## U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Final Plan for Periodic Retrospective Reviews of Existing Regulations Progress Report, May 2012

EPA Plan#	Agency / Sub- Agency	RIN / OMB Control Number	Title of Initiative / Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
						EPA will propose a number of amendments to the		
						fuels program regulations in 40 CFR part 80. With		
						regard to regulatory streamlining, the majority of		
						these items involve clarifying vague or inconsistent		
						language, removal or updating of outdated		
						provisions, and decreasing the frequency and/or		40 CFR Part 80 - Regulation
						volume of reporting burden where data is either no longer needed or is redundant in light of other EPA		of Fuels and Fuel Additives
						fuels programs. In general, we believe that these		of Fuels and Fuel Additives
						changes would reduce burden on industry with no		Subpart D - Reformulated
			Gasoline and			expected adverse environmental impact. In		Gasoline (80.40 through
			diesel regulations:	As part of the Tier 3 vehicle and fuel standards rule,		addition, EPA will request comments on potential		80.89)
			reducing reporting	EPA intends to review existing gasoline and diesel		areas in the fuel regulations that may benefit from a		Subpart E - Anti-Dumping
			and recordkeeping.	regulations that apply to fuel producers, ethanol		more comprehensive streamlining effort. The Tier 3	3	(Conventional Gasoline)
			Vehicle	blenders, fuel distributors, and others for areas where		rule will also harmonize federal vehicle criteria		(80.90 through 80.124)
			regulations:	recordkeeping and reporting obligations can be		pollutant emission standards with CARB 's LEV III		Subpart H - Gasoline Sulfur
			harmonizing	modified to reduce burden. In regard to vehicle		standards, allowing the auto manufacturers to more		(80.180 through 80.415)
			criteria air	regulations, EPA plans to assess and take comment on		efficiently produce on fleet of vehicles that will	A Small Business Advocacy Review Panel to obtain	Subpart J - Gasoline Toxics
		DD 7 20 60	1	opportunities to harmonize testing and compliance		meet all the standards. This is directly responsive	advice and recommendations of representatives of the	(MSAT1) (80.800 - 80.1045)
2.1.1 and			*	*	EPA is currently drafting the proposed	to the auto manufacturers input during the	small entities potentially subject to the rule's	Subpart L - Gasoline Benzene
2.1.11(a)	EPA/OAR	AQ86	CARB	standards.	rule.	regulatory review comment process.	requirements was completed on October 3, 2011.	(MSAT2) (80.1200 - 80.1363)
						Using the optical gas imaging instrument where		
			Davinas ant and			permissible, will reduce monitoring time since the instrument can image multiple pieces of equipment		
			Equipment and	EPA intends to reduce burden on industry and	EDA avpacts to propose Alternative Work	simultaneously from a distance, which also		
		RIN 2060-		3	Practices for Leak Detection and Repair,	removes the need to designate equipment as unsafe-		
2.1.2(a.)	EPA/OAR		1	an optical gas imaging instrument to find leaks.	* '	to-monitor or difficult-to-monitor.	See progress update for 2.1.2(b).	

		RIN /						
		OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
						Significant burden reduction will be achieved by		
						referencing the Uniform Standards due to		
						consistency of monitoring, recordkeeping, and		
						reporting requirements. By applying the Equipment	i	
						Leaks Uniform Standards to the chemical and		
						refining industries, we estimate that each refinery		
						and chemical facility will save approximately \$7,000/year and \$4,000, respectively in burden		
						reporting. The Uniform Standards also contain		
						provisions for use of an optical gas imaging		
						instrument to detect leaks, where permissible. We		
						estimate that an average refinery would save		
				EPA intends to reduce burden by developing and		approximately \$34,000 per year using this		
			Equipment and	consolidating state-of-the-art uniform standards for		instrument. We do not have similar estimates for		
				controlling equipment leaks that will then become		an average chemical plant since some plants may	The rule was proposed on March 26, 2012, with a 90-day	
					Equipment Leaks and Ancillary Systems	not be able to use the device due to detection	comment period. EPA expects to issue a final rule in	t3/fr_notices/unistand_storage
2.1.2(b.)	EPA/OAR	AR00	burden	regulatory actions.	on March 26, 2012.	capabilities.	November of 2012.	plus_pfpr_022412.pdf
							In October, EPA met with Chesapeake Bay State	
							Agriculture and Environment Directors. In November, EPA met with Bay state officials and key stakeholder	
			Regulatory				groups. An anticipated outcome is that one or more of	
			certainty for				these states adopt certainty programs that encourage	
			farmers: working		EPA intends to continue to work with		more farmers to adopt BMPs to reduce runoff of excess	
			with the U.S.		Chesapeake Bay States to build programs		nutrients and sediment. In January, EPA signed an	
					with interested States. We plan to	Anticipated benefits include increased adoption of	agreement with Minnesota on "Engaging in a State and	
	EPA/OECA and					best management practices (BMPs) that reduce	Federal Partnership in Support of the Minnesota	
2.1.3	EPA/OW		(USDA) and states	achieve water quality improvements.	interest in other States.	runoff of excess nutrients and sediment.	Agricultrual Water Quality Certification Program."	
