Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

- 1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-19 16:07:22
- 2. Agency: 016
- 3. Bureau: 00
- 4. Name of this Investment: DCPS Disability Case Processing System

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 016-00-01-03-01-2141-00

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? *

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

The Disability Case Processing System (DCPS) program moves SSA from its current fragmented, multiple-application environment to a modernized common Disability Case Management system. Currently, the Disability Determination Service (DDS) field offices verify basic eligibility requirements before routing claims to the DDSs and federal disability claims processing units, where disability determinations are rendered. The creation of this system will provide common functionality and consistent support to each DDS and federal disability claims processing site. It will be a complex and multiyear effort, however, it ultimately simplifies DDS systems support and maintenance processes and reduces the rate of growth of overall infrastructure costs. A single system will eliminate the complexity of the current environment, which currently constrains the flexibility of the system to accept updates as required by changes in regulations, laws, or business rules. With the move to a new common system across all disability claims processing units and DDSs, the major and minor software updates will be more efficient, provide a more effective use of resources and will achieve updates in a much shorter time frame. DCPS will utilize the HIT system to improve disability case processing through improvements in medical record retrieval. In the new environment, the enhancements will reduce disability case processing times, achieve maximum productivity and improve the consistency accuracy of disability decisions. Additionally, DCPS implementation will allow for the retirement of DDS Automation, the Agency program which maintains the current multiple-application environment. In FY 2010, SSA will begin data migration, implementation and rollout strategies for DCPS as well as start the process of eliciting requirements. In FY 2011, SSA will conduct performance testing and environmental training and continue data migration, conversion and integration efforts in preparation for first site(s) implementation.

a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned)alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? * a.lf "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- Name: *
- Phone Number: *
- Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

| Financial management system name(s) | System acronym | Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| * | * | * |

- a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *
 - computer system security requirement;
 - internal control system requirement;
 - core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
 - Federal accounting standard;
 - U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
 - this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
 - Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

| Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| | PY1 and earlier | PY 2009 | CY 2010 | BY 2011 | BY+1 2012 | BY+2 2013 | BY+3 2014 | BY+4 and beyond | Total | | |
| Planning: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Operations & Maintenanc e: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Disposition Costs (optional): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| SUBTOTAL: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| | G | overnment F | TE Costs she | ould not be i | ncluded in the | e amounts pr | ovided above | e. | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |
| TOTAL(incl uding FTE costs) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | | |

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

| Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Contract | Type of | Has the | lf so | Start | End date | Total | Is this an | ls it | Competit | What, if | Is EVM in |
| or Task Order Number | Contract/ Task Order (In accordan ce with FAR Part 16) | contract been awarded (Y/N) | what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date? | date of Contract/ Task Order | of Contract/ Task Order | Value of Contract/ Task Order (M) | Interagen cy Acquisiti on? (Y/N) | performa nce based? (Y/N) | ively awarded ? (Y/N) | any, alternativ e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A) | the contract? (Y/N) |
| 089/SS00 -08-40020 | Blanket Purchase Agreeme nt (BPA) | Y | 2009-08-1 3 | 2009-08-1 3 | 2009-08-1 3 | \$0.1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| SS00-09- 31410/NN G01DA12 B | Blanket Purchase Agreeme nt (BPA) | Y | 2009-09-1 6 | 2009-09-1 6 | 2010-09-1 6 | \$0.3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 5-410-SS 00056001 1 | Task Based Indefinite Delivery/I ndefinite Quantity (ID/IQ) Time & Materials (T&M) Task Order | Y | 2008-09-3 0 | 2008-09-3 0 | 2009-09-2 9 | \$0.0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| -880-SS 0056001 1 | Task Based Indefinite Delivery/I ndefinite Quantity (ID/IQ) Time & Materials (T&M) Task Order | Y | 2008-09-3 0 | 2008-09-3 0 | 2009-09-2 9 | \$0.0 | * | * | * | * | * |
| i-890-SS 0056001 1 | Task Based Indefinite Delivery/I ndefinite Quantity (ID/IQ) Time & Materials (T&M) Task Order | Y | 2008-09-3 0 | 2008-09-3 0 | 2009-09-2 9 | \$0.4 | • | • | • | • | · |
| Contracto r DV/progra mming - JniForms | Blanket Purchase Agreeme nt (BPA) | Y | 2009-10-0 1 | 2009-10-0 1 | 2011-09-3 0 | \$2.2 | * | * | * | * | * |
| SS00056 0011 | Task Based Indefinite | Y | 2009-09-3 0 | 2009-09-3 0 | 2010-09-2 9 | \$1.1 | * | * | * | * | * |

