#### FRIDAY, MARCH 11, 1994 (22)

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER.

#### ¶22.1 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Thursday, March 10, 1994.

Mrs. UNSOELD, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, objected to the Chair's approval of the Journal.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to the Chair's approval of said Journal?

The SPEAKER announced that the yeas had it.

Mrs. UNSOELD objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared  $\begin{cases} \text{Yeas ......} & 225 \\ \text{Nays ......} & 148 \end{cases}$ 

### ¶22.2 [Roll No. 53] YEAS—225

Ackerman Fingerhut Margolies-Andrews (ME) Mezvinsky Andrews (NJ) Foglietta Markey Martinez Applegate
Bacchus (FL) Ford (MI) Matsui Frost Baesler Furse Mazzoli McCollum Barca Gejdenson Barcia McCurdy Geren McHale Barlow Gillmor Barrett (WI) McKinney Gilman Bateman Glickman McNulty Meek Becerra Gonzalez Beilenson Menendez Gordon Berman Bevill Mfume Green Mineta Hall (OH) Bilbray Minge Hall (TX) Blackwell Mink Hamburg Hamilton Moakley Bonior Borski Mollohan Harman Boucher Montgomery Hefner Brewster Murtha Hilliard Browder Hinchey Brvant Nadler Hoagland Callahan Neal (MA) Hochbrueckner Cantwell Oberstar Holden Cardin Obey Houghton Carr Olver Hover Clayton Ortiz Hughes Pallone Clement Hutto Clyburn Parker Hyde Coleman Pastor Inglis Collins (MI) Payne (NJ) Inslee Combest Payne (VA) Johnson (GA) Condit Penny Johnson (SD) Peterson (FL) Convers Johnson, E.B. Cooper Peterson (MN) Johnston Coppersmith Costello Pickett Kaniorski Pickle Kaptur Coyne Pombo Kasich Cramer Pomerov Kennedy Poshard Danner Kennelly Price (NC) Darden Kildee de la Garza Rahall Kingston Deal Rangel Kleczka DeFazio Klein Richardson DeLauro Klink Dellums Roemer LaFalce Derrick Rose Rowland Lambert Deutsch Lancaster Dicks Roybal-Allard Dingell Lantos Rush LaRocco Durbin Sabo Edwards (CA) Laughlin Sanders Engel Lehman Sangmeister English Levin Santorum Lewis (GA) Sarpalius Sawyer Schenk Evans Lipinski Lloyd Everett Schumer Farr Long Fazio Lowev Scott Fields (LA) Serrano Mann Sharp

Shepherd Sisisky Skaggs Skelton Slaughter Smith (IA) Spratt Stark Stenholm Stokes Strickland Studds Stupak Swett Swift Synar Tanner Tauzin Tejeda Thompson Thornton Thurman Torricelli Traficant Tucker Unsoeld Valentine Velazquez Visclosky Volkmer Waters Watt Waxman Wheat Williams Wilson Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn Yates

#### NAYS-148

Allard Goss Portman Archer Grams Pryce (OH) Grandy Quillen Armey Bachus (AL) Gunderson Quinn Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Hancock Ramstad Hansen Ravenel Ballenger Barrett (NE) Hastert Regula Hefley Hobson Ridge Bartlett Roberts Bereuter Hoekstra Rogers Rohrabacher Bilirakis Hoke Bliley Ros-Lehtinen Horn Huffington Roth Blute Hunter Hutchinson Roukema Boehlert. Boehner Royce Bonilla Inhofe Saxton Schaefer Bunning Istook Burton Jacobs Schiff Calvert Johnson (CT) Schroeder Camp Canady Johnson, Sam Sensenbrenner Kim Shays Castle King Shuster Klug Knollenberg Clay Skeen Clinger Smith (MI) Coble Kolbe Smith (NJ) Kreidler Collins (GA) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Kvl Crapo Lazio Snowe Cunningham Spence Leach DeLay Diaz-Balart Levy Lewis (FL) Stearns Stump Dickey Doolittle Sundquist Linder Livingston Talent Taylor (MS) Dornan Machtley Dreier McCandless Taylor (NC) Duncan McDade Thomas (CA) Dunn McHugh Torkildsen Ehlers McInnis Upton Emerson McKeon Vento Vucanovich Ewing Mevers Fawell Mica Walker Fowler Michel Walsh Franks (CT) Miller (FL) Weldon Franks (NJ) Molinari Wolf Gallegly Gekas Murphy Young (AK) Young (FL) Nussle Gilchrest Oxley Zeliff Packard Gingrich Goodlatte Zimmer Paxon

#### NOT VOTING-60

Petri

NOT VOTING—60			
Abercrombie	Frank (MA)	Miller (CA)	
Andrews (TX)	Gallo	Moorhead	
Barton	Gephardt	Moran	
Bentley	Gibbons	Morella	
Bishop	Greenwood	Natcher	
Brooks	Gutierrez	Neal (NC)	
Brown (CA)	Hastings	Orton	
Brown (FL)	Hayes	Owens	
Brown (OH)	Herger	Pelosi	
Buyer	Jefferson	Porter	
Byrne	Kopetski	Reynolds	
Chapman	Lewis (CA)	Rostenkowski	
Collins (IL)	Lightfoot	Shaw	
Crane	Maloney	Slattery	
Dixon	Manzullo	Solomon	
Dooley	McCloskey	Thomas (WY)	
Edwards (TX)	McCrery	Torres	
Fields (TX)	McDermott	Towns	
Fish	McMillan	Washington	
Ford (TN)	Meehan	Whitten	

So the Journal was approved.

#### ¶22.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Goodling

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

2753. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting the Department of the Air Force's proposed lease of defense articles to Australia (Transmittal No. 11-94), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2796a(a); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

2754. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Assistance Agency, transmitting the quarterly reports in accordance with sections 36(a) and 26(b) of the Arms Export Control Act, the March 24, 1979, report by the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the seventh report by the Committee on Government Operations for the first quarter of fiscal year 1994, October 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2776(a); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

2755. A letter from the Chairman, Consumer Product Safety Commission, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1993, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(e); to the Committee on Government Operations.

2756. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Commerce, transmitting the annual report on the effect of process patent amendments on domestic industries, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 271 note; to the Committee on the Judiciary

diciary. 2757. A letter from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation entitled "Maritime Administration Authorization Act for fiscal year 1995," pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1110; jointly, to the Committees on Merchant Marine and Fisheries and Ways and Means.

2758. A letter from the Secretary of Energy, transmitting a supplemental comprehensive report on the Tidd pressurized fluidized bed combustion [PFBC] project sponsored by the Ohio Power Co., pursuant to Public Law 99–190; jointly, to the Committees on Appropriations; Science, Space, and Technology; and Energy and Commerce.

#### ¶22.4 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Hallen, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed a bill and joint resolutions of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 282. An Act to provide Federal recognition of the Mowa Band of Choctaw Indians of Alabama.

S.J. Res. 150. Joint resolution to designate the week of May 2 through May 8, 1994, as "Public Service Recognition Week."

"Public Service Recognition Week."
S.J. Res. 151. Joint resolution designating the week of April 10 through 16, 1994, as "Primary Immune Deficiency Awareness Week."

S.J. Res. 162. Joint resolution designating March 25, 1994, as "Greek Independence Day: A National Day of Celebration of Greek and American Democracy."

American Democracy.''
S.J. Res. 163. Joint resolution to proclaim
March 20, 1994, as "National Agriculture
Day."

### ¶22.5 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET, FY 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, pursuant to House Resolution 384 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999.

Mr. SERRANO, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair; and after some time spent there-

## ¶22.6 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the

Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

#### SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,340,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,410,766,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,478,765,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,555,924,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,629,943,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$0. Fiscal year 1996: \$0. Fiscal year 1997: \$0. Fiscal year 1998: \$0.

