Profit margins were also enhanced by he 27 percent appreciation of the dollar abin yen from December 1980 to February 1985, because the VERs made it impossible to sell additional cars even if prices were lowered
ruary 1985, Japanese auto producers and their U.S. dealers were willing to reduce their wide profit margins to limit their price increases. The produc ers sought to avoid both a reduction in heir share of the large U.S. market and deterioration in their marketing
network. This action would be sensible, network. This action would be sensible, the dollar's decline to be temporary. Dealers could reduce their markup to offset part of the increases in the wholesale price they pay to producers. Dealers would thus seek to adjust thei retail transaction price to what they The Japanese automotive industry was apparently not the only foreign producer to acquire super-normal prof its in the U.S. market during the most

8. Most analysts agree that the Japanese government imposed VERs to avoid having the U.S. Congress impose even stricter restraints. In his sense, the export restraints were no

ecent dollar appreciation. A recen study indicates that profit margins in en subs. import industries have decade to absorb a considerable the past of exchange-rate change. ${ }^{10}$ It would appear that such a pattern is recurring as dollar import prices are rising more slowly in response to dollar depreciation than might be expected consider ing the historical record.

## Conclusions

We have seen that how Japanese automobile producers cope with fluctuating exchange rates affects both the timing and ultimate degree of pass-through to prices of automobile imports. Currency hedging tends to delay the response on prices, while diversification of costs can reduce long-run pass-through. Also,
wide profit margins attained with dollar depreciation and VERs provided these firms and their retail dealers with an especially thick cushion against dollar depreciation.
9. See Michael F. Bryan and Owen F. Humpage, "Voluntary Export Restraints: The Cost of Build ing Walls," Economic Review, Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland, Summer 1984, pp. 17-37. Exchange Rates." Exchange Rates."
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Currency hedging and outsourcing in lobal markets are not unique to Japanese automobile producers. The rapidly ncreasing volume of financial transactions associated with currency hedging gest that increasing numbers of export ers are finding the currency hedge a use ful device for coping with the vagaries of fluctuating exchange rates. Moreover, the trend of U.S. and foreign multi national corporations toward buying worldwide is pervasive across industries Without more specific data on finan
cial transactions and production processes, however, it is difficult to assess the precise effect of these growing practices on pass-through. Nevertheless, the trends toward increased hedg ing and worldwide buying are consisent with more sluggish response in pass-through. ${ }^{11}$

## ECONOMIC Commentary

Does Dollar Depreciation
Matter: The Case of Auto Imports from Japan
by Gerald H. Anderson
and John B. Carlson

In April 1987, the value of the U.S. dol ar fell substantially, continuing a slid that began in February 1985 when the dollar peaked in relation to most currencies. Then worth more than 262 trading at about 140 yen. This means that it now takes 85 percent more dollars than it did in February 1985 to bu the same amount of yen. The dollar has demonstrated similar movements rela tive to currencies of some other major trading partners (see chart 1)
On the surface, it would seem that the United States would need to rise b 85 percent as the dollar depreciated rel ative to the yen. More generally, it would seem that prices of most import should be rising sharply, thus reducin the volume of imports demanded. mports have accelerated somewhat the rate of increase has been relativel moderate-much less than one might expect from observing exchange-rate changes alone (see chart 2). Furthermore, despite these price increases, the volume of imports has not fallen signi icantly. In fact, until the first quarter merchandise imports had risen in ever quarter since the dollar began to depre ciate. In the eight quarters since the dollar's decline began, nonpetroleum merchandise import volume has risen 17 percent
The effects of exchange-rate changes typically occur with a lag. However, through-the extent to which a change in the exchange rate leads to a change
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## Chart 1 Dollar Value of Foreign urrencies Since February 1985

 Percent change100


SOURCE: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
in import prices-may have been altered significantly in the 1980s. ${ }^{1}$ His torically, estimates of long-run pass 80 percent and most estimates indicate that pass-through is essentially completed in a two-year period. The current experience suggests that import prices are now responding more slug. gishly to exchange-rate changes or that less of the exchange-rate chan
Why haven't the expected effects dollar depreciation become more mani fest? Were profit margins of foreign

1. See Catherine L. Mann, "Prices, Profit Mar gins, and Exchange Rates," Federal Reserve Bul
letin, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserv letin, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve
System, June 1986, pp. 366-79; and Reuven Glick and Ramon Moreno, "The Pass-Through Effect on U.S. Imports," FRBSF Weekly Letter, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, December 12,



SOURCES: Board of Governors of the Feder
Reserve System; and U.S. Department of Commerce.
exporting firms so large that they could bsorb a larger share of the exchangerate changes? Or are these firms now ins have been reduced. However firm competing in export markets have developed ways to limit their exposur to exchange-rate changes. Some of these techniques affect only the timing of pass-through, suggesting that much the impact of dollar depreciation is still in the pipeline. On the other hand, mate impact of exchange-rate changes on prices of imports, suggesting that less
lerms of the real trade-weighted value of the dol ar in relation to a measure of the relative import lar in
price.
of the recent exchange-rate change will be passed through to import prices than This Economic Comme to believe. This Economic Commentary examnes some of the Comm which exchange-rate changes and how these developments affect the timing and extent of the changes' pass-through to the prices of import goods. The Japanese automotive industry is used as an illustrative case in point.

