		С	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
FELLER'S PRE COOKED MEATS 01550 M01550 P NORTH SALT LAKE, UT			9/27/01	10/4/01	X	X							
			10/15/01	10/19/01	Х	Х							On 10/15/01, suspension was reinstated
	1/15/02		1/30/02	2/1/02	Х	Х							
GANADEROS ALVARADO, INC. 06682 M06682 P ARECIBO, PR			4/25/02	4/30/02	X							6/19/02	
HOUSE OF RAEFORD FARMS 19865 P ARCADIA, LA	5/31/01			6/5/01	Х	Х							
			7/31/01	8/2/01	Х	Х							On 07/31/01, suspension was reinstated.
			12/13/01	12/21/01	X	Х							On 12/13/01, suspension was reinstated
HOUSE OF RAEFORD FARMS INC. 01234 M01234 P ATHENS, MI			1/28/00	2/7/00		х							On 01/28/00, a withholding action was taken. On 02/11/00, plant appealed the suspension. On 02/29/00, plant's appea was denied. On 03/03/00, plant appeale to a higher FSIS official.
ISC, INC 01542 M01542 P SEATTLE, WA			10/23/01	10/25/01		Х							On 10/23/01, a withholding action was taken.
	12/4/01			12/6/01		Х							
INDUSTRIAS AVICOLAS DE P.R. 06658 P COAMO, PR	2/4/02	2/20/02	4/15/02	4/16/02	×	Х							ABBREVIATION KEY  SPS Sanitation performance standard: INH Inhumane treatment/slaughter INT Interference/Assault
						10							INI Interference/Assault

LOI Letter of Information LOW Letter of Warning

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis for	Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
& B MEATS CORPORATION 15712 M05712 P COAL VALLEY, IL			6/26/02	6/27/02		X			ı	Х			Plant produced and shipped ground product that tested positive for E. coli 0157:H7.
.C. POTTER ACQUISITION CORP. 0961 M DURANT, OK	12/6/01	12/21/01			X	Х				X	6/11/02		Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype 1 (E. coli).
OHN F. MARTIN & SONS 8888 M08888 P TEVENS, PA	12/4/01	1/25/02			X	Х						5/2/02	
KEENER'S INC. 12823 M02823 P RENTON, WA	3/6/02	3/27/02				Х							
OEGEL MEATS INC. 0001 M10001 P LINT, MI	6/11/02				Х	Х							
ORTE & CO., INC. 9364 M09364 P ASTON, PA			11/10/01	11/14/01		Χ				Х		5/14/02	Plant produced and shipped ground product that tested positive for E. coli 0157:H7.
A BELLA SAUSAGE INC. 8726 M18726 P ROOKSVILLE, FL	2/12/02	2/20/02				Х					5/21/02		
OVETT & SON'S INC. 3143 M IASTINGS, NE			12/14/01	12/21/01		Х						4/26/02	
OWELL KOCH'S TURKEY FARM 8437 P 'AMAQUA, PA	10/5/01	11/5/01				Х							
//ARY'S RANCH, INC. 8911 M //AMI, FL	1/14/02			2/1/02	X	Х						5/3/02	
MILLARD REFRIGERATED SERVICES 13338 M OWA CITY, IA	6/4/01					Х							Firm's response was forwarded to FSIS Technical Service Center for review on 08/07/01. Decision pending.

