Meek

agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶44.15 WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST THE CONFERENCE REPORT ON

H. CON. RES. 218

Mr. BEILENSON, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 418):

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read. The conference report shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget.

SEC. 2. Rule XLIX shall not apply with respect to the adoption by the Congress of the conference report to accompany the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for the fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

Mr. BEILENSON moved the previous question on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. The McNULTY, announced that the nays had it.

Mr. BEILENSON objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

Yeas 228 When there appeared Nays 168

¶44.16[Roll No. 160] YFAS-228

	1 EAS-228	
Abercrombie	Clayton	Evans
Ackerman	Clyburn	Farr
Andrews (ME)	Coleman	Fazio
Andrews (NJ)	Collins (IL)	Fields (LA)
Applegate	Collins (MI)	Filner
Bacchus (FL)	Condit	Fingerhut
Baesler	Conyers	Flake
Barca	Cooper	Ford (MI)
Barlow	Coppersmith	Ford (TN)
Barrett (WI)	Costello	Frank (MA)
Becerra	Coyne	Frost
Beilenson	Cramer	Furse
Berman	Danner	Gejdenson
Bilbray	de la Garza	Gephardt
Bishop	Deal	Geren
Bonior	DeFazio	Gibbons
Borski	DeLauro	Glickman
Boucher	Dellums	Gonzalez
Brewster	Derrick	Gordon
Brooks	Deutsch	Green
Browder	Dicks	Gutierrez
Brown (CA)	Dingell	Hall (OH)
Brown (FL)	Dixon	Hamburg
Brown (OH)	Durbin	Hamilton
Byrne	Edwards (CA)	Harman
Cantwell	Edwards (TX)	Hastings
Cardin	Engel	Hayes
Carr	English	Hefner
Chapman	Eshoo	Hilliard

Hinchey Hoagland Hochbrueckner Holden Hoyer Hutto Inslee Jacobs Johnson (GA) Johnson (SD) Johnson, E.B Johnston Kanjorski Kaptur Kennedy Kennelly Kleczka Klein Klink Kopetski Kreidler LaFalce Lambert Lancaster Lantos LaRocco Lehman Levin Lewis (GA) Lipinski Lowey Maloney Mann Manton Margolies Mezvinsky Markey Martinez Mazzoli McCloskey McCurdy McDermott McHale McKinney

McNulty

Meehan

Menendez Mfume Miller (CA) Mineta Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Montgomery Murphy Murtha Nadler Neal (MA) Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Orton Owens Pallone Parker Pastor Payne (NJ) Payne (VA) Peľosi Penny Peterson (FL) Peterson (MN) Pickett Pickle Pomeroy Poshard Rahall Rangel Reed Reynolds Richardson Roemer Rostenkowski Rowland Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo Sanders Sarpalius Sawyer

NAYS-168

Allard Gallegly Archer Gallo Gekas Armey Bachus (AL) Gilchrest Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Gillmor Gilman Mica Ballenger Gingrich Barcia Goodlatte Barrett (NE) Goodling Bartlett Barton Grams Bateman Greenwood Myers Bentley Gunderson Nussle Bereuter Hancock Oxley Bilirakis Hansen Bliley Hastert Paxon Hefley Blute Petri Boehlert Hobson Pombo Boehner Hoekstra Porter Bonilla Hoke Bunning Horn Burton Houghton Quinn Buver Huffington Callahan Hunter Ravenel Hutchinson Calvert Regula Hvde Ridge Camp Canady Inglis Castle Inhofe Clinger Istook Johnson (CT) Coble Roth Combest Johnson, Sam Kasich Royce Crane Crapo Kim Cunningham King DeLay Kingston Diaz-Balart Klug Knollenberg Schiff Dickey Dornan Kolbe Shaw Dreier Kyl Shays Duncan Lazio Shuster Dunn Leach Skeen Ehlers Levy Lewis (FL) Emerson Lightfoot Everett Ewing Fawell Linder Livingston Fields (TX) Machtley Snowe Fowler Manzullo Solomon

Schroeder Schumer Scott Shepherd Sisisky Skaggs Slattery Slaughter Smith (IA) Spratt Stenholm Stokes Strickland Studds Stupak Swift Synar Tanner Tauzin Tejeda Thompson Thornton Thurman Torres Torricelli Towns Traficant Tucker Unsoeld Valentine Velazquez Vento Visclosky Volkmer Waters Watt Waxman Wheat Whitten Williams Wise Woolsey Wyden Wynn

Schenk

McHugh McInnis McKeon McMillan Meyers Michel Miller (FL) Molinari Moorhead Morella Packard

Portman Quillen Ramstad

Roberts Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Roukema

Santorum Saxton Schaefer Sensenbrenner

Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith (TX)

Spence

Stearns

Stump Sundquist Talent Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Thomas (CA) Thomas (WY) Torkildsen Upton Vucanovich Walker Walsh

Weldon Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmer

NOT VOTING-36

Andrews (TX) Foglietta Moran Neal (NC) Bevill Grandy Hall (TX) Blackwell Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Bryant Herger Clav Hughes Rogers Clement Jefferson Sangmeister Collins (GA) Laughlin Serrano Lewis (CA) Cox Sharp Darden Stark Long Dooley Doolittle Matsui Swett McCandless Washington Fish McCollum Wilson

So the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the

¶44.17 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET FOR U.S.. FY 1995

Mr. SABO, pursuant to House Resolution 418, called up the following conference report (Rept. No. 103-490):

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 218), setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as fol-

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE SECTION 1. **BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.**

(a) DECLARATION.—The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this concurrent resolution is as follows:

Sec. 1. Concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1995.

TITLE I-LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

Sec. 2. Aggregates.

Sec. 3. Social security.

Sec. 4. Major functional categories.