							For the endocrine disrupter program, EPA published the	
							EDSP21 plan summary in November 2011, which set out	
							a timeline for integrating high speed throughput methods	
			Modernizing				(known as Tox 21 methods) into the EDSP program.	
			science and				EPA intends to apply these methods to prioritize certain	
			technology				chemicals in 2013. In 2012, EPA is developing a general	
				EPA seeks ways to more efficiently assess the health			methodology for validating these Tox 21 methods for use	
				and environmental hazards, as well as the exposure			in the prioritization and screening of chemicals, in 2013.	
				potential, of chemicals while reducing costs and			EPA also established a stakeholder workgroup in 2011	For EDSP:
				burdens. A new work plan would develop new science- based approaches like computational toxicology tools		The initial benefits will be to decrease the time it	under the Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee. That Tox21 workgroup is addressing communication and	http://www.epa.gov/endo/pub
								s/regaspects/index.htm
					EPA intends to apply Tox 21 methods to			For PPDC:
					11 3	-		
2.1.4	EPA/OCSPP		efficiences	managment decisions on sufficient, credible data.	2012.	times.	next meeting is scheduled for May 2012.	/ppdc/testing/index.html
2.1.4	EPA/OCSPP		burdens and improving	ي ع	EPA intends to apply Tox 21 methods to prioritize certain chemicals by the end of 2012.	takes to collect the necessary information to make decisions from years to months. The cost savings will come from reduced data generation and review times.		For PPDC: http://www.epa.gov/pesticides

		RIN / OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			and safety data under TSCA, FIFRA and FFDCA: reducing burden and improving	EPA is exploring transitioning from paper-based reporting to electronic reporting for industries regulated under TSCA, FIFRA, and FFDCA. Online electronic reporting can reduce burden and costs for regulated entities. The changes to TSCA reporting requirements are intended to reduce reporting burdens and to clarify reporting requirements. Considerations include the submission of an electronic copy in the	EPA issued a proposal related to Electronic reporting under TSCA in April 2012. With regard to electronic reporting under FIFRA & FFDCA, on October 14, 2011, EPA implemented an electronic submission option that covers all significant aspects of the pesticides registration and review processes. EPA provided detailed guidance and a down- loadable tool to facilitate electronic submission via CD/DVD of registration	Online electronic reporting can reduce burden and costs for the regulated entities by eliminating the costs associated with printing and mailing reports to EPA, many of which are required in multiple copies, completing the forms through look-up features and error checks, and maintaining paper records. It can also increase efficiencies in terms of record retrieval and information sharing within the company. At the same time, it can improve EPA's efficiency in reviewing the submissions, in particular for lengthy scientific studies.  The regulated community has indicated that these savings could be substantial, but there may be an	EPA proposed the "eTSCA Reporting" rule on April 27, 2012 (77 FR 22707).  EPA is streamlining business processes and developing a new IT paradigm to support a paperless office, including	Includes components of 2.1.7. For TSCA: For Pesticides:
			TSCA reporting	data, and the use of the Inventory Update Reporting	applications and responses to registration	initial offset from burden related to initial	true e-submission of pesticide registration application	http://www.epa.gov/pesticides
2.1.5 and		RIN 2070-	requirements;	Form to format submission of preliminary assessment			materials. This study is expected to be complete by the	/regulating/registering/submis
2.1.7	EPA/OCSPP	AJ75	reducing burden.	information.	program orders.	online reporting portal.	end of 2012.	sions/
	EDA/OSW/ED		List rules: improving	EPA will improve transparency in the NPL listing process by considering ways for states, local govts, and tribes to have meaningful input to listing	EPA intends to address this programmatic concern through the ongoing Integrated Cleanup Initiative from the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 through the fourth		This activity is extended due to the additional time needed to complete guidance for use of model governors' letter considering essential input from states. In March, EPA held a conference call with the Association of State and Territorial Solid Waste Management Officials, Site Evaluation Focus Group to discuss the formal correspondence on potential listing new sites on the NPL. The proposed approach involves formal EPA and state correspondence including making the correspondence available to the public. The process will include opportunity for additional calls or meetings if the state has questions. Substantial EPA and state dialogue and formal partnerships are expected to continue during the discovery, the preliminary assessment/site investigation process, as well as when identifying candidate NPL sites and sites for other cleanup activities. The guidance will address transparency and how the letter is to be used given the diverse relationships among the many states' site assessment programs. A similar letter and guidance will be developed for tribes when sites are on tribal lands in accordance with EPA's May 2011 Policy on Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribes. Guidance is also under development for additional community engagement during Superfund site	
2.1.6	EPA/OSWER		transparency	decisions.	quarter of FY 2012.		assessment activities.	

		RIN / OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			coordinating permit	EPA intends to review the regulations that apply to the issuance of NPDES permits, which are the wastewater permits that facility operators must obtain before they discharge pollutants to any water of the United States.		EPA estimates that public notice of draft permits in newspapers for NPDES major facilities, sewage sludge facilities and general permits currently costs approximately \$1.6 million per year (this excludes the costs of preparing the content of the NPDES public notice, and the costs of the other methods to provide notice besides newspaper publication, such as direct mailing). Any savings from EPA's planned rule, however, are likely to be less than this amount. The new rule would allow, but not require states and the Federal Government to use electronic public notice instead of newspaper publication. Some states would continue to publish at least some notifications in newspapers. In addition, there would be offsetting costs to provide electronic		
				EPA intends to revise or repeal outdated or ineffective	NPDES permit regulations in July of	notice, and EPA does not currently have estimates		
2.1.8	EPA/OW	AF25	requirements	regulatory requirements for wastewater facilities.	2012.	of those costs.	Final rule is expected in July of 2013.	