Fiscal year 1999: \$0.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000.000. Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000.000. Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$1,528,939,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,615,016,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,697,530,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,775,163,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,870,310,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,513,508,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,587,596,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,671,560,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,741,837,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,830,136,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as fol-

Fiscal year 1995: \$173,508,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$176,830,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$192,795,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$185,913,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$200,193,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$4,968,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,293,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$5,640,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,996,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,367,300,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:

direct obligations. New loan \$26,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$199,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

New direct loan obligations, \$32,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$174,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$33,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

direct New loan obligations, \$35,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$164,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

New direct loan obligations. \$37,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$163,500,000,000.

#### SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commit-ments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$246,501,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$261,488,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$237,831,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$249,512,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$222,445,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$234,674,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$205,495,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$220,881,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$200,617,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$209,813,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,885,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$18,227,000,000.

New direct obligations. loan \$3,200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,000,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$16,886,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,031,000,000.

obligations. New direct loan \$2,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,917,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$20,177,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,600,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,593,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$21,111,000,000.

New direct obligations, loan \$2,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$20,055,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$22,602,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,406,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,446,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,122,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,014,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$18,771,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$18,913,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,862,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$20,008,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,954,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$5,923,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$5,086,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$5,375,000,000. obligations. New direct loan \$1,500,000,000

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$6,189,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$5,590,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,411,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,560,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,179,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,540,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$21,187,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,508,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$22,194,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$22,838,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$23,518,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$24,171,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$23,666,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$24,297,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$24,676,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$25,354,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,249,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,942,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$10,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$14,224,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,482,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$9,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,878,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,018,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$9,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,269,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,543,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$9,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,918,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,208,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$9,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,655,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, - \$7,501,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$130,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,485,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$11,394,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$3,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,134,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$3,104,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$3,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$95,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,994,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,296,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$3,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,760,000,000.
- -\$1,842,000,000. (B) Outlays, -
- direct \$3,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
  - (8) Transportation (400):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$42,012,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,914,000,000.
- direct New obligations, loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$42,988,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$41,205,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$46,125,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,572,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$47,587,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$45,206,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$49,500,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$47,833,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450)

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,633,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,799,000,000. direct obligations, New loan \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$10,110,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,240,000,000. obligations, (C) New direct loan \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$10,669,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,829,000,000. obligations. New direct loan \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,071,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,243,000,000 direct obligations, New loan
- \$2,200,000,000 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,717,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,927,000,000. New direct obligations, loan
- \$2,200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (10) Education, Training, Employment, and
- Social Services (500):
  - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$58,889,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$54,649,000,000. direct obligations, New loan \$5,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$60,533,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$55,221,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$63,420,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$58,207,000,000.

New direct obligations, \$13,200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$65,707,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$60,716,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations. \$15,100,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$69,021,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$63,694,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$16,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$124,514,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$123,683,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$138,119,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$137,190,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$153,012,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$152,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$200,000,000.
(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$169,005,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$167,945,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$186,894,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$185,792,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$162,436,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$160,479,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,485,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$178,214,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$198,513,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$196,095,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$218,778,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$215,142,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$242,231,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$239,037,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$224,080,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$221,469,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$242,554,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$231,580,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$264,372,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$245,753,000,000.
(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$286,560,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$256,627,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$301,889,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$270,183,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$339,202,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$337,349,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$355,535,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$355,206,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$374,638,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$373,097,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$393,364,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$391,774,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$413,059,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$411,228,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, \$34,756,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$37,288,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$35,584,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$37,050,000,000. New direct loan obligations.

\$1,300,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$36,755,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$39,803,000,000. New direct loan obligations. \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$37,592,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$40,868,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations. \$1,400,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,713,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$43,233,000,000. direct obligations, New loan \$1,500,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(16) Administration of Justice (750): Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$17,926,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,999,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$20,820,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,085,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,086,000,000.(B) Outlays, \$20,919,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$23,421,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$22,551,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$22,775,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$22,104,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,087,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,231,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,813,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,129,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,559,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$14,708,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,079,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,233,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,915,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,094,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (18) Net Interest (900):

- Fiscal year 1995:
  (A) New budget authority, \$213,668,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$213,666,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$230,021,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$230,021,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$241,215,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$241,215,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$252,050,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$252,050,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$263,900,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$263,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$0.
- (B) Outlays, \$0.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
- Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, -\$42,898,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$42,898,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$37,512,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$37,512,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$37,933,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$37,933,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$39,474,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$39,474,000,000.0.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$40,518,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$40,518,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

It was decided in the Yeas ...... negative ..... Navs .....

922.7[Roll No. 54] AYES-81

Andrews (ME) Ford (MI) Rangel Richardson Barrett (WI) Frank (MA) Romero-Barcelo Furse Bishop Blackwell Gekas (PR) Roybal-Allard Green Bonior Hamburg Rush Brown (CA) Brown (FL) Hilliard Sabo Hinchey Sanders Clay Jacobs Schroeder Clayton Clyburn Jefferson Scott Johnson, E. B. Serrano Kennedy Lewis (GA) Collins (MI) Shays Convers Stark Coyne Maloney Stokes de Lugo (VI) Markey Thompson Dellums McDermott Torres McKinney Tucker Underwood (GU) Velazquez Edwards (CA) Meek Menendez Ehlers Engel Mfume Washington Evans Mineta Faleomavaega Waters Mink (AS) Nadler Watt Farr Norton (DC) Wheat Fields (LA) Olver Woolsey Filner Owens Flake Pastor Yates Foglietta Payne (NJ) NOES-326

Ackerman de la Garza Allard Deal Andrews (NJ) DeFazio Applegate Archer DeLauro DeLay Armey Bacchus (FL) Derrick Deutsch Bachus (AL) Diaz-Balart Baesler Dickey Baker (CA) Dicks Baker (LA) Dingell Doolittle Dornan Ballenger Barca Dreier Barlow Duncan Dunn Barrett (NE) Bartlett Durbin Edwards (TX) Bateman Beilenson Emerson Bentley English Bereuter Eshoo Berman Everett Bevill Ewing Fawell Bilbray Fazio Bilirakis Bliley Fingerhut Blute Fish Fowler Boehlert Franks (CT) Franks (NJ) Boehner Bonilla Borski Boucher Gallegly Gejdenson Brewster Geren Brown (OH) Gilchrest Gillmor Bryant Bunning Gilman Gingrich Glickman Burton Buyer Gonzalez Callahan Goodlatte Goodling Calvert Gordon Camp Canady Goss Cantwell Grams Cardin Grandy Greenwood Carr Castle Gunderson Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Chapman Clement Hamilton Clinger Hancock Hansen Coble Coleman

Collins (GA)

Coppersmith Costello

Cunningham

Combest

Condit

Cooper

Cramer

Crapo

Danner

Darden

Harman

Hastert Hefley

Hefner

Herger Hoagland

Hoekstra

Hoke

Holden

Hochbrueckner

Hobson

Horn Houghton Hoyer Huffington Hughes Hunter Hutchinson Hutto Hyde Inglis Inhofe Inslee Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson (GA) Johnson (SD) Johnson, Sam Johnston Kanjorski Kaptur Kasich Kennelly Kildee Kim King Kingston Kleczka Klein Klink Klug Knollenberg Kolbe Kreidler Kyl LaFalce Lambert Lancaster Lantos LaRocco Laughlin Lazio Leach Lehman Levin Levy Lewis (FL) Linder Lipinski Livingston Llovd Long Lowey Machtley Mann Manton Manzullo Margolies-Mezvinsky Martinez Matsui

Mazzoli McCandless

McCloskey McCollum

Obev

Ortiz

Oxley

Packard

Pallone

Parker

Paxon

Petri

Pickett

Pickle

Pombo

Porter

Pomeroy

Portman

Poshard

Payne (VA)

Penny Peterson (FL)

Peterson (MN)

Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Spence Spratt Quillen Stearns Quinn Stenholm Rahall Strickland Ramstad Studds Stump Stupak Ravenel Reed Regula Sundquist Swett Ridge Roberts Swift Synar Talent Roemer Rogers Rohrabacher Tanner Ros-Lehtinen Tauzin Taylor (MS) Rose Roth Taylor (NC) Tejeda Thomas (CA) Roukema Rowland Thomas (WY) Sangmeister Santorum Thornton Thurman Sarpalius Torkildsen Sawyer Saxton Torricelli Traficant Schaefer Unsoeld Schenk Upton Valentine Schiff Schumer Visclosky Volkmer Sensenbrenner Sharp Shepherd Vucanovich Walker Walsh Shuster Sisisky Waxman Skaggs Weldon Whitten Skeen Skelton Williams Slaughter Smith (IA) Wilson Wise Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Wolf Wyden Smith (OR) Young (AK) Smith (TX) Young (FL) Zeliff Snowe

#### NOT VOTING-31

Zimmer

Solomon

	nor vorma	01
Abercrombie	Gephardt	Natcher
Andrews (TX)	Gibbons	Neal (NC)
Barton	Gutierrez	Orton
Brooks	Hastings	Pelosi
Collins (IL)	Hayes	Reynolds
Cox	Kopetski	Rostenkowski
Crane	Lewis (CA)	Shaw
Dooley	Lightfoot	Slattery
Fields (TX)	McMillan	Towns
Ford (TN)	Meehan	
Gallo	Miller (CA)	

So the amendment in the nature of a subsitute was not agreed to.

The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, TORRICELLI, assumed the Chair.

### ¶22.8 FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Hallen, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate agreed to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate with amendments. The message also announced that the Senate insisted upon its amendments to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3345) "An Act to provide temporary authority to Government agencies relating to voluntary separation incentive payments, and for other purposes" and requested a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appointed Mr. GLENN, Mr. PRYOR, Mr. SASSER, Mr. ROTH, and Mr. STEVENS, to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The

Committee will resume its sitting.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein.

#### ¶22.9 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. KASICH:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act

#### SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$971,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,010,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,057,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,106,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,150,800,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be decreased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$6,706,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$21,012,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$22,489,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$29,972,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$39,154,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$100,270,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$106,324,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$111,933,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$117,830,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$123,669,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$1,194,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,236,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,298,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,372,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,440,300,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$1,204,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,229,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,290,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,106,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,150,800,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$233,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$219,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$233,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$244,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$272,100,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$4,963,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$5,269,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$5,593,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$5,971,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,292,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1994, October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, and October 1, 1998, are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995:

obligations, New direct loan \$26,000,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$196,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

New direct loan obligations, \$30,400,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

Ňew direct loan obligations, \$31,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

New direct loan obligations. \$33,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

Ňew direct loan obligations. \$35,900,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$160,800,000,000.

#### SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$269,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$275,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,800,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$265,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$269,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$275,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$272,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$284,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$275,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1995:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$16,800,000,000.

New direct obligations. loan \$2,900,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,600,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,300,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$17,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,600,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$2,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,500,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,000,000,000.
- New obligations. direct loan \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$1,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$9,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$6,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments \$0

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$8,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,400,000,000.

259

(B) Outlays, \$10,100,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$8,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$4.600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$8,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
  - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$11,100,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays,
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$130,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$13.000.000.000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$2.800.000.000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$1,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, - \$6,500,000,ŏ00. (C) New direct loan obligations,
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$95,900,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,600,000,000. direct loan obligations. New
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$110,000,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$5,800,000,000. direct loan obligations, New
- \$2,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$110,000,000,000. (8) Transportation (400):

- Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, \$29,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$33,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$29,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$33,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$31,900,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$32,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$33,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,100,000,000.
  (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations. \$2,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$48,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$50,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$5,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$47,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$46,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$48,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$47,400,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$13,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$49,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$48,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$15,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$51,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$49,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$16,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$122,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$121,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$130,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$130,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$143,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$142,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$158,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$157,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$175,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$174,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (12) Medicare (570):
  - Fiscal year 1995:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$156,600,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$155,400,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$172,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$170,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$189,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$187,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
  - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
  - ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$208,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$205,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$230,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$227,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(13) Income Security (600):

- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$214,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$220,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$224,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$223,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$238,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$238,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$255,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$249,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$260,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$264,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - (14) Social Security (650):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$6,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$8,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,100,000, (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,900,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

  - Fiscal year 1995:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$36,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,800,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$37,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,800,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$38,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$37,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$39,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$40,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$16,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (18) Net Interest (900):
  - Fiscal year 1995:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$246,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$246,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$264,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$264,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$276,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$276,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$289,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$289,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

budget authority, -\$303,300,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$303,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$6,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$5,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$8,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$8,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$10,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$10,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$12,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$12,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments. \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
  - Fiscal year 1995:
  - (A) New budget authority, -\$36,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$36,800,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$32,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$32,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,900,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, -\$33,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$33,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$34,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

### SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

- (a) Not later than May 16, 1994, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (p) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- (b) Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to increase outlays as follows: \$637,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, and to reduce outlays as follows: \$7,682,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$5,884,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$4,733,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$3,042,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,780,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$4,777,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$5,367,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$5,367,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$5,933,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(c) Committee on Armed Services shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction in outlays as follows: \$17,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$27,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$33,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$34,000,000 in fiscal year 1908, and year 190

in fiscal year 1999.

(d) Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$510,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$297,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$613,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,022,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,022,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$2,332,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,170,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,170,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$2,777,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,062,000,000 in fiscal year

1999.
(e) Committee on Education and Labor shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$1,339,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$9,230,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$7,517,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$6,383,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,409,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$951,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,024,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,024,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,695,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,808,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(f) Committee on Energy and Commerce shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$2,685,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$7,056,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$7,538,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$11,482,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$107,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$227,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$340,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$316,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$354,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$354,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(g) Committee on Foreign Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction, program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$602,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$1,319,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,579,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$1,712,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$1,824,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

(h) Committee on Government Operations shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as

- follows: \$704,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,092,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$2,802,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,258,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,406,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (i) Committee on House Administration shall report program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1996, \$52,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$84,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$94,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (j) Committee on Judiciary shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1996, \$56,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$58,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and \$60,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$94,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$419,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$577,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$675,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$503,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (k) Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$103,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$3,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$108,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$112,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (I) Committee on Natural Resources shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$233,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,433,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,177,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$1,190,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$1,089,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$1,505,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$1,810,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$2,125,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$2,440,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (m) Committee on Post Office and Civil Service shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,050,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$3,100,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,150,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,250,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$1,751,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$3,578,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$7,198,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$8,753,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (n) Committee on Public Works and Transportation shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending, sufficient to increase outlays as follows: \$2,251,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$2,490,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$3,079,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$3,388,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, and program changes in laws within its jurisdiction, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$6,660,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$7,686,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$8,749,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$9,742,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$10,638,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (o) Committee on Small Business shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$114,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$182,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$238,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$251,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

- (p) Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide program changes, sufficient to result in a reduction of outlays as follows: \$0 in fiscal year 1995, \$0 in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in fiscal year 1998, and \$327,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.
- (q)(1) Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction that provide sufficient to reduce outlays as follows: \$5,219,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$15,451,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$15,190,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$15,258,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$14,818,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

  (2) Committee on Ways and March 1999.

(2) Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce revenues as follows: \$6,706,000,000 in fiscal year 1995, \$21,012,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$22,489,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$29,972,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, and \$39,154,000,000 in fiscal year 1999.