TITLE II—BUDGETARY PROCEDURES

Sec. 21. Sale of government assets.

Sec. 22. Social security fire wall point of order in the Senate.

Sec. 23. Enforcing pay-as-you-go. Sec. 24. Enforcing discretionary spending limits.

Sec. 25. Internal Revenue Service compliance

initiative. Sec. 26. Adjustments for health care reform in the House of Representatives.

Sec. 27. Deficit-neutral reserve fund in the

Senate.
Sec. 28. Exercise of rulemaking powers. TITLE III—SENSE OF CONGRESS **PROVISIONS**

Sec. 31. Controlling growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.

Sec. 32. Sense of the House regarding enactment of certain budget process legislation.

McCrery

McDade

Franks (CT)

- Sec. 33. Sense of the Senate on controlling non-social security mandatory spending.
 Sec. 34. Sense of the Congress regarding the
- budgetary accounting of health care reform.
 Sec. 35. Sense of the Congress on the costs of
- illegal immigration.
 Sec. 36. Sense of the Congress regarding
- baselines.

 Sec. 37. Sense of the Congress regarding un-
- funded Federal mandates. Sec. 38. Closing of loopholes in foreign tax
- provisions. Sec. 39. Sense of the Senate regarding tax
- expenditures.

 Sec. 40. Sense of the Congress regarding health service delivery and water infrastructure in the Indian Health Service.
- Sec. 41. Sense of the Senate regarding the National Aeronautics Space Administration.
- Sec. 42. Minimum allocation program. Sec. 43. Policy in Eastern and Central Eu-
- rope. Sec. 44. Star Wars (Ballistic Missile Defense).

TITLE I-LEVELS AND AMOUNTS

SEC. 2. AGGREGATES.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for fiscal years 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998,

- (1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—(A) For purposes of comparison with the maximum deficit amount under sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of this resolution-
- (i) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$977,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,031,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,079,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$1,190,200,000,000.

- (ii) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999: \$0.
- (iii) The amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:
- Fiscal year 1995: \$100,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$106,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$111,900,000,000
- Fiscal year 1998: \$117,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$123,700,000,000.
- (B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements of the Hospital Insurance
- Trust Fund)-(i) The recommended levels of Federal rev-
- enues are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$877,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$924,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$967,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,018,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,066,500,000,000.
- (ii) The amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$0.
- (2) NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY.—(A) For purposes of comparison with the maximum deficit amount under sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1995: \$1,238,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,308,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,374,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,443,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,526,900,000,000.
- (B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:
- Fiscal year 1995: \$1,144,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,207,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,262,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,321,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,389,700,000,000.
- (3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—(A) For purposes of comparison with the maximum deficit amount under sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and for
- purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:
- Fiscal year 1995: \$1,217,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$1,284,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,356,600,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,418,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,490,900,000,000.
- (B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$1,124,900,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996: \$1,184,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,246,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,297,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,355,600,000,000.
- (4) DEFICITS.—(A) For purposes of comparison with the maximum deficit amount under sections 601(a)(1) and 606 of the Congressional
- Budget Act of 1974 and for purposes of the enforcement of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
- Fiscal year 1995: \$239,500,000,000
- Fiscal year 1996: \$253,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$276,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$281,900,000,000
- Fiscal year 1999: \$300,700,000,000.
- (B) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act (excluding the receipts and disbursements of the Hospital Insurance Trust Fund), the amounts of the deficits are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$247,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1996: \$259,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$278,400,000,000.

 - Fiscal year 1998: \$278,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1999: \$289,100,000,000.
 - (5) PUBLIC DEBT.—The appropriate levels of
- the public debt are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$4,965,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$5,281,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$5,618,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$5,958,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$6,308,800,000,000.
- (6) DIRECT LOAN OBLIGATIONS.—The appropriate levels of total new direct loan obliga-
- tions are as follows: Fiscal year 1995: \$26,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$32,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$33,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$35,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$37,800,000,000.
- (7) PRIMARY LOAN GUARANTEE COMMIT-MENTS.—The appropriate levels of new primary loan guarantee commitments are as
- Fiscal year 1995: \$199,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: \$174,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$164,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$164,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$163,500,000,000.

SEC. 3. SOCIAL SECURITY.

(a) SOCIAL SECURITY REVENUES.—For purposes of Senate enforcement under sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of revenues of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust

Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as follows:

Fiscal year 1995: \$360,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996: \$379,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$399,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998: \$419,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$439,800,000,000.

- (b) Social Security Outlays.—For purposes of Senate enforcement under sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the amounts of outlays of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$287,600,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996: \$301,300,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1997: \$312,300,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1998: \$324,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1999: \$337,000,000,000.

SEC. 4. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, and new primary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1995 through 1999 for each major functional category are:

- (1) National Defense (050):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$263,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$270,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$255,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$261,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$252,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$256,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$258,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$256,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$265,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$257,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (2) International Affairs (150):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$19,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,100,000,000. direct loan obligations, \$3,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997:
 (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$2,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1998:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$16,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$17,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$2,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,500,000,000.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$17,600,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$17,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (4) Energy (270):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$5,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,200,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$5,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

 - Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$6,100,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$4,700,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,500,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$5,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$4,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
- Fiscal year 1995:
 (A) New budget authority, \$21,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$21,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$22,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$21,500,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$22,100,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$21,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$22,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (6) Agriculture (350):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$13,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$10,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$13,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$9,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$14,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,700,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$9,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$14,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$13,000,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$9.800.000.000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$14,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,500,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$9,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$7,400,000,000.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$8,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct obligations. loan \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$117,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$10,800,000,000.
- directNew loan obligations. \$3,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$103,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$5,100,000,000.
- \$3,400,000,000. (B) Outlays,
- direct loan obligations. (C) New \$3,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$95,900,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1998:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$5,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,900,000,000.
- direct obligations, (C) New loan \$3,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$96,600,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, - \$900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$3,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$99,500,000,000.

