

H. Con. Res. 148: Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota.

H. Con. Res. 166: Mr. CLYBURN and Mr. LINDER.

H. Res. 236: Mr. PACKARD, Mr. NEAL of North Carolina, Mr. ROGERS, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. PAXON, and Mr. GILMAN.

H. Res. 365: Mr. SAXTON.

¶20.32 PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII,

77. The SPEAKER presented a petition of a Free Democratic Party [FDP], Republic of Liberia, relative to United States humanitarian assistance to Liberia; which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

THURSDAY, MARCH 10, 1994 (21)

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER.

¶21.1 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, March 9, 1994.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

¶21.2 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

2744. A letter from the Auditor, District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of a report entitled "Review of the Department of Public and Assisted Housing's Response to Selected Audit Recommendations," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 47-117(d); to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

2745. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of State for Legislative Affairs, transmitting a copy of Presidential Determination No. 94-15: Eligibility of Eritrea to be Furnished Defense Articles and Services Under the Foreign Assistance Act and the Arms Export Control Act, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2311(a) and 22 U.S.C. 2753(a)(1); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

2746. A letter from the Acting Chairman, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1993, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Operations.

2747. A letter from the Executive Director, Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1993, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Operations.

2748. A letter from the Chairman, Cost Accounting Standards Board, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, transmitting the fourth annual report of the Cost Accounting Standards Board, pursuant to Public Law 100-679, section 5(a) (102 Stat. 4062); to the Committee on Government Operations.

2749. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1993, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Operations.

2750. A letter from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to authorize appropriations for refugee and entrant assistance for fiscal years 1995 and 1996, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1110; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

2751. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting the 15th annual report on the activities of the Board during fiscal year 1993, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 1206; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

2752. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting a report on State participation in the National Motor Vehicle Title Information System, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 2043; jointly, to the Committees on the Judiciary and Energy and Commerce.

¶21.3 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Hallen, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed a bill of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1913. An Act to extend certain compliance dates for pesticide safety training and labeling requirements.

The message also announced that the Senate disagreed to the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 1284) "An Act to amend the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act to expand or modify certain provisions relating to programs for individuals with developmental disabilities, Federal assistance for priority area activities for individuals with developmental disabilities, protection and advocacy of individual rights, university affiliated programs, and projects of national significance, and for other purposes," requested a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appointed Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. METZENBAUM, Mrs. KASSEBAUM, and Mr. DURENBERGER, to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

¶21.4 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H. CON. RES. 218

Mr. DERRICK, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 384):

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999. The first reading of the concurrent resolution shall be dispensed with. All points of order against the concurrent resolution and against its consideration are waived. Initial general debate shall be confined to the congressional budget and shall not exceed two hours (including one hour on the subject of economic goals and policies) equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget. After initial general debate, an additional period of general debate shall be confined to the subject of the amendment numbered 4 in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by Representative Kasich of Ohio and an opponent. After general debate on the subject of the amendment numbered 4, an additional period of general debate shall be confined to the subject of the amendment numbered 3 of the report of the Committee on Rules and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by Representative Mfume of Maryland and an oppo-

nent. After general debate on the subject of the amendment numbered 3, the concurrent resolution shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule and shall be considered as read. No amendment shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules. Each amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, and shall not be subject to amendment. All points of order against the amendments printed in the report are waived. If more than one amendment in the nature of a substitute is adopted, only the last to be adopted shall be considered as finally adopted and reported to the House. After the conclusion of consideration of the concurrent resolution for amendment, and a final period of general debate, which shall not exceed ten minutes equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget, the Committee shall rise and report the concurrent resolution to the House with such amendment as may have been finally adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution and amendments thereto to final adoption without intervening motion except amendments offered by the chairman of the Committee on the Budget pursuant to section 305(a)(5) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to achieve mathematical consistency. The concurrent resolution shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question of its adoption.

When said resolution was considered.

After debate,

On motion of Mr. DERRICK, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. CLYBURN, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. GOSS objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared { Yeas 245
Nays 171

¶21.5 [Roll No. 50] YEAS—245

Abercrombie	Byrne	Dingell
Ackerman	Cantwell	Dixon
Andrews (ME)	Cardin	Dooley
Applegate	Carr	Durbin
Bacchus (FL)	Chapman	Edwards (CA)
Baesler	Clay	Edwards (TX)
Barca	Clayton	Engel
Barcia	Clement	English
Barlow	Clyburn	Eshoo
Barrett (WI)	Coleman	Evans
Becerra	Collins (IL)	Farr
Beilenson	Collins (MI)	Fazio
Berman	Condit	Fields (LA)
Bevill	Cooper	Filner
Bilbray	Costello	Fingerhut
Bishop	Coyne	Flake
Blackwell	Cramer	Foglietta
Bonior	Danner	Ford (MI)
Borski	Darden	Ford (TN)
Boucher	de la Garza	Frank (MA)
Brewster	Deal	Frost
Browder	DeFazio	Furse
Brown (CA)	DeLauro	Gejdenson
Brown (FL)	Derrick	Gephardt
Brown (OH)	Deutsch	Geran
Bryant	Dicks	Gibbons

Glickman	Matsui	Sanders
Gonzalez	Mazzoli	Sangmeister
Gordon	McCloskey	Sarpalius
Green	McCurdy	Sawyer
Gutierrez	McDermott	Schenk
Hall (OH)	McHale	Schroeder
Hall (TX)	McKinney	Scott
Hamburg	McNulty	Serrano
Hamilton	Meehan	Sharp
Harman	MEEK	Shepherd
Hayes	Menendez	Sisisky
Hefner	Mfume	Skaggs
Hilliard	Miller (CA)	Skelton
Hinchey	Mineta	Slattery
Hoagland	Minge	Slaughter
Hochbrueckner	Mink	Smith (IA)
Holden	Moakley	Spratt
Hoyer	Mollohan	Stark
Hughes	Montgomery	Stenholm
Hutto	Moran	Stokes
Inslee	Murphy	Strickland
Jacobs	Murtha	Studds
Jefferson	Nadler	Stupak
Johnson (GA)	Neal (MA)	Sweet
Johnson (SD)	Neal (NC)	Swift
Johnson, E. B.	Oberstar	Synar
Johnston	Obey	Tanner
Kanjorski	Olver	Tauzin
Kaptur	Ortiz	Taylor (MS)
Kennedy	Orton	Tejeda
Kennelly	Owens	Thompson
Kildee	Pallone	Thornton
Klecza	Parker	Thurman
Klein	Pastor	Torres
Klink	Payne (NJ)	Torricelli
Kreidler	Payne (VA)	Towns
LaFalce	Pelosi	Trafficant
Lambert	Penny	Tucker
Lancaster	Peterson (FL)	Unsoeld
Lantos	Peterson (MN)	Valentine
LaRocco	Pickett	Velazquez
Laughlin	Pickle	Vento
Lehman	Pomeroy	Visclosky
Levin	Poshard	Volkmer
Lewis (GA)	Price (NC)	Waters
Lipinski	Rahall	Watt
Lloyd	Rangel	Waxman
Long	Reed	Wheat
Lowey	Richardson	Whitten
Maloney	Roemer	Williams
Mann	Rose	Wilson
Manton	Rostenkowski	Wise
Margolies-	Rowland	Woolsey
Mezvinsky	Roybal-Allard	Wyden
Markey	Rush	Wynn
Martinez	Sabo	Yates

