

U.S. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICE OF AUDITS

Final Audit Report

Subject:

AUDIT ON GLOBAL CLAIMS WHERE AMOUNTS PAID EXCEEDED COVERED CHARGES FOR BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

Report No. 1A-99-00-10-030

Date: January 11, 2011

-CAUTION-

This audit report has been distributed to Federal officials who are responsible for the administration of the audited program. This audit report may contain proprietary data which is protected by Federal law (18 U.S.C., 1905). Therefore, while this audit report is available under the Freedom of Information Act and made available to the public on the OIG webpage, caution needs to be exercised before releasing the report to the general public as it may contain proprietary information that was reducted from the publicly distributed copy.



UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Washington, DC 20415

AUDIT REPORT

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program Service Benefit Plan Contract CS 1039 BlueCross BlueShield Association Plan Code 10

Global Claims where Amounts Paid Exceeded Covered Charges BlueCross and BlueShield Plans

REPORT NO. 1A-99-00-10-030

DATE: January 11, 2011

Assistant Inspector General for Audits



UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT Washington, DC 20415

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Federal Employees Health Benefits Program
Service Benefit Plan Contract CS 1039
BlueCross BlueShield Association
Plan Code 10

Global Claims where Amounts Paid Exceeded Covered Charges BlueCross and BlueShield Plans

REPORT NO. <u>1A-99-00-10-030</u> DATE: January 11, 2011

This <u>final</u> audit report on the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations at all BlueCross and BlueShield (BCBS) plans questions \$2,216,234 in health benefit charges. The BlueCross BlueShield Association and/or BCBS plans agreed with \$1,655,291 and disagreed with \$560,943 of the questioned charges.

Our limited scope audit was conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. The audit covered health benefit payments from January 1, 2008 through January 31, 2010 as reported in the Annual Accounting Statements. Specifically, we reviewed all facility claims that were reimbursed during this period where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by \$9,500 or more. Based on our review, we determined that the BCBS plans incorrectly paid 85 claims, resulting in net overcharges of \$2,216,234 to the FEHBP. Specifically, the BCBS plans overpaid 82 claims by \$2,234,710 and underpaid 3 claims by \$18,476.

	CONTENTS
	PAGE
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARYi
I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND1
П.	OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY3
111.	AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS5
	Claims where Amounts Paid Exceeded Covered Charges5
IV.	MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT10
V	SCHEDULES
	A. SUMMARY OF CLAIM SAMPLE SELECTIONS BY PLAN
	B. QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN
	APPENDIX (BlueCross BlueShield Association reply, dated June 30, 2010, to the draft audit report)

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

INTRODUCTION

This final audit report details the findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from our limited scope audit of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations at all BlueCross and BlueShield (BCBS) plans.

The audit was performed by the Office of Personnel Management's (OPM) Office of the Inspector General (OIG), as established by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended.

BACKGROUND

The FEHBP was established by the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Act (Public Law 86-382), enacted on September 28, 1959. The FEHBP was created to provide health insurance benefits for federal employees, annuitants, and dependents. OPM's Healthcare and Insurance Office has overall responsibility for administration of the FEHBP. The provisions of the FEHB Act are implemented by OPM through regulations, which are codified in Title 5, Chapter 1, Part 890 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Health insurance coverage is made available through contracts with various health insurance carriers.

The BlueCross BlueShield Association (Association), on behalf of participating BCBS plans, has entered into a Government-wide Service Benefit Plan contract (CS 1039) with OPM to provide a health benefit plan authorized by the FEHB Act. The Association delegates authority to participating local BCBS plans throughout the United States to process the health benefit claims of its federal subscribers. There are approximately 63 local BCBS plans participating in the FEHBP.

The Association has established a Federal Employee Program (FEP¹) Director's Office in Washington, D.C. to provide centralized management for the Service Benefit Plan. The FEP Director's Office coordinates the administration of the contract with the Association, member BCBS plans, and OPM.

The Association has also established an FEP Operations Center. The activities of the FEP Operations Center are performed by CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield, located in Washington, D.C. These activities include acting as fiscal intermediary between the Association and member plans, verifying subscriber eligibility, approving or disapproving the reimbursement of local plan payments of FEHBP claims (using computerized system edits), maintaining a history file of all FEHBP claims, and maintaining an accounting of all program funds.

Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to the FEHBP is the responsibility of the Association and each BCBS plan's management. Also, management of each BCBS plan is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal controls.