800

(8) Transportation (400):

- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$41,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$500,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$41,800,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$43,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$40,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,300,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (B) Outlays, \$40,400,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

 - Fiscal year 1995:
- direct obligations. (C)New loan
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
- (B) Outlays, \$8,900,000,000. direct obligations. New loan
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$9,000,000,000.
- \$2,200,000,000.

 - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$9,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$57,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$53,700,000,000.
- direct obligations. (C) New loan
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,000,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,000,000,000.

- (A) New budget authority, \$44,600,000,000.
- \$100,000,000.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450)
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,300,000,000.
- \$2,200,000,000.
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,000,000,000
- \$2,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$9,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,100,000,000. direct New obligations. loan
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$3,600,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$2,200,000,000.
- ments, \$3,600,000,000. (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
- \$5,500,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$58,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$55,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$59,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$58,100,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$13,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$13,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$61,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$60,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$15,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$63,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$62,200,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$16,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$11,200,000,000.
 - (11) Health (550)
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$124,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$122,800,000,000.

 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$136,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$135,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$151,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$149,900,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$200,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$166,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$165,400,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000. Fiscal year 1999:

 (A) New budget authority, \$184,200,000,000.

 (B) Outlays, \$182,600,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (12) Medicare (570):

- (H) New Holder (975).

 (A) New budget authority, \$162,400,000,000.

 (B) Outlays, \$160,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$180,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$178,200,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$198,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$196,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$217,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$215,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$242,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$239,100,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (13) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act, Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund:
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$56,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$55,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$65,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$64,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$73,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$72,200,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$81,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$80,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$92,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$90,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (14) Income Security (600): Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$220,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$221,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$235,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$229,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$249,300,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$242,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$261,200,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$253,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$273,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$264,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (15) Social Security (650):
 - Fiscal year 1995: (A) New budget authority, \$6,800,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$9,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

801

(16) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1995:

- (A) New budget authority, \$37,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$36,600,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$32,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$37,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$36,600,000,000.
- direct loan obligations. \$1,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$38,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,300,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,800,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$38,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$38,500,000,000. New direct loan obligations.
- \$1,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,600,000,000.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$39,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$1,500,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,300,000,000.

(17) Administration of Justice (750):

- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$18,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$17,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$19,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$22,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$21,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$23,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, \$22,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$24,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$23,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (18) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1995:
(A) New budget authority, \$14,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$13,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$13,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,700,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (B) Outlays, \$13,900,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,400,000,000.

(A) New budget authority, \$13,100,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$13,400,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$12,800,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (19) Net Interest (900):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$247,100,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$247,100,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$267,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$267,200,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$282,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$282,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$298,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$298,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$315,600,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$315,600,000,000
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (20) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act, Net Interest (900):
 - Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, \$257,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$257,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$278,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$278,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$293,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$293,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

 - Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$309,100,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$309,100,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.

 - Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$325,500,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$325,500,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (21) The corresponding levels of gross interest on the public debt are as follows:
 - Fiscal year 1995: \$311,800,000,000.
 - Fiscal year 1996: \$331,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$347,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$365,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$384,100,000,000.
- (22) Allowances (920):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$6,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$4,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$4,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$3,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, -\$4,500,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, -\$3,300,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$7,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$7,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$8,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$11,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (23) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$44,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$44,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$30,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$30,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$30,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$30,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$31,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$31,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (24) For purposes of section 710 of the Social Security Act, Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
- Fiscal year 1995:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$42,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$42,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, -\$27,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$27,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$27,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$27,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$28,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$28,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$28,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$28,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. TITLE II—BUDGETARY PROCEDURES

SEC. 21. SALE OF GOVERNMENT ASSETS. (a) SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.—It is the sense

of the Congress that-

- (1) from time to time the United States Government should sell assets; and
- (2) the amounts realized from such asset sales will not recur on an annual basis and
- do not reduce the demand for credit. (b) BUDGETARY TREATMENT.—For purposes of points of order under this concurrent resolution and the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, the amounts realized from sales of assets (other than loan assets) shall not be scored with respect to the level of budget authority, outlays, or revenues.
- (c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section
- (1) the term "sale of an asset" shall have the same meaning as under section 250(c)(21) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (as amended by the
- Budget Enforcement Act of 1990); and (2) the term shall not include asset sales mandated by law before September 18, 1987, and routine, ongoing asset sales at levels consistent with agency operations in fiscal
- year 1986. (d) SUNSET.—Subsections (a) through (c) of
- this section shall expire September 30, 1998. (e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 8 of House Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress), section 8 of House Concurrent Resolution 287 (102d Congress), section 7 of House Concurrent Resolution 121 (102d Congress), section 5 of House Concurrent Resolution 310 (101st Congress), section 6 of House Concurrent Resolution 106 (101st Congress), section 4 of House Concurrent Resolution 268 (100th Congress), and sections 7 and 8 of House Concurrent Resolution 93 (100th Congress) are re-

pealed. SEC. 22. SOCIAL SECURITY FIRE WALL POINT OF

- ORDER IN THE SENATE. (a) APPLICATION OF SECTION 301(i).-Notwithstanding any other rule of the Senate, in the Senate, the point of order established under section 301(i) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 shall apply to any concurrent resolution on the budget for any fiscal year (as reported and as amended), amendments thereto, or any conference report
- thereon. (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 10(b) of House Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress) and section 12(b) of House Concurrent Resolution 287 (102d Congress) are repealed.
- SEC. 23. ENFORCING PAY-AS-YOU-GO. (a) PURPOSE.—The Senate declares that it
- is essential to-(1) ensure continued compliance with the deficit reduction embodied in the Omnibus
- Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993; and (2) continue the pay-as-you-go enforcement
- system.
- (b) POINT OF ORDER.-(1) IN GENERAL.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any direct-spending or receipts legislation (as defined in paragraph (3)) that would increase the deficit for any one of the three applicable time periods (as defined in paragraph (2)) as measured
- pursuant to paragraphs (4) and (5). (2) APPLICABLE TIME PERIODS.—For purposes of this subsection, the term "applicable time period" means any one of the three
- following periods-(A) the first fiscal year covered by the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the budget:
- (B) the period of the 5 fiscal years covered by the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the budget; or
- (C) the period of the 5 fiscal years following the first 5 years covered by the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the
- (3) DIRECT-SPENDING OR RECEIPTS LEGISLA-TION.—For purposes of this subsection, the term "direct-spending or receipts legislation" shall-