NAYS—171

Allard	Ehlers	Kasich
Archer	Emerson	Kim
Armey	Everett	King
Bachus (AL)	Ewing	Kingston
Baker (CA)	Fawell	Klug
Baker (LA)	Fields (TX)	Knollenberg
Ballenger	Fish	Kolbe
Barrett (NE)	Fowler	Kyl
Bartlett	Franks (CT)	Lazio
Barton	Franks (NJ)	Leach
Bateman	Galleghy	Levy
Bentley	Gekas	Lewis (CA)
Bereuter	Gilchrest	Lewis (FL)
Bilirakis	Gillmor	Linder
Bliley	Gilman	Livingston
Blute	Gingrich	Machtley
Boehlert	Goodlatte	Manzullo
Boehner	Goodling	McCandless
Bonilla	Goss	McCollum
Bunning	Grams	McCrery
Burton	Grandy	McDade
Buyer	Greenwood	McHugh
Callahan	Gunderson	McInnis
Calvert	Hancock	McKeon
Camp	Hansen	McMillan
Canady	Hastert	Meyers
Castle	Hefley	Mica
Clinger	Herber	Michel
Coble	Hobson	Miller (FL)
Collins (GA)	Hoekstra	Molinari
Combest	Hoke	Moorhead
Coppersmith	Horn	Morella
Cox	Houghton	Myers
Cunningham	Huffington	Nussle
DeLay	Hunter	Oxley
Diaz-Balart	Hutchinson	Packard
Dickey	Hyde	Paxon
Doolittle	Inglis	Petri
Dornan	Inhofe	Pombo
Dreier	Istook	Porter
Duncan	Johnson (CT)	Pryce (OH)
Dunn	Johnson, Sam	Quillen

Quinn	Schiff	Sundquist
Ramstad	Sensenbrenner	Talent
Ravenel	Shaw	Taylor (NC)
Regula	Shays	Thomas (CA)
Ridge	Shuster	Thomas (WY)
Roberts	Skeen	Torkildsen
Rogers	Smith (MI)	Upton
Rohrabacher	Smith (NJ)	Vucanovich
Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (OR)	Walker
Roth	Smith (TX)	Weldon
Roukema	Snowe	Wolf
Royce	Solomon	Young (AK)
Santorum	Spence	Young (FL)
Saxton	Stearns	Zeliff
Schaefer	Stump	Zimmer

NOT VOTING—17

Andrews (NJ)	Dellums	Portman
Andrews (TX)	Gallo	Reynolds
Brooks	Hastings	Schumer
Conyers	Kopetski	Walsh
Crane	Lightfoot	Washington
Crapo	Natcher	

So the resolution was agreed to.
A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶21.6 HOUR OF MEETING

On motion of Mr. DERRICK, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, March 11, 1994.

¶21.7 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET, FY 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, pursuant to House Resolution 384 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the following concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218):

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring).

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$977,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$1,031,200,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,700,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,200,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
Fiscal year 1999: \$0.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:
Fiscal year 1995: \$1,246,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,308,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,374,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,447,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,531,400,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,225,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$1,284,700,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,356,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,419,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,495,000,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$247,700,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$253,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$276,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$282,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$304,800,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,968,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$5,293,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$5,640,100,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$5,996,200,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$6,367,300,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$26,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$199,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$32,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$174,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$33,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$35,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$37,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$163,500,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$263,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$255,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$261,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$252,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,600,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, —\$36,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, —\$36,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, —\$30,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, —\$30,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, —\$30,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, —\$30,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, —\$31,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, —\$31,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, —\$31,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, —\$31,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 4. HEALTH CARE REFORM.

(a) If health care reform legislation is reported (including by a committee of conference), budget authority, outlays, and new entitlement authority shall be allocated to committees, and the total levels of budget authority, outlays, and revenues shall be adjusted, to reflect such legislation if the legislation in the form in which it will be considered would not increase the total deficit for the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.

(b) Upon reporting of legislation described in subsection (a) and again upon submission of a conference report on such legislation, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall publish in the Congressional Record revised allocations under section 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised levels of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues to carry out this section. Such allocations and totals shall be considered as the allocations and aggregates under this resolution.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that the following legislation should be enacted:

- (1) Legislation providing enforceable limits to control the growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.
- (2) Amendments to the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 to establish a regular procedure to provide assistance for disasters and other emergencies without adding to the deficit.
- (3) Legislation granting the President expedited rescission authority over appropriations measures, as provided by H.R. 1578, as passed the House.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET ON SCORING HEALTH REFORM.

It is the sense of the Committee on the Budget that all financial transactions associated with the President's health reform legislation or similar health reform legislation relying on mandated payments to a Government entity be treated as part of the Federal budget, including premium payments by individuals and employees to health alliances

(which should be treated as receipts) and payments by health alliances to providers (which should be treated as outlays), for all purposes under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET.

(a) The Committee on the Budget is troubled by the Federal Government's failure to enforce immigration laws and secure United States borders from illegal immigration. The Government has also failed to investigate and prosecute Federal wage and hour violations, thus creating incentives to hire persons illegally in the United States and exacerbating the problem of illegal immigration.