¹ Throughout this report, when we refer to "FEP" we are referring to the Service Benefit Plan lines of business at the Plan. When we refer to the "FEHBP" we are referring to the program that provides health benefits to federal employees.



IL OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this audit was to determine whether the BCBS plans properly charged the FEHBP for claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges.

SCOPE

We conducted our limited scope performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The audit covered health benefit payments from January 1, 2008 through January 31, 2010 as reported in the Annual Accounting Statements. Specifically, we reviewed facility claims that were reimbursed during this period where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges. Based on our claim variance report, we identified 96,998 facility claims for this period where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by a total of \$135,043,267 (also referred to as variances or potential overpayments). From this universe, we selected and reviewed all facility claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by \$9,500 or more. Our sample included 2,599 facility claims, totaling \$57,410,745 in variances or potential overpayments, for 49 of the 63 BCBS plans (See Schedule A for the summary of the claim sample selections by plan).

We did not consider each BCBS plan's internal control structure in planning and conducting our auditing procedures. Our audit approach consisted mainly of substantive tests of transactions and not tests of controls. Therefore, we do not express an opinion on each BCBS plan's system of internal controls taken as a whole.

We also conducted tests to determine whether the BCBS plans had complied with the contract and the laws and regulations governing the FEHBP as they relate to claim payments where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges. The results of our tests indicate that, with respect to the items tested, the BCBS plans did not fully comply with the provisions of the contract relative to claim payments where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges. Exceptions noted in the areas reviewed are set forth in detail in the "Audit Finding and Recommendations" section of this audit report. With respect to the items not tested, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the BCBS plans had not complied, in all material respects, with those provisions.

In conducting our audit, we relied to varying degrees on computer-generated data provided by the FEP Director's Office, the FEP Operations Center, and the BCBS plans. Due to time constraints, we did not verify the reliability of the data generated by the various information systems involved. However, while utilizing the computer-generated data during our audit

² This universe excludes facility claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges for the BCBS plans' years that were previously audited by the OIG.

testing, nothing came to our attention to cause us to doubt its reliability. We believe that the data was sufficient to achieve our audit objective.

The audit was performed at our offices in Washington, D.C.; Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania; and Jacksonville, Florida from August 2010 through November 2010.

METHODOLOGY

To test each BCBS plan's compliance with the FEHBP health benefit provisions related to claim payments where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges, we selected all facility claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by \$9,500 or more that were identified in a computer search. Specifically, we selected for review a judgmental sample of 2,599 facility claims, totaling \$57,410,745 in variances or potential overpayments (out of 96,998 facility claims, totaling \$135,043,267 in variances or potential overpayments).

The facility claims selected for review were submitted to each applicable BCBS plan for their review and response. We then conducted a limited review of the plans' agreed responses and an expanded review of their disagreed responses to determine the appropriate questioned amount. We did not project the sample results to the universe.

The determination of the questioned amount is based on the FEHBP contract, the Service Benefit Plan brochure, and the Association's FEP administrative manual.

III. AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Claims where Amounts Paid Exceeded Covered Charges

\$2,216,234

The BCBS plans incorrectly paid 85 claims, resulting in net overcharges of \$2,216,234 to the FEHBP. Specifically, the BCBS plans overpaid 82 claims by \$2,234,710 and underpaid 3 claims by \$18,476.

Contract CS 1039, Part III, section 3.2 (b)(1) states, "The Carrier may charge a cost to the contract for a contract term if the cost is actual, allowable, allocable, and reasonable." Part II, section 2.3(g) states, "If the Carrier or OPM determines that a Member's claim has been paid in error for any reason . . . the Carrier shall make a prompt and diligent effort to recover the erroneous payment"

Contract CS 1039, Part II, section 2.6 states, "(a) The Carrier shall coordinate the payment of benefits under this contract with the payment of benefits under Medicare . . . (b) The Carrier shall not pay benefits under this contract until it has determined whether it is the primary carrier"

Section 6(h) of the FEHB Act provides that rates should reasonably and equitably reflect the costs of benefits provided.

We performed a computer search on the BCBS claims database, using our data warehouse function, to identify facility claims reimbursed during the period January 1, 2008 through January 31, 2010 where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges. Based on our claim variance report, we identified 96,998 claims for this period where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by a total of \$135,043,267. From this universe, we selected and reviewed all facility claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges by \$9,500 or more, and determined if the BCBS plans paid these claims correctly. Our sample included 2,599 facility claims, totaling \$57,410,745 in variances or potential overpayments, for 49 of the 63 BCBS plans (See Schedule A for the summary of the claim sample selections by plan).

