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WRITTEN DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. GREGORY M. DUNCAN

## I. Introduction and Summary of Conclusions

1. The Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) have asked for my opinion on: (a) whether the use of a constant sum survey is an appropriate method of measuring the relative value of the different categories of distant signal programming retransmitted by cable operators pursuant to the compulsory licensing provisions of the Copyright Act; and (b) whether the constant sum survey, which JSC is offering in this proceeding, was based on reliable principles and methods and conducted in such a manner as to produce reliable results. I believe that both questions should be answered in the affirmative.

## II. QUALIFICATIONS

2. I have a Ph.D. in Economics and a Master's degree in Statistics. Much of my academic research is and has been in econometrics, specifically, methods for surveys and analyzing survey data. As an industrial economist I have designed, fielded, and analyzed dozens of surveys. All have used one form or another of trade-off or conjoint analysis, which is an area in which the constant sum methodology figures prominently. Currently, I teach econometrics at the University of California, Berkeley. I am also a Managing Director at the Huron Consulting Group in San Francisco. Huron is a publicly held consulting firm with roughly 2,600 professionals worldwide. Prior to my current appointments, I was Senior Vice President at National Economic Research Associates where I founded and headed two practices. Before that I was a Senior Staff Scientist at GTE (now Verizon) Laboratories, where I led the company's efforts in marketing research, statistics, applied mathematics, and economics. I have been a tenured Full Professor of both Economics and of Statistics and have taught at Northwestern

University, Washington State University, and Boston University. I have published extensively and have supervised the theses and dissertations of dozens of students. My curriculum vitae appears in Appendix A.

## III. BACKGROUND

3. Section 111 of the Copyright Act of 1976. grants cable operators compulsory licenses to retransmit broadcast stations outside the local markets of those stations (distant signals), provided that the cable operators pay a statutorily prescribed royalty and submit statements of account to the Copyright Office. The Copyright Royalty Judges allocate the royalties among eligible copyright owners (Claimants), those with non-network programming on the distant signals. Previously, the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panels (CARP), subject to review by the Librarian of Congress and Register of Copyrights, and the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (CRT) had that responsibility.
4. In "Phase I" of the distribution proceedings, Claimants are divided into groups based on the content provided. In prior proceedings, the CARP and CRT sought to allocate the royalties among these groups according to the relative market valuations of the different content categories they represented. Because cable operators retransmit distant broadcast signals in their entirety, the market values of various types of programming cannot be directly observed. Thus, survey methodologies, such as the constant sum method that seeks to represent the outcome of hypothetical bargaining processes, have been used to determine relative market values.
5. Since the first copyright proceeding in 1978, the JSC has submitted constant sum surveys to measure the relative market values of distant signal
programming categories. The National Association of Broadcasters likewise submitted constant sum surveys in the 1983 proceeding. Canadian Claimants also submitted constant sum surveys to estimate the relative values of programming categories on Canadian signals in the 1990-92, 1998-99, and 2000-03 proceedings. The history of the extensive use of constant sum surveys in these proceedings is contained in the report prepared by Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc., entitled "Cable Operator Valuation of Distant Signal Non-Network Programming: 2004-05" (JSC 04-05 Ex. 1) ("Bortz Report"), which I have reviewed in connection with providing this testimony,

## IV. Using Constant Sum Scale to Measure Relative Programming Value

## A. The Constant Sum Methodology

6. The constant sum methodology measures the relative importance of attributes of products by allocating a fixed amount, for example, 100 points, to these items in proportion to their value to the respondents. The most important attributes receive the greatest number of points. The constant sum methodology is an example of a comparative rating scale. Constant sum forces the respondent to "allocate" his or her evaluations and has the effect of standardizing each scale across persons, since all scores must add to the same constant (e.g., 100). As such, the constant sum procedure requires the respondent to make a comparative evaluation of the items under consideration. ${ }^{1}$ That is, respondents "judge each attribute with direct reference to the other attributes being evaluated. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]7. The constant sum methodology is particularly useful in situations where an analyst wishes to evaluate the impact of specific factors on resource allocation.

Examples include:

- Product managers allocating total marketing budget among advertising, sales promotion, and trade promotion
- Sales managers dividing total compensation package for salespeople among straight salary, commission, and non-monetary incentives
- Financial investors allocating their investment dollars among stocks, bonds, and various other financial instruments ${ }^{3}$

8. The Bortz survey is very similar to these resource allocation decisions. In particular, the Bortz survey measures relative value with explicit reference to a budget for distant signal non-network programming. Respondents are asked, "how much do you think each such type of programming was worth, if anything, on a comparative basis, in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers?"4 They are then asked, "What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend on" specific program categories on distant signals actually carried by the respondents. ${ }^{5}$ These questions elicit the respondents' relative market valuations of the different program types, that is, the relative amount the respondent would be willing to pay for each program category if required to negotiate for that category.

## B. Benefits of the Constant Sum Methodology

9. The "constant sum method has become quite popular in marketing research primarily because of its simplicity and ease of instructions. ${ }^{76}$ From a market

[^1]research standpoint, one of the chief benefits of using a constant sum survey is that it mimics the process used by purchasers, who make decisions. Constant sum surveys reduce the number of alternatives as a way of deciding which attributes actually matter and help eliminate carryover from one attribute to the next. "The points assigned in these scales could be evenly distributed, but they tend not to be; evidence that raters try to choose which attributes matter more., ${ }^{8}$
10. The constant sum method is one out of a group of techniques referred to as conjoint analysis. All versions of conjoint analysis require the respondents to trade off attributes of offerings in one way or another. Properly designed, such survey-based trade-offs can reveal the decisions a respondent would make in market or other decisionmaking environments.
11. There are many versions of conjoint analysis. They are by and large equivalent, ${ }^{9}$ and the choice between them depends on the ease of implementation and interpretability. In some contexts, one version or another may be easier to administer or produce results that are more direct. In any case, conjoint methods have been used for well over 50 years and are explicitly developed to mimic markets and market outcomes, which as I understand it is the objective of this proceeding. ${ }^{10}$
12. I believe that the constant sum methodology is appropriate to determine the relative market value of the distant signal programming categories carried by cable

[^2]operators. My opinion is consistent with that of experts in prior proceedings who have examined the Bortz survey. For example:
13. Professor Leonard Reid of the University of Georgia testified that not only is the constant sum technique "a valid and well-accepted research tool . . . . used in marketing research, ${ }_{2}{ }^{11}$ but also that the methodology is simple; it allows the use of sophisticated statistical procedures; it reveals comparative judgments; it eliminates consistent positive, negative, or neutral response patterns; and it provides information predictive of behavioral tendencies. ${ }^{12}$
14. Dr. Joel Axelrod, who conducted the seminal study validating the constant sum methodology as a predictor of behavior, testified that the constant sum scale parallels the decision process used by decision makers. He stated, "Constant Sum questions are particularly appropriate when . . . one seeks information about relative values."13
15. Dr. Samuel Book, testified that he "[does] not believe there would have been any better way of determining how cable operators would have allocated their programming budgets" than by using the constant sum methodology. ${ }^{14}$
16. I agree with the above conclusions.

## V. The Survey Was Methodologically Sound

## A. Fundamental Issues in Survey Research

17. The first issue to be addressed in evaluating a particular survey concerns the composition of the sample. The sampling units, that is, the people to be contacted, must be able to provide answers to the questions asked. In this case, the purpose of the
[^3]Bortz survey is to determine the relative values that cable operators attribute to various program categories on the distant signals they carry. Consequently, the cable operators and, specifically, the programming decision-makers (and not, for example, the viewers) are the relevant group to be sampled. Note that the respondents in the study are all executives involved in program decision making, thus the composition of the study is appropriate.
18. The second issue is whether the sample is a probability sample, that is, does every member of the population have a known and positive probability of being sampled. The Bortz study uses an optimal stratified sampling method referred to as the cum $\sqrt{f}$ rule of Dalenius and Hodges, ${ }^{15}$ which satisfies this requirement and gives reasonable assurance that the boundaries of the strata sufficiently reduce the sample error. ${ }^{16}$ In sampling, strata refer to subsets of the population that may be over or under sampled relative to their proportion. This over or under sampling is done to maximize the precision of estimates, such as the hypothetical allocation of royalty payments by cable system operators among the types of programming represented in this proceeding ("allocation patterns"). In the Bortz study the strata were based on copyright royalty payments. In 2005, for instance, there were four strata: systems paying $\$ 239,845$ or more; systems paying between $\$ 239,844$ and $\$ 65,345$; systems paying between $\$ 65,344$ and $\$ 23,845$; and systems paying less than $\$ 23,845$. Concentrating on systems paying less than $\$ 23,845$ ("small systems") and systems paying more than $\$ 239,845$ ("large

[^4]systems"), we find there are 755 and 39 systems, respectively. ${ }^{17}$ With random sampling one would need to sample many systems to end up with a sufficient number of large systems. One would have many more small systems than necessary to precisely predict the allocation patterns of small systems. Alternatively, were one to try to cut down on the number of small systems yielded by random sampling by reducing the sample size, there would be an insufficient number of large systems for accurate estimation of large system allocation patterns. Random sampling would result either in unacceptable imprecision in estimating allocation patterns across all sizes of cable systems or result in an unnecessarily large and expensive sample. Surveys are expensive; the desire is to maximize the precision for a given number of interviews or minimize the cost for a desired level of accuracy. The method used in this study was designed to sample proportionately more systems that make greater contributions. ${ }^{18}$ "This approach is intended to ensure that responses to the survey would provide a statistically valid predictor for allocation of royalty payments." ${ }^{19}$ At the same time, the approach minimizes the cost of doing the survey, at least as much as possible in keeping with the desire for precision.
19. The third and related issue is the adequacy of the sample size. The sample size is large enough if the standard errors are small enough to allow precise estimates. The standard errors in the Bortz study are roughly 1 percentage point for the allocations

[^5]to the most important categories. ${ }^{20}$ In my experience, these are quite small. Here, we also benefit from having a relatively stable set of survey results over the years.
20. The fourth issue concerns nonresponse bias. Nonresponse bias is the effect on results of nonrespondents being systematically different from respondents. For example, if one wants to estimate the average height of people in a classroom and all the basketball players and football players refuse to give their height, the average will likely be biased downward. In contrast, if the same number of students refuse to participate, but the refusal is uncorrelated with their height, then there will be no such bias. Barring systematic nonresponses, this should not be an issue. Moreover, nonresponse bias is less of a concern when a large fraction of the population responds. It is also less of a concern if a large number of potential respondents, in fact, respond. In the Bortz studies, response rates that range from 65 to 68 percent were obtained for the key constant sum question (Question 4) in 2004 and $2005 .^{21}$ These rates are much higher than survey researchers often achieve. Moreover, many of the strata have sample sizes that are large relative to the size of the strata; for example; the sampling plan called for contacting all eligible operators in the top stratum, and these operators account for more than a quarter of the royalties paid. ${ }^{22}$ Consequently, I believe that the sample size and the response rates are appropriate.

[^6]21. The final issue concerns the design of the instrument, that is, the phrasing and the structure of the questions. Here, we want to know if the respondents understand the questions and if they can answer them. I believe that the questions in the Bortz survey are phrased adequately to obtain reliable results; particularly because the respondents make the type of decisions posed by the questions in the survey on a regular basis as part of their professional responsibilities. ${ }^{23}$

## B. Evolution of the Surveys

22. : Over the past 25 years, JSC has submitted the results of numerous constant sum surveys designed to measure what cable operators would have paid on a relative basis for different programming types on distant signals had they negotiated with program owners. The details of these studies have evolved, primarily, in response to criticisms raised in the distribution proceedings. In my experience, the best surveys are those that, like the Bortz survey, have developed over time and that are fielded on a regular basis.
23. Turning to specific issues, the major improvements to the survey process include: (1) fielding the survey in the year after royalty payments have been collected so that respondents have a clear recollection of the programming decisions made in that year, (2) identifying and interviewing the person most responsible for programming decisions, (3) providing appropriate definitions of seven programming categories to which royalty payments are distributed, and (4) focusing respondents on the decision to spend money on programming from distant channels by (a) providing lists of the specific

[^7]distant channels that each respondent carries and (b) framing the allocation question as distributing a programming budget over the five to seven specific categories of programming.
24. In terms of the underlying objective of determining how much cable operators would pay for programs carried by distant signals, the refinements to the survey process have addressed two primary requirements: (1) making certain that the survey respondents would have been involved in the negotiations that the royalty distribution process is intended to emulate, and (2) aligning the specifics of the survey questions as closely as possible with what cable operators would have paid for programming during such negotiations. In other words, do the survey administrators talk to the right decision makers, and, if so, do the questions asked and answered measure the right thing? Based on my own experience in identifying survey respondents and presenting them with questions that provide economically reliable valuations as well as my independent review of current and earlier vintages of the Bortz survey, I concur with the conclusions of the most recent CARP Report and the other experts that the Bortz survey is designed to produce results that reasonably emulate the payments cable operators would have made had they acquired programs through open negotiations. ${ }^{24}$ Thus, I believe that the Bortz survey was based on sound principles and tested methods and that it was conducted in such a way that its results can be deemed reliable.

[^8]I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Gregory M. Duncan
June 01,2008
Date
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## Professional experience

Previously, he was Senior Vice. President at National Economic Research Associates (NERA) and headed its worldwide Telecommunication Strategy and Advice Practice, was a member of the board of directors, and headed the San Francisco office. He was the founder of NERA's Auctions Practice which developed strategies for the various spectrum auctions throughout the world as well as designing and running energy auctions (Basic Service Generation) in New Jersey, Illinois and other states.
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- Damages expert in class action involving for-profit colleges and alleged misrepresentation of the value of a degree. (deposed, settled)
- Expert in dispute against FTC over calling card disclosures and damages. (deposed, setuled)
- Expert in Lanham Act case where allegation is that incomplete service disclosure caused market share and profit loss to competitors. (settled)
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- Witness for ATT in tax dispute with IRS over tax treatment of universal service funds. (Deposed, trial set)
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- Witness for ATT in the Handset Locking Class Action. Damages witness. (deposed, case pending)
- Witness for ATT in the Early Termination fee Class Actions. Class certification witiness. (report filed)
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- Developed and critiqued large computer cost and revenue models used in access pricing and helped develop access pricing rules for large a railroad.
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- Developed Incremental Cost models for energy companies.
- Developed price cap mechanisms for German Utility Consortium
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- Member of National Academy of Sciences Workshop on Trucking Deregulation, which led to the deregulation of the US trucking industry
- Directed Transportation related PhD dissertations on: optimal barge unloading and optimal port management, optimal grain terminal management, and empty back haul problem in trucking and effect on prices
- Critiqued the Uniform Rail Costing System for the Interstate Commerce Commission


## For large communications companies

- Developed methods to determine license fees, royalties, and valuing patents. Involved determining optimal pricing strategies and estimating revenues under different licensing and royalty scenarios.
- Created model for examining pricing decisions and product offerings. Used simulated annealing optimization to sort through combinations of product offerings to find optimal set. Determined optimal prices for initial offerings.
- Developed price and offering optimization models used to streamline offerings reduce wasteful cannibalization and maximize revenue
- Responsible for survey research design, implementation and analysis to determine price elasticities and service offering sensitivities for input into large scale optimization model
- Developed model determining costs and initial prices of new wireless network
$\because$ Designed model for estimating costs of installed network and expansions. Required optimization of network design Used neural networks and genetic algorithms.
- Developed a econometric based simulation model that demonstrated provision of roaming to competitors was uneconomic at the terms and conditions proposed.
Large Scale Financial Modeling
- Using statistical methods, developed tools to detect money laundering and developed a large scale loan loss model for a large bank.
- Retained to perform Federal Reserve required review of computer models material to bottom line for two large banks
- Developed and refined models to predict required reserves for future claims for large insurance companies
- Reviewed and developed revisions to large scale demand forecasting systems for large electric companies
- Developed models to identify fraud using neural networks, models to identify credit risk, and simulation methods for Operations Research, Marketing Research and engineering for large communications companies
- Lead a group of two dozen or so Mathematicians, Statisticians, Operations Researchers, Economists and Marketing researchers at large industrial laboratory.
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Auctions
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Marketing Research and Survey Design
- Adaptive conjoint studies for CentraNet Services (1990-1994)
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- "Wage Determination in the Union and Non union Sectors: A Simultaneous Equations Approach," with D. Leigh Industrial and Labor Relations Review (1980), 34, No. 1, pp. 2434.
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## Antitrust, Valuation, Intellectual Property, Market Structure and Damages:

- In Re: Cellphone Termination Fee Cases, Dr. Duncan is providing testimony on behalf of ATT Wireless and Cingular, the legacy companies that now form ATT Mobility. Issues include class certification and damages. Testimony by deposition on class certification, trials pending
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- Texas Public Utility Commission (Docket No. 18515) on behalf of GTE Southwest, Inc. "Compliance Proceeding for Implementation of the Texas High Cost Universal Service Plan. In Connection With the Hatfield Model 5.0(a)." Rebuttal Testimony, February 27, 1998, July 15-16, 1998. Second Supplemental Direct Testimony, July 1, 1998.
- Nebraska Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE Midwest Inc. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model, Version 5.0A." Direct Testimony filed April 8, 1998.
- Idaho Public Utilities Commission (Case No. GNR-T-97-22) on behalf of GTE Northwest, Inc. "In the Matter of the Investigation to Determine an Appropriate Cost Model Using Forward-Looking Economic Costs For Calculating the Costs of Basic Telecommunications Services in Idaho. Analysis of the Hatfield Model, version 5.0a." Rebuttal Testimony, March 2, 1998.
- Texas Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 18515) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc. "In the Matter of Compliance Proceedings for Implementation of the Texas High Cost Universal Service Plan." Rebuttal Testimony, February 27, 1998.
- Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-999/M-97-909) on behalf of Contel of Minnesota, Inc. d/bla GTE Minnesota. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model, Version 5.0." Rebuttal and Supplemental Testimony, January 23, 1998.
- Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-999/M-97-909) on behalf of Contel of Minnesota, Inc. d/b/a GTE Minnesota. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model version 4.0." Rebuttal Testimony, November 24, 1997.
- New Mexico State Corporation Commission (Docket Nos. 96-310-TC; 97-334-TC) on behalf of GTE Southwest lnc., New Mexico Operations. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model Release 4.0." Rebuttal Testimony, November 1997.
- Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of GTE Hawaiian Telephone inc. (Docket No. 7702), "Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the "Updated" Hatfield Model Release 3.1." August 28, 1997.
- Direct Testimony on behalf of GTE California Inc. "Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the "Updated" Hatfield Model Release 3.1." July 1, 1997.
- Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Docket No. UT-960369, UT-960370, UT-960371) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of the Pricing Proceeding for Interconnection, Unbundled Elements, Transport and Termination, and Resale (Hattield Model)." Direct Testimony, March 27, 1997. Rebuttal Testimony, April 25, 1997. Supplemental Testimony, June 12, 1997.
- New Mexico State Corporation Commission (Docket No. 97-35-TC) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc. "In the Matter of the interconnection Contract Negotiations Between AT\&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc., and GTE Southwest Inc Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996." Direct Testimony, March 31, 1997.
- Public Utiitity Commission of Texas (Docket No. 16476) on behalf of GTE "Southwest Inc. Petition of American Communications Services, Inc. et al., For Arbitration of Unresolved Interconnection Issues with GTE Southwest Inc.
and Contel of Texas, Inc. Pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In the Matter of Sprint Communications Company L.P.'s Petition For Arbitration of interconnection Rates, Terms, Conditions and Related Agreements with GTE Southwest Inc. and Contel of Texas, inc." Direct Testimony filed November 12, 1996.
- Oregon Public Utility Commission on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "In the Matter of the Petition of AT\&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc. For Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions with GTE Northwest inc., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Sec. 252(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Economic Evaluation of Version 2.2 of the Hatfield Model." October 3, 1996. Supplemental Testimony, October 8, 1996.
- Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission (Docket No. UT-960338, UT-960348, UT-960307) on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2." October 1996.
- Nebraska Public Service Commission (Docket No. C-1400) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of the interconnection Contract Negotiations Between AT\&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc. and GTE Midwest U.S.C. section 252. Economic Evaluation of Version 2.2 of the Hatfield model." Affidavit, September 9, 1996.
- California Public Utilities Commission on behalf of GTE. "Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish a Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2.2." 1996.
- Interstate Commerce Commission. "A Critique of the Uniform Rail Costing System." 1982.


## Pricing (including ROE, ROI issues):

- Public Utility Commission of the State of daho (Case No. GTE-T-97-3, ATT-T-97-1) on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "In the Matter of AT\&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. Petition For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 of the Rates, Terms and Conditions of interconnection with GTE Northwest Inc. Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the Hatfield Model Release 3.1." Rebuttal Testimony, May 5, 1997.
- California Public Utilities Commission (R.93-04-003/1.93-04-002) on behalf of GTE. "Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish a Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks." NRF 1.95-05-047. Analysis of Benchmark Cost Model; Development of GTE Cost Model and Position on Pricing." Testimony and supporting studies, 1996.
- Florida Public Service Commission (PSC 950984-TP) on behalf of GTE. "Petitions by AT\&T Communications of the Southern States, inc., et al. for Arbitration of Cettain Terms and Conditions of a Proposed Agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated Concerning Interconnection and Resale Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Interconnection and Unbundling). An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2.2." 1996.
- Texas Public Utility Commission (Docket Nos. 16300, 16355) on behalf of GTE Southwest inc. "Petition of AT\&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc for Compulsory Arbitration to Establish an Interconnection Agreement Between AT\&T and GTE Southwest, Inc. and Contel of Texas Inc. Petition of MCI Telecommunications Corporation For Arbitration with GTE Southwest Inc. and Contel of Texas Inc." Direct Testimony, October 14, 1996.
- California Public Utilities Commission (R.95-04-043, 1.95-04-044) on behalf of GTE. "Order Initiating Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition For Local Exchange Service. Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition For Local Exchange Service." Deposition, December 13, 1995.


## Demand Modeling:

- Kentucky Supreme Court on behalf of GTE. Stay of Kentucky Public Utilities Commission $\uparrow+$, Local Competition Order. Estimate of irreparable damages. March 1995.
- Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. 1+ Presubscription Hearings, 1995.
- Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Florida Public Utilities Commission 1+ Local Competition Order. Refiled, August 1995.
- Michigan Public Utilities Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Michigan Public Utilities Commission 1+, Local Competition Order. July 1995.
- Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Florida Public Utilities Commission $1+$, Local Competition Order. February 1995.
- Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. 1+ Presubscription Hearings. Development of Position, Testimony and Supporting Studies, 1994.
- California Public Utilities Commission IRD (1.87-11-033) on behalf of GTE. Direct and Rebuttal Testimony on Elasticities, 1990.


## Statistical:

- California Tax Equalization Board on behalf of GTE. "Alleged Undercollection of 911 Tax." (Provided alternative estimate of losses in state revenues (damages ) due to error in GTE billing system) 1995.
- Sampling and estimation methodology for time and motion study of the additional time to services new customers who are keeping their old numbers as opposed to new customers who are assigned new numbers. Using treatment effect models, showed that the firm indeed had improved service during the period ordered. 2007
- For a large company, developed statistical model of market pricing that showed that the executives had not engaged in price-gouging as alleged. 2007


## Education and certification

- University of California, Berkeley; Ph.D., Economics
- University of California, Berkeley; M.A., Statistics
- University of Washington, B.A. Economics and English


## Professional associations

- American Bar Association, Associate Member, Antitrust Section
- American Economic Association
- American Statistical Association
- California Public Utility Counsel
- Econometric Society
- Institute of Mathematical Statistics

Speaking engagements

- "Early Termination Fees as Risk Management Tools" Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 28th Annuai Western Conference, Monterey, CA, 2009
- "Estimating the Value of and Willingness to Pay for Clean Water", Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 27th Annual Western Conference, Monterey, CA, 2008
- "Defeating Class Certification," Alston-Byrd/Deioitte CLE Meeting on Class Certification Issues for Inside Counsel, New York, NY 2007
- "Transmission Utilities and Rights of Way Pricing Policy on Tribal Trust Lands," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 26 th Annual Eastern Conference, Skytop, Pennsylvania, 2007
- "Infeasibility of Business Plans Based on Unbunding," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 18th Annual Western Conference Monterey, California, 2007
- "The Economics of Municipal Entry into Broadband," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 17th Annual Western Conference San Diego, California, 2004
- "The Telecommunications Meltdown: Causes, Consequences and Cautions Going Forward," ,Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 16 th Annual Western Conference San Diego, California, 2003
- "Valuation of Previously Auctioned Properties: A Hedonic Approach to Valuing Radio Spectrum" ", Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 15th Annual Western Conference San Diego, California, 2002
- "Earfy Due Diligence: Economic Considerations Cautions and Warnings," Law Seminars International's Municipal Broadband Conference in San Francisco, CA, September 9, 2002.
- "Disposing of Telecommunications Assets: Why, Who, and How," Law Seminars International's Fifth Annual Conference on Telecommunications Infrastructure in Seattle, WA, August 15, 2002.
- "Broadband Competition: Practical Realities, Trends," presented at the VIII Meeting of the Telecommunications Industry, IESE Business School, Madrid, Spain, May 29, 2002
- "Cross Platform Competition: Practical Realities, Trends," Law Seminars International's Seventh Annual Telecommunications Conference in Seattle, WA, April 5, 2002.
- "Performance Parity Incentives for Competitive Local Telecommunications Markets, " Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 13th Annual Western Conference, Monterey, California, 2000
- "Divestitures: The Pressure to Become Leaner and More Focused," Law Seminars International's Sixth Annual Telecommunications Conference in Seattle, WA, April 12, 2001.
- "Defining the Increment: Consequences of the lowa Utilities Board v. Federal Communications Commission, July 2000 Decision," National Association of Reguiatory Utility Commissioners 112 th Annual Convention, San Diego, CA, November 11, 2000.
- "The Economics of Online Marketing: The Importance of Getting on the Net and Getting There First," Law Seminars International's Intellectual Property Rights and Marketing Online Conference, Minneapolis, MN, July 20-21, 2000.
- "Mergers and Acquisitions in a Regulatory Market: What Does Regulation Mean for Telecommunications Mergers and Acquisitions?" Infocom Forum, Lisbon, Portugal, June 19, 2000.
- "Viability of Niche Players: Will Economies of Scale and Scope Determine Survival," presented at Law Seminars International's Fifth Annual Telecommunications Conference, Seattle, WA, April 13, 2000.


## Gourses Taught (\$ince 2002)

- Sampling Design and Analysis of Finite Populations (Deloite Training 2006-2007)
- Advanced Intellectual Property (Deloitte Training 2006-2007)
- Basics of Intellectual Property (Deloitte Training 2006-2007)
- Advanced Econometrics (Deloitte)
- Applied Econometrics (Graduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2007)
- Honors Econometrics (Undergraduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2002-present)
- Introductory Econometrics (Undergraduate course, Universify of California, Berkeley, 2002-present)
- Intermediate Microeconomics (Undergraduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2008)

Courses Taught (Before 2002)

- Graduate and Undergraduate Econometrics
- Financial Economics
- Money, Banking, and the Structure of the Banking Industry
- Graduate and Undergraduate Microeconomic Theory
- Mathematical Economics
- Industrial Organization and The Structure of Network Industries
- Non-market theories of economic decision-making
- Linear Models (Statistics)
- Non-parametric Statistics (Statistics)
- Survey and Sampling Methods(Statistics-Psychology-Marketing)
- Linear Algebra (Mathematics)
- Optimization in Abstract Vector Spaces (Mathematics-Graduate)
- Labor Economics
- Undergraduate Macroeconomics


## Pubications

- "The Effect of Illegal Dumping on Residential Home Prices: A New Hedonic Approach to Measuring Asset Risk" Journal of Risk and Uncertainty (forthcoming 2007 pending revision) with Jeffery Morris
- "The Structure of the Telecommunications Industry: The Role of Regulation in the Meltdown and Preventing a Recurrence", Chapter 16, in The Handbook of International Regulation, Michael Crew et al. Editor. Edward Elgar 2006
- "IntraLATA Toll Demand Modeling: A Dynamic Analysis of Revenue and Usage Data," Information Economics and Policy 6 (1994): 163-178.
- "The Use and Misuse of Econometricians," Marginal Cost Techniques for Telephone Services," William Pollard, Edifor, National Regulatory Research Institute (1991).
- "The Effect of Probabilistic Demand Structures on The Structure of Cost Functions," Journai of Risk and Uncertainty (1990), 3, 3, pp. 211-220. (Simulation based modef)
- "Telecommunications Cost Functions II: Panel Data and Heterogeneous Technological Change," with R. Tobin, in S. Bhattacharya and S. Brubaker, Editors, Telecommunications Costing in a Dynamic Environment (1989).
- "Telecommunications Cost Functions 1: Capacity, Random Demand and Technological Change," with R. Tobin, in H Trebing, Editor, New Regulatory Concepts: Issues and Controversies (1988), Michigan State University Press.
- "A Simplified Approach to M Estimation with Application to Two Stage Estimators," Journal of Econometrics (1987), 34, pp. 373389.
- "Review of Newbold and Bos Stochastic Parameter Regression," Journal of Marketing Research (1986).
- "Review of Feldman, Multiple Regression in Practice," Journal of Marketing Research (1986).
- "A Semiparametric Censored Regression Estimator," Journal of Econometrics (1986), 32, No. 1, pp. 5-34.
- "Editor's Introduction," Journal of Econometrics 32, 1986.
- "The Endogeneity of Union Status: An Empirical Test, with D. Leigh," Journal of Labor Economics (1985), 3, No. 3, pp. 385-401.
- "Evaluation of an Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program: Correcting for Self Selection," with T.K. Greenfield, Resources in Education (1985) (ERIC \#ED253807).
- "Impact of International Trade Shocks on Wage Adjustments in Canada: A Comment," in Peter Chinloy and Ernst W. Stromsdorfer, Editors, Adjustments in Labor Markets: An International Comparison, Academic Press (1985).
- "Econometric Evaluation of New Technology with an Application to Integrated Pest Management," with D. Hall, American Journal of Agricultural Economics (1984), 66, No. 5.
- "Comments on Manski's Adaptive Estimation of Nonlinear Regression Models," Econometric Reviews (1984).
- "Sample Selectivity as a Proxy Variable Problem: On the Use and Misuse of Gaussian Selectivity Corrections," Research in Labor Economics (1983), 6, supplement 2, pp. 333345.
- "Estimation and inference in Heteroscedastic Systems of Equations," International Economic Review (1983), 24, No. 3, pp. 559566.
- "Comment on Koenker's Robust Methods of Econometrics," Econometric Reviews (1982), 1, No. 2, pp. 257262.
- "Specification and Estimation in the Mixed Continuous Discrete Dependent Variable Model in Classical Production Theory," Econometrica (1980), 48, No. 4, pp. 839852.
- "Wage Determination in the Union and Non union Sectors: A Simultaneous Equations Approach," with D. Leigh, Industrial and Labor Relations Review (1980), 34, No. 1, pp. 2434.
- "Data Sets That Exceed Computer Limits: Efficient Estimation and a Test of the Normal Approximation," Journal of Econometrics (1980), 14, pp. 257264.
- "Empirical Studies of Returns to Scale of the Regulated Trucking Sector," in R. Braeutigam and R. Baesemann, Editors, Motor Carrier Economic Regulation, National Academy of Sciences (1978).


## TESTIMONY OF DR. LEONARD N. REID BEFORE THE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY TRIBUNAL August 1991

I am Professor and Department Head of the Department of Advertising and Pubiic Relations at the Grady College of Journalism and Mass Communication at The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia. A copy of my resume is attached to this testimony.

I have been asked by the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) to comment on the concerns that the Copyright Royalty Tribunal expressed in the 1983 copyright royalty distribution proceeding regarding the constant sum technique employed in JSC's survey of cable operators. I have also been asked to evaluate the viewing study submitted by the Motion Picture Association of America in the 1983 proceeding in light of the same concerns.

My views on these matters are set forth in the report entitled "Use of the Constant Sum Measure and Nielsen Audience Data in Cable Royalty Distribution Proceedings" (August 1991), which is being submitted to the CRT as Sports Exnibit 2.

Leonaró N . Reid, Ph.D.

```
    Department of Advertising and Public Relations
Grady College of Journalism and kasa Communication
    The University of Georgia
        Athens,Georgia 30602
            (404) 542-4791
```

BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION
Date of Birth: November 30,1949, Lawrenceville, Virginia.
Marital Status: Married, one child.
Residence: 485 Sandstone Drive
Athens, Georgia 30605
$(404) 353-3639$

## EDUCATION

ph.D. \{Commuication Research), Univergity of illinoig, 1978.
M.S. (Advertiaing), University of Iliinois, 1975.
B.S. (Business), Virginia Commonwealth University, 1973.

## ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

| 1986 - present | Professor of Advertising and Department Head <br> Department of Advertising and Public Relations Grady College of Journalism and Masa Communication The University of Georgia |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1980-1985 | Associate Professor of Advertising |
|  | Department of Advertising and Public Relations College of Journalism anc Mass Communication The University of Georgia |
| 1977-1980 | Assistant Professor of Advertising |
|  | Department of Advertising College of Communication Arts Michigan State University |
| 1978-1977 | Lecturer in Marketing |
|  | Department of Marketing College of Business Arizona State University |
| 1975-1976 | Visiting Instructor of Advertising |
|  | Department of Advertising College of Communication University of Illinois |

SCHOLARLY EDITORIAL ACTIVITIES

| 1991-1992 | Editor, Proceedings of the mmerican Academy of |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1991 - present | Editorial Board. Journal of Advertiging |
| 1987-1991 | Editor, Journal of Advertising |
| 1980 - present | Editorial Board, Current Igsues and Research in Advertising |
| 1977 - present | Ad Hoc Reviewer for the Journal of Advertising, Journal of Marketing Research, Journal of Business Research, Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media, and manuscript submissions to the annual meetings of the American Academy of Advertiging, American Marketing Association, Southern Marketing Association, Atlantic Marketing Association, Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communcation, and Association for Consumer Regearch. |

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE ACTIVITIES
Vice President, American Academy of Advertising, 1991-1992.
Member of the Research Committee, American Academy of Advertising, 19781985. Committee administers the grant program of the organization.

Member of the Publication Comittee, American Academy of Advertiaing, 19841987. Committee manages the Journal of Advertising and other organization publicationg.

External Promotion/Tenure Evaluator for Arizona State Univergity (1983-84, 1984-85), University of South Carolina (1983-84), University of Texas at Austin (1985-86, 1989-90, 1990-91), York University (1988~89), Rutgers Univeraity (1989-90), Univeraity of Oklahoma (1988-89), Univereity of Colorado (1986-87, 1987-88), University of Illinois (1987-88), University of Massachusetts-Amherst (1990-91), Southern Methodist University (1990-91), Virginia Tech University (1990-91), Auburn University (1990-91). University of Kentucky (1990-91), and University of Houston (1991-92).

## BOORS AND BOOR CHAPTERS

Advertising: Its Role in Modern Marketing, 7th ed., The Dryden Press, 1990 (with S. Watson Dunn, Axnold M. Barban, and Dean M. Krugman).

Effects of Product Puffery in Print Advertisements: An Experiment," in Alan D. Fletcher and Donald W. Jugenheimer, eds., Problems and Practices in Advertiging Research: Readings and Workbook, Grid, Inc., 1982, pp. 149-157 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).

## SCBOLARLY JOURNAL ARTICLES

```
"Toward an Associative Model of Advertising Creativity," Journal of
        Acvertising, 5:4 (Fail l976), pp. 24-29 (with Herbert J. Rotfeld).
"Advertiser-Supplied Message Research: Extending the Advertising
        Substantiation Program," Journal of Consumer Affairg, 11:1 (Summer
        1977). pp. 128-134 (with Herbert J. Rotfeld).
"Are Advertising Educators Good Judges of Creative Talent?," Journal of
        Advertising, (Summer 1977), pp. 42-44.
"Factors Affecting Creativity in Generation of Advertising," Journaligm
        Quarterly, 55:4 (Winter 1978), pp. 781-785.
"Potential Secondary Effects of Regulating Children's Televimion
        Advertising," Journal of Advertising, 8:1 (Winter 1979), pp. 9-14 (with
        Herbert J. Rotfeld).
"Viewing Rules as Mediating Factors of Children's Responses to
        Commercials," Journal of Broadcasting, 23:1 (Winter 1979). pp. 15-26.
"Children's Interaction With Commercials," Symbolic Interaction, 2:2 (Fall
        1979), pp. 79-96 (with Charles t. Frazer).
"A Shopping List Experiment of the Impact of Advertising on Brand Image,"
    Journal of Advertiging, 8:2 (Spring 1979). pp. 25-28 (with Lauranne
    Buchanan) .
*The Impact of Family Group Interaction on Children's Undergtanding of
    Television Advertising," Journal of Advertising, 8:3 (Summer 1979), pp.
    13-19.
"Key Visuais as Correlates of Interest in TV Ads," Journalism ouarterly, 56:4 (Winter 1979), pp. 863-866 (with David H. Hahn).
"Sports Illustrated'g Coverage of Women in Sports," Journalism Quarterly, 56:4 (Winter 1979), pp. 859-861 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Studying the Child/Television Advertising Relationship: A Symbolic Interactionist Approach," Journal of Advertising, 8:4 (Fall 1979), pp. 13-19 (with Charlea F. Erazer).
"How Informative Are Ads on Children'g TV Shows?," Journaligm guarterly, 58:1 (Spring 1980), pp. 108-111 (with Herbert J. Rotfeld).
"Children'g Use of Television Comercials to Initiate Social Interaction in Family Viewing Situations," Journal of Broadcasting, 24:2 (Spring 1980), pp. 149-158 (with Charles F. Frazer).
"Family Income, TV Viewing, and Children's Cereal Ratings, Journalism Quarterly, 57:2 (Summer 1980), pp. 327-330 (with William O. Bearden and Jesse E. Teel).
"Reducing Computer Costs of Students Using SPSS," Journalism Educator, \(35: 2\) (July 1980), pp. 44-46 (with Lawrence C. Soley and Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).
"Puffery and Magazine Readership," Journal of Marketing, 44:2 (Spring 1980), pp. 78-81 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).
```

```
"The "Public Interest" as Defined by FCC Policy Makers," Journal of
    Broadcasting, 24;3 (Summer i980), pp. 311-325 (with Dean M. Krugman).
"Blacks in Introductory Ads," Journalism Quarterly, 57:3 (Autum, 1980), pp.
    485-488 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).
"Levels-of-Processing in Memory for the Recall and Recognition of
    Television Commercials," Current Issues and Research in Advertising, 3
    (1980), pp. 135-145 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Effects of Product Puffery on Response to Print Advertisementa," Current
    Issues and Research in Advertising, 3 (1980), pp. 123-134 (with Bruce G.
    Vanden Bergh).
"Television at Play," Journal of Communication, 30:4 (Autumn 1980), pp. 66-
    73 (with Charles F. Frazer).
"A Comparison of Influences on Fixed and Grid Radio Advertising Ratea,"
    Journal of Advertising, 9:4 (Fall 1980), pp. 15-19 (with Lawrence C.
    Soley and Jesse E. Teel).
"Does Source Affect Response to Direct Adyccacy Print Advertisementa?,"
    Journal of Buginess Research, 9 (1981), pp. 309-319 (with Lawrence C.
    Soley and Bruce Vanden Bergh).
"Replication in Advertising Research: 1977, 1978, 1979," Journal of
    Advertising, 10:1 (winter 1981), pp. 3-13 (with Lawrence C. Solay and
    Roger D. Wimmer).
"Factor Study of Dimensions of Advertiser Credibility," Journalism
    Quarterly, 58:4 (Winter 1981), pp. 629-632 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh
    and Lawrence C. Soley).
"Another Look at the Decorative Female Model: The Recognition of visual
    and Verbal Ad Components," Current Isgues and Regearch in Advertioing, 4
    (1981): pp= 123-133 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Promotion Expenditures in U.S. Congresgional Elections," Journal of
    Marketing and Public Policy, 1 (1982), pp. 147-155 (with Lawrence C.
    Soley).
"Willingness of Communication Researchers to Respond to Replication
        Requests," Journalism Quarterly, 59:2 (Summer 1982), pp. 317-319 (with
        Roger D. Wimmer).
"Effects of Imagery-Eliciting on Recognition and Recall for Radio
    Commercials," Journal of Broadcasting, 23:2 (Spring 1982), pp. 567-574
    (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Generalized and Personalized Attitudes Toward Advertising's Social and Economic Effects," Journal of Advertising, 11:3 (1982). pp. 3-7 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"How Researchers Respond to Replication Requests," Journal of Consumer Research, 9 (September 1982), pp. 216-218 (with Herbert J. Rotfeld and Roger D. Nimmer).
"Effect of Age of Models in Print Ads on Evaluation of Product and Sponsor," Journalism Quarterly, 53:1 (Autumn 1982), pp. 374-381 (with Herbert J. Rotfeld and Gary E. Wilcox).
```

[^9]```
"What Audience Data Do Newspapezs Provide Advertiserz?," Newspaper Regearch
    Journal, 6:4 {Summer 1985}, Ep. 2-7 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"The Effectiveness of Advocacy Advertising Relative to News Coverage,"
        Communication Research, 12:4 (October 1985), pp. 546-567 (with Chazles
        T. Salmon, James Pokrywczynski, and Robert Willett).
TThe Perceived Informativeness of National and Retail Advertising," Current
        Isgues and Regearch in Advertising, ll (1987), pp. I73-197 (with Karen
        Whitehill King, Spencer F: Tinkham, and James Pokrywczynski).
"Advertising Article Productivity Updated," Iournalism Quarterly, 65:1
        (Spring 1988), pp. 157-164 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Army Advertising's perceived Influence: Some Preliminary Findings,"
        Journalism Quarterly, 65:3 (Fall 1988), pp. 719-725, 732 (with Wiiliam
        H. Harkey and Karen Whitehill King).
"Taking It off: Are Models in Magazine Ads Wearing Lees?," Journaliam
        Quarterly, 65:4 (Winter 1988), pp. 960-966 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
"Fear Arousing Anti-Drinking and Driving PSAs: Do Physical Threata
        Influence Young Adults?," Current Issues and Research in Advertiging, 12
        {1989); pp. 155-175 (with Karen Whitehil1 King).
"Changes in the Vigual Imagery of Cigarette Ada, 1954-1986," Journal of
        Public Policy and Marketing, 10:1 (Spring 1991), pp. 63-80 (with Karen
        Whitehill King, Young Sook Moon, and Debra J. Ringold).
```


## SCHOLARLY PAPERS PUBLISEED IN CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

"Women Role Portrayals in Advertising Messagea as Stimulus Cues: An Exploratory Investigation;" Proceedings of the 1977 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Advertising, 1977, pp. 94-98 iwith Lauranne Buchanan).
"Advertising Expenditures and the Profitability of the Firm: A pilot Study of Relationghip," in Proceedings of the 1977 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Advertising, 1977, pp. 83-88 (with paul Oritt).
"Predicting the Creatives in Advertising," Proceedings of the 1978 Meeting of the American Academy of Advertising, 1978, pp. 123-127.
"An Experimentai Analysis of the Impact of Adveriising on Brand Image," in Proceedings of the 1978 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Advertiging, 1978, pp. 185-187 (with Leta C. Strube and Lauranne Buchanan).
"Income and TV Viewing as Mediating Factors of Children's Ability to Evaluate Heavily Advertised Cereals," in Proceedings of the 1979 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Advertiging, 1979, pp. 66-68 (with William O. Bearden and Jease E. Teel).

[^10][^11]```
"The Characteristics of Anti-Drinking and Driving pSAs: A Preliminary
    Look," in Proceedings of the 1986 Annual Meeting of the American Academy
    of Advertising, 2986 , pp. 103-106 (with Karen Whitenill King).
"A Test of Variation in Advertising Media Informativeness Within and
    Between National and Retail Advertising," in 1986 American Marketing
    Association Educators' Meeting. 1986, pp. 206-210 (with Karen Whitehill
    King).
"Evaluating Attentiveness to Television Programs and Commercials," in 1987
    American Marketing Association Winter Educatorg Conference, 1987, pp.
    354-357 (with Lawrence C. Soley).
```

"Sex Appeal in Advertising Revisited: Validation of a Typology," in
Proceedings of the 1988 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of
Advertiging, 198B, pp. RCil8-RC123 (with Spencer F. Tinkham).
"Listed Versug Unlisted Nonmetropolitan Telephone Subscribers," in Proceedings of the 1991 Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Advertising, 1991, in pregs (with Keith F. Johnson and peggy $J$. Kreghel).

## UAPUELISEED SCEOLARIY CONFERENCE PAPERS

"Regulating Children's Television Advertising: Reassessing Parental Responsibility," Association of Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Seattie, WA, August 1978.
"A Sociological Study of Children's Use of Television Commercials to Initiate Social Interaction in Family Viewing situation," Agsociation for Education in Journalism and mass Communication, Seattie, WA, Auguat 1978 (with Charles F. Frazer).
"A Symbolic Interactionist Approach to Studying the Child/Television Relationship," International Communication Agsociation, Philadelphia, PA, April 1979.
"A Model for Measuring Puffery Effects," Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Houston, TX, August 1979.
"Children's Interactional Experience with Television Advertising as an Index of Viewing Sophistication," Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Commaication, Houston, TX, August 1979 (with Charles F. Frazer).
"Adolescents' Perceptions of Foreign-Made Products: Implications for Advertising strategy," Asgociation for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Houston, TX, August 1979 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).

Male Readership Differences in Liquor Ads Employing Nonsensical and Sexual Humor," Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Boston, MA, August 1980 (with Bruce G. Vanden Bergh).
"Advertiaing Effects on Alcohol Brand Preference Imagea," Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Boston, MA, August 1980 (with Charles K. Atkin and Martin M. Block).

```
"Agency Researchers' perception of Uses and Users of Copy Research,"
    Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication,
    Gainesville, FL. August 1984 (with Charles T. Salmon).
"Defining the Core of Advertising Knowledge," American Academy of
    Advertising, Denver, CO, April }1984\mathrm{ (with Dean M. Krugman).
"The Credibility of Advocacy Advertiging: Implications for Advertising and
        Public Relation Strategies," International Communication Aasociation,
        Honolulu, Hawaij, May 1985 (with Charles T. Salmon and James
        Pokrywczynski; a Top Three conference paper).
"Changes in the Content of Print Cigarette Ads, 1954-1986," American
        Marketing Association, Washington, DC, August 1990 (with Karen Whitehill
        King and Young Sook Moon).
```


## RESEARCH AWARDS AND GRANIS

"Creativity in Advertising," Faculty Regearch Grants Program, Michigan State University, 1977-78, \$1.500.
"Analysia of Ad Characteristics Affecting Readerghip," Faculty Research Grants program, Michigan State University, 1978-79, \$4,000.
"Alcoholic Beverage Advertising: Content and Effecta, Bureau of Tobacco, Alcohol, and Firearms, U.S. Government, 1978-79, \$100,000 (coinvestigator).
"Effect of Source Attribution on Responge to Advocacy Advertiaing," Faculty Research Grants Program, Michigan State Univeraity, 1979-1980, \$1,500.
"Decorative Models in Advertising," College of Journalism and Masa Communication Research Grant, University of Georgia, 1980-81, $\$ 1,500$.
"Attitudes Toward Advertising's Social and Economic Effecta, College of Journalism and Mass Communication Research Grant, University of Georgia, 1981-82, \$1,500.
"Informational Value of Advertising," College of Journaliam and Mass Communication Research Grant, 1982-83, \$1,500.
"Anti-Drinking and Driving PSAs," Institute for Behavioral Regearch, The University of Georgia Mentoring program, \$3,000 (with Karen Whitehill King).

## RECOGNITION OF SCBOLARLY WORR

Ranked "Most Prolific" author of articles published in the major advertising Journals (Journal of Advertising, Journal of Advertiging Regearch, and Current Issues and Research in Advertising), from inaugural issues through 1988. Thomas E. Barry, "publication Productivity in Three Leading U.S. Advertising Journals: Inaugural Issues Through 1988," Journal of Advertiging, 19:1 (1990), pp. 52-60.

Ranked "Top Author" of articles published in the nine major mass communication journals from 1980 through 1985 . Bradley 5 . Greenberg and John C. Schweitzer, "Mass Communication Scholars Revisited and Revised," Journaligm Quarterly, 66:2 (Summer 1989), pp. 473-475.

Ranked second among "Most Profilic" authors in eignt leading marketing journals from 1983-1984. Gary L. Clark, "ProductiviEy Ratings of Institutions Based on Publication in Eight Marketing Journals: 19831984," Journal of Marketing Education, Fall i985, pp. 12-23.

Ranked in "Top 75 Authors" in the field of communication from 1915-1985. Nancy F. Burroughs, Dianne M. Christopher, J. Cole Ady, and Elizabeth A. McGreal, "Top Published Authors in Communication Studies, 1915-1985," ACA Eulletin, January 1989, pp. 37-45.

## TEACHING AWARDS AND ACTIVITIES

Superior Teaching Award, University of Georgia, 1984.
Teacher-Scholar Award for Excellence in Teaching, Michigan Stata University, 1978.

Faculty, Institute of Advanced Advertising Studies, American Agsoclation of Advertising Agencies, 1983-86.

Taught undergraduate and graduate classes in advertising and society, advertising management, advertising research, mass media economics, and qualitative research methods, 1974 - present.

Developed graduate seminars in mass media economics, advertising management, advertising media planning, qualitative research methods, audience behavior, and advertising research, University of Georgia, 1983-1987. Two of the geminars, advertising management and advertising media planning, are cross-listed with the Department of Marketing.

Ph. D. chair of the following Ph.D. dissertation comittees: Young Sook Moon (graduated 1990) Subir Sengupta (graduated 1991) Eric Haley (in progress) Mary Lynn Hanily (in progress) Pamela Bourland (in progress)

Member of $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. dissertation committees of numerous communication and marketing students.

## ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE

Head, Department of Advertising and Public Relations, Univergity of Georgia, 1983 - present. Responsibilities include the administration and management of 14 faculty and 2 secretaries.

Committee Member, Ph.D. Administrative Committee, 1983 - present. Responsibilities include applicant selection and curriculum management.

Dean's Executive Committee, College of Journalism and Mass Communication, 1983 - present. Responsibilities include policy and management decisions involving the college.

University Promotion and Tenure Committee, Unlversity of Georgia, 1989 1990. Responsibilities included chairing an area committee, 1988 1989, and serving on university-wide review committee.

President's Advisory Committee, University of Gecrgia; 1991 - present. Responsibilities involve advising the university president on policy matters.

## CONSULTING ACTIVITIES

Served as Research and Expert Consultant for numerous clienta, including the Tobacco Institute, Henderson Advertising, Ross Advertising, Caterpillar Tractor Company, BBDO/Atlanta, Standard Telephone Company, The Consumer Marketing Group, Mansours Department Storea, Inc.. McMaster Meighen/Canada, Imperial Tobacco Company, RJR/McDonald/Canada, and Major League Baseball.

Served as Editorial Consultant for numerous publishers, including PrenticeHall. The Dryden Press, W. C. Brown Company, Lexington Bocks, McGraw Hill, MacMilian, and Randon House Publishers.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In previous proceedings before the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (CRT), the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) presented surveys designed to measure cable operators' perceived value of sports programming in relation to other categories of distantsignal non-network programming. The surveys, conducted first by the advertising agency of Batten, Barten, Durstine, and osborne (BBDO), and later by the consulting firm of Browne, Bortz \& Coddington, Inc. ( $B B C$ ), used the constant sum technique to establish program-category valuation of randomly selected samples of cable operators. In the same proceedings the Motion picture Association of America (MPAA) presented studies based on A.C. Nielsen audience data. These studies were offered as evidence of the amount of cable subscriber "viewing" that each distant-signal program category received.

The CRT said that it accorded "far greater weight" to the MPAA viewing study than to the constant sum surveys in allocating the 1983 cable copyright fund (see p. 12808 of 198.3 Einal Determination). The CRT favored the MPAA viewing data for two primary reasons (see p. 12808-12809).

The first reason involved the relationship between the survey results and actual behavior. The CRT concluded that the

MPAA viewing study was "the only study to measure behavior," and that constant sum studies reported results which are not
indicative of actual behavior. In the words of the Tribunal (see p. 12809):

> . . it is recognized by surveyors that how people say they behave and how they do behave are quite different. This difference is exacerbated by the very nature of asking a subscriber or a cable employee over the phone to engage in a twenty minute exercise of allocating program preferences.

Such an exercise, added the Tribunal, "takes into account no "real world' factors" and "carries no consequences."

The second reason involved recall problems associated with the time of data collection. The Tribunal concluded that the viewing study was more reliable because it was the only one conducted during 1983, the relevant year (see p. 12808). The Tribunal agreed with the MPAA that the 1983 JSC constant sum survey was flawed by "recall" problems because it was conducted in 1985.

In order to address the CRT's concerns, two changes were made in the 1989 constant sum survey conducted by Bortz \& Company for the Joint Sports claimants. First, cable operators were asked to estimate the relative value of the distant-signal nonnetwork programming they carried in 1989 by ailocating 100 percent of a "fixed program budget;" in the 1983 survey the respondents allocated 100 percent of the "value" of their distant
signals. Second, the interviews in the 1989 survey were conducted in late 1989 and early 1990.

It should be noted that $I$ was retained by the Joint Sports Claimants prior to their conducting the 1989 survey, and that I recommended the foregoing changes in consultation with Bortz Company.
II. PURPOSE OF REPORT

I have been requested by the Joint Sports Claimants to comment on the CRT's concern with the constant sum technique -specifically, the relationship between constant sum survey results and actual behavior. I also have been asked to evaluate the MPAA viewing study in light of the CRT's concerns regarding behavior and recall.
III. SUMMARY OF VIEWS

The constant sum technique, such as that employed in the 1989 JSC survey, is a valid and well-accepted research tool. It is often used in marketing research because:

* it is simple in design and easy to use.
* its measurement properties allow the application of sophisticated statistical procedures.
* it reveals relative comparative judgments of items in an alternative set.
* it eliminates consistent positive, negative, or neutral response patterns.

> * it yields substantial information that is predictive of behavioral tendencies.
> in marketing and other research, the constant sum is frequently utilized as a means of determining how surveyed respondents are likely to act in a choice situation. In any instance where self-reported measures are used to collect information, one cannot be absolutely certain that such information is predictive of actual behavior. Nevertheless, those engaged in market research have traditionally relied upon constant sum measures as an accurate gauge of behavioral intentions. Furthermore, the studies that exist demonstrate that the constant sum technique provides a reliable and useful indicator of actual behavior.

The concerns over behavior and recall that the Tribunal expressed in the 1983 proceeding over the JSC constant sum survey are similar to the types of concerns that have been expressed by market researchers over Nielsen diary-based audience data. Such data are not direct measures of actual viewing behavior. Rather, they are dependent upon individual reporting of past behavior; they are thus susceptible to faulty recall and other problems affecting accuracy. Considerable sums of money of course have been invested by advertisers and others in relying upon Nielsen diary-based audience data. The same, however, may be said about relience upon data derived from constant sum surveys and similar research.

The above views are discussed more fully in the following pages. By way of summary, I believe that the constant sum technique is an appropriate research tool to determine (among other things) how cabie operators would likely have allocated their program rights payments. Also, from the standpoint of the CRT's concerns regarding behavior and recall, $I$ see no valid reason to favor the MPAA's viewing studies over the JSC's constant sum surveys.

## IV. DISCUSSION

## A. The Constant Sum Measure

## 1. Character of the constant sum scale

The constant sum scale was introduced to the field of marketing research through the work of J. P. Guilford and W. S. Torgerson. Both Guilford and Torgerson were psychologists who published major books on psychological measurement: Euilford published Psychometric Methods in 1954, and Torgerson published Theory and Methods of Scaling in 1958.

The constant sum scale is a widely accepted and often-used measurement tool in marketing research (see for example, Alreck and Settle, 1985; Axelrod, 1986; Churchill, 1983; Green and Tull, 1978; Hughes, 1971; Parasuraman, 1986; Peterson, 1988; Tull and Hawkins, 1987). The measurement technique is used for concept testing, price sensitivity studies, simulated shopping studies, advertising testing, and segmentation research (Axelrod, 1986).

It has been used to study consumer preferences for branded goods, medical services, travel decisions; and radio stations (e.g., Abernethy, 1989; Conant, Mokwa, and wood, 1987; Green and Srinivasan, 1978; Monahan, 1987; Mulbacher and Botschen, 1988; Pasumarty, Karney, and Morley, 1987; Sutherland and Brown, 1991; Woodside and Carr, 1988; Woodside and Shinn, 1988; Woodside and Wilson, 1985). Other applications of the constant sum measure can be found in psychology (e.g., Budescu, Zwick, and Rapoport, 1986; Spence, 1990), anthropology (e.g., Roberts, Chaio, and Pandey, 1975; Roberts, Strand, and Burmeister, 1971), and game theory (e.g., James, 1990; Michener, Clazer, and Richardson, 1989; Wolf and Shubik, 1977).

The constant sum is a popular measurement technique because of its simplicity, ease of use, suitability for sophisticated statistical procedures, and ability to yield substantial information (Green and Tull 1978). As noted by a number of authors, including Pamela L. Alreck and Robert B. Settle in The Survey Research Handbook (1985) and Donald Tull and Del Hawkins in Marketing Research (1987), the constant sum technique is particularly. well-suited for measuring behavioral intentions, pact actions, and evaluative preferences.

In practice, the constant sum scaling technique is employed to determine how proportions of some resource (e.g., money, time, etc.) or activity (e.g., purchase behavior) are allocated among two or more alternatives (Churchill, 1983; Peterson, 1988; Tull
and Hawkins, 1987). The proportions to be ailocated are numerically defined, generally 10 or 200 points (Tull and Hawkins, 1987). The allocation is based on each respondent's perceived judgment of the alternatives being evaluated, and provides diagnostic information on the relative preference for and importance of each alternative in the alternative set (Churchill, 1983; Tull and Hawkins, 1987). According to Robert Peterson (1988), the constant sum scaling technique allows fine discriminations to be made among evaluated alternatives, based on respondents' relative judgment of the alternative set.

Ās a scaling technique, the constant sum scale falls into the comparative scale category. Unlike the noncomparative rating approach, comparative scales involve judgments with direct reference to the other alternatives being evaluated. That is, subjects are asked to evaluate each alternative relative to the others in an alternative set.

As a comparative scaling technique, the constant sum scale has a natural starting point of zero, which means the sum to be divided is fixed across all respondents (e.g., lowest possible rating is zero; the highest possible is one hundred)
(Parasuraman, 1986). Alternatives can be evaluated two items at a time in a paired-comparison procedure or more than two at a time in a quadric procedure. According to Donald S. Tull and Del I. Hawkins (1987), the quadric procedure is most common in
marketing research. It should be noted that the 1989 ISC survey, as weil as past JSC surveys, used the quadric procedure.

Because respondents more readily understand numerical differences, the constant sum scale directly addresses one of the basic problems of psychological measurement -- the assessment of psychological distance between alternative items (Hughes, 1971). By virtue of the technique's fixed-scale format, the constant sum scale measures how much more important one alternative is relative to others -- meaning that an allocation of 50 and 25 points between two alternatives confirms that one alternative is perceived as twice as important as the other (Tull and Hawkins, 1987).

As a measurement approach, the constant sum scaling technique tends to eliminate "halo effects," that is, the tendency of respondents to answer in a consistent positive, neutral, or negative pattern with regard to the alternative set (Clancy and Garsen, 1970). As noted by G. David Hughes in Attitude Measurement for Marketing Strategies (1971), the constant sum technique is less susceptible than noncomparative scales to individual response style such as "yea saying" or "nea saying" and to differences in interpretation of scale labels (such as good, very good, etc.). In other words, compared to other evaluative scales the constant sum technique tends to reduce "false reporting" tendencies.

## 2. Comparative Evaluations

Two studies have specifically addressed the question of the predictiveness of the constant sum measure in relation to other scaling techniques. The first study, conducted by Joel N . Axelrod, then with the Xerox Corporation, appeared following an exchange over the merits of comparative scales within the pages of a 1966 issue of the Journal of Advertising Research (Blankenship, 1966; Haller, 1966). The Axelrod study, entitled "Attitude Measures That Predict Purchase," appeared in a 1968 issue of the Journal of Advertising Research. Eleven years later in 1979, Russell I. Haley, a professor of marketing at the University of New Hampshire, and Peter B. Case, a specialist in advertising and media research with the General Electric company, published a replication of Axelrod's study entitled "Testing Thirteen Attitude scales for Agreement and Brand Discrimination" in the Journal of Marketing. Both studies are considered classic works by marketing specialists, and are often cited in marketing research texts (for example; Alreck and Settle, 1985; Churchill, 1983; Green and Tull, 1978; Hughes, 1971; Parasuraman, 1986; Peterson, 1988; Tull and Hawkins, 1987).
a. The Arelrod study

Axelrod (1968) compared ten different survey research measures with respect to (a) their ability to discriminate among choice alternatives within a category (i.e., the property of sensitivity); (b) their ability to produce the same results over
different samples of respondents (i.e., the property of
stability); and (c) their ability to predict subsequent behavior (i.e., the property of predictive power). The ten measures compared were: (1) the Lottery measure, (2) the +5 to -5 Rating Scale, (3) the Predisposition-to-Buy Scale, (4) the Constant Sum Scale, (5) Paired Comparisons, (6) Forced Switching, (7) Advertising Recall, (8) First and Second Choices, (9) Awareness, and (10) Buying Game.

In the constant sum procedure, subjects were asked to allocate "11 cards" among a predetermined set of brands to indicate the likelihood of brand purchase. A person's preference score was simply the number of cards allocated to each brand. Axelrod labeled each measure an intermediate criterion (I.C.), meaning that a psychological response to a stimulus measured in Time 1 is assessed relative to its predictiveness, or correspondence, with a measured response to the same stimulus in Time 2. In Axelrod's (1968, p. 3) words:

> The marketer needs a more immediate measure of the effects of manipulations - a measure that reflects the immediate effect of a stimulus on a consumer but also predicts his subsequent purchase behavior. Such a measure is called an Intermediate criterion, or I.C. for short.

In other words, the intermediate criterion (I.C.) is a proxy measure that validly predicts behavior.

To determine the short-term and long-term predictiveness of the measures, interviews were carried out with samples of 2,000
and 2,500 women from different cities. Short-term predictiveness was measured at three- and five-week intervals, while long-term predictiveness was measured after five months. Axelrod found that first brand awareness (i.e., name all brands that you can think of; also known as top-of-mind awareness) and the constant sum measure were the most stable and predictive measures of purchase behavior (i.e., of the 8 measures retained after the sensitivity phase of the study). In particular, Axelrod found that the constant sum was the best measure of repeat purchase, that is, the probability that a person will keep buying the same brand. From the aggregate data, Axelrod (1968, p. 17) concluded:

> In those situations where research users are concerned not only with short-term predictions, but also with providing diagnostic information -- what beliefs are held by those who are going to switch to various brands, what do they like, want, etc. -- the constant sum is superior because it spreads customers along a continuum.

In other words, the constant sum most accurately reflects how customers fall in relation to the various categories of possible behavior.
b. The Haley and case study

Haley and case (1979) conducted a comparative study of thirteen popular rating scales to determine (1) which scales are related (i.e., consistency of the measures themselves; measuring the same thing), and (2) which discriminated best among brands of frequently purchased packaged goods. The thirteen scales,
including two versions of the constant sum measure, were culled from a longer list of testing scales partly on the basis of popularity and diversity.

The scales were tested across six packaged goods categories with relatively high purchase frequency and sales concentration among a small set of brands. The subjects were 630 women over age 18 who were responsible for family shopping. One constant sum scale asked subjects to allocate ten pennies among the selected brands as an indication of brand liking; the other measure, a paired comparison procedure, asked subjects to allocate 10 points among pairs of brands.

Haley and Case found that the constant sum measure was one of five scales to adequately discriminate among brand liking and to be strongly associated with current brand usage. In other words, the constant sum technique was one of the measures that most accurately reflected the brand preferences of the tested sample of respondents.
3. Field Applications

The pragmatic value of the constant sum technique for measurement purposes may be demonstrated by its application in the field. Though no industry-wide surveys are publicly available, large marketing research firms such as Decision Research, Eric Marder \& Associates, Maritz Marketing Research, McCollum/Spielman Worldwide, Oxtoby-Smith, and Marketeam/Doane Marketing Research, use the constant sum technique in numerous
marketing surveys each year. The two industry-based applications described below are presented to illustrate the utility of the constant sum for the actual practice of marketing research.
a. The Assessor Model

The constant sum technique is incorporated as a fundamental measurement component of the ASSESSOR Model. The model was developed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and first described by Alvin J. Silk and Glen L. Urban in a 1978 Journal of Marketing Research article entitled "Pre-Test-Market Evaluation of New Packaged Goods: A Model and Measurement Methodology."

According to Silk and Urban, the ASSESSOR Model and its measurement procedure were developed to estimate sales potential for new products before they are test marketed, in an effort to reduce product failures and test costs. As employed in the model, the constant sum measure is used to evaluate brand preference among a set of tested brands. The constant sum procedure was selected, as noted by silk and Urban, because of its superior ability to elicit preference judgments from consumers. In practice, the ASSESSOR Model has been used by a number of major marketers to test more than 1,000 products.
b. Coca-Cola's oCT

Another example of a field application of the constant sum procedure is provided by Coca-Cola's QCT (Quantitative Copy Testing). QCT is an instrument that was developed and utilized by coke to test advertising effectiveness. Included among the
cognitive and attitudinal scales is a constant sum scale designed to measure brand-purchase likeiinood.

In the procedure, subjects are asked to allocate 10 points among a set of soft drink brands. Each point, as defined in the procedure, represents one future purchase. The total points allocated per brand is interpreted to mean likelihood of future purchase -- the more points given to a particular brand, the greater the likelihood that brand will be purchased.

It should also be noted that coke has used the constant sum technique in non-advertising tests. In product tests, Coke uses the technique to measure brand preference. This application is similar to the use of the constant sum in the ASSESSOR Model.
B. Nielsen Audience Data

As noted above, the CRT favored the MPAA viewing study in part because the study measures "actual behavior: and was conducted in the relevant year. However, I do not believe the Nielsen data, which underlie the MPAA study, are immune to recall problems. Nor are the Nielsen audience data a direct measure of "viewing" behavior.

Diaries suffer from problems of nonresponse and response error. Though I will deal mainly with problems of response error in the following paragraphs, it should be noted that nonresponse error is particularly troublesome for diaries. Typically, diaries are completed by only $50 \%$ of those households sampled, and those individuals who fill out and return diaries tend to be
systematicaliy different from nonrespondents in significant ways (e.g., younger people, especially males, are less responsive; blacks are less likely to complete and return; and heavy viewers are more likely to return diaries than are other viewer-types). The CRT's concerns regarding behavior and recall are problems of response error, and are inherently associated with the diary method of data collection.

The Nielsen-based MPAA study is the product of self-reported recall of past behavior; it is dependent upon individuals completing diaries of their viewing behavior. These diaries are often reconstructed from memory. When diaries are used to determine program ratings, viewing behavior is not directly measured; rather, viewers' recall of past viewing behavior is measured. As documented in the literature, diary-based audience data (such as those which underlie the MPAA study) reflect "faulty recall" and should not be equated with absolute viewing behavior.

One reason why Nielsen diary-based ratings are susceptible to recall problems is that viewers who complete Nielsen diaries have little involvement in the measurement process; they are not directly or professionally concerned with the process of measuring viewing. Furthermore, viewers may forget wnich programs they watched when completing diaries; they may make honest reporting mistakes; and they may enter false viewing choices in order to reflect more "socially acceptable" viewing
(Fletcher and Bowers, 1991; Ogies and Howard, 1990; Sissors and Bumba, 1989).

Perhaps even more important (given the nature of the CRT proceedings) is the fact that recall of viewing is a particular problem for cable TV subscribers. According to Ogles and Howard (1990) and to Sissors and Bumba (1989), audience data from cable TV homes tend to be inaccurate because there are too many stations for diary keepers to remember; it simply takes too much time and attention to detail for cable subscribers to complete diaries.

In summary, the CRT's concerns regarding behavior and recall apply also to MPAA viewing studies. Nielsen diary-based data neither directly measure actual viewing behavior, nor are they immune to faulty recall.
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# Keeping Up with Changes in Broadcast Audience Measurement: Diaries and People Meters 

By Robert M. Ogles and
llerbert H. Howard

TI he measuremento! radio and clevision audicnces has been an important activity since the beginning of broatcasting in the United Sulcs. Early radio ralings were derived by telcphone recall (Crossley's Cooperative Analysis of Broadeasting), the telephone coincidental method (C. E. Hooper), passive meters (the A.C. Nielsen audiometer), and roster-recall (The Pulse). More exotic methods were also used, such as measuring responses to premium offers on children's programs or recruiting college students and off duty police officers io ask drivers stopped at traflic lights which stations they were listening to. More recenly, structured diaries were central to both radio and iclevision ratings (c.g., Sterling \& Kiltross, 1970; Fornatale \& Mills. 1980). Tollay, the latest innovation in audience data gathering is the people meter, a type of electronic diary that is used to measure network television viewing.

Although passive meters are used in conjunction with diaries to measure nonnetwork (local) TV audiences in 12 to 15 of the largest markets, the A. C. Nielsen and Arbitron Companies continue to use the diary method to measure local TV audiences in other markets. The network radio audience is measured by telephone recall (RADAR). Local listenership is asscssed by the diary method (Arbitron) and the telcphone coincidental technique, in which poicnial audicnce members are simply called to ask what program or station they are listening to $x$ the time(Birch).

Most broadcast educators are familiar with stuctured diaries themselves, which are logs eypically divided into 15 or 30 minuic intervals. On the other hand, broadcast cducators may be less famitiar with diary methodology as a form of survey research because it is infrequently studied compared to other common methods such
as persenal intervicws, mail questionnaires. and telephone sampling techniques. In addition, broadcast cducators may not be familiar widt the problems inherent an newer methodologics such as peopic melers. Herc, we review some of the biases and analytical problems associated with these techniques and make suggesions regarding what we should try to help our students appreciate when teaching them about the latest developments in audience measurements.

## A brief background on diaries and people meters

## Television Ratings

Although the pcople meter may be the most signilicant development in audience rescarch during the past 35 years, the usc of meters to measure audience pattems dates back to the 1930s. In 1942, the Niclsen Audiometer became tuc foundation for network radio ratings. Its purpose was to record

## Network executives

 resisted people meters at firstthe time listening began and ended (i.c., when the set was curned on and oli) and the station to which the radio was tuncet. In 1950. the Nielsen Television Index (NT1) was launched, using a simitar passive turing device for TV. In reality, those houschold meters were merely set meters. which detected the tuning activity of the set to which they were atached.

Uncif recently Nielsen, the preeminent television raungs firm, measured nationwide vicwing by combining demographic data from 865 diary respondents and viewing data from 1,700 houscholds wircd with "passive" set meters, which recorded when a iclevision was tumed on, the station to which it was tuned, any channel changes.and when the set was tumed off. These electronic meters were inked io Nielsen computers via spocial elephone lines (Dalcy. 1986: A. C. Niclsen. 1980).

Resistance to the use of diaries by advertising agencies crystalized with the developmentor"active" peoplemeters. Instead of requining writuen diary entries. Hiese cloctronic devices measured telcvision usige by individual viewers who pushed bullons on a kcy pad at prescenbed umes while watching. The people meter was developed by London-based AGB Rcscarch, which conducted exiensive pitot testing and planned to launch iss service in the United States in 1987. However, the entrenchel Nielsen organization ceuncered with its own people meter system and won the fierce compelitive struggle of provide people meter service w the U.S. Arbitron also came out with its people meter version. ScanAmerica, which so far has been used in only a few markels.

Slighty larger than a TV channel sclector, the people meter is a nand-held device atached to cach uclevision set in a house-
hold. A specific button is assignce to cach member of the family. Each time a persion selects a program of any kind -broadcant telcrision, cable, or videccassctie - the appropriate tuton is pusted. Thus, the people metergives agency. adveriser, and mestia subscribers vinually instant (ncxt moming) fecdback 52 weeks a year on the size and demographic composition of those who vicwed a particular program (Gay, 1985).

## Diary challenge

Why was the diary method challenged? Sandomir (1986) reports tworcasons. First. the proliferation of vicwing choices broughtabout by cable, satcllite. and vidco rocording equipmentmade diarics increasingly difficult to fill out Sccond, adverising professionals believed pcople meters were methodologically more precise than diaries because they enabled ratings firms to assess very detailed demographic information about broadcast audiences, including ratings for individual commetcial messages. Young \& Rubicam Executive Vice President Joseph Ostrow said "all evidence shows [the people meter] is a more accurate representation of viewing habits" than are written diaries (Sandomir. 1986, p. 39). In addition to their presumably greater accuracy, pcople meters also were favored because of their ability 10 deliver vicwing informacion instanlly 52 weeks a year. Other factors cited were a growing unwillingness by the public to fill out diarics, as well as "halo" biases introduced when viewers filled ous diaries hours or days after viewing when perhaps they were more likely to remember only their favorite or better known programs.

While adverising executives initially tended to favor pcople meiers, network executives were hesitant to endorse their adoption because preliminary peopic meter data indicated approximately $10 \%$ lower average racings for network shows compared to diary-bascd ralings. Lossesamong adult yiewers occurred during both dayume and prime-time, while the most severe losses (25 to 30\%) took place among child viewers on Saturday morning. Latenightnetwork viewing, however, increased whth the people meter measurements (Sandomir, 1986. p. 39).

## Understating

In addition to concerns about "understaing" the size and composition of the audience, network executives initially
enticized the peonic meter sample frame (hist of survey respondents) lor including wo many jay-Ty houscholds and a disproporLonate number of young famities. They also cilcd the inability of young childeren whande the people meter control buttons and the cratic wack-w-wcek variations of raungs: for specilic programs during the iest period. Forgening to use the poonle meter device as a result of fatigue with the system was anoliner concern suated by network broadcastcrs (Gay, 1988).

Orficiats of the broadcast networks proposed a hybrid approach to a measurcment system: conventional passive metcring to measure total audience size; and people meters instead of diarics, to measure denographics. But USA Network President Kay Koplovitz said "the two-sample proposal sacrifices validity, icliability, sample size and sample efliciency for a presumed, shorterm ceonomic advantage to the broadcast networks. One large simple will simply provide beuer quality data than two small combined samples" ("Koplovitu decrics," 1986). Dr. William Baker. president of Group W Telcvision, expressed a similar opinion. Baker wamed against making a historic break with the past bascd on insufficient evidence that people meters are any lessflowed han diaries("Group W's Baker."

## Many methodological issues linger

1985. Italies.supplicd). We consider this to be an importint point for broadeast cducators.

The dispute was exacerbated by the entry into the domesuc broadcast rating industry of British-based AGB Rescarch, which proposed to use people meicrs exclusively. At about the same time, a Scatte-based entity. R. D. Percy Co., wired 1.400 households in the New York market with people meters that were both "acuve" and "passive." All viewers in a houschold had access to a remote control device whereby their responses to questions presenied on the screen were measured. The "Percy system" featured a heat sensor finstcad of butions to push) which reconded which individuals were seated in the room during the programs and commercial brcaks ("New measurcment
device," $i$ 986). The developer toutce the fact thet this passive system could overcome an inherent problem in active people meters whercby a person could leave the roon and fait to punch out. Cntes courtered hat pet dogs might registur on the Percy meser; company oflictals, however, claimed pets could te singlel our and not incheded in the final dan (Gay, 1986)

## People meter methods

Nielsen began national data collocions with its prople meter in the fallor 1986 . it also continued to usc the diary medhod until August. 1987. providing parallel repors to clicnes during the 1986.87 season that were based on both the diary/pas-sive-micier sysicm and a sample of 1,000 people meters. The number of people meters in the Nielsen national sample subsequently has been increascal to 1,400("You know about." 1989). Now that the pcople meter has supplanted the diary in network rating. its impact on the television industry can be summarized in a docrease in teporicd network vicwing during the daytime and primelime, great variauions in network evening news audiences from night-to-night, and as much as a $20 \%$ drop in the vicwing of Saturday morning children's shows. The television networks have also changed certain business pracbecs. including making guarantces ("make goods") for lower-than-cxpected ratings in the "upfront" markcting of fall adverusing lime ("New metcrs scen." 1986 ).

Mcthodological issues include questionable compliance with on-screen requests to "punch in" the names of the viewers, the use of the peopic meters by children. and a $50 \%$ rate of decline-to-participate among potential respondents. There may be technology related bias associated with the latter, as cata indicates those who agree w have decir selevision seis wired for people meters are comparatively more comfonable with video and television technology. Obviously, these individuals may not be representative of the general population (Unger. 1988).

## Local Television Ralings

Market-by-market ratings are produced fours times per year ("sweeps") by Niclsen and Arbitron. Boch Iirms rely primarily on the diary method for gathering in the more than 200 U. S. television markets. Howcver, both companies have been racing to launch metered-ovemight ratings services in the largest markets, where the economies can justify the expense. At present. both Arbitron and Nielsen use passive
meters for tuning information and dairics for demogruphics in each of the lop-10 matkets, plus a few others ("Ficienng of markecs," 1986).

## Radio Ratings

The enly firn that measures the network radio audicnce is 'RADAR, Radio's AllDimensson Audience Resciuch. Гinanced by the radio networks under contract with Statisucal Rescarch, Inc. Issucd iwice a ycar. the RADAR report is based on 6,000 telephone respondents who are asked to rocall their listening aclivity (Head ad Sterling. 1986. p. 376).

## Diary method primary

The diary method continues to be the primary insturnent used to measure local radio listening, primarily because the estimation of away-from-home radio use in various seltings such as automobiles and lightweight headsets is not amenable to passive metering. (The original Nictsen

## Biases

 remain that threaten the believability of ratingsAudimeter for radio was incroduced belore the age of televicion, when living rooms had console radios.)

The dominant radio ralings ferm. Arbitron, estimates both radio and television use based on diary respondents sclected from houscholds with telephones located wthin geographic (markel) survey arcas. As of August, 1989. Arbitron measured 79 markets ycar-round, 148 markets at least twice a ycar. and a total of 260 markets at least once a year (Counsel on the advisory," 1989). In 1978. a compcutor, Birch. began offering mungs based on the telcphone coincidental method. The emergence of Birch scemed to parallel the audi-
cnce shift from AM to FM and the resulting prohferation of station choices among listeners. Birch capitalized on an important bias of the diary meihod: Young persons tend not to complete diaries. Many swtions whe youth taget demographics fare comparatively better with telephonc-comeudenal than diary-gencritud ratings. By 1987 Bisch offered monthly reporsi and quanerly ratings in 125 makets (Head $k$ Surling. i987, p. 376).

Arbiuon has recognized another problem with the diary method. The return rate for minorities generaliy is low, resulting in an underestimation of their media use. To counter this bias, Arbitron employs cxpanded sampic frames and differenial survey uratments (DST) for populations containing significant proportions of black and Hispanic persons. These ueatments entail simpling from houscholds with unlisted iclephone numbers based on files of porential telcphone numbers from which known listed numbers, known business numbers. and nomesidential exchanges have been eliminated. Increased cash incentives and follow-up procedures are used to siraulate interest in the survey and to encourage minority diary respondents to fill in and relurn their diaries. As of this writing, reaching the black survey respondent remains problematic (Corbits 1989).

Morcover, because diary return rates hover around 40\% ("Arbiuron siys," 1987), Arbitron has established a procedure to correct for insufficientsamplesize. Abuffersimpic is drawn manually, though in the same manner as the original computer-drawn sample. If initual dairy returns appear low. the buffer cample is used 10 augment the original sample, but only to the exient necessary in ensure represenlativences (Arbitron Ratings Company. 1985).

Another methodological development is the daypart diary, which is designed to prevent respondents' confusion about time of day by climinating the noed for a.m. and p.m. designations. Although this diary design may reduce crrors in crediling stations with listeners. a general decline in radio listening cvident before the change to the daypart diary persists ("Arbiuon says." 1987).

## Diary criticisms

Even with these salcguards. Arbitron publications seporn cruticusms of the diary method. Two of the the more common are that diaries are filled out on the tast days of survey wecks, and that diaries measure only habimal usc of favorite shaions. Arbitron
officials hold that thesc crucisms are not vald (Boricy, 19kn). Nevertheicss. she Arbiuron diary comunuce to be refines. Companyofficalsarecxpenmentaig with changes tn graphic tesign. piper swax. and language compowiton "Arbaren suys." 1487)

Fosier (1978) idenuilice basic questions which must be addresced by usirs of un-ary-based ratings: Was il clear when respondents were to make nolations in the diarics? Should it be whenever the program changed, the dial was changed. or the composition of the audience before Une set changed? Or, was it acceplatic wo till in the information at the end of the day? Was onc station more socially accepable to view or listen to than another? Did respondents clevale to a high prortiy news. documentarics, or cultural programs they rarely bother to watch or listen 10? Howard and Kicuman (1983) recognized another problem with the diary method: heightened consciousncis of vicwing and listening associated with knowing one's media use is being measurcd, which could lead 10 artificial program choices and inflated melia usc, or so-called Hawthome effects. ${ }^{2}$ Some rescarch firms discard the first weck of data from diary respondents in an altempe to correct for this possibility. We raise another issue: Do listeners and viewers always know what they are listening to or viewing? When scanning the radio dial or slipping through uic cable channels, some audience members may spend significant ume fistening to or viewing programming, but tunc away betore discovering the name of the progiam or as source.

## What should we emphasize when we leach about ratings biases?

The biases inherent in brosule 24 judience research are not likely whe cimonated by people meters, as evidenciol by the words of one peopie meter revpunithe: "We watch mostly PBSand.oncarde, ins and Enterainment. . This is my chancito let the people who put on the them, inow what I want. So ltum on PRS. ©winutur I'm not that inierested, just to bein up there in the ratings" (Unger. i9xx. a it) Insicad, pcople meters merely wist is the number of tradcolfs among in-trument biascs: diary vs. questionnare. tare vi. phone coincidental. diary vs. poryic me. ter, etc. Any"active" meisure of r.wnend televisionaudiencestemiansprothisnauc. Nevertheless, such measurcs ormine whlection of detailct data about madatuc.

Some students may be iempeed to assume that because a viewer is punching butions on a key pad instead of making entrics in a diary, the accuracy of broadcast ratings is increased. Indecd, diaries mayoveresumate network celevision vicwmg audiences, and people melers may underestimate them-depending on the lype of viewing situation, the time of day. and the individual vicwer at the pcople meter controls. Nevertheless, even if people meters are found to assess selevision viewing more accurately than dianes. there are qualitative biases that could render people-meter based raings suspect.
Whether a less-nawed instrument will

## Fewer flaws

ever be devised is anybody's guess. In the meantime, it is unreasonable to expect the broadeast raings industry to emphasize the limitations of its scrvices. Such criticism is our job as broadcast cducators. A prudent aim would be to help students understand the complexity of human bechavior and the difficulty of its measurement by tracing the history and development of broadcasi ratings and showing that each tectnological development is subject to fundamencial biases which can be traced to variations in the structure of socicty and individual differnces among audience members. By doing so, our students should be prepared to cvaluate critically the future refinements in instrumentation that undoubtally will emerge from advances in acchnology.

## Notes

${ }^{1}$ Among diary respondents, Stecves and Rostain (1942) reporta nonsignificantuend in the opposite direction for cthnic and racial minoritics.
${ }^{2}$ Controversy cxists conceming the origin and existence of the Hawthome effect. Sce Rice (1982).
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# Fundamentals of Âdvertising Research 

## Fourth Edition

# Audience Research: Broadcast 

Advertising researchers expend a considerable amount of money and effort to measure audiences of advertising vehicles because advertisers want to know the size and characteristics of the audiences they reach with their advertising messages. Although advertising agencies and other users of audience information must pay for the data, most of the cost of measuring audiences is borne by the media vehicles being measured. The cost can be extremely high; individual stations may have to pay thousands or even hundreds of thousands of dollars each to have their audiences measured.

There is no absolute requirement that media have their audiences measured. In fact. many publications and broadcast stations elect not to be measured because of the prohibitive expense. Audience measurement is a virtual requirement, however, for stations and publications that hope to garner significant amounts of national advertising. Many buyers of advertising, including agencies and advertisers, have policies. against buying advertising from newspapers or magazines that do not have audited circulations.

While most vehicles in a market are usually measured by audience measurement companies, only the ories that pay for the service are allowed to use the resulting audience data for their oun promotion purposcs. For example, some radio stations may decide not to pay for the measurement services of either Arbitron or Birch. The staticrs of those audiences will still be measured and reported. but those stations will not be able to promote their audience figures.

The research companies discussed in Chapters 15 and $i 6$ are the most wody used sources of syndicated audience data. Broadcast audience services discussed in this chapter include network radio (RADAR ${ }^{2}$, spot radio (Birch. Arbitronl. spot television (Arbitron. Wielsen Station Index), and network television (Vielsen Television Index, TvQ). Print audience sources are discussed in Chapter 16.

## Data Gathering Procedures

Companies that gather and report broadcast audience data use three baric methods. With diaries or other self-report techniques, respondents keep their oun records of media exposure. Inferviews rely on another person to question the respondent - in person or on the telephone-about media exposure. Mrters are electronic devices that record television viewing automatically. Each technique bas advantages and problems.

## .. . Diaries

A diary is a printed booklet in which individuals record their television viewing. radio listening, or other media exposure. Diaries are used primarily because they are inexpensive compared to other methods. About the only expense unique to diaries is postage, which is lower than the cost of interviews or meters. (All the methods have expenses related to sample selection, data analysis, and printing.) Diaries are the principal method used for local radio and television audience measurements, which require several hundred completed diaries in each market. Thus lower cost is a critical factor.

## Problems with Diaries

Diaries are subject to the faulty memories of people who fill them out. Ideally, researchers hope that respondents keep diaries close to their television sets and radios and update them as they watch or listen. It is likely. however. that most diary entries are made only periodically or at the end of the survey period-usually one week. Respondents are likely to forget some details of their listening or viewing. It is also probable that only one family member (usually an adult female) reports viewing and listening for all others in the household. If she does that near the end of the diary week - as many probably do - the errors can be even greater.

Some respondents might also make honest mistakes in completing diaries because they get confused about station call letters and channel numbers. That can be a particular problem for people who watch cable television. For example, a person might
be watching WXXX-TV, which is broadcast over the air on channel 5 . On the cabie system. WXXX-TV might be carried on channel 9. Viewers have difficuity keeping all that sorted out in their minds Some viewers cannot even make the association hetween a station's call letters and its channel number.)

Diary information covid aiso be incorrect because of deliberate untrithfiness by respondents. For exampie some people who regulariy watch daytime soap operas might not want to admit such behavior. even in an anonymous diary. It is easy for them to write the name of a more socially acceptable program -or nothing at all-in the diary. Another potential problem with diaries is the fact that people must be abie to read and write to complete a diary. The U.S. Council on Literacy estimates that 20 percent of Americans are functinnally illiterate, meaning they presumably do not have the verbal skills required to complete television or radio diaries.

Diaries require a considerable amount of time to process after the data have been gathered. Respondents must mail the diaries back to the company, and many forget to do that immediately. Diaries must be checked for completeness and accuracy and must be prepared for computer analysis before the rating books can be printed and mailed to users. That process can take several weeks.

## . . . Interviews

Interviews can be conducted in person or by telephone. Either way, researchers have more control over data gathering than is true with self-report techniques such as diaries. Interviewers can probe for more complete answers or can explain questions that respondents might not understand. Such probing or explanation would not be possible with a diary or other form of printed self-report. Interviewers can also make sure that all needed information is collected. Diaries, on the other hand, are often returned to the company with important information left out. In some studies. interviewers call respondents and ask them to verbalize a diary by recalling their listeming or viewing for the previous day.

Interviewers can also control the source of the information instead of leaving it to chance. In some audience surveys, for example, it might be important to have an equal number of male and female respondents, and interviewers could control that. Researchers have very little control over who fills out a diary.

Researchers can monitor and supervise telephone interviewers more easily than face-to-face interviews. Research firms that conduct telephone surveys usually do thom from opocially equipped facilities with many interviewers in one room. Super visors are able to monitor telephone interviewers as they make their calls.

## Problems with Interviews

Memory problems are not necessarily eliminated by interviews, for respondents may still be asked to remember their viewing or listening behavior for the previous few hours or days. They might legitimately forget what they watched or might lie to interviewers for fear of embarrassment.

A greater problem - and also true to a lesser extent with diaries - is a reluctance to cooperate with interviewers. Many penple are reluctant to participate in surveys
because they don't want to talk to strangers, they are too busy to be bothered, or they fear their name will be put on a mailing list. That reluctance to participate has become an acute problem for companies that use personal inierviews; interviewers sometimes have doons siammed in their faces. In some urban areas, they may face dangers from physical assaults.

Finally, interviews are more costiy and time ennsuming than some other methods. A single personal interview may require more than an hour to complete. and the transportation time required to get from interview to interview might make it difficult to complete more than three or four interviews per day. Telephone interviews can be done more quickly and more economically, of course, but they must be brief. In addition, a respondent can easily hang up before finishing a telephone interview. It also takes a long time to process and distribute the information gathered by personal or telephone interviews.

## . . . Meters

Meters are electronic deviees that automatically record the duration of time that a television set is turned on and the station to which it is tuned. No actiontior effort is required by viewers: That eliminates memory and accuracy problems associated with diaries or interviews. The meters are connected to the research company's central computer, which regularly and automatically collects data stored in the meters. That reduces the time needed to process and distribute audience data to users. Many large advertising agencies have online computer connections with audience measurement companies and can receive television audience data a day or two after a telecast.

## The People Meter

Television audience measurement underwent significant changes in the late 1980s with the introduction of the people meter to measure network television audiences. Prior to that time. meters measured only television set usage - the station that a television set was tuned to. To ascertain the composition or characteristics (demographics) of network program audiences, nesearch companies used a separate sample of households that maintained diaries to report the knds of people who were watching the programs. The diaries 7lowedthetr to report on what individual family members watched. In addition to using a different sample of households than the metered households, that methodology suffered from the problems of diaries in general.

Nielsen Media Research's introduction of the people meter changed much of that. It is a small device that looks like a calculator or a remote control unit. (See Exhibit 15.1.) Each family member has a different assigned button, and there are extra buttons for guests. The meter automatically records the station being viewed, and individual viewers are supposed to press their buttons when they start to watch and press them again when they leave the room. The people meter is like a miniature computer, and each family member's age. gender, and other demographic information is stored in it. (Guests enter that information when they use the meter.) Both television viewing and demographic characteristics are now measured by people meters in one sample, and Nielsen no longer uses diaries for its network audience composition studies.


Exhlbit 15.1 The Nielsen people meter that is used to record lelevision viewing by difterent
lamily memisers

## Problems with Meters

A major problem with meters is that they do not measure whether anyone is watching the television set while it is turned on. Some studies have shown that up to 25 percent of the time that sets are turned on. no one is in the room watching. That may be true during long program segments as well as commercial breaks. People meters have not solved that problem. for viewers must press a button to indicate they are in the room, and some may forget or ignore the button.

What is needed, and what the research industry is working toward. is a truly passive measuring device, one that can record vicwing by individual viewers without requiring any action on their part. The technolegy for such passive meters is available. but costs and concerns about privacy are hindering their implementation. It would be technically feasible, for example, to give vicwers a device to wear for even to implant it under their skin) that would emit an electronic signal that could be read by a meter on the television set. It is also feasible for heat-sensing devices to identify and record the presence of individual viewers in the room while the set is turned on. (Such heatsensing devices are sensitive enough to detect the presence of family pets.) To many people, however, devices like those pose threats of government surveillance. In addition, passive meters will detect only the presence of an individual in the room: they will not ascertain whether the person is watching or paying attention to the program or commercial.

Media researchers and television network exccutives are concerned about people meter problems with young children and with guests. Young children may not understand how to operate the people meter, and they are probably less likely than adults to remember to press the buttons. The requirement for guests in the household to provide demographic information when they watch is also seen as a hindrance.'

Another major problem with meters is the expense of instaling and maintaining them. Consequentiy, meters are limted to the measurement of network television audiences and the audiences of television stations in the fifteen or so largest markets in the country. Stations in smaller markets simpiy could not afford to pay for audience moasurement by meters
$A$ couple of other problems are associated with televiston audience measurement. although not necessarily only with meters. One is the problem of out-of home vewers, people who watch television at work or in hoteis. dormitories, or bars and who are effectively beyond the reach of audience measurement companies. One study estimated that 5 miliion pcople watch "Monday Night Footba!!" in bars, hotels, and dormitories, that 3.1 million college students watch daytime telecision in dormitories. and that 2.6 million women watch daytime television at work. ${ }^{2}$ It remains to be seen whether the costs of alleviating such problems can be justified in light of the improved precision of measurement.

The second problem concerns the difficulty of measuring the use of videocassette recorders to play prerecorded cassettes (rentals) and to play back programs recoried of the air. Nielsen Media Research does measure the viewing of prerecorded cassettes by families in its people meter sample, but there is not yet universal agreement about how to measure and to count viewers of programs recorded off the air. For example. should such viewing be counted if it takes place more than one or two days after the program was originally telecast? ${ }^{3}$

## RADAR*

Network radio audiences are measured by Radio's All Dimension Audience Research (RADAR ${ }^{\circ}$ ). It was established in 1967 and has been operated by Statistical Research, Inc. (SRI) since 1972. Data are gathered by day-after telephone recall interviews of respondents for a seven-day period. Interviews with approximately 12.000 people are conducted throughout the year.

RADAR ${ }^{\infty}$ reports audiences of stations that carry specific network programs and network commercials. To do that, it relies on network clearance reports-lists of stations that carried specific network progiams and commercials.

## . . . RADAR ${ }^{*}$ Samples

SRI first generates a sample of possible telephone numbers that are allocated among the 111 U.S. telephone area codes. In that procedure, a computer generates lourdigt numbers that are paised with central office (exchanfe.) prefixes. That random-digit dialing procedure gives each telephone household (even those with unlisted numbers) an equal chance of being selected. so SRI does not make any other effort to contact households that might otherwise be underrepresented. such as minority households.
member of the family (up to eight members. A special unir containing sixteen buttons is available for larger families).

Before the unit is ready for use. a field representative collects demographic data about age and sex of each family member. These data we recorded in a conucl uniz. Each button must be pressed at the beginning and end of each viewing. Visitors must key-in their sex and age on two special buttons. Theie is aiso a small key-pad for peopte to make viewiag encries from across the room. (See Figure 4-i.)

The $A G B$ meter is also in the form of a small box that sits on top of the celevision: set. It is an audience monitor. It has a series of seven push buttons on it-one burton is assigned to each family merober. It comes with a separate hand-held device. about the size of a package of cigarertes. with buttons on ir that must be pressed to indicate viewing. When viewers perss a button on this pad to indicate that they are viewing, a light goes on in the box. They must also press a butron to indicate when to stop viewing. That box also flashes when the ser is turned on so that people will be reminded to press the buttons of the smaller unit. Also, at intervals, all the lightes in the box on top of the set flash to remind viewers to cooperate. A basic metering unis can measure up to four television sets and can even monitor VCRs.

ScanAmerica has a device chat flashes a question mark in the left-hand corner of the sereen. Family members who are watching have a small handheld device which allows them to move a pointer on the TV screen to an identification number. Then they move the pointer over to 20 X to indicate that they are watching.

Information from these meters are rerumed ove telephone lines to 2 centrally located computer. The demographics of each family member are already stored in the computer's memory, and are combined with viewing data to provide program satings of people's viewing. Meters are supposed to be kepe by 2 sample of homes for 2 period of five years. when the sample is replaced.

## The Need for People Merers

Ratings based on diary measurements have long been considered inadequate. But for years, there was no technique available to improve them. A list of diaties' inadequacies includes the following:

1. Most of the measurements were limited to a seven-day period. Therefore it was not possible to measure the cumulative rune-in for a fourweek period of a demographic audience segment (for example. women aged 18-49). Of course, estimates could be, and were. made to provide this kind of informacion.

Nielsen, for example, required a sample of viewers to fill our diaries for one week only. only about a fourth of its entire sample. This dara was then unified into 2 four-week figure. On a axionwide basis. Nielsen collected demographic data for only thiry-nine weeks of a year. making it difficult to know the cumulative audience for certain time periods:
2. It was found that the last days of diary measurement were not necessarily as accurate as the firse days in 2 seven-day period.


FIGURE 4-1. Nielsen's People Merer (with Hand-Held Remote Uait) Reprinued courtesy of Nielsen Medis Reseanch.
3. Diartes rended to provide higher raeings for higher-rated shows. This indicates tirat respondents of diary samples may have eeported their "typica'" (racher than actual) viewing habie when filling our the dia. ries. thereby inflating the racings of the more popular higher-eated. shows
4. People who can neither read nor wrise (or whose litetacy skills were poorly-teveloped) were not likely to fill out diaries. Some estimates of cheir number run as high as 20 petcent of the total U.S. population.
5. Chilidren and older people were not likely to fill out the diaries completely, to do it accurately. or to rerum them.
6. Diaries tended to inflate the number of viewers per viewing households in a sample. Therefore, the coses per chousands were lower than they should have been.
7. Raings from cable TV homes tended to be lower than they should have been. presumably, because there were too many stations for diary keepers to remember. or it simply took too much cime and attention to detail to fill our diaries.
8. Many diaries were not completed, and of those that were. only half may have been usable. Therefore the rerurns were nonrepresentaxive of the universe being measured.

## Advantages of People Meters

The advantages of people meters for media planning purposes are 25 follows:

1. People meters are easier to use than diaries because no wricing is decessary. Presumably. this fact may make measurements of TV watching more accurate than diaries.
2. Because writing is not necessary, the sample size and the charzcteristics of the universe being measured tead so be more representavive than 2 diary sample.
3. Cable viewing may be much more accurately measured than it was in the past because viewers must only piess buttons in order to record their cable viewing.
4. Measurements of cumulative viewing over a period of consecutive weeks result in reach and frequencies of demographic segments of targee audiences that are measured directly. This direct measurement was not previously possible.
5. Reports of viewing can be made much more quickly because there are no long delays caused by the time taken to mail in diaries, edit and tabulate them.
6. People meters ean measure movement of people in and out of the viewing room. He there is exceasive movement. ir may indicate chat less atention is being paid to the program.
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## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOEL N. AXELROD

I have been asked by the Joint Sports Claimants to respond to testimony of Dr. Stanley Besen, a witness for the Motion Picture Association of America in the 1990-92 cable royalty distribution procooding. Dr. Besen criticized certain market research (specifically, constant sum surveys of cable operators) conducted by Bortz \& Company. For the reasons I will discuss, I do not believe that Dr. Besen's criticisms are justified.

## 1. Qualifications

I am President of BRX/Global, Inc., an international market research and consulting firm. Founded in 1972, BRXIGlobal, inc. conducts market research, primarily for Fortune 500 companies. Approximately $75 \%$ of its research is intemational in scope. BRX has frequently utilized the constant sum methodology to aid a variety of clients in making various business decisions, including pricing decisions.

I graduated from Brown University in 1954 with Honors in Psychology and in 1958 eamed a Ph.D. in Social Psychology from the University of Rochester. From 1958 to 1963 , I worked in advertising research for several major advertising agencies. I then became Manager of Advertising Research at Lever Brothers with responsibility for the development of improved techniques for measuring advertising effectiveness.

While at Lever Brothers, I conducted what has become a seminal study validating use of the "Constant Sum Scale" to predict purchase behavior ("Attitude

Measures That Predict Purchase", Journal of Advertising Research, March 1968). The results of my study were later confirmed in research done under the auspices of the Advertising Research Foundation (Russell I. Haley and Peter B. Case, "Testing Thirteen Attitude Scales for Agreement and Brand Discrimination", Joumal of Marketing (1979)).

In 19661 joined the Xerox Corporation as Director of Marketing Research. For the next six years, I held a variety of positions including Corporate Planning Manager, Manager of Business Development and a Group Programi Manager with P\&L responsibility.

I was elected to the Conference Board Council on Marketing Research, and served as Chairman of the Association of National Advertisers Planning and Evaluation Committee. I have frequently spoken at meetings sponsored by the advertising Research Foundation, the American Marketing Association and the Canadian Professional Market Research Society. I have authored one book entitled, "Choosing the Best Advertising Alternative". I have a socond book entitled "Brand Equity Systems ${ }^{(\sin )}$. The Warrior's Weapon' which will be published later this year.

## 2. Testimony

The purpose of the Bortz surveys was to determine the relative values that cable operators placed upon certain categories of "distant signal" programming they had carried during the preceding year. With the assistance of others both inside and outside his firm, Bortz designed a survey which utilized the constant sum scale; cable operators were asked to allocate a distant signal program budget among the different
program categories. Burke Marketing Research administered the survey over the telephone to nearly 200 cable operators each year.

Besen took the position that the responses to the Bortz surveys do not accurately reflect the relative values that cable operators attached to the program categories measured. He criticized the responses as "simply answers to questions". (Tr. 6343) He suggested that one could not expect to receive accurate answers in a short telephone interview which posed a "hypothetical" question. (Tr. 6376, 6381)

I do not agree with Besen's criticisms of the Bortz surveys. Short telephone interviews are widely used in business to business research. Often they are the only way to obtain information from a representative sample of busy execirtives.

Moreover, the respondents to the Bortz survey were not simply answering any sort of questions. They were responding to a constant sum question. The constant sum technique is widely used and its predictive validity for purchase behavior has been amply documented in my published research as well as research reported by Haley and Case.

The unique contribution of the constant sum scale is that it forcos the respondent to think in terms of relative value, which precisely parallels the decision process that the business executive faces. Constant Sum questions are particularly appropriate when, as here, one soeks information about relative values. Use of the constant sum scale here was within accepted business practice.

Survey research is imperfect, and therefore it is invariably open to the type of criticism advanced by Besen. Nevertheless, survey research (including research using
constant sum scales) is routinely relied upon by the business world to make a variety of decisions involving substantial amounts of money. When conducted properly, surveys provide decision makers with useful information on which important decisions can be based.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: 137 itu 1996


TESTIMONY OF SAMUEL H. BOOK, Ph.D. PRESIDENT, MALARKEY-TAYLOR RESEARCH

## 1. Introduction

I am president of the market research division of Malarkey-Taylor Associates, Inc. (MTA), the country's oldest, most experienced consulting firm specializing in cable television, with over 25 years of continuous consulting and research service to the cable industry. During the past five years, 1 have designed and conducted more than 100 statistically projectable surveys for cable operators, cable programmers, wireless cable companies and major international firms and governments contemplating entry into cable TV. Previously, I spent four years as research director for a Los Angeles market research firm; I designed and conducted hundreds of motion picture surveys for movie studios and independent producers. A copy of my resume is attached to this testimony.

The following companies, among others, have used and relied upon survey data and analysis which I provided while at Malarkey-Taylor: Continental Cablevision, Comeast Cable Communications, Jones Intercable, Time-Warner, American Television \& Communications, Tele-Communications, Inc., Hughes Communications, Showtime Networks, Tribune Broadcasting, United Video, and Times-Mirror Corp. These studies included telephone surveys and personal interviews among cable consumers and cable operators.

I have been asked by the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) to provide my opinions concerning the Bortz \& Company constant sum survey of cable operators that has been submitted to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (CRT) in the 1989 cable royalty distribution proceeding. (Cable Operator Valuation of Distant Signal Non-Network Programming, 1989, dated August 1991). I also have been asked to present my views of the criticisms made of a similar JSC constant sum survey during the 1983 cable royalty distribution proceeding.
2. 1989 Bortz Survey

Based on my market research experience and training, I would accept the 1989 Bortz survey results as valid and reliable, within the margins-of-error and confidence levels stipulated in the survey report. I believe the study provides accurate estimates of the relative amounts that cable operators would have spent in 1989 on the different categories of distant signal non-network programming they carried.

The constant sum method utilized in the Bortz study is appropriate for the purpose of assessing how cable operators would have allocated programming budgets among distant signal non-network programming categories. In fact, l do not believe there would have been any better way of determining how cable operators would have allocated their programming budgets. Constant sum surveys are of ten used in cable industry market research, and they are relied upon in the cable industry, especially in research situations where respondent trade-offs must be considered.

The Bortz study was competently designed and implemented. It utilized generally accepred methods of sampling, questionnaire design and interviewing. In addition, the survey response rate was outstanding at nearly 80 percent for the key survey question, thereby ensuring a high degree of confidence in the projectability of survey data to cable operators-at-large. Consistency of the 1989 survey data with prior constant sum survey data submitted to the CRT provides further confirmation of the 1989 study's acceptability.

## 3. Survey Criticisms Raised in 1983 Proceedings

During the 1983 cable royalty distribution proceeding, several parties presented a number of surveys of cable operators and cable subscribers. Professor Alan Rubin, a consultant retained by the Motion Picture Association of America, criticized each of those surveys. The CRT relied upon Professor Rubin's testimony in part as a basis for discounting the weight to be accorded to JSC's 1983 constant sum survey. In my opinion, the various criticisms advanced by Professor Rubin and relied on by the CRT do not provide a valid basis for discounting the 1989 Bortz constant sum study.
a. Recall

I agree with Professor Rubin that the reliability of survey data decreases when there is a long time lag between the survey and the behavior in question. Professor Rubin correctly pointed out that "[w]e cannot expect to gather meaningful information from people about how they would have acted two years ago." (Rubin, p.4) The Tribunal also accepted this criticism. (Fed. Reg. 12795)

However, the 1989 constant sum survey of cable operators was conducted at the end of 1989 and beginning of 1990 . Therefore, the two-year lag in the 1983 cable operator survey does not apply to the 1989 survey. In the 1989 Bortz survey, some respondents, surveyed in 1989, were asked how they would allocate their program budgets for 1989. Other respondents, surveyed in early 1990, were asked how they would have allocated their programming budgets in 1989. Whereas consumers cannot be expected to recall their behavior in the recent or distant past, cable operators would be expected to recall what they would have done as part of their professional responsibilities a few months ago. Consequently, recall criticism of the 1989 constant sum survey of cable operators does not have the validity of Professor Rubin's criticism of the 1983 survey.

## b. Constant Sum Techniques

Professor Rubin testified that the constant sum technique was "inappropriate" because ["[o]perators and subscribers were asked to do something completely abnormal to their routine cable television behaviors. They were asked to break out specific categories of programs and to report how valuable each type of program was to them." (Rubin, p.5) The same issue was raised in the Tribunal's 1983 Final Determination, where it was stated that Rubin found the constant. sum survey "to be an activity that neither cable operators nor subscribers do in actuality . . . . (Fed. Reg. 12795).

It may be abnormal for subscribers and TV viewers to break out categories of programs and report on their relative value, but it is not an abnormal task for cable operators. Although cable operators typically "program whole signals" (Fed. Reg. 12795), they engage in exercises similar to constant sum allocation when evaluating those signals. Cable operators are frequently called upon to assess the value of alternative types of programming--sports, movies, series, documentaries, news, etc.--when deciding to carry a new program service or drop an existing service. The 1989 Bortz survey asked cable operators to do what they of ten do as part of their jobs, namely to allocate percentages
of a fixed budget to different program categories based on the value of those categories in attracting and retaining subscribers.

The 1989 constant sum survey improved upon the 1983 survey by a small but significant change in the wording of the constant sum question. In 1983 cable operators were asked to allocate the value of program categories, while in 1989 cable operators were asked to allocate their 1989 program budget across program categories. The 1989 research exercise was more realistic than in 1983, since cable operators are used to thinking in terms of budget allocations.

## c. The Interview Process

Professor Rubin questioned whether respondents could reliably recall values placed on program categories "in the very few minutes provided by a telephone interview." (Rubin, pp. 5-6) The Tribunal likewise noted Professor Rubin's testimony that "this type of exercise conducted in a few minutes over the telephone could not accomplish the goals of the survey." (Fed. Reg. 12795; see also p. 12809).

I believe such concerns are unwarranted. The survey instrument was appropriately designed to be easily administered and understood on the telephone. The questions were clearly worded and the instrument was concise and narrowly focussed on the key constant sum questions. I believe the questionnaire would have captured and held respondents' attention for sufficient time to complete the constant sum exercise accurately and reliably.

The respondents were cable system executives. In my opinion, they should have had no difficulty understanding and answering the questions posed in the survey. They also should have been familiar with the terminology and definitions used in the surveys.

Malarkey-Taylor and other cable research firms with which I am familiar have conducted numerous telephone surveys of cable operators in recent years, and the results have been relied upon by our clients. These surveys usually require 15 to 20 minutes to complete on the phone. My experience has been that cable operators are typically able to understand the questions and to respond in a thoughtful, meaningful and reliable manner to questions posed in a brief telephone interview.

The survey process used by Bortz, as well as the design of survey instruments, was up to professional standards. An independent, third-party research firm, the highly respected and experienced Burke Marketing Research, administered the surveys, thereby minimizing the possibility of interviewer bias and strengthening confidence in the survey results.

## 4. Conclusion

Malarkey-Taylor has conducted many different types of cable industry surveys during the past few years, including studies for seven of the top-ten cable industry MSOs, scores of surveys for smaller cable operators, in-depth market studies for cable programmers and dozens of surveys for firms introducing new technologies. I have also reviewed and analyzed numerous surveys of cable consumers and operators conducted by other research organizations.

I believe that the 1989 Bortz study was well designed and professionally implemented, and I believe it can be relied upon to determine how cable operators would have allocated programming budgets among various program categories. Professor Rubin's criticisms of the 1983 surveys of cable operators are, in my opinion, not valid as a basis for discounting the results of the 1989 Bortz constant sum study. The Bortz 1989 study rectified a few deficiencies in the 1983 study, especially by eliminating the time lag between the surveys and the behavior which cable operators were being asked to recall and by changing the allocation exercise to focus on program budgets rather than program value. These improvements overcame some of Professor Rubin's criticisms, while other criticisms emanating from the 1983 proceedings were simply not justified.

## SAMUEL H. BOOK, Ph.D.

Dr. Book is president of the research division of Malarkey-Taylor Associatcs. He is a professional economist and market researcher, specializing in the design and implementation of consumer studies and economic analyses of telecommunications, cable television, and related technologies. Dr. Book has extensive experience in conducting focus groups, designing and managing statistically valid consumer surveys, writing in-depth reports on business, economic, and consumer aspects of cable television, wireless cable, direct broadcast satellite, home video, and other technologies. He has developed and used analytical models for cost-benefit studies and economic feasibility studies.

From 1970 to 1979 Dr. Book was a university professor in Toronto, where he developed and taught courses in economics and management at the MBA and undergraduate levels. From 1980 to 1984, as research director of The National Research Group, he produced over 300 market research studies for the movie industry using a variety of data collection and sampling methods, including focus groups, telephone surveys, and audience research.

Qualifications and accomplishments include:

- Ph.D. in Economics, Columbia University, New York, NY.
- Research Director, National Research Group, Los Angeles, CA.
- Assistant Professor, Faculty of Administrative Studies, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- Senior Research Consultant, The Ontario Arts Council.

Speeches and presentations:

- Spoke on "The Economics of Cable System Overbuilds" at The Florida Cable Television convention, The Eastern Cable Show and Florida Public Service Board, and The Western Cable Show.
* Speech, "Cable Rate Sensitivity" presented at the Great Lakes Cable Convention.
- Spoke as panelist at PKA Associates Overbuild Seminar.
- Spoke on techniques of cable viewership research at a CTAM seminar.
- Presented studies of cable system overbuilds at:
- City Council meeting in Naples, FL,
- County Commissioners hearing in Reston, VA.
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## CORRECTION

## Written Direct Testimony of JAMES M. TRAUTMAN

I am James M. Trautman, Managing Director of Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc ("Bortz Media"). I am sponsoring JSC 04-05 Ex. 1, entitled "Cable Operator Valuation of Distant Signal Non-Network Programming: 2004-05" ("Bortz Report"). The report was prepared under my supervision by Bortz Media at the request of the Joint Sports Claimants ("JSC").

As Managing Director of Bortz Media, my duties include providing strategic planning, economic and financial analysis for media and professional sports organizations. I have had primary responsibility for management of all of the cable operator studies conducted by Bortz Media for the JSC. I have advised cable television system operators, cable programming networks, owners of programming content and rights, and other entities with interests in the cable television industry for more than 25 years. In this capacity, I have directed market research assignments addressing a wide range of issues affecting the cable industry.

A copy of my resume is attached as Appendix A.

1 declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


## JAMES M. TRAUTMAN

## EXPERIENCE:

July 1988 to present ... Managing Director, Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc. ... has overall administrative responsibility for the firm, while also directing Bortz Media's media/telecommunications practice ... specialist in research and assessment of cable industry market/competitive trends and business planning for programming ventures.

Examples of consulting experience include:
a Prepared business plans, evaluated prospects, estimated the value of and/or provided business development support to more than 50 proposed cable programming ventures and existing basic cable networks. Clients/properties have ranged from planning stage concepts to "niche" services in the early stages of development (e.g., ZDTV, Classic Sports Network) to widely penetrated networks such as Lifetime and ESPN. Assignments have encompassed market research, initial business planning, marketing/sales planning, contract negotiations, and service implementation. Has also periodically provided analysis and expert testimony in litigation involving the value of and/or market prospects for cable programming networks. Clients have included A\&E Television Networks, MTV Networks, CBS Cable, Disney/ABC, Gannett, Ziff-Davis, Times Mirror, The Washington Post Company, Major League Baseball, Crown Media, Vulcan Ventures, the Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) and the United States Olympic Committee (USOC).

- Has directed Bortz Media's cable industry competitive assessment practice since launching this practice in 1996. Services provided on a continuous basis to two major cable MSOs during this time frame include ongoing analysis of wireline, satellite and other competitors, addressing strategies, economics, technical capabilities/constraints and the overall threat profile presented by market-level cable competitors. In connection with these engagements, have developed market level strategic and tactical plans for cable operators to address competition. These analytical and planning efforts have emphasized strategies centering on the development/deployment of new products and technologies, including digital settop boxes, video-on-demand, HDTV, interactive television, high-speed internet and telephone service.
- Examples of technology and new product-related assignments for cable clients have included:
$\checkmark$ Research and recommendations to Comcast regarding the pricing and packaging of the company's initial digital service tiers in preparation for the deployment of digital settop boxes.
$\checkmark$ For Cox and Comcast, assessment of the relative merits of cable HFC networks and telephone company FTTP/N networks from a consumer perspective, emphasizing the relative advantages and disadvantages of each approach in terms of services and features provided to subscribers. This
assessment formed the basis for detailed recommendations regarding client positioning and communications strategies in responding to telephone company marketing initiatives.
$\checkmark$ For Cox, a comprehensive assessment of current and likely future DBS technology and marketing initiatives as a basis for devising key Cox product and marketing strategies.
$\checkmark$ Also for Cox, analysis of HDTV opportunities and timing considerations with respect to deployment of HDTV services.
$\checkmark$ Evaluation of the competitive landscape and technology/regulatory issues in the builder/developer and MDU market segments for two MSOs, resulting in development of business strategies to most effectively serve these highly competitive segments.
a From the mid-1980s to the present, has managed Bortz Media's research and analysis and provided expert testimony in conjunction with the proceedings of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (formerly the Copyright Royalty Tribunal). Has employed survey research among cable operators and industry level financial and market analysis to assess the relative value of various types of programming carried on distant broadcast signals. In addition, has testified regarding the factors that underlie cable operator program network carriage decisions, as well as the factors that determine the value of programming in a free marketplace.
- In addition to copyright-related research, has designed, managed and executed a wide range of quantitative and qualitative research assignments, including statistically representative national (as well as local and regional) telephone surveys, internet-based surveys, focus groups, one-on-one interviews and new product trials. Illustrative projects are briefly summarized below:
$\checkmark$ Local advertising sales research. Since 1987, has designed and conducted annual surveys of cable system advertising sales executives in order to determine the advertising performance of, sales prospects for and overall affiliate satisfaction with selected program networks and their competitors. Clients have used findings from these studies extensively for internal planning purposes as well as in communications to and negotiations with affiliates.
$\checkmark$ Consumer and business-to-business quantitative surveys have measured awareness, interest, demand and price sensitivity with regard to various telecommunications products and services including telephony, high-speed data, cable television and new services/applications such as digital cable tiers, premium programming concepts, and interactive television. Similar studies have addressed attitudes toward and usage of existing cable television products and services. Examples of assignments include:
- Telephone (and in-home) surveys were executed to assess demand, define programming network carriage and packaging, and establish pricing for subscription television and telecommunications services in more than 20 countries.
- Telephone surveys (and focus groups) were used to assist Comcast in defining initial packaging and pricing strategies for the launch of digital cable.
- Extensive quantitative research (along with focus groups) formed the basis for assessing the feasibility, as well as establishing pricing and marketing strategies, for the NBA's League Pass programming service.
$\checkmark$ Has designed and moderated focus group research addressing a variety of new product and service opportunities. Examples include:
- As noted above, focus groups have been conducted to assist in defining packaging and marketing strategies for new cable services, including digital cable tiers and the NBA's League Pass service.
- For several sports franchises, focus groups (along with in-person executive interviews) have been conducted to assist in the evaluation of premium seating and luxury suite demand and pricing in connection with the construction of new facilities.
o Focus groups were completed with public television viewers to assess their interest in and reaction to various public television websites.
- Currently providing comprehensive expert support and testimony on behalf of cable industry equipment supplier Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. in connection with class action litigation. Support and testimony addresses cable industry financial performance, growth characteristics, technology trends, marketing practices, supplier characteristics and other factors.
a Provided comprehensive expert support and testimony on behalf of an individual defendant in connection with an action brought by the Justice Department against Charter Communications and several Charter executives. Support and testimony related to a variety of issues including subscriber growth expectations and results for Charter and the market conditions that affected those expectations.
- On behalf of the National Cable \& Telecommunications Association (NCTA), authored An Analysis of the Cable Industry's Impact on the U.S. Economy. This comprehensive economic impact analysis; released in June 2008, analyzed cable industry subscriber growth patterns and operating characteristics and utilized inputoutput modeling techniques to evaluate cable industry financial flows and quantify the industry's direct and indirect contributions to U.S. employment, personal income and gross economic output. Earlier versions of this analysis were prepared in 2003, 1998, 1990 and 1986.
- Analyzed financial prospects and estimated fair market value of over 100 cable television properties both domestically and internationally. Assessments of current and future cable television economics have also been developed on a recurring basis for a major financial institution, as well as an international consulting organization. Have provided litigation support on multiple occasions addressing the
fair market value of various cable properties, as well as cable system operational practices and strategies.
a In 2004, developed a comprehensive statistical and research-based model for estimating market-level subscribers to various cable and satellite services, including basic, digital, cable modem and DSL customers.
- In the mid-1980s, developed and conducted an annual Cable Operating Performance Benchmarks study for participating MSOs on behalf of the National Cable \& Telecommunications Association. This study focused on the interrelationships between operating characteristics and financial performance at the cable system level, utilizing detailed operating, financial and market information from more than 150 separate cable systems. Separate industry level analyses have addressed the industry's economics and financial characteristics on numerous subsequent occasions.
a For a major broadcast network, assessed digital television opportunities, considered technological and market factors in defining a digital television strategic focus, and developed recommendations relating to cable distribution.
- Provided comprehensive digital transition business planning assistance to the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, the Association of Public Television Stations, the Ford Foundation, the James Irvine Foundation and selected individual public broadcasters. These assignments assessed new service opportunities and involved working with individual PTV licensees to develop digital service/financial models. Elements of the projects included assessment of the overall media environment and its implications for PTV (focusing on the impact of emerging technologies), exploration of digital capacity utilization issues and alternatives (including datadriven, interactive and commerce-based applications), and evaluation of partnership opportunities.
- Assisted various public broadcasting organizations in numerous engagements since the mid-1980s. In addition to the assignments noted above, these have included development of comprehensive market analyses, development of service and operating structure recommendations for stations, evaluation of advertising potential, assessment of merchandising and licensing practices, support of negotiations for programming distribution, and assessment of Internet opportunities.
- Analyzed financial prospects and estimated fair market value for numerous commercial television station properties, including both affiliates and independents in markets ranging from the largest to the smallest. Analyses evaluate market trends and likely future market capture in terms of both advertising revenue and audience, resulting in the development of pro forma financial projections.

1983 to July 1988 ... Senior Associate, Browne, Bortz \& Coddington, Inc. ... project work similar to that described above.

James M. Trautman
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## EDUCATION:

M.B.A., Finance (1990), University of Colorado
B.S., Economics (1982), Claremont McKenna College, Claremont, California

## LITIGATION/OTHER:

Expert testimony has been provided in litigation involving various subjects including prospects for program networks, the market value of programming, the status of/trends in the cable and satellite industries and the value of cable systems. Clients have included Arnold \& Porter; Winston \& Strawn; Snell \& Wilmer; Davis Wright Tremaine; Holme, Roberts \& Owen; Dow, Lohnes \& Albertson and Baird Holm.

Author of An Analysis of Cable Television's Impact on the U.S. Economy and Public Television's Transition to a Digital Future. Co-Author of Public Television in the Information Age; Great Expectations: A Television Manager's Guide to the Future; and Sports on Television: A Whole New Ballgame.
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## SECTION I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The Copyright Royalty Board (CRB) allocates among copyright owners the compulsory licensing royalties paid by cable systems to retransmit broadcast stations. Our understanding is that in doing so, the CRB determines what the cable systems would have paid, on a relative basis, for the different types of non-network programming on the distant television stations they carried -- if, in fact, they had been required to negotiate in an open market absent compulsory licensing. During the past twenty-five years, the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) have retained the principals of Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc. ${ }^{1}$ to establish and to implement a methodology for determining how such royalties would be allocated among different groups of copyright owners in such a market. This report summarizes our findings for the years 2004 and 2005. It also compares them with the findings that we presented to the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP) for the years 1998 to 1999 (the last cable distribution proceeding). ${ }^{2}$

## A. Cable Operator Surveys

The cornerstone of our analysis is a survey of cable system operators (i.e., those responsible for paying the royalties at issue). For 2004 and 2005, as in all prior years, we sought to determine how cable operators valued, on a relative basis, the different categories of non-network distant signal television programming that they carried in

[^12]those years. ${ }^{3}$ Each year we asked a random sample of cable operators how they would allocate a fixed budget among the different programming categories on the distant signals they actually carried in the preceding year (i.e., a "constant sum" approach). The results of our survey reflect the collective valuations made by the respondents.

As the CARP noted in its report allocating the 1990-92 cable royalties, our approach has the advantage of answering essentially the same question as the CARP (now CRB) must answer:
"The critical significance of the Bortz surveys is the essential question it poses to cable system operators, that is: What is the relative value of the type of programming actually broadcast in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers? That is largely the question the Panel poses when it constructs a simulated market. Further, the question asks the cable system operator to consider the same categories we are presented here in the form of claimant groups - that is, sports, movies, and the others. That is also what the Panel must do."4

As the CARP also noted, our surveys have been "focused more directly than any other evidence to the issue presented: relative market value. ${ }^{35}$

We describe in greater detail below the historical background and methodology of the Bortz surveys, including the manner in which we have sought to respond to the

[^13]various issues raised in prior distribution proceedings by the CARP, CRT and experts concerning these surveys (see Sections II.A and B and Appendix A).

## B. Results of the 2004-05 Cable Operator Surveys

We discuss in Section II.C below the results of the 2004 and 2005 surveys. The key finding is that cable operators would have allocated their 2004 and 2005 distant signal programming budgets as follows:

Table l-1.
Distant Signal Programming Valuation Studies, 2004-05

|  |  | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | $33.5 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
| Movies | 17.8 | 19.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 18.7 | 18.4 |
| News and public affairs programs | 18.4 | 14.8 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total* | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Columns may not add to total due to rounding.

As Table 1-1 reflects, in both 2004 and 2005, cable operators valued the live professional and collegiate sports programming on the distant signals they carried more highly than any other programming category. They would have allocated the largest percentage of a distant signal programming budget ( 33.5 percent in 2004 and 36.9 percent in 2005) to live professional and collegiate sports programming. The sports allocation is approximately twice that of the next most highly valued program category.

The value attributed to sports by cable operators is approximately equal to the aggregate value attributed to the two categories (movies and syndicated programming) represented by Program Suppliers in this proceeding - notwithstanding that movies and
syndicated programs on distant signals occupy more total hours and generate more cumulative "viewing hours" than sports programming: This result is consistent with the pattern evident in marketplace transactions, in which JSC programming typically commands a relative market value disproportionate to its share of broadcast time or viewing hours. ${ }^{6}$

Cable operators allocated 18.4 percent (2004) and 14.8 percent (2005) of the value of their distant signal non-network programming to news and public affairs programs, followed by devotional programming ( 7.8 percent in 2004 and 6.6 percent in 2005), programming on public televișion stations ( 3.5 percent in 2004 and 3.7 percent in 2005), and programming on Canadian distant signals ( 0.2 percent in 2004 and 0.3 percent in 2005).

As discussed further in Section II, respondents were only asked to allocate value to public television and Canadian programming in instances where their systems carried such stations as distant signals. ${ }^{7}$ Approximately one-third of cable systems that carried distant signals in 2004-05 carried public television signals as distant signals; less than four percent of cable systems that carried distant signals in 2004-05 carried Canadian signals as distant signals. Among systems that carried public television distant signals, respondents allocated an average value of 11.3 percent to public television programming in 2004 and 10.6 percent in 2005 . For systems that carried Canadian distant signals, the average value attributed to the programming on these signals was 3.0 percent in 2004 and 3.8 percent in 2005.

[^14]
## C. Comparison with 1998-99 Cable Operator Surveys

Over a period of more than two decades, JSC and other parties have commissioned numerous surveys of cable operators similar to those that we are presenting in this proceeding. In fact, since 1988, these surveys have been conducted annually. The JSC surveys, most of which have been designed by Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc., have all employed a constant sum approach similar (in most instances identical) to that described above.

Results for 2004 and 2005 are similar to results obtained in the surveys submitted in the 1998-99 CARP cable royalty distribution proceeding and in other years (see Section III below). Sports has consistently been accorded the highest value, followed by movies, syndicated and news programming, devotional programming, public television programming, and Canadian programming.

Table 1-2 compares the results of the 2004-05 surveys with the results of the 1998-99 surveys.

Table 1-2.
Compariṣon of Distant Signal Programming Valuation Studies, 1998-1999 and 2004-2005

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | 37.0\% | 38.8\% | 33.5\% | 36.9\% |
| Movies | 21.9 | 22.0 | 17.8 | 19.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 17.8 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 18.4 |
| News and public affairs programs | 14.8 | 14.7 | 18.4 | 14.8 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 5.3 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total* | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

*Columns may not add to total due to rounding.

As in any survey, there is a certain amount of variability in the survey results from year-to-year. As discussed further in Section III, such variability is considered in the confidence intervals associated with the specific results (or "point estimates") for each year. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, while there are some differences in the specific point estimates for the various program categories over the four years shown above, the variations are generally minor. Most of the point estimates for 2004-2005 are within the confidence intervals surrounding the 1998 and the 1999 point estimates. The point estimates for some categories in 2004 and 2005 are slightly outside of the confidence intervals of point estimates in 1998 or 1999. However, based on my experience with the cable television industry, I am not aware of any significant market changes between 1998-99 and 2004-05 suggesting that the survey results reflect any significant change in the relative values of the different non-network programming types on distant signals.

[^15]
## D. Analysis of 2004-05 Survey Results

In its report allocating the 1998-99 cable royalties, the CARP concluded that the Bortz survey was "an extremely robust (powerfully and reliably predictive) model for determining relative value" of the programming categories represented by JSC, the Program Suppliers and the National Association of Broadcasters. ${ }^{9}$ It also determined that the Bortz survey was "more reliable than any other methodology presented" in determining the relative market value of these three claimant groups. ${ }^{10}$ Accordingly, the CARP tied the royalty awards of each of these claimant groups directly to its shares in the Bortz surveys.

The CARP, however, did not rely upon the Bortz survey results to determine the awards to the Devotional Claimants (who had agreed to accept a share less than that reflected in the Bortz surveys). The CARP also did not rely upon the Bortz survey results to determine the awards to PBS, primarily because the Bortz survey respondents did not include those whose systems carried only distant public television signals. The CARP did conclude that the Bortz survey results provide a "floor" on the PBS award. In addition, the CARP did not rely upon the Bortz survey results to determine the award to the Canadians because of the small number of 1998-99 respondents that carried distant Canadian signals (two in 1998 and three in 1999). The CARP determined, however, that the Canadian award should be tied to, among other things, a comparable constant sum survey of cable operators conducted by the Canadians.

[^16]As we have previously acknowledged, it is appropriate to adjust the Bortz survey results to account for cable operators that carry only PBS and/or only Canadian distant signals (neither of which are included in our survey). We proposed a methodology for adjusting our results to account for this factor in the 1998-99 proceeding, but the CARP did not accept that adjustment methodology (see pages 39-40 of Appendix A below).

In addition, the CARP observed (and we have acknowledged) that respondents to our survey are not informed that substantial portions of the movies and syndicated programming on Superstation WGN (the most widely carried distant signal) are not compensable in this proceeding because these programs are not broadcast by WGN on its over-the-air Chicago signal; thus, the values that respondents to our survey attribute to these categories likely represent a "ceiling" in that respondents are considering all programming on WGN rather than just the compensable programming on WGN. In the 1998-99 proceeding, PBS proposed a methodology for adjusting the Bortz survey results to account for this issue, but the CARP did not accept that methodology. The same issue affects the Devotional Claimants since a significant amount of the Devotional programming on WGN also is non-compensable in this proceeding.

In summary, we believe that our survey results provide a valid and reliable estimate of how cable operators valued the different types of non-network programming categories on the distant signals they actually carried in 2004 and 2005, and by extension the best approximation of how the cable operators themselves would have allocated the compulsory licensing royalties they paid to carry that programming. However, we recognize that some adjustment to the specific point estimates of the survey results may be appropriate to account for both the exclusion of systems that
carry only PBS or Canadian distant signals, as well as to account for the fact that survey respondents are not informed that certain movies, syndicated and devotional programming on Superstation WGN are non-compensable.

## SECTION II. THE 2004-05 CABLE OPERATOR SURVEYS

This section provides a brief historical background on the cable operator surveys presented in cable copyright proceedings, summarizes the methodology underlying the 2004 and 2005 Bortz Media surveys, and sets forth the results of the 2004 and 2005 surveys.

## A. Historical Background

Over a period of nearly thirty years, JSC has commissioned surveys of cable operators in connection with cable copyright royalty distribution proceedings. Other parties, specifically the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB), the Devotional Claimants and Public Broadcasting Service (PBS), have supported the JSC surveys in prior proceedings (with or without adjustments). NAB also submitted a cable operator survey to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (CRT) in the 1983 proceeding, and the Canadian Claimants submitted cable operator surveys in the 1990-92, 1998-99 and 2000-03 proceedings. The purpose of all these surveys has been to determine how cable operators value, on a relative basis, the different categories of non-network programming on the distant signals that they carried.

There have been important similarities in the methodology employed in conducting these surveys, including the use of "constant sum" questions that allow the cable operators themselves to place relative values on different program types. The constant sum approach used in the surveys conducted by JSC, the NAB and the Canadians is a well-recognized market research tool that is used in a variety of contexts when a comparative value measure is being sought. As noted above, this tool allows respondents to address the same task that has confronted first the Copyright Royalty

Tribunal, more recently the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel and now the Copyright Royalty Board - that is, the task of allocating a fixed amount among several program categories based upon the relative value of those categories. Numerous expert witnesses for JSC and other parties have testified in support of the value and relevance of cable operator surveys, as well as the validity of the constant sum approach.

Bortz Media principals were initially retained by the JSC to determine the comparative value of distant signal non-network programming in 1983, and sought to improve upon earlier cable operator surveys. In the more than twenty-five years that have followed, a continual effort to refine and improve the Bortz Media cable operator surveys has been made - giving consideration to issues raised by the CRT and CARP, as well as by other claimants. The surveys completed for 2004 and 2005 reflect the benefit of those efforts.

## B. Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in designing and conducting the 2004 and 2005 cable operator surveys is described in detail in Appendix A to this report. A brief overview is provided below.

In each of the 2004 and 2005 studies, as in prior studies, we surveyed only "Form 3 " systems, which accounted for over 95 percent of the cable royalty payments. We utilized a "stratified" random sampling approach to select the systems to be surveyed, with the stratification based on copyright royalty payments (i.e., those cable operators who paid the greatest amount of royalties had the greatest likelihood of being included in our sample). This approach was intended to ensure that the responses we received would provide a statistically valid predictor for the allocation of royalty payments by all Form 3 cable systems that carried distant signals.

Questionnaires for the 2004 and 2005 studies were designed so that respondents had the qualifications and information necessary to address the key constant sum valuation question. The initial survey question "screened" potential respondents for their involvement in making decisions related to the carriage of distant signals, resulting in a qualified respandent group consisting overwhelmingly of general managers, marketing directors/managers and programming directors/managers. Respondents were (on multiple occasions) read a list of the distant signals actually carried by the systems based on filings they made at the Copyright Office and were specifically instructed to consider only the non-network programming on those distant signals.

Qualified respondents were asked preparatory questions about the popularity and advertising usage of distant signal non-network programming. These initial questions were intended to focus the respondent on the value of various programming types. Respondents were then asked the key constant sum question, which required them to allocate a distant signal non-metwork programming budget among different program categories.

Ted Heiman \& Associates, a leading cable industry market research firm, was retained to conduct the telephone surveys in both years. Only interviewers who specialize in surveying professional and managerial personnel were utilized; interviewers were not told the name of the client or given any information, other than that on the questionnaire, regarding the nature of the study. Response rates of 65 percent and 68 percent were obtained on the key constant sum question in 2004 and 2005, respectively, comparable to or above response rates achieved in the 1998 and 1999 surveys.

## C. 2004-05 Cable Operator Survey Results

1. Budget allocation. The value of distant signal programming to cable operators lies primarily in its ability to attract and to retain subscribers - particularly since cable operators may not insert any advertising on distant signals. As such, we designed the key survey question in the 2004 and 2005 studies to measure the relative value to cable operators; in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers, of the different categories of non-network distant signal programming carried by their systems. Consistent with the task faced by the CRB, operators were asked to express this relative value allocation in terms of a percentage of a finite pool (a programming. "budget") that would have been allocated among the various types of programming.

In each of the 2004 and 2005 studies, cable operators allocated the largest percentage of their distant signal non-network programming budget to live professional and college sports. Sports programming was accorded 33.5 percent of the value in 2004 and 36.9 percent in 2005 (see Table II-1 below). The two categories represented by MPAA in this proceeding, movies and syndicated shows, series and specials, ranked between second and fourth in each of the two surveys. The total allocation to these two categories was 36.5 percent in 2004 and 37.6 percent in 2005 , or approximately the same as the sports allocation.

Table II-1.
Distant Signal Programming Valuation Studies, 2004-05

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | 33.5\% | 36.9\% |
| Movies | 17.8 | 19.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 18.7 | 18.4 |
| News and public affairs programs | 18.4 | 14.8 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial.signals | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total * | 100.0\% | . $100.0 \%$ |

Cable operators allocated 18.4 percent (2004) and 14.8 percent (2005) of the value of their distant signal non-network programming to news and public affairs programs, followed by devotional programming ( 7.8 percent in 2004 and 6.6 percent in 2005), programming on public television stations ( 3.5 percent in 2004 and 3.7 percent in 2005), and programming on Canadian distant signals ( 0.2 percent in 2004 and 0.3 percent in 2005).

Survey responses for 2004 and 2005 are illustrated graphically in Figure II-1.

2. PBS and Canadian allocations. Respondents were asked to allocate value to public television and Canadian programming only in instances when their systems actually carried such stations as distant signals. As shown on Table II-2 below, respondents at systems that carried public television distant signals allocated an average value of 11.3 percent to public television programming in 2004 and 10.6 percent in $2005 .{ }^{11}$

[^17]Table II-2.
Distant Signal Programming Value Among Systems Carrying Public Television Distant Signals, 2004-05

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team <br> sports | $25.3 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ |
| News and public affairs programs | 20.0 | 17.2 |
| Movies | 17.3 | 16.4 |
| Syndicated shows, series and <br> specials |  |  |
| PBS and all other programming on <br> non-commercial signals <br> Devotional and religious <br> programming | 18.3 | 13.7 |
| All programming on Canadian <br> signals | 11.3 | 10.6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 5.8 |

*Columns may not add to total due to rounding.
Table II-3 shows that, for systems that carried Canadian distant signals, the average value attributed to the programming on these signals was 3.0 percent in 2004 and 3.8 percent in $2005 .{ }^{12}$

[^18]
## Table ll-3. <br> Distant Signal Programming Value Among Systems

Carrying Canadian Distant Signals, 2004-05

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team <br> sports | $29.4 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
| News and public affairs programs | 25.1 | 16.6 |
| Movies | 11.4 | 15.8 |
| Syndicated shows, series and <br> specials | 18.3 | 13.0 |
| Devotional and religious <br> programming <br> PBS and all other programming on | 7.0 | 5.1 |
| non-commercial signals <br> All programming on Canadian <br> signals | 5.8 | 3.0 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | 3.8 |

3. Responses to preparatory questions. Respondents were asked to identify. the types of distant signal programming they carried that were most popular with their subscribers. This question was asked on an unaided basis (i.e., respondents were not read a list of programming categories), and responses were tabulated without weighting by the amount of royalties paid by the responding systems. Multiple responses were allowed: The responses to this question are summarized below on Table II-4.

Table II-4.
Distant Signal Program Popularity Among Subscribers, By Program Type, 2004 and 2005

| Response | Percent "Most Popular with Subscribers" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| Live professional and college team sports | 75.7\% | 65.7\% |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 29.1 | 35.6 |
| Movies | 20.4 | 28.7 |
| News and public affairs programs | 28.9 | 19.0 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | 13.2 | 5.2 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 0.9 | 3.4 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Other* | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total ${ }^{* *}$ | 168.4\% | 158.0\% |

*The other category as reported by Bortz Media included certain responses that were reclassified to other categories upon review by Bort Media.
*Total exceeds 100 percent due to multiple responses.

Cable operators were also asked whether they used distant signal programming as part of their advertising and promotional efforts. As shown below on Table II-5, only about 11 percent of respondents reported using distant signal programming in their advertising and promotional efforts in 2004, and the percentage was less than five percent in 2005.

Table II-5.
Percent of Systems Using Distant Signal Programming in Cable Advertising and Promotion, 2004 and 2005

| Response | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use distant signal programming ("yes") | $11.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Do not use distant signal programming ("no") | 88.9 | 95.1 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

The cable systems that did use distant signal non-network programming in their advertising and promotional efforts were asked which types of programming they featured in these efforts. This question was first asked on an unaided basis, and respondents were then asked specifically about their use of programming types not mentioned on an unaided basis. As with the popularity question, responses were not weighted by the amount of royalty paid by the responding systems. The responses to this question are summarized on Table II-6.

Table II-6.
Use of Distant Signal Programming in Cable Advertising and Promotion, Percent of Systems Using By Program Type, 2004 and 2005

| Response | Percent of Systems Using Programming Category* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| Live professional and college team sports | 75.6\% | 96.1\% |
| Movies | 12.2 | 80.5 |
| News and public affairs | 58.7 | 62.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 27.3 | 62.2 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | 7.4 | 55.7 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Total** | 181.2\% | 363.2\% |

*All percentages based only on respondents using distant signal programming for advertising/promotion.
**Total exceeds 100 percent due to multiple responses.

Finally, respondents that featured distant signal non-network programming in their advertising and promotional efforts were asked which of the types of programming that they featured was most important. The responses to this question are summarized in Table II-7.

Table II-7.
Use of Distant Signal Programming in Cable Advertising and Promotion, Most Important Program Type, 2004 and 2005

| Response | Percent "Most Important" |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| News and public affairs | 17.6\% | 45.2\% |
| Live professional and college team sports | 50.2 | 44.4 |
| Movies | 5.6 | 2.6 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 21.7 | 0.0 |
| PBS and all other programming on noncommercial signals | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other/Don't Know | 3.1 | 78 |
| Total * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

*Columns may not add to total due to rounding.

Responses to both the "programming featured" and "most important to feature" questions should be viewed with caution based on the very limited number of respondents that reported using distant signal programming in their advertising and promotional efforts.

## SECTION III. COMPARISON OF 2004-05 CABLE OPERATOR SURVEY RESULTS WITH THE RESULTS OF PRIOR CABLE OPERATOR SURVEYS

This section compares the results of the 2004 and 2005 cable operator surveys to the results of surveys conducted for prior years, focusing on the surveys addressing the years 1998 and 1999 that were submitted in the most recent CARP cable proceedings. Table $111-1$ shows the results of the constant sum surveys conducted on behalf of JSC and NAB. It demonstrates that, notwithstanding a number of changes in methodology over the years (many in response to issues raised by the CRT, CARPs or other parties), the results have been relatively consistent. For example, since 1983 JSC programming has consistently received the highest value by cable system operators in the constant sum surveys. ${ }^{13}$

As noted above, we believe it is useful to compare the results of our surveys over the years for the purpose of understanding broad trends in response patterns (i.e., for identifying long-term consistency in values or a long-term increase or decline in value for a particular category). At the same time, it is also important to understand that the surveys are not designed as a "tracking study." ${ }^{14}$. Rather, a unique and different sample of potential respondents is selected from the Form 3 universe each year. As

[^19]such, some variability in results from year-to-year is to be expected, based in part on differences in samples and also on the variability in results inherent in any individual survey.

14 In a tracking study, the same group of respondents is asked the same questions over a period of time
in order to monitor changes in attitudes or behavior during that time period.

Table III-1.
Summary of Cable Operator Distant Signal Programming Value Allocations, 1978-2005

*Rows may not add to total due to rounding.
NOTE: Prior to 1992, category definitions, the number of categories addressed and the research methodology of individual surveys summarized above varied, in some cases significantly.

Table III-2 summarizes value ranges by programming category in 1998-99 and 2004-05, factoring in the confidence intervals associated with the estimate for each programming category in each year. See Appendix A at 50-53. Confidence intervals reflect the uncertainty surrounding a point estimate of value obtained using a samplebased survey methodology. The range presented therefore illustrates the range of possible "true values" that would have been obtained (in this case, with $95 \%$ confidence) if all Form 3 systems that carried distant signals in 2004-05 had been surveyed.

Table III-2.
Comparison of Distant Signal Programming Valuation Studies, 1998-2005*

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | 34.3\%-39.7\% | 35.9\%-41.9\% | 31.2\%-35.8\% | 34.4\%-39.4\% |
| Movies | 20.3-23.5 | 20.1-24.1 | - 16.5-19.1 | 17.4-21.0 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 16.2-19.4 | 14.0-17.2 | 16.5-20.9 | 16.3-20.5 |
| News and public affairs programs | 13.0-16.6 | 12.4-16.8 | 16.7-20.1 | 13.1-16.5 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 4.5-6.1 | 4.7-6.9 | 7.1-8.5 | 5.8-7.4 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | $\therefore 1.9-3.9$ | 1.6-4.2 | 2.6-4.4 | 2.8-4.6 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.0-0.9 | 0.0-0.4 | 0.0-0.4 | 0.1-0.5. |

*Range reflects potential values for each year based on $95 \%$ confidence interval.

## APPENDIX A. CABLE OPERATOR SURVEY HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

Appendix A initially summarizes the history and evolution of cable operator surveys conducted in conjunction with CRT and CARP proceedings. This appendix then describes the methodology used in questionnaire design, sampling and interviewing for the cable operator surveys completed for 2004 and 2005, and it provides a statistical evaluation of survey results. The 2004 and 2005 survey instruments are set forth in Appendix B.

## A. Historical Background

1. 1989 and prior surveys. Bortz Media principals (as members of Browne, Bortz \& Coddington, Inc. [BBC]) were initially retained by JSC to determine the comparative value of distant signal non-network programming in 1983. With the assistance of Drs. Michael Wirth (Professor and Chairperson of the Department of Mass Communications) and George Bardwell (Professor of Mathematics and Statistics) of the University of Denver, BBC designed a study employing a constant sum survey technique to determine cable operators' valuation of distant signal non-network programming. The survey was executed by Burke Marketing Research (one of the largest market research firms in the United States), with administrative involvement and oversight by BBC. In developing the study, BBC sought to improve upon earlier constant sum studies that had been performed by the Batten, Barton, Durstine \& Osborn, Inc. (BBDO) Research Department on behalf of the JSC and submitted in the 1978, 1979 and 1980 CRT proceedings. In particular, BBC sought to be responsive to concerns expressed by the Tribunal with respect to the prior BBDO studies and thus made several improvements in an effort to address those concerns.

This initial BBC study was presented to the Tribunal in the 1983 proceeding, as was an independent study completed by the ELRA Group for the National Association of Broadcasters (NAB). The results of the BBC and ELRA surveys were similar, and the findings of both studies were also generally consistent with those of the earlier BBDO surveys. See Table III-1.

Bortz Media principals were again retained by the JSC to develop surveys for both 1986 and 1989. The 1986 case was settled and therefore the results of this study were not presented in the 1986 proceeding. Results for 1986, which were subsequently presented to the CRT in the 1989 proceeding, were similar to those of the 1983 BBC and ELRA surveys. See Table III-1.

The study design for the 1989 survey reflected additional efforts to resolve issues raised by the Tribunal - in this instance focusing on issues raised in the CRT's. decision in the 1983 case (which had not yet been released at the time the 1986 study was conducted). Survey and sample design again reflected the input of Drs. Wirth and Bardwell, as well as the assistance of Dr. Leonard Reid (Professor and Head of the Department of Advertising at the University of Georgia) who testified in the 1989 proceeding. Burke Marketing Research executed the survey. Results of the 1989 study were presented to the Tribunal in the 1989 proceeding. These results were comparable to those obtained in all of the prior constant sum studies. See Table III-1.

The 1989 study was supported by the NAB, PBS and the Devotional Claimants. The study was, however, criticized by the Program Suppliers. In its 1989 Final Determination, the CRT accorded weight to the Bortz survey and specifically acknowledged improvements made over the 1983 study. The Tribunal, however,
accepted certain of the Program Suppliers' criticisms and chose not to accord full weight to the survey results.
2. 1990 through 1992 surveys. In our 1989 report to the CRT, we also presented the results of a survey for 1990 that the Joint Sports Claimants had retained Burke Marketing Research to execute. Burke used the same sample and essentially the same questionnaire used by Bortz for the 1989 survey. The 1990 results were similar to the results of all prior surveys. See Table III-1.

Prior to the release of the Tribunal's 1989 Final Determination, Bortz conducted a survey (executed by Burke) for 1991 employing essentially the same methodology as in 1989 and 1990. The 1991 results were again similar to those of prior surveys. See Table III-1.

Following the release of the 1989 Final Determination in April 1992, Bortz made several modifications in designing a survey for 1992. Questionnaire and sample development again relied upon Drs. Wirth and Bardwell of the University of Denver, along with Dr. Samuel Book (President of MTA Marketing) who had testified in the 1989 proceeding. The resulting questionnaire (again executed by Burke) incorporated changes that were responsive to Program Suppliers' criticisms that had been accepted by the CRT in the 1989 proceedings. In essence, the 1992 survey reflected the culmination of a decade of improvements and refinements intended to enhance the accuracy and applicability of the Bortz cable operator survey for the purpose of assessing the relative value of distant signal programming. Even with these refinements, the results of the 1992 survey were again comparable to those obtained in earlier surveys. See Table III-1.

The Canadian Claimants conducted constant sum surveys of cable operators carrying distant Canadian signals in 1991 and 1992. The surveys were designed to estimate the relative values of the different types of programming on the Canadian signals, and (similar to the Bortz Media surveys) asked respondents to allocate a percentage of total programming value among six types of programming on these signals.
3. 1993 through 2005 surveys. Bortz Media has conducted surveys from 1993 forward, employing the same methodology, questionnaire and sampling design as in 1992. Telephone interviewing was performed by Burke Marketing Research through 1997. In 1998 through 2000, Bortz Media retained Creative \& Response Research to conduct telephone interviewing. Ted Heiman \& Associates provided telephone interviewing services for the years 2001 forward.

It is also worth noting that the Canadian Claimants conducted similar constant sum surveys that were presented in both the 1998-99 and 2000-03 cable royalty distribution proceedings.

## B. Response to Issues Raised by the CRT

As indicated above, different constant sum surveys, conducted by Bortz Media principals and others, have been performed since the commencement of the CRT proceedings. Beginning in 1983 the basic approach and methodology have remained essentially the same. However, as suggested in the preceding historical review, Bortz Media has made a number of refinements over the years to address concerns raised in prior proceedings. Certain refinements made in response to issues raised by the CRT are summarized below. Issues raised by the CARP are discussed in the next section.

1. Respondent qualifications. The early BBDO surveys were directed at top executives of cable multiple system operators (MSOs). Beginning in 1983, BBC redesigned the survey to focus on interviewing management personnel at the cable system level in order to obtain responses from the person at the system "most familiar with programming carried by the system." The interviewers initially asked for the system general manager; if this was not the person "most familiar," the interviewer asked to be directed to the appropriate individual.

The Tribunal determined in the 1983 proceeding that the BBC survey "was designed to ascertain the proper individual. ${ }^{n 15}$ The same qualifier was used in the 1989 through 1991 studies. However, in its 1989 Final Determination the CRT expressed concern regarding the qualifications of approximately 11 percent of the survey respondents and also indicated uncertainty with respect to the involvement of the respondents in the program budgeting process. ${ }^{16}$.

We believe respondents to the 1989 through 1991 surveys were qualified and were likely involved in program budgeting, as they were overwhelmingly individuals with general management, marketing or programming responsibilities. In conducting numerous market research studies and many other analyses involving cable systems operations for approximately two decades, it is our experience that these are the individuals at the system level most responsible for decisions (including budgeting) regarding programming. Further, in several instances where the titles of respondents did not imply programming oversight, the systems involved were small properties where

[^20]individuals frequently have multiple responsibilities. Nevertheless, in light of the concerns expressed by the CRT in the 1989 case, the initial respondent qualifying question was modified in the 1992 and subsequent surveys to ensure that the respondent was the person "most responsible for programming decisions at the cable system." This approach has been utilized in all subsequent surveys, and as indicated later in this appendix, respondents in 2004 and 2005 consisted overwhelmingly of general managers or senior programming and marketing executives (see infra pàges 47-48).
2. Category definitions. Since the survey was first introduced into these proceedings, concerns have been expressed regarding the wording of descriptions of the various programming types. In the 1983 study, BBC developed category definitions that improved upon those used in earlier surveys; ELRA also provided new category definitions. The BBC categories were retained in the 1986 through 1991 surveys while two new categories were added in the 1986 to 1992 surveys to represent the Devotional and Canadian Claimants.

We believe the descriptions used in these surveys provided respondents with clearly distinguishable and readily understood categories for which they were able to allocate value. We also acknowledge the potential for certain "fringe" programming to be interpreted as belonging in one category when for the purposes of these proceedings it may belong in another. However, categories must be defined as concisely as possible. Moreover, we believe the use of examples is inappropriate in that it necessarily excludes programming types not included as examples.

While acknowledging the complexity of the task, the Tribunal in its 1989 Determination continued to express a desire for enhanced programming definitions. ${ }^{17}$ In response, beginning with the 1992 survey Bortz Media incorporated the use of modified category descriptors based on definitions developed by the CRT itself to further aid respondents in accurately distinguishing among categories. In particular, adjustments were made to the syndicated and station-produced programming categories. The category definitions used in the 1992 survey have been used in all subsequent surveys including those conducted for 1998, 1999, 2004 and 2005.
3. Excluded systems and program categories. The objective of our surveys has been to determine the relative value that cable operators attach to the different categories of non-network programming on the distant signals that they actually carried. Consistent with that objective, not all cable systems are eligible for inclusion in our survey samples; nor are all survey respondents asked to value all types of programming represented in the royalty allocation proceedings. We discuss below the specific circumstances in which systems and programming categories are excluded from consideration.

The first situation involves Form 1 and 2 systems. Only Form 3 systems are eligible for inclusion in our samples. Form 1 and 2 systems have been excluded from our analysis because distant signal carriage data for these systems are not readily available - restricting our ability to question systems in this group about the signals that they actually carried. As explained below, we determine the identity of the particular distant signals for each Form 3 cable system in our sample by examining that system's Statement of Account filing at the Copyright Office; we then refer to these specific

[^21]distant signals in the survey questionnaire so that there is no confusion concerning the programming the respondent is asked to value. While the Copyright Office Statements of Account identify the distant signals that Form 3 cable systems carry, they do not do so for Form 1 and 2 systems. It should be noted that the Form 1 and 2 systems accounted for less than five percent of the 2004 and 2005 royalties. Furthermore, neither the CRT nor the CARP ever suggested that Form 1 and 2 systems should be included in our samples.

The second situation involves individual programming categories in instances where those categories were not among the distant signal programming carried by a particular cable system. In all of our surveys, questions regarding public television and/or Canadian stations have been deleted in instances where a cable system did not carry such stations, and respondents have not been asked to make a programming allocation to these categories. The CRT expressed concern regarding this approach in both the 1983 and 1989 proceedings. Bortz Media agrees with the Tribunal's Determination in the 1989 proceeding that programming not carried may have had a certain value and possibly would have been carried had it been available at a lower price (i.e., at a price that was less than that being charged under the statutory royalty rate). At the same time, we also concur with the Tribunal's 1989 conclusion that our survey design is intended to measure value based on programming actually carried and that questions regarding any distant signal programming in instances where it was not carried would cause confusion. ${ }^{18}$

[^22]Finally, we have not surveyed cable systems that carry no distant signals or cable systems that carry only a distant signal for which comparisons among the relevant Phase I program categories cannot be made (i.e., those that carried only a distant PBS station or only a distant Canadian station). As explained above, we have sought to determine the relative values of the different types of programming actually carried by the cable operator respondents. It is not possible to obtain an estimate of relative value where the cable operator carries no distant signals or carries only one type of distant signal programming. Further, as discussed in Section I, we acknowledge that an adjustment should be made to the Bortz survey results to account for cable operators that carry only PBS and/or only Canadian distant signals (which are not included in our survey).
4. Respondent recall. In the 1983 proceeding, the Tribunal expressed concern regarding the ability of respondents to recall programming actually carried in 1983, given that the BBC study presented in the 1983 proceeding was not actually conducted until 1985. To address this concern, surveys since 1989 have been conducted as close to the end of the year in question as is possible based on data availability from the Copyright Office. In fact, the 1989, 1990 and 1992 surveys were initiated during December of the survey year. In its 1989 Determination, the CRT acknowledged that this was an improvement, but continued to be concerned that respondents would have been unable to recall all of the individual programs they were being asked to value. ${ }^{19}$

In 2004 and 2005 (as in several prior years), surveying began in the summer of the year following the subject year. Bortz Media believes that the timing of the recent

[^23]surveys is appropriate in that it allows respondents to consider the value of programming immediately following the period in which it aired. Most important with respect to recall, however, is the recognition that cable system operators (in our experience) do not (and cannot) identify all programs on any particular program service in deciding whether to carry that service and how much to pay for it. Rather, in those marketplace dealings, operators make decisions based on a dominant impression of what is included on the service and its corresponding value. In other words, as in our surveys, marketplace programming decisions are made by cable operators without identifying every individual title. We believe that the respondents to the surveys did have such a dominant impression of the programming on distant signals.
5. Signal carriage data. The Tribunal criticized the BBDO surveys for failing to focus respondents on the actual distant signals carried. To address this criticism, the BBC study for 1983 and all subsequent surveys have incorporated actual signal carriage information obtained from Copyright Office Statements of Account.
6. Budget allocation process. In its 1983 Determination, the Tribunal raised questions regarding the formulation of the constant sum question and its relationship to tasks actually performed by cable operators. The 1983 constant sum question asked respondents to allocate "value" assuming that the total value of distant signal nonnetwork programming was 100 percent. Bortz Media modified the question in the 1989 study to ask respondents to allocate a programming budget - a task closely related to activities operators actually perform.

While the Tribunal acknowledged in its 1989 Determination that this approach was an improvement, there was still concern regarding the short time period allowed for
respondents to consider their allocations in responding to a telephone survey. ${ }^{20}$ Implicit in this assessment is the notion that further consideration might lead to different responses. As noted before, we believe responses to our survey reflect dominant impressions of programming value formed by respondents in their ongoing decisionmaking processes regarding programming and that survey results would not be materially different if respondents were given more time to consider their answers.

However, the allocation question for 1992 and all subsequent surveys was modified to ensure that respondents considered the question in a more formal manner. Respondents were first instructed to write down the programming categories and to think about their relative value; they were then asked to write down their estimates for each category. Subsequently, the interviewer reviewed the estimates for each category with the respondent to allow for any changes upon reconsideration.
7. Call backs. In the 1989 proceeding, the MPAA criticized Bortz Media's study on the basis that the repeated call backs which were necessary to obtain completed interviews raised questions as to the validity of the survey responses. The MPAA claimants said that a maximum of three attempts should be made to any one respondent. However, all of the interviews in the 2004 and 2005 studies were completed with a maximum of four direct contacts (including voice mail messages) with the respondent. Other call attempts reflect efforts to identify and/or directly contact the appropriate respondent and are common in executive interviewing.

[^24]
## C. Response to Issues Raised by the CARP

The CARP addressed certain issues related to the Bortz survey methodology in both the 1990-92 and 1998-99 proceedings.

1. Survey length. The 1990-92 CARP expressed concern that respondents were asked to draw conclusions regarding value in the course of a 10 minute survey whereas the CARP itself required a period of six months to answer a similar question. While we understand the issue raised by the 1990-92 CARP, we also must emphasize that respondents to our survey make determinations regarding the relative value of programming on a regular basis. They are experienced and highly knowledgeable regarding the cable industry, the programming that they carry and the interests of their subscribers. We believe that they have a dominant impression of the value of the programming on the distant signals that they carry and that our survey reflects that collective impression.

The 1998-99 CARP shared this view, noting that, while "the interviews are relatively brief," the responding cable operators "are frequently called upon to assess the relative value of alternative types of programming such as news, sports, movies and series when deciding whether to carry a new program service or drop an existing service. ${ }^{21}$ Thus, the 1998-99 CARP concluded that this factor did not provide a basis for adjusting the "Bortz share" of any particular claimant group.
2. Supply side. The 1990-92 CARP also observed that the survey does not account for "the 'supply' side of the supply and demand equation in an open market." This CARP stated that the constant sum question should have asked "what would the

[^25]cable system operator have to and be willing to spend.."22 We believe, however, that the survey does reflect the respondents' understanding of the marketplace prices of the different kinds of programming - which is a reflection of the "supply side." The cable system operators surveyed are active in the marketplace for cable programming and are familiar with the rates charged by the sellers of various genres of cable networks.

The 1998-99 CARP acknowledged that the Bortz survey does not directly survey the seller's perspective. However, the CARP concluded that "this does not materially undermine the utility of Bortz, and does not inform us whether any particular claimant group should receive more or less than implied by the Bortz survey."23. Further, the 1998-99 CARP expressed the opinion that "the demand side would more likely determine relative values of programming in an unregulated marketplace.,.,24

In our view, if anything, it is JSC programming that experiences the greatest negative impact from any failure of the survey to take into account the "supply side" of the equation. It is our experience that, as suppliers of programming, JSC members are able to negotiate the highest possible prices for their programming in the open market. Indeed, JSC programming commands an extremely high price relative to other kinds of programming in the open market, where both supplier and customer are present. Based on this marketplace evidence, we believe there is no reason that "supply side" considerations would warrant a reduction in the JSC's award from that shown in the cable operator survey.

[^26]3. Attitudes versus conduct. The 1990-92 CARP noted that the constant sum question is a measure of "attitudes" rather than "conduct." However, the 1998-99 CARP did not see this as a concern, noting that "uncontroverted testimony and years of research indicate rather conclusively that constant sum methodology, as utilized in the Bortz survey, is highly predictive of actual marketplace behavior., ${ }^{25}$

Moreover, the marketplace value of JSC programming relative to other types of programming is evidence of conduct. When cable systems meet copyright owners in the marketplace - their "conduct" shows that JSC programming is highly valued relative to other types of programming:
4. Value of programming not carried. Addressing an issue raised by PBS, both the 1990-92 and 1998-99 CARPs noted that programming that is not carried may nevertheless have some value to cable operators that is not captured through the Bortz survey methodology. However, both appear to have shared our view that it would not be possible to adjust the survey methodology to address this issue without causing confusion. In addition to causing confusion, we note that it would seem implausible (if not impossible) to determine at what level each "rejected" signal was valued, and how the various programming categories on those signals contributed to establishing that value.
5. Carriage of compensable sports programming. An issue was raised in the 1998-99 proceeding concerning the allocation of value to sports programming in instances where it was unclear that compensable sports programming was carried by a particular cable system's distant signals. In that proceeding, it was determined that one 1999 respondent had allocated value to sports programming even though that system

[^27]may not have carried such programming. In order to correct for this, Bortz Media removed the responses for that system from its calculations - an approach that the CARP found appropriate. ${ }^{26}$

For 2004 and 2005, Bortz Media conducted an extensive review of the programming carried by distant signals represented on the cable systems responding to our survey to verify that systems allocating value to sports programming actually carried compensable sports programming. Based on this review, we were unable to verify that compensable sports programming was carried by two responding cable systems in 2004, as well as one system in 2005 . $^{27}$

Using the same approach as the CARP accepted in the 1998-99 proceeding, we have tabulated the 2004 and 2005 survey results excluding these respondents. As shown below in Table A-1, the results are nearly identical to those obtained when these respondents are included in the survey.

[^28]Table A-1.

| 2004 and 2005 Programming Value Allocations <br> (Excluding Systems Without Verified Compensable Sports Programming) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| Live professional and college team sports | 33.5\% | 37.0\% |
| Movies | 17.8 | 19.3 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 18.7 | 18.5 |
| News and public affairs programs | . 8.4 | 14.6 |
| Devotional and religious programming | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| PBS and all other programming on non-commercial signals | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| All programming on Canadian signals | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total* | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| *Columns may not add to total due to rounding. |  |  |

6. PBS and Canadian value adjustments. Addressing issues related to public television and Canadian programming, the 1998-99 CARP noted that (as we acknowledged in the 1998-99 proceeding and discuss in Section I of this report) the Bortz survey understated the value of these programming categories by excluding from the survey any systems that carried only public television and/or Canadian signals. In the 1998-99 proceeding, we proposed an adjustment methodology that combined the Bortz survey results for these two categories of programming with the royalty fees generated by the "PBS-only" and "Canadian-only" cable systems that were excluded from the Bortz survey. ${ }^{28}$

The Panel acknowledged that the Bortz survey was valuable in establishing a "floor" for public television's value, but did not accept the Bortz adjustment proposal for valuing either public television or Canadian programming. In making its public

[^29]television determination, the Panel expressed concern that the Bortz adjustment methodology did not account for the "automatic zero" issue raised by PTV (i.e., the value of public television programming not carried), and also indicated that the proposed adjustments "rel[ied] too heavily on the fee generation methodology." ${ }^{29}$ As noted above, we believe that value exists in programming not carried for all programming types at issue in this proceeding, and that no determination can reasonably be made as to which, if any, category is most affected by this issue.
7. WGN Substitution. Finally, the 1998-99 CARP identified the issue of "WGN Substitution" as an issue potentially affecting the value accorded to program suppliers (i.e., the movies and syndicated series categories). ${ }^{30}$ This is because a substantial portion of the movie and syndicated programming carried by superstation WGN is not compensable - a fact that could not be known by respondents to the Bortz survey. As noted in Section I, this issue also applies to devotional programming on WGN - a significant percentage of which is not compensable.

In our view, this issue suggests that the survey allocations for these categories represent a "ceiling" on the relative value that should be assigned to each when considering the potential impact of substitution.

## D. 2004 and 2005 Survey Methodology

1. Questionnaire design. The survey instrument for each year was drafted by Bortz Media, giving consideration to earlier Bortz Media survey instruments and responding to issues raised by the CARP and CRT in prior proceedings. Data as to

[^30]carriage of distant signal broadcast stations by cable operators were compiled by Bortz Media from 2004 and 2005 Statements of Account that were filed with the Copyright Office.

The initial survey question screened survey respondents, requiring an affirmation that the respondent was the individual "most responsible for programming decisions" made by the system during the year in question. After qualifying the respondent and identifying the distant signals carried by the respondent's cables system, the interviewer then asked each respondent which types of programming broadcast by its stations were "most popular" with its subscribers. This question was asked on an "unaided" basis - in other words, respondents were not given a list of programming categories from which to choose. Multiple responses were permitted to this question.

The third survey question addressed the use of distant signal programming for advertising and promotional purposes; and was asked in multiple parts. Respondents were first asked if they utilized any distant signal programming in advertising and promotional efforts to attract or retain subscribers. The question referred directly to the distant signal stations identified by the interviewer in the prior question (Q. 2).

Respondents who did use distant signal programming in their marketing efforts were then asked a series of follow-up questions addressing the specific types of programming utilized. They were first asked about usage on an unaided basis; followup questions asked specifically about usage of any programming types not mentioned. Only respondents whose systems carried PBS/educational and/or Canadian stations on a distant signal basis were asked about marketing use of these program types.

Finally, respondents were asked which of the program types used in advertising and promotion (including those identified on either an aided or unaided basis) was most important to their marketing efforts.

In the fourth and final survey question, Bortz Media utilized a constant sum approach for estimating cable operators' valuation of the various types of distant signal non-network programming, requiring the respondent to allocate a percentage of a finite pool to each of the program categories.

In order to avoid confusion as to the actual stations and programming under consideration in the survey, each respondent was read a list of the specific distant signal stations actually carried by his or her system. Individual stations were identified for each respondent based on Statements of Account filed with the Copyright Office. The questionnaire design was such that the list of stations was read for the second time during the operator valuation question (it was also read in question 2).

As further clarification, respondents were specifically instructed not to consider any national network programming from $A B C, C B S$, and NBC (to avoid possible confusion, this instruction was deleted in instances where no network affiliated stations were carried).

Five to seven program categories were used in all four surveys, depending upon whether or not the respondent's cable system carried distant PBS/educational and/or Canadian stations. The categories were:

- Movies broadcast during (survey year) by the U.S. commercial stations listed;
- Live professional and college team sports broadcast during (survey year) by the U.S. commercial stations listed;
- Syndicated shows, series and specials distributed to more than one television station and broadcast during (survey year) by the U.S. commercial stations listed;
- News and public affairs programs produced by or for any of the U.S. commercial stations listed, for broadcast during (survey year) only by that station;
- PBS and all other programming broadcast during (survey year) by U.S. noncommercial station $\qquad$ ;
- Devotional and religious programming broadcast during (survey year) by the U.S. commercial stations listed; and
- All programming broadcast during (survey year) by Canadian Station
$\qquad$ .

If no PBS or Canadian stations were carried, the operator was not asked to value these program types.

Respondents were asked to estimate the relative value to their systems of these programming categories, thinking in terms of the percentage of a fixed dollar amount they would spend for each programming type.

Program categories were read once so that the respondent had a chance to think about them, and the respondent was instructed to write the categories down. The
program types were then reread to allow the respondent to write down their estimates and provide them to the interviewer. The program types were randomly ordered to prevent ordering bias. The interviewer then reviewed the program categories and estimates with the respondent, providing the respondent an opportunity to revise the estimates if necessary. As discussed previously, both the writing down of categories and responses and the category-by-category review of responses in these surveys reflect changes made in response to comments from the CRT that were incorporated starting with the 1992 survey.
2. Cable system sampling. The cable system operator sampling plans were developed by Bortz Media, based on the design parameters initially developed for previous surveys by Dr. George E. Bardwell, Consultant in Mathematics and Statistics, and Professor of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of Denver. Sample selection was conducted by Bortz Media professional staff.

A stratified random sampling approach was utilized, with the stratification based on copyright royalty payments. As noted above, only Form 3 systems, which contributed approximately 95 percent of the royalties each year, were eligible for inclusion in the sample. Royalty data were obtained from Statements of Account filed with the Copyright Office. The sampling plans were constructed so that proportionately more systems with large royalty payments were sampled relative to systems with small royalty payments. This approach is intended to ensure that responses to the survey would provide a statistically valid predictor for allocation of royalty payments.

The sample design included four strata of royalty classes, one of which (largest royalty payers) required that all systems within that stratum be included in the sample. The boundaries of the remaining three strata were constructed using the 'cum square
root of f rule' applied to a frequency distribution of royalty payments in $\$ 500$ increments.
This rule gives reasonable assurance the calculated stratum boundaries are maximally effective in reducing the sampling error for a given sample size. Neyman's allocation formulas provide an optimum allocation of the total samples to each stratum so as to achieve minimum sampling error in the overall survey estimates.

The required stratification and certain associated statistics for each study are summarized in Table A-2 below.

Table A-2.
Stratification Statistics for 2004 and 2005 Surveys*

| Royalty Stratum | Number of Systems | Mean <br> Royalty | Percent of <br> Total Royalties | Royalty Standard Deviation | Original Sample Size** | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Final } \\ \text { Eligible } \\ \text { Sample } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| \$0-20,628 | 936 | \$10,104 | 14.4\% | \$4,772 | 65 | 53. |
| \$20,629-59,628 | 432 | 35,897 | 23.5 | 10,873 | 68 | 54 |
| \$59,629-207,129 | 234 | 103,077 | 36.6 | 37,199 | 129 | 109 |
| \$207,130 or more | 45 | 373,148 | 25.5 | 253,603 | 45 | 35 |
| Total/Average | 1,647 |  | 100.0\% |  | 307 | 251 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0-23,844 | 755 | \$12,269 | 14.3\% | \$5,150 | 58 | 46 |
| \$23,845-65,344 | 378 | 39,639 | 23.1 | 11,372 | 64. | 56 |
| \$65,345-239,844 | 210 | 114,824 | 37.2 | 44,527 | 140 | 118 |
| \$239,845 or more | 39 | 420,366 | 25.3 | 202,246 | $\underline{39}$ | 31 |
| Total/Average | 1,382 |  | 100.0\% |  | 301 | 251 |

*Stratification statistics are based on the first reporting period of each year.
**Includes all sampled systems. In 2004, 43 systems not carrying distant signals, nine systems carrying only PBS signals. and one carrying only Canadian signals were discarded. In addition, two systems could not be located at the Copyright Office and one system was detemined to be a duplicate. In 2005, 39 systems not carrying distant signals, seven carrying only PBS signals, two carrying only PBS and Canadian signals, and one carrying only Canadian signals were discarded. In addition, one system could not be located at the Copyright Office.

Sample systems were randomly selected from each stratum in accordance with the sample size requirements given in the foregoing table and using randomly selected starts.

In both 2004 and 2005, a number of the systems selected within the initial sample frame reported above carried no distant signals. As discussed above at page 32, these systems were ineligible, since there was no set of signals/programming that would form the necessary basis upon which to conduct the survey among these systems. Similarly, some systems sampled carried only a distant PBS and/or only a distant Canadian signal. As discussed above on page 32, these systems were also excluded.
3. Survey. Telephone surveying in the 2004 and 2005 studies was completed by Ted Heiman \& Associates (THA). James M. Trautman, Managing Director, and Brian Broderick, Senior Vice President, of Bortz Media oversaw selection and training of interviewers. Only interviewers specializing in surveying professional and managerial personnel were utilized. Supervisors listened to interviews over the initial phases of the studies to ensure that interviewers understood the subject matter, were communicating properly with survey respondents and were accurately recording the information supplied by the respondents.

Dates during which surveys were completed are as follows.

| Study Year | Survey Period |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | $7 / 28 / 05-9 / 23 / 05$ |
| 2005 | $7 / 23 / 06-11 / 20 / 06$ |

Calls were placed between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Central Standard Time. Interviewers were instructed to call back as often as necessary to obtain a completed interview or refusal. While up to 30 calls were made to some systems, virtually every completed interview required only one or two direct contacts with the eventual respondent.

Interviewers were not told the name of the client or given any information, other than that on the survey form, regarding the nature of the study.
4. Survey completion. Interviews were completed with between 65 and 68 percent of cable systems included in the sample frame provided to THA:

|  | Eligible <br> Sample | Surveys <br> Completed | Response <br> Rate to Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 251 | 162 | $64.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | 251 | 171 | 68.1 |

5. Respondent qualifications. In contacting cable systems, interviewers were instructed to ask first for the system general manager and to confirm that the manager was the person at the system "most responsible for programming decisions made" by the system. If the general manager did not fit the description, the interviewer was instructed to ask for the person who was most responsible for programming decisions. In all cases, the eventual survey respondent, whether or not the system manager, was required to answer affirmatively the qualifying question. As indicated in Table A-3, respondents were overwhelmingly individuals with general management, marketing or programming responsibilities.

Table A-3.
Persons Most Responsible for Programming Decisions, By Job Title, 2004 and 2005

| Job Title | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Respondents | Percent of Total | Number of Respondents | Percent of Total |
| SVP. RegI. VP or VP Marketing/Marketing |  |  |  |  |
| Director | 62 | 38.3\% | 47 | 27.5\% |
| General Manager/Manager/Area VP or |  |  |  |  |
| Director/Regional VP or SVP | 40 | 24.7 | 71 | 41.5 |
| Marketing Manager/Marketing Operations |  |  |  |  |
| Dir./Marketing Coordinator/Regl. Mktg. Mgr. | 17 | 10.5 | 17 | 9.9 |
| VP or Dir. Sales \& Marketing/Regl. Dir. Sales \& |  |  |  |  |
| Marketing | 17 | 10.5 | 11 | 6.4 |
| VP, Director or Manager Operations/Regl. VP |  |  |  |  |
| or Director Operations | 10 | 6.2 | 5 | 2.9 |
| Product or Programming Director or Manager | 9 | 5.6 | 7 | 4.1 |
| VP or SVP | 5 | 3.1 | 7 | 4.1 |
| Other | 2 | 1.2 | 6 | 3.5 |
| Total* | 162 | 100.1\% | 171 | 100.1\% |

6. Estimation procedures. In both studies, two different methodologies were used in making estimates for all systems based on the sample responses. For question 4 (valuation by program type), a ratio estimation methodology was used. This methodology weights responses by another variable. In this case, the responses (valuation of each type of programming) were weighted by the total royalty that the respondent's system had paid for the first reporting period of 2004 or 2005. Larger systems with greater royalty payments were given a greater weight compared with smaller systems in determining the average value of each type of programming. For the sample systems, the total royalty and percent of value by program type was known. For all other systems not in the sample, total royalties were also known. Statistically, knowledge of royalties for the total universe of systems improves the reliability of the estimates by reducing the uncertainty in this component of the estimation methodology.

For questions 2 and 3, the focus was not on value but rather on subscriber and advertising preference. In this case, there was no other supplemental variable available which related to preference for all systems, including those not in the sample. Therefore, the ratio estimation methodology did not apply to making estimates based on responses to these questions and a more straightforward method was applied in which all sample stations carried an equal weight after accounting for different sample sizes by strata. Formulas for calculating these statistics are set forth below.
a. Statistical estimation procedures for question 4. The following sets forth the mathematical and statistical basis for the valuation estimates obtained for the key constant sum question:
h = stratum index,
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ proportionate value of program type x estimated by sample system i in stratum h from questionnaire,
$\mathrm{t}_{\text {ih }} \quad=$ total royalty of sample system in stratum h.
$T_{h}=$ total royalty of all (sample and nonsample) systems in stratum $h$,
$x_{i h} \quad=p_{i h} t_{i h}=$ value of program type $x$ to system $i$ in stratum $h$,
$n_{h} \quad=$ number of sample systems responding in stratum $h$,
$N_{h}=$ total number of systems in stratum $h$,
$T_{x} \quad=\sum_{h=1}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{i=1} x_{i n}} T_{h}$
$s_{x h}^{2}=\sum_{1}^{n_{h}} x_{i h}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{1}^{n_{n}} x_{i h}\right)^{2}}{n_{h}} / n_{h}$
$s_{\text {th }}^{2}=\sum_{i}^{n_{i}} t_{i d}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i}^{n_{i}} t_{i t}\right)^{2}}{n_{i}} / n_{b}$
$R_{h}=\frac{\sum_{1}^{n_{h}} x_{i h}}{\sum_{1}^{n_{h}} t_{i h}}$

$V\left(T_{x}\right)=\sum_{i}^{4} \frac{N_{b}}{n_{b}-1}\left(N_{b}-n_{b}\right)\left(S_{s t}^{2}+S_{m}^{2} R_{b}^{2}-2 R, r_{\Delta S_{u} S_{\Delta}}\right)$
$=\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { estimated total value of } \\ & \text { program type } x\end{aligned}$
$=$. sample variance of value of program type $x$ in stratum $h$,
$=$ sample variance of royalty in stratum $h$,
$=$ ratio estimate of proportionate value of program type $x$ for stratum $h$,
Pearson's correlation
$=$ coefficient between $x_{h}$ and $t_{h}$ in stratum $h$,
$=$ variance of estimate of total value of program $x$.
b. Statistical estimation procedures for questions 2 and 3. The following sets forth the mathematical and statistical basis for the estimates obtained for questions 2 and 3.
h = stratum index,
$n_{h}$. = number of sample systems responding in stratum $h$,
$N_{h}$. total number of systems in stratum $h$,
$\mathrm{N}=$ total systems in sample frame,
$t_{x h}=$ total number of positive answers for given cell for question $x$ in stratum $h$,
$p_{x h}=t_{x h} / n_{h}=$ estimated proportion of positive answers for given cell for question $x$ in stratum h,
$P_{x}=\sum_{h=1}^{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{xh}} \mathrm{Nh}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{N}$
$V\left(P_{x}\right)=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{4} \frac{N_{h}}{n_{h}-1}\left(N_{h}-n_{h}\right) p_{x h}\left(1-p_{x h}\right)$
$=$ estimated proportion positive answers for given cell for question $x$,
$=$ variance of estimated proportion $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$
7. Evaluation of survey estimates. The 95 percent confidence intervals for the estimates included in this report for the years 2004 and 2005 are set forth below.

## $\underline{2004}$

Question 4. Cable Operator Allocation of Distant Signal Program Budget

|  | Percent <br> Allocation | Absolute <br> Confidence <br> Interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $33.5 \%$ | $\pm 2.3$ |
| Live professional and college team sports | 18.7 | 2.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 18.4 | 1.7 |
| News and public affairs | 17.8 | 1.3 |
| Movies | 7.8 | 0.7 |
| Devotional and religious | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | $\underline{0.2}$ | 0.2 |
| Canadian | $100.0 \%^{*}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |
| *Column does not add to total due to rounding |  |  |

Question 2. Distant Programming Popularity Among Subscribers

| Question 2. Distant Programming |  | Popularity Among Subscribers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percent } \\ \text { Absolute } \\ \text { Confidence }\end{array}$ |  |
| Allocation |  |  |$)$

Question 3b/3c. Combined Aided/Unaided Advertising/Promotional Use of Distant Signal Programming by Type

|  | Percent <br> Allocation | Absolute <br> Confidence <br> Interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $75.6 \%$ | NA |
| Live professional and college team sports | 58.7 | NA |
| News and public affairs | 27.3 | NA |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 12.2 | NA |
| Movies | 7.4 | NA |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 0.0 | NA |
| Devotional and religious | 0.0 | NA |
| Canadian | 0.0 | NA |
| Other |  |  |

## Question 3d. Most Important Distant Signal Programming for Advertising/Promotional Purposes

|  | Percent <br> Allocation | Absolute <br> Confidence <br> Interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $50.2 \%$ | NA |
| Live professional and college team sports | 21.7 | NA |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 17.6 | NA |
| News and public affairs | 5.6 | NA |
| Movies | 1.9 | NA |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 0.0 | NA |
| Devotional and religious | 0.0 | NA |
| Canadian | 0.0 | NA |
| Other | 3.1 | NA |
| Don't know/no response | $100.0 \%^{*}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |

*Column does not add to total due to rounding.

## $\underline{2005}$

Question 4. Cable Operator Allocation of Distant Signal Program Budget

| Category | Percent Allocation | Absolute Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | 36.9\% | $\pm 2.5$ |
| Movies | 19.2 | 1.8 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 18.4 | 2.1 |
| News and public affairs | 14.8 | 1.7 |
| Devotional and religious | 6.6 | 0.8 |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 3.7 | 0.9 |
| Canadian | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0\%* |  |
| *Column does not add to total due to rounding |  |  |
| Question 2. Distant Programming Popularity Among Subscribers |  |  |
|  |  | Absolute |
| Category | Percent Allocation | Confidence Interval |
| Live professional and college team sports | 65.7\% | $\pm 10.5$ |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 35.6 | 10.5 |
| Movies | 28.7 | 10.0 |
| News and public affairs | 19.0 | 8.2 |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Devotional and religious | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| Canadian | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0 |

*Multiple responses are allowed to this question.

Question 3a. Use of Distant Signal Programming for Advertising/ Promotional Purposes

|  | Percent | Absolute Confidence |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Allocation | Interval |
| Yes | $4.9 \%$ | $\pm 4.1$ |
| No | $\underline{95.1}$ | $\ddots$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Question 3b/3c. Combined Aided/Unaided Advertising/Promotional Use of Distant Signal Programming by Type

|  | Percent <br> Allocation | Absolute <br> Confidence <br> Interval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category | $96.1 \%$ | NA |
| Live professional and college team sports | 80.5 | NA |
| Movies | 62.2 | NA |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 62.2 | NA |
| News and public affairs | 55.7 | NA |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 3.9 | NA |
| Canadian | 0.0 | NA |
| Devotional and religious | 2.6 | NA |
| Other |  |  |

## Question 3d. Most Important Distant Signal Programming for Advertising/Promotional Purposes

|  | Advertising/Promotional Purposes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Absolute <br> Confidence <br> Category |
| Allocation | Interval |  |
| Live and public affairs | $45.2 \%$ | NA |
| Movies | 44.4 | NA |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 2.6 | NA |
| PBS and all other non-commercial | 0.0 | NA |
| Devotional and religious | 0.0 | NA |
| Canadian | 0.0 | NA |
| Other | 0.0 | NA |
| Total | 7.8 | NA |

## APPENDIX B. SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

## 2004 <br> SYSTEM OPERATOR <br> PROGRAMMING QUESTIONNAIRE

## VERSION H

System Name:
City / State:
Subscribers: $\qquad$
Respondent's Name: $\qquad$
Position:
Telephone Number:
Date:
$\qquad$

Interviewer:
(ASK TO SPEAK WITH SYSTEM MANAGER. IF UNAVAILABLE, CONFIRM HE / SHE IS PERSON AT THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS AND ARRANGE CALL BACK. IF NOT, ASK TO SPEAK WITH THE PERSON AT THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSTBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS.)

Hello, I'm $\qquad$ from $\qquad$ . We are conducting a short national survey among randomly selected cable systems regarding the programming they carry: I only have a few questions.

1. Are you the person at your system most responsible for programming decisions made by your system during 2004 or not?
Yes
1

No
2 ASK TO SPEAK WITH PERSON AT THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS. REPEAT INTRODUCTION AND Q.I.

2a. Industry data indicate that during 2004 your system carried the following broadcast stations from other cities:
Com/
Non/
Call Letters Affil City INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL CALL LETIERS,

2b. Thinking back to 2004, what types of programming broadcast by these stations, other than any national network programming from $A B C, C B S$ and NBC, do you think were most popular with your subscribers? (DO NOT READ LIST: RECORD ALL PROGRAMMING TYPES MENTIONED)
Movies ..... 1
Live professional and college team sports ..... 2
Syndicated shows, series and specials ..... 3
News and public affairs programs ..... $4!$
PBS and all other programming broadcast by noncommercial station ..... 5
Devotional and religious programming ..... 6
All programming broadcast by Canadian station ..... 7
Other (SPECIFY) ..... 8

3a. Did you feature any programming broadcast by the stations I mentioned, other than any national network programming from $A B C, C B S$ and NBC, in your 2004 advertising and promotional efforts to attract and retain subscribers or not?

| Yes .............................................................. | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No TO Q. 4 |  |

3b. What types of programming broadcast by these stations did you feature in your 2004 subscriber acquisition and retention advertising and promotion? (DO NOT READ LIST--RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3b, "UNAIDED")
(FOR EACH TYPE OF PROGRAMMING NOT MENTIONED IN Q.3b, ASK:)
3c. Did you also feature (INSERT EACH PROGRAMMING TYPE NOT MENTIONED) broadcast by these stations in your 2004 advertising and promotion to attract and retain subscribers or not? (RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3c, "AIDED")

3d. You said you used (READ ALL PROGRAMMING TYPES CHECKED $\mathbb{N}$ Q.3b or 3c) from the stations I mentioned in 2004 subscription and retention advertising and promotion. Which of these do you feel was the most important programming type to feature in subscriber acquisition and retention advertising and promotion? Which was the next most important programming type? Which programming type was least important? (RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3d, "IMPORTANT" IN APPROPRIATE COLUMN. IF TWO OR FEWER WERE MENTIONED, MODIFY QUESTION ACCORDINGLY)

| ndom1. |  | Q.3b. Unaided | Q.3c. Aided | Q.3d. Important |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Most. | 2nd | Least |
| 1 | Movies | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | Live professional and college team sports | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | Syndicated shows, series and specials | ) 3 | 3 | 3. | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | News and public affairs programs | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| ) | PBS and all other programming broadcast by noncommercial station $\qquad$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| ) | Devotional/religious programming | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 1 | All programming broadcast by Canadian station $\qquad$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Other (SPECIFY BELOW) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | $\cdots$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

4a. Now, I would like you to estimate the relative value to your cable system of each type of programming actually broadcast by the stations I mentioned during 2004, other than any national network programming from $A B C, C B S$ and NBC. That is, how much do you think each such type of programming was worth, if anything, on a comparative basis, in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers. We are only interested in U.S. commercial station(s) U.S. non commercial station(s) . and Canadian station(s)

Ill read all the program types that were broadcast by these stations to give you a chance to think about them; please write the categories down as I am reading them. (READ PROGRAM TYPES IN ORDER OF RANDOM SEQUENCE NUMBER.) Assume you had a fixed dollar amount to spend in order to acquire all the programming actually broadcast during 2004 by the stations 1 listed. What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend for each type of programming? Please write down your estimates, and make sure they add to 100 percent.

What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend on (READ FIRST PROGRAM TYPE)? And what percentage, if any, would you spend on (READ NEXT PROGRAM TYPE)? (COMPLETE LIST IN THIS MANNER.)

Random
Sequence . Percent :
( ) Movies broadcast during 2004 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed $\qquad$
$\qquad$
( . ) Live professional and college team sports broadcast during 2004 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed
( ) Syndicated shows, series and specials distributed to more than one television station and broadcast during 2004 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed.
$($ ). News and public affairs programs produced by or for any of the U.S. commercial stations I listed, for broadcast during 2004 only by that station.. $\qquad$
( ) PBS and all other programming broadcast during 2004 by U.S. noncommercial station $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
( ) Devotional and religious programming broadcast during 2004 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed.

1. ) All programming broadcast during 2004 by Canadian station
$\qquad$

TOTAL $\qquad$
$\qquad$

PERCENTAGES MUST ADD TO 100 PERCENT; PROMPT RESPONDENT IF THEY DO NOT.

[^31]Are there any changes you would like to make? \{RECORD ANY CHANGES BY CROSSING OUT ORIGINAL RESPONSE AND WRITING IN REVISED RESPONSE NEXT TO IT. PERCENTAGES MUST STILL ADD TO 100 PERCENT; PROMPT RESPONDENT IF THEY DO NOT.)

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

## 2005 <br> SYSTEM OPERATOR <br> PROGRAMMING QUESIIONNAIRE

VERSION H

System Name:
City / State:
Subscribers: $\qquad$
Respondent's Name: $\qquad$
Position:
Telephone Number:
Date:
Interviewer:
(ASK TO SPEAK WITH SYSTEM MANAGER. IF UNAVAILABLE, CONFIRM HE / SHE IS PERSON AT THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS AND ARRANGE CALL BACK. IF NOT, ASK TO SPEAK WITH THE PERSON AT THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS.)

Hello, I'm from $\qquad$ . We are conducting a short national survey among randomly selected cable systems regarding the programming they carry. I only have a few questions.

1. Are you the person at your system most responsible for programming decisions made by your system during 2005 or not?

Yes
1
No
2 ASK TO SPEAK WITH PERSON AT. THE SYSTEM MOST RESPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAMMING DECISIONS. REPEAT INTRODUCTION AND Q.I.

2a. Industry data indicate that during 2005 your system carried the following broadcast stations from other cities:
Com/
Non/ Can Affil City INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL CALL LETTERS,
Call Letters AND AFFILIATION


#### Abstract

2b. Thinking back to 2005, what types of programming broadcast by these stations, other than any national network programming from ABC, CBS and NBC, do you think were most popular with your subscribers? [DO NOT READ LIST; RECORD ALL PROGRAMMING TYPES MENTIONED)


Movies ..... 1
Live professional and college team sports ..... 2
Syndicated shows, series and specials ..... 3
News and public affairs programs ..... 4
PBS and all other programming broadcast by noncommercial station ..... 5
Devotional and religious programming ..... 6.
All programming broadcast by Canadian station ..... 7
Other (SPECIFY) ..... 8

3a. Did you feature any programming broadcast by the stations 1 mentioned, other than any national network programming from ABC, CBS and NBC, in your 2005 advertising and promotional efforts to attract and retain subscribers or not?

Yes $\qquad$ 1
No
2 GOTOQ. 4
3b. What types of programming broadcast by these stations did you feature in your 2005 subscriber acquisition and retention advertising and promotion? (DO NOT READ LIST--RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3b, "UNAIDED")
(FOR EACH TYPE OF PROGRAMMING NOT MENTIONED IN Q.3b, ASK:)
3c. Did you also feature (INSERT EACH PROGRAMMING TYPE NOT MENTIONED) broadcast by these stations in your 2005 advertising and promotion to attract and retain subscribers or not? (RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3c, "AIDED")

3d. You said you used (READ ALL PROGRAMMING TYPES CHECKED IN Q. 36 or $3 c$ ) from the stations I mentioned in 2005 subscription and retention advertising and promotion. Which of these do you feel was the most important programming type to feature in subscriber acquisition and retention advertising and promotion? Which was the next most important programming type? Which programming type was least important? (RECORD BELOW UNDER Q.3d, "IMPORTANT" IN APPROPRIATE COLUMN. IF. TWO OR FEWER WERE MENTIONED, MODIFY QUESTION ACCORD(NGLY)

| indom$\underline{q} .$ |  | Q.3b. Unaided | Q.3c. <br> Aided | Q.3d. important |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Most | 2nd | Least |
| ) | Movies | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ) | Live professional and college team sports | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| ) | Syndicated shows, series and specials | Is 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | News and public affairs programs | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1 | PBS and all other programming broadcast by noncommercial station $\qquad$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 1 | Devotional/religious programming | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 1 | All programming broadcast by Canadian station $\qquad$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Other (SPECIFY BELOW) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  |  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
|  | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |

4a. Now; I would like you to estimate the relative value to your cable system of each type of programming actually broadcast by the stations I mentioned during 2005, other than any national network programming from $A B C, C B S$ and $N B C$. That is, how much do you think each such type of programming was worth, if anything, on a comparative basis, in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers. We are only interested in U.S. commercial station(s) U.S. non commercial station(s) and Canadian station(s) $\qquad$ .

Illl read all the program types that were broadcast by these stations to give you a chance to think about them; please write the categories down as I am reading them. (READ PROGRAM TYPES IN ORDER OF RANDOM SEQUENCE NUMBER.) Assume you had a fixed dollar amount to spend in order to acquire all the programming actually broadcast during 2005 by the stations I listed. What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend for each type of programming? Please write down your estimates, and make sure they add to 100 percent.

What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend on (READ FIRST. PROGRAM TYPE)? And what percentage, if any, would you spend on (READ NEXT PROGRAM TYPE)? (COMPLETE LIST IN THIS MANNER.)

## Random

| Sequenc |  | Percer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $)$ | Movies broadcast during 2005 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed. |  |
| 11 | Live professional and college team sports broadcast during 2005 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed. $\qquad$ |  |
| 11 | Syndicated shows, series and specials distributed to more than one television station and broadcast during 2005 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed. |  |
| ) | News and public affairs programs produced by or for any of the U.S. commercial stations I listed, for broadcast during 2005 only by that station. |  |
| ) | PBS and all other programming broadcast during 2005 by U.S. noncommercial station $\qquad$ . ........................................ |  |
| ) | Devotional and religious programming broadcast during 2005 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed. $\qquad$ |  |
| 1 | All programming broadcast during 2005 by Canadian station |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |

PERCENTAGES MUST ADD TO 100 PERCENT; PROMPT RESPONDENT IF THEY DO NOT.

[^32]Are there any changes you would like to make? (RECORD ANY CHANGES BY CROSSING OUT. ORIGINAL RESPONSE AND WRITING IN REVISED RESPONSE NEXT TO II. PERCENTAGES MUST STILL ADD TO 100 PERCENT; PROMPT RESPONDENT IF THEY DO NOT.)

Thank you for your time and cooperation.

$\qquad$
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## I. Qualifications

1. My name is Robert W. Crandall. I have been a Senior Fellow in Economic Studies at the Brookings Institution since 1978. Prior to that I was the Acting Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Director of the Council of Wage and Price Stability in the Executive Office of the President, and between 1974 and 1975 I was an Adviser to Commissioner Glen Robinson of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). I was an Assistant Professor and Associate Professor of Economics at MIT between 1966 and 1974.
2. I have written widely on telecommunications policy, the economics of broadcasting, and the economics of cable television. In 1971 and 1972, I published articles on the FCC financial-interest/syndication rules in The Journal of Law and Economics and the Bell Journal of Economics. In 1974, I co-authored an article on cable television profitability in The Journal of Business. In 1974, I also published an article on the economics of network television in Public Policy. In 1978, I published an article on the economic effect of television broadcast regulation in Regulation. In 1981, Stanley Besen and I coauthored a paper on cable television regulation that was published in Law and Contemporary Problems. In 1990, I conducted a number of empirical studies of the cable television industry that were submitted in various FCC proceedings on behalf of TCI and are incorporated into a chapter in Bruce Owen and Steven Wildman's Video Economics, published by Harvard University Press in 1992. I am the co-author of two books released in 1996 by the Brookings Institution: Talk is Cheap: The Promise of Regulatory Reform in North American Telecommunications (with Professor Leonard

Waverman) and Cable TV: Regulation or Competition? (with former FCC Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth) and the author of Competition and Chaos: U.S. Telecommunications since the 1996 Telecom Act, published by the Brookings Institution in 2005.
3. I have served as a consultant to several government agencies and participated in a variety of government advisory panels. Between 1967 and 1968, I was a consultant to the Justice Department on a variety of network television and motion picture issues. Between 1978 and 1979, I served as a consultant to the FCC on the deregulation of signal carriage rules for cable television. I have also served as a consultant to several clients on matters relating to copyright and product licensing issues -- including the National Cable Television Association, the three major television broadcast networks, and other cable and broadcast industry clients.
4. I testified before the Copyright Royalty Tribunal on behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants ("JSC") in the 1989 cable royalty distribution proceeding and on behalf of the National Cable Television Association in the 1981 proceeding to adjust cable royalty rates. I also testified before the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel on behalf of JSC in the 1990-92 and 1998-99 cable royalty distribution proceedings.
5. I am offering this testimony on behalf of JSC in my individual capacity and not as an employee of the Brookings Institution, which does not take institutional positions with respect to specific legislation, litigation, or regulatory proceedings.
6. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Appendix A.

## II. Introduction and Summary

7. In this testimony, I conclude that:

- The copyright royalties paid by cable systems to import distant broadcast signals should be allocated as they would have been allocated by marketplace transactions.
- The best evidence on how the marketplace would have allocated these royalties is to be found in constant sum surveys of cable system executives who are asked how they would have allocated a fixed budget for imported distant broadcast signals.


## III. The Copyright Royalty Judges Should Allocate the 2004-05 Cable Royalty Funds As They Would Have Been allocated in a Market.

8. Typically, a copyright holder of non-network programming on a broadcast television station is directly compensated by that station for the use of the copyrighted programming at a rate negotiated between the station and the copyright holder. The broadcast station generates revenues from broadcasting the copyrighted programming through advertising inserted in the programs. When a cable system retransmits a "distant" broadcast station's ${ }^{1}$ signal over its facilities, the programming on that broadcast station becomes available to a larger audience than otherwise. Because the retransmitted signal contains the programming of many different copyright holders, Congress thought that there would be large transaction costs if the cable system operator had to negotiate individually with each of these numerous copyright holders for the rights to offer all of the programs offered over that signal.
9. Accordingly, Congress established compulsory licensing as a substitute for arms-length transactions between cable systems and individual copyright holders of

[^33]distant-signal programming. ${ }^{2}$ The terms of the compulsory license are set by statute. The resulting license fees paid by cable systems are collected by the Copyright Office in a cable royalty fund to be distributed to the copyright owners whose "non-network"3 programming has been retransmitted on distant broadcast signals.
10. Congress initially gave the authority to distribute these royalties to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal ("CRT"). The CRT was replaced with the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP"), which in turn was replaced by the current Copyright Royalty Judge system for royalty distribution:
11. The CRT and CARP concluded that the allocation of royalties must be based on how copyright holders would have been compensated in a market environment. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, in the last litigated proceeding (covering the royalty years 1998 and 1999), the CARP concluded that "one distribution criterion appears to have stood the 'test of time' and has served as the principal basis for allocating cable copyright royalties -- 'relative marketplace value."' In other words, the CARP's "primary objective is to 'simulate [relative] market valuation' as if no compulsory license existed." ${ }^{\prime 6}$ It then proceeded to analyze a hypothetical marketplace in which "absent a compulsory license, the distant

[^34]signal retransmission market would not be fundamentally different than under the compulsory license."7
12. From an economist's perspective, using a market valuation approach is the appropriate way to determine the royalty shares that should be awarded to each of the claimants. Congress intended the compulsory license to be a more efficient way of compensating copyright owners by eliminating the transaction costs that would result from direct negotiations between cable systems and all of the copyright owners of programming retransmitted on distant signals. ${ }^{8}$ I am not aware of any evidence that Congress, through the compulsory license, intended to change the relative distributions that any claimant group would have received in a market. Although the statute does not set forth specific criteria governing how the royalty fund should be divided among the various programming categories, the CRT and CARP conclusions that distributions should approximate relative market value make economic sense because they replicate the hypothetical market value of the copyrights used. ${ }^{9}$ Such a division of the royalty fund preserves as much as possible the free-market incentives that would otherwise exist for copyright holders to create content and permit its use over-the-air.

## IV. The Bortz Constant Sum Survey Is An Appropriate Measure of the Relative Value of Distant Signal Programming

13. In a competitive environment, a market transaction would compensate a copyright holder according to the copyrighted program's marginal contribution to cable-

[^35]system net revenues. In other words, the cable operator would be willing to buy rights to the programming directly or indirectly from the copyright holder according to how much additional revenue the cable operator would generate by retransmitting the copyrighted programming.
14. Determining this "market value" for specific types of programming is difficult. The compulsory license requires the cable operator to pay a minimum royalty every six months even if no programming is retransmitted over that period. Moreover, the cable operator may not insert commercials or otherwise modify the distant signal. As a result, it is almost impossible to determine the precise marginal contribution to a cable system of a specific copyright holder's programming on a distant signal. Therefore, one must look for other evidence to estimate a hypothetical market between copyright holders and cable system operators.
15. The parties in the Phase I proceedings have generally advocated using one of two competing methodologies for determining marketplace value of retransmitted programming: constant sum surveys of cable system managers and household viewing studies. ${ }^{10}$ Constant sum surveys ask cable system managers to allocate a percentage of a hypothetical programming budget for the non-network distant signals that they carry to each of the various programming categories - sports, movies, syndicated television series, devotional programs, public television programming, Canadian programming and locally-originated broadcast programming. Household viewing studies use data collected

[^36]by A.C. Nielsen to estimate the number of hours that households watch each program category.
16. As I have explained in earlier proceedings, the constant sum survey is the best tool to answer the question presented in this proceeding. In my testimony for the 1989 proceeding, I explained the economic theory underlying assessments of relative market value and discussed how the constant sum survey - the "Bortz survey" - was the best evidence of those values. See Testimony of Robert W. Crandall (JSC 04-05 Ex. 6 at 9-14). In the 1998-99 proceeding, I explained again the value of the Bortz survey data in showing relative market value and discussed why earlier criticisms of the survey were not well-founded. See Testimony of Robert W. Crandall (JSC 04-05 Ex. 5).
17. As I also have discussed in my prior testimony, over time, the CRT and the CARP relied increasingly (and properly) on the constant sum surveys of cable executives, the Bortz survey and its predecessors, as the best estimate of relative marketplace value of the copyrighted programming imported by cable systems. ${ }^{1}$ See JSC 04-05 Ex. 5. In the latest CARP decision, the Panel decided that "the Bortz survey is more reliable than any other methodology presented in this proceeding for determining the relative marketplace value of [the JSC, Program Suppliers and Commercial Television] claimant groups. ${ }^{12}$

[^37]18. The advantage of the constant sum survey is that it attempts to measure the relative value that cable system operators place on various program categories. Since these operators would make the program purchasing decisions in the marketplace that would exist but for the compulsory copyright license, this type of survey provides the best information on the operation of the hypothetical marketplace in the absence of actual data on programming purchases, which do not exist. The Bortz survey has been conducted for over 25 years in connection with these proceedings and, over that time, has been refined and improved to respond to various criticisms. ${ }^{13}$ In my opinion, it is a robust and reliable instrument with a significant track record. ${ }^{14}$
separate constant sum survey conducted by the Canadians. The Panel did not reach the question of application of the Bortz results to determine the Devotionals' share because they had settled. Id. at 72-73.
${ }^{3}$ For a detailed history of the use of constant sum surveys in previous proceedings, see Section II.A of the Bortz Report (JSC 04-05 Ex. 1 at 10-11).
14 The 1989 CRT, 1990-92 CARP and 1998-99 CARP reports discuss the various witnesses who have supported the Bortz survey during those proceedings. See Report of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal in Docket No. CRT 91-2-89CD, 57 Fed. Reg. 15,286, 15,292-95 (Apr. 27, 1992); 1990-92 CARP Report at 45-54; and 1998-99 CARP Report at 19-31, respectively. Economists who have supported the Bortz survey over the various proceedings include Vanderbilt University economist Dr. David Scheffman (1990-92; testifying on rebuttal for PTV) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 8 at 21-23); Boston University economist Dr. Michael Salinger (1990-92; testifying for Devotionals) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 9 at 6-10); and valuation expert Paul Much (1990-92; testifying for CTV) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 10 at 2-6).

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Robert W. Crandall

May 29, 2009
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## I. Introduction and Summary

1. My name is Judith Meyka and I have over 15 years of experience in the cable television industry, including experience as an executive responsible for the valuation and acquisition of television programming for major cable MSOs (multiple system operators). I am submitting this testimony to the Copyright Royalty Judges on behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants ("JSC") in connection with the 2004-2005 Cable Royalty Distribution Proceeding.
2. I understand that this proceeding involves the distribution of the compulsory licensing royalties paid by cable operators to distribute non-network programming on distant signals during the years 2004 and 2005. I further understand that the goal in distributing these royalties among the copyright owners of the programming is to allocate the royalties so that each group of copyright owners receives, as a percentage, what it would have received in a free marketplace if there were no compulsory license. This means that there must be a relative value assigned to each category of distant signal programming, i.e., live professional and collegiate team sports, movies, syndicated programs, news and public affairs programs, public television programming, Canadian programming and devotional programming.
3. At the request of JSC, I have reviewed the report of Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc. ("Bortz") entitled "Cable Operator Valuation of Distant Signal Non-Network Programming: 2004-05," dated June 1, 2009 (JSC 04-05 Ex. 1). That Report discusses the results of two constant sum surveys in which a random sample of cable operators were asked to value the different types of programming on the distant signals they carried
during the years 2004 and 2005, respectively. The purpose of my testimony is to assess those results in light of my experience in the cable industry.
4. As explained more fully below, I believe the Bortz survey results are consistent with my experience as a cable industry programming executive. In particular, I agree that live professional and collegiate sports programming is, and was during 20042005, the most valuable type of non-network programming on distant signals. In a marketplace absent compulsory licensing, cable operators likely would have allocated no less than $33-37 \%$ of their distant signal non-network programming budget to acquire that programming, as reflected in the Bortz survey results. I also agree, as set forth in the Bortz survey results, that there were no changes in the marketplace between the years 1998-1999 and 2004-2005 that would have significantly affected the relative market values of sports and the other categories of non-network programming on distant signals.

## II. Qualifications

5. I became involved in the cable industry in 1992 after I joined the law firm of Ballard Spahr Andrews \& Ingersoll. As an associate attorney, I acted as counsel to the programming group Tele-Communications, Inc. ("TCl"), then the largest and most influential MSO in the country. My work with TCI included participation in the negotiation of retransmission consent agreements with broadcast television stations entered into as a result of the implementation of the 1992 Cable Act and the negotiation of cable network distribution agreements.
6. In 1998, I became Vice President of Programming for MediaOne, then a major MSO with over five million subscribers. In 2000, AT\&T Broadband acquired MediaOne and I remained with the company in the same position. AT\&T Broadband had
previously acquired TCI and had become the largest MSO in the country. My responsibilities with both companies included securing distribution rights for cable television programming. I also supervised the acquisition of international programming content and participated in the development of advanced products such as video on demand.
7. In 2002, Comcast acquired AT\&T Broadband. I then took a position as Senior Vice President of Distribution with iNDemand, a pay-per-view and on demand movie and sports distribution entity owned by several MSOs including Comcast and Time Warner Cable. I was responsible for all matters related to the distribution of the programming content offered by iNDemand to the various cable operators.
8. In 2003, I accepted a position with Adelphia Communications to lead its programming group as Senior Vice President of Programming. At the time, Adelphia was the fifth largest cable operator with over five million subscribers located throughout 31 states. I was responsible for acquiring and managing distribution rights for programming valued in excess of $\$ 1$ billion annually. I coordinated programming efforts with the company's five regional divisions and oversaw the composition of all channel line-ups. It was my responsibility to develop and implement programming strategies that most effectively promoted the growth of the subscriber base and the rollout of advanced distribution platforms.
9. I remained at Adelphia until August 2006 when the company was sold to Comcast and Time Warner Cable. Since that time, I have worked as an independent consultant providing guidance and advice to cable industry clients including television content providers and new and established programming distribution companies.
10. Throughout my career in the cable industry, I have been closely involved with video programming (including distant signal programming) and the myriad issues relating to the purchase and distribution of this content. I have negotiated hundreds of cable programming distribution agreements and broadcast retransmission consent agreements. My responsibilities have required me to develop an understanding of, and appreciation for, the variety of available programming, the value of various program offerings and the financial ramifications for securing different types of programming.

## III. Determinants Of Market Value

11. Subscribers are the lifeblood of the cable operator because without them, there is no business. Subscribers can be gained or lost based on the programming offered to them by a distributor. Cable operators, therefore, must constantly assess the value of the programming they include within a channel line-up to ensure maximum subscriber satisfaction. The value of any particular programming to a cable operator is derived from the perceived value of such programming to the subscriber. The higher the perceived value to the subscriber, the more potential such programming has to attract and retain such subscribers.
12. The cable industry is a competitive marketplace with cable operators competing against other distributors for fee-paying subscribers. The objective for any distributor is to provide programming options that will result in maximum subscriber growth and minimal loss of existing subscribers. While other factors may ultimately influence a decision of whether or not to carry a particular channel, the type of programming on that channel is of paramount importance. This is particularly true of distant signals carried pursuant to the compulsory licensing provisions of Section 111 of
the Copyright Act. By law, a cable operator may not insert local advertising spots within a distant signal and, thus, there is no opportunity to receive advertising revenue to offset any of the cost of the channel. Including a distant signal on a channel line-up must bring value to that line-up by increasing its attractiveness to subscribers. MSOs carry distant signals based solely on the value of the programming on the signal and the potential impact of the signal on subscriber numbers.

## IV. The Bortz Survey

13. I understand that the Bortz survey of cable operators is conducted each year to determine the relative value cable operators place on the various types of nonnetwork programming transmitted by distant signals. The results of the 2004 and 2005 surveys as set forth in Table I-I of the Bortz Report are as follows:

Table 1 -1.
Istant Signa Programming Valuation Stucies, 2004-05

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liveprofestional andodlegetermspots | 335\% | 36\% |
| Mavies | 17.8 | 192 |
| Syrdicatedstows, seies and speials | 18.7 | 184 |
| Nens and public affairs progrars | 18.4 | 148 |
| Devofionel and religious programing | 7.8 | 66 |
| PBS andall dher progarmingon noncormeroia sigrds | 3.5 | 37 |
| All programming onCanaian sigas | 0.2 | Q3 |
| Tda* | 1000\% | 1000\% |

14. The 2004-2005 Bortz survey results are similar to those reflected in the 1998-1999 Bortz surveys. A comparison of the results of the 1998-1999 and 2004-2005 surveys are shown in Table 1-2 of the Bortz Report as set forth below:

Table 1-2.
Comparison of Distant Signal Programming Valuation Studies, 1998-1999 and 2004-2005

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2004 | 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live professional and college team sports | 37.0\% | 388\% | 33.5\% | 36.9\% |
| Movies | 21.9 | 22.0 | 17.8 | 19.2 |
| Syndicated shows, series and specials | 17.8 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 18.4 |
| News and public affairs programs | 14.8 | 14.7 | 18.4 | 14.8 |
| Devotional and religious progamming | 5.3 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| PBS and all other programmingon non-commercial signals | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| All programming on Canadian signas | 0.4 | $\underline{02}$ | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Tda** | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

*Columns may not add to total due to rounding.
15. As discussed above, the value of any particular category of distant signal programming is directly related to the ability of the programming to attract and retain subscribers. Each cable operator, given the particular circumstances of its system, is likely to value the various categories of programming differently. Based on my experience in the cable television industry, however, I believe the Bortz survey results provide an accurate assessment of how the cable industry as a whole would have allocated its distant signal royalty payments for the years 2004 and 2005.
16. I further believe that the general consistency between the 1998-1999 and 2004-2005 survey results accurately reflects the fact that there were no changes in the marketplace during this period that would have significantly affected the relative values of the different categories of programming on distant signals. This is not to say that the cable industry remained static during such period. The cable industry continually goes through shifts and changes. From 1998 to 2005 there were several large mergers or
acquisitions of cable companies which resulted in increased consolidation within the industry and a smaller number of total cable operators controlling a larger portion of the total cable subscriber universe. Further, there was a significant advancement of new distribution technologies during this time period. It was an important growth phase for the deployment of the "on-demand" platform which provided subscribers with a selection of thousands of hours of programming to watch at a time of their choosing. It was also a time of increased distribution and utilization of the digital video recorder (DVR), a technological advancement over the VCR, which allowed a subscriber to record any television program for time-shifted viewing. These innovations were of great importance to the overall growth of the cable industry, but they did not, in my opinion, significantly affect the relative values that the industry as a whole ascribed to the different categories of non-network programming on distant signals.

## A. Sports Programming

17. The Bortz surveys show that cable operators valued live sports programming more than any other category of distant signal programming. In 2004, they would have allocated $33.5 \%$ of their distant signal programming budget to live sports programming and, in 2005, they would have allocated $36.9 \%$ of that budget. These results are generally consistent with my experience; indeed, I believe they represent a conservative estimate of the relative amounts that cable operators would have paid for the live non-network sports programming on distant signals during the years 2004 and 2005.
18. Other witnesses with substantial cable industry experience have appeared in prior cable royalty distribution proceedings to explain why the cable industry values
sports programming so highly. I have reviewed the written testimony of the following witnesses:

- June Travis, the former Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Board member of the National Cable and Telecommunications Association ("NCTA"), an executive at what had been the nation's largest MSO and Chief Operating Officer of a medium-sized MSO. See JSC 04-05 Ex. 14
- Judith Allen, a marketing and programming executive with a major cable network and two large MSOs. See JSC 04-05 Ex. 15
- Michael Egan, co-owner of a small MSO and programming executive at a large MSO. See JSC 04-04 Ex. 16
- Jerry Maglio, a marketing and programming executive with a small MSO and what was at the time the third largest MSO. See JSC 04-05 Ex. 17
- Trygve Myhren, President of a small MSO and former Chairman and CEO of a mid-sized MSO. See JSC 04-05 Ex 18
- James P. Mooney, President and CEO of the NCTA. See JSC 04-05 Ex. 19
- Robert Wussler, the former CEO of Superstation WTBS. See JSC 0405 Ex. 20
- Roger Werner, the former CEO of ESPN, Inc. See JSC 04-05 Ex. 21

19. I agree with the statements made by these witnesses concerning the value of live sports programming to the cable operator. I also believe that the reasons given by
these witnesses as to why live sports programming is valued so highly by cable operators are still relevant and equally applicable to the period 2004-2005.
20. It has long been the case that live sports programming is the most expensive programming purchased by cable operators. It is considered "must-have" marquee programming necessary to attract new subscribers and keep existing subscribers satisfied. Live sports programming was the primary driver of the increase in cable penetration in the 1990s and continues to be the focus of the competition for subscribers between cable operators and satellite television distributors.
21. Sports are an important and integral part of our culture and society. Fans will often schedule their lives around a live televised sporting event. It is the most unique programming offered by a cable operator in that it is live, non-repeat programming presented in real time and generally available on only one outlet (i.e., one network or channel). Unlike other types of programming, it is one-of-a-kind. You cannot substitute one game for another, one team for another or one sport for another. A passionate sports fan is willing to spend money to watch his or her team play and is not hesitant to switch out video providers if one is not able to make that happen. For the cable operator, this means that sports programming plays a significant role in the composition of a channel line-up and in the successful acquisition and retention of subscribers.
22. Sports fans are also the most vocal and passionate when it comes to their desired sports programming. They are intensely loyal to their teams whether they themselves are local to that team or they are a "displaced" fan located in another city looking for access to their teams' events. They may not subscribe to a cable operator's services if particular sports programming is not available and they are the first to respond
or voice an opinion if a channel with sports programming is dropped or is even believed to be at risk of being dropped from an operator's line-up. Because of its importance to a sports enthusiast, a cable system's decision to drop a channel with popular sports programming can and does result in the loss of subscribers and thus a loss of revenue.
23. In the years 2004 and 2005 , the most significant distant signal was Superstation WGN, a Chicago TV station. At that time, WGN was carried by approximately $72 \%$ of all Form 3 systems which carried a distant signal. ${ }^{1}$ In fact, during this period, the royalties paid to carry WGN represented approximately $63 \%$ to $65 \%$ of all royalties paid by all Form 3 systems to carry distant signals. ${ }^{2}$ In terms of its ability to attract and retain subscribers, the sports programming on WGN, which included the Major League Baseball telecasts of the Cubs and White Sox and the National Basketball Association telecasts of the Chicago Bulls, was the most valuable programming that WGN offered to cable operators. In some cases and on certain channel line-ups, WGN was a staple that had been carried for many years and was well known to subscribers as "home" to the above-mentioned sports programming. The live sports programming on WGN provided popular programming not available elsewhere and brought great value to the cable operator's subscriber offering. For the cable operator, carrying live sports programming on WGN enhanced the value and appeal of a channel line-up resulting in increased subscriber satisfaction.
24. It was during 2004-2005, while at Adelphia, that I had the opportunity to meet with WGN representatives to discuss their request for additional launches of the signal beyond the markets where it was already carried. The conversation was focused

[^38]on increasing the availability of the live sports programming carried on WGN to more subscribers and the value the addition of that programming would bring to a channel lineup. The primary reason to launch WGN was to bring unique, desired programming in the form of live sports programming to a market because it had the greatest impact on increasing subscriber satisfaction and ultimately attracting new subscribers or keeping existing subscribers.
25. Other than WGN, the majority of distant signals carried by cable operators during the years 2004-2005 were local or regional distant signals imported into a nearby cable system. These channels were included within a line-up to provide popular regional sports programming or local interest or news programming from a larger market or neighboring state. Again, there was value to the cable operator in being able to offer programming that was unavailable elsewhere and had a particular significance to the subscriber. Sports programming shown on regionally-available distant signals also provided the cable operator with a strong vehicle to support subscriber acquisition and retention campaigns and ultimately the growth of subscriber numbers.

## B. Other Programming

26. As set forth in Table I-1 above, the Bortz surveys show that cable operators, in 2004 and 2005, would have allocated somewhere between 15-19\% of their distant signal programming budget to each of the categories of movies, syndicated programming and news and local affairs programming. Other categories such as public television, devotional programming and Canadian programming received much smaller allocations -- although the smaller allocations for public television and Canadian programming are at least partially attributable to the fact that a relatively smaller number
of cable systems carry such programming on a distant signal basis. These results generally align with my beliefs as to how the cable industry would have allocated its total distant signal programming budget for the years 2004 and 2005. I would add, however, that it is possible that a cable operator in a system without a local public television programming station might place a higher value on that category of programming because of the nature of the content in that it is generally unavailable elsewhere and includes popular programs (such as certain children's shows) that are readily recognized by a subscriber.
27. As previously expressed, subscriber satisfaction is key to growing and retaining subscribers. Subscriber satisfaction comes from offering customers a mix and blend of programming that fulfills their desires for video programming and produces the greatest subscriber demand. News and local interest programming, public television programming, movies and syndicated programming are all a part of that mix. Live sports programming, local news and public affairs programming and public television programming are particularly important components of the offering because they bring unique content that may not be available on other channels in the line-up. ${ }^{3}$ By contrast, movies and syndicated programs are more readily available elsewhere and fungible with other similar programming and thus are not as effective in attracting and retaining subscribers for the cable operator.
[^39]I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
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TESTIMONY OF JOHN F. WILSON
I am the Senior Vice President and Chief TV Programming Executive at the Public Broadcasting Service ("PBS"). In this capacity, I oversee all PBS television programming services, including the National Program Service, children's, fundraising, and syndicated programming.

My television career began at PBS member station KAET in Phoenix, where I held a variety of positions in production and programming, including Program Director, from 1982 to 1994. During that period, I produced programs that aired nationally on PBS as well as hundreds of hours of live, local programming. I also served on the Arizona Humanities Council as a panelist where I reviewed submissions for media grants.

I joined PBS in 1994 as Director of Program Scheduling and Planning. During the period in question, 2004 and 2005, I held the title of Senior Vice President and Co-Chief Program Executive. In that capacity, I had direct responsibility for the public television programming that PBS supports and distributes to its member stations. I was also heavily involved in the promotion of public television programming to member stations and the public,
and I worked closely with the many public television stations and independent producers who provide public television its rich array of programming.

Under my leadership, we significantly increased our programming and promotion budget through strategic partnerships and new approaches to contract negotiations. As a result, we were able to strengthen and reinvigorate the pillars of our schedule in 2004 and 2005, as well as develop new series, like the public affairs programs FLASHPOINTS USA and TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED.

During this time, I also shaped PBS's role in digital broadcasting. Public television has been a leader in the nationwide transition to digital television. In 2005; 324 of the nation's 348 public television stations offered digital broadcast services. That same year, PBS launched the HD Channel, making it the first broadcaster to provide an around-the-clock packaged channel consisting entirely of high-definition content. Cable operators, which experienced increasing competition from satellite and telco providers of pay-television services during this time, saw such digital service offerings as a way to differentiate their own video services in the market. Consequently, public television stations were able to provide cable operators a unique and highly-valuable programming service.

In 2004 and 2005, we continued to offer our marquee children's programming, like SESAME STREET and READING RAINBOW; science programming, such as NOVA and NATURE; dramatic programming, like MASTERPIECE THEATRE and MYSTERY!; performing arts programming, including GREAT PERFORMANCES and LIVE FROM LINCOLN CENTER; and news and public affairs programming, including FRONTLINE and THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER.

Other key initiatives that I developed in 2004 and 2005 included the national Health Initiative and a comprehensive strategic plan for PBS. The Health Initiative included the funding of four important programs - DEPRESSION, FIGHTING FAT: AMERICA'S OBESITY EPIDEMIC, HEART DISEASE: THE HIDDEN EPIDEMIC, and WAR ON CANCER. I also spearheaded a strategic plan at PBS designed to: (1) increase accessibility to PBS programming, (2) expand science and nature programs, (3) strengthen core programs, (4) reinforce MASTERPIECE THEATRE/MYSTERY!, (5) develop new broad-appeal programs, (6) continue research in support of limited series and specials, and (7) continue research on history and performance genres.

I understand that this proceeding seeks to determine the relative values of programming to cable operators that retransmit distant television signals. Through my involvement with PBS programming during the past 27 years, I have become acutely aware of what programming appeals to viewers. I also understand the decisions that cable operators must make when choosing what programming to carry, and I understand the factors that influence those decisions.

Cable operators value programming that attracts and retains subscribers. Accordingly, it is important to focus in this proceeding on the attributes of programming that help cable operators make their service appealing to subscribers and that add to the diversity and value of the overall programming mix. Public television programming is uniquely situated to directly benefit cable operators in these ways.

## I. CABLE OPERATORS THAT RETRANSMITTED DISTANT PUBLIC TELEVISION SIGNALS IN 2004 AND 2005 PROVIDED SUBSCRIBERS WITH A UNIQUELY VALUABLE PROGRAMMING SERVICE.

The incredible diversity of high-quality programming found on public television in 2004 and 2005 simply was not available in any comparable form on commercial television.

For this reason, the inclusion of a distant public television signal was essential for many cable operators, whether they had access to a local public television station or not.

## A. An Overview of Public Television and Its Programming

To better understand why public television is so unique, it is important to have a general appreciation of how the public television system is structured and of the ways in which public television programming is developed and acquired.

## 1. PBS Members

The vast majority of public television stations are members of PBS. ${ }^{1}$ These public television stations operate in communities throughout the United States and its territories. There is a tremendous diversity among these stations in terms of their ownership, their mission, and their programming content. Some are community licensees governed by a board of trustees from the community; others are state licensees owned by a state board of education or other state agency; others are owned and operated by universities or colleges; and still others are owned by cities, counties, or local school districts.

PBS offers a host of programming services on behalf of its members. It provides financial support for new programming, develops programming initiatives and strategies, and distributes programming to member stations via satellite from PBS's facilities in Virginia. PBS does not itself produce programs, but rather provides financial support to programming developed by independent producers and individual public television stations. PBS also engages in significant research and promotional activities to support public television programming.

While PBS cooperates with its member stations to better coordinate the carriage and scheduling of public television programs across the country, member stations remain entirely

[^40]autonomous in their programming decisions. Each station is free to define its own particular focus, to decide on its mix of programming, and to formulate a schedule for its programs. Many public television stations have created distinctive identities for themselves in local communities across the country, and as a result there is a wide variety of programming types and scheduling diversity on different public television stations.

## 2. PBS Programming Sources

The public television programming distributed by PBS to its members comes from a variety of sources. ${ }^{2}$ The National Programming Service (NPS) is the primary source of programming for member stations. In 2004 and 2005, it provided the full variety of public television programming, including informational, dramatic, performance, and children's programming, to the 348 PBS member stations.

In addition to the NPS, PBS also offers stations PBS Kids, PBS You, and the HD Channel, which are fully packaged, 24-hour channels of programming. Stations also have access to a wide variety of additional PBS programs through PBS Plus, PBS Fundraising Programming, and the Schedule X Suite, a set of fully-packaged, 24-hour channels for stations to broadcast or to feed to cable systems' headends.

Local public television stations can also acquire diverse and original programming from sources other than PBS. National and regional services such as American Public Television, Central Educational Network, and National Education Telecommunications

2 In this proceeding, PBS is representing its member stations plus all other copyright owners who have made claims for programming carried on public television stations during the years 2004 and 2005. These public television claimants are listed in PTV 04-05 Ex. 3.

Association make programming available to public television stations on an ongoing basis, entirely outside of PBS's distribution service.

Local public television stations also produce their own original programming for broadcast. These programs are on occasion distributed nationally by PBS, and added further variety, distinction and value to the cable operators that retransmitted public television signals in 2004 and 2005. Examples of programs acquired for national distribution in 2004 and 2005 include THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER and LIFE \& TIMES OF FRIDA KAHLO, both of which were produced here in Washington, D.C. by WETA. From WGBH, our flagship station in Boston, came well-known series such as NOVA, MYSTERY!, FRONTLINE, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW, and ZOOM. Another prolific producer of programs is Thirteen/WNET in New York. Each season it makes the arts available in living rooms throughout the nation with AMERICAN MASTERS and GREAT PERFORMANCES. Maryland Public Television produced WALL STREET WEEK WITH FORTUNE, and WPBT produced NIGHTLY BUSINESS REPORT. Our stations west of the Mississippi are also highly productive and added to the diversity of programming on PBS in 2004 and 2005. For example, Oregon Public Broadcasting produced HISTORY DETECTIVES; KCET in Los Angeles produced AMERICAN FAMILY; and KQED in San Francisco produced CHINA INSIDE.
B. Public Television Offers a Rich Diversity of Programming That Benefited Cable Operators That Chose To Retransmit Distant Public Television Signals in 2004 and 2005.

A hallmark of the programming available on public television is its diversity, particularly when compared to commercial television. Public television offers program options
that are simply not available in any comparable form on commercial television. ${ }^{3}$ Even in the face of increasing competition from specialty cable channels, public television remains the only service to offer the "best of the best" programming in many areas, with an unmatched consistency week after week and year after year.

In 2004 and 2005, PBS provided its member stations with access to over 3700 hours of original, first-run programming. ${ }^{4}$ These programs are created for public television by producers throughout the country, as well as through co-productions with international producers. This programming is explicitly formulated and structured to achieve diversity in terms of content, format and audience. (The programming charts at PTV 04-05 Ex. 8 illustrate the varied mix of programming that PBS offers.) This type of programming is, by its nature, unlikely to ever be found on commercial television because any one program may not maximize viewing audience and would not successfully compete for advertising dollars. As Al Jerome, president and CEO of Los Angeles public television station KCET-TV and former president of the NBC owned-and-operated stations, explained in 2004, "PBS is in a better position to provide programming that other broadcasters are not interested in, since public television does not face the same constraints for-profit media outlets must contend with." ${ }^{5}$
3. The Copyright Royalty Tribunal noted the diversity and unique attributes of public television programming: "public television programs occupy a unique place in the cable television marketplace by presenting diverse programming that is not available on commercial signals." 1982 Copyright Royalty Distribution Determination, 49 Fed. Reg. 37653, 37655 (1984).

4 For FY 2004, PBS provided its member stations with 1829 hours of original programming for all genres. In FY 2005, that number increased to 1932.
5 Christopher Lisotta, "PBS Sets Diversity Curve: Broadcaster Touts Its Multicultural Slate, 'Maya \& Miguel' Debut," Television Week, Sept. 6, 2004.

A brief sampling of some of our highlights from 2004 are included in the DVD that I have provided at PTV 04-05 Ex. 2. PBS's complete national programming schedules for 2004 and 2005 are found at PTV 04-05 Ex. 8.

## 1. PBS Children's Programs

One of the unique benefits provided by public television is in the field of children's programming. A cable operator that retransmits a distant public television signal is unquestionably able to appeal particularly to the many cable households that have young children. Based on my long experience in the industry, I know that public television enjoys a particularly avid viewership among young children. Likewise, I know that the parents of those children place especially heavy value on the educational children's programming of public television and that parents appreciate that public television programming is free of commercial advertisements. For example, PBS KIDS is ranked first in children's programming by parents of children aged 2 through $11 .{ }^{6}$ This appeal directly benefits cable operators by allowing them to attract and retain parents as subscribers. A cable operator without a public television station on its system would be at a significant disadvantage in attracting parents with small children.

PBS offers a diverse mix of children's programs especially designed for discrete age groups. One roster of programs is oriented toward preschoolers (ages 2 to 5), and another is directed toward elementary school children (ages 6 to 12). The children's programs offered by PBS have been designed as educational programs with specific learning objectives in mind. ${ }^{7}$

[^41]Educational consultants and child development specialists play important roles in the development of these programs.

In 2004 and 2005, we worked hard to maintain and advance PBS's position as the number one destination for children's programming - programming that kids want to watch and that parents trust. Despite being "[i]n an era when children have unprecedented choices of what to watch - via broadcast and cable television, videos, computer games, even DVDs in their family cars - 'Sesame Street' is still the gold standard of kids' educational programming." ${ }^{8}$ In addition to SESAME STREET, programs airing in 2004 and 2005, such as ARTHUR, BARNEY \& FRIENDS, DRAGON TALES, CLIFFORD THE BIG RED DOG, TELETUBBIES, ZOOM (a variety program by and for school-age children), MISTER ROGERS NEIGHBORHOOD, CAILLOU, and READING RAINBOW, helped preschoolers prepare for school and formal learning by providing a greater awareness of letters, numbers, and an introduction to social skills and ethics in group play settings. In 2004, PBS also launched the PBS Kids GO! Block, with new shows POSTCARDS FROM BUSTER, IT'S A BIG BIG WORLD, and CURIOUS GEORGE quickly becoming popular additions to the line-up.

MAYA \& MIGUEL, which targets kids ages 6 to 11, was also a new edition to the programming line-up. The program, which highlights the adventures of a set of Hispanic twins and their bilingual parrot, not only includes English and Spanish dialogue, but also helps children learn that America is a nation of many cultures and languages: in the program, the twins' mother is Mexican, their father is Puerto Rican, and Maya's best friend is part of a firstgeneration Chinese-American family.

[^42]
## 2. PBS Programming in a Variety of Subject Areas

Beyond children's programs, PBS offers an extremely wide variety of programming for general audiences of all ages. Many of these programs are also uniquely designed as telecourses and are created by teams of talented producers working closely with experts.

History. In 2004 and 2005, we proactively developed and introduced a new history series, HISTORY DETECTIVES. American history also was presented through, among other programs, BLACK PRESS: SOLDIERS WITHOUT SWORDS and our signature series THE AMERICAN EXPERIENCE. World cultures and world history were shown through programs like CHINA INSIDE, ELUSIVE PEACE, MARIE ANTOINETTE, and WALKING THE BIBLE.

In a three-part series produced exclusively for PBS, GUNS, GERMS, AND STEEL explores the theories of Pulitzer Prize-winning scientist Jared Diamond. The series, which aired in 2005 , includes historical re-enactments and traces the rise and fall of societies around the world. Some of the world's leading historians, archaeologists, biologists, and anthropologists share their perspectives on how the power of guns, germs, and steel shaped the progress of human civilization.

Science, Medicine, and Technology. The latest developments in science, medicine and technology were addressed in ways that the public can understand and to which they can relate. For example, these topics were explored in-depth on series such as NOVA and SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN FRONTIERS. Natural history was covered in the PBS series NATURE, as well as limited series like NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SPECIALS. The documentary THE FORGETTING: A PORTRAIT OF ALZHEIMER'S portrayed several families struggling with Alzheimer's and provided critical information on the effects of the
disease. It also explained the research being done to slow the progression of this devastating disease. Although the number of Alzheimer's cases around the U.S. has skyrocketed to 5 million, this powerful documentary, and other programming like it, could be found only on public television.

The Arts. Classics of world literature were brought to the screen through MASTERPIECE THEATRE. The performing arts were featured on GREAT PERFORMANCES, which included ballet, drama, modern dance, musical theater, opera and orchestral performances. Other live or taped special events on public television in 2004 and 2005 included OPERATUNITY, A CAPITOL FOURTH, and THE NATIONAL MEMORIAL DAY CONCERT. In addition, ART IN THE $21^{\text {ST }}$ CENTURY provided viewers in even the most remote rural areas access to many of America's most celebrated pieces of artwork, including works from Georgia O'Keefe, Jackson Pollock, and Andy Warhol.

Critics have recognized the void that public television fills when it comes to programming on the arts. In 2005, Tim Page of The Washington Post wrote, "Over the past few decades, classical music has become a rarer and rarer commodity on network television. . . . Which is why a four-part television series that will be presented by WETA, Channel 26, this weekend and next deserves your attention. . . They manage to convey some important information about an increasingly neglected art." ${ }^{\prime 9}$ In 2004 and 2005, public television continued to offer access to some of the top musical performances through LIVE FROM LINCOLN CENTER, which included performances like the "New York Philharmonic Opening Night," and GREAT PERFORMANCES, which included "From Shtetl to Swing."

9 Tim Page, "PBS's Classical 'Conversations' Is a Concert in Words," The Washington Post, June 18, 2005.

News and Public Affairs. PBS presented a large number of award-winning programs offering news analysis and covering public affairs. For example, in 2004 and 2005, FRONTLINE earned two Alfred I. duPont-Columbia University Awards, a Peabody Award, and two Emmy Awards. THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER received a Peabody Award and an Emmy Award during this period.

Along with FRONTLINE and THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER, WASHINGTON WEEK, WALL STREET WEEK WITH FORTUNE, and NIGHTLY BUSINESS REPORT were among the important programs in this category during 2004 and 2005. We also introduced two new public affairs series: FLASHPOINTS USA and TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED.

PBS continued to be the most trusted source of news and public affairs programming, with four in ten people saying they trust PBS "a great deal" in this regard. ${ }^{10}$ In 2004, THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER also was voted the most "objective" and "credible" weekday news program among opinion leaders. ${ }^{11}$

We once again offered viewers in-depth and comprehensive Presidential election coverage in 2004. PBS was the only entity among the major over-the-air broadcasters to offer full live coverage of the events from the podium of the Democratic and Republican National Conventions. With an average of 2.9 million viewers a night, PBS attracted more viewers than the competing cable news outlets. ${ }^{12}$
10. Roper Public Affairs \& Media, Roper Public Opinion Poll on PBS: 2005 Update, February 2005, at http://www.pbs.org/roperpoll2005/roper2005_files/frame.html.
11 Erdos \& Morgan, Opinion Leaders Study, April-June 2004 (1,692 opinion leaders).
12 Elizabeth Jensen, "PBS Carries Convention Momentum into N.Y.," Los Angeles Times, Aug. 30, 2004.

## 3. PBS Programs Featuring Various Regions and Ethnic Groups

Public television programming also has significant ethnic and regional diversity. In 2004 and 2005, we focused on bringing to the schedule a greater number of programs that reflect the diversity of our nation. For example, PBS brought the Tony Hillerman Native American novels to life as part of the MYSTERY! series. PBS also brought the first and only Latino American drama series, AMERICAN FAMILY, to national broadcast. During this time, PBS presented one-of-a-kind programming such as: BLACK PRESS: SOLDIERS WITHOUT SWORDS; the LIFE \& TIMES OF FRIDA KAHLO, which frames the extraordinary life of twentieth century Mexican artist Frida Kahlo in relation to the full spectrum of the historical and cultural influences that shaped her work; SEARCHING FOR ASIAN AMERICA, a special featuring three, intimate profiles exemplifying the lives of Asian Americans in the U.S.; and VISIONES, a series examining the nation's diverse Latino communities and how they have been able to keep their artistic expressions alive.

Public television also presented a range of programming about many different regions throughout the country. For example, PBS offered CALIFORNIA BEYOND THE DREAM and NIAGARA FALLS in 2004.