(b) The Committee on the Budget recognizes that the Federal Government has an obligation to help fund increasing State and local government costs directly resulting from ineffective Federal enforcement efforts in this area. Therefore, the Committee assumes that adequate funding in this resolution will be used to reimburse States and local governments for both authorized program costs and legally binding obligations associated with providing:

- (1) Elementary and secondary education for undocumented children in the public schools.
- (2) Emergency medical assistance to undocumented persons.
- (3) Law enforcement resources and personnel to incarcerate and supervise parole of criminal aliens. This funding can either be used by the Federal Government to take into custody and incarcerate criminal aliens or to reimburse States and local governments for their associated costs.
- (4) Services incidental to admission of refugees under the Refugee Admission and Resettlement program.

SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING RESERVE FUNDS FOR EMERGENCIES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

- (1) the emergency designation under section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has repeatedly been invoked to circumvent the discretionary spending limits for other than emergency purposes;
- (2) amounts for emergencies should be set aside within a reserve fund and subject to the discretionary spending limit;
- (3) the reserve fund shall total 1 percent of annual budget outlays; and
- (4) emergency funding requirements in excess of amounts held in the reserve fund should be offset by a reduction in appropriations.

SEC. 9. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING UNFUNDED MANDATES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

- (1) the Federal Government should not diminish the fiscal autonomy of State and local governments over their own sources of revenue;
- (2) the Federal Government should not shift the costs of administering Federal entitlements to State and local governments;
- (3) the Federal Government's share of entitlement programs should not be capped without providing States authority to amend their financial or programmatic responsibilities to continue meeting the mandated service; and
- (4) Congress should develop a mechanism to ensure that the costs of mandates are considered during deliberations on authorizing legislation.

SEC. 10. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
 - (1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;
 - (2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from an inflated spending level; and

(3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

- (1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and
- (2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, by unanimous consent, designated Mr. SERRANO as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole; and after some time spent therein,

121.8 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. FRANK:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$977,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,031,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,200,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$0.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$1,246,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,308,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,374,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,447,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,531,400,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$1,225,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,284,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,356,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,419,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,495,000,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$247,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$253,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$276,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$282,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$304,800,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,968,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,293,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,640,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$5,996,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$6,367,300,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$26,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$199,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$32,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$174,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$33,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$35,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$37,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$163,500,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$260,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$255,300,000,000

(B) Outlays, \$261,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$252,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,600,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$258,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$258,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$256,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$3,200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,000,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,600,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$16,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$6,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$5,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$5,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$4,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$6,100,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$4,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$5,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$4,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,400,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,100,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,600,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,900,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$282,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$282,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$298,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$298,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$315,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$315,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$1,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$36,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$36,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$30,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$30,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$30,300,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$30,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 4. HEALTH CARE REFORM.

(a) If health care reform legislation is reported (including by a committee of conference), budget authority, outlays, and new entitlement authority shall be allocated to committees, and the total levels of budget authority, outlays, and revenues shall be adjusted, to reflect such legislation if the legislation in the form in which it will be considered would not increase the total deficit for the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.

(b) Upon reporting of legislation described in subsection (a) and again upon submission of a conference report on such legislation, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget shall publish in the Congressional Record revised allocations under section 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised levels of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues to carry out this section. Such allocations and totals shall be considered as the allocations and aggregates under this resolution.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that the following legislation should be enacted:

(1) Legislation providing enforceable limits to control the growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.

(2) Amendments to the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 to establish a regular procedure to provide assistance for disasters and other emergencies without adding to the deficit.

(3) Legislation granting the President expedited rescission authority over appropriations measures, as provided by H.R. 1578, as passed the House.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET ON SCORING HEALTH REFORM.

It is the sense of the Committee on the Budget that all financial transactions associated with the President's health reform legislation or similar health reform legislation relying on mandated payments to a Government entity be treated as part of the Federal budget, including premium payments by individuals and employees to health alliances (which should be treated as receipts) and payments by health alliances to providers (which should be treated as outlays), for all purposes under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET.

(a) The Committee on the Budget is troubled by the Federal Government's failure to enforce immigration laws and secure United States borders from illegal immigration. The Government has also failed to investigate and prosecute Federal wage and hour violations, thus creating incentives to hire persons illegally in the United States and exacerbating the problem of illegal immigration.

(b) The Committee on the Budget recognizes that the Federal Government has an obligation to help fund increasing State and

local government costs directly resulting from ineffective Federal enforcement efforts in this area. Therefore, the Committee assumes that adequate funding in this resolution will be used to reimburse States and local governments for both authorized program costs and legally binding obligations associated with providing:

(1) Elementary and secondary education for undocumented children in the public schools.

(2) Emergency medical assistance to undocumented persons.

(3) Law enforcement resources and personnel to incarcerate and supervise parole of criminal aliens. This funding can either be used by the Federal Government to take into custody and incarcerate criminal aliens or to reimburse States and local governments for their associated costs.

(4) Services incidental to admission of refugees under the Refugee Admission and Resettlement program.

SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING RESERVE FUNDS FOR EMERGENCIES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the emergency designation under section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has repeatedly been invoked to circumvent the discretionary spending limits for other than emergency purposes;

(2) amounts for emergencies should be set aside within a reserve fund and subject to the discretionary spending limit;

(3) the reserve fund shall total 1 percent of annual budget outlays; and

(4) emergency funding requirements in excess of amounts held in the reserve fund should be offset by a reduction in appropriations.

SEC. 9. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING UNFUNDED MANDATES.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Federal Government should not diminish the fiscal autonomy of State and local governments over their own sources of revenue;

(2) the Federal Government should not shift the costs of administering Federal entitlements to State and local governments;

(3) the Federal Government's share of entitlement programs should not be capped without providing States authority to amend their financial or programmatic responsibilities to continue meeting the mandated service; and

(4) Congress should develop a mechanism to ensure that the costs of mandates are considered during deliberations on authorizing legislation.

SEC. 10. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;

(2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from an inflated spending level; and

(3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and

(2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year.