# SEC. 5. SENSE OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET ON SCORING HEALTH REFORM.

It is the sense of the Committee on the Budget that all financial transactions associated with the President's health reform legislation or similar health reform legislation relying on mandated payments to a Government entity be treated as part of the Federal budget, including premium payments by individuals and employees to health alliances (which should be treated as receipts) and payments by health alliances to providers (which should be treated as outlays), for all purposes under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

# SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING RESERVE FUNDS FOR EMERGENCIES.

It is the sense of Congress that-

- (1) the emergency designation under section 251 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has repeatedly been invoked to circumvent the discretionary spending limits for other than emergency purposes;
- (2) amounts for emergencies should be set aside within a reserve fund and subject to the discretionary spending limit;
- (3) the reserve fund shall total 1 percent of annual domestic discretionary budget authority; and
- (4) emergency funding requirements in excess of amounts held in the reserve fund should be offset by a reduction in appropriations.

# SEC. 7. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING UNFUNDED MANDATES.

- (a) It is the sense of Congress that legislation and appropriate House and Senate rules amendments should be adopted that—
- (1) requires the Congressional Budget Office to estimate the cost of unfunded Federal mandates in all legislation before such legislation is considered by a full committee or by the full House or Senate;
- (2) prohibits consideration in the House or Senate of legislation creating or expanding a Federal mandate that increases the net cost to State and local governments of complying with all Federal mandates (subject to a waiver by a three-fifths majority):
- (3) charges the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs in the Office of Management and Budget with monitoring all unfunded Federal mandates and identifying those mandates that should be repealed; and
- (4) codifies the recommendations of the National Performance Review for broad agency waiver authority and bottom-up grant consolidation.

# SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING REGULATORY BUDGETING.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that the cost of compliance with Federal regulations—
- (1) constitutes a real, albeit an invisible, tax on America's private and public sectors;

Wyden

Shaw

Slattery

- (2) will cost the American private sector over \$600,000,000,000 in 1995; and
- (3) will exceed 9 percent of the Nation's Gross Domestic Product and annually cost the average household between \$6,565 and
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that the Federal Government should adopt a regulatory budget that encompasses the economic impact of Federal regulations on the national economy. The ultimate goal of the regulatory budget should be to limit the cost of private and public compliance with Federal regulations to a fixed percentage of the Nation's Gross Domestic Product.

#### SEC. 9. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- (1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;
- (2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from inflated spending levels; and
- (3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that-
- (1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and
- (2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year. SEC. 10. ADJUSTMENT OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO SCORE-

It is the sense of the Congress that upon enactment of a reconciliation bill pursuant to section 4, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall reduce the balances of direct spending and receipts legislation applicable to each fiscal year under section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 by an amount equal to the net change in the deficit achieved through the enactment in that Act of direct spending and receipts legislation for that year.

It was decided in the negative ....... 165 Nays ..... 243

#### ¶22.10[Roll No. 55] AYES-165

CARD.

Allard Dornan Hunter Archer Dreier Hutchinson Armey Duncan Hvde Bachus (AL) Dunn Inglis Baker (CA) Ehlers Inhofe Baker (LA) Emerson Istook Ballenger Barrett (NE) Johnson (CT) Everett Ewing Fawell Johnson, Sam Bartlett Kasich Bateman Fingerhut Kim Bereuter Fish King Bilirakis Fowler Kingston Bliley Franks (CT) Klug Knollenberg Blute Franks (N.J) Boehner Gallegly Kolbe Gekas Bonilla Bunning Geren Lazio Burton Gilchrest Leach Gillmor Buyer Callahan Levy Lewis (FL) Gingrich Calvert Goodlatte Linder Camp Goodling Livingston Canady Goss Manzullo Grams Castle McCandless Clinger Grandy McCollum Greenwood Coble McCrery Collins (GA) Gunderson McDade Combest Condit Hancock McHugh Hansen McInnis Hastert McKeon Cooper Hefley Herger Crapo Meyers Cunningham Mica Hobson Michel Deal Miller (FL) DeLay Hoekstra Dickey Hoke Molinari Doolittle Houghton Moorhead

Myers Nussle Oxley Packard Paxon Petri Pombo Porter Portman Pryce (OH) Quinn Ramstad Regula Ridge Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher

Ackerman

Applegate

Baesler

Barcia

Barlow

Becerra

Bentley

Berman

Bevill

Bilbray

Bishop Blackwell

Boehlert

Bonior

Borski

Boucher

Brewster

Browder

Bryant

Cantwell

Chapman

Clayton

Clement

Clyburn

Coleman

Convers

Costello

Covne

Cramer

Danner

Darden

DeFazio

DeLauro

Dellums

Derrick

Deutsch

Dicks

Dixon

Engel

Eshoo

Evans

Farr

Fazio

Filner

Flake

Frost

Foglietta

Ford (MI)

Frank (MA)

Gejdenson Gephardt

Gilman

(AS)

Fields (LA)

English

Dingell

Durbin

Diaz-Balart

Edwards (CA)

Edwards (TX)

Faleomavaega

de la Garza

de Lugo (VI)

Collins (MI)

Coppersmith

Byrne

Cardin

Carr

Clay

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Beilenson

Andrews (ME)

Andrews (NJ)

Bacchus (FL)

Barrett (WI)

Roth Stenholm Roukema Stump Sundquist Royce Santorum Talent Saxton Tauzin Schaefer Schiff Sensenbrenner Shays Shuster Unton Skeen Smith (MI) Walker Walsh Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Weldon Smith (TX) Wolf Snowe Solomon Spence Zeliff Zimmer Stearns

Glickman Neal (NC) Gonzalez Gordon Oberstar Obey Green Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Olver Ortiz Hamburg Owens Hamilton Pallone Harman Parker Hefner Pastor Hilliard Hinchey Hoagland Penny Hochbrueckner Holden Horn Pickett Hover Pickle Huffington Hughes Poshard Hutto Inslee Rahall Jacobs Jefferson Rangel Reed Johnson (GA) Johnson (SD) Roemer Johnson, E. B. Johnston Kanjorski Kaptur Rose Kennedy Kennelly Kildee Rush Kleczka Sabo Klein Sanders Klink Kreidler Sawyer Schenk LaFalce Lambert Lancaster Lantos LaRocco Scott Laughlin Serrano Lehman Levin Lewis (GA) Sisisky Lipinski Skaggs Skelton Long Lowey Machtley Maloney Spratt Mann Stark Manton Stokes Margolies Mezvinsky Studds Markey Stupak Martinez Swett Matsui Swift Mazzoli Synar McCloskey Tanner McCurdy McDermott Tejeda McHale McKinney McNulty Meek Menendez Mfume Mineta Minge Mink Unsoeld Moakley Mollohan Vento Visclosky Volkmer Montgomery Moran Morella Washington Murphy

Taylor (NC) Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Torkildsen Vucanovich Young (AK) Young (FL)

Wheat

#### NOES-243

Norton (DC) Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pomeroy Price (NC) Richardson Romero-Barcelo Ros-Lehtinen Rowland Roybal-Allard Sangmeister Sarpalius Schroeder Schumer Sharp Shepherd Slaughter Smith (IA) Strickland Taylor (MS) Thompson Thornton Thurman Torres Torricelli Traficant Tucker Underwood (GU) Valentine Velazquez

Wise Williams Woolsey Yates NOT VOTING-30 Abercrombie Gallo Meehan Miller (CA) Andrews (TX) Gibbons Natcher Barton Gutierrez Brooks Hastings Orton Hayes Kopetski Collins (IL) Pelosi Reynolds Cox Lewis (CA) Rostenkowski Crane Dooley Fields (TX)

Lightfoot

McMillan

Llovd

Wilson

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MOAKLEY, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. SERRANO, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 384, reported the concurrent resolution back to the House.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House agree to said concur-

rent resolution? The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MOAKLEY, announced that the yeas

Mr. KASICH demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said concurrent resolution, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic de-

