Petri

Pickett

Pickle

Pombo

Porter

Pomeroy

Portman

Poshard

Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Spence Spratt Quillen Stearns Quinn Stenholm Rahall Strickland Ramstad Studds Stump Stupak Ravenel Reed Regula Sundquist Swett Ridge Roberts Swift Synar Talent Roemer Rogers Rohrabacher Tanner Ros-Lehtinen Tauzin Taylor (MS) Rose Roth Taylor (NC) Tejeda Thomas (CA) Roukema Rowland Thomas (WY) Sangmeister Santorum Thornton Thurman Sarpalius Torkildsen Sawyer Saxton Torricelli Traficant Schaefer Unsoeld Schenk Upton Valentine Schiff Schumer Visclosky Volkmer Sensenbrenner Sharp Shepherd Vucanovich Walker Walsh Shuster Sisisky Waxman Skaggs Weldon Whitten Skeen Skelton Williams Slaughter Smith (IA) Wilson Wise Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Wolf Wyden Smith (OR) Young (AK) Smith (TX) Young (FL) Zeliff

NOT VOTING-31

Zimmer

Snowe

Solomon

Abercrombie	Gephardt	Natcher
Andrews (TX)	Gibbons	Neal (NC)
Barton	Gutierrez	Orton
Brooks	Hastings	Pelosi
Collins (IL)	Hayes	Reynolds
Cox	Kopetski	Rostenkowski
Crane	Lewis (CA)	Shaw
Dooley	Lightfoot	Slattery
Fields (TX)	McMillan	Towns
Ford (TN)	Meehan	
Gallo	Miller (CA)	

So the amendment in the nature of a subsitute was not agreed to.

The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, TORRICELLI, assumed the Chair.

¶22.8 FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Hallen, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate agreed to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate with amendments. The message also announced that the Senate insisted upon its amendments to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3345) "An Act to provide temporary authority to Government agencies relating to voluntary separation incentive payments, and for other purposes" and requested a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appointed Mr. GLENN, Mr. PRYOR, Mr. SASSER, Mr. ROTH, and Mr. STEVENS, to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The

Committee will resume its sitting.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein.

¶22.9 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. KASICH:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$971,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,010,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,057,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,106,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,150,800,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be decreased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$6,706,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$21,012,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$22,489,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$29,972,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$39,154,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,270,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$106,324,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$111,933,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$117,830,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$123,669,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,194,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,236,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,298,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,372,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,440,300,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,204,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,229,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,290,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,106,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,150,800,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$233,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$219,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$233,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$244,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$272,100,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,963,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$5,269,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,593,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$5,971,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,292,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:

obligations, New direct loan \$26,000,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$196,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

New direct loan obligations, \$30,400,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

Ňew direct loan obligations, \$31,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

New direct loan obligations. \$33,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

Ňew direct loan obligations. \$35,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,800,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$269,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$275,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,800,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$265,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$269,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$275,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$272,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$284,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$275,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$16,800,000,000.

New direct obligations. loan \$2,900,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,300,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,600,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$2,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,500,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,000,000,000.
- New obligations. direct loan \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$9,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$6,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments \$0

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$8,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,100,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$4.600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$8,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$11,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays,
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$130,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$13.000.000.000. New direct loan obligations, \$2.800.000.000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$1,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, - \$6,500,000,ŏ00. (C) New direct loan obligations,
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$95,900,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,600,000,000. direct loan obligations. New
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$5,800,000,000. direct loan obligations, New
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
- (8) Transportation (400): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$29,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$33,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$29,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$33,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$31,900,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$32,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$33,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,100,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$48,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$50,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$5,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$47,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$46,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$48,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$47,400,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$13,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$49,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$48,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$15,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$51,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$49,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$16,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$122,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$121,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$130,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$130,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$143,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$142,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$158,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$157,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$175,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$174,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (12) Medicare (570):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$156,600,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$155,400,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$172,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$170,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$189,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$187,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1998:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$208,100,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$205,500,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$230,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$227,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(13) Income Security (600):

- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$214,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$220,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$224,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$223,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$238,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$238,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$255,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$249,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$260,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$264,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (14) Social Security (650): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$6,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,100,000,
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,900,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$36,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,800,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$37,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,800,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$38,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$37,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$39,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$40,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$16,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (18) Net Interest (900):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$246,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$246,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$264,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$264,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$276,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$276,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$289,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$289,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- budget authority, -\$303,300,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$303,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, -\$6,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$5,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$8,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$8,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$10,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$10,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$12,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$12,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments. \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$36,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$36,800,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$32,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$32,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,900,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, -\$33,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$33,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$34,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

- (a) Not later than May 16, 1994, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (p) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- (b) Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to increase outlays as follows: \$637,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, and to reduce outlays as follows: \$7,682,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$5,884,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$4,733,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$3,042,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,780,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$4,777,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$5,367,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$5,367,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$5,933,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(c) Committee on Armed Services shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction in outlays as follows: \$17,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$27,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$33,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$34,000,000 in fiscal year 1908, and year 190

in fiscal year 1999.

(d) Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$510,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$297,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$613,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,022,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,022,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$2,332,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,170,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,177,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,062,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,263,000 in fiscal year

1999.
(e) Committee on Education and Labor shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$1,339,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$9,230,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$6,383,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,409,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$951,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,024,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,024,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,695,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,808,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(f) Committee on Energy and Commerce shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$2,685,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$7,056,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$9,319,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$11,482,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$107,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$227,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$340,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$316,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$354,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(g) Committee on Foreign Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction, program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$602,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$1,319,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,579,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$1,712,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,824,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(h) Committee on Government Operations shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as

- follows: \$704,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,092,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$2,802,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,258,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,406,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (i) Committee on House Administration shall report program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1996, \$52,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$84,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$94,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (j) Committee on Judiciary shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: 80 in fiscal year 1995, 80 in fiscal year 1996, \$56,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$58,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and \$60,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$94,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$419,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$675,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$503,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and
- (k) Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$3,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$108,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$112,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (I) Committee on Natural Resources shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$233,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,433,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,177,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$1,190,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and \$1,196,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$1,089,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,810,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,810,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$2,125,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$2,440,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (m) Committee on Post Office and Civil Service shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,050,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$3,100,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,150,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,250,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$1,751,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,578,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$7,198,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$8,753,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (n) Committee on Public Works and Transportation shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to increase outlays as follows: \$2,251,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,490,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,079,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,388,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$6,660,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$7,686,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$8,749,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$9,742,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$10,638,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (o) Committee on Small Business shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$182,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$238,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$251,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

- (p) Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in fiscal year 1998, and \$327,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (q)(1) Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$5,219,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$15,451,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$15,190,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$15,258,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$14,818,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

 (2) Committee on Ways and \$1.000.000 in fiscal year 1999.

(2) Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce revenues as follows: \$6,706,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$21,012,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$22,489,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$29,972,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$39,154,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET ON SCORING HEALTH REFORM.

It is the sense of the Committee on the Budget that all financial transactions associated with the President's health reform legislation or similar health reform legislation relying on mandated payments to a Government entity be treated as part of the Federal budget, including premium payments by individuals and employees to health alliances (which should be treated as receipts) and payments by health alliances to providers (which should be treated as outlays), for all purposes under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING RESERVE FUNDS FOR EMERGENCIES.

It is the sense of Congress that-

- (1) the emergency designation under section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has repeatedly been invoked to circumvent the discretionary spending limits for other than emergency purposes;
- (2) amounts for emergencies should be set aside within a reserve fund and subject to the discretionary spending limit;
- (3) the reserve fund shall total 1 percent of annual domestic discretionary budget authority; and
- (4) emergency funding requirements in excess of amounts held in the reserve fund should be offset by a reduction in appropriations.

SEC. 7. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING UNFUNDED MANDATES.

- (a) It is the sense of Congress that legislation and appropriate House and Senate rules amendments should be adopted that—
- (1) requires the Congressional Budget Office to estimate the cost of unfunded Federal mandates in all legislation before such legislation is considered by a full committee or by the full House or Senate;
- (2) prohibits consideration in the House or Senate of legislation creating or expanding a Federal mandate that increases the net cost to State and local governments of complying with all Federal mandates (subject to a waiver by a three-fifths majority):
- (3) charges the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget with monitoring all unfunded Federal mandates and identifying those mandates that should be repealed; and
- (4) codifies the recommendations of the National Performance Review for broad agency waiver authority and bottom-up grant consolidation.

SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING REGULATORY BUDGETING.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that the cost of compliance with Federal regulations—
- (1) constitutes a real, albeit an invisible, tax on America's private and public sectors;

- (2) will cost the American private sector over \$600,000,000,000 in 1995; and
- (3) will exceed 9 percent of the Nation's Gross Domestic Product and annually cost the average household between \$6,565 and
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that the Federal Government should adopt a regulatory budget that encompasses the economic impact of Federal regulations on the national economy. The ultimate goal of the regulatory budget should be to limit the cost of private and public compliance with Federal regulations to a fixed percentage of the Nation's Gross Domestic Product.

SEC. 9. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- (1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;
- (2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from inflated spending levels; and
- (3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that-
- (1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and
- (2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year. SEC. 10. ADJUSTMENT OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORE-

It is the sense of the Congress that upon enactment of a reconciliation bill pursuant to section 4, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall reduce the balances of direct spending and receipts legislation applicable to each fiscal year under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 by an amount equal to the net change in the deficit achieved through the enactment in that Act of direct spending and receipts legislation for that year.

It was decided in the negative 165 Nays 243

¶22.10[Roll No. 55] AYES-165

CARD.

Allard Dornan Hunter Archer Dreier Hutchinson Armey Duncan Hvde Bachus (AL) Dunn Inglis Baker (CA) Ehlers Inhofe Baker (LA) Emerson Istook Ballenger Barrett (NE) Johnson (CT) Everett Ewing Fawell Johnson, Sam Bartlett Kasich Bateman Fingerhut Kim Bereuter Fish King Bilirakis Fowler Kingston Bliley Franks (CT) Klug Knollenberg Blute Franks (N.J) Boehner Gallegly Kolbe Gekas Bonilla Bunning Geren Lazio Burton Gilchrest Leach Gillmor Buyer Callahan Levy Lewis (FL) Gingrich Calvert Goodlatte Linder Camp Goodling Livingston Canady Goss Manzullo Grams Castle McCandless Clinger Grandy McCollum Greenwood Coble McCrery Collins (GA) Gunderson McDade Combest Condit Hancock McHugh Hansen McInnis Hastert McKeon Cooper Hefley Herger Crapo Meyers Cunningham Mica Hobson Michel Deal Miller (FL) DeLay Hoekstra Dickey Hoke Molinari Doolittle Houghton Moorhead

Myers Nussle Oxley Packard Paxon Petri Pombo Porter Portman Pryce (OH) Quinn Ramstad Regula Ridge Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher

Ackerman

Applegate

Baesler

Barcia

Barlow

Becerra

Bentley

Berman

Bevill

Bilbray

Bishop Blackwell

Boehlert

Bonior

Borski

Boucher

Brewster

Browder

Bryant

Cantwell

Chapman

Clayton

Clement

Clyburn

Coleman

Convers

Costello

Covne

Cramer

Danner

Darden

DeFazio

DeLauro

Dellums

Derrick

Deutsch

Dicks

Dixon

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Farr

Fazio

Filner

Flake

Frost

Foglietta

Ford (MI)

Frank (MA)

Gejdenson Gephardt

Gilman

(AS)

Fields (LA)

English

Dingell

Durbin

Diaz-Balart

Edwards (CA)

Edwards (TX)

Faleomavaega

de la Garza

de Lugo (VI)

Collins (MI)

Coppersmith

Byrne

Cardin

Carr

Clay

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Beilenson

Andrews (ME)

Andrews (NJ)

Bacchus (FL)

Barrett (WI)

Roth Roukema Royce Santorum Saxton Schaefer Schiff Sensenbrenner Shays Shuster Unton Skeen Smith (MI) Walsh Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Wolf Snowe Solomon Spence Zeliff Stearns

