	[Roll No. 51]	
	AYES—105	
Abercrombie	Hinchey	Peterson (MN)
Ackerman	Hoke	Petri
Andrews (ME)	Inslee	Poshard
Barca	Jacobs	Rahall
Barrett (WI)	Johnson (SD)	Rangel
Becerra	Johnston	Roukema
Beilenson	Kennedy	Roybal-Allard
Berman	Kildee	Rush
Blackwell	Kleczka	Sanders
Brown (OH)	Klein	Sangmeister
Bryant	Klug	Schroeder
Cardin	LaFalce	Schumer
Clayton	Lambert	Sensenbrenner
Collins (MI)	Leach	Serrano
Conyers	Lewis (GA)	Shays
Coyne	Maloney	Shepherd
Danner	Margolies-	Slaughter
de Lugo (VI)	Mezvinsky	Stark
DeFazio	Markey	Stokes
Dellums	McDermott	Studds
Duncan	McKinney	Synar
Durbin	Meehan	Towns
Engel	Mfume	Unsoeld
English	Minge	Upton
Eshoo	Mink	Velazquez
Evans	Moakley	Vento
Farr	Morella	Waters
Fields (LA)	Nadler	Watt
Filner	Neal (MA)	Waxman
Flake	Norton (DC)	Woolsey

Nussle

Olver

Owens

Penny

Oberstar

Payne (NJ)

It was decided in the

negative

Foglietta

Ford (MI)

Furse

Gordon

Cooper

Frank (MA)

Yeas

Wyden

Wynn

Yates

Zimmer

Nays 313

Hamburg NOES-313 Coppersmith Allard Greenwood Gunderson Hall (OH) Applegate Costello Archer Cox Armey Cramer Hall (TX) Bacchus (FL) Bachus (AL) Crapo Cunningham Hamilton Hancock Darden Hansen Baker (CA) Baker (LA) de la Garza Harman Deal Hastert Ballenger DeLauro Barcia DeLay Derrick Hefley Barlow Hefner Barrett (NE) Deutsch Herger Diaz-Balart Bartlett Hilliard Barton Dickey Hoagland Bateman Dicks Dingell Hochbrueckner Bentley Bereuter Dixon Hoekstra Bevill Doolittle Holden Bilbray Dornan Horn Bilirakis Houghton Dreier Bishop Bliley Hoyer Huffington Dunn Edwards (TX) Blute Ehlers Hughes Boehlert Emerson Hunter Boehner Hutchinson Everett Bonilla Ewing Hutto Bonior Faleomavaega Hyde Borski (AS) Inglis Fawell Inhofe Boucher Brewster Fazio Istook Browder Fields (TX) Jefferson Brown (CA) Fingerhut Johnson (CT) Brown (FL) Fish Johnson (GA) Bunning Fowler Johnson, E. B. Burton Franks (CT) Johnson, Sam Franks (NJ) Buyer Kanjorski Kaptur Byrne Frost Callahan Gallegly Kasich Kennelly Calvert Geidenson Camp Gekas Kim King Canady Gephardt Cantwell Kingston Geren Gibbons Carr Klink Gilchrest Gillmor Castle Knollenberg Chapman Kolbe Clay Gilman Kreidler Clement Gingrich Glickman Kyl Lancaster Clinger Clyburn Gonzalez Lantos Coble Coleman Goodlatte Goodling LaRocco Laughlin Collins (GA) Goss Lazio Combest Condit Grams Lehman Grandy Levin