It was decided in the Yeas ...... affirmative ...... Nays ..... 175

#### 922.11[Roll No. 56] AYES-223

Ackerman DeLauro Johnson (SD) Andrews (ME) Applegate Johnson, E. B. Johnston Dellums Derrick Bacchus (FL) Deutsch Kanjorski Baesler Barca Dicks Dingell Kaptur Kennedy Barcia Dixon Kennelly Barlow Durbin Kildee Barrett (WI) Kleczka Edwards (CA) Edwards (TX) Klein Becerra Engel English Beilenson Klink Berman Kreidler Bevill Eshoo LaFalce Bilbray Evans Lambert. Bishop Farr Lantos Blackwell Fazio LaRocco Fields (LA) Laughlin Bonior Filner Lehman Borski Boucher Fingerhut Levin Lewis (GA) Brewster Flake Browder Foglietta Lipinski Long Brown (CA) Ford (MI) Frank (MA) Brown (FL) Lowey Brown (OH) Frost Maloney Bryant Furse Manton Gejdenson Markey Byrne Cantwell Gephardt Martinez Cardin Geren Matsui Glickman Mazzoli Carr Chapman Gordon McCloskey Clay Green McCurdy Clayton Hall (OH) McDermott Clement Hamburg McHale Clyburn Hamilton McKinney Harman McNulty Coleman Collins (MI) Hefner Menendez Condit Hilliard Mfume Conyers Hinchey Mineta Hoagland Cooper Minge Coppersmith Hochbrueckner Mink Moakley Costello Holden Coyne Hoyer Mollohan Hughes Montgomery Cramer Danner Hutto Moran Darden Inslee Murphy de la Garza Jefferson Murtha Johnson (GA) Deal Nadler

Waters

Waxman

Watt

Murtha

Nadler

Neal (MA)

Sanders

Sarpalius

Schroeder

Schumer

Serrano

Sisisky

Skaggs

Spratt

Stark

Studds

Stupak

Swett

Swift

Synar

Tanner

Tauzin

Skelton

Slaughter Smith (IA)

Stenholm

Stokes Strickland

Sharp Shepherd

Scott

Sawyer

Schenk

# JOURNAL OF THE

Neal (MA) Neal (NC) Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Owens Pallone Parker Pastor Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickle Pomeroy Poshard Price (NC) Rahall Rangel Reed Richardson Roemer Rose Rowland Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo

Tejeda Thompson Sangmeister Thornton Thurman Torres Torricelli Tucker Unsoeld Valentine Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Washington Waters Watt Waxman Wheat Whitten Williams Wilson Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn

### NOES-175

Goss Allard Nussle Andrews (NJ) Oxley Packard Grams Archer Grandy Armey Greenwood Paxon Bachus (AL) Gunderson Penny Hall (TX) Baker (CA) Petri Baker (LA) Pickett Hancock Barrett (NE) Hansen Pombo Bartlett Hastert Porter Hefley Portman Bateman Pryce (OH) Herger Hobson Bentley Quillen Bereuter Bilirakis Hoekstra Quinn Bliley Ramstad Hoke Blute Horn Ravenel Boehlert Houghton Regula Ridge Boehner Huffington Roberts Bonilla Hunter Bunning Hutchinson Rogers Rohrabacher Burton Hvde Buyer Inglis Ros-Lehtinen Callahan Inhofe Roth Roukema Calvert Istook Camp Jacobs Royce Johnson (CT) Canady Santorum Castle Johnson, Sam Saxton Clinger Kasich Schaefer Coble Kim Schiff Sensenbrenner Collins (GA) King Combest Kingston Shays Klug Knollenberg Cox Shuster Crapo Skeen Smith (NJ) Cunningham Kolbe Smith (OR) DeFazio KvlSmith (TX) DeLay Lancaster Diaz-Balart Lazio Snowe Solomon Dickey Leach Doolittle Levy Spence Lewis (FL) Dornan Stearns Dreier Linder Stump Duncan Livingston Sundquist Dunn Machtley Talent Taylor (MS) Ehlers Mann Taylor (NC) Emerson Manzullo Margolies-Mezvinsky Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Everett Ewing Fawell McCandless Torkildsen Fish McCollum Traficant McCrery Fowler Upton Franks (CT) McDade Vucanovich Franks (NJ) McHugh McInnis Walker Gallegly Walsh Gekas McKeon Weldon Gilchrest Meyers Mica Wolf Gillmor Young (AK) Gilman Molinari Young (FL) Gingrich Goodlatte Moorhead Zeliff Morella Zimmer

### NOT VOTING-35

Ford (TN) Gallo Abercrombie Lightfoot Andrews (TX) Lloyd Ballenger Gibbons McMillan Barton Brooks Gonzalez Meehan Gutierrez Meek Collins (IL) Michel Hastings Crane Hayes Kopetski Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Dooley Fields (TX) Lewis (CA) Natcher

Mvers

Goodling

Orton Rostenkowski Smith (MI) Pelosi Shaw Slattery Reynolds

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said concurrent resolution.

### ¶22.12 FEDERAL WORKFORCE RESTRUCTURING

On motion of Mr. CLAY, by unanimous consent, the bill (H.R. 3345) to amend title 5, United States Code, to eliminate certain restrictions on employee training; to provide temporary authority to agencies relating to voluntary separation incentive payments, and for other purposes; together with the amendments of the Senate to the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate thereto, was taken from the Speaker's table.

When on motion of Mr. CLAY, it was, Resolved, That the House disagree to the amendments of the Senate and agree to the conference asked by the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

#### ¶22.13 MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES-H.R. 3345

Mr. CASTLE moved that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on H.R. 3345, be instructed to agree to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, the Gramm amendment creating the Violent Crime Reduction Trust Fund and providing a conforming reduction in the discretionary spending limits.

After debate.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the motion to instruct the managers on the part of the House.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House agree to said motion? The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MOAKLEY, announced that the nays

Mr. CASTLE demanded a recorded vote on agreeing to said motion, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was or-

The vote was taken by electronic de-

It was decided in the Yeas ...... affirmative ...... Nays ..... 150

#### 922.14[Roll No. 57] AYES-231

Bilbray Allard Castle Andrews (NJ) Bishop Chapman Archer Bliley Clement Armey Blute Clinger Bachus (AL) Boehlert Coble Collins (GA) Baker (CA) Bonilla Baker (LA) Brewster Combest Barca Browder Cooper Costello Barcia Bryant Barrett (NE) Bunning Cox Bartlett Burton Cramer Bateman Buyer Crapo Calvert Cunningham Bentley Bereuter Camp Canady Danner Darden Berman Bevill de la Garza

Deal DeFazio DeLay Diaz-Balart Dickey Doolittle Dornan Dreier Duncan Dunn Edwards (TX) Emerson Engel English Everett Ewing Fingerhut Fish Fowler Franks (CT) Franks (N.I) Frost Gallegly Gejdenson Gekas Geren Gilchrest Gillmor Gingrich Glickman Goodlatte Goodling Goss Grams Grandy Greenwood Gunderson Hall (TX) Hancock Hansen Harman Hastert Hefley Herger Hobson Hoekstra Hoke Horn Houghton Huffington Hughes Hunter Hutchinson Hutto Hyde Inglis Inhofe Istook Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam

Kaptur Kasich Ramstad Kennelly Kim King Klein Klug Knollenberg Kolbe Kvl Lambert Lancaster LaRocco Lazio Leach Lehman Levin Levy Lewis (FL) Linder Livingston Lowey Machtley Malonev Manzullo McCandless McCollum McCrery McCurdy McDade McHale McHugh McInnis McKeon Meyers Mica Michel Molinari Mollohan Montgomery Moorhead Neal (NC) Nussle Oxley Packard Pallone Parker Paxon Payne (VA) Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Petri Pickle Pombo Pomeroy Porter Portman Poshard Pryce (OH) Rahall

Ravenel Reed Regula Ridge Roberts Roemer Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Roukema Royce Sangmeister Santorum Sarpalius Schaefer Schenk Schiff Schumer Sensenbrenner Shepherd Shuster Skeen Skelton Smith (IA) Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Snowe Spence Spratt Stearns Stenholm Stump Stupak Talent Tanner Tauzin Taylor (MS) Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Torkildsen Torricelli Traficant Valentine Vucanovich Walker Walsh Waxman Weldon Whitten Wilson Wise Wyden Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmer

#### NOES-150

Ackerman Eshoo Andrews (ME) Evans Applegate Farr Bacchus (FL) Fawell Baesler Fazio Fields (LA) Barlow Filner Barrett (WI) Becerra Flake Beilenson Foglietta Blackwell Ford (MI) Frank (MA) Bonior Borski Gephardt Boucher Gilman Brown (CA) Gonzalez Gordon Hall (OH) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Hamburg Byrne Hamilton Cardin Carr Hefner Clay Hilliard Clayton Hinchey Hoagland Hochbrueckner Clyburn Coleman Collins (MI) Holden Hoyer Inslee Condit. Conyers Coppersmith Jacobs Coyne DeLauro Jefferson Johnson (SD) Dellums Johnson, E. B. Deutsch Johnston Dicks Kanjorski Dingell Kennedy Dixon Kildee Durbin Kleczka Edwards (CA) Klink Kreidler

Ehlers

Lantos Laughlin Lewis (GA) Long Mann Manton Margolies-Mezvinsky Markey Martinez Matsui Mazzoli McCloskey McDermott McKinney Meek Menendez Mfume Mineta Minge Mink Moakley Moran Morella Murphy Myers Nadler Neal (MA) Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Pastor Payne (NJ)

Penny

Pickett

Price (NC)

Rangel Stokes Velazquez Strickland Richardson Vento Visclosky Roybal-Allard Studds Rush Swett Volkmer Washington Sabo Swift Sanders Synar Waters Sawver Tejeda Wheat Schroeder Williams Thompson Scott Thornton Wolf Woolsey Serrano Thurman Sharp Torres Wynn Shays Tucker Yates Slaughter Unsoeld Stark Upton

#### NOT VOTING-52

Hastings Abercrombie Owens Andrews (TX) Hayes Pelosi Johnson (GA) Ballenger Quillen Reynolds Barton Kingston Bilirakis Kopetski Rose Rostenkowski Boehner LaFalce Lewis (CA) Brooks Rowland Callahan Lightfoot Shaw Collins (IL) Lipinski Sisisky Crane Lloyd Skaggs Derrick McMillan Slattery McNulty Dooley Solomon Fields (TX) Meehan Sundquist Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Taylor (NC) Ford (TN) Towns Gallo Gibbons Murtha Watt Natcher Gutierrez Orton

So the motion to instruct the managers on the part of the House was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said motion was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

#### ¶22.15 APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES— H.R. 3345

Thereupon, the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, by unanimous consent, announced the appointment of the following Members as manager on the part of the House at said conference:

From the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service for consideration of the Senate amendments to the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. CLAY, Mr. McCloskey, Ms. Norton, Mr. Myers of Indiana, and Mrs. Morella.

From the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of Senate amendment numbered 1 and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. Brooks, Schumer, and Sensenbrenner.

From the Committee on Government Operations, for consideration of Senate amendment numbered 1 and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. Conyers, Towns, and Clinger.

From the Committee on Rules, for consideration of Senate amendment numbered 1 and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. DERRICK, BEILENSON, and SOLOMON.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate of the foregoing appointments.

#### ¶22.16 ORDER OF BUSINESS— STRUCTURED DEBATES

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That in conferring recognition for structured debates on certain Wednesdays, pursuant to the previous order of the House of February 11, 1994, the Speaker may adhere to a format

and sequence mutually established by the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader or their designees and depicted in a memorandum at the Speaker's desk and at each manager's table.

#### ¶22.17 ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

*Ordered,* That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 10:30 a.m. on Tuesday, March 15, 1994.

#### ¶22.18 HOUR OF MEETING

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That when the House adjourns on Tuesday, March 15, 1994, it adjourn to meet at 10 o'clock a.m. on Wednesday, March 16, 1994.

#### ¶22.19 CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS DISPENSED WITH

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That business in order for consideration on Wednesday, March 16, 1994, under clause 7, rule XXIV, the Calendar Wednesday rule, be dispensed with.

# ¶22.20 ORDER OF BUSINESS—BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT

On motion of Mr. STENHOLM, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That business in order pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXVII on Monday, March 14, 1994, be dispensed with, and that it be in order on Wednesday, March 16, 1994, for Mr. Stenholm, or his designee, to call up House Resolution 331 for consideration under the same terms as if discharged from the Committee on Rules pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXVII; and

Ordered further, That the period of general debate provided for in House Resolution 331 be reduced to six hours, to be equally divided and controlled by Mr. Brooks, Mr. Fish, and Mr. Stenholm, or their designees.

# ¶22.21 NATIONAL PUBLIC SAFETY TELECOMMUNICATORS WEEK

On motion of Mr. WYNN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service was discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution of the Senate (S.J. Res. 56) to designate the week beginning April 12, 1993, as "National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week".

When said joint resolution was considered and read twice.

Mr. WYNN submitted the following amendment which was agreed to:

Page 2, line 3, strike "April 12, 1993," and insert "April 11, 1994,"

The joint resolution, as amended, was ordered to be read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

By unanimous consent, the title was amended so as to read: "Joint resolution to designate the week beginning April 11, 1994, as 'National Public Safety Telecommunicators Week'."

A motion to reconsider the votes whereby said joint resolution was

passed and the title was amended was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said amendments.

#### ¶22.22 CLASSICAL MUSIC MONTH

On motion of Mr. WYNN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service was discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 239) to authorize the President to proclaim September 1994 as "Classical Music Month".

When said joint resolution was considered, read twice, ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said joint resolution was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said joint resolution.

#### ¶22.23 PARENTS DAY

On motion of Mr. WYNN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service was discharged from further consideration of the following resolution (H. Res. 236):

Whereas the values of sacrificial love and integrity are fundamental in developing the moral character essential to maintaining a strong Nation;

Whereas parents by their example of sacrificial love and the transmission of moral and cultural values play a crucial and determinant role in the development of youth;

Whereas time has demonstrated that the traditional American family is the most stable and secure environment in which parents can successfully rear future generations;

Whereas the current breakdown of the traditional American family is a major factor contributing to the rise of crime, teen pregnancy, educational failure, substance abuse, and suicide among our Nation's youth; and

Whereas it is in the interest of society and government to adopt policies, that help families stay together by strengthening and sustaining fathers and mothers in fulfilling their parental roles: Now, therefore, be it

their parental roles: Now, therefore, be it *Resolved*, That on Parents Day, July 28, 1994, all private citizens, organizations and governmental and legislative bodies at the local, State and Federal level are encouraged to recognize this day through proclamations, activities and educational efforts in the furtherance of recognizing, uplifting and supporting the role of parents in the rearing of their children.

When said resolution was considered and agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

# ¶22.24 GREEK INDEPENDENCE DAY

On motion of Mr. WYNN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service was discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution of the Senate (S.J. Res. 162) designating March 25, 1994, as "Greek Independence Day: A National Day of Celebration of Greek and American Democracy".

When said joint resolution was considered, read twice, ordered to be read

a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said joint resolution was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

#### ¶22.25 NATIONAL AGRICULTURE DAY

On motion of Mr. WYNN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service was discharged from further consideration of the joint resolution of the Senate (S.J. Res. 163) to proclaim March 20, 1994, as "National Agriculture Day".

When said joint resolution was considered, read twice, ordered to be read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said joint resolution was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

#### ¶22.26 CONGRESSIONAL AWARD BOARD

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, laid before the House a communication, which was read as follows:

House of Representatives,  $Washington,\ DC,\ March\ 11,\ 1994.$  Hon. Thomas Foley,

Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to Section 4 of the Congressional Award Act (2 U.S.C. 803), I hereby appoint Mr. Thomas D. Campbell of Alexandria, Virginia to serve as a member of the Congressional Award Board. Sincerely.