- (A) include any bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report to which this subsection otherwise applies;
- (B) exclude concurrent resolutions on the budget;
- (C) exclude full funding of, and continuation of, the deposit insurance guarantee commitment in effect on the date of enactment of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990;

(D) exclude emergency provisions so designated under section 252(e) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985;

(E) include the estimated amount of savings in direct-spending programs applicable to that fiscal year resulting from the prior year's sequestration under the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, if any (except for any amounts sequestered as a result of a net deficit increase in the fiscal year immediately preceding the prior fiscal year); and

(F) except as otherwise provided in this subsection, include all direct-spending legislation as that term is interpreted for purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

- (4) BASELINE.—Estimates prepared pursuant to this section shall use the baseline used for the most recent concurrent resolution on the budget, and for years beyond those covered by that concurrent resolution, shall abide by the requirements of section 257 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, except that references to "outyears" in that section shall be deemed to apply to any year (other than the budget year) covered by any one of the time periods defined in paragraph (2) of this subsection
- (5) PRIOR SURPLUS AVAILABLE.—If direct-spending or receipts legislation increases the deficit when taken individually (as a bill, joint resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report, as the case may be), then it must also increase the deficit when taken together with all direct-spending and receipts legislation enacted after the date of enactment of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, in order to violate the prohibition of this subsection.
- (c) WAIVER.—This section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn.
- (d) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the bill or joint resolution, as the case may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- (e) DETERMINATION OF BUDGET LEVELS.—For purposes of this section, the levels of new budget authority, outlays, and receipts for a fiscal year shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 12 of House Concurrent Resolution 64 (103d Congress) is repealed.

(g) TECHNICAL CORRECTION.—Notwithstanding section 275(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (as amended by sections 13112(b) and 13208(b)(3) of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990), the second sentence of section 904(c) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (except insofar as it relates to section 313 of that Act) and the final sentence of section 904(d) of that Act (except insofar as it relates to section 313 of that Act) shall continue to have effect as rules of the Senate through (but no later than) September 30, 1998.

- (h) SUNSET.—Subsections (a) through (e) of this section shall expire September 30, 1998. SEC. 24. ENFORCING DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.
 - (a) DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.-
- (1) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of enforcing this section in the Senate, the discretionary spending limits in section 601(a)(2)(F) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (as adjusted) are reduced by the following amounts—
- (A) with respect to fiscal year 1996, \$4,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,400,000,000 in outlays;
- (B) with respect to fiscal year 1997, \$10,700,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,400,000,000 in outlays; and
- (C) with respect to fiscal year 1998, \$4,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$500,000,000 in outlays.
- (2) POINT OF ORDER IN THE SENATE.—(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), it shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, 1997, or 1998 (or amendment or motion on such a resolution) that recommends discretionary spending levels for the first fiscal year covered by that resolution that would exceed the discretionary spending limits as reduced in this section.

(B) This subsection shall not apply if a declaration of war by the Congress is in effect or if a joint resolution pursuant to section 258 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 has been enacted.

(b) WAIVER.—This section may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Mem-

bers, duly chosen and sworn.

- (c) APPEALS.—Appeals in the Senate from the decisions of the Chair relating to any provision of this section shall be limited to 1 hour, to be equally divided between, and controlled by, the appellant and the manager of the concurrent resolution, bill, or joint resolution, as the case may be. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required in the Senate to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under this section.
- (d) DETERMINATION OF BUDGET LEVELS.— For purposes of this section, the levels of new budget authority, outlays, and revenues for a fiscal year shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the Committee on the Budget of the Senate.

SEC. 25. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE COMPLIANCE INITIATIVE.

(a)(1) ADJUSTMENTS.—For purposes of points of order under the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 and concurrent resolutions on the budget—

(A) the discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of that Act (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outyear;

(B) the allocations to the Committees on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act;

 $\left(C\right)$ the appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget; and

(D) the maximum deficit amount under section 601(a)(1) of that Act (and that amount as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year,

shall be adjusted to reflect the amounts of additional new budget authority or additional outlays (as defined in paragraph (2)) reported by the Committee on Appropriations in appropriations Acts (or by the committee of conference on such legislation) for the Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities in any fiscal year, but not to exceed in any fiscal year \$405,000,000 in new budget authority and \$405,000,000 in outlays

- (2) ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS.—As used in this section, the terms "additional new budget authority" or "additional outlays" shall mean, for any fiscal year, budget authority or outlays (as the case may be) in excess of the amounts requested for that fiscal year for the Internal Revenue Service in the President's Budget for fiscal year 1995.
- (b) REVISED LIMITS, ALLOCATIONS, AND AGGREGATES.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to subsection (a), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate or the House of Representatives (as the case may be) shall submit to that Chairman's respective House appropriately revised—

(1) discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outvear:

(2) allocations to the Committees on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act:

(3) appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget; and

(4) maximum deficit amount under section 601(a)(1) of that Act (and that amount as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year,

to carry out this subsection. These revised discretionary spending limits, allocations, and aggregates shall be considered for purposes of congressional enforcement under that Act as the discretionary spending limits, allocations, and aggregates.