EXHIBIT 300

CAPITAL ASSET PLAN AND BUSINESS CASE SUMMARY

| Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Contr or Ta Ord Numi | ask Co ler ber O ac | ype of ontract/ Task rder (In ccordan e with AR Part 16) | Has the contract been awarded (Y/N) | is the | of | End date of Contract/ Task Order | Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M) | Is this an Interagen Cy Acquisiti on? (Y/N) | Is it performa nce based? (Y/N) | Competiti vely awarded? (Y/N) | What, if any, alternativ e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A) | Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N) |
| | | Delivery ndefini Quanti (ID/IC Time Materia (T&M Task Orde | ite ity i) & als) | | | | | | | | | |
| | niForms onversio n | Blanke Purchas Agreem nt (BPA | se ie | 2009-10- 1 | 0 2009-10-0 1 | 0 2010-09- 0 | -3 \$0.5 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 40 | | Blanke Purchas Agreem nt (BPA | se ie | 2008-09- 6 | 1 2008-12- [.] 1 | 1 2010-12- 1 | -3 \$1.5 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 40 | S00-08- 0004/GS 35F-498 4H | Blanke Purchas Agreem nt (BPA | se ie | 2007-09- 7 | 2 2009-07-2 9 | 2 2010-06- 0 | -3 \$3.8 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 30 | S00-09-)200/GS 35F-498 4H | Firm Fixed Price (FFP) | Y | 2008-12- 9 | 0 2008-12-(9 | 0 2009-12- 9 | -0 \$0.1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| | S00-09- 50355 | Firm Fixed Price (FFP) | Y | 2009-09- 1 | 0 2009-09- [.] 5 | 1 2009-12- 5 | -1 \$0.1 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 31 | | Blanke Purchas Agreem nt (BPA | se ie | 2009-08- 5 | 2 2009-09-(1 | 0 2010-08- 1 | -3 \$0.7 | * | * | * | * | * |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a. If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

| | | Tab | ole 1: Performand | e Information Ta | able | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2010 | Preserve the Public's Trust in Our Programs | * | * | Develop "To Be" business process | 70% of the business process will be completed by end of FY09 | 100% complete by Q2 FY10 | Actual results pending |
| 2010 | Eliminate Our Hearings Backlog and Prevent Its Recurrence | * | * | Assess organizational impact of business process changes | Analysis has yet to be performed | 100% complete by Q4 FY10 | Actual results pending |
| 2011 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Number of sources for comprehensive management information | Management information is stored at national (two agency wide systems) & local (54 DDS local systems) levels | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Number of sources for comprehensive management information | Management information is stored at national (two agency wide systems) & local (54 DDS local systems) levels | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Number of sources for comprehensive management information | Management information is stored at national (two agency wide systems) & local (54 DDS local systems) levels | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |
| 2011 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | System Availability | 99% (obtained from current service level agreement) | 99.20% | Actual Results will be made available in FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | System Availability | 99% (obtained from current service level agreement) | 99.40% | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | System Availability | 99% (obtained from current service level agreement) | 99.50% | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |
| 2010 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Determine data conversion strategy | No strategy currently exists | 100% complete by Q2 FY10 | Actual results pending |
| 2010 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability | * | * | Select a contractor to support development | 25% of procurement process will be completed at | 100% complete by Q2 FY10 | Actual results pending |