## 4. Programming Services for Deaf and Visually-Impaired Viewers

Public broadcasting has been a leader in ensuring that all Americans have access to quality television programming. Public broadcasting pioneered access to television for disabled audiences through its introduction of captioning for deaf and hard-of-hearing viewers over thirty years ago. In 1990, PBS extended access to television to blind and visually impaired individuals with the introduction of Descriptive Video Service. Public television stations strengthened its commitment to improving access to programming in 2004 and 2005. For example, in 2005, ninety-six stations produced local content using the Secondary Audio Program
(SAP) channel for the hearing impaired, and, in collaboration with Stevie Wonder, public television stations offered the first music video accessible via descriptive narration.

## 5. Independently Produced Programming on Public Television Stations

Public television acquires a considerable amount of valuable programming from unaffiliated independent producers, distinguishing it from commercial broadcast television and cable networks. These producers contribute hundreds of hours each year of original, highquality programming that are not available anywhere on commercial broadcast television or cable networks. In 2004 and 2005, more than three-quarters of PBS's programming involved independent producers. To provide just a few examples, KEN BURNS' AMERICAN LIVES by Florentine Films, BLACK PRESS: SOLDIERS WITHOUT SWORDS by Stanley Nelson, INDEPENDENT LENS by Independent TV Service, and P.O.V. by American Documentary, Inc., each offered unique perspectives on important public issues.

## 6. Local Programming on Public Television Stations

In contrast to commercial broadcasting, which is centralized, the public television system remains decentralized. Every public broadcasting station is under local control or ownership. Public television stations are increasingly the only locally owned and operated media outlet in their communities. Unlike any other programming service, public television stations provide programming that is responsive to the needs of their communities, relying on input from local governing boards, community advisors, volunteers, and partnerships with local organizations.

One way in which stations serve their local communities is by producing and airing programming solely for their own use or for limited regional distribution. For example, in 2004 and 2005:

- KUAT-TV in Tucson, Arizona, produced REFLEXIONES DOMINGO, a weekly Spanish language current affairs program.
- Working with a local mosque to coordinate an educational effort to combat growing discrimination against Muslims, KBDI-TV in Denver, Colorado, produced a special call-in program dedicated to increasing understanding of Denver's Muslim community.
- KLCS-TV in Los Angeles, California, produced ADELANTE! WITH JOSE HUIZAR, an education information program produced in English and Spanish.
- WCSY-TV in Syracuse, New York, created the series MY SO-CALLED DISABILITY, which addresses the concerns and needs of individuals with physical and mental disabilities.
- WEDU-TV in Tampa, Florida, hosted SAVING FLORIDA'S KIDS, a roundtable discussion highlighting the needs of children in foster care and the importance of adult involvement in the state's foster-to-adopt program.

One would be hard pressed to find similar programming on the commercial broadcast or cable networks.

Locally produced programming provides cable operators retransmitting distant signals with yet another benefit: an additional public television station that contains locallyproduced programming of regional interest that is not necessarily available on the local public television station.

## 7. PBS Educational Initiatives

As a reflection of the obvious contrast between public television and commercial programming, several PBS programs include special educational components that allow viewers to register at local colleges and obtain college credit upon the completion of pertinent
requirements. In 2004 and 2005, a vast array of public television programs were broadcast in conjunction with these college-credit programs at colleges nationwide.

In addition, more than 105 million households had access to the GED
CONNECTION series in 2005, which was broadcast by 243 public television stations, and more than 70 million households had access to WORKPLACE ESSENTIAL SKILLS, which was carried by 176 public television stations nationwide.

The Ready to Learn service is one of public broadcasting's most ambitious efforts at combining television, online services, caregiver workshops, teacher/parent training, and media literacy information to help children become and stay ready to learn. The Ready to Learn service was launched in 1994 and is supported by funding from CPB, the U.S. Department of Education, and PBS. The Ready to Learn service helps prepare children ages zero to eight for success in school through educational television programming and other services, and it is targeted to the parents and teachers of children most at risk of not entering school ready for success, such as children in families that have a low income, have limited literacy, do not use English as their primary language, have young children with disabilities, or live in rural areas.

## C. The Unique Mix of Quality Programming Available on Distant Public Television Signals Benefits Cable Operators.

In 2004 and 2005, specialty cable channels, with names like "Discovery Channel," "Arts \& Entertainment," "The Learning Channel," "Animal Planet," and "The History Channel," attempted to compete in a number of the programming niches traditionally occupied by public television by offering facially similar programming. Public television, however, is unique among these look-alike channels in that each public television station offers a mix of the best of each programming genre represented by the look-alikes.

The specialty cable channels have to fill around-the-clock schedules with programming related only to their niches, such as science, culture, education, nature, or history. This inevitably leads to inclusion of programming that is not always the most recent or of the highest quality. Public television, on the other hand, offers programming in a multitude of genres, allowing it to select the "best of the best" programming in each area. Public television is known not only for its science programming or cultural programming or educational programming or nature programming or history programming. It is known for providing an immense variety of the highest-quality programming from these genres and more. Because two of the attributes of programming that cable subscribers value most are high-quality programming and a wide variety of programming, public television stations provide significant value to cable operators, who can offer with just one distant public television channel the equivalent of perhaps a dozen specialty channels.

Viewers recognize the difference in quality between public television and the cable knock-offs. In a 2004 study conducted by City Square Associates and commissioned by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, one viewer remarked, "When I think Discovery Channel, I think high school, when I think of [PBS's] NOVA, I think of college."13 Another viewer indicated that the specialty cable channels lack real substance, stating 'Sometimes when I'm watching the History Channel, it's just a lot of pictures going by." ${ }^{14}$

Moreover, viewers consistently rank PBS as much more valuable than commercial television and cable television. In 2004, close to six in ten rated PBS "very

13 Corporation for Public Broadcasting, Ten Viewer-Based Principles to Guide the Development of a Primetime Programming Strategy for Public Television, at 4 (Sept. 2004). This report is attached as PTV 04-05 Ex. 6.
14
Id. at 9 .
important" (59 percent), versus four in ten or fewer who feel this way about commercial (40 percent) or cable (36 percent) television. ${ }^{15}$ PBS also garnered much higher ratings of satisfaction than either commercial or cable television. About one third say they are "very" satisfied with PBS ( 34 percent in 2004 and 38 percent in 2005), versus one quarter ( 24 percent in 2004 and 21 percent in 2005) who feel this way about cable television and just 16 percent for commercial television. ${ }^{16}$ PBS is the most trusted source of news and public affairs programming among television programmers. In 2005, PBS was one of the few programmers that gained trust with viewers in this category from 2004 to 2005 ( 40 to 41 percent). All of the other news outlets except NPR were down, and three of the cable networks were down significantly: CNN ( 33 to 28 percent), MSNBC ( 21 to 17 percent), and CBS ( 20 to 16 percent).

The diverse and high-quality programming on public television, and thus the attractiveness of public television to cable subscribers, is not lost on cable operators. They realize that carrying at least one public television service is imperative. They also realize that the programming diversity offered by additional public television services is a strong incentive to add additional distant public television signals.

## D. The Scheduling Variety Made Possible by Distant Public Television Signals Benefited Cable Operators in 2004 and 2005.

Distant retransmission of public television signals leads to diversity of another kind that directly benefits cable operators. Unlike the programming provided by the commercial networks to their affiliates, PBS member stations do not broadcast the diverse array of quality

15 Roper Public Affairs \& Media, Roper Public Opinion Poll on PBS, February 2004, at http://www.pbs.org/roperpoll2004/pbs_roper.html.
16 Id.; Roper Public Affairs \& Media, Roper Public Opinion Poll on PBS: 2005 Update, February 2005, at http://www.pbs.org/roperpoll2005/roper2005_files/frame.html.
programming available from PBS on the same schedule. Rather, stations have the autonomy to air programming according to their best judgment.

Consequently, when a distant public television signal is retransmitted into a market with a local public television signal, one of the stations will typically adjust its programming schedule (to the extent the schedules are duplicative) in order to avoid or minimize schedule and program duplication. For example, one station usually will shift the timing of the national programming it carries and/or choose to air programming that is not available on the other signal. Sometimes a local station will differentiate its programming in response to the retransmission of a distant signal; in other cases, a smaller station will differentiate its programming in order to make it more attractive for carriage as a distant signal by a cable system in a nearby large market.

One example of intentional schedule differentiation is found in Phenix City, Alabama. Phenix City is near the Georgia-Alabama state border, and in 2005, both the local station WJSP and distant station WAIQ were carried by the cable system serving the Phenix City area. Each station aired locally-produced programming to set itself apart from the other station. For example, based on programming aired in a single week in January 2005, WAIQ produced ALABAMA EXPERIENCE (a history series), DISCOVERING ALABAMA (a documentary on the natural history and heritage of Alabama), and THE RURAL STUDIO (a program profiling the Rural Studio of Auburn University, where architecture students design and build one-of-akind homes and community spaces for one of the nation's poorest regions). WJSP produced GEORGIA OUTDOORS (a series airing four times a week for outdoor enthusiasts), GEORGIA WEEK IN REVIEW (a weekly public affairs series), and LAWMAKERS 2005 (a program that airs every night during the legislative session). In addition, while WAIQ produced ALABAMA

AT WORK, a documentary series profiling Alabama businesses, WJSP produced GEORGIA BUSINESS REPORT, a weekly series covering business and financial news. Additional examples of how public television stations carried by cable operators differentiated their programming in 2005 are provided in PTV 04-05 Ex. 5.

When television viewers realize that a cable system carries multiple public television services that provide schedule diversity, they perceive the cable system as providing a more attractive service. A cable operator who chooses to import one or more distant signals in addition to carrying a local public television station is able to offer its subscribers access to a broader array of public television programming and more scheduling choices (because the same program may be available on different stations at different times of the day). The schedule diversity provided by the retransmission of distant public television signals thus allows cable systems to attract and retain subscribers.

## E. Public Television Programming's Widespread Critical Acclaim Substantially Benefited Cable Subscribers and Operators in 2004 and 2005.

Another measure of the benefit of distant retransmission of public television is the widespread critical acclaim that our programming consistently receives. ${ }^{17}$ The television industry long has recognized the uniquely high quality of public television programming. And television critics consistently recommend public television programs as the ones worth watching.

For its 2004 and 2005 seasons, for example, PBS programs won numerous awards and accolades. The Peabody and duPont-Columbia awards are considered the highest honors in

17 Included at PTV $04-05$ Ex. 4 is a listing of awards that public television programming received for the years 2004 and 2005. PTV 04-05 Ex. 7 includes illustrative newspaper articles and critical commentary touting public television programming.
the television profession. The Peabody award recognizes overall excellence in television, ${ }^{18}$ and the duPont-Columbia award, which is affiliated with the Columbia University School of Journalism, recognizes particular achievement in broadcast journalism. ${ }^{19}$ For its 2004 and 2005 seasons PBS programs won 6 duPont-Columbia University awards and 10 Peabody awards, more than all other broadcast or cable networks combined. PBS also won 50 Emmy awards, including 14 Emmy awards for news and documentaries, 2 Emmy awards for business and financial reporting, 17 daytime Emmy awards, and 17 primetime Emmys. In children's programming, PBS consistently tops all other broadcast and cable networks combined in terms of its Emmy awards. In addition, in 2005 PBS earned 2 IDA Distinguished Documentary Achievement Awards for P.O.V. "Street Fight" and AMERICA'S LOST LANDSCAPE: THE TALLGRASS PRAIRIE. In that same year, PBS also won 2 NAACP Image Awards and was nominated for 10 Writers' Guild of America Awards. See PTV 04-05 Ex. 4 (illustrative list of programming awards to public television).

Recommendations given by television critics reinforce the same point. Included at PTV 04-05 Ex. 7 is a compilation of just a few of the many enthusiastic reviews given to the watershed events in public television programming in 2004 and 2005. In addition, in 2004 and 2005, public television garnered over 150,700 column inches of editorial coverage in a selection of national publications. If paid advertising, this coverage would have been worth over $\$ 73$ million. Clearly, public television is widely recognized as offering innovative, superior programming that is simply different from the offerings of commercial television.

18 See The University of Georgia, The Peabody Awards, available at http://www.peabody.uga.edu.
19 See Columbia University Graduate School of Journalism, The Alfred I. duPont-Columbia University Awards, available at http://www.jrn.columbia.edu/events/dupont/.

When programming is so widely acclaimed, there are obvious and important benefits to cable operators who can offer that programming to their subscribers. A cable operator that could not offer an attractive mix of public television programming would be lacking the most widely acclaimed programming on television today. Similarly, subscribers surely would see major deficiencies in the programming mix of a cable operator that did not offer a full slate of the programs that television critics and the industry single out as being particularly noteworthy. The 2004 report commissioned by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting demonstrates that many of the attributes most sought by subscribers in programming are the attributes of PBS. These attributes include trustworthiness, high quality, intelligence, balance, uniqueness, engagement, and variety. See PTV 04-05 Ex. 6.

## F. PBS's Promotional Activities in 2004 and 2005 Provided Value to Cable Operators That Retransmitted Public Television Signals in 2004 and 2005.

Throughout 2004 and 2005, PBS undertook a number of significant new
promotional initiatives - unprecedented in their scope and focus - aimed directly at increasing the attractiveness and visibility of public television as a major alternative to commercial television. The result was that public television maintained its established role as a highly visible, attractive, and uniquely valuable source of alternative programming for cable operators that retransmitted distant public television signals.

For example, during 2004 and 2005 , PBS selected numerous high-profile programs to support through paid media, on-air promotion, publicity and special events. Just a few of the programs supported include: FRONTLINE, NOVA, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW, AMERICAN EXPERIENCE, FLASHPOINTS WITH BRYANT GUMBEL AND GWEN IFILL, AMERICAN MASTERS, AMERICAN FAMILY, P.O.V., WASHINGTON WEEK,

## JAPAN: MEMOIRS OF A SECRET EMPIRE, CHARLIE ROSE, VIDEO GAME

REVOLUTION, and BROADWAY: THE AMERICAN MUSICAL.
Promotional support included placing ads in TV Guide, national magazines (e.g., People, Time, Newsweek, New Yorker, Atlantic Monthly, Harpers, U.S. News \& World Report, and Oprah), the national newspaper USA Today, and banner ads on selected Internet sites.

During this same period, PBS developed and launched PBS SPROUT, a cable television channel for PBS children's programs and services. The launch included new animation packaging, heavy on-air promotion, an extensive paid media campaign and major publicity support. PBS's launch of the cable network heightened awareness of and demand for the high-quality children's programming that local public television stations offer.

These promotional developments bear directly on the benefits to cable operators from the retransmission of distant public television signals. PBS's strong visibility and presence in the television marketplace and its major programming initiatives in 2004 and 2005 clearly increased the value of public television programming as a distant signal for cable operators. Given our highly publicized track record of providing first-run programming of substantial nationwide interest to television viewers, cable operators were aware that their subscribers found public television programming of real interest. That subscriber demand made retransmitting a distant public television signal a true necessity for those operators that did not have access to a local public television signal, and also encouraged cable operators to add additional public television services through distant retransmission so as to offer programming and scheduling diversity.

## II. THE FUNDING AND EXPENSE OF PUBLIC TELEVISION DEMONSTRATE ITS VALUE TO CABLE OPERATORS.

## A. Voluntary Contributions by Public Television Viewers Show the Avidity of Their Interest in Public Television Programming.

The largest single source of funding for public television is the voluntary contributions made by public television viewers across the country. For instance, public television raises more money in voluntary contributions from subscribers than it receives in federal support from the Corporation for Public Broadcasting. In FY 2004, the public made voluntary contributions to public television that amounted to roughly $\$ 372$ million. Similarly, in FY 2005, PBS received approximately $\$ 373$ million in voluntary contributions.

The fact that public television viewers across the country are willing to make voluntary contributions in these amounts is powerful evidence of their avid interest in our programming. By its very nature, much of our programming is not likely to attract large nationwide viewing audiences. Instead, we attract a highly loyal viewership for different programming niches. Viewers' loyalty is manifest in the willingness of so many individuals to make contributions to something that they are, of course, entitled to watch for free. This loyalty also is important to cable operators who capitalize on it by retransmitting distant public television signals.

## B. Public Television Constantly Faces Funding Pressures.

Even though PBS is charged by law with serving the informational and educational needs of the American public, PBS and its member stations must nonetheless operate as a business enterprise. The availability of capital is directly related to which projects are and are not undertaken. Accordingly, we must try to predict and act in accordance with the marketplace in order to succeed.

The creators of public television programming - including local public television stations and independent producers such as Sesame Workshop - produce programs primarily by attracting financial backing from PBS as well as foundations and corporations. There are many more requests for funding than can be met by PBS's limited resources.

Funding pressures are a constant concern, even for successful, well-established programs, which are under constant pressure to reduce their budgets without sacrificing the quality necessary to compete in an increasingly competitive marketplace. Public television stations, too, face constant pressure to increase revenues from viewers and local benefactors and to develop new approaches for serving the needs of their communities. Local stations often must fund their own initial program development and production costs.

PBS has the unenviable role of trying to accommodate its member stations, which want better, more extensive programming at lower cost, and at the same time, to meet the needs of program producers, including those same local stations, who are full of new ideas but constrained by funds - and who in any event have continually increasing demands for funds simply to support established programs. Producers of public television programming constantly labor under the cloud of an uncertain financial future. Nearly all spend as much time seeking to raise funds as actually practicing their art. The fact of life at PBS is that the availability of funds, such as the royalty awards at issue in this case, makes a difference as to what can and cannot be produced, both by independent producers and local stations. When cable operators reap the benefits of public television's quality programming by retransmitting distant public television signals, public television should receive its fair share of the royalties paid for those retransmissions.

## C. Public Television Programming Is Expensive.

As noted above, PBS offers its member stations nearly 2,000 hours of original, first-run programming each year. This is an extremely expensive proposition. The large volume of documentary and public interest programming on public television each year is particularly expensive. Documentary production can cost up to $\$ 1$ million an hour, depending on production timelines, the amount of location shooting, and where the location shooting takes place. These types of programming can require exhaustive, expensive research and background work and may need years of time and investment to bear fruit. PBS is in fact unique in the amount of money it spends on program research — research that commercial television will not support on any sort of regular basis.

We also have to search constantly for funding to support new programming of even the most successful ongoing programs on public television, including such programs as THE NEWSHOUR WITH JIM LEHRER, FRONTLINE and GREAT PERFORMANCES. Without being able to rely on advertising dollars, public television by its very nature has no programming that is free from funding pressures because it is a "commercial success."

Again, when cable operators reap the benefits of providing quality public television programming that is necessarily expensive to produce, public television's share of the cable royalty fund should reflect the value inherent in the retransmitted programming.

## DECLARATION

I, John F. Wilson, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed this 29th day of
 May 2009.
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## PBS: An Overview

## PBS in Brief

- A private, nonprofit corporation founded in 1996 whose members are America's public TV stations.
- Provides quality TV programming and related services to 348 noncommercial stations serving all 50 states, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa.
- PBS oversees program acquisition, distribution and promotion; education services; new media ventures; fundraising support; engineering and technology development; and video marketing.


## PBS Member Stations

- 169 noncommercial, educational licensees operate 349 PBS member stations
- Of the 169 licensees, 86 are community organizations, 57 are colleges/universities, 20 are state authorities and 6 are local educational or municipal authorities.


## The Public Television Audience

- The public TV audience reflects the social and economic makeup of the nation.
- PBS and its member stations reach nearly 90 million people each week through on-air and online content.


## PBS Education Services

- PBS Ready To Learn helps to increase school readiness for all of America's children with an unrivaled line-up of educational and entertaining children's programming each weekday, coupled with short educational video spots. The value and impact of these programs are enhanced through outreach services provided by more than 140 local PBS stations to their communities, including workshops, free children's books, a magazine and other learning resources to help parents, teachers and child-care providers prepare young children to enter school ready to learn. Developed in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Education, PBS Ready To Learn has helped nearly one million parents and teachers prepare eight million children for success in school.
- PBS TeacherSource helps preK-12 educators learn effective ways to incorporate online tools in the classroom through nearly 5,000 free lesson plans, teachers' guides, homeschooling guidance and other resourceful activities - all correlated to national and state curriculum standards.
- Funded by the U.S. Department of Education, PBS TeacherLine provides high-quality professional teacher development through more than 90 online facilitated courses in reading, mathematics, science, curriculum \& instruction and technology integration.
- The PBS Adult Learning Service, a partnership involving local PBS stations and colleges, provides college credit TV courses to nearly 500,000 students each academic year.


## PBS Programming Activities

- The National Programming Service is the major package of programs that PBS distributes to its member stations. It features television's best children's, cultural, educational, history, nature, news, public affairs, science and skills programming.
- Programs are obtained from PBS stations, independent producers and sources around the world. PBS does not produce programs.


## PBS Digital Leadership

- PBS.org is not only one of the most visited dot-org Web site in the world, it is also the home of comprehensive companion Web sites for more than 1,000 PBS television programs and specials, as well as original Web content and real-time learning adventures. With hundreds of thousands of pages of content to explore, visitors to award-winning pbs.org can delve further into the subjects they most enjoy - from news to history and the arts to science and technology.
- PBS member stations are digital television leaders, from groundbreaking work in interactive TV and a monthly schedule of original high-definition programming (on the PBS HD Channel) to the PBS KIDS Channel and PBS YOU multicast services.


## Public TV Funding

- Public TV's total national, regional and local revenue in FY02 totaled more than $\$ 1.6$ billion, according to the Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB). Leading sources of revenue: members ( $22.7 \%$ ); state governments ( $18.2 \%$ ); CPB and federal grants/contracts ( $18 \%$ ); businesses ( $15.8 \%$ ); state colleges and universities ( $6.5 \%$ ); and foundations ( $6.7 \%$ ).
- More than 4 million individuals and families contributed $\$ 361$ million to public TV in FY02.


## PBS Budget

- PBS' operating revenue in fiscal year 2004 was $\$ 333$ million dollars. Leading sources of revenue included: station assessments (47\%); CPB and federal grants ( $24 \%$ ); royalties, license fees, satellite services and investment income ( $14 \%$ ) and educational product sales (12\%).
- Leading expenditures for PBS in fiscal year 2004 included: programming and promotion ( $72 \%$ ); member and educational services ( $15 \%$ ); satellite interconnection and technical services ( $9 \%$ ); general and administrative (4\%).


## PBS: AN OVERVIEW

## PBS in Brief

- A private, nonprofit corporation founded in 1969 whose members are America's public TV stations.
- Provides quality TV programming and related services to 348 noncommercial stations serving all 50 states, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa.
- PBS oversees program acquisition, distribution and promotion; education services; new media ventures; fundraising support; engineering and technology development; and video marketing.


## PBS Member Stations

- 169 noncommercial, educational licensees operate 348 PBS member stations
- Of the 169 licensees, 86 are community organizations, 57 are colleges/universities, 20 are state authorities and 6 are local educational or municipal authorities.


## The Public Television Audience

- The public TV audience reflects the social and economic makeup of the nation.
- PBS and its member stations reach nearly 90 million people each week through on-air and online content.


## PBS Education Services

- PBS was chosen the number one television and video resource for classroom programming in the country for the third year in a row in 2005, according to a survey conducted by Grunwald Associates. PBS VIDEO extends the reach of PBS programming by distributing video products to educational institutions and libraries throughout the United States and Canada. PBS VIDEO has been a trusted provider of classroom resources for more than 25 years
- Developed in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Education, PBS Ready To Learn (www.pbs.org/readytolearn) has helped nearly one million parents and teachers prepare eight million children for success in school through local training seminars, free children's books and PBS KIDS and PBS KIDS GO! TV programming, coupled with educational video spots and accompanying Web sites.
- PBS TeacherSource (www.pbs.org/teachersource) helps preK-12 educators learn effective ways to incorporate online tools in the classroom through nearly 4,00o free lesson plans, teachers' guides, homeschooling guidance and other resourceful activities - all correlated to national and state curriculum standards.
- Funded by the U.S. Department of Education, PBS TeacherLine (www.pbs.org/teacherline) provides highquality professional teacher development through more than 90 online facilitated courses in reading, mathematics, science, curriculum \& instruction and technology integration.


## PBS Programming Activities

- The National Programming Service is the major package of programs that PBS distributes to its member stations. It features television's best children's, cultural, educational, history, nature, news, public affairs, science and skills programming.
- Programs are obtained from PBS stations, independent producers and sources around the world. PBS does not produce programs.


## PBS.org

- PBS.org - one of the most trafficked dot-org Web sites in the world* - is the home of comprehensive companion Web sites for more than $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ PBS television programs and specials, as well as original Web-only content and real-time learning adventures.
- With more than 175,000 pages of content to explore, visitors to pbs.org can delve further into the subjects they most enjoy - from news to history and the arts to science and technology. So far in 2005, pbs.org has averaged more than 35 million unique visits and 394 million page views per month. In 2004, pbs.org had more than 4.2 billion pageviews.**
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## DVD

## PBS Programming Highlights for the 2004 Season
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## Public Television Claimants - 2004 Cable Royalty Funds

## AGICOA

Alabama Educational Television Commission
Alamo Public Telecommunications Council
Alaska Public Television Inc.
All Global Media
Alvin H. Perlmutter Inc.
Amarillo Junior College District
American Documentary Inc.
American Lives II Film Project LLC
Apostrophe S Productions
Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University
Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona
Arkansas Educational Television Commission
Atlanta Board of Education
Audio-Visual Copyright Society trading as Screenrights
Award Productions Inc.
Ball State University
Barnstormer Productions (Jefferey Lehmann d/b/a)
Barry Telecommunications Inc.
Bates Technical College
BBC Worldwide Americas, Inc.
Berkow \& Berkow Curriculum Development
Big Comfy Corp.
Big Productions
Black Hawk College
Blue Ridge Public Television Inc.
Board of Control, Grand Valley State University
Board of Control, Northern Michigan University
Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities
Board of Regents of Florida, Acting for and on behalf of Florida State University
Board of Regents of Missouri State University
Board of Regents of the University of Michigan
Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System
Board of Regents, New Mexico State University
Board of Regents, State of Florida
Board of Trustees of Michigan State University
Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Board of Trustees, Community College District \#508
Board of Trustees, Pensacola Junior College
Board of Trustees, The California State University for San Diego State University
Body Electric Corp. of America
Bowling Green State University
Brazos Valley Public Broadcasting Foundation
Brevard Community College
Brigham Young University
Broadway Film Project Inc.
Buena Vista Television

Canadian Broadcasting Corp.
Capital Community Broadcasting Inc.
Capital of Texas Public Telecommunications Council
CBS Broadcasting
CCI Entertainment Ltd.
Central Michigan University
Central Texas College
Central Wyoming College
Channel 5 Public Broadcasting Inc.
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Public Broadcasting Authority
Clark County School District
Classic Media Inc.
Colorado Public Television Inc. (formerly Front Range Educational Media Corp.)
Commonwealth Public Broadcasting Corporation
Community Communications Inc.
Community Television Foundation of South Florida Inc.
Community Television of Southern California
Compact Collections Limited
Connecticut Public Broadcasting Inc.
Consortium for Mathematics and its applications
Cookie Jar Entertainment Inc.
Corporation for Educational Radio and Television
D.L. Taffner Ltd.

Dade County School Board
Dallas County Community College District
Davenport Films (Thomas Davenport d/b/a)
Daytona Beach Community College
Delta College
Detroit Educational Television Foundation
Devillier Donegan Enterprises LP (now Ronald
Devillier and other successors)
DIC Entertainment Corp.
Duluth-Superior Area Educational Television
East Tennessee Public Communications Corporation
Eastern New Mexico University
Educational Broadcasting Corporation
Educational Broadcasting Foundation Inc.
Educational Film Center
El Paso Public Television Foundation Inc.
Family Communications Inc.
Fifty Rubies Productions (Dakkan Abbe d/b/a)
Fintage Publishing and Collection B.V.
Florida Gulf Coast University
Florida West Coast Public Broadcasting Inc.
Fort Wayne Public Television
Foundation for Advancements in Science and Education
Fox Entertainment Group Inc.
FremantleMedia North America Inc.

Galan Inc.
Georgia Public Telecommunications Commission
Great Plains Ntl Instructional Television Library (succeeded by GPN LLC)
Greater Chattanooga Public Television Corporation Greater Cincinnati TV Educational Foundation
Greater Dayton Public Television Inc.
Greater New Orleans Educational Television Foundation
Greater Washington Educational Telecommunications Association Inc.
Guam Educational Telecommunications Corporation
Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications Association Inc.
Hawaii Public Television Foundation
Hearst Entertainment
Home Box Office Inc.
Hometime Video Publishing Inc.
Hortus, Ltd.
Howard University
Ideastream
IFTA Collections $f / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ AFMA Collections
Illinois Valley Public Telecommunications Corp.
Independent Television Service
Intelecom Intelligent Telecommunications
Intermediary Copyright Services (Edward S. Hammerman, Esq.)
International Telecommunication Services Inc. Iowa Public Broadcasting Board
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts
Kansas Public Telecommunications Services Inc.
KCTS Television
Kentucky Authority for Educational Television
KOCE-TV Foundation
KSMQ Public Service Media Inc. (formerly Southern Minnesota Quality Broadcasting Inc.)
KVIE Inc.
Lehigh Valley Public Telecommunications Corp.
Lives and Legacies Films, Inc.
Los Angeles Unified School District
Louisiana Educational Television Authority
Lutzker \& Lutzker LLP o/b/o Jim Scalem
Productions et al.
Macnei//Lehrer Productions
Maine Public Broadcasting Corporation
Marjorie Poore Productions Inc.
Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia Inc.
Mary Ann Esposito Inc.
Maryland Public Broadcasting Commission
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.
Metropolitan Indianapolis Public Broadcasting Inc.
Michiana Public Broadcasting Corporation
Michigan Magazine Co. Inc.
Mid-South Public Communications Foundation
Milwaukee Area Technical College District Board

Mississippi Authority for Educational Television
Mountain Lake Public Telecommunications Council Inc.
MPI Media Productions Intl Inc.
Nashville Public Television, Incorporated NBC Universal Inc.
Nebraska Educational Telecommunications Commission
Nelvana Limited
New Jersey Public Broadcasting Authority
New River Media (succeeded by Grace Creek Media)
NFL Films
NGHT Inc. d/b/a National Geographic Television and Film
North Texas Public Broadcasting Inc.
Northeastern Educational Television of Ohio Inc.
Northeastern Pennsylvania Educational Television Association Inc.
Northern California Educational Television Association Inc.
Northern California Public Broadcasting Inc. (formerly KQED Inc. + KTEH Foundation)
Northern Minnesota Public Television Inc.
Northwest Indiana Public Broadcasting Inc.
Office of Television Operations, Government of American Samoa
Ohio State University
Ohio University
Oklahoma Educational Television Authority
Oliver Productions Inc.
Ontario Educational Communications Authority
Oregon Public Broadcasting
Pacific Street Film Projects Inc.
Paramount Pictures, A Viacom Company
Partisan Pictures Inc.
Peace X Peace
Pennsylvania State University
Permian Basin Public Telecommunications Inc.
Persephone Productions Inc.
Porchlight Entertainment Inc.
Prairie Public Broadcasting Inc.
Public Affairs Television Inc.
Public Broadcasting Council of Central New York Inc.
Public Broadcasting Foundation of Northwest Ohio
Public Broadcasting of Northwest Pennsylvania Inc.
Public Broadcasting Service
Public Television 19 Inc.
Puerto Rico Public Broadcasting Corporation
Quartet International Inc.
Ragdoll Limited
Recording Industry Association of America
Redwood Empire Public TV Inc.

Regents of the University of New Mexico and Board of Education, Albuquerque Public Schools
RGV Educational Broadcasting Inc.
Rhode Island Public Telecommunications Authority
Rhombus Media Inc.
Rocky Mountain Public Broadcasting Network, Inc. (formerly Council for Public Television, Channel 6, Inc.)
Rural California Broadcasting Inc.
San Bernardino Community College District
San Mateo County Community College District
Sandra Carter Productions
Santa Fe Productions Inc.
Saybrook Productions Inc.
Scholastic Entertainment Inc.
Sesame Workshop
Shenandoah Valley ETV Corporation
Sistema Universitario Ana G. Mendez Inc.
Slim Goodbody Corporation
Smoky Hills Public Television Corp.
Sony Pictures Television Inc.
Sound Venture Productions Ottawa Limited
South Carolina Educational Television Commission
South Dakota Board of Directors for Educational Telecommunications
South Texas Public Broadcasting System
Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees
Southern Oregon Public Television Inc.
Spokane School District \#81
St. Lawrence Valley Educational Television Council Inc.
St. Louis Regional Educational and Public Television Commission
State Board of Education; (also, for KAID: The Board of Regents for the University of Idaho)
State Board of Regents (Montana State University/ University of Montana)
Texas A\&M University
Texas Tech University
TJL Productions (succeeded by TJL Ventures LLC)
Tony Brown Productions Inc.
Transworld International/International Management Group
Tri-State Public Teleplex Inc. (formerly Southwest Indiana Public Broadcasting Inc.)
Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York d/b/a Columbia University Seminars on Media and Society

Trustees of Indiana University
Twin Cities Public Television Inc.
University of Alaska
University of Central Missouri Board of Regents
University of Houston
University of Nebraska
University of New Hampshire/New Hampshire
Public Television
University of North Carolina
University of South Florida
University of Utah
Upper Cumberland Broadcast Council
Valley Public Television Inc.
Vermont ETV Inc. d/b/a Vermont Public Television
Vincennes University
Vine's Eye Productions Inc.
Virgin Istands Public Television System
Vulcan Productions Inc.
Washburn University of Topeka
Washington State University
Welk Group d/b/a Lawrence Welk Syndication
West Central Illinois Educational
Telecommunications Corporation
(CONVOCOM)
West Central Minnesota Educational TV Company
West Tennessee Public Television Council Inc.
West Virginia Educational Broadcasting Authority
Western Instructional Television Inc.
Western Kentucky University
Western New York Public Broadcasting Association
WGBH Educational Foundation
WHYY Inc.
Window to the World Communications, Inc.
Wisconsin Educational Communications Board
WITF Inc.
WJCT Inc.
WMHT Educational Telecommunications
Woodgrain Productions Inc.
Worldwide Subsidy Group LLC
Worldwide Subsidy Group LLC $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ Independent
Producers Group
WQED Multimedia
WSKG Public Telecommunications Council
WXXI Public Broadcasting Council
Yanni Inc.
Zipporah Films Inc. - Frederick Wiseman

# Public Television Claimants - 2005 Cable Royalty Funds 

Agency for Instructional Technology AGICOA
Alabama Educational Television Commission
Alamo Public Telecommunications Council
Alaska Public Television Inc.
All Global Media LLC
Alvin H. Perlmutter, Inc.
Amarillo Junior College District
American Documentary Inc.
American Lives II Film Project LLC
Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University
Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona
Arkansas Educucational Television Commission
Art 21, Inc.
Atlanta Board of Education
Audio-Visual Copyright Society trading as Screenrights
Ball State University
Barnstormer Productions (Jeffrey Lehmann d/b/a)
Barry Telecommunications Inc.
Bates Technical College
BBC Worldwide Americas, Inc.
Berkow and Berkow Curriculum Development
Big Comfy Corp.
Big Productions
Black Hawk College
Blue Ridge Public Television Inc.
Board of Control, Grand Valley State University
Board of Control, Northern Michigan University
Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities
Board of Regents of Florida, Acting for and on behalf of Florida State University
Board of Regents of Missouri State University
Board of Regents of the University of Michigan
Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System
Board of Regents, New Mexico State University
Board of Regents, State of Florida
Board of Trustees of Michigan State University
Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois
Board of Trustees, Community College District \#508
Board of Trustees, Pensacola Junior College
Board of Trustees, The California State University for San Diego State University
Body Electric Corporation of America
Bowling Green State University
Brazos Valley Public Broadcasting Foundation
Brevard Community College
Brigham Young University

Broadway Film Project, Inc.
Buena Vista Television/Touchstone Television
Canadian Broadcasting Corp.
Capital Community Broadcasting Inc.
Capital of Texas Public Telecommunications Council
Catticus Corporation \& Quest Productions
CBS Broadcasting, Inc.
Central Michigan University
Central Texas College
Central Wyoming College
Channel 5 Public Broadcasting Inc.
Character Studies Production Inc.
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Public Broadcasting Authority
Clark County School District
Colorado Public Television Inc. (formerly Front Range Educational Media Corp.)
Commonwealth Public Broadcasting Corporation
Community Communications Inc.
Community Television Foundation of South Florida Inc.
Community Television of Southern California
Compact Collections Limited
Connccticut Public Broadcasting Inc.
Consortium for Mathematics and Its Applications
Cookie Jar Entertainment Inc.
Dade County School Board
Dallas County Community College District
Davenport Films (Thomas Davenport d/b/a)
David Grubin Productions
Daytona Beach Community College
Delta College
Detroit Educational Television Foundation
Devillier Donegan Enterprises LP (now Ronald Devillier and other successors)
Duluth-Superior Area Educational Television
East Tennessee Public Communications Corporation
Eastern New Mexico University
Educational Broadcasting Corporation
Educational Broadcasting Foundation Inc.
El Paso Public Television Foundation Inc.
Family Communications Inc.
Fintage Publishing and Collection B.V.
Florida Gulf Coast University
Florida West Coast Public Broadcasting Inc.
Food For Thought Productions
Fort Wayne Public Television
Foundation for Advancements in Science and Education d/b/a FASE Productions

Fox Entertainment Group, Inc.
Frantic Films Corporation
Fred Friendly Seminars, Inc.
FremantleMedia North America, Inc.
Galan Incorporated
Gary Spetz/Gary Spetz Watercolors
Georgia Public Telecommunications Commission
GPN LLC division of Educate, Inc. (succeeded by GPN LLC division of Smarterville LLC)
Greater Chattanooga Public Television Corporation
Greater Cincinnati TV Educational Foundation
Greater Dayton Public Television Inc.
Greater New Orleans Educational Television Foundation
Greater Washington Educational Telecommunications Association Inc.
Guam Educational Telecommunications Corporation
Hampton Roads Educational Telecommunications Association Inc.
Hawaii Public Television Foundation
Hearst Entertainment
Home Box Office
Hometime Video Publishing, Inc.
Hortus, Ltd.
Howard University
Ideastream
IFTA Collections ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ AFMA Collections)
Illinois Valley Public Telecommunications Corp.
Independent Television Service
Intelecom
Intermediary Copyright Royalty Services
International Telecommunication Services, Inc.
Iowa Public Broadcasting Board
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts
Kansas Public Telecommunications Services Inc.
KCTS Television
Kentucky Authority for Educational Television
KOCE-TV Foundation
KSMQ Public Service Media Inc. (formerly Southern Minnesota Quality Broadcasting Inc.)
KVIE Inc.
Lehigh Valley Public Telecommunications Corp.
Lives and Legacies Films, Inc.
Los Angeles County Arts Commission
Los Angeles Unified School District
Louisiana Educational Television Authority
Lutzker \& Lutzker LLP o/b/o Jim Scalem Productions; Mirabel Scalem Productions; The Liberace Foundation for the Performing and Creative Arts
Lyons Partnership, L.P.
MacNeil/Lehrer Productions

Maine Public Broadcasting Corporation
Marjorie Poore Productions, Inc.
Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc.
Maryland Public Broadcasting Commission
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc.
Metropolitan Indianapolis Public Broadcasting Inc.
Michiana Public Broadcasting Corporation
Michigan Magazine Company
Mid-South Public Communications Foundation
Milwaukee Area Technical College District Board
Mississippi Authority for Educational Television
Mountain Lake Public Telecommunications Council Inc.
MPI Media Productions International Inc.
Nashville Public Television, Inc.
NBC Universal Inc.
Nebraska Educational Telecommunications Commission
Nelvana Limited
New Jersey Public Broadcasting Authority
New River Media, Inc. (succeeded by Grace Creek Media Inc.)
NFL Films
NGHT, Inc. d/b/a National Geographic Television and Film
North Texas Public Broadcasting Inc.
Northeastern Educational Television of Ohio Inc.
Northeastern Pennsylvania Educational Television Association Inc.
Northern California Educational Television Association Inc.
Northern California Public Broadcasting Inc. (formerly KQED Inc. + KTEH Foundation)
Northern Minnesota Public Television Inc.
Northwest Indiana Public Broadcasting Inc.
Office of Television Operations, Government of American Samoa
Ohio State University
Ohio University
Oklahoma Educational Television Authority
Oliver Productions Inc.
Ontario Educational Communications Authority (known as TVOntario)
Oregon Public Broadcasting
Paramount Pictures, A Viacom Company
Pennsylvania State University
Permian Basin Public Telecommunications Inc.
Persephone Productions Inc.
PorchLight Entertainment, Inc.
Prairie Public Broadcasting Inc.
Public Affairs Television, Inc.
Public Broadcasting Council of Central New York Inc.

Public Broadcasting Foundation of Northwest Ohio
Public Broadcasting of Northwest Pennsylvania Inc.
Public Broadcasting Service
Public Television 19 Inc.
Puerto Rico Public Broadcasting Corporation
Quartet International, Inc.
Redwood Empire Public TV Inc.
Regents of the University of New Mexico and Board of Education, Albuquerque Public Schools
RGV Educational Broadcasting Inc.
Rhode Island Public Telecommunications Authority
Rocky Mountain Public Broadcasting Network, Inc. (formerly Council for Public Television, Channel 6, Inc.)
Rural California Broadcasting Inc.
San Bernardino Community College District
San Mateo County Community College District
Santa Fe Productions
Scholastic Entertainment Inc.
Sesame Workshop
Shenandoah Valley ETV Corporation
Sistema Universitario Ana G. Mendez Inc.
Slim Goodbody Corporation
Smoky Hills Public Television Corp.
Sony Pictures Television Inc.
Sound Venture Productions Ottawa Limited
South Carolina Educational Television Commission
South Dakota Board of Directors for Educational Télecommunications
South Texas Public Broadcasting System
Southern Illinois University Board of Trustees
Southern Oregon Public Television Inc.
Spokane School District \#81
St. Lawrence Valley Educational Television Council Inc.
St. Louis Regional Educational and Public Television Commission
State Board of Education; (also, for KAID: The Board of Regents for the University of Idaho)
State Board of Regents (Montana State University/ University of Montana)
Texas A\&M University
Texas Tech University
This Old House Ventures, Inc.
TJL Ventures LLC
Transworld International/International Management Group
Tri-State Public Teleplex Inc. (formerly Southwest Indiana Public Broadcasting Inc.)

Trustees of Columbia University in the City of
New York d/b/a Columbia University
Seminars on Media and Society
Trustees of Indiana University
Twin Cities Public Television Inc.
University of Alaska
University of Central Missouri Board of Regents
University of Houston
University of Nebraska
University of New Hampshire/New Hampshire
Public Television
University of North Carolina
University of South Florida
University of Utah
Upper Cumberland Broadcast Council
Valley Public Television Inc.
Vermont ETV Inc. d/b/a Vermont Public Television
Vincennes University
Virgin Islands Public Television System
Warner Bros. Domestic Television Distribution
Washburn University of Topeka
Washington State University
Welk Group d/b/a Lawrence Welk Syndication
West Central Illinois Educational
Telecommunications Corporation
(CONVOCOM)
West Central Minnesota Educational TV Company
West Tennessee Public Television Council Inc.
West Virginia Educational Broadcasting Authority
Western Instructional Television, Inc.
Western Kentucky University
Western New York Public Broadcasting Association
WGBH Educational Foundation
WHYY Inc.
Window to the World Communications, Inc.
Wisconsin Educational Communications Board
WITF Inc.
WJCT Inc.
WMHT Educational Telecommunications
Woodgrain Productions Inc.
Worldwide Subsidy Group LLC d/b/a
Independent Producers Group
Worldwide Subsidy Group, LLC
WQED Multimedia
WSKG Public Telecommunications Council
WXXI Public Broadcasting Council
Yanni Inc.
Zipporah Films, Inc.
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## 2004 TELEVISION AWARDS

## ALFRED I. DUPONT-COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AWARDS

Hoxie: The First Stand
Ghosts of Rwanda
Truth, War and Consequences
Louisiana: Currents of Change

## PEABODY AWARDS

NewsHour with Jim Lehrer

NOVA The Elegant Universe with Brian Green
P.O.V. Flag Wars

## P.O.V. Two Towns of Jasper

## Frontline "A Dangerous Business"

Great Performances, Degas and the Dance
American Experience, The Murder of Emmett Till

## Hoxie: The First Stand

Bill Moyers
Individual Peabody Award for contributions to public affairs television

## DAYTIME EMMY AWARDS

## Sesame Street

Outstanding Pre-School Series
Outstanding Directing in a Children's Series
Outstanding Achievement in Sound Mixing
Outstanding Achievement in Multiple Camera Editing
Outstanding Achievement in Lighting Direction
Outstanding Achievement in Costume Design/Styling

## NEWS AND DOCUMENTARY EMMY AWARDS

## NOVA Battle of the X-Planes

Outstanding Coverage of a Current News Story - Long Form

Frontline "Burden of Innocence"
Outstanding Investigative Journalism - Long Form

Watergate Plus 30: Shaw of History
Outstanding Informational Programming - Long Form

```
American Experience, The Center of the World
    Outstanding Historical Programming - Long Form
American Experience, The Pill
    Outstanding Science, Technology and Nature Programming
Now with Bill Moyers, Inside The Pentagon
    Best Report in a News Magazine
Independent Lens, Be Good, Smile Pretty
    Best Documentary
NOVA The Elegant Universe with Brian Green
    Outstanding Individual Achievement - Editing
```


## PRIMETIME EMMY AWARDS

```
The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's
Outstanding Nonfiction Special
American Masters
Outstanding Nonfiction Series
Martin Scorsese Presents The Blues
Outstanding Cinematography
American Masters: Judy Garland By Myself
Outstanding Picture Editing
Outstanding Writing, Nonfiction Series
```


## Great Performances, Harry Connick, Jr.

```
Outstanding Music Direction
```


## Live from Lincoln Center, Lincoln Center Celebrates Balanchine <br> Outstanding Special Class Program

## IDA DISTINGUISHED DOCUMENTARY ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS

## Independent Lens, Imelda

IDA/ABC News VideoSource Award

American Masters
IDA Continuing Series Award
The New Americans
IDA Limited Series Award

## 2005 TELEVISION AWARDS

## ALFRED I. DUPONT-COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AWARDS

Frontline "Al Qaeda's New Front"
Frontline "The Secret History of the Credit Card"

## PEABODY AWARDS

American Experience, Tupperware!

## PRIMETIME EMMY AWARDS

Broadway: The American Musical
Outstanding Nonfiction Series
Outstanding Sound Mixing for Nonfiction Programming (Single or Multi-Camera)

## Great Performances, Eric Clapton Crossroads Guitar Festival

Outstanding Picture Editing for a Special

## Masterpiece Theatre, The Lost Prince

Outstanding Art Direction for a Miniseries or Movie
Outstanding Costumes for a Miniseries, Movie or Special
Outstanding Miniseries
Live from Lincoln Center, Stephen Sondheim's 'Passion'
Outstanding Special Class Program
Unforgivable Blackness: The Rise and Fall of Jack Johnson
Outstanding Nonfiction Special
Outstanding Voice-over Performance
Outstanding Writing for Nonfiction Programming

## NEWS AND DOCUMENTARY EMMY AWARDS

## American Experience, The Fight

Outstanding Individual Achievement in a Craft: Writing
DNA: The Human Race
Outstanding Science, Technology and Nature Programming
Frontline "The Secret History of the Credit Card"
Outstanding Investigative Journalism - Long Form
National Geographic Specials "Arlington: Field of Honor"
Outstanding Individual Achievement in a Craft: Direction
Nature "Pale Male"
Outstanding Individual Achievement in a Craft: Writing

## Wide Angle "Ladies First"

Outstanding Continuing Coverage of a News Story - Long Form

## BUSINESS \& FINANCIAL REPORTING EMMY AWARDS

The NewsHour with Jim Lehrer "The Price of Oil: Winners and Losers"
Outstanding Interpretation and/or Analysis of a Business News Story - Newsmagazines \& Long Form
Nightly Business Report "China's Emergence as an International Economic Power" Outstanding Extended Coverage of a Business Story

## DAYTIME EMMY AWARDS

## Clifford's Puppy Days

Outstanding Performer in an Animated Program

## Jakers! the Adventures of Piggley Winks

Outstanding Achievement in Music Direction and Composition Outstanding Individual Achievement in Animation

## Reading Rainbow

Outstanding Children's Series
Outstanding Achievement in Single - Camera Photography (Film or Electronic)
Outstanding Achievement in Single - Camera Editing
Outstanding Writing in a Children's Series

## Sesame Street

Outstanding Pre-School Children's Series (for the 11 th consecutive year)
Outstanding Directing in a Childrens' Series
Outstanding Performer in a Children's Series
Outstanding Achievement in Art Direction/Set Decoration/Scenic Design

## NAACP IMAGE AWARDS

## Reading Rainbow

Outstanding Performance in a Television Youth/Children's Series/Special

## Tavis Smiley

Outstanding Television News, Talk or Information - Series or Special

## IDA DISTINGULSHED DOCUMENTARY ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS

P.O.V. Street Fight

America's Lost Landscape: The Tallgrass Prairie

Tab 5

## PROGRAMMING DIFFERENTIATION IN AREAS WITH MULTIPLE PUBLIC TELEVISION STATIONS CARRIED BY CABLE OPERATORS

## Phenix City, Alabama

Examples of differentiation in the Phenix City area, served by WAIQ Montgomery and WJSP Columbus, GA. Week of January 24 to 30, 2005.

## WAIQ

- Alabama at Work. Locally produced documentary series profiling Alabama businesses.
- Alabama Experience. Locally produced Alabama history series.
- Discovering Alabama. Locally produced documentary on the natural history and heritage of Alabama.
- The Rural Studio. A program profiling the Rural Studio of Auburn University, where architecture students design and build one-of-a-kind homes and community spaces for one of the nation's poorest regions.


## WJSP

- Georgia Business Report. Weekly series covering business and financial news.
- Georgia Outdoors. Locally produced series airing four times a week for environmentalists, conservationists, and outdoor enthusiasts in Georgia.
- Georgia Week in Review. Weekly public affairs series.
- Lawmakers 2005. Airs every night during the legislative session.


## Woodland, California

Examples of differentiation in the Woodland area, served by KVIE Sacramento and KQED San Francisco. Week of January 24 to 30, 2005.

## KQED

- This Week in Northern California. Weekly current affairs program.
- Crossroads Café. Part of an Adult Learning Project that offers free tutorial services and free workbooks for its adult learners.


## KVIE

- Central Valley Chronicles. Series on local people and places, airing once a week.
- California Heartland. Series on California agriculture that airs twice a week.
- California's Gold. Regional stories airing twice a week.
- New Valley. Series on the issues that are transforming the Central Valley into California's next frontier.


## Rensselaer, Indiana

Examples of differentiation in the Rensselaer, Indiana area, served by WYIN and WTTW in nearby Chicago. Week of January 24 to 30, 2005.

## WTTW

- Chicago Tonight. Local public affairs program airing weeknights.
- Arts Across Illinois. Local host Phil Ponce explores how art shapes identity.
- Chicago Dance Project
- Chicago Stories. Locally produced series that delivers stories from the pages of Chicago's history.
- High School Basketball. Live coverage of Chicago public league games.


## WYIN

- Across Indiana
- Communities Building
- Indiana Week in Review
- Inside Indiana Business
- Inside Valpo Basketball


## Hagerstown, Maryland

Examples of differentiation in the Hagerstown area, served by WWPB and WETA in nearby Washington, DC. Week of January 24 to 30, 2005.

## WETA

- Washington Style


## WWPB

- Outdoors Maryland. Hour-long visual essay airing multiple times per week that depicts Maryland's diverse collection of ecosystems.
- State Circle. Analysis of major political issues and pending bills in Maryland.


## Bryan, Texas

Examples of differentiation in the Woodland area, served by KAMU and KUHT in nearby Houston. Week of January 24 to 30, 2005.

## KUHT

- The Territory. Locally produced independent film series airing once a week.
- The Connection. Weekly half-hour round-table discussion about the important issues that affect the Greater Houston area.
- Government in Action


## KAMU

- Texas Politics
- The Aggie Sports Connection
- Life in the Balance: the Healthcare Crisis in Texas
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# Ten Viewer-Based Principles to Guide the Development of a Primetime Programming Strategy for Public Television 

September 2004

Commissioned by:


Corporation for Public Broadcasting


## PRINCIPLES

The intention of CPB's Consumer Insight Research conducted in Fall 20031 was not only to lay critical groundwork for the quantitative benchmark stu of Public Television (PTV) viewing conducted in Spring 2004; it was also wo search for-even retrieve-a set of viewer-based primetime programming principles that would be actionable for the spectrum of professional disciplines that make PTV possible and successful.
In other words, is there a consistent pattern of viewer beliefs, feelings, and behaviors, which we call Principles, emerging from this research meaningful and universal enough to serve as a reliable guide to inform not only primetime program and schedule development, but also the core mission, strategy and tactics of pubic television?
We believe that there is, and it is presented here in provisional form-a work in progress-in order to stimulate reflection, discussion and application. There are ten such PTV Principles and, since they are interrelated and form an integral whole, the model of a building has been used to present them.

## Foundational Principle

## 1. TRUST

The programming respects the viewer and engenders trust with the "public" who are at the heart of Public Television.

Public television is perceived by viewers to be a sanctuary of non-commercialism and trustworthiness in a media marketplace dominated by monolithic corporations trying to find new ways to sell their products (including their programs). It is "Old Faithful," as one viewer called it.

Many viewers complained about network or cable programming that failed to respect the viewer. This failure to respect (and the resulting lack of trust) has two distinct but related expressions. The first is a perception of pandering to advertisers, slanting the content of programming to benefit the financial interests of someone other than the viewer. One viewer, for example, complained about interviewers who routinely interview celebrities who seem to be there mainly to talk about their shows. ("And by the way, how's your soap?") The second is a pandering to viewers: for example, hyping programming that does not deliver on its promise, or exaggerating and sensationalizing just to grab viewers' attention.

By contrast, public television-in its commitment to non-commercialism-signals respect for its audience and engenders their trust-by avoiding even the appearance of pandering. By virtue of membership in public television, moreover, some viewers actually feel they are "owners" or "stockholders" in the station and that they "have a voice." They trust that stations have their interests at heart rather than the profits of a corporation.

This sort of trust not only provides a foundation for viewer loyalty, it also allows for viewer serendipity. While many viewers watch a program based on their initial interest in a topic, some viewers have so much trust in the programming of public television that he or she will watch a program, even if it's not the kind of show they'd normally watch; just because it is broadcast on public television. One delighted viewer had watched a recent documentary about the first transcontinental trip across the United States by automobile for just that reason.
In addition, viewers expressed a universal belief that public television can be trusted with their children. Not only do they count on public television for children's programming that is superior to what is found anywhere else on television, they trust that there is nothing on public television that they would be concerned or embarrassed if their children (or their mothers-in-law) saw it. Nor will the children in their lives be bombarded by commercial images while viewing.

Most have no plans to abandon commercial television, but they are unquestionably glad that the option of public television is there for them.

## Overarching Principle

## 2. QUALITY

The programming is written and produced according to the highest standards of quality, both in its content and aesthetic form.

Whereas much of what is found in other places on television allows viewers to "zone out" and "leave my brain off" on the one hand, or creates "overstimulation" on the other, public television is an oasis for "active minds." Viewers across the regional, demographic, and psychographic spectrum, identified public television as "quality programming." Furthermore, they perceive it as attaining a higher standard than network or cable stations. There was a sense that, whatever public television does, "it does right"-from costumes and sets, to production quality, writing, and overall sensibility. Metaphors for this quality include public television's status as a "classic," programs as "food for the mind," and "grace, a gift we don't deserve."

This recognition of quality extends across a diverse spectrum of genres and formats. Even genres, such as "reality TV," which are usually associated with the lowest standards of taste are viewed as enhanced by public television. For example, viewers appreciated the program Pioneer Quest and Frontier House as educational despite their reality TV origins, and some identified documentaries on such programs as Frontline and Antiques Road Show as "reality to me. The Real Reality TV," in opposition to the scripted and staged "reality" that is often associated with the genre.
Given the quality of public broadcasting, however, it is not easily accessible to a cursory viewer. It is the exact opposite of "background noise" that allows for "multi-tasking." Viewers who are looking to "veg out" generally look elsewhere.

## Supporting Principles

## 3. INTELLIGENCE

The programming is intelligent, substantial, and challenging to viewers.

In the fog of the television world, where much of the content is "dumbed down" or is simply "fluff" or "brain candy" without even the pretense of intellectual merit, public television is a beacon of intelligent programming. Many viewers rate public television as smarter or more intellectual than either network or cable programming. Sometimes this difference is expressed in the language of grade levels. "Networks to me are really at a fifth grade level" or "[The History Channell] is elementary grade level as where I'm looking for something college level" or "When I think Discovery Channel, I think high school, when I think of NOVA, I think of college."
Programming is more detailed and covers issues in more depth. It is more scholarly, based on more research. It is also more accurate. One viewer worried about the accuracy of some historical re-enactments on other stations: "what is made up and what is factual?'

Such high intellectual standards, of course, may be intimidating to some. Yet many viewers also found that the high-powered intellectual content was expressed in ways that were "comprehensible to the non-expert," without ever condescending or "insulting my intelligence."

## 4. BALANCE

The programming is balanced and impartial, presenting factual information with a minimum of spin, bang, and glitz

In a frenzied media market, viewers realize that news programming often uses glitz and confrontational circuses of talking heads, just to be heard above the fray. By contrast, news and public affairs programming on public television is seen as "not an argument, but a discussion." It is "conversational . . . not confrontational." It avoids the bangs and whistles, the smoke and mirrors, and just reports the facts.

As a consequence, public television is viewed as balanced and impartial by persons of all political persuasions, even those who view public radio as left-leaning. And when there is passion or excitement about a controversial issue, it is perceived by viewers as emanating from genuine knowledge and authentic conviction rather than a producers' desire to manufacture some kind of spectacle.
This reputation for balance and perspective is enhanced by public television's non-commercial status. Since the news is not beholden to advertisers eager for maximized ratings, the style and content are not sensational. Rather than run stories on "entertainmenty kinds of things . . what is going on in Hollywood" or "Lacy Peterson or Kobi Bryant" or "Gay nuns and drugs," they stick to traditional news stories and treat them in more depth and detail. Rather then tooting their own horns, hyping their program, "patting their own asses," they can just report the news.

## 5. UNIQUENESS

The programming is often unique, offering a different perspective, an unusual format, or a source for otherwise marginalized information or entertainment.

For all the ballyhooed diversity in broadcasting in the age of cable and satellite, viewers believe that there are still programs-and kinds of program-that could only find a home on public television.

First-at least in those markets that have it-is quality local programming. In an age of so-called diversity, much of television is still produced in the media centers on both coasts, often yielding a "cookie cutter" style and a homogenized, national content. Yet, some of the most popular programming on public television is locally produced and consumed, far from those media centers. Programs like Tennessee Crossroads, Oregon Art Beat, Oregon Field Guide, Greater Boston, and Donnybrook have their enthusiastic proponents who compare these programs to local news programs which focus more on anchor personalities and sensational crime stories-treating pressing local issues only in sound bites and exploring the local community in only the most superficial way.

Second, viewers identified some genres and specific programs as potential orphans without public television. Some viewers, for instance, perceive that period dramas such as those featured on Masterpiece Theatre have all but disappeared from commercial television. Almost all dramas (and this includes premium cable channels such as Bravo or HBO) have a contemporary setting - a definite lack for the television viewer who looks to be taken to places "I'd otherwise never have the chance to go" and to do things "I'd otherwise never the chance to do."

Finally, viewers identify specific content or topics that would only be explored by public television. Whether reporting on the Nobel Prize winners or the political situation in Liberiapublic television provides perspective on material often neglected by other news outlets, which are perceived to be more "Hollywood" or celebrity-oriented.

## 6. ENGAGEMENT

## Programming entertains by engaging viewers' interest rather than teasing them with "fluff."

Much of television is designed to entertain, but the best programs do so by engaging the audience, with "good characters and a good story." While many viewers pointed to network or cable television as well as public television programs (e.g., CSI, Law and Order, Everybody Loves Raymond) as a source of quality entertainment, the principles are essentially the same in either case. In order to engage an audience, the program must be "well-written." A well-written program involves good dialogue, plots that are unpredictable, characters and settings that are realistic (or at least based in reality). Poor shows attempt to engage the audience with false suspense that fails to present an interesting denouement. It is these shows that resort to hype or tawdry teasers ("tits and ass").
Public television has at least two advantages in engaging viewers. First, many public television viewers dissolve the distinction between information (or education) and entertainment. For these viewers, the pursuit of knowledge is so engrossing, it becomes enjoyable-"learning, but not like school." This is at the heart of the attraction many male viewers seem to feel with respect to Frontline.

Second, since public television is commercial-free it does not "break the flow of the show" and allows the viewer to engage in the plot or characters without interruption. Thus it prevents one source of viewer frustration (and potential flight), the commercial break: "I usually switch channels a lot in between commercials . . . but it didn't have any commercials . . . so it kept my attention."

By the same token, public television's relatively limited inventory of drama and comedy (with their relatable characters and suspenseful plot lines), as well as its preference for sobriety and straightforwardness, leave it without some of the natural hooks and emotional ties that sometimes bind viewers to commercial television. The challenge will be to address this circumstance without chipping away at the all-important foundation of trust or undermining the pediment of quality on which viewers depend.

## 7. VARIETY

## Programming is diverse and is not limited to a single genre, perspective or niche.

Many viewers of public television appear to have an unusually wide range of interests, and appreciate the range of materialfrom science and drama to history and current events-that their local PBS stations carry. Though those with cable or satellite reception make ample use of other channels the History Channel, Discovery, HGTV -these do not appear to have replaced public television in the viewers' minds or hearts.

One supposed advantage to cable channels is their appeal to a niche market. If the only thing a channel must produce is programming about food or history or golf, then it should be possible to do this well and become a destination for all those interested in those pursuits.

However, while niche programming may create an identity for a cable channel, there is no guarantee it will produce an identity for any individual show airing on that channel. Programs on the same basic topic, with the same basic look and feel blend into one another-creating no program differentiation or imperative to watch, since pretty much the same thing can be found on that channel at any time of day.

Moreover, there is no guarantee of quality. Indeed, in an attempt to stock a cable service with many shows of a similar genre or subject matter, there is always the danger of being spread too thin. "Sometimes when I'm watching the History Chamnel, it's just a lot of pictures going by."
Finally, the well-balanced person of many pursuits, will need to search a wide variety of such niche channels in order to create a well-balanced diet of television fare. Viewers of public television, themselves interested in a wide variety of topics and interest, appreciate the "anti-niche" character of public television. "We'll miss the variety of PBS . . . there's just such a range of different things."

## Illuminating Principles

## 8. CONSISTENT

Programs are scheduled with as much consistency as possible, in such a manner as to make it easy for viewers to find what they like and to engender regular viewing habits.

While network television engenders viewer loyalty by maintaining a stable schedule of program from week-to-week, many viewers complain that they have a difficult time finding their favorite public television programs. "PBS kind of throws me off because they don't always have the same thing on all the time." "I have to stumble across it." Like shoppers at a grocery store that alters the location of its merchandise on a weekly basis, these viewers either fail to find what they're looking for, never develop a set of habits around public television, or else abandon "the store" altogether in favor of one that's easier to navigate.
Some of the dislocation may result from pledge drives that substitute different programs for standard fare. Dislocation also occurs when the regular schedule is pre-empted by special multi-part, heavily promoted, and frequently repeated series deemed to be have special value or unusual fund-raising potential.
Whatever the reason, it is clear that such dislocation constitutes an added burden for the viewer-making it difficult to find favorite programs or creating disappointment or confusion when he or she looks for those programs and finds something else instead.

In addition, the practice whereby programs are frequently repeated-either on the same station or on another public
station in the same viewing area-appears to have made many viewers complacent in their viewing of public television. Given the prospect of seemingly unlimited opportunities to view a program, they often postpone their watching for a more convenient time that never arrives. "One reason I didn't make a point to watch it... There's going to be another opportunity to watch it." The value of a product is somewhat related to its scarcity: a product that seems to have an unlimited supply is sure to be underappreciated-consider the native New Yorker who has never visited the State of Liberty or the Empire State Building.

## 9. VISIBLE

Without compromising its non-commercial format, programs are promoted in a strategic way so as to remind avid viewers of their favorites and attract more casual viewers for whom public television may not be top-of-mind.

Network and cable television have ample opportunities to inform their viewers of upcoming programs and-according to viewers-limitless resources to advertise elsewhere. The networks, it appears, do a good job of letting the viewer know what they're watching when they're watching it, or helping them to recognize a program more quickly when flipping from channel to channel. The opportunities for promoting programming on public television are presumed by these viewers to be far more limited. For many, public television just doesn't appear on their radar screen: "People just don't realize they're there." On numerous occasions, lighter viewers expressed the sentiment, "If I knew they had that, I'd probably watch it" or "T'm going to check that out!"
Among the ways viewers say they come to public television are the example or urging of trusted teachers, friends, and family
members. Sometimes it is the natural process of maturationwith the attendant change in personal life style and intereststhat has them beginning to watch public television in the evening rather than some of what they'd otherwise do. Some appealed to their own childhood experience or that of their children, though this sometimes worked against public television in that they were less apt to think of it in connection with their own adult needs and interests.
They also believe that if public television were to advertise itself in the other media venues they use more often-network television or cable channels, for example-they would be more conscious of what's available for them and check it out more frequently.

## 10. APPROACHABLE

Programs should be presented, whenever aesthetically possible, in a manner that facilitates their integration into the life style of the ordinary viewer.

Sometimes programs on public television can involve a large commitment of time, either several hours in one night, or several consecutive nights in a week. However, in an age when many people are overworked, under-rested, and struggling to manage chaotic lives, even avid fans of public television can be wary of such a commitment. Rather than become involved with a program which they cannot commit to, they will forgo it entirely.
While it is important to maintain the flow of a program and not chop it into so many disconnected bits, it is also important that viewers don't have to commit to a marathon viewing experience. Many otherwise enthusiastic participants in public television may have the ambition to start-but be too exhausted to finish!-the race.
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## PBS AND CABLE WON VIEWERS FROM RIVALS

New York Times

July 29, 2004

By Bill Carter

On a night when every major broadcast network declined to cover even one minute of the Democratic National Convention, millions of viewers clearly went looking for alternatives - and found them in the cable news networks and one other broadcast network, PBS.

The Public Broadcasting Service pulled in an unexpected horde of viewers on Tuesday, about 3 million, up from 2.5 million for Monday night and about a million more than its normal audience, for three hours of prime-time convention coverage.

The viewing totals also increased at the three all-news cable channels on Tuesday night, most likely thanks to viewers who might have otherwise watched one of the network channels. For the night, CNN again had the most viewers, 2.362 million, just ahead of Fox News, which had 2.340 million. MSNBC also showed an impressive jump with 1.4 million.

But all told, about 9 million viewers watched the prime-time coverage of the convention on the cable channels and PBS, down from the 13.5 million who had watched on the broadcast networks alone the night before, when all three of them devoted an hour each to the convention.

For the networks, an audience of 9 million is not likely to induce any commitments of more coverage. No network voiced regret about passing up coverage Tuesday night. "I think everyone at the networks would say the evidence shows that three nights of coverage, one hour a night is exactly right," said Allison Gollust, the spokeswoman for NBC News.

At PBS, the feeling is the opposite: "The networks came here with a premise, that an audience is not going to watch convention coverage," said Rob Lynn, director of communications for "The NewsHour With Jim Lehrer." "We came with a different mind set, that if we provided good coverage with good context, people were going to go for it. And we've been attracting people in droves."

On cable Tuesday night, the biggest attraction by far was Bill O'Reilly's hour from 8 to 9 p.m. on Fox News, in which he interviewed Michael Moore, the filmmaker, drawing just over 3 million viewers. But in the 10 p.m. hour, when the major speeches took place, MSNBC, with 1.85 million viewers, edged Fox News, which had 1.84 million. CNN drew just under 3 million.

## PBS CARRIES CONVENTION MOMENTUM INTO N.Y.

Los Angeles Times
Aug 30, 2004
By Elizabeth Jensen
NEW YORK - When the Republican National Convention gets underway tonight, PBS will again be alone among the major over-the-air broadcasters to offer full live coverage of events from the podium.

The decision this year by the big broadcast networks to pare their political convention coverage of each party to major speeches on just three of the four nights opened the door for PBS to attract more viewers. The public broadcaster saw its ratings jump $23 \%$ from four years ago for its coverage of the Democrats' gathering in July, to an average of 2.9 million viewers a night. The other over-the-air broadcasters easily topped that by a million viewers or more each in the limited hours of their coverage, but PBS still attracted a larger audience than the all-news cable rivals (which were led by CNN's average of 2.3 million viewers for the week, followed by Fox News Channel's 2 million and MSNBC's 1.3 million).

For the Republican convention, Jim Lehrer will anchor PBS coverage from New York from 5 to 8 nightly and can be seen on KCET.

Elsewhere, cable's C-SPAN will carry gavel-to-gavel coverage of the podium happenings. In addition, the network has struck a deal with the Time Warner, Cox and Comcast cable systems to offer day-later replays of major convention speeches on systems that have video-on-demand. The C-SPAN website also will have archival replays of major convention speeches.

Cable news networks CNN, Fox News and MSNBC will have full day and evening convention coverage interspersed with commentary and interviews. CNBC's early evening programs will be broadcast from the convention, as will Comedy Central's faux news program "The Daily Show With Jon Stewart," HDNet will provide nightly coverage in high-definition format. ABC, CBS and NBC will air an hour each, beginning at 7 p.m., Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday. Highlights those nights, respectively, are speeches by California Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger, Vice President Dick Cheney and the nomination acceptance speech by President Bush.

For Los Angeles-area viewers with access to digital cable, ABC News is also offering extended convention coverage, anchored in the evening by Peter Jennings. The service, "ABC News Now," is also available on broadband.

Spanish-language networks Telemundo and Univision will report from the RNC, and both will carry the president's Thursday acceptance speech live.

Many radio talk show hosts are broadcasting from the convention this week (including KABCAM's Bill O'Reilly, Sean Hannity and Larry Elder), as is National Public Radio, which plans full coverage of the evening proceedings.

## PBS SETS DIVERSITY CURVE: BROADCASTER TOUTS ITS MULTICULTURAL SLATE, 'MAYA \& MIGUEL' DEBUT

Television Week
September 6, 2004

## By Christopher Lisotta

Children's programming on public television has a long history of celebrating diversity, thanks to shows such as "Sesame Street," "Reading Rainbow" and "Between the Lions," which feature multicultural casts and story lines. There's also "Dragon Tales," with two Mexican-American characters, and "Sagwa," which spotlights Asian culture. This season, PBS is taking its commitment a step further by launching several new shows that focus on the Hispanic American experience, one of which also seeks to bolster kids' basic language skills.

Scholastic Entertainment's "Maya \& Miguel," an animated half-hour that highlights the adventures of Hispanic twins and their bilingual parrot, will premiere on the network Oct. 11 as part of its two-hour after-school programming block, PBS Kids Go!

The creation of shows such as "Maya \& Miguel" makes sense, considering the increasing diversity of the country, and in turn, the increasing diversity of the PBS audience. According to Linda Simensky, senior director, children's programming at PBS, the conventional wisdom that public television is the domain of rich white viewers who can well afford other viewing options couldn't be more wrong. "We have research that shows our minority audiences percentages are very close to the percentage of minorities in the United States, so we are confident that we are serving viewing interests for all ages," she said.

Ms. Simensky described Latino kids as "currently underserved, due to a lack of children's television programming which reflects their life experiences."

The show is an amalgam of various cultures. The twins' mother is of Mexican descent and their father is Puerto Rican. Maya's best friend, Maggie, is a product of a first-generation Chinese American family.

Deborah Forte, president of Scholastic Entertainment and "Maya \& Miguel's" executive producer, said the show was developed with two primary goals: to promote the value of a multicultural society and to support English-language learners. But at the same time "Maya \& Miguel" had to be as accessible to young audiences as possible.
"When children see the show they will see a fast-paced, very commercial-looking animated comedy," she said. "But we've drawn upon research from language experts involved in development, so we are really trying to do this right."

Ms. Forte said the show was not born out of the need to fulfill a PBS mandate, but grew out of her own idea of what she wanted to see creatively in children's television.
"It came from a notion I've been playing with for awhile about an animated sitcom that was inspired by the comedy of Lucille Ball," Ms. Forte said. "I thought it would be great to have a brother and sister to play off each other like Lucy and Ricky did."

Although the characters Maya and Miguel are U.S.-born English speakers without accents, their cousin, Tito, is a recent immigrant and will serve as the show's model English-language learner. The twins' parrot Paco allows the show to repeat words and phrases in an unobtrusive fashion, an important tool in teaching children language skills.
"It's a nice way to support the more formal language learning they are learning in school," Ms. Forte said.

Scholastic Entertainment is also launching a Web site in both English and Spanish that will encourage language acquisition through interactive content and other Web-based activities. Ms. Forte said the site will also have bilingual pages for adult care-givers. "There's a lot more going on than the show itself," she said.

Al Jerome, president and CEO of Los Angeles public television station KCET-TV, sees shows like "Maya \& Miguel" not as just a nice idea but as something crucial to his station's relevance.
"It's really taking cognizance of what's going on in our marketplace and in the country," he said. "In the Los Angeles market, the Latino audience is a fact of life."

Mr. Jerome said this is why KCET also produced the prime-time drama "American Family" and why it is producing dual series for care-givers of pre-kindergarten-age children, "A Place of Our Own" and "Los Ni\%F1;os en Su Casa." Although not directed at children, the two shows, one in English and one in Spanish, are designed to give parents and the skills they need to prepare kids for school.

With child development experts stressing that the first five years of life are crucial in setting the pace for learning later on, early child care-givers have never been considered so important. "One-third are not prepared for kindergarten," Mr. Jerome said of California 5 -year-olds. "It's very difficult to make up that deficit. We think we are going to make a major contribution." Both programs premiere Sept. 13 on public TV stations throughout California.

In addition, Galan has developed a six-part series exploring the artistic contributions from the nation's different Latino communities. The series, "Visiones: Latino Art and Culture," runs nationally on PBS throughout September, which is Hispanic Heritage Month, and into October.

Mr. Jerome, who was president of the NBC owned-and-operated stations, said PBS is in a better position to provide programming that other broadcasters are not interested in, since public television does not face the same constraints for-profit media outlets must contend with.
"The advertiser-based marketplace has become so short-term that innovation becomes a little more challenged," he said.

But he also noted that programming like "Maya \& Miguel" and the two care-giver-targeted series serve a broader purpose, since they show producers they can program for niche audiences and provide formats that can be imitated. For Mr. Jerome, PBS has always been a leader in developing groundbreaking programs that reflect cultural diversity, particularly for younger audiences.

## ELMO PROMOTES PLAN TO MAKE KIDS EAT RIGHT

The Associated Press
October 16, 2004
WASHINGTON (AP) - In a walnut-paneled Senate conference room, Sesame Street's Elmo bounced, a senator practiced a jump shot, and a gaggle of preschoolers squealed. So much for congressional decorum.

Joining play with politics Thursday, the children's TV show characters and lawmakers promoted new programs to encourage youngsters to eat better, be active more, and not grow up fat.

Sesame Workshop, the nonprofit educational organization behind the kids' TV mainstay, launched its Healthy Habits for Life initiative, while Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist, R-Tenn., and Sen. Ron Wyden, D-Ore., promoted school exercise and nutrition legislation they plan to introduce.

The Sesame initiative includes two public service announcements, as well as plans for a traveling museum exhibit on diet and exercise. Starting in the spring of 2005, Sesame Street will allot more time to healthy food choices and physical activities.

Frist and Wyden would develop and promote model programs on diet and physical activity in schools.
For the kids, however, the most important participants were the ones in fake fur. The children sat as still as they could, gazing up at a puppet stand as Elmo and fellow monster Rosita tried to lead by example, munching plastic carrots and bouncing through exercises.
"'Elmo runs all the time," Elmo declared.
The senators also did some leading by example. Frist, a physician, advised Elmo, '`Stay hydrated." Wyden, who played basketball at the University of California, Santa Barbara, demonstrated his jump shot form, leaping several inches off the floor. `'Keep working," Elmo advised him.

CONGRESS, MEDIA CAN HELP SAFEGUARD OUR FREEDOMS
Buffalo News (New York)
November 5, 2004

Regardless of the result of the 2004 presidential election, there are two key concerns that I submit are worthy of our efforts if we are to safeguard our freedoms.

First, we must know the truth in order to understand our national reality.

We can be assisted in that quest by an unbiased media that work hard to present facts, not preferred fiction. We need to insist on this from our print media but most especially from television and radio outlets. The Constitution guarantees a free press, but we also need a press that strives to deliver accurate information, not propaganda, to the public.

In the television arena, C-Span and PBS come closest to this standard at present. We certainly don't need news programming that serves to inflame the differences in an already seriously divided country. I would appeal to media outlets to consider the good of the country and for individuals to think about their media choices. Do we want hatreds to intensify or do we want understandings to develop?

Second, we need to insist that our Congress take on its constitutional responsibility to declare war when it is necessary. Our government was designed with three branches in order to balance the power and protect us from the abuse of power by any one branch. We need to respect, protect and advocate for that balance by conveying our concerns directly to our representatives. If we have a strong, fair and free press, we can enlist it in this effort to safeguard our democracy.

PBS EVOLVES, KEEPS ITS TRADITION INTACT: PUBLIC TV MAINTAINS COMMITMENT TO DOCS IN THE AGE OF REALITY
Television Week
January 2005

## By Jacque Jones

With series such as "Survivor" and "The Apprentice" capturing large audiences, even PBS, the grandfather of all reality broadcasters, has had to take notice.
"[Reality] has changed the vocabulary of television. And it's changed the expectation of audiences," said Jacoba Atlas, PBS's senior VP of programming. "You ignore it at your own peril."

Of course, no one can accuse the network of ignoring reality programming. That genre took much of its production technique from the field of documentaries, which helped put PBS on the map.

Still, shows like "Frontline," which has won every major broadcast journalism award since its 1983 inception, are a far cry from the reality series currently making waves on broadcast and cable. David Fanning, "Frontline's" creator and senior executive producer, joked that people have tried to avoid the term "documentary" for years. "It summons up the classroom," he said.

## No Fake Reality

Maybe so, but at PBS, documentary remains a proud tradition. "The danger about what's being done now is that it ratchets up the drama in a really fake way," said Ms. Atlas. "Mark Burnett ['Survivor'] is an excellent producer, but he calls his work unscripted drama, not documentary, and I think that's true."

Lois Vossen, who produces "Independent Lens," a PBS series showcasing the work of independent filmmakers, said people constantly tell her they want to see "real" reality television, not a manipulated, over-shot facsimile. "People are moved by what's genuine," she said. "That said, when you look at the number of people who voted for 'American Idol,' it was about a third of the number that yoted in the last election."

Ms. Vossen said staying true to the mission of independent film is easy, but reaching a mainstream audience is a challenge. The key, according to many documentarians, is to take what's good about reality television and produce one's own brand of it on PBS.

While there's little chance of "The Bachelor" appearing on PBS, the network is currently doing reality in its own way. "Colonial House," which premiered last week, is, like "Frontier House" before it, part of an attempt to establish a new genre that network executives call "experiential history." The concept is to take 21 st century people back to a historical time and have them live life under those circumstances.

Focusing on basic issues of food, shelter and entertaining the children without television or Gameboys is the challenge that makes "Colonial House" a true reality experiment. And if that's not scary enough, swearing gets a participant pilloried.

So far, the series and its similarly themed predecessor have been a great success for the network. "[They've] cut across all demographics and brought a tremendous viewership to us," said Ms. Atlas, who added that she is not conflicted by the format. "We're still based in real history. And we're not setting up phony conflict," she said.

For the real purists, there are still the traditional PBS documentary series, as well as frequent miniseries and specials from the likes of filmmakers such as Ken Burns. Commercial networks, with their budgetary constraints and ratings concerns, simply don't have the luxury of developing such shows.

For example, David Sutherland, a longtime documentarian, has spent the past three years shooting film of teenage boys in Appalachia. "Filmmakers who can work for public broadcasting often have already made their reputation and can get the funding they need," Ms. Atlas said. "They also have the patience and the wherewithal to take the long form and really stay with something."

Yet the harsh financial reality of documentary filmmaking is a struggle for those who need to earn a living and support their families. Most can't make such a long-term commitment to a project. Mr. Sutherland, in fact, mortgaged his house to complete a previous film.

PBS was actually first out of the box in the reality programming race. When "An American Family" (not to be confused with "American Family," the current PBS scripted series from Gregory Nava) aired in 1973, viewers got their first glimpse of nonmanipulated drama with real people.

Without ratings to worry about or sponsors to court, PBS has always been in a protected class. But network executives have not been without their worries.

Ms. Atlas admitted to a touch of envy for the BBC, which is fully funded by the British government. "The struggle is not to find talented filmmakers. They're out there. It's to get funding for those filmmakers in a timely manner so they can get on the air," Ms. Atlas said.
"But we're lucky. The things that are code words at the networks-"speed it up, make it funnier"are just never said here."

Still, while PBS producers may not worry as much about ratings as those at the commercial networks, they certainly want to attract an audience. "It's hard to find us in the clutter," Mr. Fanning said. "There's so much information out there-people shouting at each other on interview shows and the endless news cycles on the 24-hour news stations. Add to that blogs and checking the Net. That's the hour I'd like to have them watch 'Frontline.'"
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DOC SHOWS FAMILIES RAVAGED BY ALZHEIMER'S
Associated Press
Jan 18, 2004
By Frazier Moore
"The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's" tells you everything you never wanted to know, but better be aware of, about Alzheimer's disease.

This important documentary, based on David Shenk's best-selling book of the same title, begins with two vital points:
_ No one is immune from this deadly affliction.
_ With the aging of the U.S. population, the number of Alzheimer's cases is skyrocketing accordingly. From 500,000 cases 15 years ago, there are 5 million today, with a full-scale epidemic likely in less than a decade as the first baby boomers reach age 65.

The economic cost of Alzheimer's, in health care and lost productivity, is an estimated $\$ 100$ billion, according to the film. Without remedies, the disease could escalate to bring the nation to financial ruin.
"The Forgetting," which airs Wednesday at 9 p.m. EST on most PBS stations, is a combination of many elements. It looks back at the history of a malady so rare a century ago it didn't have a name.

It portrays several families ravaged by this cruel disease (along with each victim, Alzheimer's takes a terrible toll on loved ones and caretakers).

It tracks how, during a period ranging from eight to 20 years, this disease robs each victim of memory, a sense of self, and, in time, the ability even to swallow and breathe.

And it covers the research under way to slow the disease's progression, which, in lieu of outright cure or prevention, would buy the patient (and the nation) precious time.

Following the 90 -minute documentary is a valuable half-hour special, "Alzheimer's: The Help You Need," with David Hyde Pierce ("Frasier") leading a discussion with a panel of experts as questions about the disease, and support resources for those it inflicts, are addressed.

In a phone interview, the actor, who watched both his grandfather and father suffer from the disease, recalled: "Long before the person actually passes away, they are taken from you."

But despite his painful personal experience, "I'm glad," he said, "that I'm one of the people who has been made aware of this disease and how close it is to all of us."

Today he is a board member of the Alzheimer's Association and an advocate in the fight to raise money and find a cure.
"As in every war, it's very important to know your enemy and what you're up against," he said in urging people to watch the two broadcasts. "Whether or not this disease comes to you on these TV programs, it's coming to your house."

TAKING PULSE OF BLACK AMERICA
Baltimore Sun Television Critic
February 2, 2004

## By David Zurawik

A renowned Harvard University professor, who is attempting to document African-American life 35 years after the death of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., visits one of the most wretched public housing projects in the nation, Chicago's Robert Taylor Homes.

The professor, Henry Henry Louis Gates Jr., and his small film crew stand in a dirty, graffitiscarred lobby waiting for an elevator to take them to the 11 th floor, where the professor will interview a 30 -year resident of the building. He wants to ask her how she thinks things have gone for African-Americans in the years since the death of Dr. King.

There are two elevators, but only one is working in the tower where some 1,000 residents live. When it finally arrives, it is so rickety, hot and crowded, that Gates is afraid to ride in it. He turns instead to a stairwell down the hall.
"I'm claustrophobic in elevators, anyway," he says in voiceover as the camera follows his long, slow journey up the stairs. "After 15 minutes, thinking about the heat inside that electric casket, I head for the stairs. I'd rather walk than get trapped in that thing. But this is routine for people here."

A fear of ramshackle elevators may seem trivial, but this scene exemplifies the small, human touches that make America Beyond the Color Line gleam with honesty amid a season of trumped-up reality shows. The provocative and illuminating two-part documentary airs tomorrow and Wednesday nights on PBS.

The film of Gates climbing steps as he admits his phobia, radiates such authenticity that joining him seems like the most natural thing in the world to do. As he climbs, the narration and images are skillfully combined to evoke how it feels on the hot and sticky stairway, how it feels to live in the run-down building.

America Beyond the Color Line offers viewers a rare chance to journey through various parts of black culture and life with an engaging and genuinely wise guide. And as he plumbs "the color line," Gates, the W.E.B DuBois professor of humanities at Harvard, doesn't limit his investigations to the Chicago housing projects. (The show's title comes from DuBois who said the great problem of 20th century American life is "the problem of the color line.")

The first segment begins as Gates travels to Memphis, Birmingham and Atlanta - the holy cities of the Civil Rights movement - tracing the migration of African-Americans back to the South. Along the way, he interviews residents of military bases, politicians, policemen and celebrities including movie star Morgan Freeman and poet Maya Angelou. He also speaks with residents of all-black, gated, suburban communities where the homes start at $\$ 750,000$.

He doesn't mince words when describing these exclusive enclaves as "segregation." He ends the hour sitting beside the tomb of King, whom he identifies as his "hero," wondering about blacks who choose to live only with "people in their own class who look like them."
"When I think about all that Dr. King lived for and died for - and in a word that vision was integration - I can't help but wonder what Dr. King would think of this whole thing," Gates says.

He leaves the Deep South to visit Chicago, then New York and Washington where he sits down with such African-Americans of corporate and political achievement as hip-hop mogul Russell Simmons, chess grandmaster Maurice Ashley, power- broker Vernon Jordan, Secretary of State Colin Powell and Franklin Raines, the CEO of the Fannie Mae Corp. On the heels of interviewing Simmons, Gates listens as Powell condemns, in no uncertain terms, many of the images and messages pumped into the popular culture by black entrepreneurs such as Simmons.

Gates' quest to chart the main currents of African-American life concludes in California where so many great American journeys end. In an effort to understand the role of "cultural gatekeepers"' in the making and selling of black images, he talks to stars such as Samuel L. Jackson, Nia Long, Don Cheadle, Chris Tucker and Alicia Keys. The producers with whom he visits include composer Quincy Jones and Regency Films founder Arnon Milchan.

Gates asks Cheadle point blank if he thinks efforts by the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) have been helpful in opening doors for persons of color in Hollywood, and the actor says no.
"This isn't bricklaying, it's acting," Cheadle says. ""It's not as simple as just saying we need more numbers."

The film also explores the rarely discussed issue of differences in opportunity based on shades of skin color among African-Americans. As Gates puts it, "If you're light, you're all right. If you're brown, hang around. But if you're black, you better get back." The black actors to whom he speaks, say African-Americans themselves help enforce the standard.

While it is a documentary, America Beyond the Color Line is not the standard, Ken-Burnsinspired, kind of documentary that has come to dominate American public television in the last 15 years. Made for the BBC and PBS by a British production company, it is more closely modeled after such BBC productions as the Life series in which David Attenborough explored the world of nature. In its long-ago glory years, CBS News adapted the model to put correspondents like Bill Moyers and Charles Kuralt on the road exploring America.

How one feels about such documentaries often depends on how one feels about the correspondents who typically write their own copy, appear in nearly every frame and share their thoughts with viewers when they are not interviewing the people they encounter on their trip. There is a lot to like when Gates is the correspondent.

Gates' expertise is a given, but what's surprising is how quickly and gracefully he can lay out a framework for the complicated issues and trends he's trying to chronicle. For example, as he approaches the Robert Taylor Homes in Chicago, he lays the groundwork for his interviews by pondering why tens of thousands of African-Americans still live at or below the poverty line though the black middle class in the last three decades has expanded significantly.
"Scholars on the left say that the system is to blame. It's a legacy grandfathered by slavery, fathered by Jim Crow racism and nurtured by de facto segregation and job discrimination," Gates says.
"Scholars on the right say its their own fault," he continues. "Too dependant on government handouts, they're lazy, irresponsible, and have no self-reliance. They have decided to be poor.
"Neither argument satisfies me," he concludes as he opens the building's door. "Both deny the human face and voice of poverty that lie behind the statistics we hear so often. I'm going to find some answers from the people who live here themselves:"

In the end, Gates is more interested in offering an array of answers from the people he interviews than he is in coming to conclusions and trying to package them as a singular truth.

When he finally makes it to the 11 th floor, he meets Caroline Massenberg, who has lived there since the 1970s. She raised three children and is helping to raise five grandchildren. One has just made it into college in Tennessee. She hates life in the building because of "the guns, and the gangs and the drugs and the violence." She blames it on what she calls "bad parents."

As Gates and the woman stand on the balcony looking down through ugly, heavy-gauge wire at the playground below, he says in voiceover, "No statistics can convey Mrs. Massenberg's pain the poignancy of her dilemma and her powerlessness in the face of the forces arrayed against her despite all her good intentions and hard work."

He asks her if she considers it a "blessing" that the building is about to be torn down.
"Yes," she says hesitatingly. "But you move. You get an apartment - something in a nice neighborhood. These same people are going to move right next door. They're going to take their bad attitudes and the same bad things they're doing here and they're going to be your next door neighbor."

There are no easy answers, and America Beyond the Color Line with Henry Louis Gates Jr. is one of those rare television programs willing to speak that truth.

## A PBS PROGRAM EXPLORES THE RISE AND FALL OF JUDY GARLAND WITHOUT THE USUAL TEAR-PROVOKING EXCESS

Orlando Sentinel Television Critic
February 22, 2004
By Hal Boedeker
Judy, Judy, Judy -- the fascination with Judy Garland never ends because her story marries talent with tragedy in haunting ways.

Books have explored the sex, drugs and anguish in Garland's saga. A 2001 ABC miniseries, starring Emmy-winner Judy Davis, integrated the highs and lows of Garland's life. A classy new documentary from PBS' American Masters, premiering. Wednesday, takes the refreshing approach of profiling Garland through her music.

Judy Garland: By Myself captures the performer's essence better than a tell-all portrait ever could. (Let's face it, tell-all usually means: Misses the point.) Fans will probably learn little new information, but director Susan Lacy assembles marvelous clips with panache.

The film starts by revealing that Garland recounted her life to a tape recorder. That bombshell yields few insights, and the tapes were of such poor quality that Garland's words are spoken by an actress: Isabel Keating, who plays the singer on Broadway in The Boy From Oz.

If that device is initially distracting, Lacy makes another decision that bolsters the film. She offers only vintage audio of speakers talking about Garland, an approach that keeps the focus on the singer.

Those speakers range from former husband Vincente Minnelli and director George Cukor (A Star Is Born) to MGM studio colleagues Mickey Rooney, Van Johnson and June Allyson.
"Judy was so fragile," Allyson says. "People thought she was really a tough lady. She wasn't. You were almost afraid she would break, 'cause she broke so many times."

The program becomes a sentimental journey because some speakers have recently died: Robert Stack, Buddy Ebsen and Ann Miller. There are delightful clips of late-night host Jack Paar, who brought out Garland the hilarious raconteur.

Judy Garland: By Myself tells Garland's story sympathetically but without maudlin excess. The program describes her problems -- drug addictions, unhappy marriages, mental problems -- but doesn't belabor them.

The film explains how Garland's mother, Ethel Gumm, and MGM boss Louis B. Mayer pushed the child performer to stardom. Mayer wanted Garland for The Wizard of Oz , her greatest film, but he derided her weight problems by calling her "my little hunchback."

MGM was a taskmaster that warped her self-esteem. The studio put her on pep pills and sleeping pills that damaged health. Her mother pushed Garland to abort her first child so as not to slow the studio machine, which later worked her to exhaustion and dropped her at age 28.

One speaker says she was dealt a bad hand, yet seeing her simply as a victim undercuts her gifts and resilience. By Myself seems to say: Why shed a tear when you can share Garland's joy?

This profile in song draws on tunes she made movie favorites: "Have Yourself a Merry Little Christmas," "The Man That Got Away," "Get Happy" and "Over the Rainbow."

The documentary culls entertaining clips from her short-lived CBS variety series, which fell victim to network interference and the high ratings of NBC's Bonanza.

It was another instance of television wasting a singular performer. Still, the clips show that Garland offered a bonanza of talent with such guest stars as Peggy Lee, Lena Horne, Ethel Merman and Tony Bennett. Garland and Barbra Streisand were well-matched partners when mixing "Get Happy" and "Happy Days Are Here Again."

The documentary opens with Garland doing "By Myself" and concludes with a poignant "Through the Years." Along the way, she's as equally mesmerizing on "Zing Went the Strings (Of My Heart)," "You Made Me Love You" and "Stormy Weather."

In writing the lyrics for her signature song, "Over the Rainbow," E.Y. "Yip" Harburg felt Garland's longing and wondered if she'd ever be happy. Perhaps she never was, yet she provided abundant pleasure for four decades before her death in 1969.

In the clear-eyed Judy Garland: By Myself, the music soars over the sorrow. That's the way it should be. With Garland, the talent matters more than the tragedy -- a point that's often lost in a tell-all age.

STAR RATING: ****

## RETURN OF ‘AMERICAN FAMILY' OFFERS PANOPLY OF LATIN STARS

Hartford Courant TV Critic
April 22004

By Roger Catlin

"American Family" made its mark during its first season in 2002. It was not only the first drama series on broadcast television with a Latino cast, it was also the first original American episodic drama PBS had presented in decades.

That's not to mention a cast that read like a who's who of Latin actors, topped by Edward James Olmos, Esai Morales, Sonia Braga and Raquel Welch.

For its second season, creator Gregory Nava, who made his name with such films as "El Norte," "Mi Familia" and "Selena," brings a cinematic tone as well as an expanded title.
"American Family - Journey of Dreams," which begins a 13 -week run Sunday on PBS, is written as one long miniseries, picking up threads from various generations of the Gonzalez family across a letterboxed screen with several impressive cinematic flourishes. The most remarkable one, in the opening episode, is a wedding reception scene that goes on 38 minutes in what seems to be a single shot.

The gala East L.A. marriage of Morales' Esteban Gonzalez to Ofelia (Kate del Castillo) affords the Gonzalez family patriarch (Olmos) a chance to raise a glass and introduce all of his grown children to anyone who has not seen the first season.

It also helps set up this season's utterly contemporary conflicts. Besides its other firsts, mark "American Family" as the first drama to track the national divisions regarding the war in Iraq.

It happens when the golden son Conrado (this year played by Yancey Arias) is about to be shipped off to Kuwait as an Army doctor in the days before the invasion. Olmos' Jess Gonzalez is both proud and worried as he recalls his service in the Korean War, whose horrors he largely keeps to himself, and butts heads with his strong-willed daughter Nina (Constance Marie), who just as strongly opposes the war.

This conflict can play out a little heavy-handedly, especially as it initially unfolds, with Jess spouting about "you liberals" and Nina repeating her party line.

But there are deeper complexities at work, as the story keeps returning to Jess' grandmother's journey to America in 1915 amid the mayhem of the Mexican revolution. It's a journey that involves a "magic compass" that guides her north and a curse that will supposedly befall her family for generations.

The story also moves back to the early 1990s, when Conrado begins to feel he is starting to be part of his parents' dreams and not his own as he enters medical school. At the same time, he meets a first love, who gets away. Going even further back, to his childhood, he is haunted by a vision of his grandmother in the attic.

There are a lot of side stories and family members to cover in 13 weeks and Nava will have his hands full trying to tell all of their stories. Indeed, the series' biggest name, Welch, who plays
former would-be entertainer Aunt Dora, isn't seen in the first two episodes. Instead, the story largely follows Conrado to the front lines of Iraq, where he has run-ins with harder-line Army personnel, including one played by Lynn Whitfield. She's one of several additions to the already large cast. Others include Arias, taking over the Conrado role originated by Kurt Caceres, and Patricia Velasquez, who portrays the grandmother as a young woman struggling north.

The cast is full of recognizable faces. Besides Olmos and Welch, known for their film roles, Marie portrays the wife on "George Lopez"; Morales is on "NYPD Blue"; and Rachel Ticotin, whose character married into the Gonzalez family, was the mother on "Skin." Jesse Borrego, who portrays an old gang associate of Morales, plays agent Gael on " 24 "; Arias starred in "Kingpin"; and Velasquez has a recurring role on "Arrested Development."

The juggling of their schedules to make such a richly varied Gonzalez family mirrors the labor of love obviously behind the whole work.

The miniseries "American Family -- Journey of Dreams" begins its 13 -week run Sunday at 6 p.m. on CPTV.

HER DET. JANE TENNISON, THE THINKING VIEWER'S COP, IS OLDER, WISER AND SCRAPPY ENOUGH TO BEST THE BIG BOYS AT THEIR OWN GAME
Newsday
April 18, 2004
By Noel Holston
'Prime Suspect," the sixth coming of which arrives Sunday night at 9 via PBS' "Masterpiece Theatre," was a revelation when it premiered on the network's "Mystery!" anthology in 1992. Although "Cagney \& Lacey" (1982-88, CBS) had introduced feminist concerns to the conventional police drama and "Hill Street Blues" (1981-87, NBC) had rendered "super-cop" procedurals like "Kojak" and "Baretta" passe with its serialized stories and distinctly fallible characters, "Prime Suspect" made even the best of that golden age of homegrown cop shows look faintly cartoonlike.

What is now known - and sold on DVD (see accompanying story) - as "Prime Suspect 1 " introduced Detective Chief Inspector Jane Tennison, a newly promoted homicide commander with the London Metropolitan Police. She was up against a difficult first case, trying to snare a serial killer with the "help" of an all-male squad whose members ranged from wary to openly misogynist.

Tennison was an unprecedentedly brave characterization. Rather than present her as a pearl among male chauvinist swine, series creator Lynda LaPlante and star Helen Mirren made Tennison highly capable but sometimes strident and tactless, so primed for workplace slights that she couldn't detect sincere courtesy, so obsessed with succeeding that she drove away the decent bloke who loved her. In one of the series' boldest sequences, Tennison arrived late for her elderly father's birthday party because she couldn't pass up her chance to be on "Crime Night," a sort of "Britain's Most Wanted," and then scolded him because he hadn't set the VCR to capture her performance for posterity.

And we thought "NYPD Blue's". Andy Sipowicz was a mold-breaking cop because he was a recovering alcoholic.

Even more refreshing, "Prime Suspect" didn't merely respect viewers, it insisted they work. Events that set the story in motion happened fast. Dialogue was not encoded with overt character identifications and background information. A character's fleeting facial expression might tell you more than his or her words. In its intricacy and sophistication, it was more akin to a Scott Turow crime novel, such as "Presumed Innocent," than a "Hill Street" or an "NYPD."

American TV crime drama closed the gap in the dozen years since Tennison cracked her first big case, largely thanks to HBO series such as the intricate character mosaic "The Wire." But "Prime Suspect $6^{\prime \prime}$ - produced by commercial Granada Television, not the BBC - demonstrates that the series and its star still can play with the big boys.

Typical of its predecessors, "Prime Suspect 6: The Last Witness" begins with the discovery of a decomposing corpse. "Prime Suspect" rarely depicts violent acts, just their aftermath. It was gruesome in this respect long before "CSI: Crime Scene Investigation" was a twinkle in Anthony Zuiker's eye. The dead woman eventually is identified as a Bosnian immigrant who was working at a London hotel as a maid.

Our heroine, difficult as ever, has a new form of discrimination to contend with. At age 54, Detective Superintendent Tennison is being encouraged by suspiciously solicitous higher-ups to think about taking the early retirement that's her contractual right and spare herself the stress of her job.

She responds to their "concern" by pulling rank on her top assistant and taking his big case. The investigation leads do a covered-up massacre in the Balkans a decade earlier. The war-crimes theme and the suave villain in Peter Berry's script may remind you of "Marathon Man," William Goldman's novel about Nazis in hiding.

The two-part production is the first Tennison mystery since 1996. Many fans had given the franchise up for dead. Mirren wasn't so keen on reviving it herself.
"I was quite resistant to the idea of coming back, only because it had been so good before," Mirren said in an interview at PBS' midseason preview in January. "It's very difficult, you know, with this kind of television - original scripts, four-hour stories. It's very difficult to keep that standard high.
"That's one of the reasons we've never made it into a - you know, an ongoing, sort of weekly series," she said. "We've only ever tried to make it what is known over here as 'event' television. I didn't want it to take a step down. And I didn't know if it was still relevant six, seven years later. Life moves pretty rapidly. And I wanted it to be relevant."

Her qualms were soothed by executive producer Andy Harries of Granada and producer David Boulter. "They found me a wonderful writer [Berry] and a very, very wonderful, hot, young director [Tom Hooper], who I think did a fantastic job," she said.

The latest "Prime Suspect" is, in fact, the most visually dynamic, so much like a stylish feature film that some hard-core aficionados may miss the unkind lighting and the authentically institutional look and feel of previous installments. No one is likely to grumble about Berry's teleplay, however, for it has the complexity, nuance and grit of LaPlante's terrific scripts for the first and third miniseries.
"I do think we tend to spell things out less in Britain," Mirren said. "We give ourselves the luxury of having a four-hour story. We don't have to tell the story in an hour or even two hours. We can achieve a kind of complexity that other programs can't because of the requirements of time."

Tennison is Mirren's signature character, despite having appeared in dozens of movies and having played classical characters, such as Cleopatra, multiple times on stage. But she insists she's never been affected personally by what has been described as Tennison's "train wreck" life.
"I don't live with Jane except for when I'm working on 'Prime Suspect,' and even then, I don't take her home with me," she said. "There's nothing that Jane is that's related to me."

She takes exception to the suggestion that Tennison is unhappy. "I think she's very happy," Mirren said. "She loves her job. She loves being a professional woman. She loves the demands. There is no such thing as perfect happiness. We all pay. We all make sacrifices for the things we want to do, whether it's family or work or whatever it is."'

Mirren, who will turn 59 in July, was asked whether she believed women still have to put up with the sort of workplace discrimination Tennison did when the series began. "You know, society changes all the time," she said. "Things get repressed. And overtly, supposedly there is no prejudice against women any more. I believe there still is, in reality, especially women in high-powered jobs - talking about really high-powered jobs. I mean, it's very interesting that the American people find it impossible to contemplate having a woman as president. And that's just an example of a kind of universal prejudice.
"The age thing, well, we're facing an interesting conundrum," she said. "I think older people certainly are prejudiced against...in many professions." But at the same time, she said, "There are an awful lot of people - you know, the 'bulge' generation is moving through life. And people in their $40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}$ and 60 s are becoming the majority. And the majority, they have ecomomic power and political power. So one finds that perceptions change according to those in political power and economic power."

Mirren's career choices seem anything but limited these days. This year, she starred in an acclaimed production of Eugene O'Neill's "Mourning Becomes Electra" at the National Theater in London. She was on the big screen this year in "Calendar Girls" and has three movies on the way, including "Raising Helen," in which she co-stars with Kate Hudson and John Cusack.

Someone suggested that there seemed to be a thread running through many of her roles - women who are highly competent and have tremendous drive but also meet with frustrating obstacles. But Mirren dismissed the notion of some great career design.
"All great roles are to do with conflict," she said. "I don't particularly see a theme there. I usually try to play [characters] who appear in the last scene. Especially on the last page. That's the most important thing of all. So I always read scripts backward. It's terrible."

## A TIMELY LOOK AT HOW FAITH INFORMS BUSH PRESIDENCY

## Boston Globe

April 29, 2004

## By Sam Allis

George W. Bush may be the most openly religious president in memory, yet Americans have been neither privy to his personal journey on the road to Damascus nor fully aware of the political implications of the scales falling from his eyes.
"The Jesus Factor," a report from PBS's "Frontline" documentary series, traces his spiritual development and documents how much his embrace of evangelical Christianity has informed his campaigns and his presidency. This solid, linear piece is timely for this year's presidential campaign, and relevant to the Bush messianic mission to graft democracy onto the rest of the world. If it contains no bombshells, tonight's program improves our understanding of the man.

The connection between his religious conversion and his politics is huge. In the 2000 election, almost half of his nearly 50 million votes -- about 23 million -- came from evangelicals, according to "Frontline." (Evangelicals define themselves less by their denomination than by their commitment to Jesus Christ -- their being "born again" -- and, for most, by their acceptance of the Bible as the errorless word of God.) At that time, more than 40 percent of Americans described themselves as evangelicals, and 70 percent of those evangelicals who voted were politically conservative. This bloc, quite simply, was and is Bush's most important base.

Like his father before him, Bush won the White House without carrying the Catholic vote. (Both lost among black, Hispanic, and Jewish voters as well.)

## WHAT WE LIKE: 'COLONIAL HOUSE'

Dallas Morning News
Friday, May 21, 2004

## By Ellen Henderson

What it is: Colonial House is the latest in PBS's series of "projects in experiential history." It, like Manor House, 1900 House and others before it, drops a group of 21 st-century people into life in the past, complete with historically accurate living conditions and hardships.

What it's all about: Of course it's a trip back in time for these living-history volunteers, and that comes with the requisite culture shock about the absence of luxuries, free time and, er ... facilities. But it's more than that, because the participants have to cope with and abide by the customs, laws and lifestyles of the past while bringing along their modern-day sensibilities.

The contrast between now and then is especially sharp on Colonial House, given the strict moral code of that time (and the striking punishments handed out for transgressions) and the unbendable hierarchy of authority (the colony's governor makes the decisions, women and servants have no voice, etc.). Compared to the participants on, say, Frontier House, who were nearly overwhelmed by the workload but at least found themselves among equals, Colonial House's "cast" seems to find the constant work a lighter burden than the effort of continually biting their tongues and doing as they're told.

Why we like it: Mainly because it makes us feel smart for never signing up for such a project. In all seriousness, the show's main impact is its eye-opening power to prove to us 21 st-century types that we're a lot less tough and a lot more coddled than our ancestors were.

And we like it that way. A meal that took four hours to prepare in colonial times can now be nuked in four minutes. Corn that once required backbreaking effort to plant and harvest can be picked up these days with a quick trip to the local supermarket. We have underwear now. Church sermons don't last three hours. And we never, ever have to eat muskrat.

Still, if this House is anything like its predecessors, the participants will walk away with something more than grateful relief to be returning to their lives of relative ease. Experiencing the day-to-day lives of your forebears firsthand can only give you a deeper understanding and a life-changing perspective on the world. And lucky viewers get to come along for the ride without feeling the bumps in the road.

## Colonial House

Two one-hour episodes air back-to-back each Monday and Tuesday at 8 p.m. Central on PBS through May 25 and re-air at various times throughout the week. The entire series is also available for purchase on DVD and VHS. See www.pbs.org/wnet/colonialhouse/ for more information.

## INTELLIGENT LIFE DOES EXIST; 'ORIGINS' PROVES IT <br> Daily News <br> By David Bianculli

September 27, 2004
Tonight's season premiere of "Nova" presents the best celestial science program and host since Carl Sagan enthused about all those billions and billions of stars in "Cosmos.".
Astrophysicist Neil deGrasse Tyson is the guide for "Origins," and it's one of the best science series PBS, or anyone else, has presented this year.
"Origins," premiering tonight at 8 with a two-hour block on WNET/Ch. 13 (another two hours concludes the series next Tuesday), is about nothing less than what "The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy" author Douglas Adams referred to as "Life, the Universe and Everything."

It explores and explains why the Big Bang banged; why life developed on Earth and how the planet itself developed over cons; and what the central ingredients of all living things, including ourselves, really are.

For that last mystery, it turns out that, 35 years ago in her song "Woodstock," Joni Mitchell answered it before the scientists did: "We are stardust."

There's so much about "Origins" to praise and enjoy. It's a show you'll want to watch, want to tape, and most probably want to keep.

Tyson is a great guide. He's one of the first current-generation PBS hosts to emerge with the combination of knowledge, enthusiasm and charisma that typified the likes of Sagan, David Attenborough and James Burke.

Second, the images are astounding - and there are many different types of images.
There's actual film of remote, otherworldly locations from around our own planet, from giant craters to hydrogen sulfide caves. There are pictures from planetary observatories and spacebound probes. There are computer-generated images of faraway galaxies and presumed long-ago cosmic collisions that look astounding, and approximations of the earth's molten and early stages that seem delivered from a high-definition time machine.

Yet for all that, the image most likely to make you gasp in appreciative disbelief comes in next week's installment, when we witness an octopus whose ability to mimic his surroundings makes even a chameleon stick out like Boy George at an Amish farm.

The scientists interviewed in "Origins" explain all this with a flair for the simple phrase and evocative simile.

One scientist postulates that planets were formed by loose groupings of smaller, similar bits of material, like giant solar dust bunnies. Comets, says another scientist while explaining their sometimes unpredictable content, "are like cats: they both have tails, and they both do what they want to."

Carbon is the universal element referred to as "the star of the show," and the visual aids are just as relatable.

The series, with Tyson as executive editor and Thomas Levenson as executive producer, clearly is a labor of love from many teams of scientists, animators and documentary filmmakers.

It's got eye-popping animation of cataclysmic planetary collisions (no wonder the dinosaurs didn't make it), and also has very funny rants from scientists upset about such scientifically preposterous things as the literally gut-wrenching parasite from "Alien."

You'll appreciate the hard work that goes into postulating, proving and disproving theories. You'll appreciate, perhaps most of all, the miracle of time, and how it took a lack of planetary trauma more than a half-billion years to allow man to become the one species to develop technological intelligence.

We're the only creatures we know, in the entire universe, who have created television.
When it's stuff like "Origins," that all seems worth it. When it's stuff like "Blue Collar TV," I'm more impressed by the octopi and other cephalopods.

Tyson, describing the size of the universe before the Big Bang, holds up a single precious object and says that, at the time, the universe "was smaller than this pearl."

That's hard to believe - and, like "Origins," impossible to forget.

# REGARDS TO BROADWAY; A SIX-PART PBS DOCUMENTARY, A VALENTINE TO THE GREAT WHITE WAY'S MUSICALS, COVERS THE BO ARS FROM IRVING BERLINE TO MEL BROOKS 

Newsday
October 17, 2004

By Blake Green

Caricaturist Al Hirschfeld, famous for his line drawings of theater people, was well into his 90s when he was interviewed for "Broadway: The American Musical," PBS' six-part documentary that begins airing on WNET/13 Tuesday and continues Wednesday and Thursday evenings.
"He'd seen more Broadway shows than anyone alive because he'd gone to every opening for 75 years," said Michael Kantor, who created, directed, co-wrote and co-produced the sprawling project that spans 100-plus years of this special facet of American culture. The filmmaker, who has worked on TV documentaries with Ken and Ric Burns, is also the co-author, with Laurence Maslon, of a thick, richly illustrated companion book with the same title (Bulfinch, \$60).

The landmark series, hosted by Julie Andrews, was almost a decade in the making; Kantor marks its incubation in 1996 with the first of dozens of interviews with Hirschfeld, who spoke reassuringly about the survival of Broadway. "The form changes; you have to roll with the punches," says the bearded, elfin artist in "Putting It Together: 1980-Present," Thursday's sixth and final segment.

Theater's optimistic future
The subject of a round of recent interviews to promote the series, Kantor also professed optimism for the future of theater (sometimes bleakly called "the fabulous invalid"). Even after a decade in the trenches of grant proposals and fund-raising for the series, he still is enthusiastic about the musical, which he chronicles from 1893 and vaudeville to the mega-buck extravaganzas of the 21 st century.

His starting point was selected, Kantor explained, because that year marked the arrival in New York of Irving Berlin, the songwriter, and Florenz Ziegfeld, the impresario, each in his own way instrumental in the development of the Broadway musical.

Berlin's contribution is more obvious - in his century on the planet, he wrote some of our most beloved popular music, including the score of 1946's "Annie Get Your Gun," which includes the entertainer's anthem, "There's No Business Like Show Business." The songwriter's music and lyrics also propel 1919's "Ziegfeld Follies," one of the revues for which Ziegfeld is remembered.

But "Give My Regards to Broadway: 1893-1927" and "Syncopated City: 1919-1933," the first two hours of the series, establish Ziegfeld's place beyond presenting a vaudeville showcase for long- legged, beautiful women and wisecracking comedians.

His finely tuned roster of talent included such legends as the inimitable Fanny Brice (later the subject of "Funny Girl," a 1964 Broadway musical that became a 1968 film, both starring Barbra Streisand) and the influential black comic Bert Williams, one of the first minstrel performers to cross over into Broadway's mainstream. Williams' career "provides a window into the racial issues of the time," Kantor said.

Perhaps Ziegfeld's greatest accomplishment was producing "Show Boat," Jerome Kern and Oscar Hammerstein II's 1927 classic, considered the first musical with a story - a complex political plot concerning racism that now seems truly remarkable for its time. As host, Andrews introduces each of the series' segments, from Ziegfeld's own New Amsterdam Theatre on 42nd Street, which survived the degeneration of Times Square to be meticulously restored by the Walt Disney Company for the opening of 1997's "The Lion King." Both Michael Eisner, Disney's chairman, and Julie Taymor, the creative force behind the hugely successful, still-running musical, are featured in Thursday's final hour.
(In Wednesday night's second segment, "Oh, What a Beautiful Mornin': 1943-1960," Andrews addresses her own best-known musical theater contributions, 1956's "My Fair Lady" and 1960's "Camelot.")

In shaping the series, Kantor said he wanted to avoid the nostalgic pastiche of the "That's Entertainment" films of the 1970s, in which snippets of MGM musicals were introduced by their stars.

Rather, the goal was "to place things in historical and social context," he explained. "We tried to base each segment around certain people and a strong theme." He felt it essential to show that Broadway musicals often reflected the nation's social phenomena. The wave of immigration at the turn of the 20th century, Prohibition, the Depression, the World Wars, the sexual revolution, AIDS, 9/11. (While there's been no musical about the World Trade Center tragedy, directorchoreographer Susan Stroman ( "The Producers") says in her interview that she believes the watershed event is "why audiences now want more of an escape" in their musicals.)

Determined to go "beyond the best and confront the why," Kantor said he wanted to explore the musical as a peculiarly American - and New York - form. "I think the strength of the piece is not that it's uncovering a lot of stuff never seen before, but that it weaves together a lot of material a Gershwin show next to a Porter next to a Rodgers and Hart so you can see who influenced who."

## Inspired by 'A Chorus Line'

This includes performers, as well: "Hairspray" choreographer Jerry Mitchell recounts in his interview that seeing 1975's "A Chorus Line" as a young man inspired him to learn dance steps he would later use as a performer.

Archival footage, home movies, movie musicals, still photographs, diaries, letters, existing and newly taped interviews, original cast recordings - all were possibilities for inclusion. There was no dearth of material. Kantor points out that the DVD for the series includes five additional hours of outtakes.

To compensate for the scarcity of films of many Broadway shows, songs and scenes performed elsewhere are used: Ethel Merman belts out "I've Got Rhythm" years after she first sang it in 1930's "Girl Crazy." The cast of 1968's "Hair" delights the audience of "The Ed Sullivan Show" during an appearance on his television show.

Among the most poignant talking heads are theater luminaries such as Hirschfeld and lyricist Adolph Green, both deceased. Kantor interviewed a "Ziegfeld girl," Dana O'Connell, before she
died, and talked with Frances Gershwin Godowsky, George and Ira Gershwin's late sister.
Having PBS committed to the project opened many doors, Kantor said. "It's a question of trust when you ask these estates [Cole Porter, Rodgers and Hammerstein, Leonard Bernstein, et al]. How could you do a history of Broadway without their permission?"

In their interviews, director Hal Prince and composer Stephen Sondheim, giants of Broadway musicals in the last half of the 20th century, supply interesting observations and anecdotes about their lives in the theater together and apart. (One of their collaborations, 1976's "Pacific Overtures," is scheduled for a revival on Broadway next month.)

Prince recalls seeing a Ziegfeld show: "Very innocent, very American." Sondheim describes accompanying Hammerstein, his surrogate father and mentor, and his wife to the opening of 1945's "Carousel" and being so moved by the musical's story that he wept all over Dorothy Hammerstein's fur coat.

As he talks of composing the complicated and "creepy" score of 1979's "Sweeney Todd" (directed by Prince), Sondheim, whose style sent the musical off in a new direction, explains he "thought it would be fun to see if we could scare a contemporary audience."

In the years since, the Broadway musical has continued to evolve, surviving such outside influences as the so-called "British invasion" of blockbuster musicals in the '80s ("Cats," "The Phantom of the Opera," "Les Misérables," "Miss Saigon").

To exemplify current styles, Kantor chose "Wicked," a Broadway hit with fantastic special effects. He follows the show's creative process through its opening night last year, including a discussion of its financial viability (it may pay back its investors, often not the case in Broadway musicals).

A theater poster from 1927's "Show Boat" lists ticket prices as $\$ 1-\$ 5$, and, with current prices having spiraled to $\$ 100$, "Broadway: The American Musical" cannot avoid addressing the crippling finances of producing a musical: Tuesday's segment begins the discussion with news clips of the first Actor's Equity strike in 1919.

Cameron Mackintosh, who produced most of those London- spawned productions, explains in his interview that "to create a show on Broadway costs twice as much as in London."

The effects of high costs
When he produced (and directed) "Follies" in 1971, Prince says it cost $\$ 800,000$ - "the most expensive musical ever." "Wicked" is a $\$ 14$ million production. This inflation, Kantor points out, has affected not only the type of producers - more and more frequently corporations such as Disney and, in the case of "Wicked," Universal Studios - but the type of show. Adaptations of successful movies and revivals of old shows (such as 1964's "Fiddler on the Roof," currently on Broadway) often are today's musical fare.

Nevertheless, Kantor insisted that things haven't gotten completely out of whack. To support his optimism, he quoted Jerry Orbach, the Broadway song-and- dance-man-turned "Law \& Order" detective, whom he interviewed for the series. Theater tickets, Orbach points out, have always been - as they are today - about 10 times the price of movies.

Performing for your pleasure...
PBS' "Broadway: The American Musical: documentary series featurs two hour-long segments each evening, beginning at 9 p.m. on Channel 13.

Tuesday: "Give my Regards to Braodway: 1893-1927" and Syncopated City: 1919-1933," Among those featured are Irving Berlin, Florenz Ziegfeld, George M. Cohan, Fanny Brice, Bert Williams, Eddie Canotr, Eubie Blake, Al Jolson, RIchard ROdgers and Lorenz Hart

Wednesday: "Igot Got Plenty O'Nuttin': 1930-1942" Featured are Oscar Hammerstein II, Richard Rodgers, George Gershwin, Ethel Merman, Ethel Waters, Cole Porter and Fred Astaire, plus clips of musicals from "Oklahoma!" to "The Sound of Music."

Thursday: "Tradition; 1957-1979" and "Putting It Together: 1980-Present." Featured are Bob Fosse, Stephen Sondheim, Michael Bennett, David Merrick, Cameron Mackintosh, Jonathan Larson and musicals from "A Chorus Line" to "Wicked."

There is a companion book by the same name (Bulfinch Press) and a special Web site (www.pbs.org/broadway) with information and illustrations about the Broadway musical.

Also available are a three-tape VHS set and a three-disc DVD set of the series, a five-CD boxed set with more than 100 recordings (Columbia Broadway Masterworks and Decca Broadway) and a single CD with 21 selections. Mic-hael Kantor, the documentary's filmmaker and co-author of the book, says there is also an educational teacher's guide being sent to 15,000 secondary schools that offers the opportunity to examine American history and culture through Broadway musicals. In one of the exercises, he said, students will listen to Irving Berlin's "My New York" on the accompanying CD and be asked to write the lyrics to a song about their own hometown.

## THAT'S IT -- A BILINGUAL SHOW; 'MAYA \& MIGUEL' IS AN ANIMATED BLEND OF ENGLISH AND SPANISH

The Washington Post
November 11, 2004
By Luz Lazo
If you hear the phrase " $\{\mathrm{iexcl}\}$ Eso es!" on PBS Kids, it's time to get ready for a bilingual adventure with 10 -year-old twins Maya and Miguel Santos.

That's because the minute Maya says "\{iexcl\}Eso es!" or "That's it!", Miguel and their amigos in the television series "Maya \& Miguel" know she has just come up with a great idea. And that means they're in for a new adventure.

This animated series features characters who speak English and Spanish, eat chilaquiles and taco pizzas and live in a colorful and fun neighborhood.

The twins are more different than alike. Maya is three minutes younger than Miguel and she's smart, creative, ambitious and romantic. Miguel is also smart, but he's modest, patient and cool and he knows when to say, "I know you, Maya. . . ." That means he suspects she has come up with yet another idea.

Their mother, Rosa Santos, is from Mexico and their father, Santiago Santos, is from Puerto Rico -- and they run a pet store together. Everyone is bilingual in the Santos family, including their parrot, Paco, which humorously repeats after everyone (in Spanish if they have spoken in English or in English if they have spoken in Spanish).

Some third-graders at Oyster Elementary, a bilingual school in Washington, watched an episode for KidsPost and couldn't stop laughing. Jhoana Herrera, 7, said her favorite character was the loro (parrot).
"I really liked the bird; he was so funny! The show is very funny and the kids always have ideas about how to clean up their messes," she said.

Hannah Bernhardt, 8 , said the show is "a little funny and a little serious. It is good because children who only speak Spanish understand what's happening and those who only speak English can learn a few Spanish words."
"Maya \& Miguel" is not the first bilingual show for children, but it is the first of its kind that targets kids ages 6 to 11 .

According to the U.S. Census, Hispanic Americans are the largest minority group in the country, with more than 37 million people. Deborah Forte of Scholastic Entertainment, the company that came up with "Maya \& Miguel," says the show can help kids understand that the United States is a country of many cultures and languages.
"There are a lot of families who are bilingual," Forte said. "Our children are growing up as part of a diverse America. It's important that they recognize that."

But the show isn't just for bilingual families.
"I think all children can relate to this show. All kids who have siblings are going to relate to Maya and Miguel ... someone who has a friend can relate, whether they are Latinos or not," Forte said.

## COMPELLING `GRIZZLY' ASKS RIGHT QUESTIONS (EXCERPT)

Chicago Tribune
November 19, 2004

## By Maureen Ryan

Grizzly bears have gone from barely surviving to thriving, but there may be a downside to their comeback.
"Nature's" compelling "The Good, The Bad, and the Grizzly" (7 p.m. Sunday, WTTW-Ch. 11) examines the threats still facing the resurgent bear population of Yellowstone National Park. Almost hunted to extinction, only to be "rescued" as a nearly tame entertainment attraction at the less enlightened Yellowstone of 40 years ago, the animals have resoundingly reclaimed their wild heritage and increased their numbers substantially.

Yet it's no surprise, given the dazzling scenery on display in this beautifully shot documentary, that the very Western states that witnessed the grizzly comeback have also seen a large surge in human populations. Not only are the majestic creatures hemmed in by development and logging in and around their wild habitats, but several of the bears' key food sources also are under threat as well.

Can bears and humans live together in peace? "Grizzly" doesn't provide easy answers, but it certainly asks the right questions...
...Speaking of mountains, a truly gripping outdoors adventure is depicted in the acclaimed docudrama "Touching the Void" (8 p.m. Sunday, WTTW-Ch. 11). Based on the true story of two British climbers who miraculously survived a disastrous 1985 climb in the Peruvian Andes, "Void" asks profound questions about life, death and friendship.

## 'BLACKNESS' EARNS BURNS A KNOCKOUT

Daily News
January 17, 2005
By David Bianculli
UNFORGIVABLE BLACKNESS: THE RISE AND FALL OF JACK JOHNSON. Tonight and tomorrow night at 9, PBS (WNET/Ch. 13). 3.5 Stars

The latest documentary from Ken Burns and company is a tightly focused look at one man, at one point in history.

Yet like Burns' more expansive "Baseball," it's a sports documentary that ends up transcending sports. And like "The Civil War," it's a period piece, with uncomfortably timely parallels about the divisiveness of race and racism.
"Unforgivable Blackness: The Rise and Fall of Jack Johnson," presented in nightly two-hour installments tonight and tomorrow at 9 on PBS (WNET/Ch. 13), is a biography of the first African-American boxing heavyweight champ.

If his name doesn't ring a bell, he's a fighter who was so imposing in the early 20 th century, with such infuriating swagger outside the ring, that a search was launched to find the "great white hope" - one who could defeat this proud, powerful, often defiant black man.

In the ring, Jackson was formidable. Outside, he was just as large a figure: a sharp dresser, speeding in expensive automobiles and sleeping with a string of white women.

In retelling Johnson's story, director Burns, writer Geoffrey C. Ward and producers Dave Schaye, Paul Barnes and Burns have the benefit of silent film footage of many of Johnson's later fights, especially his championship bouts in 1908 and 1910. In the former, he faced white champion Tommy Burns in an unprecedented opportunity, as a black man, to win the heavyweight championship title. In the former, he faced undefeated, formerly retired champ Jim Jeffries in "The Battle of the Century."
"Unforgivable Blackness": uses these assets brilliantly - adding sound, slowing and stopping certain frames, and bringing each battle to life.

As always, Burns proves better than anyone in the business at isolating the drama in still photographs. From a wide shot of a boxing arena, he'll slowly focus in on a single face in the crowd - a face that seems to capture undiluted racist hatred. Or he'll have his camera pan down slowly on a photo of a bridge, to land chillingly, on the figures lynched beneath.

The narrative, which traces the persecution of Johnson as well as his boxing exploits, is given additional power by Wynton Marsalis' marvelous music and by the voices of Samuel L. Jackson as Johnson and Keith David as the narrator.

Of the on-screen experts interviewed, the most powerful are Daily News columnist Stanley Crouch (whose glee at telling parts of this story is undisguised) and James Earl Jones, whose best comments are saved for the very last, when he tells of playing Johnson on Broadway and having Muhammad Ali come to see him - and seeing lots of Johnson in himself.

You, too, will see the parallels, in and out of the ring. And when the voice of Billy Bob Thornton is heard reading the Los Angeles Times' reaction to Johnson's victory against Jeffries in 1910 it's called "A Note to the Black Man" - you'll see and hear history come to life in a horrifyingly unvarnished way.
"Unforgivable," in many ways, is both impressively and regrettably unforgettable.

## PBS'S CLASSICAL 'CONVERSATIONS' IS A CONCERT IN WORDS

The Washington Post
June 18, 2005

## By Tim Page

Over the past few decades, classical music has become a rarer and rarer commodity on network television. Gone are the days when Leonard Bernstein would take the New York Philharmonic -and a rapt audience -- through complicated musical concepts on the deceptively titled "Young People's Concerts" (they were equally instructive for adults). Today, we are lucky to get the Three Tenors vamping their way absentmindedly 'through "Singin' in the Rain". once or twice a year during fundraising weeks.

Which is why a four-part television series that will be presented by WETA, Channel 26, this weekend and next deserves your attention. The programs, collectively titled "Great Conversations in Music," were commissioned by the Library of Congress and produced and directed by Peter Rosen. They manage to convey some important information about an increasingly neglected art.

The host is Eugene Istomin, a celebrated pianist, a brilliant and cultured man and a Washingtonian of many years standing, who died of cancer in late 2003. He was very sick when he recorded these conversations between December 2001 and March 2003. Yet he brings his customary grace and good humor to the interviews; as one who had the good fortune to dine with the Istomins on several occasions, I can attest that these shows bring back long-ago evenings on Connecticut Avenue as conversations and ideas flowed late into the night.

The first of the programs -- which will air tomorrow at 1:30-- is titled "The Pianists" and is, overall, probably the best in the series. Istomin is joined by his contemporaries Gary Graffman, Charles Rosen and Leon Fleisher and, from a younger generation, Emanuel Ax and Yefim Bronfman to discuss all aspects of professional pianism -- from how one gets started, through proper and improper ways to play Mozart, through the legacy of Rachmaninoff. (Istomin plays a moment of Rachmaninoff's underrated Piano Concerto No. 4, then adds, "It's cocktail lounge music, except that it's sublime.")

Fleisher remembers practice sessions from when he was a toddler. ("I loved it. I got lots of cookies for it.") Mentors are discussed and appraised; there is much collegial give-and-take and everybody seems to be having a grand time. Among the pianists, it is generally acknowledged that music is a great art and a difficult business, and nobody Istomin talks to would recommend the field without some serious reservations. Rosen sums up the professional criteria: "You only become a pianist if you're not willing to become anything else."
"The Composers" comes next, at 2:30 tomorrow. George Perle and Milton Babbitt, two of our leading modernists, were pushing 90 when this talk was recorded; the panel also includes composer, diarist and critic Ned Rorem (one of Istomin's closest friends); Ellen Taaffe Zwilich (who became the first woman to win the Pulitzer Prize for composition in 1983); and two younger composers, Lowell Lieberman and Richard Danielpour.

This episode does not have quite the same flow as "The Pianists," which seemed an unbroken symposium. Here, we have spliced bits and pieces from Istomin's guests -- but some of those are illuminating. Babbitt offers his creed: "I write the music I like most to hear, and for anybody else
who is interested." Rorem says that he was always aware, from earliest youth, that he was a composer, but had to find out exactly what to do about it.

Zwilich mentions that she began composing when she discovered that she found her own improvisations more interesting than "the junk that I was given as a 5-year-old to play on the piano." She also defends popular music from those among her colleagues who would dismiss it all as commercial nonsense: "I'm sure there is an underground of pop music that you don't hear that may be very interesting." (She's right.)

On June 26, two further programs will be telecast back to back: "The Virtuosos" at 1:30 and "The Conductors" at 2:30. "The Conductors". (with Zubin Mehta, James Conlon and Mstislav Rostropovich) is the least interesting in the series: All the interviews are conducted solo, and they don't generally go much deeper than the typical " 20 minutes in a hotel suite" platitudes that usually result from brief encounters with the rich and famous.

But "The Virtuosos" is engaging -- a gathering of leading violinists (Pamela Frank, Jaime Laredo and Arnold Steinhardt), cellists (Lynn Harrell, Yo-Yo Ma and Sharon Robinson) and pianists (Claude Frank and Joseph Kalichstein). I particularly enjoyed Pamela Frank's thoughtful, humane and poignant definition of chamber music -- "It's a way to combat loneliness." Many more such nuggets stud "Great Conversations in Music."

## 'NO DIRECTION': SCORSESE POINTS THE WAY TO DYLAN

The Washington Post
September 26, 2005

## By Ann Hornaday

"No Direction Home" represents a great musical-cinematic summit, as no less than the great Martin Scorsese directs -- with superb control and judgment -- what surely qualifies as the definitive documentary about Bob Dylan. "No Direction Home" will be broadcast in two parts tonight and tomorrow night on PBS's "American Masters" series, and in the bargain viewers get two masters -- one a hugely influential singer and songwriter with a canny, thoroughly American knack for self-invention and the other a filmmaker with a thumb (to recycle an encomium Dylan has dodged throughout his career) firmly on the pulse of his generation.

It's a happy collaboration. "No Direction Home" offers a lively, absorbing, often deeply moving account of how Robert Zimmerman from the small mining town of Hibbing, Minn., became -through talent, luck and calculating ambition -- the musician, icon and enigma we know as Bob Dylan. Wisely, Scorsese limits his scope to the early years, from Dylan's birth in 1941 to 1966, when he outraged fans and folk purists by going electric. The result isn't a comprehensive compendium of factoids or deep dish -- there's precious little personal information related in the 207-minute running time -- but instead a tightly focused portrait of a young artist searching for his musical and professional identity and whose search happened to bring him to the very center of the American political and cultural zeitgeist.

Most of the facts of Zimmerman's journey to Dylan are well known by now, thanks to endless hagiographic deconstructions of his life and to his own well-regarded autobiography, which came out last year. So Scorsese -- who long ago earned his rock-doc bona fides with "The Last Waltz," about the Band -- wisely structures "No Direction Home" around a central tension, in this case the startlingly hostile reception Dylan received when he toured with the Band (then called the Hawks) in Britain in 1966. When those concerts were released in 1998 as part of Dylan's ongoing "Bootleg" recording series, fans heard the famous "Judas!" episode, when a fan yelled the epithet at Dylan and the appalled singer responded with, "I don't believe you!" then ripped into a blistering version of "Like a Rolling Stone."

That song, with fans booing all the way through, opens "No Direction Home," and Scorsese returns to those contentious concerts throughout the film. They not only provide much-needed narrative tension but the ideal leitmotif for an artist who for so long has engaged in an ambivalent gavotte with his fans, as interested in courting them as he is in confounding them. "No Direction Home" gets to the heart of that ambivalence, with Dylan -- a famous trickster who began to mythologize his past almost as soon as he got to Greenwich Village in 1961 -- at least appearing to provide some straight answers to what has driven him all these years. Scorsese also interviews Dylan's longtime friends, collaborators and mentors, among them Dave Van Ronk, Liam Clancy, Maria Muldaur and Joan Baez, who recounts her personal and professional power struggles with Dylan with tart, candid affection. (One question: Where's Robbie Robertson?)

Dylan has been the subject of documentaries before, most famously in D.A. Pennebaker's 1967 "Don't Look Back." Scorsese avails himself of clips from that film, as well as Pennebaker's rarely seen "Eat the Document" and Murray Lerner's "Festival," about the Newport Folk Festival (there's footage of Dylan's notorious first electric set at the 1965 festival, but none of the legendary and probably apocryphal fistfight between folklorist Alan Lomax and Dylan manager

Albert Grossman). Perhaps only a director of Scorsese's caliber could have produced not just a fascinating portrait of Dylan's meteoric rise but a vivid social history and an obliquely witty examination of the packaging and marketing of the folk craze in the 1960s (some scenes seem plucked directly from Christopher Guest's satire "A. Mighty Wind"). It should be noted that the director, with editor David Tedeschi, accomplishes all of this without the crutch of narration; the only time you hear a voice-over is when Scorsese reads a speech that Dylan wrote but never delivered when he received an award from the Emergency Civil Liberties Committee in 1963.

But the most valuable material, by far, is that of Dylan's less publicized influences -- not only Woody Guthrie but Odetta, Leadbelly, Webb Pierce and John Jacob Niles -- as well as early scenes, such as a 1963 performance of "Man of Constant Sorrow," in which an impossibly green kid from Hibbing seems literally to be finding his voice and the persona that would undergo so many transformations in successive years. Then there are the occasional grace notes, such as a goosebump-inducing duet with Johnny Cash on "I'm So Lonesome I Could Cry."

Throughout "No Direction Home," Dylan emerges as a cultural magpie -- he calls himself "a musical expeditionary" -- who is constantly reaching back into the canon even while he reinvents it, and his own songs, again and again. During one of several painful encounters with a clueless 1960s press corps, Dylan -- by then a reluctant mascot for the antiwar and civil rights movements -- is asked whether he considers himself the voice of his generation. "I think of myself as a song-and-dance man," he says simply. Gracefully interweaving Dylan's artistry and ambition, "No Direction Home" puts him in his rightful place, not only alongside America's greatest poets and visionaries but also its showmen; he's an heir to Guthrie and Jack Kerouac, it's true, but there's a playful tip of the hat as well to such archetypal entertainers as Stephen Foster, George M. Cohan and P.T. Barnum.
"No Direction Home" ends on an electrifying note, literally and figuratively, as viewers see for the first time on-screen the famous Judas performance; the moment -- defiant, thrilling and deeply emotional -- is a triumphant conclusion to a story that, gratifyingly, hasn't ended. In a postscript, Scorsese informs viewers that after his motorcycle accident in the summer of 1966, Dylan stopped touring for eight years. What the filmmaker might have added is that then, he never stopped.

No Direction Home airs at 9 p.m. on Channel 26 ( 90 minutes tonight; two hours tomorrow night) and on Maryland Public Television (two hours each night).

PBS UNCOVERS IMAGINATION OF AMERICAN ART 'ICONS'
Pittsburgh Post-Gazette
December 28, 2005

By Mary Thomas

"Imagining America: Icons of 20th Century American Art" is a fast-paced, visually seductive, entertaining and informative time travel through the Wonderland of American art with emphasis on the ways artworks reflect the times in which they were created.

A program that art lovers and history buffs will appreciate, it also demystifies a complex subject, making it accessible and enjoyable for a broad audience.

The beautifully filmed show ( 9 to 11 tonight on WQED) is peppered with many of American art's most celebrated images, moved along by a soundtrack ranging from Copland to Coltrane to rap that provides an aural parallel to the evolution of the visual imagery.

Most thrilling are the clips from archival interviews that momentarily return to life major figures like Georgia O'Keeffe (finding her artistic vision), Marcel Duchamp (French, but highly influential and worked in New York City), Jackson Pollock (creating a famed drip painting), Robert Smithson (constructing "Spiral Jetty") and Andy Warhol (spinning his media persona).

Adept editing keeps the interspersed interviews with more than 50 contemporary critics, curators and artists lively. Among the latter are several who have exhibited in Carnegie Internationals: John Baldessari, Mike Kelley, Kerry James Marshall, Elizabeth Murray and Edward Ruscha.

The story opens, as background, with Hudson River School founder Thomas Cole's seminal 1836 landscape painting "The Oxbow," which both celebrates and cautions about the encroachment of European civilization upon America's wilderness heritage, and ends with mass-media- inspired urban works created in the 1980s by Jean-Michel Basquiat and David Wojnarowicz. Their creations critique a culture seemingly set adrift in a sea of dissociated visuals.

The three-part century overview flows while packing in numerous factual nuggets. Subheads order the wealth of material and focus viewer attention on significant points.

Such an ambitious subject has to be selectively addressed given only two hours, and filmmakers John Carlin, Jonathan Fineberg and Hart Perry present one of various possible lines of interpretation, albeit an extremely informed one, that affirms New York's prominence of place.

One might question the iconic status of Basquiat and Wojnarowicz, who died while their careers were still rising (the former of a heroin overdose in 1988 and the latter of an AIDS-related illness in 1992). However, their inclusion does reflect the plurality and diversity that were the tenor of the times by century's end, and their compelling works are certainly part of the great throbbing beat that continues to pump the pulse of American art.

Some major movements and artists are ignored, such as heavily intellectual Minimalism and Conceptualism, but overall, "Imagining America" is a substantial achievement and a valuable contribution to efforts to bring art back into mainstream discourse, as it was at the beginning of the 20 th century.
'Imagining America,' the book
A chic and graphically effervescent companion book by Carlin and Fineberg and published by Yale University Press deserves its own accolades for snazzy design layering that gives the reader options to take a visually opulent quick glide through or to linger and savor specific artworks or ideas.

While the book follows the film fairly faithfully, it's more expansive with the biographical details of featured artists' lives, discussion of the significance of and influence of their works and contextualization of visual art within that of other cultural expression, such as poetry or film. Some of the featured artists differ.

Where the film has the intimacy of artists speaking, here it's their legacy that shines. Full-color reproduction of the artworks, some also in detail, allow close examination and pondering.

Carlin, who holds a Yale doctorate as well as a law degreee from Columbia University, is cofounder and CEO of Funny Garbage, a New York interactive media and broadcast production company, and co-founder and director of The Red Hot Organization, a nonprofit that's organized celebrity AIDS benefits. Fineberg, a Harvard Ph.D. who has also trained as a research candidate in psychoanalysis at Boston and Western New England Institutes for Psychoanalysis, is professor of art history and visiting professor of computer sciences at University of Illinois, UrbanaChampaign.

They dedicate the volume to "a more empathic America:"
A useful, if lean, index helpfully boldfaces major artist entries. Of these 18 , two are black and one is a woman; the remainder are white males. Even with the most enlightened authors and the best intentions, broad representation in the art world remains problematic. But it's an honest representation, and who was favored and who was not at a given time is part of the tale and concomitant discussion.
"Imagining America" is available at bookstores, 208 pages, 400 color illustrations, $\$ 49.95$.
'Imagining America: Icons of 20th Century American Art'
When: 9 tonight, WQED.

## Tab 8

To: $\quad$ General Managers
Program Managers
Promotion Managers
From: John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford
Date: $\quad$ October 6, 2003

Attached please find:

- Winter 2004 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Winter 2004 Common Carriage Designations

The winter 2004 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the National Program Service schedule in the upcoming season. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of January through March 2004. We plan to publish the spring 2004 schedule by the end of December.

While the winter 2004 schedule largely continues the weekly template set in motion this fall, several important new components and strategies are evident. Continuing the pattern begun this fall, Sunday nights begin with American Family at 7:00 PM and continue with Nature at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre. The new episodes from the second season of AMERICAN FAMILY will begin airing in April 2004. Note: on the attached grids, you'll see American Family listed in a special box just above each Sunday.

On Mondays, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW kicks off the new year with a new host, Lara Spencer, and an all-new season. At 9:00 PM, AMERICAN EXPERIENCE begins its 2004 season, which will continue through the spring. NOVA pairs with science programming on Tuesday nights, and INDEPENDENT LENS rounds out the night, hosted by Don Cheadle. Wednesday continues to be programmed with arts and performance programs from Great Performances, American Masters and Live From Lincoln Center, as well as with top-notch specials and miniseries such as "The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's" and "The Medici: Godfathers of the Renaissance."

Thursdays will continue to feature The New This Old House Hour at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by Frontline. Fridays remain the home of Washington Week, Wall \$treet Week with Fortune and NOW with Bill Moyers.

We believe you'll want the breaks between these programs in your primetime schedule to feature PBS/NPR NEWSBRIEFS, currently slated for a national launch at the beginning of January (following a late-autumn launch for early adopters). We're setting these brief headline updates in motion to place more content in our breaks, to add a human face to PBS primetime and to add more currency and relevance to our lineup.

And after primetime concludes, we're pleased to welcome PBS' newest addition to late fringe: THE TAVIS SmiLEY SHOw. If you aren't already familiar with him, Tavis Smiley is a veteran talk show host with an ongoing NPR series, and before that, a show on BET. He will bring an energetic new dynamic, talking with top newsmakers, critics and artists from diverse backgrounds in a new half-hour NPS series to begin in January.

Our goals remain consistent: to craft an NPS that will continue to garner critical acclaim and prestigious awards; foster common carriage, continue to support member stations' local development efforts; and generate national and local underwriting, as well as ancillary distribution revenue, all while educating and inspiring audiences. We're excited about the prospects for this winter's schedule, and we believe it will deliver on each of these goals.

Working with the best producers, PBS Programming Services has built on your behalf an impressive pipeline that provides a steady stream of top-quality educational, engaging programming. With each season, we strategically position these programs into a compelling national schedule that we hope will provide a strong foundation for your local services. Our work also supplies the product base for expanding distribution opportunities such as home video, pbs.org and packaged programming services, including the PBS Kids Channel and PBS You. PBS Programming Services' continuing work toward our shared goals is demonstrated throughout the winter 2004 National Program Service schedule. On the following pages, you'll find some program highlights, including specials, limited series and continuing series' special presentations, to look forward to in the upcoming season.

## PBS Kids

Look for a separate memo posted to PBS Express detailing the first significant changes to PBS Kids feeds since last January. Our latest addition to the PBS Kids lineup is BOOHBAH, beginning as a weekday series on January 19.

## BOOHBAH

Weekday half-hour from Ragdoll
From the producers of Teletubbies, this new live-action/animated series geared towards preschoolers fosters creative interactivity, visible learning and audience involvement, deliberately employing infectious magical movements that children love to imitate. The Boohbahs themselves are five sparkling, animated atoms of energy and fun that travel the world on a ribbon of rainbow light, in their glowing white Boohball. Responding to the chants and laughter of children, they become energized and jump into action. Then by the power of Boohbah magic, the kids send an object into Storyworld -- a sunny picture-book place of endless possibilities, where wonderful things happen and funny Storypeople find themselves in silly situations.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials

$D N A$
5/60 from Thirteen/WNET and Windfall Films
Fifty years after Watson and Crick solved the riddle of DNA, this five-part series explores how their discovery of the double helix, the icon of life, launched a new era of biology. It tells how initial experiments with cardboard models grew into one of the most ambitious endeavors in human history. Then it travels through the five decades of scientific achievement that have enabled us to be able to read the entire DNA sequence of a human being, trace human evolutionary history and map the combinations of genes that cause disease. Interviews with Jim Watson himself and a cast of other Nobel Prize winners combine with computer animations and re-constructions of key experiments.

## The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's

1/90 (plus 30-minute follow-up discussion) from TPT
Based on the recent acclaimed book by David Shenk, this 90-minute documentary explores Alzheimer's, taking both a sweeping and intimate look at how this cruel disease affects nearly five million Americans and their loved ones. At a critical time in our nation's struggle with the disease, as it threatens to reach epidemic levels because of the aging Baby Boomer population, the special will provide viewers with information, insight, context, help and hope. It weaves together the history and biology of Alzheimer's; the intense real-world experiences of patients and caregivers; and the race to find a cure. Actor David Hyde Pierce, a devoted advocate in the fight against Alzheimer's disease, will host a 30 -minute follow-up special, and extensive outreach is being planned around the project.

## America Beyond the Color Line with Henry Louis Gates Jr.

 2/120 from Wall to Wall TelevisionProfessor Henry Louis Gates Jr. examines the black community's role in several key sectors of American society. The four episodes will travel to the East Coast to explore black leadership in politics, academia and business; the South to look at the legacy of the civil rights era and the region's dramatic social revolution; California to examine the cultural industries of black America -- movies, music, sports and the media; and Chicago, where a quarter of black Americans still live under the poverty line and feel politically, socially and economically disenfranchised. Interviewees range from Colin Powell, Morgan Freeman and Alicia Keys, to everyday citizens and activists living and working in black communities, to prison inmates in Chicago's infamous Cook County Prison.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials, continued

In Search of Shakespeare
4/60 from Maya Vision
Hosted by intrepid traveler Michael Wood, this four-part history series explores the life and times of the world's greatest playwright, William Shakespeare. Mixing travel, adventure, interviews and specially shot sequences with the Royal Shakespeare Company on the road, the series shows his life in context with the era in which he lived, Elizabethan England, a time of surveillance, militarism and foreign wars. The Bard lived through the Spanish Armada, the Gunpowder Plot and the colonization of the New World, and saw firsthand England's Cultural Revolution, which led the English people into a new Protestant future.

## Innovation

8/60 from Thirteen/WNET
This eight-part series explores dramatic stories of cutting-edge technology, looking at the quirky personalities and serendipity that make them a reality as well as the drama and politics that go on behind the scenes among colleagues, competitors and countries. Topics include technological advances in aircraft design and safety; stem cell research; the world of espionage and today's latest spy techniques and gear; bionic body parts; the proliferation of fiber optics in global communications; post-September 11th skyscraper design; the military's new high-tech weaponry; and brain fingerprinting.

## The Medici: Godfathers of the Renaissance

$2 / 120$ from DDE and Lion Television
This is the story of the Medici, an extraordinary family that rose out of a small Italian community in Florence to stand at the helm of the Renaissance as the most influential dynasty in Europe for 300 years. They used charm, skill, duplicity and ruthlessness to garner unparalleled wealth and power. And they helped ignite the most important cultural and artistic revolution in Western Europe, inspiring the great artists, scientists and thinkers who gave birth to the modern world, including Michelangelo, Machiavelli, Luther, Copernicus and Galileo. An epic drama played out in the great courts, cathedrals and palaces of Europe, the series is both the tale of one family's powerful ambition and of the continent's tortured struggle to emerge from the ravages of the Dark Ages.

## The New Americans, an InDEPENDENT LENS Special

$2 / 120+1 / 180$ from Kartemquin Films, ITVS and LPB
From the makers of Hoор Dreams, this seven-part series follows several new immigrants and refugees over the course of three years as they leave their homelands to come to the United States in search of better lives and the American Dream. The stories include those of a young Palestinian woman who marries a first-generation Palestinian American from Chicago; two young Dominicans hoping to make it in major league baseball; an Indian computer programmer riding the technology boom in Silicon Valley; Mexicans looking for steady work and a new family home; and a family of refugees from Nigeria.
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## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the schedule's strength. This winter, our continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

AMERICAN FAMILY continues with episodes from the first season, leading up to the new second season beginning in April.

NATURE flies with colorful macaws, examines the sacred role of the cow in India and explores the many facets of diamonds.

EXXONMOBIL MASTERPIECE THEATRE follows up an encore run of the acclaimed miniseries "The Forsyte Saga" with a second miniseries that continues the fortunes of the Forsytes across five new hours.

Beginning in January, ANTIQUES Roadshow evaluates unique treasures in new episodes featuring new host Lara Spencer.

American Experience tells the stories leading up to and following the Civil War in "Abraham and Mary Lincoln: A House Divided" and the new series "Reconstruction: The Second Civil War."

NOVA spends time with the "devil docs" serving in Iraq in "Combat Hospital."
Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers offers viewers a "weight challenge" to see if they can keep their New Year's resolutions.

Host Don Cheadle introduces Independent Lens viewers to an octogenarian cajun band, a place where middle class African Americans have vacationed for generations, and the double violation of a woman who was raped and beaten and then subjected to the numbing inhumanity of the legal system.

AMERICAN MASTERS celebrates George Balanchine's 100th birthday and invites Judy Garland to tell her story in her own words.

Great Performances rings in the New Year from Vienna, re-creates the scenes of ballerinas painted by Edgar Degas and soars with new works by the Paul Taylor dance company.

LIVE FROM LINCOLN CENTER presents an intimate performance by the acclaimed Orpheus Chamber Orchestra and violinist Joshua Bell.

Frontline's winter season will include a program offering new insights into the war in Iraq.

2004 Winter NPS Program Schedule
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## Pledge Opportunities

Among the new Pledge Opportunity Specials (POPS) PBS is working on is THE MEDICI: GODFATHERS OF THE RENAISSANCE, which will feature an optional pledge version.

## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Express.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the winter 2004 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the winter 2004 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:

| John Wilson | $703-739-5155$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Jacoba Atlas | $310-360-9763$ |
| Steven Gray | $703-759-5012$ |
| Shawn Halford | $703-739-5247$ |

## WINTER 2004 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

## Continuing Series:

Sundays: Nature
ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre
Mondays: Antiques Roadshow
American Experience (including "Lincolns" and "Reconstruction")
Tuesdays: . NOVA (including "Mars Dead or Alive"' on Jan. 4 and 6)
Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers

Thursdays: Frontline
Fridays: Washington Week
Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE
NOW with Bill Moyers

## Limited Series and Specials:

Great Performances "From Vienna: The New Year's Celebration 2004"
Great Performances "Degas and the Dance".
American Masters "Balanchine!"
Flashpoints USA with Bryant Gumbel and Gwen Ifill
The Forgetting: A Portrait of Alzheimer's
America Beyond the Color Line with Henry Louis Gates, Jr.
In Search of Shakespeare
Innovation
The Medici: Godfathers of the Renaissance
American Masters "Judy Garland" (w.t.)
The New Americans, an "Independent Lens" Special

Notes:
The 2003-04 Common Carriage Year began on September 14, 2003, and concludes September 11, 2004. The 2003-04 carriage year encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

The period of March 4-March 28 contains no common carriage designations due to pledge activities during this time.

| To: | General Managers |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Program Managers |
|  | Promotion Managers |
| From: | John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford |
| Date: | December 31, 2003 |

Attached please find:

- Spring 2004 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Spring 2004 Common Carriage Designations

The spring 2004 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the National Program Service schedule in the upcoming season. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of April and May 2004. We plan to publish the summer 2004 schedule by the end of February.

In addition to the eagerly-awaited homegrown living history series "Colonial House," the spring 2004 schedule is built on new specials and episodes from the ongoing series. Continuing the pattern begun this fall, Sunday nights begin with new episodes from the second season of AMERICAN FAMILY at 7:00 PM [Note: on the attached grids, you'll see American Family listed in a special box just above each Sunday] and continue with Nature at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre.

On Mondays, Antiques Roadshow leads into American Experience. NOVA pairs with science programming on Tuesday nights, and INDEPENDENT LENS rounds out the night. Wednesday continues to be programmed with arts and performance programs from Great Performances, American Masters and Live From Lincoln Center, as well as with top-notch specials and miniseries such as "Small Ball: Little League Stories" and "Japan: Memoirs of a Secret Empire."

Thursdays will continue to feature The New This Old House Hour at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by Frontline. Fridays remain the home of Washington Week, Wall \$treet Week with Fortune and NOW with Bill Moyers.

We believe you'll want each break between these programs in your primetime schedule to feature the PBS/NPR NEWSBRIEF, currently launching on stations across the country. Anchors Beverly Kirk and Sheilah Kast provide a human face for PBS each night while delivering the headlines that keep us current and relevant.

Our goals remain consistent: to craft an NPS that will continue to garner critical acclaim and prestigious awards; foster common carriage; continue to support member stations' local development efforts; and generate national and local underwriting, as well as ancillary distribution revenue, all while educating and inspiring audiences. We're excited about the prospects for the spring schedule, and expect it to deliver on all of these goals.

Working with the best producers, PBS Programming Services has built on your behalf an impressive pipeline that provides a steady stream of top-quality educational, engaging programming. With each season, we strategically position these programs into a compelling national schedule that we hope will provide a strong foundation for your local services. PBS Programming Services' continuing work toward our shared goals is demonstrated throughout the spring 2004 National Program Service schedule. On the following pages, you'll find some program highlights, including specials, limited series and continuing series' special presentations, to look forward to in the upcoming season.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials

## Sesame Street Presents - The Street We Live On (w.t.)

1/60 from Sesame Workshop
Sesame Street kicks off its $35^{\text {th }}$ anniversary season with a primetime special that will also double as the first episode of the daytime season. In this special presentation, Elmo asks what life was like on Sesame Street before he was around, leading to a variety of nostalgic looks back at key moments and people in the history of Sesame Street.

## My Journey Home

1/120 from WETA
A special that traverses our conflicted, cultural landscape through the perspectives of new American voices -- writer Faith Adiele, born to a Scandinavian mother and Nigerian father, journalist Andrew Lam, whose family fled Vietnam just days before the fall of Saigon; and Armando and Carlos Pena, two Mexican-American brothers from an ordinary family that has weathered extraordinary times. All of them have experienced a childhood interrupted, and came of age in an America that is grappling with the dramatic changes of new immigration and racial and cultural diversity.

## Small Ball: Little League Stories

1/90 from WPSX and ITVS
Louis Alvarez and Andrew Kolker ("Vote for Me,"" "People Like Us") present the world of Little League baseball, an American rite of passage, as told through the story of one California team's incredible rise to the national stage.

## Japan: Memoirs of a Secret Empire

$1 / 180$ or $3 / 60$ from DDE and Lynn Goldfarb
Japan blossomed into its Renaissance at approximately the same time as Europe. But unlike the West, it flourished not through conquest and exploration, but by fierce and defiant isolation. Between the 16th and 19th centuries, Japan was a world unto itself. And the man at the heart of this empire was Tokugawa Ieyasu, a warlord who ruled with absolute control. This period of mystery and power is explored through myriad of voices -- the Shogun, the Samurai, the Geisha, the poet, the peasant and the Westerner who glimpsed into this secret world.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials, continued

## Colonial House

4/120 or 8/60 from Thirteen/WNET and Wall to Wall Television
A wonderful new hands-on history series in which modern-day Americans travel back in time to live as 17 th-century colonial settlers off the coast of Maine. Cameras follow the participants as they cope with the same challenges that those living in the 1620's faced. They have to abide by a set of laws they themselves write as they work together to build a community, find enough provisions for their settlement and trade with the outside world. It's a remarkable way to experience the early foundations of American society. As our spring pop-out program, an extensive promotion campaign will accompany the series, and we will double-pump the series each night in common carriage, with a suggested repeat on the weekend.

Mark Russell Comedy Special
1/60 from WNED
After a year's absence, Mark Russell returns with an hour of humor drawn from the election-year headlines.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the schedule's strength. This spring, our continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

AMERICAN FAMILY launches its second season on April 4, with 13 new episodes featuring a set of interwoven, continuing storylines that tell the multigenerational story of the Gonzalez family.

NATURE takes flight with endangered whooping cranes raised taught to fly by scientists in "Flight School" (w.t.).

Helen Mirren returns to ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre, reprising her role as DCI Jane Tennison in "Prime Suspect 6: The Last Witness."

ANTIQUES ROADSHOW's eighth season continues with a stop in Savannah, followed by two "greatest hits" specials. The series producers have selected "Roadshow Favorites" from thousand of hours of appraisals, and "Roadshow's Greatest Finds" highlights the items whose values have a few digits to the left of the comma.

AMERICAN EXPERIENCE remembers the battles of Lexington and Concord in "Patriot's Day," and marvels at the construction of the "Golden Gate Bridge.".

## 2004 Spring NPS Program Schedule
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## Continuing Series, continued

NOVA examines the new military technology deployed in Iraq in "War Machines" (w.t.).

Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers drives some fantastic new cars, from gasoline-free hydrogen fuel cell SUVs, to sedans that anticipate drivers' mistakes in "Future Car."

Host Don Cheadle introduces INDEPENDENT LENS viewers to author and poet Piri Thomas, spiritual leader Ram Dass, and the absorbing stories of members of the 60 's radical group The Weathermen.
P.O.V. introduces us to "Love and Diane," the two subjects of a frank and astonishingly intimate real-life drama of a mother and daughter desperate for love and forgiveness, but caught in a devastating cycle of addiction and poverty.

SECRETS OF THE DEAD brings us the science behind the Shroud of Turin and the military technology employed in Normandy on D-Day.

American Masters profiles magazine magnate and influential Republican Henry Luce, founder of Time, Fortune, Life and Sports Illustrated.

Great Performances presents American Ballet Theater's "The Dream," an adaptation of Shakespeare's "A Midsummer Night's Dream."

Live From Lincoln Center presents a star-studded evening marking the $100^{\text {th }}$ birthday of the late choreographer George Balanchine. Sarah Jessica Parker will host, and guests will include Mikhail Baryshnikov, Placido Domingo, Kevin Kline and Gil Shaham.

Ten years after the genocide that killed an estimated 800,000 , FrontLine revisits the lessons of Rwanda.

Washington Week, Wall \$treet Week with Fortune and NOW with Bill MOYERS continue with coverage of election year issues.

## Pledge Opportunities

Among the new Pledge Opportunity Specials (POPS) that PBS Fundraising Programming is working on is "The Story of Mothers and Daughters," a three-hanky portrait of the joys and sorrows shared by all daughters and mothers.

2004 Spring NPS Program Schedule
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## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Express.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the spring 2004 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the spring 2004 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:
John Wilson -703-739-5155
Jacoba Atlas $\quad 310-360-9763$
Steven Gray 703-759-5012
Shawn Halford 703-739-5247

## SPRING 2004 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

Continuing Series:<br>Sundays: American Family<br>Nature<br>ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre<br>Mondays: Antiques Roadshow<br>American Experience<br>Tuesdays: NOVA<br>Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers<br>Thursdays: Frontline<br>Fridays: Washington Week<br>Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE<br>NOW with Bill Moyers

## Limited Series and Specials:

Great Performances "Dance in America: The Dream with American Ballet Theatre" P.O.V. "Love and Diane"

Mark Russell Comedy Special
American Masters "Henry Luce" (w.t.)
Colonial House (premiere and double-pump)
National Geographic Special "Maya" (w.t.)
Japan: Memoirs of a Secret Empire
National Memorial Day Concert (2004)

Notes:
The 2003-04 Common Carriage Year began on September 14, 2003, and concludes September 11, 2004. The 2003-04 carriage year encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.
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To: General Managers<br>Program Managers<br>Promotion Managers<br>From: John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford<br>Date: $\quad$ March 8, 2004

Attached please find:

- Summer 2004 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Summer 2004 Common Carriage Designations

The summer 2004 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the National Program Service schedule in the upcoming season. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of June, July and August 2004. We plan to publish the fall 2004 schedule by mid-May, to coincide with special PBS programming teleconferences May 17-19.

The summer 2004 grids show an aggressively scheduled NPS, aiming toward targeted opportunities when competition from the big four networks ebbs. Highlights include our third Tony Hillerman American Mystery! Special, "A Thief of Time"; the second season of History Detectives; a program on controversial new theories on the earliest voyages of discovery, "1421: The Year China Discovered America?"; and an examination of the ancient Greek games called "The Real Olympics." Oür election-year coverage continues through our continuing public affairs series under the banner "By the People: Election 2004," including NewsHour coverage of the Democratic and Republican National Conventions.

Sunday nights begin with new four new episodes from the second season of AMERICAN FAMILY - JOURNEY OF DREAMS at 7:00 PM [Note: on the attached grids, you'll see AMERICAN FAMILY listed in a special box just above each Sunday] and continue with NatURe at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by ExxonMobil Masterpiece Theatre through June, and MYSTERY! beginning in July.

On Mondays, Antiques Roadshow leads into a new season of History Detectives, and over six weeks this summer, Monday is rounded out with a re-up of the criticallyacclaimed and very popular series "Liberty: The American Revolution." NOVA pairs with Alan Alda in SCiEntific American Frontiers and other science programming on Tuesday nights, followed by the conclusion of the INDEPENDENT LENS season and the beginning of a new season of P.O.V. Wednesday continues to be programmed with arts and performance programs from Great Performances and AMERICAN MASTERS, as
well as with top-notch specials and miniseries such as "Rebels and Redcoats: How Britain Lost America" and "1421: The Year China Discovered America?."

Thursdays will continue to feature The New This Old House Hour at 8:00 PM, followed at 9:00 PM by Frontline through the end of June, and by Wide Angle beginning in July. A new season of Soundstage begins Thursdays at 10:00 PM in June. Fridays remain the home of Washington Week, Wall \$treet. Week with FORTUNE and NOW wITH BILL MOYERS, and beginning in June, the newest public affairs series in the lineup, Tucker Carlson: UnFiltered (w.t.).

Our goals remain consistent: to craft an NPS that will continue to garner critical acclaim and prestigious awards; foster common carriage; continue to support member stations' local development efforts; and generate national and local underwriting, as well as ancillary distribution revenue, all while educating and inspiring audiences. We're excited about the prospects for the summer schedule, and expect it to deliver on all of these goals.

Working with the best producers, PBS Programming Services has built on your behalf an impressive pipeline that provides a steady stream of top-quality educational, engaging programming. With each season, we strategically position these programs into a compelling national schedule that we hope will provide a strong foundation for your local services. PBS Programming Services' continuing work toward our shared goals is demonstrated throughout the summer 2004 National Program Service schedule. On the following pages, you'll find some program highlights, including specials, limited series and continuing series' special presentations, to look forward to in the upcoming season.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials

## D-Day: Down to Earth - Return of the 507th

$1 / 60$ from JumpCut Productions and GPTV
Sixty years later, surviving members of the 507th Parachute Infantry Regiment recount the invasion of Normandy on D-Day and battles leading up to the Battle of the Bulge. The film then culminates in an emotional reunion in 2002, when the veterans traveled to Normandy for a memorial dedicated to them and their fallen brothers.

## Rebels and Redcoats: How Britain Lost America

## 2/120 from WGBH

This series is an exploration into the Revolutionary War and how it divided the nation between those who remained loyal to the Crown and those fighting for liberation from England. Using interviews with scholars, large-scale reconstruction, first-person narratives and a showcase of historic documents and paintings, the series travels back to the passionate, violent and sometimes tragically funny events of the 1770s.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials, continued

## A Program About Unusual Buildings and Other Roadside Stuff

 1/60 from WQEDRick Sebak brings his trademark flair to a road trip in search of America's most interesting, wacky and goofy buildings, celebrating places such as the Big Duck on Long Island, the National Fresh Water Fishing National Hall of Fame (in the shape of a giant fish) in Heyward, Wisconsin, and the world's largest catsup bottle, built as a water tower in Collinsville, Illinois.

## A Thief of Time, An American Mystery! Special 1/120 from WGBH

An archaeologist turned pottery poacher thinks she has cracked the secret of the vanished Anasazi, when she herself vanishes. As other poachers start dropping dead, Leaphorn and Chee must piece together a case as complicated as the mysteriously decorated pots the killer covets. Starring Adam Beach, Wes Studi and Peter Fonda.

## Great Lodges of the Canadian Rockies

2/60 from OPB, W.W. West and Driftwood Productions
Building on the success of "Great Lodges of the National Parks," these two new specials explore the incredible lodges of the Canadian Rockies, emphasizing the distinctive geography and history of the region.

## 1421: The Year China Discovered America?

1/120 from Pearson, PITV and KQED
This special examines the theories outlined by Gavin Menzies in his international bestselling book "1421: The Year China Discovered the World." An amateur historian and former submarine commander in the Royal British Navy, Menzies poses an argument that could change the way we perceive global history forever -- that Chinese admirals discovered America and Chinese junks first circled the earth. Traveling across the continent, the film will combine history, science and technology with adventure and exploration, taking viewers on their own voyage of discovery.

## The Real Olympics

2/60 from Antony Thomas and Carlton Productions
To mark the Olympics' return to its birthplace of Athens in 2004, this series tells the "real" story of the original games. It compares the ancient Greek Olympics to the modern games of today, examining the ideals, the events themselves, the stories of the athletes and the politics and financial considerations that were as much a part of the Olympics 2000 years ago as they are today. This story will appeal to sports fans as well as history buffs, juxtaposing contemporary Olympic footage with re-creations of the early competitions in all their beauty and savagery.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the schedule's strength. This summer, many continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

AMERICAN FAMILY - JOURNEY OF DREAMS continues to tell the multi-generational saga of the Gonzalez family with four new episodes in June and July.

MYSTERY! presents new whodunits including Foyles War II, new Inspector Lynley stories and a new Adam Dalgliesh miniseries, in a season that will stretch into October.

History Detectives returns with 10 all-new episodes and a couple of repackaged programs. All four detectives are back on the case with new stories ranging from a cane that may have been a gift from Lewis \& Clark to a body in an Annapolis, Maryland, basement that may have a connection to the English Civil War.

ALAN ALDA IN SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN FRONTIERS climbs the shrinking Alaskan glaciers, explains "dark matter" and examines theories on the first people to migrate to America.

INDEPENDENT LENS wraps up its season with a portrait of a lesbian chorus in a Midwestern college town.
P.O.V. kicks off its season with a powerful film on a new front in the immigration wars: suburban Long Island.

SECRETS OF THE DEAD uncovers a burial mound in Russia that could provide clues to the mysterious Amazon warriors of Greek legend.

Acclaimed producer/director Sydney Pollack presents a dynamic conversation with architect Frank Gehry on American Masters.

Great Performances presents two new programs from the San Francisco Symphony and musical director Michael Tilson Thomas, and a musical celebration of the Athens Olympics.

WIDE ANGLE returns to the schedule with 10 new films spanning the globe.
Soundstage returns with HDTV concerts from Sheryl Crow, Alanis Morissette, Lindsey Buckingham and Stevie Nicks, Steve Winwood, Joan Baez and more.

The NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, Washington Week, Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE, NOW with Bill MOYERS and new series Tucker Carlson: Unfiltered (w.t.) focus on coverage of election year issues under the banner "By the People: Election 2004."

## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Express.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the summer 2004 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the summer 2004 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:
John Wilson 703-739-5155
Jacoba Atlas $\quad 310-360-9763$
Steven Gray
703-759-5012
Shawn Halford 703-739-5247

## SUMMER 2004 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

## Continuing Series:

| Sundays: | $\begin{array}{l}\text { American Family }- \text { Journey of Dreams } \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}$ Myxusture Mobil Masterpiece Theatre (Including "A Thief of Time" on $\left.7 / 11 \& 7 / 14\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Mondays: Antiques Roadshow
History Detectives

Tuesdays: NOVA
Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers

Thursdays: Frontline
Wide Angle
Fridays: Washington Week
Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE
NOW with Bill Moyers

## Limited Series and Specials:

American Masters "Hank Williams" (w.t.)
Flashpoints with Bryant Gumbel and Gwen Ifill
On Stage at the White House: Governor's Dinner (w.t.)
American Masters "Sketches of Frank Gehry" (w.t.)
1421: The Year China Discovered America?
The Real Olympics
Secrets of the Dead "Amazon Warrior Women" (w.t.)
Great Performances "From the Acropolis: A Salute to the Games..." (w.t.)
Notes:
No common carriage designations will be made for the following dates:

- June 1-20, due to increasing local pledge activities
- The week of July 25, due to coverage of the Democratic National Convention
- August 5-28, due to pledge activities
- The week of August 29 , due to coverage of the Republican National Convention

The 2003-04 Common Carriage Year began on September 14, 2003, and concludes September 11, 2004. The 2003-04 carriage year encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

June 2004


July 2004



| To: | General Managers |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Program Managers |
|  | Promotion Managers |
| From: $\quad$ | John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford |
| Date: $\quad$ | May 17, 2004 |

Attached please find:

- Fall 2004 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Fall 2004 Common Carriage Designations

The fall 2004 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the National Program Service schedule in the upcoming season. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of September, October, November and December 2004. We plan to publish the winter 2005 schedule in early October.

The fall 2004 grids show an aggressively scheduled NPS. Particularly of note is the return of Frontuine to Tuesday nights at 9:00 p.m. Why? Frontline's audience has declined $40 \%$ since it was on Tuesdays back in 2000 - outpacing the general audience declines across the NPS schedule. The Frontuine demographics that have declined the most in the past three seasons on Thursday nights are younger men and women: Women 18-34 off $50 \%$, women $35-49$ off $30 \%$, men $35-49$ off $40 \%$. The primary factor here is the Thursday night competition from CSI and ER which, on an ongoing basis, are the \#1 and \#2 highest-rated programs on television for college graduates - our primary index.

In order to best use our collective investment in FRONTLINE; we need to move the series off of Thursdays and away from the toughest competition of the week. Based on overlap studies that suggest more viewers watch both Nova and FRONTLINE than just about any other combination of series in our schedule, we have moved FRONTLINE back to Tuesdays at 9:00 p.m. beginning this fall.

As we enter the back stretch of the election year, our coverage continues through our ongoing public affairs series under the banner "By the People: Election 2004," including Frontline's "The Choice 2004," a dual-biography of President George Bush and his Democratic challenger, John Kerry; and the NewsHour's coverage of the Presidential Election on November 5. PBS will also carry all major Presidential and Vice Presidential debates made available to us this fall.

Other highlights in the NPS prime time schedule include three stunning new National Geographic Specials covering diverse subjects: "The Great Bear Rainforest" (w.t.), "The Phoenicians" (w.t.) and "Carpetbagger Ship: The Republic" (w.t.). And in November, on the eve of Veterans Day, a fourth new NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SPECIAL will explore our nation's most cherished memorial park, "Arlington National Cemetery" (w.t.).

Our "House" franchise continues, as we're all invited to a "Regency House Party." It's England in the early 1800s - the height of the age of romance. Our timetravelers live as the well-heeled regency. Their behavior is subject to the strict social protocols that governed the lives of Jane Austen's heroes and heroines. In this highly competitive and charged atmosphere, marriage, money and morals are all up for grabs.

In December, we have new holiday programming, including "The Wonder of Christmas" featuring the Mormon Tabernacle Choir. And as the year concludes, we say thank you and good bye to a cherished journalist. Bill Moyers retires from NOW WITH BILL MOYERS and passes the baton to David Brancaccio to carry on hosting NOW as this weekly series transitions to a half-hour format in January.

Here's a night-by-night overview of what's planned for fall:

Sunday nights begin with the final repeat episodes from the second season of AMERICAN FAMILY at 7:00 p.m. [Note: on the attached grids, you'll see AMERICAN FAMILY listed in a special box just above each Sunday.] The series' second common carriage play concludes on October 24 - and we thank you for your continuing support and carriage. At 8:00 p.m. we find a new season from NATURE, followed at 9:00 p.m. by MASTERPIECE THEATRE. While the financial support of ExxonMobil concludes, our commitment to British drama on Sunday nights remains strong. You can count on MASTERPIECE THEATRE and MySTERY! for the long term.

On Monday, Antiques Roadshow leads into American Experience, which launches its new season with a powerful new film biography from David Grubin, "RFK". The AMERICAN EXPERIENCE season continues through spring 2005.

On Tuesdays, NovA is back with an exciting new season, now rejoined with FRONTLINE. The summer season of P.O.V. concludes, and a new INDEPENDENT LENS season begins in October at 10:00 p.m. [Note: The majority of the science programming that previously would have followed NOVA - including SECRETS OF THE DEAD and NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SPECIALs - will migrate to Wednesday nights.]

Wednesdays continue to be programmed with specials. Those with the broadest audience appeal are slotted in at 8:00 p.m., followed at 9:00 p.m. by an eclectic mix of documentaries, arts and performance programs, which helps build a valuable cume audience over time.

On Thursdays, The New This Old House Hour has been tracking at or above primetime average for the stations scheduling it on Thursday nights. We're going to build on that by following it up at 9:00 p.m. with another hour of reality TV, in the PBS tradition. We're in discussions with the producers of ANTIQUES ROADSHOW about a new series idea - for the moment we'll call it "Antiques Roadshow Revisited" (w.t.). Hosted by Lara Spencer... it answers those nagging questions we've all had about the ultimate fate of the most valuable treasures uncovered on ANTIQUES ROADSHOW. Did the owners sell their prize possessions and reap the benefits? Or were they true to their words when they said "Oh, I'd never part with it -it's a family heirloom!" The programs move along - they are segmented just as ANTIQUES ROADSHOW is, so the audience can join in anytime - all in a half-hour format. WGBH is piloting the series now and we hope it's possible to have it on the air this October where it will join FIND! The line-up would be The New This Old House Hour at 8:00 p.m. - FInd! at 9:00 p.m. and ANTIQUES ROADSHOW REvISITED (w.t.) at 9:30 p.m. If WGBH and PBS can't get the funding together by early summer, we would have to push the start to 2005, and we'd schedule a within the week repeat of ANTIQUES ROADSHOW at 9 pm as a place holder in the fall. The summer season of SOUNDSTAGE concludes in September.

Fridays remain the home of WAShington Week, Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE, NOW wITH BILL MOYERS, and our newest public affairs series, TUCKER CARLSON: UnFILTERED. Plans are being made for a new Friday night public affairs series from the Wall Street Journal, hosted by Editor, Paul Gigot. We will share all the details about the series very soon.

Our goals remain consistent: to craft an NPS that will continue to garner critical acclaim and prestigious awards; foster common carriage; continue to support member stations' local development efforts; and generate national and local underwriting, as well as ancillary distribution revenue, all while educating and inspiring audiences. We're excited about the prospects for the fall schedule, and expect it to deliver on all of these goals.

Working with the best producers, PBS Programming Services has built on your behalf an impressive pipeline that provides a steady stream of top-quality educational, engaging programming. With each season, we strategically position these programs into a compelling national schedule that we hope will provide a strong foundation for your local services. PBS Programming Services' continuing work toward our shared goals is demonstrated throughout the fall 2004 National Program Service schedule. On the following pages, you'll find some program highlights, including specials, limited series and continuing series' special presentations, to look forward to in the upcoming season.

## Primetime Limited Series \& Specials

## "Broadway: The American Musical"

After several years in the making, this series comes to the schedule this fall as a promotional "pop-out." There is no place in the world like Broadway, and this series brings it to life in all its glory. Over six hours, "Broadway: The American Musical" chronicles the evolution of the musical stage throughout the 20th century. The series is generous with clips from shows ranging from "Oklahoma" and "Porgy \& Bess" to "Rent" and "The Lion King," and includes on-camera interviews with many of the principals involved in creating (what began as) a uniquely American art form. "Broadway: The American Musical" is a production of Thirteen/WNET and producer Michael Kantor. Julie Andrews will host.
"The Question of God: Sigmund Freud \& C.S. Lewis"
This four-hour limited series is based on a popular Harvard lecture course by Dr. Armand Nicholi which explores the divergent world views of Sigmund Freud and C.S. Lewis, two of the most influential thinkers of the 20th century. The series seeks to answer the fundamental question humanity faces: how do we make sense of the world around us? From WGBH and Tatge/Lasseur Productions.

## "Extreme Oil" (w.t.)

This three-part series will examine the global oil industry, looking at where oil comes from and offering a glimpse into the lives of those people who are involved in supplying the world with its most important commodity. From the rocky shoals of the South China Sea to the tangled thickets of the Colombian rainforest, oil companies operate in the world's most difficult, dangerous areas. In their wake, armies clash, diplomats scheme and spies are scattered to the four corners of the earth. From Paladin Invision and WNET. Executive producer, Stephen Segaller.

## "They Made America"

From the producers of AMERICAN EXPERIENCE comes "They Made America," a limited series that will air in the American Experience night time slot in November. "They Made America" explores the history of innovation in America through the stories of the inventive men and women who transformed the world. From jet planes to the brassiere, the Bank of America to CNN, "They Made America" will explore what makes the U.S. an incubator for so much groundbreaking creativity and innovation. From WGBH.

## "Touching the Void"

This powerful film - a promotional pop out - tells the story of two mountain climbers, Joe Simpson and Simon Yates, as they set out to climb the west face of the Siula Grande in the Peruvian Andes. It was 1985, and the men were young, fit, skilled climbers. The west face was remote and treacherous, and had not been climbed before. Following a successful three-and-a-half-day ascent, disaster struck. Simpson fell a short distance and broke several bones in his leg. With no hope of rescue, the men decided to attempt descent together in a slow, painful process that could have potentially been deadly for both. Then, things really turned bad... From Oscar-winning director Kevin MacDonald, producer John Smithson, Pathé Films and C4 in the UK.

## "This Is a Game, Ladies"

This feature length film follows the top-ranked Rutgers University women's basketball team, The Scarlet Knights, and their legendary coach C. Vivian Stringer during the 2000/2001 seasons. From the first day at practice to the big game, we experience the daily lives of these talented female "hoopsters," following the season-changing injuries and the academic pressures that challenged them. This film is not just about basketball but the game of life. From Emmy Award-winning producer, Peter Schnall (National Geographic Special, "Air Force One") and Partisan Pictures.

## "The Wonder of Christmas"

This is the first program in what promises to be a series of annual Christmas concerts featuring the Mormon Tabernacle Choir and guest artists, taped in the spectacular Mormon Tabernacle in Salt Lake City. This year's guest performers are Bryn Terfel and Frederica von Stade. From KUED, Salt Lake City.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the National Program Service schedule. This fall, our continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

## NOVA

"The Most Dangerous Woman in America" interweaves biography and social history to tell the extraordinary story of "Typhoid" Mary Mallon. Misidentified as a healthy carrier of typhoid fever, Mallon was incarcerated for years on an island in the East River. Her saga throws into vivid relief the emerging science of public health and the social, ethical, and legal dilemmas it posed to its pioneers at the turn of the 20 th century.

## Nature

"The Good, the Bad, and the Grizzly"
Once on the edge of extinction, grizzlies have made a remarkable recovery. But this fierce predator is no longer content foraging in the back country. Today, bears are everywhere - and especially visible in Yellowstone National Park. And everyone has something to say about it. The return of the grizzly is a conservation success story that comes with a price.

## Great Performãnces

"Carnegie Hall Opening Night"
Great Performances will return to its tradition of gala opening night concerts from Carnegie Hall, with special guests Yo-Yo Ma and Renee Fleming joining the Philadelphia Orchestra and its new music director, Christoph Eschenbach. The 90 -minute concert program will feature three works by Richard Strauss: Don Juan, Op. 20; Four Last Songs with Renee Fleming as soloist; and Don Quixote, Op. 35, with solo cello performed by Yo-Yo Ma.

## American Experience

"RFK"
This is an extraordinary tragedy in two acts from filmmaker David Grubin. In chapter one, "The Garish Sun," we learn how Bobby devotes himself to his brother John, suppressing his own ambitions. In part two, "Awful Grace of God," after an assassin's bullet takes his brother's life, RFK is bereft not only of a brother he loved, but a role that had given meaning to his life. Just as he begins to discover his own identity and move beyond the shadow of his brother, he too is cut off in his prime.

## Masterpiece Theatre

## "Henry VIII"

MASTERPIECE ThEATRE brings history's most beguiling monarch and his six wives to the small screen. Ray Winstone (Cold Mountain) stars as Henry VIII, revealing the destruction Henry often left in his wake during his extraordinary 38 year reign. From the moment Henry Tudor casts aside his faithful wife Katherine of Aragon for the bewitching and determined Anne Boleyn (Helena Bonham Carter), he sets himself on course for a series of disastrous marriages. Charles Dance and David Suchet also star.

## PBS Kids

A separate memo detailing plans for PBS Kids programming will be issued by June 1, 2004.
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## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Express.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the fall 2004 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the fall 2004 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:

| John Wilson | $703-739-5155$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Jacoba Atlas | $310-360-9763$ |
| Steven Gray | $703-759-5012$ |
| Shawn Halford | $703-739-5247$ |

## FALL 2004 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

## Continuing Series:



## Limited Series and Specials:

Great Performances "John Lennon's Jukebox"
Extreme Oil (w.t.)
The Question of God: Sigmund Freud \& C.S. Lewis
A.R.T.S. (w.t.)

The Pulse of a People (w.t.)
PBS Hollywood Presents "Cop Shop"
National Geographic Special "Phoenicians" (w.t.)
Broadway: The American Musical
Great Performances "Carnegie Hall Opening Night (2004)"
Regency House Party
They Made America
National Geographic Special "Arlington National Cemetery" (w.t.)
Touching the Void
Great Performances "Guitar Gods" (w.t.)

## Notes:

No common carriage designations will be made for the following dates:

- The week of Aug. 29-Sept. 3, due to coverage of the Republican National Convention
- December 2-19, due to pledge activities

The 2003-04 Common Carriage Year began on September 14, 2003, and concludes September 11, 2004. The 2004-2005 Common Carriage Year begins on September 12, 2004 and concludes on September 10, 2005. Each carriage year encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

| 8:00 PM | 8:30 PM | 9:00 PM | 9:30 PM | 10:00 PM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $10: 30$ PM



October 2004



December 2004


To: $\quad \therefore \quad$ General Managers, Program Managers \& Promotion Managers From: John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford Date: October 8, 2004

Attached please find:

- Winter/Spring 2005 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Winter/Spring 2005 Common Carriage Designations

The attached winter/spring 2005 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the upcoming NPS schedule. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of January through May 2005. We plan to publish the summer 2005 schedule in February.

Consistency is one of the key words we've heard from the CPB-commissioned primetime 2004 audience study. The public values PBS highly but does not watch us with the frequency that we'd all like. Among the reasons cited for this disconnect is a lack of schedule consistency. Even though many of our series have been scheduled in the same time slot for decades, viewers still have a difficult time identifying when PBS series air. Some of this feeling of inconsistency may come from anthology series, which inherently look different from week to week, while some may come from pledge drives, which interrupt the weekly presence of continuing series several times each year. PBS may further interrupt weekly series footprints with stunts and preemptions. And our mix of weekly, continuing series versus specials and limited series may be one more source of inconsistency.

The winter/spring 2005 grids show a more consistently scheduled NPS. With the addition of the new spin-off series, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI, and the reinvention of ALAN ALDA IN SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN FRONTIERS as weekly halfhours on Wednesdays, we now have a schedule with consistent, broad-appeal, weekly series each night in the first hour of primetime for an entire season. Beginning in May, we will bring AMERICAN MASTERS back to the schedule as a weekly series, running through the summer. And across the five months shown on the attached grids, the primetime schedule is interrupted by only one multi-
night stunt. Each of these factors addresses the consistency our audiences crave.

Antiques Roadshow FYI and Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers have also been developed in response to the CPB research findings that audiences find longer-form programs a barrier to viewing. The research suggests that half-hour programs and more segmented programs are more likely to appeal to viewers. Both of these series offer strong, mission-fulfilling content, and are well-paced, half-hour magazine formats, with lively, engaged and engaging hosts. Audiences can join the show at any point in the broadcast, and watch while multi-tasking at home - which is exactly how most viewers surveyed said they watch TV.

Further, the CPB study indicated that history and science are two topics our audiences prefer. So it's not surprising that both ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI and Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers build off of existing franchises that have been very popular with PBS viewers. FYI spins off from our mostwatched series, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW, which consistently tops a 3 rating yearround. SCIENTIFIC AmERICAN FRONTIERS attracted a very solid 2 rating in the metered markets this past season in its hour-long format. We will closely watch these two series and monitor their success as we make plans for the future of the Wednesday 8:00 PM slot.

Another component of the schedule that addresses many of the issues that arose in the CPB research is the new NOVA spin-off, NOVA's LEADING EDGE (w.t.). This magazine-format, newsworthy science series hosted by Robert Krulwich will begin as a set of quarterly specials within the NOVA lineup, and if successful, could turn into a more regular presence in the schedule. The first NOVA's LEADING EDGE (w.t.) will premiere in January.

In addition to spin-offs and reinventions from existing series, we have a rich season in store from all the continuing series. NOVA will return to the surface of Mars for a first-anniversary update from our rovers, Spirit and Opportunity, which are still gathering data. NATURE brings us so close to Hawaiian volcanoes' lava that our shoes almost melt. AMERICAN EXPERIENCE reveals the hidden life of America's closest enemy, Fidel Castro. And MYSTERY! rejoins the schedule earlier in 2005, with new Miss Marple stories in April. Mystery! continues through the summer.

## Primetime: New Series, Limited Series \& Specials

## Antiques Roadshow FYi

26/30 from WGBH/Steamroller Entertainment
The new spin-off series answers those burning questions about the ultimate fate of the most valuable treasures uncovered on ANTIQUES ROADSHOW. While many owners swore they would never part with their prized possessions, this series reveals whether they sold their heirlooms, auctioned them off or donated them to a museum. Also included are recurring segments that highlight collectibles and feature appraisers offering tips of the antiquing trade. ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI is co-hosted by Lara Spencer and Clay Reynolds.

## Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers

13/30 from Chedd-Angier/CPTV
The award-winning series of science specials returns in a new half-hour format. This season, Alan Alda examines brilliant new cars that think for you - they watch for hazards, listen to you, read your lips and even know when you're distracted. Also included in the line-up are investigations into chimp brains, social robots and replacement synthetic senses such as artificial hearing and electronic retinas.

## Do You Speak American?

3/60 from MacNeil/Lehrer Productions/WNET
American English gives birth to new words and idioms each day. While most linguists agree that this constant change is a sign of life and vitality, some are concerned that these perpetual alterations to the English language are eroding our society and our ability to communicate with one another. From journalist Robert MacNeil, this three-part series explores the landscape of the exceptionally rich and diverse language that reflects who we are as a people. Criss-crossing the country, MacNeil sets out to celebrate the fun, spice and diversity that Americans bring to our language, while also exploring how perpetual adaptations to it seriously affect our culture and society.

## Unforgivable Blackness: The Rise and Fall of Jack Johnson

2/120 from Florentine Films/WETA
Acclaimed filmmaker Ken Burns recounts the powerful story of Jack Johnson, the first African-American boxer to win the most coveted of sports titles Heavyweight Champion of the World. From his humble beginnings as the son of former slaves to his entrance into the brutal and segregated world of professional boxing, this high-definition film highlights a maverick who refused to live under the confines of his color. The story of a champion shunned by the white world and forced into exile, this film follows Johnson's struggle, in and out of the ring, to live life as a free man. Samuel L. Jackson is the voice of Johnson.

## Auschwitz: Inside the Nazi State

6/60 from KCET/BBC
This series is an intrinsically compelling and definitive account of one of the most evil places in history, Auschwitz, and its connection to the Nazi state. Using newly available architectural plans and the latest in computer animation to recreate the concentration camp as it was first built, the series reveals the methods and the mindset behind the horrific killing machine. The broadcast is planned to coincide with the $60^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the liberation of the camp.

## Slavery and the Making of America

4/60 from WNET
This epic series chronicles the institution of American slavery from its origins in 1619, when settlers in Virginia first purchased Africans from Dutch traders, through the arrival of the first slaves in the northern colonies, the American Revolution, the Civil War, the adoption of the $13^{\text {th }}$ Amendment and Reconstruction. With such unprecedented breadth come entirely new facts and perspectives on slavery that challenge long-held notions and highlight the contradictions of a country that embraced slavery but was founded on the principle of "liberty and justice for all."

## On Stage: The Mark Twain Prize 2004

1/90 from WETA
This year, the Mark Twain Prize for American Humor salutes "Saturday Night Live" creator Lorne Michaels. Our special features clips from the comedy show and tributes and comic performances from stars who have appeared on the show over the decades, including Dan Ackroyd, Steve Martin, Tina Fey, Chevy Chase, Molly Shannon, David Spade, Tim Meadows, Darrell Hammond and others.

The Life and Times of Frida Kahlo
1/90 from WETA and Amy Stechler
Nearly 50 years after her death, Frida Kahlo is celebrated as one of the great painters of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. Labeled a surrealist by many, she claimed to be painting her own reality - one balanced between a painful private life and a vibrant, irreverent public persona that stirred the imagination of the cultural and artistic elite on two continents. Through the prism of her life and art, the film explores the ancient culture of Mexico, the Mexican revolution, $20^{\text {th }}$-century Latin American Communism, and the innovative artists and writers who congregated in Mexico City.

The Garden
1/195 from Fred Wiseman/WNET
Madison Square Garden, the pre-eminent sports and entertainment arena, has been an American institution since the beginning of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. Including footage from the various musical, theatrical, business, animal and professional sporting events all housed within the arena's walls, this documentary reveals the inner workings of the famed amphitheater and explores the center of the American popular culture and entertainment evolution.

## National Geographic's Strange Days on Planet Earth <br> 4/60 from National Geographic Television/Sea Studios/WGBH/Vulcan Productions

Around the globe, scientists are racing to solve a series of mysteries, including how a one degree rise in average temperature could have such profound effects; how the disappearance of top predators affects an ecosystem; and why invasive species cause such havoc. Hosted by award-winning actor, writer and director Edward Norton (Primal Fear, American History X), this four-part series explores new discoveries about the health of the planet through engaging storytelling and innovative imaging. Constructed as a high-tech detective story, each of the episodes reveals the way that seemingly distant events connect and how they ultimately affect individual health and well-being.

## Prisoner of Paradise

1/90 from The Illusion Company
During World War II, Kurt Gerron, a successful German-Jewish actor and director in the 1920s, was sent to a concentration camp and forced to direct a proNazi propaganda film intended to persuade an increasingly suspicious world that Jews were thriving in the camps. Through interviews with survivors and archival footage from his film, the documentary will tell the startling true story of Gerron's life during the decadent years in Berlin between the two World Wars, the critical role misinformation played in keeping the world oblivious to Hitler's master plan and the remarkable search and painstaking restoration of his film, lost for decades in the aftermath of World War II.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the National Program Service schedule. This winter/spring, our continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

NATURE presents a fast-paced three-week series following a new generation of scientists working to understand the web of life deep in the world's jungle ecosystems. They come face to face with rare and deadly creatures, and witness animal behaviors and natural processes for the first time.

MASTERPIECE THEATRE takes us back to wartime in the Channel Islands, where British citizens lived under Nazi occupation, in the miniseries, "Island at War."

MYSTERY! returns to the schedule with a remake of the late-70's series "Malice Aforethought," and then launches a new "Miss Marple" series, starring Geraldine McEwan ("Vanity Fair") and Sir Derek Jacobi.

ANTIQUES ROADSHOW visits five cities this season: St. Paul, Omaha, Memphis, Reno and Portland.

American Experience profiles Cuban leader Fidel Castro, sexuality researcher Alfred Kinsey, silent screen star Mary Pickford and kidnapped bank robber Patty Hearst.

NOVA remembers the ultimate boy's toy, the Concorde supersonic jet; scrutinizes a map of North America purported to be made by ancient Vikings; flies a replica of the first plane to cross the English Channel; and witnesses the lengths to which the National Archives goes to preserve the Constitution, the Bill of Rights and the Declaration of Independence.

Frontline will cover topics ranging from Al Qaeda cells in Europe, the Saudi royal family and mentally ill prison inmates.

INDEPENDENT LENS presents several films that have scored big on the festival circuit, including "Power Trip," about a multinational electric company losing millions of dollars a day providing power to former Soviet Georgia, where no one appears interested in actually paying a utility bill. Also in this season are "Imelda," a savvy portrait of the former first lady of the Philippines; "End of the Century: The Ramones," about the seminal punk band; and "Double Dare," a profile of women who perform as Hollywood stunt doubles.

GREAT PERFORMANCES presents a semi-staged production of Leonard Bernstein's "Candide" with the New York Philharmonic, Kristin Chenoweth and Patti LuPone.

AMERICAN MASTERS kicks off a weekly presence in May with new profiles of Hollywood's bad boy, James Dean, and handsome hero, Cary Grant.

Washington Week and Wall Street Week with Fortune return in their usual time slots. NOW returns in January with a shorter title, a half-hour format and David Brancaccio as host. TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED and THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT will each move up by a half-hour in the schedule.

## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Connect.

## PBS Kids

A separate memo detailing plans for upcoming PBS Kids programming will be issued later in October.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the winter/spring 2005 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the winter/spring 2005 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:

| John Wilson | $703-739-5155$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Jacoba Atlas | $323-692-7851$ |
| Steven Gray | $703-759-5012$ |
| Shawn Halford | $703-739-5247$ |

## WINTER/SPRING 2005 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

| Continuing Series: |
| :--- |
| Sundays: |
| Nature |
| Masterpiece Theatre |
| Mystery! |
| Antiques Roadshow |
| American Experience |
| NOVA (including NOVA's Leading Edge (w.t.) |
| Frontline (including Frontline/World) |
| Tuesdays: |
| Wednesdays: Antiques Roadshow FYI |
| Alan Alda in Scientific American Frontiers |
|  |
| $\quad$ American Masters |
| Washington Week |
| Wall \$treet Week with FORTUNE |
| NOW |

Fridays:
Limited Series and Specials:
Great Performances "From Vienna: The New Year's Celebration""
Do You Speak American?
Great Performances "Leonard Bernstein's Candide w/ the NY Philharmonic"
Unforgivable Blackness: The Rise and Fall of Jack Johnson
Auschwitz: Inside the Nazi State
Slavery and the Making of America
On Stage: The Mark Twain Prize (2004)
Great Performances "Queen Latifah in Concert" (w.t.)
The Garden
Great Performances "The Little Prince" (w.t.)
Prisoner of Paradise
National Geographic's Strange Days on Planet Earth
National Memorial Day Concert (2005)

## Notes:

No programs will be designated for common carriage from March 4 through March 27 due to pledge activities during this time.

The 2004-2005 Common Carriage Year; September 12, 2004 to September 10, 2005, encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

January 2005


February 2005

|  | 8:00 PM | 8:30 PM | 9:00 PM | 9:30 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tue. 1 | NOVA |  | FRONTLINE (WIDESCREEN) Secret History of the Credit Card [r] |  | INDEPENDENT LENS <br> February One: The Story of the Greensboro 4 |  |  |
| Wed. 2 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI | SCIENTIFIC A FRONTIERS | AUSCHWITZ: INSIDE THE NAZI STATE (WIDESCREEN) <br> Murder and Intrigue/Liberation and Revenge |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 3 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Omaha, NE, Part 2. (rpt.) |  | THE QUILTMAKERS OF GEE'S BEND(HDTV) |  | Feb. Sweeps 2/3-3/2 |
| Fri. 4 | WASHIN WEEK | WALL STREET WK WITH FORTUNE | NOW | TUCKER CAR UNFILTERED | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY |  |
| Sat. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun. 6 | NATURE (WIDESCREEN) Tall Blondes [r] |  | MASTERPIECE THEATREIsland at WarAMERICAN EXPERIENCE |  |  |  | Super Bo |
| Mon. | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Omaha, NE, Part 3 |  | AMERICAN EXPERIENCE <br> Building the Alaska Highway |  | P.O.V. <br> Chisholm '72-Unbought \& Unbossed |  | end 2330 |
| Tue. 8 | NOVA (WIDESCREEN) <br> The Viking Deception |  | FRONTLINE (WIDESCREEN) House of Saud |  |  |  |  |
| Wed. 9 | ANTIQUES SCIENTIFIC AMER. <br> ROADSHOW FYI FRONTIERS |  | SLAVERY AND THE MAKING OF AMERICA (WIDESCREEN) <br> The Downward Spiral/Liberty in the Air |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 10 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Omaha, NE, Part 3 (rpt.) |  | EGYPT'S GOLDEN EMPIRE <br> The Warrior Pharaohs [r] |  |  |
| Fri. 11 | WASHINGTON WEEK | WALL \$TREET WK. WITH FORTUNE | NOW | TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY |  |
| Sat 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun:13 | NATURE <br> From Orphan to King |  | MASTERPIECE THEATREIsland at War |  |  | CONCERTO <br> A Sense of Self [r] |  |
| Mon. 14 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Memphis, TN, Part 1 |  | AMERICAN EXPERIENCE (WIDESCREEN) Kinsey |  |  | DEFORD BAILEY: <br> A LEGEND LOST [r] |  |
| Tue. 15 | NOVA (WIDESCREEN) <br> Saving the National Treasures |  | FRONTLINE (WIDESCREEN) INDEPENDENT LENS <br> Rumsfeld's War Ir] On a Roll: Disability and the American Dream |  |  |  |  |
| Wed. 16 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI | SCIENTIFIC AMER. FRONTIERS | SLAVERY AND THE MAKING OF AMERICA (WIDESCREEN) <br> Seeds of Destruction/The Challenge of Freedom |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 17 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW EGYPT'S GOLDEN EMPIRE $2 / 3$ <br> Memphis, TN, Part 1 (rot.) Pharaohs of the Sun [r]  <br> NOW THE  |  |  |  |  |
| Fri 18 | WASHINGTON WEEK | WALL \$TREET WK. WITH FORTUNE | NOW | TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY |  |
| Sat 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun. 20 | NATURE (WIDESCREEN) <br> Snowflake: The White Gorilla |  | MASTERPIECE THEATRE <br> Island at War |  |  |  |  |
| Mon 21 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Memphis, TN, Part 2 |  | AMERICAN EXPERIENCE <br> Malcolm X: Make it Plain [r] |  |  |  | end 2330 |
| Tue. 22 | NOVA (WIDESCREEN) <br> A Daring Flight |  | FRONTLINE (WIDESCREEN) <br> A Company of Soldiers |  |  | INDEPENDENT LENS Thunder in Guyana | end 2330 |
| Wed 23 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI | SCIENTIFIC AMER. FRONTIERS | DIRTY WAR |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 24 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Memphis, TNं, Part 2 (rpt.) |  | EGYPT'S GOLDEN EMPIREThe Last Great Pharaoh [r] |  |  |
| Fri. 25 | WASHINGTON WEEK | WALL \$TREET WK. WITH FORTUNE | NOW | TUCKER CARLSON: UNFILTERED | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY |  |
| Sat 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun. 27 | NATURE <br> White Shark/Red Triangle [r] |  | MASTERPIECE THEATRE Goodbye Mr Chips [r] |  |  |  | Academy Awards |
| Mon. 28 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW Memphis, TN, Part 3 |  | AMERICAN EXPERIENCE <br> Murder at Harvard [r] |  | THE ROCKIES BY RAIL [r] |  |  |

March 2005




To: $\quad$ General Managers, Program Managers \& Promotion Managers From: John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford Date: March 7, 2005

Attached please find:

- Summer 2005 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Summer 2005 Common Carriage Designations

The attached summer 2005 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the upcoming NPS schedule. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of June through August 2005. We plan to publish an early preview of the fall 2005 schedule prior to the PBS Showcase in April.

Consistency is one of the key words we've heard from the CPB-commissioned primetime 2004 audience study. The public values PBS, but viewers still have a difficult time identifying when PBS series air and frequently cite inconsistency. Some of this feeling of inconsistency may come from anthology series, which inherently look different from week to week, while some may come from pledge drives, which interrupt the weekly presence of continuing series several times each year. PBS may further interrupt weekly series' footprints with stunts and preemptions. And our mix of weekly, continuing series versus specials and limited series may be one more source of inconsistency.

The summer 2005 grids show a more consistently scheduled NPS. With ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI and the conclusion of COOKING UNDER FIRE appearing weekly, followed by the continuation of the AMERICAN MASTERS season, Wednesdays will transition from a night of limited series and specials to a night of consistency throughout the summer. The summer season also encompasses the seasons of P.O.V., History Detectives, Wide Angle and Soundstage, and the continuation of the seasons of NATURE, MYSTERY!, ANTIQUES ROADSHOW, NOVA and The New This Old House Hour. The schedule is transformed with this emphasis on regularly scheduled continuing series, and with the decreased time allotted for limited series and one-time-only specials.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the National Program Service schedule. This summer, many continuing series will return with strong new episodes, including the following:

MYSTERY! sizzles this summer with new Inspector Lynley stories. Nathaniel Parker and Sharon Smalls return to their roles in the Elizabeth George mysteries.

History Detectives returns to the schedule with 10 new episodes. The four detectives are covering a range of intriguing cases, from an antique watch that may have been a gift from Wyatt Earp to 'Doc' Holliday, to a 19th-century photograph that may prove to be of the legendary Apache warrior, Geronimo.

NOVA presents another new installment of its Robert Krulwich-hosted magazine series, "NOVA scienceNOW."

InDEPENDENT LENS wraps up its season with a profile of a lost, MexicanAmerican village in Los Angeles destroyed by greed and baseball, and a drama about a young, gay artist struggling for acceptance, who discovers the legacies of the homosexual subcultures within the Harlem Renaissance.
P.O.V. kicks off another new season with a powerful film about a Lubbock, Texas, teen's confrontation with the political and social implications of her Christian upbringing.

Great Performances follows the English National Opera's competition to uncover and prepare raw talent in "Operatunity"; explores the Jewish roots behind popular jazz; and presents the classical ballet "Swan Lake" in HDTV.

AMERICAN MASTERS profiles producer/director George Stevens, presents Stanley Nelson's portrait of a cappella group Sweet Honey in the Rock and covers the life and work of comedian Bob Newhart. And stay tuned for Bob Dylan this fall.

WIDE ANGLE returns to the schedule with 10 new programs spanning the globe.
SOUNDSTAGE kicks off its season with new, sizzling HDTV concerts, including Lindsey Buckingham and John Mayer.

## Limited Series \& Specials

## The New Heroes

4/60 from OPB
In the midst of one of the largest slums in Rio de Janeiro, Maria Teresa founded a sewing cooperative that respects the environment and fair labor practices and serves as a model for community development. Two oceans away, Muhammad Yunus originated a concept of micro credit that has improved the financial lives of tens of thousands of Bangladesh families. Hosted by Robert Redford, this four-part series follows several of these social entrepreneurs as they apply forprofit business practices to creatively build better communities globally.

## Whale Rider

$1 / 120$ presented by Pacific Islanders in Communication
The Whangara people believe their habitation of a New Zealand coastal village dates back to a single ancestor - a chief who escaped drowning by riding to shore on the back of a whale. For the past 1,000 years, only the first-born male descendants of the whale rider have become Whangara chiefs. When the 11-year-old granddaughter of the patriarchal tribe's chief believes she is destined to be the successor, her grandfather refuses to recognize his only heir. The stunning drama, which won the audience award as the most popular film at both the Toronto and Sundance film festivals, chronicles this contemporary tale of love, rejection and triumph as the young Maori girl fights generations of tradition to fulfill her destiny. Keisha Castle-Hughes earned a Best Actress Oscar nomination for this role.

## Guns, Germs $\mathcal{E}$ Steel

3/60 from National Geographic Television/Lion TV
Based on the Pulitzer Prize-winning book of the same name, this series examines how geographical and environmental factors shaped the modern world.
Dismantling theories that human development was racially based, it explains how societies with advantages in food production achieved early success and went on to develop writing, technology, government and organized religion as well as weapons of war that enabled them to conquer pre-literate cultures. Hosted by author Jared Diamond, the series traces the past 13,000 years of human history, unraveling the ultimate reasons for the dominance of the North and the continuing plight of the Third World.

## A Capitol Fourth (2005)

1/90 from Capitol Concerts
Barry Bostwick returns as host of the annual Independence Day celebration broadcast live from the West Lawn of the United States Capitol and featuring the National Symphony Orchestra performing traditional July 4th favorites.

## Declining by Degrees: Higher Education at Risk (w.t.)

1/120 from Learning Matters, Inc.
As the cost of college rises and access becomes more restricted, families must balance higher education with financial viability. And, as universities are being held more accountable, their budgets are being slashed. Traveling across the country, this special profiles four institutions. By looking at admissions, costs, campus culture, curriculum, professors and graduation rates for each, the documentary paints a portrait of a complex system struggling to improve and chronicles the changes and challenges facing American higher education.

## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Connect.

## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the summer 2005 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the summer 2005 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:

| John Wilson | $703-739-5155$ | Jacoba Atlas | 323-692-7851 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Steven Gray | $703-759-5012$ | Shawn Halford | $703-739-5247$ |

## SUMMER 2005 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

## Continuing Series:

\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}Sundays: \& Nature <br>
Mondays: \& Mystery! <br>
\& Antiques Roadshow <br>

\& History Detectives\end{array}\right\}\)| Tuesdays: |
| :--- |
|  |
| NOVA |
| Frontline (including Frontline/World) |
|  |
| Wide Angle |

## Limited Series and Specials:

Great Performances "Operatunity"
Great Performances "Swan Lake with American Ballet Theater"
The New Heroes
A Capitol Fourth (2005)
Guns, Germs \& Steel
Whale Rider (*inadvertently omitted from hardcopy mailed to stations*)
Great Performances "From Shtetl to Swing"
Notes:
No programs will be designated for common carriage from June 3-June 12 due to increasing local pledge activities during this time. Similarly, no common carriage designations will be made from July 29 -August 21 due to pledge activities.

The 2004-2005 Common Carriage Year, September 12, 2004 to September 17, 2005, encompasses 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

June 2005




To: $\quad$ General Managers, Program Managers \& Promotion Managers
From: John F. Wilson, Jacoba Atlas, Steven Gray, Shawn Halford
Date: $\quad$ May 27, 2005

Attached please find:

- Fall 2005 National Program Service Primetime Grids
- Fall 2005 Common Carriage Designations

The fall 2005 primetime at-a-glance grids detail the most current plans for the upcoming NPS schedule. As is always the case, these grids are subject to some change, but we are confident that the major programming elements will remain as scheduled. This schedule includes the months of June through August 2005. We plan to publish the early 2006 schedule by early October.

We're continuing to address the strategic imperatives raised by the CPBcommissioned 2004 primetime audience study. One of the key imperatives is accessibility. And consistency is one major factor. The public values PBS, but viewers still have a difficult time identifying when PBS series air and frequently cite inconsistency in the schedule. Some of this feeling of inconsistency may come from anthology series, which inherently look different from week to week. Some results from pledge drives, which interrupt the weekly presence of continuing series several times each year. PBS may further interrupt weekly series' footprints with stunts and preemptions. And our mix of weekly, continuing series versus specials and limited series may be yet another source of inconsistency.

The fall 2005 grids show a more consistently scheduled NPS. New seasons of Nature, Masterpiece Theatre, American Experience, NOVA, Frontline and THE New This Old House Hour kick off this fall. The fall schedule is also home to new episodes from series such as GREAT PERFORMANCES as well as the conclusion of weekly summer series such as History Detectives, Mystery!, P.O.V., SOUNDSTAGE, AMERICAN MASTERS and Wide Angle. The schedule is transformed with this emphasis on regularly scheduled continuing series, and
with the decreased time allotted for limited series and one-time-only specials. That said, we have made room for some high-profile limited series and specials to punctuate the fall schedule.

Another strategic imperative of the primetime research is to expand the science and nature footprint. While PBS intends to pursue this suggestion, we are already beginning to realize it this fall with the return of SECRETS OF THE DEAD and specials such as Leonardo's Dream Machines and Window to the Sea.

One of the other key findings from the research is the need to develop new, broad appeal programming. In addition to ANTIQUES ROADSHOW, our broadestdrawing ongoing series, we are developing programming designed to open the door for viewers to come to public television schedules. Some of these projects in development will be novel formats, and many programs based on tried-andtrue formats are ready for the fall, including SECRETS OF THE DEAD, The Kennedy Center Presents: The Mark Twain Prize (2005), and In Search of Myths and Heroes (a series which eclipsed BBC1's audience when it was recently broadcast on BBC2, which is akin to PBS topping NBC's audience for a night).

Finally, we are holding the common carriage programs in the fall schedule to the same level of accountability as we did programs in the current season. The programs will be measured against reasonable goals in the areas of audience (both on-air and online), mission (including press coverage, outreach, awards and other points of impact), and station value (including mission, audience and membership value as defined by station managers). These program performance measures are already an important tool in determining the direction of PBS investment, development and scheduling of ongoing series, limited series and specials.

Beginning with limited series and specials, here are highlights of the fall 2005 NPS primetime schedule.

Fall 2005 Schedule
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## Limited Series \& Specials

AMERICAN MASTERS "Bob Dylan: No Direction Home"
$1 / 90+1 / 120$ from WNET/Cappa Productions
Martin Scorcese's documentary is the first authorized film biography of the usually-reclusive Bob Dylan. We'll be showcasing the voice of his generation as the centerpiece to a tightly-knit theme week including new programs such as "Get Up, Stand Up: The Story of Pop and Protest" from WNET," "The Sixties: The Years that Shaped a Generation" from OPB, "Best of The Beatles," a recounting of the early years of The Beatles through the lens of their first drummer, Pete Best, and a special edition of ANTIQUES ROADSHOW focusing on pop collectibles of the '50s, '60s and '70s.

## Making Schools Work with Hedrick Smith

1/120 presented SCETV
Journalist Hedrick Smith profiles several different approaches to individual public school reform across the country and at all levels.

## Leonardo's Dream Machines

2/60 from Channel 4 International
For the first time, teams build some of Leonardo da Vinci's war machines to his exact specifications and scale, 500 years after they his dream machines were committed to paper.

## Holy Warriors

1/120 from Atlantic Productions and DDE
The dramatic story of Saladin, the Sultan of Egypt, as he led a united Arab force against Richard the Lionhearted's crusaders in a bloody struggle for Jerusalem.

## Destination America

4/60 from David Grubin
This new series explores the motives, expectations and experiences of immigrant groups both past and present as they make their way to America. The four episodes trace America's immigrant roots through specific groups' quest for liberty: Freedom from Want, Freedom of Religion, Freedom to Create and Freedom for Women.

## Limited Series \& Specials, continued

## A Cemetery Special

1/60 fromWQED
Rick Sebak brings his personal touch to a tour of the many interesting, historical and quirky cemeteries across the country, from the burial grounds of the famous to the unmarked graves of soldiers.

Rx for Survival, A Global Health Challenge
$3 / 120$ fromWGBH and Vulcan Productions
This miniseries is the centerpiece of a multimedia event designed to create awareness of and catalyze a dialogue about the roles that individuals and our nation can play in addressing serious world health issues.

## Pioneers of Primetime

1/60 from Boettcher Productions
A remembrance of the funny men and women who made the transition from Vaudeville and radio to early television, from Jack Benny and Sid Caesar to George Burns and Gracie Allen.

The Kennedy Center Presents: The Mark Twain Prize 2005
1/90 from WETA
Comedians and other luminaries gather to pay tribute to this year's recipient of the Mark Twain Prize for American Humor, Steve Martin.

In Search of Myths and Heroes
4/60 from Maya Vision and WETA
Michael Wood is in great form as the presenter of this series exploring legendary people and places. Michael makes remarkable journeys in search of the real King Arthur, the mythical Shangri-La, and the ancient stories of the Queen of Sheba and Jason and the Golden Fleece.

## Window to the Sea

1/60 from WNED
Viewers can take an access-all-areas tour of four American aquariums: Boston's New England Aquarium, the Monterey Bay Aquarium in California, Chicago's Shedd Aquarium and the Waikiki Aquarium in Hawaii.

Fall 2005 Schedule
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## Limited Series \& Specials, continued

## As Time Goes By Special (w.t.)

2/60 from D.L. Taffner
A two-part special features a reunion of the full cast including Dame Judi Dench and Geoffrey Palmer. Set some time after the last season left off, the specials reveal Jean Pargetter's (Dench) anticipation for grandchildren, much to Lionel's (Palmer) dismay. The specials will be combined as a single-night pledge offering for the December drive, with a subsequent appearance in the NPS schedule.

Imagining America: Icons of $20^{\text {th }}$ Century Art
1/120 from SCETV
This special presents the work of American artists, including Edward Hopper, Jacob Lawrence, Cindy Sherman, Keith Haring, Alfred Stieglitz, Andy Warhol, Georgia O'Keefe, Willem de Kooning and others.

## Continuing Series

The continuing series are the foundation of the National Program Service schedule. This fall, many continuing series will offer strong new episodes, including the following:

NATURE inquires whether animals can actually predict natural disasters.

MYSTERY!'s season concludes with "Murder Room," a new P.D. James story.

MASTERPIECE THEATRE opens up the fall season with a new story written around Doyle's Sherlock Holmes characters and starring Rupert Everett. Also on tap is an adventure-packed production of Robert Louis Stevenson's "Kidnapped."

ANTIQUES ROADSHOW presents a new special compiling pop culture collectibles from the 1950's, 60's and 70's, as part of the theme week surrounding Bob Dylan.

AMERICAN EXPERIENCE celebrates the centennial of the city of Las Vegas.

## Continuing Series, continued

History Detectives concludes its season with new episodes through September.

NOVA tells the stories of scientists Isaac Newton and, in an unprecedented new dramatic telling, Albert Einstein, and his revolutionary theory of relativity.

FRONTLINE confronts the lasting societal impacts of the O.J. Simpson trial, ten years to the day after the verdict.

INDEPENDENT LENS begins a new season with films on such subjects as race and pop culture, the booming business of fertility treatment and sperm banks and a longitudinal look at the declining business of ranching.

Great Performances brings together Eric Clapton, Jack Bruce and Ginger Baker for a much-anticipated reunion concert.

In addition to anchoring pop-out week with a profile of Bob Dylan, AMERICAN MASTERS offers a four-week "writer's block" including new films on Ernest Hemingway and Willa Cather.

SECRETS OF THE DEAD returns with three new specials, including America's wartime hunt for the talents of Nazi scientists.

## Promotion

PBS Brand Management and Promotion is creating promotion campaigns to support this season's schedule. A complete promotion plan with details on program support, branding strategies and materials for both primetime and PBS Kids will be available on PBS Connect.

Fall 2005 Schedule
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## Common Carriage Designations

A list of programs designated for Common Carriage within the fall 2005 season is included as a part of this mailing.

We are pleased to deliver to you the fall 2005 National Program Service primetime schedule. In this season and beyond, we will ensure that PBS continues to deliver to its member stations a high-quality, diverse National Program Service that builds upon and extends the mission, depth, reach and distinction that are the hallmarks of PBS.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call:
John Wilson 703-739-5155
Jacoba Atlas 323-692-7851
Steven Gray 703-759-5012
Shawn Halford 703-739-5247

## FALL 2005 COMMON CARRIAGE DESIGNATIONS

| Continuing Series: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sundays: | Nature |
|  | Mystery! |
|  | Masterpiece Theatre |
| Mondays: | Antiques Roadshow |
|  | History Detectives |
|  | American Experience (including "Las Vegas") |
| Tuesdays: | NOVA (including "E=MC2" |
|  | Frontline (including Frontline/World, and 10/3 "OJ" special) |
|  | Wide Angle |
| Wednesdays: | Antiques Roadshow FYI |
|  | American Masters (including "Dylan" on $9 / 26 \& 27$, and one local repeat of "Dylan" designated anytime within the week of premiere) |
| Fridays: | Washington Week |
|  | NOW |
| Limited Series and Specials: |  |
| In Performance at the White House: The Congressional Picnic |  |
| Best of the Beatles |  |
| Get Up, Stand Up: The Story of Pop \& Protest |  |
| The Sixties: The Years that Shaped a Generation |  |
| Making Schools Work with Hedrick Smith |  |
| Holy Warriors |  |
| Helen of Troy |  |
| Secrets of the Dead "The Hunt for Nazi Scientists" (w.t.) |  |
| A Cemetery Special |  |
| Destination America |  |
| Rx for Survival, A Global Health Challenge |  |
| Global Warming: The Signs and the Science |  |
| Pioneers of Primetime |  |
| The Kennedy Center Presents: The Mark Twain Prize (2005) |  |
| Secrets of the Dead "Gangland Graveyard" (w.t.) |  |
| In Search of Myths and Heroes |  |
| Secrets of the Dead "Voyage of the Courtesans" (w.t.) |  |
| Great Performances "Cream Reunion Concert" (w.t.) |  |
| Great Performances "The Nightingale" |  |
| Window to the Sea |  |
| Imagining Am | rica: Icons of $20{ }^{\text {th }}$ Century American Art |

## Notes:

No programs will be designated for common carriage from December 1-16 due to pledge. The 2004-2005 Common Carriage Year (9/12/04-9/17/05) and the 2005-2006 Common Carriage Year, (9/18/05-9/16/06) , each encompass 500 hours of common carriage designations, based on the July 2001 revisions to common carriage guidelines. A detailed and updated accounting of common carriage designations appears with each month's week-by-weeks.

September 2005

|  |  | 8:30 PM | 9:00 PM | :30 PM | 10: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thu. 1 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR [r] |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOWOmaha, NE [rl (rpt.). |  | SOUNDSTAGE (HDTV)Chris lsaak, Greatest Hits |  |  |
| Fri 2 | WASHINGTON WEEK | NOW | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY | GRAND CANYON, (W A NAKED PLANET SPE | WIDESCREEN ECIAL [r] |  |
| Sat. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun: 4 | NATURE <br> Pale Male [r] |  | MYSTERY! <br> Inspector Lynley III "Deception on His Mind" [r] |  |  | VISIONES: LATINO ART\& CULTURE \#3[r] | 9/6 |
| Mon. 5 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOWOmaha, NE $[r]$ |  | HISTORY DETECTIVES (WIDESCREEN) |  | BENJAMIN FRANKLIN <br> The Chess Master [r] |  |  |
| Tue: 6 | NOVA "Origins "Where Are the Aliens?" [r] |  | WIDE ANGLE <br> Haiti Tries Again (w.t.) |  | P.O.V. <br> The Hobart Shakespeareans |  |  |
| Wed. 7 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI [r] | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI [r] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 8 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR [r] |  | ANTIQUES ROADSHOWOmaha, NE [r] (rpt.) |  | SOUNDSTAGE (HDTV)Lindsey Buckingham w/spcl guest Stevie Nicks |  |  |
| Fri. 9 | SHELTER FROM THE STORM: A CONCERT FOR THE GULF COAST |  | WASHINGTON WEEK |  | THE JOURNAL TAVIS SMILEY <br> EDITORIAL REPORT  |  |  |
| Sat. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun 11 | NATURE  <br> Pale Male $[r]$  <br> ANTIQUES ROADSHOW $\ddots$ <br> Memphis, TN $[r]$  |  | MYSTERY! <br> Foyle's War Ill "The French Drop" |  |  | VISTIONES: LATINO ART\& CULTURE \#4[r] |  |
| Mon. 12 |  |  | HISTORY DETECTIVE (WIDESCREEN) |  | IN THE BALANCE: BIOA | ATTACK $\quad 1$ |  |
| Tue. 13 | NOVA  <br> Origins "Back to the Beginning" $[r]$ $4 / 4$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WIDE ANGLE } \\ & \text { 1-800-INDIA } \end{aligned}$ |  | P.O.V. <br> Omar \& Pete (HDTV) |  |  |
| Wed: 14 | AMERICAN EXPERIENCE <br> Fatal Flood [r] |  | AMERICAN MASTERS (HDTV) <br> Ernest Hemingway: Rivers to the SeaVISIONES: LATINO <br> ART\& CULTURE (rpt) |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 15 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR $[r]$ |  | Movie: THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF MISS JANE PITTMAN |  |  |  |  |
| Fri 16 | WASHINGTON WEEK | NOW <br> Katrina: The Response |  | EDITORIAL REPORT | ART IN THE TWENTYPower (WIDESCREEN) | $1 / 4$ |  |
| Sat. 17 | LIVE FROM LINCOLN CENTER <br> Higher Ground: A Hurricane Relief Benefit <br> NATURE (WIDESCREEN) 1/3 MYSTERYI |  |  |  |  |  | end. 0100 |
| Sun. 18 | NATURE (WIDESCREEN)Deep Jungle "New Frontiers" $[r]$ |  | MYSTERY! <br> Foyle's War III "Enemy F HISTORY DETECTIVES (WIDESCREEN) |  | $2 / 4$ | VISIONES: LATINO ART\& CULTURE \#5[r] |  |
| Mon 19 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOWMemphis, TN $[r]$ |  |  |  | IN THE BALANCE: CITY UNDER SIEGE $\quad 2 / 2$ |  |  |
| Tue 20 | NOVA (HDTV) <br> Mystery of the Megaflood (Season Premiere) |  | WIDE ANGLE <br> Hot Zone: Vietnam (w.t.) |  | HIDDEN TURKEY |  |  |
| Wed. 21 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI [r] | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW FYI [r] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thu. 22 | LIVE FROM LINCOLN CENTER <br> New York Philharmonic and Lang Lang: A Night of Firsts |  |  |  | SOUNDSTAGE (HDTV) <br> Trisha Yearvood and Sugarland... |  |  |
| Fri 23 | WASHINGTON WEEK | NOW | EDITORIAL REPORT |  | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { ART IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY III } \\ \text { Memory (WIDESCREEN) } & 2 / 4\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Sat. 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun. 25 | NATURE (WIDESCREEN)Deep Jungle "Monsters of the Forest" $[r]$ |  | MYSTERY!   <br> Foyle's War III "They Fought in the Fields" $\ddots / 4$ VISIONES: LATINO <br> ART\& CULTURE \#6[r]   |  |  |  |  |
| Mon. 26 | ANTIQUES ROADSHOW <br> Tomorrow's Antiques (1950s-1970s) |  | AMERICAN MASTERS <br> Bob Dylan: No Direction Home |  |  |  |  |
| Tue. 27 | NOVA <br> Ice Mummies: Frozen in Heaven [r] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wed. 28 | BEST OF THE BEATLES |  | GET UP, STAND UP: THE STORY OF POP AND PROTEST |  |  |  |  |
| Thu: 29 | THE NEW THIS OLD HOUSE HOUR [r] |  | THE SIXTIES: THE YEARS THAT SHAPED A GENERATION |  |  |  |  |
| Fri. 30 | WASHINGTON WEEK | NOW | THE JOURNAL EDITORIAL REPORT | TAVIS SMILEY | ART IN THE TWENTY-F Structures (WIDESCR | FIRST CENTURY III EEN) $3 / 4$ |  |
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## I. Qualifications and Summary

I am an economist and a Special Consultant at National Economic Research Associates, Inc. I have conducted research on entertainment and media industries for over 30 years. I have analyzed marketplace prices paid for copyright licenses, reasonable rates for such licenses, and the distribution of fees collected to individual rights owners in a variety of media, including cable networks, broadcast stations, television programs, motion pictures, books, music compositions and recorded songs. I have submitted reports to and/or testified before the Copyright Royalty Judges and Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP) concerning the distribution of cable royalty funds, the distribution of satellite royalty funds, the compulsory license fee for satellite-retransmitted broadcast stations, and the costs and revenues of the record labels affiliated with the major U.S. record companies. In addition, I have submitted reports to the Federal Communications Commission and the Federal Trade Commission, and have testified before state and Federal courts and arbitrators concerning entertainment market issues. A detailed statement of my qualifications is attached as Appendix 1.

Counsel for the Public Broadcasting Service asked me to address two issues relevant to the relative value of distant Public Television (PTV) stations imported by cable operators in 2004-05: whether there has been a major change in the factors that would affect relative marketplace values between 1998-99 and 2004-05 and what the outcome of the Bortz survey would likely have been had the survey not omitted systems that imported only distant PTV and/or Canadian stations.

In summary, I conclude:

- There was no major change in the factors that would affect relative marketplace value in 2004-05. Available data indicate that there was no decrease in demand for imported PTV programming. If anything, there was a slight increase in such demand.
- Had all systems with distant signals been considered eligible, the Bortz survey would have been expected to find that cable operators attributed to PTV about 6 percent of the fixed dollar amounts they spent on the distant signals they actually imported to attract and retain subscribers in 2004-05.


## II. No Substantial Changed Circumstances Between 1998-99 and 2004-05

## A. Background

In 1998-99, the CARP awarded PTV 5.5 percent of the cable operator basic royalty fund. It reached its decision based on a prior percentage allocation to PTV and a finding of no changed circumstances since that time that affected PTV's share. ${ }^{1}$

According to previous CARP proceedings and related court decisions, the standard for determining the distribution of the royalties for cable-retransmitted distant signals among the claimant groups that supply the compensable programming is relative marketplace value. The hypothetical marketplace negotiations over such programming would occur between cable operators and broadcasters (as intermediaries for copyright owners) for the rights to retransmit entire broadcast signals. ${ }^{2}$ Only demand is relevant in such negotiations-the demand by the cable operators for the distant signals they choose to import. Demand for distant signals depends on the prices and quality of the available substitutes-the local stations, cable networks and other services offered by cable operators-the additional cost (if any) of bringing the distant station to the cable system headend, and the income and taste of the cable system subscribers and potential subscribers.

## B. Carriage

Cable operators have a choice whether or not to carry distant signals. All systems must pay a minimum fee covering one distant signal equivalent (DSE). That is, a cable system could carry one independent station (equal to one DSE), four PTV stations or four major network affiliates (each PTV and affiliate station equals one-quarter DSE) without paying additional royalties. ${ }^{3}$ Although one DSE is "free" with the minimum payment in terms of cable royalties, the distant signal(s) use bandwidth that the operator may prefer to use for cable networks or other services. As a result, many systems import no distant signals, and still others carry only a

[^44]fractional DSE. In 1998-99 and 2004-05, Form 3 systems covering about 30 percent of subscribers imported less than one DSE and paid the minimum fee-about 20 percent carried no distant signals and about 10 percent carried only a fractional DSE. ${ }^{4}$ For these systems, the value of a distant signal (or an additional fractional DSE) is apparently less than the value of an alternate use of the bandwidth the signal would occupy. In contrast, those systems that do choose to carry distant signals reveal by their behavior that they value the chosen signals more than alternate uses. As a result, a substantial change in the particular signals cable operators choose to carry can be a meaningful indicator of a change in cable operator demand.

Slightly more subscribers were in cable systems that chose to carry distant signals in 2004-05 (82 percent) than in 1998-99 (76 percent). On average, cable operators that carried distant signals chose to carry about the same number of distant signals per subscriber: 1.51 in 1998-99 and 1.46 in 2004-05. The composition by type of signal also remained relatively the same. For example, the average subscriber with distant signals received 0.15 PTV stations in 1998-99 and 0.18 PTV stations in 2004-05. See Chart $1 .{ }^{5}$

[^45]

Another way to see that the composition of the distant signals carried remained approximately the same in 1998-99 and 2004-05 is to look at the percentage distribution of distant subscriber incidents. (One distant subscriber incident is one subscriber receiving one distant signal.) Total distant subscriber incidents increased slightly from 68.0 million in 1998-99 to 70.7 million in 2004-05. The number of distant PTV subscriber incidents increased from 7.0 million in 1998-99 to 8.5 million in 2004-05. PTV accounted for 10 percent of the distant
subscriber incidents in 1998-99 and 12 percent in 2004-05. ${ }^{6}$ The percent of distant subscriber incidents for other types of signals was even more stable over the two periods. See Chart 2. ${ }^{7}$


[^46]
## C. Unadjusted Bortz Survey

Each year, Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc. (Bortz) conducts a survey of a random sample of cable operators. ${ }^{8}$ The cable operators are asked how they would allocate a fixed budget among the different programming categories on the distant signals they actually carried in the preceding year. The survey results reflect the collective valuations made by the eligible respondents. Certain potential respondents, however, are deemed ineligible: those that carry distant signals only in the PTV and/or Canadian category. For this reason, Trautman acknowledges that the survey results for PTV and Canadian program categories require adjustment. ${ }^{9}$ Nevertheless, the reported survey results can provide useful information about any substantial changes in relative value between 1998-99 and 2004-05 for two reasons. First, the ineligible potential respondents are similar in the two time periods. ${ }^{10}$ Second, many of the eligible survey respondents import distant PTV and/or Canadian signals along with independents and network affiliates. ${ }^{11}$

The unadjusted Bortz survey shows no substantial change in cable operators' relative values of the different types of programming. For example, in 1998-99, the surveyed cable operators attributed 2.9 percent of the value of the distant signals they imported to PTV programming in 1998 and 1999; the surveyed group attributed 3.5 percent to imported PTV programming in 2004 and 3.7 percent in 2005. ${ }^{12}$ Changes in other categories between 1998-99 and 2004-05 are of similar magnitude. See Chart $3 .{ }^{13}$

[^47]

Based on both carriage data and the unadjusted Bortz survey, there was no substantial change in the relative demand of cable operators for imported programming between 1998-99 and 2004-05. The small changes that occurred were generally in favor of PTV programming.

## III. Augmented Bortz Survey Results

Available information allows me to compute the values the 2004 and 2005 Bortz surveys likely would have found had they not excluded from the original samples cable operators that carried only distant PTV and/or Canadian signals. I refer to the larger sample as the augmented Bortz survey.

## A. Background

The Bortz survey asks cable operators to estimate the relative value of the different programming types broadcast by the particular distant signals they carry. Specifically, the 2004 survey asked:

Now, I would like you to estimate the relative value to your cable system of each type of programming actually broadcast by the stations I mentioned during 2004 [as those your system carried from other cities], other than any national network programming from $\mathrm{ABC}, \mathrm{CBS}$ and NBC . That is, how much do you think each such type of programming was worth, if anything, on a comparative basis, in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers. We are only interested in [repeat list of distant stations carried].

Assume you had a fixed dollar amount to spend in order to acquire all the programming actually broadcast during 2004 by the stations I listed. What percentage, if any, of the fixed dollar amount would you spend for each type of programming? Please write down your estimates, and make sure they add to $100{ }^{14}$

The Bortz survey uses seven program categories, five of which appear on U.S. independent stations and network affiliates-movies, live professional and college sports, syndicated shows, news/public affairs and devotional/religious programming-and two of which are specific to a station type-PTV and Canadian stations. ${ }^{15}$

But for two factors, the Bortz survey results would show how the cable operators themselves would have allocated the compulsory licensing royalties they paid to carry that programming. The first factor is the omission of cable operators selected in the sample but deemed ineligible to respond because they import only PTV or Canadian distant stations. As a result of this omission, the value given for PTV and Canadian programming is a floor. ${ }^{16} \mathrm{Had}$ these omitted operators been included, they would have been restricted to "dividing the value" among only one programming category, PTV or Canadian, respectively. As a result, it is selfevident what these omitted operators would have replied, if they had been included and had followed the survey instructions: those that carried only PTV would be required to say 100 percent for PTV programming and, similarly, those that carried only Canadian stations would be

[^48]required to say 100 percent for programming on Canadian stations. ${ }^{17}$ I added these omitted systems back into the Bortz survey. The results of the augmented Bortz survey provide an estimate of the PTV value, not a floor.

The second factor is the implied inclusion of certain noncompensable programming. In general, two categories of programming contained on some imported signals are not compensable: (1) ABC, CBS and NBC network programming and (2) certain programming, particularly movies, syndicated and devotional programming, not retransmitted from the programming broadcast by the television station WGN but inserted into the satellite-delivered WGN signal. ${ }^{18}$ The survey instructs respondents to ignore the value of the noncompensable network programming but not the value of the noncompensable WGN programming. As a result, the values cable operators that import WGN ascribe to movies, syndicated series and devotional programming are likely to include both compensable and noncompensable programming, which would overstate the values of the compensable programming in these categories.

## B. Calculation of Augmented Bortz Survey Results

I received information identifying the cable operators picked to be surveyed but excluded because they carried only PTV or Canadian distant signals. I recalculated the survey results, assuming that these systems were eligible. I further assumed that these omitted systems would have a response rate similar to those that were eligible and that they would have followed the

[^49]survey instructions. A simplified version of the recalculation for 2004 is set out below. It produces an augmented survey result of 6.8 percent for PTV in $2004 .{ }^{19}$

- 162 respondents, 64.5 percent of those eligible, gave PTV a relative value of 3.5 percent.
- Nine systems were drawn in the original sample but deemed ineligible because they carried only PTV distant signals. Had they been eligible, we would expect 64.5 percent of the 9 to respond, or 5.8 respondents, all of which would have assigned PTV stations $100 \%$ of the relative value.
- One system was in the original sample but was ineligible because it carried only a Canadian distant station. Had it been eligible, we would expect 64.5 percent of the 1 to respond, or 0.6 respondents, which would have assigned PTV stations $0 \%$ of the relative value.
- $[(162 \times 3.5 \%)+(5.8 \times 100 \%)+(0.6 \times 0 \%)] /[162+5.8+0.6]=6.8 \%$

The actual process is more complicated due to the stratification and weighting process used by the Bortz survey. (See Appendix 2.) Nevertheless, the simplified result is similar to the augmented survey result I obtained from the more complete process: 6.2 percent for PTV.

I undertook the same steps for the 2005 survey, with one exception. Among the original sample of cable operators, there were not only those deemed ineligible because they carried only PTV distant signals (seven systems) or only Canadian distant signals (one system), but also two

[^50]ineligible systems that carried both PTV and Canadian distant signals. Because it is unclear how respondents in the last group would have split their relative values between PTV and Canadian station programming, I used a range of responses: the extremes of 100 percent PTV/0 percent Canadian and 0 percent PTV/100 percent Canadian. My estimate for the augmented Bortz survey in 2005 is a range depending on the assumed answer of the last group: 5.9 to 6.2 percent.