ROBERT H. MICHEL, Republican Leader.

# ¶22.27 APPOINTMENT OF ADDITIONAL CONFEREES—H.R. 1804

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, by unanimous consent and pursuant to clause 6(f) of rule X, announced the appointment of the following Members as additional conferees on the part of the House to the conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1804) to improve learning and teaching by providing a national framework for education reform; to promote the research, consensus building, and systemic changes needed to ensure equitable educational achievement for all American students; to provide a framework for reauthorization of all Federal education programs; to promote the development and adoption of a voluntary national system of skill standards and certifications; and for other purposes:

As additional conferees from the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for consideration of title XII of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. DINGELL, WAXMAN, SYNAR, MOORHEAD, and BLILEY.

As additional conferees from the Committee on Foreign Affairs, for con-

sideration of section 921 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. Hamilton, Berman, Lantos, Gilman, and Ms. Snowe.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, by unanimous consent, inserted in the Congressional Record the following correction of the previous appointment of conferees made on February 23, 1994:

Further, and without objection, the Chair will insert into the CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD a correction in the previous appointment of conferees on H.R. 1804 on February 23, 1994:

From the Committee on Education and Labor, for consideration of the House amendment (except title II) to the Senate amendment, and the Senate amendment (except sections 901-14), and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. FORD of Michigan, KIL-DEE, MILLER of California, SAWYER, and OWENS, Mrs. UNSOELD, Mr. REED and Mr. ROEMER, Mrs. MINK of Hawaii, Messrs. Engel, Becerra, and Gene GREEN of Texas, Ms. Woolsey, Ms. ENGLISH of Arizona, Messrs. STRICK-LAND, PAYNE of New Jersey, ROMERO-Barceló, GOODLING, GUNDERSON. McKeon, and Petri, Ms. Molinari, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mrs. ROUKEMA, and Mr. BOEHNER.

From the Committee on Education and Labor, for consideration of title II of the House amendment to the Senate amendment, and sections 901–14 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Messrs. FORD of Michigan, OWENS, PAYNE of New Jersey, Scott, Sawyer, Goodling, Ballenger, Barrett of Nebraska, and Fawell.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate of the foregoing additional appointments and corrections.

# ¶22.28 SENATE BILL AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS REFERRED

A bill and joint resolutions of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 282. An Act to provide Federal recognition of the Mowa Band of Choctaw Indians of Alabama; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

S.J. Res. 150. Joint resolution to designate the week of May 2 through May 8, 1994, as "Public Service Recognition Week"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

S.J. Res. 151. Joint resolution designating the week of April 10 through 16, 1994, as "Primary Immune Deficiency Awareness Week"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

S.J. Res. 162. Joint resolution designating March 25, 1994, as "Greek Independence Day: A National Day of Celebration of Greek and American Democracy"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

S.J. Res. 163. Joint resolution to proclaim March 20, 1994, as "National Agriculture Day"; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

### ¶22.29 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted—

To Mr. McNULTY, for today after 2 p.m.;

To Mr. MEEHAN, for today;

To Mr. LIGHTFOOT, for today; and To Mr. FIELDS of Texas, for today. And then,

#### ¶22.30 ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Mr. GONZALEZ, pursuant to the special order of the House heretofore agreed to, at 5 o'clock and 3 minutes p.m., the House adjourned until 10:30 a.m., Tuesday, March 15, 1994.

#### ¶22.31 PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ROWLAND (for himself, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. STUMP, and Mr. SMITH of New Jersey):

H.R. 4013. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide the Secretary of Veterans Affairs with necessary flexibility in staffing the Veterans Health Administration, to authorize the Secretary to establish pilot programs for health care delivery, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. BARLOW:

H.R. 4014. A bill to amend the Flood Control Act of 1968 to prohibit the imposition of certain fees for use of developed recreation sites and facilities; jointly, to the Committees on Public Works and Transportation and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BEILENSON (for himself, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. BECERRA, Mr. FILNER, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. FARR, Ms. SCHENK, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. DIXON, Mr. PASTOR, and Ms. VELÁZQUEZ):

H.R. 4015. A bill to provide for enhanced enforcement of the immigration laws; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CRANE:

H.R. 4016. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on octadecyl isocyanate; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. EDWARDS of California:

H.R. 4017. A bill to amend title 28, United States Code, to prevent racially discriminatory capital sentencing; to the Committee on the Judiciary.
H.R. 4018. A bill to revise habeas corpus

H.R. 4018. A bill to revise habeas corpus proceedings; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HINCHEY:

H.R. 4019. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to permit certain severance payments to be included in income over a 4-year period; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HOEKSTRA:

H.R. 4020. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on ACM; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 4021. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on amitraz; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mrs. KENNELLY (for herself, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. GEJDENSON, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. SHAYS, and Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut):

H.R. 4022. A bill to suspend temporarily the duty on the personal effects of participants in, and certain other individuals associated with, the 1995 Special Olympics World Games; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PORTMAN (for himself and Mr. SMITH of New Jersey):

H.R. 4023. A bill to extend until June 30, 1995, the temporary suspension of duties on self-folding telescopic shaft collapsible um-

brellas; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SANDERS (for himself, Mr. DELLUMS, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHN-SON of Texas, Ms. Pelosi, Mr. Peter-SON of Minnesota, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. BEILENSON, Mr. OWENS, Mr. HOCHBRUECKNER, Mr. SCOTT, Mr. PAS-TOR, and Mr. MCHALE):

H.R. 4024. A bill to establish a national program of trained community health advisors to assist the States in attaining the Healthy People 2000 objectives; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. SCOTT (for himself, Mr. OWENS, Mr. CLAY, Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey, Mr. Jefferson, Mr. Dellums, Mr. WATT, Mr. WILLIAMS, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. SISISKY, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. MFUME, Mr. REYNOLDS, Mr. HOBSON, Mr. WHEAT, Mr. PARKER, Mr. FORD of Tennessee, Mr. Frost, Mr. NEAL of North Carolina, Mr. THORNTON, Mr. RANGEL, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. STOKES, Mr. BAESLER, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. DIXON, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Ms. WATERS, Mrs. CLAYTON, Mr. HILLIARD, Ms. McKinney, Ms. NORTON, Mr. FLAKE, Mr. WYNN, Mr. MILLER of California, Mr. THOMPSON, Mr. RAHALL, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. FORD of Michigan, and Mr. PICKLE):

H.R. 4025. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to extend the cohort default rate exemption for historically Black colleges, tribally controlled community colleges, and Navajo community colleges; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. DIXON:

H.R. 4026. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to authorize appropriations for deferred participation loans to small business concerns detrimentally affected by defense reductions, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business.

By Mr. DREIER (for himself, Mr. EVANS, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. COX, Mr. BAKER of California, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. MOORHEAD, and Mr. McKeon):

H.R. 4027. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow penalty-free withdrawals from certain retirement plans for the repair or replacement of certain property damaged in a Presidentially declared disaster; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. HOAGLAND (for himself, Mr. PORTER, Mr. BREWSTER, and Mr. THOMAS):

H.R. 4028. A bill to empower the Department of Health and Human Services to issue advisory opinions on whether certain arrangements for the delivery of health care services and supplies are in compliance with statutes and rules establishing acceptable health care billing and payment practices and with statutes and rules defining health care fraud and abuse; jointly, to the Committees on Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. WISE (for himself, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, Mr. POMEROY, Ms. FURSE, Ms. BYRNE, and Ms. ESHOO):

H.J. Res. 336. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution to provide for a balanced budget for the U.S. Government; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ROSE:

H. Con. Res. 222. Concurrent resolution authorizing the placement of a bust of Raoul Wallenberg in the Capitol; to the Committee on House Administration.

### ¶22.32 MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII. memorials were presented and referred as fol-

310. By the SPEAKER: Memorial of the Senate of the State of California, relative to the closure of the Old Mint; to the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs. 311. Also, memorial of the Senate of the

State of California, relative to disabled veterans; to the Committee on Government Operations.