EXHIBIT 300

CAPITAL ASSET PLAN AND BUSINESS CASE SUMMARY

| | | Tab | le 1: Performand | ce Information Ta | ıble | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | Process | | | | end of FY09 | | |
| 2011 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Average number of days on a national level required to implement new software at DDS level | 540 days | 30 days for implementation site(s) | Actual Results will be made available in FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Average number of days on a national level required to implement new software at DDS level | 540 days | 20 days for implementation site(s) | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve Our Retiree and Other Core Services | * | * | Average number of days on a national level required to implement new software at DDS level | 540 days | 10 days for implementation site(s) | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |
| 2011 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Case processing-relat ed task time improvements for optimum productivity | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Case processing-relat ed task time improvements for optimum productivity | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Case processing-relat ed task time improvements for optimum productivity | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |
| 2011 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Current variance of initial net accuracy | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Current variance of initial net accuracy | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Current variance of initial net accuracy | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |
| 2015 | Preserve the Public's Trust in Our Programs | * | * | Decrease annual maintenance costs for system | TBD | TBD | Actual Results will be made available in FY16 |
| 2011 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our | * | * | Minimize average processing time | FY08 Baseline - 106 Days | 141 Days | Actual Results will be made available in |

CAPITAL ASSET PLAN AND BUSINESS CASE SUMMARY

| | | Tab | le 1: Performan | ce Information Ta | ıble | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | Disability Process | | | for initial disability claims to provide timely decisions | | | FY12 |
| 2012 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Minimize average processing time for initial disability claims to provide timely decisions | Projected FY11 processing time of 141 days | 1 day reduction in processing time (due to limited number of sites with DCPS) | Actual Results will be made available in FY13 |
| 2013 | Improve The Speed and Quality of Our Disability Process | * | * | Minimize average processing time for initial disability claims to provide timely decisions | Projected FY11 processing time of 141 days | 2 days reduction in processing time (due to limited number of sites with DCPS) | Actual Results will be made available in FY14 |

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

| 1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Description of Milestones | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete | | | |
| FY09 - Planning and Analysis | \$0.8 | \$0.9 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY10 - DCPS Construction | \$6.3 | \$0.0 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| FY10 - DCPS National Vendor File | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| FY10 - ITS Costs | \$43.3 | \$0.6 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| FY10 - FY09 ITS Commitments | \$5.4 | \$4.1 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 81.00% | 75.00% | | | |
| FY10 - Management | \$2.4 | \$1.2 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 50.00% | 50.00% | | | |
| FY10 - non-DCS Support | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 50.00% | 50.00% | | | |
| DCPS FY11 | * | * | 2010-10-01 | | 2011-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| DCPS FY12 | * | * | 2011-10-01 | | 2012-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| DCPS FY13 | * | * | 2012-10-01 | | 2013-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| DCPS FY14 | * | * | 2013-10-01 | | 2014-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| FY09 - Requirements | \$0.8 | \$0.8 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| DCPS FY15 | * | * | 2014-10-01 | | 2015-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | |
| FY09 - Procurement | \$0.1 | \$0.2 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY09 - ITS Costs | \$3.8 | \$3.8 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY09 - FY08 ITS Commitments | \$2.2 | \$2.2 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY09 - Management | \$0.3 | \$0.3 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY09 - non-DCS Support | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 2008-10-01 | 2008-10-01 | 2009-09-30 | 2009-09-30 | 100.00% | 100.00% | | | |
| FY10 - DCPS Requirements | \$4.6 | \$2.7 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 85.00% | 76.00% | | | |
| FY10 - DCPS Procurement Activities | \$1.3 | \$0.3 | 2009-10-01 | 2009-10-01 | 2010-09-30 | | 20.00% | 17.00% | | | |

* - Indicates data is redacted.