Levy

Lewis (FL) Pastor Smith (IA) Lightfoot Smith (MI) Paxon Payne (VA) Linder Smith (NJ) Lipinski Smith (OR) Peterson (FL) Smith (TX) Livingston Pickett Lloyd Pickle Snowe Solomon Long Lowey Pombo Pomeroy Spence Machtley Porter Spratt Mann Portman Stearns Manton Price (NC) Stenholm Pryce (OH) Quillen Manzullo Strickland Martinez Stump Stupak Matsui Quinn Mazzoli McCandless Ramstad Sundquist Ravenel Swett McCloskey Reed Swift Regula Richardson McCollum Talent McCrery McCurdy Tanner Tauzin Ridge Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) McDade Roberts McHale Roemer McHugh Rogers Rohrabacher Tejeda Thomas (CA) McInnis Thomas (WY) McKeon Romero-Barcelo McNulty (PR) Thompson Ros-Lehtinen Meek Thornton Menendez Rose Thurman Meyers Mica Rostenkowski Torkildsen Roth Torres Michel Rowland Traficant Miller (FL) Royce Sabo Tucker Underwood (GU) Mineta Molinari Valentine Santorum Mollohan Sarpalius Visclosky Montgomery Volkmer Sawver Moorhead Saxton Vucanovich Moran Schaefer Walker Walsh Murphy Schenk Murtha Schiff Weldon Myers Neal (NC) Scott Wheat Whitten Sharp Obey Williams Shaw Wilson Ortiz Shuster Wise Orton Sisisky Oxley Skaggs Wolf Packard Young (AK) Skeen Pallone Skelton Young (FL) Parker Zeliff Slattery

NOT VOTING-20

	NOT VOTING	20
Andrews (NJ)	Ford (TN)	Miller (CA)
Andrews (TX)	Gallo	Natcher
Brooks	Gutierrez	Pelosi
Collins (IL)	Hastings	Reynolds
Crane	Kopetski	Torricelli
Dooley	Lewis (CA)	Washington
Edwards (CA)	McMillan	

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

¶21.10 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, by Mr. SOLOMON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE **BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.**

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$975,683,000,000 Fiscal year 1996: \$1,028,844,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,570,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,278,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,049,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: (A) New di direct loan obligations, \$26,000,000,000. (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$196,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 1995: \$0.

Fiscal year 1996: \$0.

Fiscal year 1997: \$0.

Fiscal year 1998: \$0.

Fiscal year 1999: \$0.

eral revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000

Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,154,722,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,176,157,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,222,353,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,279,873,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,324,885,000,000.

outlays are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$1,176,773,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,173,966,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,211,781,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,239,458,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,281,851,000,000.

Fiscal year 1995: \$201,090,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$145,122,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$132,211,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$103,180,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$91,802,000.000.

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,924,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$5,150,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,363,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,547,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$5,713,800,000,000.

debt are as follows:

as follows:

lows:

budget authority are as follows:

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Con-

tributions Act revenues for hospital insur-

ance within the recommended levels of Fed-

(2) The appropriate levels of total new

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as fol-

(5) The appropriate levels of the public

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal

credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1,

1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are

Fiscal year 1996: (A) New di direct loan obligations. \$30,400,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: (A) New di direct loan obligations. \$31,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

Ňew direct loan obligations. \$33,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

direct New loan obligations, \$35,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,800,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$267,433,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$274,301,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$260,977,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$267,033,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$259,878,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$263,928,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$267,416,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$265,068,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$275,866,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$266,899,000,000.

 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (2) International Affairs (150):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$13,260,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,299,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$10,177,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$13,613,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

 - (A) New budget authority, \$9,604,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,404,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,890,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,346,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$8,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$10,630,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$2,400,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$14,666,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$15,601,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,655,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,734,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$14,946,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,770,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$14,935,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$15,223,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,131,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (4) Energy (270):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$3,294,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,551,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,529,000,000.
- obligations, direct New loan \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,848,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$1,885,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$629,000,000.
- loan obligations, (C) New direct \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,453,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$381,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 Natural Resources and Environment (5) (300):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,729,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.

247

- (B) Outlays, \$16,691,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$11,899,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$12,998,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,244,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

 - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$11,853,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$11,784,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (6) Agriculture (350):

 - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,734,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,722,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$9,900,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$6,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$254,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$1,408,000,000. (B) Outlays, direct obligations. New loan
- \$8,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,829,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,590,000,000. direct obligations, New loan
- \$8,500,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,275,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,488,000,000. direct obligations. New loan
- \$8,500,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$3,484,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,397,000,000. direct loan obligations. (C) New
- \$8,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,537,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$-11,074,000,000. direct New loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$130,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$935,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-14,664,000,000.