Currency Hedges
Currency Hedges competing in international markets is the currency hedge-a financial tool that can be used to reduce the impact of exchange-rate changes on a firm's revenues and profits. To illustrate, consider a firm manufacturing prod ucts in Japan and selling them in the
United States, with production costs denominated in yen and sales revenue denominated in dollars. In the absence of hedging, the firm would normally sell its dollar receipts for yen in sp markets when the revenues were received. It would use the yen to pay its
production costs and to pay profits to production costs and to pay profits to were to depreciate relative to the yen, a given number of sales-revenue dollars would exchange for fewer yen than previously, forcing the firm to choose among accepting smaller yen profits, or possibly losses, on its existing sales
volume; reducing its yen costs (if it could); or raising the dollar price of it products and probably losing sales. Financial markets offer an exporting firm opportunities to avoid these difficult choices, at least temporarily, by hedging the yen value of the firm's expected dollar revenues against exchange-rate changes. One way to ward exchange contract. A forward exchange contract is an agreement, usually between a firm and a bank, to exchange specified amounts of two currencies at a future date. The exchange rate in the contract (the forward exchange rate) will usually differ from the spot rate (the exchange rate in usually in two business days), although
often the difference is not very large To make the currency hedge, the Japanese firm would estimate the number of dollars it expects to obtain period, say during the month of October. The firm would make a co tract with a bank now to sell that amount to the bank, to be delivered at the end of October, with the bank agreeing to pay the contracted specific price in yen for the dollars.
Then, regardless of the dollar-yen spot exchange rate at the end of October, the firm would be able to sell
its dollars at the agreed forward exchange rate. The firm might hedge its expected receipts for additional months in the same manner.
The firm would still have some residual currency risk, unless it had a would need to deliver the agreed quantity of dollars regardless of whether its sales revenue in October were more or less than had been estimated. Of course might regret having sold its dollars at the forward rate if, in October, the spot rate turns out to be a higher price for dollars than the previously agreed one the firm can live with, and if its ne the firm can live with, and if its accurate, the firm will have avoided the risk of an adverse change in the spot exchange rate. No matter what happens to the spot rate, the firm will not have to choose between raising prices or cutting profit margins.
In retrospect, Japanese automobile companies could have used forward exchange contracts over recent years to ock into much more favorable exchang rates than they would have faced in the spot market. Suppose that on February value against the yen, a Japanese con pany had been prescient enough or lucky enough to sell its expected future dollar receipts in the forward exchange market. The firm might have made a separate contract for each of the upcoming months for as far ahead as it sider only the contract for the will con sider only the contract for the twenty-
fourth month ahead-February 1987.

The difference between spot and futures tices can be large, depending on the expected change in currency valuation.

On February 25, 1985, the yen-dollar pot rate was 262 yen and the 24 month forward rate was 240 yen. Thus, on that date, the company could ain number of dollars for 240 yen per ain number of dollars for 240 yen per 987. Having done this, the firm could price its cars in February 1987 as if the exchange rate were 240 yen per dollar, even though in February 1987 the spo exchange rate fluctuated between 152 yen and 154 yen.
Because such contracts are confiden which Japanese automotive firms hav used this tool. European automobile companies have reportedly hedged sub stantial portions of their revenues through the middle of $1987 .{ }^{3}$ If these reports are accurate, and if this behav
ior is characteristic of the industry in general, currency hedging could go a general, currency hedging could go import car prices have risen propor tionately less than the change in cur rency values and, in part, why the volume of car imports remains high despite dollar depreciation. ${ }^{4}$
A firm can use forward contracts to void the impact of exchange-rate if forward contracts are available, and at favorable terms. Forward exchange rates for the yen (and for a handful of other currencies) are regularly quoted in the foreign exchange market for periods only as far ahead as 24 month
But forward contracts are only one method of hedging.
New techniques are being developed that allow hedging at reduced costs. Many of these techniques involve utures and options-financial instruments sold in the open market. In fact, ign exchange business transacted in the United States increased about sevenfold between 1983 and 1986.5 More over, other techniques involving a set of forward contracts (swaps) allow hedging for periods of more than two years. Still, the greatest volume of hedging appears to be for horizons of less than a year. This suggests that the been dissipating and that a greater

[^0]share of exchange-rate changes will be passed through to import prices in the near future.
There are, however, ways in which firms can reduce their ultimate expochanges. These practices essentially "hedge" exposure through diversifica tion of costs, and thereby reduce pass through to prices.