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	ion Suspension Basis for Action ct in Abeyance							C	Closure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	<u>HACCP</u>	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
MODESTO WHOLESALE MEATS, INC. 09001 M09001 P MODESTO, CA	6/28/02				X	X	<u> </u>						
MRS. WHEAT'S FABULOUS FOODS 13180 M NEW ORLEANS, LA	3/28/02	4/15/02	4/30/02	5/13/02	X	Х							
NEW ON SANG POULTRY CO INC. 09885 P SAN FRANCISCO, CA	10/11/01	10/25/01	1/7/02	1/8/02						X		4/16/02	Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
	11/19/01	12/5/01	12/6/01	12/8/01		Х							
			3/25/02	3/29/02		Х							On 03/25/02, suspension was reinstated.
NICHOLAS PACKING CO. 04465 M LOGANTON, PA	10/12/01		11/8/01	11/14/01	X	X						4/25/02	
NICK'S FAMOUS BAR-B-Q 17863 M NASHVILLE, TN	1/30/02	2/8/02			Х	Х							
PALACE MEAT COMPANY 09880 M FRESNO, CA	2/13/01	7/23/01				Х				Х	5/2/02		Other pathogen reduction issues.
PETERSBURG LOCKER INC. 05668 M05668 P PETERSBURG, NE	5/24/02	6/5/02				Х							
REDI-SERVE FOODS LTD. 01300A M05615 P FORT ATKINSON, WI	3/12/02					Х							
ROMANCE FOODS CORP. 04785 M KENOSHA, WI	1/16/02		3/5/02	3/22/02	Х	X							On 03/11/02, plant appealed the suspension. On 05/06/02, plant's appeawas denied.
													ABBREVIATION KEY
						20							SPS Sanitation performance standar INH Inhumane treatment/slaughter INT Interference/Assault LOI Letter of Information

LOI Letter of Information LOW Letter of Warning

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis for	Action	n		CI	osure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
RYMER MEAT INC. 06972 M06972 P CHICAGO, IL			10/4/01	10/17/01	X	ı				I			
	6/21/01	7/10/01	10/17/01	10/26/01		Х							
			1/4/02	1/9/02	X								On 01/04/02, suspension was reinstated.
SAM KANE BEEF PROCESSORS, INC. 00337 M CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	6/21/02				X								
SCHWAB AND COMPANY, INC. 02078 M02078 P OKLAHOMA CITY, OK			11/15/01	12/7/01	Х	Х	X					6/25/02	
			12/11/01	2/5/01	X	Х	Х					6/25/02	On 12/11/01, suspension was reinstated.
SHAMROCK FOODS COMPANY 06239 M06239 P PHOENIX, AZ	10/5/01	12/4/01			X	Х	Х				4/12/02		
SIERRA MADRE FOODS 06009 M MONTEREY PARK, CA			4/25/02	4/30/02		Х							
SIG INTERNATIONAL IOWA, INC. 20748 M BOYDEN, IA	12/27/01	1/16/02				Х				Х	5/6/02		Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
SIMMONS FOODS, INC. 20287 M20287 P VAN BUREN, AR	3/13/02	3/21/02				X							
SOPAKCO TEXAS 01290 M SAN ANTONIO, TX			3/27/02	4/5/02	X	Х							

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis foi	r Actio	n		Cl	osure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
UNITED MEAT & DELI, INC. 10012 M DETROIT, MI	5/1/02		I	5/16/02	X	Х						ı	
WALTHAM BEEF CO. 02727 M02727 P BOSTON, MA	12/26/01	1/16/02			X	Х				X	5/15/02		Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
WEI-CHUAN, USA INC. 09034 M09034 P BELL GARDENS, CA	3/12/02	3/28/02				Х							
ZARTIC INC. 00703A M07489 P CEDARTOWN, GA	12/5/01	12/20/01			X	Х						4/19/02	

# Table 7. Administrative Actions: Very Small HACCP Plants (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis foi	Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
A. J. PEACHEY & SONS, INC. 08628 M BELLEVILLE, PA	2/8/02	2/22/02	ı		Х	X	1			ı			
ABBOTSFORD PRODUCE 01632 G ABBOTSFORD, WI			12/12/01	1/2/02						Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements concerning egg product salmonella samples.
ACADEMY PACKING CO. INC. 02559 M02559 P DEARBORN, MI			7/19/01	7/24/01		X							
ALL AMERICAN MEATS INC. 20420 M OMAHA, NE	10/10/01		10/17/01	11/29/01		X				Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
ALPINE SAUSAGE CO. 06224 M DENVER, CO			12/13/01	12/20/01					X			5/14/02	
AMERICAN KITCHEN DELIGHTS, INC. 17439 M17439 P HARVEY, IL	3/21/02	4/11/02				X							
AMERICAN KOSHER PRODUCTS 00980 M08441 P MATTAPAN, MA	11/30/01	1/16/02			Х						5/16/02		
APALACHIN MEATS INC. 04392 M04392 P APALACHIN, NY	10/24/00			11/27/00	Х								
			3/19/02			X							
AVIAN RESEARCH CENTER, INC. 20538 P PITTSBORO, NC	11/1/01	11/29/01				Х					4/22/02		