Based on our review, we determined that 85 of these claims were paid incorrectly, resulting in net overcharges of \$2,216,234 to the FEHBP. Specifically, the BCBS plans overpaid 82 claims by \$2,234,710 and underpaid 3 claims by \$18,476 (See Schedule B for a summary of the claim payment errors by plan).

-

³ This universe excludes facility claims for the BCBS plans' years that were previously audited by the OIG.
⁴ In addition, there were 60 claim payment errors, totaling \$3,049,560 in overpayments, that were identified by the BCBS plans before the start of the audit (i.e., April 15, 2010) and adjusted or voided by the Association's response date (i.e., June 30, 2010) to the draft report. Since these overpayments were identified by the BCBS plans before the start of our audit and adjusted or voided by the Association's response date to the draft report, we did not question these overpayments in the final report.

These claim payment errors resulted from the following:

- The BCBS plans paid 54 claims using the incorrect pricing allowances or methodologies, resulting in net overcharges of \$1,398,327 to the FEHBP. These errors were due to the plans using the incorrect per diems, diagnosis related grouping rates, or percent of charges when pricing the claims, or not applying the lesser of billed charges. Consequently, the BCBS plans overpaid 51 claims by \$1,416,803 and underpaid 3 claims by \$18,476.
- The BCBS plans paid seven claims where the procedure line charges were incorrectly bundled, resulting in overcharges of \$223,933 to the FEHBP.
- The BCBS plans inadvertently paid duplicate charges for eight claims, resulting in overcharges of \$172,141 to the FEHBP.
- The BCBS plans did not properly coordinate eight claims with Medicare, resulting in overcharges of \$151,279 to the FEHBP.
- The BCBS plans incorrectly priced four claims due to provider billing errors, resulting in overcharges of \$129,623 to the FEHBP.
- In one instance, WellPoint BCBS of Georgia paid a claim using the incorrect number of days
 when pricing the claim, resulting in an overcharge of \$91,634 to the FEHBP.
- In two instances, Wellpoint BCBS of Colorado and Mountain State BCBS inadvertently paid for unallowable services, resulting in overcharges of \$37,720 to the FEHBP.
- In one instance, Wellpoint BCBS of Indiana overpaid a claim by \$11,577 due to an incorrectly loaded hospital rate in the plan's local pricing system.

Of the \$2,216,234 in questioned charges, \$545,222 (25 percent) were identified by the BCBS plans before the start of our audit (i.e., April 15, 2010). However, since the BCBS plans had not completed the recovery process and/or adjusted or voided these claim payment errors by the Association's response date (i.e., June 30, 2010) to the draft report, we are continuing to question these overcharges. The remaining questioned charges of \$1,671,012 (75 percent) were identified as a result of our audit.

Association's Response:

The Association agrees with \$1,306,946 of the questioned overcharges and states that the BCBS plans have recovered \$605,889 of the overpayments as of June 30, 2010.

Regarding the contested amount to the draft report, the Association disagrees with these potential overcharges for the following reasons:

 The claim payment errors were adjusted or voided prior to the start of the audit (April 15, 2010).

- The claim payment errors were adjusted or voided and the letter of credit account adjustments were made on or after April 15, 2010, but by the response date (June 30, 2010) to the draft report.
- The claim payments errors were identified and recoveries were initiated prior to April 15, 2010, but the recovery process had not been completed as of June 30, 2010.
- · The claims were paid correctly according to the plans' pricing methodologies.

Regarding corrective actions, the Association states, "our analysis identified that the Validity and Compatibility Edit C92 (High Dollar) in FEPExpress is being generated based upon the Covered Charges as opposed to the Plan's allowances. Because the claims for this audit are based upon the Plan's allowances exceeding the Covered Charges . . . there were claims that should have stopped prior to payment for verification of the allowances that did not defer. Had these claims deferred for investigation of the Plan Allowance field, there is the likelihood that they could have been corrected prior to payment. A modification to the FEPExpress claims system to defer claims based upon the Plan Allowances has been requested for implementation by 1st quarter 2011. . . .

To reduce these types of claim errors from occurring in the future, Plans and the Association perform the following:

- Use confirmed audit errors as training tools to enhance the proficiency of claims examiners.
 The Plans periodically conduct refresher training on the correct methods for coding, pricing
 and the submission requirements for all types of claims along with any exceptions noted
 since the previous training session.
- Use various Plan meetings, on-line webinars, audit alerts, Bulletins, Plan visits and the FEP Administrative Manual as tools to educate Plan staff on various components of the claims adjudication process.
- Continue to conduct the Association's System Wide Claim Review process to identify claims on a post-payment basis where the amount paid is greater than charges for Plans to review and correct as necessary.