When the ten omitted systems that carried only PTV and/or Canadian distant signals are added to each year's survey, the estimated values for PTV and Canadian programming increase and the estimated values for the five other categories decrease. The augmented results for 200405 for each of the seven programming categories are summarized on Chart $4 .{ }^{20}$


Both the unadjusted and augmented Bortz survey results show the percentage value of all royalties -basic, 3.75 and syndex royalties-paid by the surveyed cable systems that the respondents assign to each programming type. Since PTV receives payments from only the

[^51]basic fund, an adjustment to the augmented survey results is needed to produce PTV's share of the basic fund. ${ }^{21}$ This adjustment divides the augmented PTV results by the percent of all Form 3 royalties in the basic fund: 85.0 percent in 2004 and 85.9 percent in 2005. ${ }^{22}$ The adjusted PTV results equal about 7 percent. See Chart $5 .{ }^{23}$


[^52]
## IV. Conclusion

In summary, I conclude:

- There was no major change in the factors that would affect relative marketplace value in 2004-05. The relative number of subscribers receiving distant PTV and other signals and the Bortz survey results indicate that there was no decline in the demand for imported PTV programming. In fact, there were slight increases in these values.
- The augmented Bortz survey, which includes systems that carried only PTV or Canadian distant signals, would have been expected to find that cable operators attributed to PTV about 6 percent of the fixed dollar amounts they spent on the distant signals they actually imported to attract and retain subscribers in 2004-05.
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## Appendix 2

## Augmented Bortz Survey Sources and Methods

The Bortz survey draws a stratified random sample of cable operators, with the stratification into four groups (or strata) based on the size of the system's cable royalties. It then eliminates ineligible systems (for example, those carrying no distant signals and those carrying only PTV or Canadian distant signals) ${ }^{1}$ and attempts to interview the remaining, eligible systems. Interviews were completed with 64.5 percent of the eligible sampled systems in 2004 and with 68.1 percent of those in $2005 .^{2}$ In order to arrive at survey estimates from the interview responses concerning valuation by program category for the total population (including those interviewed and not interviewed), Bortz used a ratio estimation methodology that weights responses based on (1) the total royalty of each respondent's system, (2) the total royalties of all respondents' systems in that same stratum, and (3) the total royalties of all (sampled and nonsampled) systems in that stratum. ${ }^{3}$

I obtained the augmented survey results based on the following data: for each of the ten omitted systems that carried PTV and/or Canadian distant signals in each year, 2004 and 2005, I received information, including the royalty, the stratum and the type of signals carried. I also received, from CDC, summaries of the original Bortz survey results for each of the four strata for 2004 and 2005, including the number of respondents, their royalties and their total value for each of the program categories. ${ }^{4}$ In addition, Trautman, 2009 (p. 46, Table A-1) supplied the eligible sample and the distribution of total royalties for the four strata.

I obtained the augmented survey results by undertaking the following steps analogous to the original Bortz survey:

First, I determined which of the ten systems drawn in the original Bortz survey in 2004 and 2005 but ineligible due to carrying only PTV and/or Canadian distant signals would be likely

[^53]respondents. The ten systems covered all four strata. To determine the likelihood of each responding, I used the response rates specific to each stratum as the probability of an omitted system in an individual stratum being included in the overall results. ${ }^{5}$

Second, I determined the responses of each system to the valuation question. For the excluded systems importing only PTV signals or only Canadian signals (all ten of the omitted systems in 2004, and eight of the ten in 2005), each was assumed to have 100 percent of its fixed dollar amounts allocated to PTV or Canadian, in accordance with the respective PTV or Canadian distant signal the system carried and 0 percent for all other program categories. In 2005, two systems carried both Canadian and PTV. I have assumed different distribution amounts for these systems to represent the extremes (i.e. 0 percent Canadian and 100 percent PTV, or 100 percent Canadian and 0 percent PTV). These extremes represent the ceiling and floor of possible outcomes for these systems.

Third, I calculated the likely augmented Bortz survey results considering the following factors: (1) the probability of responding for each omitted system in each stratum, (2) the valuation response for program category x for the omitted system in each stratum, (3) the valuation response for program category x for the original respondents (as a group) in each stratum, (4) the royalty weight of each omitted system in each stratum, (5) the royalty weights for the original respondents (as a group) in each stratum, (6) the royalty weights for total royalties of all (sampled and nonsampled) systems in that stratum. The calculation is made according to the formula for the total value of program category x in the Trautman report (Trautman, 2009, pp. 49-50) with the omitted systems given both a probability weight and a royalty weight.
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## I. Qualifications and Summary

I am an economist and a Senior Vice President of National Economic Research Associates, Inc. I have conducted research on entertainment and media industries for over 30 years. I have analyzed marketplace prices paid for copyright licenses, reasonable rates for such licenses, and the distribution of fees collected to individual rights owners in a variety of media, including cable networks, broadcast stations, television programs, motion pictures, books, music compositions and recorded songs. I have submitted reports to and testified before the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP) and Copyright Royalty Judges concerning the compulsory license fee for satellite-retransmitted broadcast stations, the distribution of satellite royalty funds, and the costs and revenues of the record labels affiliated with the major U.S. record companies. In addition, I have submitted reports to the Federal Communications Commission and the Federal Trade Commission, and have testified before state and Federal courts and arbitrators concerning entertainment market issues. A detailed statement of my qualifications is attached as Exhibit 1.

I understand that Canadian Claimants assert that the relative value of the distant Canadian stations imported by cable operators in 2000-03 is no less than the portion of fees generated by the importation of the Canadian signals during that period. In this context, counsel for the National Association of Broadcasters and the Public Broadcasting Service asked me to address two issues how marketplace values for cable-retransmitted broadcast programming are determined and whether fees generated for retransmitting particular stations reflect relative marketplace values.

In summary, I conclude:

- Cable retransmission is a secondary market. Relative marketplace values in such markets are based on relative programming demand.
- Fees generated reflect the payment framework of the compulsory license and attribution methods, not the relative demand for the programming on the retransmitted stations.


## II. Marketplace Prices and Quantities

According to previous CARP proceedings and related court decisions, the standard for determining the distribution of the royalties for cable-retransmitted distant signals among the claimant groups that supply the compensable programming is relative marketplace value. The hypothetical marketplace negotiation over such programming would occur between cable operators and broadcasters (as intermediaries for copyright owners) for the rights to retransmit entire broadcast signals. ${ }^{1}$ Such a framework is appropriate to determine marketplace value because it reflects the nature of the decisions actually being made. Cable operators decide whether to retransmit an entire broadcast signal or instead offer a cable network or devote the bandwidth to an alternate use. If they do retransmit a distant signal, they choose which one.

Cable retransmission of distant signals is a secondary market. Supply and demand set prices and quantities in primary market negotiations, but only demand is relevant in secondary market negotiations. Secondary markets are common for entertainment content. Once the program, music or other content is created for a primary market, it can be resold in a secondary market. Previously created content is available for licensing in secondary markets, e.g., old TV programs are available to cable networks and old songs are available for TV commercials, as long as the price is greater than the transaction costs. ${ }^{2}$ Transaction costs may limit the availability of rights licensing, but they do not affect the price of the licensing agreements that are concluded. ${ }^{3}$ Neither does the original cost of production affect those prices. The price is determined by demand.

[^55]The hypothetical negotiations, then, to determine relative marketplace value are focused on the demand by the cable operators for the compensable programming in the distant signals they choose to import. Demand for distant signals depends on the prices and quality of the available substitutes-the local stations and cable networks, the additional cost (if any) of bringing the distant station to the cable system headend, and the income and taste of the cable system subscribers and potential subscribers. Among other factors, differing distant signal characteristics, local station availability and subscriber taste suggest that there will not be a single marketplace value (whether in total, per subscriber, or as a percentage of subscriber fees) for each signal imported by each cable operator. Even the same system will have a different marketplace value for different signals. For example, a system may retransmit one partially distant signal only for the purpose of carrying the same broadcast stations and other channels throughout its system, in order to save on marketing and technical cost, and retransmit another distant station to bring workplace news to those who commute to a nearby (but distant by signal designation) city. The system is likely to value the commuter-desired signal more than the system-cost-saving signal.

## III. Demand for Imported Distant Signals Versus Compulsory License Payments

The fees generated by cable retransmissions of distant broadcast signals depend on the payment rules, not the relative marketplace value of the retransmitted signals. The payment rules are arbitrary; they were established by legislative compromise, not relative marketplace value. ${ }^{4}$ As a result, relative fees generated would not be expected to reflect relative marketplace value.

Even if each distant signal carried by a cable system were valued, in absolute terms, at more than was paid for it, the relative marketplace value of a particular signal applied to the

[^56]royalties collected could well be lower than the fees it generated. Suppose there are only two types of signals: higher value and lower value, with relative marketplace values at 75 and 25 , respectively, but both types generate the same fees: 20 for each group. While both have marketplace values in excess of fees generated, the excess is large for one group and small for the other. If the 40 collected for the two groups were distributed according to relative marketplace value, the higher value signals would receive 30 and the other group 10. Based on relative marketplace value, the higher value group receives more than was paid for it, while the lower value group receives less than was paid for it.

An examination of the demand conditions and the payment rules shows not only that there is no relationship between the payment rules and the absolute or relative demand for different types of signals but also that, in particular circumstances, the payment rules produce higher fees for signals of lower value. Further, fees attributed to a signal are largely fees allocated to the signal, not fees generated by the signal.

The compulsory license requires payments of particular royalty percentages of the cable operators' receipts for the tier or tiers that include the distant signals. In general, the receipts are the monthly rate for that tier multiplied by the number of subscribers, and multiplied by six months to reflect the semiannual payment period:

## Specified Royalty \% x Tier Rate $\times$ Tier Subscribers x 6

For large cable systems, called Form 3 systems, which account for the vast majority of the subscribers and royalties paid, ${ }^{5}$ the royalty percentages vary based on the number and type of imported signals. ${ }^{6}$ Four aspects of the payment rules are particularly relevant:

- Depending on the characteristics of the cable operator and the retransmitted station, some stations were permitted to be retransmitted by certain cable opèrators under rules prevailing prior to mid-1981, while others were not. Since 1981, both categories can be retransmitted under the compulsory license but at different royalty percentages. A basic fee under one percent is charged for the formerly permitted

[^57]signals, while a 3.75 fee, equal to 3.75 percent, is charged for the formerly nonpermitted signals. ${ }^{7}$

- The basic and 3.75 signal royalty percentages apply to one full signal, called a Distant Signal Equivalent or DSE. Affiliates of the three major U.S. networks and educational stations are set at 0.25 of a DSE, while independents (including affiliates of Fox and minor networks and Canadian stations) are valued at one DSE.
- Within the basic fee, the first DSE is charged at 0.956 percent of receipts, the second through fourth at 0.630 percent, and the fifth or more at 0.296 percent. ${ }^{8}$
- A minimum fee equal to a basic fee for one DSE is required even if no signal or only a fractional DSE is imported.

First, whatever the royalty percentage, its application to gross receipts derived from tiers that include a variety of services, and not to receipts solely for the distant signals themselves, suggests that fees generated from distant signals will not be proportional to the marketplace value of the distant signals. The tier containing the distant signals is generally the basic service tier, which must be taken by all subscribers. The content of this tier varies widely among cable systems; it includes all local broadcast stations and public, educational and governmental channels, but also may include various distant retransmitted stations and cable networks. ${ }^{9}$ The vast majority of subscribers do not specifically choose to purchase this tier but rather purchase a bundle of two tiers, basic service and expanded basic (sometimes called cable programming services), for a combined package price. ${ }^{10}$ As a result, the price of the basic tier itself does not necessarily reflect the value of the services in the basic tier. Even if it did, the tier price would likely vary depending on the size and quality of the basic tier. That is, higher-priced basic tiers with distant signals likely include more channels and possibly more popular cable networks (for

[^58]example, Discovery and CNN) that in other systems are carried in the expanded basic tier. Because of the compulsory license payment formula, a system with a higher rate for the basic tier generates more fees per subscriber for the first basic DSE than a system with a lower basic tier rate. The larger fees generated likely reflect the other attributes of the combined package, and the somewhat artificial division of the combined package into two parts, rather than a higher marketplace value for the distant signal.

Second, the 3.75 fee generates higher fees for less desirable distant signals. The difference between a basic signal and a 3.75 signal can be simply the number of such signals. For example, a cable system in a smaller market can import one distant independent station as a basic signal (at 0.956), but the second distant independent station is a 3.75 signal (at 3.75 percent). Economic theory tells us that the first independent is worth the most and the second somewhat less. In this case, relative fees attributed to the signals (the second independent is assigned the higher fees) are not in line with relative demand for the signals (the first independent has the highest value). The difference is not minor: fees attributed to the second independent are almost four times larger than fees attributed to the first independent. ${ }^{11}$

Third, the basic fee has a declining scale, as economic theory dictates, but only between the first, second and fifth DSE (i.e., no decline from second to third to fourth). Further, the magnitude of the decline is arbitrary: the second signal should not necessarily be valued at about two-thirds of the first. ${ }^{12}$

Fourth, different DSE counts are applied to different types of stations without regard to the existence of noncompensable or duplicative programming. A 0.25 DSE count is assigned to distant network affiliates, which broadcast some amount of noncompensable network

[^59]programming, while other stations also broadcast noncompensable network programming but are not assigned a fractional DSE count. For example, some distant Canadian stations also contain substantial amounts of noncompensable U.S. network programming (prime time and daytime programs from $\mathrm{ABC}, \mathrm{CBS}$ and NBC ), ${ }^{13}$ yet these Canadian stations are counted as a full signal. A 0.25 DSE count is also applied to distant educational stations. Both network affiliates and educational distant stations broadcast some amount of programming duplicative of that broadcast by local stations, and even the same program retransmitted at the same time (e.g., where the distant station is affiliated with the same network as a local station in the same time zone). ${ }^{14}$ Other distant stations, however, may also have substantial duplicative programming: (a) programming broadcast on Fox or minor networks, (b) syndicated programming (e.g., Oprah) and/or (c) programming broadcast by the three major U.S. networks. Some distant Canadian stations have substantial duplicative programming in all three categories. ${ }^{15}$

An economic principle is that the purchaser will not pay more than the value of a product. In the context of distant signals, the value of the signal to a cable operator must equal or exceed the extra cost of carrying it. Thus, hypothetically, fees generated by a particular imported station could reveal the minimum marketplace value of that station to the cable operator; however, the economic principle does not provide much guidance in attempting to determine the marketplace value of retransmitted signals. All systems must pay a minimum fee covering one DSE whether they import no signals, only a fractional DSE or one DSE. The minimum fee is not a technicality: Form 3 systems covering about one-quarter of subscribers import no distant signals and pay the minimum fee. Two-thirds of the subscribers in systems that do import some signals receive at most one DSE. ${ }^{16}$ Thus, for most of the systems (as counted by subscribers to reflect their size) the decision to import a fractional or full DSE does not even indicate that the value of

[^60]the retransmitted signal is at least as large as the fees generated by those signals. In fact, the fees are not actually generated by the retransmitted signals; rather, they are generated by the minimum fee requirement and allocated to that signal by $C D C$.

Even in systems retransmitting more than one DSE, and so incurring extra cost to do so, the economic principle that the extra cost of the signal must cover its value reveals little. For example, a system that carries two basic DSEs and pays extra as a result (an extra 0.63 percent of receipts) reveals only that each DSE is worth at least the extra cost of the second signal (the 0.63 percent). CDC averages the total fee and applies the average rate, 0.793 percent of receipts, to each signal. ${ }^{17}$ Thus, the fees generated by each signal, as calculated by CDC, are larger than the signal's minimum value. While averaging occurs within the basic fee group, CDC takes the opposite approach when a system imports both basic and 3.75 signals. ${ }^{18}$ In this case, CDC relies on the cable operator's designation of which station is nonpermitted under the old rules, although the designation may be arbitrary when nonpermitted is defined based on the number of distant stations rather than particular type of distant station.

As a practical matter, during 2000-03, only a very small amount of importation occurred above one DSE. The average subscriber in Form 3 systems with distant signals received 1.2 DSEs. ${ }^{19}$ Due to the low average number of DSEs relative to the minimum requirement, as well as CDC's allocation methods, the fees generated do not reveal the minimum value for the vast majority of the DSEs.

Of course, the extra cost of carrying the signal would not reveal the marketplace value, only the minimum value. The conversion of TBS from a superstation to a cable network illustrates that cable operators valued it much more highly than the amount they paid under the compulsory license. One commenter cited by the Copyright Office stated, "carrying the same programming as it had as a distant signal, TBS was immediately able to obtain license fees that

[^61]exceeded the entire 1998 royalty fund ( $\$ 165$ million for TBS vs. the $\$ 108$ million for the royalty fund). ${ }^{20}$

## IV. Conclusion

In summary, the fees generated do not reflect relative marketplace value; rather, they reflect the compulsory license payment formula and CDC's allocations of fees paid to particular stations. As a result, changes in fees generated do not reflect changes in relative marketplace value.
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## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JONDA K. MARTIN

## I. BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

My name is Jonda K. Martin. I provide this testimony on behalf of Commercial Television Claimants. I am the President and Owner of Cable Data Corporation ("CDC"). I have worked at CDC for over 20 years, and during this time, I have been actively involved in all aspects of the company, including research, data entry, report generation, and administration. I received a Bachelor of Science / Business Administration degree from American University, with concentrations in international business and management of information systems. I also received an MBA from the University of Maryland. I have previously testified before the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP") regarding CDC's data collection activities in connection with the CARP's distribution of 1998 and 1999 cable compulsory license royalties. I expect to testify before the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges") in connection with distribution of the $2000,2001,2002$, and 2003 cable compulsory license royalties.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Judges with an overview of CDC's operations and describe its methodology for calculating the distances between a distant signal's city of license and the prime city of the cable system that carries the distant signal. I will also describe how six different CDC data reports relied on by witnesses appearing on behalf of Commercial Television Claimants in this proceeding were prepared, and authenticate the CDC data underlying the testimony of those witnesses.

## III. CABLE DATA CORPORATION

Located in Rockville, Maryland, CDC was established in 1979 to collect and analyze information on Statements of Account ("SOAs") that cable systems file with the Licensing

Division of the Copyright Office ("Licensing Division"). CDC makes the collected information available to users either by purchase, on an as needed basis, or by subscription. CDC is the only company providing such a service. Numerous parties involved in the cable and satellite industries rely on data collected by CDC. This is particularly true for parties involved in copyright compulsory license proceedings. As a result, CDC data have been presented over the years to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal and to the CARPs in virtually all of the cable and satellite copyright royalty distribution proceedings and rate adjustment proceedings. In this proceeding, witnesses presented by Commercial Television Claimants and others are relying on CDC data to support their testimony.

I described CDC's processes for data collection and analysis in some detail in my direct testimony, dated February 2, 2009, that was submitted on behalf of the "Settling Parties" in the distribution proceedings for the $2000,2001,2002$, and 2003 cable royalty funds. I attach that testimony here as Appendix A, and incorporate it by reference as part of this testimony in the distribution proceedings for the 2004 and 2005 cable royalty funds.

## IV. METHODOLOGY FOR CALCULATING DISTANCES

One data point that CDC generates and updates on a regular basis is the distance between a distant signal's city of license and the "prime city" of the cable system that carries the distant signal (generally the first community named in the system's statement of account). The location of the distant signal, the prime city of a cable system, and the distance between the two points are determined using the following methodology.

Location of the Distant Signal and the Prime City of a Cable System: CDC maintains a database that identifies latitude and longitude for numerous geographic communities. A basic source for these data is Section 76.53 of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) rules, which lists geographic coordinates for the reference points of communities to which television
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stations are licensed. When a community is not on the FCC list, CDC obtains latitude and longitude coordinates from the United States Geological Survey's Geographic Names Information System. As part of CDC's analysis of the carriage data it compiles, each distant signal's city of license and each cable system's prime city is associated with a latitude and longitude from CDC's database.

When a new or previously unidentified community is specified in a cable system's statement of account, CDC conducts a series of verifications and, if needed, additional research to confirm its location. First, CDC verifies that the cable system's statement of account lists the correct community. This is done by comparison to cable system data maintained on the FCC's website or Warren Publishing's Cable Factbook.

If there is a duplicate community name within a state, $C D C$ generates a unique name for the community to avoid confusion in its data. For example, a common instance of duplicate community names within a state is "Green Township," which CDC might make unique by adding a reference to its county: "Green Twp-Ross Co." This differentiates one community from another to insure that CDC calculates distances between the correct reference points.

When communities are difficult to locate in order to verify their geographic coordinates, CDC refers to U.S. Census Bureau data from U.S. Gazetteer. For cable systems serving correctional facilities, CDC refers to www.corrections.com. CDC may also refer to www.epodunk.com or www.hometownlocator.com to identify the locations of smaller communities. After referring to such third party data resources, CDC typically compares each geographic community's measure of latitude and longitude to a commercial atlas to further confirm the coordinates.

Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin

Distance Between the Distant Signal and the Cable System: After a distant signal is assigned a measure of latitude and longitude according to its city of license, and a cable system is assigned a measure of latitude and longitude according to its prime city, CDC calculates the distance between those points using a proprietary algorithm. It reports the measured distance in miles as part of its carriage detail reports that show individual distant signal carriage information.

## V. DATA PREPARED FOR THIS PROCEEDING

Witnesses for Commercial Television Claimants are relying on certain CDC data reports to support their testimony.

CDC regularly updates its databases to capture changes resulting from statement of account amendments or Licensing Division adjustments. Because these updates modify the underlying data in CDC's database, they can affect the data reported in prior CDC standardized reports and customized reports. While the impact of these updates on the overall data is generally small, the presence of difference can generate confusion and unnecessary crossexamination. To avoid discrepancies from database updates, I have provided parties to the case various runs of CDC data as they were last substantially updated on April 3, 2009. The customized CDC reports and underlying CDC data presented by Commercial Television Claimants and others in this proceeding are derived from this most recently updated database, and from several of CDC's standardized reports based on that database.

At the request of Commercial Television Claimants, I have prepared six types of reports, excerpted here as Appendices B, C, D, E, F and G. These excerpts depict the formats and data fields provided by CDC in the following data files relied on by witnesses for Commercial Television Claimants and others:

- Appendix B: CDC Distant F3 Carriage Detail (2005-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies each distant signal reported as carried by a Form 3 system on the system's statement of account for the respective accounting period. It includes, among other things, the distant signal's city of license, the system's prime city, and the CDC calculation of distance between the two.
- Appendix C: CDC F3 System Detail (2005-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies each Form 3 system filing a statement of account in the respective accounting period. It includes, among other things, the system's reported subscribers, receipts, and royalty data.
- Appendix D: CDC Station Summaries by Call Sign (2005-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies each distant signal reported as carried on either a local or a distant basis by any Form 3 system during the respective accounting period. It includes, among other things, the numbers of systems that carry the signal and their total subscribers, the numbers of systems and subscribers receiving the signal on a distant basis, and the CDC-calculated fees generated by distant carriage of the signal, if applicable.
- Appendix E: CDC Station Summaries by Station type (2003-2 to 2005-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies, among other things, the total numbers of systems carrying and subscribers receiving distant signals, by accounting period and by station type.
- Appendix F: CDC System Summaries by Form and Accounting Period (2000-1 to 2003-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies, among other things, the total number of subscribers receiving distant signals and their receipts, by accounting period and system form.
- Appendix G: CDC Account Period Summaries with Market Descriptor (2005-2 Excerpt). This data file identifies, among other things, total and average royalties, subscribers and gross receipts, by accounting period and by market size category.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this information in this proceeding. I hope that it will assist you in your deliberations.

# Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES <br> Library of Congress <br> Washington, D.C. 

In the Matter of
Distribution of the
Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005 2004 and 2005
Cable Royalty Funds

## DECLARATION

I, Jonda K. Martin, declare under penalty of perjury that the Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin presented in the 2004-2005 Cable Copyright Royalty Distribution Proceeding is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.


Dated: $5 / 28 / 2079$

## APPENDIX A

## Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin

Prior Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin
In the Matter of Distribution of the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 Cable Royalty Funds Docket No. 2008-2 CRB CD 2000-2003

# Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES 

Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of the | ) |
|  | ) |
| 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 | ) |
| Cable Royalty Funds |  |

2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003
Cable Royalty Funds

# Direct Testimony of <br> Jonda K. Martin 

February 2, 2009

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JONDA K. MARTIN <br> TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Biographical Information .....  .1
II. Purpose of Testimony ..... 1
III. Cable Data Corporation ..... 2
A. Data Collection and System Updates ..... 2
B. Data Reports ..... 3
C. Fees Generated Protocols and Allocation of the Minimum Fee .....  4

1. Base Rate Systems With At Least One Distant Signal And A Total
DSE Equal To, Or Greater Than, 1.0 .....  .7
2. Base Rate Systems With Zero Distant Signals ..... 8
3. Base Rate Systems With At Least One Distant Signal, But Total DSE Values Less Than 1.0. ..... 8
4. Systems Subject to the $3.75 \%$ Fee ..... 9
VI. Data Prepared For This Proceeding ..... 10
Appendices
Declaration

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JONDA K. MARTIN

## I. BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

My name is Jonda K. Martin. I am appearing as a witness in this proceeding on behalf of the following parties: Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, Commercial Television Claimants, Public Television Claimants, Music Claimants and Devotional Claimants (collectively, "the Settling Parties"). I am the President and Owner of Cable Data Corporation ("CDC"). I have worked at CDC for over 20 years, and during this time, I have been actively involved in all aspects of the company, including research, data-entry, report generation, and administration. I received a Bachelor of Science/Business Administration degree from American University, with concentrations in international business and management of information systems. I also received a MBA from University of Maryland. I have previously testified before the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP") regarding CDC's data collection activities in connection with the CARP's distribution of 1998 and 1999 cable compulsory license royalties. This is my first opportunity to testify before the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges").

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Judges with an overview of CDC's operations and describe its data collection operations and methodologies in relevant detail, including CDC's methodology for allocating royalty payments among distant
signals. I will also describe how the different CDC data reports presented by the parties in this proceeding were prepared and authenticate the CDC data underlying the testimony of witnesses of the Settling Parties.

## III. CABLE DATA CORPORATION

Located in Rockville, Maryland, CDC was established in 1979 to collect and analyze information on Statements of Account ("SOAs") that cable systems file with the Licensing Division of the Copyright Office ("Licensing Division"). CDC makes the collected information available to users either by purchase, on an as needed basis, or by subscription. CDC is the only company providing such a service. Numerous parties involved in the cable and satellite industries rely on data collected by CDC. This is particularly true for parties involved in copyright compulsory license proceedings. As a result, CDC data have been presented over the years to the Copyright Royalty Tribunal and the CARP in virtually all of the cable and satellite copyright royalty distribution proceedings and rate adjustment proceedings. In this proceeding, witnesses presented by both the Canadian Claimants Group and the Settling Parties are relying on CDC data to support their testimony. In this section of my testimony, I will provide an overview of CDC's operations and its data collection methodologies.

## A. Data Collection and System Updates

Data collection is an integral part of CDC's operations. CDC has two full-time employees who spend the vast majority of each work day on-location in the Licensing

Division of the Copyright Office. Those employees record data and other information from each filed SOA on laptop computers. The employees return to CDC's office periodically to transfer the data collected at the Copyright Office on laptops to CDC's HP3000 minicomputer. Once the data are transferred to CDC's minicomputer, CDC produces standard reports and customized reports which summarize the SOA data. To keep CDC data as consistent as possible with the SOAs on file with the Licensing Division, CDC performs regular system updates to account for modifications made to a system's filing, for reasons such as for additional royalty payments and refunds issued by the Licensing Division.

## B. Data Reports

CDC regularly produces two major standardized reports of aggregated cable system data. The first standardized report, the "Account Period Summary," provides a snapshot of all the SOA data collected for each accounting period. This two-page summary report tabulates the total number of systems, royalty paid, subscribers, gross receipts, number of systems with carriage, average number of stations reported, average number of distant signals reported, number of systems with zero distant signals, and average distant signal equivalents ("DSEs"). The data are grouped by accounting period, and categorized by SOA form type (Form 1-2 or Form 3), type of royalty for Form 3 systems, and market category (Top 50, Second 50, Smaller and Outside All Markets). This report allows comparison of how the royalty fund changes over time. CDC produces the Account Period Summary report both in its complete form, and also in a
summary format that condenses the same data without market breakdown. As an example, a copy of CDC's Account Period Summary report for the 1999-1 through the 2001-2 accounting periods is attached to my testimony as Appendix A.

CDC's second standardized report is the "Station Summary" report, which is a set of reports that provides aggregated data for all stations reported in an accounting period. This report includes station type, the number of systems reporting carriage of each station, the number of distant and local subscriber instances, and an accumulation of the royalty fees attributed ("fees generated" or "fees gen") to each station as calculated by CDC. As an example, I have attached a copy of the Station Summary report for the 2000-1 accounting period to my testimony as Appendix B.

In addition to these two standardized reports, CDC also produces customized reports as requested by clients. While these customized reports may differ in format from CDC's standardized reports, they are derived from the same database and rely on the same data protocols employed by CDC that I will explain later in my testimony.

## C. Fees Generated Protocols And Allocation Of The Minimum Fee

Cable systems pay royalties based on the total DSE value of the stations carried. One of CDC's early assignments was to provide a means to match these royalties with individual stations to show, in effect, how much of the royalty fund was attributable to each station. CDC apportions the total royalty fees paid by an individual cable system among all the distant broadcast stations the system carries. These apportioned royalties
are known as "fees-generated" or "fees-gen." CDC accumulates the fees-generated for each station across all of the systems reporting the station. These accumulated feesgenerated and other reporting statistics are aggregated and summarized by station-type and station affiliation. It is important to recognize that CDC fees-generated protocols do not reflect a legal determination of how the royalties paid by each cable system should be allocated among the stations carried by that system. CDC's fees-generated protocols are merely CDC's own method of matching royalties to stations.

Under Section 111, each cable system is required to pay a minimum fee for the privilege of carrying distant retransmissions, regardless of whether the particular system actually imports any distant signals. These minimum fee payments have become more important in the fees generated allocation. Prior to 1998, the vast majority (over 99\%) of fees-generated were allocated based on the actual carriage of distant signal(s) by cable systems. In other words, from the inception of the compulsory license until 1998, only a handful of Form 3 systems did not carry any distant signal. Although these systems were subject to the minimum fee, how CDC allocated those minimum fees was relatively unimportant because the amount of royalties involved was insignificant.

Throughout that period, WTBS was the most widely carried broadcast television station in the United States. During the 1997-2 accounting period, WTBS was carried as a distant signal by over $93 \%$ of Form 3 systems, representing $95.2 \%$ of all Form 3 subscribers, and that station's carriage accounted for over $50 \%$ of fees-generated. Because WTBS was so widely carried, there were very few systems that had no distant
signals. During the 1997-2 accounting period, only 40 Form 3 systems reported no distant signals. ${ }^{1}$ However, all of that changed in 1998 when WTBS became the cable network, TBS. The number of systems carrying no distant signals increased from 40 to 459 , or about $20 \%$ of all Form 3 systems, representing $25 \%$ of all Form 3 subscribers. ${ }^{2}$ Cable system minimum fees grew from about $\$ 330,000$ in 1997-2 to $\$ 11.9$ million in 1998-1, which placed greater emphasis on CDC's fees-generated protocols for assigning royalties to individual stations carried by these systems.

Until recently, CDC fees-generated protocols allocated the minimum fee paid by systems carrying zero distant signals equally among all of the local stations carried by the system, reporting those amounts in CDC data reports as "local fees-generated." Also, for systems carrying at least one distant signal, but with a total system DSE value of less than 1.0, CDC's former fees-generated protocols allocated the royalty paid by each of these systems entirely to the reported distant station(s). Assume that a system carried one distant educational or network station with a DSE value of 0.25 and paid a minimum fee of $\$ 5,000$. Under CDC's former distant fees-generated protocols, the one reported distant station would get credited with the entire $\$ 5,000$ as its distant fees-generated.

In the course of the 1998-99 Phase I Cable Distribution proceeding before the CARP, I testified regarding CDC's use of these fees-generated protocols. The Canadian Claimants Group criticized CDC's then existing fees-generated protocols as not properly

[^63]allocating the minimum fees for systems that carried at least one distant signal, but which had a total DSE value less than 1.0. In response to that criticism, and in the interest of improving our protocols for allocating the minimum fee, I and others at CDC worked diligently over the last five years to create new fees-generated protocols for allocating the minimum fee. In addition to modifying CDC's protocols for allocating the minimum fees for systems carrying at least one distant signal, but with a total DSE value of less than 1.0, CDC also created a "Minimum Fee Category" for allocating fees-generated that are not deemed attributable to distant signal carriage and eliminated a designation for "local fees generated." CDC's current fees-generated protocols, which have been in place since June 2008, are summarized below.

## 1. Base Rate Systems With At Least One Distant Signal And A Total DSE Equal To, Or Greater Than, 1.0.

For systems with at least one distant signal and a total DSE equal to or greater than 1, on a system-by-system basis, CDC proportionately allocates each system's royalties among the distant stations carried according to each station's DSE value relative to the total DSEs reported. For example, a system paying a total of $\$ 30,000$ in royalty, which carries two distant network stations and one distant independent station, would have a total DSE value of 1.5 (0.25 DSE for each network and 1.0 DSE for the independent). The distant independent station is allocated two-thirds (1.0 DSE for the independent station divided by the 1.5 total system DSE) of the $\$ 30,000$ or $\$ 20,000$ in fees-generated.

The distant network stations are each allocated $\$ 5,000$ in fees-generated, or one-sixth of the $\$ 30,000$ ( 0.25 DSE per network station divided by the 1.5 total system DSE).

## 2. Base Rate Systems With Zero Distant Signals.

Systems that report no distant station carriage are required to pay the "minimum fee" royalty. Since such systems report only local stations, CDC does not allocate any portion of the minimum fee paid by these systems to individual stations. For these systems, CDC allocates the entire minimum fee to the Minimum Fee Category, which is reported in the Station Summary Report. For example, if a system pays a minimum fee of $\$ 10,000$, but carries no distant stations, CDC would allocate the entire $\$ 10,000$ to its Minimum Fee Category.

## 3. Base Rate Systems With At Least One Distant Signal, But Total DSE Values Less Than 1.0.

Systems with at least one distant signal, but a total DSE value of less than 1.0 are required to pay the minimum fee royalty. For these systems, CDC proportionately allocates royalties to the distant stations based on each station's DSE value. The balance of the royalty paid is then allocated to CDC's Minimum Fee Category. For example, consider a system paying a minimum fee of $\$ 15,000$ that carried two distant network stations and one distant educational (non-commercial) station, each with a 0.25 DSE value, for a total system DSE of 0.75 . CDC would allocate $25 \%$ of the minimum fee, or $\$ 3,750$ to each of the three distant network and educational stations reported by the
system ( $0.25 \times \$ 15,000$ ), for a total station allocation of $\$ 11,250$, and then allocate the balance of the royalties, here $\$ 3,750$, to CDC's Minimum Fee Category. The same distant fee allocation methodology is used for each separate subscriber group reported by a system on its SOA. The fee generated amounts from each subscriber group are added together for a system total.

## 4. Systems Subject to the $3.75 \%$ Fee

Systems that carry a nonpermitted ${ }^{3}$ signal are subject to the $3.75 \%$ Fee for that carriage. Typically, systems that are subject to the $3.75 \%$ Fee are not subject to the minimum fee. For these systems, CDC determines the $3.75 \%$ fees generated for each nonpermitted signal carried by proportionately allocating each system's $3.75 \%$ royalties among the distant nonpermitted stations carried according to each station's DSE value relative to the total DSEs reported for nonpermitted stations. However, a small number of systems existed during the 2000-1 through 2003-2 accounting periods that were subject to the $3.75 \%$ Fee but that were still required to pay the minimum fee. These systems typically utilize subscriber groups on their SOAs and carry the nonpermitted signal that gives rise to the systems' $3.75 \%$ Fee to only a portion of the systems' subscribers, causing the systems' calculated royalty on their SOAs to be lower than the minimum fee that they are required to pay under Section 111. For these systems, CDC allocates the fees generated by the individual distant signals carried at the subscriber

[^64]group level. CDC first proportionately allocates the dollar value of the system's calculated royalty for each subscriber group (as reported on its SOA) among the distant signals reported in that subscriber group according to each station's prorated DSE value. CDC then allocates the difference between the system's total calculated royalty and the total minimum fee paid by the system to the Minimum Fee Category.

## IV. DATA PREPARED FOR THIS PROCEEDING

As I mentioned earlier in my testimony, all of the parties to this proceeding are relying on CDC data reports to support their respective witnesses' testimony. I understand that the parties have agreed to the authenticity of the CDC data that will be presented to the Judges in this proceeding.

CDC regularly updates its databases to capture any changes resulting from SOA amendments or other Licensing Division adjustments. Because these updates modify the underlying data in CDC's database, they can impact the data reported on prior CDC standardized reports and its customized reports. While the impact of these updates on the overall data are small, the presence of differences can lead to confusion and invite unnecessary cross-examination. To avoid any discrepancies between CDC data from different dates used in this proceeding due to database updates, the Canadian Claimants Group and the Settling Parties asked me to create a separate archived database of CDC data as they existed on November 7, 2008. This archived database was prepared by saving the CDC database of underlying data on November 7, 2008 as a separate data set,
and creating archived copies of CDC's standardized Account Period Summary and Station Summary Reports as of November 7, 2008. All of the different customized CDC reports and underlying CDC data presented by the parties in this proceeding are derived from this archived database and the archived copies of CDC's standardized reports.

At the request of the Settling Parties, I have prepared SP Exhibit $\qquad$ (JKM-1) and SP Exhibit ___ (JKM-2). These exhibits identify each Form 3 system that carried one or more Canadian distant signals during any of the 1998-1 through 2003-2 accounting periods. They also show selected information CDC has extracted from each such system's SOAs, as well as CDC's allocation of fees-generated.

Thank you for the opportunity to present this information in this proceeding. I hope that it will assist you in your deliberations.
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I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and correct and of my personal knowledge.
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## Statement of Richard V. Ducey


#### Abstract

I submit this Statement on behalf of the Commercial Television Claimants Group ("CTV"), which is represented in these proceedings by the National Association of Broadcasters ("NAB").


## Background

I am the Chief Strategy Officer for BIA Advisory Services ("BAS"). BAS is a subsidiary of BIA Financial Network ("BIA"). BAS advises media and technology companies with their business planning; technology strategies; sales strategies; market research and assessment and financial valuation. The parent company, BIA, has several other divisions including a merchant bank, software and technology companies and a financial advisory service. I served from 2000 to 2007 as president of SpectraRep, Inc., one of BIA's software and technology subsidiaries.

Prior to joining BIA in 2000, I was a senior vice president at the NAB where I ran the Research and Information Group. This group consisted of three departments (research and planning; management information systems and the library information center). I was responsible for all primary and secondary industry and policy research; information systems and technologies; and the corporate information center.

Before coming to NAB, I was on the faculty of Michigan State University in the telecommunication department. I taught graduate and undergraduate courses in research design and analysis and technology management. Since coming to Washington, DC, l've also taught strategic marketing and research courses at the University of Maryland/College Park and on the graduate faculties of George Washington University and George Mason University.

I earned my Ph.D. at Michigan State University specializing in computer and telecommunication technologies and market research. I have a M.S. from Syracuse University in Film/Radio/TV and a B.A. in Communication Studies from University of Massachusett's in Amherst.

I have authored or co-authored over sixty publications and papers in my specialty areas. I serve on the editorial review boards of several leading scholarly and industry journals in the media field.

My current understanding of the cable marketplace comes from personal experience as well as more recent consulting assignments and industry knowledge gained from reviewing academic and industry research, participating in conferences, reading trade press and generally interacting with professionals from different segments of the media industry. I spent some time working as a program manager for a cable system in North Syracuse while in graduate school.

I have had the opportunity to testify on behalf of NAB in four prior copyright proceedings conducted by the Copyright Royalty Tribunal ("CRT") and the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP") dealing with award allocations from distant signal carriage royalties. I testified twice before the CRT and twice
before the CARPs that determined the 1990-1992 and 1998-1999 Phase I royalty decisions. I also testified in a Phase II proceeding involving the distribution of part of the 1986 Program Suppliers cable royalty funds to broadcast stations for their own syndicated programming. My background and qualifications are described further in Appendix 1.

## Overview

In my testimony in the 1998-1999 cable royalty proceeding, I presented evidence of very substantial changes in the cable distant signal marketplace that had occurred between the 1990-1992 and 19981999 proceedings. The changes, resulting in large measure from the effective withdrawal of two leading superstations from the marketplace, produced significant reductions in the amount of syndicated programming and movies that were retransmitted on distant signals, and could be observed in a corresponding reduction in the Program Suppliers share in their own viewing studies.

I am presenting similar evidence comparing the 2004-2005 period to 1990-1992 and 1998-1999. As you will see, there were no such radical shifts in the distant signal programming marketplace since the years covered by the last litigated proceeding. If anything, the trend of reductions in Program Suppliers programming continued, also largely as a result of changes in superstation programming, but at a more incremental pace.

I understand that the Bortz cable operator survey results presented by the Joint Sports Claimants in this proceeding show that the relative marketplace values of the respective claimant categories has remained generally stable over the same period. To the extent they show changes in the share of Commercial Television programming, they show an increase. The regression analysis that will be presented by CTV witness Dr. Joel Waldfogel independently corroborates the Bortz study results. In addition, the regression study, along with the programming study I describe below, provide a basis for evaluating the effect of the increasing presence of non-compensable Program Suppliers programming on superstation WGN.

## Changes in the Cable Industry: 1998-1999 vs. 2004-2005

## Industry Infrastructure, Business Model and Competitive Changes,

While the cable industry faced a good deal of competitive and technological change between 1.998 and 2005, none of these changes appears significantly to have affected the cable operators' offerings of television station distant signals to their subscribers.

Among the developments in the multichannel video market during this period, satellite and telephone companies grew their video subscriber levels at the expense of cable, and streaming video via the Internet grew and began to challenge cable's core video programming service business model. In response, cable systems increased their channel capacity, and expanded their offerings of digital cable
and video on demand. Digital video recorder penetration also grew. The number of cable network services increased at the same time, accommodated both by the cable systems' increased capacity and their roll-out of digital infrastructure. Existing cable networks began offering not only their analog services but also digital high definition versions of the same content. As an example, ESPN launched its ESPN HD service in 2003.

Notable cable industry changes during 1999-2005 included the following:

- Consolidation increased, with the total number of cable systems steadily declining from 10,400 in 1999 to $\mathbf{7 , 9 0 0}$ by 2005. In 2001, for example, Comcast took over AT\&T's cable systems, making it the largest MSO with over 22 million subscribers.
- Acquisitions were often made for the purpose of increasing efficiencies by consolidating previously separately owned systems serving contiguous communities. One of the effects was to contribute to a reduction in the number of systems filing separate Statements of Account with the Copyright Office.
- Digital and/or high definition versions of broadcast signals and cable networks began to be offered as part of cable video service tiers.
- Basic subscriber numbers, after growing continuously for decades, took a slight downturn, ending up at 65.4 million in 2005 compared with 65.9 million in 1999.
- The cable industry underwent a major infrastructure upgrade, spending a total of $\$ 92.7$ billion in capital projects (rising from $\$ 5.6$ billion in 1998 to $\$ 10.6$ billion in 2005), principally related to expanding bandwidth capacity.
- Cable's revenue from residential video rose from $\$ 27.6$ billion in 1998 to $\$ 43.8$ billion in 2005, while its total revenue from all sources grew from $\$ 33.8$ billion in 1998 to $\$ 65.7$ billion in 2005.
- The changing mix of cable services drove the contribution of basic revenues down from $65 \%$ of overall revenues in 1998 to about 50\% by 2005.

Even with all these changes in the cable industry from 1999-2005, we observe only relatively incremental changes in the distant signal marketplace.

## Comparison of the Distant Signal Market in 1998-1999 and 2004-2005

In my 1998-1999 testimony, I presented charts showing cable royalty and distant signal carriage data to help illustrate the changes in the distant signal marketplace that had occurred between 1990-1992 and 1998-1999. I have updated those charts using data for 2000-2005, in order to show similar comparisons extended through 2004-2005.
signals show no significant change in the marketplace or in cable operator behaviors regarding distant signal carriage during that period.

## Comparison of Distant Signal Program Time from 1998-1999 to 2004-2005

## Categorization of Programs and Program Minutes

Another CTV witness, Dr. Joel Waldfogel, will explain the regression analyses he undertook to explore the statistical relationship between the royalty payments made by Form 3 cable operators for the distant signals they chose to carry in 2004-2005 and the types of programming on these stations. I will discuss my interpretation of these analyses and results in a later section of this testimony. But as part of the analyses, it was necessary to collect information on the amounts of programming in each of the categories being considered in this proceeding that were actually broadcast by the distant signals that were carried. We have used that information also to analyze the composition of the distant signal programming marketplace -- how much of each category of programming was actually retransmitted by cable operators -- in a way that allows a further point of comparison of the distant signal market in 1998-1999 and in 2004-2005.

For the regression analyses, the program data for commercial stations were provided by Tribune Media Service's TVData company, which specializes in providing television listings and program information to media and Internet companies. TVData provides program name, schedule, duration, editorial and other information, consisting of dozens of fields of data, that help in assigning programs to categories.

While the TVData program categorization is useful in its original form for its media clients, these categories and program assignments to particular categories are not precise enough to map into the categories relevant to the Copyright Royalty Board for the purpose of distant signal royalty allocations among Phase I claimant groups. Therefore, an essential, though difficult, part of preparing the TVData program information for the regressions was to match program titles to their correct categories.

We began with the definition of program categories that has been used by the Phase I parties in past proceedings, which 1 attach as CTV 04-05 Exhibit 5. Working with Cornerstone Research, the company that performed the data work for the regression analyses, we developed an automated rules-based approach using the data fields and information provided by TVData that could be programmed and applied to assign program titles to their correct categories for purposes of this proceeding. For example, we used the data fields indicating the program source, or program titles, that included "ABC", "NBC", or "CBS" to create a rule that excluded those programs from the analysis because they are network programs that are not part of this royalty proceeding. I reviewed the results of the application of such rules and we continued supplementing and refining them until they appeared to be categorizing programs accurately.

Based on my industry knowledge, I was able to assist both in developing and in refining program categorization rules Cornerstone Research used and modified. I reviewed tens of thousands of program listings to identify programs for which the information may not have been sufficient for final categorization through the application of filtering rules. For these program titles, I used my industry
knowledge or performed additional informal research in order to make the appropriate assignments to categories.

The job was truly massive. We sought to include every station that had been carried as a distant signal in each of the four accounting periods for 2004-2005. Including the educational stations, this amounted to from 900 to over 1,000 stations in the separate periods. Cornerstone randomly selected 21 days in each six-month period, stratified in order to produce equal representation of each of the seven days of the week, for a total of twelve randomly composed weeks' worth of program schedules across the two years. This meant that we had information for over 1.5 million hours of programming all told.

## The Program Marketplace Comparison

In the 1998-1999 proceeding, Dr. Mark Fratrik of BIA Financial, Inc., prepared a similar analysis. His testimony is provided as CTV 04-05 Exhibit 6. We followed essentially the same approach as he did in analyzing the data and calculating the relative amounts of programming in the distant signal marketplace. We weighted the respective program minutes from the various program categories on each station by the number of subscribers who received that station as a distant signal. In this way, we arrived at a measure of the relative availability of the various program categories in the cable marketplace. The analysis provides another way of assessing changes in the distant signal marketplace in 2004-2005 as compared with the years covered by prior proceedings.

One important issue we needed to address was the programming on WGN. As discussed in the 19981999 proceeding, certain programming that is retransmitted by cable systems carrying WGN as a distant signal has been substituted for the programming that originally aired on WGN in Chicago. I understand that in general this is part of an effort to make the signal "syndex-proof" by providing only programming that cable operators would not have to black out pursuant to the FCC's syndicated exclusivity rules. (Those rules could require various individual cable systems to black out different amounts of programming and different programs, since they apply only if a station in the cable system's market has acquired local broadcast rights to the same syndicated program.) But since the carriage of the substitute programs is not subject to the cable statutory license, I understand that they are noncompensable in this proceeding. Therefore, we needed to exclude all of the substitute programs on WGN before calculating the relative shares of program time. CTV 04-05 Exhibit 7 shows the total minutes of programs in each of the program categories on the distant signal WGN (referred to as "WGNA") and then the total minutes that were compensable, along with the percentage of each category. While evidence in the 1998-1999 proceeding showed that about half of the WGN program time was non-compensable, the new analysis shows that about 70 percent of the programming was non-compensable in 2004-2005, with the greatest differences in the Program Suppliers (over 78 percent non-compensable ${ }^{3}$ ) and Devotionals ( 90 percent non-compensable) programming on the distant signal. Given that WGN was by far the most widely carried distant signal, received by over 36 million subscribers in 2005, we would expect that the significant decline in the amount of compensable
${ }^{3}$ The non-compensable Program Suppliers programming included numerous movies as well as syndicated series and infomercials.

Program Suppliers programming on the station would be reflected in a decline in the amount of Program Suppliers programming in the distant signal market as a whole.

CTV 04-05 Exhibit 8 shows the results of the overall analysis for 2004-2005, calculated after excluding the non-compensable programs on WGN. CTV 04-05 Exhibit 9 shows the comparison of these results with the program time data presented by Dr. Fratrik in the 1998-1999 proceeding. As you will see, the relative amounts of Program Suppliers programming in the marketplace declined from $77.9 \%$ in 1992 to $60.4 \%$ in 1998-1999, and then to $50.1 \%$ in 2004-2005. By contrast, the proportion of the distant signal marketplace represented by the Commercial TV program category increased from 8.8\% in 1992 to 13.0\% in 1998-1999 to $15.5 \%$ in 2004-2005.

Pure time measures cannot be relied upon to determine the relative marketplace value of the program categories. But the comparison of the amounts of programming actually purchased in the distant signal marketplace over time shows a steady trend of declines in the relative amount of distant signal programming in the Program Suppliers category and increases in the relative share of the Commercial TV category.

## Distant Signal Clustering 1998-1999 versus 2004-2005

## Cable Data Corporation Distance Study

For 2004 and 2005 data, I have prepared the same kind of distance analysis that was presented by Laurence J. DeFranco in the 1990-1992 and 1998-1999 Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel Proceedings. Copies of his testimony from those proceedings are attached as CTV 04-05 Exhibit 10. ${ }^{4}$
$I$ used data provided by Cable Data Corporation (CDC), which are being introduced by Jonda K. Martin, President and Owner of CDC, in her direct testimony in this proceeding. The data include the mileage distances between the city of license of each distant signal reported by a cable system in its statement of account and the "prime city" of the cable system, as calculated by CDC.

For purposes of preparing a distance analysis that could be compared with Mr. DeFranco's analyses for prior periods, I analyzed the data for U.S. Commercial stations carried as distant signals by Form 3 systems, after removing the same superstations ${ }^{5}$ excluded from Mr. DeFranco's prior analyses. ${ }^{6}$ My

4 The attached Exhibit omits maps that Mr. DeFranco presented in the 1998-1999 proceeding for a different purpose, but includes all of his written testimony and exhibits regarding his distance analyses from the two prior proceedings.

5 These stations were excluded from the eariy studies performed by Mr. DeFranco, for 1989, because they, along with WTBS, were the principal distant signals distributed nationally by satellite, and they were excluded in the 1990-1992 and 1998-1999 studies and in my 2004-2005 study for purposes of allowing direct comparisons across the years. But even if all except WGN were included in the 2004-2005 study, the percentage of distant signal incidents within 150 miles would still be about $92 \%$.

6 For the 2004-2 accounting period, I also removed the data for three distant signals that were reported as fully distant but whose city of license was the same as the "prime city" reported by the cable system.
analysis simply counted the number of distant signal incidents falling within certain distance ranges of the cable system that reported carrying the signal on a distant basis. ${ }^{7}$ The results are set out in CTV 0405 Exhibit 11, which also shows the percentage of total Form 3 non-superstation U.S. commercial distant signal incidents in each distance range and the cumulative percentages as the distance increases. As you will see, the total percentage of such distant incidents that occurred within 150 miles of the cable system that carried them was $93.3 \%$ in 2004-2 and $93.7 \%$ in 2005-2. By comparison, the percentages of distant signal incidents within 150 miles were $86.5 \%$ in $1989,87.6 \%$ in 1992, and $89.2 \%$ in 1999.

This increase in the degree of "clustering" of distant signal carriage is relevant to the value of Commercial TV programming in the distant signal marketplace. I and other witnesses have provided many examples in prior testimony of the kinds of programming produced by commercial television stations and their appeal to cable operators and subscribers within the relatively nearby region in which they are actually carried as distant signals. For example, in my 1990-1992 testimony, I presented evidence regarding about forty different programs, including videotapes for several of them, along with maps showing the nearby areas where the 27 stations that produced those programs were actually retransmitted as distant signals. In my 1998-1999 testimony, I presented several more examples. ${ }^{8}$ Witness Marcellus Alexander, who had been manager of television stations KYW-TV in Philadelphia and WJZ-TV in Baltimore, presented testimony describing his stations' programming and the appeal of that programming to the cable communities in the nearby regions where the stations were actually carried as distant signals.

In this proceeding as well, Jerald Fritz, an experienced broadcaster and General Counsel of Allbritton Communications, Inc., will provide more detail about the nature of the programs his stations produce and the appeal of those programs in the nearby regions in which they are carried as distant signals.

This information about the actual patterns of distant carriage by cable operators helps provide the realworld context for relying on the results of the Bortz studies, which show significant marketplace value shares for Commercial TV programming.

7 For purposes of this analysis, 1 included in the first distance tier, 35 to 50 miles, distant signals with cities of license measured as being less than 35 miles away from the "prime city" reported by the cable system. These instances represent carriage of distant or partially distant signals by systems whose geographic franchise territory extends farther than 35 miles from the city of license of the station, and are appropriately counted in the lowest distance tier.

8
1 also presented examples and videotapes in both proceedings of programs on the superstations that were of broad appeal to subscribers anywhere. My 1990-1992 testimony included copies of letters sent to station WGN from cable subscribers in far-flung states who expressed real interest in the WGN-produced news, sports, and public affairs programs. My 1998-1999 testimony included videotapes from WGN's "People to People" program and "Tenth Inning" post-game show that would have broad appeal to cable operators and subscribers regardless of their location. Those same programs were broadcast by WGN in 2004-2005.

## Regression Study of Royalty Payments and Program Categories

## Regression Analyses

Dr. Waldfogel has performed regression analyses using the number of program minutes in different program categories on the distant signals carried during 2004-2005 as independent or "explanatory" variables and the royalty payments by cable operators as the dependent variable. These analyses produce coefficients that essentially measure the functional relationship between the types and amounts of distant signal programming cable operators actually bought under the statutory license and what they paid for that programming.

The regression analysis provides a strong independent confirmation of the Bortz survey's direct measure of the relative market value of distant signal programs to cable operators. In the research field, studying the same question from a different analytical perspective using a different methodology and different data can provide a powerful test of the validity of a research study. Here, as explained by Dr. Waldfogel, a comparison of the implied shares that result from applying the regression coefficients to all program minutes on the distant signals carried during 2004-2005 with the "augmented" Bortz survey results representing the same universe of distant signal carriage provides very strong corroboration of the Bortz survey results.

The regression analysis produces an implied royalty share for the Commercial TV category of about $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 \%}$. This results from multiplying the regression coefficients for the various program categories by the number of compensable minutes of programming in each category on the distant signals carried by each form 3 system. This calculation omits a large number of non-compensable programs that are carried when WGN is retransmitted as a distant signal, for the reasons discussed above.

When the share calculation is instead performed using all the "WGNA" program minutes rather than just those compensable in this proceeding, the resulting implied share for Commercial TV is about 20.2\%, much closer to the $16.6 \%$ share measured by the Bortz survey. This suggests that any adjustment to the Bortz survey results for the purpose of reflecting the issue of the non-compensable programs on WGN would result in a higher share for Commercial TV.

Similarly, the regression study provides a basis for adjusting the Program Suppliers' Bortz survey share downwards to account for the WGN non-compensable program adjustment. The Program Suppliers share under the regression analysis using all WGN minutes is about $32.1 \%$, compared to the Bortz survey share of about $37.1 \%$. But the implied Program Suppliers share excluding non-compensable minutes on WGN is about $24.7 \%$. Using this comparison, if its Bortz survey share were adjusted by the same ratio as the difference in the regression shares including and excluding the non-compensable program time, the Program Suppliers' share would be closer to $28 \%$ to $30 \%$ rather than $37 \%$.

## Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES

Library of Congress
Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of the | ) |
| 2004 and 2005 | ) |
| Cable Royalty Funds |  |

Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005
2004 and 2005
)
Cable Royalty Funds )

## DECLARATION

I, Richard V. Dicey, declare under penalty of perjury that the Statement of Richard V.
Dicey presented in the 2004-2005 Cable Copyright Royalty Distribution Proceeding is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.


Dated: $5 / 27 / 09$

## APPENDIX 1

## Statement of Richard V. Ducey

Background and Qualifications

# RICHARD V. DUCEY 

## OFFICE ADDRESS

BIA Advisory Services, LLC. 15120 Enterprise Court Chantilly, Virginia 20151 USA<br>(703) 802-2995 tel<br>(301) 922-8333 mobile<br>(703) 803-3299 fax<br>rducey@bia.com

## PROFILE

Strategic, interdisciplinary executive who develops vision, creates milestones and metrics, provides leadership to drive team achievements again plan. Accomplished in Internet, new media and information technologies and businesses, strategic market planning, research methods and statistical analysis. Accomplished public speaker for executive briefings, industry and academic presentations throughout the world. Co-inventor of patent pending digital datacasting alert and warning system.

## SUMMARY OF MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- BIA Advisory Services, LLC - Chief Strategy Officer. Developed and lead consulting practice specializing in technology, financial and business strategies. Built an in-house and network advisory team of allied consultants focusing on digital media strategies based on my digital media ecosystem model. Key customer accounts include: Microsoft Corporation, ABC Affiliates Board; CBS Affiliates Board, NBC Affiliates Board, Fox Television Affiliates Board, The Washington Times, AccuWeather and National Association of Broadcasters.
- SpectraRep, Inc. - Co-founded start-up company in 2000 focusing on digital media, software and wireless distribution for government alerting and emergency response. Served as President from inception to 2007 when I left day-to-day management responsibilities. I built and led the team, developed creative innovative technology-based offers, led business development and partnerships and grew the company organically to $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}+$ in annual revenues. Key customer accounts: U.S. Department of Homeland Security, CACI, Northrop Grumman, Association of Public.TV Stations. Co-inventor of patent pending Internet Protocol (IP) alerting system which implements the Common Alerting Protocol and datacast and other transport layers.
- National Association of Broadcasters - I formed and led what became a 21 person group as a result of my proposal to the CEO and COO. The group combined three departments: Research \& Planning and Marketing \& Management Information Systems and Library and Information Center under my leadership. We developed and optimized information and technology support for the Association's public policy agenda and member service programs, including the world's largest broadcast convention and exhibition. The group provided integrated research, planning, computing and information technology, advanced telecommunications and both electronic and print publications for the Association and the industry.
- University Level Teaching - I have taught innovative undergraduate and graduate seminars at several leading universities in the areas of technology, strategy and research.
- Patents - Led a team with a patent pending invention of an emergency alert and warning system using digital television datacasting, satellite, mobile and Internet transport layers as well as database driven and standards-based application layer.


## EDUCATION

Doctor of Philosophy in Mass Media. Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI. Dissertation title: The Adoption and Application of Computer-Based Telecommunication Technologies by Home Consumers. June 1983.

Master of Science in Telecommunication/Film. Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY. August 1979.

Bachelor of Arts in Communication Studies. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA. May 1978. PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2007-present Chief Strategy Officer, BIA Advisory Services (BIA Financial Network is the parent company). Responsible for overall strategic directions of BAS and its various subsidiaries including BIA Financial Network, SpectraRep and BIA Information Network.

2000-2007 President, SpectraRep, Inc., Chantilly, VA. SpectraRep is a division of BIA Financial Network (BIAfn). SpectraRep specializes in digital datacasting solutions via satellite and terrestrial digital television. Responsibilities determining the companies' strategic direction, developing sales \& marketing and technology alliances and partnerships, business development and budgeting, raising external capital.

Executive Vice President, Strategic Advisory Group, BIA Financial Network (BIAfn). Strategic advisory services, digital media strategles, business plans, feasibility assessments, technology strategies and consulting, research and analysis.

2006 Executive Vice President, BIA Information Network. Chief executive running this branded desktop software company acquired by BIAfn.

2005 Adjunct Faculty, George Mason University, M.A. in Telecommunications Program, Course: TELE 730: Telecommunications Management. Spring 2005.

1991-2000 Senior Vice President, Research and Information Group, National Association of Broadcasters, Washington, D.C. Areas of responsibility included strategic planning, business process and data modeling and design, deploying and maintaining information technology resources, marketing and policy research and developing new publications. The group consisted of two departments: Research \& Planning and Marketing \& Management Information Systems. This group's mission was to optimize information and technology support for the Association's public policy agenda and member service
programs, including the world's largest broadcast convention and exhibition. The group provided integrated research, planning, computing and information technology, advanced telecommunications, library services and both electronic and conventional publications development to the Association and the industry. The group was created based on my proposal to the President \& CEO for combining several previously autonomous units (Research \& Planning, Library \& Information Center, Data Processing) into a single integrated division under my leadership.

1987-1991 Senior Vice President, Research and Planning Department, National Association of Broadcasters, Washington, D.C. Responsible for ten person department which conducted all phases of policy, marketing and product development research; represent NAB as industry research expert, staff liaison for NAB and industry audience research committees. Conduct NAB's long-range planning, plan major projects with and supervise outside consultants, plan major convention sessions; representative to industry and academic groups; responsible for NAB product development; offer expert testimony; prepare filings for governmental courts, agencies and legislators.

1989 Adjunct Faculty, George Washington University, Graduate School of Arts \& Sciences. Course taught: "Strategic Planning and Market Management in Telecommunication," Master of Arts in Telecommunication Program.

1986-1987 Vice President, Research and Planning Department, National Association of Broadcasters, Washington, D.C.

Adjunct Faculty, Department of Communication Arts and Theatre, Radio and Television Division, University of Maryland, College Park, MD. Courses taught: "Strategic Market Management in Telecommunication" (RTVF 498), and "Broadcast Research Methods" (RTVF 649).

1985-1986 Director of Marketing and Policy Research, National Association of Broadcasters, Washington, D.C.

1983-1985 Director of Audience Measurement and Technology Research, National Association of Broadcasters, Washington, D.C.

1982-1983 Faculty, Department of Telecommunication, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI. Courses taught: "Technology and the Information Society" (TC 801), "Basic Telecommunication Technology" (TC 230), "Audience Survey and Analysis" (TC 335), and "Telecommunication Computer Applications" (CAS 492). Research interests: Design, applications and effects of telecommunication technologies.

1979-1982 Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Telecommunication, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI.

1979 Announcer, WSOQ-AM/WEZG-FM, North Syracuse, NY.
1978-1979 Program Manager, Upstate Cablevision, North Syracuse, NY.

## PATENTS

2008 "System and Method for Managing Emergency Notifications Over Network," Richard V. Ducey, et al. United States Patent Application, Publication Number US 2008/0034114, February 7, 2008.
PUBLICATIONS

2007 Ducey, Rick, "Radio's Place in Advertising Ecosystem Is Local and Digital," Advertising Age, November 14, 2007.

Ducey, Rick, "Strategies for the Second Half: As Digital Media Roil the Market, AOL and Nielsen Address the Wanamaker Challenge," The Financial Manager (BCFM), pp. 10-16, September-October 2006.

Ducey, Rick; David Gross and Chris Versace, "Consolidation versus Innovation: The Drive for Change," European Communications, Autumn 2006, pp. 64-66.

Ducey, Rick, "Datacasting," in Rice, John and Brian McKernan, eds., Creating Digital Content, New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, pp. 211-222, 2002.

Ducey, Richard V., "Broadcasting in the Internet Age: Emerging Business Models for Broadcasting," in Osso, Rafael (ed.), Handbook of Emerging Communications Technologies in the Next Decade, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 297-308 (chapter 14).

Ducey, Richard V., "Internet + DTV = UnTV," NAB MultiMedia World Journal, April 1999.
Ducey, Rick, "Manager's Corner: Seven Stages of the Change Process," Electronic Media, October 28, 1996, p. 16.

Ducey, Richard V., "An Overview of the American Digital Television Service Program," Asia-Pacific Broadcasting Union Technical Review, July-August, 1996, pp. 14-20.

Ducey, Richard V., "A New Digital Marketplace in Information and Entertainment Services: Organizing Around Connectivity and Interoperability," Interactive Industry Review.

Ducey, Richard V. and Mark R. Fratrik, Strategic Planning Handbook for Broadcasters, Washington, DC: National Association of Broadcasters, 1994.

Ducey, Richard V., "Building the Information Highway: The Architecture of Convergence," Multimedia Jahrbuch '94: Telekommunikation und Medien (New Technology Conference Proceedings, Stuttgart, Germany), pp. 71-94, December 1994.

Ducey, Richard V., "Riding Radio's Technology Wave," Media Studies Journal, Summer 1993, pp. 151-158.

1989 Ducey, Richard V. and Mark R. Fratrik, "Broadcasting Industry Response to New Technologies: The Complementary Roles of Stations and Consumers," Journal of Media Economics, Fall 1989, pp. 67-86.

Ducey, Richard V. and Teri Lepovitz, "The Role of Market Research in Association Strategic Planning," Association Management, December 1989, pp. 41-44.

Abel, John D., Richard V. Ducey and Mark R. Fratrik, RadiOutlook: Forces Shaping the Radio Industry, (Washington, D.C.: National Association of Broadcasters).

Abel, John D., Richard V. Ducey and Mark R. Fratrik, Targeting Radio's Future, (Washington, D.C.: National Association of Broadcasters).

Ducey, Richard V. and Julla Portale, "Development of Foreign Language and Ethnic Broadcasting in the U.S. and its Implications for the U.S. Telecommunications Industry," in J. Miller (ed.), Telecommunications and Equity, (New York: Elsevier Science Publishers, 1986).

Barbatsis, Gretchen, Kent Creswell and Richard V. Ducey, "Technology Literacy: A Model for Identifying Abilities in Telecommunication," Telematics and Informatics, vol. 2, no. 3, December 1985.

Reagan, Joey, Richard V. Ducey and James Bernstein, "Local Predictors of Basic and Pay Cable Subscribership," Journalism Quarterly, Summer 1985.

Baldwin, Thomas F., John D. Abel and Richard V. Ducey, The Media Environment: Consumption and Function of Media Under the Different Conditions of Access to Media in Isolated Communities, Small Towns, Medium Cities and Metropolitan Areas, National Science Foundation, Grant No. DAR 79-10614, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI. April 1984.

Ducey, Richard V., "Predicting the Adoption of Broadcasting Innovations by Relating Technical Attributes to User Needs: Implications for System Designs," I.E.E.E. Transactions on Broadcasting, June 1983.

Reagan, Joey and Richard V. Ducey, "Effects of News Measure on Selection of State Government News Sources," Journalism Quarterly, Summer 1983.

Ducey, Richard V., Dean M. Krugman and Donald Eckrich, "Predicting Market Segments in the Cable Industry," Journal of Broadcasting, Spring 1983.

Reagan, Joey and Richard V. Ducey, "Use of Mass and Non-Mass Information Sources for Polarizing Issues," Michigan Speech Association Journal, vol. 17, no. 1, 1982.

Ducey, Richard V. and Robert E. Yadon, "A Human Factors Research Program for Videotex Technology," I.E.E.E. Transactions on Broadcasting, March 1982.

1981 Ducey, Richard V. and Robert E. Yadon, "Computers in the Media: A New Course in the Curriculum," Feedback, Spring 1981.

1980 Soley, Lawrence, Richard V. Ducey and Bradley S. Greenberg, Lansing's Response to the Princess," Public Telecommunications Review, July/August 1980.

1978 Dorris, William and Richard V. Ducey, "Social Psychology and Sex Roles in Film," Teaching of Psychology, October 1978.

## PAPERS

1997 Ducey, Richard V., "Digital Terrestrial Broadcasting and the Internet," The Impact of the Internet on Communications Policy Conference, Harvard University, December 3-5, 1997.

1996 Ducey, Richard V., "An Overview of the American Digital Television Program," BroadcastAsia '96, World Trade Center, Singapore, June 5, 1996.

Ducey, Richard V., "Multimedia Broadcasting and the Internet," INET '96, $6^{\text {th }}$ Annual Internet Society Conference, Montreal, Canada, June 26, 1996.

1995 Ducey, Richard V., "How Radio Can Use Multimedia and Interactive Computer Tools Profitably Today," Leipzig Radio Show 1995, Leipzig, Germany, May 31, 1995.

Ducey, Richard V., "Understanding How Consumer Demand Will Drive the Development and Adoption of Digital Television," Managing the Transition to Digital Television Conference, London, England, May 24, 1995.

Ducey, Richard V., "A New Digital Marketplace in Information and Entertainment Services," Partners in Information Conference, Tele-Communcations Association, Oregon Chapter, Portland, OR, May 9, 1995.

Ducey, Richard V., "Interactive TV: Disco Fad or New Age Media?" Cable Television Administration and Marketing Convention, Chicago Hilton, Chicago, IL, July 25-27, 1994.

Ducey, Richard V., "Who Will Deliver the Next Generation of TV to Consumers?" Interactive TV Industry Conference, Park Lane, New York, NY. July 7-8, 1994.

Ducey, Richard V., "Where Does Broadcasting fit in the World of Convergence? Opportunities in Multimedia Broadcasting," presented to Convergence ' 94 : Interactive Television, Marriott Marquis, New York, NY, March 7, 1994.

Ducey, Richard V., "Negotiating Effective Public Policy for Commercial Broadcasting: A Partnership Between Government and Broadcasting," 1993 Pan Asia Television and Radio Industry Conference, Marina Marin, Singapore, June 28, 1993.

| Richa | May 2009 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Ducey, Richard V., "Reengineering Business Systems: Introducing Client-Server Architecture in a National Trade Association," International Communication Assocation, Annual Conference, Washington, DC, May 30, 1993. |
| 1991 | Ducey, Richard V., "Challenges and Opportunities in Local Television Audience Measurement: A Personal TV Diary," BBM Broadcast Research Perspectives Conference, Toronto, Canada, December 11, 1991. |
| 1990 | Ducey, Richard V., "Today's FM Radio Industry and Important Developments for Its Future," Edwin H. Armstrong: The Man and His Inventions Conference, The Center for Telecommunications and Information Studies, Columbia University, November 1990. |
| 1989 | Ducey, Richard V., "The Personal Television Diary Study: Initiatives Towards Better Measurement of Individual Viewing," Electronic Media and Research Technologies VIII, Advertising Research Foundation, New York, NY, December 14-15, 1989. |
| 1989 | Ducey, Richard V., "Consumer Responses to Advanced Television Systems," International Electronic Imaging Exposition \& Conference, Boston, MA, October 2-5, 1989. |
|  | Ducey, Richard V., "Application of Competitor Intelligence and Planning to Non-Profit Sector," Society of Competitive Intelligence Planners/Planning Forum Meeting, Arlington, VA, June 7, 1989. |
| 1988 | Ducey, Richard V., "The Role of Market Research in Association Strategic Planning," presented to the 9th Annual Marketing Research Conference, American Marketing Association, Arlington, VA, October 9-12, 1988. |
|  | Ducey, Richard V. and Mark R. Fratrik, "Broadcasting Industry Response to New Technologies: The Complementary Roles of Stations and Consumers," presented to the 7th International Conference of the International Telecommunications Society, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, July 1988. |
| 1987 | Shepard, Julian L., Ed Cohen and Richard V. Ducey, "The Challenges of Audience Measurement Research in Federal Communications Policy: A Case Study," 15th Annual Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Airlie, VA, September, 1987. |
| 1986 | Ducey, Richard V., "Consumer Applications of Electronic Imaging Technology: A Social Science Perspective of Demand Pull vs. Technology Push," International Electronic Imaging Exposition and Conference, Third Annual Conference, Boston, MA, November 1986. |
| 1986 | Ducey, Richard V. and Carrie J. Heeter, "The Use of Telecommunication Technologies in the Prevention of Cancer," National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, June 1986. |

Ducey, Richard V., "Relating Communication Needs to the Salience of Computer-Based Telecommunication Services," International Communication Association, Human Communication Technology special interest group, Chicago, IL, May 1986.

Ducey, Richard V., "Alternatives in Local Radio Audience Measurement Systems," American Association for Public Opinion Research, 41st Annual Conference, St. Petersburg, FL, May 1986.

Ducey, Richard V. and Robert E. Yadon, "1986 NAB Survey of Television Stations Broadcasting in Multichannel Television Sound (MTS)," National Association of Broadcasters, Annual Convention, Dallas, TX, April 1986.

Ducey, Richard V. and Susan Beringer, "U.S. Broadcast Industry Concerns About Radio Broadcasting to Cuba and Potential Cuban Responses: The Case of Radio Marti," 14th Annual Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Airlie, VA, April 1986.

Ducey, Richard V., Gretchen S. Barbatsis and Kent W. Creswell, "Defining A Construct of Telecommunication Technology Literacy and Testing for Differences Among Students, Educators and Industry Professionals," International Communication Association, Human Communication Technology special interest group, Honolulu, HI, May 1985.

Ducey, Richard V. and Julia Portale, "Growth and Development of Foreign Language and Ethnic Broadcast Facilities, Programming and Transmissions in the U.S.: Implications and Influence on the U.S. Telecommunications Industry," 13th Annual Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Airlie, VA, April 1985.

Yadon, Robert E. and Richard V. Ducey, "Managing Multichannel Sound: Problems and Opportunities," National Association of Broadcasters, Annual Convention, Las Vegas, NV, April 1985.

Bernstein, James, Joey Reagan and Richard V. Ducey, "Political Information and Knowledge in Non-Subscriber, Basic and Pay Cable Households," Broadcast Education Association, Annual Conference, Las Vegas, NV, April 1985.

Barbatsis, Gretchen S., Kent W. Creswell and Richard V. Ducey, "Technology Literacy: Industry Demand vs. Academic Supply," Popular Culture Association, 15th Annual Convention, Louisville, KY, April 1985.

Ducey, Richard V., "A Survey of Local Station Involvement in Teletext," International Electronic Imaging Conference and Exposition, First Annual Conference, Boston, MA, September 1984.

Ducey, Richard V., "Innovative Telecommunication Technologies and the Home User: Investigating the Adoption Process," Midwest Association for Public Opinion Research, 8th Annual Conference, Chicago, IL, November 1982.

Ducey, Richard V., "User Preferred Features of Broadcasting Innovations: An Attribute-Based Model of the Adoption Process," I.E.E.E. Group on Broadcasting, 32nd Annual Fall Symposium, Washington, D.C., September 1982.

Ducey, Richard V. and Robert E. Yadon, "A Research Program to Investigate Human Factors in the Application of Videotex/Teletext Technology," I.E.E.E. Group on Broadcasting, 31st Annual Fall Symposium, Washington, D.C., September 1981.

Ducey, Richard V. and Joey Reagan, "Sources for State Government News," Association for Education in Journalism, Radio-TV Journalism Division, East Lansing, MI, August 1981 (Top 3 paper).

Ducey, Richard V., "Information As A Public Good: The Social Resource of Broadband Communication Networks," International Communication Association, Mass Communication Division, Minneapolis, MN, May 1981.

Dorris, William and Richard V. Ducey, "Social Science Matinees: Using American Feature Films in Undergraduate Teaching," American Psychological Association, 85th Annual Convention, San Francisco, CA, August 1977.

## OTHER PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITY

Advisory Board, KZO Innovations, streaming video and software services company. Editorial Advisory Board, Multichannel Video Compliance Guide: Broadband Law and Regulation Founder's Advisory Board, International Webcasting Association.
Editorial Board, Multichannel Video Compliance Guide: Broadband Law and Regulation
Editorial Board, Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media
Editorial Board, Journal of Media Economics
Editorial Board, Journal of Radio Studies
Faculty Tenure Review Committees, Served as external member on several faculty tenure review committees at major institutions including Michigan State University, University of Georgia, University of Texas and Marquette University.
Fellow, Center for Information and Communication Sciences, Ball State University.
Board of Visitors, University of Alabama, College of Communications.
Numerous research studies, articles, reports, guest lectures, presentations, expert witness testimony and filings. These research studies, speeches and writing have been used in industry publications and meetings and to support business development, capital fund raising, legislative lobbying efforts, filings at the FCC and before other official groups such as the courts and the Copyright Royalty Tribunal.

Interviewed as telecommunication research expert by Cable News Network, Voice of America; and numerous broadcast stations and print media.

Invited speaker at a number of international universities, businesses and associations on the topics of information and telecommunication industry research and technology.

## AVOCATIONAL INTERESTS

- Water Quality Advisory Committee, Montgomery County, Maryland, member, appointed to three year term by County Commission, May 2008.
- Scuba instructor trainer (PADI IDC Staff Instructor, NAUI Instructor Trainer, DAN Instructor Trainer). Staff instructor for Adventure Scuba Company, Chantilly, VA.
- American Association of Underwater Sciences, Dive Safety Officer/Dive Control Officer for Magothy River Association.
- Emergency First Response Instructor Trainer (ASHI First Aid, CPR, AED, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Administration)
- Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) Instructor Trainer certified by DHS-FEMA and Montgomery County, MD Fire/Rescue Service
- WMATA Transit Police - CERT member
- DHS Office of Domestic Preparedness certification Weapons of Mass Destruction Incident Complexities Responder
- FEMA certification IS-700 National Incident Management System
- FEMA certification IS-100 Incident Command System
- Whitewater kayak instructor (ACA certified)
- Mountain biking, fishing, and running
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## PROGRAM CATEGORY DEFINITIONS

Program Suppliers. Syndicated series, specials and movies, other than Devotional Claimants programs as defined below. Syndicated series and specials are defined as including (1) programs licensed to and broadcast by at least one U.S. commercial television station during the calendar $;$ year in question, (2) programs produced by or for a broadcast station that are broadcast by two or more U.S. television stations during the calendar year in question, and (3) programs produced by or for a U.S. commercial television station that are comprised predominantly of syndicated elements, such as music video shows, cartoon shows, "PM Magazine," and locally hosted movie shows.

Joint Sports. Live telecasts of professional and college team sports broadcast by U.S. and Canadian television stations, except for programs coming within the Canadian Claimants category as defined below.

Commercial Television. Programs produced by or for a U.S. commercial television station and broadcast only by that one station during the calendar year in question and not coming within the exception described in subpart 3) of the "Program Suppliers" definition.

Public Broadcasting. All programs broadcast on U.S. noncommercial educational television stations.

Devotional Claimants. Syndicated programs of a primarily religious theme, not limited to those produced by or for religious institutions.

Canadian Claimants. All programs broadcast on Canadian television stations, except (1) live telecasts of Major League Baseball, National Hockey League, and U.S. college team sports, and (2) other programs owned by U.S. copyright owners.

## WGNA Minutes by Claimant Category

Minutes of programming on WGN and WGNA at the same time on the same date

| Period | Commercial | Devotional | Program <br> Suppliers | Sport |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $2004-1$ | 2,354 | 180 | 5,926 | 1,807 |
| $2004-2$ | 2,404 | 180 | 6,090 | 1,329 |
| $2005-1$ | 2,364 | 180 | 5,036 | 1,014 |
| $2005 \_2$ | 2,836 | 180 | 4,320 | 982 |
| Total | 9,958 | 720 | 21,372 | 5,132 |

## All WGNA programs

| Period | Commercial | Devotional | Program <br> Suppliers | Sport |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $2004 \_1$ | 2,354 | 1,770 | 24,309 | 1,807 |
| $2004 \_2$ | 2,404 | 1,800 | 24,467 | 1,569 |
| $2005-1$ | 2,364 | 1,800 | 25,062 | 1,014 |
| $2005 \_2$ | 2,836 | 1,860 | 24,562 | 982 |
| Total | 9,958 | $!$ | 7,230 | 98,400 |

"Matched" programming as a percentage of all WGNA programming

| Period | Commercial | Devotional | Program <br> Suppliers | Sport |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2004 \_1$ | $100.0 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $2004 \_2$ | $100.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ |
| $2005-1$ | $100.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $2005 \_2$ | $100.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $95.5 \%$ |

Note: Unlisted claimant categories have zero minutes of programming assigned.
Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation

CTV 04-05
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## Subscriber Weighted Claimant Shares 2004-2005

| Claimant Group | Share of Subscriber-Weighted <br> Compensable Minutes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Program Suppliers | $50.11 \%$ |
| Sports | $4.54 \%$ |
| Commercial TV | $15.51 \%$ |
| Public Broadcasting | $22.26 \%$ |
| Devotional | $2.74 \%$ |
| Canadian | $4.52 \%$ |
| Low Power | $0.31 \%$ |
| Mexican | $0.00 \%$ |

Note: Compensable claimant category minutes are weighted by the number of subscribers who have the claimant programming available to them in each accounting period and then summed over the four accounting periods. Shares are calculated from these total subscriber weighted claimant category minutes.
Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation
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## 2004 Frequency Distribution of Distances Between Non-Superstation U.S. Commercial Distant Signals and Cable Communities for SOA Form 3 Cable Systems*

| Distance in <br> Miles | Number of <br> Distant Signals | Percent | Cumulative <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-50$ | 517 | $24.8 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| $50-100$ | 1114 | $53.5 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |
| $100-150$ | 312 | $15.0 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ |
| $150-200$ | 73 | $3.5 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ |
| $200-300$ | 23 | $1.1 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ |
| $300-400$ | 4 | $0.2 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ |
| $400-500$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ |
| $500-600$ | 4 | $0.2 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| $600-700$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| $700-800$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| $800-900$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| $900-1000$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| $1000-1500$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| $1500-2000$ | 24 | $1.2 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| $2000-2500$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| $2500+$ | 6 | $0.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Superstations WGN, WPIX, WSBK, WTBS, and WWOR were left out of analysis.

2005 Frequency Distribution of Distances Between Non-Superstation
U.S. Commercial Distant Signals and Cable Communities for SOA Form 3 Cable Systems*

| Distance in <br> Miles | Number of <br> Distant Signals | Percent | Cumulative <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $35-50$ | 474 | $27.1 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| $50-100$ | 884 | $50.5 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| $100-150$ | 282 | $16.1 \%$ | $93.7 \%$ |
| $150-200$ | 56 | $3.2 \%$ | $96.9 \%$ |
| $200-300$ | 27 | $1.5 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| $300-400$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| $400-500$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| $500-600$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| $600-700$ | 2 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| $700-800$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| $800-900$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| $900-1000$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ |
| $1000-1500$ | 1 | $0.1 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ |
| $1500-2000$ | 14 | $0.8 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| $2000-2500$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| $2500+$ | 6 | $0.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

*Superstations WGN, WPIX, WSBK, WTBS, and WWOR were left out of analysis.
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## Statement of Joel Waldfogel

## Qualifications

I am Joel Waldfogel, the Ehrenkranz Professor of Business and Public Policy at the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School. I received a Ph.D. in economics from Stanford University in 1990. I was an assistant and later an associate professor of economics at Yale University. I came to Wharton in 1997 as an associate professor. I become a full professor in 2001 and was named the Ehrenkranz Professor in 2003. I have served as chair of the department since 2006.

I teach courses in applied microeconomics for undergraduates ("managerial economics") as well as courses on research methods for doctoral students.

I do research on topics in law and economics and industrial economics. Within industrial economics I have specialized on topics related to the media. I have written extensively on radio broadcasting, television, newspapers, and the Internet. I have also worked extensively on intellectual property piracy and, more recently, on the pricing of digital products. Much of my work concerns the relationship between audience characteristics such as size and demographic mix on the supply of programming, as well as the relationship between available programming and audience satisfaction. Some of this work is described in my book The Tyranny of the Market (Harvard University Press, 2007). I have published over 50 academic articles in such outlets as the American Economic Review, the Journal of Political Economy, the Quarterly Journal of Economics, the RAND Journal of Economics, the Journal of Industrial Economics, and numerous additional journals. I have also published two solo-authored books, and I have written articles on economics for general readers in Slate (Slate.com). My CV is attached as Appendix 1.

## Task

I have been asked by counsel for the Commercial Television Claimants Group to help address the question of an appropriate division of distant signal royalties paid under the statutory license among various content categories represented on the signals. I understand that the criterion under which this question is to be evaluated has been characterized as the "relative marketplace value" of the program categories. Witnesses in prior proceedings have addressed the characteristics of the cable distant signal marketplace. For example, economist Steven Wildman has testified regarding the economic implications of the cable system operator's (CSO's) approach to bundling programs of different types to maximize revenues from subscribers with varying preferences. A copy of Dr. Wildman's testimony is attached as Exhibit 12. Economist Andrew Joskow presented testimony in the most recent proceeding explaining that the distant
signal market is a secondary market in which the composition of the available program bundles is predetermined, and that there is no reasonable rationale for considering the "seller's side" of the market in evaluating relative marketplace value in the statutory license market. A copy of his testimony is attached as Exhibit 13. I consider the attributes of the cable distant signal marketplace described by both of these prior witnesses in evaluating the issue at hand.

I understand that the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel (CARP) in the last proceeding determined that the most useful measure of relative marketplace value in these circumstances is a cable operator survey, in which cable operators are asked to allocate a constant sum among the program categories based on the relative value of the programs to them in terms of attracting and retaining subscribers. ${ }^{1}$ I further understand that the Commercial Television (CTV) Claimants Group supports that survey as an appropriate basis for determining the allocation of royalties. Against this background, I have been asked to provide additional information and analysis on cable operators' rationales for using distant signals, in light of my research on the determinants of available programming content and the resulting audience satisfaction. In addition, I have been asked to perform a regression analysis for the 2004-2005 period analogous to that performed by Dr. Gregory Rosston in the previous proceeding, which the CARP found to be useful evidence corroborating the cable operator survey results. A copy of Dr. Rosston's testimony is attached as Exhibit 14.

In my testimony, I will first discuss aspects of the context in which CSOs choose whether - and which - distant signals to carry. This discussion helps identify factors that influence CSOs' interest in distant signals. I will then address and discuss what CSOs' decisions about which signals to carry reveal about the relative valuations of different types of programming carried on those distant signals.

## Context

In the main, CSOs carry three types of channels. First, they carry local broadcast stations, generally originating in the designated market area, or "DMA," in which their franchise communities are located. ${ }^{2}$ Second, they carry nationally distributed cable network channels such as CNN or Lifetime. Third, they may carry broadcast stations originating outside their DMAs. Channels in the latter category are classified as "distant signals." Rather than negotiating prices channel by channel for this third category, CSOs pay royalties pursuant to the schedule of

[^66]payments Congress and the Copyright Royalty Board's (CRB's) predecessors have determined under the compulsory license.

I understand that the royalty payments for distant signals depend on a number of factors, including the cable system's gross receipts from providing any service tiers that include broadcast stations to their subscribers. Large ("Form 3") systems, with semiannual gross receipts over $\$ 527,600$, pay a royalty that depends on the number of distant signals they decide to carry. ${ }^{3}$ While Form 3 systems accounted for only 20 percent of cable systems in the second half of 2005 , they represented some 97 percent of the royalty payments. In brief, a first "distant signal equivalent" (DSE) costs roughly one percent of cable system gross receipts. Form 3 cable systems pay this amount as a minimum fee regardless of how few distant signals they carry. Distant signals beyond the first DSE and again beyond the fourth cost less per DSE. In addition, cable systems may be required to pay 3.75 percent of gross receipts per DSE for each "nonpermitted" distant signal, which would not have been permitted to be carried under the FCC's now-defunct cable distant signal limits. Cable systems in top-100 television markets may also be required to pay a surcharge known as the "syndicated exclusivity fee" for certain narrowly defined distant signals.

It is reasonable to presume that CSOs choose channels to maximize their net revenues. Distant signals cannot be sources of advertising revenue for the cable system, but can contribute increased revenues to the extent they help attract or retain subscribers who pay the monthly subscription fees for the bundled tiers of service the CSO offers. On the other side of the equation, carrying distant signals has two kinds of costs, opportunity costs and direct money costs. First, carrying a distant signal can preclude carrying an alternative channel. Second, if distant signals divert audience from cable network channels, then because CSOs cannot advertise on the distant signals but can on the channels they control, carrying distant signals can cannibalize CSO advertising revenue. Finally, carrying distant signals beyond the first mandatory DSE payment has a direct monetary cost in terms of royalty payments, as described above, in addition to the cost of physically acquiring the distant signals for retransmission. Given the fixed royalty schedule that CSOs face for choosing additional distant signals, it is natural to assume that CSOs add stations to the bundle of distant signals they carry until the incremental benefit falls short of the incremental costs.

This background gives rise to two empirical questions:

1) How do CSOs decide whether and which distant signals to carry? To say this another way, which particular kind of distant signal or what characteristics of a distant signal are valuable to a particular CSO?

[^67]2) Given the distant signal bundles purchased by the various CSOs - and their varying mixes of programming by type - what can be said about the relative value of the various kinds of compensable programming content that is carried on the distant signals CSOs choose to retransmit?

The remainder of this testimony addresses these questions.

## What Determines the Carriage of Distant Signals?

The simple economic framework articulated above suggests that CSOs would be more likely to carry distant signals when the benefit of doing so is larger than the cost of doing so. The benefit of carrying distant signals arises from their potential attractiveness to additional persons who can be induced to subscribe (or continue subscribing) by the availability of the distant signals on the cable system. Distant signals should be expected to be more beneficial to systems that have less of the same kinds of programming already available from local sources.

A large volume of academic research, not to mention common sense, demonstrates that the larger the local media market, the more media products - more local radio stations, more local newspapers, and more local television channels - will be provided. ${ }^{4}$ Moreover, there is clear evidence that small markets, with less locally targeted programming, tend to "import" more programming. And, in general, consumers with few local options are more likely to turn to distantly produced programming. We see this in cable television and Internet use among groups with small local populations. ${ }^{5}$ We also see this in the use of non-local newspapers. ${ }^{6}$ In light of the scholarly evidence, it is easy to see why cable systems in smaller markets would choose to carry distant signals: they can compensate for the relative paucity of options available locally.

A wide variety of cable network programming is available nationally, in small markets as well as large. But smaller markets will have less locally tailored programming than larger markets. One natural component of the value of distant signals is not merely providing more national

[^68]programming options but providing nearly-local program options, such as local news from an adjacent DMA that may provide locally relevant information. For example, if the adjacent DMA is in the same state as the communities served by a CSO, the adjacent DMA's local news programs will cover political contests and issues of interest to the cable system's potential subscribers. ${ }^{7}$ I understand that other CTV witnesses will provide information about the extent to which distant carriage is typically "clustered" within a relatively nearby region of the station's home market, and about the effects of same-state status for some distant cable communities.

We can test whether these rationales govern the decision to carry distant signals by examining the relationship between the availability of local programming and distant signal carriage. Are systems carrying fewer local channels likely to carry more distant signals?

We find evidence of this relationship in the 2004-2005 cable carriage data from Cable Data Corporation. In summaries showing the FCC-defined market size in which the systems are located, Form 3 systems located in "Top 50" market areas carried an average of about 16.2 local stations in the second half of 2005, and an average of about 1.8 distant signals. Smaller market systems carry fewer local signals and more distant signals: systems located in the "Second 50" markets carried 9.6 local signals and 2.6 distant signals. Systems in the next tier of market size, "Smaller Markets," carried fewer local signals, 8.8, and more distant signals, 3.3. And systems located in "Outside All TV Markets" carried an average of 8.3 local signals and 3.0 distant signals in the second half of 2005. ${ }^{8}$

This evidence indicates that the value of distant signals arises in some significant measure from compensating for what's not available locally.

## Using Royalty Payment Data to Investigate Relative Market Value

As prior royalty distribution decisions have emphasized, there is little direct market information for determining the relative market value of different types of programming. One tangible piece of market information is the size of the royalty payments that CSOs actually make. Because of the structure of the royalty formula, we cannot directly observe the relative value of the distant signals the CSOs have chosen to carry. And we certainly cannot directly observe the relative value of the separate program categories, which may be combined in varying compositions and

[^69]amounts on different distant signals. But while the royalty fees purportedly generated by the carriage of individual distant signals cannot provide a measure of relative marketplace value, the overall size of a Form 3 system's royalty payment is determined in part by the number of distant signals they carry. If a CSO is interested in maximizing its profits, then it will make carriage decisions based on the expected impact on its profits. As a result, we can learn something about the value of distant signals from the decisions CSOs make about how many such signals to carry and the concomitant royalty payment.

The pricing structure for distant signals - with systems paying a minimum fee regardless of how few they carry - affects the relationship between the royalty payment and the value systems attach to the bundle of channels they carry. When cable systems carry enough distant signals to pay above the minimum payment, changes in their demand for distant signals will manifest themselves as both increases in the value they attach to distant signals - which we cannot directly observe - as well as changes in the observed royalty payment. When royalty payments exceed the minimum, the royalty payment provides a responsive measure related to relative increases in value. As a consequence, we can expect three potential sets of circumstances: systems attaching the lowest value to distant signals pay the minimum payment and carry distant signals with DSE totaling less than 1.0 , systems with higher value make the minimum payment and carry exactly one DSE, and systems attaching higher value still carry more than one DSE and make royalty payments above the minimum.

While royalty payments are not made in a way that allows the relative value of individual stations or programs to be directly observed, regression analysis allows an after-the-fact econometric investigation of data about what actually occurred in the marketplace, which can provide information about the relative values of the programming on the distant signals that CSOs chose to carry or keep.

## Determinants of the Value of the Bundle of Distant Signal

The task at hand is to determine how royalties from the distant signal funds should be divided among the ultimate providers of seven types of content supplied over distant signals. ${ }^{9}$ The royalty distribution decisions have held that each content category should be compensated according to its "relative market value." We cannot directly observe the relative values of the various types of programming. Instead, we can observe the bundle of distant signals that CSOs chose to carry in 2004-2005, along with the payments they made for the bundles they chose. These two pieces of information, the payments and the bundle of distant signals carried, are available from Cable Data Corporation for all of the Form 3 cable system operators.

[^70]Moreover, it is possible to determine the number of minutes of programming of each content type in the station bundles chosen by each CSO. These data are available for all but a relatively small number of the hundreds of stations carried as distant signals by Form 3 systems in 20042005 from TVData, a company that compiles and provides contemporaneous television schedule information for use in program guides, and licenses archived data for other uses. Categorizing the millions of program entries into the categories used by the CRB is a massive task, but can be accomplished with reasonable accuracy. Once the program data are categorized according to the CRB categories, we can calculate the number of minutes of programming of each content type on each distant signal, and thus the number of minutes of programming of each content type chosen by each CSO. The task of the regression analysis is essentially to infer the relative value of each type of programming from the relationship between the payment for the bundle and the mix of programming in the bundles.

For Form 3 systems, the royalty payment for a bundle of distant signals is the product of the percentage rate (which is determined by the number of DSEs carried and other factors) and the system gross receipts for program service tiers that include broadcast stations. Hence, variation across CSO distant signal royalty payments is directly affected by two basic factors, the number and type of distant signals chosen and the system gross receipts.

Arithmetically, system gross receipts are the product of the prices charged for subscriptions and the number of subscribers. Economically, system gross receipts are therefore determined by whatever determines the demand for the offerings on a cable system, including the distant signals being offered.

Features of the cable system's local market also affect the value of the bundle of distant signals purchased. As we saw above, cable systems with fewer local channels tend to import more distant signals. Importing more signals raises the number of DSEs and, in turn, raises the royalty payment for any given level of system revenue.

Once we account for the size of the system and other factors affecting a system's total royalty payments, we can examine how royalties vary with the minutes of each type of programming.

## Regression Analysis

Linear regression analysis is a widely used statistical technique for attributing the variation in some outcome to one or many factors. That is, it seeks to observe whether there is a relationship between the variables and the outcome and, if so, what that relationship is. When there is only one kind of outcome and one "explanatory" variable being studied, regression analysis finds the mathematical relationship that best fits the data. That is, if all of the different observed combinations between the variable and the outcome were represented as dots in an x y diagram, the regression analysis would produce the line that best fits the cloud of dots. The line would have an intercept (where it crosses the y axis) and a slope (the amount of increase in the outcome $y$ with every increase in the variable $x$ ). The line and its intercept and slope can be characterized
by an algebraic formula, $y(f i t)=a+b x$, where $a$ and $b$ are the coefficients produced by the regression procedure. In particular, $a$ is the intercept on the $y$-axis, and $b$ is the slope, showing how much the "dependent variable" $y$ varies with a one-unit change in the explanatory variable x .

In our case we might ask, for example, how the number of distant signals carried relates to the number of local channels on a Form 3 cable system in 2004-2005. Based on our data, we might find that the coefficient is -0.22 , indicating that a system with one additional local channel carries an average of 0.22 fewer distant channels. Regression coefficients are statistics calculated from data and are subject to sampling variation, so the point estimates come with confidence intervals. In our example above, we could say that with 95 confidence the true value for the slope coefficient relating local channels to distant channels carried runs from -0.23 to 0.20 .
"Multiple" regression analysis includes more than one explanatory variable. Dr. Rosston's testimony gives the useful example of trying to determine the relative values of attributes of a house. Houses vary in square footage, number of bedrooms, number of bathrooms, location, and other features, but they are sold for a single price. The various attributes of a house obviously have a direct effect on the price, but because they are not priced separately, we have no observed information about the relative value or contribution of each. Moreover, some attributes vary independently, but others may have some degree of relationship to each other, such as that the number of bathrooms may increase with the number of bedrooms, though perhaps not one-forone. In short, just knowing the number of bedrooms in a house would not allow us to determine the price of the house. Nor would information only about the numbers of bedrooms in two houses allow us to explain completely any difference between their sales prices. But by applying regression analysis of the sales prices of numerous transactions against variables representing the separate price-affecting attributes of the houses, one could examine how the price of a house simultaneously varies with variation in each of the attributes. If all the important determinants of market price are included as variables in the regression, the calculated coefficient on the number of bedrooms shows the value (or incremental contribution to total sales price) of an additional bedroom, holding all other attributes of the house constant.

## The Baseline Analysis

In our context we have an analogous problem. We have a measure of the value of the bundle of distant signals (the royalty payments that are being allocated among claimants) along with measures of relevant attributes of the bundle (the number of minutes of programming of each type) and other factors that affect the total royalty payment (other determinants of system gross receipts).

In addition to the variables measuring the minutes of various types of programming, our regression model includes the following variables: the number of subscribers and total number of activated channels of the system in the previous accounting period, the average household income in the system's DMA, the count of local channels the system carried, indicators for whether the system paid the $3.75 \%$ royalty rate and whether it carried any partially distant signals, and indicators for accounting periods. Finally, the model includes indicators for whether the system made the minimum royalty payment, as, well as whether it made the minimum payment and carried fewer than one DSE.

Using multiple regression analysis we can determine how the value of the distant signal bundles varies with additional minutes of each type of programming, holding everything else constant. To this end we regress observed royalty payments for the bundle on the numbers of minutes in each programming category, along with determinants of system revenue (the number of subscribers, local median income, etc.) and other determinants of the value of distant signals (the number of local channels, etc.)

The details of the data sources, regression design and specification of variables are described in Appendix 2. Table 1 reports means and standard deviations of variables used in the analysis, including both the programming minutes measures as well as other relevant factors. These represent arithmetic average values across system/accounting period observations. Program Suppliers are the largest category of minutes, averaging 42,693 per system, followed by Public TV $(14,575)$, Commercial TV $(7,816)$, Devotional $(3,456)$, Sports $(1,395)$, Canadian $(1,040)$, Low Power (135), and Mexican (6).

# Table 1 <br> Summary Statistics Form 3 Cable Systems with Positive DSE 2004-2005 

| Variables | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minutes of Program Suppliers Programming | 42,693 | 41,205 |
| Minutes of Sports Programming | 1,395 | 990 |
| Minutes of Commercial TV Programming | 7,816 | 9,525 |
| Minutes of Public Broadcasting Programming | 14,575 | 21,745 |
| Minutes of Devotional Programming | 3,456 | 6,724 |
| Minutes of Canadian Programming | 1,040 | 5,518 |
| Minutes of Low Power Programming | 135 | 2,011 |
| Minutes of Mexican Programming | 6 | 203 |
| Number of Subscribers (Previous Accounting Period) | 37,749 | 73,854 |
| Indicator for Minimum Payment \& DSE < 1 | 0.13 | 0.34 |
| Indicator for Minimum Payment \& DSE <= 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Number of Activated Channels (Previous Accounting Period) | 184 | 98 |
| Average Household Income in Designated Marketing Area | 43,959 | 7,670 |
| Count of Local Channels | 10 | 5 |
| Indicator for Special 3.75\% Royalty Rate | 0.22 | 0.42 |
| Indicator for Carriage of Partially Distant Signal | 0.33 | 0.47 |
| Total Royalty Fee Paid by Cable System in Accounting Period | 43,091 | 75,272 |
| Number of Observations | 4,954 | - |

[^71]Table 2 below reports the results of the regression, generally following the same specification presented by Dr. Rosston, using updated data for 2004-2005. ${ }^{10}$

Table 2
Baseline Regression Results Form 3 Cable Systems with Positive DSE 2004-2005

| Explanatory Variables | Coefficient (Standard Error) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minutes of Program Suppliers Programming | 0.075 ** |
|  | (0.037) |
| Minutes of Sports Programming | 2.770 ** |
|  | (0.989) |
| Minutes of Commercial TV Programming | $\begin{gathered} 0.256 \\ (0.141) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minutes of Public Broadcasting Programming | 0.042 |
|  | (0.043) |
| Minutes of Devotional Programming | -0.067 |
|  | (0.123) ** |
| Minutes of Canadian Programming | $\begin{gathered} 0.282 \\ (0.124) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minutes of Low Power Programming | -0.115 |
|  | (0.334) |
| Minutes of Mexican Programming | $0^{0.886}$ (0.413) ${ }^{\text {** }}$ |
| Number of Subscribers | 0.864 ** |
| (Previous Accounting Period) | (0.029) |
| Indicator for Minimum Payment \& DSE $<1$ | 3737 |
|  | (1941) |
| Indicator for Minimum Payment \& DSE $\leq 1$ | -14741 ** |
|  | (2068) |
| Number of Activated Channels | 2.97 |
| (Previous Accounting Period) | (5.95) |
| Average Household Income in | -0.174 ** |
| Designated Marketing Area | (0.071) |
| Count of Local Channels | 448 ** |
|  | (165) |
| Indicator for Special 3.75\% Royalty Rate | 21068 ** |
| Royalty Rate | $\mathrm{(2553)}_{* *}$ |
| Indicator for Carriage of Partially Distant Signal | $\begin{aligned} & -9269 \\ & (1874) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & (1874) \\ & 7557 * * \end{aligned}$ |
|  | (3046) |
| Indicators for Accounting Periods | YES |
| R-Squared |  |
| Number of Observations | 4954 |
| Note: |  |
| [1] Heteroscedasticity corrected standard errors are reported in parentheses. |  |
| Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation; The Lif |  | systems that carried more than one DSE, we measured the effect of the three differs. discussed above by using a single regression with two additional indicators related to minimum fee status and DSE levels.

The dependent variable in our regression is the total royalty fee paid by a Form 3 system in the particular accounting period. By far the most important determinant of variation in these total royalty payments across systems is the number of subscribers, which is as expected. A system with an additional subscriber has a royalty payment that is on average $\$ 0.86$ higher, holding other variables constant. Additional minutes of programming also add to the value of the bundle, but minutes in different categories bear different relationships to bundle value.

An additional Program Supplier minute adds $\$ 0.075$ (with a standard error of $\$ 0.037$ ). An additional Sports minute adds $\$ 2.77$ ( $\$ 0.989$ ). An additional Commercial TV minute adds $\$ 0.256$ (\$0.141). An additional Public TV (PTV) minute adds \$0.042 (\$0.043).

These coefficients provide market-based measures of the value additional minutes of the various types of programming on the distant signals add to the overall royalties paid for distant signals. We can determine the total contribution of each program type to the value of the distant signal bundles by multiplying the respective coefficients by the number of compensable minutes of each type of programming. ${ }^{11}$ For this calculation, we treat the negative coefficients as zero, on the view that additional minutes of, say, devotional programming do not detract from the overall value of a bundle of distant signals. ${ }^{12}$

Table 3 reports the royalty shares for the different types of programming resulting from this model. This model indicates that sports should get 42.36 percent of the royalty funds, program suppliers should get 24.68 percent, commercial television should get 22.86 percent, public broadcasting television should get 6.79 percent, and Canadian programming should get 3.30 percent.

[^72]Table 3

## Royalty Share Allocation Using Compensable Minutes Form 3 Cable Systems with Positive DSE

2004-2005

| Claimant Group | Value of an Additional Minute <br> (Coeff. From Table 2) | System-Weighted Compensable Minutes | Value of Minutes | Implied Share of Royalties | Implied Share of Royalties Excluding Mexican and Low Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ A ] | [B] | [C] | $[\mathrm{D}]=[\mathrm{B}]^{*}[\mathrm{C}]$ | $[E]=[D] /(45,845,188)$ | $\mathrm{fF}]=[\mathrm{D}] /(45,820,423)$ |
| Program Suppliers | 0.075 ** | 150,844,365 | 11,309,074 | 24.67\% | 24.68\% |
| Sports | 2.770 ** | 7,008,250 | 19,411,362 | 42.34\% | 42.36\% |
| Commercial TV | 0.256 * | 40,878,351 | 10,473,058 | 22.84\% | 22.86\% |
| Public Broadcasting | 0.042 | 74,844,256 | 3,113,222 | 6.79\% | 6.79\% |
| Devotional | -0.067 | 11,864,814 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Canadian | 0.282 ** | 5,373,581 | 1,513,708 | 3.30\% | 3.30\% |
| Low Power | -0.115 | 790,231 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Mexican | 0.886 ** | 27,960 | 24,765 | 0.05\% | 0.00\% |
| Total |  | 291,631,808 | 45,845,188 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Excluding | xican \& Low Power | 290,813,617 | 45,820,423 |  |  |

Note: * and ** indicate results are significant at the 90 and 95 percent confidence levels, respectively.
Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation; The Lifestyle Market Analyst

I have tested the robustness of the regression results in a number of ways, including by testing alternative approaches suggested by other parties in the prior proceeding, as described in Appendix 3 to this testimony. My conclusion, based on my experience and expertise and on statistical tests I have applied to the study results, is that the regression results are robust, valid, and reliable within the stated confidence intervals.

It is useful to compare the results of the regression study to the results of the cable operators' survey. The cable operators' survey is based on cable operators' direct assessments of the relative value of the various kinds of distant signal programming they chose to carry on their systems. In answering the survey, cable operators are not asked to distinguish compensable from non-compensable programming. Thus, the cable operator survey results provide information on the value of all the non-network programming carried on their distant signals, including WGN. Our analysis thus far has attempted to determine the relative market value only of the compensable programming, but we can adapt our approach to calculate more directly comparable estimates of the relative value shares for all the non-network programming on distant signals.

I do this by multiplying the coefficients on the programming minutes in Table 2 by the total minutes in each programming category, rather than simply the compensable minutes. Table A2 in Appendix 3 describes the calculation. In addition, another adjustment is necessary to allow for a more apples-to-apples comparison. I understand that the cable operator survey omitted certain sample systems if they carried only a distant PTV or a distant Canadian signal. Since our
regressions covered all Form 3 systems, the cable operator survey results should be adjusted to represent a similarly comprehensive sample. I understand that PTV witness Linda McLaughlin addressed this issue by calculating "Augmented Bortz Survey" share percentages. Combining these two adjustments, the resulting comparisons are shown in Table 4:

## Table 4 <br> Implied Royalty Shares Using All Minutes Compared to BORTZ Shares <br> 2004-2005

| Claimant Group | Estimated Royalty Shares from Regression ${ }^{1}$ | Augmented Bortz Share ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2005 |
| Program Suppliers | 32.15\% | 35.40\% | 36.20\% |
| Sports | 38.73\% | 32.40\% | 35.50\% |
| Commercial TV | 20.20\% | 17.90\% | 14.20\% |
| Public Broadcasting | 6.01\% | 6.20\% | 6.05\% |
| Devotional | 0.00\% | 7.60\% | 6.30\% |
| Canadian | 2.92\% | 0.50\% | 1.65\% |

Note:
[1] To be comparable to Bortz shares, royaity shares are calculated using all WGNA minutes but omitting Low Power and Mexican [2] Bortz shares taken from the 2009 Testimony of Linda McLaughlin. Mid-points of ranges used for Canadian and PTV.
Source: TV Data; Cable Data Corporation; The Lifestyle Market Analyst

As can be seen, the comparison shows that the royalty shares are similar for most program categories. Further, applying statistical tests using the respective confidence intervals around each of the share estimates, we cannot reject the hypotheses that these estimated shares equal the cable operator survey shares for the Program Supplier, Sports, Commercial TV, Public TV, and Canadian categories.

## Conclusion

Royalty payment data provide evidence related to the relative market value of programming carried on distant signals. Using multiple regression analysis, the data on royalty payments, analyzed in conjunction with data on the amount and mix of program content and other factors affecting royalty payments, provides information about the relative market value of the various relevant categories of program content.

Basic results from the regression approach are robust as evaluated in relation to various reasonable alternative approaches. In the baseline specification - drawn from Dr. Rosston's approach in the previous proceeding - the commercial television share is 22.86 percent for 20042005, as compared with the basic cable operator survey result of 16.6 percent for 2004-2005. The cable operator survey, however, did not distinguish non-compensable programming on WGN. The comparison of the implied shares that result from the regression analysis when noncompensable programs on WGN are included is instructive, Table 5 shows the relative shares:

## Table 5 <br> Royalty Share Allocation Form 3 Cable Systems with Positive DSE 2004-2005

|  | Implied Share of Royalties |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Claimant Group | Using All WGNA Minutes |  | Using Compensable Minutes |
| Program Suppliers | $32.15 \%$ | $24.68 \%$ |  |
| Sports | $38.73 \%$ | $42.36 \%$ |  |
| Commercial TV | $20.20 \%$ | $22.86 \%$ |  |
| Public Broadcasting | $6.01 \%$ | $6.79 \%$ |  |
| Devotional | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Canadian | $2.92 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ |  |
| Total | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |

Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation; The Lifestyle Market Analyst
The Commercial TV share is greater when the coefficients are used to calculate shares including only the compensable minutes. Thus the data comparison shows that if an adjustment to the cable operator survey shares is to be made in an effort to correct for the inclusion of noncompensable programming on WGN, the Commercial TV share should be increased.
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## Methodology

## APPENDIX 2

The data for the regression analysis consists of royalty payments, cable system characteristics, and minutes of programming, by category, for 4,954 cable system/accounting period observations over the four 6 -month accounting periods covered by this proceeding. This appendix provides an overview of the data sources used in the analysis and how these sources were combined to create the final regression dataset.

## Overview of Data Sources

## Royalty Payments and Cable System Characteristics

Data on the royalty payments paid by each system in each accounting period and the cable system characteristics were obtained from Cable Data Corporation (CDC). For each Form 3 cable system, the CDC data contain information on (1) the royalty paid by the cable system, (2) whether the system paid any royalties at the $3.75 \%$ royalty rate, (3) which distant signals each system carried and whether the signal was partially or fully distant, (4) the number of subscribers, (5) the number of local channels carried, and (6) the total number of activated channels (i.e., total channels used for all services, not just broadcast stations).

For each accounting period, two CDC datasets were used: (1) the Carriage Detail Data and (2) System Detail Data. The Carriage Detail Data contain information specifying which distant stations are carried by each system in each accounting period. An observation in this data is at the system/accounting period/station level. The dataset includes observations for some stations/system pairs in which the station is not counted as a distant signal in the royalty calculations. These stations were not included in the analyses. ${ }^{1}$ The System Detail Data contain

[^73]information on the number of local channels carried on the system, the number of subscribers, the royalty the system paid, and whether the system paid any royalties at the $3.75 \%$ rate. ${ }^{2}$

## Programming Minutes Data

For each accounting period, information was obtained on the programming carried on the distantly carried network and independent stations during 21 randomly selected days ${ }^{3}$ from TVData for all the non-educational stations that were carried as distant signals. TVData provided the program schedules. for virtually all of the network, independent, and low power stations that were carried as distant signals. ${ }^{4}$

For each of the stations for which program schedule information was available from TVData, Cornerstone Research worked with Dr. Richard Ducey to categorize the minutes associated with each program aired on each station during each selected day ${ }^{5}$ into one of the following program categories: (1) Network, ${ }^{6}$ (2) Sports, (3) Devotional, (4) Program Suppliers, (5) Commercial TV, (6) Canadian, and (7) Mexican. Cornerstone Research and Dr. Ducey developed filters and rules, using multiple TVData data fields and other information, to accomplish initial program categorizations, which were then repeatedly reviewed and refined. The final review and categorization of any programs that were not susceptible to proper categorization by the data processing methodology were provided by Dr. Ducey. All minutes that aired on WGNA that

2 In each accounting period, the previous period's CDC Data were used to obtain the lagged number of subscribers and activated channels, meaning that data from the second accounting period in 2003 were used to obtain lagged subscriber and activated channel data for the first accounting period in 2004.

3 Twenty-one days in each six-month accounting period were selected using a stratified random sampling approach that assured equal numbers would be selected of each of the seven days of the week across each accounting period. This approach was analogous to that used for the program schedule sample in the 1998-1999 proceeding programming study.
4 TVData did not provide programming information for 1 distantly carried network station, 2 distantly carried independent stations, and 5 distantly carried low power stations. These stations were treated in the same manner as low power stations for which programming information is not available.
5. For each sample day, programs that were aired on stations from 6:00 AM on the selected day through 5:59 AM on the following day were categorized for use in the regression. This minimized the need for the truncation adjustments that were necessary in the 1998-1999 programming study because it used a 12:00 AM to 11:59 PM broadcast day.
6 Minutes of programming categorized as "network" were excluded from the regression analysis.
were not also aired on WGN were also identified. These minutes are non-compensable. The number of minutes in each program category which aired on each station were aggregated across each accounting period. The data used for the regression analysis are at the accounting period/station level.

All of the stations for which program schedules were unavailable for entire accounting periods were assumed to have been on the air 24 hours a day, implying that they aired 30,240 $\left(24^{*} 60 * 21=30,240\right)$ minutes across the 21 randomly selected days in each accounting period. In the analysis, all of these minutes are categorized as "low power minutes."
If a station was missing scheduling data for one, or a few, days in an accounting period, these minutes were filled in using an average of the minutes broadcast during the same sample strata. For example, missing time on KXYZ on Sunday from 2:00 AM to 3:00 AM would be filled in with the average mix of programming aired by KXYZ between 2:00 AM and 3:00 AM on the other sampled Sundays in the same accounting period.

All of the minutes that are aired on educational (public broadcasting) stations are categorized as "public broadcasting minutes." For public broadcasting stations that are aired less than 24 hours a day, data were obtained from the PTV Claimants Group identifying the hours during which the station was not on the air on the sample days. The number of minutes during each day in which the station was not on the air was subtracted from the total number of minutes in the day, and all on-air hours were assigned to the Public Broadcasting category.

## Median Income Data

Information on the median income by year and DMA were obtained from the 2004 and 2005 "The Lifestyle Market Analyst" publications (Lifestyle publications). The Lifestyle Market Analysis income data was matched to the systems that carried distant signals using the CDC Carriage Detail Data, which contains the market in which each cable system operates.

## Overview of Construction of Regression Dataset

To construct the regression dataset, station level minutes were first merged onto the CDC Carriage Detail Data. Next, the public broadcasting minutes for educational stations were merged onto the combined dataset. Third, all distantly carried non-public broadcasting stations for which program schedules are not available were assigned 30,240 minutes of "low power" programming. ${ }^{7}$ After filling in all of the programming minutes for each station, the minutes

7 There is one system in the CDC data that carries one distant station for only a portion of each day. The CDC data do not indicate what period of day the system carries the station, but it does indicate the
carried on each cable system were aggregated to the accounting period (e.g., all of the program supplier minutes on the stations that are carried on a given cable system were aggregated to create the "program supplier minutes" used in the regression). This results in a dataset that is at the accounting period/cable system level. The CDC System Detail Data and the income data ${ }^{8}$ were also included in this dataset.

After combining all of the datasets; the additional variables that are used in the regression were created. The total royalty fee paid by each system in each accounting period and the cable system's gross receipts for that accounting period are used to generate the minimum fee indicator variables. Specifically; the total royalty fee paid by a system is divided by the cable system's receipts for that accounting period. If this number is less than or equal to 0.956 percent for 2004 through the first accounting period in 2005 or 1.013 percent in the second accounting period of 2005 the observation is flagged as paying the minimum rate. This information was combined with the total number of DSEs the cable system carried to generate the indicator for minimum payment and DSE less than one and indicator for minimum payment and DSE less than or equal to one. Indicator variables for whether the system paid a $3.75 \%$ fee, for whether the system carried a partially distant signal, and for each accounting period were also created.

## Baseline Regression Model

The baseline regression is:
(total royalty fee paid) $=\mathrm{b} 0+\mathrm{b} 1^{*}$ (program supplier minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 2^{*}$ (sports minutes) + $\mathrm{b} 3^{*}$ (commercial TV minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 4^{*}$ (public broadcasting minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 5 *$ (devotional minutes) + $\mathrm{b} 6^{*}$ (Canadian minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 7^{*}$ (low power minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 8^{*}$ (Mexican minutes) $+\mathrm{b} 9^{*}$ (number of subscribers, previous period) $+\mathrm{b} 10 *$ (number of activated channels, previous period) +

[^74]percentage of the day that station is carried during each accounting period. This station is assigned "low power' programming minutes based on the percentage of the day that it is carried (e.g., if the station were carried 50 percent of the time then it is assigned $15,120=0.5 * 30,240$ minutes in an accounting period).

8 : Some CDC-defined markets were sub-markets of DMAs, in which case the median income from the entire DMA was applied to each sub-market. CDC-defined markets were matched to nearby DMAs when the CDC-defined market did not match the name of a city in the DMA name. No matching or nearby DMA could be ascertained for systems in the following markets: Guam, Micronesia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. These systems were dropped from the regression dataset.
b11*(median household income in DMA) $+\mathrm{b} 12 *$ (count of local channels) $+\mathrm{b} 13^{*}$ (indicator for $3.75 \%$ royalty rate) $+\mathrm{b} 14^{*}$ (indicator for carriage of partially distant signal) $+\mathrm{bl} 15^{*}$ (indicator for second half of 2004 accounting period) + bl6* (indicator for first half of 2005 accounting period) $+\mathrm{b} 17^{*}$ (indicator for second half of 2005 accounting period) $+\mathrm{b} 18^{*}$ (indicator for minimum payment and DSE less than one) $+\mathrm{b} 19^{*}$ (indicator for minimum payment and DSE less than or equal to one) + random error.
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## Robustness

The prior royalty distribution decision articulated the view that regression analysis is a corroborating complement to the cable operator survey. Some concern was expressed, however, that the regression results were not "robust." Accordingly, we tested the robustness of the approach using conventional econometric techniques. Such techniques seek to answer questions such as how do the royalty distribution share calculations change with the inclusion or exclusion of particular variables from the specification? Or, how do they change with the use of different approaches for inferring valuations from the operator decisions about distant signal carriage?

To explore this we begin with our basic regression specification from Table 2. We then subtract control variables one at a time to see how the results of interest - here, royalty shares - changes. Table A1 on the following page reports a succession of variants on the Table 2 specification. All seven resulting commercial television shares are between 21 and 28 percent.


The bottom line from this analysis is that, while the divisions do vary with the specifications, they do not vary much, particularly from the standpoint of using this analysis as a potential source of corroboration for the cable operator survey.

## Past Criticisms

In the previous proceeding, the regression approach was subject to criticism over parameter stability across study years. We address this by testing whether the parameters vary across years. We estimate an augmented version of the basic Rosston model that allows the minutes parameters to be different in 2005 (relative to 2004). This flexible model nests the special case of constant parameters across the years. We can then test for parameter stability by testing the joint hypothesis that all of the coefficients on minutes interacted with the 2005 indicator are zero. The F-test for this joint hypothesis is 1.44 , with a probability value of 0.18 . The F-test for the hypothesis that all of the coefficients on minutes interacted with the 2005 indicator are zero for the claimant categories of minutes is 0.37 with a probability value of 0.90 . In other words, we do not reject that the minutes parameters are equal across years. While the parameter estimates vary across years, the variation is not statistically significant.

In the previous proceeding the regression approach was criticized for potentially attributing too much of the total royalty payments to public stations. The regression was criticized because the dependent variable in the regression is total royalty payments, including those paid to the $3.75 \%$ fund, but public stations do not receive payment from the $3.75 \%$ fund. We can deal with this critique in two ways, conceptually and empirically. Conceptually, the approach we use should not over-allocate royalties to public stations. The minutes of programming on the $3.75 \%$ stations are not public minutes. Instead, those minutes appear as part of other program category variables and help to make the coefficients on those minutes appropriately higher than they might otherwise be. Given that the $3.75 \%$ minutes are thus accounted for, the inclusion of $3.75 \%$ royalties should not contaminate the public coefficient.

With that said, we can also explore whether the inclusion of the $3.75 \%$ royalty payments in the dependent variable affects the results by including a indicator variable for cable system operators including a $3.75 \%$ station in their bundle. Including such a variable allows for a different average royalty payment for bundles with and without a $3.75 \%$ station. With this variable included, the minutes coefficients are effectively determined by the variation within the "no$3.75 \%$ " group and within the " $3.75 \%$-inclusive" group. The minutes coefficients are therefore not determined by the difference between royalty payments in the $3.75 \%$ and no- $3.75 \%$ groups.

The 7th column of Table A1 removes the $3.75 \%$ variable from the basic regression. Resulting revenue divisions are 25.20 percent for program suppliers, 37.71 percent for sports, 27.74 percent for commercial television, 5.52 percent for public television, 0.56 percent for devotional, 3.25 percent for Canadian, and 0 for low power, and 0.02 percent for Mexican. The public share changes little relative to the baseline specification, and the other shares are also similar.

## Comparison With Cable Operator Survey Results

A further test of the regression results is to compare them with the cable operator survey results, which collect information directly from the CSOs regarding the relative value of the programming on the distant signals they actually carry. The regression is also based on the programming on the distant signals the CSOs actually chose to carry. In order to render the shares comparable, however, we must first adjust the regression results to reflect the relative value of all programming on WGNA, including non-compensable programming, because that is the body of programming addressed in the cable operator survey. This adjustment is accomplished by first multiplying the regression coefficients by the total number of program minutes rather than just the number of compensable minutes. Table A2 shows the resulting implied shares.

Table A2

## Royalty Share Allocation Using All WGNA Minutes Form 3 Cable Systems with Positive DSE 2004-2005

| Claimant Group | Value of an Additional Minute (Coeff. From Table 2) | System-Weighted Total Minutes | Value of Minutes | Implied Share of Royalties | Implled Share of Royalties Excluding Mexican and Low Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ A ] | [B] | [C] | $[\mathrm{D}]=[\mathrm{B}]^{*}[\mathrm{C}]$ | $[E]=[D] /(51,863,215)$ | $[F]=[\mathrm{D}] /(51,838,451)$ |
| Program Suppliers | 0.075 ** | 222,265,994 | 16,663,682 | 32.13\% | 32.15\% |
| Sports | 2.770 ** | 7,247,770 | 20,074,781 | 38.71\% | 38.73\% |
| Commercial TV | 0.256 - | 40,878,351 | 10,473,058 | 20.19\% | 20.20\% |
| Public Broadcasting | 0.042 | 74,844,256 | 3,113,222 | 6.00\% | 6.01\% |
| Devotional | -0.067 | 17,907,864 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Canadian | 0.282 ** | 5,373,581 | 1,513,708 | 2.92\% | 2.92\% |
| Low Power | -0.115 | 790,231 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Mexican | 0.886 ** | 27,960 | 24,765 | 0.05\% | 0.00\% |
| Total |  | 369,336,007 | 51,863,215 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Excluding Mexican \& Low Power |  | 368,517,816 | 51,838,451 |  |  |

Note: * and ** Indicate results are significant at the 90 and 95 percent confidence levels, respectively.
Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation; The Lifestyle Market Analyst
When these adjusted shares are compared with the Bortz study shares, the similarity of the results from the two independent approaches provides support for both of them.
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## STATEMENT OF JERALD N. FRITZ

## I. Background

My name is Jerald N. Fritz, and I am appearing on behalf of the Commercial Television Claimants. I am a Senior Vice President for Legal and Strategic Affairs for Allbritton Communications Company, which is based in Arlington, Virginia. I serve as general counsel for Allbritton's eight ABC-affiliated television stations, four newspapers, cable news channel, and websites and Internet ventures, and I also oversee government relations and long-term strategic planning for the group. My resume is attached as Appendix 1.

I have worked 41 years in and around the broadcasting business. Besides my legal work, I have had direct experience in many television station jobs, including news reporting, advertising sales and purchasing, station operations, writing, programming, and community relations. I have also worked in industry-wide organizations and on broadcast business and policy issues both in government and in private industry, including service as Chief of Staff of the Federal Communications Commission. I have been a Director on the NAB TV Board, and have served on the NAB's Digital Television Task Force as well as its EEO, Web Streaming and Copyright Committees. I serve on the faculty of the National Association of Broadcasters Educational Foundation's Broadcast Leadership Training Center as well as the Media Sales Institute. My public policy and strategic planning work have provided me a broad familiarity with developments in the television industry over the past several decades. I have written and spoken before Congress and elsewhere on issues such as program ownership rights, distribution platforms and copyright relationships.

## II. The News and Other Programming Produced by Television Stations in 2004 and 2005 Had a Value That Extended Beyond the Stations' Local Markets

A wide variety of programming is included within the "Commercial Television" category, which I understand is defined for these proceedings as programs produced by or for a U.S. commercial television station and broadcast only by that station during the year. The majority of the programming in this category are newscasts produced by stations, often including morning, daytime, and evening newscasts and alerts throughout the day. But the category also includes sports-related programming, such as pre- and post-game shows, special coverage of local teams, and various coaches' shows. It includes a range of specials, highlighting news and special events of local and regional significance. Commercial television stations also produce public affairs and "magazine" shows, human interest specials, local religious services, do-ityourself shows, special coverage of severe weather, and a variety of other programs. I understand that another Commercial Television witness, Dr. Richard Ducey, has presented examples illustrating the wide range of station-produced programs in prior proceedings. Based on my knowledge of the industry, the same sort of variety of programs was being produced by television stations in 2004-2005.

In the increasingly competitive, multi-platform program distribution industry, maintaining unique, valued programming is a primary, critical, strategic goal. Local television stations are in an unmatched position to capitalize on that need by delivering local programming unavailable from other sources. That programming is targeted to meet the informational needs of local and regional viewers and is not duplicated by other video programming providers. The availability of a deeper advertising base in larger television markets generally permits stations in those markets to support the resources needed to produce higher quality, more frequent and
broader-appeal programming, but even stations in the smallest markets provide news and informational programming available from no other provider.

## III. Allbritton Stations' Programming Has Significant Regional Appeal

For illustrative purposes, I describe the circumstances of six Allbritton stations that were carried as distant signals in 2005, including the locations in which they were carried and the regional appeal of the programming they produced.

In 2005, the Allbritton station carried most widely as a distant signal was KATV, in Little Rock, Arkansas. Based on data from Cable Data Corporation, thirteen "Form 3" systems carried KATV as a distant or partially distant signal in the second half of 2005. Exhibit 15 is a map showing the locations of the systems that reported carrying KATV, identified just as a dot showing the first city listed by the cable system in its Statement of Account. The systems themselves, of course, cover broader geographic areas. In addition, the map shows the television markets, defined by The Nielsen Company as Designated Market Areas or "DMAs," in which those cable communities are located, along with the DMAs' ranks, which show the relative size of the television market, with smaller numbers indicating larger markets.

As you can see from the map, the systems that carried KATV serve relatively nearby cable communities, all but the Blytheville, Arkansas, system within 150 miles of Little Rock (indicated by the dashed-line circle). Little Rock is the largest city in the state and the state's capital, and eight of the thirteen cable systems are in Arkansas. ${ }^{1}$ Six of those eight are in DMAs that are assigned to different states, so their primary local signals would be from Missouri, Tennessee, Mississippi, or Louisiana, even though their subscribers reside in Arkansas. And

1 Two more, the systems in Memphis, Tennessee, and Texarkana, Texas, also serve communities in Arkansas.
most of the systems carrying KATV as a distant signal were in smaller television markets than Little Rock.

Against this backdrop, Exhibit 16 lists programs KATV produced in 2005 that fall within the Commercial Television program category. KATV produced some five hours of daily newscasts and news programs, which covered breaking news stories as well as state capitol news, state-wide weather, state-wide and regional sports, and state-wide human interest stories. KATV's news has for many years been ranked as the top rated newscast in all day-parts in the entire State of Arkansas by Nielsen, and is the recipient of numerous news awards, including Emmys for Best Newscasts and Edward R. Murrow awards for news coverage and investigative reporting. KATV was also the exclusive licensee of the University of Arkansas football and basketball programming. Under its arrangement with the University, KATV had the television rights to all non-network games, and also produced pre/post game analysis programming, weekly coaches' shows and season preview/wrap-up shows. Similarly, KATV's unique and extensive video archives are unmatched in the nation, serving as a resource for national and local stories unavailable anywhere else. For example, local and national stories about former President Clinton that relied on footage from his early political years as Arkansas Attorney General and Governor were unique to KATV. These programs would be of interest in the cable communities where KATV was carried as a distant signal.

As a state with no professional team in any major sport, University of Arkansas games and sports-related programs have an interest almost unmatched in the country. Arkansas state residents who reside in out-of-state DMAs are frequently rabid University of Arkansas Razorback fans and have intense interest in viewing any programming about the teams. The station's sports director was the "Voice of the Razorbacks," and KATV's newscasts were seen
by viewers throughout the state as having unparalleled access to the University's sports teams. Besides shows featuring coaches and teams from the University of Arkansas, the station produced a show featuring the University of Central Arkansas football coach, and a special about a Little Rock native who rose to prominence as the undisputed world middleweight boxing champion.

The station's weather alerts and special weather programming are also seen as preeminent throughout the state. KATV's Doppler weather technology permits it to provide customized forecasts and storm tracking of critical importance to residents of central Arkansas, including those in adjacent DMAs. This service is especially crucial since the markets in the state are at the confluence of "Tornado Alley" and the "Gulf Hurricane Track," making them susceptible to dangerous weather during a majority of the year.

The other five Allbritton stations carried as distant signals in 2005 showed similar patterns of carriage by relatively nearby cable systems in adjacent DMAs, including a number of instances of "partially distant" carriage where the cable system's subscribers were partially within and partially outside the station's home DMA. These instances of distant carriage are listed in Exhibit 17. The Allbritton stations similarly produced extensive programming of interest to these nearby regions. Exhibit 18 lists station-produced programs that were broadcast on these stations in 2005.
IV. Cable Market Circumstances May Vary, But Station-Produced Programming on the Distant Stations They Choose To Carry Adds Value.

I understand that other witnesses appearing on behalf of the Commercial Television Claimants will present evidence about the relative value cable operators attribute to Commercial
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Television programming in the distant signal market as a whole, and that a survey of cable operators in 2004-2005 showed that cable operators attributed about 16 percent of the value of distant signal programming to the stations' own programs. I also understand that a comprehensive analysis of cable carriage data shows that more than 90 percent of all carriage of distant signals other than superstations occurred within 150 miles of the home city of the distant signal in 2004-2005. My experience of the industry as a whole, as well as the specific circumstances of the Allbritton stations that were carried as distant signals in 2005, are entirely consistent with that other evidence. As evidence of the importance of Little Rock programming to those residents in adjacent DMAs, Congressman Mike Ross has recently proposed legislation that would facilitate the importation of that programming to all 22 counties in Arkansas that are part of other DMAs notwithstanding the exclusive nature of any privately negotiated programming arrangements. Congressman Ross's bill would permit carriage of all programming from the Little Rock stations on cable systems and on direct-to-home satellites for residents in the in-state but out-of-market counties. Congressman Ross has specifically cited constituent demands and the need to have emergency weather bulletins from Little Rock stations throughout the state.

While every cable community has its own set of circumstances that may affect the relative value of distant signal programs, and some may differ from the KATV example, it is my opinion that the overall value of station produced programs to distant cable operators who choose to carry them is at least the 16 percent reported in the cable operator survey.

## Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES <br> Library of Congress <br> Washington, D.C.



## DECLARATION

I, Jerald N. Fritz, declare under penalty of perjury that the Testimony of Jerald N. Fritz presented in the 2004-2005 Cable Copyright Royalty Distribution Proceeding is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.


Dated: May 28, 2009
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## DECLARATION

I, Jerald N. Fritz, declare under penalty of perjury that the Statement of Jerald N. Fritz presented in the 2004-2005 Cable Copyright Royalty Distribution Proceeding, as corrected October 1, 2009, is true and correct.
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Jerald N. Fritz<br>Senior Vice President, Legal and Strategic Affairs<br>and General Counsel<br>Allbritton Communications Company<br>Arlington, VA

Jerald Fritz is Senior Vice President for Legal and Strategic Affairs for Arlington, VA-based Allbritton Communications Company. In addition to his duties as general counsel for Allbritton's eight ABC-affiliated television stations, four newspapers, cable news channel, multiple websites and Internet ventures, Mr. Fritz also oversees government relations and long term strategic planning for the group.

Mr. Fritz joined Allbritton in 1987 after serving as Chief of Staff to FCC Chairman Mark Fowler and as a primary architect of deregulatory efforts in the broadcasting and telecommunications industries. Prior to joining the Chairman's staff, he practiced communications law and taught on the adjunct faculty at George Mason University Law School. Mr. Fritz is a ' 73 Northwestern University graduate with a degree in Radio, Television and Film and a ' 76 graduate of the Notre Dame Law School where he was the Executive Editor of the Journal of Legislation.

Mr. Fritz has worked most station jobs, including news reporting, selling and buying advertising time, control room and studio operations, promo writing, programming, and community relations. He is the author of the Broadcast Station Legal Audit, an operational compliance compendium, and has lectured extensively and testified before Congress on communications law and policy and the future of the telecommunications industry, including program ownership rights, distribution platforms and copyright relationships. Mr. Fritz has helped to craft major telecommunications legislation as well as FCC regulations involving media ownership, content regulation and competitive carriers. He also has been intimately involved in the expansion of traditional lines of business for broadcasters, including 24 -hour local cable news, web-casting and niche publications.

Mr. Fritz served as a Director on the NAB TV Board where he chaired the NAB-X.com Task Force for a virtual trade show and served on the NAB's Digital Television Task Force as well as its EEO, Web Streaming and Copyright Committees. A former American Bar Association Communications Law Forum Governing Committee member, Mr. Fritz is a past Forum Division Chair and is also a member of the Federal Communications Bar Association, having chaired its Continuing Education Committee. Mr. Fritz is a past cochair of the Media Law Resource Center's Pre-Publication Review Committee and serves on the faculty of the National Association of Broadcaster's Educational Foundation's Broadcast Leadership Training Center as well as the Media Sales Institute. He currently sits on the advisory committee to the Council for Court Excellence in Washington, DC.

## Jerald N. Fritz

## Publications

- The Fairness Doctrine: Abolishing the Illusion of Fairness, Notre Dame Journal of Legislation, Volume 2, 1975, pp 48-57
- Hidden-Cameras - Protocol for Use, Communications Lawyer, Forum on Communications Law American Bar Association Volume 16, Number 4, Winter 1999, pp. 22-23
- Promo Tips, Communications Lawyer, Forum on Communications Law American Bar Association Volume 19, Number 3, Fall 2001, p. 21
- Prepublication / Prebroadcast Checklist, Media Law Resource Center, 2005
- Checklist On Identifying/Interviewing Children As Perpetrators, Victims And/Or Witnesses, Media Law Resource Center, February, 2006
- "Sting" Stories - Investigative Reporting, Media Law Resource Center, 2008


## Testimony

- Future of Telecommunications, National Association of Governors, Chicago, IL, September 7, 1983
- Political Advertising, U.S. House of Representatives, Telecommunications Subcommittee, June 13, 1991
- Exemptions to Prohibition on Circumvention of Copyright Protection Systems for Access Control Technologies - News Monitoring and "Fair Use," The Copyright Office, May 9, 2003
- Retransmission Consent, U.S. House of Representatives, Commerce Committee, March 30, 2006

Speeches

- Broadcast Deregulation, Colorado Assn. Broadcasters, Denver, CO, January 21, 1982
- Re-pricing Telecom Services, Telestrategies Conference, Washington, DC. February 28, 1984
- Telecom Regulation, Federal Bar Assn., Washington, DC, June 8, 1984
- Bell Breakup, Teleprocessing Symposium, San Francisco, CA, January 13, 1986
- U.S. Broadcast Deregulation, Federation of Australian Commercial Television Stations, Adelaide, Australia, November 28, 1986
- Synergies of Cross-Platform Media Ownership, Intemational Radio and Television Society, New York, NY, February 6, 1992
- Telecom Privatization, Russian Consortium, Washington, DC, March 9, 1998
- Internet "Telestreaming" of Broadcast Content, American Women in Radio and Television, Washington, DC, May 6, 1999
- Business of Broadcasting, American Bar Assn. Communications Forum, Boca Raton, February 13, 1999
- Broadcast Advertising, American Bar Assn. Communications Forum, Las Vegas, NV, April 18, 1999
- Newsroom Legal Issues, Radio \& Television News Directors Assn., Las Vegas, NV, April 8, 2002
- Future of Video Distribution, American Enterprise Institute, Washington, DC, June 13, 2002
- Impact of Cable, DBS \& Personal Video Recorder Competition, Bear Sterns Television Industry Summit, Washington, DC November 26, 2002
- Retransmission Consent - Cable/Broadcast Relationships, U.S. House of Representatives Staff Briefing, April 12, 2007
- On-Line Reporting, Radio Television News Directors Association, Las Vegas Nevada, April 15, 2008
- The FCC's "Public Interest" Standard, American Bar Association Communications Forum, Las Vegas, Nevada, April 13, 2008
- Non-Traditional Revenues, National Association of Broadcasters Futures Summit, Monterey, California, March 23, 2009
- The Fairness Doctrine, Judicial Watch Seminar, The National Press Club, May 14, 2009


## Television Interviews

- Television Station Ownership - Future of Broadcasting, "The Communicators," C-SPAN, May, 18, 2008
Lectures
- Changing Face of Communications Industry Competition, Annenberg School, Northwestern University, Washington, DC May 5, 1994
- Telecom Regulation, UCLA Law School, Los Angeles, CA, February 1, 1992
- Broadcast Regulation, Boston University Law School, Boston, MA, November 8, 1993
- Digital Television Implementation, Northwestern University, Evanston IL, May 7, 1998
- Syndication/Network Affiliate Programming, Notre Dame Law School, South Bend, IN, November 1, 2002
- Broadcast Indecency Standards, Progress in Freedom Foundation Congressional Seminar, April 5, 2005
- Broadcast Sales - Antitrust Pitfalls, Media Sales Institute - Howard University, June 7, 2005
- Prepublication/Prebroadcast Review, Media Law Resource Center Annual Meeting, Arlington, Virginia, September 28, 2006
- In-House Counsel Practice - New Media, University of Virginia Law School, Charlottesville, Virginia, November 30, 2006
- Broadcast Station Operations, National Association of Broadcasters Education Foundation, Broadcast Leadership Training Program Faculty, 2000-present


## Expert Testimony

- Jurgenson v. WUSA-TV - Gannett, Collective Bargaining Agreement Arbitration, 1992 (Posttermination talent contract restrictions)
- EchoStar Satellite Corporation v. TZ Azteca S.A. de C.V., No. 02-Civ-4890 (AKH)(Southern District of New York), March 2003 (Broadcast and Satellite industry structure and relationship)
- Deseret Management Corporation v. United States, No. 06-86 (U.S. Court of Federal Claims), June 2007 (Competition in the broadcast television industry)


## Form 3 Distant Carriage by Cable System KATV - Little Rock, AR 2005



KATV
Little-Rock, Arkansas
2005

## Daybreak

One-hour weekday news program.

## Daybreak - Early

One-hour weekday news program.

## Good Morning Arkansas

One-hour weekday news program.
Mid-Day Arkansas
Half-hour mid-day news program.

## Channel 7 News - Live at 5

Half-hour weekday evening news program.

## Channel 7 News at 6

Half-hour weekday news program.

## Channel 7 News - Nightside

Half-hour Sunday and weekday news program.

## Saturday Daybreak

Two hour Saturday news program.
Channel 7 News at 10
Half-hour Saturday news program.
Channel 7 News - Saturday
Half-hour Saturday news program.

## Channel 7 News - Sunday

Half-hour Sunday news program.
The Stan Heath Show
A weekly half-hour program produced with University of Arkansas Basketball Coach Stan Heath.

## Razorback Football with Houston Nutt

A weekly program produced with University of Arkansas Razorback Football Coach Houston Nutt.

## Clint Conque

Half-hour weekly show during the football season with University of Central Arkansas head football coach Clint Conque.

## Jermaine Taylor Special

Special program about the Little Rock native and professional boxer who won the title of undisputed middleweight championship of the world in 2005

Saturday Morning Blitz
Weekly sports talk show.
KATV Weather Special
Coverage of special local and regional weather conditions.

## Local Weather

Coverage of local weather.

CTV 04-05
Ex. 17

| CALLSIGN | CALL-CITY | CALL-STATE | BISTANCE | PRIME-CITY | STPPO | GOUNTY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KTUL | Tulsa | OK | 71 | Ponca City | OK | Kay |
| KTUL | Tulsa | OK | 59 | Stillwater | OK | Payne |
| WCFT | Tuscaloosa ${ }^{\text {AL }}$ AL |  | 94 Alexander City \|AL |Tallaposa |  |  |  |
| WJSU | Anniston | AL | 80 | Oneonta | $\frac{A L}{\text { AL }}$ | Blount |
| WHTM | Harrisburg | PA | 50 | Danville Boro | PA |  |
| WHTM | Harrisburg | PA | 41 | Sunbury City | $\frac{P A}{P A}$ | Montour |
| WJLA | Washington | DC | 107 | Cumberland | MD |  |
| WJLA | Washington | DC | 47 | Carroll Co | MD | Carroll |
| WJLA | Washington | DC | 79 | Chambersburg | PA | Franklin |
| WJLA | Washington | DC | 57 | Spotsylvania Co | VĀ | Spotsylvania |
| WJLA | Washington | DC | 111 | Keyser | WV | Mineral |

Source: Cable Data Corporation

CORRECTED
CTV 04-05
Ex. 18

KTUL
2005
Tülsa, Oklahoma

## Good Day Tulsa

One-hour weekday news program.
Good Morning Oklahoma 1st News
One-hour weekday morning news program.

## Good Morning Oklahoma

One-hour weekday morning news program.
News Channel 8 at 10:30 PM
Half-hour Sunday evening news program.
News Channel 8 at 4 PM
Half-hour afternoon news program.
News Channel 8 at 5 PM
Half-hour Sunday and weekday evening news program.
News Channel 8 at 6PM
Half-hour evening news program.
News Channel 8 at 10 PM
Half-hour Monday through Saturday news program.

## You Make the Call

Half-hour Sunday local sports call-in show featuring viewers' questions and comments.

## WCFT/WJSU

2005

## Montgomery (Anniston \& Tuscaloosa), Alabama

## ABC 33/40 Good Morning Alabama

Two-hour weekday morning news program.
ABC 33/40 News at Noon
Half-hour weekday news program.
ABC 33/40 News at 5 PM
Half-hour weekday evening news program.
ABC 33/40 News at 6 PM
Half-hour evening news program seven nights a week.

## ABC 33/40 News at 10 PM

Half-hour evening news program seven nights a week.
ABC 33/40 Good Afternoon Alabama
Half-hour weekday afternoon news program.
ABC 33/40 The Zone
Half-hour fast-paced Sunday sports program.

# WJLA <br> 2005 <br> Washington, D.C. 

## Capital Sunday

Half-hour Sunday news and public affairs program.

## Choose to Save

PSA and station-produced news segments on basic personal finance.
Good Morning Washington at 5A
One-hour weekday news program.
Good Morning Washington at 6A
One-hour weekday news program.
Inside Washington
Half-hour Sunday political roundtable program.

## News 7 @ Noon

Half-hour weekday news program

## News $7 @$ @P

One-hour weekday news program.

## News 7@6P

Half-hour weekday and Saturday news program.
News $7 @$ 6:30P
Half-hour Sunday news program.
News 7 @ 11P
Half-hour daily news program.
Wizards Magazine
Weekly, in-depth television magazine show that covers Washington Wizards players on and off the court.

## WHTM

2005
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania
ABC27 Daybreak at 5:30 AMHalf-hour weekday morning news program.
ABC27 Daybreak at 6 AMHalf-hour weekday morning news program.
ABC27 News at Noon
Half-hour weekday news program.
ABC27 News Live at 5 PM
One hour weekday evening news program.
ABC27 News at 6 PM
Half-hour evening news program seven nights a week.
ABC27 News at 7 PMHalf-hour weekday evening news program.
ABC27News at 11 PM
Half-hour evening news program seven nights a week.
World War II: Pennsylvania's Patriots
Weather Now

Before the
COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
Distribution of the 2004 and 2005
Cable Royalty Funds

Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005

## WRITTEN TESTIMONY <br> OF

ALEXANDRA PATSAVAS

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Alexandra Patsavas. I own and operate Chop Shop Music Supervision, which is a music supervision company for television shows and motion pictures located in Pasadena, California. Over the past 15 years $I$ have supervised music in hundreds of television episodes and over dozens of motion pictures. I submit this statement in support of BMI, ASCAP and SESAC (the "Music Claimants"). I understand that this proceeding involves allocating the royalties paid by cable systems for carrying television programs to distant markets to the various copyright owners, including the Music Claimants.
2. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the process of music supervision for television and film in order to demonstrate the increasing importance of music to the success of films and the many kinds of television programs on which I have worked. In brief, the role of the music supervisor is to develop and create the signature sound of a film or television program. The job of music supervision is a collaborative one, working closely with all of the other creative people involved in the project, including the film or television editor, the director and the producer, to select the right songs and to identify places in the film or television program that benefit from a musical treatment. As a music supervisor, I suggest songs that make up the soundtrack of the production and engage in an extensive selection process in order to create a unique feel for the film or program. The process can be arduous. In some cases, I try hundreds of different songs before I find the song that perfectly matches the images on tape.
3. The role of the music supervisor is distinct from that of the music composer, who creates a musical score for the film or television program. Whereas the composer creates original music for the program, the music supervisor identifies existing songs from other artists that are inserted into the program as feature performance or background music.

Together, the music supervisor and the composer combine to create a specific sound for each film or television program.
4. As I will describe more fully below, while music has always been an important element of virtually all kinds of television programs, in the past 15 years many television programs have increasingly featured music to create a signature sound for the show. Programs I have worked on have included live performances by a number of new bands and have helped to introduce new music to the American public in a way traditionally more associated with radio airplay. This experience stems from the fact that both the new generation of producers creating these shows and the characters in these programs have music as an integral part of their lives. The music presented in the programs helps to capture the complexity of their lives. As a result, the role of a music supervisor has become more involved and integral to the success of a film or television program.

## II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. I am originally from Glen Ellyn, Illinois. I attended the University of Illinois in Champaign-Urbana from 1986-1990. While an undergraduate I booked college rock bands both on campus and in local venues around the University. These groups included such well-known acts as They Might Be Giants, The Smashing Pumpkins and Jane's Addiction. This proved to be the start of my career-long interest in finding and promoting new musical talent.
6. While promoting concerts in college, I was introduced to Triad, a leading talent agency in Los Angeles, which represented many musical acts then touring college campuses. (Triad has since become part of the William Morris Talent Agency.) After graduating from college, I moved to Los Angeles and started out working in the mail room of

Triad. In 1991, I began work as the assistant to the office manager at BMI, the music performing rights organization, in its Los Angeles office. I stayed in this position through 1994. While at BMI I worked on numerous artist showcases, the annual BMI film/television and pop music awards dinners, and everything else involved in running the busy office. It was also during these years that I first became aware of the role that music supervisors play in the creation of television programs and films. Music supervision seemed like an exciting challenge that would combine my love for music with working closely with the creative people involved in the television and film production.
7. My first exposure to music supervision came after I left BMI and went to work for Roger Corman's Concorde Films from 1994 through 1996. Concorde Films produced a large number of " $B$ movies" with relatively small budgets. The market for these films was mainly cable television, the video cassette market and the international market. The company needed independent rock music for the films, and I worked as either the music supervisor or the music coordinator, an assistant to the music supervisor, on many low budget films, including the B movie classic Caged Heat 3000. Other Concorde titles include Rock and Roll High School and Little Shop of Horrors. My responsibilities included helping with the music publishing rights, negotiating and obtaining the song clearances, and helping prepare the music cue sheets. Cue sheets are documents that list every musical cue in a given film or television episode, the timing and duration of the cue, and the names of the composers and publishers who own the performing rights. The cue sheet often lists the composer's performing rights organization as well. I understand the performing rights organizations receive these cue sheets to track the performance on television of their members' and affiliates' music.
8. Working on these low budget movies enabled me to focus on music from new bands that were unsigned. Sometimes I would bring the bands into the recording studio to cut tracks for specific projects. During the early $90^{\prime}$ s, I was particularly into the music from the burgeoning Silver Lake scene. Beck is an example of a prominent recording artist who emerged from the Silver Lake scene.
9. I subsequently left Concorde in 1997 for the opportunity to become the head of music for PM Entertainment, an independent motion picture production company in Los Angeles. While at PM, I was responsible for all the music in PM programming. One project I worked on was a television program called LA Heat, which aired on the TNT cable television network. Unfortunately, PM Entertainment folded after one year. I decided at that point to open up Chop Shop with my partner at the time, Emily Kaye, in 1998.
10. I have spent the past eleven years as the owner of Chop Shop Music Supervision. Chop Shop provides music supervision services on dozens of television series, including The O.C., Gossip Girl, Boston Public, Mad Men, Fastlane, Grey's Anatomy, Without A Trace, Rescue Me and Supernatural, and numerous feature films such as Twilight, the soundtrack of which went double-platinum. I have a talented staff of three music coordinators who assist me, and we work on a large number of projects, often on very short deadlines.

## III. THE ROLE OF A MUSIC SUPERVISOR

11. As I mentioned earlier, the role of the music supervisor is first and foremost to develop and create the signature sound of a film or television program. Primarily, the music supervisor works with the director, producer and editor to identify places in the film or
television program that benefit from a musical treatment and selects the proper piece of music to be inserted in those places.
12. In addition to selecting the music to create a film or program's signature sound, the music supervisor is also responsible for preparing a "delivery file" for each song that will be included in the program. That file must include confirmation of the legal right to use the song from the music publisher and legal right to use the sound recording from the record label that represents the band. In many cases, the label must contact the recording artist to obtain the artist's permission to grant the master use license. Clearance of legal rights can be easy at times and sometimes it can be a heart-breaking process. Sometimes you find that the rights to the song are simply not available. One of the reasons for the complexity is the often daunting number of creative participants in a work, from the songwriters and publishers to the artists and their label representatives.
13. Permission must be obtained from all parties before a song can be edited into the final picture. Clearances must be obtained for all song uses. Synchronization licenses from the music publisher grant the program producer the right to synchronize the musical work in timed relation to the visual images. Master licenses grant equivalent rights to use the recorded version of the song by that particular artist.
14. It is important to point out that synch licenses do not convey the public performing right. That right is reserved so that the songwriter and publisher can receive performance right royalties from their PRO when the program is aired on cable or broadcast television, or in any of a number of new media outlets.

## IV. USE OF MUSIC IN PROGRAMMING

15. The use of songs in television series and film is widespread. A one hour program, which runs approximately 43 minutes without the commercials, may require selection of 6 to 8 songs, each of which may be chosen to convey the setting of the scene or the different emotions of the characters. For shows produced over the past decade, based on my experience, it is common for there to be 12-14 minutes of music inserted in each program, and that does not even include the theme or underscore music.
16. In determining what music to use and how to use the music, I consider a number of factors. These considerations are best demonstrated through the work I have done in connection with a number of films and popular television shows.
17. The motion picture Gun Shy, which was produced by Sandra Büllock and Fortis Films, is a comedy about a legendarily tough undercover DEA agent who loses his composure after getting caught in the cross fire of a large drug deal between the Columbian and Italian drug gangs and very nearly losing his life. As music supervisor, I was responsible for identifying music that would convey to the audience the comedic nature of events that otherwise on film would appear to be desperate and violent acts by the characters. In this way, music provides the lens through which the audience can view the film. The music in Gun Shy also helps establish the growing romantic entanglement between the two lead stars. The music cue sheet, which identifies all of the music played in Gun Shy, is attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 7.
18. Chop Shop's first hit television show was Roswell, a science-fiction television series that aired on the WB (and later UPN) network from 1999 to 2002. The producer of the show, Jason Katims, endeavored to make the music a distinct character in the show, wanting to include from six to eight songs per episode. Roswell is about the lives of four alien-
human hybrids who attend a regular high school in Roswell, New Mexico. The characters spend most of the series trying to hide their alien sides. The creative direction for the show was British and somewhat dark. We used music from Grammy award winning alternative rock bands Coldplay and Radiohead to highlight science fiction elements of the show. The show also used as its opening theme Dido's "Here With Me." To further focus on popular music in the program, Roswell also featured bands performing live on camera, including future Grammy winner Nelly Furtado and the popular indie band Ivy. We found many new indie rock bands whose music was introduced to the public on this show and eventually released a soundtrack album on NETTWERK Records. The program shot a total of 60 episodes and was one of the first to have an online presence devoted exclusively to announcing the music in each episode, a practice that became much more common by 2004 and 2005.
19. Roswell's emphasis on the use of feature music in series television began a trend that has been used across a broad spectrum of programs and continues today. Indeed, many such shows now alert viewers both during and at the completion of the show as to the names of the songs that have been used and many even feature the artist singing the work, for example in a club scene. Examples of such television shows that Chop Shop worked on as music supervisor during the past ten years and that prominently use music include Grey's Anatomy, Boston Public, Tru-Calling, 1-800 Missing, Supernatural, Gossip Girl and Mad Men.
20. One of the first shows to fully integrate music into the fabric of the entire show - and another of Chop Shop's shows - was The O.C., a trend-setting "teen dramedy" show produced and written by Josh Schwartz, a young writer-producer, that ran for 4 seasons on Fox network starting in 2003. The O.C. generated six soundtrack albums that were hugely popular and had an online web site dedicated to the musical selections in each show. The O.C. is about a
group of teens growing up in Newport Beach in Orange County, California, and their wealthy parents. The show's theme song "California" by Phantom Planet memorably sets the tone of the show. The show opens with Ryan, a boy whose father and older brother are in jail and who has had his own problems with truancy from school, and was kicked out of his house by his exasperated and alcoholic mother after an arrest for car theft. Ryan is soon adopted by a wealthy Newport family, whose father was the public defender assigned to his case. Ryan falls for the girl next store, Marissa, who is already involved with another boy in school. Can Ryan and Marissa ever get together, and transcend the class bonds and obstacles that come between them? The music in the show captures the awkwardness of their early attempts at a relationship.
21. In The O.C. (and many current shows like it), music is the backdrop to the characters' daily activities and the focus of their parties and the emotional glue to their relationships. The indie rock music featured throughout The O.C. provides the signature sound of the young generation. It featured a lot of male vocals, college experimental music. Music is the backdrop to the characters' daily activities and the focus of their social life and the emotional glue to their relationships. A club called The Bait Shop where the teens hang out has had a number of live performances by famous recording acts over the seasons, including platinum selling indie rock acts such as Modest Mouse, the Killers and Death Cab for Cutie.
22. Basically what we look for music to do in a television show such as The O.C. is to enhance the emotion of a scene. The perfect song can make such a difference in ensuring that the emotional intent of the writers and the director is experienced by the audience. Is it the joy of birth, the pain of death, the heartbreak of a break-up. For the music supervisor, the key is matching the emotion of the music to the visuals. I may have song in mind for a scene and find that when I play it back in synch with the video, it doesn't work as well as I thought it
would. That is why the process involves constantly testing and retesting the music until the absolute perfect note is hit.
23. The music in The O.C. was successful in conveying the spectrum of emotions in the characters' lives. One particularly memorable live performance in The O.C. was by the Welsh singer-songwriter, Jem, who poignantly performed Paul McCartney's "Maybe I'm Amazed" at the wedding party scene at the end of Season 1. Ryan and Marissa dance and she reveals she loves him. We even obtained special permission from McCartney's publisher to allow the lyrics of the song to be changed from male to female. Similarly, Imogen Heap's song "Hide and Seek" is played in the climactic scene of "Dearly Beloved," the final episode of Season 2, when Marissa shoots and kills Ryan's brother, Trey, while the two brothers are fighting. This closing scene is particularly significant because there is no dialogue spoken, with only the music to convey the tragedy of the event. That scene became so popular that Saturday Night Live later did a parody of it, which of course, repeatedly featured the song. A third famous music scene in The O.C. features the Beastie Boys' "Ch-Check It Out" playing while Ryan, Summer, and Seth walk down the Sunset Strip in Las Vegas after having gambled away their money. That song perfectly captures the voyeuristic feeling of walking down Sunset Strip and went on to be a big hit. And there are others; for example, The Subways had a hit with "Rock and Roll Queen" after it appeared on The O.C. in November 2005. Attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 8 are music cue sheets for these three episodes of The O.C..
24. The music of The O.C. was an essential part of the character development and the production of the show and an integral part of the show's success. Indeed, the music was so integral to that program that websites have been created that are dedicated solely to the
music on the program. Attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 9 are printouts from the website http://www, theoc-music.com/.
25. This trend of incorporating pop music as a feature production element of the program has become a production mainstay. An important source song use in Grey's Anatomy included the song "Chasing Cars" by the band Snow Patrol, which went on to be a big hit on the charts. Grey's Anatomy is a primetime medical drama airing on ABC, about the surgical team at Seattle Grace Hospital. This show is trend-setting in its use of music because of the length of uninterrupted music it uses. Finally, in our latest show airing on the WB network, Gossip Girl, set in New York City, we chose to use a lot of electronic music and music from the new Brooklyn music scene, as well as some classic New York bands like Sonic Youth covering the Ramones, in order to give the show a real New York edge.

## V. CONCLUSION

26. Music is an essential and important part of all television programming. Music is valuable because it intensities the experience for the viewer and may be used to capture the mood of a scene or create a distinct, signature sound for a film or television program. Over the past decade, including in 2004 and 2005, popular songs have been increasingly featured in episodic television and film, and some of the most memorable television moments are those scenes that set to a recognizable song. In determining the award to the Music Claimants in this proceeding, I hope the Judges consider the vital role music plays as an element in all programming.

## DECLARATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: $\quad$ May 29, 2009
South Pasadena, California


## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 24 TAB 7 (MC04-05 EX. 7) <br> (MUSIC CLAIMANTS)
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## The Walt Disney Company
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## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 24 TAB 8 (MC04-05 EX. 8)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)
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PRODUCED BY WARNER BROS. TELEVISION
TELEVISED OVER THE FOX NETWORK

\section*{THE O.C. \\ "THE TIES THAT BIND" (Prod. \#176526)}


Page 1 of 2
\(\mathbb{R E C E I V E D} ;\)

In the Matter of Distribution of the
2004 and 2005 Cable Royalty Funds
JUN 112004
₹ . . 7. .
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
NO & SELECTION \\
1M20 & KEEPING RYAN \\
1M21 & NEW FAMILIES \\
1M22S & \begin{tabular}{l} 
BRIDAL MARCH \\
(CARLIN 118)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

1M23 WEIGHTY CONNECTIONS
im24 MAYBE I'M AMAZED

1M25 SAYING GOODBYE
1 M26 HALLELUJAH

THE O.C. END TITLE

1 M28 AS TIME GOES BY

\section*{THE O.C.}
"THE TIES THAT BIND" (Prod. \#176526)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline COPAPOSER & PUBIISHER & HOW USED & TIME \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :51 \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:01 \\
\hline TRADITIONAL, ARR. BY KORPUS DU PLOOY & CARBERT SPECIAL ACCOUNTS (BMI) & BKG. WST. & :42 \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :25 \\
\hline PAUL McCARTNEY & SONY I ATV TUNES LLC (ASCAP) & FEATURED SONGNOCAL & 3:17 \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(1: 59\) \\
\hline LEONARD COHEN & SONY / ATV SONGS LLC (BMI) & BKG. VOC. & 3:40 \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. \(/\) END TITLE & :30 \\
\hline HERMAN HUPFELD & WARNER BROS., INC. (ASCAP) (WORLD EXCLUDING THE BRTS) CARLIN MUSIC PUBL. CANADA, INC. (SOCAN) OIB/O REDWOOD MUSIC LTD. (PRS) (100\% BRT'S) & LOGO & :03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PRODUCED BY WARNER BROS. TELEVISION TELEVISED OVER THE FOX NETWORK THURSDAY 8:00-9:00PM AIRDATE: MAY 19, 2005

\section*{THE O.C.} "THE DEARLY BELOVED" (Prod. \#2T5124)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
NO & SELECTION & COMPOSER \\
1M01 & RECAP & RICHARD MARVIN \\
1M02 & BUMMED AND & RICHARD MARVIN \\
PT.A & BROCHURE & \\
1M02 & NOT FOR SANOY & RICHARD MARVIN \\
PT.B & & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ALEX GREENWALD \\
1M03
\end{tabular} \\
\hline CALIFORNIA & &
\end{tabular}
PUBLISHER
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLCC (BMI)
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLLC (BMI)

FLYING SAUCER FUEL MUSIC (ASCAP)
ILIKE MUSIC (ASCAP)
SHAGGSTAR PUBLISHING CO. (ASCAP)
BEAUCOUP BUCK. INC. (ASCAP)
JOHNNY REBEL (ASCAP)

RICHARD MARVIN
DAMON ALBARN (BMI) BRIAN BURTON (ASCAP) JAMIE HEWLETT (BMI)

1M06 JIMMY RETURN
1 M07 CROSSES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \mathrm{m08}\) & drug deals and guns & RICHARD MARVIN \\
\hline 1M09 & hide and seek & IMOGEN JENNIFER HEAP \\
\hline 1M10S & YOU'RE NOT THE LAW & Charlie turner Nf BRYAN JOHNSON ATH BEN GORDON PRO MATT MCMANAMONPRS \\
\hline 1M11S & \begin{tabular}{l}
"ADAGIO" SCD 219\#11 \\
(APM)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WOLFGANG AMADEUS MOZART \\
RON RONSTED (GEMA)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{M12}\) & UPSET & RICHARD MARVIN \\
\hline 1M13S & STAR WARS: REVENGE OF THE SITH CUE & JOHN WILLIAMS \\
\hline 1M14S & AN HONEST MISTAKE & SAMUEL BINGHAM ENDICOTT \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC, INC. (BMI) \(95 \%\) UNDERGROUND ANIMALS (ASCAP) 5\%

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
IMPERIAL MUSIC PUBLISHING/MSTY MUSIC (STIM)

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
ALMO MUSIC CORP. O/B/O RONDOR MUSIC LONDON LTD. (ASCAP)

THE DEAD 60 , inc. (ASEAP) dA

SONOTON APM (ASCAP) SONOTON MUSIC LIBRARY (GEMA)

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BM:)
WARNER-TAMERLANE PUBLISHING CORP. O/BIO BANTHA MUSIC (BMI)

SONGS OF UNIVERSAL. INC. (BMI)


HOW USED TIME
BKG. INST. :25
BKG. INST. 1:13

BKG. INST. : 10

BKG. VOCAL/ :30
MAIN TITLE

BKG. INST.

BKG. VOC. :43

BKG. INST. :47
BKG. VOC. 1:36

BKG. INST. :33
BKG. VOC. 1:30

BKG. VOC. :42

BKG. INST. 3:01

BKG. INST.
:58
BKG. INST. :20

BKG. VOC. 2:27
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{THEO.C.} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{"THE DEARLY BELOVED" (Prod. \#2T5124)} \\
\hline NO & SELECTION & COMPOSER & PUBIISHER & HOWUSED & TMME \\
\hline 1M15S & SONATA \#13, K. \#333. 2ND MOVEMENT & MOZART arr. by: RICK MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & EKG. INST. & 1:10 \\
\hline 1M16 & OUT OF CONTROL & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :55 \\
\hline 1M17S & HOT RIDE & LIAM HOWLETT (PRS) JULIETTE LEWIS (BMI) JIMMY WEBB (BMI) & JONATHAN THREE MUSIC CO. (BMI) \(18.5 \%\) EMI SOSAHA MUSIC, INC. (ASCAP)/EMI VIRGIN MUSIC, INC. (ASCAP)/EMI BLACKWOOD MUSIC, INC. (BMI) \(81.5 \%\) & BKG. VOC. & :48 \\
\hline 1M18 & BAD DRUG DEAL & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:11 \\
\hline 1M19S & THIS WOMAN IS DANGEROUS (SCORE CUE) & RAY HEINDORF DAVID BUTTOLPH & WB MUSIC CORP. (ASCAP) & BKG. INST. & :13 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1M20 } \\
& \text { PT. A }
\end{aligned}
\] & NEEDING HELP / LEAVE TOWN & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC. LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:58 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1M20 } \\
& \text { PT. B }
\end{aligned}
\] & NEEDING HELP / LEAVE TOWN & CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC. LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :39 \\
\hline 1M21 & SETH'S REACTION & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:48 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1M22 I \\
1M23
\end{tabular} & THE TRUTH ABOUT MARISSA AND TREY / TALKING IT OUT & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(1: 51\) \\
\hline 1M24S & FRIENDS & RYAN ADAMS & BARLAND MUSIC (BMI) ADMINISTERED BY BUG MUSIC. INC. & BKG. VOC. & :27 \\
\hline 1M25 & THE INTERVENTION & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLCC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:38 \\
\hline 1M27 & GOODBYES & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:40 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1M28/ } \\
& \text { 1M29 }
\end{aligned}
\] & COOPER REUNION / VEGAS? & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:12 \\
\hline 1M30 & SUMMER TELLS SETH & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:06 \\
\hline 1M31 & TWENTY TWO FOURTEEN & JAMES LEO LAVALLE & CALLINBLUE MUSIC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :52 \\
\hline 1M32 & SETH TELLS RYAN & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & 8KG. INST. & 1:05 \\
\hline 1M33 /
1M34 & THE COOPERS \& A CALL /THE DEATH OF TREY & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 3:30 \\
\hline 1M35 & HIDE AND SEEK & IMOGEN JENNIFER HEAP & ALMO MUSIC CORP. O/B/O RONDOR MUSIC LONDON LTD. (ASCAP) & BKG. VOC. & 1:00 \\
\hline 4M36 & THE O.C. END TITLE & RICHARD MARVIN & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & 8KG. INST. 1 END TITLE & :30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

THE O.C.
"THE DEARLY BELOVED" (Prod. \#2T5124)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
NO & SELECTION \\
1M37 & AS TIME GOES BY
\end{tabular}

COMPOSER
HERMAN HUPFELD

PUBLISHER
WARNER BROS., INC. (ASCAP) (WORLD
EXCLUDING THE BRT'S)
CARLIN MUSIC PUBL. CANADA, INC. (SOCAN) O/B/O REDWOOD MUSIC LTD. (PRS) ( \(100 \%\) BRTS )

HOWUSED TIME
LOGO
:03
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { NO } & \text { SELECTION } \\ \text { 4M37 } & \text { AS TIME GOES BY }\end{array}\)

PRODUCED BY WARNER BROS. TELEVISION
PRODUCTION \#176525
TELEVISED OVER THE FOX NETWORK TELECAST \#46 WEDNESDAY 9:00 PM-10:00PM EPISODE \#26 AIRDATE: APRIL 28, 2004

\section*{THE O.C.}
"THE STRIP" (Prod. \#176525)
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\section*{RECEIVED}

JUN 112004

\section*{THE O.C.}
"THE STRIP" (Prod. \#176525)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline NO & SELECTION \\
\hline 1M16 & JACQUELINE \\
\hline S & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
1M17 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
MARISSA LEARNS \\
THERESA IS PREGNANT
\end{tabular} \\
1M18 & HOW HIGH \\
1M19 & BABY TALK \\
1M20 & THE POKER GAME \\
1M21 & THE VANISHING
\end{tabular}
COMPOSER
ALEXANDER PAUL KAPRANOS HUNTLEY
ROBERT HARDY
NICHOLAS MCGARTHY
PAUL THOMPSON

CHRISTOPHER TYNG

\section*{ADRIAN THAWS}

CHRISTOPHER TYNG
CHRISTOPHER TYNG
EVAN CRANLEY
TORQUII CAMPBELL
AMY MILLAN CHRIS SELIGMAN

1M23
1M24
PASSING BY

1M25

1M26
1M27
1M28
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
IM29 & LOUNGING AROUND & CHRISTOPHER TYNG \\
PTA & & \\
1M29 & PUNCHING CALEB OUT & CHRISTOPHER TYNG \\
PTB & & \\
1M30 & THE FINAL POKER PLAY & CHRISTOPHER TYNG
\end{tabular}

RACHEL DALLEY
mia Clarke
VERITY SUSMAN
CMRISTOPHER TYNG
CHRISTOPHER TYNG
RICHIE JAMES
JESSICA REYNOLDS ALEX NOWICKI
PUBLISHER
UNIVERSAL-POLYGRAM INT, PUBL,. INC.
(ASCAP) O/IO UNIVERSAL MUSIC PUBL.
LTD. (ASCAP)

HOW USEC TIME BKG. VOC. 1:22 WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) BKG. INST. :10
URBAN POISON MUSIC (ASCAP) BKG. VOC. 1:22
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) BKG. INST. :41

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) BKG. INST. 1:08
ARTS \& CRAFTS PRODUCTIONS. INC BKG. VOC. :49 (SOCAN)

RIGHTSONG MUSIC, INC. OIB/O RICKS MUSIC, INC. (BMI)

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
UNIVERSAL-MCA MUSIC PUBLISHING, A.D.O. UNIVERSAL STUDIOS O/B/O MCA MUSIC LTD. (ASCAP)

CHRYSALIS MUSIC LTD. (ASCAP)

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
BKG. INST. 1:25

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) BKG. INST . 41

WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI)
BKG. VOC. :55
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(: 24\) \\
\hline WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(: 27\) \\
\hline RICHIE JAMES MUSIC (BMI) & BKG. VOC. & \(: 35\) \\
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(1: 25\) \\
\hline WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & \(: 41\) \\
WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:21
\end{tabular}

\section*{THE O.C.}
"THE STRIP" (Prod. \#176525)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NO & SELECTION & COMPOSER & PUBLSHER & HOW USEE & TIME \\
\hline 1M31 & CH-CHECKIT OUT & ADAM YAUCH MICHAEL DIAMOND ADAM HOROWITZ & UNIVERSAL POLYGRAM INTERNATIONAL PUBLISHING, INC. (ASCAP) & BKG. VOC. & 1:18 \\
\hline 1 M 32 & CALM AFTER THE & CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC. LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & 1:14 \\
\hline 1M33 & WHO'S IT'S DADOY & CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & BKG. INST. & :35 \\
\hline 1M34 & THE O.C. END TITLE & CHRISTOPHER TYNG & WARNER-BARHAM MUSIC, LLC (BMI) & \begin{tabular}{l}
BKG. INST. \(/\) \\
END TITLE
\end{tabular} & :30 \\
\hline 1M35 & AS TIME GOES BY & HEFMMAN HUPFELD & WARNER BROS.. INC. (ASCAP) (WORLD EXCLUDING THE BRT'S) CARLIN MUSIC PUBL. CANADA, INC. (SOCAN) O/B/O REDWOOD MUSIC LTD. (PRS) ( \(100 \%\) BRT'S) & LOGO & :03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SETTLING PARTIES}

\section*{SP EXHIBIT 24 TAB 9 (MC04-05 EX. 9)}
(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)


Thern Brakes－Ether Song－Rasn City



Episode4－The Debut

We Leona Naess－Comarised－Lazy Days

Skessy－Gertin Wise－Play Some D
Whasi Smasing Fumplens－Adore－To Sheila

Episode5 The Outsider


Grand Skeem－Sucka MCs

The Grand Skeem－Eya Eye Oy
Whe Black Eyed Peas－Eleplunk－Lert＇s Get Rearadad
．Grade 8－Grade 8 －Brick by Brick




Dxperamenineques Git thi bitesf episedrs
and SeasociOne．

Daxaleation
Trines
1002


篦算 The Roots－Fhenoiogy－Rolïng with Heat

Episode6－The Girlfriend

Whe Runaways－The Best of the Runaways－Weit ior Me

Guster－The Faders－Disco Church

Palm Streer－Break
：

User－Do You

䙆 Trick－Vulnerable－Hollow
We Jeson Mraz－Wating for My Rocket to Come－You and I Both

\section*{Episode7－The Escape}

稀禾 luke－mue－wouc vay

2 Seath Cab for Curie－The Photo Aloum－A Movie Script Ending

os Cubazrecas－Ritmo De Oro

Los Cubertecas－La Cange Oe Surtiago

Reckers Hizh Fi－Going Ginder

放装 Mazzy Grar－So Ton：ght That 1 Might See－Inta Dust

縬：Samething Coxporate－Norh－Soace
Episode8－The Rescue

Wivat Guxser－Ketp It Together－Kikep it Together

Waid Westerberg－Srereo－Let the Bad Times Roll

Air－Le Femme D＇eigen

Episode9－The Heights

等管：South－Music from The O．C．Mix 1 －Paint the Silence


领幾 The 88 －Music from The O．C．：Bix I－How Goed It Can Be

\section*{Episode10－The Perfect Couple}


Sorig plays ex Ryon wid Marise make out in the pool wond

Stacy Yent－d Fine Ramance



Sons plaziz as Pyan and MurisEa shete a thndat memant in dive peol room．

Episode11－The Homecoming

登 Eanimart－Everyate Down Here－We Drink On the lob

Soreg plays in Stath＇s rocie as he ant kyin took at corsic beoke



Trespassers Wiliam－Different Sters－Different Stars

Sorg plays as Ryan wiets his bratiter in ariser．

The Josh Buzon Trio－Ceraven

5ens plavis se Seth and sumeer kiss


Song piayd wis still and amia kiss

怱
Mellow Man Ate－Veng̣o a Cóorar－Latinos Mundial
 work eavards the itutura．

䌊 Alexi Murdoch－Music frort The O．C．：Mix 1 －Orange Sky
 work towerds the iture：－

\section*{Episode12－The Secret}


 sher and tond．

Kid Jet－Cet Bom－Get What You Need

Sone plave on the cas rado teiort Luke and Ryan Ete twha＇s dat with another sen．
薙 Eelle \＆Sebastian－Dear Catestrowhe WEitress－If She Wants Me

5繁：Jet－Music from The O．C．：Mix I－Move On

whinol．


Episode13－The Best Chrismukkah

The Ventures－Sanre Claus is Coming To Town

Song piayi as Seth Conen latreductes Ryari so the consegr of＇Chylsrackkeh＇．

票变 Kon Sexsmith－The O．C．Mix 3．Have a Ver：Mery Chrismukizh iDigival Versior）ISourdrack from the TV Show－Mavbe This Christmas

Wy The Dandy Warhols－Welcome to the Monkey House－तiou Come lij Eurned


reom as Mxcrista watts for her appecirtererf．

Firstcom－Hannukeh Gights

4．Pegay Lee－Christmes Cocktails－Winter Woriasrlend


Firstcom－Silent Night

Eartha Kirs－Martha Seewart Living Music：Jaz2 for the Holidays－Santa Baby
 jevested as Wender Hioman land Anns walks in．
```

Firytcom - We Wish You A Merny Christmas
Sright Eyes- Elue Christmas

```

```

    sutb.
    ```
Episode14 - The Countdown
Whe Pactern - Real Feelness - Selling Subrmaxine:

3ifich The Vacation - The Vacation - Atake Up Your Mind
    Simg whys at the Cehyn houst warty.
数: Brookvilie - Wonderfully Nething - Walking On Moontight




等等 Zero 7 －Simple Things－Desciny



Soma piays as fyan and serf awn waped in the poblhoose tozathor．

Leptop－Ratso Rizzo
Sone plave as Ryan and 5sh ars trappad in the pashouse together．
5\％jent－Finelly Woken－Just a Riàe
The eong plays ata party in Lusuma genel．

Surg plave at the four Semsons pentause psery．

Feeling of Dread？

Song plays at ith Coben touse pastr．
受 The Fiarning Silebures－Save Rock＇\(n\)＇Reill－Flowers
Eorig Elays at the Focie Enaseny penchoure pasty，


kisses her as ihe clack strikes midrighte

\section*{Episode15－The Third Wheel}

篦然 Sels－Shootenanory－Sturday horning
Song piaye in the baginning of the reane inic in the coblen kiechen an werpene is gettive ready
so lanave

Beulah－The Coast is Never Clear－Populay Machanics for Levers

Waries


Soni pifys on the eadio which premp：s the gena to talk about the upecoming Roaner show．
Roaney－Recmey－Elueside
 thetil conczet．

Leptsp－Want la

Soria pizve es the grotep atrives e：a duth on fet a concert．


50n：pleys at a celceet venee aiter the band hac finished alizir set．

Buva－Shoslà i Know

Solls piays Ex E cencers while the crowd walts for ilhe tand to 3 cos on．
Rooney－Rooney－Im Sliakin＇

Band appeavs on cemetra

Anna \＆Summer Sing－Thunk You for Being A Friené

Emnd apprars on caraza．


Episode16－The Links



```

thetr wakkrad

```

Y靠 Martin Denny－The Exatic Sounds of Martir Denny－Quier 与illage
 intarnups．
 Fom Jones－it＇s Not Unusual



\footnotetext{
Martin Denny－Leve Dance
}





\section*{Episode17－The Rivals}

等等 Morquiras－Mosquizos－Boombox

Sor： playe when Seth encourizers 5xnny．
Whe Clinic－Hatking with Thee－Come Into Our Reom




Whe Thew Amsrerdams－Worse for the Wear－The Spoils or the Spoiled


煎等 Eamien Rice－O－Cannonball

Sang pixye si Surenter and Seth talik in the halhasy sbout Dunty．

密然 The Thrills－So Mucit for the City－Bif Sur
 Ryar puratias hima

Episode18－The Truth

 falls burtherng a furk and when he fighes wath seth．
． 1 Am Kloot－ 1 Am Kloot－ 3 Feet Tell

管签 Azure Rey－Hold On Love－New Resolution


Masterscuyce－Watching The Gime it Joes

 5xth apelngizez for nct kaving Rven＇t back

\section*{Episode19～The Heartbreak}

整空：Super Furry Animals－Phantom Power－Hello Sunshine


Whan Moize 3－Spoon and Rater－Bill Cddity


Wix．The Fire Theit－The Fire Theft－Summertune

2in
ani squirel siut cippers－the nevitable－Anyming but Love ！basement faxi
Kontrol thixx

Sanz plave at the Valuctint＇s Jay Dbuci．

Mastersource－Dreamtime


Sont piays as wikt shows up at jultrs joor om tell her his feelings．

Y筑 Kyan Adams－ 241 －Single－Wonderwall


Episode20－The Telenovela

触 Presten School of Industry－Mousoon－Cuuglt in the Rain
 celationstip．

築 Janses willian Hincle－Frospect Park－Leasing Trains

Tong pleys as Ryan and Marissa bave an awkward conversation about theresa in the sikoad sãeteria．

第多 Mozave 3－Syoon and Ratter－Alaebird of Happincss






箱解．Fatrick Fark－Loneliness Knows My Name－Samething Prety
 tis kove．

\section*{Episode21－The Goodbye Girl}
（4）Death Cab for Cutie－Transatlanticism－A Lack of Color


Nation Nede Sutf－Music from The O．C．：Mix 2 －ti You Leave

goumey－Seperte Ways
 teaves．




Episode22－The L．A．
然 The Vines－Winnina Davs－Ride



Why The Coystal Method－Lenicn of Goom－Sorn Too S！ow

Wex．Secrer Machines－Now Here is Nowhere－The Roard Leads Where it＇s Led

Sang piaye ax Ryar discovers hailer is a atripper at an lay eluk．
W聚 Radio 4－Gothami－Stert a Fire
 akis．


Kiky K


型型：The Plus Ones－It＇s a Celling－Ali the Eoys


Kid Gloves－Espionāge


Soriz piayt over a ceuple sauas as the gang vinds down thelr crazy nighe out it hef
Episode23 • The Nana





Chris inurrey－Hearrache
Soris pinys at a backyare barivectio 2y Ryan and kiazisse calk．

Gane pisevs tn Rymis roon as Ryan and thexisga raturn from Chino．
Episode24－The Proposal
．Wing Richard Hayman And His Orchestra－sn Esenirg in Paris－Autumn Leazes

Wiz．Syntax－Nip／Tuck（with Gabriel \＆Dresden bonus track）－Pride

Some gizys as Mzricse is weste about iuks and juille．


```

Navissia and Ryan say quodbert to icke

```

Henry Mancini－Loose Cabcose

Seliz plays es Lake watches peestiz go In．

熼 Soo Seger \＆The Silver Buller zanć－Night Moves－Night Moves

Highwater Pising－Pillows End Records
Solly plays as Maxizsa sees ter sedecoreast reorn
Episode25－The Shower


4 Camera Obscura－Uncierzchievers Piease Tir Harder－Keep Ir Clezn
Song plaws Sth＇s Exdrocon as Seth and Summix talk zbout mestuing har father．
3荈 Macean Worker－Enter the Maso：－Right Now
The song flays at the Country Clut during dult＇s shoirte．

Soudr－itirie bives
The song playd as kivan and warisxa att segther quizty in the backyerd．
Episode26－The Strip

解晋 Electrelzne－The Fower Our－On Farade



筩 Sexstre Boys－Ch－Check It Oet－Single－Ch－Check It Our

The seng playa as the guys arrive so the Hard Rock Gasino of the strip．Watcoma to the vegar．：





 therm on an axslusive poties ssma．

璘絮 Madonna－Confessions On a Dance Floor（Deluxe Version）－How High
 strip．


conk
 The Ohio Flapers－Fire



籁 Zero 7 - When t: Fulis - Passing Ey

Tre scrig elsya just as Suremer zreives at the Hayd Rock in Yegas :a And seth up in the


 arid thay gry inse a rowfy cetrighe which ends is the swimelng peci.

Episode 27 - The Ties That Bind

 beween tham.




 wedding.


Exginuing of the cazy. Rymu tulls diem diat he has to lave.

OAR 188 -Eridat March

The satry play in the tackyraund at tha weddiliz ceramenv.

APM - Here Comes The Exicie

The eong plays an Julle enters thy cirazal ard welk, down the aryle.

 fryar xhe undursendy whiy bit hes es ge bat that yhe loves hem

興 Jeff Buckley - Grace - Hatielujah


Eextis salls off trito ate unkncwis.
SEASON I ON DVD




MUSIC FROM SEASON 2

\section*{click on to add songs to your lecal itunes sofoware \\ Episode1－The Distance}


Misrisiz and Summa lay by the poal and talk，Marisis arowis her sorrows in an tarly


Dias Melos－Keep it Clfan

yoush all wonders and fetis nestalgic，what iz he doing？

What The Album Leat－In a Safe Flace－Ezstenn Glow

 Kyant，bue says nsiting．

Halloween，Alaska－Helloween，Alaska－all the Arms Arcuna fou

thang out in the pael houst and akli，abosit the suniair．
Episode2－The Way We Were
（2）The Perishers－Music from The O．C．：Mix ？－Trouble Sleaping

having to ge back so schoel ior se many reasons．

Keane－Somerohere Only We Knoss－EP－Vizlnur Free


follows bar．

Wis Elefant－Sunlight Mäkes Me Paranoio－Ester

af the dar．Marissa telld Scimate aboc：fere and \(D\) ：

密统 The New Vear－The End Is Neas－The Ends Nor Near



\(\square\)


Our site features the moss exclusive and complete list of music played on the D．C iv show．Don＇t waste your time searching for the songs．You can now download the music directly from ous Tunes links！We update as soon as a new Episodecomes aut， 50 do come back and check our site frequenty！

64nches
－5asacial

+ Sescai． 3
－
－Mix Tune
－The OC Nam
\(\because\) Shae
－Emrasar lis
－Parner Size：

Adthy Google ：基基

Husic accostratien
Husie Áccessories．
Online Shop Targetcom．
purtoretpor

Ryan shander
Watch the Pro－Tac－Haats
On Demand al FUELTY：


Fan TYEpizodesefor：
Gree
Watch Anytime wic a
Subscription．Full Tv．
Episodes Online for Free．


QC．Colling Cnuat
Conter
Take classes and eam your college digree \(100 \%\)
ont！ne Financial cid！


Folk Rock Ciss
Downdondia
Grig．Brome Daigy May
Gutustman？Rachael：
 Dasis：Steppin in
wiferindily：

Yom and here


Whe Sufian Sievens－Musk from the O．C．Mix 4 －To Ee plone Yith You




 still rejertd．

\section*{Episode3－The New Kids on The Slock}

 zfisy seth reilizes ha is＂addicese to bimastif．＂

気政 The Eeta Eand－Assessment－Single－Assessment（Albuen Version）
 lounge．






 erflues．

Wis The Walkmen－Eomes＋Arrews－Whats in It iar ade
 jobl at the Ezic s！fap，thet hit Lissē̃ her．



Wix．Rachael Yamagara－Happenstance－Worn Me Down
 Firda tlacixsa aleme alece on the pie：

Episode4－The New Era
仼 Comez－Split the Difference－Silence
 and Suth wie calinres is the grocing tefere scical．

空 Pinbeck－Summer in abacdion－Forrress


Whe the Abum Leaf－in a Sase Place－On Your Way




X Ancm Exims

sun inco Mariske ard Sumper．After the zorcurt the soris phaye in the tachgroind ay seth

 the beacli and findy her in the towar whece zhyy klss．

3 Mosquitos－Sunshine Barato－Damesticada


撚 The Killer：－Hor Fuss－Smite like Yoli Mean it


 －31f．Briphtside



The Killers－Everithing Wal 8e Allright
 sther ：\(a\) ：twiy darai


 show and approcches hios．

盆烈 U2－How to Dismantle an Atomic Gomb－Sometimes Yot Cen：siake it On Your Dim


The worp plays ovar a mencmige ac che ond of the episede，ylowiteg tash ar the new cousles at the ens of the night

Episodes The Smo．C．

酸窥 Imogen Heap－Goodnight and Go－Single－Goodnịht and Go＂


 the tfired：when Guth and Alve kifa tgalti．

Fhen Phoenix－\＆iphabetical－Ruan Ren Ron
 askheard drive th the SuD．C．dapar pogethar．

数 The Faint－Wet ficm Bith－Desperate Givys


 or

Wig Eirty Vagas－One－Cluser
 secide me dznet sepecter．

Feist－Let Is Die－Let it Die





Frausdots－Couture，Couture，Couture－Soft Light
 sighs ion thr sirl．

簇 Magnet－Cr Your Side－Lay Lzdy Ley

 selk as Zach artizez．

Episode6－The Chrismukkah That Almost Wasen＇t
 Dogs
 exch petare alvour for upeoming holididy

82 Van Mces－The Heste and the Eest of Van MeCoy－The Huste iOriginal （fix）
 is Jisata＇s ：averifi soty

Sy Gurker－Carol of the Afegws－Single－Carol of the Meows
 Sumarar＇s plice．


Sumner and hatisse ielk ejest whas a stracge year it has been grd seth cancily Chrisurukkah．

CHAP 200 －Silent Nigh

The song eleys in the backgotind es Summer announcer she her a plan io seve Chermukkst
 Version［Soundrack from the TV Show］－Waybe This Christmas

 surprist so celelrate Chrismukkzt．

\section*{Episode7－Family Ties}

Ghypic Hodefuls－Le：＇s Go



领 Modest Mouse－The Moon \＆Antartica－Faper Thin Wath


篤敩：Madest Alouse－Good News for Feople Who Love Bed News－The View



The sorg play in the backarosind at rhe Cothon＇s gaing away percy for limmy．Lindeay and Rysut toll andonerdiv se the party．




 ruzeorelle thate raiakicuship．
 Lige
 End in the mornirg zurives to the Celen＇s with bagels ior ewkrone，

Episodes．The Power of Love

解 Solomon Burke－Don＇t Geve Up On Be－Dorit Give Up On Be



縣 Joy Zipper－American Whip－Eaby You Should Know
 in the sudent leangs．

 escil hisust．

㒄：Man Pond PA－Emblems－New Hamushire




Sendy Cohen－Don＇r Give Up On Mt
 pasty he planstd．

Sandy Cohen－She＇s No Lady，She＇s My Wife

At the Eaia Shop Sardy Cohen sings the sens of Kirsen for thetr zoth anniversary while the wholt gang looky os．

\section*{Episode9－The Ex－Factor}

2发 Aqueduct－I Sold Gala－Herdcore Deys \＆Sotutcore Nights
 sorg plave in the beckground as Ryan gobs to the bait Shop so Enlt：eut the ex aleuation where he meers lody Alev＇s Ex ．
（6）Flutz－Morning Star－Play

```

the Be: shap.

```

The Thills - Let's Bottle Sohemic - Saturday Night

The bared pizys the song on cemera at The Beit shop as the cirls drirk up in the vif lotnot.
(1) Insiusion - Six Fect above Yesterday - Girls Can Be Crued

The sorg plays in the backerotind as the beys heaz to The Bait Shop wheve feth is cetermined :o check out sod \%
fazzelicious - Ibazalcious Fresents - Caliania

The sorg plays in wa backeround as Kristen \& Calate talk about suppessing their spowst
decislor.s.

管 The Thitls - Let's Botte Bohema - Not for All the Lave In the Warid

The sonc playt in the baikground of a swesurans as Saridy. Calet, Kxisten, a fulie dibase ilio new ijeas ion the magninat

倠 Tom Quick - The Best of Sublime Music, Vol. 2 - Heney Deir
 arget, \& RYED findz Lindzay drenk with Marissa.



 so sirengely to him :erethit hate well.

\section*{Episode10 - The Accomplice}

Whatking Concest - Kun to Be Born - Hands Up:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The song fleys in the backgratind as Sath, Zzch \& Rysn walk along she Fier talking àbous } \\
& \text { Yeth's situaticn with Alex. }
\end{aligned}
\]

 so she circ heng out vith Aler.

When Poster Children - No. More Sones About Sleep and Fire - Weatern Springs
 swim cons:

Way Incerpol - Evil - Singie - Evil
 Later Marisza challerizes Gatab oft his pararting skills. Fha songs fiay later as msrisse alex show of at jocy thouse to gee her thicgr.

 fellutg that the is ifree of teet posems.


Alex sha wants her ic stay bu: Atariase gers :heri cut of it.

2䭛 Kingside－Pingside－Strangerman



Wish Ellion Snirh－Pretry ：Ualy Reforej－Single－Fretry（Uglv Before）

 het isses．

\section*{Eptsode11－The Second Chance}

簝 The Go Find－Miami－Summer Quest

The song flyys in the tackground as Marizsa and Alex harg sit in the moyning and Marissa secides to skipy xeloel and surf witi alex．

Whe The Delgados－Universal Aubio－Everybociy Come Down

The sorg pleys as sumner ent seth telk stele his diavinity for dit comic，and whetete or for thyy can wowk topather vithour wizedness．

M．Grait－Silver and Eire－Sweers
 they ert deing．

Whe Trent Dabbs－Quite often－The Love Goes
舞．Reinc̀eer Seccion－Son of Eail Reindeer－Cärwheels
 tegathor．an＂almose kiss．＂

等管：Sia－Colour the Small One－The Butly



 Lster Undsav Wrakay Ryan up st tha trospital and diver fim hame－

Episode12－The Lonely Hearts Club
Meng Psepp－Tiger，My Friend－Rear Moch




．Achlete－Taurisc－Tourist

5．Belle－No ldat

Fi．．Trent Dabbs－Quite Diten－The Love Goes

Sms

4\％The album Leai－in a Safe Place－The Outer Banke


篗筑．Pinback－Summer in Abadiden－Nen Photo－Blue
 socether．

The Album Leaf－In a Safe Place－Another Day

Tha sorg flays as Seth calks zo Sumagr and zach beiact leaving Sin Dlego．
6．Jazzelicious－Erzzil Remixed－Sambossz

Fha sung plays as Marissz ef julit Jiscuss Cslet cont dinner．

Beng Cang－Follovía
 Their folatonshis in dis hecel reore．

W．．．ell XI－Piusic In Houth－Eve，the Apple of Hy Eye


soliples．

Episode13 ．The Test

Yew Sam Roberts－We Wiere Sorn in a Flame－No Steep
 Itusturs laurge．

䌡 Viva Voce－Heai Can Mela Your Brain－Lesson No．？




等裂 Lall Puna－Faking the Rooks－Faking the Books



 Sandy，Sech，\＆Ryyn bif Seprespec wathing a masiz taguthac．


The Eorg plays ar Calet＇s gatienters in kyupers．

登然 air－Talkie Yalkie－Universe！Traveler
 survethilay．

Episode14－The Rainy Day Women


菿 Sell XI - Music In Mouth - In Every Sunfawer




Louis XIV - Faking The Eooks (Acourtic)



 and thatses.

Bayhawk- Save It For A Rainy Day



 Read







Himis


Episode15 - The Mallpisode

 chear evarybsoiy up.


A: Aler:s agatement. Rarissa adiusts to life tiving with Alex. in her apyereme.



Pansy Division - Ac The Mall
 ciotheg drivi.
:
Whan Frekop - Who's Your New Professor - C - F



Weck - Gtero - E-Pro
 discover the cosmetics countes.

Fis Seck - Euera - The' Onces Guero

2. 3eck - Guero - 5earceroiv

Wenk - Guero - Mirsing

 Find You in the End




\section*{Episode16- The Blaze of Glory}


 staciusa strugqles to build the tonifire horre end fyon shours up to heqp.

领: Grendan Sensan - The Ahernative to tove - Whar Im Looking For
 lier with :he bemble.

 keeplng tintir mextitg.
```

Fe\#çar - Freçuency

```


```

    Ercjor horse bowflre and ewerychit fooks on.
    Mm Scorpions - 20n Century Masters - The Milennium Collecrion. The Eest of
Scorpions - Rock You Like a Hurrisane

```

```

\翏 Pinback - Summer In Lbaddon - AFK

```

```

playe as Rymin Incky for Marisea and slex ehryatens himm so stay amay.
Smaymy Navy - army Navy - EP - Snakes of Hawaii

```


\section*{mailkox}

弱 Jaseoh Arther－Oux Shadows Will Remain－A Smile That Expledes



Episode17－The Brothers Grim




the alrpert


 ssezz．

Kation Kasabian－Kascbian－Reasoln is Treason


day：

Kaiser Chiefs－Employment－Saturday Night




管 LCD Soundsygtem－LCD Soundsystem－Too Mush Lowe





36 Patis Texes－Like You Like An Arsonist－Like You Like An Arsonist
 fryan beed to freatent in tils chire dazs．

4ys Justin Catalino－Welcome to Vacationland－Beat Up bive
 sut why Suenoty ceuld pessith，te anefr widh hiso

W䜤 Eag̣les Or Death Metal－Peäce Love Death Metal－ 1 Onty Want You







Episode18－The Risky Business

5hank－Morning Ster－Flay
！．．



签 Spcon - Gimme Fiction - Sister fack




Tangerine Drean - Love On A Real Trän






Wing The Chemical Beothers - Plisk the Buanon - Marvo Ging


 seuse just in tent.

Spoen - Banquet

 shas in:s Zath e they talk about donstiag thaiy cemits te the auceler





\section*{Episode19 - The Rager}

The Obscuritis: - Stop Dragging ite Down
 sugestad theavirg a biathday paxty for Trey.

Whe Chusch - Sterfish - Under the Milky Way
 faid bior, a visit.

Whe The Futureheads - The Futureheads - Decenc Days and Nights

 2938

3 Waft Punk - Technologic - Single - Technologic (Easement jexx Kontrol ASiscx)



Whe Whatesnake - Whitesnake - Here I Go Agzin







筑 Foison－Foison＇s Greatest Hits 1986－1906－Every Rose Has is Thern

 Galeb＊if che wares tide se．

Eeat Phreaks－mi Casá
 ingrecer a threesame patiols buty in hay bed at che pater

 screats

\section*{Episode20－The O．C．Confidental}

Audible－5ky Signal－Sound Makes a Círcle

Sumarer consplates co Zace atoue soth fust as he nerivas sa npelegize

Arkarne－House On Fize




\section*{M縩：Dead Cab for Cutie－Transatianticism－Title and Registration}

窓密．Bexth Cab for Cuxie－The Photo Abbum－A Novie Scrign Ending


澄 Death Cab for Cutie－Trensetlanticism－The Suane of Seating


The Koreuns－fiow Does it Fee


婹 Juanz Molina－Tres Cosas－Tres Cosas



酸 Sollwax－An；Minute Now－E Talking

Marissa minaks Ryan into tha water fols party and sha bakls ole jess．
（3）Fome Viceo－No Certain Night or Morning－Superluminal

Sendy \＆Trev alc ecosside the pariy in the car waitieg jor Ryar so call

！

On the tetch．Ryan coniteres Krle as the deal gets dovir．
Episode21－The Return of The Nana
Suviecr－Sutvivor：Greatast Hits－Eye of the Tiget
```

Summer werkx cut her aggression on a purching tag while Seth :les to zoclogiza about the

```



资 Youth Grous－Skeleton Jar－Shzdowland

Mizfissa \＆kyar make out in her soge 6 Pivan uells hat the has to ge to Miatri for spring grexk．


The toys arive te the fountaintiee hocei in triami．
Spoan－Eimme Fiction－ 1 Turn My Camera On

The bows waik the selp \(C\) theck out the Mizmi righe life．




 sff wich a suck a funs awev in sereer．

摖等 Alen Feal－Ancther Place and Tinie－Adios
 uselsomet tverycht to kfiami．


 tack oje of the whipped cresm consest bet bian ance will nos les it happen．

 their way theough the cromd before the sonits！．

Chem Päin－Get Down


Whe Chorus ar the Rosel Opers House，Covent Garden，Luciana Pavakoti \＆Sir Edweré Downes－Lucizno Pavarowi－The Best－Rigoletto：Questz 0 Quella＊＊（Ballara）



Mare Durse Trio－Night Groove


 Film）－Love Underground
as：the bar．
W筑 Kaiser Chieis－Emplofment－Ne Ne Na Na Nae


Episode22－The Showdown

Shour Our Louds－Vecy Loud Gonus Track－Single－Bat Then Again Ne
 that it shouldret have ：o te so hezi．

等数 The Crios－The New Felias－Hey Scenesturs：
 sianed a contrace \＆therill suz hum it he stits to ball．

紱 Of Montreal－The Sunlandic Twins－The Pary＇s Crashing Us
 at：expts a prove so lite

\section*{䈍学：Soleded Erothers－Voise of Treason－Cage That Tiper}
 Whip．whe grentiply suale all A：smic Countiy atchaten．

Whe：Stars－Set Youkself On Fire－The Bin Fight
 blindsidza vith diverct nayurs．

絃 The Rogers Fisters－Thee Fingers－Freight Elevaror

 makt thete nrop．
＜．．．The Aloum Leaif－In a Sate Place－Twenty Two Fourteen
萢：
 esily life \＆epolizizes while shy is drising heme drenk．

Episodez3－The O Sea
Love As Laughrer－Laughrer＇s Fifth－Dirsy Lives


 cois．

Kh whice－A Ghost Is Sorn－Tour EP－Panthers
 Trey whifle he wes awrey．

Hy Pat Genius－Ras



\footnotetext{
gratsounc－One Day
}





 csilad à said he was sick - tur furmet dossint buy it.

䙮 Senze - Cabane Reach Glub, Vol. 1-Cava del Rio
 frephic foed. Retd innke tissister.

When Pheenix - Alphaberical - Love Eor Granted


V2. The Perisliers - Lee There Be Morning - Norhing Like You and I
 Quete - wiok ne Kifg in sighe
W. Coldplay - \(X \& Y\) - Fix Yeu




\section*{Episode24 - The Dearly Beloved}

Wh Goriliax - Demon Days - Ei Manama
 rifers

Wence Gonzadez - Veneer - Ctosses
 that hat is aver merf woretikd about his morn.

Whatid Imogen Heap - Speak for Yourselit - Hode and Seak


"等 The Deac 60s - Ihe Dead 60s - Yot're Not the Law
 latar that rizate

Wix The Bravery - The Eravery - An Honest Aistake






 Kirseer is.
38. The Album Leat - in a Safe Place - Twrenty Two Fcurteen

SEASON 2 ON DVD


Thenc-Rusiccom 2006


\section*{MUSIC FROM SEASON 3}

Click on the add songe to your local itures seftecare．
Episode1－The Aftermath
筑烈 Manishevitz－City Life－Eerema
 Ind irreyt weliayt．

 Heatista far ar haz．

Ian Broucie－Senz For No One


Teen Wolf－Wey To Go
 arren．

Wisin Bloc Party－Silent Alarm－Elue Light
 sacetrya ：o Trey as the tus pully sway．

Episode2－The Shape of Things To Come
（筧：Death Cab for Curie－Plans－Soll Meets Body
 best tiver．

4．Cobra Verde－Copyeat Killers－Ges the Party Started

Sumarn＇s ideas tuy tha＂kick－sff Carnival＂are a succress tur bs slit ard suth waik arcurd she misser Codp．

 xthact tarnival．

筑等 Phantom Plane：－The O．C．Aix 5 －California 2005


V8yk

Our site reatures the most exclusive and complete fest of music played on the O．C tw show．Don＇t waste your tinee searching for the songs．You can now download the music directly from our Tunes linksi We update as soon as a new Episodecomes our，so do come back and check our site frequenty：

－Evatar

－Esescon 3
－Fitsen 4
－Ax．Taps
－The Doctum
－Shop
\(\therefore\) Satycr dic
－Parmer Site：


Your ala hare
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(x\) manion Expers


\section*{Episode3－The End of Innocence}

W響 Shout Cut Louds－Wishl ；Was Bcad Pt． 2 －Single－Wísh I Was Dead Pl2
 schacl yazr．

解 Trensplants－Gangsters znt Thugs－Single－Gangsters and Thugs Explicit Fibum Version）

While working on the sefog！thezere profise：，stih ard sumrater pian a romantic interiude for Kran ans keriesa．

罍 Slecix Rebel Motorcycle Cluj－B．R．M．C．－Salvarion


All Sad Giris Mre Beautiful－Baby Blue



hemp saguther agting.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Ryan Sheckier & Full TV Eplsades for Free \\
\hline Wratch the Fro－Tec Heats On Demsni wt & Utish Anstime w＇o a Subscripition．Full \\
\hline fuents & TV Episodea Crline ior Free． \\
\hline  & Ada by Google \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Episode4－The Last Waltz}

S筑然 Sob Mould－Sody of Song－Circles





Gasko－Ain＇t Ns Game
 sut．

Six She Wants Revenge－She Wants Revenge－ 1 Don＇t Went to Fall In Love


3突：Intission－Six Feer Above Yesterday－Netural


欵䓡 Royksepp－The Understanding－Triumphant


Young

Episode5－The Perfect 5torm

釀 Orenča Fink－Imisible Ones－Blind Asylum
 racci．

Whe Slack Rebel kitercycle Club－Howl－Weight of the Worid


默既 Cizizens Hiere and Abroas－Ghosts of Tables and Chairs－Appearances
 yeb or；a fistinify boat

県縈 The Dandy Warhals－Odditorium or Warlords of mars－Holeing Me Up

The gaciz wilke en that beath nutd talk abour Ryants future．
Episode6．The Swells

Wh Rogue Wave－Descended Like Vutures－Publish My Love
 but gat insercapted by Eayler．

W等箓 Fennwise－The fuse－Knocked Down

```

:I senis Ryet te clail's mouse but Tayior autlaws cetls fhents as the lock-m.

```

Whe Ladytron－Yitching Hour－Sugar
 johing abor：jets．

管管 Calla－Collisions－Swagrer


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline BurThe \(\mathrm{Of}_{5} \mathrm{Somgan} 3\) & Rapu．Shactiler \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
302t \\

\end{tabular} &  \％ \\
\hline 唯施 & Ada by Coogle \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Episode7．The Anger Management}



 the turd play the song．

啕 Carmen Rize－The Loss Art of the lde Mament－Travel in Time treaturing Kate Hamevik）


4）

W90 The Sumzus－ 1 Want to Hear that You Have Cor to Say－Single－ 1 Want to Hear Whar You Have Got to Says

\section*{Episode8－The Game Plan}

Sive Nine Black Lips－Everothina ls－Unsatisfied


姩 Dios－Los Arbcles－EP－Everidzy
 exivitice marisia．

 matronts of htr pas！．

Whe The The Sinners of Daughters－N．Y．LA






\section*{Episode9．The Disconnect}

Whe The 88 －Kind of bight－Hierd to \(\mathrm{B}_{6}\) You

新：Fine Chine－The Jaws or Life－My Worst Nighumare
Tha song plays in the suderet lcunge es jummer eovesid to Sath that she fexliv wates to eo to Brawn．

Whatis Client－Ciry－Come On

觻：Billy Squier－Don＇c Say No－The Stroke
 plact．

The Invisiole Men－Make it Bounce

15．Nikk Costa－Cantineverdidnothin－Gn and Ont

prewernacian．
裂 Shour Out Louds－Howi how Gaff Gaff（Domestic Only）－Go Saciness
bas mach aythe．

Episode10．The Chrismukkah Bar Mitz－vahkkah
＇S筑 Tom Petry \＆The Heartbreakers－A Vers Special Christmas，Vol． 2 － Chisturas all Over Again
 Mitavath fae Ryap．

W\％Hor Hor Heat－Christmas Dar In the Sun－Single－Christmas Day In the Sun （Non－Album Track）
 xacees：

Wh Dienne Warxick with Eton John，Glädys Knight aned Stevie Wonder）－Platinum \＆Cold Collecien：Dionne Werwick－Thar＇s What Friends Are For





AFA－Silent Night

rrunezetiorf．

What The Raveonettes－The Christras Song－Single－The Christmas Song
Ryar laoks for bititssa ay the diger but deesrit see har．
A욱－God Rest Ye tierry Gentlemen


First Com－Filent Night On The Preirie

Julle broorates har crailer and Mristea persuades her to talk with marissa．
䀇然：Devid Poe－Love Is Red－Doxolayy
 suet a driak．

APM－Dreide！Dreicel Dre：del

Silent Night

Ryar stop：dehnis from puting a pari of bat converlence fore dark．

\section*{Episodell－The Safe Harbor}

筑解：Phantam Planet－Jur House－Single－Our House

Fhis song flays at the Eait step wixy ene＂Feen Mathsa＂plan is bern．

 Bor hatp．

篗等 Francine－ 28 Flastic Plue Versions of Endirgs Wichout You．．．－Albany Brawnous


\section*{Magnet－Dancing in The Moonight}


> stay in Fublic Scioci.


baing taker．wish shzissa．

\section*{Episode12－The Sister Act}

\section*{NA．}

Episode13－The Pot Stirrer
472 Elecric President－Electric President－Insomnia


 Still lights Lif．

Martine Topley gird－I Only Have Eyes for You

Yau hear dis seng at jufic cooper and Dx．Robers seretly metet at bar．
W登 Diefenbach－5et \＆Drit－Favausite Friend


貇變 José González－Veneer－Stay in the Shase

zwiks．and fites with jectimery

Howhing Belis－tow Happening
 Narysia stout Keitho

36，The Tas of Grmove－Fresh Goods－Erand New Dethi
 Easpital．

\section*{Episode 14 －The Cliffhanger}

选．M．Craft－Silver and fire－Love Knows How to Fight
 end leads inco Kaitlin＇s terel lesson witl：Eehnery．
（Wive Rock Kils Kid－Rock Kills Kid－Ef－Hide Away

：Wix janies Blunt－Back to Bedlam－Fall it Your Feer（Acoustic）



Sohnery fells．


A：Alformo＇s，you hexr thes song as julie sples en Or．Roberes and Lature Cress

W烈 Black Flag－Everyching Want Black－Wasted

Episode15－The Heavy Lifting
Whe Suţan Stevelis－Greatings frorn Michigan－The Creas Lizke State－For the Widows lit Peradise，for the Fatherless In Ypsilanti



领要 Electric President－Electric President－Granci Machine No． 12
 are preparing for valentras Day．

 Etell gives Sumetre hia note of centeriterr．



\section*{Episode16．The Road Warrior}

等 Living Thing－Som 8am Bom－Single－Eom Bom Bom
This sonif first play：during the recap of the prtwious egisode it pluys egain wowards the


然，Digby Jonas－Pina Colada（And Other Early Funes）－Under the Sea



築 La Rocez－That Truth－Hou Need the Morning



What Soom Eip \＆Nina Nestariz－Elue Eyed in the Red Noom－The Matter iof Our Discussion）Fearuring Kina Nustasin

Yeu heat thas scrig when Ryan and ssdie are at in run down motel pleying cardi．

You hear this serg witil Rysn and Sadiz art ac 5 cur down motel piavitio cards．
When Tow－We Have Spund－I Aints Saying lity Goodoce＇s

 Youmg
 and talks to Ry5n of har whene．

\section*{Episodet7－The Joumey}

5outh－Music from The O．C．：Mix ；－Pxinr the Filence

Crash Avo Mciè Car－In Drearns

Episode18－The Undertow
as nuthe－abisuts + Letg－ndyye jutks
 hangiry sul at The cobents house playing vidoce qames．

3ig：Betrie Serieert－Attairl－Lover I Dan＇r Have to Love






Wis Are Scientists－With Lore and Scuzlor－Inacion
 Tres，

等等．The Prestix－Girl and the Bea－EF－Cid and the Sea
 seat：or lisk charmot．

Spidetiony－Don＇c Pisy Me
 help．intererueting fis date with sedic．

Thes The Netional Trust－Kings \＆Gueens－li＇s Just Crue
 Red war：spazk se aryene excapt kyen．

Groomheads iacker－What If＇s And Maybers


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Tu Show Cant & O．C．Sensons 1－4 \\
\hline Fave on Eooks，Marsis S Video Compsra & Ersmis tew ；Fartary yeried． 33 DVDs． \\
\hline Driteat Sove & Exl？STS．c9 free thipping． \\
\hline 新窖 & Ade by Coogile \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Episode19－The Secrets and Lies

What Oakentad iecturing Eritany Murphy－Faster Kill Pussycat－Faster Kil Pussycet

 sse Doldick and Marisza makiry cat．

The Surge－Spin me Arcund



㗶 Yictor Malloy－Licrs and Tigers and Bears－A Night In Veģas

This song plays ar astit ard Ryan ste nekisy out in the pocihouxe，and get interrupter by

 1egs．
＜Lither Rassell－When You＇re Gone


 rext day．

變 Casiotone for the Paintilly alone－Eticuete－Young Shield：



This sead play

\section*{Episode20－The Day After Tomorrow}


 hgar is ajain an fyan tect sum in the Cohth Kitchen，and they

 lester si dental wis empank．

The Seotand Yarce Gospel－That＇s All I Need
 is at schoct．

解解 Chris Holmes－Ger Yer Yum－Yum＇s Out－Chinz


 is to thetic sacti－

Dopy Yume－The Secrer Show

 50 xelligy．


 fostiviter．

Redishead－Fos（Again）Live Version



Episode21－The Dawn Patrol
48：George Jones－ 16 Bigest Hits：George Jones－A Picture gri Me Without Yoik
 asd than aty slow dance

ES Sun Kil Moor－Tiny Gities－Tin，Ciries Made af Ashes



Whe Yines－Vision Valley－Aurspund
 Foictiok＇：pad．
：

 subleafice in of leer he then givas to hestar．

We．Wolimether－Wolfmother－Woman
 the voil

 and Taydow tries to chter tep Silamar．

Episode22－The College Try
解等 mbs．John Soda－Notes end the Like－Hands

 arcliteturt builining．

Two Hours Traffic－Leave Ple Atone
 plays in the background at the liauza perty fran calle facat and san onty be hearid wher Ryã
 Theresa．

Whitey－Virap it Up

 whar sedt has we say foc thenelf．

等等：Ryan Ačams－ 29 －Night Bicals






等筑 fonathen Boyle－The Besr of Sublime Rusic，Yol． 2 －Samina Sandwich

This xerig flaye during a dinter tvent at the Newpors Eny Yoch：club that Sandy and Kirzeen


\section*{Episode23－The Party Favor}



 lant kiatsse．

W，Sranctson－Helle，Coorrel－Earthaquakes and Sheriks

 swis＂No＂．

S築等 Somene Stal Loves You：Boris Yeltsin－Broom－Orejen Girl


brarsounc：－Bne Day


 stay widl Ryze．and kivan sinds voichsk making out with Enother gixi．




 klss

2．Lady Sovereign－Vertically Chailenges－Rendem




Embrace－ 1 Cãn＇r Come Down



Herd－fI－Stars of CCTV－Gotta Reason



\section*{Episode24－The Man of The Year}

算然 Sloc Party－Sitent Alarm－The Pioneers


 cif in the stelen zar，and Ryan runs syay from the zexnes．

Stisity Tung－The Pioneers－E？－The Finneers





Liv Rocea－The Truth－Seme You Give Axay



W酸 The Vines－Visien Valley－Spaceship


 the Year ceraceong：



施 Eagles Or Death Metai - Peace Love Death Metal - Fames Go Higher

 close: and lacks the doce or her way aut

\section*{Episode25 - The Graduates}

W翌 Chris Holmes - Ges Yer Yurn-Yum's Out - 1 Don't Care what wis Friends Say






She fanogen Heap - Gosonight and Go - Single - Speeding Cars
 fantor glixaz liey tis speech

```

This tong frrst pleys as Katlin is decorazing iter new woom, Juliz comas in and sells het :o warn

```

```

will stult Earbse".

```

Wive Commuter - Erizhty 5hining, Dimak Lit - Chasters
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { biays. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Imogen Heap - Hallelujah

Thit song platis whiliz Ryan and maxissa are on the cead, saying gecjbye.
SEASON 3 ON DVD
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THE O．C．MUSIC


MUSIC FROM SEASON 4
Cick on \({ }^{2}\) to add songs to your local ithes sorware．
Episode1－The Avengers
－Plecejo－Running Uo That Hill－Singite－Runnung Uo That Hill


 information and ha refistas is．

㻭 Juce－Seva Me－Single－Save Me