(c) REPORTING REVISED SUBALLOCATIONS.— The Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives may report appropriately revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this section.

(d) CONTINGENCIES.

(I) The Internal Revenue Service and the Treasury Department have certified that they are firmly committed to the principles of privacy, confidentiality, courtesy, and protection of taxpayer rights. To this end, the Internal Revenue Service and the Treasury Department have explicitly committed to initiate and implement educational programs for any new employees hired as a result of the compliance initiative made possible by this section.

(2) This section shall not apply to any additional new budget authority or additional outlays unless—

(A) in the Senate, the Chairman of the Budget Committee certifies, based upon information from the Congressional Budget Office, the General Accounting Office, and the Internal Revenue Service (as well as from any other sources he deems relevant), that such budget authority or outlays will not increase the total of the Federal budget deficits over the next five years; and

(B) any funds made available pursuant to such budget authority or outlays are available only for the purpose of carrying out Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities.

SEC. 26. ADJUSTMENTS FOR HEALTH CARE REFORM IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

(a) In the House of Representatives, if health care reform legislation is reported (including by a committee of conference), budget authority, outlays, and new entitlement authority shall be allocated to committees, and the total levels of budget authority, outlays, and revenues shall be adjusted, to reflect such legislation if the legislation in the form in which it will be considered would not increase the total deficit for the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.

(b) Upon reporting of legislation described in subsection (a) and again upon submission of a conference report on such legislation, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives shall publish in the Congressional Record revised allocations under section 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised levels of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues to carry out this section. In the House of Representatives, such allocations and totals shall be considered as the allocations and aggregates under this resolution.

SEC. 27. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND IN THE SENATE.

- (a)(1) BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAY ALLO-CATIONS.—In the Senate, budget authority and outlays may be allocated (as provided under subsection (c)) to a committee (or committees) for direct-spending legislation that increases funding for any of the purposes described in subsection (b)(1) within that committee's jurisdiction, if, to the extent that this concurrent resolution on the budget does not include the costs of that legislation, the enactment of that legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—
 - (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through
- (2) BUDGET AUTHORITY AND OUTLAY ALLOCA-TIONS AND REVENUE AGGREGATES.—In the Senate, budget authority and outlays may be allocated to a committee (or committees) and the revenue aggregates may be reduced (as provided under subsection (c)) for directspending or receipts legislation in furtherance of any of the purposes described in subsection (b)(2) within that committee's jurisdiction, if, to the extent that this concurrent resolution on the budget does not include the costs of that legislation, the enactment of that legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for-
 - (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.
- (3) OUTLAY-NEUTRAL BUDGET AUTHORITY ALLOCATIONS.—In the Senate, budget authority may be allocated (as provided under subsection (c)) to a committee (or committees) for any direct-spending legislation within that committee's jurisdiction, if, to the extent that this concurrent resolution on the budget does not include the costs of that legislation, the enactment of that legislation will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed outlay reductions) the deficit or aggregate outlays in this resolution for—
 - (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.
- (A) to provide comprehensive training or job search assistance (including reemployment or job training programs or dislocated worker programs), or to reform unemployment compensation, or to provide for other related programs;
- (B) to preserve or rebuild the United States maritime industry;
- (C) to reform the financing of Federal elections; or
- (D) to reform the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980.
- (2) PURPOSES UNDER SUBSECTION (a)(2).— Budget authority and outlay allocations may be revised or the revenue floor reduced under subsection (a)(2) for—

- (A) legislation to improve the well-being of families through welfare or other reforms (including promoting self-sufficiency through improvements in job training or employment programs), to provide for services to support or protect children (including assuring increased parental support for children through improvements in the child support enforcement program), or to improve the health, nutrition, or care of children;
- (B) to make continuing improvements in ongoing health care programs, to provide for comprehensive health care reform, to control health care costs, or to accomplish other health care reforms;
- (C) trade-related legislation (including legislation to implement the Uruguay Round of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade or to extend the Generalized System of Preferences):
- (D) reforms relating to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (including legislation to improve the funding of government-insured pension plans, to protect plan participants, or to limit growth in exposure of the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation) or other employee benefit-related legislation;
- (E) reforms relating to providing for simplified collection of employment taxes on domestic services;
- (F) reforms to consolidate the supervision of depository institutions insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act; or
- (G) initiatives to preserve United States energy security.
- (c) REVISED ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES.—
- (1) UPON REPORTING.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to subsection (a), and again upon the submission of a conference report on that legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may submit to the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised aggregates to carry out this section.
- (2) ADJUSTMENTS FOR AMENDMENTS.—If the chairman of the Committee on the Budget submits an adjustment under this section for legislation in furtherance of the purpose described in subsection (b)(2)(B), upon the offering of an amendment to that legislation that would necessitate such a submission, the chairman shall submit to the Senate appropriately revised allocations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and revised aggregates, if the enactment of that legislation (as proposed to be amended) will not increase (by virtue of either contemporaneous or previously passed deficit reduction) the deficit in this resolution for—
 - (A) fiscal year 1995; or
- (B) the period of fiscal years 1995 through 1999.
- (d) EFFECT OF REVISED ALLOCATIONS AND AGGREGATES.—Revised allocations and aggregates submitted under subsection (c) shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as allocations and aggregates contained in this concurrent resolution on the budget.
- (e) REPORTING REVISED SUBDIVISIONS.—The appropriate committee may report appropriately revised subdivisions of allocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(2) and 602(b)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this section.

SEC. 28. EXERCISE OF RULEMAKING POWERS.

