1 TRACY S. THORLEIFSON MARY T. BENFIELD Federal Trade Commission 915 Second Avenue, Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174 Phone: (206) 220-6350 3 Facsimile: (206) 220-6366 5 BLAINE T. WELSH Assistant United States Attorney Bar No. 4790 6 333 Las Vegas Blvd, South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone (702) 388-6336 8 Facsimile: (702) 388-6787 Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 12 13 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, 14 CV-S-04-0712-RCJ-RJJ Plaintiff, 15 PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION ٧. 16 FOR ENTRY OF ORDER 3RD UNION CARD SERVICES INC., DIRECTING THIRD PARTIES 17 HOLDING PHARMACYCARDS doing business as FUNDS TO PAY THOSE FUNDS PHARMACYCARDS.COM, 18 TO THE FTC a Delaware Corporation, et al. 19 Defendants. 20 21 Plaintiff hereby requests that the Court enter the attached proposed Order directing 22 third parties holding funds on behalf of defendants to pay those funds to the FTC to be 23 used for consumer redress. This application follows a third party claims process ordered by the Court on July 19, 2005. 25 26 27 28 Application for Entry of Order Directing Third Parties to Pay

FTC v. 3rd Union Card Services, Page 1

In connection with its motion for default judgment, the FTC asked that third parties holding funds on behalf of defendants be directed to transfer those funds over to the FTC to be used for consumer redress, in partial satisfaction of the judgment against defendants. The FTC argued that the funds should be deemed held in constructive trust on behalf of consumers injured by defendants' fraudulent and unlawful acts.

The Court granted the FTC's motion for default judgment, entering a judgment for \$5,315,824 on July 19, 2005. At the same time, the Court directed third parties holding funds on behalf of Pharmacycards to file with the Court and the FTC any claims that they might have to those funds. Four such third parties were specifically identified.

The claims were due by July 29, 2005. The FTC's opposition to any claim was due August 12, 2005, and claimants' responses to the FTC's opposition were due on August 29, 2005. The FTC's reply to any such response was due on September 9, 2005.

Two claims were filed, one by Wells Fargo bank for \$71,593.85 and one by Matthew Jackson for \$175,000. The FTC timely responded, accepting the claim of Wells Fargo and objecting to the claim of Matthew Jackson. In its opposition, the FTC argued that the \$175,000 taken by Matthew Jackson should be deemed as held in constructive trust for injured Pharmacycards consumers, and that Jackson should be ordered to pay that amount to the FTC to be used for redress.

Jackson has not responded to the FTC's opposition to his claim. Pursuant to the Court's Order, such a response was due on August 29, 2005. The FTC now asks the Court to impose the requested constructive trust and enter the attached proposed order directing Jackson to pay to the FTC \$175,000 to be used to redress consumers injured by defendants in the above-captioned matter. The proposed order also directs those third parties not making a claim to pay to the FTC the funds they are holding on behalf of the Pharmacycards defendants, and orders Wells Fargo to pay to the FTC the funds it is holding on behalf of Pharmacycards, less the claimed \$71,593.85.

27

28

1

The FTC will use the funds to redress injured consumers, as provide in Section III B of the default judgment entered in this matter. Depending on the costs of distribution, it is contemplated that a pro-rated amount will be distributed to all consumers who have not received a refund or other credit for the unauthorized withdrawals from their accounts, that the FTC can identify.

For the above-stated reasons, the FTC respectfully requests that the Court enter the attached order.

Dated: Sept. 8, 7005

Respectfully submitted, WILLIAM BLUMENTHAL General Counsel

Tracy S. Thorleifson Mary T. Benfield

Fedéral Trade Commission 915 Second Avenue, Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174 Phone: (206) 220-6350 Facsimile: (206) 220-6366

BLAINE T. WELSH Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Blvd, South Suite 5000 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone (702) 388-6336

Attorneys For Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gerald Fondow, am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years. I am an employee of the Federal Trade Commission. My business address is 915 Second Avenue, Suite 2896, Seattle, WA 98174. On October 27, 2004 and February 2, 2005, the clerk entered default against the named defendants, so I did not attempt service on them.