``` trervose is up to back home．
```


 chichers．

等．Catherine Feeny－Hurricene Gless－Mr Blue（New Version）
 RYan．

Wand Roberts－Chemical City－The Boatleg Seint
 sorvinge him so go set the Coheris．

等 Keane－Under the tron See－A Ead Dream
 süfly bicause alia forgot zbour an importent proses：．

## Episode2－The Gringos

，The Long Winters－Puting the Days to fed－Fire island，A．K．


等 Sanda jerez－Corazon Renchero－La Chirsicna

Our stite features the most exclusive and complete liss of music playece on the O．C Whow．Don＇t waste your time searching for the songs．You can now download the musk directly from our mTunes lioks！we update as soon as a new Episode comes out，so do come back and check our ste frequenty

This slte is powered bre

－Examal
－Teatonz
－Syson
－「
－EXXTEX

－Shov
－Eamast Us
－Parner Site：
Lagure Batin husic ©ube

SHALL LST SIGN UP WWhifend you＂a notification wherever the music， Trmathe latest Episodeget updated： Emaill

Your Ad Here


PLAYBOY Y sfore


Mank Chao－Lagrimas de Oro

Band of Horses－The End＇s Nat Near


Episode3－The Cold Turkey
Ger Cape．Wear Cape．Fiy－One More With Feeling



14 Thom Yorke－The Eraser－Black Swan

 is slostire．

紋．Alexi Murdoch－Time Wriouc Censequence－All of My Days
 55bu：Marissa


紋築 Super Furry Animals－Fhentem Power－Hello Sunshine

This song playe as soth proks tip sumert at the nifport．

Editors－Crange Crush


Kanchera All Sters－Renchere Ail Sters－De un Munido Raro
 and to ask iot a faver．

5月复：Zero 7 －The Garcien－The Fageant of the Bizarre
 Seng is haxd again whan Rean firds taylor at that Vacht Club sone tima later．

W算 Ranchera All Sters－Ranchera All Stzrs－Ella

This song playe ti the resteurzan vither Ryen works．Teylar gtops bi agein to diszure some things．




XI Ameticon Exanisy


齐 entany

| Underarestuate internchip |
| :---: |
| Eroaram |
| Washinglon，DC－Centrol |
| Eants Childinood tulasis |
| Tearher |
| Gaithersbug．hD－thusir sobs US4 |
| Intemship－toplication |
| Silvar Spring，HiC－Terpsim |
| Undercraduate intemshir |
| Prabram |
| Washington．DC－－Cla |
| Part Time internshin frearam |
| Washington，DC．Clk |
| Underaratastal liternshin |
| Prosizm Washington，DC－CIS |
|  |  |

$\frac{\text { It } T \text {－Iniemshin }}{\text { artington，} 8 / \mathrm{Sa}-\mathrm{sic}}$
Hedia Reserrch Internship－
셔ㄴㅔㅔ
Fiasnirgion，DC－iflatite
Heda Compans
Internship，Research \＆Grants A Jexanoria，Y Diabetes Assccistan

Internsnio Abroad Comminater Fastington，DC－Geotizeloin
Unipursit？
 inuruindeadecm
;
Whe Chingy fearuring Jerrraine Dupit - Hoodstar - Dem Jeans
This bors plays at the elut while fellia daricas.


Wing Ranchere all 5 tars - Ranchera All Stars - Siernipre Hace Frio
 Rran tulk.
(120 Chelo-360 - Voodoo
This somp plays as a nighe dut as kaldin enters.


This song plays at the restaurafe where sardy and Syitzy ary drinking beters and watchilly
spers. Kissetn and sendy talk as the pliane.
We. Senzā-Cäbaná Beach Club; Val. 1-Cava del Ria
roc hear tills song as Ryan eneers tha rache club so fitul tayler.
烈 Pogues - Pogue Mahone - Love Yeu Till the End
 a garge and fultr and Kaitlin are eaing ict cram.


Episode5 - The Sleeping Beauty
Chad VenGazen - Skeliconneaion - Cubbbish

 calls hine al: suse she cen batp riim with his sitaping ditcedar.

Goldspoct - Floan On

票 Zero 7 - The Gzrȯen - Weiting to Die

As the bentit party. Seth and Kirsear waich Bulliz and suliz Tayker arches at the paxcy and :eiks so Ryar. Kaillin aterives vith 5 foricer.

Elais Perkins - While You Were Slepping


 selking ebous her.
!
Ben Gidsoy - Need Masic

Seill at the perty. Kzitifn convinces aullit oo invest and kirosin breaks the geod news to jultis


phone. Sute chacks or pyani ard fitds tim steepinit.
Episode6 - The Summer Bummer
Wien Eutch Watker - The Rise and Fall ai Butch Waiker and the Ler's-Go-Out-
Tonizes! - Hoi Cills In Good Moods

You hear this zerg four timas in a seyles of fancaifer Rian hes.
拨: Youth Group - Daisychzins - Zingle - Daisychains

You hatar shis seng as surtriser is in he: Ectoc yome.
 (Nobocy's Remix)
Thir seng playk as Kaillin's party is in full swint


Tris sone plays 25 Kaicliefs party ia in iull wing.


talking aseut bar.
 Your Mauth Is
 ince Regur and they telik alcur Faylor:

The Slood Arm - Stay Fut





Paucakes.

## Episode7 - The Chrismukk-huh?

What Mates of Stare - The O.C. wix 6 - Covering Our Tracks - Caliornia

Fitil sarg plays owar ate main tikls sequence.



Wh Ashtar Command - The O.C. Aix 6 - Covering Out Tracks - Into Dust

1: continuiss to play as he wikes up.

## Episode8 - The Earth Girls Are Easy





:tme as a warehouse where there appears to be an alian rave fariy going on.

 sve.
(3) Si Zentner - Ultre-Lounge Volume fourteen: Eossa Novaille - Desafinzdo
 Frark.

W裂 Dogzilla - Dagzilla - Single - Dogzilt
Fize iirst tirat you hear chis song is at the alien wartheuse tave. Elumeer and Etih are

 eplsode deplag a Erivert convarsacton beswan Frank and jelle.

Wh. Heray Mancini - Flatinum \& Gold Collection: Heny Pamaini - Something ior Ca:
 Feincts, Kirsten confldis in Sandy, and hathinks laz =acognize* Frank.
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COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
Distribution of the 2004 and 2005
Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005
Cable Royalty Funds

WRITTEN TESTIMONY
OF
SETH SALTZMAN

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Seth Saltzman. I am Senior Vice President of Member Management in the Performing Rights Group of the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers ("ASCAP"), a performing rights organization ("PRO"). A PRO is an organization that licenses the non-dramatic public performances of musical works on behalf of its songwriter and composer (collectively "writer") and music publisher members and affiliates (writer and music publisher members and affiliates, collectively "members"). ASCAP and the other two PROs in the United States, Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMI") and SESAC, Inc. ("SESAC"), are collectively the Music Claimants in this proceeding.
2. I submit this statement on behalf of the Music Claimants in order: (1) to provide the Copyright Royalty Judges (the "CRJs") with background regarding the Music Claimants - who we are, what we do, how we serve the Music Claimants' members, and how we license users of our music, including the local broadcast television stations ("Local Stations") that are retransmitted as distant signals by cable systems; and (2) to illustrate the range of ways in which the Local Stations publicly perform the musical works in the repertories of the Music Claimants across all genres and styles of music - such as blues, classical, country, jazz, pop, rap, reggae, rock-and-roll, and show tunes - and the significance of such music to Local Station programming during the time period at issue in this proceeding, 2004 and 2005.

## II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I have worked at ASCAP since 1984 and have held my current position in the Performing Rights Group since 2004. Generally, the Performing Rights Group focuses on ASCAP's core businesses of licensing and tracking the performances of our members'
works in all media (including Local Stations), royalty distributions and finance, and the systems that support these activities. In addition, the Performing Rights Group handles International Services. My responsibilities include overseeing and managing all aspects of business affairs, estates and claims, and member services. Part of my responsibility for member services includes issues relating to royalty distributions and ASCAP's systems for royalty distributions.
4. Before my current position, I was Vice President of the Member Management Group within the Performing Rights Group. In that role, I oversaw and managed all aspects of ASCAP member services, including supervising member issues relating to royalty distributions.
5. During my early tenure at ASCAP, I held various positions in ASCAP's Performance Department (now a department within ASCAP's Performing Rights Group), including service as ASCAP's Director of Performances. My responsibilities in that position included supervising the staff of the Performance Analysis Department in analyzing and crediting music used in public performances, including music performed on all domestic television broadcasts, namely broadcasts by television networks, local television stations, public television broadcasts (and retransmissions of those local television broadcasts by cable and satellite carriers) and cable program services, as well as all domestic radio broadcasts by commercial and noncommercial radio stations.
6. My background and training are in music. I graduated from the Berklee College of Music in 1981, with a major in film scoring. In addition to my work at ASCAP, over the years I have acted as a music consultant for films and as a musical director and pianist for dozens of productions by local colleges, high schools and community theater
groups. Based on my music background and my longstanding experience at ASCAP and consequent exposure to the general operations of both BMI and SESAC, I have extensive knowledge about the operation of Music Claimants generally and the various uses of music in broadcast television programming, including on the Local Stations.
7. I have testified before Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panels ("CARPs") in the past. Specifically, I testified before the CARPs in: (i) the Noncommercial Educational Broadcasting Rate Adjustment Proceeding, Docket No. 96-6 CARP NCBRA, on behalf of ASCAP; and (ii) the Proceeding for Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, on behalf of the Music Claimants.

## III. THE MUSIC CLAIMANTS

8. Music Claimants' members are writers and music publishers. I understand that the CRJs are familiar with the relationship between writers and music publishers. Briefly, to allow writers both the time and incentive to write, writers have formed creative partnerships with music publishers, who handle many of the commercial aspects of the business. Among their many tasks, music publishers license various rights granted by the copyright laws, promote their writers' works with record companies and performing artists, and provide career guidance. Some music publishers administer the catalogs of writers who form their own publishing companies.
9. But writers and publishers working together cannot do all that is necessary to ensure that the myriad public performances of their music are properly licensed and that they are fairly compensated for the public performances of their works. To assist in licensing the copyrighted musical works and collecting royalties for such performances, the
music community historically has turned to the PROs in the United States: ASCAP, BMI and SESAC. Similar societies exist today in most developed countries around the world.
10. Together, ASCAP, BMI and SESAC currently represent more than 725,000 writer and publisher members. Music Claimants are also affiliated with over 80 foreign performing rights societies around the world. Music Claimants license the public performing rights in the United States of the musical compositions of hundred of thousands of foreign writers and publishers that are members of, or affiliated with, such foreign societies, and the affiliated foreign societies license the Music Claimants' repertories in their countries. These reciprocal license agreements ensure that licensees in every country have access to the worldwide catalog of music. Collectively, the Music Claimants are responsible for licensing the public performing rights of roughly 20 million copyrighted musical works from around the world - practically all copyrighted music in existence.
11. ASCAP and BMI operate on a not-for-profit basis. SESAC operates as a private for-profit corporation. All of the royalties collected by ASCAP and BMI for the public performances of music, less expenses and reasonable reserves, are distributed to their respective writer and publisher members.
12. The Music Claimants' vast repertories include every musical genre pop, country, jazz, rock, symphonic and concert, reggae, standards, new age, folk, musical theatre and cabaret, Latin, gospel, rap, hip-hop and rhythm \& blues - and form the backbone of our nation's rich musical heritage.
13. Music Claimants take pride in the thousands of honors received by their members. These awards include Oscars, Grammys, Tonys, Pulitzers and Emmys. Moreover, the lifetime achievements of many of Music Claimants' illustrious members have been
celebrated by their induction into the Songwriters Hall of Fame, the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame and the Country Music Hall of Fame. The names and stories of our music greats whether rhythm \& blues, jazz, symphonic or other genre - would (and do) fill books. Indeed, every famous writer since the founding of PROs is or was a member of ASCAP, BMI or SESAC. Attached as Appendix A is a summary describing some of ASCAP's great and award winning members.
14. The vast majority of writers represented by the Music Claimants, however, are not famous, do not win awards and earn very modest royalties for the public performances of their works. Thus, the Music Claimants' services provided to our members are critical to their success. Indeed, for many writers, the performing right royalties received from the Music Claimants are their largest single source of income from the exploitation of their copyrights.

## IV. MUSIC CLAIMANTS' LICENSING AND DISTRIBUTION

15. First and foremost, the Music Claimants serve as licensing clearinghouses for (1) their writer and publisher members as licensors and (2) the users of copyrighted music in the Music Claimants' repertories as licensees. Our licensees are businesses that use music in a wide variety of industries and include: major television networks; local television stations and networks; cable and satellite stations, networks and systems; public television stations and radio stations; commercial and college radio stations; colleges and universities; restaurants and night clubs; concert and dance halls; aerobic and dance studios; theme and amusement parks; health clubs; hotels; conventions and trade shows; shopping centers and malls; airlines; retail stores; and background music services.
16. Millions of non-dramatic public performances of copyrighted music occur each day in the United States. ${ }^{1}$ Given the vast number of music users and performances, it would be extremely time-consuming and costly for Music Claimants' members to locate each music user and license these performances by themselves. Instead, the Music Claimants' members grant the Music Claimants the non-exclusive authority to license the non-dramatic performance rights in their copyrighted musical works. Likewise, without the Music Claimants, users of copyrighted music would face an equally daunting task in trying to license individual musical works efficiently.
17. The Music Claimants offer the solution by licensing their repertories in bulk to users, whether large or small. This license is often referred to as a "blanket license." Each Music Claimant grants all users, regardless of the industry, a blanket license for a fee. The Music Claimants and the local television stations (represented collectively by an industry committee) typically agree to the fee through negotiations, or, as needed and if appropriate, through rate court litigation. (Attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 1 is the Interim Fee Order on Consent dated May 1, 1998, setting the ASCAP Local Television Blanket License Fee applicable to the period January 2004 through November 2004, and attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 2 is the ASCAP Local Television Station Blanket License, setting the fee applicable to the period December 2004 through December 2005.) I understand that Michael O'Neill, BMI Senior Vice President of Licensing, will explain the licensing process in more detail.

[^75]18. In addition to their role as licensors of their members' works, the Music Claimants play the critical role of distributing royalties to their members for performances made pursuant to such licenses. To do so, the Music Claimants monitor music performances on, among other media, broadcast and cable television and radio, including performances on Local Stations. Generally, to that end, the Music Claimants strive to obtain a comprehensive picture of all music used in a given year, and how the music is used. To do this, the Music Claimants seek to match two different sources of information: (i) information about the identity of different films and television programs broadcast on each Local Station and network; and (ii) information about the music content of these films and television programs provided to the Music Claimants in the form of music cue sheets, which are generated by the movie and television program producers or provided by the members or users themselves. A copy of a music cue sheet is attached as MC 04-05 Ex. 3.
19. This information provides the basic data needed by the Music Claimants to calculate distributions of royalties to their members. Each Music Claimant follows its own distribution methodology. ASCAP bases its distribution on a "follow the dollar" principle, where the royalties collected from a given industry are paid to members whose music was used by such industry. For example, the money collected from the Local Stations is distributed solely to the members whose music appeared in such Local Station programming. In calculating a given distribution, ASCAP assigns "credits" to a specific performance. In determining the number of credits for each performance, ASCAP weighs, among other factors, how the music is used - namely, whether the music was used as a feature, as a theme or as background. I describe each of these types of uses in more detail below. Other PROs uses other distribution methodologies; for example, BMI relies upon a
rate card in calculating its distributions.

## V. MUSIC CLAIMANTS' OTHER SERVICES

20. The benefits of membership and affiliation with ASCAP, BMI and SESAC include a variety of special services beyond licensing and distribution. On many levels, the Music Claimants' support systems nurture the creative talents of their respective writers, provide incentives and other assistance to members, offer unique opportunities for members to interact and learn from peers and provide special recognition to deserving writers. Examples of these programs are described below.
21. Writer Workshops And Showcases: Each year, ASCAP, BMI and SESAC each conduct workshops in major United States cities in many musical genres. Through these workshops, such as the ASCAP Television and Film Scoring Workshop, aspiring writers have an opportunity to meet with successful writers, music publishers and other leaders in the music community, and receive critiques of their works and practical advice on the music business. Similarly, each Music Claimant annually presents many writer showcases to the industry and the public, featuring some of the best new talent in the country.
22. Special Awards Programs: The Music Claimants grant special cash awards to writers whose works are substantially performed in non-surveyed media or venues or have unique prestige value. The Music Claimants also hold awards shows for members, such as the ASCAP Pop Music Awards and the BMI Pop Music Awards.
23. Special Insurance And Financial Programs: The Music Claimants have numerous innovative programs for their members and their families. Members have access to a variety of insurance programs for themselves and their families - medical, dental and life insurance, as well as musical instrument insurance, among others. ASCAP and BMI
members also have access to a nationally respected credit union, which offers a range of financial services - from loans and interest-earning checking and savings accounts to direct deposit of royalty checks and retirement plans.
24. Leadership In The Field Of Copyright: ASCAP, BMI and SESAC are domestic and international leaders in copyright and performing rights matters. The Music Claimants played an important role in the revision of the Copyright Act in 1976, including the creation of Section 111, and in major copyright legislation passed since then, such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998. The Music Claimants regularly appear before Congress and state legislatures, as well as federal agencies such as the Copyright Office, testifying on matters of importance to their members and the copyright community at large. The Music Claimants' chief executives have served on President-appointed Commissions and are active in international rights-licensing organizations.
25. Education. Staff at ASCAP, BMI and SESAC help educate the public about copyright and performing rights and act in many leadership roles in trade and professional organizations in the field. For example, their executives serve or have served on the boards of the National Academy of Recording Arts and Sciences, the American Intellectual Property Law Association, The Copyright Society of the United States of America, and the American Bar Association Section on Intellectual Property Law. Finally, the Music Claimants' business staff and lawyers frequently guest lecture at music and law schools, music seminars and other events.

## VI. THE LOCAL STATIONS' USE OF MUSIC

26. If you turn on a Local Station at any given time, you will almost always hear music. From sitcom theme songs to feature performances on music talent shows like

American Idol. From blockbuster movie soundtrack hits to emotion-building uses on primetime television drama series. From the professional wrestler's entry-theme as he walks toward the mat to the momentum-building organ at a baseball game. From the whimsical underscore of cartoons to background music that sets the tone for news montages. Local Station programs all use music. And lots of it.
27. Thus, music pervades, enhances and in some cases dominates the programs broadcast by the Local Stations. In particular, music performances in television programming set the overall mood, drive the story-telling, stimulate the viewer's emotions, weave the scenes of a television program or film together or serve as the very focal point of the program or movie.
28. To accomplish these myriad goals, programming aired on Local Stations use music in three primary ways: (a) as Themes; (b) as Features; and (c) as Background or Underscore. Below, I describe each type of use and illustrate how various genres of Local Station programming incorporate these uses.

## A. THEMES

29. The theme music is the signature of the show, identifying it immediately to the viewer. A theme can open or close the show: it serves as a welcome mat and as a fond farewell. Practically every program incorporates a theme. When we first think of themes, we often think of episodic television back through the decades (much of it still airing today), such as I Love Lucy, The Andy Griffith Show, Bewitched, $M^{*} A^{*} S^{*} H$, Happy Days, The Jeffersons, Hill Street Blues, Cheers, Friends, The Nanny and even The Simpsons.
30. But syndicated programs other than episodic programs use themes. For example, highly rated game shows, such as Jeopardy! and Wheel of Fortune, use famous
themes. Cartoons and other children's programming also incorporate recognizable themes. Examples include themes to children's programs, such as SpongeBob SquarePants, The Bugs Bunny Show, Scooby-Doo, Pokemon, Blues Clues and Drake and Josh as well as similar programming on public television stations, such as Sesame Street, Mister Rogers' Neighborhood, Arthur, Wishbone and Dragon Tales. One of the most famous examples of a theme is Henry Mancini's theme to the Pink Panther.
31. Talk shows and other syndicated series airing primarily during the daytime, such as Oprah, The Ellen DeGeneres Show, Divorce Court and The People's Court, have famous themes as well. Likewise, daytime and other programs on public television (in addition to children's programs) incorporate memorable themes, such as the popular shows This Old House, Nature, MYSTERY! and The Antiques Roadshow. So, too, do news broadcasts and other Local Station-produced programming. Consider, for example, the opening theme to the New York NBC affiliate WNBC's local news, which incorporates the famous 3-note NBC chime.
32. Movies broadcast on Local Stations also have critically important themes. For many movies, particularly movie series, the theme becomes a dominant identifier of the movie. It is difficult to think about Star Wars, Mission Impossible (its theme, of course, originally from the television series), Indiana Jones or The Godfather without hearing their memorable themes.
33. Sports programs also use signature themes. For example, regular national sports broadcasts on FOX have prominent themes, such as the themes for Major League Baseball and the National Football League, as do local sports programs such as coverage of the New York Yankees. Moreover, both sports programming and news
programming use their themes throughout their programming as cues, which I discuss below.

## B. FEATURES

34. A feature performance of a musical work is a use that constitutes the primary focus of the audience's attention. The feature performance is the most valuable in calculating credits for ASCAP distribution purposes. In my experience, since 1999, there has been an increase in the number of feature music performances appearing on Local Station programming.
35. Feature uses appear in Local Station programming in numerous ways. For example, a feature may appear during a "musical" movie, such as Moulin Rouge, Chicago or The Sound of Music, where a character sings a song as part of the performance. But a movie need not focus on music as whole to make a "feature use" of music. The movie Top Gun - far from a musical - featured a memorable performance of You've Lost that Loving Feeling. Another classic example is Dooley Wilson's performance of As Time Goes By as the character Sam in Casablanca, which performance will always symbolize that movie.
36. Features can also appear during the course of an episodic television program. Examples include when a character or guest star on a show like One Tree Hill, The Gilmore Girls or The O.C. - shows broadcast on Local Stations - sings or performs during the program. Indeed, as Musical Claimants' witness Alexandra Patsavas, a music supervisor for shows such as The O.C., testifies in more detail, over the past five to ten years, programming on Local Stations is increasingly geared towards primetime dramas targeted at a younger, more music-interested demographic, and those shows increasingly use feature performances of music - for example, by showing a popular band play at a local club visited by the characters on the series. Moreover, adult-oriented cartoons appearing on Local

Stations, such as The Simpsons and Family Guy, frequently feature musical performances, for example, as a biting means to parody the subject matter of a particular episode.
37. Perhaps no other show in television history better epitomizes the power of a feature music performance than FOX's American Idol, the musical talent-search show and a ratings juggernaut airing two nights (and sometimes more) a week. FOX began broadcasting American Idol in 2002. Its success - founded on a format consisting almost exclusively of feature music performances of multiple music genres - was immediate. I understand that each scheduled night of American Idol (Tuesday and Wednesday nights) was among the top-three highest Nielsen-rated shows in the United States, on average, over the 2003-2004 and 2004-2005 television viewing seasons. ${ }^{2}$ Indeed, the Tuesday night airing of American Idol was the number-one Nielsen-rated show for the 2004-2005 viewing season.
38. The American Idol phenomenon has been a major boon to Local Station programming since its debut. Its success even spurred the creation of similar musicfocused reality shows on Local Stations, such as FOX's successful reality show So You Think You Can Dance (which premiered in 2005), the launch of a live music tour premised on the American Idol show and multiple high-selling soundtrack albums. The American Idol phenomenon has dramatically increased the use of and interest in Local Station feature music performances.
39. In addition, largely by performing the songs written and published by the Music Claimants' members, numerous multi-platinum recording artists have launched their careers on American Idol - including 2005 winner Carrie Underwood, now a Grammyaward winner herself. American Idol also served to launch Jennifer Hudson's music and film

[^76]career in 2004, leading to her break-through role in the 2007 movie Dreamgirls, itself a movie filled with feature music performances.
40. Live feature performances are also the foundation of syndicated musicbased programs such as Soul Train, Motown Live and It's Showtime at the Apollo, and syndicated music award shows such as the Soul Train Lady of Soul Awards.
41. Feature music regularly appears on public television, which is replete with feature music programs, such as Soundstage, Austin City Limits, Great Performances, Live at Lincoln Center and Evening at the Pops. And, as any public television viewer can attest, numerous times a year, pledge programs engulf the airwaves with highlighted concerts and performances. Examples of concerts airing on public television during pledge periods in 2004 and 2005 include Andre Rieu's The Flying Dutchman, KC and the Sunshine Band Present Get Down Tonight, the Cream Reunion Show and Michael Bublé: Caught In The Act.
42. In addition to music-based programs, non-music based programs frequently capture the audience with feature music performances. Examples of these nonmusic based programs include syndicated talk shows, like The Ellen DeGeneres Show, which regularly invites musicians as guests and provides live or taped feature music performances. Likewise, feature music appears on local station-produced programs, such as gospel entertainment program Singsation on WGN, or local morning shows such as New York Fox affiliate WNYW's Good Day New York, which often highlight feature music performances. Locally-produced telethons also include abundant feature uses of music.
43. Finally, feature songs are found in sports programs, including highrated national games drawing a large audience. FOX's 2005 Super Bowl XXXIX half-time show featuring Paul McCartney is a prime example.

## C. BACKGROUND AND UNDERSCORE

44. The final major category of music use is background use - frequently known as the underscore. The underscore comes in many varieties, plays many roles in a program - from moving along the action to setting a serious tone - and is essential to all genres of television programs and movies.
45. Background music may be instrumental music - for example, the music played during the action of Star Wars, Batman, or Rocky or the familiar underscore of the James Bond films. In addition to instrumental underscore, vocal songs serve as background music, integrated into the plot line of a television program or a movie.
46. Whatever the type, background music is critical to setting the emotion of a scene. Consider the impact of music on the drama of such Oscar-winning films as $A$ Beautiful Mind or Life Is Beautiful or The Pianist. Another classic example of the emotional impact of music on a program is the movie Jaws: the music accompanying the shark's appearances exists almost as its own character to set the tone of fear associated with an impending shark attack. Indeed, background music often becomes a part of the identity of a memorable film character, such as the association of Darth Vader with portions of John Williams' score in The Empire Strikes Back. And in the film Shrek, background songs provide the romantic mood as Shrek falls in love with Fiona and the exuberant mood as Shrek becomes the hero. Furthermore, certain acclaimed movie directors, such as Cameron Crowe and Quentin Tarantino, have thrust the popular music with which they grew up into a pivotal role in their films. Notable examples include Tarantino's Pulp Fiction, which has music running from nearly start to finish, including during a memorable dance scene, and Crowe's Almost Famous, about a teenage boy who followed a rock back on tour in the early 1970s.
47. Background music critically enhances the drama of television programs, as well, including by adding intensity to the action scenes on FOX's 24 or Prison Break, or creating the "bar" mood of long-syndicated comedies like Cheers. Other television examples of background uses include songs woven into the plots of a comedy series, like Everybody Hates Chris, or songs that are integral to a dance routine on Will \& Grace. Moreover, background songs add drama to emotional scenes on episodic programs, such as 7th Heaven or The O.C. or The Gilmore Girls or House.
48. In addition, background music is often used to evoke a certain time and place, transporting the viewer in a way that a music-free work could not. Whether it is the rock-and-roll of the 1950s and 1960s in Happy Days, the ragtime in The Sting, or music that conjures The Old West in films such as The Good, The Bad and The Ugly, music sets the stage.
49. Background music is also used as transitional or bridge music between scenes, or leading to and from commercial breaks, to add cohesion between different programming segments. Think of the signature transitions on 24 or on Seinfeld. In fact, syndicated programming - from talk shows to game shows - rely on such "cues" (as they are known) to move in and out of commercial breaks. Likewise, sports and news programs rely on cues to move the program from segment to segment, signaling the viewer of a change in the topic or the story or the portion of a sporting event. In these instances, news and sports programs often repeat their theme music as underscore, to strengthen the show's signature.
50. Because of the critical role it plays, background music appears in all types of programming beyond the many varieties described above. It is a staple of children's programming, particularly cartoons on both commercial and public television stations.

Indeed, some cartoons play background music from start to finish, particularly those with no dialogue, such as the Road Runner, the Pink Panther and Tom and Jerry.
51. Public television documentaries also extensively use background music. Examples include regular PBS programming, such as NOVA and American Experience. In addition, documentary filmmakers presenting critically acclaimed films on PBS, such as Ken Burns, place an extraordinarily high value on music as an integral part of their work. In 2005, PBS first showed Unforgivable Blackness: The Rise and Fall of Jack Johnson, a Ken Burns documentary about the African-American boxing champion with music scored (and released as a popular soundtrack) by Wynton Marsalis.
52. Background music is similarly prevalent in locally produced programming and in sports programming. For example, consider all of the music in locally produced parade programs, such as the St. Patrick's Day Parade or the Columbus Day Parade. And news programs use popular songs during various segments, for example during news montages. In sports programming, music plays during the action of a hockey, baseball or basketball game to drive the action. Indeed, many songs would be long forgotten if it were not for their performance during sporting events (such as Gary Glitter's Rock \& Roll Part 2). Moreover, television broadcasts of sporting events use background music to add excitement to the program. For example, FOX's NFL broadcasts use background music extensively - in pre-game and post-game coverage, when introducing players, during the half-time shows and when reviewing highlights. And can one imagine a figure skating competition without music being performed during the skaters' routines?
53. I have included as Music Claimants' MC 04-05 Ex. 4 a DVD and the DVD's play list containing examples of the above-described types of music uses in programs broadcast on Local Stations retransmitted as distant signals by cable systems in 2004 or 2005. The selected examples show how critical music is to the sensory effect and story of the movie or television program, whether the music is a theme, a feature performance or background music. Specifically, among the video clips on the DVD of MC 04-05 Ex. 4 are the following musical performances: Carrie Underwood's feature performance on American Idol of the Heart song Alone, which aired March 23, 2005 on WNYW; the opening theme song to syndicated situation comedy The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air, which aired January 9, 2004 on WPIX; and background music in a scene in the movie Walk on the Moon, which aired March 27,2005 on WGN. ASCAP maintains copies of these broadcasts as part of the ordinary course of its business.

## VII. CONCLUSION

54. In sum, the Music Claimants continually strive to improve their services to the music industry and to music users. But the essence of the Music Claimants' role is to ensure that members are fairly compensated for the public performances of their musical works. Given the valuable - and increasing - role that music plays in the programming aired by Local Stations, I believe that the share of the cable compulsory license royalties sought by the Music Claimants in this proceeding is fair and essential to compensate their members for the public performance of their works in distant signals carried by the Local Stations in 2004 and 2005.

## Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
America that the foregoing is true and correct.
Dated: $\frac{M \text { Moy } 27,2009}{\text { New York, NY }}$
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## WRITTEN TESTIMONY

OF
SETH SALTZMAN

## APPENDIX A <br> HIGHLIGHTS OF ASCAP'S REPERTORY: MEMBERS, AWARDS AND CATALOG

ASCAP has been home to some of the greatest names in music from George Gershwin, Cole Porter, Irving Berlin, Duke Ellington, Stephen Sondheim and Leonard Bernstein to Billy Joel, Madonna, Stevie Wonder, Bruce Springsteen and Garth Brooks. ASCAP's members stretch across every genre of music. In addition, below is a sampling of ASCAP's membership in a number of genres.

POP and ROCK: Neil Young, James Taylor, Pearl Jam, Steeley Dan, Metallica, Joni Mitchell, Aerosmith, Beck, Tori Amos, Blink 182, Prince, Bonnie Raitt, Beastie Boys, The Cure, Natalie Merchant, Beyoncé, Justin Timberlake, The Killers, The Fray, All American Rejects, Katy Perry, OneRepublic and The Dave Matthews Band.

R\&B and HIP-HOP: Marvin Gaye, Lauren Hill, Erykah Badu, LL Cool J, The Isley Brothers, Whitney Houston, Puff Daddy, Will Smith, Ice Cube, Dr. Dre, Jermaine Dupri, Usher, Wyclef Jean, 50 Cent, Timbaland, Pharrell Williams, Flo Rida, Ne-Yo, Akon, Lionel Richie, Smokey Robinson, Chaka Khan, Missy Elliott and Ciara.

COUNTRY: Alan Jackson, Reba McEntire, George Strait, Lyle Lovett, Kenny Rogers, Billy Gilman, Charley Pride, Trace Adkins, Dixie Chicks, Wynonna, Johnny Cash, Emmylou Harris, Ricky Skaggs, Brad Paisley, Kenny Chesney, Trisha Yearwood and Randy Travis.

JAZZ: Louis Armstrong, Cab Calloway, Ella Fitzgerald, Charlie Byrd, Artie Shaw, Billy Taylor, Count Basie, Wynton Marsalis, Quincy Jones, Benny Goodman,

Dizzie Gillespie, Roy Eldridge, Fats Waller, John Zorn, Arturo Sandoval, Benny Carter and Charlie Hunter.

SYMPHONIC and CONCERT: Bela Bartok, Morton Gould, Igor
Stravinsky, Henry Mancini, Andre Previn, Sergei Rachmaninoff, Richard Rodgers, Peter Schickle, Aaron Copland, John Corigliano, Jerome Kern, Samuel Berber, Marvin Hamlisch, Chen Li, George Walker, Ned Rorem and David Del Tredici.

Among the foreign musical luminaries who license through ASCAP are Paul McCartney, Coldplay, Radiohead, Natasha Bedingfield, Maurice Jarre, Bjork, Andrew Lloyd Webber, Sergei Prokofieff, Pierre Boulez, Tim Price, U2, Carl Orff and Arthur Honegger.

To list the numerous awards, medals and honors received by ASCAP members would fill pages. Examples of recent winners include (in parentheses): GRAMMY AWARDS (Alicia Keys, Coldplay, Robert Plant, Rob Thomas, Jennifer Hudson, Justin Timberlake, U2, Madonna, Foo Fighters, Tony Bennett), COUNTRY MUSIC AWARDS (Garth Brooks, Alan Jackson, Emmylou Harris, Brad Paisley, Kenny Chesney), AMERICAN MUSIC AWARDS (Enrique Iglesias, Luther Vandross, *N Sync, Lenny Kravitz, Trick Pony, Nelly), BILLBOARD MUSIC AWARDS (John Mellencamp, The Beatles, Jill Scott, Shaggy, Jaime O'Neal, Godsmack, DMX), MTV MUSIC VIDEO AWARDS (No Doubt, Mary J. Blige, Kate Perry, OneRepublic, Justin Timberlake, Maroon 5), SOUL TRAIN AWARDS (Dr. Dre, Usher, Missy Elliott, Alicia Keys, The Isley Brothers, Musiq Soulchild) and DOVE AWARDS (Natalie Grant, Jaci Velasquez, Avalon). ASCAP members have been awarded the esteemed PULITZER PRIZE (Henry Brant, John Corigliano, Duke Ellington, Melinda Wagner, Wynton Marsalis, Jonathan

Larsen, George T. Walker, Morton Gould, Wayne Peterson) and RHYTHM \& BLUES FOUNDATION PIONEER AWARD (Louis Jordan, Stevie Wonder, Betty Wright).

Many of the ASCAP music legends have been inducted in music Halls of Fame, including THE ROCK AND ROLL HALL OF FAME (Stevie Wonder, Smokey Robinson, The Doors, Jimi Hendrix Experience, The Grateful Dead, Led Zeppelin, Billy Joel, Nat "King" Cole, Tom Petty, Ramones), SONGWRITER HALL OF FAME (Randy Newman, Ashford \& Simpson, Diane Warren, Paul Williams, Stevie Wonder, James Taylor, Bruce Springsteen, Alan \& Marilyn Bergman) and COUNTRY MUSIC HALL OF FAME (Jimmy Rodgers, Johnny Cash, Charlie Pride).

In addition, ASCAP's members include many great film and television composers. Alf Clausen, Mark Snow, Marco Beltrami, Dan Foliart, Bruce Broughton, Rick Marotta, Sean Callery, Jeff Cardoni, John Keane, Walter Murphy, Ron Jones, David Vanacore, Russ Landau, Mark Williams and Jeff Lippencott are just a few of the television writers. Notable ASCAP film composers include Elmer Bernstein, John Debney, Alan Silvestri, Hans Zimmer, James Horner, Howard Shore, James Newton Howard, Mark Isham, John Powell, Michael Giacchino, Elliott Goldenthal, John Powell, Carter Burwell and Michael Giacchino.

Notable film and television awards received in the recent past by ASCAP members include ACADEMY AWARDS (Dario Marianelli (Atonement), Jan A. P. Kaczmarek (Finding Neverland), Howard Shore (Fellowship of the Ring; Return of the King ), Randy Newman (Monsters, Inc.), Tan Dun (Crouching Tiger, Hidden Dragon), John Corigliano (The Red Violin), Stephen Schwartz (The Prince of Egypt), James Horner (Titanic), Gabriel Yared (The English Patient) and Andrew Lloyd Webber and Tim Rice
(Evita)) and EMMY AWARDS (Trevor Morris (The Tudors), James Dooley (Pushing Daisies), Sean Callery (24), Russ Landau (Pirate Master), Mark Watters (Movies Rock), Bruce Broughton (Warm Springs), Adrian Johnston (Shackleton), Jay Chattaway (Star Trek: Voyager), James Newton Howard (Gideon's Crossing), Seth MacFarlane (Family Guy), Arturo Sandoval (For Love or Country: The Arturo Sandoval Story), John Debney (The Cape), Mark Isham (EZ Streets) and Alf Clausen (The Simpsons)).

Some of the world's most beloved and renowned songs are in ASCAP's repertory, including Happy Birthday to You (Mildred K. Hill and Patty Hill), Moon River (Henry Mancini and Johnny Mercer), Over the Rainbow (Harold Arlen and E.Y. "Yip" Harburg), Rhapsody in Blue (George Gershwin), Unchained Melody (Alex North and Hy Zaret), and Santa Claus is Coming to Town (J. Fred Coots and Haven Gillespie).

## SETTLING PARTIES

# SP EXHIBIT 25 <br> TAB 1 (MC 04-05 EX. 1) 

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK


In the Matter of the Application : of POST-NEWSWEEK STATIONS, INC., : et al.,

Post-Newsweek stations, Inc., et al. ("Applicants")
having applied to the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers ("ASCAP") for licenses for the right of public performance of the musical compositions in ASCAP's repertory on local television stations owned by Applicants pursuant to Section IX(A) of the Amended Final Judgment herein; and ASCAP having applied to this Court to $f i x$ interim license fees in accordance with Section IX(B) of the Judgment; it is

In the Matter of Distribution of the 2004 and 2005 Cable Royalty Funds

## ORDERED :

1. ASCAP shall issue interim licenses to the Applicants identified on the List of Applicants annexed to this Order (and to such additional Applicants as may be added to the list hereafter) for the period commencing April 1, 1998 and continuing until further order of this Court. The interim licenses shall be upon the same terms as are set forth in the blanket and per program license agreements in effect between such Applicants and ASCAP prior to April 1, 2998 and annexed as Exhibits "A" and "B" to this Court's Order entered in United States v. ASCAP, In re Application of Buffale Broadcasting co., Inc., et al. on August 25, 1995 ("the prior licenses"): as modified by the subsequent letter agreement between ASCAP and the Television Music License Committee, except that:
a. (i) For the period April 1, 1998 through March 31, 1999, industry-wide blanket license Fees for all commercial local television stations licensed under the prior licenses shall be $\$ 98,100,000$, each local television station's blanket license fees for such period to be determined in accordance with the provisions of the license fee allocation formula attached as Exhibit "B" to the prior licenses; and (ii) should the interim license period continue beyond March 31,1999 , and if the parties
cannot agree on interim fees for the period beginning April 1 , 1999, either ASCAP or Applicants may apply to this Court to fix interim fees for such period;
b. During the interim license period, any Applicant may elect to change its licensè status, as provided in Paragraph 12 of the prior ASCAP Local Station Blanket Television License Agreement and Paragraph 14 of the prior ASCAP Local Station Per Program Television License Agreement, up to twice during any twelve-month period beginning April 1, 1998; and
c. For audits conducted by ASCAP for the interim license period pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the prior ASCAP Local Station Per Program Television License Agreement, finance charges shall be computed in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 7.D. (2) of that Agreement.
2. Entry of this Order shall constitute an offer by ASCAP of interim licenses to each Applicant on the terms and conditions contained in the agreements referred to in Paragraph 1 of this Order. Payment of interim license fees by an Applicant shall constitute an Applicant's acceptance of an interim license. Nothing in this Order shall limit ASCAP's right to terminate an Applicant's interim license, pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the prior ASCAP Local Station Blanket Television License Agreement and

Paragraph 9 of the prior ASCAP Local Station Per Program Television License Agreement for breach or default in any payment, accounting or substantive reporting obligations required by the terms of the prior licenses; and upon any such breach or default, ASCAP may seek dismissal of the Applicant's application by the Court, upon thirty days' notice to the Applicant.
3. Nothing in this Order shall limit either ASCAP's or any Applicant's respective termination rights under the prior Iicenses.
4. At the conclusion of this proceeding, the interim fees paid hereunder shall be adjusted retroactively to give effect to the final license fees fixed by the court, or agreed to by the parties, for the licenses issued to the Applicants.
5. This. Order shall not be considered or construed to constitute any expression of opinion by the Court with respect to the contentions of the respective parties and shall in no way influence or affect any determination herein, after trial, as to the nature or extent of the licenses to be granted herein or as to what constitutes reasonable Iicense fees.
6. In directing the aforesaid payments, the court has not weighed the arguments and contentions of any party as to the nature or extent of the Iicenses to be granted herein or as to
what should constitute reasonable license fees herein. This Order is without prejudice to any position which any party has taken or may seek to take in the future.
7. Any party may apply to this Court, on ten day's notice, to modify or to vacate this Order.


We consent to the entry of the foregoing:

WELL, GOTSHAL \& MANGE LLD


Attorneys for Applicants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i(C)}{\text { RICHARD H. REIMER, ESQ. }} \\
& \text { S.D.N.Y. No. RHR-7878 } \\
& \text { One Lincoln Plaza } \\
& \text { New York, NY } 10023 \\
& \text { (212) } 621-6261 \\
& \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON \& GARRISON
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
(212) 373-3000

Attorneys for ASCAP

FCC Licensee<br>GRAPEVINE OF AUSTIN LIC SUB LLC RIVER CITY BROADCASTING L.P. ABC HOLDING CO. INC.<br>f SMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION THE CHRONICLE PUBLISHING CO.<br>MC ALISTER TELEVISION<br>Broadcast Development Corp.<br>CANNAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC. APPLE VALLEY TELEVISION, INC.<br>COMBINED COMMUNICATIONS CORP. KARK TV, INC.<br>THOMAS B. COOKERLY, RECEIVER FISHER BROADCASTING INC.<br>KATV, LLC<br>BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP.<br>KAYU-TV PARTNERS LTD.<br>WEST WIND COMM. LLC<br>UTV OF SAN FRANCISCO, INC.<br>RJR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.<br>TEXAS TELECASTING, INCORPORATED<br>FORUM PUBLISHING CO.<br>Paxson Phoenix License, Inc.<br>SPARTAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.<br>SPARTAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.<br>SPARTAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.<br>BLACKSTAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.<br>BRAZOS BROADCASTING CO.<br>CITADEL COMMUNICATIONS CO., LTD.<br>HOLSUM, INC.<br>CBS, INC.<br>KCCI TELEVISION, INC.<br>CHANNEL 6, INC.<br>SON BROADCASTING, INC.<br>YOUNG BROADCASTING OF RAPID CITY, I GROUP WICBS TELEVISION STATIONS KCOP TELEVISION, INC.<br>BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP.<br>GOCOM Communications, L.L.C.<br>KELLY BROADCASTING CO.<br>KELLY BROADCASTING CO.<br>THE CEDAR RAPIDS TELEVISION CO. MEREDITH BROADCASTING CO.<br>FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.<br>FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KAAL-TV 6 | Austin | MN, |
| KABB-TV 29 | San Antonio | TX** |
| KABC-TV 7 | Los Angeles | CA |
| KAIT-TV 8 | Jonesboro | AR |
| KAKE-TV 10 | Wichita | KS |
| KAMC-TV 28 | Lubbock | TX |
| 'KAME-TV 21 | Reno | NV |
| KAMR-TV 4 | Amarillo | TX |
| KAPP-TV 35 | Yakima | WA |
| KARE-TV 11 | Minneapolis | MN. |
| KARK-TV 4 | Little Rock | AR |
| KATN-TV 2 | Fairbanks | AK |
| KATU-TV 2 | Portland | OR |
| KATV-TV 7 | Little Rock | AR |
| KAUZ-TV 6 | Wichita Falls | TX |
| KAYU-TV 28 | Spokane | WA'. |
| KBAK-TV 29 | Bakersfield | CA |
| KBHK-TV 44 | San Francisco | CA |
| KBJR-TV 6 | Superior | WI. |
| KBMT-TV 12 | Beaumont | TX |
| KBMY-TV 17 | Bismarck | ND |
| KBPX-TV 13 | Flagstaff | AZ |
| KBSD-TV 6 | Ensign | KS |
| KBSH-TV 7 | Hays | KS |
| KBSL-TV 10 | Goodland | KS |
| KBSP-TV 22 | Salem | OR |
| KBTX-TV 3 | Eryan | TX . |
| KCAU-TV 9 | Sioux City | 1 A |
| KCBD-TV 11 | Lubbock | TX |
| KCBS-TV 2 | Los Angeles | CA |
| KCCI-TV 8 | Des Moines | IA |
| KCEN-TV 6 | Temple | TX |
| KCHF-TV 11 | Santa Fe | NM : |
| KCLO-TV 15 | Rapid City | SD: |
| KCNC-TV 4 | Denver | CO |
| KCOP-TV 13 | Los Angeles | CA |
| KCOY-TV 12 | Santa Maria | CA |
| KCPM-TV 24 | Chico | CA |
| KCPQ-TV 13 | Tacoma | WA |
| KCRA-TV 3 | Sacramento | CA |
| KCRG-TV 9 | Cedar Rapids | IA |
| KCTV-TV 5 | Kansas City | MO |
| KDAF-TV 33 | Dallas | TX |
| KDFW-TV 4 | Dallas | $\therefore$ TX |

FCC Licensee
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP. YOUNG BROADCASTING OF SIOUX FALLS, RIVER CITY TELEVISION PARTNERS, GOLDEN ORANGE
ine AMERICAN TV INC.
SODA MOUNTAIN BROADCASTING, INC.
KDSM-TV, INC.
CHANNEL 13 OF ST. LOUIS
DUHAMEL BROADCASTING ENTERPRISES
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
EAGLE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
YOUNG BROADCASTING OF SIOUX FALLS, RETLAW BROADCASTING LLC
MC GRAW HILL BROADCASTING
MAX TELEVISION OF TYLER L.P.
KEVN, INC.
UNITED COMMUNICATIONS CORP. KBVO, INC.
CHAMBERS COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
Montecito Broadcasting Corp.
PANHANDLE TELECASTING CO., FREEDOM-TV SUB INC.
Nexstar Brdcstg Wichita Falls LP
MIDWEST TELEVISION INC.
WXOMEDIA CORP.
NEW YORK TIMES BROADCASTING KCIK-TV, INC.
THE TIMES SOUTHWEST
CAPITAL CITIESIABC, INC.
Raycom - US, Inc.
HIC Broadcast, Inc.
DUBUQUE T.V. LIMITED PARTNERSHIP WARWICK COMMUNICATIONS, INC. MEYER BROADCASTING COMPANY GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO. DRAPER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KPWR-TV, INC.
LEE ENTERPRISES,INC.
CENTURY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION KGO TELEVISION, INC.
LEE ENTERPRISES,INC.
BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP. BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP.
KHBS Hearst-Argyle Televsion Inc.

| Call Letters | City | StATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KDKA-TV 2 | Pittsburgh | PA |
| KDLO-TV 3 | Florence | SD |
| KDNL-TV 30 | St. Louis | MO |
| KDOC-TV 56 | Anaheim | CA |
| KDOR-TV 17 | Bartlesville | OK |
| KDRV-TV 12 | Medford | OR. |
| KDSM-TV 17 | Des Moines | IA |
| KDTX-TV 58 | Dallas | TX |
| KDUH-TV 4 | Scottsbluff | NE |
| KDVR-TV 31 | Denver | CO |
| KECl-TV 13 | Missoula | MT |
| KELO-TV 11 | Sioux Falls | SD |
| KEPR-TV 19 | Pasco | WA |
| KERO-TV 23 | Bakersfield | CA |
| KETK-TV 56 | Jacksonville | TX |
| KEVN-TV 7 | Rapid City | SD: |
| KEYC-TV 12 | Mankato | M ${ }^{\text {: }}$ |
| KEYE-TV 42 | Austin | TX |
| KEZI-TV 9 | Eugene | OR |
| KFBT-TV 33 | Las Vegas | -NV |
| KFDA-TV 10 | Amarillo | -.TX |
| KFDM-TV 6 | Beaumont | $\therefore$ TX |
| KFDX-TV 3 | Wichita Falls | TX |
| KFMB-TV 8 | San Diego | CA |
| KFNB-TV 20 | Casper | WY:. |
| KFOR-TV 4 | Oklahoma City | OK: |
| KFOX-TV 14 | El Paso | TX |
| KFSM-TV 5 | Fort Smith | AR |
| KFSN-TV 30 | Fresno | CA. |
| KFVS-TV 12 | Cape Girardeau | MO. |
| KFWD-TV 52 | Fort Worth | TX |
| KFXB-TV 40 | Dubuque | . IA |
| KFXK-TV 51 | Longview | . .TX |
| KFYR-TV 5 | Bismarck | - ND |
| KGAN-TV 2 | Cedar Rapids | IA $\because$ |
| KGBT-TV 4 | Harlingen | TX |
| KGET-TV 17 | Bakersfield | . CA. |
| KGMB-TV 9 | Honolulu | Hi |
| KGNS-TV 8 | Laredo | TX |
| KGO-TV 7 | San Francisco | CA |
| KGUN-TV 9 | Tucson | AZ |
| KGWC-TV 14 | Casper | :WY |
| KGWN-TV 5 | Cheyenne | WY |
| KHBS-TV 40 | Fort Smith | AR. |

FCC Licensee
PAPPAS TELECASTING OF CENTRAL NE. SUNBELT TV, INC.
KHBS Hearst-Argyle Televsion Inc. r". VER KING COMMUNICATIONS INC.
HOU TELEVISION, INC.
KHQ, INCORPORATED
GOLDEN EMPIRE BROADCASTING CO.
KICU, INC.
RETLAW ENTERPRISES, INC.
POLLACK/BELZ COMMUNICATIONS
THE POST COMPANY
SOUTH TEXAS TELECASTING RETLAW BROADCASTING LLC THOMAS B. COOKERLY, RECEIVER SPARTAN BROADCASTING COMPANY KING BROADCASTING CO. HARRON-SMITH TELEVISION THIRD AVENUE TELEVISION INC. KITV Hearst-Argyle Television Inc. SAWTOOTH COMMUNICATIONS, INC. PIKES PEAK BROADCASTING COMPANY RETLAW BROADCASTING LLC SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO. THOMAS B. COOKERLY, RECEIVER ACKERLEY COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, KLAS, INC., A NEVADA CORP.
POLLACKJBELZ COMMUNICATIONS
Entravision Holdings, LLC
RETLAW BROADCASTING LLC LAKELAND GROUP TELEVISION, INC. CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC.
JEWELL TELEVISION CORPORATION PARAMOUNT STATIONS GROUP INC LEE ENTERPRISES,INC.
KMBC HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC.
MAINE RADIO \& TELEVISION CO.
MC GRAW HILL BROADCASTING
GOCOM Communications, L.L.C.
DESERT EMPIRE TELEVISION CORP.
BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP.
PRIME TIME CHRISTIAN
Multimedia, Inc.
UNITED TELEVISION, INC.
MEYER BROADCASTING COMPANY

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KHGI-TV 13 | Keamey | NE. |
| KHIZ-TV 64 | Barstow | CA |
| KHOG-TV 29 | Fayetteville | AR |
| KHON-TV 2 | Honolulu | HI |
| KHOU-TV 11 | Houston | TX |
| KHQ-TV 6 | Spokane | WA |
| : KHSL-TV 12 | Chico | CA |
| KICU-TV 36 | San Jose | CA |
| KIDK-TV 3 | Idaho Falls | ID |
| KIEM-TV 3 | Eureka | CA |
| KIEI-TV 8 | Idaho Falls | ID |
| KIII-TV 3 | Corpus Christi | TX |
| KIMA-TV 29 | Yakima | WA |
| KIMO-TV 13 | Anchorage | AK |
| KIMT-TV 3 | Mason City | IA |
| KING-TV 5 | Seattle | WA |
| KION-TV 46 | Monterey | CA. |
| KIRO-TV 7 | Seattle | WA |
| KITV-TV 4 | Honolulu | HI |
| KIVI-TV 6 | Nampa | -1D |
| KJCT-TV 8 | Grand Junction | CO |
| KJEO-TV 47 | Fresno | CA |
| KJRH-TV 2 | Tulsa | OK |
| KJUD-TV 8 | Juneau | . AK |
| KKTV-TV 11 | Colo. Springs | CO |
| KLAS-TV 8 | Las Vegas | NV |
| KLAX-TV 31 | Alexandria | LA |
| KLDO-TV 27 | Laredo | TX |
| KLEW-TV 3 | Lewiston | ID |
| KLGT-TV 23 | Minneapolis | MN |
| KLRT-TV 16 | Little Rock | AR: |
| KLST-TV 8 | San Angelo | TX: ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| KMAX-TV 31 | Sacramento | CA |
| KMAZ-TV 48 | Las Cruces | 'NM. |
| KMBC-TV 9 | Kansas City | MO |
| KMEG-TV 14 | Sioux City | IA |
| KMGH-TV 7 | Denver | - CO |
| KMID-TV 2 | Midland | TX |
| KMIR-TV 36 | Palm Springs | CA |
| KMIZ-TV 17 | Columbia | M ${ }^{\circ}$, |
| KMLM-TV 42 | Odessa | TX |
| KMOH-TV 6 | Kingman | AZ |
| KMOL-TV 4 | San Antonio | TX |
| KMOT-TV 10 | Minot | ND |


| FCC LicenseeKMOV-TV, INC.PAPPAS TELECASTING INCORPORATEDUNITED TELEVISION, INC.VIBG License Co., LLCLEE ENTERPRISES, INC.Catamount Broadcasting Twin Falls LNORTHWEST BROADCASTING INC.ALL AMERICAN TV INC.Multimedia, Inc.NBC SUBSIDIARY (KNBC-TV), INC.KNDOIKNDU LICENSE SUBSIDIARY, INC,KNDO/KNDU LICENSE SUBSIDIARY, INC,NEW LIFE EVANGELISTIC CENTER,NOE ENTERPRISES, INC.GREATER NEBRASKA TELEVISION INCNATIONAL BROADCASTING CO.KNTV INC.54 BROADCASTING INC.SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO.SANGRE DE CRISTOSAGA QUAD STATES COMMUNICATIONS,PULITZER BROADCASTING COMPANYCALIFORNIA-OREGONSCI, Inc.Ohio-Oklahoma Hearst-Argyle TelevisGRAPEVINE OF JOPLIN LICENSE SUB LLCPACIFIC FM INC.KOIN-TV, INC.SULLIVAN BROADCASTING LICENSE HOLDCLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC.ELCOM OF ARIZONA, INC.KOLN/KGIN LiCense IncSTEPHENS GROUP, INC.INDEPENDENT BROADCASTING CO.FISHER BROADCASTING INC.BENEDEK LICENSE CORP.DUHAMEL BROADCASTING ENTERPRISESCALIFORNIA-OREGONKOTV, INC.SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INCKPAX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.TRINITY BROADCASTING NETWORK, INCMEREDITH CORPORATIONSOUTH WEST OREGON TV BROADCASTING |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Call Letters | City | STATĖ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KMOV-TV 4 | St. Louis | MO |
| KMPH-TV 58 | Visalia | CA |
| KMSP-TV 9 | Minneapolis | MN |
| KMTR-TV 16 | Eugene | OR |
| KMTV-TV 3 | Omaha | NE |
| KMVT-TV 11 | Twin Falls | 10 |
| KMVU-TV 26 | Medford | OR |
| KNAT-TV 23 | Albuquerque | NM |
| KNAZ-TV 2 | Flagstaff | AZ |
| KNBC-TV 4 | Los Angeles | CA |
| KNDO-TV 23 | Yakima | WA |
| KNDU-TV 25 | Richland | WA |
| KNLJ-TV 25 | Jefferson City | MO' |
| KNOE-TV 8 | Monroe | LA |
| KNOP-TV 2 | North Platte | NE |
| KNSD-TV 39 | San Diego | CA |
| KNTV-TV 11 | San Jose | CA. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| KNVA-TV 54 | Austin | TX |
| KNXV-TV 15 | Phoenix | AZ |
| KOAA-TV 5 | Pueblo | CO |
| KOAM-TV 7 | Pittsburg | KS |
| KOAT-TV 7 | Albuquerque | NM |
| KOBI-TV 5 | Medford | OR |
| KOCB-TV 34 | Oklahoma City | OK |
| KOCO-TV 5 | Oklahoma City | OK |
| KODE-TV 12 | Joplin | MQ, |
| KOFY-TV 20 | San Francisco | CA |
| KOIN-TV 6 | Portland | OR |
| KOKH-TV 25 | Oklahoma City | OK |
| KOKI-TV 23 | Tulsa | OK |
| KOLD-TV 13 | Tucson | AZ |
| KOLN-TV 10 | Lincoln | NE |
| KOLO-TV 8 | Reno | NV |
| KOLR-TV 10 | Springfield | MO |
| KOMO-TV 4 | Seattle | - WA |
| KOSA-TV 7 | Odessa | TX' |
| KOTA-TV 3 | Rapid City | SD |
| KOTI-TV 2 | Klamath Falls | OR. |
| KOTV-TV 6 | Tulsa | OK |
| KOVR-TV 13 | Stockton | CA |
| KPAX-TV 8 | Missoula | MT |
| KPAZ-TV 21 | Phoenix | AZ |
| KPHO-TV 5 | Phoenix | $A Z$ |
| KPIC-TV 4 | Roseburg | OR |

FCC Licensee
GROUP W TELEVISION, INC.
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION YOUNG BROADCASTING OF SIOUX FALLS, - PLAR COMMUNICATIONS INC.

KPNX BROADCASTING COMPANY
J.D.G. TELEVISION, INC.

PAPPAS TELECASTING
OREGON TELEVISION, INC.
Oregon Trail Broadcasting
PAXSON COMMUNICATIONS OF PAXSON KANSAS CITY LICENSE INC.
Paxson Minneapolis License, Inc. PAXSON COMMUNICATIONS OF LOS CHRONICLE PUBLISHING CO. MEYER BROADCASTING COMPANY ABILENE RADIO AND TELEVISION CO. PIKES PEAK BROADCASTING COMPANY KING BROADCASTING CO. PAPPAS STATIONS PARTNERSHIP W. RUSSELL WITHERS, JR. MOBILE VIDEO TAPES, INC.
GULF COAST BROADCASTING COMPANY FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC. THE CHRONICLE PUBLISHING CO. JOHN E. FIELD
PRIME TIME CHRISTIAN
NEW MEXICO BROADCASTING COMPANY SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC KRTV COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC. POST-NEWSWEEK STATIONS, KSAZ LICENSE INC.
LOCKE SUPPLY CO.
SunRise Broadcasting Corp.
MULTIMEDIA KSDK, INC.
KSEE LICENSE, INC.
ELCOM OF SOUTH DAKOTA, INC.
SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO.
ELCOM OF LOUISIANA, INC.
BONNEVILLE HOLDING CO.
SINCLAIR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
WICHITA LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP.
Nexstar Broadcasting Of Joplin LP
WICHITA LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP.

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPIX-TV 5 | San Francisco | CA |
| KPLC-TV 7 | Lake Charles | LA |
| KPLO-TV 6 | Reliance | SD |
| KPLR-TV 11 | St. Louis | MO |
| KPNX-TV 12 | Mesa | $A Z:$ |
| KPOM-TV 24 | Fort Smith | AR |
| ${ }^{\text {i K K }}$ KPM-TV 42 | Omaha | NE |
| KPTV-TV 12 | Portland | OR |
| KPVI-TV 6 | Pocatello | ID |
| KPXB-TV 49 | Conroe | TX |
| KPXE-TV 50 | Kansas City | KS |
| KPXM-TV 41 | Elk River | MN |
| KPXN-TV 30 | San Bernardino | CA |
| KQCA-TV 58 | Stockton | CA |
| KQCD-TV 7 | Dickinson | ND |
| KRBC-TV 9 | Abilene | TX. |
| KRDO-TV 13 | Colo. Springs | CO |
| KREM-TV 2 | Spokane | WA |
| KREN-TV 27 | Reno | NV |
| KREX-TV 5 | Grand Junction | . CO |
| KRGV-TV 5 | Weslaco | TX', |
| KRIS-TV 6 | Corpus Christi | TX* |
| KRIV-TV 26 | Houston | - TX |
| KRON-TV 4 | San Francisco | CA |
| KROZ-TV 36 | Roseburg | OR |
| KRPV-TV 27 | Roswell | NM |
| KRQE-TV 13 | Albuquerque | NM |
| KRRT-TV 35 | Kerriville | TX |
| KRTV-TV 3 | Great Falls | MT |
| KSAS-TV 24 | Wichita | KS |
| KSAT-TV 12 | San Antonio | TX. |
| KSAZ-TV 10 | Phoenix | $A Z^{\prime}$ |
| KSBI-TV 52 | Oklahoma City | OK .- |
| KSBW-TV 8 | Salinas | CA |
| KSDK-TV 5 | St. Louis | . MO ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| KSEE-TV 24 | Fresno | CA |
| KSFY-TV 13 | Sioux Falls | SD |
| KSHB-TV 41 | Kansas City | MO |
| KSLA-TV 12 | Shreveport | LA |
| KSL-TV 5 | Salt Lake City | UT |
| KSMO-TV 62 | Kansas City | MO. |
| KSNC-TV 2 | Great Bend | - KS |
| KSNF-TV 16 | Joplin | MO |
| KSNG-TV 11 | Garden City | KS* |

FCC Licensee
WICHITA LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP. WICHITA LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP.
GOCOM Communications, L.L.C.
'" 'BBARD BROADCASTING INC.
HEX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
Viacom Broadcasting Of Seattle Inc.
GTBC License Subsidiary-KSVI Inc.
KTTY INC.
KSWO TELEVISION COMPANY, INC.
Eclipse Media, LLC Dba KSWT-TV
ALL AMERICAN TV INC.
KTBC ARGYLE TELEVISION INC.
WEST WIND COMM. LLC
WOODS TELEVISION COMPANY, LLC.
KTBS, INC.
NBC STATIONS MANAGEMENT, INC.
KTEN TELEVISION LIMITED
MGA BROADCASTING CO.
CONTINENTAL TELEVISION
ARKANSAS TELEVISION COMPANY
NORTHEAST KANSAS
KTLA, INC
KTRK TELEVISION, INC.
IDAHO INDEPENDENT
LINCOLN BROADCASTING COMPANY
COMCORP OF EL PASO INC.
KTTC TELEVISION, INC.
MOUNTAIN STATES BROADCASTING
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
KTUL, LLC
CHANNEL 2 BROADCASTING COMPANY
NORTHERN TELEVISION, INC.
KING BROADCASTING CO.
NORTHERN TELEVISION, INC.
Beartooth Communications Company
KTVI ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC.
SARKES TARZIAN, INC.
KTVO LICENSE SUBSIDIARY, INC.
KTVQ COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
GAYLORD BROADCASTING COMPANY
KTVU, INC.
Grapevine Of Wyoming License Sub LL
PARAMOUNT STATIONS GROUP OF HOUSTON
CHANNEL. 40 LICENSEE INC.

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KSNK-TV 8 | McCook | NE |
| KSNW-TV 3 | Wichita | KS |
| KSPR-TV 33 | Springfield | MO |
| KSTP-TV 5 | St. Paul | M |
| KSTU-TV 13 | Sall Lake City | UT |
| KSTW-TV 11 | Seattle-Tacoma | WA |
| - KSVI-TV 6 | Billings | MT |
| KSWB-TV 69 | San Diego | CA |
| KSWO-TV 7 | Lawton | OK. |
| KSWT-TV 13 | Yuma | $A Z^{\prime} \cdot$ |
| KTAJ-TV 16 | St. Joseph | MỠ |
| KTBC-TV 7 | Austin | TX |
| KTBN-TV 40 | Santa Ana | CA |
| KTBO-TV 14 | Oklahoma City | OK. |
| KTBS-TV 3 | Shreveport | LA |
| KTBW-TV 20 | Tacoma | WA |
| KTEN-TV 10 | Ada | OK: |
| KTFO-TV 41 | Tulsa | OK. |
| KTGF-TV 16 | Great Falls | MT |
| KTHV-TV 11 | Little Rock | * AR |
| KTKA-TV 49 | Topeka | $\therefore \mathrm{CS}$ |
| KTLA-TV 5 | Los Angeles | CA. |
| KTRK-TV 13 | Houston | TX. |
| KTRV-TV 12 | Nampa | ID |
| KTSF-TV 26 | San Francisco | CA. ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| KTSM-TV 9 | El Paso | TX |
| KTTC-TV 10 | Rochester | MN |
| KTTU-TV 18 | Tucson | $A Z_{1}$ |
| KTTV-TV 11 | Los Angeles | CA. |
| KTUL-TV 8 | Tulsa | : OK |
| KTUU-TV 2 | Anchorage | AK |
| KTVA-TV 11 | Anchorage | $\therefore$ AK |
| KTVB-TV 7 | Boise | $10^{\circ}$ |
| KTVF-TV 11 | Fairbanks | AK |
| KTVH-TV 12 | Helena | MT |
| KTVI-TV 2 | St. Louis | $\because$ MO |
| KTVN-TV 2 | Reno | NV |
| KTVO-TV 3 | Kirksville | MO |
| KTVQ-TV 2 | Billings | MT. |
| KTVT-TV 11 | Fort Worth | TX |
| KTVU-TV 2 | Oakland | CA. |
| KTWO-TV 2 | Casper | WY* |
| KTXH-TV 20 | Houston | TX: |
| KTXL-TV 40 | Sacramento | CA: |

FCC Licensee
USTV OF WASHINGTON STATE, INC. PACIFIC TELESTATIONS, INC. KULR, L.L.C.
MEVER BROADCASTING COMPANY SII F-AIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC COMBINED COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
KUSK, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession UNITED TELEVISION, INC.
KUTV Associates
RETLAW BROADCASTING LLC
NPG OF TEXAS L.P.
MARSH MEDIA, INC.
MILLER BROADCASTING COMPANY KVOA COMMUNICATIONS INC. AGAPE CHURCH INC.
KVUE TV INC.
KVVU BROADCASTING CORPORATION
THE SPARTAN RADIOCASTING CO.
MIDESSA TELEVISION CO.
WGN OF COLORADO, INC.
Young Bestg Of Davenport, Inc.
KELLY INTERNATIONAL LICENSING, L.L.
KWTX BROADCASTING COMPANY
Raycom-US, Inc.
LIN TELEVISION OF TEXAS, L.P.
Station Venture Operations, LP
GLENDIVE BROADCASTING CORP.
NORTH AMERICAN
KXLF COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
SPOKANE TELEVISION, INC.
REITEN TELEVISION, INC.
KXRM LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
FALLS BROADCASTING COMPANY
GREAT WESTERN
Centex Television LP
YUMA BROADCASTING, CO.
PUBLIC INTEREST BROADCAST
KY 3, INC.
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP.
ROCKET CITY TELEVISION, INC.
AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, MISSISSIPPI TELECASTING CO., INC.
COMMUNITY BROADCASTING SERVICE

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KTZZ-TV 22 | Seattle | WA |
| KUAM-TV 8 | Agana | GU |
| KULR-TV 8 | Billings | MT |
| KUMV-TV 8 | Williston | ND |
| KUPN-TV 21 | Las Vegas | NV |
| KUSA-TV 9 | Denver | CO |
| KUSK-TV 7 | Prescott | AZ |
| KUTP-TV 45 | Phoenix | AZ |
| KUTV-TV 2 | Salt Lake City | UT |
| KVAL-TV 13 | Eugene | OR |
| KVIATV 7 | El Paso | TX |
| KVII-TV 7 | Amarillo | TX |
| KVIQ-TV 6 | Eureka | CA |
| KVOA-TV 4 | Tucson | $A Z$ |
| KVTN-TV 25 | Pine Bluff | AR |
| KVUE-TV 24 | Austin | TX |
| KVVU-TV 5 | Henderson | NV |
| KWCH-TV 12 | Hutchinson | KS |
| KWES-TV 9 | Odessa | TX |
| KWGN-TV 2 | Denver | CO |
| KWQC-TV 6 | Davenport | IA |
| KWTV-TV 9 | Oklahoma City | OK |
| KWTX-TV 10 | Waco | TX |
| KWWL-TV 7 | Waterioo | 1 A |
| KXAN-TV 36 | Austin | TX |
| KXAS-TV 5 | Fort Worth | TX |
| KXGN-TV 5 | Glendive | MT |
| KXJB-TV 4 | Valley City | ND |
| KXLF-TV 4 | Butte | MT |
| KXLY-TV 4 | Spokane | WA |
| KXMB-TV 12 | Bismarck | ND |
| KXRM-TV 21 | Colo. Springs | CO |
| KXTF-TV 35 | Twin Falls | 10 |
| KXTV-TV 10 | Sacramento | CA |
| KXXV-TV 25 | Waco | TX |
| KYMA-TV 11 | Yuma | AZ |
| KYOU-TV 15 | Ottumwa | IA |
| KYTV-TV 3 | Springfield | MO |
| KYW-TV 3 | Philadelphia | PA |
| WAAY-TV 31 | Huntsville | AL |
| WABC-TV 7 | New York | NY |
| WABG-TV 6 | Greenwood | MS |
| WABI-TV 5 | Bangor | ME |