### ABBREVIATION KEY

Administrative Actions Pe	ending or	Taken a	nt Very Sma	ali HACCP Pi	lants l	include	s ac	tions	initi	ated in	prior q	uartersl	
Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis fo	Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
B & P QUALITY MEATS 09508 M09508 P HUNKER, PA	5/15/02	6/27/02				X	l						
BAJA FOODS, LLC 01132 M05605 P CHICAGO, IL			2/8/02	3/6/02	Х		Х						
BALL PACKING INC. AND COLD STOR 19593 M19593 P IDAHO FALLS, ID	RAGE			7/18/00		Х						6/28/02	
BARETTA PROVISION INC. 08489 M08489 P EAST BERLIN, CT			6/6/02	6/11/02					X				
BASKIN BROWN'S MEAT LOCKER, IN 07055 M STRATFORD, TX	C.		3/27/02	3/28/02					X				
BERRY & SON'S ISLAMIC SLAUGHTE HOUSE 10252 M DETROIT, MI	R 1/24/02	2/8/02				Х				Х	5/15/02		Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype 1 (E. coli).
BEST TOP QUALITY CORP. 21082 M JERSEY CITY, NJ			12/15/00	4/11/01		Х						4/3/02	
BIERLY'S MEAT MARKET 09380 M SPRING MILLS, PA	10/17/01	11/1/01				Х							
BLACK RIVER CUSTOM PACKERS 04419 M WATERTOWN, NY			12/6/01	12/12/01				X					
			3/12/02	3/22/02				X					On 03/12/02, suspension was reinstated.
BRAKEBUSH BROTHERS INC. 00161 M00161 P WESTFIELD, WI	2/12/02		2/21/02	3/13/02		Х							

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		В	asis fo	r Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>LOI</u>	LOW	
BRENNEMAN'S MEAT MARKET INC. 08498 M08498 P HUNTINGDON, PA	6/12/02	6/27/02		1	Х	Х				X			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
CALMECO, INC. 04902 M POMONA, CA			9/12/01	9/18/01				Х				4/15/02	
			10/25/01	10/29/01				X				4/15/02	On 10/25/01, suspension was reinstated.
			11/6/01	11/7/01	Х							4/24/02	
CANYON SPECIALTY FOODS, INC. 20199 M20188 P DALLAS, TX	4/12/02					х					4/17/02		
CENTER MEAT CO. 06028A M04114 P BREA, CA	4/19/02	5/16/02				Х							
CONTINENTAL FOODS 07137 M HOT SPRINGS, AR	6/12/02				Х	Х							
COUSINS FOODS, LTD. 02539 M02539 P CHICAGO, IL	2/1/02	3/13/02			Х	Х					5/22/02		
CRYSTAL LAKE L.P. 01602 G WARSAW, IN			4/17/02	4/22/02	Х					Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements concerning egg product sanitation.
DEL MONTE MEATS 11022 M11022 P POCATELLO, ID			11/23/01	4/26/02		Х						6/25/02	
DOLORES CANNING CO., INC. 02891 M02891 P LOS ANGELES, CA	6/17/02				X								

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	ısis foı	r Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
EMERICK'S MEAT & PACKING CO. 14607 M04607 P 1YNDMAN, PA	3/21/02	4/30/02		I	Х	Х				X			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
RESH PORK, LLC 9861 M OTT, TX	5/2/02									Х		5/8/02	Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
GAISER'S EUROPEAN STYLE PROV. 15385 M05385 P JNION, NJ			9/25/01			X							
GLOBAL FOOD MANAGEMENT GROUF 9913 M19913 P COLTON, CA	•		5/23/01	7/3/01		Х							
GOLDEN CROWN FOODS, INC. 8823 M18823 P CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA	5/17/02					Х					6/7/02		
GORDITO'S MEATS 20017 M DGDEN, UT	5/29/02	6/12/02			X	X							
GREAT WEST EGG INDUSTRIES, INC. 11340 G .OS ANGELES, CA			6/27/02						Х				
GREEN VALLEY MEATS 16170 M06170 P NUBURN, WA			3/1/02			Х							
HAPANOWICZ BROTHERS MARKET 14274 M04274 P IEW YORK MILLS, NY	12/20/01	1/18/02				Χ					4/29/02		
HEARTLAND PREMIUM MEATS 11025 M A VISTA, NE	3/13/02	4/4/02				Х							
HEID MEAT SERVICE 8218 M KAUKAUNA, WI	3/26/02			4/9/02		X							