On **Sep.**, 2005, I served copies of the foregoing document by sending it, by facsimile and first class mail, to:

- Susan E. Flint, Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Bank, Law Department, MAC: N9305-176, 1700 Wells Fargo Center, 6th and Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, MN 55479, (612) 667-5098 (facsimile);
- Local Counsel for Wells Fargo, Kent F. Larsen, Smith Larsen & Wixom, Hills Center Business Park, 1935 Village Center Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89134, (702) 252-5002, (702) 252-5006 (facsimile);
- 3. Counsel for InterBill, Ltd., and Thomas Wells: Lawrence Semenza, Semenza Law Firm, 3027 East Sunset Road, # 106, Las Vegas, NV 89120-2758, (702) 263-3539 (facsimile);
- 4. John F. Haussner, C.F.O, Electronic Funds Transfer Corporation, 245 Saw Mill River Road, Suite 105, Hawthorne, NY (914) 747-3222 (facsimile);
- 5. Paul G. Hook, Alliance Payment Technologies, Inc., 302 S. Milliken Avenue, Suite G-1, Ontario, CA 91761, (909) 974-0110 (facsimile); and
- 6. Counsel for Matt Jackson: Paul N. Jacobs, Jacobs & Dodds, 881 Dover Drive, Suite 285, Newport Beach, CA 92663-5962, (949) 645-7305 (facsimile).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this **g** to day of September 2005.

Gerald Fondow

1	TRACY S. THORLEIFSON
2	MARY T. BENFIELD Federal Trade Commission
3	915 Second Avenue, Suite 2896 Seattle, WA 98174
4	Phone: (206) 220-6350 Facsimile: (206) 220-6366
5	BLAINE T. WELSH
6	Assistant United States Attorney Bar No. 4790
7	333 Las Vegas Blvd, South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, NV 89101
8	Phone (702) 388-6336 Facsimile: (702) 388-6787
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	Federal Trade Commission
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
13	

CV-S-04-0712-RCJ-RJJ

(PROPOSED) ORDER DIRECTING THIRD PARTIES TO PAY FUNDS HELD ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

V.

11

3RD UNION CARD SERVICES INC., doing business as PHARMACYCARDS.COM, et al.

Defendants.

20 21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

Plaintiff, Federal Trade Commission, has applied for an Order directing funds held by third parties on behalf of the defendants in the above-captioned case be paid to the FTC in partial satisfaction of the default judgment entered by this Court on July 19, 2005. The FTC would use the funds to redress consumers injured by defendants' unlawful activities, as provided in Section III.B of the default judgment previously entered in this matter.

2627

28

Order Directing Third Parties to Pay Funds Held on Behalf of Defendants FTC v. 3rd Union Card Services, Page 1

Procedural Background

The Court previously directed third parties holding funds on behalf of Pharmacycards to file with the Court and the FTC any claims that they might have to those funds. Four such third parties were specifically identified:

- (1) Wells Fargo Bank, holding Pharmacycards funds in an account titled in the name of payment processor InterBill, in the amount of \$659,628;
- (2) Electronic Funds Transfer Corporation holding Pharmacycards funds in the amount of \$85,365;
- (3) Alliance Payment Technologies, Inc., holding Pharmacycards funds in the amount of \$23,693; and
- (4) Matthew G. Jackson, sole director of Helmcrest USA, LLC, holding Pharmacycards funds diverted without authorization from payment processor Fission Group, in the amount of \$175,000.

Pursuant to the Court's July 19, 2005 Order, the third parties' claims were due by July 29, 2005. The FTC's opposition to any claim was due August 12, 2005, and claimants' responses to the FTC's opposition were due on August 29, 2005. The FTC's reply to any such response was due on September 9, 2005.

Two claims were filed, one by Wells Fargo bank for \$71,593.85, and one by Matthew Jackson for \$175,000. The FTC timely responded, accepting the claim of Wells Fargo and objecting to the claim of Matthew Jackson. The FTC accepted the Wells Fargo claim because the claimed amount represented refunds already provided by Wells Fargo to injured consumers. In opposing Jackson's claim, the FTC argued that the \$175,000 taken by Matthew Jackson should be deemed held in constructive trust for injured Pharmacycards consumers, and that Jackson should be ordered to pay that amount to the FTC to be used for redress. Jackson has not responded to the FTC's opposition to his claim.