2.1.9	EPA/OW		may maintain, or provide greater, public health	1 1	The review process for LT2-will be completed in conjunction with the 6-year review process, no later than March 2016.		EPA held a stakeholder meeting on LT2 on December 7, 2011 that focused on analytical methods. The Agency held a second stakeholder meeting on April 24, 2012 focused on uncovered finished water resevoirs.	The National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule RIN 2040AD37 was promulgated, January 5, 2006.

	Agency / Sub-	RIN / OMB Control	Title of Initiative /			Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #		Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
2.1.10 and	EPA/OW		Integrated planning for municipal wastewater and stormwater sources.	municipal CWA requirements in a way that focuses their resources on the most pressing public health and	EPA is developing a draft framework document that will more fully describe the integrated planning concept. EPA held five workshops in January and February of 2012 to gain additional stakeholder input on the integrated planning approach. EPA intends to finalize the framework document in the Spring of 2012.		EPA is developing a draft framework document that will more fully describe the integrated planning process. EPA held five workshops in January and February of 2012 to gain additional stakeholder input on the integrated planning framework approach. At the workshops, EPA provided background on major components of the draft framework, including overarching and guiding principles, elements of an integrated plan and implementation. In general, attendees at the workshops provided support for the integrated planning approach. EPA intends to finalize the framework document this Spring.	
2.1.11(b)		RIN 2060- AQ54	Vehicle Regulations: harmonizing requirements for GHG and Fuel Economy Standards	EPA and NHTSA have proposed a joint rulemaking to propose greenhouse gas (GHG) and Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards for model years 2017-2025 light-duty vehicles. As part of this process, EPA and DOT are taking comment on opportunities to further harmonize compliance requirements of the two agencies. This was recommended by an auto industry representative during the public comment process for this Plan.	EPA expects to issue a final rule in August 2012.	The rulemaking is directly responsive to requests from the auto industry to harmonize DOT's fuel economy standards, EPA's greenhouse gas standards and CARB's greenhouse gas standards. This will allow the auto manufacturers to more efficiently produce one vehicle fleet to meet the requirements of the "National Program".	The GHG Vehicle standards proposal was published on December 1, 2011.	http://www.epa.gov/otaq/climate/regulations.htm

		DINI /						
		RIN / OMB				A		
		_	mid ex id d			Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-		Title of Initiative /	n . an		collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			Multiple air pollutants: coordinating emission reduction	EPA intends to explore ways to reduce emissions of multiple air pollutants through the use of technologies and practices that achieve multiple benefits, such as controlling hazardous air pollutant emissions while also controlling particulate matter and its precursor		Market analysis found that the proposal is likely to induce minimal changes in the average national price of paper and paperboard products. The control costs for the proposed rule amendments are estimated to be approximately \$4.1M per year with associated emission reductions of approximately 4,100 tons per year of HAP. Total industry costs (repeat testing/monitoring and incremental		
			regulations and	pollutants. An early example of this approach is a rule		reporting/recordkeeping requirements in addition	27, 2012. Based on comments received we are re-	
		RIN 2060-	using innovative	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	EPA expects to issue a final rule in July	to controls) are estimated to be approximately	analyzing the costs for the proposed options and will	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/p
2.1.12(a.)	EPA/OAR	AQ41	technologies	and paper industry.	2012.	\$2.1M per year.	consider these updated costs in the final action.	ulp/pulppg.html
		RIN 2060-	Multiple air pollutants: coordinating emission reduction regulations and using innovative	EPA intends to explore ways to reduce emissions of multiple air pollutants through the use of technologies and practices that achieve multiple benefits, such as controlling hazardous air pollutant emissions while also controlling particulate matter and its precursor pollutants. The first rule to use this approach is a consolidated rule for the chemical industry.  This first action addresses significant unregulated hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions, the vacatur of the startup, shutdown and malfunction provisions and other necessary changes to the standards. The nine source categories include:  • Group IV Polymers and Resins o Acrylic-Butadiene-Styrene Production o Methyl Methacrylate-Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene Production o Methyl Methacrylate-Butadiene-Styrene Production o Nitrile Resins Production o Polyethylene Terephthalate Production o Polystyrene Production • Polyether Polyols Production • Pesticide Active Ingredient Production • Polyether Polyols Production	EPA expects to finalize the Risk and Technology Review for these 9 source	Significant burden reduction in the recordkeeping and reporting requirements will be achieved by referencing a set of uniform standards, issued under item 2.1.2(b) in this Plan, which provide a consistent set of monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements for common emission points common in the chemical industry. We estimate that each chemical manufacturing facility will save approximately \$7,000/year from this aspect of the