It was decided in the { Yeas 105
negative } Nays 313

21.9 [Roll No. 51]
AYES—105

Abercrombie Hinchey Peterson (MN)
Ackerman Hoke Petri
Andrews (ME) Inslee Poshard
Barca Jacobs Rahall
Barrett (WI) Johnson (SD) Rangel
Becerra Johnston Roukema
Beilenson Kennedy Roybal-Allard
Berman Kildee Rush
Blackwell Kleczka Sanders
Brown (OH) Klein Sangmeister
Bryant Klug Schroeder
Cardin LaFalce Schumer
Clayton Lambert Sensenbrenner
Collins (MI) Leach Serrano
Conyers Lewis (GA) Shays
Coyne Maloney Shepherd
Danner Margolies-Slaughter
de Lugo (VI) Mezvinsky Stark
DeFazio Markey Stokes
Dellums McDermott Studds
Duncan McKinney Synar
Durbin Meehan Towns
Engel Mfume Unsoeld
English Minge Upton
Eshoo Mink Velazquez
Evans Moakley Vento
Farr Morella Waters
Fields (LA) Nadler Watt
Filner Neal (MA) Waxman
Flake Norton (DC) Woolsey
Foglietta Nussle Wyden
Ford (MI) Oberstar Wynn
Frank (MA) Olver Yates
Furse Owens Zimmer
Gordon Payne (NJ)
Hamburg Penny

NOES—313

Allard Coppersmith Greenwood
Applegate Costello Gunderson
Archer Cox Hall (OH)
Army Cramer Hall (TX)
Bacchus (FL) Crapo Hamilton
Bachus (AL) Cunningham Hancock
Baesler Darden Hansen
Baker (CA) de la Garza Harman
Baker (LA) Deal Hastert
Ballenger DeLauro Hayes
Barcia DeLay Hefley
Barlow Derrick Hefner
Barrett (NE) Deutsch Herger
Bartlett Diaz-Balart Hilliard
Barton Dickey Hoagland
Bateman Dicks Hobson
Bentley Dingell Hochbrueckner
Bereuter Dixon Hoekstra
Bevill Doolittle Holden
Billbray Dornan Horn
Billirakis Dreier Houghton
Bishop Dunn Hoyer
Bliley Edwards (TX) Huffington
Blute Ehlers Hughes
Boehlert Emerson Hunter
Boehner Everett Hutchinson
Bonilla Ewing Hutto
Bonior Faleomavaega Hyde
Borski (AS) Inglis
Boucher Fawell Inhofe
Brewster Fazio Istook
Browder Fields (TX) Jefferson
Brown (CA) Fingerhut Johnson (CT)
Brown (FL) Fish Johnson (GA)
Bunning Fowler Johnson, E. B.
Burton Franks (CT) Johnson, Sam
Buyer Franks (NJ) Kanjorski
Byrne Frost Kaptur
Callahan Gallegly Kasich
Calvert Gejdenson Kennelly
Camp Gekas Kim
Canady Gephardt King
Cantwell Geren Kingston
Carr Gibbons Klink
Castle Gilchrest Knollenberg
Chapman Gillmor Kolbe
Clay Gilman Kreidler
Clement Gingrich Kyl
Clinger Glickman Lancaster
Clyburn Gonzalez Lantos
Coble Goodlatte LaRocco
Coleman Goodling Laughlin
Collins (GA) Goss Lazio
Combest Grams Lehman
Condit Grandy Levin
Cooper Green Levy

Lewis (FL) Pastor Smith (IA)
Lightfoot Paxon Smith (MI)
Linder Payne (VA) Smith (NJ)
Lipinski Peterson (FL) Smith (OR)
Livingston Pickett Smith (TX)
Lloyd Pickle Snowe
Long Pombo Solomon
Lowe Pomeroy Spence
Machtley Porter Spratt
Mann Portman Stearns
Manton Price (NC) Stenholm
Manzullo Pryce (OH) Strickland
Martinez Quillen Stump
Matsui Quinn Stupak
Mazzoli Ramstad Sundquist
McCandless Ravelen Swett
McCloskey Reed Swift
McCollum Regula Talent
McCreery Richardson Tanner
McCurdy Ridge Tauzin
McDade Roberts Taylor (MS)
McHale Roemer Taylor (NC)
McHugh Rogers Tejada
McInnis Rohrabacher Thomas (CA)
McKeon Romero-Barcelo Thomas (WY)
McNulty (PR) Thompson
Meek Ros-Lehtinen Thornton
Menendez Rose Thurman
Meyers Rostenkowski Torcildsen
Mica Roth Torres
Michel Rowland Traficant
Miller (FL) Royce Tucker
Mineta Sabo Underwood (GU)
Molinari Santorum Valentine
Mollohan Sarpalius Visclosky
Montgomery Sawyer Volkmer
Moorhead Saxton Vucanovich
Moran Schaefer Walker
Murphy Schenck Walsh
Murtha Schiff Weldon
Myers Scott Wheat
Neal (NC) Sharp Whitten
Obey Shaw Williams
Ortiz Shuster Wilson
Orton Sisisky Wise
Oxley Skaggs Wolf
Packard Skeen Young (AK)
Pallone Skelton Young (FL)
Parker Slaterry Zeliff

NOT VOTING—20

Andrews (NJ) Ford (TN) Miller (CA)
Andrews (TX) Gallo Natcher
Brooks Gutierrez Pelosi
Collins (IL) Hastings Reynolds
Crane Kopetski Torricelli
Dooley Lewis (CA) Washington
Edwards (CA) McMillan

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to. After some further time,

