Date: 7 September 1982

Memorandum

From: Ms. Betz, Quality Control Lab., Environmental Section, NREAB, BMaintDiv

To: Mr. Sharpe, Supervisory Ecologist, Environmental Section, NREAB, BMaintDiv

Subj: Trihalomethane Sampling for August 1982; Results of

Encl: (1) Summary of Trihalomethane Analyysis 66r July and August 1982

- 1. Enclosure (1) is a summary for July and August THM sampling from the distribution system samples. In August, additional THM samples were taken from the rew water wells feeding the New River Air Station Water Treatment Plant and five THM samples were taken at different points in the treatment process. Summary of the additional samples is below.
- 2. Samples #220-244 were raw water wells. The results show lppb of total THM in all
 the wells. All this says is none of the wells contain enough bromine (or Chlorine) to
 produce any if the four THMs. The reason for the analysis was that had the bromine
 level been high and the organic precursors there bromoform would have showed up, and
 those wells could be eliminated from any further testing of analysis. If thereis
 still interest in determining which wells have high levels of precursors, the next
 step would be running the potential THM test, at a cost of \$240/sample. Since the
 test is expensive, perhaps compositing the wells in some form could help reduce the
 number of samples.
- 3. Samples #245-248 were taken at different points in the treatment process. Sample #210 tassalso taken at the plant, isample #245 was taken at the start of the process, it is suppose to be raw water, it was taken before the spiractor(softener). Sample #246 was taken after the spiractor, before tasarbonation. After recarbonation there are filters and the clear well. Sample #247 was taken at the clear well pump. There next step is chloridation which sample #248 was taken after. Sample #210 was taken at the distribution pumps. The difference between sample #248 and 210 is the finish water reservoir. With chlorination becomes the finish water reservoir, the reservoir becomes a chlorine contact chamber(as in the sewage plants) giving the chlorine time to not only knock outtbacteria but also to react with organics to form THMs. The sample locations were picked by Mr. Price, general foreman of the Water and Sewage Section.
- 4. The results of Samples #210, 245-248 show that the THM formation is starting in the reservior and contining in the distribution system. Moving chlorination to after the reservior would reduce the contact time of the chlorine and would reduce the THMs also.

CLW

SENT TO NREAD: 7 SEP 82

SUMMARY OF TRIHALOMETHANE ANALYSIS FOR JULY & AUGUST 1982

| Samplsa#'s | System | July | August | Jun-Aug | Mar-May | Run. Ave(2 Qtr) | Comply* |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|----------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| 165 - 16 8 | Tarawa Terrace | 0.02 | en en en | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | yes |
| 171-175 | Montford Point | 0.01 | | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | yes |
| 176-180 210-214 | New River | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.105 | ** |
| 181-185 | Holcomb Blvd | 0.03 | | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | yes |
| 186-190 215-219 | Rifle Range | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | yes |
| 191-195 | Courthouse Bay | 0.05 | | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | yes |
| 196-200 | Onslow Beach | 0.05 | *** | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | yes |
| 201-205 | Hadnot Point | 0.05 | 10 45 SV | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | yes |

^{*-}Compliance is actually determined from the running annual (4 Qtr) average.

CLW 00000006116

^{**-} Results are suppose to be reported in only two significant figures. No specific instructions are given for round off with 5; if it is rounded up New River is not in compliance.