```
            FCC Licensee
BOSTON UNIVERSITY COMMUNICATIONS
ELCOM OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.
ACE TV, INC.
\(\mathrm{P}^{\wedge} Y C O M / U . S\). . INC.
Haycom-US, inc.
GILLETT COMMUNICATIONS OF
WAGM-TV, INC.
ALABAMA TELECASTERS INC.
SF BROADCASTING OF MOBILE, INC.
WALB Licensee Corp.
WAND TELEVISION, INC.
Indiana Broadcasting, LLC
SCHOCKLEY COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
PEGASUS BROADCASTING
WAPT Hearst-Argyle Television Inc.
FTS ATLANTA , INC.
Advent V Capital Co., LP
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION
WAVY Broadcasting, LLC
CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC.
INDIANA BROADCASTING PARTNERS
WBAL HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC.
JACKSON TELECASTERS, INC.
CBS, INC.
JASAS, CORP.
CHESAPEAKE TELEVISION, INC.
Pappas Tele Of Lex,a Cal Ltd Prtnsh
MULTIIAEDIA WBIR, INC.
THUNDER BAY BROADCASTING CORP.
BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP.
GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
WBNS-TV, INC.
WINSTON BROADCASTING NETWORK,
DRAPER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
WBOY-TV, INC.
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
LOUISIANA TELEVISION
DE SOTO BROADCASTING, INC.
JEFFERSON PILOT
SPARTAN BROADCASTING COMPANY
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP.
NBC STATIONS MANAGEMENT, INC.
MT. MANSFIELD TELEVISION, INC.
MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC.
```

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WABU-TV 68 | Boston | MA |
| WACH-TV 57 | Columbia | SC. |
| WACY-TV 32 | Appleton | WI |
| WAFB-TV 9 | Baton Rouge | LA |
| WAFF-TV 48 | Huntsville | AL |
| WAGA-TV 5 | Atlanta | GA |
| - WAGM-TV 8 | Presque Isle | ME |
| WAKA-TV 8 | Selma | AL |
| WALA-TV 10 | Mobile | AL : |
| WALB-TV 10 | Albany | GA |
| WAND-TV 17 | Decatur | IL |
| WANE-TV 15 | Fort Wayne | IN |
| WAOW-TV 9 | Wausau | WI |
| WAPA-TV 4 | San Juan | PR |
| WAPT-TV 16 | Jackson | MS |
| WATL-TV 36 | Atlanta | GA |
| WATM-TV 23 | Altoona | $\therefore \mathrm{PA}$ |
| WAVE-TV 3 | Louisville | KY.: |
| WAVY-TV 10 | Portsmouth | VA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| WAWS-TV 30 | Jacksonville | FL. |
| WBAK-TV 38 | Terre Haute | $\mathbb{N}$ |
| WBAL-TV 11 | Baltimore | MD |
| WBBJ-TV 7 | Jackson | TN |
| WBBM-TV 2 | Chicago | IL |
| WBDC-TV 50 | Washington | DC |
| WBFF-TV 45 | Baltimore | $\because M D$ |
| WBFX-TV 20 | Lexington | NC |
| WBIR-TV 10 | Knoxville | TN. |
| WBKB-TV 11 | Alpena | Ml |
| WBKO-TV 13 | Bowling Green | . . KY |
| WBNG-TV 12 | Binghamton | ; NY |
| WBNS-TV 10 | Columbus | OH |
| WBNX-TV 55 | Akron | $\mathrm{OH}^{+}$ |
| WBOC-TV 16 | Salisbury | MD |
| WBOY-TV 12 | Clarksburg | WV |
| WBRC-TV 6 | Birmingham | $\because \mathrm{AL}$ |
| WBRZ-TV 2 | Baton Rouge | LA: |
| WBSV-TV 62 | Venice | FL : |
| WBTV-TV 3 | Charlotte | NC. |
| WBTW-TV 13 | Florence | SC |
| WBZ-TV 4 | Boston | MA |
| WCAU-TV 10 | Philadelphia | PA. |
| WCAX-TV 3 | Burlington | - VT' |
| WCBD-TV 2 | Charieston | SC. ${ }^{\circ}$ |


|  | FCC Licensee |
| :---: | :---: |
| CBS, INC. |  |
| CBS, INC. |  |
| RRM PARTNERS, L.P. |  |
| 4.CLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC |  |
| MIDWEST TELEVISION INC. |  |
| WCIV, LLC |  |
| DIVERSIFIED BROADCASTING INC. |  |
| CHRISTIAN TELEVISION CORP. |  |
| TRINITY BROADCASTING OF INDIANA |  |
| OUTLET BROADCASTING, INC. |  |
| JOURNAL BROADCASTING OF |  |
| WOODS COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION |  |
| SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCA |  |
| WCSC, INC |  |
| Pacific \& Southern Co., Inc. |  |
| EASTERN NORTH CAROLINA |  |
| WCTV Licensee Corp. |  |
| WCVB HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC. |  |
| WPTT, INC. |  |
| APPALACHIAN BROADCASTING |  |
| NEW WORLD COMMUNICATIONS OF |  |
| WDAM LICENSE SUBSIDIARY, INC. |  |
| FORUM COMMUNICATIONS CO. |  |
| FORUM COMMUNICATIONS CO. |  |
| PEGASUS BROADCAST TELEVISION, |  |
| IVDBJ TELEVISION, INC. |  |
| TVX OF WASHINETON, INC. |  |
| WOODS TELEVISION COMPANY, LLC. |  |
| POST-NEW'SWEEK STATIONS, |  |
| WEST WIND COMM. LLC |  |
| PEGASUS BROADCAST INC. |  |
| WDTN HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC. |  |
| W. RUSSELL WITHERS, JR. |  |
| WXON, INC. |  |
| CHANNEL 39, INC. |  |
| SINCLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC |  |
| WEAU License Inc. |  |
| ELCOM OF WILMINGTON, INC. |  |
| GRANITE BROADCASTING CORP. |  |
| GILMORE ENTERPRISES CORP. |  |
| WESH TELEVISION, INC. |  |
| SMITH TELEVISION OF |  |
| Grant Media |  |


| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCBS-TV 2 | New York | NY |
| WCCB-TV 18 | Charlotte | NC |
| WCCO-TV 4 | Minneapolis | MN |
| WCGV-TV 24 | Milwaukee | WI |
| WCHS-TV 8 | Charleston | WV. |
| WCIA-TV 3 | Champaign | IL |
| - WCIV-TV 4 | Charleston | SC. |
| WCJB-TV 20 | Gainesville | FL: |
| WCLF-TV 22 | Clearwater | FL' |
| WCLJ-TV 42 | Bloomington | IN |
| WGMH-TV 4 | Columbus | OH . |
| WCNC-TV 36 | Charlotte | NC. |
| WCOV-TV 20 | Montgomery | AL |
| WCPO-TV 9 | Cincinnati | OH . |
| WCSC-TV 5 | Charleston | SC: |
| WCSH-TV 6 | Portand | ME |
| WCTI-TV 12 | New Bern | NC. |
| WCTV-TV 6 | Tallahassee | FL. |
| WCVB-TV 5 | Boston | . MÄ"* |
| WCWB-TV 22 | Pittsburgh | PA |
| WCYB-TV 5 | Bristol | VA. |
| WDAF-TV 4 | Kansas City | MO: |
| WDAM-TV 7 | Laurel | MS. |
| WDAY-TV 6 | Fargo | ND' |
| WDAZ-TV 8 | Devils Lake | ND |
| WDBD-TV 40 | Jackson | MS |
| WDBJ-TV 7 | Roanoke | VA |
| WDCA-TV 20 | Washington | 'DC.' |
| WDFX-TV 34 | Ozark | AL. |
| WDIV-TV 4 | Detroit | MI |
| WDLI-TV 17 | Canton | OH |
| WDSI-TV 61 | Chattanooga. | TN |
| WDTN-TV 2 | Dayton | OH |
| WDTV-TV 5 | Weston | 'WV, |
| WDWB-TV 20 | Detroit | $\div$ ML. |
| WDZL-TV 39 | Miami | : FL |
| WEAR-TV 3 | Pensacola | FL' |
| WEAU-TV 13 | Eau Claire | WI. 3 |
| WECT-TV 6 | Wilmington | NC |
| WEEK-TV 25 | Peoria | IL |
| WEHT-TV 25 | Evansville | IN |
| WESH-TV 2 | Daytona Beach | FL. |
| WETM-TV 18 | Elmira | NY |
| WEUX-TV 48 | Chippewa Falls | W! |


| WEW INC. FCC Licensee |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO. |  |
|  | WFA |
| ${ }^{\circ} \cdot \boldsymbol{\prime}$ CLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC GiBC License Subsidiary-WFFT Inc. CHRISTIAN TEL. OF PALM DECATUR FOURSQUARE BCSTG., INC. COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. |  |
| FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC. |  |
| MALRITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC. |  |
|  | WFMJ TELEVISION, INC |
| WFMY TELEVISION CORPORATION |  |
| GROUP W/ CBS, INC. |  |
| CBS, INC. |  |
| MEREDITH CORPORATION |  |
| CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC. |  |
| TAMPA BAY |  |
| WFTV,INC. |  |
| WABASH VALLEY BROADCASTING |  |
| GOCOM Communications, L.L.C. |  |
| CLARION BROADCASTING CORP. |  |
| BOSTON CELTICS COMMUNICATIONS, |  |
| PULITZER BROADCASTING COMPANY |  |
| DP \& K, Inc. |  |
| GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. |  |
| QUINCY BROADCASTING COMPANY |  |
| GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO. |  |
| CAROLINA CHRISTIAN |  |
| WGHP LICENSE INC. |  |
| GRK PRODUCTIONS JOINT VENTURE |  |
| Communications Corporation of Ameri |  |
| GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO. |  |
| GOOD NEWS TELEVISION |  |
| WGNO INC. |  |
| WGN CONTINENTAL BROADCASTING CO |  |
| WGNX, INC. |  |
| Paxson Greensboro License Inc. |  |
| Combined Communic.Corp.of Oklahoma, |  |
| JME Media, Inc. |  |
| SCANLAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC. |  |
| BRUNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC. |  |
| KUTV Associates |  |
| GTBC License Subsidiary-WHAG Inc. |  |

FCC Licensee

WEW INC.
SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO. WFAA TV INC.
$\because \cdot{ }^{\circ}$ CLAIR BROADCAST GROUP, INC
GiBC License Subsidiary-WFFT Inc.
CHRISTIAN TEL. OF PALM
DECATUR FOURSQUARE BCSTG., INC.
COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
MALRITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC.
WFMJ TELEVISION, INC.
WFMY TELEVISION CORPORATION
GROUP WI CBS,INC.
CBS, INC.
MEREDITH CORPORATION
CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC.
TAMPA BAY TELEVISION, INC.
WFTV,INC.
WABASH VALLEY BROADCASTING
GOCOM Communications, L.L.C.
CLARION BROADCASTING CORP. BOSTON CELTICS COMMUNICATIONS, PULITZER BROADCASTING COMPANY DP \& K, Inc.
GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
QUINCY BROADCASTING COMPANY
GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO.
CAROLINA CHRISTIAN
WGHP LICENSE INC.
GRK PRODUCTIONS JOINT VENTURE
Communications Corporation of Ameri GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO.
GOOD NEWS TELEVISION
WGNO INC.
WGN CONTINENTAL BROADCASTING CO
WGNX, INC.
Paxson Greensboro License Inc.
Combined Communic.Corp.of Oklahoma, JME Media, Inc.
SCANLAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
BRUNSON COMMUNICATIONS INC.
KUTV Associates
GTBC License Subsidiary-WHAG Inc.

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEW-TV 44 | Evansville | IN |
| WEWS-TV 5 | Cleveland | OH |
| WFAA-TV 8 | Dallas | TX |
| WFBC-TV 40 | Anderson | SC |
| WFFT-TV 55 | Fort Wayne | IN |
| WFGC-TV 61 | Palm Beach | FL |
| ${ }^{*}$ WFHL-TV 23 | Decatur | IL |
| WFIE-TV 14 | Evansville | IN |
| WFLA-TV 8 | Tampa | FL |
| WFLD-TV 32 | Chicago | IL |
| WFLLX-TV 29 | West Palm Beach | FL |
| WFMJ-TV 21 | Youngstown | OH |
| WFMY-TV 2 | Greensboro | NC |
| WFOR-TV 4 | Miami | FL |
| WFRV-TV 5 | Green Bay | - WI |
| WFSB-TV 3 | Hartford | CT ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| WFTC-TV 29 | Minneapolis | MN |
| WFTS-TV 28 | Tampa | FL |
| WFTV-TV 9 | Orlando | FL |
| WFTX-TV 36 | Cape Coral | FL |
| WFXI-TV 8 | Morehead City | NC. |
| WFXL-TV 31 | Albany | GA |
| WFXT-TV 25 | Boston | - MA |
| WGAL-TV 8 | Lancaster | PA |
| WGBA-TV 26 | Green Bay | WI |
| WGBC-TV 30 | Meridian | MS |
| WGEM-TV 10 | Quincy | IL |
| WGGB-TV 40 | Springfield | MA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |
| WGGS-TV 16 | Greenville | SC* |
| WGHP-TV 8 | High Point | NC |
| WGKI-TV 33 | Cadillac | Ml |
| WGMB-TV 44 | Baton Rouge | LA: |
| WGME-TV 13 | Portland | ME. |
| WGNM-TV 64 | Macon | GA |
| WGNO-TV 26 | New Orleans | LA |
| WGN-TV 9 | Chicago | IL |
| WGNX-TV 46 | Atlanta | GA. |
| WGPX-TV 16 | Burlington | NC. |
| WGRZ-TV 2 | Buffalo | NY |
| WGSE-TV 43 | Myrtle Beach | SC |
| WGTU-TV 29 | Traverse City | MI . |
| WGTW-TV 48 | Burlington | NJ |
| WGXA-TV 24 | Macon | GA |
| WHAG-TV 25 | Hagerstown | MD |


| FCC Licensee <br> JOURNAL BROADCASTING <br> CORONET COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY <br> CHRISTIAN TELEVISION CORP. <br> NEW ENGLAND TELEVISION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| INITY BCSTG. OF FLORIDA, INC. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| SOUTHERN OHIO TELEVISION SYSTEM, |  |  |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. NEW YORK TIMES BROADCASTING |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Media General Broadcasting of Montg BENEDEK LICENSE CORP. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Roberts Brdcasting Of Hartford, LLC |  |  |
| WEST WIND COMM. LLC |  |  |
|  |  | CHRISTIAN TELEVISION |
| BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP. GUY GANNET COMMUNICATIONS |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| GUY GANNETT PUBLISHING CO. |  |  |
| SJL OF PENNSYLVANIA, INC. |  |  |
| benedek license corp. <br> FORT MYERS BROADCASTING COMPANY |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| TELEVISION WISCONSIN, INC. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| WISN HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC. COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| - Licancast |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| US BROADCAST GROUP L.L.C. WIXT-TV, INC. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| STC BROADCASTING INC. CHANNEL 68 BROADCASTING CORP. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO.PEGASUS BROADCASTING |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| NEW WORLD COMMUNICATIONS OF |  |  |
| LEWIS BROADCASTING CORP. NEXSTAR BROADCASTING OF ERIE LP |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Gray Florida Holdings |  |  |
| WJLA, INC. |  |  |
| FLINT LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP. CHANNEL 44, LTD. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. |  |  |


| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WHAS-TV 11 | Louisville | KY |
| WHBF-TV 4 | Rock Island | IL |
| WHBR-TV 33 | Pensacola | FL |
| WHDH-TV 7 | Boston | MA. |
| WHEC-TV 10 | Rochester | NY. |
| WHFT-TV 45 | Mlami | FL |
| WHIO-TV 7 | Dayton | OH |
| WHIZ-TV 18 | Zanesville | OH |
| WHLT-TV 22 | Hattiesburg | MS |
| WHNT-TV 19 | Huntsville | AL |
| WHOA-TV 32 | Montgomery | AL |
| WHOL-TV 19 | Peoria | IL |
| WHO-TV 13 | Des Moines | IA.. |
| WHPX-TV 26 | New London | - CT |
| WHSG-TV 63 | Monroe | GA. |
| WHTN-TV 39 | Murfreesboro | TN |
| WIBW-TV 13 | Topeka | KS |
| WICD-TV 15 | Champaign | IL' |
| WICS-TV 20 | Springfield | IL. |
| WICU-TV 12 | Erie | PA |
| WILX-TV 10 | Onondaga | Ml |
| WINK-TV 11 | Fort Myers | FL |
| WISC-TV 3 | Madison | WI |
| WISH-TV 8 | Indianapolis | IN |
| WISN-TV 12 | Milwaukee | WI |
| WIS-TV 10 | Columbia | SC |
| WITI-TV 6 | Milwaukee | WI.: |
| WITN-TV 7 | New Bern | NC |
| WIVT-TV 34 | Binghamton | NY |
| WIXT-TV 9 | Syracuse | NY' |
| WJAC-TV 6 | Johnstown | PA ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| WJAL-TV 68 | Hagerstown | $\therefore$ MD |
| WJAR-TV 10 | Providence | .RI. |
| WJBF-TV 6 | Augusta | GA |
| WJBK-TV 2 | Detroit | M ${ }^{\text {: }}$ |
| WJCL-TV 22 | Savannah | GA |
| WJET-TV 24 | Erie | PA ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| WJHG-TV 7 | Panama City | $\because \mathrm{FL}$ |
| WJLA-TV 7 | Washington | DC: |
| WJRT-TV 12 | Flint | M ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| WJTC-TV 44 | Pensacola | * FL |
| WJTV-TV 12 | Jackson | MS: |
| WJWB-TV 17 | Jacksonville | FL |
| WJW-TV 8 | Cleveland | . OH |



| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WJXT-TV 4 | Jacksonville | FL |
| WJZ-TV 13 | Baltimore | MD ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| WJZY-TV 46 | Belmont | NC |
| WKBD-TV 50 | Southfield | MI |
| WKBN-TV 27 | Youngstown | OH |
| WKBT-TV 8 | La Crosse | WI |
| : WKBW-TV 7 | Buffalo | NY. |
| WKCF-TV 18 | Clermont | FL: |
| WKEF-TV 22 | Dayton | OH |
| WKFT-TV 40 | Fayetteville | NC |
| WKJG-TV 33 | Fort Wayne | IN |
| WKOI-TV 43 | Richmond | IN |
| WKOW-TV 27 | Madison | W1* |
| WKPT-TV 19 | Kingsport | TN. |
| WKRC-TV 12 | Cincinnati | OH |
| WKRG-TV 5 | Mobile | AL |
| WKRN-TV 2 | Nashville | TN: |
| WKTV-TV 2 | Utica | NY' |
| WKYC-TV 3 | Cleveland | OH |
| WKYT-TV 27 | Lexington | $K Y^{*}$ |
| WLAX-TV 25 | La Crosse | WI. |
| WLBZ-TV 2 | Bangor | ME: |
| WLFI-TV 18 | Lafayette | IN. |
| WLFL-TV 22 | Raleigh | NC |
| WLII-TV 11 | Caguas | PR |
| WLIO-TV 35 | Lima | OH |
| WLKY-TV 32 | Louisville | KY |
| WLNE-TV 6 | New Bedford | MA. |
| WLNS-TV 6 | Lansing | MF. |
| WLOS-TV 13 | Ashville | NC: |
| WLOX-TV 13 | Biloxi | MS |
| WLS-TV 7 | Chicago | IL |
| WLTX-TV 19 | Columbia | SC |
| WLTZ-TV 38 | Columbus | GA |
| WLUC-TV 6 | Marquette | $\because \mathrm{MI}$. |
| WLUK-TV 11 | Green Bay | WI: |
| WLVI-TV 56 | Cambridge | MA |
| WLWT-TV 5 | Cincinnati | OH |
| WLYH-TV 15 | Lancaster | PA. |
| WMAQ-TV 5 | Chicago | IL |
| WMAR-TV 2 | Baltimore | MD. |
| WMAZ-TV 13 | Macon | GA |
| WMBB-TV 13 | Panama City | FL |
| WMBD-TV 31 | Peoria | IL |


| ALL AMERICAN TV INC. DELMARVA BROADCAST SERVICE MID-STATE TV, INC. <br> NRRIS NETWORK, INC. <br> $S$ GLIVAN BROADCASTING LICENSE HOLDE BENEDEK LICENSE CORP. HARRON COMMUNICATIONS CORP. NATIONAL BROADCASTING CO. MICHIANA TELECASTING CORP. IMS BROADCASTING LLC MEREDITH CORPORATION <br> THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY HERITAGE MEDIA CORP. WNUV-TV <br> MALRITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC. WNYT-TV, A DIV. OF HUBBARD BROADCAS FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC. <br> SAH Acquisition Corporation II MEREDITH CORPORATION <br> WABASH VALLEY BROADCASTING CORP. MALRITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC. CAPITAL COMMUNICATIONS, CORP. WOKR PARTNERS <br> WESTERN BROADCASTING WOLF LICENSE CORP. <br> COLUMBIA TELECASTING INC. LCH COMMUNICATIONS dba WOOD TV TELECINCO, INC. <br> LCH Communications dba WOTV 41 GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. CHRONICLE PUBLISHING CO. HEARST CORPORATION CORNERSTONE TELEVISION INC. GRAND STRAND COMMUNICATIONS PHOTO ELECTRONICS CORPORATION WPGH, INC. <br> WICKS BROADCAST GROUP L.P. <br> WPHL-TV, INC. <br> WPIX, INC. <br> POST-NEWSWEEK STATIONS, CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC. CHANNEL 53, INC. <br> PAXSON PHILADELPHIA LICENSE, INC. Clear Channel Television License In |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Call Letters | City | state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WMCF-TV 45 | Montgomery | AL |
| WMDT-TV 47 | Salisbury | MD. |
| WMFD-TV 68 | Mansfield | OH |
| WMGT-TV 41 | Macon | GA |
| WMSN-TV 47 | Madison | WI |
| WMTV-TV 15 | Madison | WI |
| WMTW-TV 8 | Poland Spring | ME |
| WNBC-TV 4 | New York | NY. |
| WNDU-TV 16 | South Bend | IN |
| WNDY-TV 23 | Marion | IN |
| WIJEM-TV 5 | Bay City | MI |
| WNEP-TV 16 | Scranton | PA. |
| WNNE-TV 31 | Hartford | V T |
| WNUV-TV 54 | Baltimore | MD |
| WNWO-TV 24 | Toledo | OH |
| WNYT-TV 13 | Albany | NY. |
| WNYW-TV 5 | New York | NY. |
| WOAC-TV 67 | Canton | OH |
| WOFL-TV 35 | Orlando | FL |
| WOGX-TV 51 | Ocala | FL |
| WOIO-TV 19 | Shaker Heights | OH |
| WOI-TV 5 | Ames | IA |
| WOKR-TV 13 | Rochester | NY |
| WOLE-TV 12 | Aguadilla | PR |
| WOLF-TV 38 | Scranton | PA |
| WOLO-TV 25 | Columbia | SC |
| WOOD-TV 8 | Grand Rapids | M ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| WORA-TV 5 | Mayaguez | R: |
| WOTV-TV 41 | Battle Creek | MI |
| WOWK-TV 13 | Huntington | WV |
| wowt-TV 6 | Omaha | NE |
| WPBF-TV 25 | Tequesta | FL |
| WPCB-TV 40 | Greensburg | PA |
| WPDE-TV 15 | Florence | SC |
| WPEC-TV 12 | West Palm Beach | FL. |
| WPGH-TV 53 | Pittsburgh | - PA |
| WPGX-TV 28 | Panama City | FL: |
| WPHL-TV 17 | Philadelphla | PA |
| WPIX-TV 11 | New York | NY: |
| WPLG-TV 10 | Miami | FL |
| WPMI-TV 15 | Mobile | $\therefore \mathrm{AL}$ |
| WPMT-TV 43 | York | PA. |
| WPPX-TV 61 | Wilmington | DE' |
| WPRI-TV 12 | Providence | RI |


| FCC Licensee | Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PADUCAH NEWSPAPERS INC. | WPSD-TV 6 | Paducah | KY: |
| SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO. | WPTV-TV 5 | West Palm Beach | FL' |
| CLEAR CHANNEL TELEVISION, INC. | WPTY-TV 24 | Memphis | TN |
| HFRITAGE MEDIA CORP. | WPTZ-TV 5 | North Pole | NY |
| PITAL CITIES/ABC, INC. | WPVI-TV 6 | Philadelphia | PA |
| PAXSON COMMUNICATIONS OF | WPXA-TV 14 | Rome | GA |
| PAXSON COMMUNICATIONS OF | - WPXB-TV 60 | Merrimack | NH |
| Paxson Communications Corp. | WPXH-TV 44 | Gadsden | $\mathrm{AL}^{\circ}$ |
| WPXI, INC. | WPXI-TV 11 | Pittsburgh | $P A^{*}$ |
| PINE MOUNTAIN CHRISTIAN | WPXK-TV 54 | Jellico | TN |
| Paxson Roanoke License Inc | WPXR-TV 38 | Roanoke | VA. |
| PORTLAND BCSTG. INC. | WPXT-TV 51 | Portand | ME . |
| Paxson Washington License Inc. | WPXW-TV 66 | Manassas | VA |
| THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY | WQAD-TV 8 | Moline | IL' |
| SCHOCKLEY COMMUNICATIONS CORP. | WQOW-TV 18 | Eau Claire | WI |
| PETRACOM, INC. | WQRF-TV 39 | Rockford | IL |
| CAPITOL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC | WRAL-TV 5 | Raleigh | NC |
| SPARTAN COMMUNICATIONS, INC. | WRBL-TV 3 | Columbus | GA* |
| SARKES TARZIAN, INC. | WRCB-TV 3 | Chattanooga | TN |
| NBC SUBSIDIARY (WRC-TV), INC. | WRC-TV 4 | Washington | DC |
| THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY | WREG-TV 3 | Memphis | TN |
| DAYTON TELECASTING INC. | WRGT-TV 45 | Dayton | $\mathrm{OH}^{\circ}$ |
| SPRINGFIELD INDEPENDENT | WRSP-TV 55 | Springfield | IL. |
| MC GRAW HILL BROADCASTING | WRTV-TV 6 | Indianapolis | IN. |
| WEST COAST CHRISTIAN TELEVISION | WRXY-TV 49 | Tice | - FL |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. | WSAV-TV 3 | Savannah | GA |
| BENEDEK BROADCASTING CORP. | WSAW-TV 7 | Wausau | W1* |
| VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC. | WSBK-TV 38 | Boston | - MA |
| WSBT, INC. | WSBT-TV 22 | South Bend | IN |
| NEW GEORGIA TELEVISION CO. | WSB-TV 2 | Atianta | GA. |
| NORTHSTAR TELEVISION GROUP, INC. | WSEE-TV 35 | Erie | - PA |
| WSET, INCORPORATED | WSET-TV 13 | Lynchburg | VA |
| COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION | WSFA-TV 12 | Montgomery | AL** |
| CHRISTIAN TELEVISION OF OHIO, INC | WSFJ-TV 51 | Newark | . OH |
| WILMINGTON TELECASTERS, INC. | WSFX-TV 26 | Wilmington | NC |
| WSIL-TV INC. | WSIL-TV 3 | Harrisburg | IL |
| WSJV TELEVISION, INC. | WSJV-TV 28 | Elkhart | IN |
| MEDIA GENERAL BROADCASTING INC. | WSLS-TV 10 | Roanoke | VA |
| MEREDITH CORPORATION | WSMV-TV 4 | Nashville | TN. |
| WSOC TELEVISION INC. | WSOC-TV 9 | Charlotte | NC.* |
| SPARTAN BROADCASTING COMPANY | WSPA-TV 7 | Spartanburg | $\because \mathrm{SC}$ |
| SIETE GRANDE TELEVISION, INC. | WSTE-TV 7 | Ponce | PR |
| WSTM LICENSE SUBSIDIARY, INC. | WSTM-TV 3 | Syracuse | NY |
| SUNBEAM TELEVISION CORPORATION | WSVN-TV 7 | Miami | FL |

FCC Licensee
PAPPAS TELECASTING OF OPELIKA Journal Broadcast Corporation ENCORE COMMUNICATIONS, RIVER CITY LICENSE PARTNERSHIP II

AE HEARST-ARGYLE TELEVISION, INC. GATEWAY COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FLINT LICENSE SUBSIDIARY CORP. HILTON HEAD TELEVISION, INC. WABASH VALLEY BROADCASTING CORP. VIDEOINDIANA, INC.
CHANNEL 61 LICENSE CORP.
ALL AMERICAN TV INC.
BELIEVER'S BROADCASTING CORP. TELEVISION 12
AMERICAN CHRISTIAN Journal Broadcast Corporation LWWI BROADCASTING, INC.
ELCOM OF TENNESSEE, INC.
Raycom - US, Inc.
PARAMOUNT. STATIONS GROUP-TAMPA COSMOS BROADCASTING CORPORATION
Pacific \& Southern Co., Inc.
WTTE CHANNEL 28, INC.
FOX TELEVISION STATIONS, INC.
WTTO LICENSEE, INC.
RIVER CITY BROADCASTING L.P.
FREEDOM-TV SUB INC.
CAPITAL CITIESIABC, INC.
READING BROADCASTING, INC.
LANDMARK TELEVISION OF
MEREDITH CORPORATION
NBC SUBSIDIARY (WTVJ-TV), INC.
Raycom - US, Inc.
YOUNG BROADCASTING, INC.
Ellis Communications
WTVT-TV INC.
MAX TELEVISION OF NORFOLK, L.P.
TVX OF PHILADELPHIA, INC.
COUNTERPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
ACT III BROADCASTING, INC.
JASAS, CORP.
VSC COMMUNICATIONS INC.
TV-65 Broadcasting, L.C.
ELCOM OF OHIO

| Call Letters | City | StATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WSWS-TV 66 | Opeilka | AL |
| WSYM-TV 47 | Lansing | MI : |
| WSYT-TV 68 | Syracuse | NY |
| WSYX-TV 6 | Columbus | OH |
| WTAE-TV 4 | Pittsburgh | PA |
| WTAJ-TV 10 | Altoona | PA |
| : WTBY-TV 54 | Poughkeepsie | NY |
| WTGS-TV 28 | Hardeeville | SC. |
| WTHI-TV 10 | Terre Haute | IN . |
| WTHR-TV 13 | Indianapolis | IN |
| WTIC-TV 61 | Hatford | CT. |
| WTJP-TV 60 | Gadsden | AL |
| WTJR-TV 16 | Quincy | IL. |
| WTLV-TV 12 | Jacksonville | FL |
| WTLW-TV 44 | Lima | OH |
| WTMJ-TV 4 | Milwaukee | WI |
| WTNH-TV 8 | New Haven | CT |
| WTNZ-TV 43 | Knoxville | TN:. |
| WTOC-TV 11 | Savannah | GA ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| WTOG-TV 44 | St. Petersburg | FL: |
| WTOL-TV 11 | Toledo | - $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| WTSP-TV 10 | St. Petersburg | - FL. |
| WTTE-TV 28 | Columbus | OH . |
| WTTG-TV 5 | Washington | DC. |
| WTTO-TV 21 | Birmingham | .. AL |
| WTTV-TV 4 | Bloomington | IN. |
| WTVC-TV 9 | Chattanooga | TN: |
| WTVD-TV 11 | Durham | NC: |
| WTVE-TV 51 | Reading | PA: |
| WTVF-TV 5 | Nashville | TN |
| WTVH-TV 5 | Syracuse | NY:- |
| WTVJ-TV 6 | Miami | FL |
| WTVM-TV 9 | Columbus | GA. |
| WTVO-TV 17 | Rockford | IL: |
| WTVR-TV 6 | Richmond | VA : |
| WTVT-TV 13 | Tampa | FL |
| WTVZ-TV 33 | Norfolk | $V A$ : |
| WTXF-TV 29 | Philadelphia | PA |
| WTXX-TV 20 | Waterbury | CT. |
| WUHF-TV 31 | Rochester | NY. |
| WUNI-TV 27 | Worcester | - MÁ |
| WUPA-TV 69 | Atlanta | GA |
| WUPV-TV 65 | Ashland | VA. |
| WUPW-TV 36 | Toledo | $\mathrm{OH}^{\prime *}$ |

FCC Licensee
THE DETROIT NEWS, INC.
ACT III BROADCASTING OF WEST VIRGINIA TELECASTING, INC. WVEC TELEVISION, INC

GHT BCG OF BATON ROUGE INC. US bROADCAST GROUP L.L.C.
BIRMINGHAM BROADCASTING (WVTM-TV), SF BROADCASTING OF NEW ORLEANS, WVVA TELEVISION, INCORPORATED JEFFERSON PILOT BROADCASTING USA Broadcast Group
BENEDEK L.ICENSE CORP.
WWL-TV. INC.
ATLANTIC MEDIA GROUP
GRANITE BROADCASTING CORP.
WATERTOWN/CARTHAGE WWOR-TV, INC.
Smith Bcg. Group Of Watertown, L.P.
ALL AMERICAN TV INC.
HERITAGE BROADCASTING COMPANY OF WWWB-TV Co.
COMBINED COMMUNICATIONS CORP. PULITZER BROADCASTING COMPANY 59 LICENSEE, INC.
MALRITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC. TV 17 UNLIMITED, INC.
SCHOCKLEY COMMUNICATIONS CORP. HERITAGE BROADCASTING SCRIPPS-HOWARD BROADCASTING CO. Gocom License Corp.
PULITZER BROADCASTING COMPANY Gray Kentucky Television, Inc.
REEL BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC. MONTCLAIR COMMUNICATIONS Combined Communic.Corp.of Oklahoma,

| Call Letters | City | STATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WUSA-TV 9 | Washington | DC: |
| WUTV-TV 29 | Buffalo | NY. |
| WVAH-TV 11 | Charleston | WV |
| WVEC-TV 13 | Hampton | VA |
| WVLA-TV 33 | Baton Rouge | LA |
| WVNY-TV 22 | Burlington | VT |
| WVTM-TV 13 | Birmingham | AL |
| WVUE-TV 8 | New Orleans | LA |
| WVA-TV 6 | Bluefield | W. |
| WWBT-TV 12 | Richmond | VA |
| WNCP-TV 8 | Johnstown | PA |
| WWLP-TV 22 | Springfield | MA. |
| WWL-TV 4 | New Orleans | LA: |
| WWMB-TV 21 | Florence | SC |
| WWMT-TV 3 | Kalamazoo | Ml ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| WWNY-TV 7 | Carthage | NY |
| WWOR-TV 9 | Secaucus | NJ |
| WWTI-TV 50 | Watertown | NY' |
| WWTO-TV 35 | LaSalle | IL |
| WWTV-TV 9 | Cadillac | MI |
| WWWB-TV 32 | Tampa | FL: |
| WXIA-TV 11 | Atlanta | GA |
| WXII-TV 12 | Winston-Salem | NC |
| WXIN-TV 59 | Indianapolis | $\mathbb{N}$ |
| WXIX-TV 19 | Newport | KY |
| WXMI-TV 17 | Grand Rapids | - MI |
| WXOW-TV 19 | La Crosse | WI' |
| WXXA-TV 23 | Albany | NY |
| WXYZ-TV 7 | Detroit | Mi |
| WYDO-TV 14 | Greenville | NC |
| WYFF-TV 4 | Greenville | $\because$ SC |
| WYMT-TV 57 | Hazard | KY ${ }^{4}$ |
| WZTV-TV 17 | Nashville | TN |
| WZVN-TV 26 | Naples-Fort Myers | FL |
| WZZM-TV 13 | Grand Rapids | Mi |

## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 25 <br> TAB 2 (MC 04-05 EX. 2)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

## LOCAL STATION BLANKET TELEVISION LICENSE

## AGREEMENT made between AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS ("SOCIETY")

 and $\qquad$ ("LICENSEE") as follows:
## 1. Term and Scope of License

A. SOCIETY grants to LICENSEE and LICENSEE accepts for a period commencing as of April i, 1998 and ending December 31, 2009, a license to perform publicly all musical works heretofore copyrighted, composed or written by the members of SOCIETY and now or hereafter during the term hereof in the repertory of SOCIETY, or hereafter during the term hereof copyrighted, composed or written by such members of SOCIETY, or of which SOCIETY shall have the right to license such performing rights:
(1) by Television Broadcasting in the United States, and its territories, commonwealth and possessions, as part of LICENSEE's Non-Network Television Programs and Non-Network Announcements from television station
$\qquad$ ("STATION") located at $\qquad$ ; and
(2) transmitted or caused to be transmitted either directly or indirectly over the Internet in and as part of a STATION Web Site. only in connection with:
(a) the simultaneous retransmission of any of STATION's Locally-Produced Television Programs;
(b) the retransmission of all or a portion of any of STATION's Locally-Produced Television Programs that aired during the term of this Agreement; and
(c) content broadcast, transmitted or retransmitted on any Web Site or portion thereof used primarily to promote STATION and/or the exhibition
B. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the license granted herein shall not include transmissions described in Subparagraphs 1.A.(2)(a) and 1.A.(2)(b) above where such transmissions, contain Programs which areinationally or regionally aired regularly scheduled


 retransmit music in SOCIETY's repertory contained in such Programs in the manner described in Subparagraphs 1.A.(2)(a) and

C. The license granted herein does not covefgansiissions on "S:ATION Web Site of music in SOCIETY's repertory where members of the public are charged a fee by STAito yof the right to access such pansmissions. Such transmissions shall be subject to appropriate separate licensing. Notwithstanding theforegoing, the fact that STXiliov may charge members of the public for access to discrete areas of a STATION Web Site other thathtiose ateas containing petarnanses licensed hereunder shall not limit the scope of coverage of this license.

D. (1) This license does not extend to or include the public performance by Television Broadcasting or otherwise of any rendition or performance of (a) anyepera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production, as such, in whole or in part, or (b) any composition from any opeta operetts musicalicomedy, play, or like production (whether or not such opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like prodtaction was presentedion the stage or inmotionpicture form) in a manner which recreates the performance of such composition with substantially suchdistinctive scenery or costume as was used in the presentation of such opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production (whether or not such opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production was presented on the stage or in motion picture form); provided, however, that the rights granted to LICENSEE under this Agreement shall be deemed to include a grant of the right to make non-dramatic performances of compositions licensed hereunder by the Television Broadcasting of a motion picture containing such compositions if the rights in such motion picture other than those licensed under this Agreement have been obtained from the parties in interest.
(2) Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to license the public performance by Television Broadcasting of dramatic performances. Any performance of a separate musical composition which is not a dramatic performance, as defined herein, shall be deemed to be a non-dramatic performance. For purposes of this Agreement, a dramatic performance shall mean a performance of a musical composition as part of a television Program in which there is a definite plot depicted by action and where the performance of the musical composition is woven into and carries forward the plot and its accompanying action. The use of dialogue to establish a mere Program format or the use of any non-dramatic device merely to introduce a performance of a composition shall not be deemed to make such performance dramatic. For purposes of this Agreement, performanices of compositions in music videos shall be construed as non-dramatic performances.
E. The performances licensed hereunder may originate at STATION or at any other place whether or not such other place is licensed to perform publicly the compositions licensed hereunder, regardless of the manner, means, or method of such origination; but nothing herein contained shall be deemed to grant a license to such place itself (or to the parties responsible for the performance therein) for the public performance in such place of any such compositions.
F. Except as expressly herein otherwise provided, nothing berein contained shall be construed as authorizing LICENSEE to grant to others any right to reproduce or perform publicly by any means, method or process whatsoever, any of the musical compositions licensed hereunder or as authorizing any receiver of any television broadcast to perform publicly or reproduce the same, by any means, method or process whatsoever.

In the Matter of Distribution of the
G. The license granted herein shall-include, on an experimental basis, for no additional fee to SOCIETY, the right to engage in such non-dramatic public performances of musical works in SOCIETY's repertoire as may result from IICENSEE's free, over-the-air digital transinissions occurring within STATION's existing geographic market(s) over FCC-assigned chamels.
H. This Agreement expressly incorporates, and SOCIETY and 1 YCENSEE agree to be bound by, the provisions of the letter agreement, dated November 16, 2004, between SOCIETY and TELEVISION MUSIC LICENSE COMMITTEE ("COMMITTTEE"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

## 2. Definitions

For purposes of this Agreement only:
A. "Affiliated Station" means any Television Broadcasting station in the United States and its territories that regularly broadcaṣts Programs transmitted by a television network licensed by SOCIETY during the term hereof.
B. "Announcement" means any commercial, promotional, or public service announcement (exclusive of program-length "infomercials" of greater duration than 120 seconds), or any producer's or distributor's logo.
C. "ASCAP Consent Decree" means the Second Amended Fimal Judgment, or any successor decree, in United States v. ASCAP, S.D.N.Y. 41-1395 (WCC).
D. "COMMITTEE" means the Television Music License Committee, an unincorporated membership association organized under the laws of the State of New York, which is culy authorized to represent local television stations in masic licensing matters.
E. "LMA OPERATOR" means any person, firm or corporation not under the same or substantially the same ownership, management or control as LICENSEE with whom LICENSEE has entered into a Local Marketing Agreement.
F. "Local Marketing Agreement" means any arrangement between LICENSEE and an LMA OPERATOR that: :.
(1) authorizes the resale by an LMA OPERATOR of the use of the Television Broadcasting facilities of STATION;
(2) permits an LMA OPERATOR to provide Programs for all or substantially all of the time STATION is on the air; and
(3) provides for the sale by an LMA OPERATOR of all or substantially all Announcements broadcast on STATION.
G. "Locally-Produced Television Program" means any Non-Network Television Program produced by, or expressly for, IICENSEE.
H. "Network Announcement" means any Announcement tansmitted by a television network licensed by SOCIETY at the time such Announcement is broadcast on the network, and broadcast simultaneously or by so-called "delayed" or "repeat" broadcasts (sometimes known as "rebroadcasts") over two or more Affiliated Stations of that network.
$\therefore$ I. "Network Television Program" means any Program, transmitted by a television network licensed by SOCIETY at the time such Program is broadcast on the network, identified as a Program of the network, and broadcast siminltaneously or by so-called "delayed" or "repeaf" broadcasts' (sometimes known as "rebroadcasts") over two or more Affiliated Stations of that network.
J. "Non-Network Announcement" means any Announcement broadcast by STATION other than a Network Announcement.
K. "Non-Network Television Program" means any Program broadcast by STATION other than a Network Television Program.
L. "Program" means all material (visual or otherwise) broadcast by STATION other than Announcements.
M. "STATION Web Site" shall mean the Web Site operated by or for STATION as the STATION's Web Site and shall include any Web Site that is shared between two or more stations in the same market, or two or more stations with a common owner.
N. "Syndicated Television Program" means: (i) any Non-Network Television Program supplied to LICENSEEE and other televi- sion stations by a producer, distributor or television network not licensed by SOCIETY; or (ii) any other Program that is not a LocallyProduced Television Program:
O. "Television Broadcasting" shall mean free, unscrambled, point-to-multipoint over-the-air local analog or digital broadcasting by means of television.
P. "Web Site" shall mean an Internet computer service comprising a series of interrelated web pages registered with a domain name registration service that STATION transmits or causes to be transmitted either directly or indirectly to persons who receive the service over the Internet by means of a personal computer or by means of another device capable of receiving Internet transmissions.

## 3. Right to Restrict

A. The members of SOCIETY shall have the right, at any time and from time to time, in good faith, to restrict the Television Broadcasting of compositions from musical comedies, operas, operettas and motion pictures, or any other composition being excessively broadcast, only for the pupose of preventing harmful effect upon such musical comedies, operas, operettas, motion pictures ot compositions, in respect of other interests under the copyrights thereof; provided; however, that the maximum number of compositions which may be at any time thus restricted shall not exceed 750 and moreover that limited licenses will be granted upon application to SOCIETY entirely free of additional charge as to restricted compositions, if and when the copyright owners thereof are unable to show reasonable hazards to their major interests likely to result from such Television Broadcasting; and provided farther that such right to
restrict any such composition shall not be exercised for the purpose of permitting the fixing or regulating of fees for the recording or transcribing of such compositioi;; and provided further that in no case shall any charges, "free plags," or other consideration be required in respect of any permission granted to perform a restricted composition; and provided firther that in no event shall any composition, after the initial television broadcast thereof, be restricted for the purpose of confining further television broadcasts thereof to a particnlar artist, station, network or Program.
B. SOCIETY reserves the further right, at any time and from time to time, in good faith, to restrict the Television Broadcasting of any compositions, over and above the number specified in Subparagraph 3.A., only as to which any suit has been brought or threatened on a claim that sach composition infringes a composition not contained in the repertory of SOCIETY or on a claim by a nonmember of SOCIETY or by a member not listed in any current list of SOCIETY's members, as the same may be angmented from time to time, that SOCIETY does not have the right to license the public performance of such composition by Television Broadcasting.
C. Nothing in Subparagraphs 3.A. and 3.B. shall relieve SOCIETY of its obligation to indemnify LICENSEE, as reflected in Paragraph 8. below, with respect to the performances of any compositions in SOCIETY's repertory, the performance of which SOCEETY has restricted, prior to such time as LICENSEE receives notice from SOCIETY of any such restriction.

## 4. Music Use Information

A. Subject to the provisions of Subparagraphs 4.B. and 4.C. below, LICENSEE agrees to furnish to SOCIETY upon request during the term of this Agreement a list of all musical compositions broadcast from or through STATION on LICENSEE'S Non-Network Television Programs, showing the title of each composition and the composer and author thereof, provided that LICENSEE shall not be obligated under this Paragraph 4. to furnish such a list covering a period of more than seven (7) consecutive days or periods aggregating more than four (4) weeks during any one calendar.year. For purposes of this Paragraph 4., music cue sheets containing the aforesaid information shall be deemed to constitute such a list.
B. With respect to Syndicated Television Programs broadcast from or through STATION, LICENSEE shall be deemed to have complied with its obligations under Subparagraph 4.A. if LICENSEE identifies the Program by its title, including episode titie and/or number, the name of the producer where available, and the copyright notice contained therein where available. If SOCIETY does not have a music cue sheet for such Program, and LICENSEE does have such a cue sheet, LICENSEE shall provide a copy of such music cue sheet to SOCIETY at SOCIETY's request.
C. SOCIETY shall make requests pursuant to Subparagraph 4.A. only where reasonably necessary for its purposes and, except where the information is necessary with respect to SOCDETY's survey of past performances, shall give LICENSEE notice of any request under subparagraph 4.A. at least one (1) month prior to the commencement of the period covered by said request. The provisions of Subparagraph 4.B. shall not limit LICENSEE's obligation to cooperate with SOCIETY in connection with any claim or deinand for action referred to in Paragraph 8 of this Agreement.

## 5. Payments

A. In consideration of the license herein granted, LICENSEE agrees to pay to SOCDETY for each calendar month during the term of this Agreement a fee that is equal to:
(1) one-thirteenth (1/13) of LICENSEE's blanket license fee covering the thitteen (13) month period from December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2005, as calculated pursuant to the methodology determined by COMMITTEE and set forth in Exhibit $B$ hereto.
(2) one-twelfth (1/12) of LICENSEE's blanket license fee covering each subsequent twelve (12) month period daring the term of this Agreement, as calculated pursuant to the methodology deternined by COMMITTEE and set forth in Exhibit B hereto.
B. In no case shall LICENSEE's monthly blanket license fee be less than $\$ 45$.
C. For all periọds following execution of this Agreement, payments atributable to a given month shall be duie no later than the first day of each succeeding month. If any such blanket license fee payment due under the terms of this Paragraph 5. is not received by SOCIETY by the twentieth (20th) day of the month in which such payment was due, LICENSEE shall pay to SOCIETY a late-payment charge of one percent ( $1 \%$ ) per month (simple interest) calculated from the date such payment was due. The payment provisions of this Paragraph 5 shall not apply in circumstances in which LICENSEE is unable to submit a payment. within the specified time period due to "force majeure" (e.g., earthquake, hurricane, fire, flood; terrorist activities).

## 6. Lacal Marketing Agreement

A. If LICENSEE is, or becomes, a party to a Local Marketing Agreement, LICENSEE and the LMA OPERATOR shall execute a letter to SOCEETY, in the form attached as Exhibit C and made a part of this Agreement, requesting amendment of this License Agreement to add the LMA OPERATOR as a party. When such a letter has been fully executed by LICENSEE, the LMA OPERATOR and SOCIETY, this Agreement shall be deemed amended accordingly.
B. In the event LICENSEE is a party to a Local Marketing Agreement, and a dispute arises between SOCCETY and either the LMA OPERATOR or LICENSEE as to whether LICENSEE or the LMA OPERATOR is responsible for the performance of any of the obligations arising under this Agreement, SOCIETY shall be entitled to receive, upon request, a copy of the portion of such agreement as sets forth the respective obligations of LICENSEE and the LMA OPERATOR regarding the payment of fees, accountings, recordkeeping and administrative responsibilities, or, if LICENSEE so elects, a copy of the entire Local Marketing Agreement.

## 7. Breach or Default

Upon LICENSEE's breach or defaflt of any payment, accounting or substantive reporting obligations required under the terms of this Agreement, SOCIETY may give LICENSEE thirty (30) days' notice in writing to cure such breach or default, and in the event that such breach or default has not been cured within thirty (30) days of said notice, SOCIETY may then terminate this license.

## 8. Indemnity Clause

SOCIETY agrees to indemnify, save and hold harmiess, and to defend LICENSEE, its sponsors and their advertising agencies, and its and their officers, employees, and artists, and each of them, from and against any claims, demands, or suits that may be made or brought against them or any of them with respect to the performances under this Agreement of any compositions. in SOCIETY's repertory that are written or copyrighted by members of SOCIETY. LICENSEE agrees to give SOCIETY immediate notice of any such claim, demand, or suit and agrees immediately to deliyer to SOCETTY all papers pertaining thereto. SOCIETY shall have full charge of the defense of any such claim, demand, or suit and LICENSEE shall cooperate fully with SOCIETY in sach defense. LICENSEE, however, shall have the right to engage counsel of its own at its own expense who may participate in the deferise of any such action. SOCIETY agrees, at the request of LICENSEE, to cooperate with and assist LICENSEE, its advertisers and their advertising agencies and its and their officers, employees, and artists in the defense of any action or proceeding brought against them or any of them with respect to the performance of any masical compositions contained in SOCIETY's repertory, but not copyrighted or written by members of SOCIETY. This Paragraph 8. shall not apply to performances of any works that have been designated as restricted under Paragraph 3. of this Agreement.

## 9. Rights of Termination

A. In the event of the termination or suspension of the govermmental licenses covering STATION or any substantial alteration or variation of the terms and conditions thereof, or any major interference with the operations. of STATYON due to governmental measures or restrictions, LICENSEE shall have the right to terminate this Agreement upon seven (7) days' notice. Upon termination, this Agreement shall no longer remain in effect and the parties shall be relieved of all obligations arising hereunder from the date of termination.
B. In the event of:
(1) any major interference with the operations of SOCIETY in the state, territory, dependency, possession or political subdivision in which STATION is located, by reason of any law of such state, territory, dependency, possession or political subdivision; or
(2) any substantial fncrease in the cost to SOCIETY of operating in such state, territory, dependency, possession or political subdivision, by reason of any law of such state, territory, dependency, possession or political subdivision, which is applicable to the licensing of performing rights.

SOCIETY shall have the right, upon notice to COMMITTEB and upon a showing that the matters referred to in Subparagraphs 9.B.(1) and 9.B.(2) above affect the licensing of performing tights under this Agreement, to apply to the judge with supervisory authority over the ASCAP Consent Decree for whatever relief SOCIETY deems appropriate.

## 10. 'Successors and A.ssigns

This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, but no assignment shall relieve the parties hereto of their respective obligations hereunder as to performances broadcast, acts done and obligations incurred prior to the effective date of the assignment.

## 11. Notices

Any notice filed under this Agreement shall be in written form or in a form mutually agreed upon by SOCIETY and COMMMTITEE and shall be sent to LICENSEE (or a designated agent of LICENSEE). All notices required or permitted to be given by either of the parties to the other hereunder shall be duly and properly given if: (a) mailed to the other party by registered or certified United States mail; (b) sent by genierally recognized same-day or overnight delivery service; (c) mailed by first class United States mail; or (d) sent by electronic transmission (i.e., electronic mail, facsimile or similar transmission), provided that the electronic transmission is followed by a hard copy and receipt of the notice is acknowledged.

## 12. Per Program License

The "Local Station Per Program Television License," cotermincus with this License, is being offered to LICENSEE simultaneously with this Agreement. During the term of this Agreement, LICENSEE may switch from a per program to a blanket license, or from a blanket to a per program license, as of the first day of a month, prospectively on thirty ( 30 ) days' writen notice to SOCIETY. LICENSEE may so elect to change its license statuis up to twice in any given twelve (12) month period during the term of this License.

## 13. Without Prejudice

The parties are entering into tbis Agreement without prejudice to any arguments or positions they may assert in any fature rate proceeding concerning what constitutes reasonable blanket and per program license fees and terms for the local television industry or, in SOCIETY's case, as to any other licensee.

## 14. Applicable Law

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York applicable to contracts made and to be penformed entirely within such State.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been duly executed by SOCIETY and LICENSEE this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 __ , as of the day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$
$\qquad$ By:

Title: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## EXHIBIT A

November 16, 2004

Mr. John LoFirumento
American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers
One Lincoln Plaza
New York, NY 10023

## Re: ASCAP - Local Television Station <br> Blanket and Per Program Licenses

Dear John:
This letter sets forth the agreement reached between the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers ("ASCAP") and the Television Music License Committee (the "Committee") with regard to: (i) settlement of U.S. V. ASCAP: Application of Post-Newsweek Stations, Inc. et al., Civ. 41-1395 (S.D.N.Y.); and (ii) fees and terms under the ASCAP - Local Television Station Blanket and Per Program License Agreements covering the period April 1, 1998 through December 31, 2009 (collectively the "Licenses"). This letter agreemient is expressly incorporated in Subparagraph 1.H. of the Licenses, and is binding upon the parties hereto and upon the signatories to the Licenses.

The parties agree as follows:

1. The interim agreement between the parties shall remain in effect through November 30, 2004. All interim fees payable for the period April 1, 1998 through November 30, 2004 shall be final.
2. Industry-wide Blanket License fees for all commercial local television stations licensed under the Licenses by ASCAP shall equal:

December 1, 2004 - December 31, 2005 -- $\$ 92,083,333$ (or $\$ 85$ million on an annualized basis);
January 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006 -- $\$ 85$ million adjusted by the lesser of the change in the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") or three percent (3\%) (the '"2006 Blanket Amount");

January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007 -- the 2006 Blanket Amount adjusted by the lesser of the change in the CPI or three percent (3\%) (the " 2007 Blanket Amount");

January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008 -- the 2007 Blanket Amount adjusted by the lesser of the change in the CPI or three percent (3\%) (the " 2008 Blanket Amount");

January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2009 -- the 2008 Blanket Amount adjusted by the lesser of the change in the CPI or three percent (3\%) (the " 2009 Blanket Amount").
3. Each local television station's Blanket License fees shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of the license fee allocation formula determined by the Committee and attached as Exhibit B to the Blanket License and Exhibit B to the Per Program License.
4. Each year during the term of the Licenses, ASCAP shall provide to the Committee a list of current ASCAP-licensed local television stations. . The list of licensees shall be delivered to the Committee, in electronic form, on or before September 15 of each year during the term. For each licensee, ASCAP shall provide the following information: (i) current station call letters; (ii) designated market area ("DMA"); (iii) state; (iv) FCC identification number; (v) ASCAP account number; (vi) channel position; (vii) station owner; (viii) network affiliation (if any); and
(ix) previous call letters (if any) if contained in any database within ASCAP's control. For each newly-licensed station appearing on the list, ASCAP shall also provide: (i) signed status; (ii) date license was signed; (iii) date of first payment; and (iv) effective date of license. Any licensee added to the list between September 16 of any given year and September 15 of the following year will be included in the allocation formula the following year. In the interim, such stations will be billed at the minimum fee for their respective DMAs. ASCAP shall clearly identify in each list any licensees added to or deleted from the previous list. Without limiting ASCAP's right to terminate the Licenses pursuant to Paragraph 9 or the Per Program License and Paragraph 7 of the Blanket License, ASCAP may not delete a station from the list of licensees for failure to make payments under this agreement absent a court order.
5. In addition to the list of ASCAP-licensed stations described in Paragraph 4 above, ASCAP shall provide to the Committee no less frequently than once per quarter, in electronic form, a list of current per program licensees identified by call letters, DMA, and per program license effective date.
6. In each of the years 2005,2007 and 2009, within sixty (60) days of receipt of a written request from the Committee, ASCAP shall provide to the Committee, in electronic form, cue sheets for a statistically significant and representative sample of programs, as selected by an independent third party chosen by the Committee, for use solely in comnection with the Committee's study of music use by the local television industry. The Committee may use the results of any such music use study in connection with any negotiation, arbitration, or litigation with ASCAP, BMI, SESAC, or any other performing rights organization, or for any other reasonable purpose; provided, however, that the Committee shall not otherwise publicly disclose the results of any such study without ASCAP's prior approval.
7. In the event the Committee and BMI reach a final agreement which, taken as a whole, is materially different from the Committee - ASCAP agreement, either party shall have the right, by no later than September 1, 2007, to commence good faith negotiations conceming what, if any, adjustments to their agreement are appropriate for the period commencing January 1,2008 through the remainder of the term of the Licenses. The parties shall submit to Magistrate Judge Dolinger (or if such a reference is not possible, to the judge with supervisory authority over the ASCAP Consent Decree) all disputes concerning whether there is such a material difference between the provisions of the parties' agreement and the provisions of any final Committee - BMI agreement. If the parties agree, or the Court determines, that there is such a material difference between the Committee - ASCAP and Committee - BMI agreements, and no new agreement has been reached by the parties as a resuit of their good faith negotiations, either party shall have the right to opt out of the remaining term of the Licenses effective January 1, 2008. In the event either party elects under this paragraph to opt out of the Licenses effective January 1, 2008, stations shall continue to pay interim fees to ASCAP pursuant to the terms of this agreement, subject to retroactive adjustment once final blanket and per program license fees are established by agreement of the parties or determination by the ASCAP rate court.
8. If neither party has opted out of the Licenses effective January 1, 2008 pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 7 above, either ASCAP or the Committee shall have the right, by no later than November 1, 2008 to opt out of the remaining term of the Licenses effective January 1,2009. The parties have not reached any understanding as to the interim fees payable effective January 1,2009 in the event either party opts out of the agreement pursuant to this paragraph.
9. At least once every six months, ASCAP and the Committee (or its designated representative) shall meet in good faith to resolve any outstanding billing, payment, or reporting disputes between ASCAP and any Licensee. Any good faith dispute that is not resolved during such meetings may be submitted to arbitration as provided in Paragraph 10 below.
10. If ASCAP and the Committee (or its designated representative) are unable to resolve one or more good faith disputes pursuant to Paragraph 9 above, and, in the judgment of ASCAP and/or the Committee, such outstanding disputes affect a significant number of Licensees and/or involve a substantial dollar amount, such outstanding disputes shall be finally determined and resolved by a neutral arbirrator. The arbitrator shall be selected jointly by ASCAP and the Committee and appointed for a four (4) year period commencing on January 1, 2006; provided, however, that either party shall have the right to request the appointment of a new arbitrator upon written notice to the other party on or before October 1, 2007. Any such new arbitrator shall be selected jointly by ASCAP and the

Committee and appointed for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009. If the parties are unable to agree upon an arbitrator by December 1, 2005, or December 1, 2007 (if applicable), selection of an arbitrator shall be con-. ducted pursuant to the rules of the American Arbitration Association. If an arbitrator is unable to fulfill his or her term for any reason, the parties shall, within a reasonable time period, jointly select a new arbitrator to complete the term. If either ASCAP or the Committee submits one or more outstanding good faith disputes to arbitration pursuant to this paragraph, it shall so notify the arbitrator and the opposing party. Each party shall have thirty (30) days from the date of such notice to submit a statement of claim, and any supporting documentation, to the arbitrator and to the other party setting forth the party's positions regarding the dispute(s) at issue in the arbitration; provided, however, that the deadline for submission of a statement of claim may be extended up to thirty (30) days at the discretion of the arbitrator upon a showing of good cause by either party. The statements of claim shall not exceed ten doublespaced pages in length (exclusive of any supporting documentation). The arbitrator shall have thirty ( 30 ) days from his or her receipt of the statements of claim to issue a written decision adopting one of the two positions put forth by the parties with respect to each disputed issue under arbitration, and to determine a just division of costs between the parties as the arbitrator may deem appropriate. The arbitrator's decision shall be final. The arbitrator shall not have authority to award punitive damages, attorneys' fees or expenses to either party. In no event shall either party submit to arbitration any good faith dispute that the parties have not yet attempted to resolve themselves pursuant to Paragraph 9 above.
11. If, during the term of the Licenses, any dispute arises between ASCAP and any licensee concerning the interpretation of any of the provisions of this letter agreement or the Licenses which, in the judgment of ASCAP and/or the Committee, has or may bave industry-wide impact, ASCAP and the Committee shall first endeavor to resolve such dispute, failing which either party may refer the matter to Magistrate Judge Michael. H. Dolinger for determination (or, if such a reference is not possible, to the judge with supervisory authority over the ASCAP Consent Decree). In the event of such a reference, either party, as a preliminary matter, shall be entitled to assert that the dispute between them is not properly dealt with under the terms of this provision.
12. If, during the term of the Licenses, ASCAP elects to license an entity agreed or determined to be a broadcast television "network" previously unlicensed by ASCAP, whose network programs are carried by local television stations licensed by ASCAP, such as Fox, appropriate adjustments shall be made to the license fees payable by local television station licensees consistent with the Opinions and Orders of Judge William Conner dated January 3, 1995 and January 27, 1995 in U.S. v. ASCAP: Application of Fox Broadcasting Company and Fox Television Stations, Inc: ASCAP and the Committee shall confer and attempt to reach agreement concerning the amount of any such fee adjustments and such agreement shall be binding on all hicensees. If ASCAP and the Committee shall fail to agree on such fee adjustments, either party may refer the matter to Magistrate Judge Michael H. Dolinger for determination (or, if such a reference is not possible, to the judge with supervisory authority over the ASCAP Consent Decree).
13. The Committee shall treat as confidential any station's financial or other proprietary information or documents provided to it by ASCAP pursuant to the Local Television Station Per Program License Agreement ("Confidential Information"). The Committee shall limit access to Confidential Information to the Committee's staff, representatives and counsel, and shall not disclose Confidential Information to any third party or to any Committee member, other than a Committee member who is employed by the station or station group that provided Confidential Information to ASCAP.
14. ASCAP and the Committee are entering into this agreement without prejudice to any arguments or positions they may assert in any future rate proceeding concerning what constitutes reasonable blanket and per program license fees and terms for the local television industry or, in ASCAP's case, as to any other licensee.

Please indicate your agreement to the above by signing on the line provided below.
Very traly yours,

## stCharles Sennet

Charles Sennet
Chairman
Television Music License Committee

## s/ John A. LoFrumento

John A. LoFrumento
Chief Executive Officer
American Society of Composers
Authors and Publishers

## EXHIBIT B

Television Music License Committee<br>Methodology for ASCAP License Fee Allocation for the Period<br>From December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2009

The Industry-wide Blanket License fees for all commercial local television stations licensed under the ASCAPLocal Television Station Blanket License Agreements covering the period December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2009 (the "licensed television stations"), shall be allocated among the licensed television stations as follows (subject to revision pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 8 below):

## STEP 1: Allocation of Industry-Wide Fee Among DMA Markets

For the period December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2005, and for each of the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 ("Contract Periods"), each Nielsen DMA television market is to be assigned its gross allocable share of the Industry-wide Blanket License fee (as set forth in Paragraph 2 of the November 16, 2004 letter agreement between the Television Music License Committee and ASCAP) in proportion to its percentage of the total number of weighted Qualified Viewing Households throughout the U.S. in an average quarter-hour during nine sweeps months over the course of the previous three years.

1. The number of Qualified Viewing Households will be computed for each licensed television station based upon average quarter hour household viewing data, Sunday through Saturday, 9 a.m. through midnight, compiled by Nielsen during nine sweeps months over the previous three years. The Qualified Viewing Households attributable to each DMA market shall be calculated by multiplying the average quarter hour viewing households for all licensed stations in the market by 420 (the number of quarter hours between $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and midnight in one week).
2. For each of the Contract Periods, the number of Market Qualified Viewing Households in each of the roughly 210 DMA maikets as measured by Nielsen 2 is to be "weighted" as follows:

| DMA Markets $1-10$ | Multiply by 1.21 |
| :--- | :--- |
| BMA Markets $11-25$ | Multiply by 1.05 |
| DMA Markets $26-50$ | Multiply by 0.92 |
| DMA Markets $51-75$ | Multiply by 0.85 |
| DMA Markets $76-100$ | Multiply by 0.85 |
| DMA Markets $101-125$ | Multiply by 0.80 |
| DMA Markets 126 plus | Multiply by 0.75 |

The purpose of the weighting is to reflect, within broad parameters, that a household in a smaller market does not represent the same value as a household in a larger market.
3. For each Contract Period, each market is to be assigned its share of the industry's overall blanket license fee by the following procedure: The Market Qualified Viewing Households in the DMA market will be multiplied by the weight set forth in Paragraph 2 above for that DMA market to determine the weighted number of Market Qualified Viewing Households for the DMA market. Thus, for example, the top ten markets in terms of three-year households average will receive a 1.21 multiple. Each market's weighted Market Qualified Viewing Households number is to be

[^77]divided by the total U.S. weighted market Qualified Viewing Households to derive a percentage of U.S. weighted Market Qualified Viewing Households for each market. This weighted percentage is then applied to the industry-wide blanket license fee. Thus, if the weighted percentage of total U.S. Market Qualified Viewing Households for DMA market " $x$ " is one percent, DMA market X's share of the industry-wide $\$ 92,083,333$ fee for the December 1, 2004 through the December 31, 2005 Contract Period would be $\$ 92,083,333 \times 1 \%$, or $\$ 920,833.33$.

## STEP 2: Allocation of Blanket License Fees to Stations Within Each Market

4. Each station's percentage share of the DMA market blanket license fee shall be calculated as follows: Station Qualified Viewing Households for stations affiliated with networks licensed by ASCAP (currently the ABC, CBS, NBC and Univision television networks) shall be calculated by multiplying the station's average quarter hour viewing households by 420 (the number of quarter hours between $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and midnight in one week); and subtracting one hundred percent ( $100 \%$ ) of the station's average prime-time DMA viewing households (which equals the station's average prime-time DMA quarter hour households times 88 (the number of quarter hour units in prime time in one week) ). ${ }^{3}$ Station Qualified Viewing Households for stations not affiliated with networks licensed by ASCAP shall be calculated by multiplying the station's average quarter hour viewing households by 420. A station's percentage share of the DMA market blanket fee shall be calculated by dividing its Station Qualified Viewing Households number by the total Station Qualified Viewing Households for all stations in that DMA market and multiplying the resulting percentage by the DMA market blanket license fee (reduced by the amount of any minimum fees assigned to stations in the market pursuant to paragraph 5 below). ${ }^{4}$
5. Stations whose ratings are not reported by Nielsen during the relevant period shall be assigned a minimum blanket license fee equal to the greater of 0.25 percent of the allocable blanket license fee for its market or an annual blanket license fee of $\$ 540$ (or $\$ 45$ per month for partial years) ("Minimum Blanket License Fee"). The fees assigned to a DMA market pursuant to Step 1 above shall be reduced by the amount of any Minimum Blanket License Fees assigned to stations in that DMA market, and the balance of that DMA market's share of the industry-wide fee shall be allocated among the remaining licensed stations in that DMA market bàsed on the methodology set forth in Step 2 hereof. If, by way of example, the blanket license fee allocated to market ' k ' is $\$ 300,000$, and there are operating in market " $k$ " two stations whose ratings are not reported by Nielsen, each of those stations would be assigned a blanket fee of $\$ 750(\$ 300,000 \times .0025)$. The remaining stations in market " $k$ " would pay their appropriate percentages, not of $\$ 300,000$, but of $\$ 298,500$.
6. If, during a given Contract Period, ASCAP enters into a license agreement with a television station that was not previously licensed (a "New Television Station"), such station shall pay the minimum monthly fee of forty-five dollars ( $\$ 45.00$ ) for the remainder of the Contract Period following the effective date of its license agreement. The fees payable by all stations in the New Television Station's market in the following Contract Period shall be reallocated in the manner set forth above without any increase in the total fee amount otherwise allocable to the relevant market.
7. Once a station's allocated fee has been calculated for a given Contract Period, there shall be no further:adjustment to that station's fee for the duration of that Contract Period; provided however that if the station was assigned in error a blanket license fee that was higher or lower than it should have been assigned pursuant to the methodology set forth above, such over-allocation or under-alliocation amount shall be factored into the fees allocated to the station for the subsequent Contract Period.
8. If during the term of the ASCAP-Local Television Station Blanket and Per Program Licenses the Committee determines that there is good cause to revise the allocation methodology set forth above in any mamer, the Committee may refer the matter to Magistrate Judge Michael H. Dolinger (or if such reference is not possible, to the judge with supervisory authority over the ASCAP consent decree) to request approval of any proposed revisions to this methiodology. The Committee shall make such a request at a public hearing (written notice of which will be provided to ASCAP and to all licensed television stations no less than thirty days in advance of the bearing) at which.all interested parties will be given the opportunity to be heard in support of, or in opposition to, the proposed revisions. Any decision by the Court approving or denying the proposed revisions shall be final and shall not be subject to appeal.
[^78]
## EXHIBIT C

## LOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENT AMENDMENT LETTER

Dear ASCAP:

1. $\qquad$ ("LICENSEE") has entered into a Local Marketing Agreement with
$\qquad$ ("LMA OPERATOR") for television station $\qquad$ for the period through $\qquad$ -.
2. LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR wish to add LMA OPERATOR as a party to the Local Television Station License Agreement in effect between LICENSEE and ASCAP ("the License"), and LMA OPERATOR shall assume all of the rights and obligations of LICENSEE as set forth in the License for the full period of the Local Marketing Agreement referred to in Paragraph 1 above.
3. LICENSEE/LMA OPERATOR (circle one) shall be responsible for the payment of any fees owing to ASCAP pursuant to the License.
4. LICENSEE/LMA OPERATOR (circle one) shall be responsible for the submission to ASCAP of any reports, tapes or other information pursuant to the License.
5. LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR jointly desiguate the following single address for billing and other regular correspondence; and the following single address for any notices in accordance with the License:

Billing Address: $\qquad$ Notice Address: $\qquad$


Please indicate your consent to the amendment of the License Agreement in accordance with this letter by countersigning the letter in the space provided below and returning a copy to us.

Very truly yours,
LICENSEE
Dated: $\qquad$ By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
LMA OPERATOR
Dated:
By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
The undersigned, American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, hereby consents and agrees to the amendment of the above mentioned License Agreement.

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS

Dated:
By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$

## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 25 TAB 3 (MC 04-05 EX. 3)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

PRODUCED BY WARNER BROS. TELEVISION
TELEVISED OVER THE CW NETWORK
WEDNESDAY 9:00 PM - 10:00 PM
AIRDATE: FEBRUARY 26, 2008
*REVISED: 04/24/08
ONE TREE HILL
"TONIGHT YOU'RE ONLY HERE TO..." (Prod. \#3T6809)

| NO | SELECTION | COMPOSER | PUBLISHER | HOW USED | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OM1S | WON' LET YOU GO | SARAH THIELE | RED CARD MUSIC DIVISION OF MAR-TUNE, INC. (ASCAP) (100\%) | BKG. VOC. | 1:11 |
| OM2S | IT'S ONLY LIFE | KATE VOEGELE JAMES MCGORMAN | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) (50\%) MCG MELODIES (ASCAP) (50\%) | VIS. VOC. | 1:00 |
| OM3 | MORE THAN YOU KNOW | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | 1:46 |
| OM4 | THINKING ABOUT KEITH | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :41 |
| 0M5S | PONY | ERIN MCCARLEY JAMIE KENNEY | KING GORF MUSIC (ASCAP) FRAGGLE MUSIC (BMI) | BKG. VOC. | 1:52 |
| *0M6S | DIRTY SOUTH HUSTLA | CALVINK. SAMUEL <br> ROSHMOND PATTEN <br> MARC FERRARI <br> *JOSH KESSLER | RED ENGINE MUSIC (ASCAP) | BKG. VOC. | :14 |
| OM7 | YOUR. HOUSE. | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :35 |
| 0M8S | BRING IT ON | LENNY KRAVITZ | MISS BESSIE MUSIC (ASCAP) (100\%) | BKG. VOC. | :34 |
| OM9S | FAKEY FAKE | AARON LEO ESPINOZA ARIANA CHLOE MURRAY | SONGS MUSIC PUBLISHING LLC (ASCAP) (100\%) O/B/O RAM ISLAND SONGS (ASCAP) PUT IT (ASCAP), WHORETICULTURE MUSIC (ASCAP) | BKG. VOC. | 1:40 |
| 1M1 | LOCKED IN THE LIBRARY | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :12 |
| 1M2S | MERRY-GO-ROUND BROKE DOWN | CLIFF FRIEND DAVE FRANKLIN | WB MUSIC CORP. (ASCAP) (100\%) | BKG. INST. | :06 |
| 1N3 | YOU DONT KNOW | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | 1:12 |
| 2M1S | SO LONG | BRANDY JOHNSON | DRIFT MUSIC (BMI) | BKG. VOC. | 1:48 |
| 2M2S | HOME | HOLLY CONLAN | CLOVERBIRD MUSIC (ASCAP) | BKG. VOC. | 2:01 |
| 3M1 | YOUR PRECIOUS | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :51 |
| 3M2 | PIZZA BOY | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :21 |
| 3M3S | NEVER EVEN TOLD ME HER NAME | CHRISTOPHER WALL DAVID JORDAN TOM PRITCHARD JAMES MADDOCK | WB MUSIC CORP O/B/O WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC PUBLISHING LTD. (ASCAP) (100\%) | BKG. VOC. | 1:28 |
| 3M4 | FIND THE STORY | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :20 |

In the Matter of Distribution of the 2004 and 2005 Cable Royalty Funds

| NO | SELECTION | COMPOSER | PUBLISHER | HOW USED | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3M5S | THE NEW KID REVIVAL | MARC BIANCHI | BABY SHARK MUSIC (BMI) (100\%) | BKG. VOC. | 1:08 |
| 3M6 | THEY GET CONFUSED | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :38 |
| 4M1 | SNOW DAY | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | ;32 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | Kate voegele | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS, INST. | :06 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :05 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :08 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :07 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :10 |
| 4M3 | THE OTHER PEYTON | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :56 |
| 4N4S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) (100\%) | VIS. VOC. | 1:47 |
| 5M1S | THERE'S A REASON | AUGUSTE BONDY CLARE FELICE IAN FELICE | AUGUSTE BONDY PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) CLARE FELICE PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) IAN FELICE PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) | BKG. VOC. | 4:17 |
| 5M1S2 | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) (100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :06 |
| 5M2S | BROKEN | JASON WADE | JASON WADE MUSIC (BMI) | BKG. VOC. | 4:01 |
| 5M3 | END CREDITS | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. / END TITLE | :20 |
| 5M4 | TOLLIN/ROBBINS LOGO | MARK SNOW | SNOWTUNES (ASCAP) | LOGO | :03 |
| 5M5 | AS TIME GOES BY | HERMAN HUPFELD | WARNER BROS., INC. (ASCAP) (WORLD EXCLUDING THE BRT's) CARLIN MUSIC PUBL. CANADA, INC. (SOCAN) O/B/O REDWOOD MUSIC LTD. (PRS) ( $100 \%$ BRT's) | LOGO | :03 |


| NO | SELECTION | COMPOSER | PUBLISHER | HOW USED | TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3M5S | THE NEW KID REVIVAL | MARC BIANCHI | BABY SHARK MUSIC (BMI) (100\%) | BKG. VOC. | 1:08 |
| 3M6 | THEY GET CONFUSED | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :38 |
| 4M1 | SNOW DAY | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | ;32 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | Kate voegele | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS, INST. | :06 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :05 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :08 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :07 |
| 4M2S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) 100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :10 |
| 4M3 | THE OTHER PEYTON | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. | :56 |
| 4N4S | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) (100\%) | VIS. VOC. | 1:47 |
| 5M1S | THERE'S A REASON | AUGUSTE BONDY CLARE FELICE IAN FELICE | AUGUSTE BONDY PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) CLARE FELICE PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) IAN FELICE PUBLISHING DESIGNEE (ASCAP) | BKG. VOC. | 4:17 |
| 5M1S2 | WISH YOU WERE | KATE VOEGELE | COMMUNIKATE MUSIC (ASCAP) (100\%) | VIS. VOC. | :06 |
| 5M2S | BROKEN | JASON WADE | JASON WADE MUSIC (BMI) | BKG. VOC. | 4:01 |
| 5M3 | END CREDITS | JOHN NORDSTROM | WARNER-OLIVE MUSIC, LLC (ASCAP) | BKG. INST. / END TITLE | :20 |
| 5M4 | TOLLIN/ROBBINS LOGO | MARK SNOW | SNOWTUNES (ASCAP) | LOGO | :03 |
| 5M5 | AS TIME GOES BY | HERMAN HUPFELD | WARNER BROS., INC. (ASCAP) (WORLD EXCLUDING THE BRT's) CARLIN MUSIC PUBL. CANADA, INC. (SOCAN) O/B/O REDWOOD MUSIC LTD. (PRS) ( $100 \%$ BRT's) | LOGO | :03 |

3M6

## THE NEW KID REVIVAL

THEY GET CONFUSED

SNOW DAY

4M2S WISH YOU WERE
4M2S WISH YOU WERE
WISH YOU WERE WISH YOU WERE THE OTHER PEYTON ND CREDITS TOLLIN/ROBBINS LOGO AS TIME GOES BY HERMAN HUPFELD

ONE TREE HILL
"TONIGHT YOU'RE ONLY HERE TO..." (Prod. \#3T6809)

## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 25 TAB 4 (MC 04-05 EX. 4)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

## MC 04-05 Ex. 4

## DVD PLAY LIST - LOCAL TELEVISION PERFORMANCE CLIPS

| Clip | Program | Station | Date | Usage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Fresh Prince of Bel-Air | WPIX | $01 / 09 / 04$ | Opening Theme <br> ("Theme") |
| 2 | American Idol | WNYW | $03 / 23 / 05$ | Feature |
| 3 | Walk on the Moon | WGN | $03 / 27 / 05$ | Background ("BG") |
| 4 | NFL Football (NFC <br> Championship Game) | WNYW | $01 / 23 / 05$ | Theme |
| 5 | Clifford's Puppy Days (PBS) | WGBH | $02 / 10 / 05$ | Theme |
| 6 | One Tree Hill | WPIX | $04 / 12 / 05$ | Theme |
| 7 | WWOR News | WWOR | $07 / 14 / 04$ | Feature |
| 8 | Believers Voice | WWOR | $05 / 21 / 04$ | Theme |
| 9 | Jeopardy | WABC | $12 / 02 / 05$ | BG |
| 10 | FOX MLB Baseball (ALCS <br> Game 2) | WNYW | $10 / 13 / 04$ | Theme |
| 11 | Ellen DeGeneres | WNBC | $11 / 14 / 05$ | Vocal BG ("V-BG") |
| 12 | Singsation (locally produced) | WGN | $05 / 22 / 05$ | Feature |
| 13 | Steve Harvey | WWOR | $07 / 14 / 04$ | Feature |
| 14 | Good Day NY | WNYW | $07 / 09 / 04$ | Theme |
| 15 | PBS Soundstage - Motown | WNET | $07 / 01 / 05$ | Feature |
| 16 | Everwood | WPIX | $10 / 18 / 04$ | V-BG |
| 17 | NFL Football (NFC <br> Championship Game) | WNYW | $01 / 23 / 05$ | BG |
| 18 | White Christmas | WWOR | $12 / 10 / 05$ | Feature |
| 19 | Super Bowl (Half-Time Show) | WNYW | $02 / 06 / 05$ | Feature |
| 20 | The Simpsons | WNYW | $04 / 29 / 04$ | V-BG |

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES<br>Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of
Distribution of the 2004 and 2005
Cable Royalty Funds

Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005

WRITTEN TESTIMONY
OF
MICHAEL O'NEILL

## I. INTRODUCTION AND OUALIFICATIONS

1. My name is Michael O'Neill and I am Senior Vice President, Licensing, of Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMI"). BMI is one of the world's leading music performing rights licensing organizations ("PRO"). BMI, together with the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers ("ASCAP") and SESAC, Inc. ("SESAC"), the three U.S. PROs, make up the Phase I claimant group known as the Music Claimants. The Music Claimants together represent the combined public performing rights of over 725,000 songwriters and music publishers and over 20 million musical works. Each of the three U.S. PROs has entered into reciprocal licensing agreements with dozens of foreign performing rights societies throughout the world, pursuant to which the Music Claimants also represent the owners of virtually all of the rest of the world's copyrighted music in Section 111 cable distribution proceedings.
2. In my capacity as Senior Vice President, Licensing, at BMI, I oversee all aspects of BMI's music performing rights licensing for Media Licensing (broadcast and cable) and General Licensing (live and recorded performances at business and other establishments), but not New Media users (e.g. Internet, Mobile). I am familiar with BMI's broadcast and cable music licensing practices and I am personally involved with BMI's decisions concerning reasonable blanket license fees charged to those industries for their respective performances of music in the BMI repertoire. I have been directly involved in negotiations of fee proposals and I have testified in rate court proceedings to support fees quoted by BMI.
3. In conducting negotiations for BMI, my job is to identify the characteristics of different types of music-using industries, including their sources of revenue and their music uses. BMI proposes blanket license rates we believe are reasonable based on those characteristics for each different category of licensee. Under BMI's consent decree, BMI
may not discriminate in rates charged to similarly situated customers in each category. Therefore, BMI is careful to consider all relevant aspects of a business before negotiating rates. This process can involve looking at benchmark license agreements from one category of user and adjusting them for new or different users, as appropriate. In the process, I have become familiar with decisions of the BMI or ASCAP rate court about rate-setting, as these decisions may be instructive about reasonable rates and terms for BMI licenses.
4. I have held my current position at BMI since 2006 and I have been with BMI for 14 years, beginning as a Director of Media Licensing in 1995. Prior to BMI, I worked at CBS Television Network for eight years, first in financial planning analysis and later in affiliate relations. I received an undergraduate degree in 1984 from Montclair State University in Montclair, New Jersey, with a focus on business administration, and I received an MBA degree in marketing and finance from Rutgers University in 1988.
5. Based on my background and my longstanding licensing experience at BMI and general familiarity with the operations of both ASCAP and SESAC, I have detailed knowledge about their licensing rates, and the various uses of music in broadcast television programming, including music in programs carried by cable operators on distant signals.
6. The Music Claimants collectively license the public performance rights of millions of copyrighted musical compositions, including virtually all of the copyrighted music that is used in television programming, ranging from background music (when the musical work underscores the focus in a program) to feature (when the musical work is the focus of the audience's attention, such as on American Idol) to theme music (the signature music identifying the show). Music is an essential element of television programming that runs through all program types. Virtually every professionally produced television program employs copyrighted
music licensed by the Music Claimants. Attached as Appendix A is a summary describing some of BMI's award winning affiliates.
7. Each of the three PROs serves as a clearinghouse for distribution of royalties to its writer and publisher members or affiliates. These royalties come from license fees paid by the users of copyrighted music for marketplace or compulsory licenses to use the Music Claimants' repertories. Millions of non-dramatic public performances of copyrighted music occur each day in the United States (as opposed to "dramatic" performances that one might see on theatrical stages throughout the country). Music users licensed by the PROs include television and radio stations (both commercial and noncommercial), broadcast and cable networks and cable system operators, hotels, nightclubs, restaurants, colleges and universities, concert halls and many others. The Music Claimants ensure that the vast quantity of public performances of their respective affiliates and members' music are properly licensed and that the writers and publishers are fairly compensated for these uses of their property. ${ }^{1}$
8. The Music Claimants offer blanket licenses to music users, both large and small. These users obtain from each of BMI, ASCAP and SESAC the unlimited right to perform publicly any or all of the copyrighted musical compositions in their respective repertories, along with the repertories of works from each of their foreign affiliates, through a single license with each performing rights organization. For an annual fee, the blanket license provides the music user permission to use as much or as little music in the PRO's repertoire as it wishes. In so doing, they are able to greatly limit transactional licensing costs, and are indemnified by the PRO for infringements.
[^79]
## II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

9. The primary purpose of my testimony on behalf of the Music Claimants is to provide the Copyright Royalty Judges with information regarding the Music Claimants' licensing of local television broadcast stations and cable system operators. ${ }^{2}$ In particular, in licensing the public performance of our respective members' works in all programming broadcast on local television stations (other than the Big 3 network (ABC, CBS and NBC) programming), BMI and the other PROs negotiate with an industry committee of local television broadcasters known as the Television Music License Committee (the "TMLC"). Similarly, in entering into license agreements with cable systems for their locally originated content, BMI negotiates directly with the cable operators through an industry committee of cable operators formed by the National Cable Television Association ("NCTA"). I describe such negotiations below.
10. Moreover, based on my experience conducting such negotiations on behalf of BMI, I am familiar with the differences among the various marketplaces for music performance rights and the various industry users of music. Specifically, I am familiar with the local broadcast market and the distant signal market relevant to this proceeding. As I describe below, those markets have several critical differences.
[^80]
## III. PRO BLANKET LICENSE FEE AGREEMENTS

## A. THE PROS' AGREEMENTS WITH LOCAL TV STATIONS

11. As I indicated above, BMI engages in periodic negotiations for industrywide blanket and per program license fees with the TMLC. BMI's negotiations with the TMLC resulted in a blanket license agreement for an $\$ 85$ million industry-wide blanket license fee in 2004. This fee is allocated among all English language commercial stations in all markets. A copy of BMI's Local Television Blanket License agreement in effect in 2004 is presented as MC 04-05 Ex. 5. That same fee is currently interim for 2005 while the parties' negotiations for final fees continue. If the parties do not reach final agreement, the BMI rate court will be available to set reasonable final blanket license fees and terms. For its part, ASCAP agreed with the TMLC on blanket license fees of $\$ 97$ million in 2004 and $\$ 85$ million in 2005, while SESAC's blanket license fees were $\$ 13.5$ million in 2004 and $\$ 16$ million in 2005. Thus, in the aggregate, these license fees represent the negotiated marketplace rights fee for blanket license access to the combined repertoires of the Music Claimants for programming (other than Big 3 network programming) on local television stations. For each PRO, the blanket license fee is allocated among the local stations according to an allocation formula.
12. Not every station chooses to pay their allocated portion of the blanket fee and instead may opt for local station "per program" licenses offered by both BMI and ASCAP. This form of license permits a station to obtain a credit against its PRO license fee for local programs which contain no music in the repertoire of that PRO or in which all of the PRO's music is directly licensed by the station, or by the program producer. The per program license price is calculated by applying a complex formula. Under the BMI per program license, for example, a station is allocated an overall BMI "starting fee" that is considerably higher than its
allocated blanket fee. The station ultimately pays BMI a fee each month pursuant to a formula which reduces the starting fees to account for the revenues of local programs where the station chooses to directly license all of the BMI musical works in the program directly from the BMI music publisher (this is known in the music industry as a "direct license"). Stations opting for the per program license who enter into such direct licenses can pay BMI less than their otherwise allocable BMI blanket license, in part, because they are paying other license fees for a portion of their music directly to the music publisher. Based on my experience, per program licenses create certain administrative burdens on the stations opting for them, including entering into multiple individual transactions with the relevant musical work copyright owner.
13. BMI's net license fees each year are the combination of fees received from blanket and per program license stations, but obviously do not include the revenue paid directly. to BMI's members pursuant to direct licenses. The same is true for ASCAP. Thus, the actual music license fees paid by local stations to the PROs understate the total music license fees paid by the broadcast stations.
14. As I note above, the local television licenses entered into between the TMLC and the PROs do not cover public performances of music in the Big 3 network programming. Rather, the PROs license the three major television networks, ABC, NBC and CBS, separately to cover the music performed in the Big 3 network television programming carried on each of those networks' affiliated stations' local television stations.

## B. THE PROS' AGREEMENTS WITH CABLE SYSTEM OPERATORS

15. BMI and ASCAP also enter into blanket license agreements with cable systems operators. Again, these are separately negotiated by BMI and ASCAP with a music licensing committee created for this purpose by the NCTA.
16. BMI's blanket license agreement with cable multiple system operators in effect in 2004 and 2005 is presented as MC 04-05 Ex. 6. The blanket license fee for 2004 and 2005 was 8.3 cents per subscriber per year. The license covers music in public, educational and governmental channels ("PEG Channels") and leased access channels. It also covers BMI music in any locally inserted advertisements in cable network programming. The programming covered by this agreement contains limited incidental uses of music in advertising and music in non-revenue generating PEG channels and leased access channels. It does not cover carriage by the cable systems of cable network programming; the cable networks have their own licenses with the PROs.
17. Despite the limited incidental uses covered, BMI's NCTA negotiated blanket license agreement covered approximately 60 million subscribers and produced fees of approximately $\$ 5$ million in 2004. I can estimate therefore that the three PROs' fees for this extremely limited universe of programming amounted to in excess of $\$ 10$ million in 2004. I note that by comparison, at $5.0 \%$ of the 2004-05 cable royalty funds, the Music Claimants would receive far less money (less than $\$ 8$ million) from the cable operators for all of the music in thousands of highly valuable syndicated programs and sports programming carried on hundreds of distant television stations.

## IV. THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DISTANT SIGNAL MARKETPLACE

## A. PRIOR AWARDS TO MUSIC

18. The Music Claimants have participated in every cable royalty distribution proceeding (including joining in global settlements or Music-only settlements) since the first Section 111 Phase I proceeding concerning the 1978 cable royalties. The Music Claimants' share as determined by litigated proceedings and public settlements over the past 25 years has established a strong track record that firmly recognizes the value of music to distant signal television programming. ${ }^{3}$
19. In the first distribution proceeding under section 111, the Copyright Royalty Tribunal ("CRT") awarded the Music Claimants $4.5 \%$ of the 1978 cable royalties. In the most recent litigated distribution proceeding before the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel and the Librarian of Congress, the 1998-99 Phase I Cable Proceeding, the Librarian awarded the Music Claimants $4 \%$ of the Cable Funds. ${ }^{4}$ In that proceeding, the CARP considered evidence that Music's award may be determined by calculating music rights licensing fees paid by the entire television broadcast industry as a percentage of total broadcast rights (for programs and music) paid by the industry. However, the evidence presented in that proceeding failed to make any adjustment for the unique characteristics of the distant signal marketplace, which characteristics I set forth below.
20. First, the programming of the big three television networks (NBC, ABC and CBS) is not compensable under Section 111, and is covered by separate licenses with those

[^81]networks, so it is appropriate to exclude consideration of the music fees and other rights fees from those networks. The size of the local television industry ( 1,300 licensees) also is more comparable to the thousands of cable systems carrying distant signals, so licensing cable systems would be more comparable to the costs that are incurred by PROs when they license the local stations, as opposed to the costs of three nationwide network licenses.
21. Second, it is reasonable to use blanket license fees agreed to by the local stations with the PROs as the benchmark, rather than net license fees paid to PROs, because the savings accruing to local stations under per program licenses for obtaining direct licenses of musical works come mainly from programs they create (e.g. local news) and cable systems carrying distant signals would not be similarly situated. In contrast, net license fee payments to BMI and ASCAP are not a good proxy for distant signal blanket license fees, for a number of reasons. First and foremost, the Section 111 compulsory license is a blanket license, and therefore the PROs' blanket fees are the analogous benchmark fees. Second, using local television stations per program fees (or overall PRO collections from the entire industry) would understate payment made for music by not accounting for the substantial payments that may be made directly to BMI and ASCAP affiliated publishers and composers. Moreover, the savings result largely from clearance of music in programs that the local stations create and own themselves (e.g. local news), and based on my experience, I do not believe cable operators would likely enter into similar direct licensing transactions given the fact that distant signal programming is so voluminous and transaction costs would be high.
22. Third, in the distant signal market, different types of stations - e.g., network affiliate, and independent - are carried as distant signals to different degrees, and certain
stations are carried to more subscribers than others. For example, non-network independent stations are more widely carried as a distant signal than any other category of station.
23. Fourth, I understand that cable systems are subject to a variety of legal restrictions on their ability to import duplicative programming. These are the syndicated exclusivity rules, the network non-duplication rules and the sports program blackout rules. As a result, unlike the case of local television broadcasters, who routinely obtain and pay for exclusive rights to syndicated and sports programming within their markets, cable operators would be prevented from doing so even in the absence of the cable compulsory license. Thus, the payments made by the local stations for the rights to exclusive broadcast overstate relative to their music license fees what would be paid by the cable system operators for the rights to nonexclusive broadcasts in the distant market.

## V. CONCLUSION

24. For the reasons set forth in the Statement of William Zarakas, I believe that the appropriate share of cable royalty funds for Music in this proceeding is $5.2 \%$ of the total 2004 royalties in each of the Basic, $3.75 \%$ and Syndex funds and $4.6 \%$ of these funds for 2005. Thank you for this opportunity to present information regarding the Music Claimants and Settling Parties' share of the cable royalty funds at issue in this proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: June 1, 2009
New York, NY
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## APPENDIX A

## HIGHLIGHTS OF BMI'S AFFILIATES AND CATALOG

BMI represents some of the greatest names in music, including Hank Williams, Louis Jordan, Willie Dixon, Jerry Lee Lewis, Doc Pomus, John Kander \& Fred Ebb, Sheldon Harnick \& Jerry Bock, Jerry Goldsmith, John Williams and Mike Post. BMI's affiliated writers create well-known songs in every genre of music. In addition to the great songwriters mentioned above, the following is a sampling of BMI's affiliated writers in several genres:

POP and ROCK: Red Hot Chili Peppers, Sheryl Crow, John Lennon, Maroon 5, Christina Aguilera, Elvis Presley, Nirvana, Paul Simon, Britney Spears, Bee Gees, Three Doors Down, Van Morrison, Carlos Santana, Creed, Phish, Gloria Estefan, Crosby, Stills \& Nash, Barry Manilow, The Beach Boys, Kid Rock and Black Crowes.

R\&B and MIP-HOP: Kanye West, Eminem, Janet Jackson, Mariah Carey, Babyface, Aretha Franklin, James Brown, Toni Braxton, Ray Charles, Lil Wayne, Otis Redding, Vanessa Williams, Ja Rule, Chuck Berry and Nore.

COUNTRY: Vince Gill, Shania Twain, Toby Keith, Dolly Parton, Willie Nelson, Alabama, Carrie Underwood, Merle Haggard, Alison Krauss, Loretta Lynn, Dixie Chicks, Brooks \& Dunn, Lonestar, Tim McGraw, Faith Hill, Taylor Swift, Martina McBride and George Jones.

JAZZ: Miles Davis, Dave Brubeck, Joshua Redman, Antonio Carlos Jobim, Kevin Eubanks, Norah Jones, Kenny G, John Coltrane, Thelonious Monk, Charlie Parker, Lionel Hampton, Pat Matheny, Al Jarreau, Chick Corea, Charlie Mingus, Keith Jarrett, and Herbie Hancock.

SYMPHONIC and CONCERT: Milton Babbitt, Aaron Jay Kernis, Michael Torke, John Adams, Charles Ives, Gunther Schuller, William Bolcom, Joan Tower, John Harbison, William Shuman, Steve Reich, Elliot Carter, Ellen Taaffe Zwilich, Tobias Picker, Alan Hovhaness and Carlos Surinach.

The notable foreign writers who license through BMI include: Elton John, Sting, Juanes, Shakira, Giacomo Puccini, Riz Ortolani, Tim Rice, Eric Clapton and Maurice Ravel.

BMI's writers have received numerous awards, medals and honors and it would take many, many pages to list them all. Examples of recent winners (in parentheses): GRAMMY

AWARDS (Alison Krauss, Lil Wayne, Adele, Eagles, Kanye West, B.B. King, Carrie Underwood, Juanes, Bela Fleck, Amy Winehouse, Herbie Hancock, Duffy, Radiohead, Al Green, Los Tigres del Norte, John Legend); COUNTRY MUSIC ASSOCIATION AWARDS (Alison Krauss, Brooks \& Dunn, Rascal Flatts, Keith Urban, Carrie Underwood, Sugarland, Tim McGraw, Jamey Johnson); MTV MUSIC VIDEO AWARDS (Britney Spears, Gnarls Barkley, Lil Wayne, Chris Brown, Black Eyed Peas, Red Hot Chili Peppers); SOUL TRAIN AWARDS (Jamie Foxx, Black Eyed Peas, Gnarls Barkley, Mariah Carey, John Legend, R Kelly, Kanye West); and DOVE AWARDS (Steven Curtis Chapman, Casting Crowns, Blind Boys of Alabama, Toby Mac, Kirk Franklin). Several of BMI's affiliated composers have won the esteemed PULITZER PRIZE (Aaron Jay Kernis, Gunther Schuller, Roger Sessions, Bernard Rands, Steve Reich, Ellen Taafe Zwilich, Steven Stucky, John Harbison, Yehudi Wyner). In addition, many of BMI' s legendary songwriters have been inducted in music Halls of Fame, including THE ROCK AND ROLL HALL OF FAME (Paul Simon, John Lennon, Woody Guthrie, Aretha Franklin, Chuck Berry, Elvis Presley, Pete Seeger, Ruth Brown, Etta James, Tina Turner, The Beach Boys, Jerry Lee Lewis, REM Kenny Gamble \& Leon Huff, Joni Mitchell); SONGWRITERS HALL OF FAME (Willie Nelson, Dolly Parton, Eric Clapton, Gloria Estefan, Brian Wilson, James Brown, Barry Manilow, Sting, Michael Jackson, Carol King, David Crosby, Stephen Stills, Graham Nash); COUNTRY MUSIC HALL OF FAME (Buck Owens, Merle Haggard, Willie Nelson, Loretta Lynn, Kitty Wells, Minnie Pearl, Chet Atkins, Roy Clark, Barbara Mandrell, and Hank Williams) and GOSPEL MUSIC HALL OF FAME (Larnelle Harris, The Statler Brothers, Thomas A. Dorsey, The Winans, Dolly Parton, Sandi Patty, Elvis Presley, the Oak Ridge Boys and Al Green)

Moreover, BMI represents many of the leading film and television composers. On the television side, BMI's writers include Mike Post, Earle Hagen and Snuffy Walden, BMI film composers include John Williams, Gustavo Santaolalla, Jerry Goldsmith, Alan Menken, Thomas Newman and Lalo Schifrin.

BMI's film and television composers have received numerous awards for their work. Most notably, recent ACADEMY AWARD winners include A.R. Rahman (PRS) for Slumdog Millionaire and Gustavo Santaolalla for Brokeback Mountain and Babel, in addition to previous winners such as John Williams, Elton John, Tim Rice and Alan Menken.

Recent EMMY AWARD winners include Yoav Goren for THE XX OLYMPIC WINTER GAMES: THE STORIES OF TORINO; Lenny Williams, for NATURE: CHRISTMAS IN YELLOWSTONE; George Fenton (PRS) for PLANET EARTH: POLE TO POLE; Katreese Barnes for "Dick in a Box" from SATURDAY NIGHT LIVE; William Ross for Outstanding Music Direction for the 79th ANNUAL ACADEMY AWARDS, on ABC; Edward Shearmur for MASTERS OF HORROR; Chris Biondo and Harry Leonard Williams for NATIOANL GEOGRAPHIC EXPLORER - DEADLY LOVE; Greg O'Connor and Jim Wise for $A$ Wonderfully Normal Day from MADTV; Bradley Hatfield for Sunshine from THE YOUNG AND THE RESTLESS; Danny Elfman for DESPERATE HOUSEWIVES; Jerry Pilato, A.J. Gundell, Gary Kuo, Dominic Messinger and Kim Oler for ALL MY CHILDREN; and Aaron Zigman and Alex Brown for Sim Shalom from CROWN HEIGHTS.

## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 26 TAB 5 (MC 04-05 EX. 5)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

# Local Television Station 

## Music Performance Blanket License

AGREEMENT, made at New York, N.Y. on $\quad 20 \ldots \ldots$, between BAOADCAST MUSIC, INC., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York (BMI) with principal offices at 320 West 57th Street, New York, N.Y. 10019, and


## IT IS HEREBY AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. TEAM. The term of this Agreement shall be the period beginning $\square$ and ending December 31, 2004, unless earlier terminated as heseinatter provided.
2. DEFINITIONS. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following respective meanings:
(a) "Afriliated Station" shall mean any free, over-the-air television broadcasting station licensed by the FCC which is located in the United States, its commonwealih, possessions and territories, that regularly broadcasts Programs transmitted by a television rietwork licensed by BMI during the term hereof.
(b) "Announcement". shall mean any. commercial, promotional, or public service arnouncement (exclusive of program length "infomercials" of greater duration than 120 seconds), or any producer's or distributor's logo.
(c) "BMil:Consent Decree" shall mean the consent decree entered in United States v, BMI, 64 Civ. 3787 (LLS) (S.D.N.Y.); as:amended.
(d) "COMMITTEE"; shall mean the Television Music License Committee, an unincorporated membership associatlon organizede-under the laws-of-the State of New York which is duly authorized to represent local televislon stations in music licensing matters.
(e) "LMA Operator" shall mean any person, firm or corporation not under the same or substantially the same ownership, management or control as LICENSEE with whom LICENSEE has entered into a Local Marketing Agreement.
(f) "Local Marketing Agree ment" sifall mèantany arrangement between LICENSEE ànd an LMA Opèratör that:
(1) authonizes the resale by an LMA Operator of the use of the television broadcasting facilities of Station;
(2) permits an LMA Operator to provide Programs for all or substantially all of the time Station is on the air, and
(3) provides for the sale by an LMA Operator of all or substantially all Announcements broadcast on Station.
(9) "Network Announcement" shall mean any Announcement transmitted by à television network llcensed by BMI as a network at the time such Announcement is broadcạst on the network, and broadcast simultaneously or by so-called "delayed" or "repeat" broadcasts (sometimes known as "rebroadcasts") over two or more Affliated Stations of a network licensed by BMI.
(h) "Network Television Program" shall mean any Program, transmitted by a television network licensed by BMI as a network at the time such Program is broadcast on the network, identified as a Program of the network, and broadcast simultaneously or by so-called "delayed" or "repeat" broadcasts (sometimes known as "rebroadcasts") over two or more Affilated Stations of a network licensed by BMi:
(i) "Non-Network Announcement" shall mean any Announcement broadcast by Station other than a Network Announcement.
(0) "Non-Network Television Program" shall mean any Program broadcast by Station other than a Network Television Program.
(k) "Program" shall mean all material (visual or otherwise) broadcast by Station other than Announcements.
(I) "Station" shall mean and be restricted to the FCC-licensed commercial television broadcasting station whose ownership and call letters are indicated above.
(m) "Station Web Site" shall mean the Web Site operated by or for Station as the Station's Web Site.
(n) "Syndicated Television Program" shall., mean any Non-Network Television Program supplied to LICENSEE and other television stations by a producer or a distributor, or by a television network which is not licensed by BMI.
(0) "Television Broadcasting" shall mean free, unscrambled, point-to-muilipoint over-the-air local broadcasting by means of television.
(p) "Web Site" shall mean an internet computer service comprising a series of interielated web pages registered with a domain name registration service that Station transmits or causes to be transmitted either directly or indirectly to persons who receive the service over the Internet by means of a personal computer or by means of another device capable of receiving internet transmissions. Station's current Web Site URL is
http://

## 3. GRANT OF RIGHTS.

(a) BMI hereby grants to LICENSEE, for the term hereof, a non-exclusive license to perform publicly all musical works the right to grant public performing right licenses of which BMI may during the term hereof control:
(i) by Television Broadcasting in the United States, and its teritories, commonwealth and possessions, as part of LICENSEE's Non-Network Jelevision Programs and Non-Network Announcements from Station; and
(ii) in and as part of a single Station Web Site transmitted or caused to be transmitted either directly or indirectly over the Intemet but only in connection with:
(A) the simulaneous retransmission of the Station's locally produced and aired programming;
(B) the retransmission of all or a portion of Station's local newscasts and local news based public affairs programming that aired during the: Term of this Agreement; and
(C) other transmissions the primary purpose. of which are to promote viewership of Station and its television programming; provided, however, that: (1) no ${ }_{5}$, single periormance licensed under this subsection (C) may exceed thirty ( 30 ). seconds in duration; and (2) the total duration of al performances of BMh-repertoire works under this subsection (C) available at any single time for

(b) Notwithstanding the foregoing, the license granted herein shall not include transmissions described in subparagraphs 3(a)(ii) (A) and 3 (a)(i) (B) above where such transmissions contain programming which is nationally or regionally alred regulärly scheduled'series programming (e.g; Regis and Kelly; George. Michael's Sports, Machine, and Major League Baseball). In the event that Station airs locally-produced prograrnming, and such programming also appears-on ope or more additional stations (which programming for puposes of this Agreement would not be considered locally produced and aired programming for the additional'stallon(s)), onfy the Staton may retransmit BMI music contained in such programming in the manner described in subparagraphs 3(a)(ii)(A) and 3(a)(i)(B) above, while the additionial station(s) may not.
(c) The license granted herein does not cover transmissions on Station's Web Site of BMI music where members of the pubitic are charged a fee for the right to access such transmissions:: Such ransmissions shall be. subject to appropriate separate licensing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the fact that a Station may charge members of the public for access to discrete areas of the Station's Web Site other than those areas containing the performances licensed hereunder shall not limit the scope of this license.
(d) With respect to any portion of the Term prior to January 1,2002 , the Web Site license granted under Paragraph $3(a)$ (ii) shall be limited to those transmissions of BMI music as described in Paragraph 3 (a)(ii)(C) above.
(e) For the rights granted in Paragraph 3(a)(ii) only, the ternitory shall mean the Untted States, its commonwealth, territories and possessions and the territories represented by non-U.S. performing right licensing organizations posted as Exhibit $C$ in the licensing section of the BMl web site located at http:/hwwibmi.com. Such list may be amended by BMil at any time and without notice. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the territorial scope of the grant of rights in Paragraph 3(a)(i) with respect to any musical works which are afillated with BMl through a nonU.S. performing right licensing organization not listed on Exhibit G is limited to public performances in the U.S. Temitory.
(f) The performances licensed hereunder may originate at any place whether or not such place is licensed to publicly perform the musical works licensed hereunder, and regardless of the manner, means or method of such origination, but nothing herein shall be deemed to grant a license to such place itself (or to the parties responsible for such periormances) for the public performaṇces in such place of any such works.
(g) The license granted herein shall not include dramatic rights, the right to periorm dramatico-musical works in whole or in substantial part, the right to present individual works in a dramatic setting or the right to use the music licensed hereunder in any-other.context which may. constitute an exercise of the "grand rights" therein. It is nonetheless expressly understood that nothing contained' in this Paragraph shall be construed so as to limit the ablity of LICENSEE to perform, by Television Broadcasting, any works contained in Syndicated. Television Programs, motion pictures initially produced for theatrical exhibition or music videos which LICENSEE would otherwise have the right to perform under this Agreement.
(i) BMI will, upon spegific reasonable' writien request made by LICENSEE, indicate whether one or more specified musical works listed by LICENSEE are licensed by BMI. LICENSEE shall provide the title and the witer/composer of each musicaf componition requésted to be identified:
(i). Except as expressly herein otherwise provided, nothing herein contained shall be construed as authorizing LICENSEE to grant to others any right to reproduce, retransmit or publicly perform by any meanis, method or process whatsoever; any of the musical works licensed hereunder or as authorizing any receiver of any television broadcast to publicly perform or reproduce the same by any means, method or process whatsoever.
(0) The license granted herein shall not include the right to adapt the musical works licensed hereunder or to make any other versions thereof.
(k) The license granted herein shall include on a non-precedential, experimental basis the right to engage in such non-dramatic public: performiances of musical works in BMP's repertolire as may resuli from Station's free, over-the-air broadcasts of BMI music within its existing geographic market( $s$ ) over FCC assigned frequencies, by means of a digital television signal. It is understood that the right to periorm works in the BMII repertoire by means of a digital television signal is being included in this Agreement because digital television is a new technology and such grant of rights reflects the experimental character of such broadcasts. Station shall provide Bill, in electronic form, annual reports concerning LICENSEE's digital television signal, using the form attached hereto as Exhibit D. The form shall be filed during the monith of October of each of the years 2002,2003 and 2004.

## 4. LICENSE FEEISTATEMENTS.

This Agreement expressly incorporates, and LICENSEE agrees to be bound by, the terms of the letter agreement between BMI and COMMITTEE attached hereto as Exhibit A.
(a). BROADEASTTELEVISION PAYMENFS-FOR-2002; 2003 AND 2004. LICENSEE shall pay to BMI for each morth duing the calendar years:2002, 2003 and 2004, a fee equal to one twelth (1/12), of LICENSEE's allocated share of the annual incustry-wide GMI blanket license fee of $\$ 85$ million, as allocated according to the methodology prescribed in Schedule I to Exhibit A.' Such fee shall be payable no. later. than the first calendar day of the month succeeding the month to which the fee is attributable. In no case shall LICENSEE's monthly fee be less than $\$ 45.00$.
(b). BROADCAST TELEVISION PAYMENTS FOR APRIL . 1, 1999 THAOUGH DECEMBER 31, 2001. - LICENSEE agrees to pay BMI, in addition to the interim license fees that were heretofore payable by LICENSEE to BMI in respect of the period from April 1, 1999, through and including December 31, 2001, Luder the interim BM1 Local Television Station Music Performance Blanket or Per Program License for Statipn, such amounts and at such times as are provided for in Paragraph 2 otit Exhbit A conceming the Settiement Fee $\because$,
(c). WEB SITE PAYMENTS FOR 2002, 2003 and 2004. LICENSEE shall pay to BMI for each month during the calendar years 2002,2003 , and 2004 , a fee equal to one twelth-(1/12) of LICENSEEs allocated share of the BM annual Intermet fee as set forth in Exhibit A and as determined by the COMMITTEE. Such fee shall be payable to BMI no later than the first calendar day of the month succeeding the month' to which the fee is attributabie:
(d) If any payment due hereunder is not received by BMI in the twenty (20) days following the date on which such payment is due; BMI may collect a late-payment charge of one percent. ( $1 \%$ ) per monih (simple. interest) calculated from the date such payment was due.

## 5. MUSIC PERFORMANCE REPORTS.

(a) BROADCAST TELEVISION REPORTS. LICENSEE, upon written request from BMI made on notice of not less than four (4) weeks specifying the period to be covered, agrees to furnish (on forms to be supplied by BMI ant/or availabie on BMI's web site) reports of LICENSEE's performances by Station of all musical works, indicating
the works.performed by title and composer or by such other convenient method as may be designated by BMI. In no event shall such reports be furnished for.more than one (t) week of each year of the term. It is expressly understood that, with respect to any Syndicated Television Programs, LICENSEE's obligation to report music data to BMa under this Paragraph shall be limited to providing BMI with the thle and episode name or number of such Syndicated Television Program(s); if no cue sheet is available, LICENSEE shall cooperate with BMI in attempting to obtain such cue sheets and/or in providing Bill with access to a tape or recording of the Syndicated Television Program involved. In addition to these reports, LICENSEE shall provide a list of its Non-Network Announcemients for the week (e.g., traffic reports); LICENSEE may redact any revenue or financial information from this list, provided that the list includes the name of the commercial, the dates and number of times it was broadcast and the ISCI code number for the commercial.
(b) WEB SITE REPORTS. LiCEENSEE shall notity BMI in writing, using the form attached hereto 'as Exhlbit B, reasonably promptly atter beginning to stream its over-the-air broadcast television signal or to distribute a Web Site licensed pursuant to this Agreement. Thereatter, upon written request from BMI made on notice of not less than four (4) weeks specifying the period of time to be covered; LICENSEE shall provide to BMI, in electronic form, a music use report for a period specified by BMi not to exceed one month for each calendar year during the Term of the Agreement using the form attached hereto as Exhiblt C. BMI reserves the right to request from LICENSEE information sufficient to identify the title(s) of any Program(s) promoted on individually. retrievable archived promotional announcements on the Web Site as part of such reports.
6.: INDEMNIFICATION. BMI agrees to indernnity, save and hold harmiess and to defend LICENSEE, its advertisers and their advertising agencies, and jts and their officers, employees and artists, and each of them, from and against all claims, demands and suits that may be made or brought against them or any of them with respect to the performance under this Agreement of any works in the BMI repertoire that are licensed hereunder, provided, however, that such indernnity. shall be limited to those claims, demands and sults that are made or brought within the United States, its teritories, commonweath and possessions, and provided further that this indemnity shall not apply to broadcasts of any musical work performed by LICENSEE which is not contained in the BMi repertore at the time of performance by Station or which is the subjeot of a written notice of withdrawal in accordance with Paragraph 7 -hereof. LICENSEE agrees to give BMI immediate notice of any such claim, demand or suit and agrees to deliver immediately all papers pertaining thereto. BMi shall have full chärge of the defense of any such claim, demand or sult, and LICENSEE shall cooperate fully with BMI therein. LICENSEE, however, shall have the right to engage ciounsel of its own at its own expense who may participate in the defense of any such action. The provisions of this Paragraph:shall survive termination of this Agreement; but solely with respect to peromances broadcast by Station during the term of this Agreement:
7. WITHDRAWAL OF WORKS: BMI reserves the right upon written notice- to LICENSEE to withdraw from the license granted hereunder any musical work as to which any fegal action has been instikuted or a claim made that BMI does not have the right to license the performing right in such work or that such work infringes another, work. BMl shall notify LICENSEE as promptly as reasonably possible of any such withdrawal and shall attempt to determine and advise LICENSEE at the time of such notice of any Syndicated Television Program in which ariy such withdrawn work may be contained.
8. ASSIGNMENT. This license shall be non-assignable except to the personi; firm or copporation acquiring the Federal Communications Commission license of Station, and upon assignment to the acquining person, firm or Compration and upon the acceptance by BMt in form approved by BML of the application of LICENSEE hereunder, LICENSEE shall be relieved of future liability under this Agreement as long as all statements have been submilted by LICENSEE and all fees due BMI under this Agreement have been pald to BMi: Nothing herein is intended to limit the new'owner's entitlement to a license pursuant to Article XIV of the BMI Consent Decree.

## 9. ARBITRATION.

(a) With the specific exception of disputes which may be within the jurisciction of the United States district court having jurisdiction under the BMI Consent Decree, all disputes of any kind, nature or description aristrig in connection with the tems and conditions of this Agreement shall be submitted to the American Arbitration Association in New York, New York-for arbitration under its then prevailing rules, the arbitrator(s)-to be selected as follows:: Each of the parties shall, by wiften notice to the other, have the right to appointone abitratok: lfy within ten days following the giving of such notice by one party, the other shall not, by written notice, appoint another abitrator, the first arbitrator shall be the sole arbitrator.- If two-a a itrators are so appointed, they shall appoint a third abitrator if ten days elapse after the appointment of the second arbitrator and the two abitrators are unable to agreg upon the third aroitrator, then either party may, in writing, request the American Arbitration Association to appoint the third abitrator: The award made in the arbitration shall be binding and conclusive on the parties and judgiment may be,"but need not be, entered thereon in any court having jurisdiction. Such award shall include the fixing of the reasonable costs, expenses and attomeys' fees of arbitration; which shail be borne by the unsuccessfyl party, sublect to the provisions of subparagraph (b) below.
(b) If, during the term of the Agreement, any dispute arises between BMI and LICENSEE conceming the inierpretation of any of the provisions of this Agreement, the resolution of which, in the judgment of BMI or COMMITTEE, either jointly or severally, has or may have industry-wide impact, BMI and COMMITTEE shall first endeavor to resolve such dispule, failing which either party may refer the matter to arbitration (untess the parties agree on some alternative mechanism for dispute resolution); and LICENSEE agrees to be bound by the results of all of such ambitrations involving BKITand COMMITFEE:- In the event of such a reference, each park shall bear its own costs, expenses and attomeys'
fees. In the event of such a reference, either party, as a preliminary matter, shall be entitled to assert that the dispute between them is not properly dealt with under the terms of this subparagraph.
10. TERMINATION BY LICENSEE. LICENSEE shall have the right to teminate this Agreement, upon ten (10) business days' notice to BMI , in the event of (a) the termination or suspension of the govemmental licenses covering LICENSEE, or any substantial alteration' or variation of the terms and conditions thereof; or (b) the suspension of operations by Station for a substantial period of time.
11. BREACH OR DEFAULT. Upon LICENSEE's breach or default of any payment, accounting or substantive reporting obligation required under the terms of this' Agreement, BMI may give LICENSEE thity (30) days' notice in writing to cure such breach or defaul. In the event that such breach or default has not been cured wittiri thirity (30) days of said notice, BMil may then terminate this Agreement.
12. NOTICE. Any notice of termination given hereunder shall be given by registered or certified mais or dellvery service for which there is proof of deliveny to, and receipt by, the addressee. Any other notice required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly given when sent by ordinary firsi-class U.S. mail to the paity for whom is intended, at its office address hereinabove stated, or any"other address which either panty hereto may from time to time designate for such purpose, and when notice is so mailed, it shall be deemed given upon the mailing thereof. Any notice sent to BMI shall be to the attention of S.V.P. Media Licensing Depaitment. Any notice sent to LICENSEE shall be to the aftention of the person signing this Agreement on behalf of LICENSEE or such other person as LICENSEE may advise BMI.
13. PER PROGRAM LICENSE. LICENSEE acknowledges that the BMI Local Television Station Per Program License for the term commencing January 1, 2002 and ending December 31, 2004 (the "Per Program License") has been offered to LICENSEE simultaneously with this Agreement and LICENSEE is hereby electing this Agreement instead thereof. During the term of this Agreement, provided that LICENSEE is not more than ninety (90) days in arrears in payments due hereunder, LICENSEE may hereafter elect to switch from this Agreement to the Per. Program License as of the first day of a calendar month, prospecively on thity (30) days' prior written notice to BMI (an "Election"). By making an Election, LICENSEE agrees to be bound by all the terms of the agreement elected. Thereafter LICENSEE may switch back to the Blanket License as provided for in the Per Program License. An Election to change between this Agreement and the Local Television Station Per Program License may be made. by LICENSEE not more than twice in any calendar year 2002, 2003 or 2004.
14. INTERFERENCE IN OPERATIONS. In the event that any law hereafler enacted of the state, or political subdivision thereof, in which LICENSEE is located shall result in major interference with the operations of BMI in that state or political subdivision, or in a substantial increase of the cost to BMI of operating withln that state or political subdivision, BMI shall have the right, upon notice to COMMITTEE and upon a showing that the matters relerred to affect the licensing of penomping rights under this Agreement, to apply to the judge with siupervisoryauthority over the BAl Consent Decree for whatever relief BMI deems appropriate, including termination of this Agreement.

## 15. LOCAL MARKETING AGREEMENT.

(a) If LICENSEE is, or becomes, a party to a Local Marketing Agreement, LICENSEE and:the LMA Operator shall execute a letter to BMI, th the form attached as Exhibit E and made a part of this Agreement; requesting amendment of this Agreement to add the LMA Operator as a party. When such a letter has been fully executed by LJCENSEE, the LMA Operator and BMI, this Agreement shall be deemed amended accordingly.
(b) BMI shall be entlled to recelve, upon request, a copy of the entire Local Marketing Agreement or, it LICENSEE so elects, a copy of the portion of the agreement which sets forth the respective obligations of LICENSEE and the LMA Operator regarding the payment of BMI fees, accountings, record keeping and administrative responsibillties. An officer of LICENSEE shall certify that it is a true and correct copy of the agreement.

## 16. CONFIDENTIALITY.

(a) BMI shall treat as confidential, and shall not disclose to any third party (other than its empoyoees, directors and officers, in their capacity as such; on a need-to-know basis; and other than as sef fortill subparagraph (b) below), any financial or other proprietary documents or information provided to BMI by LICENSEE in connection with this Agreement.
(b) BMI is hereby authorized to provide to COMMITTEE such of LICENSEE's financial or other proprietary documents or information, provided to BMI pursuant to this Agreement, as COMMMTTEE may request in connection with its representation of the local television industry in future negotiations with BMi, future rate court proceedings, lifigation or disputes over the implementation or interpretation of this Agreement, unless LICENSEE notifies BMI in writing to the contrary. As reflected in Exhibil A hereto, COMMTTEE has agreed to treat as confidential any financial or other proprietary documents or information provided to it by BMi pursuant to this Paragraph.
17. WITHOUT PGEJUDICE. The parties are entering into this Agreement without prejudice to any arguments or positions they may assent in any juture rate proceeding concerning what constitutes reasonable blanket and per program license fees and terms for the local television industry, or, in BMI's case, as to any other licensee. The information that LICENSEE has agreed to provide under Paragraph 5 (b) shall not prejudice any position elther party may take in any future negotiation, proceeding or litigation as to the relevance or necessity of such information in licensing musical
periomances over the Intemet.
18. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. The license granted in Paragraph 3(a)(i) is experimental in nature. BMI and LICENSEE recognize that the license granted therein covers certain transmissions originating from and/or received in certain territories outside of the United States, its commonweath, possessions and territories pursuant to experimental agreements with certain non-U.S. performing rights licensing. organizations around the world, and is broader in geographical scope than ${ }^{-B M 1 ' s}$ previous licenses. Notwithstanding, BMI is offering the license in Paragraph 3(a)(il) on an experimental and non-prejudicial basis for the purpose of evaluating such international licensing initiatives. Accordingly, the removal during the Term of any or all of the teritories listed on Exhibit C in the licensing section of the BMI web site located at http://www.bmi.com from the scope of coverage provided for in Paragraph 3(a)(ii) shall have no impact on the fees due hereunder. The Parties hereby expressly reserve their right to re-evaluate the appropriateness of the fees and terms herein with respect to all transinissions licensed under Paragkaph3(a)(ii), including, but not limited to, the reasonable value of a license that covers transmissions beyond the United States, its commonwealth, possessions and territories, for periods following the Term.
19. MISCELLANEOUS. This Agreement, and all Exhibits hereto, constitutes the entire understanding. between the parties and cannot be waived or added to or modified orally, and no waiver, addition or modification shall be valld unless in writing and signed by the parties. This Agreement, its validity, construction and effect shall be govemed by the laws of the State of New York. The fact that any provisions herein are found to be void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of any other provisions.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have duly executed this Agreement the day and date hereinbefore set forth.

BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.


## EXHIBIT A

May 17, 2002
Broadcast Music, Inc.
320 West $57^{\text {th }}$ Street
New York, N.Y. 10019
Attention: Mr. John Shaker

Re: BMI - Local Television Station Blanket and Per Program Licenses
Dear Mr. Shaker:
This letter sets forth the agrement reached berween Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMP") and the Television Music License Committee (the "COMMITTEE") concerning certain additional terms of the BMI - Local Television Station Blanket and Per Program-License. Agreements covering the periods April 1, 1999 through December 31, 2004 (herein "Blanket Licenses" and "Per Program Licenses", and collectively referred to as "Licenses"). This letter agreement is expressly incorporated in paragraph 4 of the Blanket and Per Program Licenses, respectively, and is binding upon the parties hereto and upon the signatories to the licenses and their successors and assigns. -

The parties agree as follows:

1. For the years 2002, 2003 and 2004, domestic commercial television stations that were licensed by BMI in 2001 pursuant to interim licenses agreed to between BM1 and the COMMITTEE ("Existing Television Stations") shall pay license fees to BMD as follows:
(a) Existing Television Stations entering into the Blanket License with BMI; or switching thereto; shall each pay BMI each year their allocated share of the annual industry-wide BMI blanket license fee of $\$ 85$ million, at such times and in such manner as provided therein for such years (or portions thereof) that they have elected to be bound by a Blanket License. The methodology for the allocation of blanket license fees among Existing Television Stations for each of those calendar years is set forth in Schedule I hereto.
(b) Existing Television Stations entering into Per Program Licenses with BMI, or switching thereto, sball each pay BMI such fees, and at" such thimes and in süch manner, as are provided therein. Per Program License Fees for Existing Television Stations shall be computed based upon each station's Monthly Base License Fee. For each calendar yeat 2002, 2003 and 2004, each Existing Television Station's Monthly. Base License Fee.shall be equal to one-twelfth of its share of the annual industry-wide BMI per program license fee of $\$ 98.1$ million; subject to subparagraph (c) hereof:
(c) In the event that during the term of the Licenses, the COMMITTEE negotiates with the American Society of Composers, Authors" and Publishers ("ASCAP') an annual industry-wide per program license fee, or the ASCAP rate court establishes an annual industry-wide per program license fee for ASCAP in a proceeding no longer subject to appeal, different from $\$ 98.1$ million, then the parties agree that the ASCAP amount will be substituted for the $\$ 98.1$ million figure in paragraph (b) prospectively from the effective date of such ASCAP fee change for any period remaining in the term of the Licenses; provided, however, that substitution of the base fee shall onily occur if the naterfal non-fee terms and conditions of the ASCAP per program license are similar to the terms and conditions of the BMI Per Program License.

[^82](d) Each Existing Television Station shall pay to BMI its allocated share of the annual industry-wide BMI Internet blanket license fee of $\$ 558,333.33$ in each of the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. An Existing Television Station's allocated share of the iodustry-wide BMI Intemet blanket license fee shall be calculated by multiplying $\$ 558,333.33$ imes a factor representing the percentage of the industry-wide BMI blanket license fee that is allocated to the station in a given year pursuant to Schedule 1 to this letter agreement. For example, a station with an annual blanket license fee of $\$ 850,000$ (or $1 \%$ of the industry-wide blanket license fee for the year 2003) shall be allocated $1 \%$ of the industry-wide BMI Internet blanket license fee (or $\$ 5,583.33$ ).
2. For the period from April 1, 1999, through December 31, 2001 (the "Settement Period"), BMI and the COMMITTEE agree that Existing Television Stations shall pay to BMI their allocated share of the industry-wide lumpsum settlement fee of $\$ 12$ million (the "Settement Fee"), which payments will represent, when combined with the interim fees payable to BMI under the interim BMI Blanket and Per Program Licenses agreed to by BMI and the COMMITTEE for that period, the final license fees payable for the Setulement Period. The COMMITTEE shall allocate the Settiement Fee among the Existing Television Stations, and shall provide BMI prior to February 15, 2002 with a schedule that details the amounts to be billed to each station. The stations' shares of the Settiement Fee shall be billed in equal monthly installments over a 36 month period commencing January 1, 2002, separately from the stations' monthly payments as calculated pursuant to Paragraph 1(a) above and Schedule I to this letter agreement.
3. Subject to Paragraph 7 below, if for any part of the term of this letter agreement, BMI enters into a License with a television station that is not an Existing Television Station (a "New Television Station"), the New Television Station shall pay BMI license fees, whether under the Blanket License or the Per Program License, as the case may be, as follows:
(a) if the New Television Station was previously licensed by the FCC and operating as a broadcast television station for more than twelve (12) months prior to entering into a License with BM1, then the fees payable by all stations in the New Television Station's local market as of the effective date of the New Television Station's license agreement shall be reallocated under Schedule I hereto as if such station were an Existing Television Station and without any increase in the total fee amount otherwise allocable to the relevant local television market. The New Television Station and all other ficensees in its local market shall thereafter be obligated to pay such re-allocated fees; or
(b) if the New Television Station was not previously licensed by the FCC and operating as a broadcast television station for more than twelve (12) months prior to entering into a License with BMI, such station shall pay the minimum monthly fee of forty-five dollars ( $\$ 45.00$ ) for the remainder of the calendar year following the effective date of its license agreement. Thereafter, the fees payable by all stations in the New Television Station's local market shall be reallocated under Schedule $I$ hereto as if such station were an Existing Television Station and without any increase in the total fee amount otherwise allocable to the relevant local television market. The New Television Station and all other licensees in its local market shall thereafter be obligated to pay such re-allocated fees.
(c) BMI shall be obligated to notify licensees in writing as to any adjustment in their fees resulting from the reallocation procedures set forth in Paragraphs 3(a) and (b) within ten (10) days of the determination of such reallocated fees. In the event an Existing Television Station's fees are reduced as a result of any such reallocation, BMI shall credit such licensee's account for the amount of any such excess fees. which have already been paid by such licensee as of the effective date of reallocation, or, if such licensee so elects, BMI shall, within thirty (30) days of receiving potification of such election, refund to licensee the amount of any such excess fees.
4. If, during the term of this Agreement, BMI licenses any entity agreed or determined to be a broadcast television "network" previously unlicensed by BMI (such as FOX, UPN, or The WB), whose network programs are carried by local television stations licensed by BMI pursuant to the Licenses, the industry-wide amounts set forth in Paragraph 1 above pertaining to the periods of such third party license agreements shall be adjusted downward in an appropriate anount. BMI shall bave the uttimate responsibility for re-allocating industry-wide blanket license fees to reflect any such reduction, following consultation with the COMMITTEE. BMI and the COMMTTEE will confer and atterpt to reach agreement conceming the appropriate amount of any such fee adjustrnents and such agreement shall be binding on all licensees. If BMI and the COMMITTEE shall fail to agree on such fee adjustments, either party may refer the matter to the federal judge with süpervisory authority over the BMI Consent. Decree for determination.
5. BMI shall provide to the COMMITTEE or its designated representative for verification, by no later than fortyfive (45) days before jts scheduled dissemination to licensees, a copy of each list of Syndicated Television Programs prepared pursuant to Paragraph 6(c) of the Per Program License. The COMMITTEE shall notify BMI of any suggested revisions or corrections to this list no later than three weeks from the date it was received.
6. If, for any part of the term hereof, a station previously licensed by BMI under a separate agreement changes its format and elects to be licensed pursuant to a License, such station's blanket and per program license fee allocations shall be determined pursuant to the methodology set forth in Schedule I as though it were an Existing Television Station, except that: (a) such station's allocated blanket or per program license fee shall be in addition to the industry-wide blanket or per program license fees set forth in Paragraph 2 above; and (b) blanket or per program license fees allocated
to other stations in the same market shall be determined as if such station were not licensed pursuant to a License, and thus shall remain unchanged.
7. The COMMITTEE shall treat as confidential any financial or other proprietary information or documents provided to it by BMI pursuant to the Local Television Station Per Program License Agreement ("Confidential Information"). The COMMITTEE shall limit access to Confidential Information to the COMMMTTEE's staff, representatives and counsel, and shall not disclose Confidential Information to any third party or to any COMMITTEE member, other than a COMMITTEE member who is employed by the station group which provided Confidential Information to BMI.
8. BMI and the COMMITTEE are entering into this Agreement without prejudice to any arguments or positions they may assert in any finture rate proceeding concerning what constitutes reasonable blanket and per program license fees and terms for the local television industry or, in BMI's case, as to any licensee.

Please indicate your agreement to the above by signing on the line provided below.

Very truly yours,
s/ Chuck Sennet
Co-Chair
Television Music License Committee

AGREED TO:
s/ John Shaker
Senior Vice Presiden/Licensing
Broadcast Music, Jpc.

> s/ Catherine Nierle

Co-Chair
Television Music License Committee

# Television Music License Committee Methodology for Industry-Wide BMI License Fee Allocation for the Period From January 1, 2002 through Dečember 31, 2004 

## STEP 1: Allocation of Industry-Wide Fee Among DMA Markets

In a given year, each television market is to be assigned its allocable share of the $\$ 85$ million industry-wide blanket license fee based on a weighted, three-year average percentage of the total U.S. television households it represents. ${ }^{1}$

1. For each of the years 2002 through 2004 ("Contract Years"), the number of TV households in each of the roughly 210 DMA markets as measured by Nielsen" is to be "weighted" as follows:

| Markets $1-10$ | Multiply by 1.19 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Markes $11-25$ | Multiply by 1.05 |
| Markets $26-50$ | Multiply by 0.92 |
| Markets $51-75$ | Multiply by 0.85 |
| Markets $76-100$ | Mutiply by 0.85 |
| Markets $101-125$ | Multiply by 0.85 |
| Markets 126 plus | Multiply by 0.80 |

The purpose of the weighting is to reflect, within broad parameters, that a household in the 150 th market does not represent the samte value as a household in the New York market.
2. For each Contract Year, each market is to be assigned its share of the industry's overall $\$ 85$ Million blanket license fee by the following procedure; Each market's three-year households average (based on the three prior years) will be computed. The multiples set forth in Paragraph 1 above will next be applied to these market rankings resulting from computation of the three-year averages to produce a weighted average households figure for each market. Thus, for example, the top ten markets in terms of three-year households average will receive a 1.19 multiple. Each market's weighted average households figure is to be divided by the total U.S. average weighted households to derive a percentage of U.S. weighted TV households for each market. This weighted percentage is then applied to the industrywide blanket license fee. Thus, if the weighted percentage of total U.S. TV househoids for market " $x$ " is one percent, market x's share of the Contract Year 2002 industry-wide blanket license fee would be $\$ 85 \mathrm{Million} \times 1 \%$, or $\$ 85,000$.

## STEP 2: Allocation of Bianiket License Fees to Stations Within Each Market ${ }^{3}$

A series of computations will.be undertaken to apportion a given market's allocated blanket license fee in relation to each station in that market's viewing households (with an allowance for a portion of the prime-ime audience reached by network-afifiliated stations). ${ }^{4}$

1. For Contract Year 2002, the process wlll begin with Nielsen's Market Ratings Reports for the "sweeps" months assigned for these purposes to each of 1999, 2000 and 2001. Within each market, each station's average DMA quarterhour viewing households, Sunday through Saturday, 9 a.m. through midnight, is to be computed for each of the sweeps months for each of 1999, 2000 and 2001. The same methodology is to be utilized for Contract Year 2003 (employing comparable Nielsen viewership data for the three years 2000, 2001 and 2002) and Contract Year 2004 (employing comparable Nielsen viewership data for the three years 2001, 2002 and 2003). ${ }^{5}$
2. To make allowance for the fact that a portion of a network affiliate's 9 a.m. to midnight schedule constitutes BMI licensed network programming, the following computations, which lead to each station's "qualifying" viewing households, are to be made for each sweeps month:
. In addition, in a glven year, each television market is to be assigned its atocable share of the industry-wide base per program licanse fee fas sel forth in paragraphs 1(b) and (c) of Exhibit. A to the SMl Local Television Station Music Periomance Elanket and Per Program Licenses) pursuant to the nethodology described in this Step 1.
3. The number of television households in television markets located In: Alaska and Hawali shall be determined based upon deta collected by Nielsen; Viggin Islands and Guam shall be detemined based upon data collected by the United States Census; and Puerto Flico shall be detemined based upon data cotlected by Media Fax. For pupposes of assigning an allocable share of the industry-vide blankel ficense tee to television markets in Alaska, Hawaii, Virgin lslands, Guam and Puerto Rico, the number of lelevislon househoids in each of
The computations described in this Step 2 will also be used to apportion a given markel's allocated base per program license fee among the stations within that makel.
4. Network-affiliated stallons are defined as those affiliated with the ABC, CBS, and NBC television networks and those affiated with, but not owned by, the Univision Telavision Network
5. For purposes of these calculations, the gweeps months for a given year compise the November sweeps period of the prior year, and the February and May Sweeps period of that year. For example, the designated sweeps months for 2000 are Novenber 1999 and February and May 2000.
(a) multiply each station's average DMA quarter-hour viewing households by 420 the number of quarter-hour units between 9 a.m. and midnight in one week). For independent stations, the result of this computation constitutes those stations' quallifing viewing households.
(b) with respect to the allocation of fees for network-affiliated stations, arive at "qualitying" viewing households by subtracting from the totals generated by step (a) 100 percent of a prime-time viewing households figure, which figure (prior to application of the 100 percent factor) is calculated by taking a station's average DMA quarter-hour households in prime-time, and multiplying this figure by 88 (the number of quarter-hour units in prime-time in one week. ${ }^{-}$)
6. The nine separate months of DMA viewing households data thus derived for each independent and affiliated station in a market are next aggregated as to each station to arrive at its total qualifying viewing households. This is done for each station in the market. The qualifying viewing households data for all stations in the market are then aggregated to get a base for the entire market. Each station's percentage share of the allocated market blanket license fee (derived through the process described in Step 1, above) is computed by dividing its qualifying viewing households number by the base qualifying viewing households number for that market.
7. A station's blanket license fee is computed by applying the resuling percentage applicable to that station to the market blanket license fee.
8. In those markets having stations which receive no rating in the Nieisen reports and which are not separately licensed by BMI, the following methodology will be employed. Each such station will be assigned a blanket license fee equal to 0.25 percent of the allocable blanket license fee for that market or $\$ 540$ annually, whichever is higher. The remaining stations will be allocated blanket license fees based on the methodology set forth in Step 2 hereof, except that the aliocable blanket license fee for the market ior purposes of those computations shall be reduced by the amount payable by those stations in the market not listed by Nielsen. If, by wey of example, the blanket license fee ellocated to market " $k$ " is $\$ 300,000$, and there are operating in market " $k$ " two stations not listed by Nielsen, each of those stations would be assigned a blanket fee of $\$ 750(\$ 300,000 \times .0025)$. The remaining stations in market " $k$ " would pay.their appropriate percentages, not of $\$ 300,000$, but of $\$ 298,500$.
9. The minimum blanket license fee for a given station shall be the greater of 0.25 percent of the allocable blanket license fee for its market or an annual blanket license fee of $\$ 540$ (or $\$ 45$ per month for partial years) ("Minimum Blanket License Fee").
10. If, during a given Contract Year, BMI enters into a license agreement with a television station that was not previously licensed (a "New Television Station"), the New Television Station shall be assigned blanket license fees as follows:
(a) if the New Television Station was previously licensed by the FCC and operating as a broadcast television station for more than twelve (12) months prior to entering Into a license with BMl, then the fees payable by all stations in the New Television Station's market as of the effective date of the New Television Station's license agreement shall be reallocated pursuant to paragraphs $1-6$ above without any increase in the total tee amount otherwise allocable to the relevant market; or
(b) il the New Television Station was not previousiy licensed by the FCC and operating as a broadcast felevision station for more than twelve (12) months prior to entering into a license with BMl, such station shall pay the minimum monthly lee of forty-five dollars ( $\$ 45.00$ ) for the remainder of the Contract Year following the effective date of its license agreement. The fees payable by all stations in the New Television Station's market in the following Calendar Year shall be reallocated under paragraphs $\dagger-6$ above without any increase in the total fee amount otherwise allocable to the relevant market.
[^83]Broadcast Music, Inc.
320.West $57^{\text {th }}$ Street

New York, NY 10019
ATTN: BMI Local TV Web Site Licensing
Re: Launch of Local TV Station Web Site

To Whom It May Concern:
Please be advised that, on $\qquad$ (day, month), $\qquad$ (year), local television station
$\qquad$ (call leiters) began distributing a web site known as $\qquad$ and located at the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) http:// $\qquad$
pursuant to the 1999-2004 BMI Local Television Music Performance Agreement.

| WEB SITE REPORT CONTACT: |
| :--- |
| NAME: |
| TTTLE: |
| EMALL: |
| TELEPHONE: |

Sincerely,

Print Name: $\qquad$
Company:
Address: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Telephone: $\qquad$
Fax:
Email: $\qquad$

# LOCAL TV STATION WEB SITE <br> MUSIC USE REPORT 



## Legal Name:

$\qquad$
Call Letters: $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$

URL: $\qquad$

## WEB SITE TRAFFIC INFORMATION:

Total number of page impressions on the web site during the period:


Total number of streamed transmissions during the period:
LIVE STREAMING: the simultaneous transmission stations locally produced and aired programming (see Paragraph 3(a)(ii)(A) of the Agreement):
$\square$ Please check here if the TV Station Web Site engaged in the simultaneous retransmission of locally produced and aired programming during the period, Please identity such programming below (e.g., if all, write 'all; if focal newscasts only, write local newscastśf if other programming, wite the titles) of such other programming).


ARCHIVED STREAMINGE the transmission of station's local newscasts andor local news based public affairs programming (see Paragraph 3(a)(ii)(B) of the Agreement):
$\square$ Please check here ifithe-7y station Web Site engaged in the retransmission of local newscasts andor local news based public affairs programming during the period. Please identify such programming below.
$\square$ Local Newscasts

PROMOTIONAL CLIPS TO PROMOTE: VIEWERSHIP OF STATION AND ITS TELEVISION PROGRAMMING (see Paragraph $3(a)(i i)(C)$ of the Agreement):
$\square$ Please check here if the TV Station Web Site contained individually retrievable, archived promotional announcements to promote viewership of station and its television programming during the period.

Please check the appropriate boxes) to indicate the types) of programming:Syndicated ProgramsNetwork Programs -Local Programming

I hereby certify on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ that the above is true and correct.

By:
$\qquad$
(PRINT NAME OF SIGNER)
(TILE OF SIGNER)

## DIGITAL SIGNAL QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire should be filled out and e-mailed to BMI during the month of October 2002, 2003 and 2004.

## Legal Name of Licensee:

$\qquad$
Analog Signal Call Letters: $\qquad$
Station's Address: $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$

1. Is the station currently broadcasting a digital signal?

2. What are the call letters of your digitalsignal? $\qquad$
3. What are the current weekly hours of ontar operation of your digital signal? $\qquad$
4. Does your digital signal programming consist completely of simulcasts of your analog signal $\square$ Yes (Skip.therlast question)No

5. If your digital signal programming differs from your analog signal programming, or if you have multicast programming in your digital signal, please identify all non-simulcast programming broadcast in your digital signal, including broadcast dates and times. (Please add additional sheets as necessary.)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Local Marketing Agreement Amendment to Local Television Station Music Performance License Agreement

WHEREAS,
Local Marketing Agreement ("LMA") with___
the television station $\quad$ (LICENSEE") has entered into a hereby agreed to as follows:

1. LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR add LMA OPERATOR as a party to the BMI Local Television Blanket [Per Program] License Agreement, including all exiensions, schedules and exhibits thereto, in effect between LICENSEE and BMI ("the License"), and LMA OPERATOR shall assume, with LICENSEE, all of the rights and obligations of LICENSEE set forth in the License for the full period of the LMA with respect to the STATION.
2. LICENSEERMA OPERATOR (circle one) shall be responsible in the first instance for the payment of any fees owing to BMI and for the submission to BMI of any reports or other information pursuant to the License for the full period of the LMA with respect to the STATION.
3. LICENSEE remains fully liable for all its obligations under the License. Even if the LMA OPERATOR is responsible in the first instance for the payment of fees and submissions of reports or other information to BMI as set forth in Paragraph 2 above, if LMA OPERATOR defaults in any way on those obligations, LICENSEE remains responsible for fulfiling those obligations.
4. LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR jointly designate the following single address for blling, and other regular correspondence, and the following single address for any notices in accordance with the License.

| Billing Address: __________ Notice Address:___ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |

5. In the event that the LMA between LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR terminates, both LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR shall notify BMI of the termination within 30 days, and subrnit all required statements, reports and payments through the date of said termination. In the event that both LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR fail to notify BMi of the termination of the LMA, then both LICENSEE and LMA OPERATOR shall remain obligated under this agreement for all statements, reports and payments.


Broadcast Music; Inc. hereby consents and agrees to the amendment of the above-mentioned License Agreement.
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC.
$\square$
$\square$
Dated:
Title: $\square$

## SETTLING PARTIES

## SP EXHIBIT 26 TAB 6 (MC 04-05 EX. 6)

(MUSIC CLAIMANTS)

# LOCALLY ORIGINATED CABLE PROGRAMMING BLANKET LICENSE AGREEMENT 

AGREEMENT dated as of $\qquad$ between BROADCAST MUSIC, INC. ("BMI") and $\qquad$ CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEM ("Licensee"), as follows:

## I. DEFINITIONS

A. "Locally Originated Programming"
"Locally Originated Programming" as used in this Agreement shall mean programming locally produced, inserted locally or through an interconnect (an association of two or more cable systems for the purpose of distributing advertising or programming simultaneously) or otherwise originated by, for, or on any of Licensee's Distribution Systems, as defined in section $I(B)$, including, without limitation, (i) programming on locally-originated channels, including advertising and promotional materials thereon; (ii) programming on public, educational and govemmental ("PEG") access channels; (iii) public service announcements; (iv) programming on leased access channels; and (v) advertising and promotional materials inserted locally or through an interconnect by or on behalf of Licensee into national, regional or local cable programming services. "Locally Originated Programming" shall also include statewide, regional and local news and/or sports programming predominantly created by the cable operator and predominantly distributed to its own subscribers within the ADI or DMA in which the Distribution System is located or an extension thereof where sucb extension is fiber or through an interconnection. For the avoidance of doubt, such programning shall not include regional sports networks such as MSG or Fox Sports.
B. "Licensee's Distribution Systems"

As used in this Agreement, "Licensee's Distribution Systems" shall mean each and every Distribution System which is authorized to transmit any Locally Originated Programming supplied by Licensee as set forth in Exhibit A.
C. "Subscriber"
"Subscriber" as used in this Agreement shall mean each location to which
Licensee provides Locally Originated Programming. Subscribers shall include each
occupied dwelling (whether in a single family dwelling or a multi-unit building). bar. restaurant, hotel or motel room, and other residential or commercial location at which Locally Originated Programming is received through a Distribution System. For purposes of calculating the license fees owed under this Agreement in the year 2003 and following. as provided in Section $V$ herein, the number of Subscribers for each year shall be the average of the number of subscribers as of December 31 of the prior year and December 31 of the year for which the interim fee is being calculated. If a Distribution System was acquired or transferred within a calendar year, the number of Subscribers shall mean the average of the number of Subscribers as of the date of acquisition/transfer and the number of subscribers as of (1) December 31 of the current year for an acquisition or (2) December 31 of the prior year for a transfer.

## D. "Dramatic Performance"/"Non-Dramatic Performance"

(1) "Dramatic Performance" as used in this Agreement shall mean a performance of a musical composition in Locally Originated Programming in which there is a definite plot depicted by action and where the performance of the musical composition is woven into and carries forward the plot and its accompanying action, including, but not limited to, any opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production, as such, in whole or in part, or the performance of any cormposition from any opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production in a manner which recreates the performance of such composition with substantially such distinctive scenery or costume as was used in the presentation of such opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like production (whether or not such opera, operetta, musical comedy, play or like profuction was presented on the stage or in motion picture form). The use of dialogue to establish a mere program format or the use of any non-dramatic device merely to introduce a performance of a composition shall not be deemed to make such performance dramatic.
(2) "Non-Dramatic Performance" as used in this Agreement shall mean any performance of a separate musical composition which is not a dramatic performance as defined herein.
(3) The definitions of the terms "Dramatic Performance" and "NonDramatic Performance" contained in this paragraph are for purposes of this Agreement only and for the term hereof, and shall not be binding upon or prejudicial to any position
taken by either of the parties subsequent to the expiration of this Agreement, or used or cited for any purpose other than as contained herein.
E. "License Period"

As used in this Agreement, "License Period" means the period January 1, 1997 through Decernber 31, 2006.

## F. "United States"

As used in this Agreement, the "United States" shall be deemed to include the United States of America - its commonwealths, territories, trust territories. dependencies, and possessions during the term hereof, including but not limited to Guam, Puerto Rico, and the United States Virgin Islands.
G. "Year"

As used in this Agreement, "Year" shall mean a calendar year.

## II. LICENSE

BMI grants to Licensee and Licensee accepts, for the License Period. a non-exclusive license to perform in and as part of Locally Originated Programming over each of Licensee's Distribution Systems within the United States, nondramatic public performances of musical compositions now or hereafter during the term hereof in the BMI repertory; or as to which BMI has or shall have the right to grant such license during the term hereof.

## III. LIMITATIONS

## A. "Foreign Distribution"

Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to grant to Licensee the right to perform publicly the compositions licensed hereunder outside of the United States, it being understood that with respect to such foreign performances, such Licensee must obtain licenses from the owners of the performing rights in the compositions or the relevant performing rights society in the country in which such foreign performances are transmitted or received.

## B. "Dramatic Performances"

This license does not extend to or include dramatic performances of compositions in the BMI repertory; provided, however, that the rights granted to Licensee under this license shall be deemed to include a grant of the right to make nondramatic public performances of compositions licensed hereunder by the transmission of a motion picture containing such compositions if the rights in such motion picture other than those licensed under this license have been obtained from the parties in interest. Nothing herein contained shall be deemed to license the public performance by television broadcasting or otherwise of dramatic performances.
C. "Scope"

Except as herein expressly provided, nothing herein contained shall be construed as authorizing Licensee to grant to others any right to reproduce or perform publicly, by any means, method or process whatsoever. any of the musical compositions licensed hereunder or as authorizing any Subscriber of any Licensee, including bars, restaurants and other conimercial establishments that may be Subscribers, to further transmit or reproduce the same, by any means, method or process whatsoever, provided, however, that Licensee shall not be responsible for the monitoring, reporting, or preventing of unauthorized public performances of BMI music by any unrelated third party.

## D. "Right to Restrict"

BMI shall have the right, at any time and from time to time, in good faith, to restrict the transmission of compositions from musical comedies, operas, operettas and motion pictures, or any other composition being excessively broadcast, only for the purpose of preventing harmful effect upon such musical comedies. operas, operettas, motion pictures or compositions, in respect of other interests under the copyrights thereof; provided, however, that the maximum number of compositions which may be at any time thus restricted shall not exceed 750 and that limited licenses will he granted upon application to BMI entirely free of additional charge as to restricted compositions, if and when the copyright owners thereof are unable to show reasonable hazards to their major interests likely to result from such transmission; and provided further that such right to restrict any such composition shall not be exercised for the purpose of permitting the fixing or regulating of fees for the recording or transcribing of sucb composition; and provided further that in no case shall any charges, "free plugs." or other consideration be required in
respect of any permission granted to perform a restricted composition; and provided further that in no event shall any composition. after the initial transmission thereof, be restricted for the purpose of confining further television broadcasts thereof to a particular artist. station, network or program.

BMI reserves the further right. at any time and from time to time, in good faith, to restrict the transmission of any compositions, over and above the number specified in this paragraph, as to which any suit has been brought or threatened on a claim that such composition infringes a composition not contained in the BMI repertory or on a claim that BMI does not have the right to license the public performance of such composition by television broadcasting.

## TV. FEES

In consideration for the license granted herein, Licensee agrees to pay to BMI for each year of the License Period hereof a license fee as follows:
$1997-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$1998-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$1999-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2000-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2001-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2002-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2003-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2004-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2005-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber
$2006-\$ 0.083$ per subscriber

Licensee will use good faith efforts to perform its reporting and payment obligations on a consolidated corporate entity basis to facilitate, where reasonably practicable, a single fee report (in the form appended as Exhibit B hereto), and payment for all Distribution Systems as listed on Exhibit A (the Licensee's Distribution Systems), setting forth on such consolidated report the Subscribers and fees for each individual

Distribution System. Licensee represents that all its Distribution Systems transmuting Locally Originated Programming containing BMI Music for any part of the License Period are listed on Exhibit A to this Agreement, and acknowledge than any Distribution System not listed hereunder is not licensed hereby, provided. however, that no Licensee need submit reports or payments for years for which fees have already been paid to BM . Licensee may add to Exhibit A any other Distribution System it wiskes to be licensed under this Agreement, at any time during the term of the Agreement.

## V. LICENSE FEE PAYMENTS

## A. January 1, 1997 - December 31, 2002 Catch -Up Fees

The parties acknowledge that under a prior agreement, for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 2002 Licensee was required to pay license fees at the annual rate of $\$ 0.08$ per subscriber. To the extent such payments were already made, for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 2002 Licensee shall only be required to pay "catch-up" fees of $\$ 0.003$ per subscriber per year reflecting the difference between the previous $\$ 0.08$ per subscriber per year rate and the current $\$ 0.083$ per subscriber per year rate ${ }_{\ddagger}$ as outlined on Exhibit $C$ attached hereto. Otherwise, the entire payment for such period shall be due. Payment for this period shall be made on or before April 21, 2003.

## B. January 1, 2003 - December 31, 2006 License Fees

For the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006, license fee payments shall be due annually on March 15 , each payment encompassing the previous calendar year. License fees shall be calculated with reference to the number of Subscribers as provided in Section $1(\mathrm{C})$ herein.

## VI. AUDIT

BMI shall have the right, during customary business hours and not more than once each year of the License Period, on notice in writing of not less than twenty business days, to conduct an audit to verify Licensee's Subscriber counts as listed on its fee reports, whether there is use of BMI music, and the identity of Licensee's Distribution Systems. The
final audit under this provision shall occur within two years after the end of the License Period. In any given year, audits of multiple systems of Licensee shall be limited to no more than $20 \%$ or 1 system, whichever is the greater, of the total number of Distribution Systems other than any listed on Exhibit A. BMI may audit such systems for every year of the License Period. In the event such audits reveal in a given year a net ander-reporting of Subscribers of greater than $2 \%$ of the tatal number of Subscribers covered by such audits or maccuracy as to whether a Distribution Systems distributed Locally Originated Programming containing BMI Music during any part of the License Period, BMI shall have the right to audit the Subscriber count of additional systems for any 3 years of the License Period. If any audit reveals an underpayment by Licensee, BMI shall notify Licensee in writing within 120 days of the audit, and BMI's failure to so notify Licensee shall constitute a waiver of any claim based on such audit. All information coming to BMI's attention as a result of any such examination shall be held completely and entirely confidential and shall not be used by BMI other than in connection with the administration and enforcement of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if Licensee provides to BMI copies of the appropriate sections of its Statements of Account for any Distribution System as filed with the United States Copyright Office pursuant to 37 CFR § 201.17 (1999 ed.) demonstrating that the number of subscribers used for the calculation of the license fees provided: hereunder with respect to any year is not less than the average of subscribers reported on the Statements of Account filed in the United States Copyright Office for such year including the most recent Statement of Account of the previous year and calculated in accordance with Section 1(c) of this Agreement, then BMI shall not audit such subscriber counts; provided, however, that Licensee shall notify BMI in writing of any amended or corrected Statements of Account filed by Licensee for such Distribution System for such year. Nothing in this Section shall be deemed to limii Licensee's obligation to make true, accurate and complete fee reports as provided in this Agreement or BM's right to payment of the license fees otherwise due under this Agreement.

## VII. LATE PAYMENT CHARGE

BMI may impose a late payment charge of $1.5 \%$ per month from the
date payment was due on any undisputed amount that is received by BMI more than 15 days after the due date, provided that such due date occurs on or after April 21, 2003.

## VIII. DELIVERY OF MATERIALS

## "Cue Sheets"

Licensee, as a matter of course, shall cause cue sheets to be created with respect to all Locally Originated Programming during the term of this Agreement for distribution on Licensee's Distribution Systems. Such cue sheets shall contain, where reasonably available, information identifying the title, writer, composer. publisher, nature and type of use, manner of performance, duration of performance and performing rights society affliation of all entitled parties of all music compositions in the Locally Originated Programming during the term of this Agreement. Such cue sheets shall be delivered to BMI electronically using software provided at no charge or approved by BMI. Licensee shall also request cue sheets from licensors and outside producers with respect to all programming produced by others and distributed as Locally Originated Programming on the Licensee's Distribution System and shall furnish those cue sheets to BMI.

## IX. INDEMNIFICATION, REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

## A. "Indemninication"

BMI agrees to inderanify, save and hold harmless and defend Licensee, its parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and agents, sponsors, advertising agencies, and its and their officers, employees, and artists, from and against any claims, demands or suits that may be made or brought against them or any of them with respect to the nondramatic public performances licensed under this Agreement of any compositions in BMI's repertory which are written or copyrighted by affiliates of BMI or as to which BMI has or shall have rights to grant performance licenses during the term hereof.
B. "Notice and Cooperative Defense of Claims"

Licensee agrees to give BMI prompt notice of any claim, demand or suit of the type specified in subparagraph $A$ above, and agrees promptly to deliver to $B M I$ all papers pertaining thereto. BMI at its own expense shall have full charge of the defense of any such claim, demand or suit, and Licensee shall cooperate fully with BMI in such
defense. Licensee, however, shall have the right to engage counsel of its owrs, at its own expense, who may participate in the defense of any such action and with whom counsel for BMI shall cooperate.
C. "Representations"

Each of the parties represents and warrants that it has taken all necessary action and has secured the consents of all persons necessary to authorize the execution of this Agreement and performance of all its obligations hereunder. Each party represents that the person executing this Agreement on its behalf is duly authorized to do so, and that this Agreement is and shall be during its term a binding obligation of the party on whose behalf it is executed. Each party represents to the other that the execution and performance of this Agreement is not barred, prohibited or impaired by any existing law, rule, regulation, court or administrative order or decree, contract or agreement to which it is now a party, or by which it is bound.

## X. BREACH AND DEFAULT

Upon any breach or default by Licensee of the terms herein contained, including without limitation, failure to make timely payments under Section $V$ hereof, BMI shall give Licensee thirty (30) days' notice in writing to cure such breach or default. In the event that any such breach or default has not been cured within said thirty (30) days, BMI may then promptly terminate this Agreement by giving notice of such termination to Licensee, in writing.

## XI. NOTICES

All notices, statements and other documents required to be given hereunder shall be mailed or delivered to the parties at the following addresses, or such other individuals or addresses as the parties may by written notice designate:

If to BMI:
BMI
320 West $57^{\text {th }}$ Street
New York, N.Y. 10019
Attention: Senior Vice President, Licensing

## Copy to:

General Counsel
BMI
320 West $57^{\text {h }}$ Street
New York, New York 10019

## If to Licensee:

[Address]
Attention:
Copy to:
National Cable Television Association
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attention: Daniel L. Brenner, Esq.

## XII. MOST FAVORED NATIONS

In the event Licensee, at any time during the term hereof, shall, for the licensing of nondramatic public performances of musical compositions as part of Locally Originated Programming over Licensee's Distribution Systems within the United States, pay fees to ASCAP or any performing rights society in excess of fees set forth in Section IV herreof, Licensee agrees to pay fees at such rates to BMI for the balance of the term hereof.

## XIII, ARBITRATION

All disputes of any kind, nature or description arising in connection with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and not cognizable under Article XIV of the consent decree entered in United States v . Broadcast Music, Inc. shall be submitted to the American Arbitration Association in New York, New York for arbitration under its then prevailing rules, the arbitrator(s) to be selected as follows: Each of the parties shall, by witten notice to the orher, have the right to appoint one
arbitrator. If, within twenty (20) days following the giving of such notice by one party, the other shall not, by written notice, appoint another arbitrator, the first arbitrator shall be the sole arbitrator. If two arbitrators are so appointed, they shall appoint a third arbitrator. If twenty (20) days elapse after the appointment of the second arbitrator and the two arbitrators are unable to agree upon the third arbitrator, then either party may request the American Arbitration Association to appoint the third arbitrator. The award made in the arbitration shall be binding and conclusive on the parties and judgment may be, but need not be, entered in any court having jurisdiction. Such award shall include the fixing of the costs, expenses and attomeys' fees of arbitration. which shall be borne by the unsuccessful party.

## XIV. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, but no assignment shall relieve the parties hereto of their respective obligations hereunder provided that Licensee will only be responsible for payment obligations accrued as of and through the effective date of any such assignment. If a Distribution System listed on Exhibit A was purchased by Licensee during the Term, Licensee shall only be responsible for license fees accruing after the effective date of such purchase if such Distribution System was licensed by BMI for prior periods during the License Period. Otherwise, Licensee shall be responsible for license fees for such Distribution System throughout the License Period.

If Licensee sells or otherwise transfers a controlling share of its stock or other ownership interest in one or more Distribution Systems, Licensee will delete such Distribution System(s) from Exbibit A and will be relieved of all liability hereunder with respect to such Distribution System(s) from the date of closing going forward. Licensee shall provide BMI with the name and address of the acquiring party within thirty (30) days of the effective date of such transfer. Licensee agrees to provide BMI with a final payment concerning such Distribution System(s) of any fees accrued as of the days of such sale or transfer, payable at the next scheduled fee payment date in accordance with Section IV of this Agreement. Computation of Licensee's fee payment obligation with respect to Subscribers will be prorated and based on the number of Subscribers of such Distribution System(s) as of the date of such sale or transfer.

## XV. WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO SUBSEQUENT PERIODS

BMI and Licensee agree that the terms of this Agreement shall be without prejudice to any position either party may take in any negotiation or proceeding for determination of reasonable fees for blanket or per program licenses for Locally Originated Programming distributed by Licensee for any period subsequent to the License Period.

## XVI. EXECUTION

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

## XVII. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes the previous agreement between BMI and Licensee for the License Period covered hereunder. This Agreement may not be amended, modified, or terminated except by a written instrument signed by both of the parties.
XVIII. APPLICABLE LAW

Licensee and BMI agree that this Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York pertaining to contracts made and fully prepared therein.

BROADCAST MUSIC. INC.
$\qquad$ By: $\qquad$

## Date

[Licensee]
$\mathrm{By}:$ $\qquad$

## Date

## Exhibit A

Cable System
City, State
Principal Operating Area
Number of Subscribers

## Exhibit B

Fee Report

## Exhibit C

## Catch-up Fee Report
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Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, D.C.

## In the Matter of

Distribution of the 2004 and 2005
Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005
Cable Royalty Funds

TESTIMONY
OF
WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS

## I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. My name is William P. Zarakas. I am a Principal with The Brattle Group, an economic consulting firm. I joined The Brattle Group in 2002. Since that time, my work has primarily involved economic, financial and regulatory-related analysis, pertaining to the communications and media industries. Specifically, and among many other matters, I have had significant involvement in: cost, rate and pricing analyses; valuation of communications related businesses and assets; the modeling of economic feasibilities and financial business cases; analyses of demand and revenue projections; and the economic impacts of legislative and regulatory initiatives. I have analyzed business models and performed financial feasibility analyses concerning the build-out of cable television systems and the economics of the addition of incremental products and services by cable system operators. I have also provided testimony before the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) concerning the economics of cable system operations with regard to its consideration of a petition for forbearance from Sections 251(c)(3) and 251(d)(1) of the Communications Act. Additionally, I have performed economic and financial analyses on behalf of media companies, including analysis concerning the feasibility of interactive television offerings. I have performed these analyses on behalf of companies and regulatory agencies in the United States and in other parts of the world.
2. Before joining The Brattle Group, I held several other positions where I was involved in economic, financial and regulatory analysis. From 1997 through 2001, I was a Senior Vice President with PHB Hagler Bailly, an economics consulting firm, and a Member of the Management Team with its successor company, PA Consulting. Prior to that, I held various positions with Theodore Barry and Associates (TB\&A) from 1988 through 1997, starting as an Associate and then becoming a Managing Associate, Director and ultimately Managing Director and a member of TB\&A's Board of Directors. Prior to these consulting positions, I was employed as an Economist with the New York Power Authority and as a Consultant with Ebasco Business Consulting Company, where I was involved in economic, financial and rate analyses concerning utilities and telecommunications companies.
3. I have instructed seminars on economic and regulatory issues for regulatory agencies and published white papers and articles in periodicals and journals involving issues in communications economics and regulation. I hold a Master of Arts degree in Economics from New York University and a Bachelor of Arts degree with a major in Economics from the State University of New York. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Appendix A.
4. I have previously been qualified as an expert in many cases before state regulatory commissions in matters concerning cost, rate and economic and financial analyses. I have also been qualified as an expert in matters concerning economic and financial analysis before the FCC, the American Arbitration Association, and multiple state and federal courts.
5. My work on this report has been performed with the assistance of my colleagues and staff at The Brattle Group. I am being compensated at the rate of $\$ 470$ per hour, and my colleagues are being compensated at their standard rates. A list of the materials that I relied on in connection with this report is attached as Appendix B.

## II. SCOPE AND STRUCTURE OF THIS REPORT

6. I have been retained to submit this report on behalf of the American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers ("ASCAP"), Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMI") and SESAC, Inc. ("SESAC") (collectively, the "Music Claimants"), which are the performing rights organizations ("PROs") that license the non-dramatic public performances in the United States of nearly all copyrighted musical compositions and distribute performance license royalties to their songwriter, composer and music publisher members and affiliates. Specifically, I have been asked to determine the appropriate Music Claimants share of the compulsory license royalties paid by cable system operators for the retransmission of local broadcast over-the-air programming in the distant signal market pursuant to Section 111 of the Copyright Act for the years 2004 and 2005.
7. I summarize my conclusions in Section III of this report. I provide an overview of the background I considered in determining the distribution percentage to the Music Claimants
in Section IV. I explain the methodology and data sources I used in calculating such percentage in Section V. My calculation of the Music Claimants' distribution percentage is set forth in Section VI. ${ }^{1}$

## III. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSION

8. In prior Section 111 cable royalty fund distribution proceedings, the "relative market value" to cable system operators of the various programming retransmitted in the distant market was the primary factor in determining the distribution of royalties among the various copyright owner claimant groups. In the last litigated Section 111 proceeding (concerning the distribution of the 1998-99 cable royalties), with respect to music - an element that runs throughout television programming - the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP") considered, as a major factor in determining the Music Claimants' award, evidence of the relative value of music through a ratio of total music license fees to the sum of (a) such music license fees and (b) the total payments made by the stations and networks in the over-the-air broadcast market for the rights to broadcast the programs aired on such stations (so-called "broadcast rights payments"). Throughout this report, I refer to such a ratio as a "music ratio." I set forth the equation for a music ratio below:

$$
\text { music ratio }=\frac{\text { Music License Fees }}{\text { Music License Fees }+ \text { Broadcast Rights Payments }}
$$

9. Conceptually, I find a music ratio approach to be a reasonable method to calculate the value of music relative to the value of the programming of the other copyright owner claimant groups. I nevertheless determined that the manner in which the CARP calculated the music ratio (referred to herein as the "Unadjusted Music Ratio") and the data used for such a calculation required certain modifications and clarifications in order to capture comprehensively and accurately the values to be used to calculate the music ratio for distant signals. Accordingly, I calculated adjusted music ratios for each different category

[^84]of television stations in the over-the-air broadcast market, such as independent stations or network affiliates. I refer to each such adjusted music ratio as a "Music Ratio." I further found that these Music Ratios required weighting to reflect the relative importance of the various stations carried by cable system operators in the distant signal market at issue in this proceeding.
10. Using the weighted Music Ratios (as more fully described in Sections V and VI below), I calculated the relative value of music to be $5.2 \%$ in 2004 and $4.6 \%$ in 2005. In fact, as I explain below, these values are conservative for a number of reasons, including that they fail to account for the fact that content retransmitted in the distant signal market is on a non-exclusive basis and thus the broadcast rights payments paid in the local over-the-air market - which are typically for the right to exclusive broadcasts within that market overstate the relative broadcast rights payments that would be paid in the distant signal market. Accordingly, I find $5.2 \%$ and $4.6 \%$ to be the proper percentages of the 2004 and 2005 funds, respectively, to be distributed to the Music Claimants.

## IV. BACKGROUND

## Music Claimants

11. The Music Claimants represent over 725,000 U.S. songwriters, composers, and music publishers, as well as many thousands more from around the world through reciprocal agreements with foreign PROs. On their behalf, the Music Claimants license the right to perform publicly the musical compositions in their repertories, collect license fees for such performances and distribute the fees to their members and affiliates. Music Claimants' members and affiliates grant to the PROs the non-exclusive right to license their works; thus, music users may also obtain performance licenses directly from individual writers or publishers.

## Broadcast Television Stations and Networks

12. Among the many licensees of Music Claimants are commercial over-the-air broadcast
television stations, which publicly perform music in their programming - whether movies, episodic shows, talk shows, sports or other programming genres. ${ }^{2}$ There are two major categories of television stations. First, there are stations that are affiliated with broadcast television networks, referred to as "network affiliates." Network affiliates receive a portion of their programming from the network (the "network programming") and either locally produce the remainder of their programming ("locally produced programming," such as, a local news program) or obtain movies, syndicated programming or sports programming from third parties. The broadcast television networks include the large national networks - ABC, CBS, and NBC (known as the "Big 3 networks") - and the Fox network. They also include several smaller networks (such as UPN and The WB) as well as specialized and/or foreign language networks (such as the Home Shopping Network and/or Univision and Telemundo). ${ }^{3}$ I refer to the Fox network and these other smaller/specialized/foreign networks as the "non-Big 3 networks." The second category of stations are television stations that produce their own programming and acquire syndicated shows, movies and sports programming. These are referred to as "independent" stations.
13. There were approximately 1,372 commercial television stations operating in the U.S. in 2004 and 1,371 commercial stations operating in $2005 .{ }^{4}$ Table 1 provides a summary of the number of television stations in terms of the categories discussed above. The table sets forth the number of television stations operating in 2004 and 2005 that were associated with the Big 3 networks (ABC, CBS and NBC) and the non-Big 3 networks (Fox, UPN, The WB and other networks). It also sets forth the number of independent television stations operating in 2004 and 2005.
[^85]Table 1
Commercial Broadcast Television Station Count

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Big-3 Networks |  |  |
| ABC Affiliates | 220 | 221 |
| CBS Affiliates | 219 | 219 |
| NBC Affiliates | 224 | 221 |
|  |  |  |
| Non Big-3 Networks |  |  |
| FOX Affiliates | 188 | 191 |
| UPN Affiliates | 91 | 90 |
| WB Affiliates | 85 | 90 |
| Other | 251 | 251 |
| Independents | 94 | 88 |
| Total | 1,372 | 1,371 |

Source: M-Street data (as provided by BMI)
14. Television stations must receive permission to transmit third-party-owned programming. In exchange for such permission, broadcasters make "broadcast rights payments." Independent stations, network affiliates and the broadcast networks must also obtain public performance licenses to cover the performances of musical compositions contained throughout all of their programming.

## Music Licenses

15. To that end, I understand that the Music Claimants, for a fee (as described below), grant licenses that cover the music in broadcast television programming as follows. The Music Claimants grant licenses to the Big 3 networks and to Univision to cover the music in the network programming broadcasts by the network affiliates. ${ }^{5}$ In addition, the Music Claimants grant "local television licenses" - negotiated on an industry-wide basis for a fee that is allocated station-by-station - to cover all programming (network and local) on the non-Big 3 network affiliates, all programming on independent stations and all non-network programming broadcast by Big 3 network affiliates (i.e., locally produced programming and acquired syndicated programs, movies and sports programming).
16. The Music Claimants individually offer two primary types of licenses to stations. The first type is the "blanket license," which grants a television station, for an allocated portion of an industry-wide blanket flat fee, the unlimited right to perform publicly any or all of the millions of copyrighted musical compositions in the individual Music Claimants' respective repertories.
17. The second type of license is a "per program license" (in fact, a type of "blanket" license in that it also allows the licensee to use any of the works in a PRO's repertoire). Under a per program license, a television station pays a fee only for the specific programs that include music from the PRO's repertoire. For example, under the BMI per program license, a station is allocated an overall BMI "starting fee" that is higher than its allocated blanket fee. The station ultimately pays BMI a fee each month for each program that contains BMI works using a fee formula that reduces the amount to account for any performance licenses that the station obtains directly from an individual writer or publisher (so-called direct or source licenses).

## Cable System Operators

18. Operators of cable television systems ("cable system operators") transmit a variety of programming to customers on a subscription basis. Cable system operators typically provide several types of programming: programming from cable networks such as MTV; premium and/or on-demand programming such as HBO; locally originated programming (i.e., programming produced by the cable system operator); retransmitted broadcast television programming from the local market; and, relevant here, retransmitted broadcast television programming from a distant market (often referred to as distant signal programming). With respect to cable network, premium and locally-originated programming, the cable networks and cable system operators negotiate directly with the Music Claimants to obtain licenses to cover the public performance of music contained in such programming.

[^86]19. I understand that, with respect to the use of music in retransmitted broadcast television programming, cable system operators need not obtain licenses directly from the copyright owners for music performances or the right to broadcast the programming on the stations that are retransmitted. Rather, Section 111 of the Copyright Act covers the treatment of secondary transmissions by cable systems and grants cable system operators a "compulsory license" to retransmit the programming from over-the-air television broadcast stations, including into the distant market. In exchange for this compulsory right, cable system operators pay royalties on a semi-annual basis to the U.S. Copyright Office into three funds - the Basic Fund, the 3.75\% Fund and the Syndex Fund - for future distribution to the claimant copyright owners. Such royalties are payable for retransmission of broadcast signals to the cable operator's subscribers in the distant markets pursuant to Section 111. Moreover, cable systems do not pay royalties for the distant carriage of Big 3 network programming. Thus, the Big 3 network programming is not compensable in the subject proceeding. The non-Big 3 network programming, however, is compensable in this proceeding.

## Distribution of the Cable Royalty Funds

20. The funds paid by cable system operators pursuant to Section 111 are distributed among copyright owners, who traditionally align themselves into claimant groups based on commonality of the type of programming provided. Claimant groups include the suppliers of: movies and syndicated television programming ("Program Suppliers"); sports programming ("Joint Sports Claimants"); commercial broadcast programming ("Commercial Television Claimants" or "National Association of Broadcasters" ("NAB")); religious broadcast programming ("Devotional Claimants"); public television broadcast programming ("Public Television Claimants" or "PBS"); and Canadian broadcast programming ("Canadian Claimants"). Music Claimants supply the music that is included as an element in all of the above types of programming.
21. I understand that Congress did not provide criteria for how the royalties should be divided among the various copyright claimants. Thus, in considering how best to determine the appropriate percentage of the funds to be distributed to the Music Claimants for the years

2004 and 2005 that are the subject of this proceeding, I reviewed the prior determinations of the bodies previously charged with making such distributions, namely the Copyright Royalty Tribunal (the "CRT") and the CARPs.
22. In the 1978 Cable Royalty Distribution Determination, the CRT considered a range of factors in making its distribution determinations. The primary factors were: the harm that retransmission of distant signals may have on copyright owners; the benefits that may be derived from retransmission by cable system operators; and the marketplace value of the retransmitted works. Secondary factors were the quality of the retransmitted work and time-related considerations. ${ }^{6}$ Over time, however, the CRTs and then the CARPs began to discount, or hold altogether meaningless, factors other than the relative market value of the retransmitted works. Thus, in the 1998-99 Distribution Proceeding, the CARP held that its "primary objective [was] to 'simulate [relative] market valuation' as if no compulsory license existed." ${ }^{7}$
23. In assessing the relative market value, the CARP considered studies that addressed the relative values of the various types of retransmitted programming based on surveys and program viewing. Specifically, the CARP considered studies by Nielsen and Bortz. ${ }^{8}$ The Nielsen study, proffered by the Program Suppliers, used data supplied by Nielsen Media Research, which measures television viewing. The Bortz study, proffered by the Joint Sports Claimants, surveyed cable operators regarding the value that they ascribed to various categories of programming. The CARP found that the Bortz study provided meaningful indications of the relative values of sports, movies and other types of programming, but was not relevant for music because music is a program element rather than a program type. ${ }^{9}$

[^87]24. The CARP relied upon an alternate methodology to assess the relative market value of music. Specifically, as mentioned above, the CARP considered, as a "floor" for the ultimate distribution percentage set, the relative value of music based on the ratio of music license fees to the total music license and broadcast rights expenses incurred by television broadcasters in the over-the-air broadcast market (hereinafter the "Unadjusted Music Ratio"). ${ }^{10}$ Although the CARP recognized that the market for distant signal programming by cable system operators is different from the market for programming in the over-the-air broadcast market, "in the absence of better measures," the CARP found that "the broadcast television ratio of music expenses to the total broadcast rights expenses is at least one reasonable measure of Music's relative value . . .."11
25. Specifically, in calculating the Unadjusted Music Ratio for the 1998 and 1999 cable royalty funds distribution, the CARP looked to music license fee data and broadcast rights payment data derived from the U.S. Census Bureau report entitled the Annual Survey of Communication Services: $1998 .{ }^{12}$ According to this Census Bureau report, broadcast rights payments made by taxable over-the-air television stations and networks, including the Big 3 networks, were $\$ 9.571$ billion. ${ }^{13}$ Music license fees paid by taxable over-the-air television stations and networks, including the Big 3 networks, were $\$ 228$ million. Thus, the Unadjusted Music Ratio - the ratio of music license fees paid annually to the sum of annual music license fees plus annual broadcast rights payments - was approximately $2.33 \%$. The CARP concluded that this Unadjusted Music Ratio was "worthy of some weight in determining the relative weight of Music," but also found that the inclusion of expenditures made by the Big 3 networks may artificially decrease the Unadjusted Music

[^88]Ratio to a level below where it would have been if the Big 3 networks had been excluded, as they should have been. Ultimately, the CARP set the relative value of music at $4.0 \%$. ${ }^{14}$

## V. METHODOLOGY AND DATA SOURCES

26. Conceptually, the music ratio approach used by the CARP in the 1998-99 distribution proceeding is a reasonable method to approximate the value of music in the local over-the-air broadcast market relative to the value of the works of the other copyright holders because music license fees and broadcast rights payments are negotiated among copyright holders and television stations for transmission in the local over-the-air broadcast market. That is, the dollars paid by local broadcast television stations for music license fees is a measure of the value that these stations placed upon access to music included in their programming. Likewise, the dollars paid by broadcast television stations for broadcast rights is a measure of the value for other programming types. The Music Claimants advanced a similar approach in the 1978 distribution proceeding. At that time, ASCAP and SESAC proposed that the marketplace was "what cable operators would pay if there was no compulsory license" and that the comparison should be between "the amount television broadcasters pay for music performing rights and . . . the amounts they pay for performing rights in other copyrighted materials" weighted by "the extent of distant signal carriage. ${ }^{15}$ Accordingly, I adopt the music ratio concept, but make several important adjustments for the cable distant signal market in order to calculate a Weighted Music Ratio that determines the 2004 and 2005 cable royalty funds to be distributed to the Music Claimants.
27. First, as I explain below, I determined that the local television blanket license fees payable to the Music Claimants is the appropriate value for the numerator of the Music Ratio. Blanket license fees on an aggregate industry level are established, through a negotiated process, by each of the PROs. These aggregate industry blanket license fees are then allocated among the various local televisions stations by the Television Music License

These numbers agree with the dollar amounts used in the CARP Report, Sections III.F.3.a and III.F.4. CARP Report, Sections III.F.3-4.
1978 CRT Determination, 45 Fed. Reg. 63026, 63030, 63040.

Committee ("TMLC"). ${ }^{16}$ I summed the blanket license fees for the various stations within a television station category in order to determine the blanket license fees for each station category; this allowed me separately to calculate Music Ratios for each category of station. These ratios could then be weighted as needed to account for differences in the subscribership attributable to these station categories in the distant signal market.
28. Second, I determined that, in calculating the denominator of the Music Ratio as the sum of the music license fees and the broadcast rights payments for that category, it is appropriate to use a value for broadcast rights payments that excludes any expenditures made for the rights to broadcast network programming of the Big 3 networks, because such network programming is not compensable in this proceeding. As I explain below, deriving the total broadcast rights payments for a Music Ratio required me to consider three components: (a) the broadcast rights payments made by local television stations for non-network programming; (b) an estimate of the broadcast rights payments for non-Big 3 network programming; and (c) an estimate of the value of the broadcast rights for programs produced by the local television stations themselves (i.e., locally produced programming). Again, I allocated the broadcast rights payments according to television station categories to enable me to later weight the Music Ratios for each category to account for the differences between the over-the-air broadcast market and the distant signal market.
29. Finally, I calculated weights so that I could apply them to the Music Ratios for each television station category so that the ratios would reflect accurately the mix of programming on stations transmitted in the distant signal market as opposed to the programming on stations aired in the local broadcast market. To that end, I examined data derived from the statements of account filed by the cable system operators with the Copyright Office, which contain information on the number of cable system subscribers that receive signals retransmitted from a distant market (called "distant subscribers"). I then used this information to assign lower or greater weight to Music Ratios for particular television station categories depending on whether they had lower or higher cable system subscribership. I then summed the weighted Music Ratios for all of the television station

[^89]categories to obtain the Weighted Music Ratio, which is the appropriate relative value of music in the distant signal market.
30. In order to execute the above methodology and to calculate the Weighted Music Ratio, I required comprehensive and reliable data concerning music license fees and broadcast rights payments for local television stations and for the non-Big 3 television networks, as well as the data used to calculate the distant signal weights. I set forth in more detail below the data sources upon which I relied.

## A. Music License Fees

31. I identified two data sources that provide information concerning music license fees for 2004 and 2005: (a) music blanket local television license fee data provided by the PROs; and (b) actual music license fee expenditures made by the broadcast stations. ${ }^{17}$
32. In my view, blanket music license fees are appropriate to use in the numerator of a Music Ratio for this proceeding for a number of reasons. First, blanket license fees represent market-based prices. Namely, the Music Claimants negotiated with the local television stations in the marketplace to establish the 2004 and 2005 blanket license fees for the right to perform publicly all music in the Music Claimants' repertories. Thus, negotiated blanket license fees provide strong evidence of the market value of the music licenses to the local broadcast stations.
33. Second, blanket music license fees are the only available measures of total market based prices. Expenditures on music license fees by the broadcast stations to the PROs, which are included in the Television Financial Report published annually by NAB and Broadcast
[^90]Cable Financial Management Association (the "NAB Survey"), ${ }^{18}$ or contained in the records of the PROs themselves, provide only a partial account of actual expenditures made by television stations on such fees. These "actual" payments, by definition, understate the total amount of music license fees paid by television stations because they do not include payments for direct licenses with composers and music publishers. Payments made to individual composers by television stations and/or networks are private transactions; information concerning the prices paid is generally not publicly available. Therefore, it is not possible to calculate accurately and reliably the total actual expenditures on music license fees in 2004 and 2005. In contrast, the negotiated, annual PRO blanket license fee, applicable to all local television stations, is an accurate and reliable measure of the market price of music licenses in the local over-the-air broadcast market.
34. As I introduced earlier, annual blanket license fees are determined by each PRO for the local television industry in aggregate. This industry includes stations that are affiliated with the Big-3 network affiliates (with respect to non-network programming), ${ }^{19}$ stations affiliated with the non-Big 3 network affiliates (with respect to local and network programming) and independent stations (for all of their programming). The local television industry, through its industry committee - the TMLC - agreed to blanket PRO licenses that totaled $\$ 195.5$ million in 2004 and $\$ 186$ million in $2005 .{ }^{20}$ See Written Direct Testimony of Michael O'Neill, Section III.A and MC 04-05 Exs. 2, 5. Also relevant to this proceeding are the blanket license fees agreed to by (and paid by) the Univision network, which cover network programming as well as local programming on the stations owned by Univision. ${ }^{21}$ The blanket license fees agreed to by the local television industry and

[^91]Univision total $\$ 200.8$ million for 2004 and $\$ 191.7$ million for 2005. Table 2 summarizes the blanket license fees that were allocated by the TMLC to the stations by category for 2004 and 2005. ${ }^{22}$

Table 2
2004 and 2005 Blanket Music License Fees - Numerator

|  | Blanket Music License Fees (\$Millions) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| Big-3 Networks |  |  |
| ABC Affiliates | \$37.71 | \$34.40 |
| CBS Affiliates | \$37.87 | \$36.24 |
| NBC Affiliates | \$38.82 | \$36.32 |
| Non Big-3 Networks |  |  |
| FOX Affiliates | \$34.56 | \$34.09 |
| UPN Affiliates | \$13.88 | \$11.86 |
| WB Affiliates | \$17.24 | \$16.85 |
| Other* | \$14.51 | \$15.16 |
| Independents | \$6.22 | \$6.81 |
| Total | \$200.8 | \$191.7 |

*Off-air and small stations are included in the "Other" category
Sources: ASCAP, BMI, SESAC

22 The TMLC allocates the aggregate blanket license fee among stations in accordance with a methodology it devised to produce each station's annual blanket license fee. For example, in the case of BMI's Local Television Station Music Performance Blanket License, the industry wide blanket music license fee is allocated among television markets based on the three-year average of US television households in that market. The top- 25 markets are over-weighted to reflect that a household in a big city has more value than a household in a small town. The portion of the blanket music license fee allocated to a particular market is further allocated among stations in that market based on viewership in 30 -minute increments during the hours of 9 am to 12 pm during the "sweeps" month for the three previous years. The viewership information is taken from Nielsen's Market Ratings Reports. Viewership of a network affiliate during primetime hours is removed from the affiliate's total viewership because the networks pay a separate license for music used during network programming. Stations with no Nielsen rating are given a minimum blanket license fee. To conduct my analysis above, I obtained the actual allocations applicable to the stations licensed by each PRO and then assigned each station's allocated fee to the categories identified in Table 2. I note that BMI's allocation was done pursuant to its Local Television Station Music Performance Blanket License, while ASCAP's allocation was done for the period from January 2004 through November 2005 pursuant to the Interim Fee Order on Consent date May 1, 1998 (MC 04-05 Ex. 1) and from December 2004 through December 2005 pursuant to the Local Station Blanket Television License finalized November 14, 2004 (MC 04-05 Ex. 2).

## B. Broadcast Rights Payments

35. As noted above, total broadcast rights payments, excluding those for the Big 3 network programming, constitute a portion of the denominator of the Music Ratio for each category of television station. I surveyed the sources of data concerning broadcast rights payments for the over-the-air broadcast market. I located public information concerning local television stations broadcast rights payments for non-network programming only. Second, because I could not find publicly available information on the broadcast rights payments for non-Big 3 network programming, I identified data concerning programming expenses for the non-Big 3 networks. Third, to be comprehensive and accurate in calculating broadcast rights payments, I also estimated the broadcast expenses that would be paid to the local stations for programs they produce themselves (i.e., the broadcast value of locally produced programming).

## 1. Broadcast Rights Payments Made By Local Television Stations

36. The Television Financial Report (the NAB Survey) provides data on the average expenditures made by a television station on broadcast rights payments based on a survey of television stations. ${ }^{23}$ Calculating the total expenditures made by television stations on broadcast rights for 2004 and 2005 requires that this average is multiplied by the number of commercial television stations operating in those years for which such payments would be representative. This, in turn, required that I match the average expenditures on local broadcast rights payments by category of television stations included in the NAB Survey with station counts by categories. I reviewed the television stations that made up the total included in Table 1 ( 1,372 stations in 2004 and 1,371 stations in 2005) and found that several of these stations were very small and, thus, likely not included in the NAB
[^92]Survey. ${ }^{24}$ I excluded these stations from calculation of the Music Ratios. The total station count that I include in my analysis are 1,187 for 2004 and 1,192 for 2005.
37. I next multiplied the average broadcast rights payments per station by the number of stations in each category. I present this calculation of broadcast rights payments, by category of television station, in Table 3 below. In doing so, I use amortized broadcast rights payments in this calculation because it includes the value of booked barter arrangements; it also provides for a more conservative calculation of the Music Ratio because it results in a larger denominator than would use of the cash approach. ${ }^{25}$ My results are set forth below.

Table 3
Total Station Broadcast Rights Payments

|  | Average Station Broadcast Rights <br> Payments |  |  | Number of Stations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Sources:
[1-8]: [a]: NAB, 2005 Television Financial Report
[b]: NAB, 2006 Television Financial Report
[c], [d]: M Street data (provided by BMI)
$[\mathrm{e}]=[\mathrm{a}] \times[\mathrm{c}]$
$[f]=[b] \times[d]$
[9]: $[\mathrm{a}]=[\mathrm{e}] /[\mathrm{c}]$
$[\mathrm{b}]=[\mathrm{f}] /[\mathrm{d}]$
[c], [d]: [1]-sum([2] thru [8])
$[e],[f]=[1]-\operatorname{sum}([2]$ thru [8])

24 These stations were either considered "unlicensable" by the PROs or paid low monthly music license fees. These stations also had a $0 \%$ or very low viewer share.
25 Amortized broadcast rights in this case refers to the accounting of payments under a accrual method and also includes the value of booked barter arrangements. Bartered programming is the booked advertising revenue in exchange for syndicated programming. Broadcast rights payments were also reported on a cash basis, which reflects the actual dollar amounts paid for broadcast rights. Cash payments were slightly less than

## 2. Estimate of Broadcast Rights Payments For Non-Big 3 Network Programming

38. The above figures - in particular, the total amount of broadcast rights payments in 2004 and 2005 - understate the broadcast rights payments to be included in the calculation of the Music Ratio because: (1) they exclude the broadcast rights payments that were made for non-Big 3 network programming in those years; and (2) they exclude the local stations' broadcast expenses for their locally produced programming (which I discuss in subsection (3) below).
39. I did not initially include broadcast rights payments for non-Big 3 network programming because such payments are not reported in the NAB Survey (which includes only broadcast expenditure data associated with non-network programming) and I was unable to locate any other publicly available source for such data. I did, however, locate publicly reported data on the "programming expenses" for network programming for 2004 and 2005 from SNL Kagan, a subscription-based service that provides information on the communications and media industries. ${ }^{26}$ A summary of the programming expenses for the non-Big 3 networks (Fox, UPN, The WB and Others) is set forth in Table 4.

Table 4
Non-Big 3 Network Programming Expenses (\$000s)

| Network |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fox | $\$ 1,998,284$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| UPN | $\$ 2,255,330$ |  |
| WB | $\$ 543,061$ | $\$ 219,417$ |
| Others | $\$ 501,867$ | $\$ 531,832$ |
| Total Non-Big 3 | $\$ 3,254,189$ | $\$ 3,550,703$ |

Source: SNL Kagan
40. Broadcast rights payments are defined as part of the broader category of programming expenses, and thus by accounting definition the non-Big 3 network programming
amortized broadcast rights; in 2004, cash payments were approximately $\$ 1.65$ million per station on average and such payments were $\$ 1.66$ million per station on average in 2005.
Data on programming expenses and other information concerning the communications and media industry are accessible through SNL Kagan's data bases.
expenditures reported by SNL Kagan are greater than the expenditures associated with broadcast rights payments alone. The elements of programming expenses reported by SNL Kagan include categories of expenses (for example, the "direct cost....of distributing content and services") that could not be considered broadcast rights and do not reflect the market value of the relevant programming. The NAB Survey indicated that broadcast rights payments were approximately $74 \%$ and $73 \%$ of programming expenses in 2004 and 2005, respectively, for television stations on average. I was unable to find a basis for applying such a percentage to programming expenses for networks or for calculating the percentage of network programming expenses attributable to broadcast rights payments for network programming. Accordingly, in the absence of such information, I include all of the programming expenses for the non-Big 3 networks in the calculation of broadcast rights payments, even though this approach, by definition, overstates broadcast rights payments for non-Big 3 network programming.

## 3. Estimate of Value of Broadcast Rights for Locally Produced Programs

41. To calculate accurately the total effective broadcast rights payments applicable to each station category for purposes of the Music Ratio, I must estimate the value of the broadcast rights in locally produced programming to cable system operators. Such programming such as local news and locally produced public affairs shows - is produced by the local commercial television stations themselves and is broadcast in the over-the-air market. These stations incur expenses in producing and airing this programming but do not typically sell the broadcast rights or otherwise measure the equivalent value of such rights. The value of these broadcast rights would likely differ from the underlying cost involved in producing the programming. A local station which produces its own programming obtains ownership rights to that programming; these ownership rights are more valuable than the limited rights a station obtains when it acquires broadcast rights.
42. In order to estimate the value of broadcast rights in such programming, I relied on the CARP's previous determination of the various claimants' shares in its distribution of the Basic Fund in the 1998 and 1999 cable royalty distribution proceeding. This allowed me to calculate (as set forth below) the overall relative value assigned by the CARP to locally
produced programming (using the Commercial TV Claimants share in 1998 and 1999 as a proxy) compared to the combined local commercial television station non-network programming and non-Big 3 network programming (using the combined Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers, and Devotional Claimants shares in 1998 and 1999 as a proxy). Once I had this measure of the overall relative value of locally produced programming to these other types of programming according to the CARP, I could then assign this same overall relative value from the 1998 and 1999 proceeding to the relative value in this proceeding of broadcast rights in locally produced programming compared to broadcast rights payments in these other types of programming. The percentage shares determined by the CARP in the 1998 and 1999 proceeding are summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5
Summary of CARP Determination of Relative Values By Claimant Group (1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Distribution Case)

|  | Basic Funds |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1998 | 1999 | Average |
| [1] Devotional | $1.19375 \%$ | $1.19375 \%$ | $1.19375 \%$ |
| [2] Program suppliers | $37.80114 \%$ | $36.00037 \%$ | $36.90076 \%$ |
| [3] Joint Sports | $35.78076 \%$ | $37.62758 \%$ | $36.70417 \%$ |
| [4] Commercial TV (NAB) | $13.96836 \%$ | $13.77736 \%$ | $13.87286 \%$ |
| [5] Public Television | $5.49125 \%$ | $5.49125 \%$ | $5.49125 \%$ |
| [6] Music | $4.00000 \%$ | $4.00000 \%$ | $4.00000 \%$ |
| [7] Canadians | $1.76476 \%$ | $1.90971 \%$ | $1.83724 \%$ |
| [8] Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: In the matter of Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, Report of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel To The Librarian of Congress (October 21, 2003), Section VI. A and B.
43. The CARP made percentage awards to the seven groups of claimants. As set forth in Table 5, Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers and Devotional Claimants accounted for approximately 75\% of the CARP's distributions for both 1998 and 1999, and Commercial Television Claimants accounted for nearly $14 \%$ of these distributions. These four claimants thus accounted for approximately $89 \%$ of the distributions of the Basic Fund in 1998 and 1999. The other three claimant groups - Public Television, Music and Canadian Claimants - only accounted for the remaining 11\% in 1998 and 1999. In the calculation set
below, Commercial Television Claimants' $14 \%$ share is designated as the value "L" and was used as a proxy for the relative value of locally produced programming at issue in 1998 and 1999, while the Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers, and Devotional Claimants combined $75 \%$ share is designated " $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D}$ " below and was used as a proxy for the relative value of combined local commercial television station non-network programming and non-Big 3 network programming. Applying the CARP's shares of the distribution in the 1998 and 1999 proceeding, this gives an overall relative value of locally produced programming to these other types of programming, $L /(S+P+D)$, of approximately $14 \%$ divided by $75 \%$, or approximately 0.185 .
44. In the two subsections above thus far, I have calculated the dollar value of broadcast rights payments in local commercial television station non-network programming and non-Big 3 network programming. These payments were made to the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, and Devotional Claimants for the rights to exhibit sports, movies and syndicated and religious programs. I can use the relative values established by the CARP, which I described above, to solve for the aggregate dollar value of the broadcast rights associated with programming provided by the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, Devotional Claimants and the Commercial Television Claimants. Expressed algebraically,

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}
$$

where:
$S$ is the relative value of programming provided by the Joint Sports Claimants;
$P$ is the relative value of programming provided by the Program Suppliers;
D is the relative value of programming provided by the Devotional Claimants;
$L$ is the relative value of local programming, or programming provided by the Commercial Television Claimants; and,
$X$ is the sum of the relative values associated with $S, P, D$ and $L$.

This phrase can also be expressed as:

$$
X=Y(S+P+D)
$$

where Y is a factor that accounts for the absence of data providing a relative value for
locally produced programming in this proceeding.

Combining these two equations, allows me to define Y in terms of X . Specifically,

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X} /(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D})
$$

Alternatively,

$$
\mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{L}) /(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D})=1+\mathrm{L} /(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{D})
$$

45. The value of broadcast rights for locally produced programming in this proceeding can be estimated by finding the factor Y associated with the percentage shares awarded by the CARP in its 1998 and 1999 distribution for the Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers, Devotional Claimants and the Commercial Television Claimants and then multiplying that factor Y by the broadcast rights payments in 2004 and 2005 for programming represented by the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, and Devotional Claimants in this proceeding. I summarize the calculation of this factor in Table 6 below. Row [3] in the table, the sum of the percentages awarded by the CARP for the Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers, Devotional Claimants and the Commercial Television Claimants, is divided by Row [1], the sum of the percentages awarded by the CARP for the Joint Sports Claimants, Program Suppliers and Devotional Claimants only, to arrive at the factors set forth in Row [4].

Table 6
Calculation of Broadcast Rights Payment To Account For Value Of Local Programming

|  | Basic Funds |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 |  |  |
| [1] Program Suppliers + Sports + Devotional | $74.77565 \%$ | $74.82170 \%$ | $74.79 .868 \%$ |
| [2] Local Programming | $13.96836 \%$ | $13.77736 \%$ | $13.87286 \%$ |
| [3] Program Suppliers + Sports + Devotional + Local Programming | $88.74401 \%$ | $88.59906 \%$ | $88.67154 \%$ |
| [4] Factor | : | 1.187 | 1.184 |

Sources:
[1] Table 5, [1] thru [3]
[2] Table 5, [4]
$[3]=[1]+[2]$
$[4]=[3] /[1]$
46. As set forth in Table 6, the broadcast rights payments that were paid to Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, and Devotional Claimants in the Music Ratio analysis should be multiplied by a factor of approximately 1.185 in order to account for the estimated value of the broadcast rights for locally produced programming.

## 4. Total Estimated Broadcast Rights Payments

47. As set forth in Table 7, I multiplied the factor $Y$ by the broadcast rights payments for local commercial television station non-network programming and non-Big 3 network programming, as calculated in the previous two sub-sections, to form a complete estimate of broadcast rights payments applicable to the Music Ratio. Thus, the last two columns of Table 7 provide, for each category of television station, a sum of (a) the broadcast rights payments made by the local stations for non-network programming, (b) the estimated broadcast rights payments for non-Big 3 network programming, and (c) the estimated value of broadcast rights for locally produced programming. In total, the estimated value of broadcast rights payments were approximately $\$ 6.2$ billion in 2004 and $\$ 6.6$ billion in 2005.

Table 7
Total Station and Non-Big 3 Network Broadcast Rights Payments

|  | Station Broadcast Rights Payments <br> (\$Millions) |  | Network Programming Expenses <br> (\$Millions) |  | Total Broadcast Rights Payments and Equivalents <br> (\$Millions) |  | Total Broadcast Rights Payments and Equivalents Including Value Local Station Programming (\$Millions) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[a]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[b]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[c]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[\mathrm{~d}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[\mathrm{e}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[f]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[\mathrm{~g}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[\mathrm{~h}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| [1] ABC Affiliates | \$409.6 | \$446.7 | - | - | \$409.6 | \$446.7 | \$485.4 | \$529.3 |
| [2] CBS Affiliates | \$235.9 | \$222.3 | - | - | \$235.9 | \$222.3 | \$279.5 | \$263.4 |
| [3] NBC Affiliates | \$220.0 | \$227.2 | - | - | \$220.0 | \$227.2 | \$260.7 | \$269.2 |
| [4] FOX Affiliates | \$250.7 | \$192.7 | \$1,998.3 | \$2,255.3 | \$2,249.0 | \$2,448.0 | \$2,665.1 | \$2,900.9 |
| [5] UPN Affiliates | \$167.5 | \$217.2 | \$211.0 | \$219.4 | \$378.5 | \$436.6 | \$448.5 | \$517.4 |
| [6] WB Affiliates | \$477.9 | \$467.6 | \$543.1 | \$531.8 | \$1,021.0 | \$999.4 | \$1,209.9 | \$1,184.3 |
| [7] Independents | \$124.2 | \$146.3 | - | - | \$124.2 | \$146.3 | \$147.2 | \$173.4 |
| [8] Other | \$130.0 | \$109.8 | \$501.9 | \$543.7 | \$631.9 | \$653.5 | \$748.8 | \$774.4 |
| [9] Total | \$2,015.8 | \$2,029.8 | \$3,254.3 | \$3,550.2 | \$5,270.1 | \$5,580.0 | \$6,245.1 | \$6,612.3 |

Sources:
[a],[b]: Table 3
[c],[d]: Table 4
$[\mathrm{e}]=[\mathrm{a}]+[\mathrm{c}]$
$[\mathrm{f}]=[\mathrm{b}]+[\mathrm{d}]$
[ g$]=[\mathrm{e}]$ * 1.185 (see Table 6)
$[\mathrm{h}]=[\mathrm{f}] * 1.185$ (see Table 6)
48. I note that the broadcast rights payments for categories of television stations set forth in Table 7 above likely overstate the broadcast rights payments that would be made in the distant signal market for two reasons. First, in the local broadcast market, stations and networks pay premiums for the rights to broadcast programs on an exclusive basis. This exclusivity is important and of high value to purchasers of broadcast rights; in fact, the rules governing syndicated exclusivity have been subject to contentious dispute. These premiums likely would not be paid in the distant market where content is retransmitted over many cable systems on a non-exclusive basis. Second, as I explained above, in the absence of more precise public information on non-Big 3 network programming broadcast rights payments, I used programming expenses, which by definition overstates the relevant broadcast rights payments. Moreover, because I also used these non-Big 3 programming expenses to estimate the value of the broadcast rights of locally produced programming, that value is also overstated in the total broadcast rights payments set forth in Table 7.
49. In sum, using the music license payments and broadcast rights payments for each category of television station set forth in Table 2 and Table 7 above, I calculated the denominator of the Music Ratio by category of television station and in total. The results of those calculations are set forth in Table 8 below.

Table 8
Calculation of Denominators

|  | Blanket Mu <br> (\$Mil | License <br> s) | Total Broadcast Rights Payments and Equivalents Including Value Local Station Programming (\$Millions) |  | Denominator |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[\mathrm{a}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[b]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[\mathrm{c}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[\mathrm{~d}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2004 \\ {[\mathrm{e}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ {[f]} \end{gathered}$ |
| ABC Affiliates | \$37.71 | \$34.40 | \$485.4 | \$529.3 | \$523.1 | \$563.7 |
| CBS Affiliates | \$37.87 | \$36.24 | \$279.5 | \$263.4 | \$317.4 | \$299.6 |
| NBC Affiliates | \$38.82 | \$36.32 | \$260.7 | \$269.2 | \$299.5 | \$305.5 |
| FOX Affiliates | \$34.56 | \$34.09 | \$2,665.1 | \$2,900.9 | \$2,699.7 | \$2,935.0 |
| UPN Affiliates | \$13.88 | \$11.86 | \$448.5 | \$517.4 | \$462.4 | \$529.3 |
| WB Affiliates | \$17.24 | \$16.85 | \$1,209.9 | \$1,184.3 | \$1,227.1 | \$1,201.2 |
| Independents | \$6.22 | \$6.81 | \$147.2 | \$173.4 | \$153.4 | \$180.2 |
| Other | \$14.02 | \$14.74 | \$748.8 | \$774.4 | \$762.8 | \$789.1 |
| Total | \$200.3 | \$191.3 | \$6,245.1 | \$6,612.3 | \$6,445.4 | \$6,803.6 |

## Sources:

[a],[b]: Table 2, excludes license fees assigned to stations that went off-air and/or were designated as "Small."
[c],[d]: Table 7
$[\mathrm{e}]=[\mathrm{a}]+[\mathrm{c}]$
$[f]=[b]+[d]$

## C. THE DISTANT SIGNAL MARKET

50. As I explain above, the market for retransmitted distant signals by cable system operators differs from the local broadcast television market in terms of the mix of programming transmitted. The local over-the-air market is broadcast to anyone with a television set within range of transmission; that is, anyone within that range is a "receiver" of over-the-air television signals. On the other hand, the market for distant signals on a cable system is dependent upon both the portfolio of signals a cable system operator elects to retransmit and upon the subscription choices made by the cable system operator's
customers. Thus, the mix of programming in the retransmitted distant signal market differs from the programming in the over-the-air broadcast market. This, in turn, indicates that the relative value of music in the retransmitted distant signal market differs from such relative value in the local over-the-air broadcast market.
51. Accordingly, while the relative value of music in the local over-the-air television market may be determined by calculating the Music Ratio at an aggregate level using the available local market data (in other words, total music license fees for all stations divided by total music license fees and broadcast rights payments), the relative value of music in the distant signal market should take into account differences in the programming mix between the local and distant signal markets.
52. In order to account for such differences in my calculation of the relative value of music, I determined weights for the various television station categories based on the number subscribers of those cable system operators that carry the stations in each category, so that I ultimately could apply such weights to my calculation of the Music Ratios for each category.
53. I calculated the distant signal market weights as follows. Information concerning the distant signal subscribership is available from the U.S. Copyright Office. Each cable system is responsible for providing information to the Licensing Division of the U.S. Copyright Office in Statements of Account that are filed twice each calendar year. This information includes the call sign of the retransmitted distant signal, the call sign's channel, the call sign's city and state, the number of subscribers that receive each signal, and, among other data, "Distant subscriber half years" which are instances that subscribers ("distant subscribers") are reported by cable system operators to receive a distant signal. ${ }^{27}$ These data are the basis for the calculation of the royalty payments that the subject cable systems will pay to the U.S. Copyright Office. Data regarding distant signals for 2004 and 2005 were compiled into databases and reports by Cable Data Corporation (CDC). These CDC reports have been used in prior proceedings before the CRT and CARP.

[^93]54. I reviewed the distant signal call signs and station designations as reported by CDC based on their compilation of Statement of Account data for "Form 3" cable systems for 2004 and $2005 .{ }^{28}$ I cross-referenced the network affiliation or independent station designations that CDC assigned to television stations against the network affiliations or independent station designations that I summarized in Table 1 to ensure that weights that I developed appropriately matched the Music Ratios that were calculated for the various categories of television stations. ${ }^{29}$ Table 9 summarizes the number of "distant subscribers," measured in distant subscriber half-years, associated with each category of television stations.

Table 9
Summary of Distant Subscribers By Television Station Category

| Television Station Category | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distant Subscriber Half-Years |  | Distant Subscriber Half-Years |  |
| ABC | 8,141,852 | 5.7\% | 6,744,600 | 4.8\% |
| CBS | 7,914,150 | 5.5\% | 6,817,416 | 4.9\% |
| NBC | 8,964,753 | 6.3\% | 7,589,335 | 5.5\% |
| Fox | 4,949,395 | 3.5\% | 4,029,832 | 2.9\% |
| UPN | 6,350,844 | 4.4\% | 5,668,399 | 4.1\% |
| WB | 7,375,399 | 5.2\% | 6,494,740 | 4.7\% |
| Independent | 72,339,054 | 50.5\% | 73,974,180 | 53.2\% |
| Other | 3,011,078 | 2.1\% | 2,577,819 | 1.9\% |
| Canadian/Mexican | 5,394,931 | 3.8\% | 5,880,695 | 4.2\% |
| Educational | 17,165,891 | 12.0\% | 17,035,527 | 12.2\% |
| Low Power | 1,180,716 | 0.8\% | 1,441,923 | 1.0\% |
| Small | 411,747 | 0.3\% | 902,011 | 0.6\% |
| Total | 143,199,810 | 100\% | 139,156,477 | 100\% |

Source: CDC and M-Street (as provided by BMI)
55. Table 9 demonstrates that in 2004 approximately $18 \%$ of distant subscriber half years were associated with the Big 3 networks and $15 \%$ of such subscribers were associated with the

[^94]non-Big 3 networks, including the "other" category covering smaller and sometimes more specialized non-Big 3 networks. Independent stations had the highest incidence of distant signal subscribership, $51 \% .{ }^{30}$ These categories together accounted for approximately $83 \%$ of distant subscriber half-years in 2004. In 2005, $15 \%$ of distant subscriber half years were associated with the Big 3 networks, $14 \%$ of such subscribers were associated with the non-Big 3 networks, and 53\% of subscribers were associated with independent stations, meaning these categories again accounted for approximately $82 \%$ of distant subscriber half-years in 2005. Table 9 also shows that, in both 2004 and 2005, approximately $12 \%$ of distant signal half years were associated with educational stations, roughly $4 \%$ of signals were associated with broadcasts originating in Canada and/or Mexico and approximately $1 \%$ of distant signal half years were associated with low power stations.
56. I calculated weights for each of the categories of television stations discussed in subsections $A$ and $B$ above (ABC, CBS, NBC, FOX, UPN, The WB, Other, and Independent). I therefore did not include the distant signal subscribership attributable to educational television stations, the Canadian/Mexican stations and low power television stations in calculating these weights. This approach ensures that the weights applied are consistent with the television station categories; it is also consistent with the CARP's calculation of the Unadjusted Music Ratio in the 1998 and 1999 cable royalty funds distribution proceeding. In that proceeding, the CARP considered an Unadjusted Music Ratio based on data for taxable (i.e., commercial) U.S.-based firms included in the U.S. Census Bureau's Annual Survey of Communication Services, which excluded educational television stations and Canadian/Mexican stations. I excluded distant signal subscribership attributable to low power television (less than 1\% of total distant signal subscribers in 2004) because these stations were not included in the station counts shown in Table 1. Also, the 2004 and 2005 NAB Surveys do not include data on educational, non-U.S. and specialized (low power) television stations.

[^95]57. Therefore, I included the distant subscriber half years for U.S.-based full power commercial television stations only in developing the weights for the Music Ratio analysis. As discussed above and indicated in Table 9, these distant subscriber half years accounted for roughly $83 \%$ and $82 \%$ of total distant subscriber half years reported by cable system operators in their 2004 and 2005 Statement of Account filings, respectively. The weights are then calculated for each television station category (ABC, CBS, NBC, FOX, UPN, The WB, Other, and Independent) by dividing that category's distant subscriber half years by the total distant subscriber half years among these categories. I summarize the calculation of the weights to be applied in the ratio analysis in Table 10 below.

Table 10
Determination of Weights

| Television Station Category | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distant Subs ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}$ ) | Weight | Distant Subs ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Y}$ ) | Weight |
| ABC | 8,141,852 | 6.8\% | 6,744,600 | 5.9\% |
| CBS | 7,914,150 | 6.6\% | 6,817,416 | 6.0\% |
| NBC | 8,964,753 | 7.5\% | 7,589,335 | 6.7\% |
| Fox | 4,949,395 | 4.2\% | 4,029,832 | 3.5\% |
| UPN | 6,350,844 | 5.3\% | 5,668,399 | 5.0\% |
| WB | 7,375,399 | 6.2\% | 6,494,740 | 5.7\% |
| Independent | 72,339,054 | 60.8\% | 73,974,180 | 64.9\% |
| Other | 3,011,078 | 2.5\% | 2,577,819 | 2.3\% |
| Total | 119,046,525 | 100\% | 113,896,321 | 100\% |

Source: Table 9

## VI. CALCULATION OF WEIGHTED-MUSIC RATIO

58. Using the data and weights derived above, I next calculated the Weighted Music Ratio to be used as an indicator of the relative value of music in the distant signal market. This calculation involved three steps. First, I calculated the un-weighted Music Ratios for each of the television categories in the local over-the-air broadcast television market. Second, I weighted these un-weighted Music Ratios for each category of television station by the distant subscriber instance weights set forth in Table 10 above. Third, I calculated the resulting Weighted Music Ratio.
59. Table 11 sets forth the calculation of the un-weighted Music Ratios for each category of television station. Each un-weighted Music Ratio is simply the Numerator (blanket music license fees) divided by the Denominator (blanket license fees plus broadcast rights payments) for that category using the data from Tables 2 and 8, which is restated in Table 11.

Table 11
Calculation of Un-Weighted Ratios

|  | Numerator Blanket Music License (\$Millions) |  | Denominator Sum: MLF + BRP (\$Millions) |  | Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 |
| ABC Affiliates | \$37.7 | \$34.4 | \$523.1 | \$563.7 | 7.2\% | 6.1\% |
| CBS Affiliates | \$37.9 | \$36.2 | \$317.4 | \$299.6 | 11.9\% | 12.1\% |
| NBC Affiliates | \$38.8 | \$36.3 | \$299.5 | \$305.5 | 13.0\% | 11.9\% |
| FOX Affiliates | \$34.6 | \$34.1 | \$2,699.7 | \$2,935.0 | 1.3\% | 1.2\% |
| UPN Affiliates | \$13.9 | \$11.9 | \$462.4 | \$529.3 | 3.0\% | 2.2\% |
| WB Affiliates | \$17.2 | \$16.9 | \$1,227.1 | \$1,201.2 | 1.4\% | 1.4\% |
| Independents | \$6.2 | \$6.8 | \$153.4 | \$180.2 | 4.1\% | 3.8\% |
| Other | \$14.0 | \$14.7 | \$762.8 | \$789.1 | 1.8\% | 1.9\% |
| Total | \$200.3 | \$191.3 | \$6,445.4 | \$6,803.6 | 3.1\% | 2.8\% |

Sources: Table 8
60. As demonstrated in Table 11, the un-weighted aggregate Music Ratio for all station categories was approximately $3.1 \%$ in 2004 and $2.8 \%$ in 2005 . Table 12 below sets forth the calculation of the weighted Music Ratios in each station category for 2004 and 2005. The un-weighted Music Ratios (taken from Table 11 above) are multiplied by their weights (taken from Table 10 above) to arrive at the weighted Music Ratios in each station category. Table 12 also sets forth the calculation of the Weighted Music Ratio, which is the relative market value of music in the distant signal market. This Weighted Music Ratio is simply the sum of the weighted Music Ratios over all station categories; in other words, the sums of the third and sixth data columns of Table 12 determine the Weighted Music Ratios for 2004 and 2005 , respectively.

Table 12
Calculation of Weighted Ratio

|  | 2004 |  |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted <br> Ratio | Weight | Weighted <br> Ratio |  | Unweighted <br> Ratio | Weight | Weighted <br> Ratio |  |
| ABC Affiliates | $7.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ |  | $6.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ |  |
| CBS Affiliates | $11.9 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ |  | $12.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $0.73 \%$ |  |
| NBC Affiliates | $13.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |  | $11.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ |  |
| FOX Affiliates | $1.3 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |  | $1.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| UPN Affiliates | $3.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |  | $2.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ |  |
| WB Affiliates | $1.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |  | $1.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ |  |
| Independents | $4.1 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |  | $3.8 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $2.47 \%$ |  |
| Other | $1.8 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  | $1.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| Weighted Ratio/Total | $3.1 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |  | $2.8 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |  |

Source: Tables 10 \& 11
61. As demonstrated in Table 12, the Weighted Music Ratio was $5.2 \%$ in 2004 and $4.6 \%$ in 2005. Accordingly, I have determined that the relative market value of music in the distant signal market was $5.2 \%$ in 2004 and $4.6 \%$ in 2005.
62. In sum, for the foregoing reasons, I find that the Weighted Music Ratios I calculated above $-5.2 \%$ in 2004 and $4.6 \%$ in 2005 - determine the relative market value of music in the distant signal market. As I previously explained, these values are conservative for a number of reasons, including that they overstate the broadcast rights payments that would be paid in the distant signal market because (1) they fail to account for the fact that content retransmitted in the distant signal market is on a non-exclusive basis and thus overstated by broadcast rights payments in the local over-the-air market, which are for the right to exclusive broadcasts, and (2) they use programming expenses, rather than broadcast rights payments, for non-Big 3 network programming. Thus, I respectfully submit that the Copyright Royalty Judges should use the $5.2 \%$ and $4.6 \%$ Weighted Music Ratios as Music Claimants share of the cable royalty distribution for 2004 and 2005, respectively, in the subject proceeding.
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## APPENDIX A

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

William P. Zarakas is an economist with expertise in a range of areas of applied economic and financial analyses, spanning comprehensive financial modeling; discounted cash flow analysis; valuation and damage analysis; market and demand analysis, cost and pricing analysis and business case and feasibility analyses. Mr. Zarakas has provided testimony and expert reports used in courts of law, arbitration panels and in regulatory proceedings, and has authored expert reports submitted to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. He has also led special investigations on behalf of corporate boards of directors and has led audits of management practices and operational and financial performance on behalf of regulatory commissions. Mr. Zarakas is also experienced in managing economic and financial analyses in support of commercial litigation involving multiple experts.

Mr. Zarakas has applied economic and financial analyses to several industries, notably to the telecommunications and media industries in which he has developed considerable sector-specific expertise. He heads Brattle's practice in Telecommunications and has extensive experience in analyzing business models for the communications and media sectors, the valuation of wireless spectrum and modeling the economic impacts of policy and regulatory proposals and initiatives. Mr . Zarakas has worked on a range of issues concerning the fixed line (wireline), terrestrial and satellite wireless, video and internet sectors.

Prior to joining The Brattle Group, Mr. Zarakas was a Member of PA Consulting's Management Group and was a Senior Vice President at PHB Hagler Bailly. Prior to his tenure with PHB Hagler Bailly, Mr. Zarakas was a Managing Director with Theodore Barry \& Associates (TB\&A) and a member of TB\&A's Board of Directors. Mr. Zarakas was also an Economist for the New York Power Authority and a Consultant for Ebasco Business Consulting Company.

Mr. Zarakas received his M.A. in Economics from New York University and his B.A. in Economics from the State University of New York.

## Economic Consulting Experience

## Rate, Cost, Pricing and Regulatory Analyses

- Cost and Rate Analyses: Conducted analyses of cost and rates based on cost-of-service and incremental pricing principals for communications services products on behalf of telecommunications and broadband companies in the United States, Europe and Asia.
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- Financial and Pricing Analyses: Conducted comprehensive financial analysis for a broadband communications provider in the U.S. market. Analysis included developing projections of demand, price elasticities, revenue and capital and operating costs. Analysis to determine cash requirements and pricing points.
- Financial and Pricing Analyses: Performed financial modeling analysis of media markets in Europe. Scope of work included projection of market size, composition and pricing for premium programming.
- Cost and Rate Analysis: Expert Witness in multiple U.S. state regulatory proceedings concerning analysis of rates for unbundled network elements (UNEs), undertaken in fulfillment of requirements associated with the Telecommunications Act of 1996, using the Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost (TELRIC) methodology.
- Cost and Rate Analysis: Expert Witness in the determination of the rates for pole attachments under the FCC's Cable Rate and Telecom Rate Formulas as applied to electric utility distribution assets. Scope of work included development of utility-specific data in place of FCC rebuttable presumptions.
- Transfer Pricing: Performed comprehensive studies of affiliate transactions and cost allocations between a holding company and its operating subsidiaries through a service company arrangement on behalf of telecommunications carriers and electric and gas utilities.
- Transfer Pricing: Directed and led comprehensive analysis of affiliate relationships, cost allocations and transfer pricing, performed on behalf of an RBOC. Scope included all regulated and unregulated affiliates as well as corporate support functions. Scope of work included service, cost and information transfers, organizational and management controls and the allocation of common and corporate costs provided by affiliates to the regulated operating companies. Scope also included assessing the effectiveness and accuracy of the company's cost allocation processes. Report was filed before several state regulatory commissions and the Federal Communications Commission.
- Performance Analysis: Directed analysis of wholesale access performance measurement systems performed on behalf of SBC (now AT\&T). Project scope included analysis of the statistical validity of performance measures agreed upon by SBC and regulators as part of approval of SBC's provision of long distance services (as part of proceedings
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## Principal

concerning Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) or are the outcome of negotiations among various parties regarding proposed mergers. Work focused on detailed statistical testing of performance measures to determine whether measures reflected RBOC performance and supported regulatory goals of increased consumer welfare in local exchange markets.

- Regulatory Frameworks: Directed and led multiple engagements on behalf of telecommunications carriers, utilities and regulatory commissions concerning the analysis of changes in regulatory frameworks.
! On behalf of a RBOC, performed a theoretical and quantitative analysis of the impact of adoption of earnings-based and price-based incentive rate plans upon retail prices and service quality
! Directed and led study of the impact of alternative regulatory frameworks on ILEC deployment of advanced telecommunications services, performed on behalf of a state regulatory commission. Scope of work included empirical analysis of changes in ILEC planning, service deployment and service pricing. Work involved detailed review of ILEC network and service plans, and modeling of status quo and alternative ILEC strategies.
- Productivity Analysis: Directed, led and authored expert report concerning the level productivity offset that should be applied in setting telephone rates on behalf of the New York State Department of Public Service. Scope of work determining total factor productivity (TFP) based on empirical analysis and consideration of projected performance improvement and re-engineering initiatives. Work included detailed analysis of efficiency improvement initiatives in network deployment, operations, customer service and marketing.
- Regulatory Analysis: Directed and led study concerning universal service and provider-of-last-resort options which could be applied to U.S. telecommunications industry, performed on behalf of an RBOC. Scope of work included development of support options and quantification of funding from multiple classes of telecommunications providers. Report was filed in response to a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) by the Federal Communications Commission.


## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

- Flow-Through Analysis: Performed analysis of access pricing options on behalf of an RBOC. Scope of work also included the analysis of "flow-through" of RBOC access rate reductions through inter-exchange carriers, ultimately to end-use consumer and business customers. Study included analysis of calling patterns, discount plans and surveys of end-use customers in multiple geographies. Conclusions were used in several state regulatory proceedings concerning intra-state access pricing.


## Valuations and Commercial Damages

- Commercial Litigation: Provided expert testimony concerning the estimate of commercial damages stemming from an alleged breach of contract associated with relocating infrastructure assets. Public Service Company of New Mexico vs. Smith Bagley, Inc. and Lite Wave Communications LLC In The United States District Court For The District of New Mexico. March 2007.
- Commercial Litigation: Developed expert testimony concerning damages associated with cable breaks and disruption of wholesale transport services. Analysis involved estimating lost profits and determining replacement cost of temporarily lost capacity. MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. v. MasTec, Inc. before the United States District Court Southern District of Florida, Case No. 01-2059-CIV-GOLD. May 2002.
- Commercial Litigation: Developed expert report concerning damages associated with alleged breach of contract concerning gaming licenses in Asian casino markets. Analysis involved estimating projected cash flows under current and but-for scenarios.
- International Arbitration: Authored expert report concerning the impact of an alleged breach of contract on lost profits in a 23 country business operation. Performed detailed financial modeling to determine revenues, net income and net present value using risk adjusted discount rates for a satellite service provider. Report entitled: "Analysis of Potential Lost Profits Associated With The Alleged Breach of Contract Between Orbcomm and Orbcomm Asia Limited."
- Arbitration: Directed analysis concerning determination of distribution of copyright royalty fees among content providers. Dispute concerns proceeds of copyright fees from cable and satellite television operators.
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- Spectrum Valuation: Directed, authored reports, and/or provided expert testimony in cases involving valuations of wireless spectrum valuation. Cases involved determining market comparable values and performing discounted cash flow (DCF) and econometricbased analyses.
! Analyzed spectrum values in the 2.3 and 2.5 GHz bands for the U.S. market.
! Analyzed value of Advanced Wireless Services (AWS; 1.7/2.1 GHz) band for the U.S. market.
! Analyzed value of unpaired 2.1 GHz spectrum for the U.S. market.
! Analyzed value of 2.3 GHz (WCS) 3.5 GHz (FWA) spectrum in Canadian market.
! Authored report concerning market comparable analysis of U.S. PCS market.
! Conducted valuations of spectrum portfolios on behalf of capital management companies.
! Conducted valuations of spectrum portfolios underlying loan covenants on behalf of financial institutions.
! Provided expert testimony concerning potential value of wireless spectrum in the 700 MHz band.
! Authored expert report estimating value of Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) spectrum.
! Analyzed value of Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) and Private Land Mobile Radio Services (PLMRS) spectrum on behalf of utility operating companies in the U.S. market.
! Analyzed value of narrowband PCS and IVDS spectrum portfolio.
! Directed, led analysis and authored report concerning valuations of wireless spectrum in the Middle East-North African (MENA) region for an international wireless operator.
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! Directed, led analysis and authored report concerning impact of additional wireless operators on spectrum values for the telecommunications regulator in the Kingdom of Jordan.

- Asset Valuation: Directed and led multiple valuation analyses of telecommunications assets and businesses. Projects included valuations of infrastructure assets in multiple markets worldwide. Projects required comprehensive discounted cash flow and net present value analyses, as well as regression and statistical analyses of comparable market transactions. Projects resulted in valuations used in support of negotiations and/or in commercial litigation.


## Financial and Business Analyses

- Economic Impact Analysis: Directed analysis and authored report regarding the effects of changes in regulatory fees and taxes on mobile prices, penetration and the macro economies of 22 countries in the Middle East and Africa. Study, conducted on behalf of a major mobile operator, involved detailed analysis of the relationships between marginal cost and prices, market structure and concentration, and empirical relationships concerning mobile penetration and GDP.
- Business Plan Analysis: Developed comprehensive model of broadcast and cable television markets for a European television network. Scope of analysis included expansion of product offerings to include inter-active television.
- Demand Analysis: Directed analysis and modeling of multiple projects involving the estimation and projection of segmented customer demand.
! Analyzed U.S. subscriber market for video services.
! Analyzed subscriber demand for communications services in the United States, Europe, Asia and the Middle East.
! Led comprehensive analysis of current and projected market shares and competition in the consumer and business markets for network devices. Scope of work included geographic and customer segmentation; modeling included estimation of revenue and margins by segment.
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- Consumer Welfare Analysis: Directed multiple analyses of impact of changes in market structure upon consumers.
! Performed empirical analysis on panel of approximately 50 countries to demonstrate the effect of changes in levels of competition on prices, investment and other areas of consumer welfare for the global mobile telecommunication industry.
! Directed analysis and authored white paper on empirical analysis concerning the impact of changing the price of wholesale access and levels of investment in the U.S. telecommunications market. Results reported in white paper entitled: "Structural Simulation of Facility Sharing: Unbundling Policies and Investment Strategy in Local Exchange Markets."
- Business Case Analysis: Directed and led multiple projects concerning the financial feasibility of entering new lines of business.
! Led feasibility study concerning development of publishing business for a major communications company. Work required comprehensive financial modeling.
! Performed comprehensive financial analysis for an infrastructure support company. Scope of work included market and competitive analyses, projections of market shares, cash flow modeling and pricing analysis.
! Performed comprehensive business case analysis of entry into the broadband market (including voice, internet access and video services) on behalf of a major U.S. electric utility. Scope of work included technology assessment and detailed financial modeling. Work included customer and geographic segmentation, pricing scenarios and elasticity analysis.
! Led comprehensive financial analysis concerning the deployment of a broadband communications network for an Asian electric utility. Related work included assessing transfer pricing methodologies regarding the use of utility assets, resources and easements by the broadband affiliate.
! Directed and led analysis of business diversification for multiple electric utilities. Business opportunities analyzed included dark fiber construction and third party
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use of utility poles, towers and conduit. Scope of analysis included financial modeling and transfer pricing.

## Forensic Analysis

- Forensic Analysis and Special Investigation: Directed consulting team and authored report for the forensic analysis of the economics, financial reporting and accounting associated with allegation of accounting and financial improprieties by Global Crossing. Worked on behalf of the Special Committee on Accounting Matters composed of a subset of (and reporting to) the Board of Directors of Global Crossing Ltd. Analysis involved determination of basis for revenue recognition for concurrent (i.e., "swap") transactions. Analysis included in report by the Special Committee entitled "The Concurrent Exchange of Fiber Optic Capacity and Services Between Global Crossing and its Carrier Customers." January 2003.
- Forensic Analysis and Securities Litigation: Directed consulting team and led technical analysis concerning accounting and financial disclosure on behalf of the defendant in a class action against corporate officers, directors, controlling shareholders and the company's outside auditors alleging violations of the Securities Act of 1993 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Scope of case involved accounting and disclosure treatment of complex leases.
- Special Investigations and Audits: Directed project teams, led technical analysis and authored reports in multiple special investigations and audits of management, operations and finance and accounting on behalf of regulatory utility commissions. Special investigations and audits involved allegations of improper cross subsidization and/or transfer pricing practices by regulated utilities (telecommunications, electric and/or natural gas) and their effect on rates charged to consumers. Special investigations and audits were conducted for regulatory commissions in Alabama, Kentucky, Maryland, New York and Pennsylvania.


## Testimony

Declaration of William P. Zarakas In The Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia In The Matter of Sharon Dougherty, Plaintiff Vs. Thomas J. Dougherty, Defendant Case No. CL 2007008757. October 2008.

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

Expert report co-authored with Kevin Neels in erinMedia, LLC vs. Nielsen Media Research, Inc. In the United States District Court For The Middle District of Florida Tampa Division. June 18, 2007.

Expert report provided in Public Service Company of New Mexico vs. Smith Bagley, Inc. and Lite Wave Communications LLC In The United States District Court For The District of New Mexico. March 2007.

Expert report entitled "Comparative Market Value Analysis of Upper 700 MHz Public Safety Spectrum" in FCC WT Docket no. 96-86 (In the Matter of The Development of Operational, Technical and Spectrum Requirements for Meeting Federal, State and Local Public Safety Communications Requirements Through the Year 2010). June 2006.

Expert report entitled "Analysis of Potential Lost Profits Associated With The Alleged Breach of Contract Between Orbcomm and Orbcomm Asia Limited" before the American Arbitration Association. May 2006.

Direct testimony before the Federal Communications Commission in the matter of Petition of ACS of Anchorage, Inc. Pursuant to Section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, for Forbearance from Sections 251(c)(3) and 251 (d)(1) In the Anchorage LEC Study Area, WC Docket No. 05-281, January 9, 2006.

Expert report co-authored with Dorothy Robyn Before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce and the U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation regarding the value of wireless spectrum in the 700 MHz band. Letters, May $18,2005$.

Direct and rebuttal testimony before the Federal Communications Commission in the matter of Virginia Cable Telecommunications Association v. Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion Virginia Power and Dominion North Carolina Power, PA No. 01-005, December 21, 2001.

Expert report Before the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission included in Form U-1 Application/ Declaration Under The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 in the combination of Energy East Corporation with RGS Energy Group; Inc. (June 20, 2001) in Exhibit J-1, entitled "Analysis Of The Economic Impact Of A Divestiture Of The Gas Operations Of Rochester Gas And Electric Corporation," May 15, 2001.

Expert report Before the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission included in Form U-1 Application/ Declaration Under The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 in the acquisition by Sierra Pacific Resources of Portland General Electric Company, 2000 in Exhibit H-1, entitled "Analysis Of The Economic Impact Of A Divestiture Of The Gas Operations Of Sierra Pacific Resources," January 31, 2000.

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

Before the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission included in Form U-1 Application/ Declaration Under The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 in the combination of Energy East Corporation with CMP Group, Inc. and with CTG Resources, Inc. in Exhibit J-1, entitled "Analysis Of The Economic Impact Of A Divestiture Of The Gas Operations Of Energy East," October 29, 1999.

Before the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Niagara, Supplemental Affidavit in Village of Bergen, et al. vs. Power Authority of the State of New York, February 1999.

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the North Carolina Utilities Commission, Docket No. P-100, SUB 133D, Filed March 9, 1998; In Re: Proceeding to Determine Permanent Pricing for Unbundled Network Elements.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the North Carolina Utilities Commission, Docket No. P-100, SUB 133D, Filed December 15, 1997; In Re: Proceeding to Determine Permanent Pricing for Unbundled Network Elements.

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the South Carolina Public Service Commission, Docket No. 97-374-C, Filed November 25, 1997; In Re: Proceeding to Review BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Cost Studies for Unbundled Network Elements.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Florida Public Service Commission, Docket Nos. 960757-TP/960833-TP/960846-TP/960916-TP/971140-TP, Filed November 13, 1997; In Re: Petition of AT\&T, MCI, and MFS for Arbitration with BellSouth Concerning Interconnection, Rates, Terms and Conditions of a Proposed Agreement.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the South Carolina Public Service Commission, Docket No. 97-374-C, Filed November 3, 1997; In Re: Proceeding to Review BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Cost Studies for Unbundled Network Elements.

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, Docket No. 97-01262, Filed October 17, 1997; In Re: Contested Cost Proceeding to Establish Final Cost Based Rates for Interconnection and Unbundled Network Elements.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority, Docket No. 97-01262, Filed October 10, 1997; In Re: Contested Cost Proceeding to Establish Final Cost Based Rates for Interconnection and Unbundled Network Elements.

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Alabama Public Service Commission, Docket No. 26029, Filed September 12, 1997; In Re: Generic Proceeding: Consideration of TELRIC Studies.

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Georgia Public Service Commission, Docket No. 7061-U, Filed September 8, 1997; In Re: Review of Cost Studies, Methodologies and Cost-Based Rates for Interconnection and Unbundling of BellSouth Telecommunications Services.

Rebuttal Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Louisiana Public Service Commission, Docket Nos. U-22022/22093, Filed September 5, 1997; In Re: Review of Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s TSLRIC and LRIC Cost Studies to Determine Cost of Interconnection Services and Unbundled Network Components, to Establish Reasonable, Non-Discriminatory, Cost-Based Tariff Rates.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Alabama Public Service Commission, Docket No. 26029, Filed August 29, 1997; In Re: Generic Proceeding: Consideration of TELRIC Studies.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Louisiana Public Service Commission, Docket Nos. U-22022/22093, Filed July 11, 1997; In Re: Review of Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s TSLRIC and LRIC Cost Studies to Determine Cost of Interconnection Services and Unbundled Network Components, to Establish Reasonable, Non-Discriminatory, Cost-Based Tariff Rates.

Direct Panel Testimony of William P. Zarakas and D. Daonne Caldwell before the Georgia Public Service Commission, Docket No. 7061-U, Filed April 30, 1997; In Re: Review of Cost Studies, Methodologies and Cost-Based Rates for Interconnection and Unbundling of BellSouth Telecommunications Services.

Direct and rebuttal testimony Before the Virginia State Corporation Commission on behalf of United Telephone - Southeast, Inc. and Centel Corporation, May 1994.

Direct and rebuttal testimony Before the Tennessee Public Service Commission on behalf of United Telephone - Southeast, Inc., Docket No. 93-04818, January 28, 1994.

Direct and rebuttal testimony Before the Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone \& Telegraph Company, Docket No. 920260-TL, December 10, 1993.

Direct and rebuttal testimony Before the Tennessee Public Service Commission on behalf of South Central Bell, Docket Nos. 92-13527 and 93-00311, March 22 and March 29, 1993.

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS <br> Principal

## Public Reports

Management Audit of GTE, performed on behalf of the Kentucky Public Service Commission, January 1997.

Analysis of Cost Allocation Methodology, performed on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications in the matter of Allocation of Costs Associated with Local Exchange Carrier Provision of Video Programming Services before the Federal Communications Commission, FCC CC No. 96-112, May 31, 1996.

Stratified Management Audit of GTE, performed on behalf of the Pennsylvania Public Service Commission, 1995.

Potential Performance Gains Study of New York Telephone Company, performed on behalf of the New York Public Service Commission, August 1992; and, New York Telephone Company Potential Performance Gains Study - Track II: Reengineering Analysis, March 1994.

Analysis of Proposed Use of Estimated Fair Market Value, performed on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications before the Federal Communications Commission, FCC CC No. 93-251, January $10,1994$.

Incentive Regulation Review of South Central Bell, performed on behalf of the Kentucky Public Service Commission, October 1990.

## Papers and Presentations

"Structural Simulation of Facility Sharing: Unbundling Policies and Investment Strategy in Local Exchange Markets," White Paper, July 2005 (with Glenn A. Woroch, Lisa V. Wood, Daniel L. McFadden, Nauman Ilias, and Paul C. Liu).
"Betting Against The Odds? Why broadband over power lines (BPL) can't stand alone as a highspeed Internet offering." Public Utilities Fortnightly, April 2005, pp. 41-45 (with Kenneth J. Martinian).
"The Impact of the Number of Mobile Operators on Consumer Benefit," White Paper, March 2005 (with Kenneth J. Martinian and Carlos Lapuerta).
"Wholesale Pricing and Local Exchange Competition", Info, Volume 6, Number 5, 2004, pp. 318-325 (with Lisa V. Wood and David E. M. Sappington).

## WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS

Principal
"Regulatory Performance Measurement Plans and the Development of Competitive Local Exchange Telecommunications Markets", Working Paper, November 2003 (with David E. M. Sappington, Lisa V. Wood and Glenn A. Woroch).

## APPENDIX B

## WRITTEN TESTIMONY

 OF WILLIAM P. ZARAKAS
## APPENDIX B

## LIST OF DOCUMENTS AND DATA RELIED UPON

| Document/Data | Format |
| :--- | :--- |
| In the matter of Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, <br> Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, Report of the Copyright Arbitration <br> Royalty Panel To The Librarian of Congress (October 21, 2003) | Report |
| In the matter of Amendment of Parts 73 and 76 of the Commission's Rules <br> relating to program exclusivity in the cable and broadcast industries, GEN <br> Docket No. 87-24 Federal Communications Commission 3 FCC Rcd <br> 5299, July 15, 1988 Released; Adopted May 18, 1988 | Report |
| 1978 Cable Royalty Distribution Proceeding, Docket No. CRT 79-1, <br> Notice of Final Determination, 45 Fed. Reg. 63026, 63035-37 (September <br> 23, 1980) | Report |
| U.S. Census Bureau, Current Business Reports, BC/98, Annual Survey of <br> Communications Services: 1998, U.S. Government Printing Office, <br> Washington, DC 1999 | Report |
| U.S. Census Bureau, Current Business Reports, Service Annual Surveys, <br> 1999-2007 | Reports |
| Background Information on Annual Survey of Communication Services <br> from http://www.census.gov/econ/www/se0900.html | U.S. Government <br> website |
| Survey Instrument, U.S. Census Bureau Service Annual Survey, 2005 | Survey <br> Questionnaire |
| National Association of Broadcasters and Broadcast Cable Financial <br> Management Association, Television Financial Report, 2004 | Report |
| National Association of Broadcasters and Broadcast Cable Financial <br> Management Association, Television Financial Report, 2005 | Report |
| Survey Instrument, NAB Television Financial Survey, 2009 | Survey <br> Questionnaire |
| NAB Survey and sample methodology | E-mail |
| BMI Local Television Station Music Performance Blanket License | License Form |
| BMI Local Television Station Music Performance Per Program License | License Form |
| ASCAP Local Station Blanket Television License | License Form |
| ASCAP Local Station Per Program Television License | License Form |
| SESAC Local Station Blanket Television License Form | License Form |
| SESAC Local Station Per Program Television License Form | License Form |
| Cable Data Corporation (CDC) distant signal data, bi-yearly 2004 and <br> 2005 | Excel Workbook |
| Cable Data Corporation (CDC) Summary Pages For Form 3 (Three), <br> Accounting Periods 2004-1, 2004-2, 2005-1 and 2005-2 | Summary Reports |
| SNL Kagan, television network programming expenses | Data |
| BMI - Blanket Music License Fees by television station, monthly 2004 <br> and 2005 | Data |


| ASCAP - Blanket Music License Fees by television station, monthly 2004 <br> and 2005 | Data |
| :--- | :--- |
| SESAC - Blanket Music License Fees by television station, monthly 2004 <br> and 2005 | Data |
| BMI - Total Music License Fees received, 2004 and 2005 | Data |
| ASCAP - Total Music License Fees received, 2004 and 2005 | Data |
| Television station call sign and affiliation data, M Street data (provided by <br> BMI), television station websites and research | Data |

SP Exhibit 29


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { inioview } \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | Cablo Systor: | Prime City | County Coverag | Stato | County FIPS Coda | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distant } \\ & \text { Signal } \\ & \text { Coll } \\ & \text { Lottors } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | City or Crigin | State of Oricin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nelwork } \\ & \text { Afflieto } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PBS | Canation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AOELPHIA CABLEVISIONASSOC | W PALM BEACH | PALM BEACHMORTH: | FL | 12.098 | WGN | Chicago | illinois |  |  | Can |
|  |  |  | PALM EEACH ${ }^{\text {S }}$ SOUTH) | + | 12-100 | Whiv | Miam | FForida |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17: | MARCUS CABLE PARTNERS LLC | FONO DULAC | BROWN | Wi | 55-009 | WACY | A A plation | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CALUMET | WI | 55.015 | WBAY | Grean Bay | Wisconsam | $\underline{X}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | DODGE | Wi | 55027 | iWCGV | Minwauke | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | [000R | Wi | 55.029 | WOT | Mimaukoe | Wisconsin | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | FLORENCE | ; | 55037 | WGBA | Grean Bay | Wisconsth | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | FONDDULAC | Wi | 55039 | WGN | Chicago | .llinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GREEN | WI | 55045 | WISN | Minaukeo | Wisconsin | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | GREEN LAKE | Wi | S50047 | Wern | M Minwaukoe | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KEWAUNEE | W | S5001 | WWB | Suring | Wisconsim |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MANHTOWOC | WI | 55.071 | WMLW | Mikwaukeo | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PIERCE | W: | 55-093 | Whus | :Minumbeo | Wisconsin |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  | SAINTCROLX | W! | 55-109 | WMMT | Mibmaukes | Wisconsin |  | x |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | Wi | 55-131 | WPANE | Groen Bay | Wisconsin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | WINNEBAGO | W! | 55:139 | WTM | Milurauke | Wisconsio | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | iWVTV | Minaukeo | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  | TIME WARNER CABLE | AMBERLY VILLAGE | ADAMS | OH | 39001 | wbac | Cincinnat | Ohlo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BROOWN | OH | 39015 | WGGN | Chicaso | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BUULER | OH | 39017 | WPTO | Oxford | Ohio |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | CLARK | : OH | $39-023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLERMONT | OH | 39025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLINTON | OH | 39.027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DEARBORN | IN | 10-029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HAMMLTON | OH | 39-061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | THGPLLANO | Of | 39-071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ; PIKE | OH | 39-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SENECA | OH | 39-147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WARREN | OH | 39-165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | COMCASTOF GARDEN STATELP | AUDUBON | BUCLINGTON | N | 34.005 | WPSG | Philadelphia | Pennsyivania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | campen | N | 34-007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Cumberlano | N | 34011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GLOUCESTER | NS | 34.015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UUNION | N | 34.039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT CO | KETTERING | CLARK | OH | 39023 | WCET | Cincinnat | Ohio |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  | Gresme | OH | 39-057 | WGN | Chicago | Iflinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONTGOMERY | OH | 39.113 | WKOI | Richmond | Indiana |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WARREN | OH | 39-165 | WPTO | Oxford | Ohio |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | wxix | Cincimat | Ohio |  |  |  |
| - 17 | KCCP TRUST | KAMSAS CITY | CASS | MO | 29037 | WGN | Chicago | Ilfinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLAY | MO | 29047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JACKSON | MO | 29-095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -- |  |  | JOHNSON | KS | 20091 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEAVENWORTH | KS | 20-103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pralis | $\mathrm{MO}^{\text {M }}$ | 29-173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CHARTER COMMMNICATIONS VI | BECKLEY | FAYETE | wv | 54.019 | iw ${ }^{\text {chs }}$ | Charloston | Wost Virginia | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | GIIES | VA | $51-971$ | Wobs | Roanoke. | Vurginda | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Greenbrier | wv | 54.025 | WDRL | Roancko | Virghia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TMERCER | wv. | 54.055 | WGN | Ćnicago | lilinota |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | M Manroe | wv: | 54.063 | WVAH | Charloston | Weat Virginia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RALEIGH | wv | 54.089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMMERS | w | 54.089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WYOMING | w | 54-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | TIME WARNER CABLE OF SE WI | MILWAUKEE | MLLWAUKEE | wi |  |  | Chicago |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 55-133 | WHA. | Chicesg | Winois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAUKESHA | WI | 55-133 | WHA | Madison | Wisconsin |  | $\times$ |  |
| 16 | BRIGHTHO:SENETWORKS | HILLSBOROUGHCO | [HILSSEOROUGH | F | 12-057 | WGN | Chicago | Ilinols |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | PASCO | FL | 12-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CHARTER COMA PROPERTIES LLC | SANLUIS OBISPO | MARIPOSA |  |  | KCAL | Los Angates |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SANLUIS OOISPO | CA | 6-079 | KCCSS | Los Angealoa | Califomia | x |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KCET | Santa Eabara | Califomia |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KCOP | Los Angelos | Califomia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KTLA | Los Angoles | Caliticmla |  |  |  |
|  | MARCUS CABLE PARINERS LLC | JANESVILLE | SURNETT | W1 | $55-013$ | wbuw | Janoesvillo |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | IOANE | WI | 55-025 | wost | Malwauke | Wlsconsin | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | DEKALB | H | 17-037 | WFLD | Chicago | \|llinoin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GREEN | WI | .55-045 | WGN | Chicago | jlilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GREENLAKE | WI | 55047 | WHA | Madison | Wisconsin: |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | WI | 55-055 | WIFR | Froaport | Hilinote | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jotinson | 12 | 17-097 | Wisc | Madion | Wisconsin | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | [KEMOSHA | WI | 55-059 | WISN | Mivaukeo | Wiscansto | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MCHEMEMY | IL | 17-911 | With | Mibwauke | Wisconstin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ;ROCK | W1 | 55-105 | wkow | Madison | Wisconsin | $\bar{\chi}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | T TAZEWELL | It | -17-179 | WLS | Chicago | Illiriohe | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | WALWORTH | \|Wi | 55-127 | WMAQ | Chicego | Hlinots | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | WINNE | $\frac{11}{11}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 55-133 } \\ \hline 17.201 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | WMAL | MMankeo | Wisconzin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMTV | Madison | Wisconsin | $\bar{\chi}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMMT | Miluaukoe | Wisconsin |  | $X$ |  |
|  | -- |  |  |  |  | WRORF | Rockford | Itinok |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WREX | R Rockford | Hllinoia | $\bar{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTMS | Minwauko | Wisconsin | x |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Wwro | Rickeas | dillinis | X | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTV | Niswaukeo | Wisconain |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | AAOELPHIA COMMUNICATIONS OF CA | CIEVELAND HEIGHTS | CARROLL | OH | $30-019$ | WGN | Chicego | Ilinaia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CUYAHOGA | OH | 39-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GEAUGA | OH | 39-055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LAKE | OH | -39085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Lorain | OH | 39093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | , | LUCAS | OH | -39099 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MEDIN | OH | 39103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PAULEING | OH | -39-121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMMEIT | OH | -39-153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | + |  | TUSCARAWAS | OH | 39157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Number <br> of <br> intorviow | Cabie Systar. | Primo City | County Coverega | Stato | County FIPS Cod | Disisine Signel Cat Lettorr | Ciny of Origin | Stare of Origin | Nerwork Affliato | P9S | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMCAST OF OREGONIIINC | PORTLANO | Clackamas | OR | 41.005 | WGN | Chicago | Lllinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | IMULTMOMAH | OR | 41-05i |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | OR | 41.067 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | BRIGHTHOUSENETWORKS | ORMOND BEACH | FLAGLER | FL | 12-035 | WGN | Chicago | Illinoio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOUUSA | P | 12-127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Charter comanaications vil | G000RICH | GENESEE | 1 M | $29-0.95$ | WMT | - | Mataman |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GRANO TRAVERSE | M | 26-055 | WDW | Doton | Michigan | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | LENAWEE | ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | 26-091 | WGN | Chicza | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MMANSTEE | M | 26-101 | WJak | Detroit | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OAKlañ | (N1) | 26-125 | WJRT | Print | M Michigan | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sainiclair | M | 26-147 | WKAR | East Lansing | Michigan |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | isanilac | M | 20-151 | WKED | Dotroit | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ShIAWASSEE | M | 26.155 | WNEM | Bay Clity | Mlchigan | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tuscoua | [ M | 26-157 | WPPD | Ann Arbor | MMichigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSMH | IFtimt | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Wivs | Oetrain | Michigan |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | [WWJ | Datait | Minchigan | $\underline{ }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWYY | Detrait | Michigan | X |  |  |
|  | 6 YORK CABLE TELEVISION INC | YORK |  | PA | 42.001 | WGN | Chicago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  | OORK |  | fRANKCLIN: | PA | 42.055 | WPHL | Philadolphia | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HUNTINGOON | PA | 42.061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LANCASTER | PPA | 42.071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | YORK | PPA | 42-133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | TSERVICE ELECTRIC CGVINC | HAZETON | CARBON | PA | 42.025 | KKW | Philadelothis | Pemasytuanis | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | LUZERNE | PA | 42-079 | WLYH | Lancaater. | Pennsyduanio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPIX | New York | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPVI | Philadelophia | Permsystaania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWOR | New York | Now Yark |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 COMCAST CABLE COMM LLC | :日EACHWOOD | OCEAN | N | 34.029 | ikw | Philadotohia | Pernsskrania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WCAU | Philadopphis | Pennsyduania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHW | Wlimington | Doiaware |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNET | Now York Cityd | New YorkNow Jersay |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPHL | Ptiiadalphis | Pamsaytranta |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPSG | Philadolphia | Ponnsidvanis |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WIXF | Philadolphia | Pennssivania |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 COMCAST CGV OF GASCINC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 COMCAST CEV OF GASSINC | NCHARLESTON | CHARLESTON | $\frac{\mathrm{SC}}{\text { SC }}$ | $\frac{45-015}{45-079}$ | WGN | Chicago | Ilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DORCHESTER | SC | $45-035$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 7 COMCAST OF SOUTHEAST PENNSYLV | CHAMBERSGURG | CUMBERLAND | PA | 42-041 | WBDC | Washington | Distict of Columbia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Franklin | PA | 42-055 | wDCA | Wasshington | District of Columbia |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  | WHAG | Hagerstown | Marydand | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHP | Hamisbura | Pennsidvanta | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHTM | Hamiebury | Ponnsthanala | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WJAL | Hagerstown | Mandand |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WJLA | Waahington | District of Columbla | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WLYH | Lancastor | Pormsidvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPMT | York | Ponnsyivania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WRC | Washington | District of Columbis | $\underline{X}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTTG | Washington | Diastct of Columbia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUSA | Washinglon: | Oistret of Columbia | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWPX | Martinsburg | West Virgiola |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 COXCOMINC | OKLAHOMA CTIY | CANADIAN | OK | 40.017 | WGN | Chicago | lifinots |  |  |  |
|  | Cox |  | CLEVELAND | OK | 40.027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LOGAN | OK | 40-083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OKLATHOMA | OK | 40-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 AVALON CABLE OF MICHIGANLLC | ALLENDALE TWP | Allegan | M | 28-005 | WBAY | Green Bay | Wisconsin | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | ALPENA | M | 28-007 | WCMJ | Mt. Ploasant | Michigan. |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | IOSIA | M1 | $26-097$ | WFOX | Cadilac | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KALAMAZOO | ( ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 26.077 | WFFV | Grean Bay | Wisconsin | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | KENT | M | 28-082 | WGN | Cticeag | Ullinots |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LENAWEE | M | 20-097 | wGVu | Grand Raptis | Michigan |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | MASON | :M | 28-105 | WLUK | Grean Say | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MECOSTA | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 28-107 | W000 | Grend Rapkda | Michlyan | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONTCALM | M | 28-117 | WOTV | Batto Crieek | Machigan | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MUUSKEGON | M | 28-121 | WSYM | Lansing | Machlag |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | jnewaygo | M | 28-123 | WTLS | Mutkegon | Mictrigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OCEANA | M ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 26-127 | WuTV | Míkwaukeo | Wiscanain |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OTTAWA | M | 28-139 | WWMT | Kalamazoo | Nichigan | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT CLARR | M | 28-147 | WWTV. | Cadillac | Machlgan | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | SHIAWASSEE | M | 26-155 | WXSP | Grand Rapide | Mhctigam |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 CHARTE COMM ENTERTAINIILLC | LACOUNTY | LOS ANGELES | CA | 6.037 | WGN | Chicago | ifinote |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 TIME WARNER ENT/ADV-NEWHSE GP. | SANTA CLARITA | LOS ANGELES | CA | $8-037$ | WGN | Chicsgo | \|lanois |  |  |  |
|  | 8 COXCOMINC | RANCHO PALOS VERD | LOS ANGELES | CA | $6-037$ | WGN | Chicaso | Hilinote |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7: RCN TELECOM SERVICES INC | LEHIGHCO | LEFHIGH | PA | 42.077 | WNEC | Now York | Now York | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | NORTHAMPTON | PA | 42-095 | WNW | New York | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPIX | Now York | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWOR | Now York | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Cablo Systour | Prime City | County Coverego | Stata | County | Distent <br> Signal <br> Can <br> Lettors | City of Orign | Slate of Orign | Notwork Affilate | PQS | Canation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16is | SCPANTON CABLEVISHONTNC | SCRANTON | BERKS | PA | 42011 | WGN | Chicaga | Mlinoks |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Bucks | PA | 42-017 | WPSG | Philadelphis | Pennsyivaria |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LACKAWANMA | PA | 42.069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LUZERNE | PA | 42-079 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | THOGA | PA | 42-117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WYoming | PA | 42-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COMCAST OF THE SOUTHINC | SOUTHFIELD | MACOM ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | M | 26-099 | WGM | Chicaga | Illinole |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OAKLAND | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 26-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | M ${ }^{\prime}$ | 26-163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | MEDIACOM OELAWARE LLC | MMLLSSORO | SUSSEX | OE | 10-005 | WJz | Balthore | Maryand | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Wicomico | MD | $\frac{14-045}{}$ | Wixp | Philladolphiz | Pennsytuanta |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WORCESTER | :M0 | $24-047$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | COXCOMMCENTRALIIINC | BATON ROUGE | EAST AATON ROUGE. | La | 22-033 | WGN | Chicago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | LASTan |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| 17, | IFRONTIERVISION OPERATING | PTCLINTON | ERaE | OH | 39043 | CBET | Windsor | Ontario |  |  | X |
|  |  |  | OTTAWA | OH | $39-123$ | WGGU | Bowing Green | Ohio |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | SANDUSKY | OH | 39-143 | WGN | Cricago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Whw | Cloveland | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WKBD | Dombit | Muchigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUAB | Lorain | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MANAINC | RJCHMOND | GOOCHLAND | iva | 51-075 | WGN | Chicago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HANOVER | VA | 51-085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | iHENRICO | VA | $51-087$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RICHMOMD CTIY | VA | 51760- |  |  | - - | --- | -- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COMCASTOF CACOLC | fricolins | Lakimer | co | $8-069$ | WGN | Chicago | Iminot |  |  |  |
| 17 | CENTURY HUNTINGTON COMPANY | MMORGANTOWN | HARRISON | w | 54033 | KOKA | -Pitrsburgh | Ponnayduania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARION | w | 54.049 | WGN | Chicago | Ililnots |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MMONONGALIA | Wv | 54.061 | WPCB | Greensburg | Ponnsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ITAYLOR | Wv | 54.091 | WPGH | Pittaburgh | Pennsytuanis |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPXI | Pitasburgh | Pennsydvania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WOED | Piltaburgh | Pannsydvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTAE | Pittaburgh | Pennsydvania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  | ORANGE | ORANGE(NORTH) | CA | 6-259 | WGN | Chicago | Illinoia |  |  |  |
|  | Mbel Cableststems ofire SWinc |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%nois |  |  |  |
| 17 | COMCAST OF MICHIGANLLC | LANSING | CLINTON | M ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 26-037 | WCN | Chicago | IIfinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EATON | iN ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 26-045 | WKBD | Dotroik | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | INGGAM | :M | 26-065 | wivs | Doboh | Michnown |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TEXAS CABLE PARTNERS LP | CORPUS CHRISTI | OEE | TX | 48.025 | WGN | Chicago | Illinole |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DJVVAL | TX | 48-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JIM WELLS | TX | 48-249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | INUECES | TX | 48-355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ISAN PATRICIO- | T | 48-409 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TARRANT | TX | 46-439. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | It ARMSTRONG UTILTIES INC | BUTLER | BuTler |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ARMSIRONGUNLIESINC | Butien | DELAWARE | PA | 42.019 | WGN | Chicago | Milinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF COANTA COSTA INC | PINOLE | ALAMEDA(WEST) | CA | 6-202 | KCRa | Sacramento | Califomia | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | CONTRA COSTAINEST | CA | 6-012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -17 | 7 TIME WARNER ENTIADV-NEWHSE GP | LINCOLN | LANCASTER | NE | 31-109 | WGN | Chicago | Illinok |  |  |  |
| - ${ }^{16}$ | 6 COXCOMMUNICATIONS INC | WARNER ROBINS | B18B | GA | 13021 | WGCL | Atanta | Georgia | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | HOUSTON | GA | 13.153 | WGN | Chicago | ,llthots |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PEACH | GA | 13-225 | wSB | Altanta | Georgla | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | W×IA | Attanta | Goorgla | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - -17 | COX COMM NICATIONS KANSAS LIC | TOPEKA | JEFFERSON | IKS | 20.087 | KSHP | Kansas Cily | Miseour | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | SHAWNEE | KS | 20-17 | WGN | Chiczgo | Illinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 TIME WARNER ENTIADV-NEWHSE GP | MYRTLE BEACH | HORRY | Sc | 45.051 | WGN | Chicego | dilliole |  |  |  |
|  | TM Whar | - | - |  |  | WIS | Columbia | South Carolina | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 TCA CABLE PARTNERS | SPRINGDALE | BENTON | AR | 5007 | WGN | Chicago | Illinot |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | AR | 5-143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 7. CENTURY VENTURETME WARNER | WAUWATOSA | MML WAUKEE | WI | 55-079 | WGN | Chicago | Itlinola |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OZAUKEE | \|w | 55.099 | WHA | Madison | Wisconain |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | IW | 55-331 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAUKESHA | ; ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 55-133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6, MEOLACOM SOUTHEASTILC | GULF BREEZE | ESCAMBIA | AL | $1-053$ | Wapg | Gult Shores | Alabama |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ESCAMBIA | FL | 12-039 | WEIO | Mobilo | Alabama |  | $\bar{X}$ |  |
|  |  |  | SANTA ROSA | E | 12-113 | WGN | Chicago | Iminoin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSFA | Montgomery | Alabame | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSRE | Pensacola | Florida |  | $\times$ |  |
| 17 | 7 CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS VII | KLAMATH FALLS | KLAMATH | OR | 41-035 | WGN | Chicago | ) lilinot |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Klamatin |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |
|  | CTARTER COMMUNTCATIONS VI | COMSTOCK ${ }^{\text {TWP }}$ | iKalamazoo | 샌 | 26.077 | WGN | IChisago | IMImoto |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTTW | Chicago | Ullinote |  | $\underline{ }$ |  |


| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ 0 \\ \text { interview } \\ 1 \end{array}\right.$ | Cablo Systaut | Primo City | County Coverag | ${ }^{\text {Stato }}$ | County FIPS Codo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distant } \\ & \text { isignal } \\ & \text { Cenf } \\ & \text { Lottorat } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | City of Origin | Stare of Orion | Network Afffiate | PBS | Canacion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ARMSTRONG COMMMNICATIONS INC | MEADVILLE | CRAWFORD | PA | 42.039 | KOKA | Piftaburgh | Penmsidvania | $\bar{\chi}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WEWS | Clovetand | Onio | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUAB | Lorsin | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COMCAST OF ALABAMM INC | HUNTSVILE | MADISON | [AL | 1089 | WARC | Bimingham | Alabame |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGN | Chicago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | [WIAT | iBumingham | Alabame | $X$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVTM | Bimingham | Alabama | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | COXCOMINC | ROANOKE | ROANOKE | VA | 51-161 | WGN | Chicago | illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ROANOKE CITY |  | 51.770 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST CABLE COMM INC | WILDWOOO | CAPEMAY | N | 34-009 | Whry | Wimington | Solame |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPIX | Now York | N Now York |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTIAOV-NEWHSEGP | WINSTON-SALEM | :DAVIE | NC | 37-059 | WGN | Chicago | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | iFORSITH | NC | 37-067 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | STOKES | NC | 37-169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{17}$ | COMCAST OF THE SOUTHINC | WESTLAND | WAYNE | ${ }^{\text {M }}$ | 26-163 | WGN | Chicego | Iminote |  |  |  |
|  | I Medacou Southeastuc | CV |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | M MEDIACOMSOUTKEASTLIC | ECENTON | SCAMTIE | NC | 37-015. | WCTI | Now Bom | North Carotina | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHOWAN | NC |  | Was | Comp | Vhes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CURRITUCK | NC | 37.053 | WHRO | Hampton | Virginin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | HERTFORD | NC | 37-091 | WITN | Washington | North Carolina | X |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  | NORTTAAM PTON | NC | 37-131 | WNCT | Greonvito | North Carodina | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Perouimans | NC | 37-143 | WPXV | iNorfolk | Virginia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSKY | Manteo | Noith Caralina |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | wivz | Norfoik | Virginia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVBT | Virginia Boach | Virainit |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WYDO | Greenville | North Canolina |  |  |  |
| - 17 | FRONTIERVISION OPERATING | Sebaga | CUMEERLAND | ME | 23-005 | WENH | Ouham | Now Hampshiro |  | $\underline{ }$ |  |
|  |  |  | OXFORD | ,ME | 23-017 | WGN | Chicego | ilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | York: | ME | 23-031 | WSEK | Bosion | Massachusolts |  |  |  |
| 16: | CPRESNAN COMMUNICATIONS CO | Austiñ | MOWER | MN | 27-099 | IKTCA | Readwood Falls | Minnosota |  | X |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | 27-099 | WFTC | Mannoapolia | Mannesota |  | $\underline{ }$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGN | Chicago | )lllinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | INSIGHT MID WEST LP | КОКОМО | cass | IN | 18.017 | WGN | Chicago | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HOWARD | IN | 18.067 | WIPB | Muncie | Indiana |  | $\overline{\text { x }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | MIAM! | IN | 18-103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TIPTON | in | 18-159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 16 | COMCAST OF WASHINGTONIVINC | ABERDEEN | GRAYS HARBOR | wA | 533.27 | 'CBuT | Vancouver | Eritish Columbia |  |  | $\times$ |
|  |  |  |  | WA | 53.033 | - | Tacoma | Washington |  | $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{X}}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KPTV | Portand | OTogan |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KWDK | Tacoma | Washimaton |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SRIFKIN ACOUISTIIO | COOKEVILLE | PUTNAM | TN | 47-141 | WGN | Chicego | fllinots |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WHITE | TN | 47-185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -17 | COMCAST | batine Creek | CALHOUN | M 1 | 28.025 | WGN | Chicogo | IIIInoto |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 16 | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENTCO | LIMA | ALLEN | OH | 39-003 | WENS | Columbus | Ohto | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | ASHTABULA | $\stackrel{\mathrm{OH}}{\mathrm{OH}}$ | 390007 | WHIO | Daytoin | Ohio | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | AUUGLAIE | OH | $39-011$ $39-137$ | WTVG | Toledo | Ohio | $\times$ |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



2003
Cable Customer
Programming Questionnaire
System Name: $\qquad$
City/state:
Subscribers:
Respondent's Name:
Title:
Telephone Number:
Date Interviewed: $\qquad$
Good morning / afternoon. My name is $\qquad$ and I'm calling from $\qquad$ . We are conducting a short national survey among randomly selected cable TV customers regarding the programming they watch. This survey will take no more than 10-12 minutes of your time. May we talk now? [IF NOT, SCHEDULE A TIME FOR CALL BACK.]

First, I have to ask:

1. Do you have cable TV in your home? IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 2. IF NO, THANK AND TERMINATE.
2. Did you have cable TV in your home last year (2003)?

IF YES, PROCEED TO NEXT QUESTION.
IF NO, THANK AND TERMINATE.
3. Are you the person responsible for paying the cable TV bill in your home?

IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 4.
IF NO, ...Could I please speak with the person responsible for paying the cable TV bill in your home? REPEAT INTRODUCTION AND Q. 3

INTERVIEWER: Industry data indicate that during 2003 your cable television system carried the following broadcast stations from other cities:

INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL
CALL LETTERS, CITY
AND AFFILIATION
Call Letters Can Affiliation City

Last year, these stations carried certain types of programs such as:
Movies: this means any type of movie you get on these stations I mentioned, such as comedy, or drama, or mystery, or other types of movies

Live professional and college team sports: such as hockey, football, basketball and baseball, plus college sports

Syndicated shows, series and specials: such programs as sitcoms, like Seinfeld, or Friends, or dramas such as NYPD Blue or CSI, or Christmas specials, or wildlife specials

News and public affairs programs: this could be a news program or local talk show about politics or community issues in another city that you get on your cable system

PBS and all other programming broadcast by noncommercial station: such as movies on PBS or concerts, also includes types of shows they put on the air when they are trying to raise money.

Devotional and religious programming: This is ministers, televangelists, or priests that are on the air

## All programming broadcast by Canadian station

## Other (SPECIFY)

4. I'm going to read you some types of programs carried by these stations from other cities last year. As I read each program please give it a number from 1 to 10 with 1 meaning these types of programs are not popular at all in our home to 10 meaning these types of programs are very popular in our home.

## Random

Sequence Genre RECORD 1 T0 10
( ) Movies
( ) Live professional and college team sports
( ) Syndicated shows, seriés and specials $\qquad$
( ) News and public affairs programs $\qquad$

( ) | PBS and all other programming |
| :--- |
| broadcast by noncommercial station |

( ) Devotional / religious programming

( ) | All programming broadcast by |
| :--- |
| Canadian station |
|  |
| Other (SPECIFY BELOW) |

## Value Concept

When you pay your cable bill, a certain portion of the bill goes to pay your cable company for receiving these stations I mentioned earlier that are part of your monthly cable TV package. For purposes of this survey, let's assume that $\$ 1$ of your monthly cable bill goes to pay for these stations that carry distant signals to your home. Now, I would like you to divide this hypothetical $\$ 1$ according to how valuable you feel each type of programming was to you in your home in 2003. Think of this as dividing the $\$ 1$ according to how much you feel each type of show was worth to you in 2003.

I'll read all the program types that were broadcast by these stations to give you a chance to think about them; please write the categories down as I am reading them. (READ PROGRAM TYPES IN ORDER OF RANDOM SEQUENCE NUMBER.) Assume you had ONE DOLLAR to spend in order to get these types of programs that were broadcast in 2003 on the stations I listed. As I read each choice, please jot down your estimates, and make sure they add to ONE DOLLAR.
5. What percentage, if any, of ONE DOLLAR would you spend on (READ FIRST PROGRAM TYPE)? What percentage, if any, would you spend on (READ NEXT PROGRAM TYPE)? COMPLETE LIST IN THIS MANNER.

## Random

Sequence : Value.
( ) Movies broadcast during 2003 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed $\qquad$
() Syndicated shows, series and specials broadcast during 2003 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed. $\qquad$
( ) News and public affairs programs broadcast during 2003 only by commercial stations that I listed $\qquad$
$\qquad$
( ) News and public affairs programs broadcast during 2003 only by that station.
( ) PBS and all other programming broadcast during 2003by PBS station
( ) Devotional and religious programming broadcast during 2003 by the U.S. commercial stations I listed

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
( ) All programming broadcast during 2003 by Canadianstation
$\qquad$............................................................
$\qquad$
TOTAL
FRACTIONS OF DOLLAR (e.g. 10 CENTS, 15 CENTS, etc. MUST ADD TO ONEDOLLAR; PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT.
6. Now I'm going to read back the categories and your estimates. (REREAD CATEGORIES AND RESPONSES IN RANDOM SEQUENCE IN ORDER TO ALLOW RESPONDNET TO REVIEW THE ESTIMATES.)
Are there any changes you would like to make? (RECORD ANY CHANGES BY CROSSING OUT ORIGINAL RESPONSE AND WRITING IN REVISED RESPONSE NEXT TO IT. AMOUNTS MUST STILL ADD TO \$1.00; PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT.)
Our interview is over. Thank you for your time today.

|  | Cable Systam | Prime City | County Coverage | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County } \\ & \text { fiPs } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dislant } \\ & \text { Signal } \\ & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Letters } \end{aligned}$ | Ciry of Origin | State of Orlgin | Network Abiliate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -16 | EAST ARKANSAS VIDEO INC | FORREST CITY | CROSS | AR | 5 5037 | KAIT | JONESBORO | Arkansas | $\underline{\chi}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEE | AR | 5-077 | KARK | LITLE ROCK | Arkansas | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT FRANCIS | AR | 5-123 | KATV | LITTLEROCK | Arkansas | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KTEJ | JONESBORO | Arkansas |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KTHV | LITTLE ROCK | Arkansas | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KVTJ | JONESBORO | Arkansas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGN | CHICAGO | Mlinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TCACABLE PARTNERS | RUSSELLVILLE | POPE | AR | 6-115 | WGN | CHICAGO | Mlinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | YELL | AR | 5-149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | COXCOMINC | PHOENIX | MARICOPA | AZ | 4-013 | WGN | CHICAGO | Itllnois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OFARIZONA INC | TUCSON | PIMA(EAST) | ${ }^{\text {A2 }}$ | 4.018 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF CYPRESS INC | CYPRESS | LOS ANGELES | CA | 6-037 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ORANGE[NORTH) | CA | 6-259 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCASTOF S CENTRAL LALLC | LOS ANGELES | LOS ANGELES | CA | 6-037 | WGN | CHiCAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENT/ADV-NEWHSE GP | PACIFIC BEACH | SAN DIEGO | CA | 6-073 | KTLA | LOSANGEIES | Callfonia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGN | CHICAGO | llitiois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF SACRAMENTOILLC | SACRAMENTO | SACRAMENTO | CA | 6-067 | KTNC | CONCORD | California |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | COMCAST OF CALIFOR NIA III INC | SAN FRANCISCO | CONTRA COSTAIWESTI | CA | $6-012$ | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CONTRA COSTA | CA | 6.013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CONTRA COSTAIEAST) | CA | 6-014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAN FRANCISCO | CA | 6-075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAN MATEO | CA | 6-081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SANTACLARA. | CA | 6-085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ALAMEDA(EAST) | CA | 6-201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ALAMEDA(WEST) | CA | 6-202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SANTACLARAIWEST) | CA | 6.286 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | ADELPHIA CALIFORNIA | SOUTHGATE | LOS ANGELES | CA | 6-037 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | CHARTER COMM PROPERTIES LLC | WOODLAND | YOLO | CA | 6.113 | KQED | SAN FRANCISCO | California |  | X |  |
|  | Charne Comm mop ricill | - | SOLANOIEAST) | CA | 6.295 | KTVU | OAKLAND | California |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF COLORADO IXLLC | ARAPAHOE CO | ADAMS | CO | $8-001$ | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ARAPAHOE | co | 8 8-005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BOULDER | CO | 8.013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLEAR CREEK | co | $8-019$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OENVER | co | $8-031$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DOOUGLAS | co | 8 8-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ELBERT | co | 8 8039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | Co | 8.059 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PROWERS | CO | 8-099 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WELD | CO | 8.123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MESA | co | 8 -077 | KCNC | DENVER | Colorado | $\times$ |  |  |
| 16 | BRESNAN COMMUNICAIIONSLLC | GRAND JUNCIION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Cable System | Prime City | County Coverage | State | County <br> FIPS <br> Code | Distant Signal Call Letters | Cily of Origin | State of Origin | Network Affiliate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS LLC | HILLSBOROUGHCO | CITRUS | FL | 12.017 | WEDU | TAMPA | Florida |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | HARDEE | FL | 12-049 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HERNANDO | FL | 12.053 | WINK | FT MYERS | Florida | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | HILLSEOROUGH | FL | 12-057 | WNBC | NEW YORK | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEVY | FL | 12-075 | WUFT | GAINESVILLE | Florida |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | MANATEE | FL | 12.081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PASCO | FL | 12-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PINELLAS | FL | 12.103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | POLK | FL | 12-105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF GREATER FUGAINC | JACKSONVILLE BEACH | BAKER | FL | 12-003 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BRADFORD | FL | 12-007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLAY | FL. | 12.019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DUVAL | FL | 12.031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NASSAU | FL | 12-089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT JOHNS | FL | 12-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST SCH HOLDINGS INC | LEESBURG | LAKE | FL | 12-069 | WGN | CHICAGO | lilinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARION | FL | 12.083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOLUSIA | FL | 12-127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF SOUTH FL IIINC | N MIAMI | BROWARD | FL | 12.011 | WGN | CHICAGO | Lllinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DADE | FL | 12-025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | FL | 12-087 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SEMMNOLE | FL | 12-117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS LLC | ORLANDO | BREVARD | FL | 12-009 | WCEU | NEW SMYRNA BEACH | Flarida |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | FLAGLER | FL | 12.035 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LAKE | FL | 12.069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARION | FL | 12-083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARTIN | FL | 12-085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ORANGE | FL | 12-095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OSCEOLA | FL | 12 -097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SEMINOLE | FL | 12-117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMTER | FL | 12-119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOLUSIA | FL | 12-127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | WEST BOCA ACQUISITIONLP | PALMBEACHCO | DADE | FL | 12-025 | WAMI | HOLLYWOOD | Florida |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PALM BEACH(SOUTH) | FL | 12-100. | WBZL | MIAM | Florida |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WLRN | MIAMI | Florida |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCASTOF GREATER FUGAINC | POMPANO | BROWARD | Fi | 12-011 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DADE | FL | 12.025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST Of TALLAHASSEE INC | TALLAHASSEE | GADSDEN | FL | 12.039 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEON | FL | 12.073 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | NATIONAL CABLE ACQUISITION | WELLINGTON | PALM BEACH(NORTH) | FL | 12.098 | WBZI | MIAMI | Fiorida |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PALM BEACH(SOUTH) | FL | 12-100 | WGN | CHICAGO | IIIInois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Cabie Systom | Prime City | County Coverage | Stale | County <br> FIPS <br> Code | Distant Slgnal Call Letters | Cily of Origin | State of Origin | Network Affilate | PQS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | COMCAST OF GEORGIAINC | ATLANTA | BAKER | GA | 13 -007 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHEROKEE | GA | 13 -057 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLAYTON | GA | 13-063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | COBB | GA | 13-067 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | COWETA | GA | 13-077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  | DEKALB | GA | 13-089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DOUGLAS | GA | 13-097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAYETIE | GA | 13.113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FULTON | GA | 13-121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GWINNETT | GA | 13-135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HENRY | GA | 13-151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | GA | 13-163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NEWTON | GA | 13-217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RABUN | GA | 13.241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ROCKDALE | GA | 13247 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SPALDING | GA | 13-255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WALTON | GA | 13-297 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | MCC IOWALLC | CEDAR RAPIDS | LINN | LA | 19-113 | WGN | CHICAGO | fllinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | MCCIOWALLC | MOLINE | ROCKISLAMD | III | 17.161 | WGN | CHICAGO | Iflinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCOTT | IA | 19-163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF INDIANAPOLISLP | HENDRICKSCO | HANCOCK | $\mathbb{N}$ | 18-059 | WGN | CHICAGO | illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HENDRICKS | IN | 18-063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARION | IN | 18-097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MORGAN | IN | 18-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SHELBY | IN | 18-145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WFWA | FT WAYNE | Indlana |  | X |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MTIN/KY/UT INC | LOGANSPORT | CASS | IN | 18-103 | WFY | INDIANAPOLIS | lndlana |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | WABASH | IN | 18-169 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WLFI | LAFAYETTE | Indiana | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNDU | SOUTH BEND | Indlana | x |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPTA | FT WAYNE | Indiana | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WRTV | INDIANAPOLIS | Indlana | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MUNCIE | MUNCIE | DELAWARE | IN | 18 -035 | WGN | CHICAGO | lilinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RANOOLPH | IN | 18.135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COX COMMUNICATIONS KANSAS LLC | WICHITA | BUTLER | KS | 20-015 | WGN | CHICAGO | Wlinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | COWLEY | KS | 20-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HARVEY | KS | 20-079 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RENO | KS | 20-155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SEDGWICK | KS | 20-173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMNER | KS | 20.191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | FRANKFORT ELECTRIC \& WATER | FRANKFORT | FRANKLIN | KY | 21.073 | WFTE | SALEM | Indiana |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WEB | JACKSON | Tennessee | x |  |  |
| 16 | RIFEIIN ACQUISITIO | MAYFIELD | CALLOWAY | KY. | 21.083 | WGN | CHICAGO | lillnois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARSHALL | KY | 21-157 | WKRN | NASHVILLE | Tennessee | $\bar{\chi}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNPT | NASHVILLE | Tennessee |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSMV | NASHVILLE | Ternessee | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTVF | NASHVILLE | Tennessee | X |  |  |
|  |  | CHICOPEE | HAMPDEN | MA | 25.013 | WSEK | BOSTON | Massachuselts |  |  |  |
| 16. | CHARTER COMM ENTERTAINMEILLC | CICOPE | HAMPSHIRE | MA | 25-015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8RISTOL | MA | 25-005 | WEPX | BOSTON | Massachusetts |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MASSACHUSETTS | REMOBOTM | 8RIST |  |  | WFXT | BOSTON | Massachusetts |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUN: | WORCESTER | Massachusetts |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of interview | Cable Systam | Prime Cily | County Coverage | State | Counts FIPS Code | Distant Signal Call Letters | Cily of Origin | Slate of Origin | Network Affilate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | COMCAST CBV OF POTOMAC LLC | ROCKVILLE | MONTGOMERY | MD | 24-031 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PRINCE GEORGE'S | MD | 24.033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OFFLINT INC | BURTON CITY | GENESEE | MI | 26-049 | CBET | WINDSOR | Ontarlo |  |  | X |
|  |  |  | OAKLANO | Mi | 26-125 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF DETROIT GP | DETROIT | WAYNE | MI | 26-163 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS LLC | LIVONIA | OAKLAND | M! | 26.125 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | M1 | 26-163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MINNWISCONSIN | BROOKLYN PARK | HENNEPIN | MN | 27.053 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WRIGHT | MN | 27-171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PIERCE | WI | 55-093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT CROIX | WI | 55-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | MARCUS CABLE PARTNERS LP | ROSEMOUNT | DAKOTA | MN | 27.037 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  | MARCUS Cable par |  | RICE | MN | 27-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OFST PAULINC | STPAUL | DAKOTA | MN | 27-037 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RAMSEY | MN | 27-123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CHARTER COMM ENTERTAINME ILLLC | BEELA VILLA | CLINTON | 1 L | 17.027 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MADISON | 11 | 17-119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | IL | 17-133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT CLAIR | 11 | 17-163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FRANKLIN | MO | 29-071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | MO | 29-099 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT CHARLES | MO | 29-183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT FRANCOIS | MO | 29-187 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT LOUIS | MO | 29-189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WRIGHT | MO | 29.229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT LOUIS CITY | MO | 29.510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS VM | CAPE GIRARDEAU | cook | MO | 27.031 | WGN | CHICAGO | Hinnois |  |  |  |
|  | CHARJERCOMUNCA |  | CAPE GIRARDEAU | MO | 29-031 | WOWQ- | PADUCAH | Kentucky |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MIISSISSIPPI | MO | 29-133 | WSIU | CARBONDALE | Illinois |  | x |  |
|  |  |  | NEW MADRID | MO | 29-143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCOTT | MO | 29.201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | KCCP TRUST | KANSAS CITY | JORASON | KS | 20.091 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEAVENWORTH | KS | 20.103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONTGOMERY | KS | 20-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WYANDOTTE | KS | 20-209 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CASS | MO | 29-037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLAY | MO | 29-047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JACKSON | MO | 29-095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PLATTE | MO | 29-165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RALLS | MO | 29-173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CABLE ONEINC | COLUMBUS | LOWNDES | MS | 28.087 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | MS | 28-095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT CO | JACKSON | HINDS | MS | 28-049 | WGN | CHICAGO | Llinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RANKIN | MS | 28-121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENT/ADV - NEWHSE GP | CHARLOTTE | ANSON | NC | 37-007 | WGGS | GREENVILLE | South Carolina |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CABARRUS | NC. | 37-025 | WGN | CHICAGO | milinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLEVELAND | NC | 37.045 | WIS | COLUMBIA | South Carolina | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | GASTON | NC | 37-071 | WRAL | RALEIGH | North Carclina | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MECKLENBURG | NC | 37-119 | WUNG | CONCORD | North Carolina |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | MONTGOMERY | NC | 37-123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { interview } \end{array}$ | Cabie Systom | Prime Cily | County Coverage | Stato | County <br> FIPS <br> Coda | Distant <br> Signal <br> Call <br> Letters | Cily or Origin | State of Origin | Nenvork Affiliato | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | RICHMONO | NC | 37-153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ROWAN | NC | 37-159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | STANLY | NC | 37.167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNION | NC | 37-179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS VII | KILL DEVIL HILLS | CURRITUCK | NC | 37.053 | WITN | WASHINGTON | Norsh Caralina | $\frac{x}{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | DARE | NC | 37.055 | WNCT | GREENVILLE | North Carollina | X |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTIADV.NEWHSE GP | RALEIGH | CHATHAM | NC | 37.037 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | DURHAM | NC | 37.063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FRANKLIN | NC | 37-069 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GRANVILLE | NC | 37-077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JOHNSTON | NC | 37-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ORANGE | NC | 37.135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PITT | NC | 37-147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VANCE | NC | 37-181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAKE | NC | 37-183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WARREN | NC | 37-185 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | NC | 37-191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WILSON | NC. | 37-195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | METROCAST CBV OF NHLLC | LACONIA | BELKNAP | ${ }_{\text {NH }}$ | 33-001 | WBZ | BOSTON | Massachusetts | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | CARROLL | NH | 33-003 | WCSH | PORTLAND | Maing | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | GRAFTON | NH | 333009 | WCVE | BOSTON | Massachuselts | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | MERRIMACK | NH | $33-013$ | WFXT | BOSTON | Massachuselis |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ROCKINGHAM | NH | 33-015 | WGBH | BOSTON | Massachusatis |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | STRAFFORD. | NH | 33-017 | WGME | Portlano | Maine | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHDH | BOSTON | Massachusetts | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHSH | MARLBCROUGH | Massachusetts. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WLVI | CAmbridge | Massachusetts |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMTW | POLAND SPRING | Maino | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNOS | DERRY | Naw Hampshire |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNEU | MERRIMACK | New Hampshire |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSEK | BOSTON | Massachuiselts. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF GARDEN STATE LP | AUDUBON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BURLINGTON } \\ & \hline \text { CAMDEN } \end{aligned}$ | NJ | 34-005 | WGN | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsyivania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CUMBERLANO | NJ | $34-011$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GLOUCESTER | NJ | $34-015$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNION | NJ | 34.039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Number of Interview | Cable Systam | Prime Cily | County Coverage | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County } \\ & \text { FIPS } \\ & \text { Code } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Distant <br> Slgnal Call <br> Letters | Cily of Origin | State of Origh | Network Affliato | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | COMCAST CABLE COMMLLC | BEACHWOOD | OCEAN | NJ | 34-029 | KYW | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsyivania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WCAU | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsy/vania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WHYY | WILMINGTON | Delaware |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMCN | ATLANTIC CITY | New Jersey |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNET | NEW YORK CITYINEWARK | New YorkiNew Jersey |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPHL | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsyivania. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPSG | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTXF | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsyivania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CABLEVISION OF MONMOUTHINC | FREEHOLD | MONMOUTH | NJ | 340025 | wCAU | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  | CALEVSIONOM | - | OCEAN | NJ | 34-029 | WLIW | GARDEN CITY | New York |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | SOMERSET | NJ | 34.035 | WPHL | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPVI | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTXF | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvana |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WYBE | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST CABLE COMMLLC | UNION | ESSEX | NJ | 34-013 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HUDSON | NJ | $34-017$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MIDDLESEX | NJ | 34-023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNION | NJ | 34-039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COX COMMUNICATIO N LAS VEGAS | LAS VEGAS | CLARK | NV | 32-003 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NY |  | wcax | BURLINGTON | Vermont | X |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTIADV-NEWHSE GP | ALBANY | ALBANY | NY | 36-021 |  | PLATTSBURGH | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | COLSEX | NY | 36-031 | WETK | BURLINGTON | Vermont |  | X |  |
|  | . |  | FULTON | NY | 36-035 | WEWB | SCHENECTADY | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONTGOMERY | NY | 36-057 | WFFF | BURLINGTON | Vermont |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RENSSELAER | NY | 36-083 | WMHT | SCHENECTADY | New York. |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | SARATOGA | NY | 36-091 | WNYA | PITTSFIELD | Massachusetts |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCHENECTADY | NY | 36-093 | WNYT | Albany | New York | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCHOHARIE | NY | 36-095 | WPTZ | NORTH POLE | Now York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | WARREN | NY | 36.113 | WVNY | BURLINGTON | Vermont | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | NY | 36.115 | WXXA | ALBANY | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WYPX | AMSTERDAM | Naw York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CAYUGA | NY |  | CBMT | MONTREAL | Quebec |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENT/ADV-NEWHSE GP | DEWIT | CLINTON | NY | 36.049 | CJOH | OTTAWA | Ontario |  |  | X |
|  |  |  | CORTLAND | NY | 36-023 | CKWS | KINGSTON. | Ontarlo |  |  | X |
|  |  |  | FRANKLIN | NY | 36-033 | W28BC | MASSENA | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HERKIMER | NY | 36-043 | WBNG | BINGHAMTON | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | NY | 36-045 | WCFE | PLATISBURGH. | New York |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |
|  |  |  | LEWIS | NY | 36-449 | WCNY | SYRACUSE | Now York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | MADISON | NY | 36-053 | WENY | ELMIRA | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | ONEIDA(EAST) | NY | 36-064 | WFXV | UTICA | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ONEIDA(WEST) | NY | 36-066 | WGN | Cricago | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ONONDAGA | NY | 36-067 | WICZ | BINGHAMTON | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | OSWEGO | NY | 36-075 | WVT | BINGHAMTON | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT LAWRENCE | NY | 36-089 | WKTV | UTICA | New York | $\underline{ }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOMPKINS | NY | 36-109 | WNYS | SYRACUSE | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPBS | WATERTOWN | New York |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPIX | NEW YORK | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSKG | BINGHAMTON | New York. |  | x |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSPX | SYRACUSE | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSTM | SYRACUSE | Naw York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSYT | SYRACUSE | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTVH | SYRACUSE | New York | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUTR | UTICA | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWNY | CARTHAGE | New York | X |  |  |


|  | Cable System | Prime Ciry | County Coverage | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County } \\ & \text { flps } \\ & \text { Codde } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distant } \\ & \text { Signal } \\ & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Letters } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | City of Origin | Sfate of Origin | Network Affllate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | TIME WARNER NY CABLE INC | NEWBURGH | DUTCHESS | NY | 36.027 | WABC | NEW YORK | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  | N- | GREENE | NY | 36-039. | WBNG | Bing hamton | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUULİIVAN | NY | 36-105 | WBRE | WILKES-BARRE | Pennsyivania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | ULSTER | NY | 36-111 | WCBS | NEW YORK | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMBC | NEWTON | New Jersay |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMHT | SCHENECTADY | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNBC | NEW YORK. | New York | x |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNEP | SCRANTON | Pennsylvania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNET | NEW YORK CITY/NEWARK | New York/New Jersey |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNYT | ALBANY | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNW | NEW YORK | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPIX | NEW YORK | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPXN | NEW YORK | Neiw York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WRGB | SCHENECTADY | New York | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WRNN | KINGSTON | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVIA | SCRANTON | Pennsylvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWOR | SECAUCUS | New YorkiNew Jersey |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\dot{\sim}$ | WXTV: | PATERSON | New'jersey |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Wrou | SCRANTON | Pennsylvania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT CO | ONEONTA | ALLEGANY | NY | 36-003 | W31BP | BURLINGTON | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BROOME | NY | 36-007 | WBGH- | Binghamton | New York | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHEMUNG | NY | 36-015 | WCNY | SYRACUSE | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | CHENANGO | NY | 36-017 | WENY | ELMIRA | Now York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | DELAWARE | NY | 36-025 | WETM | ELMIRA | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | OTSEGO | NY | 36-077 | WFXV | UTICA | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCHUYER | NY | 36-097 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | STEUBEN | NY | 36-101 | WGRZ | BUFFALO | New York | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | TIOGA | NY | 36-107 | WHAM | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | YATES | NY | 36-123 | WHEC | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | BRADFORD | PA | 42.015 | WICZ | BINGHAMTON | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | POTTER | PA | 42-105 | WISF- | ONEONTA | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUSQUEHANNA | PA | $42 \cdot 115$ | WIVB | BUFFALO | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | TIOGA | PA | 42-117 | WIXT | SYRACUSE | New York | X |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNED | BUFFALO | New York |  | x |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WNYO | BUFFALO | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPSX | CLEARFIELD | Pennsylvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WROC | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WSKG | BINGHAMTON | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTTX. | ELMIRA | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUHF | ROCHESTER | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUTR. | UTICA | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUTV | BUFFALO | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVIA | SCRANTON | Pennsyivania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WXX] | ROCHESTER | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WYOU | SCRANTON | Pennsyivania | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER CABLE | ROCHESTER | ERIE | NY | 36-029 | WBGT. | ROCHESTER | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GENESEE | NY | 36.037 | WCNY | SYRACUSE | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | LIVINGSTON | NY | 36-051 | WGN | CHICAGO | 1 llinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | NY | 36-055 | WHAM | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | NIAGARA | NY | 36-063. | WHEC | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | ONTARIO | NY | 36-069- | WNED | BUFFALO | New York |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | ORLEANS | NY | 36.073 | WROC | ROCHESTER | New York | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | SENECA | NY | 36-099 | WUHF | ROCHESTER | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | NY | 36-117 | WXXI | ROCHESTER | New York |  | x |  |
|  |  |  | WYOMING | NY | 36-121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Cable Systom | Prime City | County Coverage | State | County FIPS Code | Distant Signal Call Latters | City of Origin | State of Origin | Network Affiliate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT CO | AKRON | ASHLAND | OH | 39-005 | WCMH | COLUMBUS | Ohio | $\times$ |  |  |
|  | - |  | CARROLL | OH | 39-019 | WDL | CANTON | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | COLUMBIANA | OH | 39-029 | WEAO | AKRON | Ohio |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | HOLMES | OH | 39-075 | WGGN | SANDUSKY | Ohlo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HURON | OH | 39-077 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MAHONING | OH | 39-099 | WGTE | TOLEDO | Ohlo |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | MEDINA | OH | 39-103 | WJW | CLEVELAND | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PORTAGE | OH | 39-133 | WNWO | TOLEDO | Onlo | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | RICHLAND | OH | 39-139 | WPGH | PITTSBURGH | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | STARK | OH | 39-151 | WQLN | ERIE | Pennsylvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | summit | OH | 39-153 | WSYX | COLUMBUS | Ohio | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | TRUMBULL | OH | 39-155 | WTVG | TOLEDO | Ohio | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | TUSCARAWAS | OH | 39-157 | WUAB | LORAIN | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | OH | 39.169 | WVIz | CLEVELAND | Ohio |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | ERIE | PA | 42-049 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MERCER | PA | 42-085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WARREN | PA | 42-123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | WIDEOPENWEST CLEVLANDLLC | BEREA | CLERMONT | OH | 39-025 | WGN | CHICAGO | \|linnois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CUYAHOGA | OH | 39-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | ADELPMIA CLEVELAND LLC | CLEVELAND HEIGHTS | CARROLL. | OH | 39-019 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CUYAHOGA | OH | 39-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | geauga | OH | 39-055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LAKE | OH | 39-085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LORAIN | OH | 39-093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LUCAS | OH | 39-095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MEDINA | OH | 39-103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MEIGS | OH | 39-105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NOBLE | OH | 39-121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PAULDİIG | OH | 39-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMMMIT | OH | 39.153. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TUSCARAWAS | OH | 39-157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENTCO | coumbus | ATHENS | OH | 39009 | WBNS | COLUMBUS | Onlo | $\times$ |  |  |
| 16 | HME WARNERENTERTAMMENTCO |  | CHAMPAIGN | OH | 39-021 | WEWS | CLEVELAND | Onio | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | COSHOCTON | OH | 39.031 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CRAWFORD | OH | 39-033 | WJW | CLEVELAND | Ohlo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DELAWARE | OH | 39-041 | WLIO | LIMA | Ohlo | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAIRFIELD | OH | 39-045 | WSFJ | NEWARK | Ohlo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FRANKLIN | OH | 39,049 | WSYX | COLUMBUS | Ohio | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | HARDIN | OH | 39,065 | WTLLW | LIMA | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KNOX | OH | 390083 | WUAB | LORAIN | Ohio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LiCKING | OH | 39-089 | WWHO | CHILLICOTHE | Ohlo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LOGAN | OH | 39-091 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MADISON | OH | 39-097 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MARION | OH | 39-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MORROW | OH | 39-117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MUSKINGUM | OH | 39-119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PERRY | OH | 39-127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PICKAWAY | OH | 39-129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UMION | OH | 39-159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WYANDOT | OH | 39-175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | FRONTIERVISION OPERATING | DELLROY | CARROLL | OH | 39-019 | WCMH | COLUMBUS | Onio | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | HOLMES | OH | 39-075 | WGN. | CHICAGO | lllinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | STARK | OH | 39-151 | WNEO | ALLIANCE | Ohlo |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | TUSCARAWAS | OH | 39-157 | WOUB | ATHENS | Ohlo |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | WAYNE | OH | 39-169 | WTOV | STEUBENVILLE | Ohlo | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTRF | WhEELING | West Virghia | X |  |  |


| Number of interview | Cable System | Prime Cily | Count Coverage | State | County FIPS Code | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distant } \\ & \text { Signal } \\ & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Lettars } \end{aligned}$ | Ciby of Origin | State of Origin | Network Afilliale | PGS | Canadlan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS VII | LINCOLN CITY | LINCOLN | OR | 41.041 | WGN | CHICAGO | illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lincoln | TILLAMOOK | OR | 41-0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF OREGONIINC | PORTLAND | CLACKAMAS | OR | 41 2005 | WGN | CHICAGO | llinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MULTNOMAH | OR | 41-051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | OR | $41-067$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | ATLANTIC BROADBANOLLC | ALTOONA | BLAIR | PA | 42.013 | KOKA | PITSSURGH | Pennsylvania | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | CENTRE | PA | 42-027 | WPIX | NEW YORK | New York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LACKAWANNA | PA | 42.069 | WTAE | PITTSBURGH | Pennsylvanla | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCASTOF SOUTHEAST PENNSYL | ASTON | DELAWARE | PA | 42-045 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | RIGPAL COMMUNICATIONS INC | BETMEL PARK BORO | ALLEGHENY | PA | 42.003 | WGN | CHICAGO | Lllinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BERKS | PA | 42-019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAYETTE | PA | 42-051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | indiana | PA | 42.063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | PA | 42-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WESTMORELAND | PA | 42-129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF SOUTHEAST PENNSYLV | COATESVILLE | CHESTER | PA. | 42-029 | WFMZ | ALLENTOWN | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WGAL | LANCASTER | Pennsylvania | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WLVT | ALLENTOWN | Pennsylvania |  | x |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WYBE | PHILADELPH\|A | Pennsylvania |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST CABLE COMMLLC | LANGASTER | LANCASTER | PA | 42.071 | WBAL | BALTIMORE | Maryiand | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | YORK | PA | 42-133 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMAR | BALTIMORE | Maryiand | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPSG | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsyivania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTXF | PHILIADELPHIA | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWS | ATLANTIC CITY | Now Jersey |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF CAOOHIPAIUTMA INC | PITTSBURGH | ALLEGHENY | PA | 42-003 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | BEAVER | PA | 42,007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAYETTE | PA | 42-051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WASHINGTON | PA | 42-125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WESTMORELAND | PA | 42-129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF SOUTHEAST PENNSYLV | WARRINGTON | BUCKS | PA | 42-017 | WNET | NEW YORK CITYINEWARK | Now Yorivinew jersey |  | $\times$ |  |
|  | Comeas ar soun asi mon |  | MONTGOMERY | PA | 42-091 | WPIX | NEW YORK | Now York |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CENTURY LYK ENS CABLE CORP | WILLIAMSTOWN BORO | DAUPPIIN | PA | 42-043 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SCHUYLKILL | PA | 42-107 | WPHL | PHILADELPHIA | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER ENTIADV-NEWHSE GP | COLUMBIA | CALHOUN | SC | $45-017$ | WECT | WILMINGTON | North Carolina | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHARLESTON | SC | 45-019 | WGN | CHICAGO | llinols |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CLARENDON | SC | 45-027 | WIS | COLUMBIA | South Carolina | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | DARLINGTON | 5 C | 45-031 | WPDE | FLORENCE | South Carolina | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | DORCHESTER | SC | 45:035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FLORENCE | 5 C | 45-041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GEORGETOWN | SC | 45-043 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HORRY | SC | 45-051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KERSHAW | SC | 45-055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEE | SC | 45-061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LEXINGTON | SC | 45-063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NEWBERRY | SC | 45-071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ORANGEBURG | SC | 45-075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RICHLAND | SC | 45-079 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMTER | ${ }_{5 C}$ | 45.085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WILLIAMSBURG | SC | 45-089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Cabla Systom | Prime Cily | County Coverage | State | County FIPS Codo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Disiant } \\ & \text { Signal } \\ & \text { Call } \\ & \text { Lattars } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cily of Origin | State of Origin | Network Affiliate | PBS | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | COMCAST CBV OF GASC INC | NCHARLESTON | BERKELEY | SC | 45-015 | WGN | CHICAGO | lilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHARLESTON | SC | 45-019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DORCHESTER | SC | 45-035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | BLACK HILL FIBERCOM | RAPID CITY | BUTTE | SD | 46-019 | KBHE | RAPID CITY | South Dakota |  | $\times$ |  |
|  |  |  | LAWRENCE | SD. | 46-081 | KCPO. | SIOUX FALLS | South Dakota |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MEADE | SD. | 46-093 | KWBH- | RAPID CITY | South Dakcta |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PENNINGTON | SD | 46-103 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TEXAS \& KANSAS CITY CABLE PAR | EL PASO | DONA ANA | NM | 35-013 | KRWG | LAS CRUCES | New Mexico |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | EL PASO | TX | 48-141 | KTLA | LOS ANGELES | California |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | TEXAS \& KANSAS CITY CABLE PAR | HOUSTON | BRAZORIA | TX | 48-039 | WGN | CHICAGO | Winois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHAMBERS | TX | 48-071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FORT BEND | TX | 48-157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GALVESTON | TX | 48-167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HARRIS | TX | 48-201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LIBERTY | TX | 48-291 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONTGOMERY | TX | 48-339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COX SOUTHWEST HOLOINGS LP | MT PLEASANT | TITUS | TX | 48-449 | KCEB | LONGVIEW | Texas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KERA | DALLAS | Texas | X | $\underline{ }$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KXAS | FTWORTH | Texas | x |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KMTX | NACOGDOCHES | Texas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WFAA | DALLAS | Texas | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COX SOUTHWEST HOLDINGS LP | TYLER | SMITH | TX | 48-423 | KERA | DALLAS | Texas |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | KXTX | DALLAS | Texas |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WFAA | DALLAS | Texas | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF VIRGINIA INC | ALEXANDRIA | ALEXANDRIA | VA | 51.510 | WGN | CHICAGO | Hilinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | COXCOMINC | FAIRFAXCO | FAIRFAX | VA | 51.059 | WGN | CHICAGO | Llinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | coxcomin |  | FALLS CHURCH | VA | 51.610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COXCOMINC | HAMPTON | HAMPTONCITY | VA | $51-650$ | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | CHELSEA COMMUNICATIONS | HARRISONBURG | ROCKINGHAM | VA | 51-165 | WDCA | WASHINGTON | Districi of Columbia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SHENANDOAH | VA | 51-171 | WRC | WASHINGTON | District of Columbla | x |  |  |
|  |  |  | HARRISONEURG | VA | 51:660 | WRIC | RICHMOND-PETERSBURG | Virgina | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WUSA | WASHINGTON | Districi of Columbia | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WWET | RICHMOND | Virginla | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF MAVAINC | RICHMOND | GOOCHLAND | VA | 51-075 | WGN | CHICAGO | Ilinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HANOVER | VA | $51-085$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HENRICO | VA. | 51-087 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RICHMOND CITY | VA | 51-760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | COXCOM INC | VIRGINIA BEACH | CURRITUCK | NC | 37-053 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CHESAPEAKECITY | VA | 51-550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NORFOLK CITY | VA | 51.710 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PORTSMOUTH CITY. | VA | 51.740 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VIRGINIA BEACH CITY | VA | $51-810$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | BRESNAN COMMUNICATIONSINC LLC | MADISON | DANE | Wi | 65-025 | WGN | CHICAGO | llinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | DODGE | WI | 55-027 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | WI | 55-055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MANITOWAC RAPIDS | MANITOWOC | Wi | 55-071 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
| 16 | COMCAST OF WSCONS | Manfowackap | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | TIME WARNER CABLE OF SE WI | MILWAUKEE | BURNETT | W! | 55-013 | WBAY | GREEN BAY | Wisconsin | X |  |  |


|  | Cable Systam | Prima city | County Coverage | State | County FIPS Code | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Distant } \\ \text { Signal } \\ \text { Cell } \\ \text { Lettors } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Ciny of Origin' | State of Origin | Network | PES | Canadian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DOOGE | wl | 55-027 | WBBM | CHICAGO | Iflinois | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | JEFFERSON | WI | 55-055 | WCGV | MIL WAUKEE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KENOSHA | WI | 55-059 | WDST | MLLWAUKEE | Wisconisin | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | LINCOLN | WI | 55-069 | WFLD | CHICAGO | lillinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MANITOWOC | w | 55-071 | WFRV | GREEN BAY | Wisconsin | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mil WAUKEE | WI | 55-479 | WGBA | GREEN BAY | Wisconsin | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | OZAUKEE | WI | 55-089 | WGN | CHICAGO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PIERCE | WI | 55-093 | WISN | MLLWAUKEE | Wisconsin | $\times$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | RACINE | W | 55-101 | WITI | MIL WAUKEE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SHEBOYGAN | W | 55-117 | WJJA | RACINE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WALWORTH | WI | 55-127 | WLS | CHICAGO | lilinols : | X |  |  |
|  | : |  | WASHINGTON | WI | 55-131 | WLUK | GREEN BAY | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WAUKESHA | W1 | 55-133 | WMAQ | CHICAGO | Illinols | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | wMVS | MIL WAUKEE | Wisconsin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WMVT | MIL WAUKEE | Wisconsin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPNE | GREEN BAY | Wisconsin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WPXE | KENOSHA | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTMS | MILWAUKEE | Wisconsin | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WTTW | CHICAGO | Illinois |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVCY | MILWAUKEE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WVTV | MILWAUKEE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | MARCUS COMMUNCIATIONSINC | ONALASKA. | CASS | MN | 27-021 | KMSP | MINNEAPOLIS | Minnesota |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WINONA | MN | 27-169 | KCEEG- | LACRESCENT | Minnesota |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAMS | WI | 55-001 | KSTP | STPAUL | Minnesota. | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | BUFFALO | WI | 55-011 | KTCA | ST PAUL | Minnesota |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | JACKSON | WI | 55.053 | wcco | MINNEAPOLIS | Minnesota | $\bar{X}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | LACROSSE | WI | 55-063 | WFTC | MINNEAPOLIS | Malmesola |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MILWAUKEE | WI | 55-079 | WGN | CHICASO | Illinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | WI | 55-081 | WHLA | LACROSSE | Wisconsin |  | X |  |
|  |  |  | SAINT CROIX | W | 56-109 | WLAX | LACROSSE | Wisconsin |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WALWORTH | WI | 55-127 | wxow | LA CROSSE | Wisconsin | $\underline{ }$ |  |  |
| 16 | CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS VI | BECKLEY | Giles | VA | 51-071 | WCHS | CHARLESTON | West Virginia | $x$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAYETTE | wv | 54.019 | WDBJ | ROANOKE | Virginia | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | GREENBRIER | WV | 54.025 | WDRL | DANVILLE | Virginia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MERCER | wv | $54-055$ | WGN | CHICAGO | Ililinois |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MONROE | wv | $54-063$ | WSLS | ROANOKE | Virginia | X |  |  |
|  |  |  | Raleigh | WV | 54-081 | WVAH | CHARLESTON | West Vilginia |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SUMMERS | wv | 54-089 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WYOMING | WV | 54-109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## THE NSI ${ }^{\oplus}$ TECHNIQUE

## INTRODUCTION

NSI techniques and procedures used in compiling the audence estmates in this Viewers in Profie(B) (VIP(B) are described in the Local Reference Supplement. The user should refer to the Supplement tor information regarding sample plan, tata reported and additional detail on other related topics including those treated briefly below.
The use of mathematical terns to express the audience estimates therein strould nop be regarded as a representation by Nielsen Media Research that they are exact to the precise mathematical values stated.
I THIS NSI ANALYSIS PROVIDES THE FOLLOWING AUDIENCE ESTIMATES:

## weekly cumulatne audiences (Net Reach) - By Daypart

- DMA Households: the total number of dfferent TV households reached one or more quarter-hours for the average week and for the 4 weeks of the current measuremem period.
- DMA Total Househoids: Weedy cumulative audience reported in thousands of households is for the average week for reports in measurement periods exclusive to Local People Meter service.
- Station Total Households: Weeldy curmutative audence reported in thousands of households is for the average week of the alt-market measurement period (Nov., Feb., of May) as well as July. aVERAGE OUARTER-HOUR AUDIENCES - Ey Daypart and/or By Ouarter Hour or Hall Hour
- HUT (Households-Using-Television): television households in the MetroDMA that are tuning any station as a percentage of MetroDMA are TV households.
- PUT (Persons-Ulsing-Television): persons in television households in the DMA that are vewing ary station as a percentage of persons in DMA television househoids
- Metro/DMA Area Rating: television households in the Metro/DMA Area tuned to a specitic station as a percentage of the Metro/DMA Area TV households. DMA ratngs are also shown for selected persons categories.
- Metro/DMA Area Share; television households in the MetrooDMA Area tuned to a specific station as a percentage of the Metro/OMA Area TV households with a set furned on.
- DMA In-Market Share: an estumate of the DMA household 4-weekshare of viewing recerved by a local commercial station in comparison to the other local commercial stations in the market. This estrnate is only reported in the Daypart Sectoon.
- Trend Guide Data:" DMA HUT and Shares are provided tor the a) Daypart and Time Period Sections where data are based on the same Daypart/ime Penod tor the indicated measurement periods (see column headings) and b) Program Audience Averages Section where data are recomputed based on the "normal" program time period in the current VIP tor the indicated measurement periods (see column headings). Jrend Guide data herein are derved from the pubished production Viewers in Profile (adata
- Users are reminded that Trend Guide data are subject to vanatons due to several factors, such as
sampling error and seasonal variations in television viewng. These factors, as well as other considerations outlined in the Local Reference Supplement, should be recognized in using and comparing data from several measurement periods.
DMA Total Audience: total TV households reached, profected to the total universe estmate in the DMA. DMA Total Audience is reported in measurement periods exclusive to Local People Meter
- service.
- Station Total Audience: tota U.S. TV househotds reached.

Percent Distibution of Stasion Total Households: When Station Total Households are reportable in the Home market they are also shown distributed on a percentage basis between the Home Metroarea, the Home DMA and up tothree selected adjacent DMAs. Where applicable, ratungs are also shown for the adjacent DMAs. These data are reported for all dayparts durng all-market measurement periocs only.
Audience Composilion: in mumbers of persons vewing and theis distnbution by the dernographic categories shown, reported in terms of DMA Ratngs and/or Projected Total Persons reactred.
Inme Period Section: Audience estimates are shown (a) as full-cycle averages for the tates shown on the cover and (b) as program lime period estimates excluding preemptions. Exclusions due to special events of other unusual circumstances, if any, are listed in the Special Notes Sectoon on page 4. Such "pure" program audience estimates are reported for local sources only.
Adjacent Ouarter-Hour ( $1 / 2$ hour) Averages: The average of data for the current quarter-hour and the previous quarter hour, reported tor each time period break Shown are DMA household rabngs plus Station Totats for households and selected demographic categories.

- DMA Weekdy Ratings: DMA household audiences reported tor each week of the measurement on a program average bime period basis.
Program Audience Average Section: A retabulaton of the program audrences (excluding
preemptions where applicable). For a descripton of the rules and procedures for averaging audience data for this section, see the Local Reference Supplement
Persons Share Section: Persons in the DMA tuned to a specfic station as a percentage of the DMA Persons-Using-Teievision (PUT) for this measurement period and three prior periods.
IV Households and Persons Trend Section: Households Using Televsion (HUT) and Persons Using Television (PUT) are trended for all-market measurement periods tor this period and four pror years. Rating and Share data are summaried for local commercial statons.
To avoid the implication that the reported averages represent nomal operating condtjons at all tmes, a section on "Operating Notes" is included showng dates and times of unusual operating conditions as reported by the stations.
II. AREAS MEASURED

Metro Area: the Metro Area is the Metropolitan Statstical Area (MSA) as defined by the Office of

Washington, DC (Hagerstown, MD)
Average Day Sample Characteristics and Fault Rate Report For Report Period 12/01/05 through 12/28/05

|  | Installed Sample Size | Fault Rate | Intab Sample Size | Unwtd Intab Pct | Wtd Intab Pct | $\cdots$ UE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Persons 2+ | 1528 | 16.2 | 1280 |  |  |  |
| Child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C 2-5 | 94 | 27.7 | 68 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| C 6-11 | 140 | 17.1 | 116 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F 12-17 | 64 | 17.2 | 53 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| F 18-24 | 62 | 19.4 | 50 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| F 25-34 | 115 | 21.7 | 90 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| F 35-49 | 196 | 13.3 | 170 | 13.3 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| F 50-54 | 67 | 14.9 | 57 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| F 55-64 | 95 | 9.5 | 86 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| F 65+ | 76 | 10.5 | 68 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Male |  |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |
| M 12-17 | 65 | 21.5 | 51 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| M 18-24 | 66 | 16.7 | 55 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| M 25-34 | 111 | 17.1 | 92 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| M 35-49 | 179 | 14.0 | 154 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| M 50-54 | 56 | 16.1 | 47 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| M 55-64 | 71 | 14.1 | 61 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| M 65+ | 70 | 10.0 | 63 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Household | 599 | 10.9 | 534 |  | - |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Cable | 198 | 11.6 | 175 | 32.7 | 30.1 | 30.2 |
| Cable | 400 | 10.2 | 359 | 67.3 | 69.9 | 698 |
| No ADS | 461 | 10.0 | 415 | 77.7 | 79.7 | 79.7 |
| ADS | 137 | 13.1 | 119 | 22.3 | 20.3 | 20.3 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 148 | 88 | 135 | 253 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| 2 | 192 | 9.4 | 174 | 32.5 | 31.2 | 31.2 |
| 3-4 | 194 | 10.8 | 173 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 32.3 |
| $5+$ | 65 | 20.0 | 52 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| Presence of Non Adults |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None <18 | 387 | 8.5 | 354 | 66.2 | 63.9 | 638 |
| Only <12 | 121 | 15.7 | 102 | 19.2 | 18.9 | 19.0 |
| Any 12-17 | 91 | 14.3 | 78 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 17.2 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 150 | 12.0 | 132 | 24.8 | 23.5 | 234 |
| Non-Black | 448 | 10.3 | 402 | 75.2 | 76.5 | 76.6 |
| Asian | 31 | 9.7 | 28 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Non-Asian | 568 | 10.9 | 506 | 94.7 | 93.5 | 93.5 |
| Origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic | 41 | 14.6 | 35 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Non-Hispanic | 558 | 10.6 | 499 | 93.5 | 93.4 | 934 |
| Age of Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<35$ | 134 | 16.4 | 112 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 20.4 |
| 35-54 | 279 | 9.3 | 253 | 47.3 | 45.6 | 45.6 |
| 55+ | 186 | 9.1 | 169 | 31.7 | 33.9 | 34.0 |
| Geography Weighting Controls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIS OF COL | 66 | 106 | 59 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
| MONTGOMERY | 90 | 11.1 | 80 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 153 |
| ARLINGTON | 42 | 9.5 | 38 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| FAIRFAX | 98 | 9.2 | 89 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 169 |
| PRINCE GEORGE | 77 | 10.4 | 69 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| PRINCE WM | 34 | 5.9 | 32 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| County Group (1) | 45 | 11.1 | 40 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| County Group (2) | 59 | 8.5 | 54 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.4 |


| County Group (3) | 48 | 146 | 41 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County Group (4) | 39 | 15.4 | 33 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 |

County Group 1 = CALVERT, CHARLES, SPOTSYLVANIA, STAFFORD
County Group 2 = FREDERICK, CLARKE, FAUQUIER, LOUDOUN, WARREN, JEFFERSON
County Group 3 = ALLEGANY, WASHINGTON, FULTON, BERKELEY, GRANT, HAMPSHIRE, HARDY, MINERAL MORGAN
County Group 4 = ST MARYS, CULPEPER, FREDERICK, KING GEORGE, ORANGE, PAGE, RAPPAHANNOCK, SHENANDOAH, WESTMORELAND

Note: Counts may not add to totals due to rounding
Persons Fault Rate is the percentage of the average day installed sample that did not pass set and persons edits Household Fault Rate is the percentage of the average day installed sample that did not pass set edits. Unweighted Intab Percent is the percentage of intab Total Persons 2+ or Households with a designated characteristic, before weighting has been applied.
Weighted Intab Percent is the percentage of intab Total Persons 2+ or Households with a designated characteristic, after weighting has been applied.
UE is the universe percentage of Total Persons 2+ or Households with a designated characteristic.

## Set and Persons Faulting

Nielsen examines the tuning information collected from each household daily to evaluate its accuracy and completeness. Persons viewing is also evaluated. First, the tuning information is assigned to one of five categories: a) Identified tuning -- tuning where source is identified. b) Unidentified tuning - tuning where source is unidentified. c) Missing data -- tuning status is unknown. d) Inconsistent data - inconsistency exists between information collected from the meter and internal database records. e) Unmetered equipment -- new equipment not yet metered. If the level of occurrence of items 'b' and ' $c$ ' as a percentage of item 'a' exceeds a specified threshold, the household is not included in the tabulation of household ratings. If there are any occurrences of 'd' and 'e', the household is not included in the tabulation of household ratings. Once the households passing the above criteria are established, the persons viewing information is evaluated.

Persons viewing is assigned to 3 categories: a) Identified audience - viewing household members or visitors are identified. b) Unidentified audience -- viewing household members or visitors are not identified. c) Inconsistent data inconsistencies occur between viewing information collected from the meter and internal database records. If the level of occurrences of ' $b$ ' as a percentage of 'a' exceeds a specified threshold, then the household is not included in the tabulation of persons ratings. If there are any occurrences of item ' $c$ ', the household is not included in the tabulation of persons ratings.

## Area Probability Sample Recruitment - Alternate Household Cooperation

In the event that all reasonable effort fails to secure the cooperation of a Basic household, the Statistical Research Department specifies an Alternate housing unit to be recruited. In Area Probability (AP) samples, all available Alternate specifications are released for recruitment in the order presented by the Statistical Research Department. An AP sample Alternate household must match the Basic Household with respect to presence of children and ability to receive cable channels. If the presence of children and/or cable/ADS subscription cannot be ascertained for the Basic household, it is ascribed by the Statistical Research Department. Ascription of the Basic's status is performed independently for cable and children, by comparing a random number to the estimated ZIP code penetration of homes with cable (or Census block group penetration of homes with children). Ascription for cable and/or children typically occurs for approximately $6.7 \%$ of all Basics for the Washington DC market.

Household and Persons Weighting
Household and Persons weighting is used to compensate for differences between the distribution of the intab sample and that of the universe estimates. For a more detaled description of the household weighting process, please refer to Section IX. Sample Weighting, C. 1 Weighting Procedures - Household Weights, in the Local Reference Supplement. A description of the demographic weighting process for Local People Meter sample can be found in Section IX. Sample Weighting, C. 2 Weighting Procedures - Persons Weights, in the Local Reference Supplement.

Technically Difficult Homes
For the period April 2005 through September 2005, in the Washington DC NSI market, 30 unique specs had a household that was determined to be Technically Difficult, of which 14 specs had a basic that was determined to be Technically Difficult. During this sampling period, 207 specs were installed, of which 77 were basic.

* special notice - share trend data
* The user is advised that Share Trend data reported in all sections
* of this analysis for measurements prior to July 2005 represent
* viewing estimates from the Washington, DC Set Meter / Diary
* service. Share Trend data reported for all measurements effective
* July 2005 represent viewing estimates from the Washington, DC
- Local People Meter service.
* Please contact your Nielsen Media Research Representative for
* additional details.


SPECIAL NOTICE
The melered household sample for this DMA is an area probabiity sample of housing units, with annual updates of new construction. The sample housing units are based on 1990 and 2000 census data, with fuill conversion to 2000 census data in progress.

For addtional details, please see the Local Reference Supplement or contact your Nielsen Media Research representative

## SPECIALNOTICE

As part of its continuing Quality Improvement plan for Local People Meter samples, Nelsen Media Research contnually refines recrutment procedures, including incentive structures, for newly recruted and installed households in the NSI Local People Meler panels. The objective of these refinements is to further improve cooperation and sample representation.

Please contact your Nielsen Media Research Representative for additional detals

## SPECIAL NOTICE

The users of this analysis are advised thal, effecive December 26, 2005, Neelsen Media Research implemented DVR measurement (ie. timeshitted viewing) in Local People Meter markels The Pinted ratung book and accompanying electronic data files for this analysis are based on 'Live Only' audience estimates for the data of December 1 thru 25, 2005 The data of
December 26 thru 28,2005 is based on 'Live Plus'
Please see the Client Communication dated December 22, 2005 (Update on Nielsen's Timeshifting Measurement Plan for Local People Meter Markets), also available on the NSI clent web site, or contact your Neelsen Media Research representative for additional detals

| TABLE 1 - UNIVERSE ESTIMATES - JAN. 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS | TV HOUSEHOLDS | TV HOUSEHOLDS BY COUNTY SIZE $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | D |
| METRO | 1,980,600 | 1,951,420 |  |  |  |  |
| DMA | 2,288,800 | 2,252,550 100 | 2,063,760 |  | 110,800 | 77,990 |
| NSI | 2,288,800 | 2,252,550 | 2,063,760 |  | 110,800 | 7,990 |
| \% |  | 100 | 92 |  | 5 | 3 |

TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS are estimates produced by Market Slaistics, a division of Clantas, Inc, and are copynghted by them. They are the base aganst which television penetration estimates have been applied.
TELEVISION OWNERSHIP PERCENTS are Nielsen Media Research estumates based on combinng histoncal propections from the 1960 and 1970 Censuses wits
intervews from a number of all market measurement penods
HOUSEHOLDS ARE OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS The household universe estimates shown in Table 1 are estimates of year-round households, ie, housing units occupied year round. Seasonal housing units which are occupled only durng certain seasons of the year are not Included in the Household unis which are occupled on the dunngber of households dunng the survey penod may differ from the estimate in Table 1
$\dagger$ See Local Reference Supplement for defintion of county size
LT Less than $1 \%$

|  | TABLE 2 - PENETRATION ESTIMATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERCENT OF TV HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | MULT. |  | CABLE |  | CABLE |
|  | BLACK | HISPANIC | SET | VCA | TV | ADS | PLUS |
| AREA | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| METRO | 26.1 | 7.4 |  |  | 72 |  |  |
| DMA | 23.4 | 6.6 | 78 | 89 | 70 | 20 | 90 |
| WASHINGTON | 54.7 | 75 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| HAGERSTOWN | 8.9 | 13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Mutt-set estimales are based on the metered sample Mult-set, Cable TV, VCA, ADS and Cable Plus estimates are based on the latest avalable data Black and Hispanic estimates are as of January 1 ,
2006 Cable Plus is defined as the presence of Cable andor Allemale Delvery System (ADS) See 2006 Cable Plus is defined as the presence of Cable andor Allemate Delvery System (ADS) See Local Reference Supplement lor delall

| TABLE 3 - SAMPLE SIZES: HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { METER SAMPLE } \\ \text { AREA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | DIARY SAMPLE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | INITIALL HO | LY DESIGNATED OUSEHOLDS |  | I.tAB DIARY OUSEHOLDS |  |
|  |  | LISTED | UNLISTED TOTAL | LISTED | UNLISTED | TOTAL |
| METRO | 461 Data not applcable | Data not applicable |  |  |  |  |
| DMA $\operatorname{ANCL}$ METRO) | 534 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NS([INCL DMA) | 534 |  |  |  |  |  |
| For sample selectio Addendum lor Local | procedures in Area People Meter | Probablil | lily markels, see Lo | al Retere | nce Supplem |  |

[^96]

| TABLE 6 - SAMPLE SIZES: PERSONS IN-TAB SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS BY REPORTED BREAKS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | ${ }^{\text {P }}$ (4) | (5) |
|  |  | WEGGTING EFFECT ON | UnIV. | PER- | TOTAL U.S. PERSONS |
|  | SAMPLE | STANDARD | EST. | 100 TV | 100 TV |
|  | SIZE | ERROR | (000) | HHLDS | HHLDS |
| designated market area |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL TV HOUSEHOLDS | 534 | 1.01 | 2253 |  |  |
| TOTAL PERSONS ( $2+$ ) | 1280 | 1.02 | 5742 | 255 | 254 |
| TOTAL PERSSNS ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (8+) | 992 | 1.02 | 4429 | 197 45 | 195 49 |
| TOTAL PERSONS ${ }^{\text {T }}$ (12-24) | 208 390 | 1.02 | 1817 | 81 | 83 |
| TOTAL PERSONS ( 18 -34) | 286 | 1.02 | 1324 | 59 | 60 |
| total persons (18-49) | 610 | 1.02 | 2753 | 122 | 118 |
| TOTAL PERSONS ${ }^{\text {21-49 }}$ | 568 | 1.02 | 2535 | 113 | 110 |
| TOTAL PERSONS ${ }^{\text {TOTAL }}$ PERSONS ${ }^{\text {25-54) }}$ | 610 706 | 1.02 | 3105 | 138 | 135 |
| TOTAL PERSONS (35-64) | 575 | 1.02 | 2513 | 112 | 103 |
| TOTAL PERSONS (50+) | 382 | 1.02 | 1676 | 74 | 77 |
| WOMEN: TOTAL (18+) | 521 | 1.02 | 2305 493 | 102 22 | ${ }_{24}$ |
| $12-24$ 18.34 | 139 | 1.02 | 666 | 30 | 30 |
| 18-49 | 310 | 1.02 | 1403 | 62 | 60 |
| 21-49 | 288 | 1.02 | 1297 | 58 | 54 |
| 25-49 | 260 | 1.01 | 1157 | 51 | 56 |
| 25-54 | 317 | 1.02 | 1716 | 76 | 70 |
| $25-64$ $50+$ | 411 | 1.02 | 902 | 40 | 41 |
| WORKING WOMEN \# | 315 | 1.01 | 1232 | 55 | 47 |
| MEN: TOTAL (18+) | 472 | 1.02 | 2124 | 94 | 94 |
| 18-34 | 147 | 1.02 | 658 | 29 | 58 |
| 18-49 | 300 | 1.02 | 1350 | 60 | 58 |
| $21-49$ | 280 | 1.02 | 1238 | 45 | 52 |
| $25-49$ $25-54$ | 246 293 | 1.01 | 1 | 57 | 54 |
| 25-64 | 354 | 1.02 | 1607 | 71 | 67 |
| TEENS: TOTAL (12-17) | 104 | 1.01 | 493 | 22 | 23 |
| GIRLS | 53 | 101 | 241 | 11 | 11 |
| CHILOREN: TOTAL (2-11) | ) 183 | 1.01 1.01 | 821 496 | 36 22 | 22 |
| metro area <br> TOTAL TV HOUSEHOLDS | 461 | 1.01 | 1951 |  |  |
| dma TV HOUSEHOLOS BY WEEK |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 526 530 |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ |  | 1.01 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 546 | 1.01 |  |  |  |
| dma group quarters residents * TOTAL <br> COLLEGE DORMITORY (18-24) <br> GENERALLY EXCLUDED FROM PERSONS PROJECTIONS. <br> SEE LOCAL REFERENCE SUPPLEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

MARKET DATA (CONT'D)

## TABLE 8 - STANDARD ERROR INFORMATION

Procedures for denving standard error estumates are avaiiable in the NSI Local People Meter Standard Emor Report.


LT Less than the lowest reported percent.
NOR "Normal" average PA data See Local Reterence Supplement
NA Data Not Available.
NR Station Not Reportable.
OFF All quater-hours of tirme penod for this week were Ott-Arr.
$\Delta \quad$ First or second quarter-hour excluded from average; Off-Ar.
1 Indrcates week(s) of telecast in markets where no weekty ratings are reported
Totals include only stations reportable in this market (including satellites/afiliates, it
 any) HUT/PUV in the non-reportable stations.

* See Quarter-Hour/Hall-Hour detall.
$\uparrow \quad$ (PA) Program starts earilier than pnnled time. (See Program Index).
$\downarrow \quad$ (P.A) Program starts later than prnted time. (See Program Index)
- Data Withheld. Intab sample size in area measured is below mnimum standard for publication. See Table 7 and Section lii
<< Below Minimum Audience Standards
.. Special Event deleted
\# Mull-Week Average including Other Programming or technical difficullies
$\underset{\times}{\nexists} \quad$ Prevous measurement programming same as current measurement
$+\quad$ Audience Estumates shown for parent station plus satelliteslaftiliates.
$\neq \quad$ Programming camed by satellitesiafiliates is known to differ from programming of a
parent statuon, including satellite Ott-Air periods
$\rightarrow \quad$ Start time for cross-reference to PA section


## TABLE 11 - STATION OPERATING NOTES

For the measurement percod covered by this analysis, the following station operation interuptions' were reported by stations ongnating in this market please consult the stations for turther detalls
Minumum Number of Minutes for Inclusion Two cumulative minutes or more within a quarter-hour or two continuous minutes or more spanning two quarter-hours
Type of Interruption

| Type of Interruption |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}$ Cable systern(s) difficuly |
| ${ }^{\text {C }}$ Loss of or corrupted video* | I Translator(S) difficulty (No Rettfing) |
| Loss of or cormpted audio* | $J$ Direct Broadcast Sateliste difficulty |
| Power reduced by $50 \%$ or more" | K Other - See notes* |

*Station has the option to exclude affected relecast(s) from the averages as defined in the Local *Station has the option
Reference Supplement

| STATION | DAY | DATE | TIME SPAN | TYPE-NTER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WPXW | MON | 12/12/05 | 07:05AM-02:45AM | A |
| WPXW | THU | 12/15/05 | 05:57AM-06 17AM | E |
| WUSA | SAI | 12/24/05 | 01:00PM-01:30PM | F |


| TABLE 12 - SAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Basics | Alternates | Total |
| HH'S $\ln -\mathrm{Tab}$ (providing both usable set tuning \& persons data) | 240 | 276 | 516 |
| HH'S Falling Set Edits Only | 31 | 34 | 65 |
| HH'S Failng People Edits | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| HH'S Online | 281 | 318 | 599 |
| HH'S Suspended (NG > 60 DAYS) |  |  | 0 6 |
| Specifications Issued Not Yet Installed |  |  | 119 |
| Dormant Sample Points |  |  | 44 |
| Total Specificatons Issued to Field |  |  | 767 |
| Uninstallable Sample Points |  |  | 5 |
| Total Sample Points |  |  | 772 |
| People Meter Sample Performance Indicator* |  |  | 33.2\% |
| Average Eligible Alternate installed |  |  | 6.2 |
| Median Eligible Alternate Installed |  |  | 4.0 |

- The Sample Pertormance Indicator is equal to (unrounded basics in-tab) divided by (unrounded, total sample points minus dormant and uninstallable specifications).

Note: Counts may not add to the totals due to rounding.

## WHAT MRC ACCREDITATION MEANS

The Neisen Station Index and Metered Market Services have been accredited by the Media Rating Council since 1965. To ment continued MRC accreditation Nielsen Media Research, Inc. (1) adheres to the Council's Minimum Standards for Meda Research, (2) supplies full infomation to the MRC or its auditors regarding all delails of its operations, (3) conducts its measurement senvces substantrally in accordance with representations to the subscribers and ine counci and (4) submits to and pays the cost of thorough on-going audits of the Nielsen station index and Merered Market operaResearch Inc provides ottice and tile space for MRC auditors as well as considerable staft and computer time involved in vanous aspects of these inspections.
Further Intormation about the MRC's accreditation and auditing procedures can be obtained from Executve Drector, Media Rating Council, 370 Lexington Avenue-Sulte 902, New York, NY 10017.

George Ive
Executive Director
Media Rating Council

| TABLE 14 - DMA CABLE ADVERTISING INFORMATION |
| :--- |

## TO OUR CLIENTS

WE CALL YOUR ATTENTIONTO THE 'PERMISSIBLEUSES' OUTLINEDON THE INSIDE BACKCOVER OF THIS VIEWERS IN PROFHLE ANALYSIS ANY UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS ANALYSIS OR USE OF TS CONTENTS BY ANON-CLIENTISA COPYRIGHT VIOLATION WHICH MAY, UNDER FEDERAL COPYRIGHT LAW, SUBJECT THE VIOLATOR TO CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF IMPRISONMENT FOR
ONE YEAR ANDA $\$ 10,000$ FINE AND CIVIL DAMAGESOF $\$ 50,000$ WE ASK YOUR COOPERATION IN ONE YEAR AND A $\$ 10,000$ FINE AND CIVIL DAMAGES OF $\$ 50,000$ WE ASK YOUR COOPERATIO
PROTECTING YOUR INVESTMENT IN THE LEGITIMATE USE OF THESE DATA. THANK YOU.

## SPECIAL NOTICE

DATA REPORTED FOR A SPECIFIC CABLE NETWORK OR OTHER INDIVIDUAL LOCAL VIEWING SOURCES MAY REFLECT TUNING AND/OR VIEWING ACROSS ALL HARDWIRED CABLE SYSTEMS AND/OR ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY SOURCES, INCLUDING SATELLITE (DBS/DSS, C-BAND OR KU-BAND) OR WIRELESS CABLE (SMATV \& MMDS), WHICH COULD CARRY THAT SPECIFIC CABLE NETWORK OR OUT-OF-MARKET BROADCAST NETWORK FEED.

THE USER OF THESE REPORTED RATINGS DATA CONTAINED IN THIS ANALYSIS IS REMINDED THAT THE DATA, AS REPORTED, THEREFORE MAY NOT REPRESENT TUNING AND/OR VIEWING TO ANY ONE LOCAL CABLE SYSTEM(S) OR INTERCONNECTS(S) AND MAY INCLUDE TUNING AND/OR VIEWING VIA SATELLITE OR OTHER ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY SVSTEM TO SPECIFIC CABLE NETWORKS AND/OR OUT-OF-MARKET BROADCAST NETWORK FEEDS. IN ADDITION, TO THE EXTENT THAT REPORTED VIEWING INCLUDES HOUSEHOLDS VIEWING VIA SATELLITE (DBS/ DSS, C-BAND OR KU-BAND), THOSE HOUSEHOLDS MAY NOT RECEIVE THE SAME LOCAL COMMERCIAL CONTENT AS HOUSEHOLDS WKICH VIEW THE SAME PROGRAMMING VIA CABLE SYSTEMS OR OVER THE AIR.

In this analysis, the audiences for Public Broadcast stations WWPB-TV, WFPT-TV, and WGPT-TV are summed together for reporting purposes. When the sum total viewing to the three stations meets minimum reporting standards therr dala are shown as WWPB+ WGPT-TV is reported separately in the Pittsburgh analysis. Audience data for the induviual stations are avalable via Special Analysis Contact your NS! representative

## COMBINED FACILITIES IN THIS ANALYSIS

WPXW-TV. Channel 66, Manassas, VA and its Total satellite WWPX-TV, Channel 60 , Martinsburg, WV are reported herein as WPXW+.

Users are reminded that the combination Ine DMA viewing estumates are for the parent and satellite station(s) from within the DMA. Where the program camed by satellite station(s) is known to differ from the programming of the parent station, such instances are identified by a not equal symbol al the end of the reported program name in the Time Period, Program Audience Averages and Program Ind sections. For a complete descnption of NSI policies covering estumates for combined station faciitles, please see the NSI Reference Supplement

* special notice - share trend data
*The user is advised that Share Trend data reported in all sections*
- of this analysis for measurements prior to July 2005 represent
* viewing estimates from the Washington, DC Set Meter / Diary
* service. Share Trend data reported for all measurements effective*
- July 2005 represent viewing estimates from the Washington, DC
- Local People Meter service.
* Please contact your Nielsen Media Research Representative for - additional details.
- 



## SPECIAL NOTICE

The metered household sample for this DMA is an area probability sample of housing units, with annual updates of new construction. The sample housing units are based on 1990 and 2000 census data, with full conversion to 2000 census data in progress.

For additonal detalls, please see the Local Reference Supplement or conlact your Nielsen Media Research representative.

## SPECIALNOTICE

As part of tis contnuing Quality Improvement plan for Local People Meter samples, Nielsen Media Research continually refines reciutment procedures, Including incentive structures, for newly recruited and mstalled households in the NSI Local People Meter panels The objective of these refinements is to turther improve cooperation and sample representation

Flease contact your Nielsen Media Research Representative for additonal detals

## SPECIALNOTICE

The users of this analysis are advused that, eftective December 26, 2005, Neisen Media Research implemented DVR measurement (ie. timeshifted viewing) in Local People Meter markets The Printed rating book and accompanying electronic data files for this analysis are based on 'Live Only' audience estimates for the data of December 1 thru 25, 2005. The dala of December 26 thru 28, 2005 is based on 'Live Plus'

Please see the Client Communication dated December 22, 2005 (Update on Nielsen's Timeshitting Measurement Plan for Local People Meter Markets), also avalable on the NSI client web site, or contact your Nielsen Meda Research representatve for additional details



Management and Budget to county line basis to include countes having over $50 \%$ of their poputationm the Metro Area. In the absence of an established Metro Area, or where in Nietsen Media Research's fudgment a Metro Area may not represent the TV market(s) served by a group of TV stations, a group of counties may be substituted to serve this purpose. Such an area is fitted Central Area and is so delineated on the market map. For texd purposes, the terms Metro and Central are interchangeable.
A. Designated Market Area (DMA) county assignments are made as follows:

In genera, for an NSI market to qualify for, of retain, a DMA all countues combined in the Metrol Central Area(s) must meet either of the following conditions: (1) the commencial stadions assigned to the NSI market must achieve the largest share of the 7 AM to 1 AM average quarterthour household audience in the MetroCentral area, or (2) one commercial station in the Metro/Central area mustachieve a larger share of the 7 AM to 1 AMaverage quarter-hour household audience than ary commercial station outside the market. In the absence of a Metro/Central area, the home county(s) of the commercial station(s) in the NSI market will be treated as a MetraCentral area.
B. Af other counties including MetroLentral counties not qualiyying under " A " above, will remain in the current DMA it the commercial stations in that market achieve the largest average quarter hout audience share, 7 AM to 1 AM.
C. Each county (or sub-county) is assigned to one and only one DMA
D. County assignments are reviewed and updated annually each spring based on information from the four previous sweeps periods.
NSI Area: comprises the Metro Area (fi any) and additional sampling areas targeted typically to include, per Nietsen Media Research estimates, approximately $9 \%$ of the average quarter-hour U.S. audiences to stations reportable and assigned as local to the NSI market In general, NSI Area assessments are made each spring, based on information accurnulated to date. Based on these assessments, NSI Areas are either verified or modified for subsequent measurements. In this manner, NSI is able to reflect audience changes, which may have resulted from changes in antenra, ctrannel, power, programming and the like. Station Total Area: during the three altmarket measurement periods, plus the July measurernent period, station total audiences are based on viewing data obtained from both within and outside a station's NSI Area regardiess of location within the continental U.S. Athough the sampling areas specified for a market's NSI Area typically account forvirtially of\% or more of the average quarter-hour audience to a station, viewing outside the NSI Area is also added to eath station's lotal audience. See the Local Reference Supplement for the procedure used to obtain station total audience for other measurement periods.

A more complete discussion of areas measured will be found in the Local Reference Supplement.

## III. SAMPLINGMETHODS

Local People Meterhouseholds are used to provide in-home tuming and viewing to televisoon programs. Some of the selected households will also be used for the national NTI service. Households that are assigned to both the NSI and NTI samples are referred to as "combo" households.
For additional detalts see the Local Reference Supplement.

## N.MEASUREMENT METHODS

Each TV set is associated with a Nielsen People Meter that consists of an on-set unit and a remote contol unit. Each member of the household is assigned buttons (one on the set-top unit and a corresponding one on the remote control) that hershe can use to enter viewing status. Dernographic information is collected form visitors to the metered household who view TV.
The name, age, and gender of eact household member, as well as other demographic information on the household, is collected by periodic personal interviews conducted by Nielsen Media Research. The name of each household member is indicated above his/her People Meter button assigned ty the Field Representative.
For additional information on the measurement tectnique, see the Local Reterence Supplemem.

## V. REPORTMGSTANDARDS

Station Reportability: In order to be reportable, a station originatng in and/or assigned as "local" to the DMA of this market must delver a DMA household cume audnence in the Sunday-Saturday 7AM to 1AM daypart, equal to or greater than $9.5 \%$ to be reported in all sections of this analysis. IH the DMA household cume audience is at least $2.5 \%$ but less than $9.5 \%$, the station is reportable in the Daypart Section and the Daypart portion of the Persons Shares Section only.

Stations originating outside the local DMA are reported:
(a) In only the Daypart Section and Daypart portion of the Persons Shares Section it they achieve a Sunday-Saturday, 7 AM to 1 AM DMA household curne audience of at least $9.5 \%$ butless than $49.5 \%$.
(b) in the Daypart, Time Period, and Persons Shares Sections it they achueve a Sunday-Saturday 7AM-1AM DMA household curne audience greater than or equal to $49.5 \%$.
Cable program sources originating outside the local DMA are reported in the Daypart Section and Daypart portion of the Persons Shares Section it they achieve a Sunday-Saturday 7AM-1AM DMA household cume audience of at leas $19.5 \%$.
Households The minimum standards for DMA household rabngs (belore rounding) are shown in the Minimum Reporting Standards section of the Local Reference Supplement.

The symbol << is used to indicate levels below minimum audience reporting standards and to avoid the connotation of zero audiences. Station Total households estirnates are reported for those periods of time in which the DMA ratring for the station meets minimam reporting standards. Al data shoulo be used in recognition of the related sampling error. Section VI provides additional comment on the sampling and non-sampling errors. Tabulations trom the viewing reconds yield "zeroraudiences" for some audience composition categories. Such "blanks" should not be interpreted as connotation of zero audience levels in the universe.

## VI. STATISTICAL INTERPRETATIONS AND RELATED

## A. STANDARDERRDAS:

Since the audience estimates in this VIP are obtained from a sample, they may differ trom estimates based on a complete census of $T V$ households in the same sampling frame and using the same methodology. The audience estimates are also subject to non-sampling vanability. See item $B$. Standard error is a measure of sampling variability for a probability sample. The achieved sample is not a perfect probability sample primarily because some households do not cooperate. Procedures for derinng standard error estimates for Local People Meter samples are available in the NSI Local People Meter Standard Error Report
To meet the various needs of users, it is necessary to provide audience estimates for small units, suchas small age/gender categories, small geographicareas and for one, twoor three week periods. Even though such estmales may be subject to large relative erors, providing such estimates enables the user to combine them in various ways such that the composite estimnate may have smalerretative errors.
Even estimates with large relative errors can be usetul if only very large differences are of concern. Not providing such information would result in withholding information that might be useful by itseff or in combination with additional intormaton.
The standard error estirnates published in the NSI Local People Meter Standard Error Report describe the limnitation of the audience estrmates as clearly as possible without burdening every user with vast detail.
The responsibility for the use of information based on samples, including judging the magnitude of errors and recognizng situations where difterences are of no statistical significance, lies with the user of such information.

## B. REMMDERS:

General
Data in this ViP are also subject to qualfications other than the statistical tolerances arising tom the use of sampling. For example, the accuracy of these data may be aftiected by: (1) the quality of sampling materials and sampling tectniques that yield the sample design; (2) the inabilty to secure cooperation from all households in the predesignated sample or the failure of the cooperating household to provide usable data, sometmes referted to as non-response error, (3) accuracy in the reporting of a) viewing and/or b) the characteristics of the household or individuai, sometimes reterred to a response error, (4) techniques that permit inspection and rejection of tauly imormation fom the sample, quality of data processing, inspection of final tabulations, and similar production tecthniques iliustratve of and sometmes categorized as administrative accuracy safeguards. Therefore, non-sampling errors cannot be warranted to be absent.

## VIJ. PERMISSABLE USES OF THIS ANALYSIS

EACHCLIENTISLEGALLYOBLGATED-BYCONITACT-NOTTOLENDTHISANALYSIS ORCOPYANY SUBSTANTAL PORTIONTHEREOF OROTHERWISE DMLLGE THE CDNIENTS, EXCEPT AS SUMMMARIIED BELOW. This analysis is fumished pursuant to Client's employment of Nielsen Media Research to secure these data for the Client's confidential use and is fumished on the basis of Client's representaton that it has a contnuing legitimate business interest in the subject matter herein and on Client's agreement that the drulgence of the contents will be lunited as follows:

## Advertiser Clients:

(a) To Chent's own organization - including sales representatives.
(b) To Client's own Adverising Agencies, active or prospective, provided that the data will not be used for tome-buying purposes or otherwise except only for serving the Client
(c) To Stations contracting for this service.
(d) To Program Producers and Artsts serving or negotiatng with Client's organization.

Adverising Agency Clients:
(a) To Client's own organizaton.
(b) Toclient's clients and prospective clents excludingstations who are non-dients to this service.
(c) To Program Producers and Artists semng or negotiating with Client's organization.
(d) In connection with time-buying, to stations contracting for this service.

Station Clients, Station Represemtative, Producers and Other Clients.
(a) To Client's own execritives - including sales representatives.
(b) To Agencies, Advertisers and others hanng a legitimate business interest in the subject of this analysis, provided that no indulgence will be made to non-client stations or their representatives under ary crcumstances and that this analyss will not be lent to nonclients, whether Advertisers, Agencies, Stations or others.
Neelsen Media Researct's prior written approval is requred for quotation of these data in advertising. promotion or press releases. Such approval may be withheld unless the quotation is in accordance with Nielsen Media Research's policles as may be indicated to Cliend in writing from time to time. No officer or employee of Nielsen Media Research is authorized to give oral approval of any form of putication.

Corporate Headquarters
770 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Telephone: 646-654-8300
Fax: 646-654-8990
Website: www nielsenmedia.com
E-mail: info@nielsenmedia.com
Offices \& Services
770 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
NTI, NSI, NSS, NHI, NSMS, NAS
Atlanta, GA
770-777-4260
NSI, NHI (Local)
Chicago, IL
312-385-6500
NTI, NAS, NSI, NHI (Local)
Dallas, TX 214-290-9200
NSI

| Oldsmar, FL | $813-366-3000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| NHTI, NHSI |  |
| Los Angeles, CA | $323-817-1200$ |
| NTI, NSS, NSI, NHI (Local) |  |
| San Francisco, CA | $415-249-6000$ |

NSI
(c) 2005 Nielsen Medla Research, Inc. Ratings and other data contained herein are the copynighted property of Nielsen Medla Research, Inc. Unauthorized use of this copyrighted material is expressly prohlbited. Vlolators may be subject to criminal and clvil penaltles under Federal Law (17 USC 101 et seq.).
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FINAL 2004 MPAA Sample
SP Exhibit $\qquad$

| Station Code | Call Letters | Channel | State/ Prov. | City Name | Period 1 Subscribers | Period 2 Subscribers | Average Subscribers | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5066 | WGN | 9 | IL, | CHICAGO | 34,257,201 | 36,463,402 | 35,360,302 | 1.000 |
| 5014 | WPIX | 11 | NY, | NEW YORK | 1,627,910 | 1,500,304 | 1,564,107 | 1.000 |
| 5612 | CBUT | 2 | BC , | VANCOUVER | 982,317 | 997,431 | 989,874 | 1.000 |
| 5040 | KTLA | 5 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 562,773 | 532,487 | 547,630 | 1.000 |
| 5013 | WNBC | 4 | NY, | NEW YORK | 532,392 | 537,054 | 534,723 | 1.000 |
| 5766 | WPHL | 17 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 458,975 | 520,781 | 489,878 | 1.000 |
| 5680 | WNET | 13 | NY, | NYC-NEWARK | 417,429 | 491,496 | 454,463 | 1.000 |
| 5926 | WUAB | 43 | OH, | LORAIN | 441,644 | 438,118 | 439,881 | 1.000 |
| 5016 | WWOR | 9 | NJ , | SECAUCUS | 417,306 | 416,431 | 416,869 | 1.000 |
| 6945 | KTNC | 42 | CA, | CONCORD | 410,983 | 380,482 | 395,733 | 1.000 |
| 5883 | WGBX | 44 | MA, | BOSTON | 388,692 | 384,185 | 386,439 | 1.000 |
| 6813 | WPSG | 57 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 346,984 | 413,236 | 380,110 | 1.000 |
| 5857 | WHHA | 21 | WI, | MADISON | 361,933 | 358,808 | 360,371 | 1.000 |
| 5276 | CBET | 9 | ON, | WINDSOR | 349,388 | 366,884 | 358,136 | 1.000 |
| 5839 | WSEE | 35 | PA, | ERIE | 352,937 | 350,073 | 351,505 | 1.000 |
| 5900 | WSBK | 38 | MA, | BOSTON | 390,097 | 303,875 | 346,986 | 1.000 |
| 5558 | CKSH | 9 | QU, | SHERBROOKE | 360,332 | 325,690 | 343,011 | 1.000 |
| 5906 | WKBD | 50 | MI, | DETROIT | 323,571 | 341,332 | 332,452 | 1.000 |
| 5201 | WKRN | 2 | TN, | NASHVILLE | 330,345 | 323,367 | 326,856 | 1.000 |
| 5759 | WTXF | 29 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 266,329 | 338,387 | 302,358 | 1.000 |
| 5623 | KERA | 13 | TX, | DALLAS | 320,853 | 278,049 | 299,451 | 1.000 |
| 5761 | KCET | 28 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 288,354 | 262,849 | 275,602 | 1.000 |
| 5345 | WTTW | 11 | IL, | CHICAGO | 270,631 | 246,087 | 258,359 | 1.000 |
| 5314 | WGBH | 2 | MA, | BOSTON | 261,654 | 242,458 | 252,056 | 1.000 |
| 5025 | KGO | 7 | CA, | SAN FRANCISCO | 251,159 | 244,127 | 247,643 | 1.000 |
| 5894 | WXIX | 19 | OH, | CINCINNATI | 237,724 | 239,050 | 238,387 | 1.000 |
| 5921 | WLIW | 21 | NY, | GARDEN CITY | 222,194 | 234,337 | 228,266 | 1.000 |
| 5023 | KCAL | 9 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 227,479 | 225,434 | 226,457 | 1.000 |
| 5165 | WIS | 10 | SC, | COLUMBIA | 265,317 | 170,648 | 217,983 | 1.000 |
| 5310 | KCTS | 9 | WA, | SEATTLE | 215,638 | 212,047 | 213,843 | 1.000 |
| 5950 | WPTO | 14 | OH, | OXFORD | 208,215 | 203,653 | 205,934 | 1.000 |
| 5062 | WFAA | 8 | TX, | DALLAS | 202,811 | 193,092 | 197,952 | 1.000 |
| 5769 | WVTV | 18 | WI, | MILWAUKEE | 194,453 | 194,919 | 194,686 | 1.000 |
| 5019 | WCAU | 10 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 156,019 | 220,701 | 188,360 | 1.000 |
| 5167 | WHYY | 12 | DE, | WILMINGTON | 156,642 | 219,397 | 188,020 | 1.000 |
| 5043 | WJZ | 13 | MD, | BALTIMORE | 188,593 | 184,730 | 186,662 | 1.000 |
| 5611 | CBMT | 6 | QU, | MONTREAL | 182,240 | 186,512 | 184,376 | 1.000 |
| 5610 | CBLT | 5 | ON, | TORONTO | 187,807 | 179,447 | 183,627 | 1.000 |
| 5633 | CFTO | 9 | ON, | TORONTO | 187,807 | 179,447 | 183,627 | 1.000 |
| 5027 | KCOP | 13 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 184,140 | 181,577 | 182,859 | 1.000 |
| 5102 | WSB | 2 | GA, | ATLANTA | 173,969 | 182,378 | 178,174 | 1.000 |
| 5010 | WNYW | 5 | NY, | NEW YORK | 177,183 | 168,016 | 172,600 | 1.000 |
| 5342 | KCRA | 3 | CA, | SACRAMENTO | 149,227 | 180,960 | 165,094 | 1.000 |
| 5018 | KYW | 3 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 130,555 | 198,251 | 164,403 | 1.000 |
| 5440 | KTVU | 2 | CA, | OAKLAND | 162,909 | 160,339 | 161,624 | 1.000 |
| 5153 | KUHT | 8 | TX, | HOUSTON | 162,588 | 159,102 | 160,845 | 1.000 |
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| 5051 | WBNS | 10 | OH, | COLUMBUS | 150,379 | 165,529 | 157,954 | 1.000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5017 | WPVI | 6 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 176,274 | 138,153 | 157,214 | 1.000 |
| 5114 | WDIV | 4 | MI , | DETROIT | 158,321 | 155,790 | 157,056 | 1.000 |
| 5856 | WCET | 48 | OH , | CINCINNATI | 150,376 | 157,891 | 154,134 | 1.000 |
| 5364 | WPBT | 2 | FL, | MIAMI | 172,891 | 108,255 | 140,573 | 7.254 |
| 5867 | WTVS | 56 | MI, | DETROIT | 123,260 | 121,965 | 122,613 | 7.254 |
| 5419 | KTCA | 2 | MN, | ST PAUL | 68,097 | 142,905 | 105,501 | 7.254 |
| 5118 | KWGN | 2 | CO, | DENVER | 97,687 | 98,421 | 98,054 | 7.254 |
| 5875 | KBWB | 20 | CA, | SAN FRANCISCO | 88,014 | 88,076 | 88,045 | 7.254 |
| 5871 | WIAT | 42 | AL, | BIRMINGHAM | 85,998 | 84,185 | 85,092 | 7.254 |
| 5021 | KABC | 7 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 79,784 | 78,914 | 79,349 | 7.254 |
| 5427 | KRMA | 6 | CO, | DENVER | 58,655 | 90,273 | 74,464 | 7.254 |
| 5133 | WALA | 10 | AL, | MOBILE | 70,624 | 71,532 | 71,078 | 7.254 |
| 5460 | KTWU | 11 | KS, | TOPEKA | 62,948 | 66,190 | 64,569 | 7.254 |
| 6724 | WKMJ | 68 | KY, | LOUISVILLE | 62,111 | 59,893 | 61,002 | 7.254 |
| 7014 | KBNT | 17 | CA, | SAN DIEGO | 58,934 | 57,664 | 58,299 | 7.254 |
| 5417 | WWL | 4 | LA, | NEW ORLEANS | 57,937 | 53,861 | 55,899 | 7.254 |
| 5215 | WNCT | 9 | NC, | GREENVILLE | 53,965 | 52,386 | 53,176 | 7.254 |
| 5050 | WBBM | 2 | IL, | CHICAGO | 50,949 | 50,903 | 50,926 | 7.254 |
| 5127 | WTAJ | 10 | PA, | ALTOONA | 48,654 | 49,210 | 48,932 | 7.254 |
| 5841 | WQLN | 54 | PA, | ERIE | 13,769 | 81,749 | 47,759 | 7.254 |
| 6252 | WBNX | 55 | OH , | AKRON | 44,102 | 43,919 | 44,011 | 7.254 |
| 6378 | WDJT | 58 | WI, | MILWAUKEE | 42,871 | 42,389 | 42,630 | 7.254 |
| 5034 | KPRC | 2 | TX, | HOUSTON | 41,604 | 41,673 | 41,639 | 7.254 |
| 4689 | WDTA | 53 | GA, | FAYETTEVILLE | 40,250 | 40,223 | 40,237 | 7.254 |
| 6736 | WMPB | 67 | MD, | BALTIMORE | 38,150 | 37,128 | 37,639 | 7.254 |
| 5377 | WKNO | 10 | TN, | MEMPHIS | 37,015 | 35,178 | 36,097 | 7.254 |
| 5332 | WTVY | 4 | AL, | DOTHAN | 33,856 | 36,354 | 35,105 | 7.254 |
| 5410 | KETV | 7 | NE, | OMAHA | 32,297 | 34,929 | 33,613 | 7.254 |
| 5421 | WFSB | 3 | CT, | HARTFORD | 35,243 | 30,099 | 32,671 | 7.254 |
| 6086 | WCEU | 15 | FL, | NEW SMYRNA BEACH | 29,514 | 33,287 | 31,401 | 7.254 |
| 5057 | WQAD | 8 | IL, | MOLINE | 26,916 | 34,296 | 30,606 | 7.254 |
| 5378 | WISC | 3 | WI, | MADISON | 31,714 | 27,402 | 29,558 | 7.254 |
| 6064 | KOAB | 3 | OR, | BEND | 28,229 | 29,264 | 28,747 | 7.254 |
| 5718 | WWLP | 22 | MA, | SPRINGFIELD | 29,726 | 26,292 | 28,009 | 7.254 |
| 5330 | WFRV | 5 | WI, | GREEN BAY | 27,400 | 26,095 | 26,748 | 7.254 |
| 5483 | KBYU | 11 | UT, | PROVO | 27,951 | 24,189 | 26,070 | 7.254 |
| 6815 | KNXV | 15 | AZ, | PHOENIX | 27,427 | 22,947 | 25,187 | 7.254 |
| 5687 | CJOH | 13 | ON, | OTTAWA | 18,349 | 30,993 | 24,671 | 7.254 : |
| 7314 | WFTE | 58 | IN, | SALEM | 17,554 | 28,450 | 23,002 | 7.254 |
| 5734 | WHP | 21 | PA, | HARRISBURG | 22,095 | 22,201 | 22,148 | 7.254 |
| 7175 | WAPK | 30 | TN, | KINGSPORT | 20,889 | 22,356 | 21,623 | 7.254 |
| 5885 | WPBA | 30 | GA, | ATLANTA | 21,236 | 20,804 | 21,020 | 7.254 |
| 6967 | WZMY | 50 | NH , | DERRY | 31,249 | 8,703 | 19,976 | 7.254 |
| 5959 | WAAY | 31 | AL, | HUNTSVILLE | 16,642 | 21,835 | 19,239 | 7.254 |
| 6276 | KETK | 56 | TX, | JACKSONVILLE | 18,481 | 18,682 | 18,582 | 7.254 |
| 6850 | WPXD | 31 | MI, | ANN ARBOR | 17,889 | 18,098 | 17,994 | 7.254 |
| 5072 | WICU | 12 | PA, | ERIE | 29,233 | 5,391 | 17,312 | 7.254 |
| 6758 | WGVU | 35 | MI, | GRAND RAPIDS | 17,632 | 15,225 | 16,429 | 7.254 |
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| 5782 | WCVE | 23 | VA, | RICHMOND | 15,995 | 15,664 | 15,830 | 7.254 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6752 | WKAR | 23 | MI, | EAST LANSING | 15,806 | 15,191 | 15,499 | 7.254 |
| 6775 | KSIN | 27 | IA, | SIOUX CITY | 12,034 | 18,096 | 15,065 | 7.254 |
| 5178 | WREX | 13 | IL, | ROCKFORD | 25,247 | 4,403 | 14,825 | 7.254 |
| 6849 | KTXA | 21 | TX, | FT WORTH | 14,907 | 13,678 | 14,293 | 7.254 |
| 5264 | WTHI | 10 | IN, | TERRE HAUTE | 13,928 | 13,347 | 13,638 | 7.254 |
| 5566 | WPXL | 49 | LA, | NEW ORLEANS | 13,032 | 12,559 | 12,796 | 7.254 |
| 5765 | WCCB | 18 | NC, | CHARLOTTE | 11,262 | 13,675 | 12,469 | 7.254 |
| 5372 | WLUC | 6 | MI, | MARQUETTE | 12,023 | 11,790 | 11,907 | 7.254 |
| 5938 | WPTY | 24 | TN, | MEMPHIS | 13,014 | 10,280 | 11,647 | 7.254 |
| 5255 | WALB | 10 | GA, | ALBANY | 12,606 | 10,128 | 11,367 | 7.254 |
| 7335 | KSTC | 45 | MN, | MINNEAPOLIS | 8,213 | 13,948 | 11,081 | 7.254 |
| 6913 | KMWB | 23 | MN, | MINNEAPOLIS | 8,976 | 12,415 | 10,696 | 7.254 |
| 5703 | WIVT | 34 | NY, | BINGHAMTON | 10,743 | 10,000 | 10,372 | 7.254 |
| 5719 | WHRO | 15 | VA, | HAMPTON | 10,471 | 9,917 | 10,194 | 7.254 |
| 5068 | WHAS | 11 | KY, | LOUISVILLE | 17,816 | 1,802 | 9,809 | 7.254 |
| 6715 | WEKW | 52 | NH , | KEENE | 18,888 | 0 | 9,444 | 7.254 |
| 6792 | KTEJ | 19 | AR, | JONESBORO | 9,842 | 8,552 | 9,197 | 7.254 |
| 5931 | WMGT | 41 | GA, | MACON | 8,850 | 9,076 | 8,963 | 7.254 |
| 6269 | KTFT | 38 | ID, | TWIN FALLS | 8,783 | 8,794 | 8,789 | 7.254 |
| 7024 | WTCE | 21 | FL, | FT PIERCE | 369 | 16,440 | 8,405 | 7.254 |
| 6893 | KTVD | 20 | CO, | DENVER | 9,191 | 7,393 | 8,292 | 7.254 |
| 5738 | WOTV | 41 | MI, | BATTLE CREEK | 7,492 | 8,268 | 7,880 | 7.254 |
| 5297 | KAKE | 10 | KS, | WICHITA | 7,522 | 7,896 | 7,709 | 7.254 |
| 6755 | WNJS | 23 | NJ, | CAMDEN | 7,100 | 7,795 | 7,448 | 7.254 |
| 5935 | WUNJ | 39 | NC, | WILMINGTON | 7,416 | 6,800 | 7,108 | 7.254 |
| 5426 | KVII | 7 | TX, | AMARILLO | 7,042 | 6,564 | 6,803 | 7.254 |
| 5406 | KTVI | 2 | MO, | ST LOUIS | 6,910 | 6,224 | 6,567 | 7.254 |
| 5175 | KOMU | 8 | MO, | COLUMBIA | 6,430 | 6,349 | 6,390 | 7.254 |
| 7405 | WNYO | 49 | NY, | BUFFALO | 0 | 12,425 | 6,213 | 7.254 |
| 6828 | WSFJ | 51 | OH, | NEWARK | 5,989 | 5,781 | 5,885 | 7.254 |
| 5723 | WJWB | 17 | FL, | JACKSONVILLE | 224 | 11,221 | 5,723 | 7.254 |
| 6151 | KFXK | 51 | TX, | LONGVIEW | 5,196 | 5,823 | 5,510 | 7.254 |
| 5121 | KTBC | 7 | TX, | AUSTIN | 5,582 | 5,194 | 5,388 | 7.254 |
| 5928 | WGTU | 29 | MI, | TRAVERSE CITY | 5,264 | 5,140 | 5,202 | 7.254 |
| 5772 | KBAK | 29 | CA, | BAKERSFIELD | 110 | 9,936 | 5,023 | 7.254 |
| 5012 | WCBS | 2 | NY, | NEW YORK | 4,185 | 5,557 | 4,871 | 7.254 |
| 5939 | KVUE | 24 | TX, | AUSTIN | 4,635 | 4,451 | 4,543 | 7.254 |
| 5640 | KBMT | 12 | TX, | BEAUMONT | 4,306 | 4,421 | 4,364 | 7.254 |
| 8728 | WNYA | 51 | MA, | PITTSFIELD | 3,685 | 4,981 | 4,333 | 7.254 |
| 5625 | KSFY | 13 | SD, | SIOUX FALLS | 0 | 8,422 | 4,211 | 7.254 |
| 6256 | KUTP | 45 | AZ, | PHOENIX | 5,112 | 2,955 | 4,034 | 7.254 |
| 5434 | KTNV | 13 | NV, | LAS VEGAS | 4,069 | 3,939 | 4,004 | 7.254 |
| 5245 | WHO | 13 | IA, | DES MOINES | 0 | 7,739 | 3,870 | 7.254 |
| 5579 | WPXV | 49 | VA, | NORFOLK | 4,073 | 3,167 | 3,620 | 7.254 |
| 6835 | KGWC | 14 | WY, | CASPER | 0 | 7,005 | 3,503 | 7.254 |
| 6891 | WGXA | 24 | GA, | MACON | 3,396 | 3,458 | 3,427 | 7.254 |
| 5425 | WILL | 12 | IL, | CHAMPAIGN-URBANA | 5,082 | 1,603 | 3,343 | 7.254 |
| 6078 | WNDY | 23 | IN, | MARION | 6,530 | 0 | 3,265 | 7.254 |
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| 5812 | WFMZ | 69 | PA, | ALLENTOWN | 3,110 | 3,091 | 3,101 | 7.254 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6865 | KSTS | 48 | CA, | SAN JOSE | 3,138 | 2,692 | 2,915 | 7.254 |
| 6240 | WUPW | 36 | OH, | TOLEDO | 2,807 | 2,785 | 2,796 | 7.254 |
| 5930 | KHBS | 40 | AR, | FT SMITH | 2,741 | 2,673 | 2,707 | 7.254 |
| 5266 | WGRZ | 2 | NY, | BUFFALO | 1,891 | 3,324 | 2,608 | 7.254 |
| 5280 | WMTW | 8 | ME, | POLAND SPRING | 2,786 | 2,026 | 2,406 | 7.254 |
| 6922 | KPXM | 41 | MN, | ST CLOUD | 760 | 3,684 | 2,222 | 7.254 |
| 6904 | WXXA | 23 | NY, | ALBANY | 2,195 | 2,068 | 2,132 | 7.254 |
| 5512 | KBHE | 9 | SD, | RAPID CITY | 2,116 | 1,969 | 2,043 | 7.254 |
| 5164 | WBKB | 11 | MI, | ALPENA | 2,163 | 1,687 | 1,925 | 7.254 |
| 5194 | KAAL | 6 | MN, | AUSTIN | 426 | 3,172 | 1,799 | 7.254 |
| 6973 | WQPT | 24 | IL, | MOLINE | 1,750 | 1,736 | 1,743 | 7.254 |
| 5288 | KODE | 12 | MO, | JOPLIN | 1,564 | 1,609 | 1,587 | 7.254 |
| 6702 | KDSD | 16 | SD, | ABERDEEN | 1,484 | 1,491 | 1,488 | 7.254 |
| 6741 | WSBN | 47 | VA, | NORTON | 2,834 | 0 | 1,417 | 7.254 |
| 7239 | WGTW | 48 | NJ, | BURLINGTON | 1,360 | 1,326 | 1,343 | 7.254 |
| 7131 | WJYS | 62 | IN, | HAMMOND | 1,265 | 1,259 | 1,262 | 7.254 |
| 5381 | KXII | 12 | TX, | SHERMAN | 2,395 | 0 | 1,198 | 7.254 |
| 5989 | KMEX | 34 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 2,135 | 0 | 1,068 | 7.254 |
| 5333 | KLFY | 10 | LA, | LAFAYETTE | 924 | 1,029 | 977 | 7.254 |
| 5192 | KHQA | 7 | MO, | HANNIBAL | 0 | 1,798 | 899 | 7.254 |
| 6862 | KLTL | 18 | LA, | LAKE CHARLES | 1,536 | 66 | 801 | 7.254 |
| 5833 | WICD | 15 | IL, | CHAMPAIGN | 767 | 775 | 771 | 7.254 |
| 7511 | WVBG | 25 | NY, | GREENWICH | 1,448 | 0 | 724 | 7.254 |
| 6588 | KCSD | 23 | SD, | SIOUX FALLS | 0 | 1,269 | 635 | 7.254 |
| 7121 | KSBI | 52 | OK, | OKLAHOMA CITY | 604 | 587 | 596 | 7.254 |
| 6848 | WGBA | 26 | WI, | GREEN BAY | 540 | 534 | 537 | 7.254 |
| 6839 | WKOH | 31 | KY, | OWENSBORO | 492 | 462 | 477 | 7.254 |
| 6498 | KWWF | 22 | IA, | WATERLOO | 0 | 844 | 422 | 7.254 |
| 8351 | KWBT | 19 | OK, | MUSKOGEE | 405 | 383 | 394 | 7.254 |
| 7261 | WTCN | 16 | FL, | PALM BEACH | 369 | 329 | 349 | 7.254 |
| 5602 | KVLY | 11 | ND, | FARGO | 352 | 269 | 311 | 7.254 |
| 4849 | WMAK | 7 | TN, | KNOXVILLE | 0 | 491 | 246 | 7.254 |
| 6337 | WFLI | 53 | TN, | CLEVELAND | 156 | 165 | 161 | 7.254 |
| 7017 | WLAJ | 53 | MI, | LANSING | 128 | 108 | 118 | 7.254 |
| 5287 | KPLC | 7 | LA, | LAKE CHARLES | 0 | 66 | 33 | 7.254 |



| Station Code | Call Letters | Call <br> Letters <br> $2005^{*}$ | Channel | Statel Prov. | City Name | Period 1 Subscribers | Period 2 Subscribers | Average Subscribers | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5066 | WGN |  | 9 | IL, | CHICAGO | 36,763,927 | 36,221,595 | 36,492,761 | 1.000 |
| 5014 | WPIX |  | 11 | NY, | NEW YORK | 1,466,124 | 1,196,873 | 1,331,499 | 1.000 |
| 5612 | CBUT |  |  | BC, | VANCOUVER | 1,006,000 | 1,001,573 | 1,003,787 | 1.000 |
| 5926 | WUAB |  | 43 | OH, | LORAIN | 613,138 | 619,883 | 616,511 | 1.000 |
| 5040 | KTLA |  | 5 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 573,307 | 567,316 | 570,312 | 1.000 |
| 5558 | CKSH |  | 9 | QU, | SHERBROOKE | 565,821 | 542,631 | 554,226 | 1.000 |
| 5921 | WLIW |  | 21 | NY, | GARDEN CITY | 495,560 | 548,462 | 522,011 | 1.000 |
| 5013 | WNBC |  | 4 | NY, | NEW YORK | 532,216 | 356,968 | 444,592 | 1.000 |
| 5766 | WPHL |  | 17 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 453,285 | 417,712 | 435,499 | 1.000 |
| 5680 | WNET |  | 13 | NY, | NYC-NEWARK | 430,621 | 416,245 | 423,433 | 1.000 |
| 5276 | CBET |  | 9 | ON, | WINDSOR | 420,478 | 422,631 | 421,555 | 1.000 |
| 6945 | KTNC |  | 42 | CA, | CONCORD | 379,409 | 394,654 | 387,032 | 1.000 |
| 5016 | WWOR |  | 9 | NJ, | SECAUCUS | 406,650 | 358,246 | 382,448 | 1.000 |
| 5883 | WGBX |  | 44 | MA, | BOSTON | 382,344 | 374,727 | 378,536 | 1.000 |
| 5906 | WKBD |  | 50 | MI, | DETROIT | 368,897 | 359,779 | 364,338 | 1.000 |
| 6813 | WPSG |  | 57 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 342,162 | 324,841 | 333,502 | 1.000 |
| 5900 | WSBK |  | 38 | MA, | BOSTON | 341,050 | 310,596 | 325,823 | 1.000 |
| 5345 | WTTW |  | 11 | IL, | CHICAGO | 263,623 | 267,787 | 265,705 | 1.000 |
| 5759 | WTXF |  | 29 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 270,072 | 259,774 | 264,923 | 1.000 |
| 5623 | KERA |  | 13 | TX, | DALLAS | 277,718 | 242,575 | 260,147 | 1.000 |
| 5761 | KCET |  | 28 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 265,263 | 253,641 | 259,452 | 1.000 |
| 5025 | KGO |  | 7 | CA, | SAN FRANCISCO | 235,711 | 258,188 | 246,950 | 1.000 |
| 5165 | WIS |  | 10 | SC, | COLUMBIA | 229,639 | 243,492 | 236,566 | 1.000 |
| 5839 | WSEE |  | 35 | PA, | ERIE | 339,705 | 123,249 | 231,477 | 1.000 |
| 5023 | KCAL |  | 9 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 225,816 | 225,556 | 225,686 | 1.000 |
| 5310 | KCTS |  | 9 | WA, | SEATTLE | 205,642 | 208.455 | 207,049 | 1.000 |
| 5633 | CFTO |  | 9 | ON, | TORONTO | 230,536 | 180,863 | 205,700 | 1.000 |
| 5894 | WXIX |  | 19 | KY, | NEWPORT | 210,893 | 195,450 | 203,172 | 1.000 |
| 5611 | CBMT |  | 6 | QU. | MONTREAL | 207,299 | 196,887 | 202,093 | 1.000 |
| 5610 | CBLT |  | 5 | ON, | TORONTO | 226,067 | 176,721 | 201,394 | 1.000 |
| 5062 | WFAA |  | 8 | TX, | DALLAS | 193,299 | 181,063 | 187,181 | 1.000 |
| 5043 | WJZ |  | 13 | MD, | BALTIMORE | 186,280 | 181,431 | 183,856 | 1.000 |
| 5051 | WBNS |  | 10 | OH , | COLUMBUS | 179,931 | 175,094 | 177,513 | 1.000 |
| 5342 | KCRA |  | 3 | CA, | SACRAMENTO | 177,198 | 175,037 | 176,118 | 1.000 |
| 5102 | WSB |  | 2 | GA, | ATLANTA | 186,540 | 158,862 | 172,701 | 1.000 |
| 5950 | WPTO |  | 14 | OH , | OXFORD | 196,681 | 140,538 | 168,610 | 1.000 |
| 5314 | WGBH |  | 2 | MA, | BOSTON | 177,960 | 152,341 | 165,151 | 1.000 |
| 5201 | WKRN |  | 2 | TN, | NASHVILLE | 200,416 | 122,812 | 161,614 | 1.000 |
| 5027 | KCOP |  | 13 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 161,095 | 161,721 | 161,408 | 1.000 |
| 5153 | KUHT |  | 8 | TX, | HOUSTON | 164,859 | 157,122 | 160,991 | 1.000 |
| 6842 | WFUM |  | 28 | MI, | FLINT | 153,218 | 158,425 | 155,822 | 1.000 |
| 5019 | WCAU |  | 10 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 156,829 | 154,433 | 155,631 | 1.000 |
| 5740 | KOCE |  | 50 | CA, | HUNTINGTON BEACH | 155,622 | 146,525 | 151,074 | 1.000 |
| 6253 | WRNN |  | 62 | NY, | Kingston | 390 | 287,683 | 144,037 | 1.000 |
| 5114 | WDIV |  | 4 | MI, | DETROIT | 153,410 | 133,449 | 143,430 | 1.000 |
| 5835 | KICU |  | 36 | CA, | SAN JOSE | 130,187 | 155,330 | 142,759 | 1.000 |
| 5769 | WVTV |  | 18 | WI, | MILWAUKEE | 142,207 | 141,445 | 141,826 | 1.000 |
| 5364 | WPBT |  | 2 | FL, | MIAMI | 128,031 | 153,983 | 141,007 | 1.000 |
| 5017 | WPVI |  | 6 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 139,844 | 138,839 | 139,342 | 1.000 |
| 5295 | WISN |  | 12 | W, | MILWAUKEE | 138,222 | 137,571 | 137,897 | 1.000 |
| 5018 | KYW |  | 3 | PA, | PHILADELPHIA | 127,216 | 124,679 | 125,948 | 7.708 |
| 5117 | WXIA |  | 11 | GA, | ATLANTA | 120,639 | 113,764 | 117,202 | 7.708 |
| 5015 | WABC |  | 7 | NY, | NEW YORK | 175,457 | 34,531 | 104,994 | 7.708 |
| 5367 | WWBT |  | 12 | VA, | RICHMOND | 100,542 | 82,005 | 91,274 | 7.708 |
| 5695 | CHLT |  | 7 | QU, | SHERBROOKE | 85,493 | 81,262 | 83,378 | 7.708 |


| 5479 | WPSU | WPSX | 3 | PA, | CLEARFIELD | 80,375 | 82,743 | 81,559 | 7.708 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5098 | WUSA |  | 9 | DC, | WASHINGTON | 84,407 | 65,633 | 75,020 | 7.708 |
| 5092 | WMAQ |  | 5 | IL, | CHICAGO | 66,577 | 66,518 | 66,548 | 7.708 |
| 5786 | WYTV |  | 33 | OH, | YOUNGSTOWN | 70,116 | 51,371 | 60,744 | 7.708 |
| 6692 | WPCW | WNPA | 19 | PA, | JEANNETTE | 67,952 | 48,537 | 58,245 | 7.708 |
| 5234 | KCNC |  | 4 | CO, | DENVER | 71,577 | 40,328 | 55,953 | 7.708 |
| 5787 | WCNY |  | 24 | NY, | SYRACUSE | 57,277 | 48,928 | 53,103 | 7.708 |
| 5684 | WNPT |  | 8 | TN, | NASHVILLE | 60,798 | 41,992 | 51,395 | 7.708 |
| 5299 | KTRK |  | 13 | TX, | HOUSTON | 48,957 | 48,996 | 48,977 | 7.708 |
| 6179 | WMEC |  | 22 | IL, | MACOMB | 7,548 | 87,486 | 47,517 | 7.708 |
| 5107 | WCCO |  | 4 | MN, | MINNEAPOLIS | 52,532 | 40,526 | 46,529 | 7.708 |
| 5037 | KSDK |  | 5 | MO, | ST LOUIS | 57,897 | 31,226 | 44,562 | 7.708 |
| 5757 | WLVT |  | 39 | PA, | ALLENTOWN | 42,657 | 42,695 | 42,676 | 7.708 |
| 5859 | WOSU |  | 34 | OH, | COLUMBUS | 41,090 | 40,178 | 40,634 | 7.708 |
| 7008 | WBGT |  | 40 | NY, | ROCHESTER | 38,227 | 38,136 | 38,182 | 7.708 |
| 5348 | WDBJ |  | 7 | VA, | ROANOKE | 37,315 | 36,425 | 36,870 | 7.708 |
| 6808 | WPCB |  | 40 | PA, | GREENSBURG | 42,661 | 29,281 | 35,971 | 7.708 |
| 5979 | WPGH |  | 53 | PA, | PITTSBURGH | 37,285 | 31,300 | 34,293 | 7.708 |
| 6736 | WMPB |  | 67 | MD, | BALTIMORE | 34,723 | 29,782 | 32,253 | 7.708 |
| 5099 | WOWT |  | 6 | NE, | OMAHA | 35,142 | 27,549 | 31,346 | 7.708 |
| 5717 | WPMT |  | 43 | PA, | YORK | 46,002 | 14,033 | 30,018 | 7.708 |
| 5265 | WCHS |  | 8 | WV. | CHARLESTON | 30,573 | 26,855 | 28,714 | 7.708 |
| 5281 | WCAX |  | 3 | $V$, | BURLINGTON | 27,648 | 27,185 | 27,417 | 7.708 |
| 5063 | WRTV |  | 6 | N, | INDIANAPOLIS | 28,300 | 25,018 | 26,659 | 7.708 |
| 5152 | KEYT |  | 3 | CA, | SANTA BARBARA | 26,328 | 25,924 | 26,126 | 7.708 |
| 5483 | KBYU |  | 11 | UT, | PROVO | 27,089 | 22,866 | 24,978 | 7.708 |
| 5718 | WWLP |  | 22 | MA, | SPRINGFIELD | 26,086 | 21,294 | 23,690 | 7.708 |
| 5855. | KTEH |  | 54 | CA, | SAN JOSE | 19,207 | 26,780 | 22,994 | 7.708 |
| 5404 | WPSD |  | 6 | KY, | PADUCAH | 22,532 | 22,365 | 22,449 | 7.708 |
| 6252 | WBNX |  | 55 | OH, | AKRON | 43,627 | 0 | 21,814 | 7.708 |
| 5110 | WTTV |  | 4 | IN, | BLOOMINGTON | 21,015 | 21,694 | 21,355 | 7.708 |
| 5466 | WCTI |  | 12 | NC, | NEW BERN | 23,820 | 17,153 | 20,487 | 7.708 |
| 5848 | WANE |  | 15 | $\underline{N}$, | FT WAYNE | 23,833 | 15,123 | 19,478 | 7.708 |
| 6763 | KRWG |  | 22 | NM, | LAS CRUCES | 20,710 | 16,629 | 18,670 | 7.708 |
| 5168 | KGTV |  | 10 | CA, | SAN DIEGO | 18,121 | 18,702 | 18,412 | 7.708 |
| 6769 | KLCS |  | 58 | CA, | LOS ANGELES | 34,939 | 0 | 17,470 | 7.708 |
| 5804 | KUVS |  | 19 | CA, | MODESTO | 24,488 | 9,016 | 16,752 | 7.708 |
| 5067 | WROC |  | 8 | NY, | ROCHESTER | 16,742 | 16,162 | 16,452 | 7.708 |
| 6008 | WFQX |  | 33 | MI, | CADILLAC | 15,782 | 15,008 | 15,395 | 7.708 |
| 5727 | WLIO |  | 35 | OH , | LIMA | 23,121 | 6,162 | 14,642 | 7.708 |
| 6238 | WTGS |  | 28 | SC, | HARDEEVILLE | 14,139 | 14,006 | 14,073 | 7.708 |
| 6752 | WKAR |  | 23 | MI, | EAST LANSING | 15,362 | 12,050 | 13,706 | 7.708 |
| 5372 | WLUC |  | 6 | MI, | MARQUETTE | 14,816 | 11,116 | 12,966 | 7.708 |
| 5676 | WHAM |  | 13 | NY, | ROCHESTER | 13,737 | 11,813 | 12,775 | 7.708 |
| 6354 | WPXA |  | 14 | GA, | ROME | 4,761 | 20,017 | 12,389 | 7.708 |
| 7590 | WFRZ | WBVF | 61 | AL, | MONTGOMERY | 12,328 | 11,644 | 11,986 | 7.708 |
| 5279 | WBBJ |  | 7 | TN, | JACKSON | 15,678 | 7,177 | 11,428 | 7.708 |
| 5681 | WZZM |  | 13 | MI, | GRAND RAPIDS | 11,048 | 11,053 | 11,051 | 7.708 |
| 5959 | WAAY |  | 31 | AL, | HUNTSVILLE | 19,783 | 1,693 | 10,738 | 7.708 |
| 5708 | WEEK |  | 25 | IL, | PEORIA | 7,268 | 13,283 | 10,276 | 7.708 |
| 5877 | WJCL |  | 22 | GA, | SAVANNAH | 10,126 | 10,089 | 10,108 | 7.708 |
| 6728 | WKSO |  | 29 | KY, | SOMERSET | 10,646 | 9,282 | 9,964 | 7.708 |
| 5940 | WMYD | WDWB | 20 | MI , | DETROIT | 18,606 | 499 | 9,553 | 7.708 |
| 5097 | WOI |  | 5 | IA, | AMES | 13,066 | 5,467 | 9,267 | 7.708 |
| 5349 | WOWK |  | 13 | WV. | HUNTINGTON | 10,427 | 7,294 | 8,861 | 7.708 |
| 5045 | WAGA |  | 5 | GA, | ATLANTA | 17,185 | 138 | 8,662 | 7.708 |
| 6294 | WMSN |  | 47 | WI, | MADISON | 8,464 | 8,520 | 8,492 | 7.708 |
| 5493 | WVUE |  | 8 | LA, | NEW ORLEANS | 11,809 | 4,745 | 8,277 | 7.708 |


| 9830 | WMQF | 19 | MI, | MARQUETTE | 8,039 | 7,941 | 7,990 | 7.708 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5178 | WREX | 13 | IL, | ROCKFORD | 4,461 | 10,817 | 7,639 | 7.708 |
| 6940 | WINM | 63 | IN, | ANGOLA | 14,925 | 0 | 7,463 | 7.708 |
| 5389 | KVIA | 7 | TX, | EL PASO | 7,827 | 6,619 | 7,223 | 7.708 |
| 6981 | WUXP | 30 | TN, | NASHVILLE | 8,406 | 5,401 | 6,904 | 7.708 |
| 5401 | WSOC | 9 | NC, | CHARLOTTE | 6,804 | 6,667 | 6,736 | 7.708 |
| 5931 | WMGT | 41 | GA, | MACON | 9,302 | 3,648 | 6,475 | 7.708 |
| 6941 | WTSF | 61 | KY, | ASHLAND | 7,514 | 4,825 | 6,170 | 7.708 |
| 7374 | WZPX | 43 | MI, | BATTLE CREEK | 5,975 | 5,958 | 5,967 | 7.708 |
| 5567 | KBLN | 30 | OR, | GRANTS PASS | 5,618 | 5,557 | 5,588 | 7.708 |
| 6433 | WNTZ | 48 | MS, | NATCHEZ | 4,331 | 6,493 | 5,412 | 7.708 |
| 5928 | WGTU | 29 | MI, | TRAVERSE CITY | 5,234 | 5,115 | 5,175 | 7.708 |
| 8858 | KSCB | 21 | SD, | SIOUX FALLS | 5,875 | 3,839 | 4,857 | 7.708 |
| 5081 | WOOD | 8 | MI, | GRAND RAPIDS | 5,981 | 3,467 | 4,724 | 7.708 |
| 6850 | WPXD | 31 | MI, | ANN ARBOR | 5,699 | 3,525 | 4.612 | 7.708 |
| 5263 | WISH | 8 | $\mathbb{N}$, | INDIANAPOLIS | 6,648 | 2,326 | 4,487 | 7.708 |
| 5831 | KMEG | 14 | IA, | SIOUX CITY | 8,636 | 0 | 4,318 | 7.708 |
| 5913 | WUTR | 20 | NY, | -UTICA | 4,154 | 4,164 | 4,159 | 7.708 |
| 5861 | KSNF | 16 | MO, | JOPLIN | 8,119 | 0 | 4,060 | 7.708 |
| 5285 | KOVR | 13 | CA, | STOCKTON | 3,874 | 3,750 | 3,812 | 7.708 |
| 5204 | KCRG | 9 | IA, | CEDAR RAPIDS | 6,226 | 1,186 | 3,706 | 7.708 |
| 4692 | WTTX | 30 | NY, | ELMIRA | 3,557 | 3,516 | 3,537 | 7.708 |
| 7702 | KDCK | 21 | KS, | DODGE CITY | 6,684 | 0 | 3,342 | 7.708 |
| 7578 | WYCN | 13 | NH , | NASHUA | 0 | 6,166 | 3,083 | 7.708 |
| 8923 | WLFG | 68 | VA, | GRUNDY | 2,970 | 2,935 | 2,953 | 7.708 |
| 7367 | WVNS | 59 | WV, | LEWISBURG | 2,845 | 2,783 | 2,814 | 7.708 |
| 5192 | KHQA | 7 | MO, | HANNIBAL | 3,479 | 2,005 | 2,742 | 7.708 |
| 5886 | WCIU | 26 | IL, | CHICAGO | 1,815 | 3,558 | 2,687 | 7.708 |
| 7298 | KNWS | 51 | TX, | KATY | 5,067 | 0 | 2,534 | 7.708 |
| 5622 | .KDLT | 46 | SD, | SIOUX FALLS | 3,802 | 1,131 | 2,467 | 7.708 |
| 5884 | WCJB | 20 | FL, | GAINESVILLE | 2,208 | 2,180 | 2,194 | 7.708 |
| 5316 | WUNC | 4 | NC, | CHAPEL HILL | 4,236 | 0 | 2,118 | 7.708 |
| 7315 | CIII | 6 | ON, | TORONTO | 0 | 4,142 | 2,071 | 7.708 |
| 6316 | WUNP | 36 | NC, | ROANOKE RAPIDS | 3,968 | 0 | 1,984 | 7.708 |
| 5363 | KGNS | 8 | TX, | LAREDO | 3,765 | 0 | 1,883 | 7.708 |
| 7794 | KAKW | 31 | TX, | AUSTIN | 3,561 | 0 | 1,781 | 7.708 |
| 5068 | WHAS | 11 | KY, | LOUISVILLE | 1,754 | 1,672 | 1,713 | 7.708 |
| 6802 | WTXL | 27 | FL, | TALLAHASSEE | 3,280 | 0 | 1,640 | 7.708 |
| 6035 | WRAY | 30 | NC, | WILSON | 1,744 | 1,387 | 1,566 | 7.708 |
| 7599 | KLKN | 8 | NE, | LINCOLN | 2,907 | 0 | 1,454 | 7.708 |
| 6882 | WLFL | 22 | NC, | RALEIGH | 1,438 | 1,387 | 1,413 | 7.708 |
| 5529 | WNIN | 9 | $\boldsymbol{N}$, | EVANSVILLE | 2,565 | 166 | 1,366 | 7.708 |
| 6994 | WBUI | 23 | IL, | DECATUR | 1,068 | 1,510 | 1,289 | 7.708 |
| 7418 | KKRA | 24 | SD, | RAPID CITY | 2,435 | 0 | 1,218 | 7.708 |
| 6975 | KSMO | 62 | MO, | KANSAS CITY | 2,321 | 0 | 1,161 | 7.708 |
| 5070 | WTVH | 5 | NY, | SYRACUSE | 1,042 | 1,069 | 1,056 | 7.708 |
| 6902 | KAVU | 25 | TX, | VICTORIA | 2,026 | 0 | 1,013 | 7.708 |
| 7075 | KTMO | 36 | TX, | AMARILLO | 1,853 | 0 | 927 | 7.708 |
| 5833 | WICD | 15 | IL, | CHAMPAIGN | 635 | 1,080 | 858 | 7.708 |
| 6338 | WJZY | 46 | NC, | BELMONT | 795 | 787 | 791 | 7.708 |
| 6304 | WTJP | 60 | AL, | GADSDEN | 1,084 | 436 | 760 | 7.708 |
| 5321 | WTVT | 13 | FL, | TAMPA | 693 | 731 | 712 | 7.708 |
| 7460 | WRJM | 67 | AL, | TROY | 748 | 530 | 639 | 7.708 |
| 6844 | KAUT | 43 | OK, | OKLAHOMA CITY | 570 | 595 | 583 | 7.708 |
| 5554 | WAKA | 8 | AL | SELMA | 538. | 530 | 534 | 7.708 |
| 5825 | WGNO | 26 | LA, | NEW ORLEANS | 551 | 433 | 492 | 7.708 |
| 6585 | KOCM | 46 | OK, | NORMAN | 457 | 432 | 445 | 7.708 |
| 5240 | WJHG | 8 | FL, | PANAMA CITY | 797 | 0 | 399 | 7.708 |


| 7345 | KLWY |  | 27 | WY, | CHEYENNE | 396 | 391 | 394 | 7.708 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6156 | WMYA | WBSC | 40 | SC, | ANDERSON | 599 | 0 | 300 | 7.708 |
| 6700 | WKBS |  | 47 | PA, | ALTOONA | 278 | 279 | 279 | 7.708 |
| 5723 | WCWJ | WJWB | 17 | FL, | JACKSONVILLE | 243 | 238 | 241 | 7.708 |
| 5897 | WLED |  | 49 | NH , | LITTLETON | 445 | 0 | 223 | 7.708 |
| 5434 | KTNV |  | 13 | NV, | LAS VEGAS | 179 | 28 | 104 | 7.708 |
| 6265 | WPPX |  | 61 | DE, | WILMINGTON | 89 | 79 | 84 | 7.708 |
| 5246 | WINK |  | 11 | FL, | FT MYERS | 55 | 49 | 52 | 7.708 |
| 6188 | WOIO |  | 19 | OH , | SHAKER HEIGHTS | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7.708 |

40 percent from the sale of their content to cable and direct broadcast satellite systems. Consumer and trade magazines operate under quite different
 between the sale of audiences and the sale of content. Trade magazines, on the other hand, derive roughly 90 percent of their revenues from the sale of audiences to advertisers. Newspapers receive roughly 70 percent of their revenues from the sale of audiences to advertisers and 30 percent from the
 newspaper does not even cover the costs of the paper and ink. These data provide an indication of how different media have taken different strategic paths in navigating the dual-product marketplace that characterizes the media industries.
table 1.1 Revenue Breakdowns for Advertiser-Supported Media

| MEDIUM | REVENUE SOURCE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AUDIENCE SALES | CONTENT SALES |
|  |  |  |
|  | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Broadcast television | 15 | 85 |
| Cable television systems | 60 | 40 |
| Cable television networks | 100 | 0 |
| Broadcast radio | 70 | 30 |
| Newspapers | 50 | 50 |
| Consumer magazines | 90 | 10 |
| Trade magazines | Varies | Varies |
| Internet sites |  |  |
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households, but upon the number of households actually watching television while that program is on the air.

To calculate the audience share, one must know dhe cotal number of viewing households, or the HUT (homesthotseholds using television) level. During prime time, for example. HUI levels are frequently over 50 percent. For many years, the number of television households has hovered just over 100 million, so the industry more or less drops the "percent" and simply considers the HUT tevel to be 50. With roughly 110 million television houselrokds now in the U.S., HUT level of 50 would equal 55 million households.

If the HUS levet at 8:00 1.M. on a Thursday is 50 and a program achieves a 20 share, then 20 pereent of the 55 million houscholds, or 11 million households. are wate hing the program. It is also posisible to compute in reverse. During the week of November 29 to December 5, 2004, NBC"s Law \& Order was the number 15 show in the 1 S. with a 15 share and a gross audience of just over 1 ! million households. Given this information, it's easy enough to compute the HUT level: if 15 pereent equals 11 million heruscholds, then the HUT level for Latw ef Order's rime slor (Wednesday 10:00 1:M. 11:00 R.M.) would be just over 73. By comparison, during the same week, CBS's Everybody Lotes Rapmond scored an 18 share with 13.5 million houscholds; during that time pertod (Monday 9:00 P. М1. 9:30 PM.), the HUT level was 75 , so each share point is worth slightly more in terms of gross viewership.

Why does any of this matter? In fact, share points are not used to calculate the cosr of advertising. Instead. they provide television programmers with the audience measurement staristics necessary to make decisions about scheduling.

## audience ratings

A program's ratiny is the percentage of total television honseholds in the sample area whose sets were tunced to that program. Unlike audience share, which com pares one program's appeal to viewers with others in the time slot, andience ratings compare all program in a more or less equal handed manncr. The presumption, which is reasonable, goes like this: If a program is likely to draw a harge audience, then the programmer will be smart enough oo place it in an appropriate slot. Reruns of The Brady Bunch, for example, draw a far smaller audience than new episoiles of CSl: Miams.

The Law te Orler episode mentioned above achieved a 10.2 raring-in raw numbers, 10.2 percent of the 110 mulhon U.S. television households, or 11 million housholds. Evergbody Loves Raymond's rating was a 12.3 , worth about 13.5 million houscholds.

## Demographics

The rating uself provides the national advertiser wirh only partial information. For
many (if not $n$ but also "Whe terms of geogra networks, most the occasional female viewers cable nerwork Lifectime, for et

## Rating Points

When a media consider rating women compr sion viewers in the audience fo women, and d be associated " specifically for would be repre

For an ads effective. In tel for a viewer in Rather than b would more li. put this anorh GRP of 500 .

How muct per poim be tl cessful, iss co: smaller, the or Order, the pris the cost per r: Everybody Lou cost per ratim; point are gens networks. Wi level out ar at purchased, an into the mis.

Reach and the concept 0 is the number
ng television
er of viewing Juring prime $r$ many years, illion, so the HUT level co c U.S., HUT
am achieves a n households, e. During the Irder was the of just over 11 compute the HUT level for d be just over aymond scored (Monday 9:00 1 slightly more
ed to calculate mers with the it scheduling.
in the sample e, which comudience ratings e presumption, large audience, propriate slot. ience than new
rating-in raw olds, or 11 mil uth about 13.5
nformation. For
many (if not most) products, the question is not only "How many households?" bur also "Who are they?" More specifically, the "who" question is answered in terms of grographic distriburion, age, and income level. On NBC, CBS and ABC atworks, most prime-time programs appeal equally to men and to women (with theocasional exception, such as a figure skaring comperition, which attracts more temale viewers). But the demographic mix for a particular cable program-or able nework-is of en heavy skewed toward one particuldr demographic group. Lifime, for example, atrracis a predominantly female audience.

## Rating Points and GRPs

When a media buyer compares possible buys in prim time 1 tevision, he or she consider rating points based upon specitic demographic groups. For example, women comprise approximately 60 million of the 180 million available relevision viewers in the U.S. (110) million houscholds $x 2.2$ people per houschold). If the audience for a particular program was comprised of an equal number of men. women, and children, and its national rating was a 15 , then 5 rating points would be asociated with women. If the product being advertised was a hair color formula spaifically for women, then the only viewers who mattered to that advertiser would be represented by thuse 5 rating points.
For an advertiser with a narional budget a single commercial would hardly be dfective. In relevision advertising, a commercial must be seen many times in order for aviewer to take norice, and more times in order for him or her to take action. Rather than buying just one commercial worth 5 rating points, the advertiser sould more likely buy 500 rating points associared with the targer audience. To put this another way, the media buyer is purchasing 500 gross raring points, or a GRP of 500.
How much should the media buyer pay for those points? Should the price per point be the same for each commercial placement? When a program is suc cesful, is cose per spor is typically high, and when a program's andience is smaller the cost per spot is typically lower. During the 2004 season of Law of Orde, the price per 30 -second spor was about $\$ 225.000$. Given its 10.2 rating. the cost per rating point was $\$ 22,000$. By comparison, the 30 -second spots on Eaçbody Lowes Raymond went for $\$ 315.000$; divide by its 12.3 rating, and the cost per ratings point was nearly $\$ 26,000$. The costs per por and per rating point are generally lower during the daytime hours, as well as un many cable networks. With 500 GRPs to buy, this $\$ 10$ million negoriation would likely kelout at abour $\$ 20,000$ per poin, in parr because of the number of poinis purchased, and probabiy because some lower-priced shows would be ne gotiared into the mix.
Reach and frequency are the key to successful media buying. Reach caprures the concept of a message finding iss way to an appropriate audience. Frequency sthe number of times that each household within the rarget is likely to see the

1junction with the nt , and eventually lience. The adverork, along with a udience. The netnumber of spots f how the package thousand viewers if only one viewer the network and in which the spots
he advertiser can nitment can vary. re flexible than a in to cancel up to likely to be lower irable shows. Or, Can you give me ire a lower CPM a missed opporrade, the time is specified number ient for approval. with the deal: the d such. As a rule, teased.
g point is useful dreds of millions ts (GRPs) within fule. There is risk cials in new prottees to deliver a a new or existing mitment, adding 5 ("make-goods") nay not be desirFul agency avoids ns for which the bilar. nticipated, then tppy (this is the
sox common scenario, as the networks rend to avoid annoying their best cusseens), or (2) the over-performance becomes a negotiating chit, to be employed somded, or, most often in today's more aggressive business environment, (3) te nework commits to a specific number of GRP's for the season, and when the ommenent is satisfied, the remaining commercial time is sold to other adverens This places enornous pressur on programming departments and protarrs. since higher ratings immediately translate into additional rating points. nid with enough rating points, commitments can be more rapidly satisfied, thaing more inventory units to be sold.
Adient with a $\$ 10$ million network prime-time budget mighr assign $\$ 3 \mathrm{mil}$ han ro $\$ 4$ million to each nerwork. The average cost of a spor works our to abour \$125,000, so the client's budget would probably buy 80 prime time spots. A spot sazuporated show costs roughly $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 400,000$; a spox on a lower-rated dire with comparatively weak performance, about $\$ / 5,000$ ).
for the networks, the upfront market provides an opportunity to sell the majoriy of irs available inventory in a highly competitive convironment. The benGit of the upfrom buy is that the revenue is booked; the downsides are the 15 pocent discount offered and the need to make good on programs thar did not perform as hoped or planned. For the client, the upfront buy assures the best pasible commercial positions, and saves money, but the prospect of make-goods appur the client in the position of having commercials run on the wrong shows.
It is not unusual for a major nework to sell as much as 80 percent of its imentory during the upfrone season. Overall, the upfront market is worth about $\$$ billion. The major networks take in abour $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 2$ billion cach.

## Mypine, News, and Sports

halat, the upfront concept has worked so well for prime time that it has been apanded into daytime and network news and extended to include cable adverting. Cable's 2003 upfront sales were worth $\$ 6$ billion. The syndication upfront matket is worth about $\$ 2$ billion.)
Compared with the cost of prime timc, advertising on daytime is inexpensive. The CPM for women under 50 years of age during daytime (about 55 , on arage) is roughly 25 percent of the C.P.M for the same group during prime time (hou \$20). Daytime television still delivers a relatively "puc" audience of women under 50 . While maintaining traditional ties with houschold, food, and aber longtime daypart advertisers, networks have been wooing new rypes of sponsors (e.g., automotive companies) into daytime, with only himited success.
The upfront buying season for network news also takes place during the smmed. Most advertisers buy time on the news because it is the be way wo rach the $25-54$ and 55 -plus groups.
The key concept for sports programs, from the perspective of large national dernisers. is exclusivity. Spots in major national ev nors are sold on an exclusive
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the markel. the :se of the prown, and the time period durme whel it will be broment. The nesotiating skill, of the peroon represonting the st thon atho may be milnential. In the casc of feature films, market size. competition, and the ase of the ham are tiken into accomb, is well is the success the

The dranatie merense is the cost of andicated product. combined with the energene and growh of barter progamming idisomsed belons) hate led to an mipentant chane in the prestam managris traditomal whe in was-

 tion persennel innolued in purchasing den wismo. Others inctude the general

 admumstera decinoms.
 putieular attention to the rating potentalal and profected rexame of ondi-
 atre in other maters paticulart! those with a anilas pepulatoon makenp.
 we and demographic composition of tic andiences for stacheated progr.uns
 Lion Cluen bo the appeal of offenonh programs was be glaned from the in petomanee on the market when the dited on an nethoth.

Calculating revame potential requises comide ation ol $\|_{1}$ pod insento$n$; or the manber of ill-second pots amalable in cach program: I I the aterace selling price in the darpart in which the progran will be breadeat: and B) the sellum level, Hat is. the pereentage if apots likely to be sold.
 gross reveme. The gress is reduced bo 15 perceal to allon for commissions
 ducwig the net. That trgere is reduced thather be the promected selling level



 tom would be as tollows:

| Sellang price | 4 Hern |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 second \%ommentah | $\times 12$ |
| ( $10 \times 5$ | $3+.500$ |
|  | $\times 5$ |
| Vet | 4-1.180 |
| Sulling tual | $\times 211$ |
| Vetmed | 43.26 .4 |

 war Profections for ubsequont weans will tahe into atecomen persible changer in the epol rate. For example, ralles in the daypart mat increase to keep pilce will indation. I decreare might resull it the program were moned to a less coulle day part.
 life of the contract the , tation must the comser how much it can affered bo pat per pisode 'The achal price will be determined thenogh negotiations between the exudiator an the station. ${ }^{1}$

When the contract is siguel the wation watally mates a domen p.ament and pas the batance in installathes. We noted in (hapter ?, "pinalacial Wamag ment. cons ane asigned acoordme to an anortization shedule for acomating puprove. The statom man select the siraight tine method, which means that an cqual whac is placed on cach broakeint of cach eprocke Vlematneth, it man opt ton acceleraled amontization or the dechmeng reture
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In a barke tramaction. the semticales pronides the prestan at no cost but.
 prestam, for example, hominules mas be retained. lan ing fone minnter for

 tor.
 trol searing prestan costs. Sindicatomemphased that the valuc of the con mercial thate surremered wablal represent a much lower cost to the wation than if the proerom were beaght on a stanght wash bobs. Pintlowemes, We
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 provans and oecasional documentarics.

Early Morning (6:00 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.) Wan affiliater stat the daypart with local news andor news-magaine prograns, and most join the
 lenagers are not being served. Localls prodnced children's progratios, suadirated cartoms, and off-metuork sitcomsor drama-adventure er rice offer alte batives for part or all of the prod

Morning (9:00 A.M. to Noon) Ilomemakers ate a principal tapet it thas derpart Dumg the first turs bouss. the netuons are met prexidung programs. Optems include le cal or syndicated lalk, discussinu, or magazince progranion onented toward women, and game slons (ott-networh situation connedies staring chibdren or with stapsack clement. was brong hildren as
 ate dear networh progr momug though lie end of the period. suleated tall is the chence , man $\triangle B C$ : affili.fes.

Afternoon (Noon to 4:00 p.M.) Betucen neжн and 1_:30. mam affiliates op for local new or a mews magatine, boh of which peovide all opportmite to pumote later newseats. Sindicated entertaimemen, such as yon or game hows and shlution comedies. ss an altemative. From I2.30 pow (GBS or $1: 01$ Pin ABC and $A B C$, aliliaten generalls carn the network

 inchule syrulicated tath with sloong appeal to woncen, of cartoons and situation comedien with tee nase and child appeal te tarect raming schook hild rell and teemager,

Early Fringe (4:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.) Thin the slart of the longent permed tor which an affiliate has prog moming reponsibility. It the same lime. it offersa station the opportunity low ere erate signiteant aderfising raames and buld the whlt antieme for its local news.
 cestulls in combter-programming Thirty-minute and onc-lour offerctuork and timet mom sumbated e ment tit casil! into the periosh, and mam stations hase disconered Hat andienses repond well in hoch of programs of the s.ance genre

 Howser, a succession of tuo or thre off m twork situatoon comedies with ink asongh older appeal can brines youggater in the wh birt, followed b


 also pose some shechaling problems becanse of their imin, lengths. Wade tor-lelerision mot ics are consislent in length, but ha te pronen less appeillues then fenture tilms

Early Evening (6:00 P.M. to 7:00 p.M.) Ilic length of the loc.al
newseast and the periods selected both For local and network news intluence the schedule in this dappart. I he 3() -minute network newsealst mas be preeed. ed or followed by a 30 -minute lecal newseast. In hom lomg local news program mas be followed by network news in the first half hou of prime aecess. or a $9(1$-minute news bloch maty $t$ art wilh 30 mimule: of local news, followed be network news, and a final 30 minuter of local news. In most nator markels, longer news blocks are common.

Prime Access (7:00 P.M. to 8:00 P.M.) 'Hic Prime-line \eccss Rule restricting what conld be wem a be some network affiliates formedy wha enced progran clavices in this claspart With the mave ternination in 1990 , stations in all markets are free to air whalever the please. What watoms have filled the time slol with sumbated programs. Quiz and gathe shosis, as well in off ne lwort sitnation combedics. have proned vert strong in this perood. Nem magr wame programs chathe shations to intierit adnels trom the precednes neweasts.

Network Prime Time (8:00 p.M. to 11:00 P.M.) \Inst affiliates carr! network programming duing this contice diat pan, If a network progran 1s not competitive. of if most of the network's schedule on a given might is fuing poorls: the station mat consider precoupting and subatationg its oun pre-
 period, while mon ies thay produce the desired andience for periods of 9 (1 minutes or lwo homes

Late Fringe (17:00 P.M. to 11:35 P.M.) Affiliated stations usmalh. air their late local news in this time slot.

Late Night ( $\mathbf{1 1 : 3 5}$ P.M. to 2:05 A.M.) VBC. provides affiliates with programaning for the entioc period, and CBS programs all but 30 minutes ABC fill mhk the 11:35 P.11. Io 1:05 , w.A. slot Options for CBS and IBC affiliates inc lade off-nctwork situation comedies and, for $4 B C$ affiliates, sumdeated talk or entertainment-hatsed programs and off-metnork dramatic series.

Overnight (2:05 A.M. to 6:00 A.M.) Vfiliates, thatt re maillom the dil 12mathe catry uews fed br the networt during part or all of tice period.
Wechend scheduling is intluenced by netuorh sports progranmines, wheh vance fom season to season. On Saturday aflemoons, the station mat have to programa period of two or more hours. Syadicated contertaiment and teatere films are among the most populat options. Wans wation ant a 30 mime local nowseat al 6:0ff P. 1 a and follow notuoth new with a one-hour or hoo
 late news follows netwoik prince time on mans aftilated slations. ABC and CBS aftilates do unt receise network programming denne late night and
oftem momories, symatated tatk, offenetwork dama serics, or viluation comediess in the time periods.

पame affilitten (am! roligion, public affairs. or chaldrent programs on Sundas momings before foimeng the network for new-masazine and newsmetruen broadeasts. Depending on the ecason the station wat hate of
 cel entertamment and teahore Films are common choices, with local and net-

 religion are amoms the alternation tor the late-night period

## PROGRAMMING THE INDEPENDENT STATION

Programming an indepondent televisun stalion is a most challonging iobs. The challenge is kes difficull tor stations affiliated with Fix, 'The WB. and I PN, whin receive baving anomots of programming from theit networks. Howeser. programmors still are leff with mam homes to fill eanh dad and independent canomt rely to the same desree as competing alfiliates on not werh programs to ensomage the flow of veeners into localth seheduled time periods. Ihims entancing mot ond audience size but abon the alue of time the wation sells of adrettisers.

By defmition, the the independent does mot have aceess to programs on an permanent networ, many of whith altrot large andiences to the affilsates with whilh it competes. It duce not benctit from netuork promotion and publicity, whel dian viewers to the atfilates. Unlike the affilate. the true independent must provike all the programe it airs, a task that has heen ategh wated by the increased comperition for attractive offenework whdicated prograns and ha their growing cost.

The indepenelont does nost enion the comparativeh high rate that affiliato an charge adsertiser for time in and aromed network pugrams. I wither, the independent oflon las to contend "ill: a negatice attitude on the part of thase buses.

The challenere is difficult a not impossible. In large measure, melependents admevements have been based on the wisden of their program selection. the imaymation of their promotion effont and aboue all. on the effectuences of their pegram, chedalinge.

Fon the mest part the programmeg weapons of madepandent have been movic. syndicated talh, off-netuork entertaimment program-especially sit mation comedics and achon-drama series-sudicated or local children's prograns, and live sports Mans independent also cam yperidh and. oceasomall progranes rejected br affilates.

Network promotion has ardel lion affimates in positioning themselves. while station promotion has enabled trace indepencents to benefit from the ir mage as a souce of allernatise programming a as the sation to wateh for movies or sports. Homate poshoming the independem is becomines more diffecult will the increase in bolls alkernalise and specialized progran ofterines on calle and DBS.
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# COST-PER-1000 HOMES REACHED TRENDS FOR NETWORK AND SPOT TV 30-SECOND UNITS <br> 1955-2005 

|  | 1955 | 1960 | 1965 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | $2005^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Network TV <br> (ABC/CBS/NBC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daytime | $\$ .55$ | $\$ .65$ | $\$ .75$ | $\$ .85$ | $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 310$ | $\$ 2.35$ | $\$ 3.00$ | $\$ 4.50$ | $\$ 5.05$ |
| Early News | NA | NA | 1.40 | 1.55 | 1.65 | 320 | 5.45 | 6.15 | 6.25 | 6.65 | 8.39 |
| Prime Evening' | 1.50 | 1.70 | 1.95 | 2.20 | 2.55 | 4.80 | 8.25 | 8.76 | 9.50 | 13.25 | 19.93 |
| Late Evening | NA | NA | 2.05 | 1.95 | 2.00 | 340 | 5.60 | 6.35 | 7.25 | 11.25 | 16.69 |
| Sports $^{2}$ | NA | NA | 3.00 | 2.95 | 2.60 | 4.50 | 7.30 | 8.75 | 9.85 | 14.00 | 16.19 |

Spot TV

| Daytime | NA | NA | 2.00 | 2.40 | 265 | 2.75 | 3.30 | 4.25 | 4.35 | 5.65 | 5.67 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Larly Evening | NA | NA | 1.25 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 2.80 | 4.05 | 4.85 | 5.45 | 7.45 | 6.67 |
| Prime $^{1}$ | NA | NA | 2.30 | 2.60 | 3.65 | 6.75 | 10.00 | 12.00 | 12.75 | 16.25 | 22.00 |
| Late News | NA | NA | 160 | 1.85 | 2.50 | 4.75 | 7.25 | 8.50 | 10.25 | 13.15 | 15.49 |
| Late Evening | NA | NA | 1.50 | 1.80 | 2.35 | 3.85 | 535 | 6.50 | 6.75 | 9.15 | 9.67 |

N: 1 linuffirient data.
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## PROPORTION OF ON-AIR TIME OCCUPIED BY NONPROGRAM MATTER FOR ON-AIR, SYNDICATION AND CABLE NETWORKS BY DAYPART

2004

COMM'LS.

## NON-PROGRAM CONTENT

ON-AIR TV NETS
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## 30-Second Units

|  |  | ADUITS |  |  |  | MEN |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HOMES | $18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25- \\ 54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $18$ | $\begin{gathered} 18- \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ |
| ABC/CBSINBC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early 111 | \$8.30 | S677 | \$32.04 | \$15.69 | \$1354 | \$1779 | \$88 70 | 84127 | S36,65 | 11.0 | 51.13 |  |  |
| Datytime | 5.05 | 4.58 | 13.93 | 8.57 | 9.17 |  |  | - |  | 6.13 | 13 |  |  |
| Early Vews | 8.39 | 5.29 | 31.67 | 1396 | 13.13 | 1271 | 67.72 | 167 | 3197 | 971 | (i) 01 | 2,96 | 88 |
| Prime | 19.93 | 13.38 | 10.08 | 24.63 | 24.51 | 28.20 | 96.35 | 53.90 | . 796 | 22.64 | 7203 | 10.39 | 08 |
| Lice fringe | 15.69 | 12.16 | 31.90 | 18.86 | 21.16 | 2703 | 64.79 | 3983 | 48.61 | 2365 | 59.52 | 34.27 | 36.69 |

## Syndication

| Daytme | 4.01 | 3.47 | 12.15 | 707 | 7.12 |  |  |  | 4.91 | 2107 | 10.24 | 10.59 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Early Fringe | 9.32 | 7.01 | 24.47 | 12.90 | 14.79 | 1407 | 5795 | 26.49 | 25.69 | 10.53 | 3702 | 2015 | 1991 |
| Prime | 14.92 | 10.50 | 36.53 | 17.71 | 19.15 | 24.19 | 79.25 | 43.65 | 44.27 | 21.92 | 76 | 00 | 38.74 |
| Late Fringe | 9.44 | 8.25 | 20.58 | 11.02 | 13.38 | 19.33 | 35.55 | 24.94 | 32.51 | 13.97 | 29.59 | 20.04 | 23.87 |

Cable

| Daytime Mass | 2.03 | 2.79 | 6.42 | 2.72 | 3.36 | 4.26 | 15.51 | 540 | 8.29 | 273 | 11.03 | 6.20 | 636 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Fringe Mass | 492 | 4.98 | 18.43 | 7.73 | 9.33 | 11.33 | 30.79 | 17.51 | 19.80 | 8.99 |  | 13.191 | , |
| Prime Youth Target | 13.12 |  | 34.03 | 17.90 |  | - | 6591 | 35.61 |  | 8 | 0591 | 13.01 |  |
| Prime Mass | 6.65 | 5.25 | 22.21 | 10.39 | 1044 | 1108 | 45.21 | 2107 | 2055 | 10.79 | 15.61 | 8 |  |
| Prime Uprate | 1313 | 9.24 | (5) 61 | 24.25 | 21.59 | 1757 | 97.27 | 10.67 | 35.08 | 21.28 | 14602 | 50.76 | 53118 |
| Late Fringe Mass | 5.09 | 4.05 | 13.54 | 625 | fi 37 | 799 | 2587 | 12.61 | 12.73 | 799 | 27.95 | 13.31 | 13.42 |
| Weekend Mass | 4.11 | 3.62 | 1203 | 627 | 6.78 | 7.68 | 2.019 | 13.50 | 1367 | 5.76 | 20.45 | 1113 | 10.90 |

Spot
Day
Early Finge
Ptime'

[^97]Cable Reach Levels Much Bigger Than Realized Conimued

## WEEKLY REACH OF ON-AIR AND CABLE REGULARLY SCHEDULED PROGRAMS BY DAYPART

|  | ALL ADULTS | SEX |  | AGE |  |  | H.H. INCOME |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \$30K | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30- \\ 49.9 \mathrm{~K} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 50- \\ 74.9 \mathrm{~K} \end{array}$ | S75K+ |
|  |  | MEN | WOMEN |  |  |  |  | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 |
| $\mathrm{ABC} / \mathrm{CBS} / \mathrm{NBC}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Early Morning Sliens | 35.3 | 310 | 39.4 | 27.4 | 35.7 | 43.1 | 376 | 31.4 | 33.9 | 351 |
| Any Daytime Show | 33.4 | 24.1 | 421 | 349 | 29.9 | 36.8 | 46.7 | 35.8 | 29.2 | 22.3 |
| Any Early Evening Nowscast | 47.5 | 47.3 | 476 | 33.8 | 46.7 | 63.8 | 48.8 | 455 | 47.0 | 48.1 |
| Any Primetime Show | 89.3 | 87.3 | 91.0 | 903 | $89+$ | 87.9 | 89.7 | 89.6 | 903 | 87.9 |
| Any Late Evening Show | 39.1 | 43.7 | 34.8 | 45.3 | 37.0 | 35.1 | 380 | 388 | 40.8 | 39.1 |
| Any Sunday Morning Interview/Talk Slow | 151 | 1.9 | 14.3 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 24.7 | 14.8 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 16.9 |
| Any $\backslash \mathrm{BC}^{\prime} \mathrm{CBS} / \mathrm{NBC}$ Show Any Daypart | 942 | 931 | 953 | 94.1 | 937 | 95.0 | 943 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 93.3 |
| FOX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MALtrv (Fox) | 9.6 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 17.1 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 10) 6 | 9.3 | 63 |
| Any Primet lme Show | 64 ? | 66.11 | 62.6 | 74.6 | 64.0 | 53.1 | 68.2 | 67.3 | 64.9 | 57.7 |
| Any Show Any Daypart | 64.6 | 66.4 | 63.1 | 75.1 | 644 | 53.4 | 68.5 | 67.6 | 65.2 | 58.3 |
| UPV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Primertme Show | 28.0 | 31.8 | 24.6 | 35.5 | 29.2 | 18.2 | 37.8 | 30.5 | 25.6 | 18.8 |
| WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ary Primetime Shon | 369 | 324 | 410.4 | 470 | 3.5 | 266 | 4.2 | 40.2 | 36.2 | 26.0 |
| CABLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Any Cable Channel | 84.3 | 85.2 | 83.5 | 8.5 | 84.6 | 82.6 | 78.1 | 84.5 | 81.4 | 89 ? |
| Any Cable Show | 79.1 | 80.6 | 77.8 | 81.7 | 78.6 | 770 | 75.4 | 79.2 | 80.3 | 81.7 |

通 Source: Next Generation Rescastch. LLC. Advertisugg Re prisil) Index. 2003

What Kinds Of Product Ads Are Of Most Interest To TV Sports Viewers? Contiumed DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF ADULTS WHO ARE
VERY FREQUENT VIEWERS BY SPORT VIEWED

April 2003

|  | SEX |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & 18-34 \end{aligned}$ | H.H. INCOME |  | PROF. MGR. | BLACK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEN | WOMEN |  | \$55K+ | \$75K+ |  |  |
| Arena Football | 67\% | 33\% | 40\% | 27\% | 17\% | 21\% | 5\% |
| Automobile Racing | 61 | 39 | 37 | 23 | 21 | 21 | 5 |
| Bowling | 56 | 44 | 20 | 46 | 16 | 18 | 26 |
| College Basketball | 68 | 32 | 33 | 29 | 33 | 31 | 23 |
| College Football | 69 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 34 | 31 | 17 |
| Maj. League Baseball | 61 | 39 | 29 | 34 | 30 | 28 | 11 |
| Pro Basketball | 02 | 38 | 36 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 35 |
| Pro Foothall | 64 | 36 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 12 |
| Pro Golf | 62 | 38 | 14 | 54 | 40 | 29 | 12 |
| Pro Hockey | 68 | 32 | 40 | 18 | 34 | 32 | 4 |
| Pro Tennis | 53 | 47 | 20 | 43 | 31 | 28 | 38 |
| Pro Wrestling | 71 | 29 | 55 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 23 |
| Total Adult Pop. | 48 | 52 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 12 |

Source Next Goneralion Researci, LLCC. Atlvertising Receptivicy Index: 20013

## ADULT VIEWER PROFILE OF SELECTED DAYTIME PROGRAMS ${ }^{1}$

|  | WOMEN | AGE |  |  | H.H. INCOME |  |  | BLACK | HISPANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18 <br> 24 | 35 44 | 65 <br> + | \$75K + | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 30- \\ & 39 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |  |
| Early AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Show (C) | 55\% | 10\% | 18\% | 25\% | 22\% | 14\% | 12\% | 17\% | 11\% |
| Cood Morning America (A) | ) 66 | 8 | 19 | 22 | 29 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 12 |
| Today (N) | 60 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 34 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 8 |
| Daytime Serials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All My Children (A) | 78 | 13 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 26 | 10 |
| As The World Turns (C) | 80 | 15 | 16 | 32 | 18 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 5 |
| Bold \& The Beautiful (C) | 74 | 12 | 17 | 30 | 20 | 12 | 11 | 23 | 7 |
| Days of Our Lives (N) | 76 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 9 |
| Ceneral Hospital (A) | 79 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 23 | 13 | 11 | 18 | 11 |
| Guiding Light (C) | 78 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 7 |
| One Life To Live (A) | 79 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 27 | 8 |
| Passions (N) | 76 | 2.4 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 12 |
| Young \& The Restless (C) | 74 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 26 | 8 |

Day Game Shows

| Price Is Right (C) | 58 | 12 | 14 | 37 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Syndicated Talk Shows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dr. Phil | 73 | 8 | 17 | 26 | 23 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Ellen DeGeneres | 74 | 12 | 23 | 13 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Jerry Springer | 45 | 22 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 33 | 15 |
| Live! With Regis \& Kelly | 66 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 28 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 6 |
| Oprah Winfrey | 78 | 9 | 18 | 24 | 27 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 8 |
| Ricki | 68 | 24 | 25 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 21 | 47 | 14 |

Syndicated Court Shows

| Divorce Court | 61 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 45 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Judge Judy | 59 | 7 | 17 | 27 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 23 | 7 |
| Judge Mathis | 58 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 47 | 7 |
| People's Court | 61 | 8 | 19 | 27 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 22 | 11 |
| US.Adult Pop. | 52 | 1.3 | 21 | 16 | 31 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 12 |

[^98]'Average telecast
$\checkmark$ Source MRI. sping 2001.

## AVERAGE $1 / 4$ HOUR RATING AND AUDIENCE COMPOSITION FOR NATIONALLY-AIRED MAJOR ON-AIR NETWORK TV SPORTS

|  | VIEWERS PER 100 HOMES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TV H.н. } \\ & \text { RTG. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHILD. } \\ \text { 2-11 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TEENS } \\ 12-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEN } \\ 18+ \end{gathered}$ | WOMEN $18+$ | TOTAI |
| Bowling | 2-4 | 9 | 6 | 58 | 63 | 136 |
| Baseball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reg. Season | 2-4 | 9 | 7 | 71 | 43 | 130 |
| Playoffs | 5-10 | 10 | 8 | 76 | 51 | 145 |
| World Series | 10-16 | 11 | 9 | 80 | 60 | 160 |
| College Basketball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reg. Season | 2-4 | 8 | 9 | 76 | 40 | 133 |
| Tournaments | 6-18 | 12 | 13 | 83 | 42 | 150 |
| College Football |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Saturday PM | 3-5 | 8 | 7 | 78 | 41 | 134 |
| Bowls | 5-9 | 10 | 9 | 84 | 53 | 156 |
| Pro-Basketball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NBA--Reg. Season | 3-6 | 10 | 12 | 75 | 40 | 137 |
| NBA - Playoffs | 58 | 12 | 15 | 85 | 45 | 157 |
| Championship Series | 10-11 | 11 | 16 | 87 | 47 | 161 |
| Pro-Football |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunday PM | 8-14 | 12 | 10 | 83 | 41 | 145 |
| Monday Night | 11-14 | 8 | 11 | 86 | 44 | 149 |
| Playoffs | 14-20 | 15 | 10 | 88 | 46 | 159 |
| Super Bowvl | 35-45 | 18 | 14 | 96 | 64 | 192 |
| Golf | 2-3 | 5 | 5 | 71 | 53 | 134 |
| Hockey | 2-3 | 11 | 12 | 72 | 38 | 131 |
| Horse Racing | 3-7 | 4 | 3 | 62 | 67 | 136 |
| Multi-Sports Shows (Anthologies) | 1-2 | 11 | 7 | 48 | 55 | 121 |
| Tennis | 2-3 | 10 | 8 | 50 | 57 | 125 |

- Source: Afedia Dynamics. Inic


# AVERAGE TELECAST ADULT VIEWER PROFILE OF SELECTED SYNDICATED SERIES 

|  | SEX |  | AGE |  |  | H.H. INCOME |  |  | RACE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18. 35 65 <br> 24 44 + |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \$ 75,000 & \$ 30 \\ & 30,999 \\ \$ 10,000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MEN | WOMEN |  |  |  | WHITE | BI ACK | HISPANIC |  |  |  |
| Talk Shows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ellen DeGerneres | 26\% | 74\% | 12\% | 23\% | 13\% |  |  |  | $31 \%$ | 9\% | 5\% | 88\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Jerry Springer | 55 | 45 | 21 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 52 | 33 | 15 |
| Live! Will Regls \& Kelly | 44 | 66 | 9 | 17 | 30 | 28 | 14 | 5 | 86 | 10 | 6 |
| Montel Williams Show | 32 | 68 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 73 | 19 | 10 |
| Oprah | 22 | 78 | 9 | 18 | 24 | 27 | 10 | 7 | 78 | 15 | 8 |
| Ricki | 32 | 68 | 24 | 25 | 5 | 12 | 1.5 | 22 | 36 | 47 | 14 |
| Sitcoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drew Carey | 58 | 42 | 19 | 27 | 7 | 21 | 11 | 10 | 78 | 10 | 12 |
| Everybody L oves Raymond | 42 | 58 | 9 | 20 | 23 | 29 | 12 | 6 | 85 | 6 | 9 |
| Frasier | 45 | 55 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 27 | 13 | 8 | 80 | 14 | 8 |
| Friends | 42 | 58 | 19 | 21 | 8 | 33 | 10 | 6 | 84 | 5 | 11 |
| Home Improvement | 53 | 47 | 16 | 24 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 82 | 7 | 10 |
| The Hughleys | 45 | 55 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 62 | 12 |
| Scinfeld | 60 | 40 | 14 | 24 | 9 | 38 | 10 | 4 | 83 | 6 | 11 |
| Game Shows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hollywood Squares | 41 | 59 | 8 | 12 | 40 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 81 | 12 | 8 |
| Jeopardy | 47 | 56 | 7 | 13 | 37 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 84 | 11 | 5 |
| Wheel Of Fortune | 40 | 60 | 8 | 12 | 39 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 81 | 12 | 6 |
| Mag. Shows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access Hollywood | 34 | 67 | 17 | 21 | 8 | 30 | 12 | 8 | 62 | 17 | 17 |
| Fntertainment Tonighn | 44 | 66 | 8 | 18 | 17 | 34 | 10 | 6 | 79 | 13 | 9 |
| Extra | 34 | 66 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 59 | 12 | 25 |
| Inside Edition | 39 | 61 | 11 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 68 | 25 | 7 |
| Action/Adventure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cops | 59 | 41 | 14 | 22 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 10) | 78 | 13 | 10 |
| Star Trek Voyager | 59 | 41 | 13 | 28 | 11 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 70 | 20 | 10 |
| Court Shows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Divorce Court | 39 | 61 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 46 | 45 | 8 |
| Judge Judy | 41 | 59 | 7 | 17 | 27 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 71 | 23 | 7 |
| Judge Mathis | 42 | 58 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 48 | 47 | 7 |
| Pcople's Court | 39 | 61 | 8 | 19 | 27 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 67 | 22 | 11 |
| Total Adult Pop. | 48 | 52 | 13 | 21 | 16 | 31 | 11 | 6 | 78 | 11 | 12 |

[^99]$\square$ Sourre. MR1. spring 2004


# À La Carte and "Family Tiers" as a Response to a Market Defect in the Multichannel Video Programming Market 

T. Randolph Beard, ${ }^{\dagger}$ George S. Ford, ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Thomas M. Koutsky ${ }^{*}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Many policymakers, both Republican and Democrat, have recently expressed concern over the content of video programming and, in particular, the practice of multichannel video programming distributors ("MVPDs") such as cable and satellite video providers to "bundle" a large number and variety of channels together into a "take-it-or-leave-it" package. It is common for MPVDs to make certain "must-have" programming (like CNN, ESPN, Nickelodeon, or The Discovery Channel) available only to those consumers in an "expanded basic" bundle that also includes other channels like MTV and SpikeTV that might contain content some subscribers may find objectionable.
Federal Communications Commission ("FCC" or "Commission") Chairman Kevin J. Martin has discussed this issue publicly and has repeatedly asked the MVPD industry to develop solutions that would give American households additional choice and control over the cable programming networks that they receive. ${ }^{1}$ On February 6, 2006, the FCC Media Bureau

[^100]issued a report that found several potential benefits to "à la carte" (channel-by-channel) provision of cable programming, from "combating rising MVPD rates and lowering consumer bills" and "offer[ing] consumers the ability to pay only for the programming that they value." ${ }^{2}$ The Media Bureau report followed testimony by Chairman Martin before the Senate Commerce Committee in which he discussed his frustration with increasingly indecent programming and the unavailability of "family tiers" of programming that exclude potentially offensive material.

Members of Congress have also begun to take action. On June 7, 2006, Senator John McCain introduced the Consumers Having Options in Cable Entertainment ("CHOICE") Act. ${ }^{3}$ In introducing the legislation, Senator McCain said that despite calls for more programming package choices, cable companies "have continued to give consumers all the 'choice' of a North Korean election ballot." ${ }^{\text {"4 }}$ As discussed below, the CHOICE Act incorporates a carrot/stick approach to the issue, in an attempt to induce cable firms and programmers to offer channels on an à la carte basis to consumers. ${ }^{5}$ In 2005, Senator Ron Wyden took a different approach, proposing a bill that would require all cable providers offer a family-tier of at least fifteen channels. ${ }^{6}$
Many consumers and some commentators have supported these initiatives. ${ }^{7}$ But these initiatives have also come under attack, often on the ideo-

[^101]logical ground that a government requirement that an MVPD offer a "family tier" or "à la carte" programming is an unwise and dangerous interference with free market principles. ${ }^{8}$ The general thread of these criticisms is that cable companies are simply offering consumers the services that they want, so if consumers continue to purchase these bundles of programming, it is not the government's role to intervene in those decisions. ${ }^{9}$ One commentator has even argued that in a competitive market, "self-interest (the need to retain customers) will compel competitive firms to select the pricing strategy that their customers prefer." ${ }^{10}$
This article describes a set of circumstances in which a market defect will lead to the bundling of objectionable with desirable content-even in competitive video distribution markets. ${ }^{11}$ This approach is practical and not philosophical. The purpose is to present an economic modet illustrating the circumstances or conditions in which a multichannel video programming provider might decide that it is more profitable to deliver particular channels of video programming to households in a "forced bundle" that does not give consumers the option to exclude objectionable programming from the bundle. The analysis uncovers one set of conditions that makes these "forced bundles" resistant to changes in market structure, in that forced bundles appear in both monopolist and competitive structures. It finds that the practice of forced bundling may persist even in fully competitive video markets. The analysis shows that simplistic arguments that cable companies are simply using "the pricing strategy that their customers" prefer is fundamentally flawed. These findings instead suggest that investigations by both academics and government into the causes and consequences of "forced bundling" may be legitimate, since this model shows that con-
cerned Women for America, CWA Endorses McCain's Cable Choice Bill (June 7, 2006), available at http://www.cwfa.org/articledisplay.asp?id=10908\&department=MEDIA\& categoryid=misc.

See, e.g., Adam Thierer, Moral and Philosophical Aspects of the Debate over A La Carte Regulation (The Progress and Freedom Foundation, Progress Snapshot, Release 1.23, Dec. 2005), available at http://www.pff.org/issues-pubs/ps/ps1.23alacarte.pdf (last visited Nov. 12, 2006) ("[Y]ou have no 'right' call [sic] upon government to upend an industry's private business arrangements"). Thierer also called Sen. Wyden's bill "government nannyism for cable TV." Adam Thierer, "Kid-Friendly" Tiering Mandates: More Government Nannyism for Cable TV (The Progress and Freedom Foundation, Progress Snapshot, Release 1.2, May 2005), available at http://www.pff.org/issues-pubs/ps/ps1.2familyfriendly tiering.pdf.
${ }^{9}$ See infra Part IV.A-E and accompanying footnotes.
10 Steven S. Wildman, A Case for A La Carte and "Increased Choice"? An Economic Assessment of the FCC's Further Report 20 (Mar. 9, 2006) (unpublished manuscript), available at http://www.ncta.com/DocumentBinary.asp?id=203 at 20 (scroll to bottom of web page and select the hyperlink "Wildam Paper 031506.pdf") (last visited Nov. 12, 2006).
${ }^{11}$ "Objectionable" content refers to content from which the consumer derives a negative utility.
sumer welfare is unambiguously improved with the elimination of forced bundles.

Section II presents a brief background on the issue of à la carte and forced bundling in the purchase of video programming. Section III contains a simple economic model in which a third party (e.g., advertisers and programmers) compensates the cable provider for bundling certain undesirable channels with desirable ones. The presence of this third party does not, in and of itself, create a "market failure," but it does interfere with the ability of consumers to communicate their bundling preferences to MVPDs and have those preferences fully realized. Rather than a "market failure," this effect could more appropriately be called a "market defect"-but whatever one calls it, it is certainly a failure from the consumer's point of view. ${ }^{12}$ The influence of the third party is not alleviated by changes in market structure, as forced bundling is shown to be resistant to competitive entry. Since the transaction costs of organizing a coalition of consumers to pay for the exclusion of objectionable content are likely to be prohibitively high, an argument for the government to act as an agent is not unreasonable. Section IV outlines some potential policy responses, recognizing that any effort to resolve this problem with government intervention requires the policymaker to invest in fully understanding the sources and nature of bundling, so that any rules can be precisely targeted.

## II. BACKGROUND

Most consumers who purchase cable services purchase an "expanded basic" tier of channels, ${ }^{13}$ which is usually priced at a fixed monthly cost. ${ }^{14}$ Many of the most popular cable programming services are found on this expanded basic tier-programs as diverse as "The Iron Chef," 15 "MythBusters," ${ }^{16}$ and "Poirot." ${ }^{17}$ But the expanded basic tier also contains
${ }^{12}$ The complete social welfare consequences of these practices are beyond the scope of our analysis. See generally Avinash Dixit \& Victor Norman, Advertising and Welfare, 9 BELL J. ECON. 1 (1978) (examining the relationship of private profitability and consumer tastes in several market settings).
${ }^{13}$ See Leslie Cauley, How We Pay for Cable May Be About to Change, USA Today, Mar. 1, 2006, http://www.usatoday.com/tech/news/2006-03-01-ala-carte-cable_x.htm (discussing tradition cable packages and payment schemes); Family Choice Act of 2006, H.R. 5919, 109th Cong. § 2(1) (2006) ("88 percent [of cable consumers] subscribe to [an] expanded basic service.").
${ }^{14}$ See id.
is "The Iron Chef" is a Food Network program in which several chefs compete in a cooking competition against the reigning champion. See Iron Chef, http://www.foodnetwork.com/food/show_ic (last visited Nov. 12, 2006).
${ }^{16}$ "Mythbusters" is a Discovery Channel program where special effects experts test the veracity of myths by reconstructing them in controlled experiments. See Discovery Channel, Mythbusters Fan Site, http:/dsc.discovery.com/fansites/mythbusters /mythbusters.html (last visited Nov. 12, 2006).
programming that some families-particularly parents of school-aged chil-dren-might prefer not to have available.
For example, a recent show on MTV entitled "I'm on Steroids" documented one man's attempt to get on the cover of a fitness magazine by taking steroids "under the supervision of professionals." ${ }^{18}$ Another MTV show, called "Homewrecker," showed how to "Make Your Very Own Torture Room." 19 SpikeTV sported an animated show called "Stripperella," which starred Pamela Anderson as the voice of Erotica Jones, "a stripper by night and superhero Stripperella by even later at night."20
While no one forces consumers to watch programming they do not prefer, many have argued that the ready and easy availability of this type of programming to children creates important social problems and costs. Despite these opportunities, the fact remains that a family that wishes to have access to CNN, ESPN, or The Discovery Channel, in the overwhelming majority of cases, must also accept access to MTV and SpikeTV as part of the bundle.
In addition to this impact on families, bundling of video programming presents other complicated issues. FCC economists Keith Brown and Peter J. Alexander have presented a conceptual analysis of programming network bundling, and they found that bundling can be a method in which a firm with market power can capture monopoly rents from consumers, but that certain types of bundling also can be an efficient response by the MVPD to competition. ${ }^{21}$ Brown and Alexander also note that "bundling may be used as a tool that incumbents use to foreclose entry."22

[^102]Defenders of the industry's "forced bundling" of program networks admit that there is a form of cross-subsidy involved in this practice. ${ }^{23}$ A 2004 FCC Media Bureau report largely supported the cable industry's position and stated that bundling of channels requires consumers to "crosssubsidize each others' viewing habits, allowing new and diverse programming to survive in the marketplace. ${ }^{י 24}$ Critics of forced bundling decry these cross-subsidies, but there is at least some consensus on the point that cross-subsidization motivates the practice of bundling video programming networks.
Perhaps the most perplexing thing about à la carte programming is that so little of it exists. Brown and Alexander note that a policy of mixing bundles and à la carte pricing could "allow[] the monopolist to price the bundle very high,-to capture the consumers with a high total valuation of all the channels, and still capture some consumers with a very high valuation of particular channels." ${ }^{\text {.25 }}$ A multi-tier strategy is essentially a form of price discrimination, ${ }^{26}$ so it would seem logical that a menu of programming options that involve multiple combinations of a "family tier," along with an "adult contemporary tier" or "scatological content tier," would, if properly implemented, generate higher profits for a firm. Yet this does not happen except in certain circumstances (notably, sports, movies, and Playboy/Spice, none of which contain channels generally available on the expanded basic tier level).

With digital cable services, there does not seem to be a significant tech- . nological reason as to why making a multitude of à la carte and tiers is not possible. Digital capability offers the ability to offer more à la carte programming, through pay-per-view or video-on-demand services, more costeffectively than it might have been before. ${ }^{27}$ In the days of analog cable service (which have yet to pass completely), high equipment or software costs to exclude particular channels from the bundle might have justified the sale of programming in large bundles. Analog cable systems often used filters and traps to block certain channels, but it was notoriously easy for consumers to circumvent those devices. But, even in the days of analog

[^103]cable, some programming was still sold on an à la carte basis, such as HBO. In a digital cable environment, however, the argument that it is difficult as a hardware, software, or enforcement matter to offer channels solely on an à la carte basis is weak. The 2006 FCC Media Bureau Report notes "a substantial share" of the costs of deploying à la carte is accounted for by digital transmission, a conversion that "is occurring independently of any à la carte options. ${ }^{י 28}$ The technology for complete à la carte for digital cable subscribers is now clearly possible. ${ }^{29}$ Given the potential profit opportunities that might await cable operators from selling programming à la carte, the fact that video services are not generally sold in this way today must have a different explanation.

The following section outlines an economic model to help explain why a cable firm would create bundles combining undesirable with desirable programming. This model shows that the presence of advertising revenues or some other off-setting factor, like programming distribution transaction costs, plays a significant role in setting the size of the expanded basic tier. Stated another way, MVPDs do not create their tiers of programming solely by reference to what consumers want to watch (or not watch)-an MVPD establishes tiers in order to maximize profits. Those profits include advertising revenues and programming licensing considerations, which, in essence, require that popular programming networks be bundled with new and niche channels. ${ }^{30}$ As a witness for the Dish Network, one of the nation's largest MVPDs, David J. Moskowitz testified before the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation:

We've also considered offering a family tier, but are currently prevented from doing so by our existing contracts with programmers. . . . [L]arge content providers require the bundling of multiple core popular programming networks, only some of which would be considered family friendly. Again, in these circumstances, the programming vendors will not sell the family friendly channel or will only offer it at an uneconomic price, unless we agree to accept several of the vendors' other channels and place these other networks in the same programming tier. ${ }^{31}$

[^104]The intervention of third parties with market power in the exchange between the MVPD and the consumer can create a type of market defect that results in consumers purchasing programming that they would prefer not to receive. The "market defect" is that the forced purchase of undesirable programming will occur in both monopolistic and competitive settings in the retail distribution market, and that consumers are unable to influence bundling decisions (in either of these competitive extremes). Thus, even if a market performs well by traditional measures, consumer surplus might be reduced by the "forced bundling" of offensive programming with desirable programming.

## III. MODEL

This economic model tries to explain the reasons why a MVPD would choose to sell programming to consumers only in "bundles" that contain programming that the consumer may not wish to purchase. The model shows that the presence of a third party, such as an advertiser or a video programming vendor (like Disney or Viacom), will result in programming carriage and bundling decisions that will be sub-optimal to particular consumers. Moreover, the analysis finds that this sub-optimal outcome occurs both in monopoly and competitive/contestable market conditions. As a result, one cannot simply posit that a "competitive" MVPD market will alleviate this problem.
To make this model simple, it assumes only two consumers (1 and 2) and two channels (A and B). Consumers value these products at Vij (the value of channel $j$ to customer $i$ ). The value $V i j$ can be negative-this means that the consumer does not like the channel and would consider himself to be better off if the channel were not piped into his home. The costs of delivering a channel to a household are given by the cost function $C(a, b)$ where $a$ and $b$ count the number of subscribers who get each channel. For example, $C(2,1)$ implies the seller sells 2 units of channel $A$ and 1 unit of channel $B$. Table 1 summarizes potential combinations of output and illustrative values of cost. Both scale and scope economies are present.

Table 1: Potential Costs with Illustrative Values

| $C(1,0)=5$ | $C(1,2)=10$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $C(0,1)=6$ | $C(2,0)=8$ |
| $C(1,1)=8$ | $C(0,2)=9$ |
| $C(2,1)=9$ | $C(2,2)=11$ |

The following conditions have been imposed on the models. First, the values from the channels are additive, so that $V A+V B=V A B$ (ignoring the consumer subscript). In other words, the values of the channels are inde-
pendent. Second, costs do not vary depending on the identity of the customer.

## A. Example 1: When À La Carte Should Happen

It is easy to construct an example in which the cable firm would offer channels on an à la carte basis to the two customers. If customer values Network A at $10(V A=10)$ and values Network B at $-1(V B=-1)$, then the value of a bundle of Networks A and B to the consumer is $9(V A B=9)$. Using the costs from Table 1, the cable company would generate a profit of only 1 by selling a bundle of A and B to the consumer $(C(1,1)=8)$. An à la carte offering; however, actually increases the value of the cable firm's goods to this consumer (to 10 ) and reduces cable firm's cost to 5 $[C(1,0)=5]$. Thus, in this situation, à la carte pricing is more profitable than a forced bundle.
Importantly, the cost figures in Table 1 include a "discount" to the seller for offering both channels ( $8<5+6$ ). Thus, even if each channel is more costly if purchased alone (or, there is some cost to exclude a channel), à la carte pricing is profitable. This is always true when the value of a channel is negative and the cost of the channel is positive. ${ }^{32}$
Example 1 shows two simple but important conditions that are critical for à la carte pricing to happen: the potential value from à la carte availability to the consumer must be higher than the value of a larger bundled product, or the cable firm's costs must be lower if its services were sold on an à la carte basis. These two factors are independent of one another. If a consumer truly despised Network B so that $V B=-11$, then the cable firm's costs need not change at all for it to recognize the value of à la carte pricing. In this case, if an $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ bundle is created, then the consumer does not purchase the product because he assigns a negative value to the bundle. Even if the cable operator's costs from providing à la carte services for Network A (say, $\mathrm{C}(1,0)=9$ ) is higher than the cost of providing the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ bundle ( $\mathrm{C}(1,1)$ ), then it might still find it profitable to make this option available to this consumer.

## B. The Presence of a Third Party

Video services are not bought and sold as described in Example 1. Importantly, third parties (notably, advertisers and programmers) are part of the MVPD industry's profit calculations and cannot be ignored. İndeed, as noted above, one of the nation's largest MVPDs, the Dish Network, has publicly stated that the practices of programmers and advertisers may be most responsible for the failure of consumers to obtain à la carte program-

[^105]ming. ${ }^{33}$ The presence of a third party is important because it affects the revenues and profits of a cable firm that offers a menu of products. ${ }^{34}$ A third-party advertiser pays the cable or satellite firm according to viewers and/or subscribers, while a third-party programmer charges the cable or satellite firm according to subscribers.

For the following examples, let us assume that this third party (say, an advertiser) pays the multichannel video provider some amount $S A \geq 0$ for each subscriber that receives Network A, and $S B \geq 0$ for each subscriber that receives Network B. ${ }^{35}$ This payment-per-eyeball approach is generally consistent with the nature of transactions in the advertising industry. ${ }^{36}$ While this focus is on the role of the advertiser in these examples, the same could be said for programming vendors that wish to sell a portfolio of cable programming networks to an MVPD. Several MVPDs have alleged that programming vendors "tie" the sales of all of their networks together that require that all networks be purchased and placed on the same tier, or that these vendors offer channels on an à la carte basis but only at higher prices. ${ }^{37}$ In this sense, an MVPD that wishes to offer multiple tiers or à la carte channels will face higher costs. ${ }^{38}$ That situation leads to similar decisions as to a situation in which an MVPD would receive lower advertising revenues if it offered more tiers or à la carte programming.

## C. Example 2: Forced Purchase of Undesirable Channels Under Monopoly

Example 1 describes the situation in which the MPVD, in the absence of a third party, would be better off with à la carte pricing. In Example 1, bundling reduces the maximum price the seller can charge for a bundle and eliminating the channel reduces costs. As a result, the MVPD will not require the consumer to purchase Networks $A$ and $B$ in a bundle.
Now enters a third party that is willing to pay the MVPD if the consumer receives Network $B$ (the network the consumer does not like) or the third party will increase the costs of the MVPD for carrying Network $A$ if it does not distribute Network B on the same tier. As a result, the consumer's willingness to pay ( $V$ ) is not the only revenue issue for the MVPD. Whether or not to force the bundle on the consumer or offer à la carte pric-

[^106]ing depends on which option offers the greatest profits. These profits are affected by a third party.
The relevant condition for forced bundling can be derived as follows. Say Consumer 1 likes Network A $(V A I>0)$ and Network $\operatorname{B}(V B I>0)$, but Consumer 2 dislikes Network $\mathrm{B}(V B 1>0, V B 2<0)$. If so, the cable company has two relevant options: (1) it can force a bundle on both consumers or (2) it can offer only a bundle to Consumer 1 and à la carte pricing to Consumer 2 (a "mixed bundle"). ${ }^{39}$
Which strategy is more profitable? To see, let:
$P_{\mathrm{AB}}{ }^{\prime}=$ bundle price in a forced bundle;
$P_{\mathrm{AB}}{ }^{*}=$ bundle price in a mixed bundle; and
$P A^{*}=$ channel A price in mixed bundle.
The profit of the seller with a forced bundle is:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{F}=2 P_{A B}^{\prime}-C(2,2)+2 S_{A}+2 S_{B}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and with the mixed bundle is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{M}=P_{A B} *+P_{A} *-C(2,1)+2 S_{A}+S_{B} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difference in the profits is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{F}-\pi_{M}=\left(2 P_{A B}^{\prime}-P_{A B} *-P_{A}^{*}\right)-[C(2,2)-C(2,1)]+S_{B} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To clean up a little, let $C(2,2)-C(2,1)=I C_{b}$, which is the incremental cost of one more channel B . The monopolist forces the bundle on both Consumers 1 and 2 if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 P_{A B}^{\prime}-P_{A B}{ }^{*}-P_{A}{ }^{*}\right)-I C_{b}+S_{B}>0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Consumer 2 has a negative value for channel $B$, presumably we have $\left(2 P_{A B}^{\prime}-P_{A B}{ }^{*}-P_{A}{ }^{*}\right) \leq 0$. If we accept this to be true, then $\left(2 P_{A B}{ }^{\prime}-P_{A B}{ }^{*}-P_{A}{ }^{*}\right)=0$ is as large as this expression can be. So, a necessary condition for forced bundling is $S B>I C b$; that is, the extra dollars from the third-party source (advertising) exceeds the incremental cost of providing the channel to Consumer 2. The larger the distaste of channel B by Consumer 2, the larger $S B$ must be to force the bundle. But if $S B$ is

[^107]large enough, the bundle will be required even if Consumer 2 has a strong distaste for Network B.
Example 2 reveals an important concept: if an MVPD receives revenues (SB) from another source, like an advertiser, it may bundle programming to consumers that the consumer would prefer not to view. In this sense, bundling of channels on an "expanded basic" tier is similar to unsightly billboard advertising-drivers and neighbors may dislike a billboard intensely but may not necessarily have a "say" as to the content or presence of the billboard, which is worked out between the property owner and the firm paying for the ad. Proponents of forced bundles admit that this practice occurs-they extol this "cross-subsidization" in which consumers pay for and receive programming they do not want as part of a bundle in order that other consumers can see that programming. ${ }^{40}$
It is important to understand that $S B$ need not be additional revenue to a cable operator-it could represent lower costs (perhaps usefully thought of as a rebate on the price of programming). Consider the situation in which a cable operator is negotiating with a large programmer that produces a number of cable programming networks (like ABC/Disney or Time Warner). The programmer may offer all of its networks in a "take it or leave it" package that requires that all affiliated programming networks be packaged as part of the expanded basic tier. A programmer also may structure the pricing of its channels so that placing only a few networks in the expanded basic tier but not the others would be prohibitively expensive for the cable operator. Packages including "must have" channels like network broadcast affiliates and ESPN exacerbate this practice. It has been alleged that the current broadcast-cable retransmission consent rules encourage and facilitate these arrangements, which has led to the proliferation of networks affiliated with the major broadcast networks like ABC Family and MSNBC. ${ }^{41}$ In these examples, $S B$ would represent the avoided additional cost that a cable operator would incur if it did not place Network B in the expanded basic tier. If that cost includes the inability to carry a local broadcast affiliate of ABC or NBC, then $S B$ would be relatively high and lead to a number of cases of forced bundling.
D. Example 3: Forced Purchase of Undesirable Channels Under Competition

It might be simple to assert that increasing the number of MVPDs would result in programming tiers more tailored to individual consumer tastesand that if such tailoring of preferences does not occur and large "ex-

[^108]panded basic" bundles proliferate in a competitive environment, then government should not worry about that result. But that argument fails to consider that some market defects persist even in competitive markets. A closer examination shows that the presence of third-party advertisers and programmers directly interfere with the MVPD-subscriber relationship, and this interference is independent of market structure.
With large scale and scope economies, which have been assumed, competition is costly and, therefore, less likely. Nevertheless, this model can evaluate the effects of competition on bundling by using contestable market theory. ${ }^{42}$ In essence, contestable market theory suggests markets render results consistent with competition even if a monopolist serves the market. Or, contestable market theory assumes that because of the threat of competitive entry, firms will price so that they reap zero monopoly profit.
With zero profits, a firm selling a bundle in a contestable market would price the bundle accordingly:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 P_{A B}^{\prime \prime}-C(2,2)+2 S_{A}+2 S_{B}=0, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

so:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(P_{A B}^{\prime}+S_{A}+S_{B}\right)=C(2,2) .{ }^{43} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us consider the question of a firm that seeks to enter the market and it is considering various pricing schemes, including à la carte. If the entrant sells Customer 1 a bundle of Networks $A$ and $B$, then its profits are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A B}+S_{A}+S_{B}-C(1,1)<0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The firm will not make money if the entrant tries to sell Customer 1 the bundle of Network A and B.

If the entrant tries to serve Customer 1 the bundle of Networks A and B, its profits will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{A B}^{*}+P_{A}+2 S_{A}+S_{B}-C(2,1)<0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately for the entrant, this strategy is unprofitable as well. Example 2 shows that the incumbent will force a bundle of Networks A and B if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 P_{A B}^{\prime \prime}-C(2,2)+2 S_{A}+2 S_{B} \geq P_{A B}^{*}+P_{A}^{*}-C(2,1)+2 S_{A}+2 S_{B} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^109]Yet we know that the left-hand side of Eq. (9) is zero by Eq. (5)-that is, the incumbent has set its prices so that it is earning no super-competitive profits. As a result, Eq. (8) must be negative, so entry is unprofitable.
So, in a contestable market setting, an incumbent will be able to maintain a forced bundle against a competitive entrant. Competition at the local MVPD distribution level alone, under certain conditions, may not protect consumers from bundles of undesirable and desirable programming.

## E. Impact of Bundling on Welfare

Under some conditions, an MVPD might require a consumer to purchase programming networks he or she does not desire in order to obtain access to programming networks he or she wants. This practice of "forced bundling" in the expanded basic tier can occur if blocking that channel increases the MVPD's costs or if the presence of a third party (such as an advertiser or programmer) increases the revenues of a MVPD for these forced bundles.

The social welfare effects in the video programming industry are difficult to determine for a number of reasons. First, the market that produces the prices $S$ has not been formally modeled in this article. As part of this analysis, one must consider that the benefits to the advertiser of the customer contact (equal to at least $S$ ) and any effects on consumer welfare from the purchase of advertised products. ${ }^{44}$ Also, there may be direct costs experienced by the seller caused by providing the advertisement, and these costs offset the benefits to the advertiser in a welfare calculation.
What is clear, however, is that consumer welfare unambiguously rises if the consumer can avoid purchasing undesirable channels as part of a bundle. The interest of Chairman Martin and other policymakers regarding family tiers and à la carte solutions could be seen simply as evidence that the interests and welfare of consumers are being articulated by policymakers.

## F. Practical Issues

This theoretical framework has revealed that forced consumption of undesirable channels may be resistant to competition. Thus, even a well functioning market may fail to satisfy reasonable consumer demands (i.e., not buying programming they find offensive), because third-party advertisers and programming vendors affect the carriage and tiering choices that

[^110]MVPDs make, and transactions costs effectively prevent consumers from participating in these decision.
This result is much like findings of economic theory that the relative quality of services provided between monopoly and competition is ambiguous. ${ }^{45}$ In essence, a monopolist has an incentive to increase demand through quality improvements as do firms with rivals, and in some cases the incentive for quality is greater for monopoly. It is also similar to the inability of economic theory to provide compelling theoretical evidence that competitive firms are more efficient than monopoly firms. ${ }^{46}$
In practice, however, we often observe that competition lowers prices, raises quality, and increases firm efficiency. ${ }^{47}$ The failure of theory to produce these results in an unambiguous fashion is a shortcoming of theory, not of experience. So, while it is quite possible that competition may help resolve or mitigate instances of "forced bundling," the risk (and reasons) that it might not should be thoroughly investigated.

## IV. POLICY APPROACHES

The preceding analysis shows that policymakers cannot simply make face-value assertions that consumers "always have the option of declining" to purchase cable programming, ${ }^{48}$ or that if the market is sufficiently competitive, MVPDs will offer consumers bundles and tiers "in the form they want them. ${ }^{199}$ Instead, the analysis shows (a) that there is the potential for a market defect that may result in the distribution of programming to consumers that consumers would not otherwise choose to purchase except for forced bundling and (b) that consumers are effectively unable to do anything about it.
In particular, this model shows that in certain circumstances, a variety of factors may work in a manner that makes desirable video programming (like CNN and ESPN) available only through an "expanded basic" tier that

[^111]also contains cable programming networks that a number of consumers prefer not to receive, let alone pay for. This circumstance can be the result of a number of conditions, such as an inability of consumers to contract with upstream content providers or other third-party interventions. It can also be caused by public policies that reinforce the ability of a video programming vendor to require that all of its affiliated video networks be placed on the same tier, without regard to whether certain of those networks may or may not be family friendly.
Because forced bundling can be the result of market defects or of public policy, it is legitimate for concerned policymakers to examine potential public policy responses. However, any public policy response should be targeted at the root causes or conditions that might lead to inordinate forced bundling. To the extent that policymakers believe intervention is required, a number of potential strategies can be utilized that are directed at the real source of forced bundling. This section discusses potential approaches for policymakers, some of which have been proposed, others not, and assesses whether those approaches address the root causes or conditions of forced bundling. It should be noted that addressing the root causes may involve strategies that do not simply mandate "family tiers" and à la carte programming. Other alternatives may exist, though they are merely thoughts at this point, and we have not conducted any meaningful cost/benefit analysis of any the proposals. Nevertheless, we believe it is worthwhile to initiate the discussion of some potential solutions.

## A. Subscriber-Selected Tiers

FCC economists Keith Brown and Peter J. Alexander note that the practice of bundling channels holds the promise of being efficiency-enhancing yet also harmful to consumers. ${ }^{50}$ To resolve this ambiguity, Brown and Alexander outline one potential solution that might advance consumer welfare:

Allow the cable operators to sell bundled packages of channel space to consumers at a given price, and then allow consumers to choose which channels they put on that space. For example, cable operators could sell a package of 25 channels for $\$ 30$ and for package of 50 channels for $\$ 55$, but consumers would then choose what those 25 or 50 channels would be. ${ }^{51}$
The 2006 FCC Media Bureau report called this proposal "subscriberselected tiers" and noted that two Canadian cable operators, Videotron and Rogers Cable, already offer this type of pricing to consumers. ${ }^{32}$
Implementing this approach would, of course, involve some complexity as cable programming networks charge different prices to MVPDs for dis-

[^112]tribution. Implementation might require the renegotiation of programming delivery contracts; but then again, that would be the point. As a result, third parties like advertisers and programming vendors would not be able to insist that particular networks be sold on particular tiers, at least not exclusively. ${ }^{53}$
The attractiveness of this approach lies in the fact that it would permit an MVPD to recover fixed costs, but in a way in which consumers select (and pay for) the channels most valuable to them. Indeed, the pricing strategy would permit the MVPD to engage in efficiency-enhancing price discrimination in a more targeted way than one-size-fits-all "expanded basic" bundles. ${ }^{54}$ As Brown and Alexander note, consumers "would self-select in such a way so that the final equilibrium would be identical to the price discrimination bundling equilibrium." 55
At the same time, Brown and Alexander note that this option might reduce consumer welfare and total economic welfare if the MVPD had sufficient market power. ${ }^{56}$ As a result, this option might best be undertaken in conjunction with other steps to promote competition and entry into the industry, such as cable franchise reform.

## B. Regulatory Mandate: The Kid-Friendly TV Programming Act

In 2005, Senator Ron Wyden introduced S. 946, the Kid-Friendly TV Programming Act. ${ }^{57}$ Senator Wyden's approach would require all MVPDs to offer a family-friendly tier that includes at least fifteen channels, with a risk of fines for a failure to comply.
A command approach like S. 946 might have some appeal in its simplicity, ${ }^{58}$ but this proposal simply does not address the root causes we discuss above. The market defect we focus on is not the transaction between the MVPD and the subscriber, but the fact that third parties, such as advertisers and programmers, are involved in the wealth-maximizing bundling decisions of the MVPD. An MVPD makes decisions about what channels to put on a tier not only by reference to what its subscribers prefer but also the revenue opportunities afforded to it by advertisers and programming vendors. ${ }^{59}$

[^113]The proposal also raises the question as to how to define what is a "child-friendly tier." S. 946 proposes to incorporate section 641 (d) into the Communications Act of 1934, which defines "child-friendly tier" as "a group of channels that do not carry programming, advertisements, or public service announcements that would be considered inappropriate for children due to obscene, indecent, profane, sexual, or gratuitous and excessively violent content. ${ }^{\circ 60}$ Because that definition is incorporated in to the Communications Act, the FCC would have the authority to interpret that definition and promulgate rules. ${ }^{61}$
This approach might, in fact, make the problem worse because it might strengthen the bargaining power that programming vendors have over MVPDs. Suppose that the only reasonably profitable means of offering a "child-friendly tier" is to include Nickelodeon and Noggin (both owned by Viacom $)^{62}$ into that tier. Faced with a regulatory mandate to provide a tier of at least fifteen channels, the MVPD may need to provide even further concessions to Viacom (which also owns SpikeTV and MTV) ${ }^{63}$ with regard to tier placement of other, potentially-objectionable networks. Simply mandating a family tier of a particular size is like treating a symptom and not the disease; the welfare-reducing problem presented by forced bundling would remain for other consumers.

## C. Carrot and Stick: Senator McCain's "CHOICE Act"

Senator McCain's CHOICE Act ${ }^{64}$ takes a novel approach to the issue by conditioning pro-competitive and pro-entry reforms, such as national franchising ${ }^{65}$ and a cut in the franchise fee, ${ }^{66}$ to the availability of à la carte programming. The CHOICE Act would offer a streamlined national cable franchise, ${ }^{67}$ and lower franchise fee obligations and other incentives to any "video service provider" that offers video programming to subscribers on an à la carte basis. ${ }^{68}$. The proposal would not prohibit the creation of bun-

[^114] those affiliated channels on an à la carte basis. S. 3457 § 4. According to the FCC, "five of
dles of tiers, just that channels also be made available on an à la carte basis. In exchange, MVPDs are offered significant "carrots" in exchange for offering services on an à la carte basis.

Senator McCain's CHOICE Act also contains an important "stick" for programmers. Many broadcast licensees and broadcast networks also have an interest in cable programming networks. ${ }^{69}$ Current federal rules give these broadcasters the exclusive right to prohibit a cable operator from duplicating a network broadcast by transmitting a signal from another station in the broadcaster's community. ${ }^{70}$ In essence, the FCC's nonduplication rule gives Disney, which owns the ABC network in addition to ESPN, SoapNet and other cable channels, the ability to force a cable company to carry its cable networks on a particular tier, or the cable risks not being able to transmit ABC network programming. There is evidence that broadcasters have used this right in this manner. ${ }^{71}$ The CHOICE Act would terminate these non-duplication exclusivity rights for broadcasters unless the broadcaster permits video service providers to sell those channels on an à la carte basis. ${ }^{72}$

The carrot and stick approach of the CHOICE Act attempts to address the root causes we identify in a novel way. Importantly, unlike Senator Wyden's approach, the proposal does not simply look at the issue by reference to mandating that cable operators make family tiers available. ${ }^{73}$ Instead, Senator McCain's proposal recognizes that a market defect exists, that public policy (such as the non-duplication rule) might exacerbate that defect, and attempts to resolve it by creating countervailing incentives (such as national franchising). ${ }^{74}$ Every piece of incentive legislation,

[^115]though, has the drawback that the incentive offered might be too little to induce appropriate behavior, or that regulators might mishandle any such incentive and develop loopholes that will render them meaningless.

## D. Revenge of the "Clickers"

One reason that this market defect is unresolved is because it may be difficult for individual consumers to express their strong preferences directly to advertisers and cable companies. Advertisers certainly do not wish to pay to advertise on networks that a consumer does not watch, but advertisers are not concerned with a parent's objections to particular programming as long as their kids watch it and buy the advertised products. ${ }^{75}$ Because information is imprecise, advertisers will, almost by definition, pay the cable operator or programming network some fee to deliver a programming network to a subscriber-even if that subscriber (or a parent of a viewer) finds the content on that network offensive. Also, even a small probability that a consumer's attention is captured by a channel that is generally objectionable renders some value to advertisements.

Placed in terms of the model, $S$ is made larger because of inefficiencies in the advertising market. As we describe above, if $S B$ (the revenue from a third-party advertiser that a cable firm receives by including Network $B$ on its expanded basic tier) is large enough, the cable operator will force a bundle that includes Network B even if that consumer dislikes Network B ( $V B<0$ ). The consumer is less satisfied with the product he or she receives, but still subscribes as long as the value of the other programming on the expanded basic tier (VA) is large enough. ${ }^{76}$

The problem could be overcome if all of the consumers that find Network $B$ objectionable could somehow organize and compensate the cable operator for blocking Network B. But organizing such a diffuse constituency is likely to be so costly that success will be unlikely. When transactions costs of organizing a constituency are high, some people feel that the government should rightfully act as a representative, thereby overcoming transaction costs. A mandated "family tier" or à la carte availability could be seen as a governmental effort to overcome these transactions costs, as their availability would give consumers the opportunity to opt-out of programming they deem objectionable. In doing so, that decision will communicate to cable operators, programmers, and advertisers that this portion of the market is not interested in viewing particular content. Reducing transactions costs in this manner is one reason why government exists.

[^116]
## E. Anti-Tying Policies

A more aggressive policy might simply forbid the "tying" of the distribution and carriage of one programming network to an agreement to carry another programming network on the same tier. While there is a vigorous debate over whether mandated family tiers and à la carte options are a sensible solution, a host of MVPDs and certain programming interests alike believe that the actions of large programming vendors results in expanded basic tiers that essentially encourage the proliferation of forced bundles of cable programming networks. This approach would be similar to the nonduplication ("stick") component of Senator McCain's CHOICE Act but on a larger scale, as it would cover all cable video programming networks, even those that are not affiliated with a broadcast network.
Unlike mandated à la carte, intervention in the wholesale market for MVPD programming may only need to be incremental to cause vast improvement. Government may not need to prohibit all forms of tyingpolicy may only need to prohibit tying that stipulates that the "tied" network be placed on the expanded basic tier in order for the MVPD to avail itself of a bundled price. Such a rule would permit MVPDs to create a variety of programming tiers that might result in placing, for example, ABC Family on a "family tier" and ABC's SoapNet on an "adult tier," rather than have pricing essentially force the MVPD to place both on the "expanded basic" tier. This approach might also involve changes to the retransmission consent rules, ${ }^{77}$ which might facilitate and even reward programming vendors who engage in this practice.

## V. CONCLUSION

Policymakers have expressed increasing concern that the "expanded basic" tiers of cable and satellite MVPDs often include programming that may be unsuitable to families with children. This discussion has prompted a number of proposals for solving this issue in the last year, several of which have attracted a particularly virulent firestorm of criticism from the cable industry.

Notably absent from this debate has been a practical, analytical analysis as to what market conditions would cause the proliferation of "expanded basic" cable programming tiers that effectively force consumers to allow into their households video content to which they object. In this article, we take a practical-and not ideological-approach to this issue. The proliferation of "expanded basic" tiers may be the result of a market defect caused by the operation of the upstream video programming and advertising markets. In short, the content, size, and price of expanded basic tiers is

[^117]not solely the result of MVPDs providing to consumers programming that consumers demand. As a result, American families are often faced with the unpleasant reality that in order to obtain access to programming they may desire (like CNN or Nickelodeon), they riay be required to subscribe to programming that they do not want their children to see (like MTV or SpikeTV).
This article explores the circumstances in which this form of market defect might impede that optimal mix or selection of programming choices to parents by multichannel video providers. "Family tier" or "à la carte" requirements might be a valid public policy response to a failure of the market to increase consumer welfare by providing adequate choices. Forced bundling might occur even in competitive markets, which means that policymakers cannot simply turn a blind eye to the issue by assuming that "bundling does not force consumers to pay for programming they do not want." ${ }^{78}$
Finally, it is important to note that the potential market defect we identify here simply means that firms operating pursuant to market forces and profit motives may take actions that are not socially optimal. This article does not mean to imply that these firms are "up to no good." Nevertheless, it is the responsibility of policymakers to understand situations in which the market acting alone may not be adequate to promote the interests of consumers, and to intervene accordingly.
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## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF MICHAEL D. TOPPER

## 1. Qualifications

I am Vice President and Head of the Antitrust \& Competition Practice at Cornerstone Research. I have been a faculty member in the Department of Economics at the College of William \& Mary, and a lecturer in the Department of Economics at Stanford University. While at William \& Mary and Stanford, I taught courses in microeconomics, econometrics, and antitrust economics. I have a Ph.D. and M.A. in Economics from Stanford University. I also received a B.S. in Systems Engineering from the University of Virginia and an M.S. in Engineering Economic Systems from Stanford University.

Prior to getting my doctorate in economics, I worked as an engineering economist at Bell Laboratories and Bell Communications Research. In my fifteen years at Comerstone Research, my consulting work has focused on the application of microeconomics, econometrics, and quantitative analysis to litigation and regulatory matters. I have worked on numerous consulting projects including antitust, merger review, spectrum policy, intellectual property, breach of contract, and securities issues, many of which have involved the analysis of quantitative data.

My CV is attached as Appendix 1.

## II. Taek

I have been asked by counsel for the Commercial Television Claimants ("CTV") Group to investigate potential errors in the Nielsen viewing data produced by Mr. Paul Lindstrom as backup to his Direct Testimony dated June 1, 2009 (the MPAA Special Study).

My analysis is based on the Nielsen viewing datasets produced by Mr. Lindstrom. I supplemented the datasets produced by Mr. Lindstrom with programming data provided by Tribune Media Service's TVData company that was used in the preparation of data presented in the Direct Testimony of Dr. Richard Ducey and Dr. Joel Waldfogel. A complete list of the files relied upon is attached in Appendix 2.

In my testimony, I will describe the nature of a series of data errors and methodological errors found to be present in the calculation of household-level weighted viewing minutes as reported in Exhibits PL-3 and PL-5 to Mr. Lindstrom's June 1, 2009 Direct Testimony, as later corrected by Program Suppliers. I will then address the relative size of distant viewing compared to total viewing.

Note that I do not claim to make an accurate measure of the viewing minutes attributable to the different claimant groups. Due to the structure of the datasets produced by Mr. Lindstrom and the apparent errors that have turned up in my review of Mr. Lindstrom's analysis and backup, it is not possible to use Mr. Lindstrom's produced data to compute a revised set of viewing minute shares of the different claimant groups that I can attest is correct. Instead, I explain the nature of several errors I was able to identify.

## III. Analysis of the Underlying Viewing Data

As a preliminary investigation of the MPAA Special Study, I supervised my team at Cornerstone Research in an analyzing the data to identify the most-viewed programs in the MPAA Special Study, based on program titles taken from TVData. It was necessary to use titles from TVData because the data produced by Mr. Lindstrom do not include program titles. In order to match observations from the Nielsen viewing data with TVData program titles, this analysis had to be restricted to viewing that occurs on the 84 randomly selected days in 2004-2005 for which Dr. Ducey performed program categorization in connection with his testimony ("the TVData sample dates"). The results of this preliminary analysis identified several problems, which I investigated further.

## A. Errors in Viewing Study

Because the produced Nielsen viewing data do not include program titles, it was not possible for me to directly confirm that the categorization methodology underlying Mr. Lindstrom's exhibits is correct. I did, however, have program title and date and time information for the TVData sample dates. Based on my review and analysis of Mr. Lindstrom's underlying viewing data, ${ }^{1}$ I identify several of the most apparent problems with Mr. Lindstrom's viewing minutes study below. Given the data errors that I did find, it is likely that there were additional errors in Mr. Lindstrom's classification of programs into the various claimant categories.

[^119]Appendix 3 is a copy of the first page of what was introduced in this proceeding as SP Exhibit 42. It lists the top 25 programs on WGNA for which viewing was included in the Nielsen viewing data produced by Mr. Lindstrom, ranked by the number of minutes attributed to them across 2004 and 2005 on the 84 days for which TVData program title information was available. The asterisks represent the titles of programs for which the program aired only on WGNA and not on WGN. I understand that Mr. Lindstrom agrees that these programs should have been eliminated from the viewing data as part of the "Syndex processing" step of his analysis. In addition, my investigation determined that there were several date ranges for which no Syndex processing appears to have been performed.

Appendix 4 is a copy of the second page of what was introduced in this proceeding as SP Exhibit 42. It lists the top 25 programs across all stations in the Nielsen viewing data, ranked by the number of minutes attributed to them across 2004 and 2005 on the TVData sample dates. This analysis disclosed several more kinds of errors in the MPAA Special Study.

First, a number of program titles are recognizable programs distributed by the ABC, CBS, and NBC networks. I understand that such network programs are not compensable in this proceeding and that any viewing of them should also have been eliminated from the MPAA Special Study.

Second, I saw that several highly viewed programs bore the simple title "news," and were treated in the MPAA Special Study as syndicated programs. On further investigation, I found that the most highly viewed of these programs were for three network-affiliated stations in Rochester, New York, and that the heaviest viewing occurred in a number of households whose location was identified in the "household county" files" as "ROCHESTR CITY, NY." Because there is no county named "ROCHESTR CITY," I assumed they were actually located in Monroe County, which contains the city of Rochester, NY. As such, viewing of Rochester, New York stations by households in "ROCHESTR CITY, NY" represented local viewing rather than distant signal viewing and should have been eliminated from the MPAA Special Study.

Appendix 5 is a copy of what was introduced in this proceeding as SP Exhibit 43. It contains the same analysis as in SP Exhibit 42, except that it includes all the stations for which viewing was included in the viewing data provided to us and ranks programs separately for 2004 and 2005 by the number of minutes attributed to them on the TVData sample days. I observe that the inclusion of the Rochester households' local viewing and the inclusion of viewing to network programs occurred only with respect to the 2005 data.

While I could not do a complete analysis of program categorization errors in the MPAA Special Study because I lacked the program title information for days outside the 84 days for which we had TVData program title information, I investigated the categorization of two readily categorizable programs: WGN News at Noon and WGN News at Nine. I found that on a

[^120]number of weekdays, the viewing recorded to the program airing at noon or nine p.m. on WGNA was categorized in the MPAA Special Study as Syndicated rather than as Local. There was no apparent pattern as to which days were categorized correctly and which were not. Based on my review of program categorizations in the data provided to us, I believe that numerous other such categorization errors are likely to be reflected in the MPAA Special Study.

I also investigated the difference between 2004 and 2005 in the total number of viewing minutes reported in Mr. Lindstrom's exhibits PL-3 and PL-5. The Nielsen viewing data relied upon in the MPAA Special Study includes only a sample of the non-top-50 distant signals. In order to make his sample comparable to the total viewing minutes on all distant signals, Mr. Lindstrom "weights up" the non-top- 50 sampled stations according to their sampling probability. However, he did not control for station type in selecting, or weighting, his non-top- 50 sample stations and as a result samples over $40 \%$ more educational stations in 2004 than in 2005. It appears that this is one of the principal reasons why the educational viewing reported in PL-3 and PL-5 declines so much between 2004 and 2005 . ${ }^{3}$

Although I cannot make a complete and accurate measure of the viewing minute shares, the issues outlined above suggest that the values reported in PL-3 and PL-5 are subject to significant errors.

## B. Absolute and Relative Time Spent Viewing Distant Signals

It is possible to estimate the average viewing of distant signals in these data by dividing the total household-level viewing minutes reported in PL-3 and PL-5 by the approximate number of households sampled in the MPAA Special Study. ${ }^{4}$ Doing this calculation yields an average of 2.18 and 3.24 minutes of household daily viewing of distant signal programming in 2004 and 2005, respectively. ${ }^{5}$ Note that the average for 2005 is larger than 2004 in part because my calculation does not account for the fact that the number of sampled households is increasing over time. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, use of the numbers reported in PL-3 and PL-5 overstates the amount of distant signal viewing because of the issues described above.

[^121]As a point of comparison, Nielsen reports that the average household watched 491 minutes of programming daily in the 2004-2005 television season. ${ }^{7}$ This implies that the viewing of distant signal programming measured in the MPAA Special Study makes up only $0.66 \%$ of the total viewing by sample households in 2004-2005. ${ }^{8}$

5,054 in January of 2004 to 8,395 in December of 2005 (Written Direct Testimony of Dr. Hoynoski dated June 1, 2009, p. 14).

7 "During the 2004-05 TV season (which started September 20, 2004 and just ended September 18, 2005), the average household in the U.S. tuned into television an average of 8 hours and 11 minutes per day" (PRNewswire, "Nielsen Reports Americans Watch TV at Record Levels," Sept. 28, 2005.
${ }^{8} 2.18$ and 3.24 are 0.44 and 0.66 percent of 491 , respectively. Note that these values are likely conservative, as cable households have generally higher levels of television viewing than the typical household. In addition, the total compensable distant signal weighted viewing minutes reported in PL-3 and PL-5 represent just $0.0000584 \%$ of all viewing minutes in all Cable TV Households in 2004-2005 (calculation: sum of total compensable distant signal weighted viewing minutes reported in PL-3 and PL-5 $(5,576,385+8,266,954=13,843,339)$ divided by total viewing minutes in all Cable TV Households (average 491 minutes per day per household times 731 days in 2004-05 times approximately 66 million cable households) equals 0.000000584 ). The FCC reports that " $[t]$ he number of basic cable subscribers declined slightly, falling from 66.1 million in June 2004 to 65.4 million in June 2005" (Federa! Communication Commission's $12{ }^{\text {th }}$ Annual Report on MVPD Competition, "In the Matter of Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming", MB Docket No. 05-255, 710 )
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PS_13988-PS_14014.xls (Station data for all 2004 stations)
PS_13962-PS_13987.xls (Station data for all 2005 stations)
PS_12800-PS_12976.xls (local county file for 2004)
PS_12977-PS_13151.xls (local county file for 2004)
PS_13157-PS_13261.xsl (local county file for 2005)
2004 MPAA HHLDS W-COUNTY.xls (2004 household location file)
2005 MPAA HHLDS W-COUNTY.xls (2005 household location file)
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Federal Communication Commission's 12th Annual Report on MVPD Competition, "In the Matter of Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming", MB Docket No. 05-255.

PRNewswire, "Nielsen Reports Americans Watch TV at Record Levels," Sept. 28, 2005.
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## SP Exhibit 42, First Page

$\qquad$

Top 25 Programs on WGNA by Weighted Viewing Minutes
Source: MPAA04 Annual; MPAA 05 Annual; TVData

| No. | TVData Program Title | Total Weighted <br> Viewing Minutes |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | MLB Baseball | 72,377 |
| 2 | WGN News at Nine | 31,522 |
| 3 | Will \& Grace | 26,750 |
| 4 | Matlock | 22,972 |
| 5 | Home Improvement | 18,290 |
| 6 | WGN News at Noon | 15,709 |
| 7 | The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air | 8,938 |
| 8 | America's Funniest Home Videos * | 8,677 |
| 9 | The Cosby Show | 7,660 |
| 10 | Magnum, P.I. * | 6,680 |
| 11 | Becker * | 6,630 |
| 12 | Street Smarts | 6,524 |
| 13 | In the Heat of the Night * | 4,876 |
| 14 | Andromeda | 4,669 |
| 15 | Mutant X | 4,373 |
| 16 | Rockford Files * | 4,065 |
| 17 | Sex and the City | 3,811 |
| 18 | MLB Preseason Baseball | 3,775 |
| 19 | The Beverly Hillbillies * | 3,646 |
| 20 | Soul Train | 3,326 |
| 21 | Father of the Bride | 3,091 |
| 22 | Maximum Exposure | 2,995 |
| 23 | Da Vinci's Inquest * | 2,929 |
| 24 | Paid Program | 2,876 |
| 25 | The Shawshank Redemption | 2,835 |
|  | Top 25 Total | 279,996 |
|  |  |  |

* All viewing occurs at times identified as syndex substitutions.
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SP Exhibit 42, Second Page

$\qquad$

# Top 25 Programs by Weighted Viewing Minutes (Excluding Non-Commercial Stations) <br> Source: MPAA04 Annual; MPAA 05 Annual; TVData 

| No. | TVData Program Title | Total Weighted <br> Viewing Minutes |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | MLB Baseball | 80,893 |
| 2 | Good Morning America | 35,243 |
| 3 | Will \& Grace | 32,048 |
| 4 | WGN News at Nine | 31,522 |
| 5 | Matlock | 22,972 |
| 6 | NFL Football | 22,649 |
| 7 | Home Improvement | 19,689 |
| 8 | Paid Program | 18,126 |
| 9 | Maury | 17,269 |
| 10 | News [WROC] | 16,926 |
| 11 | General Hospital | 16,830 |
| 12 | Oprah Winfrey | 16,275 |
| 13 | WGN News at Noon | 15,709 |
| 14 | That '70s Show | 15,449 |
| 15 | CBS Evening News | 15,238 |
| 16 | The People's Court | 14,638 |
| 17 | Guiding Light | 14,099 |
| 18 | ABC World News Tonight | 12,789 |
| 19 | Judge Mathis | 12,103 |
| 20 | The Tony Danza Show | 11,867 |
| 21 | News [WHAM] | 11,839 |
| 22 | Everybody Loves Raymond | 11,708 |
| 23 | Becker | 11,376 |
| 24 | Judge Judy | 11,200 |
| 25 | The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air | 10,235 |
|  | Top 25 Total | 498,694 |
|  |  |  |
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## SP Exhibit 43


Top 25 Programs by Weighted Viewing Minutes and Year (Excluding Non-Commercial Stations) Source: MPAA04 Annual: MPAA 05 Annual: TVData
20042005

| No. | TVData Program Title | Total Weighted Viewing Minutes | TVData Program Title | Total Weighted Viewing Minutes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MLB Baseball | 52,213 | Good Morning America | 34,694 |
| 2 | Will \& Grace | 18,477 | MLB Baseball | 28,681 |
| 3 | WGN News at Nine | 13,575 | NFL Football | 19,451 |
| 4 | The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air | 9,806 | WGN News at Nine | 17,947 |
| 5 | Oprah Winfrey | 9,573 | News [WROC] | 16,926 |
| 6 | Home Improvement | 9,316 | General Hospital | 16,664 |
| 7 | Matlock | 9,277 | CBS Evening News | 13,985 |
| 8 | Judge Judy | 8,125 | Matlock | 13,695 |
| 9 | WGN News at Noon | 6,351 | Will \& Grace | 13,571 |
| 10 | Live With Regis and Kelly | 6,201 | That '70s Show | 13,013 |
| 11 | Maury | 5,993 | ABC World News Tonight | 12,759 |
| 12 | Paid Program | 5,609 | Paid Program | 12,517 |
| 13 | The Cosby Show | 5,607 | The People's Court | 12,301 |
| 14 | Becker | 4,394 | News [WHAM] | 11,839 |
| 15 | Everybody Loves Raymond | 3,798 | The Tony Danza Show | 11,680 |
| 16 | Friends | 3,508 | Guiding Light | 11,612 |
| 17 | Jerry Springer | 3,442 | Maury | 11,276 |
| 18 | NFL Football | 3,198 | Home Improvement | 10,373 |
| 19 | The Early Show | 3,196 | Judge Mathis | 9,986 |
| 20 | Father of the Bride | 3,177 | Malcolm in the Middle | 9,743 |
| 21 | Wheel of Fortune | 3,108 | Montel Williams | 9,549 |
| 22 | NBA Basketball | 2,962 | WGN News at Noon | 9,358 |
| 23 | The Shawshank Redemption | 2,947 | The Price Is Right | 9,008 |
| 24 | Mutant X | 2,900 | Dr. Phil | 8,775 |
| 25 | Andromeda | 2,873 | Judge Hatchett | 8,644 |
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## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GREG STONE

## 1. BACKGROUND

My name is Greg Stone. I am the owner and CEO of Greg Stone Media Consulting, serving the communications industry in the areas of programming, sales, research and other areas of operational importance. I have had over 35 years of major market television management experience with Cox Television, one of the most well-known companies in the industry.

I have extensive experience with television advertising sales. I worked in the area of television advertising sales from 1970 to 1980 as East Coast Area Vice-President for TeleRep, a TV rep firm that sells spot advertising on television stations to national advertisers through their advertising agencies. From 1980-1982, I was Director of Sales for WSOC-TV, Charlotte, NC, where I supervised all local and national advertising sales. I continued to supervise all advertising sales from 1982-1990 as the Vice-President and General Manager of WSOC-TV and then as Vice-President and General Manager of WSB-TV, Atlanta. At WSOC and WSB, I also worked with the programming departments to negotiate for and purchase programming from syndicators for the station.

## II. SCOPE OF REPORT

I was asked by the Commercial Television Claimants Group to review the testimony of George S. Ford and provide information about how the broadcast programming and advertising markets, which he refers to in his testimony, operate in the real world.

## III. HOW BROADCAST STATIONS SELL ADVERTISING

Television stations sell advertising time based primarily on ratings provided by NielsenMedia Research ("Nielsen"). Nielsen provides very specific ratings data by program and daypart for the local market, or "DMA." Broadcasters use ratings (the percentage of the total TV Households in the DMA who watched a program) and share (the percentage of Homes Using Television who viewed a program at the particular time) numbers to sell advertising. National and local advertisers buy spot time on stations based on the audience within the station's DMA, using these metrics.

## IV. DR. FORD'S HYPOTHETICAL MARKET

Footnote 10 of Dr. Ford's written testimony "assumes there is a hypothetical broadcaster in the distant market that airs the same mix of programming as is found on the 2004-05 distant signals." Based on my experience and knowledge of the broadcast television marketplace, this new "hypothetical" station would, in the real world, look nothing like the full power station that is actually being brought in from a distant market. Dr. Ford agreed that his hypothetical station would cover only the cable community with its over-the-air signal. The real world equivalent of such a geographically limited station is a Low Power TV ("LPTV") station.

LPTV stations are subject to a number of limitations that make them different from the full-power stations Dr. Ford used in his analysis, including the following:

- LPTV by definition does not broadcast at full power, and thus has inherent technical limitations preventing it from providing over-the-air coverage of TV Households within the DMA comparable to that provided by the full-power stations.
- Limited coverage means lower audience potential, lower advertising rates and thus lower appeal for syndicators to sell programming to the LPTV station.
- Without attractive programming and broad signal coverage, ratings for programs shown on LPTV are low or non-existent. Unless viewing to a station occurs in more than a particular number of different TV Households in the market during a day or week, Nielsen does not even report the ratings or shares in its printed ratings book for the DMA. Many LPTV stations, with limited household coverage to begin with, would have difficulty meeting even a low threshold for inclusion in the Nielsen data.
- Without ratings numbers to sell, significant revenues cannot be generated and program purchase options are limited, especially as compared with the full-power stations in the same DMA.

There are few, if any, historical records regarding advertising sales for the LPTV segment of the industry. And there really can be no CPM (Cost Per Thousand) structure for LPTV stations where there is no audience upon which to base CPM cost calculations. Based on my
observations and industry experience, the lack of significant market-wide audience potential and the resulting limitation on revenue production has precluded LPTV stations from effectively competing for exclusive rights to mainstream programming.

These limitations can be seen in the same example Dr. Ford discussed during his testimony in response to questions from counsel for the Commercial Television Claimants. An LPTV station is licensed to Mountain Home, Arkansas, one of the cable communities where KATV, the ABC affiliate in Little Rock, Arkansas, was carried as a distant signal. I understand that Mr. Fritz described the many station-produced news programs and University of Arkansas sports telecasts that were carried on KATV to distant cable subscribers in Mountain Home. But most LPTV stations would not have those kinds of programs, for some of the reasons I explained above. The website for KO7XL, a low power station in Mountain Home, provides a current schedule with a very different program mix, much of it series that are decades old and not the kinds of programs that KATV or other full-power stations would likely be bidding for. ${ }^{1}$ This example confirms my view that in the real world, Dr. Ford's hypothetical station, if limited like an LPTV station to serving only the cable community, would not be at all comparable in terms of its advertising revenues or programming to the distant signals that were carried as distant signals during 2004-2005.

In the real world, a cable system or LPTV station would not be able, as Dr. Ford suggests, to outbid a full power over-the-air station for top syndicated programming. Besides the fact that the cable system or LPTV station does not have the same base of potential advertising revenues to rely on in the bidding, syndicators generally embed advertising in their programs, which they themselves sell to national advertisers (called barter syndication). Based on my experience, syndicators take potential revenues from the sale of such embedded advertising into account in selecting a distribution partner. Selecting a cable system or LPTV station for distribution would limit a program's audience potential because neither cable nor LPTV stations cover the entire market, and full power over-the-air stations are able to generate higher barter revenues as well as higher program license fees to syndicators because of their market dominance.

## V. OTHER ERRORS

There are several other areas of Dr. Ford's testimony that are inconsistent with the real world of television advertising sales.

- He stated that he believed that stations do not sell local spots in network primetime programming. I have been either selling time on or managing TV stations for over 40 years, and in my professional experience, major broadcast networks have always provided advertising inventory during the primetime network schedule to their affiliates for spot sales. Indeed, on page 52 of the 2005 TV Dimensions Report (I understand that excerpts of TV Dimensions were admitted as an exhibit at the hearing), which Dr. Ford relied on in his written testimony, a CPM value is reported for sales of "spot TV primetime" by "major network affiliates."

[^122]- Dr. Ford also stated that stations do not air their own programs in primetime. In fact, the majority of FOX affiliates, covering nearly $90 \%$ of the country, aired station-produced weeknight newscasts at 9PM or 10PM in 2005. Superstation WGN also aired a 9PM newscast, which was received during primetime hours in the Central and Eastern time zones.

Many other stations preempt primetime network programs to run locally produced specials. At the station I ran in Atlanta, WSB-TV, we aired 10-12 locally produced primetime specials each year.

- It has historically been true that for local news programs, CPMs are generally higher than entertainment program CPMs. In my experience, the media buying community has tended to place a higher value on news due to its local, live, community orientation. Once again, page 64 of the 2005 TV Dimensions Report confirms this reality, showing CPMs for spot advertising sales in the "late news" daypart that are higher than the CPMs for dayparts featuring syndicated programs, across all demographic groups.
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## DECLARATION

I, Greg Stone, declare under penalty of perjury that the Testimony of Greg Stone presented in the 2004-2005 Cable Copyright Royalty Distribution Proceeding is true and correct.


Dated: $12 / 11 / 2009$

## APPENDIX 1

## Rebuttal Testimony of Greg Stone

## K07XL December 2009 Television Schedule



K07XI Mtn. Home


December 2009
revised 11/30/2009
PROGRAM CHANGE
Preemptions below grid

| Network Programming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MyFamily TV | FamilyNet | (RIN) <br> Retro Television Netwos |  | Independent Affiliations |  | Local Interest Programming |  |  |
| CST | Mon. | Tues. | Wed. | Thurs. | Fri. | Sat. | Sun. | CST |
| 5:00 AM | Wretched with Todd Friel (rit 4am on Mon \& 3:00am Tues - Fri) |  |  |  |  | Fishin' Hawg Country | It is Written | 5:00 AM |
| 5:30 AM | Cisco Kid |  |  |  |  | Spiritual Outdoors | Black Beauty | 5:30 AM |
| 6:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Gerbert (e/i) | Harry \& The Hendersons | 6:00 AM |
| 6:30 AM |  |  | aniel Boon |  |  | Jay jay the Jet Plane (e/i) | FN Lewis | 6:30 AM |
| 7:00 AM | 726 Mountain Home | Hometown News (cerun from day before) |  |  |  |  | 726 Harrison | 7:00 AM |
| 7:30 AM |  | The Court Show | Healnny Ambitions |  | Reallny Ambitions | The Court Show |  | 7:30 AM |
| 8:00 AM | Daytime |  |  |  |  | The Secret World of Benjamin Bear | *In Touch | 8:00 AM |
| 8:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Where on Earth is Carmen Sandiego | Stanley | 8:30 AM |
| 9:00 AM | Doug Kaufman Know the Cause |  |  |  |  | The Real Winning Edge | Fountain of Truth with Brother Brett Hickey | 9:00 AM |
| 9:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Wild America | PowerPoint * | 9:30 AM |
| 10:00 AM | Your Health* with Dr. Richard and Cindy Becker |  |  |  |  | 726 Mountain | Laredo | 10:00 AM |
| 10:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Home | Laredo | 10:30 AM |
| 11:00 AM | The Bold Ones |  |  |  |  | Judie Byrd's Kitchen | First United | 11:00 AM |
| 11:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Wretched with <br> Todd Friel <br> (r/f 2:00am) | Methodist Church | 11:30 AM |
| 12:00 PM | Airwolf |  |  |  |  | The Rifleman | The Rifleman | 12:00 PM |
| 12:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  | Robin Hood | Robin Hood | 12:30 PM |
| 1:00 PM | Kojak |  |  |  |  | The Court Show | 726 Mountain Home | 1:00 PM |
| 1:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  | The Sports Dungeon |  | 1:30 PM |
| 2:00 PM | The Bold Ones (RTN West) |  |  |  |  | Ozarks Have | The Matrix | 2:00 PM |
| 2:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  | Talent? | News Network | 2:30 PM |
| 3:00 PM | Rockford Files |  |  |  |  | The Bold Ones | 726 | 3:00 PM |
| 3:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  | The Bold Ones | 726 Hanison | 3:30 PM |
| 4:00 PM | Adam-12 |  |  |  |  | RTN Mystery Theater: | Ozarks Have | 4:00 PM |
| 4:30 PM | Dragnet |  |  |  |  |  | Talent? | 4:30 PM |
| 5:00 PM | Magnum P.I. <br> (RTN West) |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { BN } \\ \text { (H1 } 11 \mathrm{am})}}{ }$ | 5:00 PM |
| 5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  | Dragnet |  | 5:30 PM |



| Network Programming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MyFamily TV | FamilyNet | (RIN) <br> Retro Television Netwo: |  | Independent Affiliations |  | Local Interest Programming |  |  |
| CST | Mon. | Tues. | Wed. | Thurs. | Fri. | Sat. | Sun. | CST |
| 6:00 PM | Words of Hope | Wretched with Todd Friel (rif 3:00am) |  |  |  | 726 Harrison | 726 Mountain Home | 6:00 PM |
| 6:30 PM | Hometown News |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6:30 PM |
| 7:00 PM | 726 Mountain Home | Deal TV | Realthy Ambitions | 726 Mountain Home | Ozarks Have You Got Talent? | Buck Rogers | Black Sheep Squadron | 7:00 PM |
| 7:30 PM |  |  | The court Show |  |  |  |  | 7:30 PM |
| 8:00 PM | The Incredible Hulk (RTV Wost) | Ozarks Have You Got Talent? | The Incredible Hulk (RTV West) | I Spy | Magnum P.I. <br> (r.t 2.00 p.m) | Battlestar Galactica | Airwolf (RTN West) | 8:00 PM |
| 8:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8:30 PM |
| 9:00 PM | Knight Rider (RTN West) | Daniel Boone (th $9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ RTV West | DealTV <br> (rerun from Tuesday) | Daniel Boone (rit 6:00 a.m) | Knight Rider (RTN West) | The Matrix News Network | Deal TV (rerun from Tuesday) | 9:00 PM |
| 9:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9:30 PM |
| 10:00 PM | Hometown News |  |  |  |  | Daniel Boone (If1 1:000m) | Daniel Boone (rf 2:00pm) | 10:00 PM |
| 10:30 PM | Reantny Ambitions | The Court Show | Realtny <br> Ambitions | The Sports Dungeon | The Court Show |  |  | 10:30 PM |
| 11:00 PM | A-Team (sh7pm) | The Matrix New Network | A-Team (mf 7 pm ) | $\underset{\left(x+2.00_{\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m})}^{\operatorname{Magnum}} \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{I} .\right.}{ }$ | $\underset{\text { (RTN West) }}{\substack{\text { Sty } \\ \hline}}$ | 726 Mountain Home | Delvecchio | 11:00 PM |
| 11:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11:30 PM |
| 12:00 AM | Emergency (RTN West) |  |  |  |  | Midnight Monster Hop (RTN West) | Cisco Kid | 12:00 AM |
| 12:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Fun | 12:30 AM |
| 1:00 AM | My Family TV Late Night Movie |  |  |  |  |  | My Family TV Late Night Movie | 1:00 AM |
| 1:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | 1:30 AM |  |
| 2:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  | Off Beat Cinema (RTN West) |  | 2:00 AM |
| 2:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | 2:30 AM |  |
| 3:00 AM | Doug Kaufman Know the Cause |  |  |  | The Matrix News Network |  | Know the Cause | 3:00 AM |
| 3:30 AM |  |  |  |  | 3:30 AM |  |  |
| 4:00 AM | Your Health* <br> with Dr. Richard and Cindy Becker |  |  |  |  |  | Tech Head | Wretched | 4:00 AM |
| 4:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  | On Solid Rock | Wretched | 4:30 AM |

Program Changes: (All Times Central)

## December 20th 2009

5:00am - 5:30am - Eckankar Center of Mtn. Home
$\qquad$

## Before the

COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES
Washington, D.C.
In the Matter of )

Docket No. 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005
Distribution of the
2004 and 2005 Cable Royalty Funds
)
)

## STIPULATION REGARDING UNDISPUTED FACTS BETWEEN PROGRAM SUPPLIERS AND SETTLING PARTIES

This Stipulation is made this 25th day of January 2010, by and among the Joint Sports Claimants ("JSC"), Commercial Television Claimants, Public Television Claimants and Music Claimants (collectively, the "Settling Parties") and the Program Suppliers (the Settling Parties and Program Suppliers collectively, the "Parties").

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, JSC, as part of the Settling Parties' Rebuttal Statement, presented the Written Direct Testimony of Daniel Derian, Vice President of Research and Strategic Planning for Major League Baseball, and Marc Schacher, the former Senior Vice President of Programming for Tribune Broadcasting;

WHEREAS, JSC provided Program Suppliers and other parties during discovery documents underlying factual assertions made by Messrs. Derian and Schacher in their written testimony conceming the programming on Station WGN-TV (Chicago, Illinois) during the years 1998-99 and 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, JSC have agreed to withdraw the testimony of Messrs. Derian and Schacher in return for the Parties entering into a stipulation as to certain undisputed facts;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and in order to facilitate an efficient and complete presentation of the facts for the Copyright Royalty Judges, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree that the following facts are undisputed for purposes of this proceeding and may be relied upon by the Copyright Royalty Judges in their final determination in this proceeding:

1. Measured by time, approximately $48 \%$ of the programming on WGN in 1998-99 was televised full signal, i.e., transmitted simultaneously over both the Chicago signal and the satellite signal of WGN. By the same measure, approximately $33 \%$ of the programming on WGN in 2004-05 was televised full signal.
2. In 1998-99, WGN televised full-signal approximately 60,000 minutes of games involving the Chicago Cubs, Chicago White Sox, and Chicago Bulis. In 2004-05, WGN televised full-signal approximately 41,000 minutes of games involving those same teams. In 1998-99, WGN televised full signal approximately 504,000 minutes of programming. In 2004-05, WGN televised full-signal approximately 352,000 minutes of programming.
3. Telecasts of Cubs, White Sox and Bulls games accounted for approximately $12 \%$ of WGN's full signal program time in both 1998-99 and 2004-05.
4. In 2004 and 2005, WGN televised a greater number of MLB and NBA games (combined) than any other broadcast television station in the country.
5. There were approximately 556 movies telecast full signal on WGN in 1998-99. The comparable number in 2004-05 was 252 .
6. WGN did not televise full signal, in either 2004 or 2005, any of the following programs: Lethal Weapon 3, Independence Day, Seinfeld, Star Trek: Enterprise, American Idol, Jeopardy!, The Oprah Winfrey Show, NFL Professional Football, NHL Professional Hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and NASCAR.
7. During 2004-05, WGN televised the following series at full signal on a daily or weekly basis, typically in the following time periods:

| Will \& Grace | 5:30 PM (daily) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street Smarts | 12:30 AM (daily) |
| Home Improvement | 3:00 AM (daily) |
| Matlock | 3:30 AM (daily) |
| Beastmaster | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ (weekend) |
| Soul Train | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ (weekend) |


| The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air. | 12:00 PM (weekend) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mutant $X$ | $3: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ (weekend) |
| Andromeda | 4:30 PM (weekend) |
| Maximum Exposure | 1:30 AM (weekend) |

8. The pre-game and post-game shows televised by WGN in 2004-05 were produced by WGN and aired only on WGN and not on any other broadcast station.
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## Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, D.C.



## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GREGORY S. CRAWFORD

I am Gregory S. Crawford, Professor of Economics at the University of Warwick in the United Kingdom. I received a PhD in economics from Stanford University in 1998. I was an assistant professor at Duke University and an assistant and later associate professor at the University of Arizona. In 2007-08, I served as Chief Economist at the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), an independent federal regulatory agency charged with regulating a number of media and communications industries, including the broadcast and cable television industries. I reported directly to the Chairman of the FCC and advised him and his staff on a number of topics in these industries, including mergers, spectrum auction design, media ownership, network neutrality, and bundling. After my service at the FCC, I joined the Department of Economics at the University of Warwick as a full professor. I am Director of Research for the economics department.

I conduct research on topics in both industrial organization and law and economics. Most of my research has analyzed the cable and satellite television industries. Particularly relevant for this proceeding, I have published extensively at the intersection of these fields, evaluating conditions of demand and supply within the cable television industry and the consequences of regulation on economic outcomes in cable markets as well as measuring the incentives for and consequences of bundling in the industry. ${ }^{1}$ When the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER)

[^123]commissioned a volume analyzing the consequences of economic regulation across a number of American industries, I was asked to write the chapter on cable television. ${ }^{2}$ I have published numerous academic articles in such outlets as Econometrica, the RAND Journal of Economics, and the Journal of Law and Economics. My CV is attached as Appendix 1.

I have been asked by counsel for the Commercial Television Claimants to evaluate the analytical approach reflected in the direct case testimony of Dr. George R. Ford in light of the economic principles that affect the cable television industry. In doing so below, I first explain the economic principles that determine the relative value of the various channels of program content carried by cable television systems, of which the carriage of distant broadcast signals is a special case. I then review and offer my opinions on the expert report submitted by Dr. Ford, including his suggested reliance on the studies also presented on behalf of the Program Supplier claimants by Dr. Arthur Gruen in this proceeding. ${ }^{3}$

## I. CABLE ECONOMICS

## A. Distant Signal Basics

Distant signals are broadcast television signals that a cable operator has elected to carry under the compulsory license scheme set forth in Section 111 of the Copyright Act. I understand that the Copyright Act requires cable systems to pay royalty fees in order to carry distant signals and that the amounts of these fees generally depend on the gross receipts the system earns from subscription fees for service tiers that include any television stations as well as the number and type of distant signals it chooses to carry. The royalty fees are distributed to copyright owners for the retransmission of their works on the distant signals that cable operators chose to carry.

I understand that the relevant criterion for allocating cable copyright royalties to copyright owners that has been established by previous proceedings in this matter is that of "relative

[^124]marketplace value. ${ }^{\circ 4}$ Of course, because of the compulsory license fees set by the Copyright Act, there is no explicit market for distant broadcast signals. As a result, previous proceedings in this matter have concluded that "the Panel's primary objective is to 'simulate [relative] market valuation' as if no compulsory license existed." ${ }^{5}$

A proper economic analysis of the relative market value of the various content categories on distant signals in the absence of a compulsory license must be grounded in an understanding of the economic forces determining outcomes in the cable television industry. In this section of my report, I describe the economic forces generating the supply of and demand for distant broadcast signals. I also describe the implications of this analysis for determining the relative value of alternative program types currently carried on distant broadcast signals.

## B. Distant Signal Importation under the Compulsory License Scheme

I understand that stations carried as distant signals are generally not available in the system's local market and that frequently they are imported from relatively nearby markets. ${ }^{6}$ Because distant signals are broadcast television signals carried by a cable system, their carriage combines elements of program selection for both broadcast stations and cable systems. In the language of supply and demand, the economic forces governing broadcast station programming decisions determine distant signal supply and the economic forces governing cable system channel selection determine distant signal demand. I discuss each in turn.

## 1. Broadcast Station Programming Choice (Distant Signal Supply)

In television markets, commercial broadcast stations select programming to maximize the net advertising revenue they can receive from the audiences they can attract to that programming. Using the same example that Dr. Ford was asked to consider in his testimony, KATV, the ABC affiliate in Little Rock, Arkansas, like other commercial broadcast television stations, selects its programming to maximize the net advertising revenue it can earn by selling audiences in the Little Rock market to advertisers.

[^125]As further described below, some broadcast stations end up being carried on distant cable television systems. One might reasonably ask, "How does this affect their programming decisions?" The answer is that it doesn't. I understand that the viewing of distant broadcast signals is miniscule, both in absolute terms and relative to local viewing of local broadcast signals, ${ }^{7}$ and distant signal audiences would therefore have little or no advertising value. I further understand that broadcast industry witnesses have explained in this proceeding that stations do not and, as a practical matter, could not sell audiences in distant markets to advertisers. ${ }^{8}$ Thus, there is no additional advertising revenue that might change the value calculus for a broadcaster's local market programming decisions as a result of distant carriage. ${ }^{9}$

While the specific programming choices made by different TV stations differ due to differences in tastes for programming and audiences across markets, the economic principles underlying these decisions are the same. The result is a set of programming lineups for each of the roughly 1,600 U.S. broadcast television stations. Excluding those stations already available in a cable system's local market, the aggregate of all the stations' respective programming decisions determine the supply of distant broadcast signal content.

## 2. Cable System Channel Carriage (Distant Signal Demand)

In general, the decisions facing cable systems are more complicated than those facing broadcast stations, for at least three reasons. First, as multi-channel distributors, cable systems must choose many channels to carry, among a wide variety of alternatives. Second, they sell these channels, with few exceptions, to subscribing households in bundles. Finally, and most significantly for my analysis here, cable systems, unlike broadcast stations, can also earn revenue from fees paid by their subscribers for the bundles of channels they offer. In fact, cable systems rely either predominantly or exclusively on subscriber revenue rather than advertising revenue, depending on the type of channel. ${ }^{10}$

[^126]Carriage decisions are actually simpler in the case of distant signal importation, because cable operators may not insert their own ads in distant signals and therefore cannot benefit from any advertising revenue from the signal. The primary goal of cable systems regarding distant signals is therefore to select broadcast signals that maximize their profits from household subscriptions. They do so by selecting the channels that appeal to households in their market. As part of the selection process, they compare the incremental revenue from carrying a channel to the incremental cost from carrying it. ${ }^{11}$ The incremental revenue arises from their ability to charge a higher price to existing subscribers for a bundle including that channel, to attract new subscribers to the bundle, or to avoid a loss of subscribers to the bundle. The incremental cost depends principally on the license fee for the signal determined by the rules specified in the Copyright Act. ${ }^{12}$

While the specific choices made by different cable systems differ due to differences in their assessment of the relative tastes for programming among their subscribers and potential subscribers, the economic principles underlying these decisions are the same. The result is a set of chosen distant broadcast signals for each of the roughly 8,000 U.S. cable systems. These decisions reflect the demand for distant broadcast signals.

## 3. Advertiser versus Pay-Support

As indicated above, a primary difference between programming decisions made by broadcasters and those made by cable systems is the source of revenue on which they rely. While broadcast stations rely exclusively on advertising revenue, cable systems rely either predominantly or exclusively on subscriber revenue. This difference has important implications for the different kinds of content shown on each platform and thus the relative market value of content which is at the heart of this proceeding.

Movies, and some start-up networks, cable operators receive no local advertising revenues at all. Overall, 85\% of cable operators' revenues from their basic video channel offerings are from subscription fees rather than advertising sales (Napoli, P., Audience Economics, Columbia University Press (2003) at 17, Table 1.1).
${ }^{11}$ This is a simplification of the system's true decision, but appropriate for the purpose of this proceeding. In practice, cable systems offer multiple services, including Internet access, a menu of bundles of cable channels (typically called Basic/Expanded Basic/Digital Basic/etc.), multiplexed (bundles of) premium channels, video-on-demand, etc. Each of these varies in the amount of physical capacity required to provide the service. In effect, systems try to equate the incremental profit from each unit of capacity across services.
${ }^{12}$ A primary difference between a cable system's carriage decision for any other cable channel as opposed to a distant signal may come from differences in the cost of carriage. By contrast with the statutory royalty fee for a distant signal, the incremental cost of carrying a typical cable channel is the per-subscriber affiliate fee payable to the channel in return for the right to carry it. These are determined in bilateral negotiations between channels (or families of channels, e.g., Disney) and cable systems (or families of systems, e.g., Comcast). More popular networks are able to negotiate for higher fees, with ESPN the highest (among advertising-supported networks) at roughly $\$ 2.60$ per subscriber per month in 2005 . For purposes of my analysis, I have ignored the cable system's costs of physical acquisition of the channels.

Content distributed on broadcast stations is selected to maximize advertising revenues in the original local market where it is broadcast. Because advertising revenues generally increase with the size of the audience that watches a program, broadcast stations select content to appeal to as broad an audience as possible. By contrast, content distributed as distant broadcast signals on cable systems is selected to maximize subscription revenue. Reliance on subscriber payments means that the perceived intensity of subscribers' tastes rather than just the quantity of their viewing will influence the content that is shown. For example, content that gives $\$ 5$ in value to one fifth of a market's households could generate twice as much revenue for a cable system than content that gives $\$ 1$ in value to one half of a market's households, even if the latter audience were two and a half times the size of the former. This fundamental difference between program content choices motivated by advertising revenues and those motivated by pay-support in TV markets is recognized in a long line of research in media economics. ${ }^{13}$

The important implication of this well-known principle is that, with regard to distant signal carriage by cable television systems, viewing is not value; subscriber payments to cable systems communicate value. ${ }^{14}$ In the sections that follow, I describe the factors that influence the value a cable system obtains from distant broadcast signals. This, in turn, provides further insights into the relative market value of the various content categories being considered in this proceeding.

## C. Factors Influencing Cable Carriage Decisions

Much of my academic research in the economics of cable television markets analyzes the incentives cable systems have to bundle program services, including the implications those incentives have for their carriage decisions. As the carriage of distant broadcast signals is just a special case of the more general channel choice problem, the results of this research are directly relevant here.

In a study published in Information Economics and Policy in 2007, Joseph Cullen and I simulated outcomes in an "average" cable television market to investigate the relative effects on cable operators and subscribers of the practice of selling channels in bundles. We concluded that

[^127]"two key factors determine the consequences of bundling on profit and welfare: the difference between marginal cost and mean WTP [Willingness-to-Pay] for [channels] and [negative] correlation in that WTP for [channels]." ${ }^{15}$ The first factor, the difference between willingness-topay and costs, is somewhat intuitive. The average willingness-to-pay for a channel is just its "average demand," that is, the average amount households would be willing to spend in order to have access to the channel. This first factor thus simply says that systems are more likely to carry channels for which the average demand is greater compared to the cost they have to pay for such channels. That is, a cable system facing two channels with a cost of $\$ 0.10$ per subscriber per month will carry the one for which consumers in its market are willing to spend an average of $\$ 0.30$ per subscriber per month before the one for which they are willing to spend $\$ 0.20$ per subscriber per month.

The second factor, negative correlation, is more subtle. Negative correlation in this context refers to a situation in which an individual having higher than average tastes for one channel will tend to have lower than average tastes for another. In such settings, it is common to find some individuals preferring one channel over another, while others have the opposite preferences. ${ }^{16}$

Negative correlation is critically important to cable system profitability because the great majority of cable channels (and all distant broadcast signals) are offered in bundles. Bundling effectively allows cable systems to charge different prices to different households for the same channel, despite charging the same overall price for the bundle. This "discriminatory" pricing effect increases - and the profit from adopting it generally increases - as the negative correlation in tastes for bundle components increases.

A simple example, adapted from testimony presented in a previous proceeding by Dr . Steven Wildman, nicely demonstrates this effect. The following chart reports the willingness to pay for each of two channels - news and weather - of two different types of subscribers in a cable market. In this example, a Type 1 subscriber would be willing to pay $\$ 4$ for a news channel and $\$ 7$ for a weather channel, while a Type 2 subscriber would be willing to pay $\$ 7$ for a news channel and $\$ 4$ for a weather channel.

[^128]| Programming | Type 1 Subscribers | Type 2 Subscribers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News | 4 | 7 |
| Weather | 7 | 4 |

I suppose for simplicity that there were equal numbers of each subscriber type, and that the cable system paid no affiliate fees (costs) for either channel.

If a cable system were to offer each channel separately, it would charge a price of $\$ 4$ per channel, sell both a news channel and a weather channel to each type of subscriber, and earn $\$ 8$ per subscriber. But if, instead, the system were to offer a single bundle of both networks, it would charge a price of $\$ 11$ for the bundle, sell the bundle to each subscriber, and earn $\$ 11$ per subscriber, a $38 \%$ increase in profit. Bundling is profitable, in this example, because it lets the cable system implicitly charge the Type 1 subscribers $\$ 4$ for news and $\$ 7$ for weather and vice versa for Type 2 subscribers. Higher profits can be extracted by the cable operator because the two types of subscribers have relative program preferences (i.e., which program is preferred more than the other) that are opposite. In other words, preferences for news and weather are negatively correlated across these consumers.

A direct consequence of this property is that cable systems have an important incentive to add channels to a bundle for which consumer tastes are negatively correlated with the existing channels in the bundle. The reason can be shown with Dr. Wildman's full example. Reported in the following chart is the willingness to pay for the same two channels plus a new channel sports - for the same two subscriber types.

| Programming | Type 1 Subscribers | Type 2 Subscribers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sports | 14 | 8 |
| News | 4 | 7 |
| Weather | 7 | 4 |

Continue to assume an equal number of subscribers of each type and zero affiliate fees. Assume further that the cable system already offered the sports channel (as might be expected in this

CORRECTED 1/28/2010
hypothetical given each subscriber type's relatively high valuation for it ) and is now deciding to add just one of the two available alternative channels (news or weather).

It would appear at first that as long as there are equal numbers of each consumer type, there would be nothing much to distinguish the news and weather channels. In particular, they have the same average willingness-to-pay of $\$ 5.50$ and the same cost (assumed zero). Notice the difference in profit, however, from offering each in a bundle with sports. A bundle of sports and news allows the system to charge a price of $\$ 15$, sell the bundle to both types, and earn $\$ 15$ per subscriber. ${ }^{17}$ A bundle of sports and weather, on the other hand, allows the system to charge a price of only $\$ 12$ and earn $\$ 12$ per subscriber. Because of the negative correlation between household tastes for sports and news in this hypothetical example, adding the news channel is $25 \%$ more profitable to the system.

This basic economic principle about maximizing profits through bundling is both recognized in the academic literature and, in the cable television marketplace, confirmed through my own research. ${ }^{18}$ Indeed, the bundling of cable television channels is frequently used as the canonical example of the profitability of such "discriminatory" bundling in textbooks in Industrial Organization. ${ }^{19}$

## D. Which Distant Signals?

One can use the insights from this research to predict which distant signals cable systems are most likely to carry as well as what types of content on these signals will have the greatest value. The royalty cost to a cable system of any two distant signals with the same DSE is the same. The first condition, demand less costs, therefore says that cable systems are likely to carry distant signals for which there is the greatest average demand. If people in adjacent markets are more likely to have similar interests than people in very widely separated markets, this may explain at

[^129]least in part why the majority of distant signals are imported from nearby television markets. ${ }^{20}$ It cannot, however, suggest which kinds of content are most likely to be chosen.

The second condition, negative correlation, can. In a recent article published in Quantitative Marketing and Economics, I tested the implications of "discriminatory" bundling in cable television markets and measured the effects of negative correlation on bundle demand and profit. ${ }^{21}$ My analysis demonstrated that programming that appeals to niche tastes ("SpecialInterest Networks") is more likely to generate tastes that negatively co-vary with tastes for the bundle than programming that appeals to broad tastes ("General-Interest Networks"). ${ }^{22}$ In particular, I allocated the top- 15 cable networks according to their programming format and found that special-interest networks are more likely to have a significantly negative "elasticity effect" (i.e. are more likely to negatively co-vary with other networks in the bundle). ${ }^{23}$ The implication of this result for distant signal carriage is that when a cable system compares two distant signals with equal average demand, it will likely prefer the one appealing to niche tastes.

How does this provide guidance for measuring the relative value of distant signal content? It suggests that content that is markedly different from the other content already offered by the cable system is likely to have relatively greater economic value to the cable operator than content that is similar. My research shows generally that programming akin to those I understand are included within the Commercial Television Claimants, Joint Sports Claimants, and Public Television Claimants categories are more likely to be considered niche programming, therefore more likely to be negatively correlated with other content in cable system bundles, and thus more profitable to cable systems than programs akin to those included within the Program Suppliers category. ${ }^{24}$

[^130]There is an unusual implication of negative correlation and cable system value that is worth emphasizing here. Niche programming is the type of content most likely to involve negative correlation of subscriber preferences and thus to be of greater value to cable systems. It also, by definition, appeals to a smaller audience than does general-interest programming. Thus, in subscriber-supported settings like that characterizing the distant-signal marketplace, and in clear contrast to advertising-supported markets, it is quite possible that less viewing is correlated with greater value. ${ }^{25}$

## II. THE FORD REPORT

The Ford report claims to estimate the relative market value of compensable programming by calculating the relative value such programming would purportedly have to a broadcast station selling access to the audiences that watch such programming in the local advertising market. ${ }^{26}$ He does so by evaluating the typical audience demographics of alternative content types, determining an average price for those audience demographics in the broadcast advertising market, and, based on what he takes as the relative viewing shares of the various content types and on certain adjustments he makes for various program categories, calculating a purported relative value of the various program categories in the broadcast program marketplace.

The focus of my comments is on the conceptual framework put forward by Dr. Ford, not with the details of the implementation of his analysis. There is a fundamental flaw in the conceptual framework he proposes: he focuses his analysis exclusively on the audience (advertising revenue) market, and not at all on the cable (subscription fee) market. This is a surprising, and glaring, omission.

## A. Basic Economic Principles

First, as described above, the only mechanism by which distant signal importation can currently generate revenue is through payments to cable systems for subscriptions to bundles that include those signals. Even for non-broadcast cable networks, which present a different, partially advertising-based model, the great majority of cable system revenues come from subscriber fees

[^131]rather than advertising revenues. ${ }^{27}$ It seems unsupportable, therefore, for Dr. Ford to base his analysis on an assumption that in the hypothetical marketplace, the content provided on such signals would only be supported through payments from advertisers.

Indeed, later in his own report, Dr. Ford concludes that a hybrid approach combining his preferred approach and that presented in the Gruen subscriber survey would be plausible because it "would acknowledge dual sources of value for distantly transmitted programming - advertising and subscribers." ${ }^{28}$

As discussed above, it is a matter of long-settled economic principles, confirmed by my own empirical research and that of others, that the incentives and outcomes in the broadcast television programming market and the cable subscription-based market are fundamentally different. Given that Dr. Ford fails to apply or even discuss the economic principles that actually drive demand in the cable system marketplace, it is my opinion that his analysis cannot be relied upon to provide any useful information regarding the relative value of distant signal programming.

## B. Dr. Ford's Hypothetical Market

Dr. Ford does not provide a detailed analysis to justify his assumption that the same content currently being offered to households on cable systems via a distant broadcast signal would instead be offered by a broadcast station or locally originated cable channel in the distant cable system's market. Undertaking such an analysis, which I briefly describe below, I conclude that his assumption is unfounded: in the absence of a compulsory license, content currently distributed via cable carriage of a distant broadcast signal will likely continue to be distributed via a distant broadcast signal. The only effect of the absence of a compulsory license would be that cable systems would likely negotiate with broadcast stations over what they would pay instead of paying statutorily-specified fees. There would likely be no change in the program content on those distant signals or in the relative values of those programs from the perspective of the cable operator.

In the absence of a compulsory license, new distribution rights would have to be negotiated for the carriage of content currently distributed on distant broadcast signals. There might also be scope for the inclusion of locally inserted ads within this distant-signal content. I consider each of these changes in turn.

[^132]
## 1. The Structure of the Hypothetical Transaction.

In the absence of a compulsory license, cable systems in distant markets (e.g., the Mountain Home cable system in the Springfield, Missouri, DMA) would need to negotiate with copyright holders of the content carried on a distant broadcast signal (e.g., KATV, the Little Rock ABC affiliate carried by the Mountain Home system). How might these new transactions be organized?

First, there would likely be some intermediary, i.e., a channel, that would negotiate on behalf of the copyright holders. Cable systems are in the business of choosing channels, not negotiating rights with individual programmers. They are unlikely to have the skills for or interest in beginning to do so.

But what channel? Would it be a new low power broadcast station serving the cable system's local market, as Dr. Ford assumes (i.e., a broadcast station operating in the Springfield DMA in this example)? Would it be an incipient cable network? Or would it be the current broadcast station in the distant market that holds the distribution rights in that market (i.e., KATV in Little Rock)?

In my opinion, the market would continue to be organized as it is now, with broadcast stations in distant markets acting as intermediaries on behalf of content providers in negotiations with cable systems outside their local market. A principal reason for my opinion is simple: if there were value to content providers now being carried on distant signals (e.g., the owner of a Program Suppliers program on KATV) of selling that content in a cable system's local market (e.g., to a local broadcast station or a local origination cable channel in Mountain Home), they would already be doing so now.

Taking the example further, if "Razorback Football with Houston Nutt" could garner enough viewers in the Springfield DMA, wouldn't one of the numerous broadcast stations now serving Springfield license that program? The fact that they don't suggests there isn't enough demand by advertisers for access to the audiences in the Springfield DMA that would watch that program. Might there instead be sufficient demand by subscribers for that (and related) programming to justify carrying it in parts of the Springfield DMA? In fact, some residents of Northern Arkansas who reside in the Springfield DMA may very likely have such tastes. ${ }^{29}$ Programming supported by subscription fees (and distributed through cable systems) can exploit the intensity of tastes for a small segment of the population.

[^133]How might such content get to the cable system? Would it be distributed by a new cable channel entrant or, as now, via the distant broadcast signal (KATV in Little Rock)? It seems clear it would continue to be distributed by KATV. Creating a new cable channel with the same content as KATV would merely duplicate its costs without providing any additional benefit.

## 2. The Role of Advertising.

Turning to the second point, is it possible that distant signals might earn advertising revenue in the absence of a compulsory license that forbids the substitution of ads in distant signal retransmissions? I think not, for three reasons. First, as described above, I understand that the viewing of distant broadcast signals is miniscule and would therefore have little or no value in terms of potential advertising revenue. Second, I understand that Nielsen does not report ratings data for distant broadcast stations (e.g., KATV) within a local DMA (e.g., Springfield, MO) unless such ratings reach certain reporting thresholds. In short, without Springfield ratings, KATV would have nothing to sell in Springfield. Finally, I understand that local (DMA-level) audiences are sold in a well-functioning market within each DMA. I understand that advertisers generally budget and make their buys based on the main broadcast stations within a DMA, and would therefore place their purchases intended for the Springfield DMA with Springfield stations that can offer broad coverage in that DMA rather than spending more with KATV for the scattered KATV viewing audiences in the Springfield DMA, even if those audiences did show up in Nielsen's Springfield reports. ${ }^{30}$

Based on these reasons, I conclude that, in the absence of the compulsory license, content currently distributed on distant broadcast signals would likely continue to be distributed on distant broadcast signals. Instead of earning a share of copyright royalties under the compulsory license, content providers would likely negotiate with a distant broadcast station (e.g., KATV) for a share of any distant signal revenue that it could negotiate with any cable systems (e.g., Mountain Home) wanting to carry it.

## C. Dr. Ford's "Hybrid Approach"

Dr. Ford also discusses the subscriber survey evidence that was presented in this proceeding by
Dr. Gruen. He describes the survey as presenting "evidence of actual subscriber valuations" and

[^134]that it "arguably attempts to measure market value in the subscription market." ${ }^{31}$ Dr. Ford then suggests that an alternative approach to measuring relative market value would be to average the share numbers resulting from his advertising-based approach and the cable subscriber survey. ${ }^{32}$

Besides the fundamental flaws in Dr. Ford's own approach, there are also fundamental shortcomings in the cable subscriber survey as a measure of the relative value of distant signal programming categories. First, the survey fails to establish respondents' familiarity with or whether they place any value on distant signal programming at all. Even though subscriber willingness-to-pay would be more relevant than purported advertising revenues in assessing relative value in the cable market, Dr. Gruen's survey instrument does not provide a measure of willingness-to-pay. Suppose someone didn't value any of the programming types offered on a distant signal; indeed suppose she had never seen the signal and was completely unaware of what it carried. Then what relevant information could she possibly provide when asked how she would allocate $\$ 10$ among the various programming types? ${ }^{33}$ Relative values only have meaning if they are multiplied by a meaningful total.

The Gruen survey also fails to incorporate any measurement of subscriber tastes for other program services, including local stations and cable networks, which precludes the possibility of measuring the effects of correlation in household tastes for channels. As described above, cable systems find it profitable to add channels for which household tastes are negatively correlated with tastes for the existing channels in a bundle. Without such information, a critical component of measuring the relative economic value of programs to cable operators is missing. ${ }^{34}$

## CONCLUSION

The basic economic principles that govern marketplace behavior in the subscription-supported cable industry are clear and well-known. Any determination of relative market value of distant signal program categories should be made with these principles in mind.

[^135]Dr. Ford's fundamental assumption that content in a world without a compulsory license would be compiled and distributed by a low-power broadcaster local to the cable system is unfounded. Furthermore, his approach of purporting to measure the relative value of distant signal content by reference solely to advertising revenue in the broadcast market is unfounded and misleading given the economic incentives faced by cable operators and the fact that distant signal content is likely to continue to be supported exclusively by subscriber fees, not advertising revenues.

The types of programming chosen by cable operators to attract subscription revenue are fundamentally different from those chosen to attract advertising revenue. Programming targeting special-interest ("niche") tastes is often more profitable to cable systems, because including it in a bundle is more likely to attract and keep new and existing subscribers than programming targeting general-interest tastes.

For these reasons, Dr. Ford's conceptual framework is fundamentally flawed, from the perspectives of both economic theory and market reality. The Copyright Royalty Board should not use Dr. Ford's findings as a basis for allocating royalties from the copyright pool.
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## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY <br> OF JEFFERY S. BERMAN

I am submitting this testimony in response to the testimony of Dr. Arthur Gruen, who presented the Program Suppliers' constant sum surveys of cable subscribers, and Professor Alan Rubin, who assisted Dr. Gruen in designing the surveys (hereinafter "Gruen Surveys"). According to Dr. Gruen, these surveys "directly measure [] how cable subscribers value the programs delivered on distant signals" their cable systems carried during the years 2004 and 2005. Gruen Written Direct Testimony of Arthur C. Gruen, Ph.D. at 5 (hereinafter "Gruen W.D.T."). For the reasons discussed below, I do not believe that the Gruen Surveys provide a reliable assessment of the relative value that cable subscribers attached to the programming they received from distant signals.

## I. Qualifications

I am a Senior Partner and Executive Vice President of C\&R Research, a 100employee full service custom market research firm located in Chicago, Illinois. While $C \& R$ conducts research for many of the largest and most well known brands in the

United States, spanning a wide variety of industries, I have focused my efforts on surveying the cable television industry. Among the cable industry clients for whom C\&R has conducted market research, including surveys of cable subscribers, are Multiple

System Operators (MSOs), such as:
AT\&T Broadband/TCI
Bresnan
Brighthouse
Cablevision
Cablevision Industries
Colony
Comcast
Cox
Media One/Continental
Primestar
Rifkin
Suddenlink
Time Warner
United Cable
We also have conducted market research, including surveys, for cable networks, such as
ABC Cable Networks
A\&E,
BBC America
Cartoon Network
Discovery Channel
Disney Channel
DMX Music
ESPN.
Food Network
MTV Networks
Nickelodeon
Showtime Networks
Turner Entertainment Sports
TVGuide/Prevue Networks
I joined C\&R in 1985 and since then I have been in charge of all research that
C\&R conducts concerning the cable television and other entertainment industries. Prior
to joining C\&R, I was director of marketing research at Cox Cable Communications, which at the time was one of the nation's top 10 largest MSOs. During my career in cable television market research, I have been involved personally with hundreds of surveys of cable subscribers. My experience has included the overall study design, the design of survey questionnaires, the formulation of the sampling plan, the monitoring of data collection, the tabulation and analysis of the data, and the reporting of findings and recommendations based upon the data collected.

I received an MBA from the University of Chicago and a bachelor's degree in marketing from the University of Illinois -- Chicago. I am active in the Cable Television and Marketing Society, an association that manages cooperative marketing initiatives for cable companies, content providers and others who supply products and services to the cable industry. I have also served on the Board of Directors for CTAM's Chicago chapter. A copy of my biographical information is attached as Appendix A.

## II. Introduction and Summary

I believe the Gruen Surveys are flawed in several important respects and that market researchers with cable industry experience would not rely upon the results of those surveys. I base that opinion on my 25-years of professional experience as a market researcher involved with the design, execution and analysis of cable subscriber and other surveys. My opinion, however, also has empirical support.

Under my supervision, $C \& R$ conducted a pilot study during November 2009 in which we surveyed 110 cable subscribers from seven cable systems located throughout the United States, each of which carried WGN as its only distant signal in 2008 ("Pilot

Study"). We asked these subscribers the same questions that the Gruen Surveys had asked, except that our questions sought program category valuations for 2008 (rather than 2004 and 2005). We then asked certain follow-up questions relating to the concerns we had (and have) with the Gruen Surveys. The results of the Pilot Study, as discussed below, are consistent with my opinion that the Gruen Surveys are flawed and unreliable. ${ }^{1}$

## III. Discussion

The purpose of the Gruen Surveys was to determine the relative value that cable subscribers placed on the different categories of distant signal non-network programming they received in 2004 and 2005. The Surveys employed a common and well-accepted market research technique known as constant sum. The Surveys asked each of the respondents (approximately 1500 randomly-chosen cable subscribers per year) to allocate a $\$ 10$ bill for specified distant signals among different programming categories on those signals. The Surveys also asked demographic questions and questions about the popularity of the different categories of programming on the specified distant signals. ${ }^{2}$

[^136]The responses purported to show that subscribers attached the following relative values to the different program categories on distant signals ${ }^{3}$ -

Table 3
Normalized Distant Signal Relative Values (Percent)

| Category | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Program Suppliers |  |  |
| Series | 21.18 | 20.76 |
| Movies and Specials | 20.04 | 19.29 |
| Non-Team Sports | 7.68 | 6.57 |
| Program Supplier Total | 48.90 | 46.62 |
| News and Community Events | 15.51 | 19.51 |
| Devotional Programs | 7.38 | 8.19 |
| Live Team Sports | 17.82 | 17.10 |
| PBS $\dagger$ | 9.62 | 6.82 |
| Canadian $\ddagger$ | 0.77 | 1.77 |
| Total ${ }^{\star}$ | 100.00 | 100.01 |

$\dagger$ In 2005, this is the average of values that range from 6.49 to 7.16 $\ddagger$ In 2005 , this is the average of values that range fiom 1.44 to 2.10 *May not equal 100.00 percent due to rounding.

There are five principal problems with the Gruen Surveys:

- Program examples were inaccurate and misleading.
- Unqualified respondents were allowed to complete the survey.
- Gender was not recorded.
- It was not clear whether the values given should be those of the respondent or of the respondent's household.
- It was not clear whether the values given should be based on current programming or programming from a year ago.


## A. Program Examples

The Gruen Surveys identified the distant signal(s) that each respondent's cable system carried during the year in question and the program categories on those signals for which relative valuations were sought. For certain of the program categories, the Gruen

[^137]Surveys also provided "examples" of specific programs included within each category.
The identity of the distant signal(s) and the program category definitions (along with the examples) appeared three times in the survey - as part of the (1) "Descriptive

Information;" (2) the popularity question; and (3) the constant sum question. Gruen W.D.T at 31-38, 41-43.

For example, as to the category entitled "Series Programs," the surveys stated (three times) that:

SERIES PROGRAMS: This category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Star Trek: Enterprise, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on [the distant station].

Gruen W.D.T. at 33,35 , and 41. If the only station carried by the respondent's cable system was WGN-TV (as was the case for about $47 \%$ of the respondents to the 2004

Gruen Survey and $52 \%$ from the 2005 Survey), the constant sum question read in part:
SERIES PROGRAMS: This category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Star Trek: Enterprise, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on WGN.

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all series programs shown on this station for this category?

Gruen W.D.T. at 41.
This language suggests, or at least could be reasonably interpreted by a respondent to mean, that Seinfeld, Star Trek: Enterprise, American Idol, Jeopardy and the Oprah

Winfrey Show were in fact shown on WGN despite the fact that these programs were not carried on WGN in either 2004 or 2005. The obvious danger is that the wording could encourage respondents to associate these series programs with WGN and to place a value
on programs not actually carried by that station. I believe the use of such examples for Series Programs (and indeed for all categories) was inappropriate and biased the results of the Gruen Surveys.

Our Pilot Study confirms this conclusion. That study provided the respondents with virtually the same program examples as did the Gruen Surveys (in the (1) "Descriptive Information;".(2) the popularity question; and (3) the constant sum question). After asking the constant sum and other question as worded in the Gruen Surveys, we asked our respondents to identify the programs they watched on WGN, the only distant signal carried by their cable systems. Nearly half of the respondents identified programs that were not broadcast by WGN, and virtually all of those responses listed one or more program examples described in the survey. See Appendix C (survey questionnaire listing program examples). Programs that the subscribers said they watched on WGN included Seinfeld, Oprah, American Idol, Jeopardy, and NASCAR, none of which WGN televised during the year in question. All of these programs, however, may have been available from sources other than distant signals. Consequently, the values provided by respondents to the Gruen Surveys (and our Pilot Study) likely incorporated programming televised by stations or cable networks other than distant signals.

Program Suppliers' witness Dr. Rubin stated that the Gruen Surveys were designed so that subscribers would respond "only about the non-network distant signal programming being transmitted., 4 However, the use of program examples actually

[^138]thwarted this goal and affirmatively caused respondents to focus on programs carried outside of this univers. ${ }^{5}$ It is a fundamental principle of survey research that questions should be framed in a clear, precise, and non-leading manner. The questions in the Gruen Surveys, insofar as they provided examples of programming that were not broadcast by the distant signals the respondents actually received, did not meet this objective.

## B. Respondent Qualifications

I agree with Dr. Rubin that "effective survey research requires survey respondents to be knowledgeable so that they are able to answer the questions being asked." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ This too is a basic tenet of survey research. The Gruen Surveys, however, failed this test. They did not determine whether the persons responding to the survey questions were in fact knowledgeable about the programming for which they were supposed to provide values. Therefore, some of the responses obtained were from unqualified respondents -- i.e, those who were not actually aware of the programming shown on the signals at issue and therefore incapable of providing an informed value for the programming they broadcast.

The only qualifications for a person to have been eligible to participate in the Gruen Surveys was that the person be the head or co-head of household and a subscriber to one of the designated cable systems in the sample. As Dr. Gruen acknowledged during the hearing, a cable subscriber, who typically has 100 or more channels on his or her cable system, will on average watch only 12-15 channels. ${ }^{7}$ Consequently, one cannot be

[^139]certain which, if any, person who responded to the Gruen Surveys was actually familiar with the programming shown on those distant signals. Dr. Gruen acknowledged this point. ${ }^{8}$

In our Pilot Study, we asked respondents whether they had watched during the previous year WGN (the only distant signal on their systems). Over $10 \%$ said they did not watch or did not know whether they had watched WGN; and an additional $20 \%$ said that they rarely watched WGN:

| Pilot Study -- Frequency with Which Viewers Watched <br> WGN in Preceding Year |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Frequently | $32.7 \%$ |
| Occasionally | $36.4 \%$ |
| Rarely | $20.0 \%$ |
| Never | $8.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | $2.7 \%$ |
|  |  |

Even those who said they had watched WGN may have been improperly influenced by the program examples. Specifically, the use of the examples may have caused the Respondents to believe that they had watched those programs on WGN even though many of those programs were not actually shown on that channel. The improper use of examples, which is discussed above, almost certainly tainted the responses of those who claimed to have watched the signal during the preceding year.

## C. Gender Data

The Gruen Surveys asked several demographic questions. For instance, the survey respondents were asked to provide their:

[^140]- Age
- Marital status
- Number of children (and for one year, the gender of the children)
- Household Income
- Education level

However, the Gruen Surveys omitted what is a standard demographic question -- gender. I do not recall ever having conducted a subscriber survey without obtaining gender data.

Typically, respondents in phone studies tend to skew older and more female than the general population. The Gruen Surveys did not vary from this tendency with respect to age. The $50+$ age group accounted for $52.6 \%$ of all respondents in the 2004 Survey and $55.2 \%$ in the 2005 Survey (combined average of $53.9 \%$ ) -- compared to $39.71 \%$ of the cable universe during the same years. Because they collected age data, Gruen was able to show that the older subscribers valued PS programming less than younger subscribers.

On the other hand, we do not know whether females were oversampled by Gruen because gender was not recorded. Similarly, we do not know whether males and females gave different programming valuations in the Gruen Surveys.

Our Pilot Study also yielded the expected oversampling of older and female respondents, as approximately $61 \%$ were $55+$ years old and $56 \%$ were female. In 200405 , women comprised approximately $52 \%$ of the cable universe and those 55 and older represented only $30.64 \%$. We see no difference by age in terms of the evaluations of Series and Live Team Sports. However, even with the small sample size, we see a significant difference by gender. Women valued Series significantly higher than they did Live Team Sports, while males valued Team Sports higher than they did Series.

| Pilot Study -- Value By Gender |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Programming Categories | Males | Females |
|  |  |  |
| News \& Community Events | $\$ 1.51$ | $\$ 1.38$ |
| Series | $\$ 1.50$ | $\$ 2.73$ |
| Devotional Programming | $\$ 0.84$ | $\$ 1.14$ |
| Movies \& Specials | $\$ 2.01$ | $\$ 2.09$ |
| Live Team Sports | $\$ 2.91$ | $\$ 1.58$ |
| Non-Team Sports | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 0.81$ |

A previous tribunal in a copyright royalty distribution proceeding expressed reservations about another subscriber survey where there was a similar gender imbalance ( $59 \%$ female, $41 \%$ male) and a similar disparity in gender valuations. ${ }^{9}$

## D. Personal vs. Household Valuations

Gruen testified that his Surveys sought to determine valuations of the entire household rather than that of the individual respondents. ${ }^{10}$ While this is the correct approach, the Gruen Surveys were not designed appropriately to achieve that objective.

The instructions to the constant sum valuation question proceed as follows:

- The instructions first say that "[w]e are now going to ask you a few questions on how you value the program categories shown [on the distant stations at issue]." (emphasis added) The first instruction, then, suggests that the value will be that of the respondent.
- The instructions later ask the person to divide a hypothetical ten dollar payment "according to how valuable you feel each program category was in your own home."12 (emphasis added). This instruction seems to be targeted at household value.
${ }^{9} 1983$ Cable Royalty Distribution Proceeding 51 Fed. Reg. 12792, 12799, 12810 (Apr. 15, 1986).
${ }^{10}$ Oral Testimony of Arthur Gruen, Tr. at 1868-69.
${ }^{11}$ Gruen W.D.T. at 40.
${ }^{12}$ Id .
- But when the ultimate valuation question is asked again, the survey asks respondents "what is the value to you" of the various program categories. ${ }^{13}$ (emphasis added). This seems to be focused again on the value assessments of the respondent.

The shifting terminology in the Gruen Surveys' constant sum question was likely to produce confusion about whose valuations the respondent (in a multi-person household) should provide -- the respondent's valuation or the valuations of the entire household. ${ }^{14}$ Such confusion was shown to exist in our Pilot Study. After asking the constant sum and other questions, we asked respondents whether the value they provided was their own or that of their household. We found that more than one out of five respondents in multiperson households provided their personal valuations rather than valuations of the entire household.

| Pilot Study -- Valuations of Respondents in Multi-Person Households |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Value for Respondent Only | 22.0\% |
| Value for Household | 78.0\% |

Therefore, we cannot say that the Gruen Survey results reflect household valuations, as was the intent.

## E. Valuation Period

Similarly, the Gruen Surveys do not provide clear instructions about the time period being valued. In the constant sum question, the respondents are told to "assume that TEN DOLLARS of your bill last year represented how much you paid for the program

[^141]categories on these stations that we have been discussing so far." It goes on to say, "Now, I would like you to divide this hypothetical TEN DOLLARS according to how valuable you feel each programming category was in your own home." Here it is clear that the respondents were being asked to evaluate programming that was carried on distant signals last year. However, when the ultimate valuation question is asked, the survey asks "...what is the value to you...?"

The pilot study suggests that, in fact, there was confusion in the Gruen Surveys. Our respondents were asked what time period they were thinking about when answering this question. Only $17 \%$ said they were thinking about programs shown last year, while $12 \%$ said they were thinking about the current year and $67 \%$ were not thinking about any particular time period.

| Pilot Study -- Time Period for Which <br> Programming Values Were Given |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  |  |
| Currently On | $11.8 \%$ |
| From a year ago | $17.3 \%$ |
| Not thinking about a time frame | $67.3 \%$ |
| Don't' know | $3.6 \%$ |

The desired intent, in this case obtaining valuations of programming shown a year ago, was not met.

## APPENDIX A

## Jeffery S. Berman

Jeffery S. Berman received his undergraduate degree from The University of Illinois in Chicago in 1967 and his MBA from the University of Chicago in 1970.

Mr. Berman started his business career in 1970 at The Quaker Oats Company, where he worked in the market research department until he left the company in 1973.

In 1973, Mr. Berman joined The Coca-Cola Company, where he held various positions in marketing research, ultimately rising to the position of Associate Brand Director for Brand Coca-Cola.

In 1982, Mr. Berman joined Cox Communications, where he worked in the market research department for 3 years.

In 1985, Mif. Berman jointed $C \& R$ Research, where he remains employed. $C \not 2 R$ is a full service custom market research firm. Mr. Berman started there as a Vice President and is currently a Senior Partner and Executive Vice President. While C\&R conducts research for many of the largest and most well known brands in the United States, spanning a wide variety of industries, Mr. Berman has focused his efforts on serving corporations in the cable television industry.

## APPENDIX B

## DISTANT SIGNAL PILOT METHODOLOGY

For the pilot study, we kept the core survey the same as the 2004-2005 surveys (with the exception of updating the examples of programs in each category to be more current since those programs may no longer be carried). To understand how respondents were thinking when they answered the core survey, as well as their engagement with the distant signal, we added some follow-up questions. These questions covered:

- The time period respondents were thinking about (current vs. last year)
- Who respondents were thinking about (themselves vs. their family)
- How often respondents watched the distant signal last year (and what they watched)
- The importance of the distant signal in respondents deciding to continue their cable subscription

We also recorded respondents' gender to see if there were any differences in the value of programming categories by gender (since gender was not recorded in the 2004-2005 surveys).

We purchased Random Digit Dialing (RDD) sample from Survey Sampling International (SSI), as well as wireless phone sample, given the proportion of households that do not have a landline phone (estimated at about $20 \%$, but higher among younger consumers).

Between October $15^{\text {th }}$ and October $23^{\text {rd }}$, 2009, we conducted $110^{*}$ interviews ( 88 with RDD sample and 22 with wireless sample) across seven different systems. All of the systems selected were ones that had been sampled in the Gruen Surveys and which were located in different regions of the United States. Interviews were conducted by Universal Survey Center.

The final number of completes for each system is as follows:

- Cox Communications, Inc. (Oklahoma City, Oklahoma): 16
- Cox Communications, Inc. (Phoenix, Arizona): 15
- Bright House Networks (Dade, Florida): 16
- Comcast of CA/OH/PA/UTMNA, inc. (Pitisburgh, Pennsyivania): 17
- Comcast CBV of GA SC, Inc.(Hinesville, Georgia): 15
- Charter Communications, LLC (Los Angeles, California): 15
- Comcast of the South, Inc. (Ann Arbor, Michigan): 16

The interview length ended up being approximately 18 minutes, and the incidence of finding qualified respondents for the RDD sample was $35 \%$, while it was $27 \%$ for the wireless sample. Qualified respondents were adults who subscribed to cable TV service in their home in 2008 from the primary cable provider in their area. Over half of the numbers we dialed were "no answers" or answering machines (it took over 200 dialings of the RDD sample to get just one complete; it took over 450 dialings to get one complete with the wireless sample).
*Note: With a sample size of 110, the margin of error is +/- 9.34 percentage points. Therefore, with this limited sample size, results cannot be projected to the entire cable TV subscriber universe.

## DISTANT SIGNAL PILOT RESULTS*

*Note: With a sample size of 110 , the margin of error is $+/-9.34$ percentage points. Therefore, with this limited sample size, results cannot be projected to the entire cable TV subscriber' universe.

| Popularity of News and Community |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Events |  |
| Very popular | $19.1 \%$ |
| Nomewhat popular | $31.8 \%$ |
| Don't know/refused | $47.3 \%$ |


| Popularity of Series |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Very popular | $38.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat popular | $36.4 \%$ |
| Not popular | $22.7 \%$ |
| Don't knowirefused | $2.7 \%$ |


| Popularity of Devotional Programs |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very popular | $10.9 \%$ |
| Somewhat popular | $20.9 \%$ |
| Not popular | $67.3 \%$ |
| Don't know/refused | $0.9 \%$ |


| Popularity of Movies and Specials |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very popular | $30.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat popular | $40.0 \%$ |
| Not popular | $29.1 \%$ |
| Don't know/refused | $0.9 \%$ |


| Popularity of Live Team Sports |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very popular | $40.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat popular | $28.2 \%$ |
| Not popular | $30.9 \%$ |
| Don't know/refused | $0.9 \%$ |


| Popularity of Non-Team Sports |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very popular | $13.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat popular | $23.6 \%$ |
| Not popular | $61.8 \%$ |
| Don't know/refused | $0.9 \%$ |


| Average Value of Categories (out of $\$ 10$ ) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| News and Community Events | $\$ 1.43$ |
| Series | $\$ 2.20$ |
| Devotional Programs | $\$ 1.01$ |
| Movies and Specials | $\$ 2.05$ |
| Live Team Sports | $\$ 2.16$ |
| Non-Team Sports | $\$ 0.81$ |


| Time Period Respondents Were Thinking About When <br> Answering Valuation Question |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Programming that's currently on | $11.8 \%$ |
| Programming that was on in 2008 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Not thinking about a particular time frame | $67.3 \%$ |
| Don't know/dorit remember | $3.6 \%$ |


| Whom Respondents Were Thinking About When |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Answering Valuation Question |  |
| Value for respondent only | $36.4 \%$ |
| Value for household | $60.9 \%$ |
| Only person in household (not read) | $1.8 \%$ |
| Don't know/don't remember | $0.9 \%$ |


| Frequency of Watching Distant Signal in 2008 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Frequently | $32.7 \%$ |
| Occasionally | $36.4 \%$ |
| Rarely | $20.0 \%$ |
| Never | $8.2 \%$ |
| Don't know | $2.7 \%$ |


| Importance of Distant Signal in Decision to Subscribe |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| or Continue Subscribing to Cable TV Provider |  |
| Extremely important | $6.4 \%$ |
| Very important | $30.0 \%$ |
| Not very important | $31.8 \%$ |
| Not at all important | $31.8 \%$ |


| Demographic Profile |  | Demographic Profile (Cont'd) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital Status |  | Education |  |
| Single | 46.7 | Grade School | 0.0 |
| Married | 53.3 | Some High School | 9.3 |
|  |  | High Schood Graduate | 23.1 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | 4.6 | Technical School | 30.6 |
| 25-34 | 17.6 | College Graduate | 25.9 |
| 40-49 | 15.7 | Some Graduate School | 4.6 |
| 50-54 | 8.3 | Graduate Degree | 6.5 |
| 55-64 | 19.4 |  |  |
| 65+ | 34.3 | Spouse's Education |  |
| Average Age | 54.2 | Grade School | 0.0 |
|  |  | Some High School | 5.3 |
| Spouse's Age |  | High School Graduate | 22.8 |
| 18-24 | 0.0 | Some College or |  |
| 25-34 | 16.1 | Technical School | 33.3 |
| 40.49 | 8.9 | College Graduate | 22.8 |
| 50-54 | 14.3 | Some Graduate School | 0.0 |
| 55-64 | 25.0 | Graduate Degree | 15.8 |
| 65+ | 35.7 |  |  |
| Average Age | 57.1 | Gender |  |
| Kids in Household |  | Male | 43.6 |
| Has Kids (Net) | 39.4 | Female | 56.4 |
| Under 2 | 4.6 |  |  |
| 2-5 | 6.4 |  |  |
| 6-11 | 11.9 |  |  |
| 12-17 | 11.9 |  |  |
| 18+ | 22.0 |  |  |
| Household Income |  |  |  |
| Under \$20,000 | 17.8 |  |  |
| \$20,000-\$39,999 | 30.0 |  |  |
| \$40,000-\$59,999 | 21.1 |  |  |
| \$60,000-\$79,999 | 10.0 |  |  |
| \$80,000-\$99,999 | 10.0 |  |  |
| \$100,000+ | 11.1 |  |  |
| Average income | \$50,980 |  |  |

Q8 Open Ended Responses

| Resp ID | Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00004 | team sports and news, movies |
| 00005 | sitcoms and series, sports |
| 00007 | news, dr phil, movies, sports |
| 00009 | wrestling, nba, basketball, the newscast, jeopardy, american idol, seinfeld |
| 00011 | home video, news, hillbillies, cheers, the heat of the night, gennie |
| 00013 | oprah |
| 00021 | The Nascar, Not very much we hardley ever watched it. |
| 00022 | The Oprah Winfrey Show |
| 00024 | football, sports, game shows |
| 00029 | i cant think of any off the top of my head, the movie, some news, nascar |
| 00030 | sports- football, sooccer, and hockey, matlock, in the heat of the night |
| 00031 | sports, sitcoms and movies |
| 00032 | American Idol and Seinfeld. The live NFL football.Movies. |
| 00033 | joel osteen, a block of comedies that come on, old time comedies. ithink thats it on wgn they have good movies and they have good sitcoms |
| 00036 | the news and series programming |
| 00037 | movies, hockey, games |
| 00048. | seinifieid, oprah, joel osteen, movies, news |
| 00051 | mainly gospel programming, also the sports and the game shows |
| 00052 | sports, football news |
| 00059 | movies, comedy series, and game shows |
| 00064 | the oprah winfrey show, seinfield, jeopardy, movies |
| 00070 | only movies, sometimes rasing |
| 00072 | seinfeld, news |
| 00080 | sports, oprah |
| 00082 | news, sports |
| 00083 | oprah shows, montal shows |
| 00092 | nascar live team sports, occasional movie |
| 00094 | sitcoms, movies |
| 00099 | seinfeld, jeporday, lethal weapon 3, american idol, nascar |
| 00106 | so you think you can dance, idol |
| 00111 | seventh heaven, chier backer, sports, basball, touched by an angel sitcoms |
| 00112 | nascar, the movies, smallvilee, a few of the others lethal weapon, star wars |
| 00114 | series i know, movies, just not the ones you listed, it have to look, at my paper. don't want oprah, watch the movies that come on, we don't watch science fiction |
| 00115 | live team sports, sitcoms and oprah, news |
| 00117 | nfl, baserball, soccer, nfl football, ophrah winfrey, american idol and the movies, the news, seinfeld |
| 00118 | movies |
| 00119 | team sports, basketball, the cubs, the nfl, the news every once in a while |
| 00121 | movies and sporting events, news and local |
| 00122 | the movies, series and sports |
| 00123 | local news, very little. sometimes jeopardy |
| 00126 | the ophrah winfrey, american idol, the news |

## Q8 Open Ended Responses

| 00130 | some of the movies, joel olstein oprah winfrey, jeopardy, american idol, independance day, rush hour, lethal weapon, in general any family movies |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00131 | sporting events, american idol, some movies |
| 00133 | the biggest thing is sports, they have alot of rewrite shows that i get a kick out of |
| 00136 | whatever is on-american idol, a lot of sports |
| 00138 | oprah winfrey, star wars and the movies |
| 00142 | sports, oprah |
| 00143 | seinfeld, wrestting |
| 00147 | reruns sitcoms, or whatever was being shown in sports category, and sunday devotional category anf reruns - we go through the guide. sometimes we catch a rerun, sports or devotional category |
| 00148 | professional baseball, my girlfriend watches alot of movies and a few games of chicago cubs baseball and ncaa basketball, nascar and oprah winfrey, sitcoms - will and grace and seinfeld |
| 00159 | nascar, any sports, football |
| 00161 | american idol, oprah, football, baseball, joel osteen, seinfeld, all the college football, nba basketball |
| 00168 | american idol, oprah, seinfeld |
| 00170 | joel oisteen, 700 club, the gospel hour, the nascar |
| 00174 | football programs-series like seinfeld-occasionally the devotional programs |
| 00176 | the upper south, sports events, football games |
| 00177 | seinfeld, i occasionally watch the talk shows, news and community events, and occasionally the movies, occasionally the sports, baseball football and basketball |
| 00179 | the devotional programs and of couse the tv and charlie may, or the old comedy shows, and some movies, and some talk shows, im not a fan of oprah winfrey |
| 00183 | American Idol, Games Shows, a few sitcoms, religious occassionally, and movies quite frequently |
| 00186 | american idol, different football, sports and baseball |
| 00188 | the series, the news for sure, the series, and some of the movies. |
| 00191 | science shows, history and science |
| 00193 | news, the feature stories |
| 00195 | seinfeld, baseball and 9'clock news |
| 00196 | movies |
| 00202. | oprah, seinfeld, and basketball and football, news shows |
| 00203 | oprah winfrey show, the news |
| 00237 | seinfeld, movies |
| 00245 | sports, |
| 00265 | wrestleing, nascar |
| 00283 | mostly sports, news |
| 00289 | oprah, american ido, sitcoms, and reality shows |
| 00311 | oprah,nba basketball, car racing |
| 00312 | oprah |
| 80012 | opran |

Q8 Open Ended Responses

| 80019 | everything except for the news. Down from Wrestling to the devotional <br> movies and series and live team sports. NHL and lots of baseball |
| :--- | :--- |
| 80021 | baseball, seinfeld, $21 / 2$ men - maybe not on that station - mostly watch <br> local channels |
| 80025 | news,jerry springer |
| 80027 | seinfeld, a lot of sitcoms, malcolm in the middle? not sure if it was on <br> that channel - seinfeld |
| 80044 | nascar seinfeld tons of movies /baseball football/jeapordy/ current news |
| 80057 | sports, just football |
| 80062 | comedy central |
| 80063 | Cubs \& the White Sox |
| 80064 | smalluille; sports |
| 80066 | Any football. game, Regular movies, and news |
| 80067 | sports, news |
| 80079 | the news, local news |
| 80081 | in the heat of thenight |
| 80084 | loel osteen |

## APPENDIX C

## C\&R Research <br> 500 North Michigan <br> Chicago, IL 60611 <br> (312) 828-9200 <br> 10/13/09 - FINAL

## Distant Signal Research Questionnaire - Pilot Study

## Sample Specifications

- Ages 18+
- Head of household
- Subscribed to cable TV service last year (2008) from primary system in county


## Quotas

Quotas will be defined by FIPS code (see excel sheet).
RECORD THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION
System Name (BASED ON FIPS CODE)
City (BASED ON FIPS CODE)
State (BASED ON FIPS CODE)
Telephone Number (FROM SAMPLE)
Date Interviewed

## (ASK TO SPEAK TO ONE OF THE ADULTS IN THE HOUSEHOLD)

Good morning/afternoon/evening. My name is $\qquad$ , and I'm calling from Creative \& Response Research, a national market research firm. We are conducting a brief national survey among randomly selected cable TV subscribers regarding television programs. This survey will take about 20 minutes of your time. We are offering a cash payment of $\$ 25$ to those who complete the survey. May we talk now? (SCHEDULE TIME FOR CALL-BACK IF NOT CURRENTLY AVAILABLE)

S1. First, are you the head or co-head of the household? (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Yes
.1

No................. 2 (ASK: Could I please speak with that person? AND REPEAT INTRODUCTION
AND S1; IF NOT AVAILABLE, SCHEDULE TIME FOR CALL-BACK)

S2. Did you have cable TV in your home in 2008? (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)
Yes ................ 1
No............. 2 (THANK AND TERMINATE)

S3. Is there another head or co-head of the household? (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Yes ............ 1 (ASK Q.S4)
No.............. 2 (SKIP TO Q.S5a)

S4. Between you and the other co-head of the household, is your birthday the (NEXT/LAST)? (RANDOMIZE BETWEEN ASKING NEXT \& LAST IN PROGRAM) (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Yes ............ 1 (ASK Q.S5a)
No.............. 2 (ASK: Could I please speak to the other co-head of the household? AND REPEAT INTRODUCTION, SKIPPING TO Q.S5a AFTER INTRO; IF NOT AVAILABLE, SCHEDULE TIME FOR CALL-BACK)

S5a. What cable system did you subscribe to last year? (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Armstrong Frankfort Plant Board
Atlantic Broadband Insight Communications
Bee Line Cable
Knology
Bresnan
Bright House
MCC lowa
Mediacom
Cable One
Metrocast
Cablevision
Charter
Comeast
Covington Cable
RCN
Service Electric Cable
Time Warner
Voom (TERMINATE)
Cox
DirecTV (TERMINATE)
Wide Open West (WOW)
Dish Network (TERMINATE)
Other (Specify) (ASK Q.S5b)
East Arkansas Video
(VERIFY THAT CABLE SYSTEM SERVES RESPONDENT'S CITY/COUNTY (BASED ON EXCEL SPREADHEET COLUMNS A):

- IF CABLE SYSTEM DOES SERVE RESPONDENT'S LOCATION, SKIP TO LOGIC BEFORE Q.S6a
- IF RESPONDENT'S ANSWER IS A CABLE SYSTEM THAT DOES NOT SERVE THAT LOCATION, ASK Q.S5b

S5b. Is (INSERT CABLE SYSTEM FROM EXCEL SPREADSHEETCOLUMN A) your cable company? (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Yes $\qquad$ 1 (CONTINUE TO DIRECTIVE BEFORE Q.S6a)
No $\qquad$ 2 (THANK AND TERMINATE)
Don't know ... 3 (THANK AND TERMINATE)
Refused........ 4 (THANK AND TERMINATE)

ASK Q.S6a \& Q.S6b IF RESPONDENT IS FROM CELL PHONE SAMPLE, ELSE SKIP TO 'DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION'
S6a. In what state do you primarily live? (DO NOT READ LIST; ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

S6b. In what county do you primarily live? (DO NOT READ LIST; ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

CONFIRM THAT STATE AND COUNTY ARE IN CORRECT SYSTEM FOOTPRINT. IF NOT, THANK \& TERMINATE.

## DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION

(FOR INTRO AND DESCRIPTIONS, INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) (FROM COLUMN F) AND CITYISTATE OF ORIGIN (FROM COLUMNS G \& H) OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET)

We are interested in program categories on television stations that come from other cities. I am now going to ask questions about categories of programs on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY, STATE OF ORIGIN).

The questions I will ask apply only to these stations from these cities.
These television stations I just mentioned carry certain categories of programs. I'm going to read a brief description of these program categories and then ask you a few questions.

## (READ PROGRAM CATEGORIES AND DEFINITIONS TO RESPONDENTS)

A. NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: These include news and community events shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
B. SERIES: These include sitcoms, dramas, children's shows, talk shows, game shows, and other series shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
Examples include Seinfeld, Smallville, American ldol, Jeopardy, and the Oprah Winfrey Show.
C. DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: These include shows with religious themes shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). Examples include Old Time Gospel Hour, 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry.
D. MOVIES AND SPECIALS: These include feature films, Movies of the Week, and specials shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
Examples include movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3.
E. LIVE TEAM SPORTS: These include live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football. NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
F. NON-TEAM SPORTS: These include professional wrestling, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
(READ 'PBS PROGRAMS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A PBS STATION ('X' IN COLUMN N OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET)
G. PBS PROGRAMS: These include programs shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-PBS STATIONS (WITHOUT AN XIN COLUMN N))
Examples include Antiques Roadshow, NewsHour, and Sesame Street.
(READ ‘PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A CANADIAN STATION ('X' IN COLUMN O OF EXCEL. SPREADSHEET)
H. PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS: These include programs shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-CANADIAN STATIONS (WITHOUT AN X IN COLUMN O). SKIP IF THERE ARE NO CANADIAN STATIONS ON LIST.)
Examples include The Border, The National, and Bo on the Go.

Q1. Now I'm going to ask you about the popularity of each type of program last year IN YOUR OWN HOME. Here I am still referring only to (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). As I read each program category and a brief definition, tell me if it was VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home last year.

When you respond, please do so on the basis of ALL the shows that are included in that particular program category.

## (ROTATE THE ORDER OF A.H)

Let's start with: (INSERT FIRST CATEGORY; ASK QUESTION FOR EACH CATEGORY, 1 AT A TIME)
a. NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: Remember, this category includes news and community events shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
b. SERIES: Remember, this category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Smallville, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
c. DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: Remember, this category includes shows with religious themes such as Old Time Gospel Hour, the 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
d. MOVIES AND SPECIALS: Remember, this category includes movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3 shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
e. LIVE TEAM SPORTS: Remember, this category includes live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football, NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTERIS) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
f. NON-TEAM SPORTS: Remember, this category includes professional wresting, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
g. (ASK ABOUT 'PBS PROGRAMS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A PBS STATION ('X' IN COLUMN N OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET) PBS PROGRAMS: Remember, this category includes PBS programs such as Antiques Roadshow, NewsHour, and Sesame Street shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-PBS STATIONS (WITHOUT AN XIN COLUMN N))
h. (READ 'PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A CANADIAN STATION ('X' IN COLUMN O OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET) PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS: These include programs such as The Border, The National, and Bo on the Go shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-CANADIAN STATIONS (WITHOUT AN X IN COLUMN O))

Please tell me if (INSERT CATEGORY) as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home. (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH)

Very popular . .1
Somewhat popular ..... 2
Not popular................. 3
Don't know/refused .... 4

Q2a. Are there any other categories of programs shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S)) that are VERY POPULAR OR SOMEWHAT POPULAR in your home? ALLOW UP TO 5 ANSWERS

(DNR) No other categories ......... 98
(DNR) Don't know ................. 99
ASK Q.2b FOR EACH CATEGORY MENTIONED AT Q.2a. IF NO CATEGORIES MENTIONED, SKIP TO 'PROGRAM VALUE' INTRO BEFORE Q. 3

Q2b. Please tell me if (INSERT CATEGORY FROM Q.2a) as a whole are VERY POPULAR or SOMEWHAT POPULAR in your own home. (DO NOT READ LIST, ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH)

Very popular............... 1
Somewhat popular ..... 2

## PROGRAM VALUE

We are now going to ask you a few questions on how you value the program categories shown on these same stations, (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).

When you pay your cable bill, a certain portion of the payment is for the program categories on the stations I mentioned earlier. Let's assume that TEN DOLLARS of your bill last year represented how much you paid for the program categories on these stations that we have been discussing so far.

Now, I would like you to divide this hypothetical TEN DOLLARS according to how valuable you feel each program category was in your own home. You can divide the TEN DOLLARS any way you wish.

READ THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH ONLY IF THE CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A NETWORK AFFILIATE (DENOTED BY 'X' IN COLUMN M OF THE EXCEL SPREADSHEET):
For purposes of this survey, we are not interested in network shows on the ABC, CBS, and NBC television networks. In considering how to divide the TEN DOLLARS among the program categories, please consider the value of all the non-network programs in that category.

In considering how to divide the TEN DOLLARS among the program categories, please consider the value of ALL the programs in that category.

Ill read all the categories broadcast by these stations to give you a chance to think about them. Please write the categories down as 1 am reading them.

Remember, you can divide the TEN DOLLARS any way you wish -- you can give any value between ZERO DOLLARS and TEN DOLLARS, INCLUDING PORTIONS OF DOLLARS, to a program category. But keep in mind the total value you give to all the categories has to add up to TEN DOLLARS.

SHOW ALL CATEGORIES AND THEIR RESPONSES ON ONE SCREEN; READ Q. 3 IN SAME ORDER AS IN Q.1.
Q3. To begin...
a. NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: This category includes news and community events shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
b. SERIES PROGRAMS: This category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Smallville, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from (NSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
c. DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: This category includes shows with religious themes such as Old Time Gospel Hour, the 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
d. MOVIES AND SPECIALS: This category includes movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3 shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
e. LIVE TEAM SPORTS: This category includes live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football, NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
f. NON-TEAM SPORTS: This category includes professional wrestling, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only on (INSERT Dístañt signial státión call Letter(S) from insert city or cities of origin).
g. (ASK ABOUT 'PBS PROGRAMS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A PBS STATION ('X' IN COLUMN N OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET) PBS PROGRAMS: This category includes PBS programs such as Antiques Roadshow, NewsHour, and Sesame Street shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-PBS STATIONS (WITHOUT AN X IN COLUMN N))
h. (READ 'PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS' ONLY IF CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A CANADIAN STATION ('X' IN COLUMN O OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET) PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS: This category includes programs such as The Border, The National, and Bo on the Go shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-CANADIAN STATIONS(WITHOUT AN XIN COLUMN O))
(ASK QUESTION FOR EACH CATEGORY AFTER READING DESCRIPTION. IN QUESTION TEXT, DO NOT INCLUDE 'SHOWN ON THIS STATION FOR THIS CATEGORY' WHEN ASKING Q.3h.)

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all (INSERT CATEGORY) shown on this station for this category?
\$
ASK Q. 3 i IF OTHER CATEGORIES MENTIONED AT Q.2a, ELSE SKIP TO Q. 4
i. You also said that (INSERT EACH CATEGORY ONE AT A TIME FROM Q.2a) was very or somewhat popular in your own home. Remember, we are still interested in the value of programs shown only on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN).
(ASK QUESTION FOR EACH CATEGORY FROM Q.2a)
Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all (INSERT CATEGORY) programs shown on these same stations?
$\$$ $\qquad$
(VALUES GIVEN FOR Q.3a-i (e.g. $\$ 2, \$ 1.50$, ETC. MUST ADD TO TEN DOLLARS); PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT)

Q4. Now I'm going to read back the program categories and your estimates. You gave me a value of (INSERT VALUE) for the (INSERT CATEGORY). (READ THROUGH ENTIRE LIST OF CATEGORIES AND THEIR VALUES FROM Q. 3 IN THE SAME ORDER AS Q. 3 WAS ASKED.

Are there any changes you would like to make?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yes .................. } 1 \\
& \text { No............ } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

(IF Q. $4=$ YES, MAKE CHANGES TO APPROPRIATE VALUES, ENSURING THAT REVISED RESPONSES STHL ADD TO TEN DOLLARS; PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT.)

## FOLLOW-UP

Thank you for your help with this survey so far. Now, I have just a few more questions about the topics in the survey that we have just talked about.

Q5. Earlier, I asked how you would divide ten dollars among different program categories, such as news and community events, series, and live team sports. When you allocated dollars to each of these categories, were you thinking about the categories in terms of...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

The programming that's currently on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTERIS) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN) ... 1
The programming that was on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN) in 2008.
... 2
Or, were you not thinking about a particular time frame ................ 3
(DNR) Don't know/don't remember............................................... 4
Q6. When you allocated dollars to each of the different program categories, were you thinking about...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

The value of the categories to YOU.............................................. 1
Or, the value of the categories to YOUR HOUSEHOLD ..........................................
(DNR) Only person in the household ............................................. 3
(DNR) Don't know/don't remember................................................ 4
(FOR Q.7, INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) FROM CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN, FROM COLUMNS F \& G OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET)
Q7. Thinking about LAST YEAR, that is, 2008, please tell me how often your household watched (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN). (RANODMIZE LIST; ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE FOR EACH)
(FOR EACH CHANNEL, ASK: IN 2008, did your household watch (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN) frequently, occasionally, rarely, or never?)

|  | Frequently | Occasionally | Rarely | Never | (DNR) Don't Know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 99 |
| a. DISTANT SIGNAL STATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. PLACE Holder FOR $2^{\text {ND }}$ DISTANT SIGNAL STATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. PLACE HOLDER FOR $3^{\text {RD }}$ DISTANT SIGNAL STATION |  |  |  |  |  |

ASK Q. 8 FOR EACH STATION WHERE Q. 7 = RARELY, OCCASIONALLY, OR FREQUENTLY. IF NO STATIONS TO ASK ABOUT, SKIP TO Q. 9

# Q8. What programming, if any, did your household watch on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN))? (RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE; PROBE: "What eise did your household watch on (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN)?") 

$\square$

Don't know/can't recall ........ 99 (DO NOT ALLOW WITH OPEN END RESPONSE)
Q9. How important was getting (INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from INSERT CITY OR CITIES OF ORIGIN) in your decision to subscribe or continue subscribing to your cable TV provider? Was it...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Extremely important .1
Very important
2
Not very important............... 3
Not at all important.............. 4

## CLASSIFICATION

We have just a few more questions for classification purposes only.
D1. What is your current marital status? Are you currently single or married? (DO NOT READ LIST; ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Single1
Married ..... 2
Refused ..... 3
D2a. What is your current age? is it...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)
18-24 ..... 1
25-39 ..... 2
40-49 .....  3
50-54 ..... 4
55-64 ..... 5
$65+$ ..... 6
Refused ..... 7
ASK Q.D2b IF Q.D1 = MARRIED, ELSE SKIP TO Q.D3a
D2b. What is your spouse's current age? is it...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)
18-24 ..... 1
25-39 ..... 2
40-49 .....  3
50-54 ..... 4
55-64 ..... 5
65+ .....
Refused ..... 7

D3a. Do you have children living with you in your household? (DO NOT READ LIST; ACCEPT ONE
RESPONSE) RESPONSE)

$$
\text { Yes .......................... } 1
$$

No........................... 2
Refused.................. 3
ASK Q.D3b IF Q.D3a = YES, ELSE SKIP TO Q.D4
D3b. How many? And, what are their ages? RECORD NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN EACH AGE CATEGORY BELOW; FOR EACH AGE GROUP, ASK "What is/are the gender(s) of your child(ren) who is/are (INSERT AGE GROUP)?")

|  | Total <br> Number | Total <br> Male | Total <br> Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 2 |  |  |  |
| $2-5$ |  |  |  |
| $6-11$ |  |  |  |
| $12-17$ |  |  |  |
| $18+$ |  |  |  |

D4. What is your total annual household income before taxes? Is it...? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Under \$20,000 .............. 1
\$20,000-\$39,999 ........... 2
\$40,000-\$59,999 ........... 3
\$60,000-\$79,999 ........... 4
\$80,000-\$99,999 ........... 5
$\$ 100,000+\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ 6 ~$
Refused......................... 7
D5a. What is your highest level of education? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)
Grade school .1
Some high school................................... 2
High school graduate .............................. 3
Some college or technical school ........... 4
College graduate.................................... 5
Some graduate school ............................ 6
Graduate degree ..................................... 7
Refused .................................................. 8
ASK Q.D5b IF Q.D1 = MARRIED, ELSE SKIP TO Q.D6
D5b. What is your spouse's highest level of education? (READ LIST AND ACCEPT ONE RESPONSE)

Grade school........................................... 1
Some high school................................... 2
High school graduate .............................. 3
Some college or technical school ........... 4
College graduate.................................... 5
Some graduate school ............................ 6
Graduate degree.................................... 7
Refused.................................................. 8

## D6. Record Gender (DO NOT ASK)

Male........................ 1
Female ................... 2
CONCLUSION
This concludes our interview. Thank you very much for your time today. (RECORD RESPONDENT NAME AND ADDRESS TO MAIL \$25 GIFT)

## APPENDIX D
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## Appendix D

## 2005 Cable Subscriber Questionnaire

System Name:

## City/state:

Respondent's Name:
Telephone Number: $\qquad$
Date Interviewed:

## INTRODUCTION

Good morning / atternoon / evening. Miy name is $\qquad$ and I'm cailing from $\qquad$ . We are conducting a brief national survey among randomly selected cable TV subscribers regarding television programs. This survey will take about 20 minutes of your time. We are offering a cash payment of $\$ 25$ to those who complete the survey. May we talk now? [IF NOT, SCHEDULE A TIME FOR CALL BACK.]

First, are you the head or co-head of the household?
IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 1.
IF NO, ...Could I please speak with that person? REPEAT INTRODUCTION AND Q. 1 [IF PERSON IS NOT AVAILABLE, ARRANGE FOR CALL BACK OR TERMINATE]

1. Did you have cable TV in your home in 2005 ?

IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 2A.
IF NO, THANK AND TERMINATE.

2A. Is there another head or co-head of the household?
IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 2B.
IF NO, PROCEED TO QUESTION 3A.

2B. Between you and the other co-head of the household, is your birthday the [NEXT/LAST] [ALTERNATE BETWEEN THE TWO]

IF YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION 3A.
IF NO, ... Could I please speak with the other co-head of the household? REPEAT INTRODUCTION, BUT SKIP QUESTIONS 1 AND 2 AND JUMP

# Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc. 
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## TO QUESTION 3A. [IF PERSON IS NOT AVAILABLE, ARRANGE FOR CALLBACK]

3A. What cable system did you subscribe to last year?
RECORD RESPONSE $\qquad$ .

VERIFY THAT CABLE SYSTEM SERVES RESPONDENT'S CITY/COUNTY (AS PER EXCEL SPREADHEET COLUMNS B THROUGH D):
--IF CABLE SYSTEM DOES SERVE RESPONDENT'S LOCATION, PROCEED TO 'DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION' ON FOLLOWING PAGE.
--IF RESPONDENT'S ANSWER IS A CABLE SYSTEM THAT DOES NOT SERVE THAT LOCATION -PROCEED TO Q.3B
3B. Is [ INSERT CABLE SYSTEM FROM EXCEL SPREADSHEET COLUMN B] your cable company?
--IF YES, PROCEED TO ‘DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION’ BELOW
-IF NO, THANK AND TERMINATE
--IF DON'T KNOW THANK AND TERMINATE
--IF REFUSE TO ANSWER THANK AND TERMINATE
-IF RESPONDENT ANSWERS DIRECTV, ECHOSTAR (DISH), OR VOOM, THANK AND TERMINATE.

## DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION: READ TO ALL RESPONDENTS

We are interested in program categories on television stations that come from other cities. I am now going to ask questions about categories of programs on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OF ORIGIN, STATE FROM COLUMS G, H \& I OF EXCEL SPREADSHEET].

The questions I will ask apply only to these stations from these cities.
These television stations I just mentioned carry certain categories of programs. I'm going to read a brief description of these program categories and then ask you a few questions.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

## READ PROGRAM CATEGORIES AND DEFINITIONS TO RESPONDENTS.

## PROGRAM CATEGORIES:

## NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: These include news and community events shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS D AND E of excel spreadsheet].

SERIES: These include sitcoms, dramas, children's shows, talk shows, game shows, and other series shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER (S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Examples include Seinfeld, Star Trek: Enterprise, American Idol, Jeopardy, and the Oprah Winfrey Show.

DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: These include shows with religious themes shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Examples include Old Time Gospel Hour, 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry.

MOVIES AND SPECLALS: These include feature films, Movies of the Week, and specials shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Examples include movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3.

Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

LIVE TEAM SPORTS: These include live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football, NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CTTY OR CTTIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

NON-TEAM SPORTS: These include professional wrestling, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

## INTERVIEWER: READ FOLLOWING PROGRAM CATEGORY ONLY IF Cable system carries a PBS station (' $X$ ' in column $K$ of Excel spreadsheet)

PBS PROGRAMS: These include programs shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet] .

Examples include Masterpiece Theatre, NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, and Sesame Street.

## INTERVIEWER: READ FOLLOWING PROGRAM CATEGORY ONLY IF

 Cable system carries a Canadian station (' $X$ ' in column $L$ of Excel spreadsheet)PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS These include programs shown only on [STATION(s)] from [CITY(IES)]. INSERT CANADIAN STATION DISTANT SIGNAL CALL LETTER(S) AND CITY(IES) FROM LIST. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY NON-CANADIAN STATIONS. SKIP IF THERE ARE NO CANADIAN STATIONS ON LIST.

Examples include Back of the House, Canada Now, and Magic School Bus.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.
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Now I'm going to ask you about the popularity of each type of program last year IN YOUR OWN HOME. Here I am still referring only to [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet]. As I read each program category and a brief definition, tell me if it was VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or, NOT POPULAR in your own home last year.

When you respond, please do so on the basis of ALL the shows that are included in that particular program category.

Let's start with: [ROTATE 4A-4H].
H. NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: Remember, this category includes news and community events shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SİGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Please tell me if news and community events as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:
1 = VERY POPULAR
2 = SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3 = NOT POPULAR
or
$4=$ Don't Know/Refused
I. SERIES: Remember, this category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Star Trek: Enterprise, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND $H$ of Excel spreadsheet].

Please tell me if series as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.
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```
1 = VERY POPULAR
2 = SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3= NOT POPULAR
or
4 = Don't Know/Refused
```

J. DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: Remember, this category includes shows with religious themes such as Old Time Gospel Hour, the 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Please tell me if devotional programs as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, OF NOT ROPULAR in your OẄn home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:
1 = VERY POPULAR
$2=$ SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3 = NOT POPULAR
or
$4=$ Don't Know/Refused
K. MOVIES AND SPECLALS: Remember, this category includes movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3 shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Please tell me if movies and specials as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:
$1=$ VERY POPULAR
$2=$ SOMEWHAT POPULAR
$3=$ NOT POPULAR
or
$4=$ Don't Know/Refused
L. LIVE TEAM SPORTS: Remember, this category includes live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football, NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown onty on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Please tell me if live team sports as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:

```
l = VERY POPULAR
2 = SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3=NOT POPULAR
or
4 = Don't Know/Refused
```

M. NON-TEAM SPORTS: Remember, this category includes professional wrestling, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadshect].

Please tell me if non-team sports as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

## INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:

$1=$ VERY POPULAR
$2=$ SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3 = NOT POPULAR
or
$4=$ Don't Know/Refused

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

## - 58 -

INTERVIEWER: ASK FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF Cable system carries a PBS station (' X ' in column K of Excel spreadsheet)
N. PBS PROGRAMS. Remember, this category includes PBS programs such as Masterpiece Theatre, NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, and Sesame Street shown only on [INSERT ONLY PBS DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) (denoted by ' $X$ ' in column $K$ ) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet. DO NOT INCLUDE NON-PBS STATIONS.]

Please tell me if PBS programs as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:

```
1 = VERY POPULAR
2 = SOMEWHAT POPULAR
3=NOT POPULAR
or
4 = Don't Know/Refused
```

INTERVIEWER: ASK FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF Cable system carries a Canadian station ( $X$ ' in column $L$ of Excel spreadsheet)
H. PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS. These include programs such as Back of the House, Canada Now, and Magic School Bus shown only on [STATION(S) FROM [CITY(IES).] [INSERT ONLY CANADIAN STATION CALL LETTERS (DENOTED BY ' $X$ ' IN COLUMN L) AND CITY(IES) FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet. DO NOT INCLUDE NON-CANADIAN STATIONS.]

Please tell me if programs on Canadian stations as a whole are VERY POPULAR, SOMEWHAT POPULAR, or NOT POPULAR in your own home.

## INTERVIEWER: PROCEED TO QUESTION 5

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

- 59 -


## Are there any other categories of programs shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from COLUMN D of Excel spreadsheet that are VERY POPULAR OR SOMEWHAT POPULAR in your home? <br> If YES, please indicate which categories. (Limit of 5) <br> If NO or DON'T KNOW - PROCEED TO 'Program Value' SECTION ON FOLLOWING PAGE. <br> INTERVIEWER: RECORD ALL RESPONSES. ADD LINES IF NEEDED. <br> Category Very Popular Somewhat Popular <br> a. <br> b. <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$ <br> c. <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$ <br> d. <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$

Please tell me if [INSERT CATEGORY FROM LIST a THROUGH d, etc. ABOVE] as a whole are VERY POPULAR or SOMEWHAT POPULAR in your own home.

INTERVIEWER: RECORD RESPONSE WHERE:
$1=$ VERY POPULAR
$2=$ SOMEWHAT POPULAR

INTERVIEWER: REPEAT AS NEEDED FOR EACH ITEM LISTED ABOVE

AFTER RECORDING RESPONSES, PROCEED TO ‘Program Value’ BELOW.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.
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## Program Value

We are now going to ask you a few questions on how you value the program categorics shown on these same stations [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadshcet].

When you pay your cable bill, a certain portion of the payment is for the program categories on the stations I mentioned earlier. Let's assume that TEN DOLLARS of your bill last year represented how much you paid for the program categories on these stations that we have been discussing so far.

Now, I would like you to divide this hypothetical TEN DOLLARS according to how valuable you feel each program category was in your own home. You can uivide the TEN DOLLARS any way you wish.

PROGRAMMIMG INSTRUCTIONS: INSERT THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH ONLY IF THE CABLE SYSTEM CARRIES A NETWORK AFFILIATE (DENOTED BY ' $X$ ' IN COLUMN J OF THE EXCEL SPREADSHEET):

For purposes of this survey, we are not interested in network shows on the $A B C, C B S$, and NBC television networks. In considering how to divide the TEN DOLLARS among the program categories, please consider the value of all the non-network programs in that category.

In considering how to divide the TEN DOLLARS among the program categories, please consider the value of ALL the programs in that category

I'll read all the categories broadcast by these stations to give you a chance to think about them. Please write the categories down as I am reading them.

Remember, you can divide the TEN DOLLARS any way you wish -- you can give any value between ZERO DOLLARS and TEN DOLLARS, INCLUDING PORTIONS OF DOLLARS, to a program category. But keep in mind the total value you give to all the categories has to add up to TEN DOLLARS.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

## READ IN SAME ORDER AS IN QUESTION 4.

To begin...
B. NEWS AND COMMUNITY EVENTS: This category includes news and community events shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Of the TEN DOLLARS, what is the value to you, if any, of all the news and community events programs shown on this station for this category?

## $\$$

F. SERIES PROGRAMS: This category includes sitcoms such as Seinfeld, dramas such as Star Trek: Enterprise, reality shows such as American Idol, game shows such as Jeopardy, and talk shows such as the Oprah Winfrey Show shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) frem CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all series programs shown on this station for this category?

## $\$$

G. DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMS: This category includes shows with religious themes such as Old Time Gospel Hour, the 700 Club, and Joel Osteen Ministry shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all devotional programs shown on this station for this category?
\$ $\qquad$
H. MOVIES AND SPECIALS: This category includes movies such as Star Wars, Independence Day, and Lethal Weapon 3 shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMINS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all movies and specials shown on this station for this category?
$\qquad$
I. LIVE TEAM SPORTS: This category includes live play-by-play coverage of Major League Baseball, NBA professional basketball, NFL professional football, NHL professional hockey, NCAA college football and basketball, and Major League Soccer shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet|.

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all live team sports shown on this stãion för this category?
$\$$ $\qquad$
F. NON-TEAM SPORTS: This category includes professional wrestling, NASCAR auto racing, and pre- and post-game shows surrounding live team sports broadcasts shown only [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadshect].

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all non-team sports shown on this station for this category?
\$

INTERVIEWER: ASK FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF Cable system carries a PBS station (' X ' in column $K$ of Excel spreadsheet)
G. PBS PROGRAMS. This category includes PBS programs such as Masterpiece Theatre, NewsHour with Jim Lehrer, and Sesame Street shown only on [INSERT ONLY PBS DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) (DENOTED BY ' $X$ ' IN COLUMN K of Excel spreadsheet) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet]. DO NOT INCLUDE NON-PBS STATIONS.

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of al! PBS programs shown on this station for this category?

Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.
-63-
$\$$

INTERVIEWER: ASK FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF Cable system carries a Canadian station (' X ' in column $L$ of Excel spreadsheet)
H. PROGRAMS ON CANADIAN STATIONS. This category includes programs such as Back of the House; Canada Now, and Magic School Bus shown only on [STATION(S) FROM _ [CITY(IES). INSERT ONLY CANADIAN STATION CALL LETTERS (DENOTED BY 'X' IN COLUMN L of Excel spreadsheet) AND CITY(IES) FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet DO NOT INCLODE NON-CANADIAN STATIONS.

Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all programs on Canadian stations?
$\$$ $\qquad$

## SUBTOTAL: Q.6A through Q.6H: \$

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

-64 -
I. INTERVIEWER: ASK ONLY IF RESPONDENT LISTED OTHER PROGRAM CATEGORIES IN QUESTION 5. OTHERWISE SKIP TO Q. 7

You also said that [Read each response to q .5 , separately] ____ was [very or somewhat popular in your own home. Remember, we are still interested in the value of programs shown only on [INSERT DISTANT SIGNAL STATION CALL LETTER(S) from CITY OR CITIES FROM COLUMNS G AND H of Excel spreadsheet].

Read Responses from Q.5:


Total Value Q.6I (add lines a through end, above)
$\$$ $\qquad$

[^142]INTERVIEWER NOTE: VALUES GIVEN (e.g. \$2, \$1.50, ETC. MUST ADD TO TEN DOLLARS); PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

-65-
13. Now I'm going to read back the program categories and your estimates. YOU GAVE A VALUE OF FOR THE (RE-READ QUESTIONS 6A - 6I WITH RESPONSES IN SAME RANDOM SEQUENCE IN ORDER TO ALLOW RESPONDENT TO REVIEW THE ESTIMATES.)

Are there any changes you would like to make? (RECORD ANY CHANGES BY CROSSNNG OUT ORIGINAL RESPONSE AND WRITING IN REVISED RESPONSE NEXT TO IT. AMOUNTS MUST STILL ADD TO TEN DOLLARS; PROMPT RESPONDENTS IF THEY DO NOT.)

## Classification

We have just a few more questions for classification purposes only.
14. What is your current marital status? Are you currently single or married? (CHECK RESPONSE)
d. Single
e. Married
f. Refused
15. What is your current age? Is it...(READ ALTERNATIVES AND CHECK RESPONSE.)
a. 18-24
b. $25-39$
c. $40-49$
d. $50-54$
e. 55-64
f. $65+$
g. Refused

Your Age Your Spouse's Age (IF MARRIED)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$-

# Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc. 

-66-

|  | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 2 |  |  |
| 2-5 |  |  |
| 6-11 |  |  |
| 12-17 |  |  |
| 18+ |  |  |

17. What is your total annual household income before taxes? Is it.... (READ ALTERNATIVES AND CHECK RESPONSE.)
h. Under $\$ 20,000$
i. $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$
j. $\$ 40,000-\$ 59,999$
k. $\$ 60,000-\$ 79,999$
l. $\$ 80,000-\$ 99,999$
m. $\$ 100,000+$
n. Refused
18. What is your highest level of education?

Grade school
b. Some high school
c. High school graduate
d. Some college or technical school
e. College graduate
f. Some graduate school

Self Spouse (IF MARRIED)
g. Graduate degree
h. Refused

## Conclusion

This concludes our interview. Thank you very much for your time today.
INTERVIEWER: RECORD NAME AND ADDRESS TO MAIL $\$ 25$ GIFT.

## Wilkofsky Gruen Associates Inc.

-66-

| Number | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under 2 | $=$ | - |
| $2-5$ | - | - |
| $6-11$ | - | - |
| $12-17$ | - | - |

17. What is your total annual household income before taxes? Is it.... (READ ALTERNATIVES AND CHECK RESPONSE.)
h. Under $\$ 20,000$
i. $\$ 20,000-\$ 39,999$
j. $\$ 40,000-\$ 59,999$
k. $\$ 60,000-\$ 79,999$
18. $\$ 80,000-\$ 99,999$ $\qquad$
m. $\$ 100,000+$
n. Refused
19. What is your highest level of education?
a. Grade school
b. Some high school
Self Spouse (IF MARRIED)
c. High school graduate
d. Some college or technical school
e. College graduate
f. Some graduate school
g. Graduate degree
h. Refused
Self Spouse (IF MARRIED)

## Conclusion

This concludes our interview. Thank you very much for your time today.
INTERVIEWER: RECORD NAME AND ADDRESS TO MAIL $\$ 25$ GIFT.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: December 11, 2009


Before the<br>COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES<br>Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of the | ) |
| 2004 and 2005 | ) |
| Cable Royalty Funds |  |

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. GREGORY M. DUNCAN

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. GREGORY M. DUNCAN

My name is Gregory M. Duncan. I am submitting this rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants. My testimony concerns the constant sum surveys of cable subscribers that Dr. Arthur Gruen sponsored on behalf of the Program Suppliers ("Gruen Surveys"). These surveys purport to show how cable subscribers valued the different types of programming on out-of-market (distant) television signals that their cable systems carried during the years 2004 and 2005. I submitted written testimony in an earlier phase of these proceedings concerning the 2004 and 2005 constant sum cable operator surveys conducted by Bortz Media.

The Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence, published by the Federal Judicial Center, provides guidance on the criteria that federal judges should apply in evaluating survey research. ${ }^{1}$ I have been asked to assess the Gruen Surveys in light of those criteria. For the reasons discussed below, I believe that the Gruen Surveys fail to satisfy several of the Reference Manual's key requirements. Therefore, in my opinion, the Gruen Surveys are not reliable and should not be accorded any weight in assessing the relative valuations that cable subscribers accord to the different types of programming on distant signals.

[^143]
## I. QUALIFICATIONS

My curriculum vita is included as Attachment A. I received a Ph.D. in Economics and an M.A. in Statistics from the University of California in Berkeley and a B.A. in Economics from the University of Washington. I am a Principal at The Brattle Group, a firm that provides consulting services in economics, finance, business transactions and regulation to corporations, law firms, and governments around the world. I also teach econometrics and microeconomic theory at the University of California, Berkeley.

Prior to joining The Brattle Group, I was a Managing Director at Huron Consulting Group, a Director at Deloitte Financial Advisory Services (Deloitte FAS), and a Senior Vice President at National Economic Research Associates (NERA) where I was also a managing board member, co-head of the Auctions practice, which I founded, and head of the Communications Strategy and Advice practice. Prior to NERA, I was Senior Staff Scientist for GTE (now Verizon) Labs, where I directed economics, applied mathematics, statistics and marketing research projects. While there I conducted numerous market research surveys to elicit customers' willingness to pay and relative valuations of new or modified services. Additionally, I was called upon to oversee the conduct of surveys performed by outside vendors. Prior to that, I was a tenured Full Professor of Economics and of Statistics at Washington State University, as well as a founding member of the Statistics Department. I have also taught at Northwestern University, Boston University and the University of Southern California.

My fields of expertise include statistics including survey and sampling methods, valuation, and industrial organization (i.e., the application of economics to market and firm structure). I have published in leading academic journals in the economics
profession, including Econometrica, The Journal of Econometrics, The International Economic Review, and Information Economics and Policy. I was also a member of the founding editorial board of the Journal of Econometric Theory.

I have provided oral and written testimony on a variety of telecommunications, labor, and transportation issues before numerous state public utility commissions and legislatures, the Interstate Commerce Commission, and the Federal Communications Commission. I have also provided testimony on antitrust and labor cases in state and federal courts, many of which required the use, explication, or critique of sample survey methods.

## II. DISCUSSION

## A. The Relevant Population

As discussed in the Reference Manual, "One of the first steps in designing a survey or in deciding whether an existing survey is relevant is to identify the target population (or universe)., ${ }^{2}$ The Reference Manual proceeds to define the survey's target population as consisting of "all elements (i.e., objects, individuals, or other social units) whose characteristics or perceptions the survey is intended to represent."3 Generally speaking, if the population that is surveyed is not the one whose perceptions the survey is intended to represent, then the survey itself is irrelevant. ${ }^{4}$

In my opinion, the Gruen Surveys do not meet the relevant population criterion because they do not target the population whose views are required to address the research question at issue. My understanding is that the Copyright Royalty Judges ("CRJs"), like

[^144]the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel and the Copyright Royalty Tribunal before them, seek to allocate royalties among the various claimants according to the relative market valuations of the different content in the claimant categories. This allocation procedure is intended to be consistent with the outcome of a hypothetical negotiation between cable operators and content providers in a free marketplace absent compulsory licensing. Because cable operators, not individual cable subscribers, purchase programming content for their systems, the market valuations relevant to this proceeding are those of cable operators, not those of their subscribers.

Accordingly, in order to measure the relative value of copyrighted programming on distant signals appropriately, a survey should focus on the relative value assessments of cable operators, not subscribers. The Gruen Surveys, however, attempt to assess cable subscribers' valuations of program categories on distant signals. Even if the Green Surveys had been able to capture these valuations accurately, the cable subscribers' views are only one factor that affects cable operators' relative valuations for the programming carried on distant signals.

Other economic forces that play an important role in determining the relative amounts that cable operators would pay for the different categories of programming on distant signals include: (1) the amount and type of local programming available; (2) market penetration by the satellite carriers and others with whom the cable operators compete; (3) monthly subscription fees and their relationship to the value of all programming categories; and (4) network costs, which may differ dramatically across operators. The network costs include local fees, bandwidth limitations, maintenance expense,
depreciation, and so on. While cable operators' relative valuations can be expected to reflect these additional factors that would be relevant for individual bargaining between content providers and cable operators, there is no economic reason to believe that these factors will be similarly reflected in surveys of subscriber valuations.

## B. Qualification of Survey Respondents

Even if the relevant relative valuations of programming carried on distant signals were deemed to be those of subscribers (as opposed to cable operators), the Gruen Surveys fail to prequalify respondents as required in a well-executed survey. The Reference Manual states that: "In a carefully executed survey, each potential respondent is questioned or measured on the attributes that determine his or her eligibility to participate in the survey." ${ }^{\text {G }}$ Given that the Gruen Surveys seek to elicit subscriber valuations of programming types carried on distant signals, a key qualification criterion for respondents is their familiarity with the distant signal programming they were asked to evaluate. ${ }^{6}$

The Gruen Surveys, however, made no attempt to determine whether respondents:
a. Had any familiarity with the programming
b. Had watched any of that programming (frequently or ever)
c. Placed any value on any of that programming in terms of their reasons for subscribing to cable
d. Actually received any of that programming

[^145]By failing to exclude respondents in these categories, the Gruen Surveys virtually ensure that some proportion of their respondents will not be qualified to provide meaningful answers to the questions posed. The inclusion of guesses and conjectures among carefully considered and knowledgeable answers necessarily renders the results meaningless.

## C. Phrasing of Key Constant Sum Question

The Gruen Surveys also do not phrase questions with sufficient clarity and precision. As noted in the Reference Manual, "clear and precise" phrasing of survey questions is an important (and obvious) criterion for a well-executed survey. ${ }^{7}$ As discussed in the Reference Manual, "When unclear questions are included in a survey, they may threaten the validity of the survey by systematically distorting responses if respondents are misled in a particular direction, or by inflating random error if respondents guess because they do not understand the question. If the crucial question is sufficiently ambiguous or unclear, it may be the basis for rejecting the survey." ${ }^{8}$ Below, I discuss three key problems with the phrasing of questions in the Gruen Surveys.

First, the Gruen Surveys' use of program examples (such as Seinfeld, Star Trek Enterprise, et al.) in each category creates an anchoring effect, potentially biasing individuals' responses. This is because examples of shows can focus individuals' attention away from the full set of programming in the category. Moreover, because significant numbers of respondents may not remember whether they have watched a particular show or programming type on a distant signal, a local signal, or some other source, their responses will be difficult to interpret. In particular, respondents may report

[^146]their relative preferences among program types rather than their relative preferences among the programming types actually carried on distant signals. ${ }^{9}$

Second, the Gruen Surveys do not clearly define the time period to which valuations should relate. In particular, it is unclear as to whether surveys conducted in 2005 reflected the respondents' valuations of programming carried in 2004 (the relevant year), 2005 or at some other time. Likewise, it is unclear as to whether surveys conducted in 2005 reflected the respondents' valuations of programming carried in 2005, 2006 or at some other time. ${ }^{10}$

Third, in the constant sum valuation question, respondents were first asked for their personal value, then the household value, and finally for their personal value of the programs. Hence, it is not clear whose valuation is reflected in the survey responses. ${ }^{\text {I }}$

## D. Collection of Demographic Data

As discussed in the Reference Manual, a well-executed survey will collect demographic data, which can be used to determine whether the characteristics of individuals or entities in the survey approximate the characteristics of the target population. In particular, the Reference Manual states that: "The survey report should contain a description of the target population, a description of the survey population actually sampled, a discussion of the difference between the two populations, and an evaluation of the likely consequences

[^147]of that difference." ${ }^{12}$ However, the Gruen Surveys do not collect sufficient demographic data to meet this standard.

Most significantly, the Gruen Surveys fail to record the gender of the respondent. ${ }^{13}$ I cannot think of one consumer sentiment or willingness to pay survey I participated in, oversaw or was aware of, that did not record the gender of the respondent. One consequence of not recording gender is that one cannot check whether the sample obtained matched the cable universe population in general. If the relative valuations of men and women differ, then the sample valuations would need to be adjusted to correctly reflect the population valuations. However, without information on the fractions of men and women in the sample, one cannot check how representative the sample is of the population, nor can one perform the straightforward and well-known adjustments to correct for the problem. ${ }^{14}$

## E. Non-Response Bias

In addition to the issues discussed above, the Gruen Surveys fail to ensure that the level of non-response produces no significant bias in the survey results. The Reference Manual explains why the level of non-response can present an issue for the interpretation of survey results, noting that: "Even when a sample is drawn randomly from a complete list of elements in the target population, responses or measures may be obtained on only part of the selected sample. If this lack of response were distributed randomly, valid

[^148]inferences about the population could be drawn from the characteristics of the available elements in the sample. The difficulty is that nonresponse often is not random..."15

For cases in which non-response is not random, the results of the survey will be biased. For example, the Reference Manual notes that persons who are single typically have three times the "not at home " rate in U.S. Census Bureau surveys as do family members. ${ }^{16}$ If such a survey were used to determine the attitudes of the general population with no further adjustments, the results would be biased and therefore unreliable.

In order to determine whether non-response bias is an issue, it is necessary to collect accurate information on survey response rates. The standard statistical definition of a survey response rate is ratio of: (1) respondents who completed the survey to (2) the number of persons who were initially contacted about participating in the survey. In contrast, Program Suppliers appear to have defined survey response rate in a nonstandard manner, computing it as the percentage of subscribers who agreed to participate in the survey. ${ }^{17}$ It is apparent that the Program Suppliers' reported response rate, which I will refer to as a cooperation rate, did not incorporate those who initially refused to participate, because that number alone appears to far exceed the number of people who ultimately participated in the survey.

With no standard response rate calculation and an inadequate explanation of the cooperation rate computation, it can only be said that the standard response rate is almost certainly far less than the cooperation rate. This potentially reduced response rate casts

[^149]further doubt on the Gruen Surveys' results. Moreover, the absence of useful response rate data makes it impossible to determine whether the sample for the subscriber survey is representative of the target population.
$$
0
$$

Office: San Francisco, CA $\bullet$ Phone: +1.415.217.1000 • Email: Gregory.Duncan@brattle.com


Dr. Gregory M. Duncan provides consulting in economics, network industries, statistics, simulation methods, financial economics, intellectual property, labor, and marketing research. He has directed projects and/or testified in matters in telecommunications, consumer class actions, energy, antitrust, intellectual property, and financial markets, and provided expert testimony in state and federal courts, and before arbitration panels, numerous state public utility commissions and legislatures, the Interstate Commerce Commission, and the Federal Communications Commission.

Dr. Duncan advises clients on auction mechanisms, firm valuation and bankruptcy, damages issues, international trade, royalty computation and division, and the market effects of mergers. Within the telecommunications industry, he designed the price cap mechanisms for the incumbent in several states, supported the removal of dominant firm status as the markets became competitive, and provided analysis of TELRIC pricing of inputs. He has recently provided testimony on the proper treatment of universal service fund distributions in a dispute with the IRS. He has also provided testimony in a number of class actions.

In addition to his extensive litigation expertise, Dr. Duncan has worked on regulatory design and auctions in the energy industry. He led a team in designing basic service generation auctions, and acted as the expert on a weatherization controversy between the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) and a regulatory agency. He has also performed load forecasting in Canada and in the U.S., and analyzed cost of remote monitoring for a large utility. He actively advises a variety of utilities on pricing and bundling of services.

Prior to joining The Brattle Group, Dr. Duncan was a managing director at Huron and a senior vice president at NERA, where he founded the firm's Auctions practice and led the Telecommunication Strategy and Advice practice. Before becoming a consultant, he was a staff scientist and principal member of the Technical Staff at Verizon (GTE) Laboratories, Inc., and a full professor, with tenure, in both economics and in statistics. He currently still teaches econometrics and microeconomic theory at the University of California and has previously taught at Northwestern University, Washington State University, Boston University, Duke University, and the University of Southern California.

## Areas of Expertise

- Antitrust/Competition
- Commercial Damages
- Environmental Litigation and Regulation
- Forensic Economics
- Intellectual Property
- Product Liability
- Risk Management
- Securities
- Telecommunications and Media
- Transportation
- Valuation


## Education and Certification

- University of California, Berkeley; Ph.D., Economics
- University of California, Berkeley; M.A., Statistics
- University of Washington, B.A., Economics and English


## Professional Associations

- American Bar Association, Associate Member, Antitrust Section
- American Economic Association
- American Statistical Association
- California Public Utility Counsel
- Econometric Society
- Institute of Mathematical Statistics


## Courses Taught (Since 2002)

- Sampling Design and Analysis of Finite Populations (Deloitte Training 2006-2007)
- Advanced Intellectual Property (Deloitte Training 2006-2007)
- Basics of Intellectual Property (Deloitte Training 2006-2007)
- Advanced Econometrics (Deloitte)
- Applied Econometrics (Graduate course, University of Califormia, Berkeley, 2007)
- Honors Econometrics (Undergraduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2002-present)
- Introductory Econometrics (Undergraduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2002present)
- Intermediate Microeconomics (Undergraduate course, University of California, Berkeley, 2008)


## Courses Taught (Before 2002)

- Graduate and Undergraduate Econometrics
- Financial Economics
- Money, Banking, and the Structure of the Banking Industry
- Graduate and Undergraduate Microeconomic Theory
- Mathematical Economics
- Industrial Organization and The Structure of Network Industries
- Non-market theories of economic decision-making
- Linear Models (Statistics)
- Non-parametric Statistics (Statistics)
- Survey and Sampling Methods(Statistics-Psychology-Marketing)
- Linear Algebra (Mathematics)
- Optimization in Abstract Vector Spaces (Mathematics-Graduate)
- Labor Economics
- Undergraduate Macroeconomics


## Experience

## Representative Engagements

- Economic expert in various royalty and license fee negotiations.
- Damages expert in class action involving for-profit colleges and alleged misrepresentation of the value of a degree. (deposed, settled)
- Expert in dispute against agency over appropriate disclosures and damages. (deposed, settled)
- Expert in Lanham Act case where allegation is that incomplete service disclosure caused market share and profit loss to competitors. (settled)
- Expert in dispute over value of advertised attribute that allegedly was absent from a product. Class certification and damages.
- Witness for ATT in tax dispute with IRS over tax treatment of universal service funds. (Deposed, trial set)
- Provided damages estimates for setting reserves for a company that had lost a shareholder class action. Model predicted the number of damaged shares and the probability that the share owners would self identify and prove damages.
- Witness for ATT in the Handset Locking Class Action. Damages witness. (deposed, case pending)
- Witness for ATT in the Early Termination fee Class Actions. Class certification witness. (report filed)
- For underwriters of IPO, analyzed and validated software product for simultaneously optimizing millions of retirement portfolios
- Developed and critiqued large computer cost and revenue models used in access pricing and helped develop access pricing rules for large a railroad.
- Developed models of loan pricing and offerings for large banks.
- Developed real time pricing models and provided models for investment bankers to determine likely prices for the assets when auctioned for large electric companies.
- Developed financial models supporting proposed divestiture of generation assets for large electric companies. Models were required in determining optimal pricing going forward to estimate cash flows.
- Developed Incremental Cost models for energy companies.
- Developed price cap mechanisms for German Utility Consortium.
- Developed integrated intermodal choice of transport to terminals for a barge company.
- Member of National Academy of Sciences Workshop on Trucking Deregulation, which led to the deregulation of the U.S. trucking industry.
- Directed Transportation related Ph.D. dissertations on: optimal barge unloading and optimal port management, optimal grain terminal management, and empty back haul problem in trucking and effect on prices.
- Critiqued the Uniform Rail Costing System for the Interstate Commerce Commission.


## Large Communications Companies

- Developed methods to determine license fees, royalties, and valuing patents. Involved determining optimal pricing strategies and estimating revenues under different licensing and royalty scenarios.
- Created model for examining pricing decisions and product offerings. Used simulated annealing optimization to sort through combinations of product offerings to find optimal set. Determined optimal prices for initial offerings.
- Developed price and offering optimization models used to streamline offerings reduce wasteful cannibalization and maximize revenue.
- Responsible for survey research design, implementation, and analysis to determine price elasticities and service offering sensitivities for input into large scale optimization model.
- Developed model determining costs and initial prices of new wireless network.
- Designed model for estimating costs of installed network and expansions. Required optimization of network design. Used neural networks and genetic algorithms.
- Developed an econometric based simulation model that demonstrated provision of roaming to competitors was uneconomic at the terms and conditions proposed.


## Large Scale Financial Modeling

- Using statistical methods, developed tools to detect money laundering and developed a large scale loan loss model for a large bank.
- Retained to perform Federal Reserve required review of computer models material to bottom line for two large banks.
- Developed and refined models to predict required reserves for future claims for large insurance companies.
- Reviewed and developed revisions to large scale demand forecasting systems for large electric companies.
- Developed models to identify fraud using neural networks, models to identify credit risk, and simulation methods for Operations Research, Marketing Research, and engineering for large communications companies.
- Led a group of two dozen or so Mathematicians, Statisticians, Operations Researchers, Economists, and Marketing researchers at a large industrial laboratory.


## The Brattle Group

- Developed statistical methods for identifying possible backdating of executive options and performed lost profits calculations where determining the pricing that would have occurred but for the alleged act was at the core for a number of firms.


## Auctions

- Participated in various U.S., European, and Asian PCS, 3G, and energy Auctions. Responsibilities included team formation, analysis oversight, valuation, and competitive analysis. For client companies, participated in developing bidding strategy, developing valuations, staffing war rooms, providing software and training for bidders. For governmental agencies helped in designing auction and developing rules.


## Marketing Research and Survey Design

- Adaptive conjoint studies for CentraNet Services (1990-1994)
- Conjoint studies for Inter and IntraLATA toll pricing (Annually beginning in 1991, in 32 states over 3 years)
- Rank ordered conjoint studies for toll presubscription pricing and product design (1996)
- Rank ordered conjoint studies for cellphone design (1994)
- Attitudinal service quality and consumer satisfaction surveys (annual 1987-1994)
- Analysis and critique of GTE-TeleGo survey results (1993)
- Adaptive conjoint to estimate value of PCS spectrum auction properties (1992-1996)
- Designed time and motion survey to determine time spent in porting numbers in cellphone customer support centers (2006)
- Designed stratified survey to determine errors in audits of insurance policies (2006)
- Adaptive hyperbolic survey to determine willingness to pay for clean water (2006)
- Designed stratified survey to determine counts of documents to be examined before destruction to guarantee $99 \%$ or more met criteria for destruction
- In Re: Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (2008) testimony on flaws in plaintiffs survey design (2007)
- Courses in survey design taught:
- Survey Design (Washington State University Department of Statistics)
- Survey Methods (Deloitte CPE course for Senior Managers and above)
- Discrete Choice and Conjoint Methods in Applied Econometrics (University of Califormia, Berkeley)
- Research using survey methods or developing survey methodology:
- "Specification and Estimation in the Mixed Continuous Discrete Dependent Variable Model in Classical Production Theory,"Econometrica (1980), 48, No. 4, pp. 839852.
- "Wage Determination in the Union and Non union Sectors: A Simultaneous Equations Approach," with D. Leigh, Industrial and Labor Relations Review (1980), 34, No. 1, pp. 2434.
- "A Semiparametric Censored Regression Estimator," Journal of Econometrics (1986), 32, No. 1, pp. 5-34.
- "Editor's Introduction," Journal of Econometrics, 32, 1986.
- "The Endogeneity of Union Status: An Empirical Test, with D. Leigh," Journal of Labor Economics (1985), 3, No. 3, pp. 385-401.
- "Evaluation of an Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program: Correcting for Self Selection," with T.K. Greenfield, Resources in Education (1985) (ERIC \#ED253807).
- Thesis and Dissertations Directed:
- Andrew Gill (Econometrics/Labor)
- Mark Thoma (Econometrics/Macro)
- Scott Farrow (IO/Resources/Econometrics)
- Victor Tremblay (IO)
- Carol Tremblay (Labor)
- Cathleen Lueue (Labor/Econometrics)
- Wesley Wilson (IO)
- Robert Tichy (Statistics)


## TESTIMONY EXPERIENCE (NON-CONFIDENTIAL)

## Antitrust, Valuation, Intellectual Property, Market Structure and Damages

In a consumer class action, Dr. Duncan is providing testimony based on survey research on the willingness to pay for certain product attributes.

In Re: Cellphone Termination Fee Cases, Dr. Duncan is providing testimony on behalf of ATT Wireless and Cingular, the legacy companies that now form ATT Mobility. Issues include class certification and damages. Testimony by deposition on class certification, trials pending.

In FTC v. Alternatel et al. on behalf of defendants, Dr. Duncan filed testimony and was deposed on the matter of whether defendants had misled calling card purchasers as to the value of the cards and as to the amount of damages card holders may have suffered. (Rebuttal report and deposition.)

In the matter of the arbitration between: Adrienne Travis and Sue Stacy, et al. v. Rhodes Colleges, Inc. et al. class action alleging nationally accredited colleges misrepresented value of degree relative to degrees from regionally accredited colleges. Rebuttal report.

In Re: AT\&T y USA US District Court, Western District of Texas, on behalf ATT Dr. Duncan has filed testimony on the $\$ 1 \mathrm{~b}$ dispute between the IRS and ATT over whether distributions from the Universal Service Fund are nonshareholder contributions to capital or ordinary income. Expert report, rebuttal, and deposition, trial set.

On behalf of a major telecommunications company, Dr. Duncan provided testimony on the appropriate compensation for rights of way that the company used to run fiber optic cable through a major U.S. city on abandoned rail roadbed. Rebuttal report and deposition.

For large natural gas pipeline, developed arguments and methods for determining the right of way fees as well as the fair market value of Native American land crossed by pipelines.

Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television Commission. "Municipal Provision of Broadband: Fallacies in the Consultant Report and Lessons Learned in the Telecommunications Meltdown." Testimony (not under oath) on behalf of SBC November 2002.

American Arbitration Association on behalf of Leap Wireless International, Inc. In the matter of MCG PCS, Inc., MCG PCS Licensee Corporation, Inc. Dr. Michael C. Gelfand and Leap Wireless International, Inc. "Expert Report on Behalf of Leap Wireless International, Inc.," October 25, 2001. "Rebuttal of MCG's Expert Reports," November 19, 2001. Direct Testimony, February 4, 2002. (Issue was fair market value of spectrum.)

United States District Court for the District of Delaware on behalf of Broadcom Corporation. Intel Corporation v. Broadcom Corporation, October 2001.

California Public Utilities Commission (Application No. A.01-02-012) on behalf of Verizon California. "In the Matter of the Application of Verizon California Inc. (U 1002 C ), a Corporation, for Authority to

Re-Categorize Inside Wire Maintenance Plans and Billable Repair Service from Category II to Category III Service Offerings." Rebuttal Testimony, July 27, 2001.

United States' Bankruptcy Court for the District of Arizona on behalf of New World Coffee. Einstein's Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code (In re: Einstein/Noah Bagel Corp., Case No. 00-44447-EFC-CGC). "Expert Report prepared for New World Coffee Manhattan Bagel, Inc.," May 30, 2001.
U.S. District Court of the Southern District of New York (No. 93 Civ. 3707) on behalf of NCA. National Communications Association, Inc. v. AT\&T Corp. Analysis of Damages, October 1999. Deposition, November 18-19, 1999.
U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (No. 95 Civ. 1398) on behalf of World Wide Communications, World Wide Communications, Inc. v. AT\&T Corp., Analysis of Damages, 1999.
U.S. District Court, Western District of Washington, Electric Lightwave, Inc. v. U S WEST, Inc., Preliminary, Supplemental Reports on Damage Claims, Testimony by Deposition and at Arbitration, 1998.

Federal Trade Commission. Econometrics consultant for the FTC on the FTC Cereals Antitrust case (1978-1980)

## Labor, Labor Class Certification

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division (Civil Action No. 00-1631-A) on behalf of Broadwing Communications, Inc. "Douglas Pasko v. Broadwing Communications, Inc." Affidavit, January 19, 2001 and Testimony on May 8, 2001. (issue: lost wages due to alleged constructive termination) Testimony by deposition.

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division (Civil Action No. $00-1605-\mathrm{A}$ ) on behalf of Broadwing Communications, Inc. "Jeffrey H. Swinton v. Broadwing Communications, Inc." Affidavit, December 27, 2000.

California Public Utilities Commission PBOP California. Rebuttal Testimony, 1993.
Washington State Senate Ways and Means Committee. "The Relationship Between Washington State Employment and State Economic Policy." 1986.

## Price Cap, Rate of Return, and Performance Based Regulation

North Carolina Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-19, SUB 277) on behalf of Verizon South Inc. "Application of Verizon South Inc. for, and Election of, Price Regulation." Testimony, October, 2004. Rebuttal March 2005.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (Docket No. 1-AC-193) on behalf of Verizon North and Wisconsin Bell (SBC) in Wisconsin. "Rulemaking to Revise Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter

PSC 163, Telecommunications Utility Price Regulation, Regarding the Productivity Offset Factor." Affidavit, January 12, 2003.

North Carolina Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-19, SUB 277) on behalf of Verizon South Inc. "Application of Verizon South Inc. for, and Election of, Price Regulation." Testimony, July 16, 2002. Rebuttal November 2002.

Wisconsin State Senate Committee on Health, Utilities, Veterans \& Military Affairs on behalf of Verizon North. "In Opposition to Clearinghouse Rule 00-155 Relating to Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter PSC 163." Testimony, November 7, 2001. (Did the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Correctly determine of Productivity Offset in Price Cap Regulation as require by statute.)

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (Docket No. 1-AC-193) on behalf of Verizon North in Wisconsin. "Rulemaking to Revise Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter PSC 163, Telecommunications Utility Price Regulation, Regarding the Productivity Offset Factor." Affidavit, December 12, 2000.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket No. 94-1, 96-262) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Access Charge Reform." Affidavit, January 24, 2000.

Federal Communications Commission on behalf of GTE "The Productivity Factor in the LEC Price Cap Formula Should Reflect Achievable Productivity Gains." Affidavit, February 14, 1997.

California Public Utilities Commission (A.92-05-002) on behalf of GTE. California NRF Review, 1995.

## Performance Measures \& Incentives

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (Docket Nos. TX95120631, TO96070519, TO98010035, TO98060343 \& TX98010010). "In Support of the Brief of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey in Support of Its Performance Incentive Plan." Affidavit, July 24, 2000.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket No. 99-295) on behalf of Bell Atlantic-New York. "Application by Bell Atlantic-New York, et al. For Authorization to Provide In-Region InterLATA Services in New York." Declaration, November 8, 1999.

New York Public Service Commission (Case Nos. 97-C-0271, 99-C-0949) on behalf of Bell AtlanticNew York. "Bell Atlantic-New York's Petition For Approval of the Amended Performance Assurance Plan and Amended Change Control Assurance Plan." Affidavit, October 8, 1999.

Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-00991643) on behalf of Bell AtlanticPennsylvania, Inc. "Joint Petition of Nextlink Pennsylvania, Inc., et al. for an Order Establishing a Formal Investigation of Performance Standards, Remedies and Operations Support Systems Testing for Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania, Inc." Direct Testimony, June 8, 1999. Rebuttal Testimony, June 14, 1999.

## Cost Modeling (Including ROE, ROI issues)

California Public Utilities Commission (R.93-04-003; I.93-04-002) on behalf of GTE. "Review of GTE California Collocation Model." Testimony, December 18, 1998.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-160) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service Forward-Looking Mechanism for High Cost Support for Non-Rural Local Exchange Carriers." Affidavit, December 1998.

Missouri Public Utilities Commission (Case No. TO-98-329) on behalf of GTE Midwest Inc. "In the Matter of an Investigation Into Various Issues Related to the Missouri Universal Service Fund. An Analysis of the HAI Model Release 5.0a." Affidavit, September 21, 1998. Rebuttal Testimony, September 25, 1998.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Docket No. UT-980311a) on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "In the Matter of Determining Cost for Universal Service." Response Testimony filed August 3, 1998. Rebuttal Testimony, August 24, 1998.

Texas Public Utility Commission (Docket No. 18515) on behalf of GTE Southwest, Inc. "Compliance Proceeding for Implementation of the Texas High Cost Universal Service Plan. In Connection With the Hatfield Model 5.0 (a)." Rebuttal Testimony, February 27, 1998, July 15-16, 1998. Second Supplemental Direct Testimony, July 1, 1998.

Nebraska Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE Midwest Inc. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model, Version 5.0A." Direct Testimony filed April 8, 1998.

Idaho Public Utilities Commission (Case No. GNR-T-97-22) on behalf of GTE Northwest, Inc. "In the Matter of the Investigation to Determine an Appropriate Cost Model Using Forward-Looking Economic Costs For Calculating the Costs of Basic Telecommunications Services in Idaho. Analysis of the Hatfield Model, version 5.0a." Rebuttal Testimony, March 2, 1998.

Texas Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 18515) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc. "In the Matter of Compliance Proceedings for Implementation of the Texas High Cost Universal Service Plan." Rebuttal Testimony, February 27, 1998.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-999/M-97-909) on behalf of Contel of Minnesota, Inc. d/b/a GTE Minnesota. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model, Version 5.0." Rebuttal and Supplemental Testimony, January 23, 1998.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-999/M-97-909) on behalf of Contel of Minnesota, Inc. d/b/a GTE Minnesota. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model version 4.0." Rebuttal Testimony, November 24, 1997.

New Mexico State Corporation Commission (Docket Nos. 96-310-TC; 97-334-TC) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc., New Mexico Operations. "Analysis of the Hatfield Model Release 4.0." Rebuttal Testimony, November 1997.

Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of GTE Hawaiian Telephone Inc. (Docket No. 7702), "Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the "Updated" Hatfield Model Release 3.1." August 28, 1997.

Direct Testimony on behalf of GTE California Inc. "Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the "Updated" Hatfield Model Release 3.1." July 1, 1997.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Docket No. UT-960369, UT-960370, UT-960371) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of the Pricing Proceeding for Interconnection, Unbundled Elements, Transport and Termination, and Resale (Hatfield Model)." Direct Testimony, March 27, 1997. Rebuttal Testimony, April 25, 1997. Supplemental Testimony, June 12, 1997.

New Mexico State Corporation Commission (Docket No. 97-35-TC) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc. "In the Matter of the Interconnection Contract Negotiations Between AT\&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc., and GTE Southwest Inc Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996." Direct Testimony, March 31, 1997.

Public Utility Commission of Texas (Docket No. 16476) on behalf of GTE "Southwest Inc. Petition of American Communications Services, Inc. et al., For Arbitration of Unresolved Interconnection Issues with GTE Southwest Inc. and Contel of Texas, Inc. Pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In the Matter of Sprint Communications Company L.P.'s Petition For Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms, Conditions and Related Agreements with GTE Southwest Inc. and Contel of Texas, Inc." Direct Testimony filed November 12, 1996.

Oregon Public Utility Commission on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "In the Matter of the Petition of AT\&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc. For Arbitration of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions with GTE Northwest Inc., Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Sec. 252(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Economic Evaluation of Version 2.2 of the Hatfield Model." October 3, 1996. Supplemental Testimony, October 8, 1996.

Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission (Docket No. UT-960338, UT-960348, UT960307) on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2." October 1996.

Nebraska Public Service Commission (Docket No. C-1400) on behalf of GTE. "In the Matter of the Interconnection Contract Negotiations Between AT\&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc. and GTE Midwest U.S.C. section 252. Economic Evaluation of Version 2.2 of the Hatfield model." Affidavit, September 9, 1996.

California Public Utilities Commission on behalf of GTE. "Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish a Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2.2." 1996.

Interstate Commerce Commission. "A Critique of the Uniform Rail Costing System." 1982.

## Pricing (Including ROE, ROI Issues)

Public Utility Commission of the State of Idaho (Case No. GTE-T-97-3, ATT-T-97-1) on behalf of GTE Northwest Inc. "In the Matter of AT\&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc. Petition For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 of the Rates, Terms and Conditions of Interconnection with GTE Northwest Inc. Economic and Algorithmic Errors in the Hatfield Model Release 3.1." Rebuttal Testimony, May 5, 1997.

California Public Utilities Commission (R.93-04-003/I.93-04-002) on behalf of GTE. "Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish a Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks. Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks." NRF 1.95-05-047. Analysis of Benchmark Cost Model; Development of GTE Cost Model and Position on Pricing." Testimony and supporting studies, 1996.

Florida Public Service Commission (PSC 950984-TP) on behalf of GTE. "Petitions by AT\&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., et al. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of a Proposed Agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated Concerning Interconnection and Resale Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Interconnection and Unbundling). An Economic Evaluation of the Hatfield Cost Model Version 2.2.2." 1996.

Texas Public Utility Commission (Docket Nos. 16300,16355 ) on behalf of GTE Southwest Inc. "Petition of AT\&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc for Compulsory Arbitration to Establish an Interconnection Agreement Between AT\&T and GTE Southwest, Inc. and Contel of Texas Inc. Petition of MCI Telecommunications Corporation For Arbitration with GTE Southwest Inc. and Contel of Texas Inc." Direct Testimony, October 14, 1996.

Califomia Public Utilities Commission (R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044) on behalf of GTE. "Order Initiating Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition For Local Exchange Service. Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into Competition For Local Exchange Service." Deposition, December 13, 1995.

## Demand Modeling

Kentucky Supreme Court on behalf of GTE. Stay of Kentucky Public Utilities Commission 1+, Local Competition Order. Estimate of irreparable damages. March 1995.

Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. 1+ Presubscription Hearings, 1995.
Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Florida Public Utilities Commission $1+$ Local Competition Order. Refiled, August 1995.

Michigan Public Utilities Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Michigan Public Utilities Commission 1+, Local Competition Order. July 1995.

Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. Stay of Florida Public Utilities Commission 1+, Local Competition Order. February 1995.

Florida Public Service Commission on behalf of GTE. 1+ Presubscription Hearings. Development of Position, Testimony and Supporting Studies, 1994.

California Public Utilities Commission IRD (1.87-11-033) on behalf of GTE. Direct and Rebuttal Testimony on Elasticities, 1990.

## Statistical

California Tax Equalization Board on behalf of GTE. "Alleged Undercollection of 911 Tax." (Provided alternative estimate of losses in state revenues (damages) due to error in GTE billing system) 1995.

Sampling and estimation methodology for time and motion study of the additional time to services new customers who are keeping their old numbers as opposed to new customers who are assigned new numbers. Using treatment effect models, showed that the firm indeed had improved service during the period ordered. 2007.

For a large company, developed statistical model of market pricing that showed that the executives had not engaged in price-gouging as alleged. 2007.

Additional IP and Royalty Experience
Dr. Duncan has also testified or participated in a number of royalty and intellectual property arbitrations and negotiations.

## Speaking engagements

"Early Termination Fees as Risk Management Tools," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition $28^{\text {th }}$ Annual Western Conference, Monterey, CA, 2009.
"Estimating the Value of and Willingness to Pay for Clean Water," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 27th Annual Western Conference, Monterey, CA, 2008.
"Defeating Class Certification," Alston-Byrd/Deloitte CLE Meeting on Class Certification Issues for Inside Counsel, New York, NY, 2007.
"Transmission Utilities and Rights of Way Pricing Policy on Tribal Trust Lands," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 26th Annual Eastern Conference, Skytop, Pennsylvania, 2007.
"Infeasibility of Business Plans Based on Unbundling," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition $18^{\text {th }}$ Annual Western Conference, Monterey, California, 2007.
"The Economics of Municipal Entry into Broadband," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition $17^{\text {th }}$ Annual Western Conference, San Diego, California, 2004.
"The Telecommunications Meltdown: Causes, Consequences and Cautions Going Forward," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 16th Annual Western Conference, San Diego, California, 2003.
"Valuation of Previously Auctioned Properties: A Hedonic Approach to Valuing Radio Spectrum," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 15th Annual Western Conference San Diego, California, 2002.
"Early Due Diligence: Economic Considerations Cautions and Warnings," Law Seminars International's Municipal Broadband Conference in San Francisco, CA, September 9, 2002.
"Disposing of Telecommunications Assets: Why, Who, and How," Law Seminars International's Fifth Annual Conference on Telecommunications Infrastructure in Seattle, WA, August 15, 2002.
"Broadband Competition: Practical Realities, Trends," presented at the VIII Meeting of the Telecommunications Industry, IESE Business School, Madrid, Spain, May 29, 2002.
"Cross Platform Competition: Practical Realities, Trends," Law Seminars International's Seventh Annual Telecommunications Conference in Seattle, WA, April 5, 2002.
"Performance Parity Incentives for Competitive Local Telecommunications Markets," Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition 13th Annual Western Conference, Monterey, California, 2000.
"Divestitures: The Pressure to Become Leaner and More Focused," Law Seminars International's Sixth Annual Telecommunications Conference in Seattle, WA, April 12, 2001.
"Defining the Increment: Consequences of the lowa Utilities Board v. Federal Communications Commission, July 2000 Decision," National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 112th Annual Convention, San Diego, CA, November 11, 2000.
"The Economics of Online Marketing: The Importance of Getting on the Net and Getting There First," Law Seminars International's Intellectual Property Rights and Marketing Online Conference, Minneapolis, MN, July 20-21, 2000.
"Mergers and Acquisitions in a Regulatory Market: What Does Regulation Mean for Telecommunications Mergers and Acquisitions?" Infocom Forum, Lisbon, Portugal, June 19, 2000.
"Viability of Niche Players: Will Economies of Scale and Scope Determine Survival," presented at Law Seminars International's Fifth Annual Telecommunications Conference, Seattle, WA, April 13, 2000.

## Publications

"The Effect of Illegal Dumping on Residential Home Prices: A New Hedonic Approach to Measuring Asset Risk," Journal of Risk and Uncertainty (forthcoming 2007 pending revision) with Jeffery Morris.
"The Structure of the Telecommunications Industry: The Role of Regulation in the Meltdown and Preventing a Recurrence", Chapter 16, in The Handbook of International Regulation, Michael Crew et al. Editor. Edward Elgar, 2006.
"IntraLATA Toll Demand Modeling: A Dynamic Analysis of Revenue and Usage Data," Information Economics and Policy 6 (1994): 163-178.
"The Use and Misuse of Econometricians," "Marginal Cost Techniques for Telephone Services," William Pollard, Editor, National Regulatory Research Institute (1991).
"The Effect of Probabilistic Demand Structures on The Structure of Cost Functions," Journal of Risk and Uncertainty (1990), 3, 3, pp. 2.11-220. (Simulation based model)
"Telecommunications Cost Functions II: Panel Data and Heterogeneous Technological Change," with R. Tobin, in S. Bhattacharya and S. Brubaker, Editors, Telecommunications Costing in a Dynamic Environment (1989).
"Telecommunications Cost Functions I: Capacity, Random Demand and Technological Change," with R. Tobin, in H. Trebing, Editor, New Regulatory Concepts: Issues and Controversies (1988), Michigan State University Press.
"A Simplified Approach to M Estimation with Application to Two Stage Estimators," Journal of Econometrics (1987), 34, pp. 373389.
"Review of Newbold and Bos Stochastic Parameter Regression," Journal of Marketing Research (1986).
"Review of Feldman, Multiple Regression in Practice," Journal of Marketing Research (1986).
"A Semiparametric Censored Regression Estimator," Journal of Econometrics (1986), 32, No. 1, pp. 534.
"Editor's Introduction," Journal of Econometrics 32, 1986.
"The Endogeneity of Union Status: An Empirical Test, with D. Leigh," Journal of Labor Economics (1985), 3, No. 3, pp. 385-401.
"Evaluation of an Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program: Correcting for Self Selection," with T.K. Greenfield, Resources in Education (1985) (ERIC \#ED253807).
"Impact of International Trade Shocks on Wage Adjustments in Canada: A Comment," in Peter Chinloy and Ernst W. Stromsdorfer, Editors, Adjustments in Labor Markets: An International Comparison, Academic Press (1985).
"Econometric Evaluation of New Technology with an Application to Integrated Pest Management," with D. Hall, American Journal of Agricultural Economics (1984), 66, No. 5.
"Comments on Manski's Adaptive Estimation of Nonlinear Regression Models," Econometric Reviews (1984).
"Sample Selectivity as a Proxy Variable Problem: On the Use and Misuse of Gaussian Selectivity Corrections," Research in Labor Economics (1983), 6, supplement 2, pp. 333345.
"Estimation and Inference in Heteroscedastic Systems of Equations," International Economic Review (1983), 24, No. 3, pp. 559566.
"Comment on Koenker's Robust Methods of Econometrics," Econometric Reviews (1982), 1, No. 2, pp. 257262.
"Specification and Estimation in the Mixed Continuous Discrete Dependent Variable Model in Classical Production Theory," Econometrica (1980), 48, No. 4, pp. 839852.
"Wage Determination in the Union and Non union Sectors: A Simultaneous Equations Approach," with D. Leigh, Industrial and Labor Relations Review (1980), 34, No. 1, pp. 2434.
"Data Sets That Exceed Computer Limits: Efficient Estimation and a Test of the Normal Approximation," Journal of Econometrics (1980), 14, pp. 257264.
"Empirical Studies of Returns to Scale of the Regulated Trucking Sector," in R. Braeutigam and R. Baesemann, Editors, Motor Carrier Economic Regulation, National Academy of Sciences (1978).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
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Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, D.C.

| In the Matter of | ) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of the | ) |  |
| 2004 and 2005. | ? |  |
| Cable Royalty Funds | ? 2007-3 CRB CD 2004-2005 |  |

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

 EDWIN S. DESSER
## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EDWIN S. DESSER

1. I am submitting this testimony to the Copyright Royalty Judges on behalf. of the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC). My testimony is in response to testimony provided by Dr. George S. Ford in connection with the 2004-2005 Cable Royalty Distribution Proceedings.

## Qualifications

2. I am the founder and President of Desser Sports Media, Inc (DSM). My curriculum vitae is attached as Appendix A. DSM specializes in consulting for the sports media community. Since 2005, DSM has provided numerous valuation analyses of media rights, and participated in the negotiation of billions of dollars in media rights agreements. Clients include major league teams, leagues, federations and associations, as well as distributors, start-up, and technology companies. DSM has created business plans for new networks, assessed the ability of sports programming to drive adoption of new technology platforms, valued cable networks, advised potential purchasers of networks and teams, and has provided litigation/arbitration support.
3. Prior to starting DSM, I spent 23 years in senior management positions in the Commissioner's Office at the National Basketball Association in New York City. Positions included President, NBA Television \& New Media Ventures, EVP, Strategic Planning and Business Development, VP/General Manager, NBA Entertainment, Inc., and Director of Broadcasting \& Executive Producer. I was primarily responsible for the valuation and negotiation of the league's media rights agreement with various cable and
broadcast networks, including TNT, as well as arrangements with most major cable MSOs and all satellite operators (DirecTV, PrimeStar and Echostar).

## Discussion

4. I understand that the purpose of these proceedings is to determine the distribution of compulsory licensing royalties that have been paid by cable operators for the right to distribute non-network programming via distant signals during the 2004-2005 time-period.
5. I have reviewed the Testimony of Dr. George S. Ford in which he describes a model he created to estimate the relative value of the compensable programming carried on distant signals. Ihave also reviewed the Rebuttal Testimony of James Trautman in which, among other things, he shows how Ford's model would apply to the programming actually carried by the cable network TNT. Trautman shows how, if Ford's model were applied to TNT, it would have very significantly underestimated the relative value of the sports programming on TNT in contrast to the other types of programming TNT purchased. Indeed, Trautman reports that contrary to the 7 to 8 percent of value Ford's approach would attribute to JSC programming on TNT, the actual cost of that programming was at least 45 to 46 percent of TNT's total programming cost. See Trautman Rebuttal Testimony at 6-7.
6. That JSC programming might have a low share of the overall program time on TNT or of the relative amount of time households spend viewing all the TNT programming -- but nonetheless represent a very high relative cost compared to other TNT programming -- is consistent with my experience in the sports media business. Indeed, I was responsible for negotiating the NBA's contract in effect at that time with

TNT. I know from personal experience that applying Ford's model to TNT would show, as Trautman illustrates, that Ford's model significantly underestimates the relative value of sports programming in the real world.
7. Ford's model is based on a measure of relative advertising cost that he has created for this proceeding. While advertising is certainly a part of the economics of cable programming, it represents only a minority of the revenue picture and therefore of potential programming expenditures. Cable systems generate the bulk of their revenue through subscriptions -- something for which Dr. Ford's model does not account.
8. In addition, Ford's model does not account for other types of value attributable to sports programming in my experience. These additional "elements of value" include promotional value, halo effect/prestige, packaging, audience flow, risk, differentiation, driving distribution, and the unique differentiated characteristics of sports programming, among others. This is why sports are often a "loss leader" for a network.
9. Sports are highly promotable. Sports leagues and teams are well known, much beloved brands, and "household names," which have been built over generations. The names and logo are instantly recognizable, and thereby efficient to use to promote tune-in and association, because they stand out and grab attention.
10. There is considerable prestige that comes from a sports association. This is sometimes known as the "halo effect." It is experienced by networks, sponsors, and distributors. Sports fans' affection for their sports can rub off on those who are associated. This branding is an important element of the value of sports television, and is ignored in the Ford analysis.
11. Even though the Ford analysis purports to measure a program's advertising value, it fails to account for the fact that sports programming is frequently used by networks and distributors as a "hook" to help to sell packages of advertising in multiple programs. Networks might package commercial time in a sports event, with advertising in adjacent programming, and other programming on the network or cable system. Even if the allocated portion of the package price of the sports programming is higher than the entertainment programming or news programming that might be in the package, this does not account for the fact that absent the sports element, that particular spot or series of spots in the entertainment programming might not have sold at all, or might have to be sold for a lower price if not included in such a package. Thus, the true financial value of sports advertising is typically understated.
12. Sports is often used by programmers as a schedule "tent pole" to attract viewers, and then to cycle them into other programs that are either promoted in the sports event, or which preceded or follow it. In this way, value created by the presence of the sports programming is reflected in the sales of other programming.
13. Like most other businesses, risk is an element of the TV programming business equation. Many shows are developed, yet most fail. A handful survive, and fewer still become hits. Sports is different. Even though a particular team's success ebbs and flows over time, sports TV programming overall is remarkably consistent and predictable in performance. As a result, there is less risk associated with sports than many other forms of entertainment programming. This track record for success enhances its relative value compared with alternative programming, even though this fact is not reflected in the Ford model.
14. One of the biggest sports programming value stores is in the leverage key sports programming networks can exert in support of the carriage of new non-sports programming networks. Major entertainment companies that control the distribution of key sports programming networks are able to leverage the affiliation negotiation of the sports network into the new or improved carriage of a sister or unrelated, co-owned cable network. This process obscures the true value of the sports network and its programming, concealing it as the creation of new or increased enterprise value for the alternate network.
15. Sports packages are also used to drive penetration of programming networks. The Fox Television Network was launched harnessing the NFL Sunday afternoon package. The NFL and NBA were used to successfully launch TNT, widely considered one of the most successful network launches in cable TV history. Superstation penetration was driven by the presence of the MLB Atlanta Braves and NBA Hawks games on WTBS and the Chicago Cubs, White Sox and Bulls on WGN.
16. Finally, some sports packages are able to influence the selection of particular multi-video providers by consumers, utterly unrelated to any advertising that might be contained. The NFL's Sunday Ticket package, long a fixture on DIRECTV has aided the growth of this platform against cable. The same is true of the NCAA's Mega March Madness package. The cable industry was recently outbid by DIRECTV for the NASCAR multi-car camera package in order to further improve its competitive position. Each of these are examples of the unique power and value of sports programming in the cable industry, completely ignored by the Ford analysis.
17. Unlike entertainment, which is often exhibited in multiple windows over an extended period, often all at the same time (e.g., syndicated and off-network episodes all run while first run episodes air on broadcast networks), sports is typically shown on an exclusive basis. A particular game or feed is only seen on one channel, typically on a live basis, and then never again. While it is true that some games are shown on dual networks (e.g., regionally and nationally) and are also sometimes repeated, the vast majority of games are exclusive to one network and air only once. This makes each one that much more compelling.
18. Because it is compelling and topical, sports is typically consumed live, and not TIVO'ed, or downloaded to be seen later. In contrast, entertainment programming is not only available on a first run basis, but then also in re-runs, syndication, cable network runs, and via web site streaming, iTunes downloads, and DVDs. Because such programming is often viewed on a delayed or recorded basis, subscribers can "fast forward" through the commercials without stopping to watch the ads. Ford's analysis in no way addresses this growing phenomenon which disproportionately affects the programming offered by the Program Suppliers.
19. Sports is often viewed in groups, such as in bars, restaurants, airports, college dorms, health clubs, typically unmeasured by Nielsen, and therefore not truly reflected in the Ford analysis.

## Conclusion

As shown in the data offered by Trautman, Ford's analysis does not provide a reliable means of estimating the relative value of sports programming vis-à-vis other types of programming, and that conclusion is particularly true for syndicated
programming that falls within the claim of the Program Suppliers. My testimony is intended to identify those factors that help explain why that is the case.

## APPENDIX A

## ED DESSER

Ed Desser is President of Desser Sports Media, Inc., a consulting firm serving the strategic media business needs of the sports television industry. The company was founded in 2005, following Mr. Desser's more than 30-year career in sports media and radio and television. Its clients include the National Basketball Association, Detroit Pistons, Los Angeles Lakers, Anschutz Entertainment Group (AEG), Houston Astros \& Rockets, Minnesota Timberwolves, Atlanta Hawks \& Thrashers, Tampa Bay Lightning, Washington Nationals, Dallas Mavericks, Phoenix Suns, San Antonio Spurs, United Football League, Sacramento Kings and Monarchs, Miami Heat, LA Clippers, Portland Trailblazers, Maple Leaf Sports \& Entertainment (Maple Leafs, Raptors, and Toronto FC), McKinsey \& Co., Milwaukee Bucks, Oklahoma City Thunder, Qualcomm/Media Flo, Utah Jazz, DIRECTV, Chivas USA, the Professional Rodeo Cowboys Association, and the California Interscholastic Federation.

Mr. Desser's was educated at the University of California, Los Angeles, where he earned a Bachelors' of Arts in Economics. He also earned a Masters of Business Administration degree in Marketing from the University of Southern California.

Mr. Desser's professional career began in Southern California, serving in a variety of functions for several local broadcast stations. In 1977, he became Executive Producer of the Los Angeles Lakers Radio Network, and in 1978, was hired by California Sports, Inc, owner of the Los Angeles Lakers, Kings, and Forum where he became it's Director of Broadcasting and Executive Producer. He was responsible for broadcast operations, scheduling, production, transmission, and negotiating its media relationships.

In 1982, Mr. Desser relocated to New York, beginning a 23 -year run with the National Basketball Association Commissioner's Office. He first became the NBA's Director of Broadcasting and Executive Producer. Responsibilities included national network contract administration, scheduling, negotiations, policy planning, and development of production and arena standards.

In 1984, he added the responsibilities of VP/General Manager, NBA Entertainment, Inc. the league's TV production and distribution arm. NBAE produces a number of programs for national and international distribution including ABC's NBA Inside Stuff, NBA Action, NBA Match-up, and Vintage NBA. NBAE also produces the nightly highlights and news programming for NBA TV and NBA.com.

In 1987, Mr. Desser was also named VP/Television for NBA International. This division was responsible for growing the distribution of NBA programming to more than 9000 hours in 200 countries worldwide, and production of world feeds of NBA and other major international sports events.

In 1990, the NBA began its quest to develop new media opportunities, naming Mr. Desser President of NBA Television and New Media Ventures, later renamed NBA New Media and Strategic Initiatives. Over the ensuing decade, he spearheaded the exploration and business development for the league of a variety of new technologies ranging from High definition TV (first used for the NBA All Star Game in 1991), Direct Broadcast Satellite (1994), the Internet and NBA.com (1995), NBA TV, (1999), and Satellite Radio (2002). Many of these projects established the framework utilized by most major North American sports leagues, including the NBA's agreements with MVPD's to distribute "out of market" game telecasts (NBA League Pass).

During this period of dramatic technological growth, Mr. Desser was also instrumental in the negotiation of the NBA's landmark national television agreements with NBC, Tumer Broadcasting, ABC, and ESPN, which resulted in revenue growth of more than 12 fold, and substantially increased coverage and distribution. He established NBA TV, the first network devoted to a major US sports league, including handling affiliation negotiations with all major MVPDs. He led the NBA's annual business planning process, and was a staff member of the NBA Board of Governor's Planning Committee.

During his NBA career, Mr. Desser also assisted many teams negotiate their local rights agreements. When he returned to California, after the NBA, he formed Desser Sports Media, Inc. in order to continue providing this service, to a vast array of clients across the sports industry. Key projects have included creation of business plans for new regional TV networks, valuation and negotiations of key media agreements, strategic planning, and the balancing of new media with traditional distribution platforms in order to generate maximum current and future revenues. The existence of Comcast Sports Net West, Comcast Sports Net Northwest, and Fox Sports Oklahoma Regional Sports Networks are direct results of this work.

Today, Mr. Desser resides in Santa Monica, California with his wife, Eydie Eisen Desser.
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## DECLARATION OF JAMES TRAUTMAN

1. My name is James Trautman. I am employed as the Managing Director of Bortz Media \& Sports Group. In this proceeding, I have offered direct testimony, both written and oral, on behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants.
2. The purpose of this Declaration is to respond to requests made by the Copyright Royalty Judges during my direct oral testimony to provide data reflecting the average number of distant signal stations carried by the respondent systems that participated in the Bortz Survey in 2004 and 2005.
3. During my oral testimony during the direct hearings, the following colloquy occurred, beginning on page 202:

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Mr. Troutman [sic],looking at your Question 2-A, which simply asks the number of distant signal stations carried by the system or list them --

THE WITNESS: Yes. It's -- it's -that's correct.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: -- can you tell me the average number of distant signal stations carried by the respondent systems in 2004 ?

THE WITNESS: I don't have that precise information, no.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Would you supply
that to us, please?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Could you do the same for 2005?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Would you also give us the variance around that average in each case?

THE WITNESS: In terms of the number of signals?

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.
Let me take you to --
THE WITNESS: Could I ask a clarifying question?

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Sure.

THE WITNESS: Because of the stratified sampling approach, I believe that I should provide you actually either with two pieces of information or with whichever one you believe would be more useful.

I can provide you with the -- the simple breakout of the average number of signals based on the respondent pool, all 162,171 respondents; or I can -- and I can also provide you with the -- the average number of distant signals after applying the weighting that's used to weight the royalty.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Both would be useful. Both would be useful.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

JUDGE WISNIEWSKI: Thank you.
4. In response to Judge Wisniewski's request, I calculated the distant signal carriage patterns, including the average number of distant signals and the variance in each case, reflected in the Bortz survey respondent pool in 2004 and 2005. The results of those calculations are summarized below.'

Table $1^{2}$
Distant Signal Carriage Patterns in the 2004 Bortz Survey

|  | Average <br> Number of <br> Distant Signals | Median <br> Number of <br> Distant Signals | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strata | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.83 | 1.35 |
| 1 | 3.31 | 2.00 | 10.10 | 3.18 |
| 2 | 3.99 | 2.00 | 12.04 | 3.47 |
| 3 | 4.30 | 2.00 | 26.75 | 5.17 |
| 4 | 3.42 | 2.00 | 11.55 | 3.40 |
| Total Sample |  | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Universe Projection | 2.69 |  |  |  |
| (Weighted Average) |  |  |  |  |

[^150]
## Table 2

Distant Signal Carriage Patterns in the 2005 Bortz Survey

|  | Average <br> Number of <br> Distant Signals | Median <br> Number of <br> Distant Signals | Variance | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strata | 2.93 | 2.00 | 4.89 | 2.21 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.49 | 2.00 | 8.94 | 2.99 |
| 2 | 4.96 | 3.00 | 26.57 | 5.15 |
| 3 | 4.86 | 1.50 | 43.36 | 6.58 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.26 |  | 21.26 |
| Total Sample |  |  |  | 4.61 |
|  |  |  |  | NA |
| Universe Projection | 3.45 |  |  | NA |
| (Weighted Average) |  |  |  |  |

5. To further assist the Copyright Royalty Judges, below is a table showing the number of distant signals carried by respondents to the Bortz Survey.

## Table 3

## Distant Signals Carried by Bortz Respondents

| Number of Distant Signals | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Respondents | \% of Respondents | Respondents | \% of Respondents |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 64 | 39.5\% | 59 | 34.5\% |
| 2 | 34 | 21.0\% | 23 | 13.5\% |
| 3 | 11 | 6.8\% | 18 | 10.5\% |
| 4 | 9 | 5.6\% | 15 | 8.8\% |
| 5 | 12 | 7.4\% | 13 | 7.6\% |
| 6 to 9 | 21 | 13.0\% | 28 | 16.4\% |
| 10 or more | 11 | 6.8\% | 15 | 8.8\% |
| Total | 162 | 100.0\% | 171 | 100.0\% |

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
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## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

## OF JAMES TRAUTMAN

1. I am submitting this testimony on behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants (JSC) in response to testimony provided on behalf of the Program Suppliers (PS) by Dr. George Ford, Howard Homonoff and John Mansell.

## I. Qualifications

2. I have previously submitted written testimony in these proceedings, including my curriculum vitae. I am Managing Director of Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc. In this capacity, I provide business planning, market research, and related analytical services to both cable programming networks and cable system operators. I have been retained to evaluate and/or assist more than 50 programming networks, and have been retained on multiple occasions by all of the three largest cable MSOs as well as the leading cable industry associations. I was qualified as "an expert in market research, including survey research and valuation in the cable, broadcast and television programming industry." ${ }^{1}$
3. In addition, I have advised both networks and owners of programming with respect to the negotiation of agreements with distributors, and have directly participated in such negotiations. Based on this experience, I have substantial knowledge of the factors that programming networks consider in valuing the programming that they acquire and in negotiating

[^151]license fee agreements with cable operators. Similarly, I am aware of the factors that cable operators consider when choosing which networks to carry.

## II. Ford Analysis

4. Dr. Ford sought to estimate the relative marketplace values of distant signal programming categories by "assign[ing] a dollar value to viewership using price data from the advertising market." ${ }^{2}$ In brief, Dr. Ford estimated these shares by multiplying (a) the share of distant signal viewing minutes attributable to each of the distant signal programming categories, as estimated in a Program Supplier study that relies upon data from the A.C. Nielsen Company (see Testimony of Paul Lindstrom) and (b) the relative advertising prices (i.e., cost per thousand or CPM figures) from data reflective of local broadcast advertising, which he also estimates based on various assumptions. ${ }^{3}$

## 5. Dr. Ford focuses his analysis on estimating local broadcast market advertising

 sales as a proxy for broadcast market program purchase prices. I have applied Dr. Ford's analysis, as a test of its validity, to the cable network markets. Ilimit the analysis to a comparison of cable network programming that most resembles the programming covered by just two categories in this proceeding - Joint Sports and Program Suppliers. I first determine the relative amounts that cable networks would supposedly have paid to telecast JSC and Program Supplier programming in 2004-05 -- and then compared those amounts to the amounts that the[^152]cable networks actually paid to telecast that programming (using largely the same sources of information upon which the witnesses for Program Suppliers have relied). I conclude that Dr. Ford's methodology yields estimated relative values that are inconsistent with the actual relative market values of JSC programming and Program Suppliers' programming on cable networks in 2004 and 2005. As discussed below, Dr. Ford's methodology would significantly have understated the actual relative marketplace value of JSC programming on cable networks in 2004-05, and significantly overstated the actual relative marketplace value of Program Suppliers programming on cable networks in those years.

## a. TBS

6. Of all the cable networks, TBS may provide the best example of what would happen in the hypothetical marketplace that Dr. Ford attempted to replicate. TBS was the most widely carried distant signal until 1998, when it converted to a cable network and was no longer subject to compulsory licensing. As a result of the TBS conversion, cable operators were required to negotiate in the marketplace directly with TBS in order to carry the copyrighted programming on TBS that previously had been carried pursuant to compulsory licensing. TBS also was required to negotiate in the marketplace with copyright owners in order to provide that programming to cable operators pursuant to negotiated deals rather than compulsory licensing.
7. TBS televised 78 games of the Atlanta Braves in 2004 and 72 games in 2005 pursuant to an agreement that it had negotiated with Major League Baseball. According to Howard Homonoff, another Program Suppliers' witness, virtually all of the other programming
on TBS in 2004 and 2005 consisted of programming that would be classified as programming comparable to that within the Program Suppliers' claim. ${ }^{4}$
8. The viewing-based formula developed by Dr. Ford suggests that TBS should have spent approximately $4.25 \%$ of its 2004 programming budget (and $3.51 \%$ of its 2005 programming budget) for the rights to televise the Atlanta Braves. See Appendix A, Table A-2. In fact, however, TBS spent at least $24.08 \%$ of its 2004 programming budget (and $24.65 \%$ of its 2005 programming budget) for the rights to televise the Atlanta Braves. See Appendix A, Table A-1. The relative dollar amounts that TBS spent on the Braves programming (versus the programming comparable to that within the Program Suppliers' claim) were substantially in excess of the relative amounts of time that such programming was broadcast by TBS, i.e., $2.67 \%$ in 2004 and $2.47 \%$ in 2005. See Appendix A, Table A-2. The relative dollar amounts that TBS spent on the Braves programming (versus the programming comparable to that within the Program Suppliers' claim) also were substantially in excess of the relative amounts of time that cable and DBS subscribers spent viewing these different programming categories, i.e., $2.6 \%$ in 2004 and $2.42 \%$ in 2005. See Appendix A, Table A-2. This comparative analysis is summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1 below.
[^153]Table 1. MLBon TBSValuation Comparison

|  | Share of <br> Time (\%) | Share of Viewing(\%) | Estimated Share of Market Value: Ford Analysis(\%) | Actual Share of Market Value (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |
| JsC(Braves)* | 2.67\% | 2.60\% | 4.25\% | 24.08\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 97.33\% | 97.40\% | 95.75\% | 75.92\% |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| JsC(Braves)* | 2.47\% | 2.42\% | 3.51\% | 24.65\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 97.53\% | 97.58\% | 96.49\% | 75.35\% |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

*Adual prices for ISCprogramming exdude production cosis and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007; SVL. Kagan, Media Sports Business, vari ous issues; and Major League Baseball.

Figure 1. JSC on TBS Relative Value Comparison, 2004-05


Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007, and Media Sports Business, various issues; and Major League Baseball
9. It should be noted that the actual amounts that TBS spent to acquire all its programming in 2004 and 2005 are not publicly available. For these amounts, I have relied upon data published by SNL Kagan, which is the same source upon which Howard Homonoff and John Mansell relied in their testimony on behalf of the Program Suppliers. I could not find any estimate by SNL Kagan of the amount that TBS paid to MLB for the 2004 and 2005 rights to televise the Braves' games. For that amount I relied upon the actual contract between MLB and TBS.

## b. TNT

10. The cable network TNT also provides a good example of the potential outcome of marketplace negotiations for distant signal programming in that it offers a combination of JSC and Program Suppliers' programming for which actual market prices can be directly compared. In 2004 and 2005, TNT exhibited NBA games that accounted for between two and three percent of the cable network's total programming hours and roughly five percent of the network's total viewing time. See Appendix B, Table B-2. Dr. Ford's methodology suggests that TNT would have allocated $8.6 \%$ of its 2004 programming budget (and $7.0 \%$ of its 2005 programming budget) for the rights to this NBA programming. However, TNT actually committed nearly onehalf of its total programming budget to the NBA in these two years. See Appendix B, Table B-1. This comparison is reflected in Table 2 and Figure 2 below:

## Table 2 NBA on TNTValuation Comparison

$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Share of } \\ \text { Time(\%) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated Share of } \\ \text { Siewing(\%) }\end{array} \\ \text { Miarket Value: } \\ \text { Ford Analysis(\%) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual Share of } \\ \text { Market Value (\%) }\end{array}\right]$
*Actual prices for JSCprogramming exdude production costs and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNLKagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007; SNLKagan, Media Sorts Business, various issues; and National Basketball Association.

Figure2. JSC on TNT Relative Value Comparison, 2004-05


Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007; SNL Kagan, Media Sports Business, various issues; and National Basketball Association.

## c. Top 25 Cable Networks

11. I also have applied Dr. Ford's methodology to the top 25 cable networks that Program Suppliers' witness Howard Homonoff analyzed. ${ }^{5}$
12. MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL programming accounted for $0.7 \%$ of the total programming hours on his top 25 cable networks in 2004 and $0.6 \%$ of the total programming hours in 2005. See Appendix C, Table C-2. Relying upon SNL Kagan data, I have determined that that MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL programming accounted for $1.7 \%$ of the 2004 (and $1.4 \%$ of the 2005) total time that cable and satellite households spent viewing the programming on the Top 25 cable networks. See Appendix C, Table C-2. Also relying upon SNL Kagan data (and information for TBS supplied by Major League Baseball), I have determined that the top 25 cable networks spent approximately $20 \%$ of their 2004 programming budget (and $17 \%$ of their 2005 programming budget) in order to obtain the rights to MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL programming. In contrast, the Ford formula suggests that the comparable amounts would be $2.8 \%$ and $2.1 \%$. See Appendix C, Table C-1. This comparative analysis is set forth in Table 3 and Figure $3 .{ }^{6}$
[^154]Table 3. JSCon Top 25 Valuation Comparison

|  | Share of Time (\%) | Share of Viewing(\%) | Estimated Share of Market Value: Ford Analysis(\%) | Actual Share of Market Value (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |
| JSC(MIB, NBA, NFL, NHL)* | 0.72\% | 1.71\% | 2.80\% | 20.12\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 99.28\% | 98.29\% | 97.20\% | 79.88\% |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| JsC(MLB, NBA, NF, NHL)* | 0.55\% | 1.41\% | 2.05\% | 17.35\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 99.45\% | 98.59\% | 97.95\% | 82.65\% |
| Total | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

*Actual prices for JSCprogramming exdude production costs and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNLKagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007; SNL Kagan, Media Sports Business, various issues; Major League Baseball, National Basketball Association and National Football League.

Figure 3. JSC on Top 25 Relative Vallue Comparison, 2004-05


Sources: Testimony of George S. Ford; Testimony of Howard Homonoff; SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007; SNL Kagan, Media Sports Business, various issues; Major League Baseball; National Basketball Association and National Football League.

## III. Homonoff Analysis

13. Howard Homonoff concluded that "the relative program value seen in the cable network marketplace is a very helpful guidepost for a hypothetical relative program value in the broadcast distant signal marketplace." ${ }^{77}$ agree that cable network data can provide useful information about the cable distant signal marketplace, but the cable operator surveys I presented earlier in this proceeding are the most relevant and direct measure of relative value of distant signal programs. Moreover, an examination of the cable network marketplace does not support Mr. Homonoff's suggestion that the Program Suppliers' programming on distant signals in 2004 and 2005 was substantially more valuable than the JSC programming on distant signals during those years.

## a. Time-Based Analysis

14. Mr. Homonoff attempted to show that relative value in the distant signal marketplace by comparing the amount of time that Program Suppliers' programming occupied on the top 25 most widely carried cable networks in 2004-05 (approximately $89-90 \%$ ) with the amount of time occupied by other programming on those networks in 2004-05, including "Sports" programming. ${ }^{8}$ However, the data presented in Tables 1 through 3 above demonstrate that the relative amount of time occupied by programming does not equate to the relative marketplace value of that programming.

[^155]15. Furthermore, as shown in Appendix C, the top 25 cable networks examined by Mr. Homonoff spent: (1) approximately $\$ 400,000$ per hour for each hour of the JSC programming that they televised in 2004 and 2005; and (2) $\$ 32,000$ per hour for each hour of the Program Suppliers' programming that they televised in 2004 and 2005. ${ }^{9}$ In other words, each hour of that JSC programming on the top 25 cable networks cost approximately twelve times more on average than each hour of Program Suppliers' programming on those networks. Applying these same per-hour valuations to the relative amounts of JSC and Program Suppliers' programming on distant signals during 2004-05 leads to the conclusion that these two categories had approximately the same value -- notwithstanding that Program Suppliers programming occupied substantially more telecast time than did JSC programming. This comparative analysis is reflected in Table 4 and Figure 4 below.

Table 4. Comparison of Distant Signal Pelative Market Value: 2004-05 (ExpendituresPer ProgrammingHour Method)

|  | 2004-05 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | SCC | PS |
|  |  |  |
| 1. Percent of Distant Sgnal Programming Hours | $4.6 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ |
| 2. Cable Network Expenditures Per Programming Hour | $\$ 396,703$ | $\$ 32,153$ |
| 3. Time-Adjusted Expenditures(1*2) | $\$ 18,248$ | $\$ 16,109$ |
| 4. Share of Pelative Value | $53.1 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ |

Sources: Appendix C, Table C-5; and SP Exhibit 16.

[^156]

## b. Viewing-Based Analysis

16. As shown in Appendix C, the top 25 cable networks that Mr. Homonoff analyzed spent nearly $\$ 2.9$ billion in 2004 and 2005 to acquire the rights to televise JSC (MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL) programming; those license fees amounted to $\$ 0.77$ for each hour (or $\$ 0.013$ per each minute) that households spent viewing the JSC programming on the top 25 cable networks. In contrast, the top 25 cable networks spent approximately $\$ 12.6$ billion in 2004 and 2005 to acquire the rights to televise Program Suppliers' programming; those license fees amounted to approximately $\$ 0.056$ for each hour (or $\$ 0.001$ per each minute) that households spent viewing the Program Suppliers programming on the top 25 cable networks. ${ }^{10}$ In other words, each

[^157]viewing minute of JSC programming on Mr. Homonoff's top 25 cable networks cost on average 13 times more than each viewing minute of Program Suppliers' programming on those networks in 2004 and 2005.
17. Applying these same per-viewing minute valuations to the viewing minutes attributed to JSC and Program Suppliers' programming on distant signals in 2004 and 2005 leads to the conclusion that the JSC programming on distant signals in 2004-05 had approximately the same value as the Program Suppliers programming on distant signals during those years -notwithstanding that cable subscribers spent substantially more time viewing Program Suppliers programming than JSC programming on distant signals (according to the viewing study presented by Program Suppliers' witness Paul Lindstrom ${ }^{11}$ ). This comparative analysis is reflected in Table 5 and Figure 5 below.

[^158]Table 5. Comparison of Distant Signal Pelative Market Value: 2004-05 (Expenditures Per Viewing Minute Method)

|  | 2004-05 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | SC | PS |
|  |  |  |
| 1. Number of Distant Sgnal Viewing Minutes | 838,907 | $8,633,838$ |
| 2. Cable Network Expenditures Per Viewing Minute | $\$ 0.013$ | $\$ 0.001$ |
| 3. Projected Distant Sgnal Market Value (1*2) | $\$ 10,906$ | $\$ 8,634$ |
| 4. Share of Relative Value | $55.8 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |

*Note that the number of viewing minutes reflected in the Testimony of Mr. Lindstrom is attributable to only a small sample of households in each year. As such, the number of viewing minutes (and resulting estimated programming values) would be far larger if applied to viewing minutes across all households. For example, the number of PSviewing minutes on the Top 25 cable networks in 2005 was approximately 7 trillion, compared with less than 6 million in Mr. Lindstrom's Nielsen sample.
Sources: Appendix C, Table C-5; and Testimony of Paul Lindstrom at PL-3.

> Figure 5: Share of Relative Value: 2004-05 (ExpendituresPer Viewing Minute Method)


Sources: Appendix C, Table C-5; and Testimony of Paul Lindstrom at PL-3.

## IV. Mansell Analysis

## a. Other Sports Programming

18. Mr. Mansell noted that there are sports in addition to those represented by the JSC members. ${ }^{12}$ Mr. Mansell, however did not show whether or to what extent any of these other sports (with the exception of NASCAR, discussed below) appeared on any distant signals during the years 2004-05. Mr. Homonoff testified concerning the non-JSC sports on cable networks but did not provide any information concerning non-JSC sports on distant signals. ${ }^{13}$ Two points should be noted in response.
19. First, WGN was the most widely carried distant signal in 2004-05. ${ }^{14}$ In 2004-05

WGN televised more than 100 games of the Chicago Cubs, White Sox and Bulls -- more JSC sports than any other distant signal. ${ }^{15}$

[^159]28. Second, according to CDC, at least 90 percent of the Form 3 cable systems that carried a distant commercial signal in 2004 and 2005, carried as a distant signal one or more stations that broadcast MLB, NBA, NFL, or NHL events.
29. As to Mr. Mansell's testimony concerning NASCAR programming, ${ }^{16}$ three points should be noted.
30. First, in 2004 and 2005 more than three-quarters of NASCAR events were distributed on broadcast and cable networks that were not subject to the Section 111 compulsory license. ${ }^{17}$ The remaining NASCAR events in those years (a total of 18 in both 2004 and 2005) were distributed by FOX broadcast signals which were carried pursuant to the Section 111 cable compulsory license.
31. Second, based upon data provided by CDC, in 2004 and 2005, FOX broadcast signals were carried as distant signals by approximately 15-16 percent of the Form 3 cable systems that carried distant signals.
32. Third, in 2004-05 FOX broadcast the following JSC events in addition to NASCAR:

[^160]Table 6. JSC Events Carried by FOX: 2004-05
NFL:
Preseason
Regular Season NFC Package (Sunday afternoon games)
NFC Wild Card Playoffs
NFC Divisional Playoffs
NFC Championship
Super Bowl (2005)
MLB:
Regular Season Saturday Game of the Week
MLB All Star Game
National League Division Series (2004)
American League Division Series (2004)
National League Championship Series
American League Championship Series
World Series
SBC Cotton Bowl
33. Finally, as shown in Table 7 and Figure 6, FOX spent nearly $\$ 2$ billion for its 2004-05 MLB and NFL telecast rights, or $\$ 1.56$ billion more than it spent for its NASCAR telecast rights in those years.