While these measures are not absolute they provide reasonable assurances that such items will be identified and corrected. Accordingly, to the extent that errors did occur, the payments are good faith erroneous benefits payments and fall within the context of CS 1039, Section 2.3(g)....

To further reduce these types of claims errors in the future, the BCBSA will implement the following by August 31, 2010:

 Modify Plans' pre-payment quality review processes to review a percentage of claims prior to submission to the FEP Operations Center, with emphasis on the impact of coding accuracy in the payment process.

- Add claims that cover two benefit periods to the Association System Wide Claim Review process.
- Increase the claims sample size to validate that Plans have accurately evaluated claims provided during the System Wide Claim Review process.

BCBSA will also evaluate the feasibility of implementing , . . additional controls by the 4th quarter of 2010 and implementation, if determined to be feasible, no later than 2nd quarter of 2011."

OIG Comments:

After reviewing the Association's response and additional documentation provided by the BCBS plans, we revised the questioned charges from our draft report to \$2,216,234. Based on the Association's response and the BCBS plans' additional documentation, we determined that the Association and/or plans agree with \$1,655,291 and disagree with \$560,943 of the questioned charges. Although the Association only agrees with \$1,306,946 in its written response, the BCBS plans' documentation supports concurrence with \$1,655,291.

Based on the Association's response and/or the BCBS plans' documentation, the contested amount of \$560,943 represents the following items:

- \$545,222 of the contested amount represents 11 claims where recovery efforts were initiated by three BCBS plans (BCBS of Florida, WellPoint BlueCross of California, and BCBS of Delaware) before the audit started. However, the plans had not recovered these overpayments and adjusted or voided the claims by the Association's response date to the draft report. Since these overpayments had not been recovered and returned to the FEHBP by the Association's response date, we are continuing to question this amount in the final report.
- \$15,721 of the contested amount represents one claim that was priced incorrectly by BCBS of Wyoming due to a provider billing error. As a result of our audit, the Plan voided this claim on May 18, 2010 to correct the overpayment error.

Recommendation 1

We recommend that the contracting officer disallow \$2,234,710 for claim overcharges and verify that the BCBS plans return all amounts recovered to the FEHBP.

Recommendation 2

We recommend that the contracting officer allow the BCBS plans to charge the FEHBP \$18,476 if additional payments are made to the providers to correct the underpayments.

Recommendation 3

Although the Association has developed a corrective action plan to reduce payment errors relating to claims where amounts paid exceeded covered charges, we recommend that the contracting officer instruct the Association to ensure that the BCBS plans are following the corrective action plan. Also, we recommend that the contracting officer verify the additional correction actions included in the Association's response that have or will be implemented as a result of our audit finding. The status of these corrective actions will also be evaluated during future audits scheduled by the OIG.

IV. MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT

Experience	-Rated Audits Group
	Auditor-In-Charge
	Auditor-In-Charge
	Chief
ř	Senior Team Leader
Informatio	n Systems Audits Group
	Chief
	Senior Information Technology Specialist
	Information Systems Auditor

V. SCHEDULES

GLOBAL CLAIMS WHERE AMOUNTS PAID EXCEEDED COVERED CHARGES BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF CLAIM SAMPLE SELECTIONS BY PLAN