## Diversification of Costs

Firms can limit their exposure to exchange-rate changes by purchasing supplies from markets that price such goods and resources in the same cur rency as that used in the market in which the final products are sold. The greater the percentage of inputs price in dollar terms, the less impact that prices in the U.S. market for the final product. Thus, by purchasing supplies in global markets, firms can hedge against exchange-rate risk.
In some resource markets, firms may have little choice in this matter. For example, Japanese auto producers nee worldwide in terms of the dollar M raw materials used in steel production are also priced in dollar terms. Thus, even though Japanese automakers may buy steel from their own domestic steelmakers that is priced in yen, in competitive markets yen steel prices
would tend to move in a direction tha would partially offset the exchange-r effects on dollar prices of exports to the United States.
Japanese automakers also protect themselves by purchasing a significan percentage of intermediate componen from independent suppliers. This prac tice, called outsourcing, gives them the mediate inputs toward suppliers with costs least affected by exchange-rate changes. Some of these inputs come from Korea and Taiwan, countries whose currencies have been closely linked to the U.S. dollar. Thus, even such intermediate goods were not
senger cars in 1985 , or about 22 percent of the financial transactions volume, after subtracting the 15 percent of import costs that are dollar-
denominated. Thus, the volume of the surveyed denominated. Thus, the volume of the surveyed
banking institutions' transactions is ample to
cover Japanese auto imports. Furthermore for cover Japanese auto imports. Furthermore, for tunities for hedging.
priced in dollars, their costs would tend to depreciate with the dollar. If these goods are sold in reasonably competi tive markets, or if the buyers have suppliers, their yen-equivalent prices would decline and thereby lessen the impact of a falling dollar on the cost of Japanese cars sold in U.S. markets. Japanese input-output tables indicate that approximately 15 percent of inputs used in auto production are imported. ${ }^{6}$ Moreover, according to Japan's Ministry about 97 percent of all imports to Japan are priced in dollars.?
Outsourcing in countries whose currencies are linked to the currency of the export market also creates competitive pressures on domestic suppliers of the same intermediate goods. To cope in ers must themselves have flevible arrangements with their own inputs. In many cases, these smaller firms can survive because they have greater ability to recontract their costs than do the larger firms specializing in assembly and distribution. When the supp are faced with the reality of an competitive price of their outputs, the are able to recontract with their own inputs (typically by reducing wages) to reduce costs sufficiently, if not propor tionally, to remain economically viable Again, the Japanese automotive Many intermediate goods are produced in cottage industries in which costs are almost completely flexible. That Japanese automotive suppliers are in such a highly competitive situation is demonstrated by the fact that they are typically forced to assume the risks of hold ing inventories, making possible the tice of just-in-time receipt of inputs. Finally, industry sources estimate that when the dollar peaked, costs at the factory accounted for only 60 per cent of the U.S. retail price of a Japa nese car. The balance, comprising duties, freight and insurance costs, profits, was almost all denominated in
dollars. In sum, less than half of the retail price of a Japanese-produced ca was actually based on costs denomi nated in yen!

## Profit Margins

In addition to the protection afforded by currency hedging, dollar-priced inputs, and leverage to reduce supplier costs, Japanese automobile producers had attained large profit margins on allowed them to avoid price increases when the dollar started to fall. Japanese auto firms were able to obtain wide profit margins partly because of the relatively small number of automobile producers competing in the U.S. market and because of diffe ences among their products. These call imperfect competition, make it pos sible for firms to earn, at least temporarily, higher-than-normal profits. The image of high quality and fuel economy enjoyed by Japanese cars-especially a gasoline prices rose sharply-also created strong demand for the cars in the U.S. market, further enhancing imit the number of Japanese cars that can be imported into the United States annually ( 1.85 million in the year ending March 31, 1985) have also served to aise profit margins on the cars. The quotas are called Voluntary Ex are imposed voluntarily by the they government instead of being legislated by the U.S. Congress. ${ }^{8}$ Because the restraints limit the number of cars each apanese firm can export to the United States, the firms tend to raise their prices to the level at which they can not permitted to sell a higher number o they have no incentive to price below his level. One study found that, after re moving the effects of inflation and qual ity changes, VERs raised the retail trans action price of a Japanese car by $\$ 1,114$

## 4. Import prices of new passenger automobiles 4. Import prices of new passenger automobiles rose approximately 20.7 percent between March rose approx March 1987. The yen appreciated 1985 against the dollar by about 85 percent in the against the dollar by about 85 percent in the same period. Unit car imports from Japan rose approximately 1 percent from the first quarter of approximately 1 percent from the 1985 to the first quarter of 1987 .

5. See "Market Survey," Internationa
Revieu, August 23, 1986, pp. 2523-25.

## 6. See "Total Requirement Tables," 1975 Japanese Input-Output Table: 1979 English Summary Colume, pp. $386 \cdot 7$. Reported data <br> . Some import contracts may provide for chang ing prices if exchange rates change.


[^0]:    3. See "Executive Cars 5: Success in the U.S.," Financial Times, June 19, 1986, p. 5. Moreover,
    recent survey of 123 banking institutions in the recent survey of 123 banking institutions in the
    United States by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York indicates that the volume of yen-dolla ransactions in outright forward and swap con-
    racts with nonfinancial institutions averaged more than $\$ 5.19$ billion per month. Other data indicate an average monthly import volume of
    $\$ 1.35$ billion per month of new Japanese pas-