21.10 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, by Mr. SOLOMON:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:
Fiscal year 1995: \$975,683,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$1,028,844,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,570,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,278,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,049,000,000.
and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
Fiscal year 1999: \$0.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,154,722,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$1,176,157,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,222,353,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,279,873,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,324,885,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,176,773,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$1,173,966,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,211,781,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,239,458,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,281,851,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$201,090,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$145,122,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$132,211,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$103,180,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$91,802,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,924,400,000,000.
Fiscal year 1996: \$5,150,300,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$5,363,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$5,547,900,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$5,713,800,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$26,000,000,000.
(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$196,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$30,400,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$31,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$33,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:
(A) New direct loan obligations, \$35,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,800,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):
Fiscal year 1995:
(A) New budget authority, \$267,433,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$274,301,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$260,977,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$267,033,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$259,878,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$263,928,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$267,416,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$265,068,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$275,866,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$266,899,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,260,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,299,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,177,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,613,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,604,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,404,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,890,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,346,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,630,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,666,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,601,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,655,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,734,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,946,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,770,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,935,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,223,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,131,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,294,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,551,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,529,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,848,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,885,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$629,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,453,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$381,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,729,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$16,691,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,899,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,998,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,244,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,853,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,784,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$7,734,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,722,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$9,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$6,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$254,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$1,408,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,829,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,590,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,275,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,488,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,484,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,397,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,537,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-11,074,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$130,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$935,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-14,664,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,100,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$ - 238,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$ - 8,215,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$95,900,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$ - 779,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$ - 8,506,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$ - 1,139,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$ - 7,888,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
 (8) Transportation (400):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$28,110,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$30,831,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$28,747,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$30,537,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$29,932,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$30,037,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$31,379,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$30,069,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$31,810,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$30,007,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,321,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$13,941,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,200,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,474,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$12,049,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,100,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,577,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,863,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,000,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$8,260,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,203,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,000,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$9,032,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$9,156,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,000,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$50,304,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$50,670,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,500,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,200,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$49,551,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$47,677,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,400,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$50,441,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$48,689,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$13,200,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,600,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$51,921,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$50,576,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$15,100,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,700,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$53,883,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$52,537,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,900,000,000.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,600,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (11) Health (550):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$118,701,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$118,116,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$122,861,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$121,787,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$130,082,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$128,786,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$138,587,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$137,091,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$149,089,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$147,493,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (12) Medicare (570):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$161,599,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$153,661,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$178,555,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$167,028,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$196,607,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$180,463,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1998:
 (A) New budget authority, \$215,309,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$193,254,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1999:
 (A) New budget authority, \$238,147,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$202,479,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (13) Income Security (600):
 Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$197,875,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$207,863,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New budget authority, \$201,872,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$207,237,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$211,513,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$215,134,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$227,128,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$218,039,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$224,967,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$227,998,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$6,760,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,360,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$6,255,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,355,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,250,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,450,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,940,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,240,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,730,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,030,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$36,388,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,413,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$36,058,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$34,772,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$36,030,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,174,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$35,939,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,921,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$35,724,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,906,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,491,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,830,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,461,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,692,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,428,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,241,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,693,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,478,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,155,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,274,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,260,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,742,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,870,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,710,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,339,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,947,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,775,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$9,077,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,421,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$8,216,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$245,763,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$245,763,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$261,542,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$261,542,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$270,219,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,219,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$277,157,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$277,157,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$283,663,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$283,063,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$8.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, -\$13,097,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$5,161,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, -\$15,625,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$11,248,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, -\$15,789,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$13,795,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, -\$16,395,000,000.

(B) Outlays, -\$15,154,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, - \$16,976,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$15,932,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, - \$36,385,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$36,385,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, - \$31,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$31,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, - \$30,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$30,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, - \$31,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$31,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, - \$32,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, - \$32,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;

(2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from inflated spending levels; and

(3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and

(2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year.

SEC. 6. ADJUSTMENT OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORE-CARD.

It is the sense of the Congress that upon enactment of a reconciliation bill pursuant to section 4, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall reduce the balances of direct spending and receipts legislation applicable to each fiscal year under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 by an amount equal to the net change in the deficit achieved through the enactment in that Act of direct spending and receipts legislation for that year.

SEC. 7. SPENDING REDUCTIONS.

Nothing in this concurrent resolution on the budget commits the Congress to making the specific spending reductions used as assumptions in deriving the appropriate budgetary levels in this concurrent resolution, with the full understanding that the Congress may make comparable spending reductions in other areas to arrive at the same appropriate budgetary levels.

“(5) the Federal government should suspend regulations mandating compliance with federal statutes that result in direct costs to state and local governments until reimbursement for these costs are provided by the Federal government.”

It was decided in the { Yeas 73 negative Nays 342

21.11 [Roll No. 52]

AYES—73

- Arney Goodling Petri
Bachus (AL) Goss Porter
Baker (LA) Greenwood Portman
Ballenger Hancock Pryce (OH)
Barcia Hoekstra Quillen
Bartlett Hoke Ramstad
Barton Hunter Ravenel
Burton Inslee Rohrabacher
Callahan Istook Roth
Coble Johnson (GA) Royce
Collins (GA) Knollenberg Schaefer
Condit Kreidler Sensenbrenner
Cox Margolies-Shays
Cunningham Mezvinsky Smith (MI)
Deal McCandless Solomon
DeLay Mica Stenholm
Dornan Miller (FL) Sundquist
Dreier Minge Swett
Duncan Moorhead Tauzin
Fawell Murphy Taylor (MS)
Fingerhut Orton Upton
Fish Packard Weldon
Franks (NJ) Paxon Zeliff
Gilchrest Payne (VA) Zimmer
Goodlatte Penny

NOES—342

- Abercrombie Castle Fields (TX)
Ackerman Chapman Filner
Allard Clay Flake
Andrews (ME) Clayton Foglietta
Andrews (NJ) Clement Ford (MI)
Applegate Clinger Fowler
Archer Clyburn Franks (CT)
Bacchus (FL) Coleman Frost
Baesler Collins (MI) Furse
Baker (CA) Combest Gallegly
Barca Conyers Gejdenson
Barlow Cooper Gekas
Barrett (NE) Coppersmith Gephardt
Barrett (WI) Costello Geren
Bateman Coyne Gibbons
Becerra Cramer Gillmor
Beilenson Crapo Gilman
Bentley Danner Gingrich
Bereuter Darden Glickman
Berman de la Garza Gonzalez
Bevill de Lugo (VI) Gordon
Bilbray DeFazio Grams
Bilirakis DeLauro Grandy
Bishop Dellums Green
Blackwell Derrick Gunderson
Bliley Deutsch Hall (OH)
Blute Diaz-Balart Hall (TX)
Boehlert Dickey Hamburg
Boehner Dicks Hamilton
Bonilla Dingell Hansen
Bonior Dixon Harman
Borski Doolittle Hastert
Boucher Dunn Hayes
Brewster Durbin Hefley
Browder Edwards (TX) Hefner
Brown (CA) Ehlers Herger
Brown (FL) Emerson Hilliard
Brown (OH) Engel Hinchey
Bryant English Hoagland
Bunning Eshoo Hobson
Buyer Evans Hochbrueckner
Byrne Everett Holden
Calvert Ewing Horn
Camp Faleomavaega (AS) Houghton
Canady (AS) Hoyer
Cantwell Farr Huffington
Cardin Fazio Hughes
Carr Fields (LA) Hutchinson