				SAMPLE					
Fig. 1711				Number	Total		Potential		
Plan Site	20.000			of	Covered	Total	Overcharges		
Number	Plan Name	State	Persod Subject to Audit	Claims	Charges	Amounts Paid	(Variances)		
003	BCBS of New Mexico	NM	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	15	\$115,245	\$349,719	\$234,474		
005	WellPoint BCBS of Georgia	GA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	57	1,498,943	2,644,913	1,145,969		
006	CareFirst BCBS (Maryland Service Area)	MD	January 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010	a	0.	0	Ç		
007	BCBS of Louisiana	LA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	2	66,972	139,380	72,409		
009	BCBS of Alabama	AL	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	82	2,471,739	3,971,528	1,499,789		
010	BC of Idaho Health Service	(D)	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	31	491,179	960,166	468,987		
011	BCBS of Massachusetts	MA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	339	10,756,264	19,738,312	8,982,041		
012	BCBS of Western New York	NY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	18	353,532	830,110	476,571		
013	Highmark BCBS	PA.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	21	715,207	1,698,385	983,179		
015	BCBS of Tennessee	TN	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	.69	1,889,041	3,496,135	1,607,09/		
016	BCBS of Wyoming	WY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2016	26	347,287	775,390	428,103		
017	BCBS of Illinois	11.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	0	0			
021	WellPoint BCBS of Ohio	OH	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2016	63.	561,443	1,633,019	1,071,576		
024	BCBS of South Carolina	SC	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	-0	0	0	4		
027	WellPoint BCBS of New Hampshire	NH	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	25	1,031,848	1,481,614	449,767		
028	BCBS of Vermont	VT	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	11	187,424	340,841	153,417		
029	BCBS of Texas	TX	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	68	1,842,113	3,022,771	1,180,658		
030	WellPoint BCBS of Colorado	CO	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	10	140,081	437,628	297,543		
031	Wellmark BCBS of Iowa	1A	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	31	999,659	1,624,970	625,31		
032	BCBS of Michigan	MI	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	ti	0	0			
033	BCBS of North Carolina	NC.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	123	3,774,536	6,152,828	2,378,292		
034	BCBS of North Dukota	ND	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	26	515,120	885,970	371,850		
036	Capital BlueCross	P.A.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	27	491,335	1,000,045	508,711		
037	BCBS of Montana.	MT	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	0	0			
038	BCBS of Hawaii	HI	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	17	262,208	675,179	412,972		
039	WellPoint BCBS of Indiana	IN	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	114	1,074,118	2.946,034	1,871,917		
040	BCBS of Mississippi	MS	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	1	4,540	40.838	36,291		
04.1	BCBS of Florida	FL	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	58	1,224,472	2,637,488	1,413,016		
042	BCBS of Kansas City	MO	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	C C	0	1,412,010		
043	Regence BS of Idaho	ID	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	D.	0	-0	,		
044	Arkansas BCBS	AR.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	3	83,659	119,276	35,61		
045	WellPoint BCBS of Kentucky	KY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	14	225,325	586,831	361,500		
047	WellPoint BCBS United of Wisconsin	WI	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	2	60,369	169,398	109,029		
048	Empire BCBS	NY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	215	10,369,137	16,862,280	6,493,143		
049	Horizon BCBS of New Jersey	NJ	January 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010	8	258,751	409,393	150,642		
050	WellPoint BCBS of Connecticut	CT	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	19	486,197	942,517	456,321		
052	WellPoint BC of California	CA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	23	1,429,565	1,980,790	551,225		
053	BCBS of Nebraska	NE	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	0	D-	Josephin		
054	Mountain State BCBS	WV	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	73	2,080,314	3,307,699	1,227,385		
055	Independence BC	PA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	26	458,084	832,287	374,203		
056	BCBS of Arizona	AZ	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	76	1,461,589	4,156,238	2,694,649		
058	Regence BCBS of Oregon	OR	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	126	2,888,997	5,438,338	2,549,34		
059	WellPoint BCBS of Maine	ME	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	37	470,410	1,062,001	591,59		
060	BCBS of Rhode Island	RI	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	10 1	136,352	307,735	171,383		
061	WellPoint BCBS of Nevada	NA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	7 1	53,192	170,746	117,554		
062	WellPoint BCBS of Virginia	VA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	274	6,262,132	11,724,898	5,462,763		
064	Excellus BCBS of the Rochester Area	NY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	5	264,767	367,465	102,69		
066	Regence BCBS of Utab	UT	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	4	320,263	538,015			
067	BS of California	CA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	320,203	230,013	217,75		
069	Regence BS of Washington	WA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010 January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0		0			
076	BCBS of Alaska	AK	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010 January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	0	0			
074	Wellmark BCBS of South Dakota	SD	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010 January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0.	0	0			
075	Premera BC (Washington)			1 000 1		100			
076	WellPoint BCBS of Missouri	WA	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	0	0	4 - 0 - 0		
078	BCBS of Minnesota	MO	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	000	3,621	18,849	15,22		
0/0	De De of Minnesota	MN	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	2.9	353,376	780,642	427,26		