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	asis foi	Actio	n		С	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	<u>Other</u>	LOI	LOW	
HOCKENBERRY PROCESSING 19397 M FORT LOUDON, PA	10/24/01	12/3/01	I	12/4/01	X	Х				1	I		
HOLIDAY FOODS INC. 8074 M18074 P HOLLYWOOD, FL	12/17/01	1/17/02			Х	Х					4/17/02		
HOMESTEAD GOURMET FOODS CO. 19947 M09947 P INDEN, NJ	4/30/02	5/14/02				Х							
HOP SANG COMPANY 14978 M SAN FRANCISCO, CA	9/21/00		11/28/01	12/4/01		X						6/27/02	
OUSE OF HICKORY 7434 M IASHVILLE, TN			4/16/02	4/22/02					X				
IOWARD DARLING 9777 M A PLUME, PA	4/26/02	5/7/02			Х	X				Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
ISIN-CHIH ENTERPRISES INC. 9936 M09936 P COLLEGE POINT, NY			5/10/00	4/25/01		X						4/3/02	
			10/5/01	11/30/01		X						4/3/02	On 10/05/01, suspension was reinstated
			5/31/02			Х							
SLAMIC MEAT & POULTRY 4928 M04928 P TOCKTON, CA	2/19/02	3/12/02			Х	Х				Х	5/17/02		Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
&M MEATS INC. 0501 M08116 P UREKA, MT	8/29/01	9/21/01	12/26/01	2/8/02	Х	Х				Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
													ABBREVIATION KEY
													SPS Sanitation performance standar INH Inhumane treatment/slaughter INT Interference/Assault

LOI Letter of Information LOW Letter of Warning

Establishment/ Estab. Number .ocation	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis fo	r Actio	n		С	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
(ERN'S SAUSAGES, INC. 0259 M (RANKENMUTH, MI	6/5/02	6/17/02	I	I	X	X							
KINGS CHOICE CUSTOM MEAT CO. 4878 M OS ANGELES, CA	12/7/01	12/19/01				Х							
AGROU COLD STORAGE 0219 103197 M CHICAGO, IL			5/30/02				Х						
AKE COUNTRY MEATS, INC. 7796 M07796 P CARLOS, MN	11/14/01		11/29/01							Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli). On or about 05/10/02, case closed when the firm was sold to a new
EADER STEAK AND PROVISION CO. 1140 M OS ANGELES, CA	4/19/02	4/30/02			X								owner.
ET'S DO LUNCH, INC. 9056 M BARDENA, CA	12/27/01	1/3/02	1/17/02	1/30/02		Х						5/17/02	
IADSON MEATS, INC. 8924 M IEDLEY, FL	2/6/02	2/20/02			Х	Х					5/14/02		
IALONE'S FINE SAUSAGE INC. 5702 M IILWAUKEE, WI	1/29/02	2/14/02			Х	Х							
MANUEL'S HOT TAMALES INC. 7121 M IEW ORLEANS, LA	3/28/02	4/15/02			Х	Х							
IARK ZURO & SONS, INC. 2553 M :HICAGO, IL			1/10/02	1/17/02					X			4/30/02	
			1/18/02	1/24/02					Χ			4/30/02	On 01/18/02, suspension was reinstated.