- Hutto Meehan Schroeder
Hyde Meek Schumer
Inglis Menendez Scott
Inhofe Meyers Serrano
Jacobs Mfume Sharp
Jefferson Michel Shepherd
Johnson (CT) Mineta Shuster
Johnson (SD) Mink Sisisky
Johnson, E. B. Moakley Skaggs
Johnson, Sam Molinari Skeen
Johnston Mollohan Skelton
Kanjorski Montgomery Slattery
Kaptur Moran Slaughter
Kasich Morella Smith (IA)
Kennedy Murtha Smith (NJ)
Kennelly Myers Smith (OR)
Kildee Nadler Snowe
Kim Neal (MA) Spence
King Neal (NC) Spratt
Kingston Norton (DC) Stark
Klecza Nussle Stearns
Klein Oberstar Stokes
Klink Obey Strickland
Klug Olver Studds
Kolbe Ortiz Stump
Kyl Owens Stupak
LaFalce Oxley Swift
Lambert Pallone Synar
Lancaster Parker Talent
Lantos Pastor Tanner
LaRocco Payne (NJ) Taylor (NC)
Laughlin Peterson (FL) Tejada
Lazio Peterson (MN) Thomas (CA)
Leach Pickett Thomas (WY)
Lehman Pickle Thompson
Levin Pombo Thornton
Levy Pomeroy Thurman
Lewis (FL) Poshard Torkildsen
Lewis (GA) Price (NC) Torres
Lightfoot Quinn Towns
Linder Rahall Traficant
Lipinski Rangel Tucker
Livingston Reed Underwood (GU)
Lloyd Regula Unsoeld
Long Richardson Valentine
Lowey Ridge Velazquez
Machtley Roberts Vento
Maloney Roemer Visclosky
Mann Rogers Volkmer
Manton Romero-Barcelo Vucanovich
Manzullo (PR) Walker
Markey Ros-Lehtinen Walsh
Martinez Rose Waters
Matsui Rostenkowski Watt
Mazzoli Roukema Waxman
McCloskey Rowland Wheat
McCollum Roybal-Allard Whitten
McCrery Rush Williams
McCurdy Sabo Wise
McDade Sanders Wolf
McDermott Sangmeister Woolsey
McHale Santorum Wyden
McHugh Sarpalius Wynn
McInnis Sawyer Yates
McKeon Saxton Young (AK)
McKinney Schenk Young (FL)
McNulty Schiff

NOT VOTING—23

- Andrews (TX) Gallo Pelosi
Brooks Gutierrez Reynolds
Collins (IL) Hastings Shaw
Crane Kopetski Smith (TX)
Dooley Lewis (CA) Torricelli
Edwards (CA) McMillan Washington
Ford (TN) Miller (CA) Wilson
Frank (MA) Natcher

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, was not agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. SMITH of Iowa, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. SERRANO, Chairman, reported that the Committee, having had under consideration said bill, had come to no resolution thereon.

21.12 SUBPOENA

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LAUGHLIN, laid before the House a communication, which was read as follows:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, March 9, 1994.

Hon. THOMAS S. FOLEY,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House that a member of my staff has been served with a subpoena issued with regard to a Special Court-Martial appointed pursuant to appropriate military authority.

After consultation with the General Counsel to the Clerk, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

Sincerely,

STEVEN SCHIFF.

¶21.13 SUBPOENA

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. LAUGHLIN, laid before the House a communication, which was read as follows:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, March 10, 1994.

Hon. THOMAS S. FOLEY,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L of the Rules of the House that the Committee on House Administration has been served with a subpoena issued by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the General Counsel to the House, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is not inconsistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

Sincerely,

CHARLIE ROSE,
Chairman.

¶21.14 SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 1913. An Act to extend certain compliance dates for pesticide safety training and labeling requirements; to the Committee on Agriculture.

¶21.15 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted—

To Mr. KOPETSKI, for today and March 11;

To Mr. MCMILLIAN, for today after 4:30 p.m. and the balance of the week; and

To Mr. CONYERS, for today before 1 p.m.

And then,

¶21.16 ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Mr. FINGERHUT, pursuant to the special order heretofore agreed to, at 9 o'clock and 10 minutes p.m. the House adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. on Friday, March 11, 1994.

¶21.17 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. MILLER of California: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 2815. A bill to designate a portion of the Farmington River in

Connecticut as a component of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System; with an amendment (Rept. No. 103-430). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. MILLER of California: Committee on Natural Resources. S. 375. An Act to amend the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act by designating a segment of the Rio Grande in New Mexico as a component of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. No. 103-431). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. MILLER of California: Committee on Natural Resources. S. 341. An Act to provide for a land exchange between the Secretary of Agriculture and Eagle and Pitkin Counties in Colorado, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 103-432, Pt. 1). Ordered to be printed.

¶21.18 PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolution were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BILIRAKIS (for himself, Mrs. MALONEY, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts):

H.R. 3994. A bill to provide limitations on the use of certain funds for the establishment of diplomatic relations between the United States and the territory of the former Yugoslav republic of Macedonia; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. COBLE:

H.R. 3995. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on 5-Chloro-2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) phenol; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. CRANE:

H.R. 3996. A bill to suspend for the period January 1, 1994, to April 30, 1994, the duty on frozen onions; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. DOOLITTLE:

H.R. 3997. A bill to amend the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to require the preparation of economic impact analyses with respect to certain actions to protect endangered species and threatened species, and for the purposes; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. FOGLIETTA:

H.R. 3998. A bill to protect the consumers of check cashing services by encouraging States to establish uniform laws on the regulation of check cashing services and to require the Secretary of the Treasury to study the effectiveness of State efforts with respect to such regulation and make appropriate recommendations to the Congress on such efforts; to the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. LIPINSKI (for himself, Mr. SANGMEISTER, Mr. RUSH, Mr. REYNOLDS, and Mr. FAWELL):

H.R. 3999. A bill to amend the Illinois and Michigan Canal Heritage Corridor Act of 1984 to modify the boundaries of the corridor and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. MILLER of Florida (for himself, Mr. KASICH, and Mr. STEARNS):

H.R. 4000. A bill to provide a fair, non-political process that will achieve \$65 billion in budget outlay reductions each fiscal year until a balanced budget is reached; jointly, to the Committees on Government Operations and Rules.

By Mr. REED:

H.R. 4001. A bill to provide for tort liability for firearms dealers who transfer firearms in violation of Federal firearms law; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ROGERS:

H.R. 4002. A bill to amend section 410 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to prohibit the limitation of ap-

propriations on emergency reclamation projects in any one State, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. STUDDS (for himself, Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mr. LIPINSKI, and Mr. MANTON) (all by request):

H.R. 4003. A bill to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1995 for certain maritime programs of the Department of Transportation, to amend the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, to revitalize U.S.-flag merchant marine, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. TANNER:

H.R. 4004. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on combination microwave convection ovens; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. TORRICELLI:

H.R. 4005. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prevent minors from gaining unsupervised access to loaded firearms or to unloaded firearms and ammunition for such firearms; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. COX:

H.R. 4006. A bill to provide statements of the economic and employment impacts of Federal legislation and regulation on the private sector and State and local governments; jointly, to the Committees on Rules and Government Operations.