V. SCHEDULES

GLOBAL CLAIMS WHERE AMOUNTS PAID EXCEEDED COVERED CHARGES BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

SUMMARY OF CLAIM SAMPLE SELECTIONS BY PLAN

Plan Site Number				SAMPLE					
		State	Period Subject to Audit	Number of Claims	Total Covered Charges	Total Amounts Paid	Potential Overcharges (Variances)		
079	Excellus BCBS of Central New York	NY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	13	\$413,348	\$648,198	\$234,850		
0.8.2	BCBS of Kansas	KS	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	0	.0.	0	-0		
083	BCBS of Oklahoma	OK,	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	191	2,948,950	6,147,231	3,198,281		
084	Excellus BCBS of Utica-Watertown	NY	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	.8	103,995	225,463	121,467		
085	CareFirst BCBS (DC Service Area)	DC	January 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010	188	6,217,995	11,152,743	4,934,748		
088	BC of Northern Pennsylvania	PA.	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	6	172,125	263,413	91,288		
089	BCBS of Delaware	DE	January 1, 2008 - January 31, 2010	1	.2,250	29,250	27,000		
092	CareFüst BCBS (Overseas)		January 1, 2009 - January 31, 2010	1	4,410.	28,260	23,850		
	TOTAL			2,599	568,343,476	5125,754,221	357,410,745		

GLOBAL CLAIMS WHERE AMOUNTS PAID EXCEEDED COVERED CHARGES BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN

Plan Site	Cor and		The second secon	Questioned		Questioned b	y Year	Pian	Plan
Number	Plan Name	State	Claims	Charges	2008	2009	2010	Agrees	Disagrees
003	BCBS of New Mexico	NM	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	.50	\$0
005	WellPoint BCBS of Georgia	GA	2	101,383	91,634	9,749	0	101,383	(
006	CareFirst BCBS (Maryland Service Area)	MD	0	0	0	O	0	0	(
007	BCBS of Louisiana	LA	1	35,320	35,320	0	0	35,320	(
009	BCBS of Alabama	AL	Q	0	0	0	0.1	0	(
010	BC of Idaho Health Service	ID	-0	0	0	0	0	0	(
011	BCBS of Massachusetts	MA	1	44,013	.0	44,013	.0	44,013	(
012	BCBS of Western New York	NY	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	(
013	Highmark BCBS	PA	Q	0	0	0	0	0	(
015	BCBS of Tennessee	TN	1	18,508	O.	18,508	0	18,508	4
016	BCBS of Wyoming	WY	1	15,721	0	15,721	0	0	15,721
017	BCBS of Illinois	IŁ.	(3)	0	Ð	Ø	0	0	(
021	WellPoint BCBS of Ohio	OH	12	145,181	9,894	105,022	30,265	145,181	
024	BCBS of South Carolina	sc	0	0	Q.	0	0	ñ	
027	WellPoint BCBS of New Hampshire	NH	, o	o l	Ď.	0	n l	11	- 7
028	BCBS of Vermont	VT	t	19,958	19,958	0	0 1	19,958	
029	BCBS of Texas	TX	3	53,068	15,011	29,548	\$,509	53,068	
030	WellPoint BCBS of Colorado	CO	1	16,327	0	16,327	0	16,327	9
031	Wellmark BCBS of Iowa	1A	0	0	0	0	0	20,227	3
032	BCBS of Michigan	MI	0	0	0.	ů Č	6	0	,
033	BCBS of North Carolina	NC	2		7,997	34,020	0	42 CAT	
034	BCBS of North Dakota	ND	0	42,017		34,020		42,017	
036				0 (0	0	G	0	
	Capital BlueCross	PA	0	0	0	0	0	D	2
037	BCBS of Montana	MT	-0	0 1	0	0	0	0	
038	BCBS of Hawaii	HI	0	0	0	0	0	0	
039	WellPoint BCBS of Indiana	IN	8	196,466	177,548	13,103	5,814	196,466	
040	BCBS of Mississippi	MS	0	0	l)	0	0	D.	(
041	BCBS of Florida	FL	7	450,928	0	450,928	0	93,151	357,77
042	BCBS of Kansas City	MO	Ü	0	Q	0	0	0	
043	Regence BS of Idaho	110	0	0	O	0	0	0	, ,
044	Arkansas BCBS	AR	2	44,899	O	44,899	0 1	44,899	
045	WellPoint BCBS of Kentucky	KY	1	11,267	Q	Ø	11,267	11,267	
047	WellPoint BCBS United of Wisconsin	WI	1.	97,111	97,111	0	0	97,111	
048	Empire BCBS	NY	0	0	0	Ø	0	D	
049	Horizon BCBS of New Jersey	NJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	
050	WellPoint BCBS of Connecticut	CT	D	0	0	0	D	0	
052	WellPoint BC of California	CA	4	160,445	82,913	77,532	0	0	160,44
053	BCBS of Nebraska	NE	0	0	0	0	0	0.	11053
054	Mountain State BCBS	WV	2	27,641	0	27,641	0	27,641	
055	Independence BC	PA	2	39,296	39,296	0	0	39,296	
056	BCBS of Arizona	AZ	0	0	0	ő	0	0	
058	Regence BCBS of Oregon	OR	5	24,724	17,830	6,894	0	24,724	
059	WellPoint BCBS of Maine	ME	1	(5,118)	(5,118)	0	0	(5,118).	
060	BCBS of Rhode Island	RI	- 0	(5,1230)	(5,110)	0	0	\	
061	WellPoint BCBS of Nevada	NV	1	27,444	27,444	ő	0	27,444	
062	WellPoint BCBS of Virginia	VA	10	193,208	13,623	179,585	0		
064	Excellus BCBS of the Rochester Area	NY	0			179,303		193,208	
056	Regence BCBS of Utah	UT	,	0 26.257	0.053	-	0	76.752	
067	BS of California	100.007.007		26,253	26,253	0	E C	26,253	
		CA	0	0	0	0	0	D	
069	Regence BS of Washington	WA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
070	BCBS of Alaska	AK	0	.0	0	Ď	0	0	
074	Wellmark BCBS of South Dakots	SD	0	D	Q.	0	0	0	
075	Premera BC (Washington)	WA	0	0	Ú	0	0	0	1
076	WellPoint BCBS of Missouri	MO	1	17,000	0	17,000	0	17,000	
078	BCBS of Minnesota	MN	Ü	0	0	0	0	0	