stablishment/ stab. Number ocation	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis fo	Actio	n		С	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	<u>Other</u>	LOI	LOW	
ICNAY, INC. 9238 M19238 P UNBURY, NC	5/6/02	5/15/02		I	Х	X				ı			
IEDITERRANEAN FOODS, INC. 8977 M IPPER DARBY, PA	7/7/00			8/22/00		Х							
			7/20/01			X							On 07/20/01, suspension was reinstated On 02/25/02, firm had appealed the suspension. On 04/22/02, plant's appearance was denied.
IETRO PACKING COMPANY 0278 M10278 P IETROIT, MI			8/6/01	8/10/01	X							6/26/02	was deflied.
IID VALLEY PROCESSING, INC. 0558 M20558 P IEWMAN, CA	6/6/02		6/19/02			Х				Х			Other pathogen reduction issues. Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements fescherichia coli Biotype 1 (E. coli).
IONDO & SONS, INC. 9328 M09328 P EATTLE, WA	9/7/01			9/21/01		Х							
			12/3/01			X							
IONTANA QUALITY FOODS & PROC. 7679 M IILES CITY, MT	2/26/02		3/5/02	3/12/02		Х				Х			Plant produced and shipped ground product that tested positive for E. coli 0157:H7.
IYERS BROTHERS 9469 M PRING MILLS, PA	8/23/01	8/31/01	9/27/01		X	Х					5/14/02		
IEW SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, INC. 8931 M18931 P HICAGO, IL			1/29/02	2/1/02	X		X					4/25/02	
SCAR'S HICKORY HOUSE, INC. 4257 M04257 P VARRENSBURG, NY			3/27/02	4/5/02		X							

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	isis fo	r Actio	n		C	losure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
PACFOODS, U.S.A. 19889 M HAYWARD, CA	1/8/02	2/20/02			X	X					4/24/02		
PECOS VALLEY MEAT CO. 17299 M07299 P ROSWELL, NM	5/23/02	6/12/02			Х	X							
PHUC HUONG 1607 M GAN JOSE, CA			1/28/02	3/20/02	Х								
PICONE MEAT SPECIALTIES 14445 M04445 P MAMARONECK, NY	5/21/02	5/31/02			Х	Х							
PRIZE STEAK PRODUCTS, INC. 15725 M MILWAUKEE, WI	3/12/02	3/29/02			Х	Х							
PUDLINER PACKING CO. 14999 M04999 P OHNSTOWN, PA	3/15/02	4/4/02			X	X							
PUTNAM SPECIALTY FOODS, INC. 13538 M13538 P DMAHA, NE			4/4/02	4/23/02		Х							
RADD DEWS BAR-B-Q PIT 19088 M CONWAY, SC			4/15/02	4/19/02					Х			6/21/02	
RIGGS QUALITY MEAT INC. 0183 M10183 P MARSHALL, MI	5/24/02	6/13/02			X	X							
SAAD WHOLESALE, INC. 0153 M10153 P DETROIT, MI				5/18/01			Х					6/26/02	On 05/11/01, a withholding action was taken.
SAM'S BAKERY 18900 M18900 P ROHNERT PARK, CA	3/19/02		4/8/02	4/10/02	Χ	X							

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	asis fo	r Actio	on		CI	osure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
SAM'S BAKERY 18900 M18900 P ROHNERT PARK, CA			4/18/02	4/19/02					Х			1	
SMOKEHOUSE OF THE CATSKILLS 04585 M04585 P SAUGERTIES, NY	9/19/01				X	Х							
SMOKEY VALLEY MEATS, INC. 19844 M19844 P PARK HILLS, MO	6/20/02	6/25/02				Х							
SNOW HILL PROCESSING 09075 M09075 P CHESTERFIELD, SC	5/30/02	6/10/02								X			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype 1 (E. coli).
STEWART'S PROCESSING CORP. 20087 M20087 P SARATOGA SPRINGS, NY	5/7/01	6/7/01				Х					4/29/02		
STOVER'S KITCHENS, INC. 20552 M SEATTLE, WA	10/29/01			11/6/01		Х							
TAI-HUNG POULTRY WHOLESALE 20499 P HOUSTON, TX	5/30/02	6/5/02			Х	Х							
THE BAYOU CO. 20726 M TARPON SPRINGS, FL	4/24/02	5/13/02			Х	Х							
THE BUTCHER BLOCK INC. 04319 M04319 P BOSTON, MA			6/19/02	6/20/02	Х								
TROUTMAN'S & SONS 08543 M MIDDLEBURG, PA	10/1/01		11/5/01	11/7/01	Х	Х						5/2/02	
USA PORK PACKERS, INC. 20760 M20760 P HAZELTON, PA	9/20/01	10/19/01			X	X	X						