312. Also, memorial of the General Assembly of the State of New Jersey, relative to the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 41, a balanced budget amendment to the U.S. Constitution: to the Committee on the Judici-

#### ¶22.33 PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

#### Under clause 1 of rule XXII,

Mr. HOCHBRUECKNER introduced a bill (H.R. 4029) to authorize the Secretary of Transportation to issue a certificate of documentation with appropriate endorsement for employment in the coastwise trade for the vessel Aliento; which was referred to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fish-

#### ¶22.34 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 40: Mr. DELLUMS, Miss COLLINS of Michigan, Mr. OWENS, Mr. FLAKE, Mrs. COL-LINS of Illinois, Mr. Abercrombie, Mr. Jef-FERSON, Mr. STOKES, Mr. WHEAT, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. HILLIARD, and Mr. LANTOS.

H.R. 56: Mr. HALL of Texas and Mr. TAYLOR of Mississippi.

H.R. 291: Mr. INSLEE and Mr. RAVENEL. H.R. 439: Mr. CAMP and Mr. COOPER.

H.R. 642: Mr. McInnis.

H.R. 657: Mr. Franks of New Jersey.

H.R. 688: Mr. HOKE.

H.R. 794: Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mrs. KEN-NELLY, and Mr. REED.

H.R. 1048: Mr. VALENTINE and Ms. SHEP-HERD.

H.R. 1080: Mr. Hoke.

H.R. 1164: Mr. BILBRAY and Mr. MATSUI.

H.R. 1234: Mr. SUNDQUIST.

H.R. 1314: Ms. SHEPHERD.

H.R. 1457: Mrs. Byrne.

H.R. 1500: Mr. KREIDLER. H.R. 1517: Mr. OWENS.

H.R. 1627: Mr. JACOBS.

H.R. 1677: Mr. HINCHEY and Ms. WATERS.

H.R. 1712: Mr. CASTLE and Mr. ARCHER.

H.R. 1897: Mr. Young of Florida.

H.R. 1910: Mr. MORAN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, and Mr. BACHUS of Alabama.

H.R. 1961: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas and Ms. Molinari.

H.R. 2147: Ms. VELAZQUEZ and Mr. TORRICELLI.

H.R. 2326: Mr. CARR, Ms. NORTON, Mr. SAXTON, Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. KLEIN, Mr. CLEM-ENT, Mr. SWIFT, and Mr. TUCKER.

H.R. 2588: Mr. DELLUMS.

H.R. 2623: Mr. BISHOP, Mr. DICKS, and Ms.

H.R. 2767: Mr. PARKER.

H.R. 2886: Mr. Hefley, Mr. Coyne, Mr. An-DREWS of New Jersey, Mr. DELAY, Mr. STUMP, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. COX, Mr. BAR-RETT of Nebraska, Mr. SCHAEFER, Mrs. MEY-ERS of Kansas, and Mr. BEREUTER.

H.R. 3105: Mr. CASTLE.

H.R. 3227: Mr. GORDON, Mr. GINGRICH, Mr. DARDEN, Mr. BLILEY, Mr. NEAL of North Carolina, Mr. BACCHUS of Florida, Mr. WIL-SON, Mr. BARLOW, Mr. QUILLEN, and Mr. CAL-

H.R. 3228: Mrs. THURMAN.

H.R. 3247: Mr. WISE, Mr. KASICH, Mr. NAD-LER, Mr. Frank of Massachusetts, Mr. PE-TERSON of Minnesota, Mrs. MORELLA, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. FOGLI-ETTA, Mr. JOHNSTON of Florida, Mr. APPLE-GATE, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Ms. FURSE.

H.R. 3251: Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. HUTTO, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. MANN, Mr. DELAY, Mr. KIM, and Mr. SOLOMON.

H.R. 3272: Mr. DIAZ-BALART and Mrs. MEEK of Florida.

H.R. 3328: Mr. BACHUS of Alabama.

H.R. 3392: Mr. HERGER and Mr. LEWIS of Florida.

H.R. 3458: Mr. DORNAN, Mr. BOEHNER, and Mr. Schiff.

H.R. 3472: Mr. BILIRAKIS.

H.R. 3513: Mr. KILDEE.

H.R. 3523: Mr. FISH, Mr. HOKE, Mr. TALENT, Mr. CALVERT, and Mr. HERGER.

H.R. 3622: Mr. PACKARD.

H.R. 3656: Mr. RANGEL, Mr. ABERCROMBIE, Mr. FOGLIETTA, and Mr. BAKER of California. H.R. 3705: Mr. DUNCAN, Mr. GORDON, Mr. NEAL of North Carolina, and Mr. CLEMENT.

H.R. 3790: Mr. PARKER.

H.R. 3814: Mr. FOWLER, Mr. BAKER of Louisiana, Mr. UPTON, Mr. CASTLE, and Mr. AR-

H.R. 3912: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas.

H.R. 3951: Mr. CLYBURN and Mr. SANTORUM.

H.R. 3958: Mr. ALLARD.

H.R. 3969: Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Dickey, Mr. Bateman, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. CASTLE, and Mr. WALKER.

H.R. 3982: Mr. FIELDS of Texas.

H.R. 3986: Mr. GUNDERSON, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. KYL, Mr. McMillan, and Mr. Goss.

H.J. Res. 276: Mr. MACHTLEY, Mr. QUILLEN, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. WOLF, Mr. Markey, Mr. Hochbrueckner, Mr. Mann, Mr. CRAMER, Mr. HOYER, Mr. KASICH, Mr. DELLUMS, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Mr. WILSON, and Mr. VOLKMER.

H.J. Res. 303: Mr. TORRICELLI, Mr. APPLE-GATE, Mr. GILMAN, Mr. BATEMAN, and Mr. TORRES.

H.J. Res. 310: Mr. ROEMER, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Ms. Kaptur, Mr. Spence, Mr. GREENWOOD, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. PACKARD, Mr. CARR, Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. CALLAHAN, and Mr. REED.

H.J. Res. 317: Mr. GINGRICH, Mr. THOMAS of Wyoming, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. MARKEY, Ms. NORTON, Mr. CASTLE, Mr. MUR-THA, Mr. HINCHEY, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. MURPHY, Mr. SMITH of Iowa, Mr. KILDEE, Mr. HOYER, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. LIVINGSTON, Mr. MANTON, Mr. KLEIN, Mr. VENTO, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. WASHINGTON, Mr. CARR, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. Inhofe, Mr. Matsui, Ms. Roybal-Al-LARD, and Ms. LONG.

H. Con. Res. 35: Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. LAROCCO, Mr. COPPERSMITH, and Mr. UNDER-WOOD.

H. Con. Res. 126: Mrs. FOWLER.

H. Con. Res. 141: Mr. GINGRICH.

H. Con. Res. 156: Mr. SARPALIUS.

H. Con. Res. 177: Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. OWENS, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. PALLONE, Mr. Frost, Mr. Deutsch, Mr. Faleo-MAVAEGA, and Mr. GRAMS.

H. Con. Res. 210: Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Acker-MAN, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. MACHTLEY, and Mr. DIAZ-BALART.

H. Res. 238: Mr. SMITH of Texas.

H. Res. 363: Mr. Boehner, Mr. Gekas, Mr. DELAY, and Mr. HANCOCK.

H. Res. 372: Mr. KREIDLER, Mr. SLATTERY, Mr. Towns, Mr. Foglietta, and Mr. Castle. H. Res. 377: Mr. Miller of Florida.

### ¶22.35 PETITIONS, ETC.

#### Under clause 1 of rule XXII.

78. The SPEAKER presented a petition of the city of Schenectady, NY, relative to the enactment of comprehensive mandate relief legislation: which was referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

### TUESDAY, MARCH 15, 1994 (23)

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER at 10:30 a.m., when, pursuant to the order of the House of Friday,