rule. Savings arising from other aspects of the multiple-pollutant approach will be calculated as the chemical-industry rule is	Comment period on the proposed Risk and Technology (RTR) review for these 3 rules (covering 9 chemical MACT source categories) closed on March 30, 2012; final RTR rule is subject to a Sierra Club deadline consent decree requiring Administrator signature by November 30, 2012. The scope of the rule was reduced from what was described in the look back exercise to now only address the Agency's consent decree obligation to propose and finalize the RTR review. The Agency was unsuccessful in negotiating a longer timeline with the litigants that would have been necessary to broaden the scope to include applicable NSPS and to point into the Uniform Standards, which have been proposed, but cannot be promulgated in time to use by the November 30, 2012 final RTR date for these categories in the Sierra	http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/rri
2.1.12(b.)	EPA/OAR	AR02	technologies		categories in December of 2012.	developed.	Club Consent Decree.	sk/fr09ja12.pdf
		RIN 2060-	New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) reviews and revisions under the	This review is included in the Plan to ensure that EPA prioritizes NSPS reviews to focus on those that, in keeping with EO 13563, promote innovative technologies while upholding EPA's mission to	EPA issued an advanced notice of propsed rulemaking in October 2011. EPA expects to issue a proposed rule in	This strategy will reduce the resource burden to the government and stakeholders by eliminating the need for costly and time consuming reviews of certain standards, which are not expected to result in any environmental benefits. This burden reduction will allow the government and stakeholders to focus on those NSPS with greater opportunities for meaningful improvements in air	EPA is reviewing public comments submitted in	76 FR 65653 http://federalregister.gov/a/20
2.1.13	EPA/OAR		CAA:	protect human health and the environment.	Fall 2012 and a final rule in Spring 2013.	quality and public health.	response to the ANPRM and preparing a proposal.	11-27441

		DINI /						
		RIN / OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	-	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			CAA Title V			EPA believes the improvements will reduce burden	EDA began the review process to implement this	
			Permit programs:	EPA is reviewing the Title V implementation process		on the public, the permitting agencies and the	recommendation during the fall of 2011. EPA has started	1
			simplifying and	to determine whether changes can be made to simplify	EPA expects to be able to identify options		to identify areas for improvement and is establishing a	
			clarifying	and clarify the process for industry, the public, and	for future improvements by the end of	\$200 to \$300 per permit revision when fully	work group to develop options for possible	
2.1.14	EPA/OAR		requirements	government resources.	2012.	implemented.	improvements to include in a potential future action.	
							The first of two pilots is under way. EPA's Office of	
							Policy is undertaking a market analysis pilot with the	
				EDA intende to escape technology duning network esitua		This action is not designed to reduce costs or	Design for the Environment program with the goal of understanding the drivers, needs, barriers, and selection	
				EPA intends to assess technology during retrospecitive reviews and new rulemakings to help encourage		information burdens; its desired outcome is to stimulate the incorporation of the most up to date	criteria utilized by a company when an alternative flame	
				development of innovative technologies that reduce		technology in regulatory programs. The	retardant is considered or employed. Understanding the	
			Innovative	costs. EPA also plans to update monitoring and testing			motivations and needs of this community will help EPA	
			technology:	protocols to allow the use of new methods and		however, EPA hopes to explore the potential for	to develop more informed policies and public documents	
			seeking to spur	technologies, where feasible. Support for the newly		expanding alternative technologies and processes	for the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxic's DfE	
			new markets and	formed regional water technology innovation cluster	EPA expects to complete the pilot(s) in	in the market that will offer new possibilities for	program. Discussions to identify second pilot are under	
2.1.15	EPA/OP		utilize technology	will continue.	2012.	reducing environmental and health impacts.	way with OW.	
							A A I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
							An Advisory Meeting with the SAB-EEAC to discuss the	
				The goals of the Retrospective Cost Study are to			Phase I report entitled "Retrospective Study of the Costs of EPA Regulations: An Interim Report of Five Case	
				evaluate whether ex-ante and ex-post cost estimates of			Studies" was held on April 19 and 20, 2012. The Agency	
				regulations differ substantially and, if so, to explore			asked for input on whether the approaches employed in	
				the reasons causing the divergence. If systematic			the study are appropriate and how the analyses could be	
				differences in between ex ante and ex post cost			improved. The case studies in the Interim Report should	
			The costs of	estimates are detected, we hope to identify the source		The ultimate goals of this effort are to improve our	be considered works in progress as they may change in	
			regulations:	of the differences and determine if there are defensible		ex-ante cost modeling and to inform future	response to feedback received from the SAB. An internal	
			improving cost	means of correcting for them in our ex-ante cost	Second SAB meeting is scheduled for	revisions to EPA's Guidelines for Preparing	review draft of the Phase 1 report was completed in	