Establishment/ Estab. Number Location	NOIE	Deferral	Suspension In Effect	Suspension in Abeyance		Ва	sis for	Actio	n		Cle	osure	Appeals and Actions
					SSOP	HACCP	<u>SPS</u>	<u>INH</u>	<u>INT</u>	Other	LOI	LOW	
WARD'S, INC. 21119 M21119 P JERSEYVILLE, IL			1/16/02	4/1/02				X					
	1/22/02		1/30/02	3/30/02	Х	X				Х			Plant failed to meet regulatory requirements for escherichia coli Biotype (E. coli).
WEBER'S PICKLED MEAT 00587 M NEWARK, NJ			6/5/02	6/21/02	Х								
WILLI'S SAUSAGE COMPANY 17661 M VASSAR, MI	1/24/02	2/12/02			Х	X					4/12/02		

# Withdrawal for Unfitness

Under the statutes it administers, FSIS also can move to withdraw inspection, after opportunity for a hearing, based on the unfitness of an applicant for or a recipient of inspection because of a felony conviction or more than one violation involving food. **Table 8** identifies actions pending or taken (other than outstanding consent decisions) on this basis for this reporting period.

# Table 8. Withdrawal for Unfitness (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# Administrative Actions Pending or Taken for Unfitness lincludes actions initiated in prior quartersl

Establishment	Complaint to Deny/Withdraw Inspection	Consent Order	Decision & Order	Actions
GREENVILLE PACKING CO 9956 M 09956 P GREENVILLE, NY	7/27/98		3/13/00	The decision to withdraw inspection is based on the firm's felony conviction of bribery of an FSIS employee. The firm appealed. On April 30, 2002, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit denied the company's appeal because the firm missed a filing deadline and the court ruled in favor of the federal government. Consequently, inspection services were withdrawn from the company on May 24, 2002.
PHOENIX POULTRY CORP. AND GEORGE D. OPPENHEIMER 18031 P WEST SPRINGFIELD, MA	3/1/01			The complaint to withdraw inspection is based on the general manager's felony conviction of offering for sale uninspected poultry products with intent to defraud, and subscribing a false employers quarterly federal tax return. George Oppenheimer and firm answered complaint on March 18, 2001, and March 19, 2001, respectively. On January 29, 2002, attorneys for USDA filed a motion for hearing.
SALEM PACKING CO., INC. ANTHONY BONACCURSO SAMUEL BONACCURSO 05425 M SALEM, NJ	1/25/02			The complaint to withdraw inspection is based on firm and manager's state convictions for unlawful discharging of pollutants in waterways. Action also based on company president's State drug conviction. Attorney for firm and individuals filed answer to complaint on February 13, 2002.

# Removing Custom Exempt Privilege

The meat and poultry laws exempt certain operations from inspection. Custom exempt businesses slaughter animals or process meat for owners of the animals or products. When insanitary conditions create health hazards, FSIS may remove custom exempt privileges and require the plant to cease operations until sanitary conditions are restored. FSIS can also take action when custom facilities fail to properly label product as "Not for Sale." These businesses have the opportunity to correct violations prior to such actions. Only new activities would be reflected in this report. There were no actions this reporting period.

#### **CRIMINAL ACTIONS**

If evidence is found that a person or business has engaged in violations of the Federal Meat Inspection Act, Poultry Products Inspection Act, or Egg Products Inspection Act, USDA may refer the case to the appropriate United States Attorney to pursue criminal prosecution.

Conviction for a criminal offense can result in a fine, imprisonment, or both. **Table 9** lists criminal actions and criminal cases in categories according to the status of the case, which may be indictment or information issued; pleas, convictions, or acquittals, and sentences rendered during this reporting period.