The FTC has alleged that Jackson diverted \$175,000 from the Pharmacycards defendants without their authorization, and kept that money for his personal use. The FTC offers in support of its allegations copies of email communications by and between Jackson, his business partner Eric Peacock, and defendant Steve Pearson that document Jackson's diversion of these funds. In his claim, Jackson does not dispute these facts, but rather argues that because his company, Fission Group, has lost so much money on chargebacks from the Pharmacycards scheme, he ought to be able to keep the \$175,000 as recompense for the business losses his company experienced. The FTC argues that Jackson holds these funds in constructive trust on behalf of injured consumers and should be required to pay that amount to the FTC for consumer redress. Jackson does not respond to this argument.

Constructive Trust

Imposition of a constructive trust is appropriate where funds have been illegally debited from consumers' accounts and are being held by third parties. In a constructive trust, "a person who has engaged in fraud or other wrongful conduct holds only bare legal title to the property subject to a duty to reconvey it to the rightful owner." FTC v. Crittenden, et. al, 823 F. Supp. 699, 703 (C.D. Cal. 1993), aff'd, 19 F.3d 26 (9th Cir. 1994) (table, text in Westlaw); see In re N. Am. Coin & Currency, Ltd., 767 F.2d 1573, 1575 (9th Cir. 1985) ([a] constructive trust is a remedy "flexibly fashioned in equity to provide relief where a balancing of interests in the context of a particular case seems to call for it"); see also SEC v. Elmas Trading Corp., 683 F. Supp. 743, 747 (D. Nev. 1987) ("[a] constructive trust is a remedial device whereby the holder of legal title to property is deemed to be a trustee of that property for the benefit of another who is entitled to it"). Even where the funds are legally titled in the name of a third party, the Court may hold that a constructive trust exists — "that a transferee was not 'the original wrongdoer' does not insulate him from liability for restitution." Harris Trust & Sav. Bank v. Salomon Smith Barney, Inc., 530 U.S. 238, 250-51 (2000) (internal quotations omitted).

Courts have impressed constructive trusts over the proceeds of fraud in other FTC actions, which is precisely what the Commission seeks here. For example, in FTC v. Crittenden, a case involving the deceptive sale of goods in violation of the FTC Act, the court impressed a constructive trust over the funds that customers paid to the defendant. 823 F. Supp. 699. The court reasoned that these funds, which were being held in the receivership estate, belonged to the customers, not to the defendant, and blocked the IRS from accessing these funds to satisfy the defendant's tax liability. 823 F. Supp. at 703. Here, the funds that Jackson stole were unlawfully taken from consumers' checking accounts originally, and those funds should be held in constructive trust on behalf of injured consumers and not be subject to claim by third parties.

Fission Group is located in California, where Matt Jackson also resides. Thus, it is appropriate to look to California law governing constructive trusts in analyzing the obligations of Matt Jackson to the Pharmacycards consumers. California law requires three elements to establish a constructive trust: (1) the existence of a res, (2) the plaintiff's right to the res, and (3) the defendant's (i.e., Pharmacycards) acquisition of the res by some wrongful act. *Crittenden*, 823 F. Supp. at 703.

The constructive trust extends to anyone else who subsequently obtains the res, so long as they are not innocent takers. The jurisdiction of the Court is over the wrongfully acquired funds. A constructive trust can "reach the property either in the hands of the original wrongdoer, or in the hands of any subsequent holder, until a purchaser of it in good faith and without notice acquires a higher right and takes the property relieved from the trust." Harris Trust & Sav. Bank, 530 U.S. at 250-51. See also U.S. v. St. Germain, 363 F. Supp.2d 1293, 1298 (D. Colo. 2005) (a restitution order may be enforced against funds that have been unjustly obtained; the funds do not have to be in the possession of the defendant to be subject to a constructive trust). Under California law, a court may find that a constructive trust exists if it finds merely that "the acquisition of property was wrongful and that the keeping of the property by the defendant would constitute unjust

enrichment." Crittenden, 823 F. Supp. at 703 (quoting Calistoga Civic Club v. City of Calistoga, 191 Cal. Rptr. 571, 576 (Cal. Ct. App. 1983).