The Congress adopts the provisions of this title—

(1) as an exercise of the rulemaking power of the Senate and the House of Representatives, respectively, and as such they shall be considered as part of the rules of each House, or of that House to which they specifically apply, and such rules shall supersede other

rules only to the extent that they are inconsistent therewith; and

(2) with full recognition of the constitutional right of either House to change those rules (so far as they relate to that House) at any time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as in the case of any other rule of that House.

TITLE III—SENSE OF CONGRESS PROVISIONS

SEC. 31. CONTROLLING GROWTH OF ENTITLE-MENT OR MANDATORY SPENDING.

It is the sense of the Congress that legislation should be enacted providing enforceable limits to control the growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.

SEC. 32. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING ENACTMENT OF CERTAIN BUDGET PROCESS LEGISLATION.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that the following legislation should be enacted:

- (1) Legislation providing enforceable limits to control the growth of entitlement or mandatory spending.
- (2) Amendments to the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 to establish a regular procedure to provide assistance for disasters and other emergencies without adding to the deficit.
- (3) Legislation granting the President expedited rescission authority over appropriations measures, as provided by H.R. 1578, as passed the House.

SEC. 33. SENSE OF THE SENATE ON CONTROL-LING NON-SOCIAL SECURITY MAN-DATORY SPENDING.

It is the sense of the Senate that the Congress should— $\,$

- (1) after enacting health care reform legislation, enact annual caps to control the growth of entitlement or mandatory spending:
- (2) include within these caps all mandatory spending programs except Social Security, deposit insurance, and net interest;
- (3) provide that these caps shall be set so that programs providing benefits to individuals may grow for inflation, changes in the numbers of beneficiaries, and an additional growth allowance;
- (4) provide that these caps shall be adjusted annually in the President's budget for changes in inflation and the number of beneficiaries since Congress enacted the caps (excluding any changes due to legislation);
- (5) provide an enforcement mechanism in the event that total mandatory spending exceeds the caps; and
- (6) enact caps on tax expenditures similar to those for mandatory spending so as to ensure that reductions in Federal spending for mandatory programs are not achieved by shifting spending to tax expenditures.

SEC. 34. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING THE BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING OF HEALTH CARE REFORM.

It is the sense of the Congress that—

- (1) the Congress should measure the costs and benefits of all health care reform legislation against a uniform set of economic and technical assumptions;
- (2) before enacting major changes in the health care system, the Congress should have available to it reliable estimates of the costs of competing plans prepared in a comparable manner; and
- (3) the Congress should account for all financial transactions associated with Federal health care reform legislation.

SEC. 35. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON THE COSTS OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- (1) the Federal Government is solely responsible for setting and enforcing national immigration policy;
- (2) the Federal Government has not adequately enforced immigration laws;

- (3) this weak enforcement has imposed financial costs on State and local governments;
- (4) the Federal Government has failed to investigate and prosecute Federal wage and hour violations, thus creating incentives to hire persons illegally in the United States and exacerbating the problem of illegal immigration;
- (5) States must incur costs for incarcerating undocumented persons convicted of State and local crimes, educating undocumented children, providing emergency medical services to undocumented persons, and providing services incidental to admission of refugees under the Refugee Admissions and Resettlement Program; and

(6) the Federal Government has an obligation to reimburse State and local governments for costs resulting from the costs described in this subsection.

- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, in setting forth the budget authority and outlay amounts in this resolution, the Congress intends that funding should be provided to reimburse State and local governments for the costs associated with—
- (1) elementary and secondary education for undocumented children;
- (2) emergency medical assistance to undocumented persons;
- (3) incarceration and parole of criminal aliens; and
- (4) services incidental to admission of refugees under the Refugee Admissions and Resettlement Program.

SEC. 36. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—
- (1) the baseline budget shows the likely course of Federal revenues and spending if policies remain unchanged;
- (2) baseline budgeting has given rise to the practice of calculating policy changes from an inflated spending level; and
- (3) the baseline concept has been misused to portray policies that would simply slow down the increase in spending as spending reductions
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—
- (1) the President should submit a budget that compares proposed spending levels for the budget year with the current year; and
- (2) the starting point for deliberations on a budget resolution should be the current year. SEC. 37. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING

UNFUNDED FEDERAL MANDATES.It is the sense of the Congress that—

- (1) the Federal Government should not shift the costs of administering Federal programs to State and local governments;
- (2) the Federal Government's share of entitlement programs should not be capped or otherwise decreased without providing States authority to amend their financial or programmatic responsibilities to continue meeting the mandated service; and
- (3) Congress should develop a mechanism to ensure that costs of mandates are considered during agencies' development of regulations and congressional deliberations on legislation.

SEC. 38. CLOSING OF LOOPHOLES IN FOREIGN TAX PROVISIONS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that-
- (1) there is evidence suggesting that foreign-controlled corporations doing business in the United States do not pay their fair share of taxes;
- (2) over 70 percent of foreign-controlled corporations doing business in the United States pay no Federal income tax;
- (3) the United States Department of the Treasury has limited its ability to protect the revenue base in the case of cross-border transactions, to the detriment of taxpayers engaged solely in domestic transactions;

- (4) the Department of the Treasury has been using antiquated accounting concepts to deal with sophisticated multinational corporations;
- (5) substantial Federal revenues are lost annually due to the inability of the Internal Revenue Service to enforce the "arm's length" transaction rule, along with substantial amounts spent on administration and litigation; and
- (6) the Federal income tax laws provide a financial incentive for domestic taxpayers to operate abroad by granting them deferral of United States taxes on income earned abroad.
- (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that deficit reduction should be achieved, in part, by ending loopholes and enforcement breakdowns that now foster the underpayment of taxes on income from cross-border transactions and that subsidize the flight of domestic businesses and jobs out of the United States, by means including—
- (1) the adoption of a more streamlined and efficient method of enforcing Federal tax laws involving multinational corporations, especially those based abroad, and in particular, the use by the Treasury Department of a formulaic approach in cases in which the current "arm's length" transaction rules do not work; and
- (2) a repeal of tax subsidies for domestic businesses that operate abroad in tax havens and then ship their products back into the United States.