Glickman Neal (NC) Gonzalez Norton (DC) Gordon Oberstar Obey Green Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Olver Ortiz Hamburg Owens Hamilton Pallone Harman Parker Hefner Pastor Hilliard Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Hinchey Hoagland Penny Peterson (FL) Hochbrueckner Holden Peterson (MN) Horn Pickett Hover Pickle Huffington Pomeroy Hughes Poshard Hutto Price (NC) Inslee Rahall Jacobs Jefferson Rangel Reed Johnson (GA) Richardson Johnson (SD) Roemer Johnson, E. B. Romero-Barcelo Johnston Ros-Lehtinen Kanjorski Kaptur Rose Kennedy Rowland Roybal-Allard Kennelly Kildee Rush Kleczka Sabo Klein Sanders Sangmeister Klink Kreidler Sarpalius Sawyer Schenk LaFalce Lambert Lancaster Schroeder Lantos Schumer LaRocco Scott Laughlin Serrano Lehman Sharp Shepherd Levin Lewis (GA) Sisisky Lipinski Skaggs Skelton Long Lowey Machtley Slaughter Smith (IA) Maloney Spratt Mann Stark Manton Stokes Strickland Margolies Mezvinsky Studds Markey Stupak Martinez Swett Matsui Swift Mazzoli Synar McCloskey Tanner Taylor (MS) McCurdy McDermott Tejeda McHale Thompson McKinney Thornton McNulty Thurman Torres Torricelli Meek Menendez Mfume Traficant Tucker Underwood (GU) Mineta Minge Mink Unsoeld Moakley Mollohan Valentine Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Montgomery Moran Morella Washington Murphy

Stenholm Stump Sundquist Talent Tauzin Taylor (NC) Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Torkildsen Vucanovich Walker Weldon Young (AK) Young (FL) Zimmer

NOES-243

Wheat Wilson Wyden Wise Williams Woolsey Yates NOT VOTING-30 Abercrombie Gallo Meehan Andrews (TX) Gibbons Natcher Barton Gutierrez

Miller (CA) Brooks Hastings Orton Hayes Kopetski Collins (IL) Pelosi Reynolds Cox Lewis (CA) Rostenkowski Crane Dooley Fields (TX) Lightfoot Shaw Slattery Llovd McMillan

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MOAKLEY, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. SERRANO, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 384, reported the concurrent resolution back to the House.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House agree to said concurrent resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MOAKLEY, announced that the yeas

Mr. KASICH demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said concurrent resolution, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic de-

It was decided in the Yeas affirmative Nays 175

922.11[Roll No. 56] AYES-223

Ackerman DeLauro Johnson (SD) Andrews (ME) Applegate Johnson, E. B. Johnston Dellums Derrick Bacchus (FL) Deutsch Kanjorski Baesler Barca Dicks Dingell Kaptur Kennedy Barcia Dixon Kennelly Barlow Durbin Kildee Barrett (WI) Kleczka Edwards (CA) Edwards (TX) Klein Becerra Engel English Beilenson Klink Berman Kreidler Bevill Eshoo LaFalce Bilbray Evans Lambert. Bishop Farr Lantos Blackwell Fazio LaRocco Fields (LA) Laughlin Bonior Filner Lehman Borski Boucher Fingerhut Levin Lewis (GA) Brewster Flake Browder Foglietta Lipinski Long Brown (CA) Ford (MI) Frank (MA) Brown (FL) Lowey Brown (OH) Frost Maloney Bryant Furse Manton Gejdenson Markey Byrne Cantwell Gephardt Martinez Cardin Geren Matsui Glickman Mazzoli Carr Chapman Gordon McCloskey Clay Green McCurdy Clayton Hall (OH) McDermott Clement Hamburg McHale Clyburn Hamilton McKinney Harman McNulty Coleman Collins (MI) Hefner Menendez Condit Hilliard Mfume Conyers Hinchey Mineta Hoagland Cooper Minge Coppersmith Hochbrueckner Mink Moakley Costello Holden Coyne Hoyer Mollohan Hughes Montgomery Cramer Danner Hutto Moran Darden Inslee Murphy de la Garza Jefferson Murtha Johnson (GA)

Nadler

Waters

Waxman

Deal

Watt

Murtha

Nadler

Neal (MA)