Table 7. FOX Sports Rights Fees: 2004-05 (Millions)

|  | 2004 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NFL | $\$ 550$ | $\$ 550$ |
| MLB | 417 | 417 |
| NASCAR | $\underline{200}$ | $\underline{200}$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,167$ | $\$ 1,167$ |

Source: Kagan Research, Media Sports Business, various issues.

## Figure 6. FOX Sports Rights

 Fees: 2004-05 (millions)

Source: Kagan Research, Media Sports Business, various issues.

## b. Regional Sports Networlks

34. I agree with Mr. Mansell that there were more telecasts of JSC events on regional sports networks ("RSNs") in 2004-05 than in 1998-99 and 1990-92 and that RSNs substantially increased their reach during this period. However, I believe that the growth in popularity of RSNs helps corroborate the high relative value of distant signal JSC programming compared to the Program Suppliers' programming on distant signals, as reflected in the above analyses.
35. First, as Table D-1 in Appendix D shows, the average license fee charged for RSNs is very high in comparison with other cable networks (i.e., typically second only to ESPN). The ability of RSNs to command these high fees is based principally on the strong regional appeal of the JSC sports shown on these networks.
36. Second, Table D-1 in Appendix D also summarizes the geographic areas served by regional sports networks (RSNs). The distant signal carriage of the U.S. stations that feature JSC sports (other than WGN) occurs primarily in the same states reflected in these RSN coverage areas. See Table D-2. Since the RSN coverage areas are defined based on territorial considerations for individual sports franchises and the perceived regional appeal of those franchises, it is therefore reasonable to conclude that the JSC sports on distant signals hold a similarly strong regional appeal.

## APPENDIX A

## Table A-1. Actual MLBon TESShare of Market Value

|  | Actual <br> Market <br> Priœ (Mil.) | Actual <br> Market <br> Value $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |  |  |
| SC(Braves) (1) <br> Program Suppliers/ Other (2) <br> Total (3) | $\frac{\$ 269.6}{\$ 355.1}$ | $\frac{75.92 \%}{100.00 \%}$ |
| 2005 |  |  |
| SC(Braves) (1) <br> Program Suppliers' Other (2) <br> Total (3) | $\underline{\$ 274.2}$ | $\underline{\$ 363.9}$ |

*Actual prices for JCprogramming exdude production costs and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
(1) Major Leagúe Baseball.
(2) Total Expenditures less SCExpenditures. Note that a small percentage of these expenditures could potentially be attributable to other categories of programming.
(3) SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007.

Table A-2. Estimated MLBon TBSShare of Market Value (Ford Estimation Methodology)

|  | Telecast Hours (1) | Share of Time (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { HHVH } \\ (000 \mathrm{~s}) \\ (3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Share of Viewing (4) | Pelative <br> OPM <br> (5) | Pelative Market Value \% (6) | Normalization Factor (7) | Normalized Pelative Market Value \% (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15 C$ (Braves) | 234 | 2.67\% | 174,096 | 2.60\% | 2.39 | 6.23\% | 1.46 | 4.25\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other* | 8,526 | 97.33\% | 6,509,784 | 97.40\% | 1.44 | 140.25\% | 1.46 | 95.75\% |
| Total | 8,760 | 100.00\% | 6,683,880 | 100.00\% |  | 146.47\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ISC(Braves) | 216 | 2.47\% | 167,184 | 2.42\% | 2.05 | 4.97\% | 1.42 | 3.51\% |
| Program Supplier/Other* | 8,544 | . $97.53 \%$ | 6,731,316 | 97.58\% | 1.40 | 136.61\% | 1.42 | 96.49\% |
| Total | 8,760 | 100.00\% | 6,898,500 | 100.00\% |  | 141.58\% |  | 100.00\% |

*Based on Homonoff's testimony, non-USCprogramming on TBSis almost exd usively attributable to Program Suppliers.
(1) Major League Baseball (number of telecasts at 3 hours per telecast); SNL Kagan, Economics of Basic Cable Networks, 13th Edition.
(2) Percentage distribution of Telecast Hours.
(3) See Table G4. Household Viewing Hours, calculated as Telecast Hours xAvg. HH Delivered (000s).
(4) Percentage distribution of HHVH .
(5) Ford Testimony at 39.
(6) Share of Viewing $x$ Relative CPM.
(7) Factor required to reduce total relative market value percentage to $100 \%$
(8) Relative Market Value $\%$ Normalization Factor.

## APPENDIX B

Table B1. Actual NBA on TNTShare of Market Value

|  | Actual <br> Market <br> Price (Mil.) | Actual <br> Market <br> Value $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |
| SC(NBA) (1) | $\$ 298.7$ | $46.15 \%$ |
| Program Suppliers/ Other (2) | $\$ 348.5$ | $\underline{53.85 \%}$ |
| Total (3) | $\$ 647.2$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2005 |  |  |
| SC(NBA) (1) | $\$ 307.7$ | $45.06 \%$ |
| Program Suppliers (2) | $\underline{\$ 375.1}$ | $\underline{54.94 \%}$ |
| Total (3) | $\$ 682.8$ | $100.00 \%$ |

*Actual prices for SSCprogramming exdude production costs $^{2}$ and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
(1) Kagan World Media, Media Sports Business, February 20, 2002.
(2) Total Expenditures less JSCExpenditures. Note that a small percentage of these expenditures could potentially be
attributable to other categories of programming.
(3) SNL_Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007.

Table B-2. Estimated NBA on TNTShare of Market Value (Ford Estimation Methodology)

|  | Telecast Hours <br> (1) | Share of Hours <br> (2) | HH NH <br> (000s) <br> (3) | Relative Share of Viewership (4) | Relative Price of Viewership (5) | Pelative <br> Market <br> Value \% <br> (6) | Normalization Factor (7) | Normalized Relative Market Value (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 SC (NBA) | 240 | 2.74\% | 492,895 | 5.37\% | 2.39 | 12.83\% | 1.49 | 8.60\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other* | 8,520 | 97.26\% | 8,690,213 | 94.63\% | 1.44 | 136.27\% | 1.49 | 91.40\% |
| Total | 8,760 | 100.00\% | 9,183,108 | 100.00\% |  | 149.10\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5C(NBA) | 245 | 2.80\% | 459,958 | 4.86\% | 2.05 | 9.96\% | 1.43 | 6.96\% |
| Program Suppliers | 8,515 | 97.20\% | 9,007,850 | 95.14\% | 1.40 | 133.20\% | 1.43 | 93.04\% |
| Total | 8,760 | 100.00\% | 9,467,808 | 100.00\% |  | 143.16\% |  | 100.00\% |

*Based on Homonotf's testimony, non-ISCprogramming on TNT is almost exdusively attributable to Program Suppliers.
(1) National Basketball Association (number of telecasts at 2.5 hours pertelecast); SNL Kagan, Economics of Basic Cable Networks, 13 th Edition.
(2) Percentage distribution of Telecast Hours.
(3) See Table G4. Household Viewing Hours, calculated as Telecast Hours $\times$ Avg. HH Delivered (000s).
(4) Percentage distribution of $\mathrm{HH} \mathrm{H} H$.
(5) Ford Testimony at 39.
(6) Share of Viewing $x$ Relative CPM.
(7) Factor required to reduce total relative market value percentage to $100 \%$
(8) Relative Market Value $\%$ Normalization Factor.

## APPENDIX C

Table C-1. Actual UCon Top 25 Share of Market Value

|  | Actual <br> Market <br> Price (Mil.) $)^{*}$ | Actual <br> Market <br> Value $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |
| LSC(MLB, NBA, NFL, NHL) (1) | $\$ 1,472.4$ | $20.12 \%$ |
| Program Suppliers/ Other (2) | $\underline{\$ 5,844.2}$ | $\underline{79.88 \%}$ |
| Total (3) | $\$ 7,316.6$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2005 |  |  |
| LC(MLB, NBA, NFL, NHL) (1) | $\$ 1,415.6$ | $17.35 \%$ |
| Program Suppliers/ Other (2) | $\underline{\$ 6,741.3}$ | $\underline{82.65 \%}$ |
| Total (3) | $\$ 8,156.9$ | $100.00 \%$ |

*Actual prices for JSCprogramming exclude production costs and therefore should be viewed as conservative.
(1) See Table C-3. Major League Baseball; and SNLKagan, Media \$orts Business, various issues.
(2) See Table G3. Total Expenditures less JC Expenditures. Note that a small percentage of these expenditures could potentially be attributable to other categories of programming.
(3) See Table G3. SNLKagan, Cable Fogram Investor, April 17, 2007.

Table C-2. Top 25 Cable Networks Valuation Comparison

|  | Telecast Hours (1) | Share of Hours (2) | HHVH <br> (000s) <br> (3) | Share of Viewership (4) | Pelative <br> CPM <br> (5) | Pelative Market Value \% (6) | Normalization Factor (7) | Normalized Pelative Market Value (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC(MLB, NBA, NF, NHL) | 1,578 | 0.72\% | 2,025,882 | 1.71\% | 2.39 | 4.08\% | 1.46 | 2.80\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 217,422 | 99.28\% | 116,730,810 | 98.29\% | 1.44 | 141.54\% | 1.46 | 97.20\% |
| Total | 219,000 | 100.00\% | 118,756,692 | 100.00\% |  | 145.62\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SC(MLB, NBA, NF, NHL) | 1,210 | 0.55\% | 1,744,159 | 1.41\% | 2.05 | 2.89\% | 1.41 | 2.05\% |
| Program Suppliers/ Other | 217,790 | 99.45\% | 121,979,453 | 98.59\% | 1.40 | 138.03\% | 1.41 | 97.95\% |
| Total | 219,000 | 100.00\% | 123,723,612 | 100.00\% |  | 140.92\% |  | 100.00\% |

(1) Major League Baseball and National Football League (number of telecasts at 3 hours per telecast); National Basketball Association and National Hockey League (number of telecasts at 2.5 hours per telecast); SNL Kagan, Media Soorts Business, various issues: SNLKagan, Economics of Basic Cable Networks, 13 th Edition.
(2) Percentage distribution of Telecast Hours.
(3) See Table G4. Household Viewing Hours, calculated as Telecast Hours x Avg. HH Delivered (000s).
(4) Percentage distribution of HHVH.
(5) Ford Testimony at 39. Al Oher category based on Ford relative CPM for Rogram Suppliers.
(6) Share of Viewing $\times$ Felative CPM.
(7) Factor required to reduce total relative market value percentage to $100 \%$
(8) Relative Market Value \% Normalization Factor.

Table C-3. Top 25 Cable Network Programming Expenditures 2004-2005 (in Millions)


Top 25 Cable Networks Excluding JSC Programming: (2)

|  | \$143.6 | \$145.6 | \$289.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESPN (excluding JSC Exp.) | 1,210.5 | 1,648.2 | 2,858.7 |
| CNN/HN | 261.8 | 268.3 | 530.1 |
| TNT (excluding JSC Exp.) | 348.5 | 375.1 | 723.6 |
| USA | 453.5 | 476.2 | 929.7 |
| Nickelodeon/Nick At Nite | 199.8 | 224.8 | 424.6 |
| TBS (excluding JSC exp.) | 269.6 | 274.2 | 543.8 |
| A\&E | 222.0 | 256.0 | 478.0 |
| C-SPAN | 26.3 | 29.3 | 55.6 |
| Lifetime Television | 316.1 | 322.4 | 638.5 |
| Spike TV | 205.3 | 231.0 | 436.3 |
| The Weather Channel | 112.5 | 119.5 | 232.0 |
| TLC | 118.9 | 121.7 | 240.6 |
| ESPN2 (excluding JSC exp.) | 256.7 | 285.0 | 541.7 |
| ABC Family Channel | 171.7 | 202.7 | 374.4 |
| MTV | 335.3 | 363.8 | 699.1 |
| HGTV | 137.7 | 148.7 | 286.4 |
| History | 157.5 | 173.3 | 330.8 |
| Cartoon Network | 107.1 | 114.0 | 221.1 |
| CNBC | 103.5 | 121.1 | 224.6 |
| $\mathrm{VH}-1$ | 155.0 | 180.5 | 335.5 |
| FOX News | 184.4 | 221.3 | 405.7 |
| Comedy Central | 185.7 | 197.3 | 383.0 |
| Animal Planet | 50.7 | NA | 50.7 |
| AMC | 110.5 | NA | 110.5 |
| Food Network | NA | 124.7 | 124.7 |
| FX | NA | 116.6 | 116.6 |
| NON-JSC TOTAL | \$5,844.2 | \$6,741.3 | \$12,585.5 |
| TOTAL TOP 25 EXPENDITURES | \$7.316.6 | \$8,156.9 | \$15,473.5 |
| PERCENT OF TOP 25 EXPENDITURES (3) |  |  |  |
| JSC | 20.12\% | 17.35\% | 18.66\% |
| PS/OTHER | 79.88\% | 82.65\% | 81.34\% |

- Data on rights fees paid for this programming was not publicly available.
**Excludes production costs associated with JSC telecasts.
(1) SNL Kagan, Media Sports Business, various issues; and Major League Baseball (for MLB on TBS only).
(2) Total Expenditures are from SNL Kagan, Cable Program Investor, April 17, 2007. Iess JSC amounts associated with each network
(3) Proportion of JSC and PS/Other Expenditures to Total Expenditures.


Table C-5. Cable Network Programming Expenditure Ratios: 2004-05

|  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BC | PS | $\mathcal{S C}$ | PS | $5 \times$ | PS |
| Top 25 Expenditures (Mil.) (1) | \$1,472.4 | \$5,844.2 | \$1,415.6 | \$6,741.3 | \$2,888.0 | \$12,585.5 |
| Top 25 Programming Hours (2) | 3,900 | 197,080 | 3,380 | 194,350 | 7,280 | 391,430 |
| Top 25 Viewing Hours (000s) (3) | 2,025,882 | 109,820,587 | 1,744,159 | 115,358,013 | 3,770,041 | 225,178,600 |
| Expenditures Per Programming Hour (4) | \$377,538 | \$29,654 | \$418,817 | \$34,686 | \$396,703 | \$32,153 |
| Expenditures Per Viewing Hour (5) | \$0.727 | \$0.053 | \$0.812 | \$0.058 | \$0.766 | \$0.056 |
| Expenditures Per Viewing Minute (6) | \$0.012 | \$0.001 | \$0.014 | \$0.001 | \$0.013 | \$0.001 |

(1) See Table C-3. JSC Expenditures include only MLB, NBA, NFL and NHL, and excludes production costs. PS Expenditures reflect all non-JSC expenditures.
(2) Homonoff Testimony at HBH-5 and HBH-6.
(3) See Table C-4.
(4) Top 25 Expenditures divided by Top 25 Programming Hours.
(5) Top 25 Expenditures divided by Top 25 Viewing Hours.
(5) Expenditures Per Viewing Hour divided by 60.

## APPENDIX D

Table D-1. FSN Coverage Areas and Average License Feesper Unduplicated Subscriber, 2004 and 2005

|  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market/Region | Overlapping RSNs | Monthly Per Sub Fee | Uncuplicated Subscribers | Pevenue (Millions) | Monthly <br> Per Sub <br> Fee | Unduplicated Subscribers | Pevenue (Millions) |
| NYArea(NY, $\mathrm{N} \downarrow$, CT, portions of PA) | YES MSGN, FSNY, Empire | \$5.05 | 8,179 | \$495,560 | \$5.21 | 8,715 | \$544,569 |
| Chicago Area (IL, portions of IA, IN, WI) | FSChicago, Comcast Chicago, ChicagoLand | \$2.34 | 3,607 | \$101,267 | \$2.27 | 4,763 | \$129,902 |
| New England Pegion (MA, CT, R, ME, NH, VT, portions of NY | FSNew England, NESN | \$2.79 | 3,800 | \$127,372 | \$3.09 | 3,950 | \$146,430 |
| Southern CA (Southern CA, NV, Hi) | FSWest, FSWest 2, Cox San Diego | \$3.22 | 5,775 | \$223,426 | \$3.23 | 7,155 | \$276,932 |
| Bay Area (Northern CA, portions of NV, OR) | FSBay Area, CSN West | \$1.50 | 3,812 | \$68,787 | \$2.08 | 3,706 | \$92,268 |
| Southeast Fegion ( $F L, G A, T N, N C, S C, M S, A L, K Y)$ | Sunsports, FSSouth, FSF, Comcast SFE, Turner South, CSET | \$1.71 | 16,736 | \$344, 166 | \$1.94 | 17,340 | \$404, 170 |
| Southwest Fegion (TX, OK, LA, AR, portions of NM) | FSSW, Cox NO | \$1.69 | 8,100 | \$163,829 | \$1.80 | 8,034 | \$173,487 |
| Pocky Mountain Fegion ( $O$, UT, MT, WY, portions of NM) | FSFM, Altitude | \$1.92 | 2,800 | \$64,392 | \$1.93 | 2,834 | \$65,528 |
| Midwest Fegion (MO, IN, KS, NE, portions of IA, OK, IL) | FSMW, Royals TV | \$1.52 | 4,166 | \$76,022 | \$1.60 | 4,334 | \$83,250 |
| Ohio ( OH , portions of WW) | FSOhio | \$1.55 | 4,773 | \$88,080 | \$1.67 | 5,021 | \$98,136 |
| Michigan ( MI, portions of $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{IN}$ ) | FSDetroit | \$1.75 | 3,600 | \$73,688 | \$1.82 | 3,181 | \$68,589 |
| Northwest Pegion (WA, OR, ID, AK, portions of MT, CA) | FSNorthwest | \$1.77 | 2,409 | \$50,859 | \$1.85 | 3,482 | \$76,390 |
| Pittsburgh Area ( PA , portions of $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{W}$ ) | FSPttsburgh | \$1.45 | 2,350 | \$40,533 | \$1.50 | 3,030 | \$53,460 |
| Arizona (AZ, portions of NM, CA, TX) | FSArizona | \$1.50 | 1,800 | \$31,500 | \$1.65 | 2,300 | \$43,200 |
| Minnesota (MN, ND, SD, portions of WI, IA) | FSNorth-Minnesota | \$1.85 | 1,662 | \$36,497 | \$1.93 | 1,681 | \$38,712 |
| Wisconsin (WI) | FSNorth-Wisconsin | \$1.60 | 1,360 | \$25,901 | \$1.60 | 1,500 | \$29,268 |
| Mid-Atlantic Pegion (VA, MD, DE DG portions of PA) | Comcast Mid-AtIantic | \$1.90 | 4,499 | \$102,235 | \$1.95 | 4,700 | \$107,640 |
| Philadelphia Area (PA, Nん, DE | Comcast Philadelphia | \$1.88 | 2,945 | \$65,932 | \$1.94 | 2,983 | \$69,002 |
|  | Total/ Weighted Average | \$2.21 | 82,373 | \$2,180,026 | \$235 | 88,708 | \$2,500,933 |

Source: Kagan Pesearch, Media Sports Business, various issues.

Table D-2. Geographic Distribution for the Most Widely Carried U.S. Distant Signals with JSC Sports, 2004-05

|  | Station Rank |  |  | JSC | States of <br> Station |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | Sports |  |  |$\quad$| Distant Signal Carriage |
| :---: |

*Among U.S. commercial stations; ranked by subscriber instances.
Source: Cable Data Corporation.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


SYS-10
MEB350 CCT-PERIOD RECEIPTS CAN-FG-MIN CAN-BASE JAN-FG-MAX base-min max-base system underpaid - total roy should have been 9,790 they paid 8,905

| MES100 20041 | 957,278 | 8,371 | 7,322 | 9,152 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 8527 | (can feesgen should have been) |  |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD | RECEIPTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAG100 | 20041 | 1,904,591 |
| MAG100 | 20042 | 2,060,315 |
| MAL500 | 20041 | 3,987,591 |
| MAL500 | 20042 | 4,348,765 |
| MAM350 | 20041 | 1,140,997 |
| MAM350 | 20042 | 1,242,987 |
| MEA400 | 20041 | 4,867,134 |
| MEA400 | 20042 | 4,468,562 |
| MEB050 | 20041 | 2;756,479 |
| MEB050 | 20042 | 3,134,747 |
| MEB350 | 20042 | 594,664 |
| MEB550 | 20041 | 1,196,052 |
| MEB550 | 20042 | 1,203,330 |
| MEM100 | 20042 | 390,917 |
| MEM400 | 20041 | 630,307 |
| MEP280 | 20041 | 1,929,430 |
| MEP280 | 20042 | 1,815,335 |
| MER200 | 20041 | 578,342 |
| MER200 | 20042 | 628,380 |
| MES200 | 20041 | 849,689 |
| MES200 | 20042 | 859,110 |
| MEW400 | 20041 | 1,249,363 |
| MIA360 | 20041 | 803,516 |
| MIA360 | 20042 | 793,799 |
| MIB825 | 20041 | 6,589,780 |
| MIB825 | 20042 | 6,480,232 |
| MIE100 | 20041 | 2,039,814 |
| MIE100 | 20042 | 2,057,219 |
| MIE550 | 20041 | 2,171,136 |
| MIE550 | 20042 | 2,182,076 |
| MII700 | 20041 | 716,652 |
| MII700 | 20042 | 691,214 |
| MIM250 | 20041 | 1,011,898 |
| MIM250 | 20042 | 997,442 |
| MNG500 | 20041 | 469,642 |
| MNG500 | 20042 | 521,730 |
| MNV600 | 20041 | 1,047,477 |
| MNV600 | 20042 | 962,846 |
| MTK200 | 20041 | 1,583,370 |
| MTK200 | 20042 | 1,543,759 |
| NDG550 | 20041 | 2,369,006 |
| NDG550 | 20042 | 2,370,415 |
| NHM300 | 20041 | 3,815,778 |
| NHM300 | 20042 | 4,160,964 |
| NHN100 | 20041 | 2,645,289 |
| NHN100 | 20042 | 2,830,813 |
| NHP600 | 20041 | 1,957,772 |
| NHP600 | 20042 | 2,125,545 |
| NHR200 | 20041 | 1,724,493 |
| NHR200 | 20042 | 1,738,255 |
| NYC210 | 20041 | 780,454 |
| NYC210 | 20042 | 1,456,086 |
| NYD300 | 20041 | 4,781,841 |
| NYD500 | 20041 | 1,615,618 |

CAN-FG-MIN 18,208
19,696 38,121 41,574
10,908 11,883

75,206
17,366
19,749

5,200
11,434
11,504
11,504
6,587
3,971

CAN-BASE
CAN-FG-MAX 18,208 19,697 38,121 41,574 10,908 11,883 77,193 70,871 26,352 29,968 5,685 11,434 11,504
11,125 6,026
18,445
17,355
9,173
9,966
8,123
8,213
11,944
7,682
7,589
62,998
61,951
19,501
19,667
20,756
20,861
6,851
6,608
9,674
9,536
4,490
4,988
10,014
$\begin{array}{r}9,205 \\ \hline 15,137\end{array}$
15,137
14,758
14,758
22,661
36,479
39,779
25,289
27,063
18,716
20,320
16,486
16,618
8,565
15,033
48,857
15,445

| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: |
| NY1200 | 20041 |
| NYI200 | 20042 |
| NYL050 | 20041 |
| NYL050 | 20042 |
| NYL150 | 20041 |
| NYL150 | 20042 |
| NYM320 | 20042 |
| NYP300 | 20041 |
| NYP300 | 20042 |
| NYR700 | 20041 |
| NYR700 | 20042 |
| NYU400 | 20041 |
| NYU400 | 20042 |
| NYW210 | 20041 |
| OHB340 | 20041 |
| OHB340 | 20042 |
| OHB620 | 20041 |
| OHB620 | 20042 |
| OHF400 | 20041 |
| OHF400 | 20042 |
| OHP680 | 20041 |
| OHP680 | 20042 |
| OHT250 | 20041 |
| OHT250 | 20042 |
| OHT350 | 20041 |
| OHT350 | 20042 |
| OHW325 | 20041 |
| OHW325 | 20042 |
| VTB600 | 20041 |
| VTB600 | 20042 |
| VTM300 | 20041 |
| VTM300 | 20042 |
| VTS500 | 20041 |
| VTS500 | 20042 |
| WAA100 | 20041 |
| WAA100 | 20042 |
| WAC030 | 20041 |
| WAC030 | 20042 |
| WAD700 | 20041 |
| WAD700 | 20042 |
| WAM450 | 20041 |
| WAO050 | 20041 |
| WAO050 | 20042 |
| WAO200 | 20042 |
| WAS050 | 20041 |
| WAS050 | 20042 |
| WAS075 | 20041 |
| WAS075 | 20042 |
| WAS375 | 20041 |
| WAT165 | 20041 |
| WAT165 | 20042 |


| RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 685,055 | 6,503 | 6,512 | 6,549 |
| 660,957 | 6,274 | 6,283 | 6,319 |
| $9,759,570$ | 154,788 | 154,788 | 154,787 |
| $9,656,449$ | 153,152 | 153,152 | 153,151 |
| 184,742 | 2,328 | 2,698 | 2,930 |
| 191,495 | 2,413 | 2,796 | 3,037 |
| 771,897 | 7,870 | 8,613 | 9,388 |
| $1,464,917$ | 10,878 | 13,408 | 15,653 |
| $1,345,832$ | 11,508 | 13,899 | 15,896 |
| $1,860,651$ | 11,722 | 14,726 | 17,788 |
| $1,880,547$ | 11,847 | 14,834 | 17,978 |
| $2,763,670$ | 26,421 | 26,421 | 26,421 |
| $2,893,726$ | 27,664 | 27,664 | 27,664 |
| 100,613 | 962 | 962 | 962 |
| 447,595 | 1,357 | 2,926 | 4,279 |
| 451,185 | 1,368 | 2,712 | 4,313 |
| 710,528 | 4,476 | 5,635 | 6,793 |
| 702,264 | 4,424 | 5,569 | 6,714 |
| $3,845,474$ | 24,370 | 29,234 | 36,763 |
| $3,795,589$ | 24,054 | 28,856 | 36,286 |
| 334,299 | 2,106 | 2,591 | 3,196 |
| 406,734 | 2,562 | 3,152 | 3,888 |
| 744,680 | 4,691 | 5,562 | 7,119 |
| 73,659 | 4,616 | 5,473 | 7,004 |
| $10,055,622$ | 65,978 | 78,973 | 96,132 |
| $9,882,622$ | 64,867 | 76,520 | 94,478 |
| 634,384 | 3,997 | 5,031 | 6,065 |
| 638,591 | 4,023 | 5,065 | 6,105 |
| $4,201,068$ | 53,686 | 61,859 | 65,801 |
| $4,235,147$ | 51,332 | 60,661 | 66,294 |
| 753,383 | 7,202 | 7,202 | 7,202 |
| 903,930 | 13,419 | 13,419 | 13,419 |
| $1,287,119$ | 16,218 | 18,800 | 20,414 |
| $1,286,854$ | 16,214 | 18,796 | 20,410 |
| $1,176,631$ | 7,413 | 8,808 | 11,249 |
| 905,097 | 5,702 | 6,775 | 8,653 |
| 494,043 | 4,723 | 4,723 | 4,723 |
| 1,552017 | 14,837 | 14,837 | 14,837 |
| $1,260,129$ | 12,047 | 12,047 | 12,047 |
| $1,257,894$ | 12,025 | 12,025 | 12,025 |
| 414,234 | 2,610 | 3,150 | 3,960 |
| 484,056 | 4,628 | 4,628 | 4,628 |
| 381,695 | 3,649 | 3,649 | 3,649 |
| 639,687 | 5,594 | 5,698 | 6,115 |
| $71,993,333$ | 688,256 | 688,256 | 688,256 |
| $67,639,582$ | 646,634 | 646,634 | 646,634 |
| $3,627,330$ | 34,677 | 34,677 | 34,677 |
| $3,593,455$ | 34,353 | 34,353 | 34,353 |
| 576,768 | 5,514 | 5,514 | 5,514 |
| $1,446,137$ | 13,825 | 13,825 | 13,825 |
| $1,482,969$ | 14,177 | 14,177 | 14,177 |
|  | $3,253,644$ | $3,418,469$ | $3,610,509$ |
|  | $95,18 \%$ |  | $105,62 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD | RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAG100 | 20041 | 1,904,591 | 18,208 | 18,208 | 18,208 |
| MAG100 | 20042 | 2,060,315 | 19,696 | 19,696 | 19,697 |
| MAL500 | 20041 | 3,987,591 | 38,121 | 38,121 | 38,121 |
| MAL500 | 20042 | 4,348,765 | 41,574 | 41,574 | 41,574 |
| MAM350 | 20041 | 1,140,997 | 10,908 | 10,908 | 10,908 |
| MAM350 | 20042 | 1,242,987 | 11,883 | 11,883 | 11,883 |
| MEA400 | 20041 | 4,867,134 | 75,264 | 76,336 | 77,193 |
| MEA400 | 20042 | 4,468,562 | 69,206 | 70,132 | 70,871 |
| MEB050 | 20041 | 2,756,479 | 17,366 | 21,859 | 26,352 |
| MEB050 | 20042 | 3,134,747 | 19,749 | 24,859 | 29,968 |
| MEB350 | 20041 | 561,497 | 8,448 | 7,916 | 8,905 |
| MEB350 | 20042 | 594,664 | 5,200 | 5,298 | 5,685 |
| MEB550 | 20041 | 1,196,052 | 11,434 | 11,434 | 11,434 |
| MEB550 | 20042 | 1,203,330 | 11,504 | 11,504 | 11,504 |
| MEM100 | 20042 | 390,917 | 6,587 | 8,628 | 11,125 |
| MEM400 | 20041 | 630,307 | 3,971 | 4,999 | 6,026 |
| MEP280 | 20041 | 1,929,430 | 18,445 | 18,445 | 18,445 |
| MEP280 | 20042 | 1,815,335 | 17,354 | 17,354 | 17,355 |
| MER200 | 20041 | 578,342 | 7,287 | 8,544 | 9,173 |
| MER200 | 20042 | 628,380 | 9,966 | 9,966 | 9,966 |
| MES100 | 20041 | 957,278 | 8,371 | 7,322 | 9,152 |
| MES200 | 20041 | 849,689 | 5,479 | 6,645 | 8,123 |
| MES200 | 20042 | 859,110 | 7,513 | 7,652 | 8,213 |
| MEW400 | 20041 | 1,249,363 | 10,277 | 10,784 | 11,944 |
| MIA360 | 20041 | 803,516 | 5,062 | 6,226 | 7,682 |
| MIA360 | 20042 | 793,799 | 5,001 | 6,162 | 7,589 |
| MIB825 | 20041 | 6,589,780 | 41,516 | 52,257 | 62,998 |
| MIB825 | 20042 | 6,480,232 | 40,825 | 51,388 | 61,951 |
| MIE100 | 20041 | 2,039,814 | 12,851 | 14,897 | 19,501 |
| MIE100 | 20042 | 2,057,219 | 12,960 | 15,024 | 19,667 |
| MIE550 | 20041 | 2,171,136 | 11,654 | 14,885 | 20,756 |
| MIE550 | 20042 | 2,182,076 | 11,716 | 14,959 | 20,861 |
| M1I700 | 20041 | 716,652 | 2,603 | 4,562 | 6,851 |
| MII700 | 20042 | 691,214 | 2,511 | 4,401 | 6,608 |
| MIM250 | 20041. | 1,011,898 | 6,167 | 7,705 | 9,674 |
| MIM250 | 20042 | 997,442 | 6,079 | 7,595 | 9,536 |
| MNG500 | 20041 | 469,642 | 2,959 | 3,639 | 4,490 |
| MNG500 | 20042 | 521,730 | 3,287 | 4,043 | 4,988 |
| MNV600 | 20041 | 1,047,477 | 6,599 | 8,197 | 10,014 |
| MNV600 | 20042 | 962,846 | 6,066 | 7,533 | 9,205 |
| MTK200 | 20041 | 1,583,370 | 13,847 | 14,105 | 15,137 |
| MTK200 | 20042 | 1,543,759 | 13,500 | 13,752 | 14,758 |
| NDG550 | 20041 | 2,369,006 | 14,925 | 18,786 | 22,648 |
| NDG550 | 20042 | 2,370,415 | 14,934 | 18,798 | 22,661 |
| NHM300 | 20041 | 3,815,778 | 36,479 | 36,479 | 36,479 |
| NHM300 | 20042 | 4,160,964 | 39,779 | 39,779 | 39,779 |
| NHN100 | 20041 | 2,645,289 | 25,289 | 25,289 | 25,289 |
| NHN100 | 20042 | 2,830,813 | 27,063 | 27,063 | 27,063 |
| NHP600 | 20041 | 1,957,772 | 14,242 | 16,120 | 18,716 |
| NHP600 | 20042 | 2,125,545 | 15,387 | 17,457 | 20,320 |
| NHR200 | 20041 | 1,724,493 | 16,149 | .16,233 | 16,486 |
| NHR200 | 20042 | 1,738,255 | 16,273 | 16,359 | 16,618 |
| NYC210 | 20041 | 780,454 | 8,566 | 8,566 | 8,565 |
| NYC210 | 20042 | 1,456,086 | 15,033 | 15,033 | 15,033 |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD | RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-bASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYD300 | 20041 | 4,781,841 | 41,200 | 44,968 | 48,857 |
| NYD500 | 20041 | 1,615,618 | 10,178 | 12,812 | 15,445 |
| NYI200 | 20041 | 685,055 | 6,503 | 6,512 | 6,549 |
| NYI200 | 20042 | 660,957 | 6,274 | 6,283 | 6,319 |
| NYL050 | 20041 | 9,759,570 | 154,788 | 154,788 | 154,787 |
| NYL050 | 20042 | 9,656,449 | 153,152 | 153,152 | 153,151 |
| NYL150 | 20041 | 184,742 | 2,328 | 2,698 | 2,930 |
| NYL150 | 20042 | 191,495 | 2,413 | 2,796 | 3,037 |
| NYM320 | 20042 | 771,897 | 7,870 | 8,613 | 9,388 |
| NYP300 | 20041 | 1,464,917 | 10,878 | 13,408 | 15,653 |
| NYP300 | 20042 | 1,345,832 | 11,508 | 13,899 | 15,896 |
| NYR700 | 20041 | 1,860,651 | 11,722 | 14,726 | 17,788 |
| NYR700 | 20042 | 1,880,547 | 11,847 | 14,834 | 17,978 |
| NYU400 | 20041 | 2,763,670 | 26,421 | 26,421 | 26,421 |
| NYU400 | 20042 | 2,893,726 | 27,664 | 27,664 | 27,664 |
| NYW210 | 20041 | 100,613 | 962 | 962 | 962 |
| OHB340 | 20041 | 447,595 | 1,357 | 2,926 | 4,279 |
| OHB340 | 20042 | 451,185 | 1,368 | 2,712 | 4,313 |
| OHB620 | 20041 | 710,528 | 4,476 | 5,635 | 6,793 |
| OHB620 | 20042 | 702,264 | 4,424 | 5,569 | 6,714 |
| OHF400 | 20041 | 3,845,474 | 24,370 | 29,234 | 36,763 |
| OHF400 | 20042 | 3,795,589 | 24,054 | 28,856 | 36,286 |
| OHP680 | 20041 | 334,299 | 2,106 | 2,591 | 3,196 |
| OHP680 | 20042 | 406,734 | 2,562 | 3,152 | 3,888 |
| OHT250 | 20041 | 744,680 | 4,691 | 5,562 | 7,119 |
| OHT250 | 20042 | 732,659 | 4,616 | 5,473 | 7,004 |
| OHT350 | 20041 | 10,055,622 | 65,978 | 78,973 | 96,132 |
| OHT350 | 20042 | 9,882,622 | 64,867 | 76,520 | 94,478 |
| OHW325 | 20041 | 634,384 | 3,997 | 5,031 | 6,065 |
| OHW325 | 20042 | 638,591 | 4,023 | 5,065 | 6,105 |
| VTB600 | 20041 | 4,201,068 | 53,686 | 61,859 | 65,801 |
| VTB600 | 20042 | 4,235,147 | 51,332 | 60,661 | 66,294 |
| VTM300 | 20041 | 753,383 | 7,202 | 7,202 | 7,202 |
| VTM300 | 20042 | 903,930 | 13,419 | 13,419 | 13,419 |
| VTS500 | 20041 | 1,287,119 | 16,218 | 18,800 | 20,414 |
| VTS500 | 20042 | 1,286,854 | 16,214 | 18,796 | 20,410 |
| WAA100 | 20041 | 1,176,631 | 7,413 | 8,808 | 11,249 |
| WAA100 | 20042 | 905,097 | 5,702 | 6,775 | 8,653 |
| WAC030 | 20041 | 494,043 | 4,723 | 4,723 | 4,723 |
| WAC030 | 20042 | 1,552,017 | 14,837 | 14,837 | 14,837 |
| WAD700 | 20041 | 1,260,129 | 12,047 | 12,047 | 12,047 |
| WAD700 | 20042 | 1,257,894 | 12,025 | 12,025 | 12,025 |
| WAM450 | 20041 | 414,234 | 2,610 | 3,150 | 3,960 |
| WAO050 | 20041 | 484,056 | 4,628 | 4,628 | 4,628 |
| WAO050 | 20042 | 381,695 | 3,649 | 3,649 | 3,649 |
| WAO200 | 20042 | 639,687 | 5,594 | 5,698 | 6,115 |
| WAS050 | 20041 | 71,993,333 | 688,256 | 688,256 | 688,256 |
| WAS050 | 20042 | 67,639,582 | 646,634 | 646,634 | 646,634 |
| WAS075 | 20041 | 3,627,330 | 34,677 | 34,677 | 34,677 |
| WAS075 | 20042 | 3,593,455 | 34,353 | 34,353 | 34,353 |
| WAS375 | 20041 | 576,768 | 5,514 | 5,514 | 5,514 |
| WAT165 | 20041 | 1,446,137 | 13,825 | 13,825 | 13,825 |
| WAT165 | 20042 | 1,482,969 | 14,177 | 14,177 | 14,177 |

haven't researched these exceptions yet

| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAA200 | 20051 |
| MAA200 | 20052 |
| MAG100 | 20051 |
| MAG100 | 20052 |
| MAL500 | 20051 |
| MAL500 | 20052 |
| MAM350 | 20051 |
| MAM350 | 20052 |
| MEA400 | 20051 |
| MEA400 | 20052 |
| MEB050 | 20051 |
| MEB050 | 20052 |
| MEB550 | 20051 |
| MEB550 | 20052 |
| MEM100 | 20051 |
| MEP280 | 20051 |
| MEP280 | 20052 |
| MEP500 | 20051 |
| MEP500 | 20052 |
| MER200 | 20051 |
| MER200 | 20052 |
| MES200 | 20052 |
| MES200 | 20051 |
| MEW400 | 20052 |
| MIA360 | 20051 |
| MIA360 | 20052 |
| MIB825 | 20051 |
| MIB825 | 20052 |
| MIE100 | 20051 |
| MIE100 | 20052 |
| MIE550 | 20051 |
| MIE550 | 20052 |
| MII700 | 20051 |
| M11700 | 20052 |
| MIM250 | 20051 |
| MIM250 | 20052 |
| MIR240 | 20051 |
| MIR240 | 20052 |
| MNG500 | 20051 |
| MNV600 | 20051 |
| MNV600 | 20052 |
| MTK200 | 20051 |
| MTK200 | 20052 |
| NDG550 | 20052 |
| NDG550 | 20051 |
| NDJ200 | 20051 |
| NHM300 | 20051 |
| NHM300 | 20052 |
| NHN100 | 20051 |
| NHN100 | 20052 |
| NHP600 | 20051 |
| NHP600 | 20052 |
| NHR200 | 20051 |
| NHR200 | 20052 |


| RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 680,660 | 6,507 | 6,507 | 6,507 |
| 739,320 | 7,489 | 7,489 | 7,489 |
| $1,905,975$ | 18,221 | 18,221 | 18,221 |
| $1,887,912$ | 19,125 | 19,125 | 19,125 |
| $5,675,489$ | 54,258 | 54,258 | 54,258 |
| $5,724,662$ | 57,991 | 57,991 | 57,991 |
| $10,290,278$ | 98,375 | 98,375 | 98,375 |
| $10,421,533$ | 105,570 | 105,570 | 105,570 |
| $4,607,627$ | 71,470 | 72,364 | 73,077 |
| $4,996,660$ | 82,359 | 83,268 | 83,994 |
| $3,525,919$ | 22,213 | 27,961 | 33,708 |
| $3,870,038$ | 25,852 | 32,528 | 39,203 |
| $1,189,150$ | 11,368 | 11,368 | 11,368 |
| $1,205,622$ | 12,213 | 12,213 | 12,213 |
| 405,673 | 6,836 | 8,952 | 11,545 |
| $1,749,663$ | 16,726 | 16,726 | 16,727 |
| $1,333,831$ | 13,512 | 13,512 | 13,512 |
| $3,375,903$ | 32,273 | 32,273 | 32,274 |
| $1,987,203$ | 16,496 | 18,053 | 20,130 |
| 643,031 | 10,198 | 10,198 | 10,198 |
| 648,212 | 10,896 | 10,896 | 10,896 |
| 90,134 | 8,342 | 8,498 | 9,118 |
| 858,402 | 5,540 | 6,714 | 8,206 |
| $1,474,117$ | 12,390 | 17,421 | 14,933 |
| 747,569 | 4,710 | 5,792 | 7,147 |
| 737,816 | 4,929 | 6,071 | 7,474 |
| $6,575,312$ | 41,424 | 52,142 | 62,860 |
| $6,527,615$ | 43,604 | 54,865 | 66,125 |
| $1,970,928$ | 12,417 | 14,559 | 18,842 |
| $2,182,775$ | 14,581 | 17,091 | 22,112 |
| $5,266,028$ | 31,752 | 39,525 | 50,343 |
| $5,035,978$ | 32,197 | 40,076 | 51,014 |
| 692,224 | 3,794 | 4,755 | 6,618 |
| 664,237 | 3,868 | 4,840 | 6,729 |
| 362,511 | 2,284 | 2,657 | 3,466 |
| 35,570 | 2,369 | 2,755 | 3,592 |
| $1,294,274$ | 5,650 | 9,014 | 12,373 |
| $1,277,575$ | 5,892 | 8,684 | 12,942 |
| 495,427 | 3,121 | 3,839 | 4,736 |
| 917,651 | 5,781 | 7,181 | 8,773 |
| 931,032 | 8,987 | 9,106 | 9,431 |
| $1,561,017$ | 12,379 | 13,227 | 14,923 |
| $1,819,708$ | 15,295 | 16,341 | 18,434 |
| $2,458,185$ | 16,421 | 20,661 | 24,901 |
| $2,389,897$ | 15,056 | 18,952 | 22,847 |
| 409,637 | 2,581 | 1,958 | 3,916 |
| $3,904,346$ | 37,326 | 37,326 | 37,326 |
| $3,943,509$ | 39,948 | 39,948 | 39,948 |
| $2,530,327$ | 24,190 | 24,190 | 24,190 |
| $2,536,331$ | 25,693 | 25,693 | 25,693 |
| $6,222,064$ | 53,966 | 56,082 | 59,483 |
| $6,323,180$ | 58,139 | 60,408 | 64,054 |
| $1,785,496$ | 16,721 | 16,808 | 17,069 |
| $1,846,011$ | 18,309 | 18,407 | 18,700 |
|  |  |  |  |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD | RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NYD300 | 20051 | $5,129,021$ | 44,619 | 48,331 | 52,567 |
| NYD300 | 20052 | $5,075,808$ | 46,904 | 50,767 | 55,172 |
| NYL050 | 20051 | $13,067,748$ | 162,382 | 162,382 | 162,382 |
| NYL050 | 20052 | $11,088,060$ | 186,390 | 186,390 | 186,390 |
| NYL150 | 20051 | 201,951 | 2,545 | 2,950 | 3,203 |
| NYP300 | 20051 | $1,412,417$ | 12,078 | 14,588 | 16,682 |
| NYP300 | 20052 | $1,398,485$ | 12,705 | 15,336 | 17,530 |
| NYU400 | 20051 | $2,788,220$ | 26,434 | 26,529 | 26,655 |
| NYU400 | 20052 | $2,920,051$ | 29,491 | 29,509 | 29,580 |
| OHF400 | 20051 | $3,535,853$ | 22,276 | 26,800 | 33,803 |
| OHF400 | 20052 | $3,423,049$ | 22,866 | 27,499 | 34,675 |
| OHT350 | 20051 | $9,878,907$ | 64,877 | 76,488 | 94,442 |
| OHT350 | 20052 | $9,676,773$ | 67,466 | 79,420 | 98,026 |
| OHW325 | 20051 | 626,133 | 3,945 | 4,965 | 5,986 |
| OHW325 | 20052 | 747,325 | 4,992 | 6,282 | 7,570 |
| VTB600 | 20051 | $4,413,936$ | 55,169 | 64,084 | 69,138 |
| VTB600 | 20052 | $4,538,636$ | 58,205 | 67,158 | 71,864 |
| VTM300 | 20051 | 776,345 | 7,422 | 7,422 | 7,422 |
| VTM300 | 20052 | 791,330 | 8,016 | 8,016 | 8,016 |
| VTS500 | 20051 | $1,297,374$ | 16,347 | 20,076 | 20,576 |
| VTS500 | 20052 | $1,232,394$ | 16,465 | 19,130 | 20,717 |
| WAA100 | 20051 | 958,042 | 6,036 | 7,171 | 9,159 |
| WAA100 | 20052 | 934,929 | 6,245 | 7,418 | 9,471 |
| WAC030 | 20051 | $1,555,306$ | 14,869 | 14,869 | 14,869 |
| WAC030 | 20052 | $1,581,489$ | 16,020 | 16,020 | 16,020 |
| WAD700 | 20051 | $1,239,204$ | 11,847 | 11,847 | 11,847 |
| WAD700 | 20052 | $1,226,513$ | 12,425 | 12,425 | 12,425 |
| WAO050 | 20051 | 449,786 | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| WAO200 | 20051 | 625,768 | 5,472 | 5,574 | 5,982 |
| WAS050 | 2051 | $68,967,743$ | 659,332 | 659,332 | 659,332 |
| WAS050 | 20052 | $68,069,507$ | 689,544 | 689,544 | 689,544 |
| WAS075 | 20051 | $3,608,660$ | 34,499 | 34,499 | 34,499 |
| WAS075 | 20052 | $3,574,771$ | 36,212 | 36,212 | 36,212 |
| WAT165 | 20051 | $1,540,761$ | 14,730 | 14,730 | 14,730 |
| WAT165 | 20052 | $1,641,443$ | 16,628 | 16,628 | 16,628 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $3,689,354$ | $3,858,125$ | $4,052,114$ |  |
|  |  | $95,63 \%$ |  | $105,03 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAA200 | 20051 |
| MAA200 | 20052 |
| MAG100 | 20051 |
| MAG100 | 20052 |
| MAL500 | 20051 |
| MAL500 | 20052 |
| MAM350 | 20051 |
| MAM350 | 20052 |
| MEA400 | 20051 |
| MEA400 | 20052 |
| MEB050 | 20051 |
| MEB050 | 20052 |
| MEB550 | 20051 |
| MEB550 | 20052 |
| MEM100 | 20051 |
| MEP280 | 20051 |
| MEP280 | 20052 |
| MEP500 | 20051 |
| MEP500 | 20052 |
| MER200 | 20051 |
| MER200 | 20052 |
| MES200 | 20052 |
| MES200 | 20051 |
| MIA360 | 20051 |
| MIA360 | 20052 |
| MIB825 | 20051 |
| MIB825 | 20052 |
| MIE100 | 20051 |
| MIE100 | 20052 |
| MIE550 | 20051 |
| MIE550 | 20052 |
| MII700 | 20051 |
| M11700 | 20052 |
| MIM250 | 20051 |
| MIM250 | 20052 |
| MIR240 | 20051 |
| MIR240 | 20052 |
| MNG500 | 20051 |
| MNV600 | 20051 |
| MNV600 | 20052 |
| MTK200 | 20051 |
| MTK200 | 20052 |
| NDG550 | 20052 |
| NDG550 | 20051 |
| NHM300 | 20051 |
| NHM300 | 20052 |
| NHN100 | 20051 |
| NHN100 | 20052 |
| NHP600 | 20051 |
| NHP600 | 20052 |
| NHR200 | 20051 |
| NHR200 | 20052 |
| NYD300 | 20051 |
| NYD300 | 20052 |


| RECEIPTS | CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 680,660 | 6,507 | 6,507 | 6,507 |
| 739,320 | 7,489 | 7,489 | 7,489 |
| $1,905,975$ | 18,221 | 18,221 | 18,221 |
| $1,887,912$ | 19,125 | 19,125 | 19,125 |
| $5,675,489$ | 54,258 | 54,258 | 54,258 |
| $5,724,662$ | 57,991 | 57,991 | 57,991 |
| $10,290,278$ | 98,375 | 98,375 | 98,375 |
| $10,421,533$ | 105,570 | 105,570 | 105,570 |
| $4,607,627$ | 71,470 | 72,364 | 73,077 |
| $4,996,660$ | 82,359 | 83,268 | 83,994 |
| $3,525,919$ | 22,213 | 27,961 | 33,708 |
| $3,870,038$ | 25,852 | 32,528 | 39,203 |
| $1,189,150$ | 11,368 | 11,368 | 11,368 |
| $1,205,622$ | 12,213 | 12,213 | 12,213 |
| 405,673 | 6,836 | 8,952 | 11,545 |
| $1,749,663$ | 16,726 | 16,726 | 16,727 |
| $1,333,831$ | 13,512 | 13,512 | 13,512 |
| $3,375,903$ | 32,273 | 32,273 | 32,274 |
| $1,987,203$ | 16,496 | 18,053 | 20,130 |
| 643,031 | 10,198 | 10,198 | 10,198 |
| 648,212 | 10,896 | 10,896 | 10,996 |
| 900,134 | 8,342 | 8,498 | 9,118 |
| 858,402 | 5,540 | 6,714 | 8,206 |
| 747,569 | 4,710 | 5,792 | 7,147 |
| 737,816 | 4,929 | 6,071 | 7,474 |
| $6,575,312$ | 41,424 | 52,142 | 62,860 |
| $6,527,615$ | 43,604 | 54,865 | 66,125 |
| $1,970,928$ | 12,417 | 14,559 | 18,842 |
| $2,182,775$ | 14,581 | 17,091 | 22,112 |
| $5,266,028$ | 31,752 | 39,525 | 50,343 |
| $5,035,978$ | 32,197 | 40,076 | 51,014 |
| 692,224 | 3,794 | 4,755 | 6,618 |
| 664,237 | 3,868 | 4,840 | 6,729 |
| 362,511 | 2,284 | 2,657 | 3,466 |
| 354,570 | 2,369 | 2,755 | 3,592 |
| $1,294,274$ | 5,650 | 9,014 | 12,373 |
| $1,277,575$ | 5,892 | 8,684 | 12,942 |
| 495427 | 3,121 | 3,839 | 4,736 |
| 917,651 | 5,781 | 7,181 | 8,773 |
| 931,032 | 8,987 | 9,106 | 9,431 |
| $1,561,017$ | 12,379 | 13,227 | 14,923 |
| $1,819,708$ | 15,295 | 16,341 | 18,434 |
| $2,458,185$ | 16,421 | 20,661 | 24,901 |
| $2,389,897$ | 15,056 | 18,952 | 22,847 |
| $3,904,346$ | 37,326 | 37,326 | 37,326 |
| $3,943,509$ | 39,948 | 39,948 | 39,948 |
| $2,530,327$ | 24,190 | 24,190 | 24,190 |
| $2,536,331$ | 25,693 | 25,693 | 25,693 |
| $6,222,064$ | 53,966 | 56,082 | 59,483 |
| $6,323,180$ | 58,139 | 60,408 | 64,054 |
| $1,785,496$ | 16,721 | 16,808 | 17,069 |
| $1,846,011$ | 18,309 | 18,407 | 18,700 |
| $5,129,021$ | 44,619 | 48,331 | 52,567 |
| $5,075,808$ | 46,904 | 50,767 | 55,172 |
|  |  |  |  |


| SYS-ID | ACCT-PERIOD | RECEIPTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NYL050 | 20051 | 13,067,748 |
| NYL050 | 20052 | 11,088,060 |
| NYL150 | 20051 | 201,951 |
| NYP300 | 20051 | 1,412,417 |
| NYP300 | 20052 | 1,398,485 |
| NYU400 | 20051 | 2,788,220 |
| NYU400 | 20052 | 2,920,051 |
| OHF400 | 20051 | 3,535,853 |
| OHF400 | 20052 | 3,423,049 |
| OHT350 | 20051 | 9,878,907 |
| OHT350 | 20052 | 9,676,773 |
| OHW325 | 20051 | 626,133 |
| OHW325 | 20052 | 747,325 |
| VTB600 | 20051 | 4,413,936 |
| VTB600 | 20052 | 4,538,636 |
| VTM300 | 20051 | 776,345 |
| VTM300 | 20052 | 791,330 |
| VTS500 | 20051 | 1,297,374 |
| VTS500 | 20052 | 1,232,394 |
| WAA100 | 20051 | 958,042 |
| WAA100 | 20052 | 934,929 |
| WAC030 | 20051 | 1,555,306 |
| WAC030 | 20052 | 1,581,489 |
| WAD700 | 20051 | 1,239,204 |
| WAD700 | 20052 | 1,226,513 |
| WAO050 | 20051 | 449,786 |
| WAO200 | 20051 | 625,768 |
| WAS050 | 20051 | 68,967,743 |
| WAS050 | 20052 | 68,069,507 |
| WAS075 | 20051 | 3,608,660 |
| WAS075 | 20052 | 3,574,771 |
| WAT165 | 20051 | 1,540,761 |
| WAT165 | 20052 | 1,641,443 |


| CAN-FG-MIN | CAN-BASE | CAN-FG-MAX |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 162,382 | 162,382 | 162,382 |
| 186,390 | 186,390 | 186,390 |
| 2,545 | 2,950 | 3,203 |
| 12,078 | 14,588 | 16,682 |
| 12,705 | 15,336 | 17,530 |
| 26,434 | 26,529 | 26,655 |
| 29,491 | 29,509 | 29,580 |
| 22,276 | 26,800 | 33,803 |
| 22,866 | 27,499 | 34,675 |
| 64,877 | 76,488 | 94,442 |
| 67,466 | 79,420 | 98,026 |
| 3,945 | 4,965 | 5,986 |
| 4,992 | 6,282 | 7,570 |
| 55,169 | 64,084 | 69,138 |
| 58,205 | 6,158 | 71,864 |
| 7,422 | 7,422 | 7,422 |
| 8,016 | 8,016 | 8,016 |
| 16,347 | 20,076 | 20,576 |
| 16,465 | 19,130 | 20,717 |
| 6,036 | 7,171 | 9,159 |
| 6,245 | 7,418 | 9,471 |
| 14,869 | 14,869 | 14,869 |
| 16,020 | 16,020 | 16,020 |
| 11,847 | 11,847 | 11,847 |
| 12,425 | 12,425 | 12,425 |
| 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| 5,472 | 5,574 | 5,982 |
| 659,332 | 659,332 | 659,332 |
| 689,544 | 689,544 | 689,544 |
| 34,499 | 34,499 | 34,499 |
| 36,212 | 36,212 | 36,212 |
| 14,730 | 14,730 | 14,730 |
| 16,628 | 16,628 | 16,628 |
|  |  |  |
| $3,674,384$ | $3,838,746$ | $4,033,266$ |
| $95,72 \%$ |  | $105,07 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
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## Introduction

The U.S. Census Bureau conducts the Service Annual Survey (SAS) to provide national estimates of annual revenues and expenses of establishments classified in select service sectors. (See the Coverage section below for more information on the industries included in the 2007 Service Annual Survey.)

We develop the estimates in this report using data from a probability sample and administrative data. Survey questionnaires are mailed to a probability sample that is regularly updated and periodically re-selected from a universe of firms located in the United States and having paid employees. The sample includes firms of all sizes and covers both taxable firms and firms exempt from Federal income taxes. Firms without paid employees (nonemployers) are included in the estimates through administrative data provided by other Federal agencies and through imputation.

## Coverage

The estimates contained in this report are summarized by industry classification based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The NAICS groups establishments into industries based on the activities in which they are primarily engaged. This system, developed jointly by the statistical agencies of Canada, Mexico, and the United States, allows for comparisons of business activity across North America.

Estimates in this report are presented for select industries in the following NAICS sectors and sub-sectors:

NAI CS Title
Sector

48-49 Transportation and Warehousing
51 Information

523 Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other
Financial Investments and Related Activities
532 Rental and Leasing Services
54 Professional, Scientific, and Technical
Services
Administrative and Support and Waste
Management and Remediation Services
Health Care and Social Assistance
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
Other Services (except Public Administration)

Detailed information about NAICS can be found on the U.S. Census Bureau's website at http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naics.html.

## CHANGES FROM THE 2006 PUBLICATION

- The Health Care and Social Assistance sector, table 8.9 - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source now includes estimates for two years: 2006 and 2007. Year-to-year trends are now provided between 2006 and 2007. However, the data shown for some industries may not be comparable to previously published estimates (i.e. 2005 and earlier) because of definitional differences.
- Additional detailed expenses were added to the 2007 Service Annual Survey. The 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates. Detailed expense tables will be released at a later date following the standard release of all other tables for the Service Annual Survey.


## Dollar Values

All dollar values presented in this report are expressed in current dollars; that is, the estimates are not adjusted to a constant dollar series. Consequently, when comparing estimates to prior years, users also should consider price level changes.

## Confidentiality

Title 13 of the United States Code authorizes the Census Bureau to conduct censuses and surveys. Section 9 of the same Title requires that any information collected from the public under the authority of Title 13 be maintained as confidential. Section 214 of Title 13 and Sections 3559 and 3571 of Title 18 of the United States Code provide for the imposition of penalties of up to five years in prison and up to $\$ 250,000$ in fines for wrongful disclosure of confidential census information. In accordance with Title 13, no estimates are published that would disclose the operations of an individual firm.

The Census Bureau's internal Disclosure Review Board sets the confidentiality rules for all data releases. A checklist approach is used to ensure that all potential risks to the confidentiality of the data are considered and addressed.

## Disclosure Limitation

A disclosure of data occurs when an individual can use published statistical information to identify either an individual or firm that has provided information under a pledge of confidentiality. Disclosure limitation is the process used to protect the confidentiality of the survey data provided by an individual or firm. Using disclosure limitation procedures, the Census Bureau modifies or removes the characteristics that put confidential information at risk for disclosure. Although it may appear that a table shows information about a specific individual or business, the Census Bureau has taken steps to disguise or suppress the original data while making sure the results are still useful. The techniques used by the Census Bureau to
protect confidentiality in tabulations vary, depending on the type of data.

## Unpublished Estimates

Some unpublished estimates can be derived directly from this report by subtracting published estimates from their respective totals. However, the figures obtained by such subtraction are subject to poor response rates, high sampling variability, or other factors that result in their failure to meet Census Bureau standards for publication.

Individuals who use Service Annual Survey estimates to create new estimates should cite the Census Bureau as the source of only the original estimates.

Chapter 1. Selected Service Industries

Table 1.1. Selected Service Industries - Estimated Revenue for Employer and Nonemployer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
|  | Total for selected service industries | 6,433,269 | 6,074,675 | 5,666,791 | 5,273,151 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| 484 | Truck transportation | 276,044 | 268,524 | 249,829 | 223,348 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 11.9 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 78,840 | 75,621 | 70,611 | 65,684 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage | 22,243 | 21,209 | 19,510 | 18,246 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 6.9 |
| 51 | Information | 1,126,388 | 1,068,204 | 1,013,418 | 964,552 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.1 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 300,271 | 285,304 | 272,113 | 258,618 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 5.2 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries | 104,811 | 100,912 | 96,041 | 90,524 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 6.1 |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 97,377 | 93,763 | 88,300 | 84,072 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 5.0 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 16,226 | 13,456 | 10,877 | 9,090 | 20.6 | 23.7 | 19.7 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 492,250 | 464,643 | 447,529 | 430,698 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data processing services | 105,664 | 101,085 | 90,015 | 83,770 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 7.5 |
| 519 | Other information services | 9,789 | 9,041 | 8,543 | 7,780 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 9.8 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 317,694 | 340,687 | 284,118 | 254,737 | -6.7 | 19.9 | 11.5 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 131,080 | 125,894 | 115,106 | 108,964 | 4.1 | 9.4 | 5.6 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services (except notaries) | 1,409,625 | 1,289,193 | 1,195,866 | 1,099,966 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 8.7 |
| 56 | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services $\qquad$ | 644,341 | 609,672 | 570,802 | 523,540 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 9.0 |
| 561 | Administrative and support services | 571,635 | 539,552 | 506,242 | 463,651 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 9.2 |
| 562 | Waste management and remediation services | 72,706 | 70,120 | 64,560 | 59,889 | 3.7 | 8.6 | 7.8 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 1,721,209 | 1,611,265 | 1,528,705 | 1,427,450 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 7.1 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 734,902 | 687,490 | 648,851 | 604,368 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 7.4 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 687,135 | 644,904 | 611,522 | 569,463 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.4 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 162,228 | 151,813 | 147,575 | 140,002 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 136,944 | 127,058 | 120,757 | 113,617 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 6.3 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 216,238 | 205,165 | 190,264 | 181,006 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 5.1 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 94,662 | 90,098 | 82,975 | 79,432 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 4.5 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions ....................... | 13,078 | 12,055 | 10,347 | 9,768 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 5.9 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 108,498 | 103,012 | 96,942 | 91,806 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) | 489,567 | 459,241 | 428,562 | 405,658 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 5.6 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance . | 170,934 | 165,547 | 159,948 | 153,405 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services ........... | 136,578 | 131,022 | 126,022 | 118,339 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) | 182,054 | 162,671 | 142,590 | 133,913 | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.5 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-1.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 1.2. Selected Service Industries - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html> Appendix A, Table A-1.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

## Chapter 2. Transportation and Warehousing

Table 2.1. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selected transportation and warehousing industries ${ }^{1}$... | 325,298 | 314,178 | 292,385 | 265,942 | 245,766 | 238,931 | 236,599 | 237,812 | 221,484 |
| 484 | Truck transportation | 228,907 | 221,871 | 206,512 | 185,945 | 168,486 | 164,218 | 162,871 | 165,421 | 155,871 |
| 4841 | General freight trucking | 152,550 | 148,939 | 139,133 | 124,970 | 113,345 | 110,239 | 107,316 | 108,051 | 100,329 |
| 48411 | General freight trucking, loca | 25,906 | 25,369 | 22,467 | 20,112 | 18,625 | 17,353 | 16,988 | 17,254 | 16,251 |
| 48412 | General freight trucking, long-distance | 126,644 | 123,570 | 116,666 | 104,858 | 94,720 | 92,886 | 90,328 | 90,797 | 84,078 |
| 484121 | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload | 92,678 | 89,804 | 84,647 | 75,854 | 68,381 | 65,031 | 62,176 | 61,562 | 57,501 |
| 484122 | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload $\qquad$ | 33,966 | 33,766 | 32,019 | 29,004 | 26,339 | 27,855 | 28,152 | 29,235 | 26,577 |
| 4842 | Specialized freight trucking | 76,357 | 72,932 | 67,379 | 60,975 | 55,141 | 53,979 | 55,555 | 57,370 | 55,542 |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | 15,127 | 15,491 | 15,135 | 13,891 | 12,838 | 12,638 | 13,301 | 14,484 | 13,623 |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local ... | 32,976 | 31,058 | 28,018 | 25,263 | 22,865 | 22,383 | 21,936 | 21,912 | 20,836 |
| 48423 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, long-distance | 28,254 | 26,383 | 24,226 | 21,821 | 19,438 | 18,958 | 20,318 | 20,974 | 21,083 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 74,704 | 71,627 | 66,908 | 62,246 | 59,825 | 58,165 | 58,484 | 57,776 | 51,880 |
| 4921 | Couriers | 70,983 | 68,136 | 63,497 | 58,797 | 56,492 | 54,821 | 55,022 | 54,114 | 48,409 |
| 4922 | Local messengers and local delivery | 3,721 | 3,491 | 3,411 | 3,449 | 3,333 | 3,344 | 3,462 | 3,662 | 3,471 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage | 21,687 | 20,680 | 18,965 | 17,751 | 17,455 | 16,548 | 15,244 | 14,615 | 13,733 |
| 4931 | Warehousing and storage | 21,687 | 20,680 | 18,965 | 17,751 | 17,455 | 16,548 | 15,244 | 14,615 | 13,733 |
| 49311 | General warehousing and storage | 13,653 | 13,011 | 11,656 | 10,930 | 11,174 | 10,505 | 9,512 | 8,967 | 8,440 |
| 49312 | Refrigerated warehousing and storage | 3,235 | 3,068 | 3,018 | 3,167 | 2,945 | 2,908 | 2,743 | 2,859 | 2,820 |
| 49313 | Farm product warehousing and storage | 836 | 767 | 772 | 691 | 748 | 763 | 825 | 776 | 770 |
| 49319 | Other warehousing and storage ................... | 3,963 | 3,834 | 3,519 | 2,963 | 2,588 | 2,372 | 2,164 | 2,013 | 1,703 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 481 (Air transportation), NAICS 483 (Water transportation), NAICS 485 (Transit and ground passenger transportation), NAICS 486 (Pipeline transportation), NAICS 487 (Scenic and sightseeing transportation), NAICS 488 (Support activities for transportation), and NAICS 491 (Postal service).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.2. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Selected transportation and warehousing industries ${ }^{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 3.5 | 7.5 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 1.0 | -0.5 | 7.4 |
| 484 | Truck transportation | 3.2 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 2.6 | 0.8 | -1.5 | 6.1 |
| 4841 | General freight trucking | 2.4 | 7.0 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | -0.7 | 7.7 |
| 48411 | General freight trucking, local | 2.1 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 2.1 | -1.5 | 6.2 |
| 48412 | General freight trucking, long-distance | 2.5 | 5.9 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 2.8 | -0.5 | 8.0 |
| 484121 | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload | 3.2 | 6.1 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 7.1 |
| 484122 | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload $\qquad$ | 0.6 | 5.5 | 10.4 | 10.1 | -5.4 | -1.1 | -3.7 | 10.0 |
| 4842 | Specialized freight trucking | 4.7 | 8.2 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 2.2 | -2.8 | -3.2 | 3.3 |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | -2.3 | 2.4 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 1.6 | -5.0 | -8.2 | 6.3 |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | 6.2 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 |
| 48423 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | long-distance ................................ | 7.1 | 8.9 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 2.5 | -6.7 | -3.1 | -0.5 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 4.3 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | -0.5 | 1.2 | 11.4 |
| 4921 | Couriers | 4.2 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | -0.4 | 1.7 | 11.8 |
| 4922 | Local messengers and local delivery | 6.6 | 2.3 | -1.1 | 3.5 | -0.3 | -3.4 | -5.5 | 5.5 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage | 4.9 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 6.4 |
| 4931 | Warehousing and storage | 4.9 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 6.4 |
| 49311 | General warehousing and storage | 4.9 | 11.6 | 6.6 | -2.2 | 6.4 | 10.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 49312 | Refrigerated warehousing and storage | 5.4 | 1.7 | -4.7 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 6.0 | -4.1 | 1.4 |
| 49313 | Farm product warehousing and storage | 9.0 | -0.6 | 11.7 | -7.6 | -2.0 | -7.5 | 6.3 | 0.8 |
| 49319 | Other warehousing and storage ..................................... | 3.4 | 9.0 | 18.8 | 14.5 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 18.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 481 (Air transportation), NAICS 483 (Water transportation), NAICS 485 (Transit and ground passenger transportation), NAICS 486 (Pipeline transportation), NAICS 487 (Scenic and sightseeing transportation), NAICS 488 (Support activities for transportation), and NAICS 491 (Postal service).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A. Table A-2.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.3. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING REVENUE |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 228,907 | 221,871 | 206,512 | 185,945 |
| Motor carrier | 213,622 | 207,121 | 193,298 | 174,397 |
| Local trucking | 72,635 | 69,165 | 63,741 | 57,110 |
| Long-distance trucking | 140,987 | 137,956 | 129,557 | 117,287 |
| Other operating revenue (truck transportation) | 15,285 | 14,750 | 13,214 | 11,548 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.4. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATING REVENUE |  |  |  |
| Total ... | 3.2 | 7.4 | 11.1 |
| Motor carrier | 3.1 | 7.2 | 10.8 |
| Local trucking. | 5.0 | 8.5 | 11.6 |
| Long-distance trucking .............................................................. | 2.2 | 6.5 | 10.5 |
| Other operating revenue (truck transportation) ...................................... | 3.6 | 11.6 | 14.4 |

[^161]Table 2.5. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Revenue by Size of Shipments, Commodities Handled, and Origin and Destination of Shipments for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.6. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue by Size of Shipments, Commodities Handled, and Origin and Destination of Shipments for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total motor carrier revenue | 3.1 | 7.2 | 10.8 |
| SIZE OF SHIPMENTS |  |  |  |
| Less-than-truckload | 2.7 | 20.4 | 4.6 |
| Truckload | 3.3 | 3.4 | 12.7 |
| COMMODITIES HANDLED |  |  |  |
| Agricultural and fish products | 2.3 | 6.4 | 10.6 |
| Grains, alcohol, and tobacco products | 11.4 | 28.7 | 11.8 |
| Stone, nonmetallic minerals, and metallic ores | 2.3 | 14.8 | 13.0 |
| Coal and petroleum products | 10.0 | 7.3 | 11.2 |
| Pharmaceutical and chemical products | 10.0 | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| Wood products, textiles, and leathers | 6.3 | 1.6 | 5.0 |
| Base metal and machinery | 2.6 | 10.9 | 7.3 |
| Electronic, motorized vehicles, and precision instruments | -3.8 | 5.5 | 9.1 |
| Used household and office goods | 4.7 | 4.4 | 11.9 |
| New furniture and miscellaneous manufactured products | -6.6 | 0.7 | 12.0 |
| Other goods | 4.7 | 7.3 | 13.8 |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS |  |  |  |
| Hazardous materials | S | S | 7.9 |
| ORIGIN AND DESTINATION OF SHIPMENTS |  |  |  |
| U.S. to U.S. . | 3.1 | 6.9 | 10.4 |
| U.S. to Canada | 8.9 | 17.5 | 12.2 |
| U.S. to Mexico | -2.1 | 5.8 | 25.5 |
| Canada to U.S. | -8.2 | 0.5 | 18.7 |
| Mexico to U.S. | 15.6 | 32.5 | 33.8 |
| All other destinations .................................................................... | -2.6 | 8.4 | 21.2 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.7. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Inventories of Revenue Generating Equipment by Type of Carrier for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Units are published in thousands; consequently, results may not be additive]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TRUCKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers. | 227 | 221 | 197 | 193 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 2.1 |
| Leased without drivers | 29 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 4.2 |
| Total | 256 | 248 | 222 | 217 | 3.2 | 11.7 | 2.3 |
| TRUCK-TRACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers | 771 | 748 | 743 | 721 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 3.1 |
| Leased without drivers.. | 156 | 150 | 137 | 129 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 6.2 |
| Total. | 927 | 898 | 881 | 850 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.6 |
| TRAILERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers | 1,657 | 1,631 | 1,603 | 1,544 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.8 |
| Leased without drivers.. | 360 | 347 | 355 | 338 | 3.7 | -2.3 | 5.0 |
| Total........................................................ | 2,018 | 1,978 | 1,958 | 1,882 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |

[^162]Table 2.8. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Number of Truck Miles Traveled by Trucks Operated by Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Truck miles estimates are published in millions; consequently, results may not be additive]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total distance traveled in highway miles | 93,512 | 92,654 | 91,220 | 86,991 |
| Miles traveled by loaded or partially loaded vehicles | 75,718 | 74,687 | 73,702 | 70,667 |
| Miles traveled by empty vehicles ..... | 17,794 | 17,966 | 17,518 | 16,324 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Apnendix A, Table A- 25 provides estimated measures of sampling variahility

Table 2.9. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in the Number of Truck Miles Traveled by Trucks Operated by Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total distance traveled in highway miles | 0.9 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
| Miles traveled by loaded or partially loaded vehicles | 1.4 | 1.3 | 4.3 |
| Miles traveled by empty vehicles ....................................................... | -1.0 | 2.6 | 7.3 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html> Appendix A, Table A-2 5 provides estimated measures of sampling variahility

Table 2.10. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
|  | Selected transportation and warehousing industries ${ }^{1} \ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 303,284 | 287,742 | 268,578 | 245,750 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 9.3 |
| 484 | Truck transportation | 208,501 | 201,103 | 188,036 | 169,727 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 10.8 |
| 4841 | General freight trucking | 142,651 | 138,081 | 127,883 | 115,029 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 11.2 |
| 48411 | General freight trucking, local | 24,497 | 23,265 | 20,566 | 18,479 | 5.3 | 13.1 | 11.3 |
| 48412 | General freight trucking, long-distance | 118,154 | 114,816 | 107,317 | 96,550 | 2.9 | 7.0 | 11.2 |
| 484121 | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload | 86,234 | 83,179 | 78,312 | 69,966 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 11.9 |
| 484122 | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload $\qquad$ | 31,920 | 31,638 | 29,005 | 26,584 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| 4842 | Specialized freight trucking | 65,851 | 63,022 | 60,153 | 54,699 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 10.0 |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | 14,094 | 14,261 | 13,734 | 12,705 | -1.2 | 3.8 | 8.1 |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | 27,234 | 25,554 | 23,197 | 21,018 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 10.4 |
| 48423 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | long-distance | 24,523 | 23,207 | 23,222 | 20,976 | 5.7 | -0.1 | 10.7 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 75,721 | 68,587 | 63,961 | 60,418 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| 4921 | Couriers | 72,481 | 65,599 | 61,069 | 57,457 | 10.5 | 7.4 | 6.3 |
| 4922 | Local messengers and local delivery | 3,240 | 2,988 | 2,892 | 2,961 | 8.4 | 3.3 | -2.3 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage | 19,062 | 18,052 | 16,581 | 15,605 | 5.6 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
| 4931 | Warehousing and storage | 19,062 | 18,052 | 16,581 | 15,605 | 5.6 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
| 49311 | General warehousing and storage ... | 12,224 | 11,462 | 10,208 | 9,620 | 6.6 | 12.3 | 6.1 |
| 49312 | Refrigerated warehousing and storage | 2,911 | 2,724 | 2,772 | 2,935 | 6.9 | -1.7 | -5.6 |
| 49313 | Farm product warehousing and storage ............................... | 495 | 488 | 541 | 498 | 1.4 | -9.8 | 8.6 |
| 49319 | Other warehousing and storage .................................. | 3,431 | 3,378 | 3,060 | 2,552 | 1.6 | 10.4 | 19.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 481 (Air transportation), NAICS 483 (Water transportation), NAICS 485 (Transit and ground passenger transportation), NAICS 486 (Pipeline transportation), NAICS 487 (Scenic and sightseeing transportation), NAICS 488 (Support activities for transportation), and NAICS 491 (Postal service).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 2.11. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TRUCK TRANSPORTATION (NAICS 484) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 208,501 | 201,103 | 188,036 | 169,727 | 3.7 | 6.9 | 10.8 |
| Personnel costs | 71,806 | 69,702 | 67,025 | 62,827 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 56,064 | 53,989 | 52,415 | 49,094 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 13,266 | 12,976 | 12,360 | 11,736 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Health insurance. | 4,749 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1,168 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 490 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 677 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 7,350 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,477 | 2,736 | 2,250 | 1,997 | -9.5 | 21.6 | 12.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6,319 | 6,140 | 5,836 | 5,271 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 10.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 459 | 688 | 577 | 511 | -33.3 | 19.2 | 12.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5,860 | 5,451 | 5,259 | 4,760 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 10.5 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 86,145 | 82,847 | 75,678 | 65,019 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 16.4 |
| Purchased freight transportation. | 37,260 | 37,437 | 35,669 | 31,647 | -0.5 | 5.0 | 12.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 214 | 208 | 210 | 195 | 2.9 | -1.0 | 7.7 |
| Purchased fuels for transportation equipment. | 31,771 | 28,685 | 24,667 | 19,047 | 10.8 | 16.3 | 29.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 750 | 818 | 662 | 598 | -8.3 | 23.6 | 10.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 517 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 233 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 8,739 | 8,916 | 8,294 | 7,881 | -2.0 | 7.5 | 5.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 6,046 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2,693 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 6,823 | 6,181 | 5,594 | 5,097 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 9.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 647 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 459 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to transportation equipment.. | 5,716 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 588 | 603 | 582 | 554 | -2.5 | 3.6 | 5.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 44,231 | 42,415 | 39,497 | 36,611 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| Cost of insurance. | 7,250 | 7,213 | 6,682 | 6,332 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 5.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 9,613 | 9,469 | 8,446 | 8,080 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 4.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3,790 | 3,890 | 3,797 | 3,514 | -2.6 | 2.4 | 8.1 |
| All other operating expenses. | 23,579 | 21,843 | 20,572 | 18,684 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 10.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 173 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1,090 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 152 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 1,327 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 20,837 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 2.11. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 2.11. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WAREHOUSING AND STORAGE (NAICS 493) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 19,062 | 18,052 | 16,581 | 15,605 | 5.6 | 8.9 | 6.3 |
| Personnel costs | 7,189 | 6,869 | 6,216 | 5,890 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 5.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5,233 | 5,061 | 4,594 | 4,375 | 3.4 | 10.2 | 5.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1,297 | 1,197 | 1,055 | 995 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 6.0 |
| Health insurance. | 526 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 291 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 39 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 253 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 480 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 660 | 611 | 567 | 520 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 9.0 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 717 | 737 | 677 | 631 | -2.7 | 8.9 | 7.3 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 78 | S | 84 | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 639 | 623 | 593 | 560 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,855 | 2,583 | 2,434 | 2,207 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 10.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 67 | 76 | 65 | 63 | -11.8 | 16.9 | 3.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 537 | 493 | 458 | 418 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 9.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 443 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 95 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 1,531 | 1,323 | 1,192 | 1,106 | 15.7 | 11.0 | 7.8 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items. | 212 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 1,318 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | S | 552 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 133 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 142 | 139 | 134 | 131 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Other operating expenses. | 8,300 | 7,863 | 7,253 | 6,877 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 5.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 844 | 754 | 737 | 693 | 11.9 | 2.3 | 6.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 303 | 301 | 263 | 257 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 2.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 7,153 | 6,808 | 6,254 | 5,928 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 5.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services... | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 105 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 213 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses........... | 6,751 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-2.7 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 3. Information Sector

Table 3.0.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 51 | Information | 1,114,883 | 1,057,430 | 1,003,262 | 955,083 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 297,709 | 282,880 | 269,715 | 256,301 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| 5111 | Newspaper, periodical, book, and directory publishers | 154,005 | 150,623 | 148,381 | 144,040 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 51111 | Newspaper publishers | 48,274 | 49,601 | 49,958 | 48,366 | -2.7 | -0.7 | 3.3 |
| 51112 | Periodical publishers | 49,292 | 46,948 | 44,315 | 42,290 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| 51113 | Book publishers | 29,296 | 28,237 | 27,904 | 27,904 | 3.8 | 1.2 | Z |
| 51114 | Directory and mailing list publishers | 19,890 | 18,915 | 19,413 | 18,040 | 5.2 | -2.6 | 7.6 |
| 51119 | Other publishers | 7,253 | 6,922 | 6,791 | 7,440 | 4.8 | 1.9 | -8.7 |
| 511191 | Greeting card publishers | 4,799 | 4,631 | 4,553 | 5,075 | 3.6 | 1.7 | -10.3 |
| 511199 | All other publishers | 2,454 | 2,291 | 2,238 | 2,365 | 7.1 | 2.4 | -5.4 |
| 5112 | Software publishers | 143,704 | 132,257 | 121,334 | 112,261 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.1 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries | 102,166 | 98,392 | 93,655 | 88,269 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 6.1 |
| 5121 | Motion picture and video industries | 82,066 | 77,576 | 74,789 | 71,774 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 |
| 5121x | Motion picture and video production and distribution ${ }^{1}$. | 65,922 | 61,847 | 59,760 | 56,605 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 5.6 |
| 51213 | Motion picture and video exhibition | 11,341 | 11,194 | 10,789 | 11,180 | 1.3 | 3.8 | -3.5 |
| 512131 | Motion picture theaters (except drive-ins) | 11,123 | 10,983 | 10,669 | 11,069 | 1.3 | 2.9 | -3.6 |
| 512132 | Drive-in motion picture theaters | S | S | 120 | 111 | S | S | 8.1 |
| 51219 | Postproduction services and other motion picture and video industries $\qquad$ | 4,803 | 4,535 | 4,240 | 3,989 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 6.3 |
| 512191 | Teleproduction and other postproduction services | 3,822 | 3,624 | 3,379 | 3,193 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 5.8 |
| 512199 | Other motion picture and video industries | 981 | 911 | 861 | 796 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 8.2 |
| 5122 | Sound recording industries | 20,100 | 20,816 | 18,866 | 16,495 | -3.4 | 10.3 | 14.4 |
| 51221 | Record production | 350 | 285 | 410 | 352 | 22.8 | -30.5 | 16.5 |
| 51222 | Integrated record production and distribution | 13,591 | 14,405 | 12,856 | 11,021 | -5.7 | 12.0 | 16.7 |
| 51223 | Music publishers | 4,432 | 4,544 | 4,260 | 3,885 | -2.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 |
| 51224 | Sound recording studios | 998 | 928 | 766 | 724 | 7.5 | 21.1 | 5.8 |
| 51229 | Other sound recording industries | 729 | 654 | 574 | 513 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 11.9 |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 96,728 | 93,134 | 87,694 | 83,466 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| 5151 | Radio and television broadcasting | 55,858 | 55,153 | 52,308 | 52,093 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 0.4 |
| 51511 | Radio broadcasting | 18,850 | 18,181 | 17,059 | 16,494 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 3.4 |
| 515111 | Radio networks | 5,226 | 4,474 | 3,347 | 2,677 | 16.8 | 33.7 | 25.0 |
| 515112 | Radio stations | 13,624 | 13,707 | 13,712 | 13,817 | -0.6 | Z | -0.8 |
| 51512 | Television broadcasting | 37,008 | 36,972 | 35,249 | 35,599 | 0.1 | 4.9 | -1.0 |
| 5152 | Cable and other subscription programming | 40,870 | 37,981 | 35,386 | 31,373 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 12.8 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 15,480 | 12,862 | 10,391 | 8,695 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 19.5 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 490,761 | 463,253 | 446,220 | 429,430 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| 5171 | Wired telecommunications carriers | 192,779 | 195,092 | 206,622 | 211,176 | -1.2 | -5.6 | -2.2 |
| 5172 | Wireless telecommunications carriers (except satellite) | 174,085 | 158,577 | 140,025 | 127,602 | 9.8 | 13.2 | 9.7 |
| 517211 | Paging | 1,557 | 1,874 | 1,990 | 1,909 | -16.9 | -5.8 | 4.2 |
| 517212 | Cellular and other wireless telecommunications | 172,528 | 156,703 | 138,035 | 125,693 | 10.1 | 13.5 | 9.8 |
| 5173 | Telecommunications resellers | 13,606 | 12,224 | 11,228 | 9,849 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 14.0 |
| 5174 | Satellite telecommunications | 7,393 | 6,667 | 5,808 | 6,030 | 10.9 | 14.8 | -3.7 |
| 5175 | Cable and other program distribution | 100,849 | 88,788 | 80,492 | 73,317 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 9.8 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.0.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5179 | Other telecommunications | 2,049 | 1,905 | 2,045 | 1,456 | 7.6 | -6.8 | 40.5 |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | processing services | 104,050 | 99,546 | 88,598 | 82,491 | 4.5 | 12.4 | 7.4 |
| 5181 | Internet service providers and web search portals | 31,168 | 28,749 | 25,969 | 25,161 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 3.2 |
| 518111 | Internet service providers | 19,086 | 19,092 | 18,977 | 20,201 | Z | 0.6 | -6.1 |
| 518112 | Web search portals | 12,082 | 9,657 | 6,992 | 4,960 | 25.1 | 38.1 | 41.0 |
| 5182 | Data processing, hosting, and related services | 72,882 | 70,797 | 62,629 | 57,330 | 2.9 | 13.0 | 9.2 |
| 519 | Other information services | 7,989 | 7,363 | 6,989 | 6,431 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 8.7 |
| 51911 | News syndicates | 2,418 | 2,209 | 2,098 | 1,972 | 9.5 | 5.3 | 6.4 |
| 51912 | Libraries and archives | 2,288 | 2,077 | 1,967 | 1,879 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| 51919 | All other information services | 3,283 | 3,077 | 2,924 | 2,580 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 13.3 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A 3.0 .1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.0.2. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 51 | Information . | 49,669 | 43,570 | 42,728 | 40,252 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 6.2 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 24,741 | 21,070 | 19,483 | 18,198 | 17.4 | 8.1 | 7.1 |
| 5111 | Newspaper, periodical, book, and directory publishers .............. | S | 5,369 | 4,780 | 4,339 | S | 12.3 | 10.2 |
| 51111 | Newspaper publishers .............................................. | 58 | 58 | 61 | 63 | Z | -4.9 | -3.2 |
| 51112 | Periodical publishers | S | 4,176 | 3,706 | 3,312 | S | 12.7 | 11.9 |
| 51113 | Book publishers | 749 | 690 | 614 | 627 | 8.6 | 12.4 | -2.1 |
| 51114 | Directory and mailing list publishers | 374 | 327 | 304 | 243 | 14.4 | 7.6 | 25.1 |
| 51119 | Other publishers | 135 | 118 | 95 | 94 | 14.4 | 24.2 | 1.1 |
| $511191$ | Greeting card publishers | 43 | 38 | 44 | 43 | 13.2 | -13.6 | 2.3 |
| $511199$ | All other publishers | 92 | 80 | 51 | 51 | 15.0 | 56.9 | Z |
| 5112 | Software publishers ............................................... | 18,801 | 15,701 | 14,703 | 13,859 | 19.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries ........................ | 14,773 | 13,702 | 13,746 | 13,499 | 7.8 | -0.3 | 1.8 |
| 5121 | Motion picture and video industries | 14,635 | 13,561 | 13,606 | 13,355 | 7.9 | -0.3 | 1.9 |
| 5121x | Motion picture and video production and distribution ${ }^{1}$ | 14,489 | 13,421 | 13,478 | 13,258 | 8.0 | -0.4 | 1.7 |
| 51213 | Motion picture and video exhibition | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 512131 | Motion picture theaters (except drive-ins) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 512132 | Drive-in motion picture theaters .... | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 51219 | Postproduction services and other motion picture and video industries $\qquad$ | 146 | 140 | 128 | 97 | 4.3 | 9.4 | 32.0 |
| 512191 | Teleproduction and other postproduction services ............... | 33 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 37.5 | 20.0 | 5.3 |
| 512199 | Other motion picture and video industries | 113 | 116 | 108 | 78 | -2.6 | 7.4 | 38.5 |
| 5122 | Sound recording industries | 138 | 141 | 140 | 144 | -2.1 | 0.7 | -2.8 |
| 51221 | Record production . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 51222 | Integrated record production and distribution | 65 | S | 68 | 73 | S | S | -6.8 |
| 51223 | Music publishers | 64 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 1.6 | Z | -1.6 |
| 51224 | Sound recording studios | 2 | 4 | 3 | S | -50.0 | 33.3 | S |
| 51229 | Other sound recording industries | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 383 | 249 | 291 | 223 | 53.8 | -14.4 | 30.5 |
| 5151 | Radio and television broadcasting | 37 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 51511 | Radio broadcasting | S | 18 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515111 | Radio networks | S | 16 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515112 | Radio stations | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | NA |
| 51512 | Television broadcasting | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5152 | Cable and other subscription programming | 346 | 219 | 279 | 213 | 58.0 | -21.5 | 31.0 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 196 | 122 | 85 | 138 | 60.7 | 43.5 | -38.4 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 3,250 | S | 4,583 | 4,735 | S | S | -3.2 |
| 5171 | Wired telecommunications carriers | 1,195 | S | 2,709 | 3,080 | S | S | -12.0 |
| 5172 | Wireless telecommunications carriers (except satellite) | 532 | S | 502 | 404 | S | S | 24.3 |
| 517211 | Paging | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 517212 | Cellular and other wireless telecommunications | 521 | 408 | 492 | 394 | 27.7 | -17.1 | 24.9 |
| 5173 | Telecommunications resellers | 147 | S | 162 | 134 | S | S | 20.9 |
| 5174 | Satellite telecommunications | D | S | D | S | D | D | D |
| 5175 | Cable and other program distribution | D | 207 | D | 140 | D | D | D |

[^163]Table 3.0.2. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


NA Not available. $\quad \mathrm{Z}$ Absolute value is less than $0.05 . \quad \mathrm{ZZ}$ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . X Not applicable. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A 3.0 .2 provides estimated measures of sampling vaniability

Table 3.1.1. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 48,274 | 49,601 | 49,958 | 48,366 | -2.7 | -0.7 | 3.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General newspapers | 40,083 | 41,564 | 42,080 | 40,810 | -3.6 | -1.2 | 3.1 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 8,918 | 9,276 | 9,207 | 8,884 | -3.9 | 0.7 | 3.6 |
| Advertising space | 31,165 | 32,289 | 32,873 | 31,926 | -3.5 | -1.8 | 3.0 |
| Specialized newspapers | 2,574 | 2,637 | 2,524 | 2,439 | -2.4 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| Subscriptions and sales | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Advertising space | 2,202 | 2,294 | 2,242 | 2,149 | -4.0 | 2.3 | 4.3 |
| Other operating revenue | 5,617 | 5,400 | 5,354 | 5,117 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.6 |
| Printing services | 1,240 | 1,326 | 1,404 | 1,310 | -6.5 | -5.6 | 7.2 |
| Distribution services | 1,911 | 2,022 | 2,094 | 2,024 | -5.5 | -3.4 | 3.5 |
| All other operating revenue | 2,466 | 2,052 | 1,856 | 1,783 | 20.2 | 10.6 | 4.1 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print newspapers | 40,638 | 42,118 | 42,468 | 41,319 | -3.5 | -0.8 | 2.8 |
| Online newspapers | 1,728 | 1,450 | 1,537 | 1,308 | 19.2 | -5.7 | 17.5 |
| Other media newspapers | 291 | 633 | 600 | 621 | -54.0 | 5.5 | -3.4 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Advertising Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classified advertising | 10,483 | 11,386 | 11,731 | 11,127 | -7.9 | -2.9 | 5.4 |
| All other advertising | 22,885 | 23,196 | 23,384 | 22,948 | -1.3 | -0.8 | 1.9 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 653 | 705 | 701 | D | -7.4 | 0.6 | D |
| Finished goods | 42 | 38 | 32 | S | 10.5 | 18.8 | S |
| Work-in-process | S | S | S | D | S | S | D |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 610 | 666 | 668 | 624 | -8.4 | -0.3 | 7.1 |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

[^164]Table 3.1.2. Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 49,292 | 46,948 | 44,315 | 42,290 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General interest periodicals | 25,062 | 24,025 | 22,451 | 21,420 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 4.8 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 8,211 | 7,856 | 7,497 | 7,467 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 |
| Advertising space | 16,851 | 16,168 | 14,954 | 13,952 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 7.2 |
| Professional and academic periodicals | 7,882 | 7,083 | 7,009 | 6,878 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 4,343 | 3,985 | 3,924 | 3,785 | 9.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 |
| Advertising space | 3,540 | 3,098 | 3,085 | 3,093 | 14.3 | 0.4 | -0.3 |
| Other periodicals | 3,823 | 4,247 | 4,176 | 3,819 | -10.0 | 1.7 | 9.3 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 1,870 | 2,111 | 2,081 | 1,933 | -11.4 | 1.4 | 7.7 |
| Advertising space | S | 2,136 | 2,095 | 1,886 | S | 2.0 | 11.1 |
| Other operating revenue | 12,524 | 11,593 | 10,679 | 10,173 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 5.0 |
| Printing services for others | 808 | 858 | 896 | 887 | -5.8 | -4.2 | 1.0 |
| Licensing of rights to content | 333 | 377 | 298 | 237 | -11.7 | 26.5 | 25.7 |
| All other operating revenue | 11,384 | 10,358 | 9,485 | 9,049 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 4.8 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print periodicals | 32,684 | 32,042 | 31,113 | 29,886 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| Online periodicals | 3,271 | 2,765 | 2,063 | 1,848 | 18.3 | 34.0 | 11.6 |
| Other media periodicals | 813 | 548 | 460 | 382 | 48.4 | 19.1 | 20.4 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1,651 | 1,593 | 1,555 | 1,502 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| Finished goods | 1,096 | 1,058 | 1,003 | 987 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| Work-in-process | 138 | 119 | 111 | 116 | 16.0 | 7.2 | -4.3 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 417 | 417 | 441 | 398 | Z | -5.4 | 10.8 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

[^165]Table 3.1.3. Book Publishers (NAICS 51113) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 29,296 | 28,237 | 27,904 | 27,904 | 3.8 | 1.2 | Z |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books | 24,891 | 24,600 | 24,330 | 24,475 | 1.2 | 1.1 | -0.6 |
| Textbooks | 10,450 | 10,408 | 10,038 | 9,554 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 5.1 |
| Children's books | 3,249 | 3,104 | 3,082 | 3,117 | 4.7 | 0.7 | -1.1 |
| General reference books | 972 | 1,331 | 1,415 | 1,848 | -27.0 | -5.9 | -23.4 |
| Professional, technical, and scholarly books | 3,044 | 3,335 | 3,261 | 2,745 | -8.7 | 2.3 | 18.8 |
| Adult trade books | 7,176 | 6,421 | 6,535 | 7,210 | 11.8 | -1.7 | -9.4 |
| All other operating revenue | 4,405 | 3,637 | 3,574 | 3,429 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 4.2 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print books | 23,501 | 23,163 | 23,013 | 23,241 | 1.5 | 0.7 | -1.0 |
| Online books | 585 | 705 | 654 | 620 | -17.0 | 7.8 | 5.5 |
| Other media books | 805 | 731 | 664 | 614 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 8.1 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,617 | 4,375 | 4,562 | 4,480 | 5.5 | -4.1 | 1.8 |
| Finished goods | 4,016 | 3,693 | 3,817 | 3,773 | 8.7 | -3.2 | 1.2 |
| Work-in-process | 410 | 513 | 575 | 532 | -20.1 | -10.8 | 8.1 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. ............................................................... | 190 | 169 | 170 | 175 | 12.4 | -0.6 | -2.9 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 .

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3 13 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.1.4. Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19,890 | 18,915 | 19,413 | 18,040 | 5.2 | -2.6 | 7.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directories | 13,790 | 13,660 | 13,873 | 13,195 | 1.0 | -1.5 | 5.1 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 329 | 358 | 365 | 340 | -8.1 | -1.9 | 7.4 |
| Advertising space | 13,461 | 13,301 | 13,509 | 12,855 | 1.2 | -1.5 | 5.1 |
| Databases, and other collections of information | 3,828 | 3,174 | 3,337 | 2,894 | 20.6 | -4.9 | 15.3 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 2,853 | 2,550 | 2,684 | 2,323 | 11.9 | -5.0 | 15.5 |
| Advertising space | 975 | 624 | 653 | 572 | 56.3 | -4.4 | 14.2 |
| Other operating revenue | 2,272 | 2,082 | 2,203 | 1,951 | 9.1 | -5.5 | 12.9 |
| Rental or sale of mailing lists | 731 | 557 | 583 | 495 | 31.2 | -4.5 | 17.8 |
| All other operating revenue | S | 1,525 | 1,620 | 1,456 | S | -5.9 | 11.3 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print directories, databases, and other collections of information | 13,380 | 13,483 | 13,685 | 13,127 | -0.8 | -1.5 | 4.3 |
| Online directories, databases, and other collections of information | 3,586 | 3,038 | 3,243 | 2,540 | 18.0 | -6.3 | 27.7 |
| Other media directories, databases, and other collections of information. | 652 | 313 | 282 | 423 | 108.3 | 11.0 | -33.3 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 214 | 209 | 263 | 388 | 2.4 | -20.5 | -32.2 |
| Finished goods | 134 | 132 | 177 | 157 | 1.5 | -25.4 | 12.7 |
| Work-in-process | 37 | S | 37 | 29 | S | S | 27.6 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. ............................................................... | 43 | 43 | S | 202 | Z | S | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200 v1.0 Data Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.1.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.1.5. Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 4,799 | 4,631 | 4,553 | 5,075 | 3.6 | 1.7 | -10.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeting cards | 3,168 | 2,886 | 2,923 | 3,604 | 9.8 | -1.3 | -18.9 |
| All other operating revenue ${ }^{1}$ | 1,631 | 1,744 | 1,630 | 1,471 | -6.5 | 7.0 | 10.8 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 599 | 502 | 512 | S | 19.3 | -2.0 | S |
| Finished goods | 469 | 371 | 378 | 406 | 26.4 | -1.9 | -6.9 |
| Work-in-process | 32 | 38 | 45 | S | -15.8 | -15.6 | S |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. ................................................................ | 98 | 92 | 89 | S | 6.5 | 3.4 | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S.
Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes calendar publishing, map and atlas publishing, pattern publishing, other miscellaneous publishing, contract printing, sale of licensing of rights to content, sale of advertising space, rental or sale of mailing lists, and publishing services for others.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendis $\Lambda$, Table $\Lambda-3.1 .5$ provides estimated measures of sampling variobility.

Table 3.1.6. Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Sources of Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 143,704 | 132,257 | 121,334 | 112,261 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.1 |
| System software publishing | 51,668 | 46,507 | 44,367 | 40,845 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 8.6 |
| Operating systems software | 18,714 | 16,282 | 16,460 | 16,553 | 14.9 | -1.1 | -0.6 |
| Network software | 14,599 | 13,533 | 12,618 | 9,982 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 26.4 |
| Database management software | 9,836 | 8,693 | 7,204 | 6,778 | 13.1 | 20.7 | 6.3 |
| Development tools and programming languages software | 3,148 | 3,227 | 3,366 | 3,483 | -2.4 | -4.1 | -3.4 |
| Other systems software | 5,371 | 4,772 | 4,719 | 4,048 | 12.6 | 1.1 | 16.6 |
| Application software publishing | 49,621 | 46,856 | 44,466 | 41,316 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| General business productivity and home use applications. | 22,889 | 21,219 | 21,710 | 19,430 | 7.9 | -2.3 | 11.7 |
| Cross-industry application software | 13,174 | 13,423 | 11,701 | 11,626 | -1.9 | 14.7 | 0.6 |
| Vertical market application software | 7,987 | 7,165 | 6,981 | 6,590 | 11.5 | 2.6 | 5.9 |
| Utilities application software | 1,409 | 1,441 | 1,184 | 1,034 | -2.2 | 21.7 | 14.5 |
| Other application software | 4,162 | 3,608 | 2,891 | 2,635 | 15.4 | 24.8 | 9.7 |
| Other services | 42,415 | 38,894 | 32,501 | 30,101 | 9.1 | 19.7 | 8.0 |
| Custom application design and development | 5,496 | 6,159 | 4,962 | 4,433 | -10.8 | 24.1 | 11.9 |
| Information technology technical consulting services | 5,180 | 4,489 | 4,590 | 4,193 | 15.4 | -2.2 | 9.5 |
| Application service provisioning | S | S | S | 784 | S | S | S |
| Resale of computer hardware and software | 4,207 | 3,275 | 2,253 | 2,620 | 28.5 | 45.4 | -14.0 |
| Information technology related training services | 2,690 | 1,749 | 1,527 | 1,465 | 53.8 | 14.5 | 4.2 |
| All other operating revenue | 22,481 | S | S | 16,605 | S | S | S |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Software Sales Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System software | 51,668 | 46,507 | 44,367 | 40,845 | 11.1 | 4.8 | 8.6 |
| Personal computer software | 18,280 | 15,221 | 15,071 | 14,444 | 20.1 | 1.0 | 4.3 |
| Enterprise or network software | 18,587 | 18,315 | 16,520 | 14,333 | 1.5 | 10.9 | 15.3 |
| Mainframe computer software | 10,200 | 9,737 | 9,138 | 9,111 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 0.3 |
| Other system software | 4,601 | 3,234 | 3,638 | 2,957 | 42.3 | -11.1 | 23.0 |
| Application software | 49,621 | 46,856 | 44,466 | 41,316 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| Personal computer software | 22,086 | S | 22,299 | 19,609 | S | S | 13.7 |
| Enterprise or network software | 19,133 | 17,099 | 15,209 | 14,258 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 6.7 |
| Mainframe computer software | 2,756 | 2,685 | 2,737 | 2,648 | 2.6 | -1.9 | 3.4 |
| Other application software | 5,646 | 5,382 | 4,221 | 4,801 | 4.9 | 27.5 | -12.1 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2,754 | 2,335 | S | S | 17.9 | S | S |
| Finished goods | S | 1,535 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Work-in-process | 153 | 145 | S | S | 5.5 | S | S |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc | 691 | 654 | S | S | 5.7 | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

[^166]Table 3.2.1. Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512) - Estimated Revenue and Inventories for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007

Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 512) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 102,166 | 98,392 | 93,655 | 88,269 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 6.1 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 22,941 | 25,343 | 23,506 | 23,230 | -9.5 | 7.8 | 1.2 |
| Finished goods | 18,323 | 19,699 | 17,618 | 17,509 | -7.0 | 11.8 | 0.6 |
| Work-in-process | 4,364 | 5,364 | 5,639 | 5,501 | -18.6 | -4.9 | 2.5 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 256 | 279 | 248 | 221 | -8.2 | 12.5 | 12.2 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO INDUSTRIES (NAICS 5121) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 82,066 | 77,576 | 74,789 | 71,774 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 22,303 | 24,728 | 22,926 | 22,727 | -9.8 | 7.9 | 0.9 |
| Finished goods | 17,855 | 19,186 | 17,128 | 17,078 | -6.9 | 12.0 | 0.3 |
| Work-in-process | 4,247 | 5,339 | 5,624 | 5,489 | -20.5 | -5.1 | 2.5 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 201 | 202 | 173 | 162 | -0.5 | 16.8 | 6.8 |
| SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 5122) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 20,100 | 20,816 | 18,866 | 16,495 | -3.4 | 10.3 | 14.4 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................................................ | 638 | 615 | 580 | 503 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 15.3 |
| Finished goods . | 467 | 513 | 490 | 431 | -9.0 | 4.7 | 13.7 |
| Work-in-process | S | S | 15 | 13 | S | S | 15.4 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. .............................................................. | 55 | 77 | 75 | 59 | -28.6 | 2.7 | 27.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.2.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.2.2. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 65,922 | 61,847 | 59,760 | 56,605 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 5.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic licensing of rights to motion picture films | 15,505 | 16,241 | 16,244 | 14,884 | -4.5 | Z | 9.1 |
| Domestic licensing of rights to television programs | 10,421 | 9,479 | 9,348 | 10,526 | 9.9 | 1.4 | -11.2 |
| International licensing of rights to motion picture films | 8,733 | 8,525 | 6,862 | 5,446 | 2.4 | 24.2 | 26.0 |
| International licensing of rights to television programs | 3,581 | 3,514 | 2,948 | 2,673 | 1.9 | 19.2 | 10.3 |
| Audiovisual works speculatively produced for outright sale | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Contract production of audiovisual works | 4,570 | 4,725 | 4,376 | 4,446 | -3.3 | 8.0 | -1.6 |
| Domestic licensing of rights to others to distribute audiovisual works | 2,500 | S | 2,220 | 2,606 | S | S | -14.8 |
| International licensing of rights to others to distribute audiovisual works | S | S | 1,001 | 900 | S | S | 11.2 |
| Sale of audiovisual works for the wholesale, retail, and rental markets | 8,910 | 7,251 | 7,853 | 6,309 | 22.9 | -7.7 | 24.5 |
| Other production services | 6,195 | 4,769 | 5,046 | 4,908 | 29.9 | -5.5 | 2.8 |
| Merchandise licensing | 415 | 407 | 355 | 493 | 2.0 | 14.6 | -28.0 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,758 | 3,401 | 3,201 | 3,113 | 10.5 | 6.2 | 2.8 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.2.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

## Table 3.2.3. Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004

 Through 2007[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,341 | 11,194 | 10,789 | 11,180 | 1.3 | 3.8 | -3.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature film exhibition revenue | 7,524 | 7,355 | 7,178 | 7,522 | 2.3 | 2.5 | -4.6 |
| Admissions to domestic feature films | 7,470 | 7,312 | 6,996 | 7,358 | 2.2 | 4.5 | -4.9 |
| Admissions to foreign feature films | 55 | S | 182 | 165 | S | S | 10.3 |
| Other revenue | 3,816 | 3,839 | 3,611 | 3,657 | -0.6 | 6.3 | -1.3 |
| Food and beverage sales | 3,313 | 3,225 | 3,049 | 3,124 | 2.7 | 5.8 | -2.4 |
| Rental of retail space . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Advertising services | 217 | 260 | 217 | 222 | -16.5 | 19.8 | -2.3 |
| Coin-operated games and rides | 44 | 52 | 41 | 43 | -15.4 | 26.8 | -4.7 |
| All other operating revenue | 173 | 226 | 236 | 220 | -23.5 | -4.2 | 7.3 |

[^167]Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendin A, Table A-3.2.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.2.4. Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,803 | 4,535 | 4,240 | 3,989 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 6.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Audiovisual postproduction services | 2,845 | 2,750 | 2,650 | 2,525 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 5.0 |
| Motion picture film laboratory services | 704 | 686 | 605 | 576 | 2.6 | 13.4 | 5.0 |
| Duplication and copying services . | 395 | 392 | 376 | 373 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 859 | 706 | 608 | 514 | 21.7 | 16.1 | 18.3 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd $/ \mathrm{www} / \mathrm{cv}$.html> . Appendix A, Table A-3.2.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.2.5. Integrated Record Production and Distribution (NAICS 51222) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,591 | 14,405 | 12,856 | 11,021 | -5.7 | 12.0 | 16.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing revenue | 1,883 | 2,048 | S | S | -8.1 | S | S |
| Licensing of rights to use musical compositions | S | 1,410 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Licensing of rights to use musical recordings | 626 | 638 | S | S | -1.9 | S | S |
| Other operating revenue | 11,708 | 12,358 | S | 9,957 | -5.3 | S | S |
| Sales of recordings ..... | 11,281 | S | S | 9,806 | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue | 427 | 258 | S | S | 65.5 | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Tabie A-3.2.5 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.2.6. Music Publishers (NAICS 51223) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,432 | 4,544 | 4,260 | 3,885 | -2.5 | 6.7 | 9.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing revenue | S | 2,417 | 2,316 | 2,085 | S | 4.4 | 11.1 |
| Licensing of rights to use musical compositions | S | 2,372 | 2,259 | 2,025 | S | 5.0 | 11.6 |
| Licensing of rights to use musical recordings | S | S | S | 60 | S | S | S |
| Other operating revenue | 1,948 | 2,127 | 1,943 | 1,800 | -8.4 | 9.5 | 7.9 |
| Administration of copyrights for others. | 103 | S | 97 | 87 | S | S | 11.5 |
| Sales of recordings | 26 | 29 | 28 | 50 | -10.3 | 3.6 | -44.0 |
| Print music. | 1,684 | 1,894 | 1,748 | 1,591 | -11.1 | 8.4 | 9.9 |
| All other operating revenue .............................................................. | 135 | 99 | 71 | 72 | 36.4 | 39.4 | -1.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.2.0 provides estimated measures of sampiing variabiility.

Table 3.2.7. Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 998 | 928 | 766 | 724 | 7.5 | 21.1 | 5.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Studio recording | 631 | 592 | 529 | 504 | 6.6 | 11.9 | 5.0 |
| Sound recording studio rental and leasing | 39 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 2.6 | 5.6 | -2.7 |
| All other operating revenue . | S | S | 201 | 183 | S | S | 9.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.2.7 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.1. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5,226 | 4,474 | 3,347 | 2,677 | 16.8 | 33.7 | 25.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 1,271 | 1,238 | 1,187 | 1,469 | 2.7 | 4.3 | -19.2 |
| National/regional air time | 648 | 649 | 639 | 940 | -0.2 | 1.6 | -32.0 |
| Local air time | 623 | 589 | 548 | 529 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 3.6 |
| Other operating revenue | 3,955 | 3,236 | 2,160 | 1,208 | 22.2 | 49.8 | 78.8 |
| Network compensation | 324 | 141 | 122 | 209 | 129.8 | 15.6 | -41.6 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services | 455 | 429 | 375 | 304 | 6.1 | 14.4 | 23.4 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,177 | 2,666 | 1,663 | 695 | 19.2 | 60.3 | 139.3 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.3.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.3.2. Radio Stations (NAICS 515112) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 13,624 | 13,707 | 13,712 | 13,817 | -0.6 | Z | -0.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 11,924 | 12,064 | 12,084 | 12,268 | -1.2 | -0.2 | -1.5 |
| National/regional air time | 2,885 | 2,876 | 2,683 | 2,714 | 0.3 | 7.2 | -1.1 |
| Local air time | 9,039 | 9,187 | 9,401 | 9,554 | -1.6 | -2.3 | -1.6 |
| Other operating revenue | 1,700 | 1,644 | 1,627 | 1,549 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
| Network compensation | 252 | 244 | 223 | 224 | 3.3 | 9.4 | -0.4 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue ........................................................ | 905 | 910 | 835 | 803 | -0.5 | 9.0 | 4.0 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appenđix A, Tabie À-3.3.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability,

Table 3.3.3. Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 37,008 | 36,972 | 35,249 | 35,599 | 0.1 | 4.9 | -1.0 |
| Total . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 29,798 | 29,971 | 29,106 | 29,469 | -0.6 | 3.0 | -1.2 |
| National/regional air time | 18,224 | 18,443 | 18,233 | 18,445 | -1.2 | 1.2 | -1.1 |
| Local air time. | 11,574 | 11,528 | 10,873 | 11,024 | 0.4 | 6.0 | -1.4 |
| Other operating revenue | 7,211 | 7,001 | 6,143 | 6,130 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 0.2 |
| Network compensation | 1,524 | 1,574 | 1,659 | 1,713 | -3.2 | -5.1 | -3.2 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services | 2,066 | 2,118 | 1,767 | 1,819 | -2.5 | 19.9 | -2.9 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,620 | 3,309 | 2,717 | 2,598 | 9.4 | 21.8 | 4.6 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.3.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.4. Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40,870 | 37,981 | 35,386 | 31,373 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 12.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing of rights to broadcast speciality programming protected by copyright | 20,644 | 18,989 | 17,704 | 16,323 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 8.5 |
| Air time | 16,653 | 15,588 | 14,921 | 13,129 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 13.6 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,573 | 3,404 | 2,761 | 1,921 | 5.0 | 23.3 | 43.7 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.3.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.5. Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 15,480 | 12,862 | 10,391 | 8,695 | 20.4 | 23.8 | 19.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publishing and broadcasting of content on the Internet | 8,478 | 7,109 | 6,068 | 5,278 | 19.3 | 17.2 | 15.0 |
| Online advertising space | 3,642 | 2,879 | 1,976 | 1,607 | 26.5 | 45.7 | 23.0 |
| Licensing of rights to use intellectual property | 556 | 527 | 433 | 401 | 5.5 | 21.7 | 8.0 |
| All other operating revenue | 2,804 | 2,347 | 1,912 | 1,410 | 19.5 | 22.8 | 35.6 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | S | S | S | 446 | S | S | S |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 10,307 | 8,810 | 7,315 | 6,310 | 17.0 | 20.4 | 15.9 |
| Household consumers and individual users | 4,461 | 3,392 | 2,479 | 1,939 | 31.5 | 36.8 | 27.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix À, Table À-3.3.5 provides estimated measures of sampling variavility.

Table 3.3.6. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 192,779 | 195,092 | 206,622 | 211,176 | -1.2 | -5.6 | -2.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed services | 90,675 | 89,905 | 94,422 | 101,627 | 0.9 | -4.8 | -7.1 |
| Fixed local | 58,516 | 57,699 | 58,928 | 61,316 | 1.4 | -2.1 | -3.9 |
| Fixed long-distance | 30,498 | 31,297 | 33,802 | 38,420 | -2.6 | -7.4 | -12.0 |
| Fixed all distance (no distinction between local or long distance) | 1,661 | S | 1,692 | 1,891 | S | S | -10.5 |
| Other telecommunications services | 88,833 | 89,629 | 96,295 | 94,609 | -0.9 | -6.9 | 1.8 |
| Carrier services | 27,410 | 31,387 | 37,423 | 37,656 | -12.7 | -16.1 | -0.6 |
| Private network services | 23,472 | 23,279 | 26,678 | 26,144 | 0.8 | -12.7 | 2.0 |
| Subscriber line charges | 6,043 | 7,692 | 8,204 | 8,520 | -21.4 | -6.2 | -3.7 |
| Internet access services | 18,609 | 15,350 | 14,374 | 12,616 | 21.2 | 6.8 | 13.9 |
| Internet telephony | 1,855 | 1,663 | 938 | 798 | 11.5 | 77.3 | 17.5 |
| Telecommunication network installation services | 6,314 | 5,948 | S | S | 6.2 | S | S |
| Reselling services for telecommunications equipment, retail | 3,350 | 3,364 | 3,560 | 3,878 | -0.4 | -5.5 | -8.2 |
| Rental of telecommunications equipment ............... | 982 | S | 251 | 291 | S | S | -13.7 |
| Repair and maintenance services for telecommunications equipment | 797 | 740 | 802 | 851 | 7.7 | -7.7 | -5.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 13,272 | 15,558 | 15,905 | 14,941 | -14.7 | -2.2 | 6.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S.
Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A- 3.36 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.3.7. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171) - Estimated Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed local telephony | 58,516 | 57,327 | 58,928 | 61,316 | 2.1 | -2.7 | -3.9 |
| Government | 1,193 | 1,972 | 1,748 | 1,898 | -39.5 | 12.8 | -7.9 |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 24,080 | 19,326 | 22,559 | 23,834 | 24.6 | -14.3 | -5.3 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 33,243 | 36,030 | 34,622 | 35,584 | -7.7 | 4.1 | -2.7 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | 30,498 | 31,252 | 33,802 | 38,420 | -2.4 | -7.5 | -12.0 |
| Government | S | S | 713 | 854 | S | S | -16.5 |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 18,626 | 17,868 | 18,732 | 18,629 | 4.2 | -4.6 | 0.6 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 10,223 | 11,338 | 14,357 | 18,937 | -9.8 | -21.0 | -24.2 |
| Subscriber line charges | 6,043 | 7,692 | 8,204 | 8,520 | -21.4 | -6.2 | -3.7 |
| Government | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 1,671 | 2,445 | 2,672 | 2,695 | -31.7 | -8.5 | -0.9 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 4,294 | 5,160 | 5,452 | 5,744 | -16.8 | -5.4 | -5.1 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | 30,498 | 31,252 | 33,802 | 38,420 | -2.4 | -7.5 | -12.0 |
| Intrastate | 11,821 | 9,674 | 12,923 | 11,990 | 22.2 | -25.1 | 7.8 |
| Interstate | 13,091 | 16,459 | 15,766 | 20,958 | -20.5 | 4.4 | -24.8 |
| International | 5,586 | 5,119 | 5,113 | 5,472 | 9.1 | 0.1 | -6.6 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S.
Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.3.7 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.8. Paging (NAICS 517211) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,557 | 1,874 | 1,990 | 1,909 | -16.9 | -5.8 | 4.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Messaging (paging) services | 1,252 | 1,469 | 1,584 | 1,472 | -14.8 | -7.3 | 7.6 |
| All other operating revenue | 305 | 405 | 406 | 437 | -24.7 | -0.2 | -7.1 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.3.8 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.3.9. Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Anpendix A, Table A-3.3.9 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 3.3.10. Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,606 | 12,224 | 11,228 | 9,849 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 14.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed local | 1,773 | 1,817 | 1,725 | 1,516 | -2.4 | 5.3 | 13.8 |
| Fixed long distance | S | 2,058 | 2,265 | 2,168 | S | -9.1 | 4.5 |
| Mobile telephony . | 2,104 | 1,687 | 1,606 | 1,185 | 24.7 | 5.0 | 35.5 |
| Carrier services | S | 2,891 | 2,294 | 2,052 | S | 26.0 | 11.8 |
| All other operating revenue | S | S | 3,338 | 2,929 | S | S | 14.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.3.10 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.11. Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,393 | 6,667 | 5,808 | 6,030 | 10.9 | 14.8 | -3.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Private network services | S | 2,874 | 2,636 | 2,629 | S | 9.0 | 0.3 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,351 | 2,736 | 2,153 | 2,392 | 22.5 | 27.1 | -10.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.3.11 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.12. Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100,849 | 88,788 | 80,492 | 73,317 | 13.6 | 10.3 | 9.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multichannel programming distribution services | 61,561 | 56,080 | 52,158 | 48,336 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 7.9 |
| Basic programming package | 45,971 | 42,440 | 39,639 | 36,498 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 8.6 |
| Premium programming package | 11,859 | 10,489 | 9,816 | 9,312 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
| Pay-per-view | 3,731 | 3,151 | 2,703 | 2,525 | 18.4 | 16.6 | 7.0 |
| Other revenue | 39,288 | 32,708 | 28,334 | 24,982 | 20.1 | 15.4 | 13.4 |
| Air time | 4,671 | 4,565 | 4,144 | 3,933 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 5.4 |
| Rental and reselling services for program distribution equipment | 3,990 | 3,158 | 2,581 | 2,376 | 26.3 | 22.4 | 8.6 |
| Installation services for connections to program distribution networks | 954 | 735 | 668 | 621 | 29.8 | 10.0 | 7.6 |
| Internet access services | 16,501 | 13,778 | 11,651 | 9,924 | 19.8 | 18.3 | 17.4 |
| Internet telephony | 2,708 | 1,048 | 318 | 698 | 158.4 | 229.6 | -54.4 |
| Fixed local telephony | S | 1,754 | 1,478 | 1,445 | S | 18.7 | 2.3 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | S | 418 | 648 | 531 | S | -35.5 | 22.0 |
| All other operating revenue | 8,164 | 7,251 | 6,846 | 5,454 | 12.6 | 5.9 | 25.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3 312 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.3.13. Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Cable System and Multichannel Video Distribution Revenue by Type of Customer for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-3.3.13 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.4.1. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 19,086 | 19,092 | 18,977 | 20,201 | Z | 0.6 | -6.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internet access service | 10,379 | 11,429 | 12,465 | 14,174 | -9.2 | -8.3 | -12.1 |
| Online advertising space | S | S | 3,442 | 2,911 | S | S | 18.2 |
| Internet backbone services | 477 | 407 | 335 | 335 | 17.2 | 21.5 | Z |
| Internet telephony . | 168 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Web site hosting services | 969 | 1,077 | 818 | 784 | -10.0 | 31.7 | 4.3 |
| Information technology design and development services .............................. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue ................................................................ | 2,388 | S | 1,703 | 1,803 | S | S | -5.5 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.


Table 3.4.2. Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 12,082 | 9,657 | 6,992 | 4,960 | 25.1 | 38.1 | 41.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Online advertising space | 8,702 | 6,558 | 4,815 | 3,407 | 32.7 | 36.2 | 41.3 |
| Information search services on a contract or fee basis | S | 2,149 | D | S | S | D | D |
| Web site hosting services . | S | 74 | D | S | S | D | D |
| All other operating revenue | S | 876 | S | S | S | S | S |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.4.2 provides estımated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.4.3. Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 72,882 | 70,797 | 62,629 | 57,330 | 2.9 | 13.0 | 9.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data processing, IT infrastructure provisioning, and hosting services | 37,249 | 37,428 | 31,875 | 29,239 | -0.5 | 17.4 | 9.0 |
| Business process management services | 19,742 | 21,183 | 17,841 | 16,994 | -6.8 | 18.7 | 5.0 |
| Data management services | 6,007 | 6,231 | 5,867 | 5,335 | -3.6 | 6.2 | 10.0 |
| Application service provisioning | 6,672 | 6,063 | 4,933 | 4,242 | 10.0 | 22.9 | 16.3 |
| Web site hosting services | S | 2,745 | 2,514 | 2,053 | S | 9.2 | 22.5 |
| Collocation services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating revenue | 35,633 | 33,368 | 30,753 | 28,091 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 9.5 |
| IT design and development services | 6,154 | 6,161 | 6,578 | S | -0.1 | -6.3 | S |
| IT technical support services | 1,450 | 1,495 | 1,458 | S | -3.0 | 2.5 | S |
| IT technical consulting services | 1,927 | 1,553 | 1,247 | 1,299 | 24.1 | 24.5 | -4.0 |
| Information and document transformation services | 3,563 | 3,431 | 3,212 | 2,825 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 13.7 |
| Software publishing ...... | 2,358 | 2,061 | 1,872 | 1,387 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 35.0 |
| Reselling services for computer hardware and software, retail | 2,157 | 2,092 | 1,224 | 1,165 | 3.1 | 70.9 | 5.1 |
| All other operating revenue | 18,025 | 16,576 | 15,163 | 13,744 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 10.3 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S.
Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A- 343 provides estimated measures of sampling variahility

Table 3.5.1. News Syndicates and Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51911 and 51912) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NEWS SYNDICATES (NAICS 51911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2,418 | 2,209 | 2,098 | 1,972 | 9.5 | 5.3 | 6.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing of rights to use syndicated media content ..................................... | 1,126 | 1,019 | 1,018 | 930 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 9.5 |
| All other operating revenue | 1,291 | 1,191 | 1,080 | 1,041 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 3.7 |
| LIBRARIES AND ARCHIVES (NAICS 51912) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2,288 | 2,077 | 1,967 | 1,879 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions, gifts, and grants received | 926 | 923 | 883 | 826 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 6.9 |
| Investment and property income . | 166 | 131 | 101 | 135 | 26.7 | 29.7 | -25.2 |
| All other revenue ......................................................................... | 1,196 | 1,023 | 983 | 918 | 16.9 | 4.1 | 7.1 |

[^168]Table 3.5.2. All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 3,283 | 3,077 | 2,924 | 2,580 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 13.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information search and retrieval services | 574 | 477 | 578 | 594 | 20.3 | -17.5 | -2.7 |
| Information services | 2,138 | 2,083 | 1,872 | 1,570 | 2.6 | 11.3 | 19.2 |
| Media monitoring and analysis | D | D | 156 | 166 | D | D | -6.0 |
| Advertising | D | D | S | S | D | D | S |
| All other operating revenue . | 243 | 248 | 231 | 204 | -2.0 | 7.4 | 13.2 |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table À-3.5.2 provides estimated measures oí sampling variability.

Table 3.6.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 51 | Information . | 864,642 | 836,794 | 803,658 | 777,216 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 213,829 | 204,741 | 196,848 | 193,578 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| 5111 | Newspaper, periodical, book, and directory publishers | 117,715 | 113,759 | 110,054 | 105,540 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| 51111 | Newspaper publishers | 42,291 | 44,134 | 41,221 | 40,016 | -4.2 | 7.1 | 3.0 |
| 51112 | Periodical publishers | 39,615 | 36,540 | 35,188 | 33,933 | 8.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| 51113 | Book publishers | 16,954 | 16,053 | 16,997 | 15,989 | 5.6 | -5.6 | 6.3 |
| 51114 | Directory and mailing list publishers | 14,135 | 12,443 | 12,222 | 11,184 | 13.6 | 1.8 | 9.3 |
| 51119 | Other publishers | 4,720 | 4,589 | 4,426 | 4,418 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| 511191 | Greeting card publishers | 2,913 | 2,814 | 2,759 | 2,825 | 3.5 | 2.0 | -2.3 |
| 511199 | All other publishers. | 1,807 | 1,775 | 1,667 | 1,593 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 4.6 |
| 5112 | Software publishers | 96,114 | 90,982 | 86,794 | 88,038 | 5.6 | 4.8 | -1.4 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries | 75,885 | 74,257 | 72,576 | 68,632 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 5.7 |
| 5121 | Motion picture and video industries | 60,399 | 57,826 | 57,568 | 56,791 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| 5121x | Motion picture and video production and distribution ${ }^{1}$ | 48,085 | 45,407 | 45,219 | 44,438 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| 51213 | Motion picture and video exhibition | 8,208 | 8,454 | 8,501 | 8,633 | -2.9 | -0.6 | -1.5 |
| 512131 | Motion picture theaters (except drive-ins) | 8,075 | 8,343 | 8,422 | 8,559 | -3.2 | -0.9 | -1.6 |
| 512132 | Drive-in motion picture theaters . | S | S | 79 | S | S | S | S |
| 51219 | Postproduction services and other motion picture and video industries $\qquad$ | 4,106 | 3,965 | 3,848 | 3,720 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| 512191 | Teleproduction and other postproduction services | 3,344 | 3,145 | 3,078 | 3,024 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| 512199 | Other motion picture and video industries | 762 | 820 | 770 | 696 | -7.1 | 6.5 | 10.6 |
| 5122 | Sound recording industries | 15,486 | 16,431 | 15,008 | 11,841 | -5.8 | 9.5 | 26.7 |
| 51221 | Record production | 310 | 317 | 296 | 275 | -2.2 | 7.1 | 7.6 |
| 51222 | Integrated record production and distribution | S | 12,150 | 10,993 | 8,127 | S | 10.5 | 35.3 |
| 51223 | Music publishers | 2,692 | 2,552 | 2,444 | 2,268 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 7.8 |
| 51224 | Sound recording studios | 879 | 772 | 672 | 634 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 6.0 |
| 51229 | Other sound recording industries | 685 | 640 | 603 | 537 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 12.3 |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 72,926 | 69,710 | 67,015 | 63,123 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 6.2 |
| 5151 | Radio and television broadcasting | 46,198 | 44,869 | 43,546 | 42,120 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| 51511 | Radio broadcasting | 16,395 | 16,388 | 15,013 | 13,808 | Z | 9.2 | 8.7 |
| 515111 | Radio networks | 6,407 | 6,481 | 4,858 | 3,888 | -1.1 | 33.4 | 24.9 |
| 515112 | Radio stations | 9,988 | 9,907 | 10,155 | 9,920 | 0.8 | -2.4 | 2.4 |
| 51512 | Television broadcasting | 29,803 | 28,481 | 28,533 | 28,312 | 4.6 | -0.2 | 0.8 |
| 5152 | Cable and other subscription programming | 26,728 | 24,841 | 23,469 | 21,003 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 11.7 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 13,784 | 11,272 | 9,084 | 7,056 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 28.7 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 397,903 | 391,733 | 380,731 | 369,447 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| 5171 | Wired telecommunications carriers | 158,626 | 168,750 | 176,407 | 187,800 | -6.0 | -4.3 | -6.1 |
| 5172 | Wireless telecommunications carriers (except satellite) | 137,818 | 135,043 | 122,543 | 105,306 | 2.1 | 10.2 | 16.4 |
| 517211 | Paging | 1,221 | 1,528 | 1,750 | 1,560 | -20.1 | -12.7 | 12.2 |
| 517212 | Cellular and other wireless telecommunications | 136,597 | 133,515 | 120,793 | 103,746 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 16.4 |
| 5173 | Telecommunications resellers | 7,414 | 6,839 | 6,832 | 5,979 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 14.3 |
| 5174 | Satellite telecommunications | 5,756 | 5,093 | 4,447 | 4,329 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 2.7 |
| 5175 | Cable and other program distribution | 86,481 | 74,536 | 69,030 | 64,865 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 6.4 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5179 | Other telecommunications | 1,808 | 1,472 | 1,472 | 1,168 | 22.8 | Z | 26.0 |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | processing services | 84,120 | 79,290 | 71,943 | 70,152 | 6.1 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| 5181 | Internet service providers and web search portals | 19,709 | 19,229 | 17,497 | 18,705 | 2.5 | 9.9 | -6.5 |
| 518111 | Internet service providers | 13,607 | 14,318 | 14,253 | 16,251 | -5.0 | 0.5 | -12.3 |
| 518112 | Web search portals | 6,102 | 4,911 | 3,244 | 2,454 | 24.3 | 51.4 | 32.2 |
| 5182 | Data processing, hosting, and related services | 64,411 | 60,061 | 54,446 | 51,447 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 5.8 |
| 519 | Other information services | 6,195 | 5,791 | 5,461 | 5,228 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 4.5 |
| 51911 | News syndicates | 1,911 | 1,880 | 1,836 | 1,877 | 1.6 | 2.4 | -2.2 |
| 51912 | Libraries and archives | 1,969 | 1,788 | 1,720 | 1,614 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 6.6 |
| 51919 | All other information services | 2,315 | 2,123 | 1,905 | 1,737 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 9.7 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix $\Lambda$, Table $1-3.6 .1$ provides estimnted measures of sampling vaciability.

Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51111) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 42,291 | 44,134 | 41,221 | 40,016 | -4.2 | 7.1 | 3.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 20,020 | 20,267 | 19,615 | 18,997 | -1.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 15,864 | 15,844 | 15,533 | 15,093 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3,822 | 4,057 | 3,748 | 3,633 | -5.8 | 8.2 | 3.2 |
| Health insurance. | 1,620 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 870 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 538 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 332 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 1,332 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 334 | 365 | 334 | 271 | -8.5 | 9.3 | 23.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3,114 | 4,052 | 4,180 | 4,289 | -23.1 | -3.1 | -2.5 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 83 | 87 | 84 | 94 | -4.6 | 3.6 | -10.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 3,031 | 3,965 | 4,096 | 4,194 | -23.6 | -3.2 | -2.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 3,968 | 4,213 | 3,892 | 3,571 | -5.8 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 111 | 106 | 100 | 94 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 388 | 412 | 378 | 336 | -5.8 | 9.0 | 12.5 |
| Purchased electricity. | 303 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 84 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 806 | 825 | 788 | 677 | -2.3 | 4.7 | 16.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 493 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 416 | 400 | 396 | 395 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.................... | 267 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............. | 149 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 679 | 731 | 737 | 684 | -7.1 | -0.8 | 7.7 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 1,569 | 1,738 | 1,493 | 1,385 | -9.7 | 16.4 | 7.8 |
| Other operating expenses. | 15,189 | 15,603 | 13,534 | 13,159 | -2.7 | 15.3 | 2.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2,366 | 2,155 | 1,971 | 1,935 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 1.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 373 | 427 | 389 | 377 | -12.6 | 9.8 | 3.2 |
| All other operating expenses. | 12,450 | 13,022 | 11,174 | 10,846 | -4.4 | 16.5 | 3.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 220 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services... | 235 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 814 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses................................................. | 11,131 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007¹ - Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PERIODICAL PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51112) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 39,615 | 36,540 | 35,188 | 33,933 | 8.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 15,576 | 14,661 | 13,729 | 13,043 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 5.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 12,437 | 11,841 | 11,035 | 10,546 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 4.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2,671 | 2,388 | 2,247 | 2,071 | 11.9 | 6.3 | 8.5 |
| Health insurance. | 1,030 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 575 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 322 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 253 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,065 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 468 | 432 | 447 | 427 | 8.3 | -3.4 | 4.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1,227 | 1,269 | 1,332 | 1,336 | -3.3 | -4.7 | -0.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 129 | 150 | 127 | 138 | -14.0 | 18.1 | -8.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1,097 | 1,118 | 1,205 | 1,198 | -1.9 | -7.2 | 0.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 7,724 | 7,579 | 6,826 | 6,563 | 1.9 | 11.0 | 4.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 153 | 165 | 159 | 213 | -7.3 | 3.8 | -25.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 146 | 137 | 126 | 110 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 14.5 |
| Purchased electricity. | 134 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 1,185 | 1,107 | 1,107 | 1,010 | 7.0 | Z | 9.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 270 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 914 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 300 | 230 | 218 | 203 | 30.4 | 5.5 | 7.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 186 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 114 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,825 | 1,884 | 1,735 | 1,664 | -3.1 | 8.6 | 4.3 |
| Purchased printing services. | 4,115 | 4,055 | 3,481 | 3,363 | 1.5 | 16.5 | 3.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 15,089 | 13,031 | 13,300 | 12,991 | 15.8 | -2.0 | 2.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1,629 | 1,606 | 1,644 | 1,697 | 1.4 | -2.3 | -3.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 180 | 143 | 136 | 135 | 25.9 | 5.1 | 0.7 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 13,280 | 11,282 | 11,520 | 11,159 | 17.7 | -2.1 | 3.2 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 170 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 188 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 46 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 1,177 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 11,699 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^169]Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| BOOK PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51113) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 16,954 | 16,053 | 16,997 | 15,989 | 5.6 | -5.6 | 6.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 6,796 | 6,760 | 6,933 | 6,635 | 0.5 | -2.5 | 4.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5,345 | 5,426 | 5,601 | 5,302 | -1.5 | -3.1 | 5.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1,168 | 1,080 | 1,118 | 1,124 | 8.1 | -3.4 | -0.5 |
| Health insurance. | 457 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 274 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 98 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 177 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 437 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 283 | 254 | S | S | 11.4 | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 425 | 467 | 483 | 556 | -9.0 | -3.3 | -13.1 |
| Expensed equipment. | 233 | 228 | 215 | 237 | 2.2 | 6.0 | -9.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 192 | 239 | 268 | S | -19.7 | -10.8 | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 3,434 | 3,093 | 3,215 | 2,989 | 11.0 | -3.8 | 7.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 111 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 69 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 6.2 | 4.8 | -4.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 595 | 523 | 539 | 565 | 13.8 | -3.0 | -4.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 113 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 482 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 100 | 97 | 109 | 108 | 3.1 | -11.0 | 0.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.............. | 45 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 973 | 914 | 923 | 912 | 6.5 | -1.0 | 1.2 |
| Purchased printing services. | 1,611 | 1,384 | 1,474 | 1,230 | 16.4 | -6.1 | 19.8 |
| Other operating expenses. | 6,299 | 5,733 | 6,367 | 5,809 | 9.9 | -10.0 | 9.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 1,155 | 1,126 | 970 | 891 | 2.6 | 16.1 | 8.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 73 | 72 | 79 | 82 | 1.4 | -8.9 | -3.7 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 5,071 | 4,534 | 5,317 | 4,835 | 11.8 | -14.7 | 10.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 75 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 126 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 477 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 4,372 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| DIRECTORY AND MAILING LIST PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51114) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 14,135 | 12,443 | 12,222 | 11,184 | 13.6 | 1.8 | 9.3 |
| Personnel costs | 5,261 | 5,311 | 5,129 | 4,523 | -0.9 | 3.5 | 13.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,116 | 4,201 | 4,102 | 3,595 | -2.0 | 2.4 | 14.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 957 | 938 | 838 | 693 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 20.9 |
| Health insurance. | 471 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 222 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 118 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 104 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 263 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 188 | 172 | 189 | 236 | 9.3 | -9.0 | -19.9 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1,050 | 859 | 745 | 620 | 22.2 | 15.3 | 20.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 30 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 11.1 | -6.9 | 16.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1,020 | 832 | 716 | 595 | 22.6 | 16.2 | 20.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,048 | 1,973 | 1,719 | 1,585 | 3.8 | 14.8 | 8.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 66 | 55 | 48 | 45 | 20.0 | 14.6 | 6.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 21 | 22 | 19 | 18 | -4.5 | 15.8 | 5.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 312 | 265 | 268 | 313 | 17.7 | -1.1 | -14.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 296 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 43 | 42 | 48 | 45 | 2.4 | -12.5 | 6.7 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 20 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 623 | 516 | 465 | 448 | 20.7 | 11.0 | 3.8 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 983 | 1,073 | 870 | 715 | -8.4 | 23.3 | 21.7 |
| Other operating expenses. | 5,777 | 4,299 | 4,630 | 4,456 | 34.4 | -7.1 | 3.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1,577 | 744 | 648 | 627 | 112.0 | 14.8 | 3.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 44 | 46 | 59 | 75 | -4.3 | -22.0 | -21.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 4,156 | 3,509 | 3,923 | 3,754 | 18.4 | -10.6 | 4.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 312 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................. | 3,726 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007¹ - Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| GREETING CARD PUBLISHERS (NAICS 511191) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,913 | 2,814 | 2,759 | 2,825 | 3.5 | 2.0 | -2.3 |
| Personnel costs | 1,074 | 1,054 | 995 | 982 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 1.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 895 | 886 | 826 | 802 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 3.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 145 | 136 | 139 | 147 | 6.6 | -2.2 | -5.4 |
| Health insurance. | 59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 28 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 33 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 3.1 | 6.7 | -9.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 127 | 116 | 113 | 114 | 9.5 | 2.7 | -0.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | D | 28 | 26 | 27 | D | 7.7 | -3.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | D | 88 | 87 | 87 | D | 1.1 | Z |
| Expensed purchased services. | 334 | 325 | 307 | 335 | 2.8 | 5.9 | -8.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 40.0 | Z | Z |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 32 | 33 | 32 | 33 | -3.0 | 3.1 | -3.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 29 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 49 | 48 | 47 | 49 | 2.1 | 2.1 | -4.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 41 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 17 | 18 | 16 | 16 | -5.6 | 12.5 | Z |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 185 | 175 | 165 | 186 | 5.7 | 6.1 | -11.3 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 37 | 42 | 37 | 42 | -11.9 | 13.5 | -11.9 |
| Other operating expenses. | 1,377 | 1,319 | 1,343 | 1,394 | 4.4 | -1.8 | -3.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 112 | 104 | 96 | 86 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 11.6 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | Z | 5.6 | Z |
| All other operating expenses... | 1,246 | 1,196 | 1,229 | 1,289 | 4.2 | -2.7 | -4.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 11 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 36 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 160 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses................................................... | 1,037 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007¹ - Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SOFTWARE PUBLISHERS (NAICS 5112) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 96,114 | 90,982 | 86,794 | 88,038 | 5.6 | 4.8 | -1.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 56,685 | 52,162 | 49,555 | 49,535 | 8.7 | 5.3 | Z |
| Gross annual payroll. | 44,997 | 41,982 | 40,532 | 41,430 | 7.2 | 3.6 | -2.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits | 8,670 | 7,362 | 6,541 | 5,990 | 17.8 | 12.6 | 9.2 |
| Health insurance. | 3,054 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 2,937 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1,908 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1,029 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2,678 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3,018 | 2,818 | 2,482 | 2,115 | 7.1 | 13.5 | 17.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1,899 | 2,037 | 1,754 | 1,779 | -6.8 | 16.1 | -1.4 |
| Expensed equipment. | 659 | 684 | 791 | 677 | -3.7 | -13.5 | 16.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1,240 | 1,353 | 963 | 1,102 | -8.4 | 40.5 | -12.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 11,311 | 10,947 | 10,560 | 10,038 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 5.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,185 | 1,350 | S | S | -12.2 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 290 | 311 | 257 | 230 | -6.8 | 21.0 | 11.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 282 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2,185 | 2,184 | 2,391 | 2,615 | Z | -8.7 | -8.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 370 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1,815 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 742 | 488 | 445 | 393 | 52.0 | 9.7 | 13.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................... | 556 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 186 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 6,703 | 6,380 | 6,073 | 5,277 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 15.1 |
| Purchased software reproduction. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 26,219 | 25,836 | 24,924 | 26,685 | 1.5 | 3.7 | -6.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4,484 | 4,221 | 4,384 | 4,176 | 6.2 | -3.7 | 5.0 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 364 | 379 | 350 | 300 | -4.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 |
| All other operating expenses... | 21,371 | S | 20,190 | 22,209 | S | S | -9.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 615 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 829 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 30 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 3,621 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses................................................... | 16,276 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200 v1.0 Data Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION (NAICS 5121X) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 48,085 | 45,407 | 45,219 | 44,438 | 5.9 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 13,081 | 12,875 | 12,500 | 10,962 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 14.0 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 11,450 | 11,408 | 11,079 | 9,680 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 14.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 999 | 923 | 907 | 850 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 6.7 |
| Health insurance. | 311 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 313 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 180 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 134 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 375 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 633 | 544 | 514 | 433 | 16.4 | 5.8 | 18.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 701 | 740 | 704 | 684 | -5.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 153 | 120 | 105 | 82 | 27.5 | 14.3 | 28.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 548 | 620 | 599 | 601 | -11.6 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 6,290 | 6,418 | 6,163 | 6,296 | -2.0 | 4.1 | -2.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 68 | 53 | 76 | 52 | 28.3 | -30.3 | 46.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 67 | 66 | 59 | 64 | 1.5 | 11.9 | -7.8 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1,262 | 1,420 | 1,296 | 1,246 | -11.1 | 9.6 | 4.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 117 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1,145 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 155 | 185 | 185 | 193 | -16.2 | Z | -4.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 76 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 79 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4,738 | 4,693 | 4,546 | 4,740 | 1.0 | 3.2 | -4.1 |
| Other operating expenses... | 28,012 | 25,374 | 25,852 | 26,496 | 10.4 | Z | -2.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2,497 | 2,319 | 2,123 | 2,892 | 7.7 | 9.2 | -26.6 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,273 | 1,146 | 1,133 | 1,064 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 6.5 |
| All other operating expenses. | 24,242 | 21,908 | 22,596 | 22,540 | 10.7 | -3.0 | 0.2 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 129 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments... | 24 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1,170 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 22,866 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO EXHIBITION (NAICS 51213) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8,208 | 8,454 | 8,501 | 8,633 | -2.9 | -0.6 | -1.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,696 | 1,752 | 1,663 | 1,697 | -3.2 | 5.4 | -2.0 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,496 | 1,583 | 1,461 | 1,495 | -5.5 | 8.4 | -2.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 158 | 137 | 163 | 162 | 15.3 | -16.0 | 0.6 |
| Health insurance.. | 51 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 85 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 42 | 31 | 39 | 40 | 35.5 | -20.5 | -2.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 161 | 185 | 177 | 191 | -13.0 | 4.5 | -7.3 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 20 | 26 | 17 | S | -23.1 | 52.9 | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 141 | 160 | 161 | 158 | -11.9 | -0.6 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,167 | 2,240 | 2,057 | 1,971 | -3.3 | 8.9 | 4.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | Z | 75.0 | Z |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 253 | 380 | 314 | 299 | -33.4 | 21.0 | 5.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 225 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 29 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1,512 | 1,435 | 1,310 | 1,248 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 5.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items..... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1,462 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 253 | 246 | 228 | 223 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 2.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 176 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 141 | 172 | 201 | 197 | -18.0 | -14.4 | 2.0 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 4,185 | 4,276 | 4,603 | 4,773 | -2.1 | -7.1 | -3.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 870 | 884 | 860 | 826 | -1.6 | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 347 | 256 | 227 | 220 | 35.5 | 12.8 | 3.2 |
| All other operating expenses. | 2,967 | 3,137 | 3,516 | 3,728 | -5.4 | -10.8 | -5.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 31 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 34 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 87 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 2,800 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^170]Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| POSTPRODUCTION SERVICES AND OTHER MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO INDUSTRIES (NAICS 51219) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,106 | 3,965 | 3,848 | 3,720 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 2,195 | 2,127 | 2,048 | 2,074 | 3.2 | 3.9 | -1.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,815 | 1,742 | 1,673 | 1,664 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 300 | 313 | 299 | 332 | -4.2 | 4.7 | -9.9 |
| Health insurance. | 156 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans.. | 32 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 87 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 80 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 11.1 | -5.3 | -2.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 456 | 445 | 405 | 381 | 2.5 | 9.9 | 6.3 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 35 | 35 | 33 | 37 | Z | 6.1 | -10.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 421 | 410 | 372 | 343 | 2.7 | 10.2 | 8.5 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 378 | 326 | 312 | 303 | 16.0 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 12.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 49 | 43 | 37 | 35 | 14.0 | 16.2 | 5.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 45 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments... | 241 | 206 | 192 | 182 | 17.0 | 7.3 | 5.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 202 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 49 | 42 | 49 | 49 | 16.7 | -14.3 | Z |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 33 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 28 | 25 | 24 | 29 | 12.0 | 4.2 | -17.2 |
| Other operating expenses. | 1,077 | 1,067 | 1,084 | 964 | 0.9 | -1.6 | 12.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 270 | 242 | 278 | 277 | 11.6 | -12.9 | 0.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 45 | 39 | 36 | 38 | 15.4 | 8.3 | -5.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 763 | 786 | 770 | 649 | -2.9 | 2.1 | 18.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 25 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 112 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 615 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^171]Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


[^172]Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MUSIC PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51223) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2,692 | 2,552 | 2,444 | 2,268 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 7.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 663 | 603 | 533 | 508 | 10.0 | 13.1 | 4.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 545 | 524 | 459 | 439 | 4.0 | 14.2 | 4.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 75 | 73 | 66 | 61 | 2.7 | 10.6 | 8.2 |
| Health insurance. | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 24 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | S | 6 | 8 | 8 | S | -25.0 | Z |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 23 | 28 | S | S | -17.9 | S | S |
| Expensed equipment. | 4 | 6 | S | 6 | -33.3 | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 19 | 22 | S | S | -13.6 | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 344 | 376 | S | S | -8.5 | S | S |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4 | 5 | S | 3 | -20.0 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 14 | 12 | S | S | 16.7 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 51 | 45 | 40 | 36 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 11.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 44 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 12 | 17 | S | S | -29.4 | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 263 | 298 | S | S | -11.7 | S | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 1,662 | 1,544 | S | S | 7.6 | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 100 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 17 | 17 | S | S | Z | S | S |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1,573 | 1,427 | S | S | 10.2 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 69 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses...................................................... | 1,489 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^173]Table 3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SOUND RECORDING STUDIOS (NAICS 51224) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 879 | 772 | 672 | 634 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 6.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 375 | 337 | 297 | 282 | 11.3 | 13.5 | 5.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 311 | 280 | 250 | 238 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 5.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 45 | 35 | 28 | 27 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 3.7 |
| Health insurance. | 20 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 18 | 22 | 20 | 17 | -18.2 | 10.0 | 17.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 69 | 59 | 48 | 49 | 16.9 | 22.9 | -2.0 |
| Expensed equipment. | 26 | 21 | 16 | 17 | 23.8 | 31.3 | -5.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 37 | 32 | 32 | S | 15.6 | Z |
| Expensed purchased services. | 131 | 117 | 105 | 99 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 6.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 5 | S | S | 4 | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 10.0 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. . | 83 | 75 | 68 | 65 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 4.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 75 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 20.0 | Z | 11.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 19 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 35.7 | 27.3 | Z |
| Other operating expenses.. | 304 | 259 | 222 | 204 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 8.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 42 | 42 | 40 | 38 | Z | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 15 | S | 13 | 12 | S | S | 8.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 247 | 200 | 168 | 153 | 23.5 | 19.0 | 9.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 163 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| RADIO NETWORKS (NAICS 515111) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 6,407 | 6,481 | 4,858 | 3,888 | -1.1 | 33.4 | 24.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,307 | 1,596 | 1,013 | 892 | -18.1 | 57.6 | 13.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,120 | 1,206 | 867 | 755 | -7.1 | 39.1 | 14.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 164 | 242 | 104 | 94 | -32.2 | 132.7 | 10.6 |
| Health insurance. | 100 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 41 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 148 | 42 | 44 | S | 252.4 | -4.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 28 | 32 | 35 | 149 | -12.5 | -8.6 | -76.5 |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | 9 | 8 | 77 | S | 12.5 | -89.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 17 | 23 | 27 | 72 | -26.1 | -14.8 | -62.5 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | S | 537 | 602 | 775 | S | -10.8 | -22.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 26 | 18 | 20 | 12 | 44.4 | -10.0 | 66.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 24 | 22 | 13 | 11 | 9.1 | 69.2 | 18.2 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | A |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 129 | 82 | 65 | 89 | 57.3 | 26.2 | -27.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 76 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 26 | 27 | 18 | 7 | -3.7 | 50.0 | 157.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.... | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | S | 388 | 487 | 656 | S | -20.3 | -25.8 |
| Other operating expenses... | 4,478 | 4,316 | 3,207 | 2,071 | 3.8 | 34.6 | 54.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 453 | 407 | 390 | S | 11.3 | 4.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 20 | 27 | 17 | 15 | -25.9 | 58.8 | 13.3 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees.. | 581 | 576 | 401 | 224 | 0.9 | 43.6 | 79.0 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only). | 205 | 213 | 143 | 164 | -3.8 | 49.0 | -12.8 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3,159 | 3,046 | 2,240 | 1,279 | 3.7 | 36.0 | 75.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 41 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 104 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 2,997 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| RADIO STATIONS (NAICS 515112) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9,988 | 9,907 | 10,155 | 9,920 | 0.8 | -2.4 | 2.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,326 | 5,092 | 5,120 | 5,002 | 4.6 | -0.5 | 2.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,502 | 4,368 | 4,408 | 4,353 | 3.1 | -0.9 | 1.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 650 | 633 | 612 | 550 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 11.3 |
| Health insurance. | 289 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 104 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 17 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 86 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 257 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 174 | 90 | 100 | 99 | 93.3 | -10.0 | 1.0 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 107 | 117 | 123 | 111 | -8.5 | -4.9 | 10.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 35 | 37 | 41 | 35 | -5.4 | -9.8 | 17.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 72 | 80 | 82 | 76 | -10.0 | -2.4 | 7.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1,126 | 1,073 | 1,046 | 980 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 6.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 40 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 148 | 163 | 144 | 131 | -9.2 | 13.2 | 9.9 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 126 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 355 | 330 | 342 | 305 | 7.6 | -3.5 | 12.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 28 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 327 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 91 | 79 | 85 | 82 | 15.2 | -7.1 | 3.7 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 41 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 492 | 447 | 429 | 416 | 10.1 | 4.2 | 3.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3,429 | 3,626 | 3,867 | 3,827 | -5.4 | -6.2 | 1.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 461 | 487 | 491 | 566 | -5.3 | -0.8 | -13.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 395 | 415 | 489 | 477 | -4.8 | -15.1 | 2.5 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 768 | 711 | 587 | 568 | 8.0 | 21.1 | 3.3 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only) | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses... | 1,755 | 1,967 | 2,219 | 2,132 | -10.8 | -11.4 | 4.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 121 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 232 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,366 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^174]Table 3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELEVISION BROADCASTING (NAICS 51512) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 29,803 | 28,481 | 28,533 | 28,312 | 4.6 | -0.2 | 0.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 8,869 | 8,316 | 7,796 | 7,455 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 4.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 7,371 | 7,044 | 6,646 | 6,360 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 4.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits | 1,337 | 1,153 | 1,049 | 1,002 | 16.0 | 9.9 | 4.7 |
| Health insurance. | 602 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 303 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 156 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 147 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 431 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 161 | 119 | 100 | 93 | 35.3 | 19.0 | 7.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 207 | 228 | 241 | 241 | -9.2 | -5.4 | Z |
| Expensed equipment. | 68 | 66 | 66 | 70 | 3.0 | Z | -5.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 139 | 162 | 175 | 172 | -14.2 | -7.4 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,028 | 1,873 | 1,889 | 1,820 | 8.3 | -0.8 | 3.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 70 | 52 | 43 | 37 | 34.6 | 20.9 | 16.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 331 | 285 | 255 | 258 | 16.1 | 11.8 | -1.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 314 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 502 | 447 | 415 | 407 | 12.3 | 7.7 | 2.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 200 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 303 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 210 | 190 | 177 | 184 | 10.5 | 7.3 | -3.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 145 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 65 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 914 | 899 | 999 | 935 | 1.7 | -10.0 | 6.8 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 18,699 | 18,064 | 18,607 | 18,796 | 3.5 | -2.9 | -1.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1,675 | 1,543 | 1,526 | 1,568 | 8.6 | 1.1 | -2.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 126 | 158 | 168 | 200 | -20.3 | -6.0 | -16.0 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 11,216 | 10,765 | 10,937 | 10,931 | 4.2 | -1.6 | 0.1 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only) | 463 | 518 | 668 | 642 | -10.6 | -22.5 | 4.0 |
| All other operating expenses........ | 5,220 | 5,080 | 5,308 | 5,456 | 2.8 | -4.3 | -2.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services... | 80 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 186 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 526 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 4,415 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CABLE AND OTHER SUBSCRIPTION PROGRAMMING (NAICS 5152) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 26,728 | 24,841 | 23,469 | 21,003 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 11.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,242 | 4,588 | 4,677 | 4,021 | 14.3 | -1.9 | 16.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3,907 | 3,550 | 3,737 | 3,205 | 10.1 | -5.0 | 16.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 824 | 627 | 619 | 539 | 31.4 | 1.3 | 14.8 |
| Health insurance. | 266 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 161 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 84 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 397 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 511 | 411 | 321 | 276 | 24.3 | 28.0 | 16.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 405 | 305 | 265 | 255 | 32.8 | 15.1 | 3.9 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 63 | 48 | 53 | 58 | 31.3 | -9.4 | -8.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 342 | 256 | 212 | 197 | 33.6 | 20.8 | 7.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,245 | 2,643 | 2,224 | 1,872 | -15.1 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 50 | 52 | 43 | 36 | -3.8 | 20.9 | 19.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 50 | 50 | 48 | 49 | Z | 4.2 | -2.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 42 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 335 | 457 | 515 | 432 | -26.7 | -11.3 | 19.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 135 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 200 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 84 | 93 | 97 | S | -9.7 | -4.1 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 57 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,725 | 1,992 | 1,521 | 1,235 | -13.4 | 31.0 | 23.2 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 18,835 | 17,305 | 16,303 | 14,856 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 9.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 2,714 | 2,591 | S | S | 4.7 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 77 | 103 | 133 | 120 | -25.2 | -22.6 | 10.8 |
| Program and production costs. | 12,394 | 11,227 | 10,452 | 10,063 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 3.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 3,650 | 3,384 | 3,098 | 2,294 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 35.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 275 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 90 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 308 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................. | 2,975 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET PUBLISHING AND BROADCASTING (NAICS 516) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,784 | 11,272 | 9,084 | 7,056 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 28.7 |
| Personnel costs | 5,732 | 4,901 | 3,936 | 3,087 | 17.0 | 24.5 | 27.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,447 | 3,917 | 3,138 | 2,394 | 13.5 | 24.8 | 31.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 968 | 712 | 562 | 479 | 36.0 | 26.7 | 17.3 |
| Health insurance. | 330 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 527 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 272 | 236 | 214 | S | 15.3 | 10.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | S | 327 | 317 | 239 | S | 3.2 | 32.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | S | 140 | 134 | 104 | S | 4.5 | 28.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 187 | 183 | 135 | S | 2.2 | 35.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,472 | 2,000 | 1,547 | 1,218 | 23.6 | 29.3 | 27.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 275 | 194 | 78 | S | 41.8 | 148.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 22 | 23 | 16 | 14 | -4.3 | 43.8 | 14.3 |
| Purchased electricity. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 455 | 383 | 344 | 281 | 18.8 | 11.3 | 22.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 378 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | 107 | 100 | 105 | S | 7.0 | -4.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,607 | 1,211 | 893 | 741 | 32.7 | 35.6 | 20.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 5,190 | 4,044 | 3,285 | 2,512 | 28.3 | 23.1 | 30.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 910 | 792 | 767 | S | 14.9 | 3.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 90 | 73 | 62 | 53 | 23.3 | 17.7 | 17.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4,076 | 3,061 | S | 1,692 | 33.2 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 487 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 746 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WIRED TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS (NAICS 5171) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 158,626 | 168,750 | 176,407 | 187,800 | -6.0 | -4.3 | -6.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 55,286 | 60,084 | 59,534 | 60,871 | -8.0 | 0.9 | -2.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 39,631 | 42,940 | 40,242 | 40,325 | -7.7 | 6.7 | -0.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 13,765 | 15,378 | 16,387 | 17,022 | -10.5 | -6.2 | -3.7 |
| Health insurance. | 9,001 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1,977 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1,005 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 972 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2,788 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 1,889 | 1,766 | 2,905 | 3,523 | 7.0 | -39.2 | -17.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6,834 | 6,407 | 6,291 | 6,365 | 6.7 | 1.8 | -1.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 234 | 314 | 401 | 448 | -25.5 | -21.7 | -10.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6,601 | 6,093 | S | 5,917 | 8.3 | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 9,827 | 11,356 | 11,521 | 12,238 | -13.5 | -1.4 | -5.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,076 | 1,604 | 1,546 | 1,601 | -32.9 | 3.8 | -3.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1,485 | 1,718 | 1,703 | 1,667 | -13.6 | 0.9 | 2.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 1,394 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels)... | 92 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 3,349 | 3,760 | 3,815 | 3,990 | -10.9 | -1.4 | -4.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 885 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2,464 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,200 | 1,478 | 2,152 | 2,280 | -18.8 | -31.3 | -5.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 841 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 359 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 2,716 | 2,796 | 2,306 | 2,700 | -2.9 | 21.2 | -14.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 86,680 | 90,903 | 99,061 | 108,326 | -4.6 | -8.2 | -8.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 34,718 | 35,621 | 35,608 | 38,398 | -2.5 | Z | -7.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4,572 | 5,368 | 5,044 | 5,217 | -14.8 | 6.4 | -3.3 |
| Access charges. | 20,275 | 23,686 | 31,494 | 33,456 | -14.4 | -24.8 | -5.9 |
| Universal service contributions (USC). | 2,535 | 2,857 | 4,249 | 3,356 | -11.3 | -32.8 | 26.6 |
| All other operating expenses. | 24,580 | 23,371 | 22,665 | 27,898 | 5.2 | 3.1 | -18.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,138 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services... | 435 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 131 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 9,210 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 13,666 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PAGING (NAICS 517211) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1,221 | 1,528 | 1,750 | 1,560 | -20.1 | -12.7 | 12.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 427 | 460 | 568 | 498 | -7.2 | -19.0 | 14.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 329 | 334 | 419 | 372 | -1.5 | -20.3 | 12.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 83 | 75 | 83 | 74 | 10.7 | -9.6 | 12.2 |
| Health insurance.. | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | ZZ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 33 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 51 | 66 | 51 | S | -22.7 | 29.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 55 | S | 107 | 104 | S | S | 2.9 |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | S | 8 | 9 | S | S | -11.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 48 | S | 99 | 95 | S | S | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 298 | 288 | 334 | 280 | 3.5 | -13.8 | 19.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | S | 3 | 2 | S | S | 50.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | -6.7 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 238 | 214 | 261 | 215 | 11.2 | -18.0 | 21.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 234 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 21 | 33 | 42 | 36 | -36.4 | -21.4 | 16.7 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 12 | S | 15 | 14 | S | S | 7.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 441 | S | 741 | 679 | S | S | 9.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 118 | 201 | 263 | 246 | -41.3 | -23.6 | 6.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 20 | 28 | 41 | 33 | -28.6 | -31.7 | 24.2 |
| Access charges... | 26 | 96 | 106 | 98 | -72.9 | -9.4 | 8.2 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 278 | S | 331 | 302 | S | S | 9.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 94 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 65 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 104 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CELLULAR AND OTHER WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 517212) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 136,597 | 133,515 | 120,793 | 103,746 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 16.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 25,593 | 24,632 | 23,311 | 19,649 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 18.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 18,040 | 17,910 | 16,801 | 14,230 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 18.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 5,192 | 4,107 | 3,663 | 3,637 | 26.4 | 12.1 | 0.7 |
| Health insurance. | 2,332 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1,894 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1,626 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 269 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 965 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,361 | 2,615 | 2,847 | 1,783 | -9.7 | -8.1 | 59.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 13,777 | 12,001 | 10,058 | 9,826 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 2.4 |
| Expensed equipment. | D | 822 | 757 | 886 | D | 8.6 | -14.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | D | 11,180 | 9,302 | 8,940 | D | 20.2 | 4.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 18,774 | 17,341 | 16,481 | 15,615 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,355 | 1,210 | 1,095 | 916 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 19.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 994 | 862 | 710 | S | 15.3 | 21.4 |
| Purchased electricity. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 5,914 | 5,549 | 5,496 | 4,792 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 14.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.. | 224 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5,690 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,294 | 1,258 | 1,128 | S | 2.9 | 11.5 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1,173 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 121 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 9,304 | 8,331 | 7,900 | 7,823 | 11.7 | 5.5 | 1.0 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 78,454 | 79,540 | 70,942 | 58,656 | -1.4 | 12.1 | 20.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 26,844 | 26,134 | 22,356 | 16,914 | 2.7 | 16.9 | 32.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,627 | 1,437 | 1,353 | 1,199 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 12.8 |
| Access charges. | 5,578 | 5,527 | 6,231 | 5,529 | 0.9 | -11.3 | 12.7 |
| Universal service contributions (USC) and other similar charges. | 3,316 | 2,961 | 2,516 | 1,842 | 12.0 | 17.7 | 36.6 |
| All other operating expenses. | 41,089 | 43,480 | 38,487 | 33,172 | -5.5 | 13.0 | 16.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 3,002 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses | 37,657 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS (NAICS 5173) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,414 | 6,839 | 6,832 | 5,979 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 14.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,806 | 1,688 | 1,665 | 1,489 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 11.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,388 | 1,272 | 1,332 | 1,282 | 9.1 | -4.5 | 3.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 196 | 173 | 179 | 149 | 13.3 | -3.4 | 20.1 |
| Health insurance. | 101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 17 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 74 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | S | S | 57 | S | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | S | S | 222 | S | S | S |
| Expensed equipment. | S | 25 | 29 | 27 | S | -13.8 | 7.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | S | S | 196 | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services... | S | 710 | 695 | 630 | S | 2.2 | 10.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 56 | 67 | 89 | S | -16.4 | -24.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 30 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments | S | 181 | 178 | 162 | S | 1.7 | 9.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 396 | 358 | 327 | 269 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 21.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 4,142 | 4,009 | 4,061 | 3,638 | 3.3 | -1.3 | 11.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 373 | 342 | 352 | S | 9.1 | -2.8 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 78 | 91 | 89 | 78 | -14.3 | 2.2 | 14.1 |
| Access charges. | 1,534 | 1,702 | 1,625 | 1,318 | -9.9 | 4.7 | 23.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 2,152 | 1,843 | 2,005 | 1,890 | 16.8 | -8.1 | 6.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 26 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 621 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 254 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,247 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SATELLITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 5174) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5,756 | 5,093 | 4,447 | 4,329 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 2.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,627 | 1,442 | 1,272 | 1,262 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 0.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,351 | 1,168 | 1,024 | 1,008 | 15.7 | 14.1 | 1.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 218 | S | S | 218 | S | S | S |
| Health insurance. | 78 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 51 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 43 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 89 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | S | 55 | 36 | S | S | 52.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 485 | 512 | 355 | 303 | -5.3 | 44.2 | 17.2 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 24 | 19 | S | 17 | 26.3 | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 461 | 493 | 339 | 286 | -6.5 | 45.4 | 18.5 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 363 | S | 298 | 298 | S | S | Z |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11 | S | 17 | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 24 | 17 | S | S | 41.2 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity.. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 238 | S | 165 | 173 | S | S | -4.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items..... | 42 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 196 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance... | 47 | 54 | 50 | S | -13.0 | 8.0 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 32 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 43 | 48 | S | S | -10.4 | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 3,281 | S | S | 2,466 | S | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 818 | 755 | S | S | 8.3 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 34 | 31 | 30 | S | 9.7 | 3.3 | S |
| Access charges. | S | S | 617 | 614 | S | S | 0.5 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,927 | S | S | 1,097 | S | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 155 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 465 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................. | 1,286 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CABLE AND OTHER PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION (NAICS 5175) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 86,481 | 74,536 | 69,030 | 64,865 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 6.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 16,386 | 15,320 | 13,481 | 11,987 | 7.0 | 13.6 | 12.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 12,148 | 11,730 | 10,223 | 8,760 | 3.6 | 14.7 | 16.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3,052 | 2,608 | 2,405 | 2,375 | 17.0 | 8.4 | 1.3 |
| Health insurance. | 1,388 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 579 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 230 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 349 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,085 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 1,185 | 982 | 853 | 852 | 20.7 | 15.1 | 0.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 625 | 700 | 599 | 505 | -10.7 | 16.9 | 18.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | 82 | 77 | 77 | 65 | 6.5 | Z | 18.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 542 | 624 | 523 | 440 | -13.1 | 19.3 | 18.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5,318 | 4,564 | 4,250 | 3,992 | 16.5 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 270 | 218 | 242 | 212 | 23.9 | -9.9 | 14.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 589 | 619 | 545 | 531 | -4.8 | 13.6 | 2.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 574 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 15 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 861 | 807 | 778 | 836 | 6.7 | 3.7 | -6.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 134 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 727 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,005 | 798 | 790 | 787 | 25.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 806 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 199 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 2,592 | 2,122 | 1,895 | 1,626 | 22.1 | 12.0 | 16.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 64,152 | 53,952 | 50,700 | 48,381 | 18.9 | 6.4 | 4.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 17,417 | 14,749 | 14,224 | 13,901 | 18.1 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2,335 | 2,086 | 2,004 | 2,150 | 11.9 | 4.1 | -6.8 |
| Program and production costs. | 27,643 | 24,015 | 22,696 | 20,870 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 16,758 | 13,102 | 11,777 | 11,459 | 27.9 | 11.3 | 2.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 354 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 501 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 88 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 833 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................... | 14,982 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.5 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS (NAICS 518111) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,607 | 14,318 | 14,253 | 16,251 | -5.0 | 0.5 | -12.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,749 | 5,621 | 5,226 | 5,323 | 2.3 | 7.6 | -1.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,674 | 4,527 | 4,006 | 3,858 | 3.2 | 13.0 | 3.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 631 | 686 | 694 | S | -8.0 | -1.2 | S |
| Health insurance. | 249 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 281 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 443 | 407 | 526 | S | 8.8 | -22.6 | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed equipment.. | 73 | 89 | 86 | S | -18.0 | 3.5 | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1,588 | 2,474 | 3,181 | S | -35.8 | -22.2 | S |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 68 | 66 | 59 | 49 | 3.0 | 11.9 | 20.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 76 | 82 | S | S | -7.3 | S |
| Purchased electricity.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 340 | 431 | 465 | 462 | -21.1 | -7.3 | 0.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 321 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance | 69 | 80 | 81 | 89 | -13.8 | -1.2 | -9.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 49 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,004 | 1,821 | 2,493 | S | -44.9 | -27.0 | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 5,896 | 5,695 | 5,443 | S | 3.5 | 4.6 | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1,076 | 1,219 | 1,193 | S | -11.7 | 2.2 | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 52 | S | 81 | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses........ | 4,767 | 4,421 | 4,168 | S | 7.8 | 6.1 | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 215 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 1,248 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses................................................... | 2,892 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WEB SEARCH PORTALS (NAICS 518112) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 6,102 | 4,911 | 3,244 | 2,454 | 24.3 | 51.4 | 32.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 2,163 | 1,962 | 1,248 | 1,067 | 10.2 | 57.2 | 17.0 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1,594 | 1,151 | 790 | 636 | 38.5 | 45.7 | 24.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 342 | 614 | 338 | 341 | -44.3 | 81.7 | -0.9 |
| Health insurance.. | 117 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 60 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 163 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 227 | 197 | 120 | 90 | 15.2 | 64.2 | 33.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 107 | 64 | 62 | 41 | 67.2 | 3.2 | 51.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 88 | 33 | 47 | 31 | 166.7 | -29.8 | 51.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 19 | 31 | 15 | 10 | -38.7 | 106.7 | 50.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 871 | 715 | 474 | 310 | 21.8 | 50.8 | 52.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 66 | 59 | 29 | S | 11.9 | 103.4 | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 10 | 15 | 9 | S | -33.3 | 66.7 | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments... | 141 | 105 | 71 | S | 34.3 | 47.9 | S |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 137 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 65 | 31 | 16 | 9 | 109.7 | 93.8 | 77.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 56 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | S | 504 | 349 | 216 | S | 44.4 | 61.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 2,961 | 2,169 | S | S | 36.5 | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 404 | 378 | S | S | 6.9 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 29 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 26.1 | 4.5 | 15.8 |
| All other operating expenses... | 2,527 | 1,768 | S | S | 42.9 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 159 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 12 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 430 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,888 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^175]Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $200 \mathbf{7}^{\mathbf{1}}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| DATA PROCESSING, HOSTING, AND RELATED SERVICES (NAICS 5182) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 64,411 | 60,061 | 54,446 | 51,447 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 5.8 |
| Personnel costs | 31,246 | 30,364 | 27,042 | 25,338 | 2.9 | 12.3 | 6.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 24,524 | 23,461 | 21,046 | 19,665 | 4.5 | 11.5 | 7.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 4,043 | 3,955 | 3,705 | 3,584 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 3.4 |
| Health insurance. | 1,971 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 535 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 93 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 441 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,537 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,679 | 2,949 | 2,291 | 2,089 | -9.2 | 28.7 | 9.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | 3,034 | 2,620 | 2,324 | 1,795 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 29.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 748 | 823 | 751 | 728 | -9.1 | 9.6 | 3.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 2,286 | 1,797 | 1,573 | 1,068 | 27.2 | 14.2 | 47.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 7,673 | 7,595 | 7,543 | 6,698 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 12.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 1,217 | 1,304 | 1,660 | 1,194 | -6.7 | -21.4 | 39.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 641 | 546 | 454 | 432 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 5.1 |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2,891 | 3,101 | 2,960 | 2,807 | -6.8 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 790 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2,101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance | 1,311 | 1,397 | 1,269 | 1,243 | -6.2 | 10.1 | 2.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 1,136 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices | 175 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 1,613 | 1,247 | 1,201 | 1,022 | 29.4 | 3.8 | 17.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 22,457 | 19,482 | 17,537 | 17,616 | 15.3 | 11.1 | -0.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4,266 | 4,054 | 3,795 | 3,751 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 1.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 405 | 388 | 381 | 319 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 19.4 |
| All other operating expenses. | 17,786 | 15,040 | 13,361 | 13,545 | 18.3 | 12.6 | -1.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,643 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1,342 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 70 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 2,983 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................. | 11,749 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NEWS SYNDICATES (NAICS 51911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1,911 | 1,880 | 1,836 | 1,877 | 1.6 | 2.4 | -2.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,094 | 1,067 | 1,042 | 1,059 | 2.5 | 2.4 | -1.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 893 | 871 | 845 | 863 | 2.5 | 3.1 | -2.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 193 | 189 | 189 | 188 | 2.1 | Z | 0.5 |
| Health insurance. | 64 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 13 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 94 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 12.5 | Z | -11.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 80 | 90 | 91 | 100 | -11.1 | -1.1 | -9.0 |
| Expensed equipment. | 67 | 79 | 81 | 89 | -15.2 | -2.5 | -9.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8.3 | 20.0 | Z |
| Expensed purchased services. | 160 | 168 | 166 | 174 | -4.8 | 1.2 | -4.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14.3 | Z | -6.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 5 | 8 | 9 | 9 | -37.5 | -11.1 | Z |
| Purchased electricity. | 3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 93 | 94 | 92 | 97 | -1.1 | 2.2 | -5.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 31 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 62 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 26 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 4.0 | -3.8 | -7.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 19 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 20 | 26 | 26 | 25 | -23.1 | Z | 4.0 |
| Other operating expenses. | 577 | 555 | 536 | 544 | 4.0 | 3.5 | -1.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 109 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 13.5 | -1.0 | Z |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 42 | 90 | 76 | 76 | -53.3 | 18.4 | Z |
| All other operating expenses.. | 426 | 369 | 364 | 371 | 15.4 | 1.4 | -1.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 18 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 108 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 291 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| LIBRARIES AND ARCHIVES (NAICS 51912) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,969 | 1,788 | 1,720 | 1,614 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 6.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,072 | 960 | 961 | 904 | 11.7 | -0.1 | 6.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 823 | 740 | 749 | 717 | 11.2 | -1.2 | 4.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 240 | 208 | 199 | 176 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 13.1 |
| Health insurance. | 102 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 61 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 9 | S | S | 11 | S | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 194 | 159 | 137 | 137 | 22.0 | 16.1 | Z |
| Expensed equipment. | 22 | 25 | 23 | 25 | -12.0 | 8.7 | -8.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 172 | 134 | 115 | 113 | 28.4 | 16.5 | 1.8 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 246 | 213 | 200 | 189 | 15.5 | 6.5 | 5.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | -11.1 | Z | Z |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 43 | 46 | 40 | 37 | -6.5 | 15.0 | 8.1 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 34 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 134 | 82 | 79 | 78 | 63.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 52 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 82 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 37 | 50 | 47 | 43 | -26.0 | 6.4 | 9.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 11 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 26 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 16 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.... | 457 | 457 | 422 | 384 | Z | 8.3 | 9.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 79 | 70 | 68 | 67 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses. | 373 | 379 | 348 | 310 | -1.6 | 8.9 | 12.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 67 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 261 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| ALL OTHER INFORMATION SERVICES (NAICS 51919) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,315 | 2,123 | 1,905 | 1,737 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 9.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 1,139 | 1,057 | 977 | 887 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 10.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 922 | 842 | 776 | 699 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 11.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 194 | 194 | 179 | 173 | Z | 8.4 | 3.5 |
| Health insurance. | 122 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 55 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense... | 24 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 14.3 | Z | 31.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 22 | 29 | 32 | 36 | -24.1 | -9.4 | -11.1 |
| Expensed equipment. | 5 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 25.0 | -73.3 | -21.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 17 | 24 | 17 | 17 | -29.2 | 41.2 | Z |
| Expensed purchased services. | 364 | 301 | 297 | 241 | 20.9 | 1.3 | 23.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software | S | 8 | 11 | 11 | S | -27.3 | Z |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | Z | Z | 16.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | ZZ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 76 | 75 | 94 | 89 | 1.3 | -20.2 | 5.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 71 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 29 | 26 | 31 | 26 | 11.5 | -16.1 | 19.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 25 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | S | S | S | 108 | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 790 | 737 | 599 | 573 | 7.2 | 23.0 | 4.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 247 | 209 | 168 | 182 | 18.2 | 24.4 | -7.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 78 | 19 | 6 | 7 | 310.5 | 216.7 | -14.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 465 | 509 | 425 | 384 | -8.6 | 19.8 | 10.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | ZZ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 99 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 277 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. $\quad Z$ Absolute value is less than 0.05 . $\quad \mathrm{ZZ}$ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PUBLISHING INDUSTRIES (EXCEPT INTERNET) (NAICS 511) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 213,829 | 204,741 | 196,848 | 193,578 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 106,119 | 100,910 | 96,622 | 94,332 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 2.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 84,251 | 80,763 | 78,184 | 77,280 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 17,522 | 16,048 | 14,715 | 13,735 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 7.1 |
| Health insurance. | 6,734 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4,922 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2,988 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1,934 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5,866 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 4,346 | 4,099 | 3,722 | 3,317 | 6.0 | 10.1 | 12.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 7,924 | 8,903 | 8,702 | 8,784 | -11.0 | 2.3 | -0.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 1,171 | 1,212 | 1,279 | 1,203 | -3.4 | -5.2 | 6.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6,754 | 7,691 | 7,423 | 7,581 | -12.2 | 3.6 | -2.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 29,123 | 28,456 | 26,821 | 25,360 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,622 | 1,803 | 1,546 | S | -10.0 | 16.6 | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 958 | 993 | 885 | 802 | -3.5 | 12.2 | 10.3 |
| Purchased electricity. | 838 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 120 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 5,186 | 4,997 | 5,185 | 5,274 | 3.8 | -3.6 | -1.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 1,099 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4,086 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 1,634 | 1,294 | 1,246 | 1,171 | 26.3 | 3.9 | 6.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 1,115 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 519 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 11,060 | 10,693 | 10,165 | 9,235 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 10.1 |
| Purchased printing services. | 8,458 | 8,442 | 7,515 | 6,900 | 0.2 | 12.3 | 8.9 |
| Other operating expenses. | 70,663 | 66,473 | 64,702 | 65,101 | 6.3 | 2.7 | -0.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 11,406 | 10,064 | 9,792 | 9,489 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,069 | 1,102 | 1,047 | 997 | -3.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 58,189 | 55,307 | 53,863 | 54,615 | 5.2 | 2.7 | -1.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,155 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1,484 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 152 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 6,592 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 48,806 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^176]Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 512) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 75,885 | 74,257 | 72,576 | 68,632 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 5.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 20,823 | 20,757 | 19,637 | 17,545 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 11.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 18,038 | 18,159 | 17,122 | 15,231 | -0.7 | 6.1 | 12.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1,928 | 1,864 | 1,803 | 1,682 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 7.2 |
| Health insurance. | 624 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 530 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 251 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 279 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 774 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense......................................... | 857 | 734 | 712 | 632 | 16.8 | 3.1 | 12.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1,566 | 1,624 | 1,534 | 1,464 | -3.6 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 276 | 259 | 230 | 220 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 4.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 1,290 | 1,365 | 1,304 | 1,244 | -5.5 | 4.7 | 4.8 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 10,972 | 11,427 | 10,734 | 10,375 | -4.0 | 6.5 | 3.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 142 | 134 | 155 | 113 | 6.0 | -13.5 | 37.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 413 | 532 | 448 | 431 | -22.4 | 18.8 | 3.9 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 366 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3,415 | 3,503 | 3,195 | 3,002 | -2.5 | 9.6 | 6.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 245 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3,170 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 542 | 573 | 531 | 526 | -5.4 | 7.9 | 1.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................... | 212 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.............. | 330 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 6,459 | 6,685 | 6,405 | 6,303 | -3.4 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 42,523 | 40,447 | 40,670 | 39,247 | 5.1 | -0.5 | 3.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 4,402 | 4,260 | 3,952 | 4,541 | 3.3 | 7.8 | -13.0 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,762 | 1,559 | 1,501 | 1,418 | 13.0 | 3.9 | 5.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 36,359 | 34,628 | 35,217 | 33,288 | 5.0 | -1.7 | 5.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 783 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1,018 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments............................. | 82 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 4,025 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses..................................................... | 30,452 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| BROADCASTING (EXCEPT INTERNET) (NAICS 515) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 72,926 | 69,710 | 67,015 | 63,123 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 6.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 20,744 | 19,592 | 18,606 | 17,370 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 7.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 16,900 | 16,169 | 15,658 | 14,673 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2,975 | 2,655 | 2,384 | 2,184 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 9.2 |
| Health insurance. | 1,258 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 590 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 262 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 328 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,127 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense... | 869 | 768 | 563 | 513 | 13.2 | 36.4 | 9.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 747 | 682 | 664 | 757 | 9.5 | 2.7 | -12.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 177 | 160 | 169 | 240 | 10.6 | -5.3 | -29.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 570 | 522 | 495 | 517 | 9.2 | 5.5 | -4.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5,993 | 6,127 | 5,761 | 5,446 | -2.2 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 187 | 177 | 152 | 130 | 5.6 | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 553 | 520 | 459 | 448 | 6.3 | 13.3 | 2.5 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 504 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1,322 | 1,315 | 1,337 | 1,233 | 0.5 | -1.6 | 8.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 416 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 907 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 411 | 389 | 377 | 392 | 5.7 | 3.2 | -3.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 270 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 140 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 3,520 | 3,725 | 3,436 | 3,243 | -5.5 | 8.4 | 6.0 |
| Other operating expenses. | 45,441 | 43,310 | 41,984 | 39,550 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 6.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 5,362 | 5,074 | 5,045 | 4,902 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 2.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 617 | 702 | 806 | 811 | -12.1 | -12.9 | -0.6 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 12,565 | 12,052 | 11,924 | 11,724 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only) | 718 | 777 | 893 | 889 | -7.6 | -13.0 | 0.4 |
| Program and production costs. | 12,394 | 11,227 | 10,452 | 10,063 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 3.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 13,784 | 13,478 | 12,865 | 11,161 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 15.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services... | 396 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 437 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1,169 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 11,754 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET PUBLISHING AND BROADCASTING (NAICS 516) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,784 | 11,272 | 9,084 | 7,056 | 22.3 | 24.1 | 28.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,732 | 4,901 | 3,936 | 3,087 | 17.0 | 24.5 | 27.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,447 | 3,917 | 3,138 | 2,394 | 13.5 | 24.8 | 31.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 968 | 712 | 562 | 479 | 36.0 | 26.7 | 17.3 |
| Health insurance. | 330 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 527 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 272 | 236 | 214 | S | 15.3 | 10.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | 327 | 317 | 239 | S | 3.2 | 32.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | S | 140 | 134 | 104 | S | 4.5 | 28.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 187 | 183 | 135 | S | 2.2 | 35.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,472 | 2,000 | 1,547 | 1,218 | 23.6 | 29.3 | 27.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 275 | 194 | 78 | S | 41.8 | 148.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 22 | 23 | 16 | 14 | -4.3 | 43.8 | 14.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 21 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 455 | 383 | 344 | 281 | 18.8 | 11.3 | 22.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items..... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 378 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | S | 107 | 100 | 105 | S | 7.0 | -4.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,607 | 1,211 | 893 | 741 | 32.7 | 35.6 | 20.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 5,190 | 4,044 | 3,285 | 2,512 | 28.3 | 23.1 | 30.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 910 | 792 | 767 | S | 14.9 | 3.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 90 | 73 | 62 | 53 | 23.3 | 17.7 | 17.0 |
| All other operating expenses. | 4,076 | 3,061 | S | 1,692 | 33.2 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 487 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 746 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 517) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 397,903 | 391,733 | 380,731 | 369,447 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 101,867 | 104,211 | 100,302 | 96,149 | -2.2 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 73,462 | 75,800 | 70,415 | 66,289 | -3.1 | 7.6 | 6.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 22,642 | 22,647 | 22,985 | 23,540 | Z | -1.5 | -2.4 |
| Health insurance. | 12,972 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4,601 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2,876 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1,725 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5,070 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5,763 | 5,764 | 6,902 | 6,320 | Z | -16.5 | 9.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 22,400 | 20,188 | 17,850 | 17,352 | 11.0 | 13.1 | 2.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 1,121 | 1,280 | 1,294 | 1,458 | -12.4 | -1.1 | -11.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 21,278 | 18,908 | 16,556 | 15,894 | 12.5 | 14.2 | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 35,584 | 34,733 | 33,697 | 33,167 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 2,783 | 3,118 | 2,973 | 2,835 | -10.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3,047 | 3,405 | 3,178 | 2,977 | -10.5 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2,648 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 10,952 | 10,789 | 10,764 | 10,233 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 5.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | 1,338 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 9,613 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance | 3,721 | 3,729 | 4,286 | 4,636 | -0.2 | -13.0 | -7.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 2,996 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 725 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 15,082 | 13,691 | 12,497 | 12,485 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 0.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 238,053 | 232,600 | 228,881 | 222,780 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 80,697 | 78,136 | 73,814 | 70,846 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 8,687 | 9,065 | 8,579 | 8,722 | -4.2 | 5.7 | -1.6 |
| Program and production costs. | 27,643 | 24,015 | 22,696 | 20,870 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| Access charges. | 28,109 | 31,692 | 40,097 | 41,034 | -11.3 | -21.0 | -2.3 |
| Universal service contributions (USC) and other similar charges. | 5,851 | 5,818 | 6,765 | 5,199 | 0.6 | -14.0 | 30.1 |
| All other operating expenses. | 87,066 | 83,874 | 76,931 | 76,109 | 3.8 | 9.0 | 1.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,643 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2,138 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 289 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 13,909 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 69,087 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^177]Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS, WEB SEARCH PORTALS, AND DATA PROCESSING SERVICES (NAICS 518) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 84,120 | 79,290 | 71,943 | 70,152 | 6.1 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| Personnel costs | 39,158 | 37,948 | 33,516 | 31,728 | 3.2 | 13.2 | 5.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 30,793 | 29,139 | 25,841 | 24,159 | 5.7 | 12.8 | 7.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 5,016 | 5,255 | 4,737 | 4,665 | -4.5 | 10.9 | 1.5 |
| Health insurance. | 2,338 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 697 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 123 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 574 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,981 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3,349 | 3,553 | 2,938 | 2,904 | -5.7 | 20.9 | 1.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | 3,515 | 3,212 | 2,790 | 2,262 | 9.4 | 15.1 | 23.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 909 | 946 | 884 | 870 | -3.9 | 7.0 | 1.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 2,606 | 2,266 | 1,906 | 1,392 | 15.0 | 18.9 | 36.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 10,133 | 10,784 | 11,198 | 11,051 | -6.0 | -3.7 | 1.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,352 | 1,429 | 1,748 | 1,264 | -5.4 | -18.2 | 38.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 757 | 638 | 544 | S | 18.7 | 17.3 | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 737 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 20 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3,372 | 3,638 | 3,496 | 3,325 | -7.3 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items. | 813 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2,559 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,446 | 1,508 | 1,366 | 1,341 | -4.1 | 10.4 | 1.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 1,241 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 205 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 3,206 | 3,572 | 4,043 | S | -10.2 | -11.6 | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 31,314 | 27,346 | 24,439 | 25,110 | 14.5 | 11.9 | -2.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 5,747 | 5,651 | 5,227 | 5,357 | 1.7 | 8.1 | -2.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 487 | 466 | 484 | 422 | 4.5 | -3.7 | 14.7 |
| All other operating expenses. | 25,080 | 21,229 | 18,728 | 19,332 | 18.1 | 13.4 | -3.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 2,016 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2,628 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 89 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 3,818 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 16,529 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OTHER INFORMATION SERVICES (NAICS 519) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 6,195 | 5,791 | 5,461 | 5,228 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 4.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 3,306 | 3,084 | 2,981 | 2,850 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 4.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2,638 | 2,452 | 2,371 | 2,278 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 627 | 591 | 568 | 536 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Health insurance. | 288 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 112 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 75 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 37 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 227 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 41 | 41 | 42 | 35 | Z | -2.4 | 20.0 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 296 | 278 | 260 | 273 | 6.5 | 6.9 | -4.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 95 | 108 | 118 | 133 | -12.0 | -8.5 | -11.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 201 | 170 | 142 | 140 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 770 | 681 | 663 | 604 | 13.1 | 2.7 | 9.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 45 | 40 | 43 | 44 | 12.5 | -7.0 | -2.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 54 | 60 | 56 | 52 | -10.0 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 44 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 11 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 303 | 251 | 265 | 265 | 20.7 | -5.3 | Z |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 88 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 215 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 92 | 101 | 104 | 97 | -8.9 | -2.9 | 7.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. . | 54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | S | S | S | 146 | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 1,823 | 1,749 | 1,558 | 1,501 | 4.2 | 12.3 | 3.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 435 | 375 | 332 | 346 | 16.0 | 13.0 | -4.0 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 124 | 118 | 89 | 91 | 5.1 | 32.6 | -2.2 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,264 | 1,257 | 1,137 | 1,064 | 0.6 | 10.6 | 6.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 58 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 92 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 10 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 273 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 830 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. $\quad \mathrm{Z}$ Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.6.7 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 4. Securities Intermediation and Related Services

Table 4.1. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$ | 461,096 | 467,702 | 392,734 | 349,166 | 311,525 | 292,647 | 331,775 | 384,992 | 329,717 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 312,790 | 335,703 | 279,101 | 250,080 | 225,299 | 212,237 | 248,132 | 296,045 | 251,154 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | 145,576 | 170,328 | 140,411 | 127,257 | 108,306 | 98,930 | 121,942 | 145,416 | 130,292 |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 156,109 | 156,549 | 131,053 | 115,626 | 110,689 | 107,199 | 120,048 | 144,631 | 115,033 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 5,335 | 4,704 | 4,385 | 3,858 | 3,329 | 3,044 | 3,037 | 2,945 | 2,772 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | 5,770 | 4,122 | 3,252 | S | 2,975 | 3,064 | 3,105 | 3,053 | 3,057 |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 148,306 | 131,999 | 113,633 | 99,086 | 86,226 | 80,410 | 83,643 | 88,947 | 78,563 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management | 118,901 | 104,718 | 90,675 | 80,872 | 71,535 | 67,370 | 71,389 | 75,349 | 66,523 |
| 52393 | Investment advice | 29,405 | 27,281 | 22,958 | 18,214 | 14,691 | 13,040 | 12,254 | 13,598 | 12,040 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendiк A, Table A 4.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variobility.

Table 4.2. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$. | -1.4 | 19.1 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 6.5 | -11.8 | -13.8 | 16.8 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | -6.8 | 20.3 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 6.2 | -14.5 | -16.2 | 17.9 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | -14.5 | 21.3 | 10.3 | 17.5 | 9.5 | -18.9 | -16.1 | 11.6 |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | -0.3 | 19.5 | 13.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 | -10.7 | -17.0 | 25.7 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 13.4 | 7.3 | 13.7 | 15.9 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | 40.0 | 26.8 | S | S | -2.9 | -1.3 | 1.7 | -0.1 |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 12.4 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 14.9 | 7.2 | -3.9 | -6.0 | 13.2 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management | 13.5 | 15.5 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 6.2 | -5.6 | -5.3 | 13.3 |
| 52393 | Investment advice | 7.8 | 18.8 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 12.7 | 6.4 | -9.9 | 12.9 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Apperticis A, Table A-4.1 provides estimated measumes of sampling vaniability

Table 4.3. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$. | 16,730 | 13,608 | 12,280 | 10,718 | 22.9 | 10.8 | 14.6 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 7,079 | 6,235 | 5,998 | 5,774 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | S | 3,134 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 2,695 | 2,331 | 2,030 | 1,908 | 15.6 | 14.8 | 6.4 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 385 | 687 | 1,222 | 2,106 | -44.0 | -43.8 | -42.0 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | S | 83 | 95 | 80 | S | -12.6 | 18.8 |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 9,651 | 7,373 | 6,282 | 4,944 | 30.9 | 17.4 | 27.1 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management | 8,913 | 6,861 | 5,792 | 4,576 | 29.9 | 18.5 | 26.6 |
| 52393 | Investment advice ...... | 738 | 512 | 490 | 368 | 44.1 | 4.5 | 33.2 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-4.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 4.4. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INVESTMENT BANKING AND SECURITIES DEALING <br> AND BROKERAGE (NAICS 5231Y) ${ }^{1}$ <br> Operating Revenue <br> Total $\qquad$ 301,685 <br> 326,877 <br> 27 <br> 271,464 <br> 242,883 <br> $-7.7$ <br> 20.4 <br> 11.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities origination products | 32,702 | 31,672 | 28,801 | 25,492 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 13.0 |
| Brokering and dealing products - debt instruments | 34,387 | 43,838 | 35,158 | 33,906 | -21.6 | 24.7 | 3.7 |
| Brokering and dealing products - equities | 40,104 | 38,574 | 35,824 | 38,432 | 4.0 | 7.7 | -6.8 |
| Brokering and dealing products - derivative contracts | 16,159 | 13,242 | 12,516 | S | 22.0 | 5.8 | S |
| Brokering and dealing investment company securities | 13,930 | 12,938 | 11,236 | 11,936 | 7.7 | 15.1 | -5.9 |
| Repurchase agreements - net gains (losses) | -3,011 | 4,668 | S | S | -164.5 | S | S |
| Trading debt instruments on own account - net gains (losses) | -13,746 | 14,455 | 8,775 | S | -195.1 | 64.7 | S |
| Trading equities on own account - net gains (losses) | S | 20,353 | 13,061 | 10,131 | S | 55.8 | 28.9 |
| Trading derivative contracts on own account - net gains (losses) | 13,447 | 4,832 | S | 4,963 | 178.3 | S | S |
| Financial planning and investment management services for individuals | 21,172 | 19,200 | 18,160 | 16,039 | 10.3 | 5.7 | 13.2 |
| Financial planning and investment management services for businesses | 14,061 | 12,618 | 11,727 | 11,182 | 11.4 | 7.6 | 4.9 |
| Financial planning and investment management services for governments | 1,133 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue | 127,855 | 109,234 | 78,767 | 68,910 | 17.0 | 38.7 | 14.3 |
| COMMODITY CONTRACTS DEALING AND BROKERAGE (NAICS 5231X) ${ }^{2}$ Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,105 | 8,826 | 7,637 | 7,197 | 25.8 | 15.6 | 6.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brokering and dealing products - derivative contracts | 4,132 | 2,590 | 2,219 | 1,806 | 59.5 | 16.7 | 22.9 |
| Brokering and dealing products - equities | 717 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Brokering and dealing foreign currency fees - wholesale | 286 | 262 | 222 | 180 | 9.2 | 18.0 | 23.3 |
| Brokering and dealing other financial instruments | 858 | 729 | 628 | S | 17.7 | 16.1 | S |
| Trading derivative contracts on own account - net gains (losses) | 999 | 1,118 | S | 397 | -10.6 | S | S |
| Trading foreign currency on own account - net gains (losses) | 249 | 103 | 87 | 130 | 141.7 | 18.4 | -33.1 |
| Management of financial market clearing products | 389 | 413 | 528 | 549 | -5.8 | -21.8 | -3.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 3,474 | 3,087 | 2,930 | 3,354 | 12.5 | 5.4 | -12.6 |
| OTHER FINANCIAL INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES (NAICS 5239X) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 148,306 | 131,999 | 113,633 | 99,086 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 14.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial planning and investment management services for individuals | 38,995 | 32,291 | 26,413 | 21,509 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 22.8 |
| Financial planning and investment management services for businesses ... | 59,044 | 51,038 | 44,529 | 36,679 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 21.4 |
| Financial planning and investment management services for governments | 2,372 | 2,177 | 2,083 | 1,836 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 13.5 |
| Brokering and dealing products - equities | 7,892 | 7,415 | 7,026 | 7,175 | 6.4 | 5.5 | -2.1 |
| Trust products | 6,819 | 6,449 | 5,455 | 4,956 | 5.7 | 18.2 | 10.1 |
| All other operating revenue ..... | 33,185 | 32,630 | 28,125 | 26,931 | 1.7 | 16.0 | 4.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 52311 (Investment banking and securities dealing) and NAICS 52312 (Securities brokerage).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 52313 (Commodity contracts dealing) and NAICS 52314 (Commodity contracts brokerage).
${ }^{3}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

[^178]Table 4.5. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$. | 405,108 | 370,537 | 317,813 | 271,639 | 9.3 | 16.6 | 17.0 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 304,401 | 284,160 | 238,620 | 200,032 | 7.1 | 19.1 | 19.3 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | 161,952 | 152,542 | 129,758 | 105,564 | 6.2 | 17.6 | 22.9 |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 134,856 | 125,964 | 104,526 | 90,185 | 7.1 | 20.5 | 15.9 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 3,084 | 2,392 | 1,839 | 1,512 | 28.9 | 30.1 | 21.6 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | 4,509 | S | 2,497 | S | S | S | S |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 100,707 | 86,377 | 79,193 | 71,607 | 16.6 | 9.1 | 10.6 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management | 79,366 | 67,139 | 61,619 | 56,726 | 18.2 | 9.0 | 8.6 |
| 52393 | Investment advice | 21,341 | 19,238 | 17,574 | 14,881 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 18.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-4 4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 4.6. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-4.5 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 5. Rental and Leasing Services

Table 5.1. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 123,041 | 118,507 | 108,612 | 102,863 | 96,387 | 95,108 | 96,932 | 98,504 | 91,207 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing | 48,063 | 46,872 | 43,737 | 41,126 | 37,007 | 35,779 | 36,035 | 37,231 | 34,821 |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing | 28,578 | 27,649 | 25,925 | 24,793 | 23,007 | 22,683 | 22,485 | 22,949 | 21,366 |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | 23,021 | 22,633 | 21,298 | 20,428 | 18,650 | 18,621 | 18,607 | 18,971 | 17,517 |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 5,557 | 5,016 | 4,627 | 4,365 | 4,357 | 4,062 | 3,878 | 3,978 | 3,849 |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing | 19,485 | 19,223 | 17,812 | 16,333 | 14,000 | 13,096 | 13,550 | 14,282 | 13,455 |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental | 23,844 | 23,245 | 22,747 | 23,412 | 21,923 | 20,701 | 20,760 | 20,159 | 19,399 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances | 3,594 | 3,615 | 3,482 | 3,706 | 3,463 | 3,456 | 3,292 | 3,017 | 2,835 |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | 697 | 664 | 733 | 788 | 875 | 922 | 891 | 869 | 857 |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | 10,069 | 9,844 | 9,507 | 10,604 | 10,053 | 9,364 | 9,584 | 9,569 | 9,303 |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | 9,484 | 9,122 | 9,025 | 8,314 | 7,532 | 6,959 | 6,993 | 6,704 | 6,404 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | 5,458 | 5,192 | 4,854 | 4,667 | 4,240 | 3,818 | 3,607 | 3,211 | 2,957 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$ | 4,026 | 3,930 | 4,171 | 3,647 | 3,292 | 3,141 | 3,386 | 3,493 | 3,447 |
| 5323 | General rental centers | 4,417 | 4,104 | 3,784 | 3,710 | 3,611 | 3,387 | 3,337 | 3,636 | 3,395 |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 46,717 | 44,286 | 38,344 | 34,615 | 33,846 | 35,241 | 36,800 | 37,478 | 33,592 |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing ........... | 24,712 | 23,768 | 20,538 | 17,991 | 18,388 | 18,414 | 18,631 | 18,016 | 15,234 |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 3,119 | 2,878 | 2,793 | 2,642 | 3,326 | 4,380 | 6,000 | 7,125 | 6,632 |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 18,886 | 17,640 | 15,013 | 13,982 | 12,132 | 12,447 | 12,169 | 12,337 | 11,726 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A. Table A-5.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 5.2. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 3.8 | 9.1 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 1.3 | -1.9 | -1.6 | 8.0 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing | 2.5 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 11.1 | 3.4 | -0.7 | -3.2 | 6.9 |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing .. | 3.4 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | -2.0 | 7.4 |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental ................................. | 1.7 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -1.9 | 8.3 |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 10.8 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 | -2.5 | 3.4 |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing | 1.4 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 16.7 | 6.9 | -3.4 | -5.1 | 6.1 |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental ................................... | 2.6 | 2.2 | -2.8 | 6.8 | 5.9 | -0.3 | 3.0 | 3.9 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental ........... | -0.6 | 3.8 | -6.0 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 9.1 | 6.4 |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | 5.0 | -9.4 | -7.0 | -9.9 | -5.1 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental. | 2.3 | 3.5 | -10.3 | 5.5 | 7.4 | -2.3 | 0.2 | 2.9 |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | 4.0 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 8.2 | -0.5 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | 5.1 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 12.3 | 8.6 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$. | 2.4 | -5.8 | 14.4 | 10.8 | 4.8 | -7.2 | -3.1 | 1.3 |
| 5323 | General rental centers | 7.6 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 1.5 | -8.2 | 7.1 |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 5.5 | 15.5 | 10.8 | 2.3 | -4.0 | -4.2 | -1.8 | 11.6 |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing ........ | 4.0 | 15.7 | 14.2 | -2.2 | -0.1 | -1.2 | 3.4 | 18.3 |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing .. | 8.4 | 3.0 | 5.7 | -20.6 | -24.1 | -27.0 | -15.8 | 7.4 |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 7.1 | 17.5 | 7.4 | 15.2 | -2.5 | 2.3 | -1.4 | 5.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-5.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 5.3. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 606 | 509 | 422 | 545 | 19.1 | 20.6 | -22.6 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing .............................. | 58 | S | 87 | S | S | S | S |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing ...................................... | D | 34 | S | S | D | S | S |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 20 | 16 | ZZ | ZZ | 25.0 | Z | Z |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing | D | S | S | 28 | D | S | S |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental. | S | 13 | 13 | 16 | S | Z | -18.8 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | S | 5 | 5 | S | S | Z | S |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | S | 7 | 7 | 10 | S | Z | -30.0 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | S | 7 | 7 | 10 | S | Z | -30.0 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$. | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 5323 | General rental centers . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 533 | 398 | S | 457 | 33.9 | S | S |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 419 | 316 | S | S | 32.6 | S | S |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 110 | 78 | S | 166 | 41.0 | S | S |

NA Not available. $\quad \mathrm{Z}$ Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-5.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 5.4. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 93,530 | 90,028 | 82,375 | 80,678 | 3.9 | 9.3 | 2.1 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing | 41,117 | 39,638 | 36,729 | 34,898 | 3.7 | 7.9 | 5.2 |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing | 24,480 | 23,635 | 21,792 | 20,949 | 3.6 | 8.5 | 4.0 |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | 20,542 | 20,069 | 18,427 | 17,750 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 3.8 |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 3,938 | 3,566 | 3,365 | 3,199 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 16,637 | 16,003 | 14,937 | 13,949 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental | 17,595 | 16,814 | 16,179 | 16,857 | 4.6 | 3.9 | -4.0 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental | 2,911 | 2,894 | 3,031 | 3,394 | 0.6 | -4.5 | -10.7 |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | 530 | 541 | 585 | 630 | -2.0 | -7.5 | -7.1 |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | 7,429 | 6,911 | 6,588 | 7,395 | 7.5 | 4.9 | -10.9 |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | 6,725 | 6,468 | 5,974 | 5,438 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 9.9 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | 3,525 | 3,300 | 3,068 | 2,856 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$. | 3,200 | 3,168 | 2,906 | 2,582 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 12.5 |
| 5323 | General rental centers | 3,599 | 3,305 | 3,069 | 3,125 | 8.9 | 7.7 | -1.8 |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 31,219 | 30,271 | 26,399 | 25,799 | 3.1 | 14.7 | 2.3 |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing .... | 16,243 | 15,577 | 13,942 | 14,024 | 4.3 | 11.7 | -0.6 |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 1,130 | 1,086 | 1,073 | 1,026 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 4.6 |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 13,846 | 13,607 | 11,384 | 10,749 | 1.8 | 19.5 | 5.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-5.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 5.5. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 93,530 | 90,028 | 82,375 | 80,678 | 3.9 | 9.3 | 2.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 27,892 | 26,457 | 25,143 | 23,341 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 7.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 23,373 | 22,274 | 21,160 | 19,598 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 8.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3,960 | 3,584 | 3,437 | 3,258 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 5.5 |
| Health insurance. | 1,413 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 516 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 167 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 349 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2,031 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 559 | 599 | 547 | 486 | -6.7 | 9.5 | 12.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4,993 | 5,223 | 4,649 | 4,325 | -4.4 | 12.3 | 7.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | S | 579 | 563 | 512 | S | 2.8 | 10.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 4,389 | 4,644 | 4,086 | 3,814 | -5.5 | 13.7 | 7.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 13,493 | 12,637 | 11,584 | 11,882 | 6.8 | 9.1 | -2.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 191 | 214 | 222 | 212 | -10.7 | -3.6 | 4.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 742 | 746 | 631 | 698 | -0.5 | 18.2 | -9.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 460 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 282 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments | 7,648 | 6,636 | 5,991 | 6,167 | 15.3 | 10.8 | -2.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 2,576 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 5,072 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 3,191 | 3,275 | 3,022 | 3,033 | -2.6 | 8.4 | -0.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 2,246 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,721 | 1,767 | 1,717 | 1,772 | -2.6 | 2.9 | -3.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 47,152 | 45,712 | 40,999 | 41,130 | 3.2 | 11.5 | -0.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 20,738 | 19,325 | 17,949 | 18,441 | 7.3 | 7.7 | -2.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,744 | 1,489 | 1,486 | 1,439 | 17.1 | 0.2 | 3.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 24,670 | 24,897 | 21,564 | 21,250 | -0.9 | 15.5 | 1.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 206 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 586 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 136 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1,232 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-5.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 6. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services

Table 6.1. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$. | 1,247,590 | 1,137,804 | 1,052,303 | 966,437 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 54* | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{2}$ | 1,217,741 | 1,110,444 | 1,027,187 | 943,076 | 879,725 | 846,056 | 838,374 | 803,527 | 727,800 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$ | 251,532 | 235,768 | 221,852 | 209,475 | 199,915 | 180,042 | 171,597 | 160,619 | 154,184 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 237,432 | 221,301 | 207,925 | 197,385 | 187,819 | 170,808 | 162,958 | 152,834 | 146,236 |
| 54119 | Other legal services | 14,100 | 14,467 | 13,927 | 12,090 | 12,096 | 9,234 | 8,639 | 7,785 | 7,948 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services | 121,290 | 109,136 | 100,950 | 92,884 | 87,791 | 84,073 | 82,845 | 79,361 | 71,694 |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants | 63,206 | 57,744 | 54,508 | 50,679 | 47,835 | 48,498 | 49,635 | 45,773 | 42,145 |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services | 6,686 | 5,977 | 5,416 | 4,944 | 4,468 | 4,129 | 3,765 | 3,347 | 3,074 |
| 541214 | Payroll services | 36,158 | 31,316 | 27,856 | 25,359 | 24,366 | 21,418 | 20,149 | 21,394 | 18,829 |
| 541219 | Other accounting ser | 15,240 | 14,099 | 13,170 | 11,902 | 11,122 | 10,028 | 9,296 | 8,847 | 7,646 |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related ser | 243,843 | 223,004 | 204,983 | 184,292 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5413* | Architectural, engineering, and related services ${ }^{3}$ | 238,476 | 217,965 | 200,408 | 180,073 | 160,917 | 158,266 | 157,488 | 150,269 | 133,942 |
| 54131 | Architectural services | 40,068 | 34,721 | 31,668 | 28,609 | 26,851 | 25,240 | 26,719 | 25,021 | 22,345 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectura | 5,367 | 5,038 | 4,575 | 4,218 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 54133 | Engineering services | 172,744 | 161,099 | 148,352 | 132,814 | 117,509 | 116,887 | 115,837 | 111,929 | 98,955 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories | 14,096 | 11,185 | 10,380 | 9,908 | 8,849 | 8,771 | 7,865 | 7,128 | 6,930 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{4}$ | 11,568 | 10,960 | 10,008 | 8,742 | 7,708 | 7,368 | 7,067 | 6,191 | 5,712 |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 25,851 | 23,542 | 21,556 | 19,617 | 18,090 | 17,076 | 17,776 | 17,889 | 16,156 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | 11,281 | 10,037 | 8,838 | 8,061 | 7,497 | 7,018 | 6,897 | 6,798 | 6,269 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services | 9,874 | 9,595 | 9,221 | 8,528 | 8,269 | 8,096 | 8,796 | 8,962 | 8,055 |
| 5414y | All other design services ${ }^{5}$ | 4,695 | 3,910 | 3,497 | 3,028 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 228,623 | 202,281 | 188,266 | 173,525 | 171,393 | 173,414 | 183,878 | 186,402 | 164,776 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services | 79,751 | 68,323 | 64,289 | 58,303 | 58,140 | 60,126 | 65,578 | 70,004 | 62,699 |
| 541512 | Computer systems design | 101,211 | 91,908 | 84,995 | 77,042 | 76,992 | 78,335 | 80,787 | 82,763 | 72,360 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities managemen | 26,694 | 23,934 | 22,748 | 23,422 | 22,518 | 22,279 | 25,435 | 21,816 | 20,260 |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | 20,967 | 18,116 | 16,234 | 14,758 | 13,743 | 12,674 | 12,078 | 11,819 | 9,457 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical cons | 165,248 | 149,767 | 137,364 | 121,709 | 108,783 | 105,452 | 99,511 | 90,129 | 81,646 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services | 137,119 | 125,546 | 115,877 | 102,452 | 92,129 | 90,914 | 86,024 | 78,250 | 71,239 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting servi | 12,022 | 10,416 | 9,094 | 8,748 | 7,902 | 6,933 | 6,213 | 5,578 | 5,029 |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services | 16,107 | 13,805 | 12,393 | 10,509 | 8,752 | 7,605 | 7,274 | 6,301 | 5,378 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 75,171 | 68,607 | 60,169 | 54,272 | 48,142 | 45,983 | 41,568 | 35,587 | 30,783 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 72,427 | 66,183 | 57,679 | 51,994 | 46,104 | 44,089 | 39,870 | 34,029 | 29,345 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 2,744 | 2,424 | 2,490 | 2,278 | 2,038 | 1,894 | 1,698 | 1,558 | 1,438 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 79,033 | 72,911 | 67,045 | 63,585 | 58,629 | 56,681 | 58,634 | 59,680 | 53,065 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies | 30,824 | 27,976 | 25,482 | 24,551 | 22,396 | 21,104 | 21,268 | 21,584 | 18,241 |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 9,361 | 8,635 | 7,546 | 6,954 | 6,305 | 6,205 | 6,156 | 6,501 | 5,118 |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies | 1,714 | 1,704 | 1,478 | 1,405 | 1,063 | 1,150 | 1,025 | 1,036 | 873 |
| 54184 | Media representatives | 2,655 | 2,352 | 2,267 | 2,096 | 2,712 | 2,729 | 3,118 | 3,247 | 3,009 |
| 54185 | Display advertising .. | 7,368 | 6,611 | 6,181 | 5,440 | 4,925 | 4,617 | 4,509 | 4,752 | 4,419 |

[^179]Table 6.1. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | 12,255 | 12,371 | 12,190 | 11,570 | 10,648 | 10,544 | 10,739 | 10,351 | 9,914 |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{6}$ | 14,856 | 13,262 | 11,901 | 11,568 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 56,998 | 52,788 | 50,119 | 47,080 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5419* | Other professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{7}$ | 32,517 | 30,467 | 29,577 | 27,936 | 26,065 | 25,069 | 25,077 | 23,591 | 21,554 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 13,872 | 13,170 | 12,283 | 11,851 | 11,118 | 10,890 | 11,412 | 11,683 | 11,016 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 7,615 | 7,342 | 7,268 | 6,984 | 6,758 | 6,538 | 6,760 | 6,613 | 6,415 |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait | 5,579 | 5,272 | 5,262 | 5,031 | 4,879 | 4,752 | 4,773 | 4,642 | 4,626 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography | 2,036 | 2,070 | 2,006 | 1,953 | 1,879 | 1,786 | 1,987 | 1,971 | 1,789 |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services | 1,393 | 1,166 | 1,121 | 1,093 | 968 | 876 | 850 | 810 | 750 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services .. | 24,481 | 22,321 | 20,541 | 19,144 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services ....... | 9,637 | 8,789 | 8,905 | 8,008 | 7,221 | 6,765 | 6,055 | 4,485 | 3,373 |

NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries), NAICS 54132 (Landscape architectural services) and NAICS 54194 (Veterinary services).
${ }^{3}$ Excludes NAICS 54132 (Landscape architectural services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137
(Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{3}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{6}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).
${ }^{7}$ Excludes NAICS 54194 (Veterinary services).

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability

Table 6.2. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


[^180]Table 6.2. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendin $\Lambda$, Table $\Lambda$ 6.1 provides estimated meacures of campling variability.

Table 6.3. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services | 28,979 | 27,152 | 24,986 | 22,933 | 20,996 | 20,554 | 19,138 | 18,770 | 17,596 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers ............................................. | 2,792 | 2,683 | 2,526 | 2,416 | 2,182 | 2,056 | 1,986 | 1,930 | 1,831 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 26,187 | 24,469 | 22,460 | 20,517 | 18,814 | 18,498 | 17,152 | 16,840 | 15,765 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 22,592 | 21,395 | 19,791 | 17,995 | 16,577 | 16,364 | 15,097 | 14,942 | 14,047 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities $\qquad$ | 3,595 | 3,074 | 2,669 | 2,522 | 2,237 | 2,134 | 2,055 | 1,898 | 1,718 |

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.4. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services | 6.7 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 6.7 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers .................................................. | 4.1 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 5.4 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services ...................... | 7.0 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 6.8 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 5.6 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 6.4 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 16.9 | 15.2 | 5.8 | 12.7 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 10.5 |

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.5. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$. | 30,045 | 25,894 | 24,591 | 20,400 | 16.0 | 5.3 | 20.5 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$ | 3,735 | 3,075 | 3,124 | 2,793 | 21.5 | -1.6 | 11.9 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 3,615 | 2,986 | 3,002 | 2,681 | 21.1 | -0.5 | 12.0 |
| 54119 | Other legal services | S | S | 122 | 112 | S | S | 8.9 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services ..... | 376 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants | 371 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services | S | 1 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541214 | Payroll services | S | 5 | 6 | 5 | S | -16.7 | 20.0 |
| 541219 | Other accounting services | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related services | 6,717 | 6,342 | 6,497 | 4,838 | 5.9 | -2.4 | 34.3 |
| 54131 | Architectural services | 1,331 | 715 | 527 | 402 | 86.2 | 35.7 | 31.1 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectural services | 100 | 87 | S | 59 | 14.9 | S | S |
| 54133 | Engineering services | 4,814 | 4,746 | 5,243 | 3,825 | 1.4 | -9.5 | 37.1 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories | 388 | S | 593 | 500 | S | S | 18.6 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{2}$ | 86 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 305 | 270 | 364 | 371 | 13.0 | -25.8 | -1.9 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | S | 80 | 64 | 56 | S | 25.0 | 14.3 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services | 33 | 34 | 139 | 121 | -2.9 | -75.5 | 14.9 |
| 5414y | All other design services ${ }^{3}$ | 136 | 157 | 162 | 194 | -13.4 | -3.1 | -16.5 |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 8,266 | 6,886 | 5,720 | 4,535 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 26.1 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services | 4,951 | 3,928 | S | S | 26.0 | S | S |
| 541512 | Computer systems design services | S | S | 1,850 | 1,317 | S | S | 40.5 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities management services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | S | 460 | 650 | 580 | S | -29.2 | 12.1 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services | 5,201 | 3,937 | 4,250 | 3,686 | 32.1 | -7.4 | 15.3 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services | 4,372 | 3,366 | 3,535 | 3,127 | 29.9 | -4.8 | 13.0 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting services | 76 | 11 | S | S | 590.9 | S | S |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services | 753 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 4,342 | 4,166 | 3,657 | 3,305 | 4.2 | 13.9 | 10.7 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences | 4,219 | 4,043 | 3,562 | 3,209 | 4.4 | 13.5 | 11.0 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 123 | 124 | 95 | 96 | -0.8 | 30.5 | -1.0 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 524 | 484 | 288 | 219 | 8.3 | 68.1 | 31.5 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies | 85 | S | S | 19 | S | S | S |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 241 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54184 | Media representatives | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54185 | Display advertising | S | S | S | ZZ | S | S | S |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | S | S | 8 | 8 | S | S | Z |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{4}$ | 112 | S | 47 | 44 | S | S | 6.8 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6.5. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 579 | 399 | 398 | 404 | 45.1 | 0.3 | -1.5 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 323 | 176 | 134 | 150 | 83.5 | 31.3 | -10.7 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 43 | S | 30 | S | S | S | S |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait ..................................... | 13 | S | 4 | 2 | S | S | 100.0 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography ........................................... | S | S | 25 | S | S | S | S |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services ............................. | 60 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 172.7 | 10.0 | 33.3 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services ................................................ | S | 2 | 3 | 4 | S | -33.3 | -25.0 |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services ............ | 130 | S | 211 | S | S | S | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137 (Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{3}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-6.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.6. Accounting, Tax Preparation, Bookkeeping, and Payroll Services (NAICS 5412) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 121,290 | 109,136 | 100,950 | 92,884 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 8.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assurance and related services | 26,594 | 24,745 | 23,015 | 20,550 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 12.0 |
| Financial auditing services | 20,467 | 18,517 | 17,046 | 15,056 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 13.2 |
| Financial statement review | 1,780 | 2,045 | 2,004 | 1,872 | -13.0 | 2.0 | 7.1 |
| Other assurance and financial auditing services | 4,347 | 4,184 | 3,965 | 3,622 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 9.5 |
| Bookkeeping, compilation, payroll, and taxation services | 75,156 | 67,637 | 62,893 | 59,665 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 5.4 |
| General accounting services | 6,028 | 6,147 | 5,259 | 4,876 | -1.9 | 16.9 | 7.9 |
| Bookkeeping, compilation, billing, and collection services | 7,792 | 6,177 | 6,280 | 6,175 | 26.1 | -1.6 | 1.7 |
| Payroll services | 31,163 | 28,110 | 25,949 | 23,792 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 9.1 |
| Taxation planning and consulting services | S | 4,767 | 4,248 | 4,216 | S | 12.2 | 0.8 |
| Taxation preparation and representation services for individuals and unincorporated businesses | 14,369 | 13,380 | 12,670 | 12,312 | 7.4 | 5.6 | 2.9 |
| Taxation preparation and representation services for corporate and other clients | 10,141 | 9,056 | 8,486 | 8,294 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 2.3 |
| Other related services | 5,075 | 4,362 | 3,885 | 3,447 | 16.3 | 12.3 | 12.7 |
| Computerized accounting system services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Management consulting services | 4,238 | 3,492 | 2,965 | 2,742 | 21.4 | 17.8 | 8.1 |
| All other operating revenue ...................................................... | S | 12,392 | 11,158 | 9,222 | S | 11.1 | 21.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.7. Architectural Services (NAICS 54131) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40,068 | 34,721 | 31,668 | 28,609 | 15.4 | 9.6 | 10.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential building projects | 7,423 | 6,963 | 5,934 | 4,709 | 6.6 | 17.3 | 26.0 |
| Single-family residential projects | 3,854 | 3,200 | 2,765 | 2,506 | 20.4 | 15.7 | 10.3 |
| Multi-family residential projects | 3,569 | 3,763 | 3,169 | 2,203 | -5.2 | 18.7 | 43.8 |
| Non-residential building projects | 28,127 | 23,587 | 21,401 | 19,683 | 19.2 | 10.2 | 8.7 |
| Office building projects | 5,204 | 4,188 | 3,755 | 3,261 | 24.3 | 11.5 | 15.1 |
| Retail and restaurant building projects | 4,047 | 3,591 | 3,238 | 2,745 | 12.7 | 10.9 | 18.0 |
| Hotel and convention center building projects | 1,820 | 1,467 | 1,033 | 833 | 24.1 | 42.0 | 24.0 |
| Health care building projects | 3,607 | 3,110 | 2,962 | 2,562 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 15.6 |
| Entertainment and recreational building projects | 1,683 | 1,426 | 1,398 | 1,445 | 18.0 | 2.0 | -3.3 |
| Educational building projects | 6,384 | 5,698 | 5,212 | 5,365 | 12.0 | 9.3 | -2.9 |
| Industrial building projects | 1,098 | 941 | 832 | 545 | 16.7 | 13.1 | 52.7 |
| Transportation building projects | S | 890 | 1,208 | 1,138 | S | -26.3 | 6.2 |
| Other nonresidential building projects | 3,155 | 2,276 | 1,763 | 1,790 | 38.6 | 29.1 | -1.5 |
| Other services (performed independent of the architecture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| projects above) ..................................... | 2,792 | 2,357 | 2,802 | 2,769 | 18.5 | -15.9 | 1.2 |
| Historical restoration projects | S | S | 259 | 403 | S | S | -35.7 |
| Architectural advisory services | 363 | 259 | 316 | 300 | 40.2 | -18.0 | 5.3 |
| Landscape architectural services | 301 | S | 208 | S | S | S | S |
| Interior design services ........................................................... | 1,798 | 1,595 | 2,019 | 1,865 | 12.7 | -21.0 | 8.3 |
| All other operating revenue | 1,726 | 1,814 | 1,531 | 1,447 | -4.9 | 18.5 | 5.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.5 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.8. Engineering Services (NAICS 54133) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 172,744 | 161,099 | 148,352 | 132,814 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 11.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering Services | 101,998 | 95,324 | 86,198 | 76,246 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 13.1 |
| Residential engineering projects | 5,660 | 6,211 | 5,241 | 4,991 | -8.9 | 18.5 | 5.0 |
| Commercial, public, and institutional engineering projects | 13,551 | 11,554 | 11,068 | 10,535 | 17.3 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| Industrial and manufacturing engineering projects | 16,529 | 13,211 | 12,101 | 10,897 | 25.1 | 9.2 | 11.0 |
| Transportation infrastructure engineering projects | 10,843 | 9,789 | 8,530 | 7,597 | 10.8 | 14.8 | 12.3 |
| Municipal utility engineering projects | 6,739 | 5,855 | 5,882 | 5,065 | 15.1 | -0.5 | 16.1 |
| Power generation and distribution engineering projects | 10,186 | 8,831 | S | S | 15.3 | S | S |
| Telecommunications and broadcasting engineering projects. | 1,822 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Hazardous waste and industrial waste engineering projects | 4,910 | 3,857 | 4,027 | 4,181 | 27.3 | -4.2 | -3.7 |
| Other engineering projects ..................................................... | 31,757 | 32,219 | 26,624 | 21,761 | -1.4 | 21.0 | 22.3 |
| Other services (performed independent of the engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| projects above) ........... | 19,084 | 16,223 | 13,448 | 12,335 | 17.6 | 20.6 | 9.0 |
| Engineering advisory services | S | S | S | 3,132 | S | S | S |
| Construction services | 12,821 | 10,105 | 6,916 | 6,473 | 26.9 | 46.1 | 6.8 |
| Drafting services | S | 962 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Surveying and mapping services ............................................... | 1,991 | 2,033 | 2,172 | 1,780 | -2.1 | -6.4 | 22.0 |
| All other operating revenue ...................................................... | S | S | 48,706 | 44,233 | S | S | 10.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.9. Computer Systems Design and Related Services (NAICS 5415) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 228,623 | 202,281 | 188,266 | 173,525 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 8.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information technology (IT) design and development | 110,461 | 98,602 | 93,125 | 86,083 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 8.2 |
| Custom application design and development | 63,022 | 55,222 | 51,013 | 46,691 | 14.1 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| Computer systems design, development, and integration | 38,513 | 35,714 | 34,953 | 33,222 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| Network design and development | 8,926 | 7,666 | 7,160 | S | 16.4 | 7.1 | S |
| Other services | 87,270 | 78,236 | 74,416 | 69,348 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 7.3 |
| IT infrastructure and network management | 23,791 | 21,844 | 20,587 | 21,008 | 8.9 | 6.1 | -2.0 |
| IT technical support | 25,055 | 22,565 | 22,740 | 20,217 | 11.0 | -0.8 | 12.5 |
| IT technical consulting | 20,000 | 17,244 | 16,677 | 15,574 | 16.0 | 3.4 | 7.1 |
| IT related training services | 2,137 | 1,971 | 1,610 | 1,504 | 8.4 | 22.4 | 7.0 |
| Hosting and IT infrastructure provisioning services | 14,903 | 13,335 | 11,611 | 9,961 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 16.6 |
| Rental and leasing of computer hardware | 1,384 | 1,276 | 1,192 | 1,084 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| All other operating revenue | 30,891 | 25,443 | 20,724 | 18,094 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 14.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html> Appendix A, Table A-6 7 provides estimated measures of sampling variahility

Table 6.10. Management Consulting Services (NAICS 54161) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 137,119 | 125,546 | 115,877 | 102,452 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 13.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management consulting services | 107,702 | 99,844 | 90,261 | 80,188 | 7.9 | 10.6 | 12.6 |
| Strategic management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation $\qquad$ | 31,536 | 30,106 | 26,134 | 23,976 | 4.7 | 15.2 | 9.0 |
| Financial management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation $\qquad$ | 9,766 | 9,749 | 8,115 | 6,869 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 18.1 |
| Marketing management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 10,335 | 8,741 | 9,249 | 9,322 | 18.2 | -5.5 | -0.8 |
| Human resources management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 9,935 | 9,616 | 8,461 | 8,345 | 3.3 | 13.7 | 1.4 |
| Operations and supply chain management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 7,353 | 6,855 | 6,502 | 5,376 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 20.9 |
| Actuarial consulting (except for employee pensions and other benefits) $\qquad$ | 1,587 | 1,546 | 1,612 | 1,487 | 2.7 | -4.1 | 8.4 |
| IT technical design, consulting, and development services | 10,290 | 9,439 | 8,511 | 7,198 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 18.2 |
| All other consulting revenue | 26,901 | 23,791 | 21,677 | 17,616 | 13.1 | 9.8 | 23.1 |
| All other operating revenue ... | 29,417 | 25,702 | 25,616 | 22,263 | 14.5 | 0.3 | 15.1 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendiv $\Delta$, Table $\Delta-68$ provides estimated meacures of sampling variability.

Table 6.11. Environmental Consulting Services (NAICS 54162) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 12,022 | 10,416 | 9,094 | 8,748 | 15.4 | 14.5 | 4.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental consulting services | 9,190 | 7,647 | 6,677 | 6,278 | 20.2 | 14.5 | 6.4 |
| Environmental assessment consulting, and consulting combined with implementation $\qquad$ | 2,713 | 1,854 | 1,583 | 1,489 | 46.3 | 17.1 | 6.3 |
| Natural resource management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 955 | 832 | 791 | 707 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 11.9 |
| Waste management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 594 | 569 | 472 | 437 | 4.4 | 20.6 | 8.0 |
| Environmental policy development consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 367 | 317 | 328 | 325 | 15.8 | -3.4 | 0.9 |
| Environmental audits consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 662 | 538 | 527 | 544 | 23.0 | 2.1 | -3.1 |
| Site remediation planning consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 1,895 | 1,640 | 1,411 | 1,348 | 15.5 | 16.2 | 4.7 |
| Evaluation of environmental studies consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 307 | 237 | 214 | 179 | 29.5 | 10.7 | 19.6 |
| All other environmental consulting | 1,698 | 1,660 | 1,352 | 1,251 | 2.3 | 22.8 | 8.1 |
| All other operating revenue. | S | 2,770 | 2,417 | 2,470 | S | 14.6 | -2.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.9 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.12. Scientific Research and Development Services (NAICS 5417) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 75,171 | 68,607 | 60,169 | 54,272 | 9.6 | 14.0 | 10.9 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic and applied research in natural and exact sciences, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| except biological sciences | 21,220 | 19,375 | 16,340 | S | 9.5 | 18.6 | S |
| Basic and applied research in biotechnology | 20,756 | 18,924 | 15,924 | S | 9.7 | 18.8 | S |
| Basic and applied research in other natural and exact sciences, except biological sciences | S | 451 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Basic and applied research in engineering and technology | 10,768 | 9,820 | 8,977 | 8,150 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 10.1 |
| Basic and applied research in the biological and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| biomedical sciences. | 14,883 | 13,082 | 11,102 | 9,504 | 13.8 | 17.8 | 16.8 |
| Basic and applied research in medical and health sciences | 14,223 | 12,472 | 10,529 | 9,000 | 14.0 | 18.5 | 17.0 |
| Basic and applied research in other biological sciences | 660 | 610 | 573 | 504 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 13.7 |
| Basic and applied research in the social sciences and humanities | 1,365 | S | 1,304 | S | S | S | S |
| Production services for development | S | 2,513 | 2,404 | 2,230 | S | 4.5 | 7.8 |
| Other operating revenue . | 24,241 | S | 20,043 | 18,754 | S | S | 6.9 |
| Licensing of right to use intellectual property | 3,603 | 3,191 | 2,722 | 2,356 | 12.9 | 17.2 | 15.5 |
| Original works of intellectual property | S | S | S | D | S | S | D |
| All other operating revenue .................................................... | 20,539 | S | 17,320 | D | S | S | D |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A- 6.10 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.13. Scientific Research and Development Services (NAICS 5417) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.11 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.14. Advertising Agencies (NAICS 54181) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .................................................................................... | 30,824 | 27,976 | 25,482 | 24,551 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 3.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrated advertising services | 15,895 | 14,296 | 12,681 | 12,290 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 3.2 |
| Advertising creative services (including graphic design services) | 2,487 | 2,107 | 1,992 | 2,085 | 18.0 | 5.8 | -4.5 |
| Media buying | 4,762 | 4,417 | 3,870 | 3,762 | 7.8 | 14.1 | 2.9 |
| Full public relations services | 319 | S | 303 | 389 | S | S | -22.1 |
| Sales promotion | 1,814 | 1,575 | 1,406 | 1,121 | 15.2 | 12.0 | 25.4 |
| Direct marketing | 786 | 586 | S | S | 34.1 | S | S |
| Marketing research | 195 | 174 | 169 | 99 | 12.1 | 3.0 | 70.7 |
| Other advertising services | 2,640 | 2,475 | 2,574 | 2,512 | 6.7 | -3.8 | 2.5 |
| All other operating revenue .................................................... | 1,927 | 2,033 | 2,002 | 1,710 | -5.2 | 1.5 | 17.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at
<www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html . Aिppendin A, Table $\hat{1}-6.12$ provides estimatedmeasures of sampling variability.

Table 6.15. Public Relations Agencies (NAICS 54182) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 9,361 | 8,635 | 7,546 | 6,954 | 8.4 | 14.4 | 8.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full public relations services | 5,732 | 5,312 | 4,760 | 4,501 | 7.9 | 11.6 | 5.8 |
| Media relations | S | 859 | 703 | 595 | S | 22.2 | 18.2 |
| Crisis management | 160 | 161 | 178 | 154 | -0.6 | -9.6 | 15.6 |
| Lobbying | 1,445 | 1,332 | 990 | 854 | 8.5 | 34.5 | 15.9 |
| Event management | 432 | 438 | 369 | 309 | -1.4 | 18.7 | 19.4 |
| Media monitoring and analysis | 76 | 84 | 98 | 92 | -9.5 | -14.3 | 6.5 |
| All other operating revenue .................................................... | 476 | 449 | 448 | 448 | 6.0 | 0.2 | Z |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.htm|. Appendix A, Tabie A-ó.iड provides estimated́ measures of sampling variabiility.