SEC. 39. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING TAX EXPENDITURES.

- (1) are growing significantly;
- (2) may have the same effect as direct Federal spending; and
- (3) should be subject to the same level of
- budgetary review as direct spending.
 (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—
- (1) the Congress should consider targets for the growth in tax expenditures similar to the targets for the growth of mandatory spend-
- (2) any reconciliation instructions included in a budget resolution should specify these targets: and
- (3) such targets should be enforceable separately from any revenue targets included in the reconciliation instructions.

SEC. 40. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING HEALTH SERVICE DELIVERY AND WATER INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.

It is the sense of the Congress that-

- (1) sufficient funding should be provided to the Indian Health Service to ensure that Indian Health Service hospitals and outpatient facilities in existence on the date of enactment of this resolution, and Indian Health Service hospitals and outpatient facilities scheduled to open during fiscal years 1994, 1995, and 1996, are fully staffed with the appropriate number of health care professionals needed to meet the health and medical needs of the American Indians and Alaska Natives who depend on the Indian Health Service for health care; and
- (2) sufficient funding should be provided to the Indian Health Service to ensure that the Indian Health Service is capable of meeting basic public health and safety and sanitation requirements on Indian lands through timely and proper water infrastructure construction and upgrades.

SEC. 41. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION.

It is the sense of the Senate that the budget authority and outlay figures for function 250 in this resolution do not assume any amounts for the National Aeronautics and

Space Administration for any fiscal year from 1995 through 1999 in excess of the amounts proposed by the President for such fiscal year.

SEC. 42. MINIMUM ALLOCATION PROGRAM.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds that—
- (1) the minimum allocation program was established in 1982 to address inequities in the funding formula for Federal-aid highways:
- (2) the minimum allocation program was designed to provide the greatest degree of flexibility practicable to States that receive funding under the formula referred to in paragraph (1) and includes an exemption of the apportionments from the obligation ceiling;

(3) the minimum allocation program provides additional flexibility by allowing a State a 4-year period during which amounts apportioned to the State may be obligated;

(4) the budget of the United States Government for fiscal year 1995 submitted by the President to Congress proposes to include minimum allocation apportionments under the obligation ceiling and also proposes to limit the authority of States to obligate apportionments under the minimum allocation program to 67 percent of the amount of the apportionments; and

(5) States have planned transportation programs on the basis of the provisions of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991, and the amendments made by the Act, relating to minimum allocation that confirmed core commitments to exemption and flexibility.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) the minimum allocation program should remain exempt from the obligation ceiling; and

- (2) the flexibility of the minimum allocation program should be an enduring and critical component of the provision of Federal assistance to States for Federal-aid high-
 - (c) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:
- (1) FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS.—The term "Federal-aid highways" has the meaning provided the term in section 101 of title 23, United States Code.
- (2) MINIMUM ALLOCATION PROGRAM.—The term "minimum allocation program" means the program of allocation of funding to States under section 157 of title 23, United States Code.
- (3) OBLIGATION CEILING.—The term "obligation ceiling" means the obligation ceiling under section 1002 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991.

SEC. 43. POLICY IN EASTERN AND CENTRAL EUROPE.

It is the sense of the Congress that levels of spending set forth in this resolution regarding the International Affairs (150) budget category include an assumption that the United States will oppose, consistent with provisions contained in the Freedom Support Act and the Foreign Assistance Appropriations Act of 1994, attempts by the Russian Federation to intimidate, use military force or engage in economic coercion to establish a sphere of influence over the former republics of the Soviet Union, the Baltics, or Central and Eastern European nations.

SEC. 44. STAR WARS (BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE).

It is the sense of the Senate that given the Federal budget deficit, the real reductions in discretionary spending in this resolution, and the existence of many more worthy programs competing for this funding, spending for the Star Wars (Ballistic Missile Defense) must not exceed the fiscal year 1994 appropriated level.

And the Senate agree to the same.

MARTIN OLAV SABO,

DICK GEPHARDT, DALE E. KILDEE, ANTHONY BEILENSON, HOWARD L. BERMAN, BOB WISE, JOHN BRYANT, CHARLIE STENHOLM, BARNEY FRANK, LOUISE M. SLAUGHTER, Managers on the Part of the House.

JIM SASSER. FRITZ HOLLINGS, J. BENNETT JOHNSTON, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate,

On motion of Mr. SABO, the previous question was ordered on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said conference report?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. McNULTY, announced that the nays had it.