By Mr. MORAN (for himself and Ms. NORTON):

H.R. 4007. A bill to amend the Water Supply Act of 1958 to provide for the funding of capital improvements at the Washington Aqueduct, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Public Works and Transportation.

By Mr. ORTIZ (for himself, Mr. WELDON, Mr. STUDDS, Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. MANTON, and Mr. YOUNG of Alaska):

H.R. 4008. A bill to authorize appropriations for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration for fiscal years 1994 and 1995, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. RICHARDSON (for himself, Mr. SKEEN, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. STUMP, Mr. KOLBE Mr. KYL, Mr. PASTOR, and Ms. ENGLISH of Arizona):

H.R. 4009. A bill to authorize a study of the equity of Forest Service funding allocations among the nine regions of the National Forest System; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. RICHARDSON:

H.R. 4010. A bill to improve water quality within the Rio Puerco watershed and to help restore the ecological health of the Rio Grande through the cooperative identification and implementation of best management practices which are consistent with the ecological, geological, cultural, sociological, and economic conditions in the region; jointly, to the Committees on Natural Resources and Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Ms. BYRNE:

H.J. Res. 333. Joint resolution designating May 11, 1994, as "Vietnam Human Rights Day"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. SERRANO:

H.J. Res. 334. Joint resolution designating May 29 through June 4, 1994, as "Pediatric and Adolescent AIDS Awareness Week" in the United States; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. WALSH:

H.J. Res. 335. Joint resolution designating the month of April 1994 as "Alcohol Awareness Month"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Ms. MARGOLIES-MEZVINSKY:

H. Res. 385. Resolution amending the Rules of the House of Representatives to prohibit consideration of any measure proposing a

balanced-budget constitutional amendment until the Congressional Budget Office certifies that the Federal budget has been in balance for the two most recently completed fiscal years; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. SHAW (for himself, Mr. MICHEL, Mr. GINGRICH, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. DELAY, Mr. GRANDY, Mr. CAMP, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, and Mr. CASTLE):

H. Res. 386. Resolution providing for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3500) to amend title IV of the Social Security Act to provide welfare families with the education, training, job search, and work experience needed to prepare them to leave welfare within 2 years, to increase the rate of paternity establishment for children receiving welfare benefits, to provide States with greater flexibility in providing welfare, to authorize States to conduct demonstration projects to test the effectiveness of policies designed to help people leave welfare and increase their financial security, to strengthen child support enforcement, and to eliminate welfare payments for most groups of noncitizens; to the Committee on Rules.

21.19 PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ROSE:

H.R. 4011. A bill for the relief of Anil K. Sharma; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SHAW:

H.R. 4012. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Transportation to issue a certificate of documentation with appropriate endorsement for employment in the coastwise trade for the vessel *Sea Bandit*; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

21.20 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 14: Ms. PELOSI.

H.R. 39: Mr. KLEIN, Ms. SCHENK, and Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin.

H.R. 65: Mr. INHOFE.

H.R. 140: Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mr. KING, Mr. ROGERS, Mr. COLLINS of Georgia, Mr. MCDADE, Mr. APPELATE, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. LEVY, Mr. STUMP, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. LIGHTFOOT, Mr. CLINGER, and Ms. MOLINARI.

H.R. 441: Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska.

H.R. 518: Mrs. ROUKEMA and Mr. COLEMAN.

H.R. 630: Mr. FISH.

H.R. 702: Mr. ROBERTS.

H.R. 777: Mr. McNULTY.

H.R. 790: Mr. STUPAK.

H.R. 830: Mr. MCCURDY and Mr. LIPINSKI.

H.R. 930: Mr. FISH.

H.R. 1120: Mr. FINGERHUT.

H.R. 1151: Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts.

H.R. 1168: Mr. LINDER, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. GOSS, and Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin.

H.R. 1174: Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota and Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida.

H.R. 1276: Mr. DELAY and Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 1332: Mr. HOKE and Mr. ROWLAND.

H.R. 1455: Mr. DEUTSCH.

H.R. 1490: Mr. HOBSON, Mr. ROHRABACHER, and Mr. HUTTO.

H.R. 1493: Mr. ROBERTS.

H.R. 1534: Mr. BOEHLERT.

H.R. 1621: Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin.

H.R. 1671: Mr. GUNDERSON.

H.R. 1823: Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois.

H.R. 1986: Mr. FILNER.

H.R. 2019: Mr. MILLER of California.

H.R. 2092: Mr. TORKILDSEN, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. ROHRABACHER, and Mr. HOYER.

H.R. 2420: Mr. HUTTO.

H.R. 2447: Ms. WATERS, Mr. ENGEL, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Mr. KREIDLER, Mr. MORAN, Mr. SAWYER, and Mr. BRYANT.

H.R. 2467: Mr. BEREUETER, Mr. GILMAN, Mrs. KENNELLY, Mr. LEVY, Mr. MAZZOLI, Mr. PAXON, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SISISKY, and Mr. STUPAK.

H.R. 2481: Mr. BONIOR.

H.R. 2543: Mr. SHAYS and Mr. WYNN.

H.R. 2586: Mr. PARKER and Mr. SANG-
MEISTER.

H.R. 2708: Mr. PARKER.

H.R. 2759: Mr. HOKE, Mr. MARTINEZ, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. GRAMS, Mr. SLATTERY, Mr. HUNTER, and Mr. DREIER.

H.R. 2767: Mr. FROST.

H.R. 2873: Mr. OLVER, Mr. TORRES, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. QUINN, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. MATSUI, Mr. FAZIO, Mr. MOORHEAD, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. DICKS.

H.R. 2912: Mr. UPTON.

H.R. 3023: Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, Ms. LAMBERT, Mr. HYDE, Mr. SISISKY, Mr. POMBO, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. LANTOS, Mr. HUTTO, Mr. WALSH, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. THORNTON, and Mr. MOLLOHAN.

H.R. 3065: Mr. DEUTSCH.

H.R. 3079: Mr. KOPETSKI.

H.R. 3087: Mr. CASTLE, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, and Mr. SCHIFF.

H.R. 3122: Mr. BACHUS of Alabama.