2.1.16	EPA/OP		estimates	estimation methodology.	July 2012.	Economic Analyses.	December 2011.	
			Vehicle fuel vapor recovery systems:	EPA intends to seek burden reductions for gas stations		EPA estimates the long-term cost savings		
		RIN 2060-	eliminating	by eliminating regulatory requirements that call for the		associated with this rule to be approximately		
2.2.1	EPA/OAR	AQ97	redundancy	use of redundant technology.	EPA issued a final rule on May 9th, 2012.		EPA issed a final rule on May 9th, 2012.	Action Completed
			.,	The NSPS for Grain Elevators was promulgated in	,,	1 2 17	27	1
				1978 with the latest amendments made in 1984. Since				
			New Source	that time there have been a number of changes in the				
			Performance	technology used for storing and loading/unloading				
				grain at elevators. The rule has seen increased activity				
				of late, due to the increase in ethanol production that				
			grain elevators,	has lead to bumper crops of corn being grown, which,		The industry will realize some benefits in	A dor Grand and the condition of the con	
			amendments:	in turn, has led to a need for increased grain storage.		regulatory certainty moving forward as the current	A draft proposed rule is undergoing internal review. The	
			updating outmoded	For these reasons a review and potential change in certain definitions is necessary to ensure the			grain elevator trade coalition petitioned EPA in early February 2012 to review and repeal the NSPS. The	
		RIN 2060-		appropriate standards are being applied consistently	EPA expects to issue a proposed		Agency plans to evaluate the petition in conjunction with	
2.2.2	EPA/OAR	AP06		throughout the industry.	rulemaking by December 2012.	as they relate to temporary grain storage.	this lookback exercise.	
		1						

		RIN /					1	
		OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	. / 0.1		TENT OF WAY			,		
EDA DI //	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /	D : CD	A C Li D	collection burdens, together with any anticipated		NT 4
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
				This rule would establish legal and policy framework			Technical assistance has been provided to Congress on	
				for collecting hazardous waste shipment data	The next step for this action is internal	National system could result in annual savings to	both a Senate Bill (S.710) and a similar Administration	
				electronically, thereby replacing the current,	review, which must occur within one year	hazardous waste handlers and states ranging	Bill. Neither piece of legislation has passed. Finalization	1
				burdensome paper manifest system that requires 6-	of enactment of legislation authorizing	between \$77 million and \$209 million, depending	of a rule (contingent upon enactment of legislation first)	
			E-Manifest:	copy forms to be completed, carried and signed	establishment of national system funded	on final system design selected and widespread	is estimated to be one year from date of enactment of	
2.2.4	EPA/OSWER	AG20	reducing burden	manually.	by user fees or other funding.	adoption of e-Manifest by user community.	legislation.	
						Electronically submitting Site ID forms would: 1)	The Site ID proof of concept has recently been deployed	
						save in mailing costs; 2) enable better data quality	to our internal test server. Select state partners are	
						as the data would be entered by the facility itself; 3]	working with us to analyze both the functional	
						increase efficiency of the notification process as the	requirements as well as the technical architecture and	
						facility could easily	digital signature components. EPA plans to have testing	
			Electronic		EPA estimates that an electronic site ID	submit updates of past submissions (rather than	completed and feedback received in the third quarter of	
			hazardous waste	EPA is exploring ways to reduce burden for hazardous	form could be implemented within a year	repeatedly filling out the form again and again);	2012 establish a beta site in June to continue the testing	
			Site ID form:	waste generators, transporters, and holders of waste	after the decision is made to move	and 4) enable states and EPA to receive the	of the application with our state partners and will	
2.2.5	EPA/OSWER		reducing burden	permits.	forward.	updated data faster.	determine next steps based on the findings.	
			Ü				In FY 2012, EPA began review of the CCR, including an	
							internal comparision of the statute and CCR rule	
							language and formation of an EPA workgroup. EPA	
							determined that the current rule language will allow for	
							additional delivery options (e.g., electronic delivery). To	
							gather information from stakeholders, a Listening	
							Session was held on February 23, 2012. The web-based	
			Consumer				dialogue was opened for two weeks allowing for states,	
			confidence reports				utilities, and consumers to provide feedback on CCR	
			*				*	National Baimana Baimbina
			for primary				delivery and on other issues. EPA plans to seek feedback	
			drinking water	TI. ( I I I d DI d (EDA		EDA: W. H. W. C.	on logistical issues associated with electronic delivery	Water Regulations: Consumer
			regulations:	This action is included in the Plan so that EPA can		EPA initially estimates a cost savings of	during and following an in-person public meeting in	Confidence
			providing for the	explore ways to promote greater transparency and	EPA estimates that a retrospective review	approximately \$1,000,000 (2010\$) per year, based	September 2012. EPA plans to release a legal	Reports, RIN 2040-AC99,
2.2.6	ED L (OW)		open exchange of	public participation in protecting the Nation's	of the CCR will be completed by early	on the anticipated reduction in postage and paper	interpretation memo on CCR delivery options in early	was promulgated on August