SCHEDULE B Page 2 of 2

GLOBAL CLAIMS WHERE AMOUNTS PAID EXCEEDED COVERED CHARGES BLUECROSS AND BLUESHIELD PLANS

QUESTIONED CHARGES BY PLAN

Plan Site			Total Questioned		Amounts Questioned by Year			Plan	Plan
Number	Plan Name	State	Claims	Charges	2008	2009	2010	Agrees	Disagrees
.079	Excellus BCBS of Central New York	NY	0	\$0.	.\$0	\$0	\$0.7	(\$0	30
082	BCBS of Kansas	KS	0	0	Q	0	0	0	Ó
083	BCBS of Oklahoma	OK	2	26,273	26,273	-0	0.	26,273	.0.
0.84	Excellus BCBS of Utica-Watertown	NY	0	0		0	.0	0	.0
085	CarcFirst BCBS (DC Service Area)	DC.	9	310,354	0	282,114	28,239	310,354	ជ
088	BC of Northern Pennsylvania	PA	1	25,696	25,696	. 0	. 0	25,696	t)
089	BCBS of Delaware	DE	-4	27,300	0	27,306	a t	300 (27,000
092	CareFirst BCBS (Overseas)		1	23,552	0	23,552	0	23,552	0
	Total		85	\$2,216,234	\$708,683	\$1,423,456	\$84,095	\$1,655,291	\$560,943



BlueCross BlueShield Association

An Association of Independent Blue Cross and Blue Shield Plans

Federal Employee Program. 1510 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.G. 20005 202.942.1000 Fax 202.942.1125

June 30, 2010

Group Chief
Experience-Rated Audits Group
Office of the Inspector General
U.S. Office of Personnel Management
1900 E Street, Room 6400
Washington, DC 20415-1100

Reference: OPM DRAFT AUDIT REPORT

Global Amount Paid Exceeded Covered Charges

Audit Report #1A-99-00-10-030

(Report dated and received 04/15/2010)

Dear

This is in response to the above referenced U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) Draft Audit Report concerning the Global Amount Paid Exceeded Covered Charges for claims paid from January 1, 2008 through January 31, 2010, with potential overpayments totaling \$57,410,745. Our comments concerning the findings in this report are as follows:

Recommendation 1 and 2 and BCBSA Response

The OPM OIG auditors recommended that the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association (Association) and/or BCBS Plans review the sample of 2,599 facility claims, totaling \$57,410,745 to determine whether the claims were paid properly. For all claim payment errors, the BCBS plans should initiate recovery efforts immediately as required by the FEHBP contract, and return all amounts recovered to the FEHBP. After reviewing the listings of Amount Paid Exceeded Covered Charges potential overpayments totaling \$57,410,745, the Association does not contest that 122 claims totaling \$1,306,946 in claim payments were made. As of June 30, 2010, the Plans have recovered and returned \$605,889 to the Program for these overpayments. This represents 0013 percent of the 96,998 facility claims reviewed and 0096 percent of the facility claims where the amounts paid exceeded covered charges of \$135,043,267. For the period of the audit, it represents 0.00007 percent of total facility claims paid of \$19,358,037,860.