Here, it is undisputed that funds processed by Fission Group on behalf of Pharmacycards (the res) were taken without authorization (the wrongful act), from consumers' accounts, and that the FTC, standing in the shoes of the consumers, has a right to these funds to redress injured consumers. Thus, funds held by Fission Group were subject to a constructive trust on behalf of consumers. Jackson did not take the Pharmacycards funds held by Fission Group in good faith or without notice that the funds had been acquired unlawfully – indeed, he relied on the fact that Pharmacycards was in no position to complain about his theft, given its own wrongful acquisition of the funds. Because Jackson took funds rightfully belonging to consumers, to which he had no right, a constructive trust should be imposed over the funds he took and he should be ordered to pay them to the FTC for the benefit of injured consumers.

Jackson's claim that he is entitled to keep these funds because he and Fission Group owe money to the bank that processed their Pharmacycards transactions lacks merit. He does not assert that he is an innocent taker or argue that he has a defense to the imposition of a constructive trust.

On this record, the Court finds that imposition of a constructive trust is warranted. Jackson holds the \$175,000 on behalf of injured consumers and should be required to repay it to the FTC to use to redress those consumers.

Order

The Court allows the claim of Wells Fargo for \$71,593.85 because that amount represents funds already paid to injured consumers by Wells Fargo. The Court disallows the claim of Matthew Jackson for \$175,000, because those funds are held constructively on behalf of injured consumers. Because neither Electronic Funds Transfer Corporation nor Alliance Payment Technologies made claims against the funds they hold, they will be directed to transfer the Pharmacycards funds they hold to the FTC.

The Court hereby orders third parties holding funds on behalf of Pharmacycards to pay those funds to the FTC, to be used for consumer redress, as follows:

- (1) Wells Fargo Bank, holding Pharmacycards funds in an account titled in the name of payment processor InterBill, in the amount of \$588,034.15 (the amount held by Wells Fargo, \$659,628, less the amount claimed by Wells Fargo, \$71,593.85);
- (2) Electronic Funds Transfer Corporation holding Pharmacycards funds in the amount of \$85,365;
- (3) Alliance Payment Technologies, Inc., holding Pharmacycards funds in the amount of \$23,693; and
- (4) Matthew G. Jackson, sole director of Helmcrest USA, LLC, holding Pharmacycards funds diverted without authorization from payment processor Fission Group, in the amount of \$175,000.

SO ORDERED, this <u>26th</u> day of <u>Votalish</u>, 2005.

Robert C. Jones, United States District Judge

Presented by:

Tracy S. Thorleitson Mary T. Benfield

Attorneys For Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

Date: 9/08/05

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gerald Fondow, am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years. I am an employee of the Federal Trade Commission. My business address is 915 Second Avenue, Suite 2896, Seattle, WA 98174. On October 27, 2004 and February 2, 2005, the clerk entered default against the named defendants, so I did not attempt service on them.

On <u>SSep</u>, 2005, I served copies of the foregoing document by sending it, by facsimile and first class mail, to:

- Susan E. Flint, Managing Counsel, Wells Fargo Bank, Law Department, MAC: N9305-176, 1700 Wells Fargo Center, 6th and Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, MN 55479, (612) 667-5098 (facsimile);
- Local Counsel for Wells Fargo, Kent F. Larsen, Smith Larsen & Wixom, Hills Center Business Park, 1935 Village Center Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89134, (702) 252-5002, (702) 252-5006 (facsimile);
- Counsel for InterBill, Ltd., and Thomas Wells: Lawrence Semenza,
 Semenza Law Firm, 3027 East Sunset Road, # 106, Las Vegas, NV
 89120-2758, (702) 263-3539 (facsimile);
- 4. John F. Haussner, C.F.O, Electronic Funds Transfer Corporation, 245 Saw Mill River Road, Suite 105, Hawthorne, NY (914) 747-3222 (facsimile);
- 5. Paul G. Hook, Alliance Payment Technologies, Inc., 302 S. Milliken Avenue, Suite G-1, Ontario, CA 91761, (909) 974-0110 (facsimile); and
- 6. Counsel for Matt Jackson: Paul N. Jacobs, Jacobs & Dodds, 881 Dover Drive, Suite 285, Newport Beach, CA 92663-5962, (949) 645-7305 (facsimile).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this & Lay of August 2005.

Herald Fondow