2.2.6	EPA/OW		information	drinking water.	2013.	costs for systems serving ≥10,000 customers.	2013.	19, 1998.
							In late 2011, EPA identified interested participants	
							(states, regions, and ACWA) and initiated conference	
							calls. In March 2012, EPA and States finalized	
							discussions on identifying the steps in the Integrated	
							Reporting process, and EPA publically reported out on	
							its efforts at the Spring meeting of ACWA. EPA has	
							requested input from States on each step in the IR	
			1				process, which included: estimated Level of Effort,	
					EPA intends to work with the public and		estimated staff and cost, estimated number of days to	
			Reporting		states to identify alternative approaches		complete and over what period of time, barriers and	
			requirements		for reducing the burden associated with		inefficiencies, whether necessary in IR process, and	
			under Section		water quality reporting requirements and		applicability to their State. EPA will compile this	
			303(d) of the		to evaluate the impact of changing this		information, and a summary will be shared with the	
			Clean Water Act	EPA intends to explore ways to reduce the burden on	reporting cycle under either or both CWA		States to shape a series of decisive discussions which	CWA Section 303(d) and
			(CWA) reducing	state governments when reporting on the quality of the			will occur bi-weekly from April to May. EPA continues	305(b) and 40 CFR 130.7 and
2.2.7	EPA/OW		burden	Nation's water bodies.	complete this review by June 2012.		to anticipate a final report by June.	40 CFR 130.8
	•	1	t .	J		1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1

		RIN /						
		OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	A company / Cush		Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EDA Dlan #	Agency / Sub-	Control Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual of Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			Export notification for chemicals and pesticides: reducing burden	EPA plans to evaluate options to reduce regulatory burden on pesticide exporters and foreign countries monitoring these exports, as industry suggests that these requirments do not appear to provide	EPA is currently developing a workplan		EPA has completed its internal review of the statutory mandates, regulatory language, and public comments related to export reporting for chemicals and pesticides. Consistent with the findings of EPA's Office of Inspector General (Report No. 10-P-0026), for pesticide export notifications, and in the absence of a statutory change, EPA has determined that there are no additional opportunities to reduce burden and improve efficiencies in this area. EPA has also concluded that it will not take any further action in terms of chemical export	In 2009/2010, EPA's Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducted an evaluation entitled, "EPA Needs to Comply with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act and Improve its Oversight of Exported Never-Registered Pesticides (Report No. 10-P-0026)." EPA evaluated the OIG report and in response to the audit, developed a "Corrective Action Plan," which has since
			and improving	comparable benefits to public health or the	with a timeline for completing this effort		notifications at this time.	been implemented.
2.2.8	EPA/OCSPP		efficiences	environment.	within 12 months.			Action Completed
2.2.9	EPA/OW		Water quality trading: improving approaches	EPA intends to seek public feedback on the 2003 Water Quality Trading Policy to determine whether revisions could help increase adoption of market- based approaches, in which trading is a leading example, to increase the implementation of cost- effective pollutant reductions.	EPA intends to begin this process with a webinar or other forum to be held in Fall 2012.		A comment period will precede and expand beyond a webinar call.	
2.2.10	EPA/OW	RIN 2040- AF16	Water quality standard regulations: simplifying and clarifying requirements	EPA intends to review water quality standard (WQS) regulations to identify ways to improve the Agency's effectiveness in helping restore and maintain the Nation's waters and to simplify standards.	EPA to propose a targeted set of revisions to the WQS regulation in Spring of 2012, and anticipates a final rulemaking in November 2012.	States, tribes, stakeholders, and the public will benefit from the clarifications of the WQS regulations by ensuring better utilization of available WQS tools (variances & designated use change) that allow states and tribes the flexibility to implement their WQS in an efficient manner while providing transparency and open public participation. Although associated with potential administrative burden and costs in some areas, the proposal has the potential to partially offset these costs by reducing regulatory uncertainty and consequently increasing overall program efficiency. Furthermore, more efficient and effective implementation of state and tribal WQS has the potential to provide a variety of economic benefits associated with cleaner water including the availability of clean, safe, and affordable drinking water, water of adequate quality for agricultural and industrial use, and water quality that supports the commercial fishing industry and higher property values. Nonmarket benefits of the proposal include the protection and improvement of public health and greater recreational opportunities.	Action is at OMB, pending E.O. 12866 review. More information can be found on www.reginfo.gov.	