H.R. 3125: Mr. PACKARD and Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 3246: Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Mr. GRANDY, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. NEAL of North Carolina, Mr. RIDGE, Mr. SABO, Mrs. UNSOELD, Mr. WISE, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. MOLLOHAN, Mr. MCCOLLUM, Mr. BRYANT, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. APPELATE, and Mr. DARDEN.

H.R. 3256: Mr. PENNY.

H.R. 3269: Mr. DEFazio, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. HOCHBRUECKNER, Mr. BISHOP, Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin, Mr. HAMBURG, Mr. FISH, Mr. MCDERMOTT, and Mr. GEJDENSON.

H.R. 3288: Mr. MURPHY.

H.R. 3293: Mr. RANGEL and Mr. BORSKI.

H.R. 3309: Mr. TRAFICANT, Mr. FORD of Michigan, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. HEFNER, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. KOPETSKI, Mr. CLAY, Mr. VENTO, Mr. BILBRAY, Miss COLLINS of Michigan, Ms. PELOSI, and Ms. ESHOO.

H.R. 3367: Mr. KIM, Mr. HOBSON, and Mr. ROTH.

H.R. 3486: Mr. DORNAN, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. SUNDQUIST, Mr. DERRICK, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. KLUG, Mr. GORDON, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. ZELIFF, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. DELAY, Mr. GOODLING, Mrs. LLOYD, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. CRAPO, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mrs. UNSOELD, Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut, Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mr. SWETT, Mr. NUSSLE, Mr. THOMAS of Wyoming, Mr. UPTON, Mr. BOEHNER, and Mr. SENSENBRENNER.

H.R. 3488: Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. SARPALIUS, Mr. BARLOW, Mr. DICKEY, and Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 3490: Mr. DUNCAN and Mrs. MINK of Hawaii.

H.R. 3564: Mr. WASHINGTON and Mr. FISH.

H.R. 3636: Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts and Mr. OWENS.

H.R. 3663: Mr. SANDERS.

H.R. 3729: Ms. SNOWE, Mr. CAMP, and Mrs. VUCANOVICH.

H.R. 3786: Mr. HUGHES.

H.R. 3794: Mr. ALLARD and Mr. DICKEY.

H.R. 3802: Mr. SHAYS.

H.R. 3840: Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mr. PICKLE, Mr. EDWARDS of Texas, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. DE LA GARZA, Mr. WASHINGTON, Mr. ANDREWS of Texas, Mr. ORTIZ, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. COMBEST, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. SAM JOHNSON, Mr. DELAY, and Mr. SMITH of Oregon.

H.R. 3875: Mr. McCANDLESS, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. WILSON, Mr. EMERSON, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. THOMAS of Wyoming, Mr. BOEHNER, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. LIVINGSTON.

H.R. 3912: Mr. GILMAN.

H.R. 3929: Mr. HANSEN, Mr. HEFLEY, Mr. EWING, Mr. MORAN, Mr. CONDIT, Mr. POMBO, Mr. BONILLA, Mr. DELAY, Mr. HASTERT, Mr. DOOLITTLE, and Mr. BAKER of California.

H.R. 3935: Mr. PICKLE.

H.R. 3949: Mr. MCHUGH, Mr. SISISKY, Mr. DORNAN, and Mr. RANGEL.

H.R. 3951: Mr. STENHOLM, Mr. EMERSON, Mr. DUNCAN, and Mr. ORTON.

H.R. 3955: Mr. BREWSTER and Mr. LEWIS of Florida.

H.J. Res. 253: Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. HILLIARD, Ms. SNOWE, Ms. BROWN of Florida, and Mr. GEKAS.

H.J. Res. 278: Ms. SNOWE and Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.

H.J. Res. 310: Mr. GINGRICH, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. WHEAT, Mr. TORRES, Mr. SISISKY, and Mr. FINGERHUT.

H.J. Res. 317: Mr. LEWIS of Florida, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. HOAGLAND, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. UNDERWOOD, Mr. UPTON, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. RAVENEL, Mr. MCHUGH, Mr. SABO, Mr. FIELDS of Texas, Mr. EVERETT, Mr. HOBSON, Mr. BATEMAN, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. MANN, Mr. CONDIT, Mrs. VUCANOVICH, Mr. REGULA, Mr. TEJEDA, Mr. GREENWOOD, Mr. TORRES, Ms. LAMBERT, Mr. DEAL, Mr. INSLEE, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. STUMP, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. PAYNE of Virginia, Mr. BROWN of California, Mr. GRANDY, Mr. BARCIA of Michigan, Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Mr. LAFALCE, Ms. MARGOLIES-MEZVINSKY, Mr. BLILEY, Mr. HALL of Ohio, Mr. HUTTO, Mr. HYDE, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. LANCASTER, Mr. DICKS, Mr. RIDGE, Mr. FORD of Tennessee, Mr. VALENTINE, Mrs. UNSOELD, Mr. SWIFT, Ms. DUNN, Mr. KREIDLER, Mr. HUNTER, Mrs. BENTLEY, Mr. SWETT, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. BARTLETT of Maryland, and Mr. SISISKY.

H.J. Res. 318: Mr. SKEEN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. HEFNER, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. DEUTSCH, Mr. BILIRAKIS, Mr. GORDON, Mr. HUTTO, Mr. PARKER, Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey, and Mr. BATEMAN.

H.J. Res. 319: Mr. LINDER, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas, Mr. HUGHES, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. LEWIS of Florida, and Mr. GOSS.

H.J. Res. 325: Mr. KIM, Mr. HAMBURG, Mr. CALLAHAN, Mr. FROST, Ms. WATERS, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, and Mr. GONZALEZ.

H.J. Res. 326: Mr. HOCHBRUECKNER, and Mr. FROST.

H. Con. Res. 98: Ms. MOLINARI, Mr. SLATTERY, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. HERGER, Mr. DREIER, Ms. DUNN, and Mr. ROYCE.

H. Con. Res. 147: Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. WHEAT, Mr. MANN, and Mr. STUPAK.

H. Con. Res. 152: Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota.

H. Con. Res. 202: Mr. BORSKI.

H. Con. Res. 209: Mr. EVANS, and Mr. RANGEL.

H. Res. 255: Mr. MACHTLEY, Mr. BARCA of Wisconsin, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. ROYCE, Ms. MCKINNEY, and Mr. SLATTERY.

H. Res. 365: Mr. CANADY.

21.21 DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 417: Mr. FOGLIETTA.