Amount Paid Greater Than Charges Audit June 30, 2010 Page 2 of 4

We contest the remaining 2,477 claims totaling \$56,103,799 (support provided) for the following reasons:

- \$ 2,018,192 in claim payments were voided and/or adjusted prior to the start of the audit (April 15, 2010).
- \$152,833 in claim payments were adjusted or voided and LOCA adjusted on or after April 15, 2010 but by June 30, 2010.
- \$421,354 in claim payments error were identified and recovery was initiated prior to the start of this audit (April 15, 2010) but the recovery process had not been completed as of June 30, 2010.
- The remaining \$53,511,420 in questioned claim payments were paid correctly according to the Plan's pricing methodology.

Recommendation 3 and Response

The OIG Auditors recommended that the contracting officer instruct the Association to have the BCBS Plans identify the root cause(s) of the claim payment errors and implement corrective actions/procedures to prevent these types of errors in the future. Based on our review of the Plan's confirmed overpayments, our analysis identified that the Validity and Compatibility Edit C92 (High Dollar) in FEPExpress is being generated based upon the Covered Charges as opposed to the Plan's allowances. Because the claims for this audit are based upon the Plans' allowances exceeding the Covered Charges (Charged/Billed Amount), there were claims that should have stopped prior to payment for verification of the allowances that did not defer. Had these claims deferred for investigation of the Plan Allowance field, there is the likelihood that they could have been corrected prior to payment. A modification to the FEPExpress claims system to defer claims based upon the Plan Allowance has been requested for implementation by 1st quarter 2011.

Our analysis of the Plans' results identified the following:

- 64 claims totaling \$661,388 were paid incorrectly as a result of processor error in pricing the claim using incorrect DRGs, Per Diems, allowances or bundling claim lines for payment.
- 55 claims totaling \$601,955 were paid incorrectly as a result of processor error in incorrect data or provider billing errors.

Amount Paid Greater Than Charges Audit June 30, 2010 Page 3 of 4

To reduce these types of claim errors from occurring in the future, Plans and the Association perform the following:

- Use confirmed audit errors as training tools to enhance the proficiency of claims examiners. The Plans periodically conduct re-fresher training on the correct methods for coding, pricing and the submission requirements for all types of claims along with any exceptions noted since the previous training session.
- Use various Plan meetings, on-line webinars, audit alerts, Bulletins, Plan visits and the FEP Administrative Manual as tools to educate Plan staff on various components of the claims adjudication process.
- Continue to conduct the Association's System Wide Claim Review process to identify claims on a post payment basis where the amount paid is greater than charges for Plans to review and correct as necessary.

While these measures are not absolute, they provide reasonable assurances that such items will be identified and corrected. Accordingly, to the extent that errors did occur, the payments are good faith erroneous benefits payments and fall within the context of CS 1039, Section 2.3(g). Any benefit payments the Plan is unable to recover are allowable charges to the Program. In addition, as good faith erroneous payments, lost investment income does not apply to the payments identified in this finding.

To further reduce these types of claims errors in the future, BCBSA will implement the following by August 31, 2010:

- Modify Plans' pre-payment quality review processes to review a percentage
 of claims prior to submission to the FEP Operations Center, with emphasis on
 the impact of coding accuracy in the payment process.
- Add claims that cover two benefit periods to the Association System Wide Claim Review process.
- Increase the claims sample size to validate that Plans have accurately evaluated claims provided during the System Wide Claim Review process.

BCBSA will also evaluate the feasibility of implementing the following additional controls by the 4th quarter of 2010 and implementation, if determined to be feasible, no later than 2nd quarter of 2011.

 Defer claims for verification of the Plan Allowance when the Allowance exceeds the Covered Charges (Billed Charges) by \$25,000 or more. It Amount Paid Greater Than Charges Audit June 30, 2010 Page 4 of 4

should be noted that the Amount Paid is not determined until the claim passes all edits and as a result cannot be included in a pre-payment edit process.

- Defer direct data entry claims, special pricing claims and Plan calculated claims over a dollar maximum (\$50,000) to allow Plans' to perform a quality review prior to the claim being released to FEP for processing.
- Defer for claims that cover more than one benefit period for management review before submitting the claim for processing.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our response to each of the findings and request that our comments be included in their entirety as part of the Final Audit Report.