EPA Plan #	Agency / Sub-		Title of Initiative / Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
					Regional Consistency for the			
					Administrative Requirements of State			
					Implementation Plan Submittals and Use			
					of "Letter Notices", dated April 6, 2011 COMPLETE			
					Transportation Conformity-related			
				hearings are held only when needed, minimize the	Clarifications to Appendix C of the April			
					6, 2011 Memorandum, dated July 27,			
				r	2011 COMPLETE		The April 2011 memo clarified that a number of	
					Options and Efficiency Tools for EPA		procedural flexibilities in the SIP Process that reduce	
				1 1 2	Action on State Implementation Plan		burden and save money already exist, including	
				development process, and are expected to conserve	(SIP) Submittals, dated October 31,	m i am i am i	eliminating the need to hold public hearings where no	
					2011 COMPLETE	The improvements to the SIP development process	members of the public are in attendance, expensive	
			G		Guidelines for Preparing Letters	will result in a noticeable cost and burden	advertisements in newspapers, and generation and	
			State Implementation	decisions on SIPs are made more quickly as a result of the process improvements, they are expected to	(SIPs) to EPA and for Preparing Public	reduction for states. EPA Regions 3 and 5 estimate that such changes will result in approximately	Subsequent memos have been released to implement the	
					Notices for SIPs, dated November 22,	\$165,000 to \$180,000 per year in cost savings to	burden reduction efforts identified in the April 2011	
2.2.11	EPA/OAR				2011 COMPLETE	their states.	memo.	Action Completed
2.2.11	EITOTIK			Efforts to revise the Lead and Copper Rule (LCR)	ZOTI COMI ELTE	inen states.	incino.	The 1991 National Primary
				have been ongoing. This review is part of the				Drining Water Regulations for
				Retrospective Review Plan because, in addition to				Lead and Copper RIN 2010-
			and copper:	improving public health protection, EPA is seeking				AB51, has been previously
			* *	ways to simplify and clarify requirements imposed on			In Spring 2012, EPA is initiating formal notification for a	reviewed and revised in 2000
		RIN 2040-		drinking water systems to maintain safe levels of lead	EPA currently expects to issue a proposed		Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act	RIN 2140-AC27, and 2007
2.2.12	EPA/OW	AF15			rulemaking in January 2013.		(SBREFA) Panel.	RIN 2040-AE83

		DDI/						
		RIN /						
		OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /			collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
EPA Pian #	Agency	Number	Adjusting threshold planning	EPA is considering revising the manner by which the regulated community would apply the thrshold planning quantities (TPQs) for those extremely hazardous substances (EHSs) that are non-reactive	Actual of Target Completion Date	EPA has revised the manner by which the regulated community would apply the threshold planning quantities (TPQs) for those extremely hazardous substances (EHSs) that are non-reactive solid chemicals in solution. This allows facilities reporting EHSs for the first time to have larger quantities on-site and not be subject to the emergency planning notification reporting requirements. This final rule allows facilities to have larger amounts of EHS solids in solution on site than before without being subject to certain emergency planning notification requirements. In addition, the changes in reporting will allow state and local emergency planners to better focus		Notes
			solution: reducing	solid chamicals in solution. This would allow facilities		limited resources on amounts of chemicals that will	Final rule was published in Federal Register on March	
			_	reporting EHSs for the first time to have larger		potentially cause the greatest harm and to spend	22, 2012 (77 FR 16679). Communications and outreach	
		RIN 2050-	, ,	quantities on-site and not be subject to the reporting	EPA issued a final rule on March 22,	fewer resources on those that pose less harm when	are online at: http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-	
2.2.13	EPA/OSWER	AF08	objectivity	requirements.	2012.	released.	03-22/pdf/2012-6910.pdf.	Action Completed
2.2.14	EPA/OCSPP		Integrated pesticide registration reviews: reducing burden and improving efficiences	EPA is reviewing the pesticide registration review process, as well as other FIFRA requirements.	Near-term examples of chemical bundling include initiating registration reviews for the neonicotinoid insecticides and sulfonylurea herbicides in the next 12-18 months.  To enhance label clarity and potentially reduce regulatory burdens on industry by refining data requirements to support pesticide reevaluations, OPP also plans to bring "SMART meetings" (so named under the reregistration program) into the process on the front end of reviews within the next 12 months. "SMART meetings" ensure that EPA and all interested stakeholders begin communicating early in the process to ensure the accuracy of information about pesticide use. Current pesticide use and usage information is vital to the Agency in updating and refining human and ecological exposure and risk assessments during registration review.		Registration reviews to be initiated in FY 2012 have been scheduled, and initiated with the opening of a docket pursuant to the established registration review procedures.  EPA is working with a variety of stakeholders and advisory committees, such as the Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee, to pilot different approaches for "SMART meetings" to determine how to conduct these meetings in the most efficient and effective manner.	This is an ongoing program, so the effort is broadly apllicable to different aspects of the program.
2.2.15	EPA/OCSPP	RIN 2070- AJ20	Certification of pesticide applicators: eliminating uncertainties and improving efficiences	A review of EPA's regulations on certification and training of pesticide applicators will help clarify requirments and modify potentially redundant or restrictive requirements.	EPA intends to propose improvements to these regulations in March of 2013.	Savings may result from streamlining activities which could reduce the burden on the regulated community by promoting better coordination among the state, federal, and tribal partnerships; clarifying requirements; and modifying the regulation.	EPA has identified proposed improvements and is completing the proposed rulemaking package for issuance in March of 2013.	