JOURNAL OF THE

- New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-238,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-8,215,000,000.
- direct New obligations. loan \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$95,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-779,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-8,506,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,139,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-7,888,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
 - (8) Transportation (400):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$28,110,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$30,831,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$28,747,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$30,537,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$29,932,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$30,037,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$31,379,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$30,069,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$31,810,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$30,007,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450)

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,321,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$13,941,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,474,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,049,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$2,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,577,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,863,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$2,800,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,260,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,203,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,032,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,156,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

- Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, \$50,304,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$50,670,000,000.
- direct obligations, (C) New loan \$5,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$49,551,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$47,677,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$50,441,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$48,689,000,000.
- (C) New direct obligations, loan \$13,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$51,921,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$50,576,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$15,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$53,883,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$52,537,000,000.
- (C) New direct obligations. loan \$16,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1995:

ments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$118,701,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$118,116,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$122,861,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$121,787,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$130,082,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$128,786,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$138,587,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$137,091,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$149,089,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$147,493,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

- Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, \$161,599,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$153,661,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$178,555,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$167,028,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$196,607,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$180,463,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$215,309,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$193,254,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$238,147,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$202,479,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (13) Income Security (600): Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$197,875,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$207,863,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$201,872,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$207,237,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$211,513,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$215,134,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$227,128,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$218,039,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$224,967,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$227,998,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,760,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,360,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,255,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,355,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$8,250,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,450,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,940,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,240,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$9,730,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,030,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700): Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$36,388,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$36,413,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$36,058,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$34,772,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$36,030,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$36,174,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$35,939,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,921,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$35,724,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,906,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
 - (16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,491,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,830,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,461,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,692,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,428,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,241,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,693,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,478,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,155,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,274,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,260,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,742,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,870,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,710,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,339,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,947,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,775,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,077,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,421,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,216,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$245,763,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$245,763,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$261,542,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$261,542,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$270,219,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$270,219,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$277,157,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$277,157,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$283.663,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$283,063,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$8. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

- Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, -\$13,097,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$5,161,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, -\$15,625,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$11,248,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$15,789,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, -\$13,795,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
 - Fiscal year 1998:
 - (A) New budget authority, -\$16,395,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$15,154,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

Schumer

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- budget authority,
- -\$16,976,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$15,932,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$36,385,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$36,385,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$30,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$30,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$32,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$32,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that-
- (1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;
- (2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from inflated spending levels; and
- (3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that-
- (1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and
- (2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year. SEC. 6. ADJUSTMENT OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORE-CARD.

It is the sense of the Congress that upon enactment of a reconciliation bill pursuant to section 4, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall reduce the balances of direct spending and receipts legislation applicable to each fiscal year under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 by an amount equal to the net change in the deficit achieved through the enactment in that Act of direct spending and receipts legislation for that year.

SEC. 7. SPENDING REDUCTIONS.

Nothing in this concurrent resolution on the budget commits the Congress to making the specific spending reductions used as assumptions in deriving the appropriate budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution, with the full understanding that the Congress may make comparable spending reductions in other areas to arrive at the same appropriate budgetary levels.

"(5) the Federal government should suspend regulations mandating compliance with federal statutes that result in direct costs to state and local governments until reimbursement for these costs are provided by the Federal government.

It was decided in the Yeas negative Nays 342

Goodling

Greenwood

Hancock

Hoekstra

Goss

921.11[Roll No. 52] AYES-73

Bachus (AL.) Baker (LA) Ballenger Barcia Bartlett Barton Burton Callahan Coble Collins (GA)

Condit Cunningham Deal DeLay Dornan Dreier Duncan Fawell Fingerhut Fish Franks (NJ) Gilchrest

Goodlatte

Hoke Hunter Inslee Istook Johnson (GA) Knollenberg Kreidler Margolies-Mezvinsky McCandless Mica Miller (FL) Minge Moorhead Murphy Orton Packard Paxon Payne (VA) Penny

Solomon Stenholm Sundquist Swett Tauzin Taylor (MS) Upton Weldon Zeliff Zimmei

Fields (TX)

Filner

Flake

Foglietta

Petri

Porter

Portman

Quillen

Ramstad

Ravenel

Roth

Royce

Schaefer

Shays Smith (MI)

Pryce (OH)