Mr. SABO objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

Yeas 220 When there appeared Nays 183

$\P 44.18$ [Roll No. 161] YEAS-220

Abercrombie Durbin Kopetski Edwards (CA) Ackerman Kreidler Andrews (ME) Edwards (TX) LaFalce Andrews (TX) Engel Lambert Applegate Eshoo Lancaster Bacchus (FL) Evans Lantos Baesler Farr LaRocco Barca Fazio Lehman Barlow Fields (LA) Levin Lewis (GA) Barrett (WI) Filner Becerra Flake Lloyd Beilenson Ford (TN) Long Frank (MA) Berman Lowey Maloney Bilbray Frost Bishop Furse Manton Bonior Geidenson Markey Borski Gephardt Martinez Boucher Mazzoli Geren McCloskev Gibbons Brewster McCurdy Brooks Glickman Browder Gonzalez McDermott Brown (CA) Gordon McHale Brown (FL) Green McKinney Brown (OH) Gutierrez McNulty Hall (OH) Meehan Brvant Byrne Hamburg Meek Menendez Cantwell Hamilton Cardin Mfume Harman Carr Hastings Miller (CA) Chapman Hayes Hefner Mineta Clayton Minge Clyburn Hilliard Mink Moakley Coleman Hinchey Collins (IL) Hoagland Mollohan Montgomery Collins (MI) Hochbrueckner Condit. Holden Moran Conyers Hoyer Murphy Coppersmith Costello Hughes Murtha Inslee Nadler Coyne Jefferson Oberstar Johnson (GA) Johnson (SD) Cramer Obey Danner Olver Darden Johnson, E.B. Ortiz de la Garza DeLauro Johnston Orton Kanjorski Owens Pallone Dellums Kaptur Kennedy Kennelly Derrick Parker Pastor Deutsch Payne (NJ) Dicks Kildee Dingell Kleczka Payne (VA) Pelosi Dixon Klein Dooley Klink Peterson (FL)

Peterson (MN) Pickle Pomeroy Poshard Rahall Rangel Reed Reynolds Richardson Roemer Rose Rostenkowski Rowland Roybal-Allard Rush Sabo Sanders Sarpalius Sawver Schenk Schroeder Schumer

Deal

Gilchrest

Bevill

Clay

Fish

Blackwell

Clement

Doolittle

Foglietta

Ford (MI) Hall (TX)

Herge

Scott Towns Tucker Shepherd Unsoeld Skaggs Valentine Slattery Velazquez Slaughter Vento Smith (IA) Visclosky Spratt Volkmer Stenholm Waters Stokes Watt Strickland Waxman Studds Wheat Whitten Stupak Swift Williams Synar Wilson Tanner Wise Tauzin Woolsey Tejeda Wyden Thompson Wynn Thornton Thurman Torres Torricelli

NAYS-183

Gillmor Allard Moorhead Andrews (NJ) Gilman Morella Archer Gingrich Nussle Packard Armey Goodlatte Bachus (AL) Goodling Paxon Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Goss Penny Grams Petri Ballenger Grandy Pickett Barcia Greenwood Pombo Barrett (NE) Gunderson Porter Bartlett Hancock Portman Barton Hansen Quillen Hastert Bateman Quinn Ramstad Bentley Hefley Bereuter Hobson Ravenel Bilirakis Hoekstra Regula Hoke Ridge Bliley Roberts Blute Horn Boehlert Houghton Rohrabacher Boehner Huffington Ros-Lehtinen Bonilla Hunter Roth Bunning Hutchinson Roukema Burton Hutto Royce Buver Hyde Saxton Callahan Inglis Schaefer Schiff Calvert Inhofe Sensenbrenner Camp Istook Canady Jacobs Shaw Castle Clinger Johnson (CT) Shays Johnson, Sam Shuster Kasich Coble Sisisky Collins (GA) Skeen Skelton Kim Combest King Smith (MI) Cooper Kingston Cox Crane Klug Knollenberg Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Kolbe Smith (TX) Crapo Cunningham Kyl Snowe Lazio Solomon DeFazio Leach Spence DeLay Diaz-Balart Levy Lewis (CA) Stearns Stump Dickey Lewis (FL) Sundquist Dornan Lightfoot Talent Linder Taylor (MS) Dreier Duncan Livingston Taylor (NC) Thomas (CA) Dunn Machtley Ehlers Mann Thomas (WY) Manzullo Emerson Torkildsen English Margolies-Traficant. Mezvinsky Everett Upton Ewing McCrery Vucanovich McDade Fawell Walker Fields (TX) McHugh Walsh Fingerhut McInnis Weldon McKeon Wolf Fowler Franks (CT) McMillan Young (AK) Franks (N.I) Meyers Young (FL) Gallegly Zeliff Mica Gallo Michel Zimmer Miller (FL) Gekas

NOT VOTING-29

Molinari

Laughlin Pryce (OH) Lipinski Matsui Rogers Sangmeister McCandless Santorum McCollumSerrano Sharp Myers Neal (MA) Stark Neal (NC) Swett Washington Oxley Price (NC)

So the conference report was agreed to. Ordered, That the Clerk notify the

Senate thereof.

¶44.19 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2442

Mr. MOAKLEY, by direction of the Committee on Rules, reported (Rept. No. 103-495) the resolution (H. Res. 420) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2442) to authorize appropriations under the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended, to revise administrative provisions of the Act to improve the authority of the Secretary of Commerce to administer grant programs, and for other pur-

When said resolution and report were referred to the House Calendar and ordered printed.

¶44.20 ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12 o'clock noon on Monday, May 9, 1994.

¶44.21 CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS DISPENSED WITH

On motion of Mr. GEPHARDT, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That business in order for consideration on Wednesday, May 11, 1994, under clause 7, rule XXIV, the Calendar Wednesday rule, be dispensed with.

¶44.22 BRITISH-AMERICAN INTERPARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. MCNULTY, announced that pursuant to the provisions of section 168(b) of Public Law 102-138, the Speaker did appoint to the British-American Interparliamentary Group, Mr. HAMILTON, Chairman, Mr. LANTOS, Vice Chairman, and Messrs. MURPHY, BOEHLERT, LEWIS of Florida, and McMILLAN, on the part of the House

Ordered, That the Clerk notify the Senate of the foregoing appointments.

¶44.23 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT— NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. McNULTY, laid before the House a message from the President, which was read as follows:

To the Congress of the United States:

Pursuant to the provisions of section 504(h) of Public Law 98-164, as amended (22 U.S.C. 4413(i)), I transmit herewith the 10th Annual Report of the National Endowment for Democracy, which covers fiscal year 1993.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, May 5, 1994.

By unanimous consent, the message, together with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

¶44.24 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT— FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. McNULTY, laid before the House a