		RIN /						
		OMB				Anticipated savings in costs and/or information		
	Agency / Sub-	Control	Title of Initiative /	n		collection burdens, together with any anticipated		
EPA Plan #	Agency	Number	Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
				EPA intends to examine existing PCB guidance and				
				regulations to harmonize regulatory requirements				
				related to harmful PCB uses and to PCB cleanup. The				
				disposal and cleanup requirements for PCB-				
				contaminated building material depend on whether the				
				material is classified as PCB bulk product waste or				
				PCB remediation waste. The Agency intends to issue				
				a Federal Register notice that solicits comment on				
				guidance that reinterprets the definition of PCB bulk				
				product waste. EPA believes that this proposed reinterpretation would allow for accelerated cleanups				
				of PCB-contaminated building material by providing a				
			Polychlorinated	more straightforward path for disposal pursuant to the				
			biphenyls (PCB)	regulations. Speeding up removal and disposal of the				
			reforms:	PCB-contaminated material is critical for reducing				http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg
			improving	exposure potential, such as in schools or other			EPA issued a Federal Register Notice on February	/FR-2012-02-29/pdf/2012-
			efficiencies and	locations where such PCB-contaminated building	EPA issued a Federal Register notice in	Increased number and speed of cleanups of PCB	29,2012. Comment period closed on March 30, 2012.	4860.pdf
2.2.16	EPA/OSWER		effectiveness	materials are currently in place.	February 2012.	caulk and PCB paint contamination	EPA is currently reviewing the comments.	Action Completed
				EPA intended to review its regulations to determine		The guidance on how to manage containers that		
				whether to issue guidance in the short term		contain residues from pharmaceuticals that were p-		
				concerning certain pharmaceutical containers. One of		listed hazardous waste when discarded provides	EPA decided that guidance was needed to provide clarity	
				the top priorities identified through further		regulated entities with various options on how to	and national voice on how to manage these containers	completes this portion of the
			II	conversations with retailers was clarity on how to		approach the management of these containers. We	that once held p-listed hazardous waste pharmaceuticals.	review. The guidance is
			Hazardous waste requirements for	manage containers such as pill bottles that once contained a p-listed pharamceutical hazardous waste		anticipate that some generators, who were	States had taken a wide variety of approaches and stakeholders beyond retailers were asking for assistance	available on RCRAOnline at: http://yosemite.epa.gov/osw/r
			retail products:	since the containers usually have some sort of residue.		the residue and container weight towards their	on this issue. After talking with various stakeholders	cra.nsf/0c994248c239947e85
			clarifying and	Under the RCRA regulations these containers are		generator status, will be able to maintain a lower	including Walmart and gathering limited available data	256d090071175f/57b21f2fe3
			making the	NOT considered empty unless they are triple rinsed.	On Nov. 4, 2011, EPA completed this	generator status by managing their containers	on the p-listed pharmaceutical residues inside these	3735128525795f00610f0f!Op
			program more	EPA committed to investigate whether guidance in	action - ORCR Office Director signed and	according to the memo, resulting in costs savings	containers, EPA issued a guidance memorandum on	enDocument.
2.2.17(a.)	EPA/OSWER		effective	this area was feasible and appropriate.	sent out guidance.	associated with paperwork and training.	November 4, 2011.	Action Completed
			Hazardous waste			Savings estimates are not available at this time. It		
			requirements for retail products:			is too early in the process of the proposed rulemaking on pharmaceutical waste management		
			clarifying and	EPA intends to review the data and information in our		to determine savings in costs and information		
			making the	possession about pharmaceutical products that may		collection burdens. A benefit of the rule will be to		
		RIN 2050-	program more	become wastes to address these issues as part of a	EPA expects to publish a proposed	ensure these pharmaceutical hazardous wastes are		
2.2.17(b.)	EPA/OSWER	AG39	effective	rulemaking on pharmaceutical waste management.	rulemaking in March 2013.	managed and disposed of safely.	The proposed rule is under development.	
			Hazardous waste			-	-	
			requirements for					
			retail products:	EPA intends to analyze relevant information to			EPA has conducted 4 listening sessions with commenters	
			clarifying and	identify what the issues of concern are for retailers,		It is not necessary to coloulete ensire and by the	and stakeholders on the retrospective review: Walmart,	
			making the	what materials may be affected, what the scope of the problem is, and what options may exist for addressing		It is not possible to calculate savings and benefits until the agency has identified specific actions to	Home Depot, the Retail Industry Leaders Association, and the Council on Safe Transportation of Hazardous	
2.2.17(c.)	EPA/OSWER		program more effective	the issues.	No target date has been set.	be taken.	Articles (COSTHA).	
2.2.1/(0.)	LI 11/00 WEI	l	CIICCLIVC	tile issues.	140 target date has been set.	oc taken.	riticies (COSTITA).	

EPA Plan #	Agency / Sub-		Title of Initiative / Rule / ICR	Brief Description	Actual or Target Completion Date	Anticipated savings in costs and/or information collection burdens, together with any anticipated changes in benefits	Progress updates and anticipated accomplishments	Notes
			Group Regulation of Carcinogenic Volatile Organic Compounds	7	EPA expects to issue a proposed		EPA plans to conduct a public stakeholder meeting	This action may revise drinking water standards for up to 8 VOCs. The standards for the 8 regulated VOCs were promulgated in phases. Phase I: July 8, 1987(Vol 52, No. 130) includes: TCE, 1,2-dichloroethane, vinyl chloride, benzene, carbon tetrachloride. Phase II&IIB: January 20, 1991(Vol 56, No 20) & July 1, 1991(Vol 57, No 126) includes: PCE and 1,2-dichloropropane. Phase V: July 17, 1992(Vol 57, No 138) includes: dichloromethane. There were no RINs publshed for these
2.2.18	EPA/OW	AF29	(VOCs) Section 610		rulemaking in October of 2013.	Each specific Section 610 review that can be	summer 2012.	original rules.
			reviews:	To the extent practicable, EPA will coordinate Section				
						save Agency resources and reduce burden on the		
2.2.19	EPA/OP				for review.	public responding to and commenting on reviews.		