Towards Assessment of SNP Imputation Methods

Itsik Pe'er on behalf of the

GAIN Imputation Working Group

SNP Imputation

- Guess unobserved genotypes from
 - Sparse SNPs in the analyzed individuals
 - Dense training in other samples

SNP Imputation

ACCTGTGATTAAG
CTTGAGAGGCTTA
ACCTTGAAGATTAAAG
CTTGAAGAGGCTTA

Probabilities on unobserved genotypes

Motivation/Concerns

- Coverage
 - Accurately impute much of human variation
- Merge studies w/ different platforms
 - Differential ability to impute particular SNPs
 - Allele flipping
- Issues:
 - Robustness to training population?
 - Quality of imputation for classes of alleles?

Multiple Methods

- IMPUTE (Marchini)
- MACH (Abecasis)
- TUNA (Nicolae)
- COCAPHASE (Dudbridge)
- SNPMSTAT (Lin)
- PRETSSEL (Pe'er, Koller)

Need to evaluate different strengths

Evaluation Criteria

Accuracy

Completeness□

Computing requirements

Evaluation Criteria

- Accuracy of probabilistic calls
 - in estimating allele frequency
 - of probabilities assigned
- Completeness□
 - per accuracy level
 - per confidence level

- Computing requirements
 - Time/memory of different processing stages

Evaluation Experiment Design

- Observed:
 - Affy 500k x 1500 samples 58BC (WTCCC)
- Hidden:
 - 15,000 nsSNP + Illumina 550k
- Imputation:
 - Prob(Genotype); allele frequency estimates

 Independent evaluating group (Neale, Daly)

Acknowledgements: GAIN Imputation Workgroup

Teri Manolio

- Peter Donnelly
- Nancy Cox

 WTCCC: Paul Deloukas Audrey Duncanson

- Methods
 - Jonathan Marchini
 - Goncalo Abecasis
 - Dan Nicolae
 - Frank Dudbridge
 - Danyu Lin
- Assessment:
 - Ben Neale
 - Mark Daly