# **Table 9. Criminal Actions** (4/01/02 to 6/30/02)

# **Criminal Actions**

Name	Location	Indictment	Information	Plea	Sentencing	Actions
CHAN FOOD SERVICE DISTRIB. CO. TOM CHAN, PRES. WILLIAM CHAN , VICE PRES.	DURHAM, NC			1/10/02	5/17/02	On May 17, 2002, the firm and individuals were sentenced to three (3) misdemeanor counts for causing products to become adulterated while held in storage. Tom Chan, President of the firm, was ordered to pay a \$1,400 fine, plus \$1,000 in attorney fees. William Chan, Vice President, was ordered to pay a \$700 first and \$1,000 in the product of the pay a \$1,000 in the pay a \$1,000 first and \$1,000 in the pay a \$1,000 first and \$1,0
						fine, plus \$1,000 in attorney fees. Both Tom Chan and William Chan were fined \$75 special assessment and two (2) years probation.
SHAMROCK MEATS INC	SHAMROCK, TX			4/17/02	4/17/02	On April 17, 2002, the firm was sentenced to one (1) misdemeanor count for selling and transporting adulterated meat food products. The firm was fined \$100,000, ordered to pay a \$50,000 payment to the city of Shamrock, TX, and \$125 special assessment, and was placed under two (2) years probation.

#### PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION AGREEMENTS

In certain situations, United States Attorneys may enter into Pre-Trial Diversion (PTD) agreements. Under these agreements, the government agrees not to proceed with criminal prosecution if the alleged violator meets certain terms and conditions. The terms and conditions of a PTD are tailored to fit each individual case. FSIS frequently monitors these agreements so that we can assist the U. S. Attorneys in determining whether prosecution should be reinstituted. If the divertee successfully completes the program, no criminal charges are filed. If, on the other hand, the divertee does not successfully complete the program, criminal charges may be reinstated. There are no pre-trial diversion agreements this quarter.

#### **CIVIL ACTIONS**

FSIS also has authority to seek a variety of civil actions in Federal Court.

#### Seizures

When FSIS has reason to believe distributed products are adulterated or misbranded, the Agency will, through the U.S. Attorney, institute a seizure action against the product. The product is held pending an adjudication of its status. If the court finds that the product is adulterated or misbranded, it will condemn the product. Condemned product cannot be further processed to be used for human food. There are no seizure actions reported for this period.

#### Injunctions

FSIS, through the U.S. Attorney, may request a U.S. District Court to enjoin repetitive violators of the FMIA, PPIA, or EPIA. The Agency seeks injunctions to stop uninspected retail stores from processing products without required inspection for wholesale business or to prevent or restrain other violations of law. There was one new injunction reported for the period.

Table 10. Civil Actions (04/01/02 to 06/30/02)

Name	Location	Complaint	Injunction	Action
VINH CHAU MARKET KHUONG M. TRA AND SEN T. LAM, CO-OWNERS	CAMDEN, NJ	5/29/02	6/14/02	On June 14, 2002, the firm and its owners were permanently enjoined for violating the FMIA and PPIA. The court action came as a result of the firm and its officials repeatedly selling and transporting non-federally inspected (NFI) product.

#### False Claims Act Violations

The Department of Justice Affirmative Civil Enforcement (ACE) program is used by U.S. Attorneys to recover damages when a violation of law involves fraud against the Federal government. Under the False Claims Act, the government may recover three times its estimated losses. FSIS typically seeks action under this program for cases involving products, not in compliance, sold to the military, to public schools engaged in the school lunch program, or to other Federal institutions. There are no ACE program actions reported for this period.

# FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Media Inquiries:(202) 720-9113Freedom of Information Act Requests:(202) 720-2109Congressional Inquiries:(202) 720-3897Constituent Inquiries:(202) 720-8594

Consumer Inquiries: Call USDA's Meat and Poultry Hotline at

1-800-535-4555, 10 a.m. to 4 p.m., Eastern Time In the Washington, DC area, call (202) 720-3333.

FSIS Web site: <u>www.fsis.usda.gov</u>