Rohrabacher

Sensenbrenner

NOES-342

Abercrombie	Castle
Ackerman	Chapman
Allard	Clay
Andrews (ME)	Clayton
Andrews (NJ)	Clement
Applegate	Clinger
Archer	Clyburn
Bacchus (FL)	Coleman
Baesler	Collins (MI)
Baker (CA)	Combest
Barca	Conyers
Barlow	Cooper
Barrett (NE)	Coppersmith
Barrett (WI)	Costello
Bateman	Coyne
Becerra	Cramer
Beilenson	Crapo
Bentley	Danner
Bereuter	Darden
Berman	de la Garza
Bevill	de Lugo (VI)
Bilbray	DeFazio
Bilirakis	DeLauro
Bishop	Dellums
Blackwell	Derrick
Bliley	Deutsch
Blute	Diaz-Balart
Boehlert	Dickey
Boehner	Dickey
Bonilla	
Bonior	Dingell
	Dixon
Borski	Doolittle
Boucher	Dunn
Brewster	Durbin
Browder	Edwards (TX)
Brown (CA)	Ehlers
Brown (FL)	Emerson
Brown (OH)	Engel
Bryant	English
Bunning	Eshoo
Buyer	Evans
Byrne	Everett
Calvert	Ewing
Camp	Faleomavaega
C J	

Ford (MI) Fowler Franks (CT) Frost Furse Gallegly Gejdenson Gekas Gephardt Geren Gibbons Gillmor Gilman Gingrich Glickman Gonzalez Gordon Grams Grandy Green Gunderson Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Hamburg Hamilton Hansen Harman Hastert Hayes Hefley Hefner Herger Hilliard Hinchey Hoagland Hobson Hochbrueckner Horn Houghton Hoyer Huffington

Hughes

Hutchinson

Hutto Hyde Inglis Inhofe Jacobs Jefferson Johnson (CT) Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Johnston Kanjorski Kaptur Kasich Kennedy Kennelly Kildee Kim King Kingston Kleczka Klein Klink Klug Kolbe Kyl LaFalce Lambert Lancaster Lantos LaRocco Laughlin Lazio Leach Lehman Levin Levv Lewis (FL) Lewis (GA) Lightfoot Linder Lipinski Livingston Lloyd Long Lowey Machtley Maloney Mann Manton Manzullo Markey Martinez Matsui Mazzoli McCloskey McCollum McCrery McCurdy McDade McDermott McHale McHugh McInnis McKeon McKinney McNulty

Meek Menendez Meyers Mfume Michel Mineta Mink Moakley Molinari Mollohan Montgomery Moran Morella Murtha Myers Nadler Neal (MA) Neal (NC) Norton (DC) Nussle Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Owens Oxlev Pallone Parker Pastor Payne (NJ) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickett Pickle Pombo Pomeroy Poshard Price (NC) Quinn Řahall Rangel Reed Regula Richardson Ridge Roberts Roemer Rogers Romero-Barcelo (PR) Ros-Lehtinen Rose Rostenkowski Roukema Rowland Roybal-Allard Sabo

Meehan

Scott Wise Wolf Sanders Sangmeister Santorum Sarpalius Yates Sawyer Young (AK) Saxton

Serrano Sharp Shepherd Shuster Sisisky Skaggs Skeen Skelton Slattery Slaughter Smith (IA) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Spence Spratt Stark Stearns Stokes Strickland Studds Stump Stupak Swift Synar Talent Tanner Taylor (NC) Tejeda Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Thompson Thornton Thurman Torkildsen Torres Towns Traficant Tucker Underwood (GU) Unsoeld Valentine Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Vucanovich Walker Walsh Waters Watt Waxman Wheat Whitten Williams Woolsey Wyden Wynn

NOT VOTING-23

Andrews (TX) Brooks Collins (IL) Crane Dooley Edwards (CA) Ford (TN)

Gallo Gutierrez Hastings Kopetski Lewis (CA) McMillan Miller (CA)

Schenk

Schiff

Pelosi Reynolds Shaw Smith (TX) Torricelli Washington Wilson

Young (FL)

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, was not agreed

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. SMITH of Iowa, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. SERRANO, Chairman, reported that the Committee, having had under consideration said bill, had come to no resolution thereon.

¶21.12 SUBPOENA

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LAUGHLIN, laid before the House a communication, which was read as follows:

(AS)

Fields (LA)

Farr

Fazio

Cantwell

Cardin