Table 6.16. Media Buying Agencies (NAICS 54183) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1,714 | 1,704 | 1,478 | 1,405 | 0.6 | 15.3 | 5.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Media planning and/or buying services........................................... | 1,689 | 1,676 | 1,451 | 1,376 | 0.8 | 15.5 | 5.5 |
| All other operating revenue ........................................................ | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.14 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.17. Media Representatives (NAICS 54184) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .................................................................................... | 2,655 | 2,352 | 2,267 | 2,096 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 8.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales agent services.. | S | 1,360 | 1,384 | 1,268 | S | -1.7 | 9.1 |
| All other operating revenue ...................................................... | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.15 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.18. Display Advertising (NAICS 54185) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,368 | 6,611 | 6,181 | 5,440 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 13.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leased display advertising media space | 5,731 | 5,241 | 4,984 | 4,461 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 11.7 |
| Large format | 3,779 | 3,381 | 3,242 | 2,896 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 11.9 |
| Transit | 788 | 731 | 685 | 625 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 9.6 |
| Street furniture and other urban fixtures | 1,005 | 995 | 930 | 831 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 11.9 |
| Other leased display advertising | 159 | 135 | S | S | 17.8 | S | S |
| All other operating revenue | 1,636 | 1,370 | 1,197 | 979 | 19.4 | 14.5 | 22.3 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html甲. Appendix A, Tabie A-б́ií provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.19. Direct Mail Advertising (NAICS 54186) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 12,255 | 12,371 | 12,190 | 11,570 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 5.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full direct mail services | 6,213 | 6,160 | 6,312 | 5,901 | 0.9 | -2.4 | 7.0 |
| Concept development for a direct mail advertising campaign | 240 | 238 | 214 | 206 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 3.9 |
| Mail list creation and support services | 398 | 421 | 428 | 376 | -5.5 | -1.6 | 13.8 |
| Print services for direct mail advertising materials | 1,472 | 1,504 | 1,288 | 1,162 | -2.1 | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Letter shop services | 1,678 | 1,603 | 1,748 | 1,669 | 4.7 | -8.3 | 4.7 |
| Fulfillment services | 464 | 478 | 389 | 353 | -2.9 | 22.9 | 10.2 |
| Other direct mail advertising services .......................................... | 318 | 303 | 301 | 311 | 5.0 | 0.7 | -3.2 |
| All other operating revenue ..................................................... | 1,473 | 1,666 | 1,510 | 1,591 | -11.6 | 10.3 | -5.1 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html户. Appendix A, Table A-6.17 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.20. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$. | 1,011,197 | 919,181 | 857,914 | 784,728 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 9.3 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$ | 179,159 | 170,889 | 161,699 | 153,467 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 168,530 | 159,721 | 151,339 | 144,275 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.9 |
| 54119 | Other legal services | 10,629 | 11,168 | 10,360 | 9,192 | -4.8 | 7.8 | 12.7 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services ..... | 94,727 | 84,064 | 80,536 | 72,322 | 12.7 | 4.4 | 11.4 |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants | 46,632 | 43,182 | 41,950 | 37,048 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 13.2 |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services | 5,368 | 4,899 | 4,338 | 3,918 | 9.6 | 12.9 | 10.7 |
| 541214 | Payroll services | 29,667 | 24,735 | 23,728 | 21,317 | 19.9 | 4.2 | 11.3 |
| 541219 | Other accounting services | 13,060 | 11,248 | 10,520 | 10,039 | 16.1 | 6.9 | 4.8 |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related services | 189,950 | 165,624 | 152,578 | 138,285 | 14.7 | 8.6 | 10.3 |
| 54131 | Architectural services | 33,196 | 29,025 | 25,102 | 22,786 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 10.2 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectural services | 4,352 | 4,106 | 3,711 | 3,442 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 7.8 |
| 54133 | Engineering services | 130,619 | 114,005 | 105,854 | 95,682 | 14.6 | 7.7 | 10.6 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories | 12,135 | 10,048 | 9,613 | 9,095 | 20.8 | 4.5 | 5.7 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{2}$ | 9,648 | 8,439 | 8,298 | 7,280 | 14.3 | 1.7 | 14.0 |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 16,689 | 15,426 | 14,695 | 13,569 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 8.3 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | 6,324 | 5,688 | 5,164 | 4,690 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services | 7,263 | 7,063 | 6,992 | 6,626 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 5.5 |
| 5414y | All other design services ${ }^{3}$ | 3,102 | 2,675 | 2,539 | 2,253 | 16.0 | 5.4 | 12.7 |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 190,932 | 171,092 | 158,159 | 145,514 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 8.7 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services | 73,373 | 64,404 | 59,234 | 53,911 | 13.9 | 8.7 | 9.9 |
| 541512 | Computer systems design services | 79,548 | 73,223 | 68,401 | 61,455 | 8.6 | 7.0 | 11.3 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities management services | 20,604 | 18,441 | 17,233 | 17,905 | 11.7 | 7.0 | -3.8 |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | 17,407 | 15,024 | 13,291 | 12,243 | 15.9 | 13.0 | 8.6 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services | 137,465 | 123,410 | 114,384 | 99,905 | 11.4 | 7.9 | 14.5 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services | 114,738 | 104,354 | 97,347 | 84,947 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 14.6 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting services | 9,902 | 8,389 | 7,518 | 7,131 | 18.0 | 11.6 | 5.4 |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services | 12,825 | 10,667 | 9,519 | 7,827 | 20.2 | 12.1 | 21.6 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 101,346 | 94,464 | 84,826 | 77,152 | 7.3 | 11.4 | 9.9 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences | 95,675 | 89,254 | 79,933 | 72,634 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 10.0 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 5,671 | 5,210 | 4,893 | 4,518 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 8.3 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 55,673 | 52,472 | 49,733 | 46,168 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 7.7 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies . | 22,946 | 21,107 | 19,883 | 18,440 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 7.8 |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 7,730 | 7,167 | 6,434 | 5,887 | 7.9 | 11.4 | 9.3 |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies . | 618 | 612 | 596 | 558 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 6.8 |
| 54184 | Media representatives | 2,033 | 1,910 | 1,787 | 1,683 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.2 |
| 54185 | Display advertising | 5,062 | 5,027 | 4,723 | 4,285 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 10.2 |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | 9,263 | 9,225 | 9,571 | 8,891 | 0.4 | -3.6 | 7.6 |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{4}$ | 8,021 | 7,424 | 6,739 | 6,424 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 4.9 |

[^181]Table 6.20. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 45,254 | 41,743 | 41,308 | 38,345 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 7.7 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 11,218 | 10,526 | 10,006 | 9,084 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 10.1 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 6,261 | 5,934 | 5,984 | 5,750 | 5.5 | -0.8 | 4.1 |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait | 4,635 | 4,334 | 4,362 | 4,226 | 6.9 | -0.6 | 3.2 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography | 1,626 | 1,600 | 1,622 | 1,524 | 1.6 | -1.4 | 6.4 |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services | 1,077 | 927 | 955 | 864 | 16.2 | -2.9 | 10.5 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services ................................................. | 18,829 | 17,324 | 16,786 | 15,698 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 6.9 |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services ............. | 7,868 | 7,032 | 7,578 | 6,949 | 11.9 | -7.2 | 9.1 |

${ }^{T}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137 (Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{3}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, lable A-6.18 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.21. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services | 24,793 | 23,285 | 21,944 | 20,570 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 2,550 | 2,533 | 2,391 | 2,341 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services ................................... | 22,243 | 20,752 | 19,552 | 18,229 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 19,082 | 17,972 | 17,031 | 15,847 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 3,161 | 2,780 | 2,521 | 2,382 |

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.19 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 6.22. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers .............................................................. | 0.7 | 5.9 | 2.1 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services ............................... | 7.2 | 6.1 | 7.3 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences | 6.2 | 5.5 | 7.5 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences <br> and humanities $\qquad$ | 13.7 | 10.3 | 5.8 |
| Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.19 provides estimated measures of sampling variability. |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.23. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (NAICS 54) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004

## Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$

[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,011,197 | 919,181 | 857,914 | 784,728 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 9.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 576,035 | 526,830 | 488,928 | 445,448 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 9.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 459,201 | 423,372 | 394,183 | 360,303 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 85,561 | 72,387 | 65,778 | 59,958 | 18.2 | 10.0 | 9.7 |
| Health insurance. | 30,206 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 18,275 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5,394 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 12,881 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 37,079 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 31,273 | 31,071 | 28,967 | 25,188 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 15.0 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 44,862 | 43,201 | 42,268 | 38,734 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 9.1 |
| Expensed equipment. | 8,904 | 9,531 | 8,612 | 7,940 | -6.6 | 10.7 | 8.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 35,958 | 33,670 | 33,656 | 30,793 | 6.8 | Z | 9.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 82,494 | 75,978 | 71,789 | 66,890 | 8.6 | 5.8 | 7.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7,905 | 7,869 | 7,209 | 6,271 | 0.5 | 9.2 | 15.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4,220 | 4,354 | 4,188 | 3,735 | -3.1 | 4.0 | 12.1 |
| Purchased electricity. | 3,398 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 822 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 46,726 | 40,978 | 39,468 | 37,369 | 14.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items. | 5,790 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices. | 40,936 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 8,001 | 6,949 | 6,452 | 6,060 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 6.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 4,885 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 3,117 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 15,641 | 15,829 | 14,470 | 13,455 | -1.2 | 9.4 | 7.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 307,807 | 273,171 | 254,929 | 233,656 | 12.7 | 7.2 | 9.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 25,628 | 22,897 | 22,127 | 22,013 | 11.9 | 3.5 | 0.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 8,642 | 8,609 | 8,711 | 8,067 | 0.4 | -1.2 | 8.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 273,537 | 241,665 | 224,091 | 203,576 | 13.2 | 7.8 | 10.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 5,052 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 10,000 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 926 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 54,727 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 202,832 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-6.20 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

## Chapter 7. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services

Table 7.1. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services $\qquad$ | 594,820 | 563,729 | 527,647 | 484,309 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $56 *$ | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services ${ }^{1}$ | 543,258 | 515,862 | 482,838 | 443,926 | 414,988 | 397,408 | 390,683 | 396,499 |
| 561 | Administrative and support services | 523,610 | 495,034 | 464,451 | 425,667 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 561* | Administrative and support services ${ }^{1}$ | 472,047 | 447,167 | 419,642 | 385,283 | 360,434 | 346,099 | 339,028 | 345,302 |
| 56111 | Office administrative services | 49,776 | 47,156 | 43,073 | 38,014 | 34,556 | 32,081 | 29,523 | 26,288 |
| 56121 | Facilities support services | 17,444 | 15,655 | 14,028 | 12,520 | 12,158 | 12,957 | 12,878 | 13,211 |
| 5613 | Employment services | 178,667 | 171,019 | 159,144 | 145,717 | 133,833 | 128,662 | 129,471 | 144,242 |
| 56131 | Employment placement agencies | 7,589 | 7,154 | 6,772 | 6,513 | 5,874 | 5,940 | 5,355 | 4,985 |
| 56132 | Temporary help services | 93,740 | 90,169 | 85,023 | 77,660 | 69,910 | 68,190 | 68,658 | 79,622 |
| 56133 | Professional employer organizati | 77,338 | 73,696 | 67,349 | 61,544 | 58,049 | 54,532 | 55,458 | 59,635 |
| 5614 | Business support services | 55,250 | 54,353 | 50,948 | 48,166 | 46,111 | 43,981 | 43,983 | 41,203 |
| 56141 | Document preparation services | 2,931 | 2,834 | 2,631 | 2,483 | 2,500 | 2,419 | 2,121 | 1,920 |
| 56142 | Telephone call centers | 15,791 | 15,528 | 14,545 | 13,843 | 13,273 | 13,394 | 15,116 | 14,805 |
| 561421 | Telephone answering services | 2,039 | 1,849 | 1,907 | 1,783 | 1,897 | 2,109 | 2,323 | 2,135 |
| 561422 | Telemarketing bureaus | 13,752 | 13,679 | 12,638 | 12,060 | 11,376 | 11,285 | 12,793 | 12,670 |
| 56143 | Business service centers | 7,703 | 7,686 | 8,378 | 8,469 | 8,335 | 8,234 | 8,342 | 8,257 |
| 561431 | Private mail centers | 2,562 | 2,410 | 2,234 | 2,274 | 2,187 | 1,957 | 1,830 | 1,692 |
| 561439 | Other business service centers (including cop | 5,141 | 5,276 | 6,144 | 6,195 | 6,148 | 6,277 | 6,512 | 6,565 |
| 56144 | Collection agencies | 12,142 | 11,600 | 11,479 | 10,860 | 9,830 | 8,852 | 8,092 | 7,300 |
| 56145 | Credit bureaus | 8,497 | 8,202 | 6,332 | 5,516 | 5,230 | 4,591 | 4,243 | 3,741 |
| 56149 | Other business support services | 8,186 | 8,503 | 7,583 | 6,995 | 6,943 | 6,491 | 6,069 | 5,180 |
| 561491 | Repossession services | S | 596 | 572 | 534 | 549 | 552 | 467 | 418 |
| 561492 | Court reporting and stenotype services | 2,013 | 2,023 | 1,847 | 1,792 | 1,715 | 1,638 | 1,407 | 1,250 |
| 561499 | All other business support services | 5,525 | 5,884 | 5,164 | 4,669 | 4,679 | 4,301 | 4,195 | 3,512 |
| 5615 | Travel arrangement and reservation services | 32,072 | 30,201 | 29,117 | 28,200 | 26,594 | 25,535 | 25,622 | 26,119 |
| 56151 | Travel agencies | 11,374 | 11,058 | 10,431 | 10,101 | 9,759 | 9,387 | 10,220 | 11,639 |
| 56152 | Tour operators | 3,962 | 3,534 | 3,621 | 3,515 | 3,212 | 3,190 | 3,266 | 3,564 |
| 56159 | Other travel arrangement and reservation services | 16,736 | 15,609 | 15,065 | 14,584 | 13,623 | 12,958 | 12,136 | 10,916 |
| 561591 | Convention and visitors bureaus | 1,600 | 1,408 | 1,313 | 1,256 | 1,227 | 1,128 | 1,124 | 1,020 |
| 561599 | All other travel arrangement and reservation services | 15,136 | 14,201 | 13,752 | 13,328 | 12,396 | 11,830 | 11,012 | 9,896 |
| 5616 | Investigation and security services | 39,977 | 37,610 | 37,081 | 33,723 | 32,325 | 31,375 | 28,549 | 27,594 |
| 56161 | Investigation, guard, and armored car services | 26,143 | 24,864 | 24,416 | 21,754 | 20,920 | 19,468 | 17,471 | 17,581 |
| 561611 | Investigation services | 4,054 | 3,536 | 3,668 | 3,345 | 3,090 | 2,586 | 2,413 | 2,350 |
| 561612 | Security guards and patrol services | 20,106 | 19,147 | 18,624 | 16,321 | 15,551 | 14,763 | 13,037 | 13,393 |
| 561613 | Armored car services | 1,983 | 2,181 | 2,124 | 2,088 | 2,279 | 2,119 | 2,021 | 1,838 |
| 56162 | Security systems services | 13,834 | 12,746 | 12,665 | 11,969 | 11,405 | 11,907 | 11,078 | 10,013 |
| 561621 | Security systems services (except locksmiths) | 11,937 | 10,961 | 11,083 | 10,488 | 9,987 | 10,592 | 9,765 | 8,683 |
| 561622 | Locksmiths | 1,897 | 1,785 | 1,582 | 1,481 | 1,418 | 1,315 | 1,313 | 1,330 |
| 5617 | Services to buildings and dwellings | 107,959 | 99,137 | 93,520 | 86,013 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5617* | Services to buildings and dwellings ${ }^{1}$ | 56,394 | 51,268 | 48,712 | 45,628 | 43,697 | 40,144 | 38,168 | 35,790 |
| 56171 | Exterminating and pest control services | 8,789 | 8,535 | 8,144 | 7,673 | 7,206 | 6,597 | 6,067 | 5,723 |
| 56172 | Janitorial services | 36,546 | 32,830 | 31,766 | 30,288 | 29,303 | 27,009 | 26,220 | 24,593 |
| 56173 | Landscaping services | 51,564 | 47,869 | 44,807 | 40,384 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 56174 | Carpet and upholstery cleaning services | 3,856 | 3,596 | 3,386 | 2,964 | 2,808 | 2,719 | 2,541 | 2,463 |
| 56179 | Other services to buildings and dwellings | 7,203 | 6,307 | 5,416 | 4,703 | 4,380 | 3,819 | 3,340 | 3,011 |
| 5619 | Other support services ..... | 42,467 | 39,905 | 37,539 | 33,315 | 31,160 | 31,364 | 30,834 | 30,855 |
| 56191 | Packaging and labeling services | 7,636 | 7,151 | 6,709 | 5,591 | 5,021 | 4,916 | 4,804 | 4,432 |
| 56192 | Convention and trade show organizers | 11,402 | 11,377 | 10,710 | 9,517 | 9,083 | 8,562 | 8,362 | 8,410 |
| 56199 | All other support services | 23,429 | 21,377 | 20,120 | 18,207 | 17,056 | 17,886 | 17,668 | 18,013 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7.1. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 562 | Waste management and remediation services | 71,211 | 68,695 | 63,196 | 58,643 | 54,554 | 51,309 | 51,655 | 51,197 |
| 5621 | Waste collection | 38,484 | 37,483 | 33,998 | 32,430 | 30,272 | 28,206 | 28,649 | 28,820 |
| 562111 | Solid waste collection | 35,834 | 35,059 | 31,900 | 30,436 | 28,363 | 26,416 | 26,827 | 27,032 |
| 562112 | Hazardous waste collection | 1,990 | 1,834 | 1,614 | 1,565 | 1,445 | 1,325 | 1,308 | 1,249 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | 660 | 590 | 484 | 429 | 464 | 465 | 514 | 539 |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 13,308 | 13,280 | 12,543 | 11,398 | 11,173 | 10,834 | 11,290 | 11,025 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and dispos | 4,427 | 4,037 | 3,730 | 3,264 | 3,351 | 3,642 | 3,270 | 3,155 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | 6,692 | 6,704 | 6,439 | 6,168 | 5,802 | 5,272 | 5,841 | 5,965 |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | 1,311 | 1,672 | 1,587 | 1,303 | 1,442 | 1,365 | 1,532 | 1,261 |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal | 878 | 867 | 787 | 663 | 578 | 555 | 647 | 644 |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 19,419 | 17,932 | 16,655 | 14,815 | 13,109 | 12,269 | 11,716 | 11,352 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 11,475 | 11,078 | 10,204 | 8,834 | 7,745 | 7,640 | 7,246 | 6,750 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities | 3,351 | 2,754 | 2,714 | 2,633 | 2,222 | 1,800 | 1,546 | 1,593 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | 4,593 | 4,100 | 3,737 | 3,348 | 3,142 | 2,829 | 2,924 | 3,009 |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services | 2,760 | 2,563 | 2,372 | 2,132 | 2,049 | 1,973 | 2,055 | 2,197 |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services ............. | 1,833 | 1,537 | 1,365 | 1,216 | 1,093 | 856 | 869 | 812 |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 56173 (Landscaping services).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-7. 1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.2. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services $\qquad$ | 5.5 | 6.8 | 8.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| $56^{*}$ | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services ${ }^{1}$ | 5.3 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 4.4 | 1.7 | -1.5 | 9.2 |
| 561 | Administrative and support services | 5.8 | 6.6 | 9.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 561* | Administrative and support services ${ }^{1}$ | 5.6 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 4.1 | 2.1 | -1.8 | 10.2 |
| 56111 | Office administrative services | 5.6 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 17.9 |
| 56121 | Facilities support services | 11.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 3.0 | -6.2 | 0.6 | -2.5 | 15.1 |
| 5613 | Employment services | 4.5 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 4.0 | -0.6 | -10.2 | 9.9 |
| 56131 | Employment placement agencies | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 10.9 | -1.1 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 20.0 |
| 56132 | Temporary help services | 4.0 | 6.1 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 2.5 | -0.7 | -13.8 | 3.8 |
| 56133 | Professional employer organizations | 4.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 6.4 | -1.7 | -7.0 | 18.3 |
| 5614 | Business support services | 1.7 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 4.8 | Z | 6.7 | 10.0 |
| 56141 | Document preparation services | 3.4 | 7.7 | 6.0 | -0.7 | 3.3 | 14.0 | 10.5 | 17.2 |
| 56142 | Telephone call centers | 1.7 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.3 | -0.9 | -11.4 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| 561421 | Telephone answering services | 10.3 | -3.0 | 7.0 | -6.0 | -10.1 | -9.2 | 8.8 | 7.5 |
| 561422 | Telemarketing bureaus | 0.5 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 0.8 | -11.8 | 1.0 | 9.3 |
| 56143 | Business service centers | 0.2 | -8.3 | -1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | -1.3 | 1.0 | 6.7 |
| 561431 | Private mail centers | 6.3 | 7.9 | -1.8 | 4.0 | 11.8 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 10.4 |
| 561439 | Other business service centers (including copy shops) | -2.6 | -14.1 | -0.8 | 0.8 | -2.1 | -3.6 | -0.8 | 5.9 |
| 56144 | Collection agencies | 4.7 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 10.8 | 13.7 |
| 56145 | Credit bureaus | 3.6 | 29.5 | 14.8 | 5.5 | 13.9 | 8.2 | 13.4 | 3.9 |
| 56149 | Other business support services | -3.7 | 12.1 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 17.2 | 15.9 |
| 561491 | Repossession services | S | 4.2 | 7.1 | -2.7 | -0.5 | 18.2 | 11.7 | -3.0 |
| 561492 | Court reporting and stenotype services | -0.5 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 16.4 | 12.6 | 10.0 |
| 561499 | All other business support services | -6.1 | 13.9 | 10.6 | -0.2 | 8.8 | 2.5 | 19.4 | 21.0 |
| 5615 | Travel arrangement and reservation services | 6.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 4.1 | -0.3 | -1.9 | 5.0 |
| 56151 | Travel agencies | 2.9 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | -8.2 | -12.2 | 0.9 |
| 56152 | Tour operators | 12.1 | -2.4 | 3.0 | 9.4 | 0.7 | -2.3 | -8.4 | -3.2 |
| 56159 | Other travel arrangement and reservation services | 7.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 13.1 |
| 561591 | Convention and visitors bureaus | 13.6 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 9.2 |
| 561599 | All other travel arrangement and reservation services | 6.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 11.3 | 13.5 |
| 5616 | Investigation and security services | 6.3 | 1.4 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 6.0 |
| 56161 | Investigation, guard, and armored car services | 5.1 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 11.4 | -0.6 | 4.5 |
| 561611 | Investigation services | 14.6 | -3.6 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 19.5 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 5.8 |
| 561612 | Security guards and patrol services | 5.0 | 2.8 | 14.1 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 13.2 | -2.7 | 4.1 |
| 561613 | Armored car services | -9.1 | 2.7 | 1.7 | -8.4 | 7.6 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 5.9 |
| 56162 | Security systems services | 8.5 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 4.9 | -4.2 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 8.8 |
| 561621 | Security systems services (except locksmiths) | 8.9 | -1.1 | 5.7 | 5.0 | -5.7 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 9.5 |
| 561622 | Locksmiths | 6.3 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 0.2 | -1.3 | 4.5 |
| 5617 | Services to buildings and dwellings | 8.9 | 6.0 | 8.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 5617* | Services to buildings and dwellings ${ }^{1}$ | 10.0 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 8.9 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 11.0 |
| 56171 | Exterminating and pest control services | 3.0 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| 56172 | Janitorial services . | 11.3 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 12.7 |
| 56173 | Landscaping services | 7.7 | 6.8 | 11.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 56174 | Carpet and upholstery cleaning services . | 7.2 | 6.2 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 8.0 |
| 56179 | Other services to buildings and dwellings | 14.2 | 16.5 | 15.2 | 7.4 | 14.7 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 10.5 |
| 5619 | Other support services ........... | 6.4 | 6.3 | 12.7 | 6.9 | -0.7 | 1.7 | -0.1 | 11.2 |
| 56191 | Packaging and labeling services | 6.8 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 12.1 |
| 56192 | Convention and trade show organizers | 0.2 | 6.2 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 2.4 | -0.6 | 20.6 |
| 56199 | All other support services | 9.6 | 6.2 | 10.5 | - 6.7 | -4.6 | 1.2 | -1.9 | 7.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7.2. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 562 | Waste management and remediation services | 3.7 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 6.3 | -0.7 | 0.9 | 3.2 |
| 5621 | Waste collection | 2.7 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | -1.5 | -0.6 | 2.3 |
| 562111 | Solid waste collection | 2.2 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 7.4 | -1.5 | -0.8 | 2.5 |
| 562112 | Hazardous waste collection | 8.5 | 13.6 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 1.3 | 4.7 | -1.4 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | 11.9 | 21.9 | 12.8 | -7.5 | -0.2 | -9.5 | -4.6 | 3.5 |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 0.2 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 3.1 | -4.0 | 2.4 | 10.9 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 9.7 | 8.2 | 14.3 | -2.6 | -8.0 | 11.4 | 3.6 | 5.3 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | -0.2 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 10.1 | -9.7 | -2.1 | 17.0 |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | -21.6 | 5.4 | 21.8 | -9.6 | 5.6 | -10.9 | 21.5 | 6.8 |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal | 1.3 | 10.2 | 18.7 | 14.7 | 4.1 | -14.2 | 0.5 | -3.6 |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 8.3 | 7.7 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | -1.5 |
| 56291 | Remediation services . | 3.6 | 8.6 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 7.3 | -0.8 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities | 21.7 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 18.5 | 23.4 | 16.4 | -3.0 | -15.5 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | 12.0 | 9.7 | 11.6 | 6.6 | 11.1 | -3.2 | -2.8 | 6.1 |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services ..... | 7.7 | 8.1 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | -4.0 | -6.5 | 5.5 |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services . | 19.3 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 27.7 | -1.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 56173 (Landscaping services).

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-7 1 provides estimated measures of sampling variahility

Table 7.3. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7.3. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 52 | D | 35 | 30 | D | D | 16.7 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 43 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 38.7 | -3.1 | 6.7 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | S | S | S | ZZ | S | S | S |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal ... | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 329 | 284 | 263 | 217 | 15.8 | 8.0 | 21.2 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 149 | 139 | 132 | 103 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 28.2 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities . | 181 | 144 | 131 | 114 | 25.7 | 9.9 | 14.9 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services . | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services . | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20n wi $\cap$ Natn Dalmano ndf

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table ^-7.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.4. Employment Placement Agencies (NAICS 56131) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 200' [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 7,589 | 7,154 | 6,772 | 6,513 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placement of individuals as permanent employees | 2,149 | 1,889 | 1,785 | 1,402 | 13.8 | 5.8 | 27.3 |
| Placement of individuals as independent contractors | 1,949 | 2,069 | 2,029 | 2,506 | -5.8 | 2.0 | -19.0 |
| Temporary staffing services | 1,964 | 1,812 | 1,647 | 1,463 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 12.6 |
| Long-term staffing . | S | 397 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Temporary staffing-to-permanent placement | 334 | 307 | 315 | 273 | 8.8 | -2.5 | 15.4 |
| All other operating revenue .................................................................. | 766 | 680 | 627 | 593 | 12.6 | 8.5 | 5.7 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Tabie A-7.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.5. Temporary Help Services (NAICS 56132) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 93,740 | 90,169 | 85,023 | 77,660 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 9.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placement of individuals as permanent employees | 3,210 | 2,680 | S | S | 19.8 | S | S |
| Placement of individuals as independent contractors | 7,051 | 6,547 | 6,332 | 5,888 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 7.5 |
| Temporary staffing services | 74,124 | 71,097 | 66,637 | 62,405 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| Long-term staffing | 6,107 | 6,513 | 6,999 | 5,417 | -6.2 | -6.9 | 29.2 |
| Temporary staffing-to-permanent placement | 1,961 | 2,103 | 1,871 | 1,539 | -6.8 | 12.4 | 21.6 |
| All other operating revenue ............................................................... | 1,288 | 1,228 | 1,046 | 895 | 4.9 | 17.4 | 16.9 |

$$
\text { S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than } 30 \% \text { ) or poor response quality (total quantity }
$$ response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Tabie A-7.4 provides estimated measures ofi sampling variability.

Table 7.6. Professsional Employer Organizations (NAICS 56133) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 77,338 | 73,696 | 67,349 | 61,544 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-employment with payroll, benefits, and human resource services | 56,753 | 51,308 | 44,410 | 40,649 | 10.6 | 15.5 | 9.3 |
| Payroll services | 11,877 | 13,542 | 13,298 | 12,191 | -12.3 | 1.8 | 9.1 |
| Payroll and benefit services | 7,624 | 7,916 | 8,888 | 7,816 | -3.7 | -10.9 | 13.7 |
| Payroll and human resource services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue .............................................................. | 708 | 664 | 518 | 477 | 6.6 | 28.2 | 8.6 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appenđix A, Tabie A-7.5 provides estimated measures of sampiling variability.

Table 7.7. Travel Agencies and All Other Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services (NAICS 561510 and 561599) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 26,509 | 25,259 | 24,183 | 23,429 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation services | 15,320 | 14,782 | 14,660 | 14,274 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| Airline seats, domestic destinations | 3,090 | 3,123 | 3,486 | 3,622 | -1.1 | -10.4 | -3.8 |
| Airline seats, international destinations | 1,265 | 1,251 | 1,223 | 1,147 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 6.6 |
| Cruises | 1,562 | 1,450 | 1,323 | 1,229 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 7.6 |
| Lodging | 3,778 | 3,544 | 3,299 | 3,215 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 2.6 |
| Event tickets | S | 1,611 | 1,502 | 1,247 | S | 7.3 | 20.4 |
| Computerized reservation systems | 1,194 | S | 1,141 | 1,105 | S | S | 3.3 |
| Packaged tours | 2,136 | 1,953 | 2,021 | 2,054 | 9.4 | -3.4 | -1.6 |
| Other reservation services | 629 | 646 | 665 | 654 | -2.6 | -2.9 | 1.7 |
| Other travel arrangement services | 11,190 | 10,476 | 9,523 | 9,155 | 6.8 | 10.0 | 4.0 |
| Trip planning | 2,495 | 2,315 | 2,113 | 2,022 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 4.5 |
| Automobile clubs and road and travel service | 2,686 | 2,679 | 2,496 | 2,480 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 0.6 |
| Other travel arrangement services revenue | 319 | 305 | 333 | 325 | 4.6 | -8.4 | 2.5 |
| All other operating revenue | 5,690 | 5,177 | 4,580 | 4,328 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 5.8 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 9,618 | 9,958 | 8,203 | 7,928 | -3.4 | 21.4 | 3.5 |
| Leisure ... | 16,891 | 15,301 | 15,980 | 15,501 | 10.4 | -4.2 | 3.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-7.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.8. Tour Operators (NAICS 56152) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


[^182] sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Iable A-/./ provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.9. Waste Collection (NAICS 5621) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38,484 | 37,483 | 33,998 | 32,430 | 2.7 | 10.3 | 4.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhazardous waste management collection services | 34,785 | 34,191 | 30,908 | 29,668 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 4.2 |
| Residential nonhazardous waste and recyclable material collection services | 10,894 | 10,744 | 9,430 | 9,110 | 1.4 | 13.9 | 3.5 |
| Nonhazardous waste collection services | 10,141 | 10,000 | 8,844 | 8,600 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 2.8 |
| Nonhazardous recyclable collection services | 753 | 744 | 586 | 510 | 1.2 | 27.0 | 14.9 |
| Non-residential nonhazardous waste and recyclable material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| collection services | 23,891 | 23,447 | 21,477 | 20,558 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| Nonhazardous waste collection services | 17,527 | 17,765 | 16,229 | 15,896 | -1.3 | 9.5 | 2.1 |
| Nonhazardous recyclable collection services | 1,183 | 918 | 938 | 955 | 28.9 | -2.1 | -1.8 |
| Nonhazardous waste collection from construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and demolition sites . | 3,083 | 2,995 | 2,748 | 2,314 | 2.9 | 9.0 | 18.8 |
| Operation of waste transfer facilities | 2,098 | 1,769 | 1,562 | 1,392 | 18.6 | 13.3 | 12.2 |
| Hazardous waste management collection services | 617 | 565 | 553 | 483 | 9.2 | 2.2 | 14.5 |
| Hazardous waste collection | 266 | 233 | 262 | 260 | 14.2 | -11.1 | 0.8 |
| Hazardous waste transportation services | 352 | 332 | 291 | 223 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 30.5 |
| All other operating revenue ................................................................. | 3,081 | 2,726 | 2,538 | 2,280 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 11.3 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-7.0 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.10. Waste Treatment and Disposal (NAICS 5622) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,308 | 13,280 | 12,543 | 11,398 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 10.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhazardous waste disposal services | 8,567 | 8,939 | 8,363 | 7,909 | -4.2 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
| Nonhazardous waste landfill disposal services | 6,395 | 6,608 | 6,116 | 5,892 | -3.2 | 8.0 | 3.8 |
| Nonhazardous waste incineration disposal services | 1,359 | 1,640 | 1,561 | 1,401 | -17.1 | 5.1 | 11.4 |
| Other nonhazardous waste disposal services | 813 | 691 | 686 | 617 | 17.7 | 0.7 | 11.2 |
| Hazardous waste treatment and disposal services | 3,073 | 2,878 | 2,877 | 2,495 | 6.8 | Z | 15.3 |
| Hazardous waste treatment - biological infectious waste | 655 | 583 | 540 | 470 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 14.9 |
| Hazardous waste treatment - radioactive waste | 639 | 686 | 650 | 487 | -6.9 | 5.5 | 33.5 |
| All other hazardous waste treatment | 1,032 | 936 | 998 | 875 | 10.3 | -6.2 | 14.1 |
| Hazardous waste disposal services . | 544 | 471 | 465 | 443 | 15.5 | 1.3 | 5.0 |
| Other hazardous waste treatment and disposal services | 204 | 201 | 223 | 219 | 1.5 | -9.9 | 1.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 1,668 | 1,463 | 1,303 | 993 | 14.0 | 12.3 | 31.2 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 .

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Tảble A-7.9 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.11. Remediation Services (NAICS 56291) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive.
Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appenäix A, Tabie A-7.i0 provides estimated measures of́ sampiing variabiility.

Table 7.12. Materials Recovery Facilities and All Other Waste Management Services (NAICS 56292 and 56299) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,944 | 6,854 | 6,450 | 5,981 | 15.9 | 6.3 | 7.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhazardous waste and recyclable material consolidation, storage, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and preparation services ....... | 1,708 | 1,401 | 1,397 | 1,305 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 7.0 |
| Nonhazardous recyclable material recovery preparation services | 1,460 | 1,275 | 1,276 | 1,196 | 14.5 | -0.1 | 6.7 |
| Operation of nonhazardous waste transfer facilities | S | 126 | 121 | 109 | S | 4.1 | 11.0 |
| Sale or brokerage of nonhazardous recyclable material | 1,704 | 1,441 | 1,382 | 1,364 | 18.3 | 4.3 | 1.3 |
| Other waste management services | 2,993 | 2,840 | 2,612 | 2,316 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 12.8 |
| Septic tank services | 749 | 750 | 707 | 617 | -0.1 | 6.1 | 14.6 |
| Cleaning and maintenance for nonhazardous waste holding and drain facilities | 1,173 | 1,165 | 1,083 | 1,004 | 0.7 | 7.6 | 7.9 |
| Portable toilet rental services | 1,072 | 926 | 823 | 696 | 15.8 | 12.5 | 18.2 |
| All other operating revenue | 1,538 | 1,171 | 1,059 | 995 | 31.3 | 10.6 | 6.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>
Appendix A, Table A-7.11 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.13. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 56 | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services $\qquad$ | 508,770 | 484,150 | 454,370 | 418,601 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 8.5 |
| 561 | Administrative and support services | 448,310 | 425,681 | 401,707 | 371,047 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 8.3 |
| 56111 | Office administrative services | 44,912 | 41,406 | 36,196 | 32,445 | 8.5 | 14.4 | 11.6 |
| 56121 | Facilities support services | 15,804 | 14,166 | 12,308 | 10,981 | 11.6 | 15.1 | 12.1 |
| 5613 | Employment services | 158,532 | 152,727 | 145,789 | 133,733 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 9.0 |
| 56131 | Employment placement agencies | 6,997 | 6,365 | 6,209 | 6,087 | 9.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| 56132 | Temporary help services | 81,959 | 78,802 | 75,552 | 69,398 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 8.9 |
| 56133 | Professional employer organizations | 69,576 | 67,560 | 64,028 | 58,248 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 9.9 |
| 5614 | Business support services | 44,811 | 43,428 | 41,022 | 39,159 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| 56141 | Document preparation services | 2,494 | 2,384 | 2,052 | 1,953 | 4.6 | 16.2 | 5.1 |
| 56142 | Telephone call centers | 12,561 | 11,962 | 12,475 | 11,912 | 5.0 | -4.1 | 4.7 |
| 561421 | Telephone answering services | 1,795 | 1,624 | 1,658 | 1,590 | 10.5 | -2.1 | 4.3 |
| 561422 | Telemarketing bureaus | 10,766 | 10,338 | 10,817 | 10,322 | 4.1 | -4.4 | 4.8 |
| 56143 | Business service centers | 6,299 | 6,569 | 6,558 | 6,770 | -4.1 | 0.2 | -3.1 |
| 561431 | Private mail centers | 1,983 | 1,849 | 1,710 | 1,751 | 7.2 | 8.1 | -2.3 |
| 561439 | Other business service centers (including copy shops) | 4,316 | 4,720 | 4,848 | 5,019 | -8.6 | -2.6 | -3.4 |
| 56144 | Collection agencies | 10,165 | 9,661 | 9,192 | 8,808 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| 56145 | Credit bureaus | 6,608 | 6,120 | 4,835 | 4,183 | 8.0 | 26.6 | 15.6 |
| 56149 | Other business support services | 6,684 | 6,732 | 5,910 | 5,533 | -0.7 | 13.9 | 6.8 |
| 561491 | Repossession services | 490 | 455 | 437 | 431 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
| 561492 | Court reporting and stenotype services | 1,736 | 1,701 | 1,594 | 1,577 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 1.1 |
| 561499 | All other business support services | 4,458 | 4,576 | 3,879 | 3,525 | -2.6 | 18.0 | 10.0 |
| 5615 | Travel arrangement and reservation services | 27,064 | 25,942 | 24,816 | 23,888 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| 56151 | Travel agencies | 10,184 | 9,563 | 9,010 | 8,824 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 2.1 |
| 56152 | Tour operators | 3,176 | 2,879 | 3,042 | 2,993 | 10.3 | -5.4 | 1.6 |
| 56159 | Other travel arrangement and reservation services | 13,704 | 13,500 | 12,764 | 12,071 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| 561591 | Convention and visitors bureaus | 1,535 | 1,329 | 1,269 | 1,238 | 15.5 | 4.7 | 2.5 |
| 561599 | All other travel arrangement and reservation services | 12,169 | 12,171 | 11,495 | 10,833 | Z | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| 5616 | Investigation and security services | 34,882 | 32,361 | 31,971 | 29,056 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 10.0 |
| 56161 | Investigation, guard, and armored car services | 24,330 | 23,026 | 22,985 | 20,795 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 10.5 |
| 561611 | Investigation services | 3,384 | 3,009 | 3,184 | 3,142 | 12.5 | -5.5 | 1.3 |
| 561612 | Security guards and patrol services | 19,310 | 17,992 | 17,858 | 15,749 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 13.4 |
| 561613 | Armored car services | 1,636 | 2,025 | 1,943 | 1,904 | -19.2 | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| 56162 | Security systems services | 10,552 | 9,335 | 8,986 | 8,261 | 13.0 | 3.9 | 8.8 |
| 561621 | Security systems services (except locksmiths) | 9,195 | 8,078 | 7,839 | 7,220 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 8.6 |
| 561622 | Locksmiths | 1,357 | 1,257 | 1,147 | 1,041 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 10.2 |
| 5617 | Services to buildings and dwellings | 89,687 | 83,787 | 78,953 | 73,673 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 7.2 |
| 56171 | Exterminating and pest control services | 7,808 | 7,491 | 6,951 | 6,631 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 4.8 |
| 56172 | Janitorial services | 30,567 | 28,413 | 27,564 | 26,480 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 56173 | Landscaping services | 42,171 | 39,477 | 37,381 | 34,262 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 9.1 |
| 56174 | Carpet and upholstery cleaning services | 3,236 | 2,953 | 2,811 | 2,535 | 9.6 | 5.1 | 10.9 |
| 56179 | Other services to buildings and dwellings | 5,904 | 5,453 | 4,246 | 3,765 | 8.3 | 28.4 | 12.8 |
| 5619 | Other support services | 32,616 | 31,865 | 30,653 | 28,112 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 9.0 |
| 56191 | Packaging and labeling services | 4,620 | 4,268 | 4,109 | 3,541 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 16.0 |
| 56192 | Convention and trade show organizers | 8,690 | 8,597 | 8,428 | 7,598 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 10.9 |
| 56199 | All other support services ........ | 19,306 | 19,000 | 18,116 | 16,973 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 6.7 |
| 562 | Waste management and remediation services | 60,459 | 58,469 | 52,663 | 47,552 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 10.7 |
| 5621 | Waste collection | 32,597 | 31,908 | 28,223 | 25,079 | 2.2 | 13.1 | 12.5 |
| 562111 | Solid waste collection | 30,232 | 29,745 | 26,319 | 23,251 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| 562112 | Hazardous waste collection | 1,640 | S | 1,378 | 1,352 | S | S | 1.9 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7.13. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | 725 | 637 | 526 | 476 | 13.8 | 21.1 | 10.5 |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 12,600 | 12,169 | 11,643 | 10,550 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 10.4 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 3,855 | 3,388 | 3,236 | 2,697 | 13.8 | 4.7 | 20.0 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | 7,158 | 6,943 | 6,722 | 6,483 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.7 |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | 875 | 1,082 | 1,007 | 793 | -19.1 | 7.4 | 27.0 |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal | 712 | 756 | 678 | 577 | -5.8 | 11.5 | 17.5 |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 15,262 | 14,392 | 12,797 | 11,923 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 7.3 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 9,736 | 9,569 | 8,290 | 7,828 | 1.7 | 15.4 | 5.9 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities | 1,720 | 1,472 | 1,447 | 1,376 | 16.8 | 1.7 | 5.2 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | 3,806 | 3,351 | 3,060 | 2,719 | 13.6 | 9.5 | 12.5 |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services | 2,349 | 2,156 | 1,931 | 1,725 | 9.0 | 11.7 | 11.9 |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services ............. | 1,457 | 1,195 | 1,129 | 994 | 21.9 | 5.8 | 13.6 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table $\Lambda$ - 7.12 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 7.14. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES (NAICS 561) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 448,310 | 425,681 | 401,707 | 371,047 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 8.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 297,809 | 283,463 | 267,354 | 245,875 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 8.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 253,114 | 240,018 | 225,942 | 206,916 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 9.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 35,892 | 34,431 | 33,277 | 31,248 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 6.5 |
| Health insurance. | 12,486 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4,402 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1,943 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2,458 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 19,004 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 8,803 | 9,014 | 8,135 | 7,711 | -2.3 | 10.8 | 5.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 25,362 | 25,883 | 24,380 | 22,054 | -2.0 | 6.2 | 10.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 2,573 | 2,905 | 2,597 | 2,363 | -11.4 | 11.9 | 9.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 22,789 | 22,978 | 21,782 | 19,692 | -0.8 | 5.5 | 10.6 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 26,294 | 25,312 | 22,481 | 20,648 | 3.9 | 12.6 | 8.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1,538 | 1,808 | 1,469 | 1,335 | -14.9 | 23.1 | 10.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 2,224 | 2,200 | 1,696 | 1,505 | 1.1 | 29.7 | 12.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 1,342 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 882 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 10,917 | 10,004 | 9,100 | 8,628 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 5.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.. | 2,421 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.... | 8,495 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 4,237 | 3,964 | 3,492 | 3,104 | 6.9 | 13.5 | 12.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 2,914 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 1,322 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 7,379 | 7,334 | 6,723 | 6,076 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 10.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 98,845 | 91,023 | 87,492 | 82,470 | 8.6 | 4.0 | 6.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 11,345 | 10,663 | 10,314 | 10,070 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3,411 | 3,569 | 3,783 | 3,536 | -4.4 | -5.7 | 7.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 84,089 | 76,792 | 73,395 | 68,864 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 6.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 2,020 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 4,268 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 556 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 8,943 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 68,301 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^183]Table 7.14. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


NA Not available. $\quad Z$ Absolute value is less than 0.05 .

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-7.13 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 8. Health Care and Social Assistance Services

Table 8.1. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 1,664,547 | 1,558,756 | 1,478,399 | 1,379,569 | 1,285,518 | 1,207,298 | 1,115,070 | 1,033,029 | 976,335 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care servi | 692,854 | 647,920 | 610,806 | 568,293 | 526,328 | 488,619 | 453,719 | 419,402 | 394,322 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians | 346,043 | 327,588 | 310,780 | 290,782 | 270,327 | 248,824 | 231,327 | 213,806 | 200,242 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 93,645 | 87,930 | 83,636 | 78,871 | 73,372 | 71,103 | 65,846 | 60,931 | 56,559 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health pr | 50,380 | 46,971 | 45,095 | 42,930 | 39,676 | 37,128 | 34,812 | 32,037 | 30,494 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 10,833 | 10,232 | 9,876 | 9,624 | 9,363 | 8,975 | 8,427 | 7,570 | 7,285 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 11,037 | 10,214 | 9,793 | 9,318 | 8,607 | 8,342 | 7,942 | 7,639 | 7,039 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) | 4,567 | 4,291 | 4,066 | 3,854 | 3,774 | 3,486 | 3,766 | 3,516 | 3,298 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists $\qquad$ | 16,052 | 14,817 | 14,195 | 13,396 | 11,805 | 10,685 | 9,452 | 8,486 | 8,528 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners | 7,891 | 7,417 | 7,165 | 6,738 | 6,127 | 5,640 | 5,225 | 4,826 | 4,344 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrist | 3,913 | 3,758 | 3,703 | 3,556 | 3,403 | 3,110 | 2,839 | 2,574 | 2,369 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health | 3,978 | 3,659 | 3,462 | 3,182 | 2,724 | 2,530 | 2,386 | S | S |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 82,133 | 75,936 | 69,464 | 63,576 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 1,742 | 1,533 | 1,467 | 1,398 | 1,294 | 1,191 | 1,141 | 1,129 | 1,037 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and | 11,573 | 10,747 | 10,195 | 9,449 | 9,308 | 8,982 | 8,290 | 7,642 | 7,487 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care cente | 68,818 | 63,656 | 57,802 | 52,729 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 5,588 | 5,571 | 4,973 | 4,638 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 16,564 | 15,154 | 11,626 | 10,590 | 9,534 | 8,738 | 7,758 | 6,998 | 6,219 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory s | 14,541 | 12,736 | 11,928 | 10,692 | 9,525 | 8,450 | 7,422 | 6,446 | 5,771 |
| 621498 | All other outp | 32,125 | 30,195 | 29,275 | 26,809 | 25,330 | 23,628 | 21,784 | 21,018 | 20,128 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laborato | 38,558 | 37,224 | 34,690 | 32,413 | 30,529 | 28,409 | 26,902 | 23,450 | 20,635 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories | 22,768 | 22,003 | 20,509 | 19,115 | 18,202 | 16,907 | 16,727 | 14,878 | 12,949 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging cent | 15,790 | 15,221 | 14,181 | 13,298 | 12,327 | 11,502 | 10,175 | 8,572 | 7,686 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 53,664 | 46,816 | 43,969 | 38,311 | 34,001 | 30,387 | 28,356 | 26,841 | 27,524 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care | 28,431 | 25,455 | 23,172 | 21,410 | 19,352 | 17,948 | 16,332 | 15,565 | 14,744 |
| 62191 | Ambulance servic | 10,071 | 9,376 | 8,748 | 8,029 | 7,084 | 6,524 | 5,625 | 5,303 | 5,144 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 18,360 | 16,079 | 14,424 | 13,381 | 12,268 | 11,424 | 10,707 | 10,262 | 9,600 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 687,135 | 644,904 | 611,522 | 569,463 | 529,202 | 500,112 | 455,262 | 423,889 | 406,717 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 642,670 | 603,800 | 573,007 | 533,848 | 496,216 | 469,727 | 427,329 | 397,526 | 380,550 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 16,041 | 14,848 | 13,914 | 13,363 | 13,578 | 13,626 | 13,531 | 13,422 | 13,906 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals . | 28,424 | 26,256 | 24,601 | 22,252 | 19,408 | 16,759 | 14,402 | 12,941 | 12,261 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.1. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007 —Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 160,037 | 149,785 | 145,578 | 138,068 | 132,995 | 127,114 | 120,462 | 112,706 | 106,260 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities ......................................... | 90,677 | 85,270 | 83,515 | 79,961 | 77,438 | 74,117 | 70,790 | 67,238 | 64,535 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 25,543 | 23,928 | 22,932 | 21,602 | 20,615 | 19,317 | 18,077 | 16,718 | 15,300 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 17,709 | 16,416 | 15,607 | 14,703 | 14,091 | 13,280 | 12,417 | 11,570 | 10,708 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 7,834 | 7,512 | 7,325 | 6,899 | 6,524 | 6,037 | 5,660 | 5,148 | 4,592 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elder | 34,676 | 31,878 | 30,612 | 28,333 | 26,984 | 26,099 | 24,447 | 22,104 | 20,157 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communit | 20,121 | 18,282 | 17,609 | 16,344 | 15,429 | 14,862 | 14,038 | 12,948 | 12,062 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 14,555 | 13,596 | 13,003 | 11,989 | 11,555 | 11,237 | 10,409 | 9,156 | 8,095 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 9,141 | 8,709 | 8,519 | 8,172 | 7,958 | 7,581 | 7,148 | 6,646 | 6,268 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 124,521 | 116,147 | 110,493 | 103,745 | 96,993 | 91,453 | 85,627 | 77,032 | 69,036 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 61,558 | 56,994 | 52,806 | 50,177 | 47,084 | 44,645 | 41,928 | 37,311 | 33,198 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 11,292 | 10,763 | 10,367 | 9,755 | 9,666 | 8,926 | 8,510 | 7,517 | 6,722 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabiliti | 23,237 | 21,401 | 19,310 | 17,711 | 16,299 | 15,283 | 14,148 | 12,804 | 11,641 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 27,029 | 24,830 | 23,129 | 22,711 | 21,119 | 20,436 | 19,270 | 16,990 | 14,835 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 18,579 | 18,881 | 18,935 | 16,593 | 15,000 | 14,006 | 13,317 | 12,281 | 11,148 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 4,161 | 3,906 | 3,784 | 3,505 | 3,326 | 3,107 | 3,008 | 2,835 | 2,535 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 7,510 | 7,018 | 6,683 | 6,397 | 6,067 | 5,803 | 5,467 | 4,888 | 4,389 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | 6,908 | 7,957 | 8,468 | 6,691 | 5,607 | 5,096 | 4,842 | 4,558 | 4,224 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | 13,425 | 13,550 | 13,921 | 13,025 | 12,094 | 11,031 | 10,281 | 9,458 | 8,224 |
| 6244 | Child day care services | 30,959 | 26,722 | 24,831 | 23,950 | 22,815 | 21,771 | 20,101 | 17,982 | 16,466 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-8.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 8.2. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 6.8 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 5.8 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 6.9 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 6.4 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 6.8 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 6.5 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.7 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health practitioners | 7.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 5.1 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 5.9 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 11.3 | 3.9 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 8.1 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.5 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 8.3 | -7.4 | 7.1 | 6.6 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists | 8.3 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 11.4 | -0.5 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners ........ | 6.4 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 11.1 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrists | 4.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 8.7 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health practitioners . | 8.7 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 16.8 | 7.7 | 6.0 | S | S |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 8.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 13.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 8.9 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 7.7 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 2.1 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 8.1 | 10.1 | 9.6 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 0.3 | 12.0 | 7.2 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 9.3 | 30.3 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 10.9 | 12.5 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers | 14.2 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 11.7 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers . | 6.4 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laboratories | 3.6 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 14.7 | 13.6 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories | 3.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 14.9 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging centers | 3.7 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 18.7 | 11.5 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 14.6 | 6.5 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 7.2 | 5.6 | -2.5 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 11.7 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 10.6 | 7.8 | 9.9 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 7.4 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 13.3 | 8.6 | 16.0 | 6.1 | 3.1 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 14.2 | 11.5 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 6.9 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 4.2 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ........... | 6.4 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 4.5 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals . | 8.0 | 6.7 | 4.1 | -1.6 | -0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | -3.5 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals | 8.3 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 16.4 | 11.3 | 5.5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.2. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 6.8 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 6.1 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 6.3 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.2 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 6.7 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 9.3 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 7.9 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 8.1 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 4.3 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 12.1 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 8.8 | 4.1 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 10.6 | 9.7 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 10.1 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 7.3 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 7.1 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 13.7 | 13.1 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 5.0 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 6.0 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 7.2 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 11.6 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 4.9 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 13.2 | 11.8 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 8.6 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 8.9 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 13.4 | 14.5 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services | -1.6 | -0.3 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 8.4 | 10.2 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 6.5 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 11.8 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 7.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 11.8 | 11.4 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | -13.2 | -6.0 | 26.6 | 19.3 | 10.0 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 7.9 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | -0.9 | -2.7 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 15.0 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ........ | 15.9 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 11.8 | 9.2 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-8.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 8.3. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 835,940 | 776,259 | 730,440 | 681,156 | 634,652 | 589,368 | 544,334 | 500,932 | 470,243 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 624,879 | 583,721 | 549,489 | 512,472 | 473,880 | 439,556 | 408,311 | 376,095 | 352,894 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians | 346,043 | 327,588 | 310,780 | 290,782 | 270,327 | 248,824 | 231,327 | 213,806 | 200,242 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 93,645 | 87,930 | 83,636 | 78,871 | 73,372 | 71,103 | 65,846 | 60,931 | 56,559 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health practitioners | 50,380 | 46,971 | 45,095 | 42,930 | 39,676 | 37,128 | 34,812 | 32,037 | 30,494 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 10,833 | 10,232 | 9,876 | 9,624 | 9,363 | 8,975 | 8,427 | 7,570 | 7,285 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 11,037 | 10,214 | 9,793 | 9,318 | 8,607 | 8,342 | 7,942 | 7,639 | 7,039 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) | 4,567 | 4,291 | 4,066 | 3,854 | 3,774 | 3,486 | 3,766 | 3,516 | 3,298 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists | 16,052 | 14,817 | 14,195 | 13,396 | 11,805 | 10,685 | 9,452 | 8,486 | 8,528 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners | 7,891 | 7,417 | 7,165 | 6,738 | 6,127 | 5,640 | 5,225 | 4,826 | 4,344 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrists | 3,913 | 3,758 | 3,703 | 3,556 | 3,403 | 3,110 | 2,839 | 2,574 | 2,369 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health practitioner | 3,978 | 3,659 | 3,462 | 3,182 | 2,724 | 2,530 | 2,386 | S | S |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 40,677 | 36,414 | 31,487 | 29,195 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 597 | 473 | 457 | 457 | 412 | 339 | 333 | 337 | 269 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 2,733 | 2,327 | 2,183 | 1,894 | 1,813 | 1,713 | 1,580 | 1,373 | 1,462 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 37,347 | 33,614 | 28,847 | 26,844 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 15,507 | 14,153 | 10,674 | 9,647 | 8,640 | 7,872 | 6,881 | 6,250 | 5,492 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical an | 12,801 | 11,139 | 10,411 | 9,231 | 8,160 | 7,271 | 6,374 | 5,427 | 4,684 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers | 8,089 | 7,418 | 6,873 | 7,131 | S | S | 6,059 | 5,721 | 5,283 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laboratories | 38,558 | 37,224 | 34,690 | 32,413 | 30,529 | 28,409 | 26,902 | 23,450 | 20,635 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories | 22,768 | 22,003 | 20,509 | 19,115 | 18,202 | 16,907 | 16,727 | 14,878 | 12,949 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging centers | 15,790 | 15,221 | 14,181 | 13,298 | 12,327 | 11,502 | 10,175 | 8,572 | 7,686 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 37,714 | 31,873 | 29,736 | 25,477 | 22,050 | 19,518 | 18,120 | 16,879 | 17,759 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 17,862 | 15,721 | 14,065 | 12,804 | 11,610 | 10,564 | 9,630 | 9,421 | 9,338 |
| 62191 | Ambulance servic | 8,131 | 7,635 | 7,079 | 6,471 | 5,601 | 4,972 | 4,189 | 4,053 | 3,996 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 9,731 | 8,086 | 6,986 | 6,333 | 6,009 | 5,592 | 5,441 | 5,368 | 5,342 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 83,644 | 76,864 | 71,315 | 65,473 | 62,613 | 56,678 | 48,099 | 42,908 | 40,627 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 68,110 | 62,967 | 58,568 | 54,275 | 53,127 | 48,821 | 41,301 | 36,926 | 34,997 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals . | 3,215 | 2,646 | 2,317 | 2,002 | 2,290 | 2,410 | 2,412 | 2,189 | 2,177 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals . | 12,319 | 11,251 | 10,430 | 9,196 | 7,196 | 5,447 | 4,386 | 3,793 | 3,453 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.3. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007 Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 95,295 | 88,428 | 85,294 | 80,827 | 77,485 | 74,165 | 70,329 | 66,245 | 62,496 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 67,881 | 63,057 | 61,240 | 58,738 | 56,549 | 54,225 | 51,907 | 49,816 | 47,839 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities | 7,698 | 7,109 | 6,693 | 6,143 | 5,959 | 5,584 | 5,114 | 4,726 | 4,392 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 5,345 | 4,981 | 4,638 | 4,298 | 4,234 | 3,935 | 3,581 | 3,297 | 3,057 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 2,353 | 2,128 | 2,055 | 1,845 | 1,725 | 1,649 | 1,533 | 1,429 | 1,335 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 18,220 | 16,964 | 16,070 | 14,822 | 13,878 | 13,341 | 12,328 | 10,812 | 9,431 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 6,662 | 6,039 | 5,677 | 5,212 | 4,764 | 4,477 | 4,087 | 3,576 | 3,195 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 11,558 | 10,925 | 10,393 | 9,610 | 9,114 | 8,864 | 8,241 | 7,236 | 6,236 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 1,496 | 1,298 | 1,291 | 1,124 | 1,099 | 1,015 | 980 | 891 | 834 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 32,122 | 27,246 | 24,342 | 22,384 | 20,674 | 18,969 | 17,595 | 15,684 | 14,226 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 10,252 | 8,766 | 7,395 | 6,295 | 5,544 | 5,038 | 4,470 | 3,824 | 3,290 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 1,270 | 1,146 | 947 | 799 | 789 | 722 | 687 | 634 | 561 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 4,591 | 4,118 | 3,512 | 3,000 | 2,530 | 2,236 | 1,892 | 1,606 | 1,384 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 4,391 | 3,502 | 2,936 | 2,496 | 2,225 | 2,080 | 1,891 | 1,584 | 1,345 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services | S | S | S | S | 84 | 82 | 58 | 46 | 39 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 39 | 33 | 29 | 21 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 111 | 85 | 65 | 60 | 47 | 51 | 36 | 28 | 24 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | 2,715 | 2,754 | 2,655 | 2,250 | 2,005 | 1,836 | 1,817 | 1,593 | 1,393 |
| 6244 | Child day care services | 18,977 | 15,580 | 14,170 | 13,738 | 13,041 | 12,013 | 11,250 | 10,221 | 9,504 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A8.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 8.4. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 7.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 6.5 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 7.1 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 6.6 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 6.8 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 6.5 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.7 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health practitioners | 7.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 5.1 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 5.9 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 11.3 | 3.9 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 8.1 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.5 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) | 6.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 8.3 | -7.4 | 7.1 | 6.6 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists | 8.3 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 11.4 | -0.5 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners ........ | 6.4 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 11.1 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrists | 4.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 8.7 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health practitioners . | 8.7 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 16.8 | 7.7 | 6.0 | S | S |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 11.7 | 15.6 | 7.9 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 26.2 | 3.5 | Z | 10.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | -1.2 | 25.3 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 17.4 | 6.6 | 15.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 15.1 | -6.1 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 11.1 | 16.5 | 7.5 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 9.6 | 32.6 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 9.8 | 14.4 | 10.1 | 13.8 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers | 14.9 | 7.0 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 17.4 | 15.9 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers . | 9.0 | 7.9 | -3.6 | S | S | S | 5.9 | 8.3 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laboratories | 3.6 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 14.7 | 13.6 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories | 3.5 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 14.9 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging centers | 3.7 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 18.7 | 11.5 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 18.3 | 7.2 | 16.7 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 7.7 | 7.4 | -5.0 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 13.6 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 6.5 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 18.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 20.3 | 15.7 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 8.8 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 10.5 | 17.8 | 12.1 | 5.6 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ..................... | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 18.2 | 11.8 | 5.5 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals . | 21.5 | 14.2 | 15.7 | -12.6 | -5.0 | -0.1 | 10.2 | 0.6 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals | 9.5 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 27.8 | 32.1 | 24.2 | 15.6 | 9.8 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.4. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 7.8 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 7.7 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 8.3 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 7.9 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities . | 10.6 | 3.6 | 11.4 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 7.4 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 14.0 | 14.6 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 10.3 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 14.3 | 11.9 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 5.8 | 5.1 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 7.6 | 13.9 | 16.0 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 15.3 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 2.3 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 10.0 | 6.8 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 17.9 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 10.2 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 17.0 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 13.5 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 16.9 | 16.2 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 10.8 | 21.0 | 18.5 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 13.0 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 11.5 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 13.1 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 16.0 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 25.4 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 19.4 | 17.8 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | S | S | S | S | 2.4 | 41.4 | 26.1 | 17.9 |
| 62421 | Community food services . | 18.2 | 13.8 | 38.1 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 30.6 | 30.8 | 8.3 | 27.7 | -7.8 | 41.7 | 28.6 | 16.7 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services ................................ | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | -1.4 | 3.7 | 18.0 | 12.2 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 14.1 | 14.4 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ................................................ | 21.8 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 10.1 | 7.5 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20$0 \_v 1.0$ Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A8.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 8.5. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 828,607 | 782,497 | 747,959 | 698,413 | 650,866 | 617,930 | 570,736 | 532,097 | 506,092 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care service | 67,975 | 64,199 | 61,317 | 55,821 | 52,448 | 49,063 | 45,408 | 43,307 | 41,428 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 41,456 | 39,522 | 37,977 | 34,381 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 1,145 | 1,060 | 1,010 | 941 | 882 | 852 | 808 | 792 | 768 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse | 8,840 | 8,420 | 8,012 | 7,555 | 7,495 | 7,269 | 6,710 | 6,269 | 6,025 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 31,471 | 30,042 | 28,955 | 25,885 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 4,638 | 4,667 | 4,084 | 3,803 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis cen | 1,057 | 1,001 | 952 | 943 | 894 | 866 | 877 | 748 | 727 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory s | 1,740 | 1,597 | 1,517 | 1,461 | 1,365 | 1,179 | 1,048 | 1,019 | 1,087 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care ce | 24,036 | 22,777 | 22,402 | 19,678 | 18,810 | 17,459 | 15,725 | 15,297 | 14,845 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 15,950 | 14,943 | 14,233 | 12,834 | 11,951 | 10,869 | 10,236 | 9,962 | 9,765 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care servic | 10,569 | 9,734 | 9,107 | 8,606 | 7,742 | 7,384 | 6,702 | 6,144 | 5,406 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 1,940 | 1,741 | 1,669 | 1,558 | 1,483 | 1,552 | 1,436 | 1,250 | 1,148 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory h | 8,629 | 7,993 | 7,438 | 7,048 | 6,259 | 5,832 | 5,266 | 4,894 | 4,258 |
| 622 | Hospital | 603,491 | 568,040 | 540,207 | 503,990 | 466,589 | 443,434 | 407,163 | 380,981 | 366,090 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospita | 574,560 | 540,833 | 514,439 | 479,573 | 443,089 | 420,906 | 386,028 | 360,600 | 345,553 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 12,826 | 12,202 | 11,597 | 11,361 | 11,288 | 11,216 | 11,119 | 11,233 | 11,729 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) | 16,105 | 15,005 | 14,171 | 13,056 | 12,212 | 11,312 | 10,016 | 9,148 | 8,808 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care | 64,742 | 61,357 | 60,284 | 57,241 | 55,510 | 52,949 | 50,133 | 46,461 | 43,764 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 22,796 | 22,213 | 22,275 | 21,223 | 20,889 | 19,892 | 18,883 | 17,422 | 16,696 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 17,845 | 16,819 | 16,239 | 15,459 | 14,656 | 13,733 | 12,963 | 11,992 | 10,908 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 12,364 | 11,435 | 10,969 | 10,405 | 9,857 | 9,345 | 8,836 | 8,273 | 7,651 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse faci | 5,481 | 5,384 | 5,270 | 5,054 | 4,799 | 4,388 | 4,127 | 3,719 | 3,257 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 16,456 | 14,914 | 14,542 | 13,511 | 13,106 | 12,758 | 12,119 | 11,292 | 10,726 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement com | 13,459 | 12,243 | 11,932 | 11,132 | 10,665 | 10,385 | 9,951 | 9,372 | 8,867 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 2,997 | 2,671 | 2,610 | 2,379 | 2,441 | 2,373 | 2,168 | 1,920 | 1,859 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 7,645 | 7,411 | 7,228 | 7,048 | 6,859 | 6,566 | 6,168 | 5,755 | 5,434 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.5. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 624 | Social assistance | 92,399 | 88,901 | 86,151 | 81,361 | 76,319 | 72,484 | 68,032 | 61,348 | 54,810 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 51,306 | 48,228 | 45,411 | 43,882 | 41,540 | 39,607 | 37,458 | 33,487 | 29,908 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 10,022 | 9,617 | 9,420 | 8,956 | 8,877 | 8,204 | 7,823 | 6,883 | 6,161 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 18,646 | 17,283 | 15,798 | 14,711 | 13,769 | 13,047 | 12,256 | 11,198 | 10,257 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 22,638 | 21,328 | 20,193 | 20,215 | 18,894 | 18,356 | 17,379 | 15,406 | 13,490 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 18,401 | 18,735 | 18,813 | 16,492 | 14,916 | 13,924 | 13,259 | 12,235 | 11,109 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 4,122 | 3,873 | 3,755 | 3,484 | 3,308 | 3,091 | 2,997 | 2,827 | 2,529 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 7,399 | 6,933 | 6,618 | 6,337 | 6,020 | 5,752 | 5,431 | 4,860 | 4,365 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | 6,880 | 7,929 | 8,440 | 6,671 | 5,588 | 5,081 | 4,831 | 4,548 | 4,215 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | 10,710 | 10,796 | 11,266 | 10,775 | 10,089 | 9,195 | 8,464 | 7,865 | 6,831 |
| 6244 | Child day care services | 11,982 | 11,142 | 10,661 | 10,212 | 9,774 | 9,758 | 8,851 | 7,761 | 6,962 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S.
Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Tajole A -o.s. P provides estinnated ineasures of sampling variadiinty.

Table 8.6. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 5.9 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 5.1 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 5.9 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 4.9 | 4.1 | 10.5 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 8.0 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 4.8 | 3.8 | 11.9 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | -0.6 | 14.3 | 7.4 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 5.6 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 | -1.3 | 17.2 | 2.9 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency cente | 9.0 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 7.0 | 15.8 | 12.5 | 2.8 | -6.3 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers | 5.5 | 1.7 | 13.8 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 6.7 | 5.0 | 10.9 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 6.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 8.6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 13.7 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 11.4 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 5.1 | -4.4 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 8.9 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 8.0 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 14.9 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 6.2 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 4.1 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 6.2 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 5.3 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 4.4 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 5.1 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | -1.0 | -4.2 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals | 7.3 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 12.9 | 9.5 | 3.9 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 5.5 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 6.2 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities . | 2.6 | -0.3 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 4.3 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 6.1 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 8.1 | 9.9 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 8.1 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 8.1 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 1.8 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 14.2 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly . | 10.3 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 9.9 | 2.6 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 12.2 | 2.3 | 9.7 | -2.5 | 2.9 | 9.5 | 12.9 | 3.3 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 5.9 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.6. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 624 | Social assistance | 3.9 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 10.9 | 11.9 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 6.4 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 11.9 | 12.0 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 4.2 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 13.7 | 11.7 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 7.9 | 9.4 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 9.2 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 6.1 | 5.6 | -0.1 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 12.8 | 14.2 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | -1.8 | -0.4 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 10.1 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 6.4 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 11.8 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 6.7 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 11.7 | 11.3 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | -13.2 | -6.1 | 26.5 | 19.4 | 10.0 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 7.9 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | -0.8 | -4.2 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 15.1 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ... | 7.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 10.2 | 14.0 | 11.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Tabie À-8.3 provides estimated measures of sampiling variability.

Table 8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICScode | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 763,694 | 731,622 | 689,715 | 649,641 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 62,525 | 59,423 | 55,950 | 52,559 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.5 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 37,610 | 36,173 | 34,694 | 32,493 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.8 |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 1,067 | 1,015 | 956 | 893 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 7.1 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 8,475 | 8,250 | 7,816 | 7,332 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 28,068 | 26,908 | 25,922 | 24,268 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 6.8 |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 4,418 | 4,212 | 3,801 | 3,428 | 4.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers . | 952 | 922 | 902 | 878 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers | 1,316 | 1,259 | 1,239 | 1,174 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 5.5 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers. | 21,382 | 20,515 | 19,980 | 18,788 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 6.3 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 15,139 | 14,113 | 12,775 | 12,113 | 7.3 | 10.5 | 5.5 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 9,776 | 9,137 | 8,481 | 7,953 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 6.6 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 1,799 | 1,644 | 1,513 | 1,420 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 6.5 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 7,977 | 7,493 | 6,968 | 6,533 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 554,787 | 530,597 | 497,033 | 466,361 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 527,018 | 503,891 | 472,145 | 442,789 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 13,370 | 12,640 | 11,775 | 11,402 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 3.3 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospital | 14,399 | 14,066 | 13,113 | 12,170 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 61,370 | 59,833 | 57,487 | 54,687 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 21,801 | 21,811 | 21,257 | 20,362 | Z | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities | 16,914 | 16,254 | 15,592 | 14,830 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 5.1 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 11,777 | 11,038 | 10,472 | 9,916 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 5,137 | 5,216 | 5,120 | 4,914 | -1.5 | 1.9 | 4.2 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 15,131 | 14,332 | 13,595 | 12,662 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 7.4 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 12,354 | 11,690 | 11,159 | 10,410 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 7.2 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly | 2,777 | 2,642 | 2,436 | 2,252 | 5.1 | 8.5 | 8.2 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 7,524 | 7,436 | 7,043 | 6,833 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 3.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 85,012 | 81,769 | 79,245 | 76,034 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 46,571 | 44,409 | 42,094 | 41,218 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 9,435 | 9,096 | 8,801 | 8,448 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.2 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 16,238 | 15,171 | 13,841 | 13,256 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 4.4 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services ................................. | 20,898 | 20,142 | 19,452 | 19,514 | 3.8 | 3.5 | -0.3 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 17,062 | 16,853 | 16,246 | 15,063 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 7.9 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 3,756 | 3,539 | 3,365 | 3,218 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 4.6 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 6,699 | 6,309 | 6,024 | 5,676 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 6.1 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services | 6,607 | 7,005 | 6,857 | 6,169 | -5.7 | 2.2 | 11.2 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services .................................... | 9,994 | 9,809 | 10,594 | 9,941 | 1.9 | -7.4 | 6.6 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ............................................... | 11,385 | 10,698 | 10,311 | 9,812 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 5.1 |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 .

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Afpendiix A, Table A-8.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 8.8. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 622 and 623)-Estimated Expenses for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 71,070 | 64,667 | 60,245 | 56,535 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 6.6 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 58,009 | 53,063 | 49,596 | 47,057 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 5.4 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 2,769 | 2,261 | 1,977 | 1,834 | 22.5 | 14.4 | 7.8 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals .... | 10,292 | 9,343 | 8,672 | 7,644 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 13.4 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 88,158 | 82,694 | 79,915 | 74,908 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 6.7 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities . | 63,216 | 59,359 | 57,808 | 54,681 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 7,065 | 6,581 | 6,171 | 5,698 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 8.3 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 4,835 | 4,619 | 4,339 | 4,063 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.8 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 2,230 | 1,962 | 1,832 | 1,635 | 13.7 | 7.1 | 12.0 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly ........................... | 16,466 | 15,539 | 14,750 | 13,481 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 9.4 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 6,112 | 5,388 | 5,233 | 4,645 | 13.4 | 3.0 | 12.7 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly ........... | 10,354 | 10,151 | 9,517 | 8,836 | 2.0 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities .......................................... | 1,411 | 1,215 | 1,186 | 1,048 | 16.1 | 2.4 | 13.2 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appenđix A, Tabie A8.5 provides estimated measures of sampiing variabiility.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS (NAICS 6211) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 74,032 | 70,730 |
| Medicaid | 18,321 | 16,944 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 4,687 | 4,350 |
| Worker's compensation | 7,219 | 7,036 |
| Private insurance | 173,245 | 164,895 |
| Private health insurance | 171,021 | 162,751 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 2,224 | 2,144 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 35,333 | 33,467 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 19,162 | 17,830 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 12,337 |
| OFFICES OF DENTISTS (NAICS 6212) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 789 | 802 |
| Medicaid | 3,690 | 3,367 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 454 | 488 |
| Worker's compensation | 86 | 85 |
| Private insurance | 42,593 | 40,680 |
| Private health insurance | 42,474 | 40,510 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 42,575 | 39,009 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2,861 | 2,932 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 567 |
| OFFICES OF OTHER HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (NAICS 6213) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 6,285 | 6,001 |
| Medicaid | 2,170 | 2,105 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 1,970 | 1,710 |
| Worker's compensation | 2,441 | 2,340 |
| Private insurance | 19,434 | 18,280 |
| Private health insurance | 17,440 | 16,366 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 1,994 | 1,914 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 12,335 | 11,433 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 4,282 | 3,712 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 1,393 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF CHIROPRACTORS (NAICS 62131) <br> Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Medicare | 902 | 869 |
| Medicaid | 95 | 84 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | S | S |
| Worker's compensation | 496 | 489 |
| Private insurance | 6,102 | 5,779 |
| Private health insurance | 4,600 | 4,356 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 1,502 | 1,423 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 2,979 | 2,774 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 171 | 155 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 65 |
| OFFICES OF OPTOMETRISTS (NAICS 62132) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 940 | 899 |
| Medicaid | 441 | 444 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 50 | 44 |
| Worker's compensation | 15 | 12 |
| Private insurance | 3,658 | 3,336 |
| Private health insurance | 3,655 | 3,333 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance . | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 5,570 | 5,121 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S | 318 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 40 |
| OFFICES OF MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (EXCEPT PHYSICIANS) (NAICS 62133) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 220 | 219 |
| Medicaid | 669 | 632 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 804 | 708 |
| Worker's compensation | 64 | 70 |
| Private insurance | 1,237 | 1,206 |
| Private health insurance | 1,208 | 1,176 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 29 | 29 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 1,111 | 1,034 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified. | 152 | 129 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 294 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICAL, OCCUPATIONAL AND SPEECH THERAPISTS, AND AUDIOLOGISTS (NAICS 62134) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 2,745 | 2,616 |
| Medicaid | 593 | 588 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 882 | 744 |
| Worker's compensation | 1,758 | 1,669 |
| Private insurance | 5,355 | 4,952 |
| Private health insurance | 4,972 | 4,576 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 383 | 376 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 1,307 | 1,298 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2,875 | 2,423 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 527 |
| OFFICES OF PODIATRISTS (NAICS 621391) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 1,101 | 1,050 |
| Medicaid | 144 | 136 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 36 | 31 |
| Worker's compensation | 48 | 45 |
| Private insurance | 2,036 | 1,992 |
| Private health insurance | 2,013 | 1,960 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 444 | 407 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 76 | 76 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | S |
| OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 6214) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 16,024 | 14,518 |
| Medicaid | 10,981 | 10,790 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 4,608 | 4,111 |
| Worker's compensation | S | 1,672 |
| Private insurance | 23,772 | 21,889 |
| Private health insurance | 23,547 | 21,659 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 224 | 230 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 5,042 | 4,676 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 8,662 | 8,327 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 9,952 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CENTERS (NAICS 62142) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 355 | 343 |
| Medicaid | 4,098 | 4,001 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 2,460 | 2,178 |
| Worker's compensation. | S | 9 |
| Private insurance | 983 | 886 |
| Private health insurance . | 964 | 864 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 749 | 681 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 1,092 | 1,003 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 1,644 |
| OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 62149) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 15,653 | 14,158 |
| Medicaid | 6,676 | 6,587 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 2,001 | 1,784 |
| Worker's compensation | S | 1,663 |
| Private insurance | 22,507 | 20,791 |
| Private health insurance | 22,301 | 20,584 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 206 | 207 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 3,734 | 3,489 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 7,533 | 7,297 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | S |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS CENTERS (NAICS 621492) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 9,107 | 8,251 |
| Medicaid | 863 | 782 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 196 | 179 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S |
| Private insurance | 5,532 | 5,159 |
| Private health insurance | 5,532 | 5,159 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | ZZ | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) ..... | 149 | 135 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 604 | 557 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 91 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FREESTANDING AMBULATORY SURGICAL AND EMERGENCY CENTERS (NAICS 621493) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 2,285 | 2,072 |
| Medicaid | 449 | 426 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 293 | 218 |
| Worker's compensation | 791 | 710 |
| Private insurance | 7,997 | 7,105 |
| Private health insurance | 7,895 | 6,998 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | 107 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 1,518 | 1,275 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 596 | 428 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 502 |
| ALL OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 621498) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 3,699 | 3,280 |
| Medicaid | S | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 1,512 | S |
| Worker's compensation | S | 936 |
| Private insurance | 8,259 | 7,914 |
| Private health insurance | 8,162 | 7,821 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 97 | 93 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | S | 1,878 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S | 2,763 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | S |
| MEDICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORIES (NAICS 6215)Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Health practitioners | 3,165 | 2,946 |
| Hospitals | 4,533 | 4,454 |
| Outpatient care facilities | 611 | 590 |
| All other health care providers | 1,091 | 1,069 |
| Medicare | 5,866 | 5,951 |
| Medicaid | 1,356 | 1,335 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 257 | 236 |
| Worker's compensation | 510 | 465 |
| Private insurance | 16,755 | 16,054 |
| Private health insurance | 16,465 | 15,785 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 290 | 269 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 2,266 | 2,170 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 1,954 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOME HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6216) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Medicare | 24,256 | 20,246 |
| Medicaid | 12,505 | 11,537 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 1,274 | 1,270 |
| Worker's compensation | 158 | 119 |
| Private insurance | 9,072 | 8,044 |
| Private health insurance | 8,872 | 7,863 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | 181 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 2,379 | 2,149 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2,526 | 2,233 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 1,218 |
| OTHER AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6219) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 3,638 | 3,472 |
| Medicaid | S | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 397 | 409 |
| Worker's compensation | 414 | 381 |
| Private insurance | 6,936 | 6,144 |
| Private health insurance | 6,558 | 5,750 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 378 | 395 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 1,790 | 1,567 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 7,561 | 6,991 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 4,376 |
| HOSPITALS (NAICS 622) <br> Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 177,200 | 174,855 |
| Medicaid | 69,408 | 66,770 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 34,139 | 33,991 |
| Worker's compensation | 6,658 | 6,706 |
| Private insurance | 286,764 | 265,579 |
| Private health insurance | 283,684 | 262,976 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 3,081 | 2,603 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 31,251 | 32,374 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 22,412 | 23,992 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 40,636 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NURSING AND RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 25,997 | 24,325 |
| Medicaid | 59,285 | 56,288 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 11,332 | 11,059 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S |
| Private insurance | 7,423 | 7,010 |
| Private health insurance | 7,158 | 6,758 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 37,849 | 36,236 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 35,215 | 33,643 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 2,634 | 2,593 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 6,518 | 5,525 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 8,916 |
| NURSING CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 6231) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 22,517 | 21,165 |
| Medicaid | 43,321 | 41,338 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 1,153 | 1,033 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S |
| Private insurance | 5,700 | 5,226 |
| Private health insurance | 5,540 | 5,087 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 12,373 | 11,789 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 10,804 | 10,232 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 1,569 | 1,557 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2,324 | 1,994 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 2,393 |
| RESIDENTIAL MENTAL RETARDATION, MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE FACILITIES (NAICS 6232) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 1,040 | 1,000 |
| Medicaid | 10,825 | 9,996 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 6,135 | 5,900 |
| Worker's compensation | 27 | 27 |
| Private insurance | 683 | 698 |
| Private health insurance | 638 | 648 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 45 | 50 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 1,936 | 2,113 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 1,217 | 1,399 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 719 | 714 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2,324 | 2,036 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 2,158 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.9. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY CARE FACILITIES FOR THE ELDERLY (NAICS 6233) |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| Medicare | 2,157 | 1,875 |
| Medicaid | 3,654 | 3,537 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 375 | 330 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S |
| Private insurance | 906 | 911 |
| Private health insurance | 901 | 905 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 23,070 | 21,861 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 22,813 | 21,617 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 257 | 244 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 1,249 | 877 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 2,425 |

ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appondix A, Table A 8.6 provides estimated meagures of sampling variability.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS (NAICS 6211) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.7 |
| Medicaid | 8.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 7.7 |
| Worker's compensation | 2.6 |
| Private insurance . | 5.1 |
| Private health insurance | 5.1 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 3.7 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 5.6 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 7.5 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources . | S |
| OFFICES OF DENTISTS (NAICS 6212) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | -1.6 |
| Medicaid | 9.6 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | -7.0 |
| Worker's compensation | 1.2 |
| Private insurance | 4.7 |
| Private health insurance .. | 4.8 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 9.1 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | -2.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OFFICES OF OTHER HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (NAICS 6213) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.7 |
| Medicaid | 3.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 15.2 |
| Worker's compensation | 4.3 |
| Private insurance | 6.3 |
| Private health insurance | 6.6 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 4.2 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 7.9 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 15.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources .. | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF CHIROPRACTORS (NAICS 62131) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 3.8 |
| Medicaid | 13.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | S |
| Worker's compensation | 1.4 |
| Private insurance | 5.6 |
| Private health insurance | 5.6 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 5.6 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 7.4 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 10.3 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OFFICES OF OPTOMETRISTS (NAICS 62132) Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.6 |
| Medicaid | -0.7 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 13.6 |
| Worker's compensation | 25.0 |
| Private insurance | 9.7 |
| Private health insurance | 9.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 8.8 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OFFICES OF MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (EXCEPT PHYSICIANS) (NAICS 62133) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 0.5 |
| Medicaid | 5.9 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 13.6 |
| Worker's compensation | -8.6 |
| Private insurance | 2.6 |
| Private health insurance | 2.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | Z |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 7.4 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 17.8 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICAL, OCCUPATIONAL AND SPEECH THERAPISTS, AND AUDIOLOGISTS (NAICS 62134) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.9 |
| Medicaid | 0.9 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 18.5 |
| Worker's compensation | 5.3 |
| Private insurance | 8.1 |
| Private health insurance | 8.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 1.9 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 0.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 18.7 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OFFICES OF PODIATRISTS (NAICS 621391) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.9 |
| Medicaid | 5.9 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 16.1 |
| Worker's compensation | 6.7 |
| Private insurance . | 2.2 |
| Private health insurance | 2.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 9.1 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | Z |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 6214) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 10.4 |
| Medicaid | 1.8 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.1 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 8.6 |
| Private health insurance | 8.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | -2.6 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 7.8 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified... | 4.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CENTERS (NAICS 62142) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 3.5 |
| Medicaid | 2.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.9 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 10.9 |
| Private health insurance | 11.6 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 10.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 8.9 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 62149) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 10.6 |
| Medicaid | 1.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.2 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 8.3 |
| Private health insurance | 8.3 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | -0.5 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 7.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 3.2 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS CENTERS (NAICS 621492) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 10.4 |
| Medicaid | 10.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 9.5 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 7.2 |
| Private health insurance | 7.2 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 10.4 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 8.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| FREESTANDING AMBULATORY SURGICAL AND EMERGENCY CENTERS (NAICS 621493) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 10.3 |
| Medicaid | 5.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 34.4 |
| Worker's compensation | 11.4 |
| Private insurance | 12.6 |
| Private health insurance | 12.8 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 19.1 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 39.3 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| ALL OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 621498) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 12.8 |
| Medicaid | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | S |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance . | 4.4 |
| Private health insurance | 4.4 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 4.3 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | S |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources . | S |
| MEDICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORIES (NAICS 6215) Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Health practitioners | 7.4 |
| Hospitals | 1.8 |
| Outpatient care facilities | 3.6 |
| All other health care providers . | 2.1 |
| Medicare | -1.4 |
| Medicaid . | 1.6 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 8.9 |
| Worker's compensation | 9.7 |
| Private insurance | 4.4 |
| Private health insurance | 4.3 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 7.8 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 4.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources .... | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| HOME HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6216) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 19.8 |
| Medicaid | 8.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 0.3 |
| Worker's compensation | 32.8 |
| Private insurance | 12.8 |
| Private health insurance | 12.8 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 10.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 13.1 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| OTHER AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6219) Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.8 |
| Medicaid . | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | -2.9 |
| Worker's compensation | 8.7 |
| Private insurance | 12.9 |
| Private health insurance | 14.1 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | -4.3 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 14.2 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 8.2 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| HOSPITALS (NAICS 622) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 1.3 |
| Medicaid | 4.0 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 0.4 |
| Worker's compensation | -0.7 |
| Private insurance | 8.0 |
| Private health insurance | 7.9 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 18.4 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | -3.5 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | -6.6 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| NURSING AND RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 6.9 |
| Medicaid | 5.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 2.5 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 5.9 |
| Private health insurance | 5.9 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 4.5 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 4.7 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 1.6 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 18.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| NURSING CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 6231) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 6.4 |
| Medicaid | 4.8 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 11.6 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | 9.1 |
| Private health insurance | 8.9 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 5.0 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 5.6 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 0.8 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 16.5 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources | S |
| RESIDENTIAL MENTAL RETARDATION, MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE FACILITIES (NAICS 6232) |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |
| Medicare | 4.0 |
| Medicaid | 8.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 4.0 |
| Worker's compensation | Z |
| Private insurance | -2.1 |
| Private health insurance | -1.5 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | -10.0 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | -8.4 |
| Payment from patients and their families | -13.0 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 0.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 14.1 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
| All other sources .... | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.10. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNITY CARE FACILITIES FOR THE ELDERLY (NAICS 6233) Patient Care Revenue |  |
|  |  |
| Medicare | 15.0 |
| Medicaid | 3.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 13.6 |
| Worker's compensation | S |
| Private insurance | -0.5 |
| Private health insurance | -0.4 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 5.5 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 5.5 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 5.3 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 42.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |
|  | S |
| Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greate or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these sam limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf. |  |
| Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.htm\|> Appendiv A, Table A 8.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability. |  |

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 621) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................................................................................................ | 601,562 | 561,380 | 525,551 | 494,024 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 350,943 | 325,946 | 305,995 | 288,395 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 6.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 286,811 | 268,431 | 252,903 | 238,889 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 51,094 | 44,743 | 41,498 | 38,942 | 14.2 | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| Health insurance. | 18,152 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 15,602 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5,736 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 9,866 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 17,340 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 13,038 | 12,772 | 11,594 | 10,565 | 2.1 | 10.2 | 9.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 72,455 | 69,190 | 64,144 | 61,042 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 5.1 |
| Medical supplies. | 55,860 | 52,250 | 47,339 | 43,071 | 6.9 | 10.4 | 9.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 4,580 | 4,421 | 4,251 | 3,862 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 10.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 12,014 | 12,519 | 12,554 | 14,110 | -4.0 | -0.3 | -11.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 59,589 | 56,243 | 51,118 | 47,596 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 7.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 1,830 | 1,805 | 1,533 | 1,380 | 1.4 | 17.7 | 11.1 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3,067 | 3,388 | 3,041 | 2,784 | -9.5 | 11.4 | 9.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2,557 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 510 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 29,824 | 27,397 | 24,551 | 22,594 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 8.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4,671 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 25,153 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5,777 | 5,133 | 4,600 | 4,442 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 3.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 3,693 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 2,084 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 5,823 | 5,348 | 4,742 | 4,302 | 8.9 | 12.8 | 10.2 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 13,267 | 13,172 | 12,651 | 12,094 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 4.6 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 118,574 | 110,000 | 104,294 | 96,990 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 7.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 12,856 | 12,227 | 11,709 | 11,515 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 1.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 6,255 | 6,278 | 6,432 | 5,913 | -0.4 | -2.4 | 8.8 |
| All other operating expenses. | 99,463 | 91,495 | 86,154 | 79,562 | 8.7 | 6.2 | 8.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 2,443 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 4,584 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 912 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services......................................... | 20,416 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 71,108 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^184]Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS (NAICS 6211) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 304,957 | 287,814 | 270,408 | 254,719 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 186,507 | 175,205 | 164,427 | 155,996 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 5.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 153,539 | 145,432 | 136,584 | 130,106 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 5.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 27,125 | 24,092 | 22,417 | 20,986 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 6.8 |
| Health insurance. | 9,108 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 10,415 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3,864 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 6,551 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 7,602 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5,843 | 5,680 | 5,425 | 4,904 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 10.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 33,430 | 31,511 | 29,190 | 27,104 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 7.7 |
| Medical supplies.. | 28,086 | 25,989 | 23,586 | 21,445 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 10.0 |
| Expensed equipment. | 1,832 | 1,634 | 1,487 | 1,381 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 7.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 3,512 | 3,888 | 4,117 | 4,277 | -9.7 | -5.6 | -3.7 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 31,630 | 29,714 | 27,161 | 25,298 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 7.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 857 | 799 | 728 | 649 | 7.3 | 9.8 | 12.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1,044 | 1,091 | 1,011 | 973 | -4.3 | 7.9 | 3.9 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 887 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 158 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 15,354 | 14,120 | 12,508 | 11,533 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 8.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 2,393 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 12,960 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2,224 | 1,910 | 1,745 | 1,622 | 16.4 | 9.5 | 7.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 1,485 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.............. | 739 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 2,137 | 1,979 | 1,755 | 1,553 | 8.0 | 12.8 | 13.0 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 10,015 | 9,815 | 9,413 | 8,969 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 5.0 |
| Other operating expenses. | 53,389 | 51,384 | 49,630 | 46,321 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 7.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4,317 | 4,358 | 4,219 | 4,290 | -0.9 | 3.3 | -1.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3,097 | 3,243 | 3,318 | 3,054 | -4.5 | -2.3 | 8.6 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 45,976 | 43,784 | 42,092 | 38,977 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,517 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2,037 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 276 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 10,838 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses......................................................... | 31,307 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 6214) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 71,962 | 67,084 | 61,910 | 59,067 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 4.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 37,861 | 35,343 | 32,852 | 30,919 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 29,336 | 26,876 | 25,427 | 24,042 | 9.2 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 6,464 | 6,317 | 5,566 | 5,214 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 6.8 |
| Health insurance. | 2,813 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1,022 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 289 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 733 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2,629 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,061 | 2,150 | 1,859 | 1,663 | -4.1 | 15.7 | 11.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 11,797 | 11,075 | 10,002 | 10,747 | 6.5 | 10.7 | -6.9 |
| Medical supplies. | 8,856 | 8,044 | 6,924 | 5,881 | 10.1 | 16.2 | 17.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 314 | 314 | 274 | 259 | Z | 14.6 | 5.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2,627 | 2,718 | 2,804 | 4,606 | -3.3 | -3.1 | -39.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5,699 | 5,599 | 4,924 | 4,711 | 1.8 | 13.7 | 4.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 181 | 185 | 170 | 154 | -2.2 | 8.8 | 10.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 561 | 709 | 597 | 513 | -20.9 | 18.8 | 16.4 |
| Purchased electricity. | 467 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 95 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2,985 | 2,736 | 2,303 | 2,031 | 9.1 | 18.8 | 13.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 466 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2,519 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 711 | 729 | 638 | 821 | -2.5 | 14.3 | -22.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 369 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 342 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 414 | 386 | 325 | 284 | 7.3 | 18.8 | 14.4 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 846 | 853 | 891 | 909 | -0.8 | -4.3 | -2.0 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 16,604 | 15,066 | 14,132 | 12,691 | 10.2 | 6.6 | 11.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2,165 | 2,038 | 1,899 | 1,741 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 9.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 485 | 465 | 446 | 375 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 18.9 |
| All other operating expenses... | 13,954 | 12,564 | 11,786 | 10,575 | 11.1 | 6.6 | 11.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 182 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 443 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 256 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 2,184 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 10,888 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CENTERS (NAICS 62142) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 10,930 | 10,365 | 9,791 | 9,076 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 7.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 7,048 | 6,762 | 6,268 | 5,945 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 5.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5,445 | 5,215 | 4,873 | 4,609 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 5.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1,239 | 1,167 | 1,077 | 1,039 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 3.7 |
| Health insurance. | 586 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans... | 191 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 66 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 125 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other... | 462 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 364 | 380 | 318 | 297 | -4.2 | 19.5 | 7.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 455 | 463 | 450 | 409 | -1.7 | 2.9 | 10.0 |
| Medical supplies.. | 142 | 155 | 150 | 139 | -8.4 | 3.3 | 7.9 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 54 | 60 | 58 | 51 | -10.0 | 3.4 | 13.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 260 | 248 | 242 | 219 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 10.5 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 831 | 788 | 725 | 674 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 7.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 20 | 22 | 22 | 20 | -9.1 | Z | 10.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 117 | 122 | 107 | 95 | -4.1 | 14.0 | 12.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 90 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 403 | 369 | 335 | 312 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 7.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 368 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 122 | 113 | 107 | 102 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 4.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.... | 48 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 74 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 59 | 53 | 45 | 41 | 11.3 | 17.8 | 9.8 |
| Professional liability insurance... | 110 | 109 | 109 | 104 | 0.9 | Z | 4.8 |
| Other operating expenses... | 2,596 | 2,352 | 2,348 | 2,049 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 14.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 177 | 172 | 166 | 160 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees.. | 84 | 76 | 69 | 47 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 46.8 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2,335 | 2,104 | 2,113 | 1,843 | 11.0 | -0.4 | 14.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services... | 107 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 22 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 366 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1,817 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^185]Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS CENTERS (NAICS 621492) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,788 | 12,789 | 10,409 | 9,321 | 7.8 | 22.9 | 11.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,323 | 5,000 | 4,424 | 4,176 | 6.5 | 13.0 | 5.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3,740 | 3,472 | 3,260 | 3,205 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 1.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | S | S | 912 | 745 | S | S | 22.4 |
| Health insurance. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 289 | 267 | 252 | 226 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 11.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5,006 | 4,566 | 3,692 | 3,146 | 9.6 | 23.7 | 17.4 |
| Medical supplies.. | S | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed equipment.. | 18 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 12.5 | Z | 23.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1,330 | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed purchased services. | S | S | 1,020 | 837 | S | S | 21.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 20 | 21 | 19 | 18 | -4.8 | 10.5 | 5.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | S | 183 | 146 | S | S | 25.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | S | S | 644 | 536 | S | S | 20.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | S | 89 | 84 | 64 | S | 6.0 | 31.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | S | S | S | 22 | S | S | S |
| Professional liability insurance. | S | S | 59 | 50 | S | S | 18.0 |
| Other operating expenses. | S | 1,819 | 1,274 | 1,162 | S | 42.8 | 9.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 472 | 437 | 363 | 307 | 8.0 | 20.4 | 18.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | S | 96 | 75 | 62 | S | 28.0 | 21.0 |
| All other operating expenses.......... | S | 1,286 | 836 | 794 | S | 53.8 | 5.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.................................... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| FREESTANDING AMBULATORY SURGICAL AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EMERGENCY CENTERS (NAICS 621493) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,828 | 10,056 | 9,095 | 8,138 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 11.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 5,495 | 4,670 | 4,186 | 3,761 | 17.7 | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4,521 | 3,753 | 3,442 | 3,077 | 20.5 | 9.0 | 11.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 739 | 651 | 563 | 547 | 13.5 | 15.6 | 2.9 |
| Health insurance. | 306 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 169 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 50 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 119 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 264 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 235 | 266 | 181 | 137 | -11.7 | 47.0 | 32.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2,282 | 1,953 | 1,761 | 1,472 | 16.8 | 10.9 | 19.6 |
| Medical supplies. | 2,034 | 1,736 | 1,571 | 1,275 | 17.2 | 10.5 | 23.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 79 | 74 | 52 | 59 | 6.8 | 42.3 | -11.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 169 | 143 | 138 | 138 | 18.2 | 3.6 | Z |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 1,231 | 1,073 | 919 | 904 | 14.7 | 16.8 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 25 | 16 | 14 | S | 56.3 | 14.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 88 | 82 | 69 | 58 | 7.3 | 18.8 | 19.0 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 77 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 11 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 670 | 541 | 453 | 400 | 23.8 | 19.4 | 13.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 97 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 573 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 166 | 157 | 129 | 117 | 5.7 | 21.7 | 10.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 120 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 46 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 77 | 78 | 61 | 50 | -1.3 | 27.9 | 22.0 |
| Professional liability insurance.. | 203 | 189 | 190 | 265 | 7.4 | -0.5 | -28.3 |
| Other operating expenses. | 2,820 | 2,360 | 2,230 | 2,001 | 19.5 | 5.8 | 11.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 513 | 446 | 403 | 369 | 15.0 | 10.7 | 9.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 105 | 98 | 94 | 83 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 13.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 2,202 | 1,816 | 1,732 | 1,549 | 21.3 | 4.8 | 11.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 34 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services... | 39 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 35 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 548 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses......................................................... | 1,546 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^186]Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| HOME HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6216) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 47,343 | 41,580 | 37,442 | 33,937 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 10.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 32,945 | 28,787 | 26,381 | 24,054 | 14.4 | 9.1 | 9.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 26,387 | 22,968 | 21,308 | 19,214 | 14.9 | 7.8 | 10.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 4,345 | 3,800 | 3,540 | 3,455 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 2.5 |
| Health insurance. | 1,570 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 395 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 139 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 256 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 2,380 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,212 | 2,018 | 1,533 | 1,385 | 9.6 | 31.6 | 10.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3,499 | 3,219 | 3,179 | 2,891 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 10.0 |
| Medical supplies. | 2,557 | 2,416 | 2,328 | 2,136 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 9.0 |
| Expensed equipment. | 265 | 242 | 210 | 186 | 9.5 | 15.2 | 12.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 677 | 562 | 641 | 570 | 20.5 | -12.3 | 12.5 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2,404 | 2,269 | 1,969 | 1,850 | 5.9 | 15.2 | 6.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 120 | 119 | 89 | 77 | 0.8 | 33.7 | 15.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 200 | 208 | 184 | 176 | -3.8 | 13.0 | 4.5 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 147 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 53 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 1,134 | 1,063 | 972 | 915 | 6.7 | 9.4 | 6.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 222 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 912 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 223 | 200 | 184 | 176 | 11.5 | 8.7 | 4.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 122 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 359 | 302 | 261 | 240 | 18.9 | 15.7 | 8.8 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 369 | S | 278 | 267 | S | S | 4.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 8,495 | 7,306 | 5,913 | 5,142 | 16.3 | 23.6 | 15.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 714 | 619 | 589 | 507 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 16.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 356 | 269 | 321 | 284 | 32.3 | -16.2 | 13.0 |
| All other operating expenses... | 7,424 | 6,418 | 5,004 | 4,351 | 15.7 | 28.3 | 15.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 134 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 400 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 43 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.................................... | 1,331 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 5,516 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| HOSPITALS (NAICS 622) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 625,857 | 595,264 | 557,278 | 522,896 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 329,635 | 312,195 | 290,532 | 274,808 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 5.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 249,214 | 235,003 | 218,484 | 206,913 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 5.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 67,771 | 64,912 | 60,210 | 56,314 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 6.9 |
| Health insurance. | 29,537 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 13,371 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 8,357 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5,014 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 24,863 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 12,650 | 12,280 | 11,838 | 11,582 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 113,208 | 107,346 | 102,061 | 94,928 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 7.5 |
| Medical supplies. | 95,625 | 90,410 | 85,564 | 78,678 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 8.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 2,607 | 2,431 | 2,269 | 2,159 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 5.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 14,976 | 14,505 | 14,229 | 14,091 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 40,113 | 39,048 | 37,083 | 34,745 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 6.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 2,257 | 2,171 | 2,063 | 2,006 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 2.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 7,870 | 8,031 | 7,187 | 6,464 | -2.0 | 11.7 | 11.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 5,387 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 2,483 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 9,313 | 8,686 | 8,140 | 7,526 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 8.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4,307 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 5,006 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 10,218 | 9,708 | 9,013 | 8,288 | 5.3 | 7.7 | 8.7 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 7,182 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 3,037 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 2,084 | 2,010 | 1,901 | 1,730 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 9.9 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 8,372 | 8,442 | 8,779 | 8,731 | -0.8 | -3.8 | 0.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 142,902 | 136,674 | 127,603 | 118,416 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 33,438 | 31,614 | 30,079 | 28,468 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2,542 | 2,407 | 2,247 | 2,069 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 8.6 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 106,921 | 102,653 | 95,276 | 87,880 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 8.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 3,265 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1,776 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 1,558 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 26,230 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 74,093 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NURSING AND RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 149,528 | 142,527 | 137,402 | 129,595 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 88,744 | 83,582 | 81,429 | 77,873 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 4.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 70,623 | 66,802 | 64,888 | 62,323 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 4.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 14,739 | 13,709 | 13,511 | 12,826 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 5.3 |
| Health insurance. | 6,287 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1,053 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 398 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 655 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 7,398 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3,381 | 3,071 | 3,030 | 2,724 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 11.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 9,678 | 9,756 | 9,889 | 9,368 | -0.8 | -1.3 | 5.6 |
| Medical supplies. | 4,877 | 4,429 | 4,430 | 4,160 | 10.1 | Z | 6.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 429 | 461 | 498 | 446 | -6.9 | -7.4 | 11.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 4,372 | 4,866 | 4,961 | 4,763 | -10.2 | -1.9 | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 15,547 | 14,678 | 13,867 | 12,781 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 8.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 135 | 151 | 144 | 134 | -10.6 | 4.9 | 7.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3,307 | 3,444 | 3,268 | 2,929 | -4.0 | 5.4 | 11.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2,356 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 950 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 7,232 | 6,296 | 5,598 | 5,104 | 14.9 | 12.5 | 9.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 645 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 6,586 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,945 | 1,846 | 1,674 | 1,553 | 5.4 | 10.3 | 7.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 645 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 1,299 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 715 | 680 | 695 | 643 | 5.1 | -2.2 | 8.1 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 2,214 | 2,260 | 2,487 | 2,419 | -2.0 | -9.1 | 2.8 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 35,557 | 34,513 | 32,217 | 29,571 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 8.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 5,738 | 5,345 | 5,269 | 4,997 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 5.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2,873 | 2,786 | 2,897 | 2,486 | 3.1 | -3.8 | 16.5 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 26,945 | 26,382 | 24,051 | 22,089 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 8.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 291 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 715 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 914 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5,187 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 19,838 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8.11. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$--Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NURSING CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623110) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 85,017 | 81,170 | 79,064 | 75,043 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 5.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 50,801 | 47,668 | 47,089 | 45,513 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 40,388 | 38,064 | 37,417 | 36,346 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 2.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 8,149 | 7,549 | 7,604 | 7,289 | 7.9 | -0.7 | 4.3 |
| Health insurance. | 3,242 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 432 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 174 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 258 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 4,474 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,265 | 2,055 | 2,068 | 1,878 | 10.2 | -0.6 | 10.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6,481 | 6,426 | 6,727 | 6,450 | 0.9 | -4.5 | 4.3 |
| Medical supplies.. | 4,126 | 3,689 | 3,683 | 3,469 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 6.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 211 | 217 | 288 | 255 | -2.8 | -24.7 | 12.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2,145 | 2,520 | 2,756 | 2,726 | -14.9 | -8.6 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 7,983 | 7,659 | 7,305 | 6,828 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 7.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 64 | 77 | 74 | 70 | -16.9 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1,561 | 1,688 | 1,641 | 1,469 | -7.5 | 2.9 | 11.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 1,097 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels).. | 463 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 4,131 | 3,526 | 3,109 | 2,871 | 17.2 | 13.4 | 8.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 428 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3,703 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 676 | 807 | 707 | 644 | -16.2 | 14.1 | 9.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 268 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 408 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 242 | 235 | 223 | 215 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 3.7 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 1,310 | 1,326 | 1,551 | 1,561 | -1.2 | -14.5 | -0.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 19,751 | 19,417 | 17,944 | 16,253 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 10.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2,005 | 1,956 | 2,117 | 2,078 | 2.5 | -7.6 | 1.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1,904 | 1,844 | 1,982 | 1,662 | 3.3 | -7.0 | 19.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 15,843 | 15,617 | 13,845 | 12,513 | 1.4 | 12.8 | 10.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 155 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 297 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 450 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 3,254 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 11,687 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.


Chapter 9. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services

Table 9.1. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 191,005 | 180,383 | 166,561 | 158,557 | 149,360 | 141,902 | 133,880 | 127,394 | 119,868 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 75,853 | 71,365 | 65,235 | 62,796 | 60,367 | 58,285 | 54,151 | 51,149 | 47,935 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 11,734 | 11,987 | 11,978 | 11,554 | 11,070 | 10,864 | 10,804 | 10,746 | 10,267 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 28,757 | 26,531 | 24,402 | 23,659 | 22,445 | 22,313 | 20,392 | 19,339 | 17,552 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 17,418 | 15,742 | 14,067 | 14,115 | 13,257 | 13,025 | 11,461 | 10,739 | 9,261 |
| 711212 | Racetracks | 7,877 | 7,584 | 7,358 | 7,022 | 6,582 | 6,702 | 6,473 | 6,349 | 6,193 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 3,462 | 3,205 | 2,977 | 2,522 | 2,606 | 2,586 | 2,458 | 2,251 | 2,098 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events . | 18,830 | 17,187 | 14,338 | 13,571 | 12,872 | 12,168 | 10,993 | 10,098 | 9,479 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures $\qquad$ | 4,633 | 4,089 | 3,909 | 3,819 | 3,604 | 3,602 | 3,381 | 3,184 | 3,003 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, | 11,899 | 11,571 | 10,608 | 10,193 | 10,376 | 9,338 | 8,581 | 7,782 | 7,634 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutio | 12,978 | 11,967 | 10,256 | 9,688 | 9,082 | 8,607 | 9,218 | 9,350 | 8,711 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation | 102,174 | 97,051 | 91,070 | 86,073 | 79,911 | 75,010 | 70,511 | 66,895 | 63,222 |
| 7131 | Amusement p | 12,050 | 11,365 | 11,181 | 10,561 | 9,930 | 9,443 | 9,813 | 9,441 | 8,824 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 10,746 | 9,963 | 9,882 | 9,344 | 8,737 | 8,174 | 8,637 | 8,245 | 7,596 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 1,304 | 1,402 | 1,299 | 1,217 | 1,193 | 1,269 | 1,176 | 1,196 | 1,228 |
| 7132 | Gambling indu | 33,021 | 31,127 | 28,094 | 25,698 | 22,370 | 18,893 | 16,686 | 14,621 | 13,191 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 20,485 | 19,746 | 18,010 | 16,664 | 14,601 | 12,387 | 11,148 | 9,592 | 8,602 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries | 12,536 | 11,381 | 10,084 | 9,034 | 7,769 | 6,506 | 5,538 | 5,029 | 4,589 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 57,103 | 54,559 | 51,795 | 49,814 | 47,611 | 46,674 | 44,012 | 42,833 | 41,207 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 19,279 | 19,082 | 18,533 | 17,880 | 16,987 | 17,533 | 16,862 | 16,692 | 16,285 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 2,414 | 2,234 | 2,049 | 1,980 | 1,839 | 1,801 | 1,635 | 1,551 | 1,429 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4,187 | 4,018 | 3,663 | 3,393 | 3,382 | 3,352 | 3,389 | 3,379 | 3,153 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 19,507 | 18,519 | 17,620 | 16,839 | 16,130 | 14,987 | 13,542 | 12,543 | 11,777 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers | 3,738 | 3,347 | 3,427 | 3,505 | 3,293 | 3,075 | 2,882 | 2,762 | 2,736 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 7,978 | 7,359 | 6,503 | 6,217 | 5,980 | 5,926 | 5,702 | 5,906 | 5,827 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-9.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.2. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation. | 5.9 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 6.3 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 6.7 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | -2.1 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 8.4 | 8.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 10.2 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 10.6 | 11.9 | -0.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 13.6 | 6.7 | 16.0 |
| 711212 | Racetracks | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 6.7 | -1.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 8.0 | 7.7 | 18.0 | -3.2 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 7.3 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events . | 9.6 | 19.9 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 6.5 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures | 13.3 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 2.8 | 9.1 | 4.1 | -1.8 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 1.9 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 8.4 | 16.7 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 5.5 | -6.6 | -1.4 | 7.3 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 5.3 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades | 6.0 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 5.2 | -3.8 | 3.9 | 7.0 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 7.9 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | -5.4 | 4.8 | 8.5 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | -7.0 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 2.0 | -6.0 | 7.9 | -1.7 | -2.6 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 6.1 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 18.4 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 10.8 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 3.7 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 14.1 | 17.9 | 11.1 | 16.2 | 11.5 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries | 10.1 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 16.3 | 19.4 | 17.5 | 10.1 | 9.6 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 5.3 | -3.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 8.1 | 9.0 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 8.5 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4.2 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | -1.1 | 0.3 | 7.2 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers | 11.7 | -2.3 | -2.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 1.0 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries ...................... | 8.4 | 13.2 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 3.9 | -3.5 | 1.4 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-9.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.3. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 156,037 | 146,042 | 134,839 | 128,374 | 120,709 | 113,934 | 105,858 | 100,114 | 94,180 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 66,577 | 61,479 | 55,670 | 53,662 | 51,686 | 49,496 | 45,479 | 42,960 | 40,385 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 7,603 | 6,930 | 6,753 | 6,561 | 6,390 | 6,224 | 6,033 | 6,187 | 6,122 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 28,757 | 26,531 | 24,402 | 23,659 | 22,445 | 22,313 | 20,392 | 19,339 | 17,552 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 17,418 | 15,742 | 14,067 | 14,115 | 13,257 | 13,025 | 11,461 | 10,739 | 9,261 |
| 711212 | Racetracks | 7,877 | 7,584 | 7,358 | 7,022 | 6,582 | 6,702 | 6,473 | 6,349 | 6,193 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 3,462 | 3,205 | 2,977 | 2,522 | 2,606 | 2,586 | 2,458 | 2,251 | 2,098 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events . | 13,685 | 12,358 | 9,998 | 9,430 | 8,871 | 8,019 | 7,092 | 6,468 | 6,074 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures $\qquad$ | 4,633 | 4,089 | 3,909 | 3,819 | 3,604 | 3,602 | 3,381 | 3,184 | 3,003 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, w | 11,899 | 11,571 | 10,608 | 10,193 | 10,376 | 9,338 | 8,581 | 7,782 | 7,634 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar | 1,259 | 1,096 | 923 | 835 | 804 | 750 | 714 | 728 | 616 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industris | 88,201 | 83,467 | 78,246 | 73,877 | 68,219 | 63,688 | 59,665 | 56,426 | 53,179 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades | 12,050 | 11,365 | 11,181 | 10,561 | 9,930 | 9,443 | 9,813 | 9,441 | 8,824 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme p | 10,746 | 9,963 | 9,882 | 9,344 | 8,737 | 8,174 | 8,637 | 8,245 | 7,596 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 1,304 | 1,402 | 1,299 | 1,217 | 1,193 | 1,269 | 1,176 | 1,196 | 1,228 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 33,021 | 31,127 | 28,094 | 25,698 | 22,370 | 18,893 | 16,686 | 14,621 | 13,191 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 20,485 | 19,746 | 18,010 | 16,664 | 14,601 | 12,387 | 11,148 | 9,592 | 8,602 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries | 12,536 | 11,381 | 10,084 | 9,034 | 7,769 | 6,506 | 5,538 | 5,029 | 4,589 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 43,130 | 40,975 | 38,971 | 37,618 | 35,919 | 35,352 | 33,166 | 32,364 | 31,164 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 10,788 | 10,652 | 10,620 | 10,446 | 9,794 | 10,462 | 9,939 | 9,963 | 9,719 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 2,414 | 2,234 | 2,049 | 1,980 | 1,839 | 1,801 | 1,635 | 1,551 | 1,429 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4,187 | 4,018 | 3,663 | 3,393 | 3,382 | 3,352 | 3,389 | 3,379 | 3,153 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 15,463 | 14,624 | 13,858 | 13,179 | 12,706 | 11,713 | 10,498 | 9,616 | 9,058 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers | 3,738 | 3,347 | 3,427 | 3,505 | 3,293 | 3,075 | 2,882 | 2,762 | 2,736 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 6,540 | 6,100 | 5,354 | 5,115 | 4,905 | 4,949 | 4,823 | 5,093 | 5,069 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-
9.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.4. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation ................................... | 6.8 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 6.3 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 8.3 | 10.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 9.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | -2.5 | 1.1 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 8.4 | 8.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 10.2 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 10.6 | 11.9 | -0.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 13.6 | 6.7 | 16.0 |
| 711212 | Racetracks | 3.9 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 6.7 | -1.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports ............................................ | 8.0 | 7.7 | 18.0 | -3.2 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 9.2 | 7.3 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events. | 10.7 | 23.6 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 10.6 | 13.1 | 9.6 | 6.5 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures | 13.3 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 2.8 | 9.1 | 4.1 | -1.8 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 1.9 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 14.9 | 18.7 | 10.5 | 3.9 | 7.2 | 5.0 | -1.9 | 18.2 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 5.7 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades | 6.0 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 5.2 | -3.8 | 3.9 | 7.0 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 7.9 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | -5.4 | 4.8 | 8.5 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | -7.0 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 2.0 | -6.0 | 7.9 | -1.7 | -2.6 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 6.1 | 10.8 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 18.4 | 13.2 | 14.1 | 10.8 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 3.7 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 14.1 | 17.9 | 11.1 | 16.2 | 11.5 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries | 10.1 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 16.3 | 19.4 | 17.5 | 10.1 | 9.6 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 5.3 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 6.7 | -6.4 | 5.3 | -0.2 | 2.5 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 8.1 | 9.0 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 8.5 |
| 71393 | Marinas ............................................................... | 4.2 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | -1.1 | 0.3 | 7.2 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 6.2 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers ......................................................... | 11.7 | -2.3 | -2.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 1.0 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries ....................... | 7.2 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 2.6 | -5.3 | 0.5 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A9.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.5. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Revenue for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 34,968 | 34,341 | 31,722 | 30,183 | 28,651 | 27,968 | 28,022 | 27,280 | 25,688 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 9,276 | 9,886 | 9,565 | 9,134 | 8,681 | 8,789 | 8,672 | 8,189 | 7,550 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 4,131 | 5,057 | 5,225 | 4,993 | 4,680 | 4,640 | 4,771 | 4,559 | 4,145 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events . | 5,145 | 4,829 | 4,340 | 4,141 | 4,001 | 4,149 | 3,901 | 3,630 | 3,405 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 11,719 | 10,871 | 9,333 | 8,853 | 8,278 | 7,857 | 8,504 | 8,622 | 8,095 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 13,973 | 13,584 | 12,824 | 12,196 | 11,692 | 11,322 | 10,846 | 10,469 | 10,043 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 13,973 | 13,584 | 12,824 | 12,196 | 11,692 | 11,322 | 10,846 | 10,469 | 10,043 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 8,491 | 8,430 | 7,913 | 7,434 | 7,193 | 7,071 | 6,923 | 6,729 | 6,566 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 4,044 | 3,895 | 3,762 | 3,660 | 3,424 | 3,274 | 3,044 | 2,927 | 2,719 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 1,438 | 1,259 | 1,149 | 1,102 | 1,075 | 977 | 879 | 813 | 758 |

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-9.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.6. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for TaxExempt Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


[^187] error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-9.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.7. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 122 | 126 | 136 | 130 | -3.2 | -7.4 | 4.6 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 122 | 126 | 135 | 130 | -3.2 | -6.7 | 3.8 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 54 | 46 | 33 | 37 | 17.4 | 39.4 | -10.8 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers ......................... | 68 | 80 | 101 | 93 | -15.0 | -20.8 | 8.6 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-9.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.8. Selected Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Sources of Revenue for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


[^188]Table 9.9. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 161,298 | 152,032 | 140,518 | 134,755 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 4.3 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 69,853 | 63,323 | 58,264 | 57,417 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 1.5 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 11,718 | 10,858 | 10,523 | 10,222 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 27,790 | 25,836 | 23,943 | 24,059 | 7.6 | 7.9 | -0.5 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 18,807 | 17,869 | 15,773 | 15,905 | 5.2 | 13.3 | -0.8 |
| 711212 | Racetracks | 5,718 | 5,042 | 5,313 | 5,639 | 13.4 | -5.1 | -5.8 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 3,264 | 2,925 | 2,857 | 2,515 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 13.6 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events . | 15,240 | 14,425 | 12,361 | 11,686 | 5.6 | 16.7 | 5.8 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures | 3,516 | 3,097 | 2,995 | 3,197 | 13.5 | 3.4 | -6.3 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 11,589 | 9,106 | 8,442 | 8,253 | 27.3 | 7.9 | 2.3 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 9,163 | 8,624 | 8,069 | 7,717 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 4.6 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 82,282 | 80,086 | 74,185 | 69,621 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 6.6 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades | 9,253 | 9,934 | 7,642 | 6,944 | -6.9 | 30.0 | 10.1 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 8,021 | 8,637 | 6,480 | 5,849 | -7.1 | 33.3 | 10.8 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 1,231 | 1,297 | 1,162 | 1,096 | -5.1 | 11.6 | 6.0 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 23,281 | 22,162 | 20,118 | 18,338 | 5.0 | 10.2 | 9.7 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 13,473 | 13,441 | 12,646 | 11,613 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 8.9 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries | 9,808 | 8,722 | 7,472 | 6,725 | 12.5 | 16.7 | 11.1 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 49,748 | 47,990 | 46,425 | 44,338 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.7 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 18,227 | 18,175 | 17,650 | 16,757 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 5.3 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 1,718 | 1,649 | 1,568 | 1,525 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 2.8 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 2,591 | 2,557 | 2,404 | 2,330 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 3.2 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 17,674 | 16,872 | 16,895 | 15,893 | 4.8 | -0.1 | 6.3 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers | 3,043 | 2,747 | 2,593 | 2,637 | 10.8 | 5.9 | -1.7 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 6,494 | 5,991 | 5,315 | 5,197 | 8.4 | 12.7 | 2.3 |

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd $/ \mathrm{www} / \mathrm{cv}$.html>.
Appendix A, Table A-9.6 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.10. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 30,946 | 29,720 | 28,367 | 27,076 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 9,537 | 9,305 | 8,832 | 8,415 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 5,212 | 5,022 | 4,898 | 4,653 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events | 4,325 | 4,283 | 3,934 | 3,762 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 8,204 | 7,731 | 7,260 | 6,992 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 13,205 | 12,684 | 12,275 | 11,669 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 13,205 | 12,684 | 12,275 | 11,669 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 8,166 | 7,883 | 7,732 | 7,336 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 3,765 | 3,694 | 3,576 | 3,414 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries .......................... | 1,274 | 1,107 | 967 | 919 |

Note: Estimates cover tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Ar pendix A, Table A-9.7 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 9.11. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Expenses for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation ................................................. | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 2.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 3.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events | 1.0 | 8.9 | 4.6 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 6.1 | 6.5 | 3.8 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 4.1 | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 3.6 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers ....................................... | 1.9 | 3.3 | 4.7 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries ............................... | 15.1 | 14.5 | 5.2 |

[^189]Table 9.12. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PERFORMING ARTS, SPECTATOR SPORTS, AND RELATED INDUSTRIES (NAICS 711) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 69,853 | 63,323 | 58,264 | 57,417 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 1.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 32,822 | 31,014 | 27,427 | 27,165 | 5.8 | 13.1 | 1.0 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 28,445 | 27,262 | 24,040 | 23,927 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 0.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3,486 | 2,960 | 2,738 | 2,581 | 17.8 | 8.1 | 6.1 |
| Health insurance. | 1,080 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 953 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 624 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 329 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1,453 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 891 | 793 | 650 | 657 | 12.4 | 22.0 | -1.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1,853 | 1,736 | 1,640 | 1,571 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 4.4 |
| Expensed equipment. | 208 | 201 | 204 | 193 | 3.5 | -1.5 | 5.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1,645 | 1,535 | 1,436 | 1,377 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 4.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5,898 | 5,416 | 5,478 | 5,267 | 8.9 | -1.1 | 4.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 96 | 90 | 114 | 106 | 6.7 | -21.1 | 7.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 660 | 616 | 542 | 516 | 7.1 | 13.7 | 5.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 521 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 139 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2,608 | 2,214 | 2,257 | 2,159 | 17.8 | -1.9 | 4.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 707 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1,901 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 554 | 599 | S | S | -7.5 | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 285 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 269 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1,981 | 1,897 | 1,770 | 1,714 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 3.3 |
| Other operating expenses. | 29,282 | 25,156 | 23,719 | 23,415 | 16.4 | 6.1 | 1.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2,937 | 2,631 | 2,624 | 2,661 | 11.6 | 0.3 | -1.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 758 | 883 | 879 | 814 | -14.2 | 0.5 | 8.0 |
| All other operating expenses. | 25,587 | 21,642 | 20,216 | 19,940 | 18.2 | 7.1 | 1.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 177 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 349 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 175 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 3,237 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................... | 21,649 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9.12. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9.12. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| AMUSEMENT, GAMBLING, AND RECREATION INDUSTRIES (NAICS 713) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 82,282 | 80,086 | 74,185 | 69,621 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 6.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 33,199 | 32,546 | 30,507 | 28,848 | 2.0 | 6.7 | 5.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 27,404 | 26,735 | 25,324 | 23,942 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 5,245 | 5,229 | 4,640 | 4,443 | 0.3 | 12.7 | 4.4 |
| Health insurance. | 2,390 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 587 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 215 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 372 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2,268 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 549 | 582 | 544 | 462 | -5.7 | 7.0 | 17.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4,454 | 4,731 | 4,401 | 4,117 | -5.9 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 397 | 434 | 477 | 444 | -8.5 | -9.0 | 7.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies | 4,057 | 4,297 | 3,924 | 3,672 | -5.6 | 9.5 | 6.9 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 12,773 | 11,637 | 10,487 | 9,840 | 9.8 | 11.0 | 6.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 161 | 189 | 140 | 136 | -14.8 | 35.0 | 2.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2,617 | 2,598 | 2,288 | 2,085 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 9.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2,028 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 589 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 5,395 | 4,423 | 4,020 | 3,787 | 22.0 | 10.0 | 6.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items. | 959 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4,436 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1,720 | 1,770 | 1,620 | 1,494 | -2.8 | 9.3 | 8.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 972 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 748 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 2,879 | 2,657 | 2,418 | 2,338 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 3.4 |
| Other operating expenses. | 31,857 | 31,173 | 28,789 | 26,816 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 7.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 7,215 | 7,024 | 6,606 | 6,260 | 2.7 | 6.3 | 5.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4,555 | 4,660 | 4,012 | 3,922 | -2.3 | 16.2 | 2.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 20,086 | 19,488 | 18,171 | 16,634 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 9.2 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 224 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 460 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 593 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1,532 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses........................................................ | 17,276 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. $\quad Z$ Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-9.8 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Chapter 10. Other Services

Table 10.1. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) $\qquad$ | 410,950 | 384,188 | 357,288 | 338,042 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 81* | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) ${ }^{1}$ | 408,540 | 381,972 | 355,296 | 336,334 | 317,363 | 305,565 | 306,079 | 302,783 | 285,019 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 142,611 | 138,271 | 133,483 | 127,939 | 123,164 | 118,307 | 117,733 | 112,719 | 107,279 |
| 8111 | Automotive repair and maintenance | 89,983 | 87,895 | 85,562 | 81,116 | 78,565 | 75,221 | 76,518 | 73,219 | 69,412 |
| 81111 | Automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance | 46,606 | 45,226 | 43,941 | 42,154 | 40,445 | 38,383 | 39,925 | 37,879 | 36,077 |
| 811111 | General automotive repair | 39,698 | 38,347 | 37,179 | 35,547 | 33,778 | 31,748 | 33,045 | 30,988 | 29,068 |
| 811112 | Automotive exhaust system repair | 1,064 | 1,096 | 1,133 | 1,152 | 1,233 | 1,240 | 1,259 | 1,134 | 1,181 |
| 811113 | Automotive transmission repair | 3,074 | 3,044 | 2,924 | 2,730 | 2,674 | 2,689 | 2,834 | 2,773 | 2,718 |
| 811118 | Other automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 2,770 | 2,739 | 2,705 | 2,725 | 2,760 | 2,706 | 2,787 | 2,984 | 3,110 |
| 81112 | Automotive body, paint, interior, and glass repair | 30,102 | 29,703 | 29,461 | 27,693 | 27,178 | 26,223 | 26,343 | 25,766 | 24,345 |
| 811121 | Automotive body, paint, and interior repair and maintenance | 26,584 | 26,017 | 25,781 | 24,283 | 23,531 | 22,440 | 22,409 | 22,018 | 20,483 |
| 811122 | Automotive glass replacement shops | 3,518 | 3,686 | 3,680 | 3,410 | 3,647 | 3,783 | 3,934 | 3,748 | 3,862 |
| 81119 | Other automotive repair and maintenance | 13,275 | 12,966 | 12,160 | 11,269 | 10,942 | 10,615 | 10,250 | 9,574 | 8,990 |
| 811191 | Automotive oil change and lubrication shop | 4,949 | 4,846 | 4,558 | 4,342 | 4,031 | 3,928 | 3,624 | 3,326 | 3,010 |
| 811192 | Car washes | 6,721 | 6,438 | 5,932 | 5,301 | 5,364 | 5,092 | 4,988 | 4,641 | 4,414 |
| 811198 | All other automotive repair and maintenance | 1,605 | 1,682 | 1,670 | 1,626 | 1,547 | 1,595 | 1,638 | 1,607 | 1,566 |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 18,044 | 18,139 | 17,568 | 16,514 | 15,103 | 14,983 | 14,860 | 14,419 | 14,149 |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | 1,888 | 1,827 | 1,708 | 1,687 | 1,457 | 1,484 | 1,509 | 1,538 | 1,414 |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 7,230 | 7,031 | 6,986 | 6,530 | 6,003 | 6,380 | 6,643 | 6,592 | 6,781 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance . | 2,339 | 2,420 | 2,236 | 2,100 | 1,993 | 2,026 | 1,849 | 1,756 | 1,526 |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 6,587 | 6,861 | 6,638 | 6,197 | 5,650 | 5,093 | 4,859 | 4,533 | 4,428 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 23,741 | 22,353 | 20,948 | 21,231 | 20,735 | 19,485 | 17,865 | 16,687 | 15,531 |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance | 10,843 | 9,884 | 9,405 | 9,078 | 8,761 | 8,618 | 8,490 | 8,394 | 8,187 |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 5,283 | 4,548 | 4,341 | 4,285 | 4,209 | 4,112 | 3,911 | 3,866 | 3,845 |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair | 1,309 | 1,386 | 1,342 | 1,248 | 1,271 | 1,265 | 1,225 | 1,229 | 1,161 |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair | 220 | 209 | 197 | 189 | 181 | 187 | 186 | 190 | 198 |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 4,031 | 3,741 | 3,525 | 3,356 | 3,100 | 3,054 | 3,168 | 3,109 | 2,983 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services | 86,285 | 83,246 | 81,213 | 76,189 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 812* | Personal and laundry services ${ }^{1}$ | 83,875 | 81,030 | 79,223 | 74,482 | 72,053 | 70,734 | 69,106 | 67,140 | 63,359 |
| 8121 | Personal care services | 28,682 | 26,758 | 25,362 | 23,178 | 21,093 | 20,217 | 18,929 | 18,264 | 16,970 |
| 81211 | Hair, nail, and skin care services | 21,875 | 20,826 | 20,168 | 18,932 | 17,081 | 16,518 | 15,800 | 15,553 | 14,407 |
| 812111 | Barber shops | 582 | 538 | 526 | 522 | 499 | 506 | 474 | 479 | 467 |
| 812112 | Beauty salons | 19,167 | 18,516 | 18,073 | 17,191 | 15,448 | 15,113 | 14,581 | 14,445 | 13,416 |
| 812113 | Nail salons | 2,126 | 1,772 | 1,569 | 1,219 | 1,134 | 899 | 745 | 629 | 524 |
| 81219 | Other personal care services ........................... | 6,807 | 5,932 | 5,194 | 4,246 | 4,012 | 3,699 | 3,129 | 2,711 | 2,563 |
| 812191 | Diet and weight reducing centers ................... | 2,686 | 2,471 | 2,282 | 1,652 | 1,911 | 1,688 | 1,389 | 1,194 | 1,251 |
| 812199 | All other personal care services ....................... | 4,121 | 3,461 | 2,912 | 2,594 | 2,101 | 2,011 | 1,740 | 1,517 | 1,312 |

See footnotes at end of table

Table 10.1. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8122 | Death care services | 14,744 | 15,311 | 15,731 | 15,080 | 15,334 | 14,280 | 14,202 | 13,707 | 13,782 |
| 81221 | Funeral homes and funeral services | 12,238 | 12,495 | 12,130 | 11,705 | 12,016 | 11,049 | 10,882 | 10,279 | 10,286 |
| 81222 | Cemeteries and crematories | 2,506 | 2,816 | 3,601 | 3,375 | 3,318 | 3,231 | 3,320 | 3,428 | 3,496 |
| 8123 | Drycleaning and laundry services | 22,579 | 22,278 | 21,194 | 20,040 | 19,545 | 20,444 | 20,484 | 19,950 | 19,124 |
| 81231 | Coin-operated laundries and drycleaners | 3,521 | 3,368 | 3,259 | 3,235 | 3,238 | 3,458 | 3,462 | 3,359 | 3,007 |
| 81232 | Drycleaning and laundry services (except coin-operated) | . 8,882 | 8,547 | 7,928 | 7,581 | 7,282 | 7,762 | 7,819 | 7,846 | 7,623 |
| 81233 | Linen and uniform supply | - 10,176 | 10,363 | 10,007 | 9,224 | 9,025 | 9,224 | 9,203 | 8,745 | 8,494 |
| 812331 | Linen supply | 3,494 | 3,479 | 3,561 | 3,240 | 3,051 | 3,087 | 3,130 | 2,989 | 2,805 |
| 812332 | Industrial launderers | 6,682 | 6,884 | S | 5,984 | 5,974 | 6,137 | 6,073 | 5,756 | 5,689 |
| 8129 | Other personal services | 20,280 | 18,898 | 18,927 | 17,892 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 8129* | Other personal services ${ }^{1}$ | 17,870 | 16,683 | 16,936 | 16,184 | 16,081 | 15,793 | 15,491 | 15,219 | 13,483 |
| 81291 | Pet care (except veterinary) services | 2,410 | 2,215 | 1,990 | 1,708 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 81292 | Photofinishing | 2,197 | 2,219 | 2,609 | 3,173 | 3,678 | 3,851 | 3,727 | 3,809 | 3,860 |
| 81293 | Parking lots and garages | .. 7,516 | 7,523 | 7,608 | 7,121 | 7,041 | 6,908 | 6,791 | 6,389 | 5,794 |
| 81299 | All other personal services | . 8,157 | 6,941 | 6,719 | 5,890 | 5,362 | 5,034 | 4,973 | 5,021 | 3,829 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) | 182,054 | 162,671 | 142,590 | 133,913 | 122,146 | 116,524 | 119,240 | 122,924 | 114,381 |
| 8132 | Grantmaking and giving services | 87,544 | 74,356 | 61,051 | 56,408 | 47,131 | 46,276 | 50,881 | 57,465 | 52,564 |
| 8133 | Social advocacy organizations | 16,998 | 15,359 | 13,908 | 13,424 | 13,358 | 12,059 | 11,225 | 10,852 | 10,144 |
| 8134 | Civic and social organizations | 19,498 | 18,606 | 17,180 | 16,694 | 15,951 | 14,679 | 14,098 | 13,703 | 12,839 |
| 8139 | Business, professional, and other organizations (except labor and political organizations) .......... | 58,014 | 54,350 | 50,451 | 47,387 | 45,706 | 43,510 | 43,036 | 40,904 | 38,834 |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 81291 (Pet care services).

Note: Estimates cover only taxable firms for NAICS 811 (Repair and maintenance) and NAICS 812 (Personal and laundry services), only tax-exempt firms for NAICS 813 (Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations)), and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-10.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 10.2. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) $\qquad$ | 7.0 | 7.5 | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 81* | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) ${ }^{1}$ | 7.0 | 7.5 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 3.9 | -0.2 | 1.1 | 6.2 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| 8111 | Automotive repair and maintenance | 2.4 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 4.4 | -1.7 | 4.5 | 5.5 |
| 81111 | Automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 5.4 | -3.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 811111 | General automotive repair | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 6.4 | -3.9 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| 811112 | Automotive exhaust system repair | -2.9 | -3.3 | -1.6 | -6.6 | -0.6 | -1.5 | 11.0 | -4.0 |
| 811113 | Automotive transmission repair | 1.0 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 2.1 | -0.6 | -5.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| 811118 | Other automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 1.1 | 1.3 | -0.7 | -1.3 | 2.0 | -2.9 | -6.6 | -4.1 |
| 81112 | Automotive body, paint, interior, and glass repair ................ | 1.3 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 3.6 | -0.5 | 2.2 | 5.8 |
| 811121 | Automotive body, paint, and interior repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 2.2 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 7.5 |
| 811122 | Automotive glass replacement shops ............................. | -4.6 | 0.2 | 7.9 | -6.5 | -3.6 | -3.8 | 5.0 | -3.0 |
| 81119 | Other automotive repair and maintenance | 2.4 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 |
| 811191 | Automotive oil change and lubrication shops | 2.1 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 10.5 |
| 811192 | Car washes | 4.4 | 8.5 | 11.9 | -1.2 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| 811198 | All other automotive repair and maintenance .................... | -4.6 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 5.1 | -3.0 | -2.6 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | -0.5 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 1.9 |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | 3.3 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 15.8 | -1.8 | -1.7 | -1.9 | 8.8 |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 2.8 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 8.8 | -5.9 | -4.0 | 0.8 | -2.8 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance ............ | -3.3 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 5.4 | -1.6 | 9.6 | 5.3 | 15.1 |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | -4.0 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 2.4 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 6.2 | 6.7 | -1.3 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance | 9.7 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance | 16.2 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair | -5.6 | 3.3 | 7.5 | -1.8 | 0.5 | 3.3 | -0.3 | 5.9 |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair ................................ | 5.3 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | -3.2 | 0.5 | -2.1 | -4.0 |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 7.8 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 1.5 | -3.6 | 1.9 | 4.2 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services | 3.7 | 2.5 | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 812* | Personal and laundry services ${ }^{1}$ | 3.5 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 6.0 |
| 8121 | Personal care services | 7.2 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 7.6 |
| 81211 | Hair, nail, and skin care services | 5.0 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 8.0 |
| 812111 | Barber shops | 8.2 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 4.6 | -1.4 | 6.8 | -1.0 | 2.6 |
| 812112 | Beauty salons | 3.5 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 11.3 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 7.7 |
| 812113 | Nail salons | 20.0 | 12.9 | 28.7 | 7.5 | 26.1 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 20.0 |
| 81219 | Other personal care services ..................................... | 14.8 | 14.2 | 22.3 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 5.8 |
| 812191 | Diet and weight reducing centers ............................. | 8.7 | 8.3 | 38.1 | -13.6 | 13.2 | 21.5 | 16.3 | -4.6 |
| 812199 | All other personal care services ................................ | 19.1 | 18.9 | 12.3 | 23.5 | 4.5 | 15.6 | 14.7 | 15.6 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10.2. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Year-to-Year Percent Change in Revenue for Employer Firms: 1999 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 | 2004/2003 | 2003/2002 | 2002/2001 | 2001/2000 | 2000/1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8122 | Death care services | -3.7 | -2.7 | 4.3 | -1.7 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 3.6 | -0.5 |
| 81221 | Funeral homes and funeral services | -2.1 | 3.0 | 3.6 | -2.6 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 5.9 | -0.1 |
| 81222 | Cemeteries and crematories | -11.0 | -21.8 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 2.7 | -2.7 | -3.2 | -1.9 |
| 8123 | Drycleaning and laundry services ................................. | 1.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 2.5 | -4.4 | -0.2 | 2.7 | 4.3 |
| 81231 | Coin-operated laundries and drycleaners | 4.5 | 3.3 | 0.7 | -0.1 | -6.4 | -0.1 | 3.1 | 11.7 |
| 81232 | Drycleaning and laundry services (except coin-operated) | 3.9 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 | -6.2 | -0.7 | -0.3 | 2.9 |
| 81233 | Linen and uniform supply | -1.8 | 3.6 | 8.5 | 2.2 | -2.2 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| 812331 | Linen supply | 0.4 | -2.3 | 9.9 | 6.2 | -1.2 | -1.4 | 4.7 | 6.6 |
| 812332 | Industrial launderers | -2.9 | S | S | 0.2 | -2.7 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 1.2 |
| 8129 | Other personal services | 7.3 | -0.2 | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 8129* | Other personal services ${ }^{1}$ | 7.1 | -1.5 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 12.9 |
| 81291 | Pet care (except veterinary) services | 8.8 | 11.3 | 16.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 81292 | Photofinishing | -1.0 | -14.9 | -17.8 | -13.7 | -4.5 | 3.3 | -2.2 | -1.3 |
| 81293 | Parking lots and garages | -0.1 | -1.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 10.3 |
| 81299 | All other personal services ...... | 17.5 | 3.3 | 14.1 | 9.8 | 6.5 | 1.2 | -1.0 | 31.1 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) | 11.9 | 14.1 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 4.8 | -2.3 | -3.0 | 7.5 |
| 8132 | Grantmaking and giving services ................................ | 17.7 | 21.8 | 8.2 | 19.7 | 1.8 | -9.1 | -11.5 | 9.3 |
| 8133 | Social advocacy organizations | 10.7 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 7.0 |
| 8134 | Civic and social organizations ....................................... | 4.8 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 8.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 6.7 |
| 8139 | Business, professional, and other organizations (except labor and political organizations) $\qquad$ | 6.7 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 81291 (Pet care services).

Note: Estimates cover only taxable firms for NAICS 811 (Repair and maintenance) and NAICS 812 (Personal and laundry services), only tax-exempt firms for NAICS 813 (Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations)), and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-10.1 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 10.3. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Export Revenue for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) | 1,905 | 1,708 | S | 1,076 | 11.5 | S | S |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 1,905 | 1,708 | S | 1,076 | 11.5 | S | S |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | 499 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance | 13 | 15 | 16 | 12 | -13.3 | -6.3 | 33.3 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance | 183 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | S | 266 | 252 | 206 | S | 5.6 | 22.3 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 1,405 | 1,225 | S | 657 | 14.7 | S | S |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). Unpublished estimates derived from this table by subtraction are subject to these same limitations and should not be attributed to the U.S. Census Bureau. For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0 v1.0 Data Release.pdf.

Note: Estimates cover only taxable firms for NAICS 811 (Repair and maintenance) and NAICS 812 (Personal and laundry services), only tax-exempt firms for NAICS 813 (Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations)), and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-10.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 10.4. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) | 320,042 | 307,059 | 288,185 | 273,878 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 107,277 | 105,189 | 101,542 | 96,768 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 4.9 |
| 8111 | Automotive repair and maintenance | 68,473 | 67,079 | 65,412 | 62,313 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
| 81111 | Automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance | 33,825 | 33,145 | 32,035 | 30,960 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 811111 | General automotive repair | 28,493 | 27,669 | 26,560 | 25,532 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 811112 | Automotive exhaust system repair | 804 | 867 | 916 | 948 | -7.3 | -5.3 | -3.4 |
| 811113 | Automotive transmission repair | 2,464 | 2,538 | 2,518 | 2,339 | -2.9 | 0.8 | 7.7 |
| 811118 | Other automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 2,064 | 2,071 | 2,041 | 2,142 | -0.3 | 1.5 | -4.7 |
| 81112 | Automotive body, paint, interior, and glass repair | 23,727 | 23,380 | 23,120 | 21,968 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 5.2 |
| 811121 | Automotive body, paint, and interior repair and maintenance | 20,981 | 20,444 | 20,233 | 19,191 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 5.4 |
| 811122 | Automotive glass replacement shops | 2,746 | 2,935 | 2,887 | 2,777 | -6.4 | 1.7 | 4.0 |
| 81119 | Other automotive repair and maintenance | 10,921 | 10,554 | 10,257 | 9,384 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 9.3 |
| 811191 | Automotive oil change and lubrication shops | 4,002 | 3,841 | 3,774 | 3,460 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 9.1 |
| 811192 | Car washes | 5,809 | 5,588 | 5,323 | 4,797 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 11.0 |
| 811198 | All other automotive repair and maintenance | 1,110 | 1,125 | 1,160 | 1,128 | -1.3 | -3.0 | 2.8 |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | 13,349 | 13,550 | 12,949 | 12,410 | -1.5 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | 1,401 | 1,359 | 1,287 | 1,436 | 3.1 | 5.6 | -10.4 |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance | 5,661 | 5,680 | 5,479 | 5,080 | -0.3 | 3.7 | 7.9 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance | 1,803 | 1,794 | 1,688 | 1,590 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 4,483 | 4,717 | 4,495 | 4,304 | -5.0 | 4.9 | 4.4 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 17,343 | 16,892 | 15,736 | 14,987 | 2.7 | 7.3 | 5.0 |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance | 8,113 | 7,668 | 7,445 | 7,058 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 5.5 |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance | 4,225 | 3,712 | 3,583 | 3,396 | 13.8 | 3.6 | 5.5 |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair | 990 | 1,076 | 1,081 | 1,002 | -8.0 | -0.5 | 7.9 |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair | 157 | 152 | 156 | 149 | 3.3 | -2.6 | 4.7 |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance | 2,741 | 2,729 | 2,626 | 2,511 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 4.6 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services | 71,259 | 70,734 | 70,261 | 66,423 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 5.8 |
| 8121 | Personal care services | 24,956 | 23,450 | 22,377 | 20,782 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 7.7 |
| 81211 | Hair, nail, and skin care services | 19,124 | 18,421 | 18,123 | 17,393 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 4.2 |
| 812111 | Barber shops | 506 | 446 | 436 | 434 | 13.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| 812112 | Beauty salons | 16,877 | 16,562 | 16,357 | 15,443 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 5.9 |
| 812113 | Nail salons | 1,741 | 1,412 | 1,331 | 1,517 | 23.3 | 6.1 | -12.3 |
| 81219 | Other personal care services | 5,832 | 5,030 | 4,253 | 3,389 | 15.9 | 18.3 | 25.5 |
| 812191 | Diet and weight reducing centers | 2,184 | 2,031 | 1,730 | 1,201 | 7.5 | 17.4 | 44.0 |
| 812199 | All other personal care services | 3,647 | 2,999 | 2,523 | 2,188 | 21.6 | 18.9 | 15.3 |
| 8122 | Death care services | 11,019 | 11,629 | 13,008 | 12,526 | -5.2 | -10.6 | 3.8 |
| 81221 | Funeral homes and funeral services | 8,853 | 9,306 | 10,010 | 9,632 | -4.9 | -7.0 | 3.9 |
| 81222 | Cemeteries and crematories | 2,166 | 2,323 | 2,998 | 2,894 | -6.8 | -22.5 | 3.6 |
| 8123 | Drycleaning and laundry services | 19,079 | 19,861 | 18,670 | 17,587 | -3.9 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| 81231 | Coin-operated laundries and drycleaners | 3,138 | 2,949 | 2,973 | 3,023 | 6.4 | -0.8 | -1.7 |
| 81232 | Drycleaning and laundry services (except coin-operated) | 7,942 | 7,795 | 7,185 | 7,047 | 1.9 | 8.5 | 2.0 |
| 81233 | Linen and uniform supply | 7,999 | 9,117 | 8,512 | 7,517 | -12.3 | 7.1 | 13.2 |
| 812331 | Linen supply | 3,130 | 3,226 | 3,235 | 3,007 | -3.0 | -0.3 | 7.6 |
| 812332 | Industrial launderers | 4,870 | 5,891 | 5,278 | 4,510 | -17.3 | 11.6 | 17.0 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10.4. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

|  | Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 8129 | Other personal services | 16,205 | 15,793 | 16,205 | 15,528 | 2.6 | -2.5 | 4.4 |
| 81291 | Pet care (except veterinary) services | 2,083 | 1,860 | 1,846 | 1,513 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 22.0 |
| 81292 | Photofinishing | 1,704 | 1,787 | 2,322 | 2,939 | -4.6 | -23.0 | -21.0 |
| 81293 | Parking lots and garages | 6,650 | 7,022 | 7,290 | 7,021 | -5.3 | -3.7 | 3.8 |
| 81299 | All other personal services | 5,769 | 5,124 | 4,748 | 4,055 | 12.6 | 7.9 | 17.1 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) | 141,506 | 131,136 | 116,382 | 110,687 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 5.1 |
| 8132 | Grantmaking and giving services | 56,563 | 51,886 | 44,569 | 42,123 | 9.0 | 16.4 | 5.8 |
| 8133 | Social advocacy organizations | 14,523 | 13,221 | 12,057 | 11,755 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 2.6 |
| 8134 | Civic and social organizations. | 17,063 | 16,291 | 14,996 | 14,546 | 4.7 | 8.6 | 3.1 |
| 8139 | Business, professional, and other organizations (except labor and political organizations) | 53,357 | 49,738 | 44,760 | 42,262 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 5.9 |

Note: Estimates cover only taxable firms for NAICS 811 (Repair and maintenance) and NAICS 812 (Personal and laundry services), only tax-exempt firms for NAICS 813 (Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations)), and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Tabie A-iv.3 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

Table 10.5. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007¹
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses. Dollar volume estimates are published in millions of dollars; consequently, results may not be additive. Estimates have been adjusted using results of the 2002 Economic Census]

| Kind of business | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 320,042 | 307,059 | 288,185 | 273,878 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| Personnel costs. | .. 120,373 | 114,280 | 110,330 | 105,330 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 100,165 | 95,905 | 92,409 | 88,731 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 17,435 | 15,609 | 15,136 | 14,116 | 11.7 | 3.1 | 7.2 |
| Health insurance. | 7,268 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 2,912 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1,241 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1,671 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 7,255 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2,774 | 2,766 | 2,785 | 2,482 | 0.3 | -0.7 | 12.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 34,326 | 35,419 | 34,068 | 32,464 | -3.1 | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 1,945 | 2,006 | 1,907 | 1,900 | -3.0 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 32,380 | 33,413 | 32,160 | 30,564 | -3.1 | 3.9 | 5.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 35,519 | 35,065 | 32,452 | 30,377 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 6.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 877 | 918 | 958 | 915 | -4.5 | -4.2 | 4.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 6,153 | 6,135 | 5,693 | 5,077 | 0.3 | 7.8 | 12.1 |
| Purchased electricity. | 4,078 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 2,075 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments | 16,620 | 15,714 | 14,634 | 13,852 | 5.8 | 7.4 | 5.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 2,601 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 14,018 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5,537 | 5,998 | 4,908 | 4,678 | -7.7 | 22.2 | 4.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 2,270 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 3,267 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 6,333 | 6,299 | 6,259 | 5,855 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 6.9 |
| Other operating expenses.. | .. 129,824 | 122,295 | 111,336 | 105,708 | 6.2 | 9.8 | 5.3 |
| Contributions, gifts, and grants paid. | 41,139 | 37,507 | 31,887 | 30,239 | 9.7 | 17.6 | 5.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | .. 8,786 | 8,760 | 9,026 | 9,076 | 0.3 | -2.9 | -0.6 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4,699 | 4,726 | 4,566 | 4,277 | -0.6 | 3.5 | 6.8 |
| All other operating expenses. | 75,199 | 71,301 | 65,857 | 62,117 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 6.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1,194 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2,457 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | .. 2,275 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 9,119 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.............................................................. | . 60,155 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: Estimates cover only taxable firms for NAICS 811 (Repair and maintenance) and NAICS 812 (Personal and laundry services), only tax-exempt firms for NAICS 813 (Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations)), and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-10.4 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.

# Appendix A. <br> Reliability of Estimates 

The published estimates may differ from the actual, but unknown, population values. For a particular estimate, statisticians define this difference as the total error of the estimate. When describing the accuracy of survey results, it is convenient to discuss total error as the sum of sampling error and nonsampling error. Sampling error is the error arising from the use of a sample, rather than a census, to estimate population values. Nonsampling error encompasses all other factors that contribute to the total error of a sample survey estimate. Further descriptions of sampling error and nonsampling error are provided in the following sections. Data users should take into account the estimates of sampling error and the potential effects of nonsampling error when using the published estimates.

## Sampling Error

Because the estimates are based on a sample, exact agreement with results that would be obtained from a complete enumeration of firms on the sampling frame using the same enumeration procedures is not expected. However, because each firm on the sampling frame has a known probability of being selected into the sample, it is possible to estimate the sampling variability of the survey estimates.

The particular sample used in this survey is one of a large number of samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same design. If all possible samples had been surveyed under the same conditions, an estimate of a population parameter of interest could have been obtained from each sample. For the parameter of interest, estimates derived from the different samples would, in general, differ from each other. Common measures of the variability among these estimates are the sampling variance, the standard error, and the coefficient of variation (CV). The sampling variance is defined as the squared difference, averaged over all possible samples of the same size and design, between the estimator and its average value. The standard error is the square root of the sampling variance. The CV expresses the standard error as a percentage of the estimate to which it refers. For example, an estimate of 200 units that has an estimated standard error of 10 units has an estimated CV of 5 percent. The sampling variance, standard error, and CV of an estimate can be estimated from the selected sample because the sample was selected using probability sampling. Note that measures of
sampling variability, such as the standard error and CV, are estimated from the sample and are also subject to sampling variability. (Technically, we should refer to the estimated standard error or the estimated CV of an estimator. However, for the sake of brevity we have omitted this detail.) It is important to note that the standard error and CV only measure sampling variability. They do not measure any systematic biases in the estimates.

We estimate variances for all types of published statistics (totals, ratios, and percent changes) using the method of random groups. To implement the random group method of variance estimation, we assign a random group number to each sampling unit at the time of sample selection. Then, for each tabulation level at which estimates are produced, we compute variance estimates using the assigned random group numbers. We use 16 random groups ( $G=16$ ) to estimate variances for the Service Annual Survey. For more information on the random group method of variance estimation, click here.

The Census Bureau recommends that individuals using published estimates incorporate this information into their analyses, as sampling error could affect the conclusions drawn from these estimates.

The estimate from a particular sample and its associated standard error can be used to construct a confidence interval. A confidence interval is a range about a given estimator that has a specified probability of containing the average of the estimates for the parameter derived from all possible samples of the same size and design. Associated with each interval is a percentage of confidence, which is interpreted as follows. If, for each possible sample, an estimate of a population parameter and its approximate standard error were obtained and using a t-statistic with 15 (=G-1) degrees of freedom, then:

For approximately 90 percent of the possible samples, the interval from 1.753 standard errors below to 1.753 standard errors above the estimate would include the average of the estimates derived from all possible samples of the same size and design.

To illustrate the computation of a confidence interval for an estimate of total revenue, assume that an estimate of total revenue is $\$ 10,750$ million and the CV for this estimate is
1.8 percent, or 0.018 . First obtain the standard error of the estimate by multiplying the total revenue estimate by its CV. For this example, multiply $\$ 10,750$ million by 0.018 . This yields a standard error of $\$ 193.5$ million. The upper and lower bounds of the 90 -percent confidence interval are computed as $\$ 10,750$ million plus or minus 1.753 times $\$ 193.5$ million. Consequently, the 90 -percent confidence interval is $\$ 10,411$ million to $\$ 11,089$ million. If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 9 out of 10 ( 90 percent) of these intervals would contain the average of the estimates derived from all possible samples.

## Nonsampling Errors

Nonsampling error encompasses all other factors, other than sampling error, that contribute to the total error of a sample survey estimate and may also occur in censuses. It is often helpful to think of nonsampling error as arising from deficiencies or mistakes in the survey process.
Nonsampling errors are difficult to measure and can be attributed to many sources: the inclusion of erroneous units in the survey (overcoverage), the exclusion of eligible units from the survey (undercoverage), nonresponse, misreporting, mistakes in recording and coding responses, misinterpretation of questions, and other errors of collection, response, coverage, or processing. Although nonsampling error is not measured directly, the Census Bureau employs quality control procedures throughout the process to minimize this type of error.

A potential source of bias in the estimates is nonresponse. Nonresponse is defined as the inability to obtain all the
intended measurements or responses about all selected units. Two types of nonresponse are often distinguished. Unit nonresponse is used to describe the inability to obtain any of the substantive measurements about a sampled unit. In most cases of unit nonresponse, the questionnaire was never returned to the Census Bureau after several attempts to elicit a response. Item nonresponse occurs either when a question is unanswered or the response to the question fails computer or analyst edits.

For both unit and item nonresponse, a missing value is replaced by a predicted value obtained from an appropriate model for nonresponse. This procedure is called imputation and uses survey data and administrative data as input. Imputation rates for total revenue for employer firms at the published sector and sub-sector levels are as follows:

| NAI CS | Title I m | I mputation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector |  | Rate |
| 48-49 | Transportation and Warehousing | 11.4 |
| 51 | Information | 8.4 |
| 523 | Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investments and |  |
|  | Related Activities | 6.5 |
| 532 | Rental and Leasing Services | 11.6 |
| 54 | Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services | 14.1 |
| 56 | Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 11.0 |
| 62 | Health Care and Social Assistance | 11.5 |
| 71 | Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 12.3 |
| 81 | Other Services (except Public |  |
|  | Administration) | 9.7 |

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{Y}_{t}^{t} \quad=\text { The estimated total for data item } \mathrm{Y} \text { at tabulation level } \mathrm{L} \text {, industry by } \\
& \text { tax status, for time period } t \text { computed from the entire sample } \\
& \hat{Y}_{L, g}^{t} \quad=\quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { The estimated replicate total for data item } \mathrm{Y} \text { at tabulation level } \mathrm{L} \text { for } \\
\text { time period } \mathrm{t} \text { computed from the } \mathrm{g}^{\text {th }} \text { random group of noncertainty }
\end{array} \\
& \text { time period } \mathrm{t} \text { computed from the } \mathrm{g}^{\text {th }} \text { random group of noncertainty } \\
& \text { sampling units ( } w_{i}^{t}=1 \text { ), where } g=01,02, \ldots 16 \text {, and the certainty } \\
& \text { sampling units ( } w_{i}^{t}=1 \text { ) } \\
& \hat{Y}_{L, 00}^{t}=\begin{array}{l}
\text { The weighted total for data item } \mathrm{Y} \text { at tabulation level } \mathrm{L} \text { for time period } \\
\mathrm{t} \text { computed from the certainty sampling units (where random }
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{t} \text { computed from the certainty sampling units (where random } \\
& \text { group }=00 \text { and } w_{i}^{t}=1 \text { ); this term can be zero } \\
& \mathrm{G}=16 \\
& \mathrm{i} \in(\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~g}) \quad \text { denotes units assigned to random group } \mathrm{g} \text { that possess } \\
& \text { characteristics of tabulation level } \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the $g^{\text {th }}$ replicate total for data item $Y$ at tabulation level $L$ for time period $t$ is computed as

$$
\hat{Y}_{L, g}^{t}=G\left(\sum_{i \in(L, g)} w_{i}^{t} y_{i}^{t}\right)+\hat{Y}_{L, 00}^{t}
$$

The sum in parentheses of the preceding formula is referred to as the random group total.
Then the estimated variance of $\hat{Y}_{L}^{T}$ is computed as

$$
v\left(\hat{Y}_{L}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{G(G-1)} \sum_{g=1}^{G}\left(\hat{Y}_{L, g}^{t}-\hat{Y}_{L}^{t}\right)^{2}
$$

## Variance Estimates for Ratio Estimates

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_{L}^{t} \quad= & \text { the estimated ratio of interest at tabulation level } \mathrm{L} \text { for time } \mathrm{t} \text { computed } \\
& \text { from the entire sample } \\
\hat{R}_{L, g}^{t}= & \text { the estimated replicate ratio of interest at tabulation level } \mathrm{L} \text { for time } \\
& \text { period } \mathrm{t} \text { computed from the } \mathrm{g}^{t h} \text { random group of noncertainty sampling } \\
& \text { units }\left(w_{i}^{t}=1\right) \text {, where } \mathrm{g}=01,02, \ldots 16, \text { and the certainty sampling } \\
& \text { units }\left(w_{i}^{t}=1\right) \\
= & \frac{\hat{Y}_{L, g}^{t}}{\hat{X}_{L, g}^{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{g}, \hat{X}_{L, g}^{t}$, and $\hat{Y}_{L, g}^{t}$ are defined as in the variance estimates for total estimates above.
Then the estimated variance of $\hat{R}_{L}^{t}$ is computed as

$$
v\left(\hat{R}_{L}^{t}\right)=\frac{1}{G(G-1)} \sum_{g=1}^{G}\left(\hat{R}_{L, g}^{t}-\hat{R}_{L}^{t}\right)^{2}
$$

## Variance Estimates for Period-to-Period Percent Change Estimates

Let the year-to-year percent change estimate, $\hat{T}_{L}^{t}$, be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{L}^{t} & =\left(\frac{\hat{Y}_{L}^{t_{1}}-\hat{Y}_{L}^{t_{2}}}{\hat{Y}_{L}^{t_{2}}}\right) * 100 \\
& =\left(\hat{R}_{L}^{t}-1\right) * 100
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the estimated variance of this estimate is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
v\left(\hat{T}_{L}^{t}\right) & =v\left[\left(\hat{R}_{L}^{t}-1\right) * 100\right] \\
& =(100)^{2} v\left(\hat{R}_{L}^{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{(100)^{2}}{G(G-1)} \sum_{g=1}^{G}\left(\hat{R}_{L, g}^{t}-\hat{R}_{L}^{t}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variance Estimates for Percent Contribution of Component NAI CS to Aggregate NAI CS Estimates (E-Stats Report)

Let the percent contribution of a component NAICS to aggregate NAICS estimate, $\hat{P}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t}$ be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t} & =\frac{\hat{Y}_{L_{1}}^{t}}{\hat{Y}_{L_{2}}^{t}} \\
& =\hat{R}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ denote the component and aggregate NAICS codes, respectively.
Then the estimated variance of this estimate is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
v\left(\hat{P}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t}\right) & =v\left(\hat{R}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t} * 100\right) \\
& =(100)^{2} v\left(\hat{R}_{L_{1} / L_{2}}^{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{(100)^{2}}{G(G-1)} \sum_{g=1}^{G}\left(\hat{R}_{L_{1} / L_{2}, g}^{t}-\hat{R}_{L_{1} / L}^{t}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A-1.1. Selected Service Industries - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer and Nonemployer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-1.2. Selected Service Industries - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-2.1. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
|  | Selected transportation and warehousing industries ${ }^{1}$. | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 484 | Truck transportation. | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 4841 | General freight trucking ............................................ | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 48411 | General freight trucking, local | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 48412 | General freight trucking, long-distance | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 484121 | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| 484122 | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload $\qquad$ | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 4842 | Specialized freight trucking | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | 6.0 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| 48423 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | long-distance ............................... | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 4921 | Couriers | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 4922 | Local messengers and local delivery | 14.0 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 19.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage . | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 4931 | Warehousing and storage | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 49311 | General warehousing and storage ................................... | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| 49312 | Refrigerated warehousing and storage | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| 49313 | Farm product warehousing and storage ............................ | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| 49319 | Other warehousing and storage | 6.1 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 1.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 481 (Air transportation), NAICS 483 (Water transportation), NAICS 485 (Transit and ground passenger transportation), NAICS 486 (Pipeline transportation), NAICS 487 (Scenic and sightseeing transportation), NAICS 488 (Support activities for transportation), and NAICS 491 (Postal service).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>

Table A-2.2. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OPERATING REVENUE | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Total . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor carrier | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Local trucking | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Long-distance trucking | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Other operating revenue (truck transportation). | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 2.6 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-2.3. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change by Size of Shipments, Commodities Handled, and Origin and Destination of Shipments for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Total motor carrier revenue. | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| SIZE OF SHIPMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less-than-truckload | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| Truckload | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| COMMODITIES HANDLED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural and fish products ............................................................ | 7.0 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| Grains, alcohol, and tobacco products | 11.2 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 1.4 |
| Stone, nonmetallic minerals, and metallic ores | 5.5 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.1 |
| Coal and petroleum products | 7.2 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| Pharmaceutical and chemical products | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| Wood products, textiles, and leathers | 7.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Base metal and machinery | 6.5 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Electronic, motorized vehicles, and precision instruments | 7.4 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.5 |
| Used household and office goods | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| New furniture and miscellaneous manufactured products | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Other goods | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazardous materials | 4.3 | S | 4.2 | 4.2 | S | S | 2.5 |
| ORIGIN AND DESTINATION OF SHIPMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. to U.S. | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| U.S. to Canada | 8.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| U.S. to Mexico | 17.9 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 24.5 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 4.8 |
| Canada to U.S. | 9.5 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Mexico to U.S. | 28.8 | 26.5 | 23.0 | 25.7 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 12.0 |
| All other destinations | 5.9 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 3.2 |

[^190]Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-2.4. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change for Inventories of Revenue Generating Equipment for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TRUCKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers. | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| Leased without drivers | 8.9 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 2.0 |
| Total .. | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 1.4 |
| TRUCK-TRACTORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Leased without drivers.. | 8.4 | 11.0 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 1.7 |
| Total... | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| TRAILERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned and/or leased with drivers ........................................................ | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| Leased without drivers.. | 5.7 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 1.1 |
| Total........................................................................................... | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 |

[^191]Table A-2.5. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change for Number of Truck Miles Traveled by Trucks Operated by Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-2.6. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change for Total Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
|  | Selected transportation and warehousing industries ${ }^{1}$.............. | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 484 | Truck transportation .................................................... | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| 4841 | General freight trucking ............................................ | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 48411 | General freight trucking, local | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 48412 | General freight trucking, long-distance | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 484121 | General freight trucking, long-distance, truckload .................. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 484122 | General freight trucking, long-distance, less than truckload $\qquad$ | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 4842 | Specialized freight trucking | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| 48421 | Used household and office goods moving | 6.5 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| 48422 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, local | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 48423 | Specialized freight (except used goods) trucking, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | long-distance ................................ | 3.9 | 3.6 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| 492 | Couriers and messengers | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 4921 | Couriers | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 4922 | Local messengers and local delivery | 13.5 | 14.6 | 14.9 | 22.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| 493 | Warehousing and storage | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 4931 | Warehousing and storage | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 49311 | General warehousing and storage . | 9.0 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| 49312 | Refrigerated warehousing and storage | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 49313 | Farm product warehousing and storage | 7.0 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| 49319 | Other warehousing and storage .................................... | 6.5 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 1.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 481 (Air transportation), NAICS 483 (Water transportation), NAICS 485 (Transit and ground passenger transportation), NAICS 486 (Pipeline transportation), NAICS 487 (Scenic and sightseeing transportation), NAICS 488 (Support activities for transportation), and NAICS 491 (Postal service).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-2.7. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change of Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TRUCK TRANSPORTATION (NAICS 484) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 15.5 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 17.4 | 5.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6.7 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 7.4 | 10.1 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 12.6 | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 7.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Purchased freight transportation. | 3.7 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 22.2 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 2.0 |
| Purchased fuels for transportation equipment. | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.3 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 9.4 | 1.4 |
| Purchased electricity. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels).. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 12.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 13.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 11.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to transportation equipment. . | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 3.8 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 10.9 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Cost of insurance. | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2.9 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 1.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| All other operating expenses... | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-2.7. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change of Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| COURIERS AND MESSENGERS (NAICS 492) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | S | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | S | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Health insurance. | 0.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | D | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | D | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 20.7 | 18.4 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 27.0 | 1.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | D | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | D | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 12.4 | 13.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 17.7 | 0.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | S | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 26.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.8 |
| All other operating expenses........ | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 18.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services........ | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-2.7. Truck Transportation (NAICS 484), Couriers and Messengers (NAICS 492), and Warehousing and Storage (NAICS 493) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change of Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WAREHOUSING AND STORAGE (NAICS 493) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5.9 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits........................................................ | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Health insurance. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 6.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 14.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 6.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 12.0 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 13.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 3.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 10.5 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 3.6 | 12.8 | 3.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 18.2 | S | 9.1 | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 11.8 | 10.8 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 3.6 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 6.7 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 1.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 8.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 10.7 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 1.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 11.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance........... | S | 3.4 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 8.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 8.5 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 5.0 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 7.0 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1.6 |
| All other operating expenses......... | 7.0 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 12.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 6.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 11.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 11.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................. | 7.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0 v1.0 Data Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.0.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 51 | Information . | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| 5111 | Newspaper, periodical, book, and directory publishers .............. | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 51111 | Newspaper publishers ............................................. | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 51112 | Periodical publishers | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 51113 | Book publishers .. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 51114 | Directory and mailing list publishers | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 51119 | Other publishers | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 511191 | Greeting card publishers | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 511199 | All other publishers .. | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| 5112 | Software publishers | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 5121 | Motion picture and video industries | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 5121x | Motion picture and video production and distribution ${ }^{1}$ | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 51213 | Motion picture and video exhibition | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| 512131 | Motion picture theaters (except drive-ins) | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 512132 | Drive-in motion picture theaters . | S | S | 16.4 | 15.7 | S | S | 2.2 |
| 51219 | Postproduction services and other motion picture and video industries | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| 512191 | Teleproduction and other postproduction services | 4.8 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| 512199 | Other motion picture and video industries | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 5122 | Sound recording industries. | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 51221 | Record production . | 16.4 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 5.1 |
| 51222 | Integrated record production and distribution | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 51223 | Music publishers | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 51224 | Sound recording studios | 10.8 | 11.8 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 3.3 |
| 51229 | Other sound recording industries | 15.1 | 12.2 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 8.5 |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 5151 | Radio and television broadcasting | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 51511 | Radio broadcasting | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 515111 | Radio networks | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| 515112 | Radio stations | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| 51512 | Television broadcasting | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 5152 | Cable and other subscription programming | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 5171 | Wired telecommunications carriers | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 5172 | Wireless telecommunications carriers (except satellite) | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| 517211 | Paging .......... | 7.8 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| 517212 | Cellular and other wireless telecommunications ................ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| 5173 | Telecommunications resellers .................................... | 13.9 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 4.3 |
| 5174 | Satellite telecommunications ...................................... | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 5175 | Cable and other program distribution .............................. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.0.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5179 | Other telecommunications | 12.2 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 2.4 |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | processing services ............................................... | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| 5181 | Internet service providers and web search portals .................... | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 518111 | Internet service providers. | 4.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 518112 | Web search portals .............................................. | 5.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| 5182 | Data processing, hosting, and related services | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| 519 | Other information services | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| 51911 | News syndicates | 4.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 51912 | Libraries and archives ............................................. | 4.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 |
| 51919 | All other information services .................................... | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution)

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>

Table A-3.0.2. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 51 | Information ................................................................. | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 511 | Publishing industries (except Internet) | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 5111 | Newspaper, periodical, book, and directory publishers .............. | S | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | S | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 51111 | Newspaper publishers .............................................. | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| 51112 | Periodical publishers | S | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | S | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 51113 | Book publishers . | 7.6 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 3.7 | 8.8 | 2.6 |
| 51114 | Directory and mailing list publishers | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| 51119 | Other publishers | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| 511191 | Greeting card publishers | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 511199 | All other publishers | 7.6 | 8.4 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 2.0 |
| 5112 | Software publishers | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 512 | Motion picture and sound recording industries | 5.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| 5121 | Motion picture and video industries | 5.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| 5121x | Motion picture and video production and distribution ${ }^{1}$ | 5.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| 51213 | Motion picture and video exhibition . | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 512131 | Motion picture theaters (except drive-ins) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 512132 | Drive-in motion picture theaters .. | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| 51219 | Postproduction services and other motion picture and video industries $\qquad$ | 4.9 | 9.8 | 13.7 | 17.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| 512191 | Teleproduction and other postproduction services | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 512199 | Other motion picture and video industries | 6.4 | 11.8 | 16.2 | 21.5 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| 5122 | Sound recording industries | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 0.6 |
| 51221 | Record production ..... | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 51222 | Integrated record production and distribution | 7.7 | S | 0.3 | 0.3 | S | S | 0.1 |
| 51223 | Music publishers . | 10.6 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 51224 | Sound recording studios | 14.1 | 7.8 | 9.6 | S | 4.7 | 14.7 | S |
| 51229 | Other sound recording industries | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515 | Broadcasting (except Internet) | 2.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 |
| 5151 | Radio and television broadcasting | 26.4 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 51511 | Radio broadcasting | S | 12.9 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515111 | Radio networks | S | 3.6 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 515112 | Radio stations . | S | S | NA | NA | S | S | NA |
| 51512 | Television broadcasting . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5152 | Cable and other subscription programming | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 516 | Internet publishing and broadcasting | 12.7 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 18.2 | 16.4 | 4.0 |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 2.9 | S | 1.8 | 1.8 | S | S | 0.9 |
| 5171 | Wired telecommunications carriers | 3.3 | S | 2.9 | 2.9 | S | S | 0.1 |
| 5172 | Wireless telecommunications carriers (except satellite) | 17.9 | S | 12.3 | 14.5 | S | S | 8.4 |
| 517211 | Paging .......... | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 517212 | Cellular and other wireless telecommunications. | 18.4 | 20.4 | 12.7 | 14.9 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 8.4 |
| 5173 | Telecommunications resellers .................................. | 29.1 | S | 24.3 | 29.9 | S | S | 48.4 |
| 5174 | Satellite telecommunications ......................................... | D | S | D | S | D | D | D |
| 5175 | Cable and other program distribution ............................ | D | 2.2 | D | 1.3 | D | D | D |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.0.2. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5179 | Other telecommunications .......................................... | 12.2 | 12.6 | S | S | 1.2 | S | S |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | processing services ............................................. | S | 8.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | S | 6.4 | 3.1 |
| 5181 | Internet service providers and web search portals | S | 7.4 | 11.6 | 8.9 | S | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| 518111 | Internet service providers . | D | D | D | S | D | D | D |
| 518112 | Web search portals ............................................... | D | D | D | 2.5 | D | D | D |
| 5182 | Data processing, hosting, and related services | 17.7 | 15.2 | S | S | 3.2 | S | S |
| 519 | Other information services | 5.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 3.3 |
| 51911 | News syndicates. | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 51912 | Libraries and archives ............................................. | 7.8 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| 51919 | All other information services ................................... | S | S | 6.4 | S | S | S | S |

NA Not available. X Not applicable. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.1. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General newspapers | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Advertising space | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Specialized newspapers | 19.0 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 1.3 |
| Subscriptions and sales | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Advertising space | 19.3 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Other operating revenue | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Printing services | 16.3 | 14.4 | 12.2 | 13.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Distribution services | 8.2 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| All other operating revenue | 5.0 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 7.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print newspapers | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Online newspapers | 3.5 | 3.8 | 22.2 | 27.1 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 2.4 |
| Other media newspapers | 3.5 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 7.7 | 1.0 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Advertising Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classified advertising | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| All other advertising | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | D | 1.9 | 1.1 | D |
| Finished goods | 8.4 | 10.8 | 6.2 | S | 3.8 | 13.0 | S |
| Work-in-process | S | S | S | D | S | S | D |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.2. Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.3. Book Publishers (NAICS 51113) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Textbooks | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Children's books | 6.5 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| General reference books | 23.6 | 19.9 | 21.3 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 15.9 |
| Professional, technical, and scholarly books | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Adult trade books | 5.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| All other operating revenue | 10.6 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 2.1 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print books | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Online books | 11.3 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| Other media books | 10.0 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 7.8 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ........... | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Finished goods | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Work-in-process | 29.6 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 18.4 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. ............................................................. | 4.1 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 7.4 | 2.3 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.4. Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directories | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 12.9 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 5.1 |
| Advertising space | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Databases, and other collections of information | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Subscriptions and sales | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Advertising space | 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Other operating revenue | 14.5 | 17.2 | 15.6 | 16.8 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 3.3 |
| Rental or sale of mailing lists | 11.7 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 5.3 |
| All other operating revenue | S | 24.8 | 22.3 | 23.5 | S | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Media Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Print directories, databases, and other collections of information | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Online directories, databases, and other collections of information | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Other media directories, databases, and other collections of information. | 6.1 | 14.7 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 14.3 | 13.6 | 2.5 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............ | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Finished goods . | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Work-in-process . | 3.0 | S | 3.0 | 3.4 | S | S | 1.3 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. ................................................................. | 5.9 | 7.0 | S | 2.4 | 2.3 | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.5. Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greeting cards | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| All other operating revenue ${ }^{1}$ | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | S | 0.7 | 1.1 | S |
| Finished goods | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | Z |
| Work-in-process | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | S | 0.5 | 0.2 | S |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 | S | 0.6 | 0.1 | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes calendar publishing, map and atlas publishing, pattern publishing, other miscellaneous publishing, contract printing, sale of licensing of rights to content, sale of advertising space, rental or sale of mailing lists, and publishing services for others.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.1.6. Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| System software publishing | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Operating systems software | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Network software | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| Database management software | 10.4 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 2.0 |
| Development tools and programming languages software | 13.4 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| Other systems software | 20.4 | 21.2 | 15.2 | 11.7 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 2.2 |
| Application software publishing | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4.7 |
| General business productivity and home use applications | 13.2 | 12.4 | 9.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 8.7 |
| Cross-industry application software | 8.8 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| Vertical market application software | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 4.2 | 11.1 | 2.3 |
| Utilities application software | 9.4 | 11.8 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 9.4 | 2.5 |
| Other application software | 24.3 | 20.4 | 18.5 | 16.8 | 8.2 | 21.9 | 2.7 |
| Other services | 5.4 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| Custom application design and development | 9.2 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 2.3 |
| Information technology technical consulting services | 12.3 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 9.9 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| Application service provisioning | S | S | S | 21.6 | S | S | S |
| Resale of computer hardware and software | 8.1 | 9.0 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Information technology related training services | 7.4 | 16.9 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 6.6 | 3.1 |
| All other operating revenue | 5.0 | S | S | 3.2 | S | S | S |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Software Sales Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System software | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Personal computer software | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| Enterprise or network software | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 1.9 |
| Mainframe computer software | 3.2 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.9 |
| Other system software | 24.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 30.5 | 6.0 | 1.2 |
| Application software | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 4.7 |
| Personal computer software | 11.5 | S | 8.8 | 4.5 | S | S | 9.2 |
| Enterprise or network software | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 3.3 | 6.8 | 1.4 |
| Mainframe computer software | 15.2 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 15.3 | 5.6 | 1.5 |
| Other application software | 17.1 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 11.1 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 2.4 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ... | 3.6 | 3.5 | S | S | 1.9 | S | S |
| Finished goods . | S | 4.5 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Work-in-process | 8.0 | 2.8 | S | S | 7.3 | S | S |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc | 3.0 | 3.3 | S | S | 2.0 | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.1. Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Inventories and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007

Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 512) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 |
| Finished goods | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Work-in-process | 6.6 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 9.1 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.9 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 6.8 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 2.0 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO INDUSTRIES (NAICS 5121) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.8 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| Finished goods | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Work-in-process | 6.7 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 9.1 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 5.9 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 9.0 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 11.9 | 2.7 |
| SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 5122) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Inventories at End of Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ........... | 7.2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Finished goods | 5.3 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Work-in-process . | S | S | 8.9 | 4.9 | S | S | 4.0 |
| Materials, supplies, fuel, etc. | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.2. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic licensing of rights to motion picture films | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Domestic licensing of rights to television programs | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| International licensing of rights to motion picture films | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| International licensing of rights to television programs | 4.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 1.3 |
| Audiovisual works speculatively produced for outright sale | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{S} \\ 12.3 \end{array}$ | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Contract production of audiovisual works |  |  | 14.6 | 13.8 | 8.9 | 14.9 | 6.2 |
| Domestic licensing of rights to others to distribute audiovisual works | 9.4 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 11.6 | S | S | 4.3 |
| International licensing of rights to others to distribute audiovisual works | S | S | 17.3 | 15.1 | S | S | 4.2 |
| Sale of audiovisual works for the wholesale, retail, and rental markets | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Other production services | 11.4 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 3.2 |
| Merchandise licensing | 4.9 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 14.1 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 3.24.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 9.9 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 9.2 | 11.1 |  |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.3. Motion Picture Theaters (NAICS 51213) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feature film exhibition revenue | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Admissions to domestic feature films | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Admissions to foreign feature films | 27.8 | S | 14.1 | 16.0 | S | S | 2.1 |
| Other revenue | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Food and beverage sales | 3.2S | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| Rental of retail space |  | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Advertising services. | S 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Coin-operated games and rides | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 7.2 \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 9.4 | 11.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 6.2 |  |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.4. Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Audiovisual postproduction services | 6.7 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| Motion picture film laboratory services | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| Duplication and copying services | 9.5 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| All other operating revenue ....... | 13.4 | 12.4 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 3.5 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.5. Integrated Record Production and Distribution (NAICS 51222) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.6. Music Publishers (NAICS 51223) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing revenue | S | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.6 | S | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| Licensing of rights to use musical compositions | S | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.9 | S | 1.3 | 2.7 |
| Licensing of rights to use musical recordings | S | S | S | 15.0 | S | S | S |
| Other operating revenue . | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Administration of copyrights for others. | 25.7 | S | 24.6 | 23.3 | S | S | 38.5 |
| Sales of recordings . | 21.6 | 15.9 | 21.7 | 20.9 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 12.2 |
| Print music.. | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | 15.4 | 16.3 | 15.3 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 18.3 | 9.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0 v1.0 Data Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.2.7. Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 10.8 | 11.8 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 3.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Studio recording | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| Sound recording studio rental and leasing | 18.9 | 21.8 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 9.0 |
| All other operating revenue | S | S | 18.3 | 18.0 | S | S | 6.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.1. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 5.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| National/regional air time | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.2 |
| Local air time | 6.2 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| Other operating revenue | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.7 |
| Network compensation | 3.8 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| All other operating revenue ........................................................ | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 6.2 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.2. Radio Stations (NAICS 515112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| National/regional air time | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
| Local air time | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Other operating revenue | 14.8 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 1.4 |
| Network compensation | 16.5 | 13.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 0.7 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services .. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue ............................................................. | 10.6 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 1.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.3. Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air time | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| National/regional air time | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Local air time | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Other operating revenue . | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| Network compensation | 2.8 | 2.8 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Public and non-commercial programming services ..................................... | 15.9 | 13.8 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 0.9 |
|  | 5.6 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.4. Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing of rights to broadcast speciality programming protected by copyright | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Air time . | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| All other operating revenue . ......................................................... | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.5. Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publishing and broadcasting of content on the Internet | 10.7 | 7.4 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 2.8 |
| Online advertising space | 6.7 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 3.4 |
| Licensing of rights to use intellectual property | 7.7 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| All other operating revenue | 14.2 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 13.9 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | S | S | S | 21.0 | S | S | S |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Household consumers and individual users ................................................ | 19.3 | 16.0 | 12.4 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 10.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.6. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed services | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Fixed local | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Fixed long-distance | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Fixed all distance (no distinction between local or long distance) | 2.9 | S | 2.8 | 2.8 | S | S |  |
| Other telecommunications services | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Carrier services | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Private network services | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| Subscriber line charges | 21.9 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Internet access services | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Internet telephony | 4.2 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 12.1 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| Telecommunication network installation services | 3.2 | 2.7 | S | S | 1.6 | S | S |
| Reselling services for telecommunications equipment, retail | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 |
| Rental of telecommunications equipment . | 7.1 | S | 28.4 | 26.4 | S | S | 1.4 |
| Repair and maintenance services for telecommunications equipment | 13.9 | 7.4 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 2.3 |
| All other operating revenue | 6.2 | $4.8$ | $4.9$ | 5.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.7. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Local, Long-Distance, and Network Access Revenue by Type of Customer and Type of Service and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue <br> Total $\qquad$ | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed local telephony | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Government |  | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 2.8 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Government | S | S | 4.3 | 4.7 | S | S | 0.4 |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Subscriber line charges | 21.9 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Government | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations |  | 13.2 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 22.3 | 18.8 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Intrastate | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 2.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.30.3 |
| International |  | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.8. Paging (NAICS 517211) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 7.8 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Messaging (paging) services | 9.9 | 10.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 2.3 |
| All other operating revenue | 15.5 | 12.4 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 6.6 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.9. Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile services | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Mobile telephony | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Mobile long-distance | 4.4 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 1.3 |
| Mobile all distance | 3.7 | S | 3.6 | 3.5 | S | S | 0.2 |
| Other mobile services | S | S | 6.4 | 3.5 | S | S | 3.9 |
| Other telecommunications services | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Internet access services | D | D | 3.7 | 3.6 | D | D | 1.9 |
| Installation services for telecommunication networks | 18.9 | 17.9 | S | S | 12.4 | S | S |
| Reselling services for telecommunications equipment, retail | 4.4 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| Rental of telecommunications equipment . | 2.7 | 27.2 | S | 4.8 | 15.5 | S | S |
| Repair and maintenance services for telecommunications equipment . | D | D | 1.5 | 3.7 | D | D | 7.2 |
| All other operating revenue . | 13.5 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 19.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 4.8 |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.10. Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 13.9 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 4.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed local | 12.3 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 |
| Fixed long distance | S | 9.9 | 9.7 | 7.8 | S | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| Mobile telephony | 8.4 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| Carrier services | S | 15.6 | 14.7 | 12.6 | S | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| All other operating revenue | S | S | 20.0 | 5.6 | S | S | 14.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.11. Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Total . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Private network services | S | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | S | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| All other operating revenue ... | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.12. Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Cable System and Multichannel Video Distribution Revenue by Type of Customer and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multichannel programming distribution services | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Basic programming package | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Premium programming package | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Pay-per-view | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Other revenue | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Air time | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Rental and reselling services for program distribution equipment . | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Installation services for connections to program distribution networks | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| Internet access services | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Internet telephony | 4.4 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 15.3 | 0.4 |
| Fixed local telephony | S | 7.5 | 9.6 | 10.1 | S | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Fixed long-distance telephony | S | 11.3 | 8.1 | 5.8 | S | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| All other operating revenue .............................................................. | 7.2 | 5.4 | 10.0 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 2.7 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.3.13. Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Cable System and Multichannel Video Distribution Revenue by Type of Customer and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government | 15.4 | 14.1 | 26.3 | 27.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| Business firms and not-for-profit organizations . | 6.1 | 5.1 | 10.3 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 2.9 |
| Houshold consumers and individual users | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.4.1. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internet access service | 5.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Online advertising space | S | S | 4.3 | 4.3 | S | S | 1.1 |
| Internet backbone services | 14.9 | 17.5 | 18.4 | 22.4 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 4.8 |
| Internet telephony | 25.6 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Web site hosting services .. | 15.9 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 5.1 | 14.6 | 2.4 |
| Information technology design and development services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
|  | 21.1 | S | 22.6 | 18.9 | S | S | 4.9 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.4.2. Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 5.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Online advertising space | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Information search services on a contract or fee basis | S | 13.9 | D | S | S | D | D |
| Web site hosting services ....... | S | 20.65.5 | D | S | S | D | D |
| All other operating revenue . | S |  | S | S | S | S | S |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.4.3. Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data processing, IT infrastructure provisioning, and hosting services | 8.9 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| Business process management services | 8.0 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| Data management services | 10.0 | 9.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 2.1 |
| Application service provisioning | 28.4 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 15.7 | 3.1 |
| Web site hosting services | S | 24.3 | 29.9 | 26.1 | S | 37.4 | 4.9 |
| Collocation services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating revenue | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| IT design and development services | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 | S | 2.7 | 1.7 | S |
| IT technical support services | 17.4 | 15.7 | 16.3 | S | 5.4 | 6.6 | S |
| IT technical consulting services | 11.3 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 15.2 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| Information and document transformation services | 16.5 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 16.5 | 23.7 | 8.8 | 6.2 |
| Software publishing ...................................................................... | 18.1 | 17.4 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 6.8 |
| Reselling services for computer hardware and software, retail | 26.9 | 20.3 | 23.4 | 19.3 | 3.7 | 14.5 | 4.6 |
| All other operating revenue ............................................................ | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.5.1. News Syndicates and Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51911 and 51912) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NEWS SYNDICATES (NAICS 51911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing of rights to use syndicated media content ..................................... | 5.5 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| All other operating revenue | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| LIBRARIES AND ARCHIVES (NAICS 51912) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions, gifts, and grants received | 4.9 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 4.0 |
| Investment and property income | 8.6 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 2.0 |
| All other revenue ......................................................................... | 7.0 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 1.8 |

[^192]Table A-3.5.2. All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information search and retrieval services | 28.3 | 20.6 | 13.0 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| Information services | 6.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 3.1 |
| Media monitoring and analysis | D | D | 4.9 | 4.9 | D | D | 1.8 |
| Advertising | D | D | S | S | D | D | S |
| All other operating revenue ............................................................ | 18.0 | 20.0 | 22.1 | 22.4 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 10.4 |

D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.1. Information Sector (NAICS 51) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5179 | Other telecommunications | 12.3 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 518 | Internet service providers, web search portals, and data processing services $\qquad$ | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 5181 | Internet service providers and web search portals .................... | 5.8 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 518111 | Internet service providers ....................................... | 7.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| 518112 | Web search portals ............................................... | 8.1 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| 5182 | Data processing, hosting, and related services | 4.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| 519 | Other information services | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 51911 | News syndicates. | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 51912 | Libraries and archives ............................................. | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 51919 | All other information services .................................... | 7.0 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 51211 (Motion picture and video production) and NAICS 51212 (Motion picture and video distribution).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PERIODICAL PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51112) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Health insurance. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 4.3 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6.8 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 14.1 | 21.2 | 11.8 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 13.7 | 6.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.6 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 16.4 | 14.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 8.3 | 2.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 6.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 8.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | 17.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2.0 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 8.1 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 5.4 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 3.0 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 5.8 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 3.0 |
| All other operating expenses. | 4.1 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 11.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 6.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^193]Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| BOOK PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51113) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Health insurance. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 14.3 | 21.5 | S | S | 15.9 | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.8 | 3.7 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 4.7 | 6.4 | 20.3 | S | 3.6 | 9.7 | S |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 7.1 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 3.2 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 7.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 14.1 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| All other operating expenses... | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 7.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 14.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 10.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| DIRECTORY AND MAILING LIST PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51114) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 8.8 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 7.6 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11.5 | 17.4 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 8.3 | 22.2 | 3.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 8.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.................................................. | 12.3 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 21.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 10.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 21.6 | 25.3 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 0.8 |
| Purchased printing services.. | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other operating expenses.. | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2.7 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.9 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 26.6 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 13.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 12.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments............................ | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| GREETING CARD PUBLISHERS (NAICS 511191) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Gross annual payroll | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Health insurance. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Expensed equipment.. | D | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | D | Z | 0.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | D | 2.6 | 4.3 | 2.3 | D | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11.1 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 7.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 16.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services... | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Purchased printing services. | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Other operating expenses...... | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.2. Newspaper Publishers (NAICS 51111), Periodical Publishers (NAICS 51112), Book Publishers (NAICS 51113), Directory and Mailing List Publishers (NAICS 51114), Greeting Card Publishers (NAICS 511191), Software Publishers (NAICS 5112) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms:

2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SOFTWARE PUBLISHERS (NAICS 5112) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Health insurance. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 8.7 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 2.0 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.0 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 7.3 | 7.3 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.1 | 12.6 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 15.2 | 2.8 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 3.9 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 15.4 | 19.7 | S | S | 11.0 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.3 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 1.9 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 16.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 4.9 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.............. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Purchased software reproduction......... | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 8.3 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 8.6 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 7.5 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4.5 | S | 2.6 | 3.9 | S | S | 2.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 8.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 6.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 7.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.
Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION (NAICS 5121X) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 6.4 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| Health insurance. | 6.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 7.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 11.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 11.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 13.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 28.0 | 28.5 | 25.0 | 23.7 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 18.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 10.9 | 17.8 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 5.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 15.5 | 13.6 | 21.0 | 24.8 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 13.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 13.1 | 20.5 | 18.7 | 21.5 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 5.5 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 6.7 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 3.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 26.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.. | 26.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 10.1 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 21.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 15.6 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 17.5 | 3.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 8.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 8.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 17.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^194]Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004

Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO EXHIBITION (NAICS 51213) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 6.8 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance.. | 8.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 24.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 6.2 | 8.8 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 1.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 13.4 | 5.2 | 16.4 | 19.7 | 7.2 | 16.5 | 4.6 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 17.7 | 14.1 | 29.5 | S | 5.6 | 81.0 | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 13.0 | 4.3 | 16.8 | 20.7 | 8.3 | 14.0 | 5.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11.7 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 8.5 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| Purchased electricity. | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 4.0 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 12.2 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| All other operating expenses. | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 0.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 9.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 7.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^195]Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| POSTPRODUCTION SERVICES AND OTHER MOTION PICTURE AND VIDEO INDUSTRIES (NAICS 51219) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 4.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Health insurance. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 6.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | 8.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 9.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 18.2 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 18.2 | 7.0 | 14.4 | 33.9 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 15.6 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 18.2 | 14.8 | 13.6 | 10.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5.1 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 6.4 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 16.3 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 23.6 | 8.7 | 13.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
| Purchased electricity. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance... | 4.0 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 2.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 8.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 7.5 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 8.7 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 3.8 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 15.9 | 21.8 | 13.9 | 13.2 | 15.7 | 30.2 | 3.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 11.0 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 7.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 14.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 7.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 18.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 14.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 14.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004

Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTEGRATED RECORD PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION SERVICES (NAICS 51222) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | S | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | S | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Health insurance. | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 0.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans.. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Other... | 0.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 7.1 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 1.5 | Z |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4.8 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| Expensed equipment..... | 4.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies.. | 4.9 | S | 5.0 | 0.3 | S | S | 6.6 |
| Expensed purchased services.... | 0.5 | S | 0.9 | 0.6 | S | S | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 0.6 | 0.3 | S | S | 0.5 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 0.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments... | 1.6 | S | 0.4 | 0.3 | S | S | 0.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance....... | 0.3 | S | 1.9 | 0.3 | S | S | 2.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.... | 0.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 0.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 0.3 | S | 0.3 | 0.3 | S | S | 0.1 |
| Other operating expenses... | 0.4 | 0.4 | S | S | 0.1 | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.3 | 0.3 | S | S | 1.1 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees.. | 0.6 | S | 0.3 | 0.3 | S | S | 0.2 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 0.3 | 0.4 | S | S | 0.2 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments... | 0.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 0.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^196]Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004

Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MUSIC PUBLISHERS (NAICS 51223) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 2.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 17.9 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 13.2 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| Health insurance. | 8.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 11.2 | 25.9 | 24.4 | S | 7.9 | 4.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale)... | 6.6 | 12.8 | S | S | 8.1 | S | S |
| Expensed equipment. | 20.7 | 20.6 | S | 15.7 | 19.2 | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 4.8 | 14.4 | S | S | 6.9 | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 2.2 | 2.0 | S | S | 0.9 | S | S |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 12.4 | 18.9 | S | 9.8 | 7.6 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.4 | 3.0 | S | S | 1.5 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 7.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance... | 4.6 | 2.4 | S | S | 2.5 | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 7.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 2.5 | 2.4 | S | S | 0.7 | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 5.9 | 5.5 | S | S | 1.6 | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | S | 2.7 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.7 | 5.8 | S | S | 4.7 | S | S |
| All other operating expenses....... | 6.1 | 5.9 | S | S | 1.7 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 9.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services....... | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....... | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^197]Table A-3.6.3. Motion Picture and Video Production and Distribution (NAICS 5121X), Motion Picture and Video Exhibition (NAICS 51213), Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries (NAICS 51219), Integrated Record Production and Distribution Services (NAICS 51222), Music Publishers (NAICS 51223), Sound Recording Studios (NAICS 51224) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SOUND RECORDING STUDIOS (NAICS 51224) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .... | 10.2 | 10.5 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 9.2 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 9.5 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 9.7 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 10.6 | 5.2 | 4.5 |
| Health insurance. | 11.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 17.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 28.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 8.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 9.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 15.4 | 21.9 | 22.7 | 15.1 | 25.7 | 23.1 | 10.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 20.8 | 10.7 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 5.2 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 17.0 | 15.7 | 6.6 | 11.2 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 6.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 12.0 | 11.1 | 8.3 | S | 10.2 | 7.2 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 9.5 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 24.6 | S | S | 23.7 | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 14.1 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Purchased electricity. | 13.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 27.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 8.9 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 7.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 19.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 9.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 10.2 | 8.5 | 12.2 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 11.6 | 10.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 12.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 10.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 19.5 | 17.5 | 14.8 | 18.3 | 37.2 | 11.1 | 10.2 |
| Other operating expenses. | 12.3 | 14.8 | 10.8 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 4.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 14.1 | 15.5 | 17.1 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 10.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 16.1 | S | 9.8 | 8.1 | S | S | 4.8 |
| All other operating expenses........ | 12.9 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 11.9 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 11.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 10.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 15.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses......................................................... | 14.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| RADIO NETWORKS (NAICS 515111) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
| Health insurance. | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 4.4 | 11.3 | 19.9 | S | 13.9 | 3.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 0.7 |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | 4.3 | 3.8 | 2.8 | S | 4.1 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 7.1 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchased services... | S | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 | S | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software | 4.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 3.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 8.4 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 6.8 | 13.0 | 3.7 |
| Purchased electricity. | 8.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 22.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 9.5 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 1.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items..... | 20.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 7.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5.5 | 6.0 | 10.3 | 16.6 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 18.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment..................... | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | S | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | S | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Other operating expenses... | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | S | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 1.4 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only). | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| All other operating expenses. | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 11.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| RADIO STATIONS (NAICS 515112) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits...................................................... | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Health insurance. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 1.9 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 8.4 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 10.0 | 9.2 | 10.6 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 6.6 | 4.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 11.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 23.8 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 8.1 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 9.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 7.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3.9 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 10.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 4.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 8.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges | 8.4 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 4.5 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 1.4 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only). | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4.8 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 18.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 7.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....... | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELEVISION BROADCASTING (NAICS 51512) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Health insurance. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 19.1 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 34.4 | 17.1 | 2.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.7 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 7.4 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 3.8 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5.7 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 2.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 9.6 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 10.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | 13.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 11.3 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 4.9 | 1.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 19.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 8.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 7.0 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................... | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 12.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 5.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees. | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only). | 4.4 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 10.3 | 1.6 |
| All other operating expenses. | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 8.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 8.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^198]Table A-3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CABLE AND OTHER SUBSCRIPTION PROGRAMMING (NAICS 5152) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Health insurance. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 0.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 6.0 | 7.7 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 7.2 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 3.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1.2 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 0.4 |
| Purchased electricity. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | S | 0.5 | 0.5 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 0.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 0.6 | 0.6 | S | S | 0.3 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Program and production costs.. | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^199]Table A-3.6.4. Radio Networks (NAICS 515111), Radio Stations (NAICS 515112), Television Broadcasting (NAICS 51512), Cable and Other Subscription Programming (NAICS 5152), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET PUBLISHING AND BROADCASTING (NAICS 516) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 11.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 5.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 4.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 5.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 11.4 |
| Health insurance. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | S | 9.4 | 9.0 | 6.1 | S | 5.5 | 10.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | S | 15.4 | 17.9 | 4.1 | S | 6.8 | 17.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | S | 22.7 | 21.4 | 3.2 | S | 3.8 | 25.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 15.7 | 23.9 | 6.7 | S | 11.9 | 16.0 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 12.3 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 10.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 4.0 | 6.3 | 13.0 | S | 6.2 | 28.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 12.4 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 15.6 |
| Purchased electricity. | 12.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 12.0 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 13.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 12.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | S | 2.2 | 4.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 15.8 | 16.5 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 13.3 | 9.7 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 10.8 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 17.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | S | 1.3 | 4.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 17.2 | 12.7 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 7.1 |
| All other operating expenses. | 12.0 | 17.7 | S | 3.8 | 9.4 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 13.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 11.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.
Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WIRED TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS (NAICS 5171) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Health insurance. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 9.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| Expensed equipment. | 21.7 | 20.7 | 17.1 | 18.9 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.6 | 2.4 | S | 4.6 | 1.3 | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 10.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 8.1 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 11.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 4.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| Other operating expenses. | 1.2 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Access charges.. | 3.8 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| Universal service contributions (USC). | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 18.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PAGING (NAICS 517211) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.4 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 13.9 | 11.4 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 14.9 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 4.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits... | 9.7 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Health insurance. | 7.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 28.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 27.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 29.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense... | S | 7.1 | 12.8 | 18.0 | S | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 24.8 | S | 26.6 | 22.2 | S | S | 4.8 |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | S | 15.1 | 14.7 | S | S | 7.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 28.4 | S | 28.1 | 23.5 | S | S | 5.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | S | 12.9 | 19.1 | S | S | 6.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 9.9 | 12.7 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 12.5 | 2.3 |
| Purchased electricity. | 10.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 22.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. . | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 29.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 8.1 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 7.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 12.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 20.4 | S | 14.7 | 11.2 | S | S | 7.9 |
| Other operating expenses. | 10.5 | S | 5.5 | 5.2 | S | S | 1.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 12.8 | 14.4 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 2.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 8.2 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| Access charges. | 8.4 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 1.9 |
| All other operating expenses... | 11.3 | S | 8.8 | 7.8 | S | S | 2.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 12.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 13.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CELLULAR AND OTHER WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 517212) <br> Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 11.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 13.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 6.0 |
| Health insurance. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 9.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Expensed equipment. | D | 19.0 | 14.9 | 14.3 | D | 3.4 | 5.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | D | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.4 | D | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 9.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | S | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | S | 1.4 | 3.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | D | NA | NA | NA | D | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 8.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 6.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | S | 2.2 | 1.9 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 6.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 13.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services | 6.5 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.7 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Access charges. | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.5 |
| Universal service contributions (USC) and other similar charges. | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS (NAICS 5173) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 8.8 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 11.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 12.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 11.0 | 12.4 | 9.5 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
| Health insurance. | 10.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 11.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 22.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 10.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 13.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | S | S | 19.9 | S | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | S | S | 11.7 | S | S | S |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | 19.6 | 18.0 | 14.4 | S | 6.9 | 8.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | S | S | 12.1 | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | S | 11.5 | 12.3 | 7.4 | S | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 14.7 | 18.9 | 26.8 | S | 8.5 | 7.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 8.2 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | S | 13.3 | 16.5 | 8.7 | S | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 11.6 | 13.3 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 7.7 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 8.9 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 11.9 | 11.3 | 10.4 | S | 1.9 | 3.0 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 13.2 | 10.2 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Access charges. | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 5.7 |
| All other operating expenses. | 9.2 | 10.1 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 3.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 10.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 9.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 27.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 15.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 9.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^200]Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{\text {¹ }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SATELLITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 5174) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.4 | S | S | 2.2 | S | S | S |
| Health insurance. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 8.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | S | 7.6 | 5.5 | S | S | 2.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 7.5 | 9.5 | S | 11.0 | 12.7 | S | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2.5 | S | 2.0 | 1.8 | S | S | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 3.9 | S | 3.5 | S | S | S | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 6.0 | 2.4 | S | S | 10.7 | S | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.6 | S | 2.2 | 2.1 | S | S | 0.8 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 8.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5.4 | 2.8 | 2.3 | S | 3.8 | 2.0 | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services... | 3.8 | 2.3 | S | S | 2.0 | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 2.6 | S | S | 1.9 | S | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 2.2 | 2.0 | S | S | 1.1 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 6.5 | 4.1 | 6.4 | S | 2.6 | 2.8 | S |
| Access charges... | S | S | 4.3 | 3.5 | S | S | 1.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.7 | S | S | 1.9 | S | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 25.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.5. Wired Telecommunications Carriers (NAICS 5171), Paging (NAICS 517211), Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications (NAICS 517212), Telecommunications Resellers (NAICS 5173), Satellite Telecommunications (NAICS 5174), Cable and Other Program Distribution (NAICS 5175) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{\text {² }}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| CABLE AND OTHER PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION (NAICS 5175) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Health insurance. | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans.. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| Expensed equipment... | 16.2 | 10.7 | 12.4 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 4.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 0.7 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1.6 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees.. | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Program and production costs. | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| All other operating expenses.... | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 9.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS (NAICS 518111) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .. | 7.1 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 11.2 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 11.6 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 2.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 15.4 | 11.7 | 8.4 | S | 5.9 | 4.0 | S |
| Health insurance. | 15.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 17.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 13.0 | 7.1 | 4.6 | S | 4.9 | 6.6 | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed equipment. | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.4 | S | 4.8 | 4.2 | S |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Expensed purchased services. | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | S | 3.2 | 2.1 | S |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 25.1 | 19.2 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 4.1 | 17.5 | 16.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | 23.3 | 17.6 | S | S | 3.9 | S |
| Purchased electricity.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels) | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 20.2 | 16.1 | 21.9 | 18.9 | 11.2 | 7.2 | 8.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 20.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5.7 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 9.8 | 5.4 | 4.8 | S | 3.3 | 1.8 | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 6.5 | 4.5 | 3.6 | S | 4.2 | 3.7 | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 8.5 | 7.9 | 6.5 | S | 2.3 | 4.0 | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 18.7 | S | 13.7 | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses.. | 7.7 | 5.7 | 3.8 | S | 4.9 | 4.4 | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 14.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 11.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 24.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 7.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| WEB SEARCH PORTALS (NAICS 518112) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.1 | 7.4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 2.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 6.1 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| Health insurance.. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 3.7 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.1 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 8.5 | 4.0 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 21.8 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 14.7 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 3.0 | 12.5 | 2.5 | S | 5.9 | 21.0 | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.8 | 3.7 | 2.3 | S | 2.3 | 3.2 | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | S | 6.6 | 3.9 | S |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 12.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | S | 17.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | S | 18.9 | 1.5 |
| Other operating expenses. | 6.9 | 8.1 | S | S | 2.4 | S | S |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4.8 | 3.5 | S | S | 1.5 | S | S |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.1 | 3.4 | 26.4 | 14.9 | 4.4 | 10.6 | 6.6 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 7.4 | 9.3 | S | S | 3.0 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 9.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 10.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 8.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| DATA PROCESSING, HOSTING, AND RELATED SERVICES (NAICS 5182) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 4.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 6.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 4.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.3 |
| Health insurance. | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 11.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 14.5 | 17.7 | 15.4 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 19.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | 11.7 | 13.4 | 12.1 | 11.1 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 3.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies............................... | 19.6 | 26.1 | 23.1 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 27.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 6.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.5 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 2.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 29.5 | 19.6 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 20.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 7.0 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 9.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5.7 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment................... | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 6.2 | 4.5 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 4.7 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 9.6 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 12.4 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 4.5 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 4.7 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 2.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 16.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments........................... | 17.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 7.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses......................................................... | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NEWS SYNDICATES (NAICS 51911) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.5 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 4.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.7 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 20.1 | 22.4 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items..... | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 7.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.0 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Other operating expenses. | 5.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 5.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 6.8 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.... | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 8.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| LIBRARIES AND ARCHIVES (NAICS 51912) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.9 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 1.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4.8 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits.. | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Health insurance. | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 7.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 9.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 9.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 17.3 | S | S | 11.6 | S | S | S |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6.9 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 2.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 14.1 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 8.1 | 12.6 | 4.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.6 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 1.8 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 6.3 | 9.7 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 2.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 23.6 | 22.8 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 13.7 | 6.4 | 4.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels)... | 8.2 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 |
| Purchased electricity. | 8.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 10.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. . | 6.6 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 7.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 9.8 | 23.7 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 21.8 | 7.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 13.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 10.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 17.9 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 12.5 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 1.8 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 19.2 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating expenses.. | 8.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 28.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 11.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 9.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses........................................................ | 11.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.6. Internet Service Providers (NAICS 518111), Web Search Portals (NAICS 518112), Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (NAICS 5182), News Syndicates (NAICS 51911), Libraries and Archives (NAICS 51912), All Other Information Services (NAICS 51919) -Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| ALL OTHER INFORMATION SERVICES (NAICS 51919) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7.0 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
| Health insurance. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 7.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 23.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5.8 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 3.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 13.6 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 6.0 | 3.6 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 18.3 | 20.2 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 13.8 | 2.7 | 5.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 16.2 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 17.1 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 3.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 29.1 | 26.0 | 20.1 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 7.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.1 | S | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 5.3 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 7.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance... | 4.8 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 2.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | S | S | S | 24.7 | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses... | 7.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 1.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.3 | 4.5 | 10.1 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 20.8 | 5.5 |
| All other operating expenses. | 11.6 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 3.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 13.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 7.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses......................................................... | 17.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PUBLISHING INDUSTRIES (EXCEPT INTERNET) (NAICS 511) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Health insurance. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans.. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 1.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Expensed equipment... | 4.2 | 4.7 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 3.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 12.3 | 15.1 | 12.6 | S | 8.2 | 13.6 | S |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance... | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| Purchased printing services.. | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Other operating expenses...... | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.1 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 |
| All other operating expenses..... | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................................................... | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MOTION PICTURE AND SOUND RECORDING INDUSTRIES (NAICS 512) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Health insurance. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 7.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 21.2 | 21.6 | 17.9 | 16.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 6.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | 5.2 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 2.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 8.0 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.0 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 2.5 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 2.7 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 2.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 14.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 8.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Other operating expenses... | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 9.1 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 2.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| All other operating expenses.... | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 1.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services............................................... | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^201]Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| BROADCASTING (EXCEPT INTERNET) (NAICS 515) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 4.8 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 6.8 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 17.8 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 6.1 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 6.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 10.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Broadcast rights and music license fees.. | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Network compensation fees (networks only) | 3.9 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 8.4 | 1.3 |
| Program and production costs.. | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses................................................... | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^202]Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET PUBLISHING AND BROADCASTING (NAICS 516) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 11.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 5.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 4.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 5.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 11.4 |
| Health insurance. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | S | 9.4 | 9.0 | 6.1 | S | 5.5 | 10.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | S | 15.4 | 17.9 | 4.1 | S | 6.8 | 17.7 |
| Expensed equipment.. | S | 22.7 | 21.4 | 3.2 | S | 3.8 | 25.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | S | 15.7 | 23.9 | 6.7 | S | 11.9 | 16.0 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 12.3 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 10.3 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 4.0 | 6.3 | 13.0 | S | 6.2 | 28.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 12.4 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 15.6 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 12.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 12.0 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 13.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.. | 12.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | S | 2.2 | 4.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 15.8 | 16.5 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 13.3 | 9.7 |
| Other operating expenses. | 10.8 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 17.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | S | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | S | 1.3 | 4.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 17.2 | 12.7 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 7.1 |
| All other operating expenses.... | 12.0 | 17.7 | S | 3.8 | 9.4 | S | S |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 13.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 11.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^203]Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS (NAICS 517) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits...................................................... | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Health insurance. | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment. | 15.6 | 13.2 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.5 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| Other operating expenses. | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Program and production costs.. | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Access charges. | 3.2 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.6 |
| Universal service contributions (USC) and other similar charges. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^204]Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS, WEB SEARCH PORTALS, AND DATA PROCESSING SERVICES (NAICS 518) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Health insurance. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 24.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 12.9 | 15.8 | 14.1 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 17.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 9.7 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 3.0 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 17.9 | 22.2 | 20.7 | 11.7 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 25.1 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 5.5 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.1 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 2.1 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 25.4 | 16.9 | 10.5 | S | 13.2 | 4.6 | S |
| Purchased electricity. | 26.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 20.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 6.5 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 8.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 8.4 | 4.2 | 3.4 | S | 3.8 | 3.4 | S |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 7.5 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 10.6 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 4.4 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3.6 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 12.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 15.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 7.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses...................................................... | 4.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^205]Table A-3.6.7. Publishing Industries (except Internet) (NAICS 511), Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries (NAICS 512), Broadcasting (except Internet) (NAICS 515), Internet Publishing and Broadcasting (NAICS 516), Telecommunications (NAICS 517), Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services (NAICS 518), and Other Information Services (NAICS 519) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer
Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OTHER INFORMATION SERVICES (NAICS 519) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5.5 | 8.9 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 9.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.2 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 15.2 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 9.1 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 6.6 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 6.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 9.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 4.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 4.4 | 12.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 9.5 | 2.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 7.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services... | S | S | S | 18.7 | S | S | S |
| Other operating expenses.. | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.8 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| All other operating expenses. | 5.5 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 11.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses........................................................... | 7.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-4.1. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$ | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 7.7 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 4.3 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | S | 2.1 | 1.6 | S |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management .......... | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| 52393 | Investment advice .................................................. | 13.6 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-4.2. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 523x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$ | 8.1 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage $\qquad$ | 16.6 | 9.7 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | S | 19.4 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 8.1 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 0.9 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing ....................................... | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage . | S | 17.4 | 17.5 | 6.3 | S | 2.9 | 19.3 |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 9.3 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 10.0 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management ..... | 9.5 | 10.3 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 9.4 |
| 52393 | Investment advice ................................................. | 17.6 | 21.8 | 23.6 | 15.6 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 20.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-4.3. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 52311 (Investment banking and securities dealing) and NAICS 52312 (Securities brokerage).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 52313 (Commodity contracts dealing) and NAICS 52314 (Commodity contracts brokerage).
${ }^{3}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-4.4. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Estimated Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 523 x | Securities, commodity contracts, and other financial investment activities ${ }^{1}$. | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 5231 | Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 52311 | Investment banking and securities dealing | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 52312 | Securities brokerage | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 52313 | Commodity contracts dealing | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| 52314 | Commodity contracts brokerage | 3.5 | S | 3.0 | S | S | S | S |
| 5239x | Other financial investment activities ${ }^{2}$ | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 52392 | Portfolio management . | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 52393 | Investment advice | 15.1 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 5231 (Securities and commodity contracts intermediation and brokerage) and NAICS 5239 (Other financial investment activities).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 52391 (Miscellaneous intermediation) and NAICS 52399 (All other financial investment activities).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-4.5. Securities, Commodity Contracts, and Other Financial Investment Activities (NAICS 523) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-5.1. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing ............................. | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | 9.5 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 12.4 | 4.5 |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | 4.4 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 1.0 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$. | 9.7 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 2.5 |
| 5323 | General rental centers | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing ... | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing ................. | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.1 |

${ }^{T}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-5.2. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 6.4 | 9.2 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 5.0 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing ............................ | 10.1 | S | 29.8 | S | S | S | S |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing | D | 22.6 | S | S | D | S | S |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 2.9 | 3.0 | ZZ | ZZ | 1.7 | Z | Z |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing | D | S | S | 12.2 | D | S | S |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental . | S | 6.8 | 6.7 | 5.3 | S | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | S | 14.2 | 14.0 | S | S | 1.3 | S |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | S | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | S | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental. | S | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | S | 1.7 | 0.6 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$ | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 5323 | General rental centers . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 7.6 | 9.7 | S | 28.3 | 7.1 | S | S |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 8.1 | 13.0 | S | S | 11.4 | S | S |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 15.9 | 19.6 | S | 7.1 | 11.9 | S | S |

NA Not available. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-5.3. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 532 | Rental and leasing services | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 5321 | Automotive equipment rental and leasing ............................ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 53211 | Passenger car rental and leasing ..................................... | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 532111 | Passenger car rental | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| 532112 | Passenger car leasing | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 53212 | Truck, utility trailer, and RV (recreational vehicle) rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 5322 | Consumer goods rental | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 53221 | Consumer electronics and appliances rental | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 53222 | Formal wear and costume rental | 10.8 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 11.4 | 4.0 |
| 53223 | Video tape and disc rental | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| 53229 | Other consumer goods rental | 4.9 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| 532291 | Home health equipment rental | 4.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 53229x | All other consumer goods rental ${ }^{1}$ | 9.2 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 |
| 5323 | General rental centers | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| 5324 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing $\qquad$ | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| 53241 | Construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 6.2 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| 53242 | Office machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 8.2 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| 53249 | Other commercial and industrial machinery and equipment rental and leasing | 7.0 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 3.1 |

${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ Includes NAICS 532292 (Recreational goods rental) and NAICS 532299 (All other consumer goods rental).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-5.4. Rental and Leasing Services (NAICS 532) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Estimated Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.1. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$.. | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 54* | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{2}$........................ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$ | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| 54119 | Other legal services ................................................. | 14.7 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services | 5.2 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 2.7 |
| 541214 | Payroll services. | 7.0 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| 541219 | Other accounting services | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related services | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 5413* | Architectural, engineering, and related services ${ }^{3}$ | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 54131 | Architectural services .. | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectural services | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| 54133 | Engineering services ...... | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories | 9.0 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 4.2 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{4}$ | 6.8 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 8.0 | 3.9 |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | 5.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| 5414y |  | 13.2 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services. | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| 541512 | Computer systems design services ....... | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities management services | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | 11.5 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services . | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services . | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting services | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services .................. | 10.2 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 4.6 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services ....................... | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities $\qquad$ | 11.0 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies .. | 5.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 6.1 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies . | 21.8 | 21.9 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| 54184 | Media representatives ................................................ | 24.5 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 3.1 |
| 54185 | Display advertising ................................................ | 6.1 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.6 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-6.1. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{6}$ | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| 5419* | Other professional, scientific, and technical services' ${ }^{\prime}$................ | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 5.8 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services | 9.3 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services ........ | 3.5 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services ............. | 6.0 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 |

${ }^{T}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries), NAICS 54132 (Landscape architectural services) and NAICS 54194 (Veterinary services).
${ }^{3}$ Excludes NAICS 54132 (Landscape architectural services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137 (Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{5}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{6}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).
${ }^{7}$ Excludes NAICS 54194 (Veterinary services).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.2. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services . | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 5.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities | 9.3 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 14.0 | 3.3 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.3. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$... | 5.4 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$ | 10.8 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers. | 11.3 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 54119 | Other legal services . | S | S | 14.4 | 15.3 | S | S | 5.3 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services . | 4.4 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants. | 4.4 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services | S | 29.8 | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541214 | Payroll services | S | 8.3 | 7.3 | 6.8 | S | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| 541219 | Other accounting services | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related services | 14.5 | 17.8 | 13.3 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 7.5 |
| 54131 | Architectural services | 15.5 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 16.2 | 16.6 | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectural services | 20.2 | 20.3 | S | 26.7 | 31.0 | S | S |
| 54133 | Engineering services | 17.4 | 24.2 | 16.5 | 12.0 | 16.9 | 11.3 | 9.1 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories. | 27.9 | S | 10.2 | 9.4 | S | S | 5.3 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{2}$ | 16.9 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 14.4 | 9.5 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 3.1 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | S | 19.0 | 11.7 | 14.4 | S | 35.2 | 4.5 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services . | 18.2 | 14.2 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 39.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| 5414 y | All other design services ${ }^{3}$ | 12.8 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 16.6 | 4.8 |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 14.2 | 16.1 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 8.1 | 6.3 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services . | 9.0 | 11.6 | S | S | 6.3 | S | S |
| 541512 | Computer systems design services | S | S | 20.7 | 14.0 | S | S | 12.5 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities management services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | S | 12.8 | 23.5 | 26.6 | S | 8.3 | 4.6 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services | 9.6 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services . | 11.5 | 11.0 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.3 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting services | 5.4 | 18.3 | S | S | 90.0 | S | S |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services | 12.7 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 7.9 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 3.4 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences | 8.2 | 8.6 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 3.5 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities .. | 10.5 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 1.9 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 13.3 | 21.3 | 18.2 | 16.6 | 9.1 | 31.0 | 3.3 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies . | 4.4 | S | S | 5.5 | S | S | S |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 24.9 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54184 | Media representatives . | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 54185 | Display advertising | S | S | S | ZZ | S | S | S |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | S | S | 6.4 | 3.5 | S | S | 5.5 |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{4}$ | 12.7 | S | 10.2 | 8.8 | S | S | 2.9 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-6.3. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 9.2 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 19.3 | 16.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 13.6 | 23.3 | 22.0 | 21.6 | 46.4 | 27.7 | 6.6 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 22.8 | S | 25.6 | S | S | S | S |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait | 21.1 | S | 6.7 | 9.1 | S | S | 10.6 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography | S | S | 29.6 | S | S | S | S |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services | 18.1 | 29.7 | 28.4 | 29.2 | 62.0 | 72.7 | 22.1 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services .. | S | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | S | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services .......... | 21.9 | S | 24.4 | S | S | S | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137 (Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{3}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.4. Accounting, Tax Preparation, Bookkeeping, and Payroll Services (NAICS 5412) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Total . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assurance and related services | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Financial auditing services | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Financial statement review | 11.0 | 13.5 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 2.0 |
| Other assurance and financial auditing services | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| Bookkeeping, compilation, payroll, and taxation services | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| General accounting services | 9.8 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 17.1 | 10.5 | 5.9 |
| Bookkeeping, compilation, billing, and collection services | 9.1 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 19.3 | 14.2 | 3.6 |
| Payroll services | 7.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 3.9S | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| Taxation planning and consulting services | S | 5.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 |  | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| Taxation preparation and representation services for individuals and unincorporated businesses $\qquad$ |  |  |  | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 1.6 |
| Taxation preparation and representation services for corporate and other clients | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.0 |  | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.7 |
| Other related services | 12.6 | 11.6 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 3.1 |
| Computerized accounting system services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Management consulting services . | 9.9S | 8.37.4 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 13.7 | 3.5 |
| All other operating revenue .... |  |  |  | 8.1 | S | 12.7 | 4.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.5. Architectural Services (NAICS 54131) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential building projects | 11.2 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 7.1 |
| Single-family residential projects | 14.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 53.3 | 15.3 | 8.7 |
| Multi-family residential projects | 15.5 | 17.4 | 19.5 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 14.1 |
| Non-residential building projects | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Office building projects | 10.0 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 6.3 | 8.9 |
| Retail and restaurant building projects | 16.3 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 10.3 | 5.6 | 11.5 |
| Hotel and convention center building projects | 15.0 | 20.2 | 22.1 | 20.3 | 22.9 | 22.1 | 5.4 |
| Health care building projects | 9.8 | 9.5 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 4.3 |
| Entertainment and recreational building projects | 17.4 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 23.0 | 9.3 | 6.6 |
| Educational building projects | 10.8 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Industrial building projects | 27.0 | 25.9 | 17.7 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 37.5 | 58.1 |
| Transportation building projects | S | 17.0 | 17.8 | 18.4 | S10.5 | 12.6 | 5.9 |
| Other nonresidential building projects | 22.3 | 13.8 | 12.5 | 13.1 |  | 15.3 | 6.4 |
| Other services (performed independent of the architecture |  |  |  |  | 10.5 |  |  |
| projects above) ................................... | 12.5 | 13.1 | 15.9 | 17.6 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 6.0 |
| Historical restoration projects | S | S | 27.0 | 26.6 | S | S | 8.4 |
| Architectural advisory services | 17.2 | 15.7 | 19.1 | 17.7 | 56.6 | 19.5 | 10.2 |
| Landscape architectural services | 26.2 | S | 29.2 | S | S | S | S |
| Interior design services . | 14.0 | 16.3 | 21.1 | 25.4 | 24.1 | 13.1 | 5.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 21.1 |  |  | 15.5 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 3.9 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.6. Engineering Services (NAICS 54133) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering Services | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 6.0 |
| Residential engineering projects | 21.1 | 21.8 | 22.1 | 23.2 | 14.9 | 17.0 | 5.7 |
| Commercial, public, and institutional engineering projects | 16.9 | 17.0 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 5.3 |
| Industrial and manufacturing engineering projects | 15.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 6.2 |
| Transportation infrastructure engineering projects | 18.6 | 17.2 | 22.1 | 21.4 | 4.6 | 10.4 | 2.1 |
| Municipal utility engineering projects | 18.9 | 21.8 | 16.2 | 22.8 | 7.8 | 10.9 | 8.0 |
| Power generation and distribution engineering projects | 14.3 | 16.0 | S | S | 6.2 | S | S |
| Telecommunications and broadcasting engineering projects | 26.6 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| Hazardous waste and industrial waste engineering projects | 25.2 | 17.5 | 15.7 | 17.7 | 15.8 | 7.6 | 2.2 |
| Other engineering projects ................. | 22.1 | 19.6 | 19.0 | 14.3 | 10.7 | 8.3 | 9.4 |
| Other services (performed independent of the engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| projects above) | 19.0 | 14.0 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 10.8 | 13.3 | 3.7 |
| Engineering advisory services | S | S | S | 29.3 | S | S | S |
| Construction services | 19.1 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 25.4 | 7.7 | 2.2 |
| Drafting services | S | 28.7 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Surveying and mapping services .............................................. | 25.7 | 26.7 | 23.4 | 22.0 | 37.7 | 20.2 | 9.4 |
| All other operating revenue | S | S | 11.6 | 11.4 | S | S | 4.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.7. Computer Systems Design and Related Services (NAICS 5415) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information technology (IT) design and development | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Custom application design and development | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| Computer systems design, development, and integration | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Network design and development . | 7.3 | 6.4 | 5.3 | S | 4.3 | 4.5 | S |
| Other services | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| IT infrastructure and network management | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 |
| IT technical support | 5.3 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| IT technical consulting | 7.7 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 2.5 |
| IT related training services | 12.3 | 13.0 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| Hosting and IT infrastructure provisioning services | 10.4 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| Rental and leasing of computer hardware .................................... | 11.1 | 17.0 | 11.7 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 16.1 | 2.2 |
| All other operating revenue ...................................................... | 6.5 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 2.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.8. Management Consulting Services (NAICS 54161) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management consulting services | 5.9 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Strategic management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 8.1 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| Financial management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation $\qquad$ | 15.1 | 12.2 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 4.0 |
| Marketing management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 12.0 | 16.5 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 4.8 |
| Human resources management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 8.9 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| Operations and supply chain management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 13.7 | 14.0 | 24.0 | 18.4 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 4.6 |
| Actuarial consulting (except for employee pensions and other benefits) | 27.4 | 26.1 | 21.3 | 19.8 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| IT technical design, consulting, and development services | 9.1 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.8 |
| All other consulting revenue | 9.9 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 12.9 |
| All other operating revenue ........................................................ | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.0 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.9. Environmental Consulting Services (NAICS 54162) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 7.8 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental consulting services | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Environmental assessment consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 10.1 | 10.9 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 14.8 | 12.9 | 3.3 |
| Natural resource management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 24.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 8.6 |
| Waste management consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 20.0 | 17.0 | 14.7 | 16.6 | 34.7 | 14.8 | 5.5 |
| Environmental policy development consulting, and consulting combined with implementation $\qquad$ | 20.0 | 21.2 | 18.4 | 17.2 | 29.8 | 4.6 | 3.5 |
| Environmental audits consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 10.2 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 6.0 |
| Site remediation planning consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 11.9 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 13.1 | 6.5 | 3.9 |
| Evaluation of environmental studies consulting, and consulting combined with implementation | 29.1 | 21.0 | 22.7 | 18.1 | 31.7 | 15.4 | 25.3 |
| All other environmental consulting ........................................... | 15.0 | 14.6 | 17.9 | 15.7 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 3.9 |
| All other operating revenue .. | S | 9.9 | 7.9 | 6.2 | S | 7.5 | 5.8 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.10. Scientific Research and Development Services (NAICS 5417) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic and applied research in natural and exact sciences, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| except biological sciences ......................... | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | S | 2.4 | 2.9 | S |
| Basic and applied research in biotechnology . | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.1 | S | 2.4 | 3.0 | S |
| Basic and applied research in other natural and exact sciences, except biological sciences $\qquad$ | S | 28.9 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Basic and applied research in engineering and technology | 7.3 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| Basic and applied research in the biological and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| biomedical sciences | 7.5 | 9.2 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 1.8 |
| Basic and applied research in medical and health sciences .................... | 7.9 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 1.9 |
| Basic and applied research in other biological sciences... | 11.9 | 13.5 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 2.2 |
| Basic and applied research in the social sciences and humanities | 11.8 | S | 8.3 | S | S | S | S |
| Production services for development | S | 12.3 | 12.8 | 8.9 | S | 4.1 | 5.3 |
| Other operating revenue | 5.6 | S | 5.3 | 5.4 | S | S | 1.9 |
| Licensing of right to use intellectual property | 18.2 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| Original works of intellectual property | S | S | S | D | S | S | D |
| All other operating revenue .................................................. | 5.1 | S | 5.2 | D | S | S | D |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.11. Scientific Research and Development Services (NAICS 5417) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.12. Advertising Agencies (NAICS 54181) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .................................................................................... | 5.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Integrated advertising services | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 2.6 |
| Advertising creative services (including graphic design services) | 20.1 | 15.7 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 18.4 | 3.5 |
| Media buying | 11.9 | 14.2 | 9.9 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 8.6 | 5.9 |
| Full public relations services | 21.9 | S | 29.4 | 14.2 | S | S | 8.1 |
| Sales promotion | 21.3 | 21.0 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| Direct marketing | 27.1 | 28.8 | S | S | 17.1 | S | S |
| Marketing research | 12.4 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 17.3 |
| Other advertising services | 17.4 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 19.0 | 2.7 | 24.4 | 3.4 |
| All other operating revenue ........................................................ | 23.4 | 23.1 | 23.2 | 23.7 | 9.8 | 12.9 | 37.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.13. Public Relations Agencies (NAICS 54182) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 6.1 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full public relations services | 4.8 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 1.7 |
| Media relations | S | 24.2 | 17.7 | 15.6 | S | 20.7 | 5.8 |
| Crisis management | 27.3 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 23.2 | 7.2 | 12.1 | 3.4 |
| Lobbying | 19.4 | 18.2 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 5.3 | 17.3 | 6.7 |
| Event management . | 29.6 | 20.0 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 11.8 | 9.6 |
| Media monitoring and analysis | 17.7 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 6.1 |
| All other operating revenue ....................................................... | 19.9 | 20.8 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 3.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.14. Media Buying Agencies (NAICS 54183) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .................................................................................. | 21.8 | 21.9 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Media planning and/or buying services....................................... | 21.9 | 21.9 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| All other operating revenue ....................................... | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.15. Media Representatives (NAICS 54184) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ..... | 24.5 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 3.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales agent services..... | S | 29.0 | 29.0 | 28.5 | S | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| All other operating revenue ................................................. | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.16. Display Advertising (NAICS 54185) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6.1 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leased display advertising media space | 6.2 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 |
| Large format | 6.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Transit | 7.8 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Street furniture and other urban fixtures | 10.0 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| Other leased display advertising | 26.7 | 24.2 | S | S | 46.0 | S | S |
| All other operating revenue ..................................................... | 17.2 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 20.1 | 8.5 | 5.9 |
| S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards 0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf. | oeffici the tot | variati tity re | greater <br> e rate, | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \%) \\ & \text { ttp://w } \end{aligned}$ | or poor respo <br> ww.census.g | nse quality v/quality/S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { total } \\ & 20- \end{aligned}$ |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.17. Direct Mail Advertising (NAICS 54186) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full direct mail services | 5.8 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| Concept development for a direct mail advertising campaign | 23.1 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 18.1 | 29.0 | 4.2 |
| Mail list creation and support services | 11.9 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 13.0 |
| Print services for direct mail advertising materials | 7.9 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 2.1 |
| Letter shop services | 9.2 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 3.1 |
| Fulfillment services | 12.8 | 14.5 | 14.8 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 12.9 | 7.4 |
| Other direct mail advertising services | 11.6 | 18.4 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 9.8 | 24.4 | 7.5 |
| All other operating revenue ..................................................... | 15.0 | 11.7 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 5.3 | 9.9 | 3.5 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.18. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services ${ }^{1}$. | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 5411 | Legal services ${ }^{1}$. | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 54119 | Other legal services | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 5412 | Accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and payroll services ..... | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 541211 | Offices of certified public accountants | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 541213 | Tax preparation services. | 6.5 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 2.8 |
| 541214 | Payroll services. | 8.0 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| 541219 | Other accounting services | 8.8 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 5413 | Architectural, engineering, and related services | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.9 |
| 54131 | Architectural services | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| 54132 | Landscape architectural services | 5.9 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| 54133 | Engineering services | 7.4 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| 54138 | Testing laboratories | 10.0 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| 5413x | Other related services ${ }^{2}$ | 6.5 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| 5414 | Specialized design services | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| 54141 | Interior design services | 5.8 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| 54143 | Graphic design services | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| 5414y | All other design services ${ }^{3}$ | 11.4 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 2.5 |
| 5415 | Computer systems design and related services | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 541511 | Custom computer programming services | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| 541512 | Computer systems design services | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 541513 | Computer facilities management services | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| 541519 | Other computer related services | 12.5 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| 5416 | Management, scientific, and technical consulting services | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 54161 | Management consulting services . | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| 54162 | Environmental consulting services | 7.3 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| 54169 | Other scientific and technical consulting services | 9.7 | 7.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 7.8 | 4.3 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities . | 8.2 | 7.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 1.0 |
| 5418 | Advertising and related services | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 54181 | Advertising agencies .. | 5.7 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| 54182 | Public relations agencies | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| 54183 | Media buying agencies . | 23.7 | 23.3 | 24.5 | 25.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 54184 | Media representatives | 24.6 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 27.9 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 54185 | Display advertising . | 4.9 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| 54186 | Direct mail advertising | 4.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
| 5418y | All other advertising ${ }^{4}$ | 9.0 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 2.7 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-6.18. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 5419 | Other professional, scientific, and technical services | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| 54191 | Marketing research and public opinion polling | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 54192 | Photographic services | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 541921 | Photography studios, portrait | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| 541922 | Commercial photography | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 2.6 |
| 54193 | Translation and interpretation services | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.9 |
| 54194 | Veterinary services .. | 4.7 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| 54199 | All other professional, scientific, and technical services ............. | 7.1 | 5.5 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 3.2 |

${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ Excludes NAICS 54112 (Offices of notaries).
${ }^{2}$ Includes NAICS 54134 (Drafting services), NAICS 54135 (Building inspection services), NAICS 54136 (Geophysical surveying and mapping services), and NAICS 54137 (Surveying and mapping (except geophysical) services).
${ }^{3}$ Includes NAICS 54142 (Industrial design services) and NAICS 54149 (Other specialized design services).
${ }^{4}$ Includes NAICS 54187 (Advertising material distribution services) and NAICS 54189 (Other services related to advertising).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.19. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 54 | Professional, scientific, and technical services .......................... | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| 54111 | Offices of lawyers ................................................... | 5.4 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| 5417 | Scientific research and development services ...................... | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| 54171 | Research and development in the physical, engineering, and life sciences $\qquad$ | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 54172 | Research and development in the social sciences and humanities $\qquad$ | 9.5 | 10.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 11.6 | 1.1 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-6.20. Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (Except Notaries) (NAICS 54) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation and Standard Error of Percent Change for Selected Expenses for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Health insurance. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other... | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 4.9 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 3.4 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 4.4 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7.0 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 7.7 | 2.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels).. | 3.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2.6 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.... | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services... | 3.5 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 1.9 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.3 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services... | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses....................... | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.1. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 56 | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services $\qquad$ | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 56* | Administrative and support and waste management and remediation services ${ }^{1}$ | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 561 | Administrative and support services | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 561* | Administrative and support services ${ }^{1}$ | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 56111 | Office administrative services | 6.0 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| 56121 | Facilities support services | 6.6 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| 5613 | Employment services | 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 56131 | Employment placement agencies | 7.5 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| 56132 | Temporary help services . | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 56133 | Professional employer organizations | 9.0 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| 5614 | Business support services | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 56141 | Document preparation services | 8.2 | 8.8 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 2.8 | 7.4 | 1.6 |
| 56142 | Telephone call centers .... | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 2.8 |
| 561421 | Telephone answering services | 8.6 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| 561422 | Telemarketing bureaus | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 3.2 |
| 56143 | Business service centers | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.7 |
| 561431 | Private mail centers | 12.3 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| 561439 | Other business service centers (including copy shops) | 4.3 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 |
| 56144 | Collection agencies | 7.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| 56145 | Credit bureaus | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 56149 | Other business support services | 5.6 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| 561491 | Repossession services | S | 26.5 | 21.3 | 18.5 | S | 6.2 | 6.9 |
| 561492 | Court reporting and stenotype services | 6.7 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| 561499 | All other business support services | 9.3 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| 5615 | Travel arrangement and reservation services | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 56151 | Travel agencies | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 56152 | Tour operators | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 56159 | Other travel arrangement and reservation services | 3.5 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 561591 | Convention and visitors bureaus | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| 561599 | All other travel arrangement and reservation services | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| 5616 | Investigation and security services .. | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 56161 | Investigation, guard, and armored car services | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| 561611 | Investigation services | 9.1 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| 561612 | Security guards and patrol services | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.5 |
| 561613 | Armored car services | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| 56162 | Security systems services | 8.5 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| 561621 | Security systems services (except locksmiths) | 9.6 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 561622 | Locksmiths | 6.9 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| 5617 | Services to buildings and dwellings | 4.4 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| 5617* | Services to buildings and dwellings ${ }^{1}$ | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 56171 | Exterminating and pest control services | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 56172 | Janitorial services | 5.6 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| 56173 | Landscaping services .. | 6.6 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.1 |
| 56174 | Carpet and upholstery cleaning services. | 8.4 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| 56179 | Other services to buildings and dwellings | 11.1 | 9.7 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| 5619 | Other support services .. | 8.1 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 56191 | Packaging and labeling services. | 25.1 | 26.5 | 27.3 | 25.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 56192 | Convention and trade show organizers . | 5.6 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.7 |
| 56199 | All other support services .... | 12.8 | 11.8 | 7.6 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.8 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-7.1. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 562 | Waste management and remediation services | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 5621 | Waste collection | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 562111 | Solid waste collection | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 562112 | Hazardous waste collection | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | 13.4 | 15.4 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 3.2 |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal | 9.0 | 13.1 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 5.4 |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 6.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 9.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities | 12.5 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | 9.2 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services | 14.5 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services ............. | 14.2 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 57.9 | 7.6 | 1.9 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 56173 (Landscaping services).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.2. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-7.2. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 14.1 | D | 7.4 | 5.3 | D | D | 9.0 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 9.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 17.3 | 1.3 | 4.0 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | S | S | S | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal | S | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 16.1 | 9.8 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 20.1 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities. | 16.5 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | S | 10.7 | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services . | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services ............. | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.3. Employment Placement Agencies (NAICS 56131) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ..... | 7.5 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placement of individuals as permanent employees | 12.2 | 15.2 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.3 |
| Placement of individuals as independent contractors | 10.8 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Temporary staffing services . | 10.5 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 3.7 |
| Long-term staffing | S | 28.2 | S | S | S | S | S |
| Temporary staffing-to-permanent placement | 21.8 | 24.5 | 24.8 | 22.7 | 17.9 | 13.1 | 7.2 |
| All other operating revenue ................................................................. | 14.5 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.4. Temporary Help Services (NAICS 56132) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .............................................................................................. | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Placement of individuals as permanent employees | 29.0 | 28.6 | S | S | 7.4 | S | S |
| Placement of individuals as independent contractors | 9.3 | 12.3 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| Temporary staffing services | 6.9 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Long-term staffing . | 20.1 | 22.4 | 26.7 | 18.6 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 13.7 |
| Temporary staffing-to-permanent placement | 23.7 | 21.7 | 20.5 | 19.3 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.1 |
| All other operating revenue ................................................................. | 18.9 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 5.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.5. Professional Employer Organizations (NAICS 56133) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 9.0 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-employment with payroll, benefits, and human resource services ...................... | 8.7 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| Payroll services .. | 11.5 | 15.0 | 13.7 | 12.2 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.9 |
| Payroll and benefit services | 25.7 | 23.0 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 11.8 |
| Payroll and human resource services | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| All other operating revenue | 13.2 | 15.4 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 9.0 | 11.4 | 8.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.6. Travel Agencies and All Other Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services (NAICS 561510 and 561599) Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation services | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Airline seats, domestic destinations | 4.5 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 |
| Airline seats, international destinations | 11.9 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 15.2 |
| Cruises | 23.7 | 18.1 | 16.0 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 4.9 |
| Lodging | 8.5 | 8.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 1.7 |
| Event tickets | S | 11.7 | 12.3 | 12.2 | S | 5.6 | 5.0 |
| Computerized reservation systems | 7.8 | S | 7.5 | 6.1 | S | S | 2.3 |
| Packaged tours | 5.6 | 5.2 | 9.8 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 2.9 |
| Other reservation services | 21.2 | 19.1 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| Other travel arrangement services | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Trip planning | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Automobile clubs and road and travel service | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| Other travel arrangement services revenue | 9.6 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| All other operating revenue ...... | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 5.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
|  | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200 vl.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.7. Tour Operators (NAICS 56152) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-packaged tours | 8.9 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| Domestic travel | 8.8 | 8.1 | 11.7 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 4.4 |
| International travel | 11.7 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 3.2 |
| Customized group tours | 9.0 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 3.6 |
| Domestic travel . | 11.2 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 16.6 | 3.9 |
| International travel | 18.7 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 14.5 | 9.8 | 14.9 | 4.9 |
| All other operating revenue | 20.8 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 4.4 | 11.0 |
| Breakdown of Revenue by Type of Customer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | 13.3 | 11.0 | 14.7 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 4.7 |
|  | 6.7 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 2.1 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.8. Waste Collection (NAICS 5621) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.9. Waste Treatment and Disposal (NAICS 5622) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhazardous waste disposal services | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Nonhazardous waste landfill disposal services | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Nonhazardous waste incineration disposal services | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Other nonhazardous waste disposal services | 13.3 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 1.5 |
| Hazardous waste treatment and disposal services | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Hazardous waste treatment - biological infectious waste | 6.0 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| Hazardous waste treatment - radioactive waste | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| All other hazardous waste treatment | 8.2 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 6.7 |
| Hazardous waste disposal services . | 5.8 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| Other hazardous waste treatment and disposal services | 20.6 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 1.9 |
|  | 6.1 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 4.6 |

[^206] information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.10. Remediation Services (NAICS 56291) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 9.1 | 8.6 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remediation services | 8.4 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 2.0 |
| Site remediation services | 8.2 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 2.3 |
| Building remediation services - asbestos contamination | 14.9 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| Building remediation services - other contaminations | 16.9 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 6.4 |
| Environmental emergency response services | 23.0 | 21.7 | 22.5 | 26.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.6 |
| Other remediation services | S | 23.9 | 18.1 | 18.9 | S | 29.7 | 4.4 |
| All other operating revenue ................................................................... | 18.0 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 5.7 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.11. Materials Recovery Facilities and All Other Waste Management Services (NAICS 56292 and 56299) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 8.3 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 |
| Sources of Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonhazardous waste and recyclable material consolidation, storage, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and preparation services ............................................... | 6.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 0.9 |
| Nonhazardous recyclable material recovery preparation services | 4.9 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| Operation of nonhazardous waste transfer facilities | S | 18.8 | 19.1 | 17.8 | S | 22.3 | 4.8 |
| Sale or brokerage of nonhazardous recyclable material | 22.9 | 24.3 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| Other waste management services | 11.5 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| Septic tank services .. | 19.0 | 18.2 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 11.4 | 7.7 | 3.7 |
| Cleaning and maintenance for nonhazardous waste holding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and drain facilities ...................................... | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 1.6 |
| Portable toilet rental services | 19.4 | 17.9 | 17.5 | 17.1 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 4.8 |
| All other operating revenue | 20.5 | 17.4 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 55.0 | 13.2 | 2.9 |

[^207]Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.12. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


See footnotes at end of table

Table A-7.12. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 -Con. [Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 562119 | Other waste collection | 15.0 | 12.6 | 14.6 | 14.3 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 2.3 |
| 5622 | Waste treatment and disposal | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 562211 | Hazardous waste treatment and disposal | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 562212 | Solid waste landfill | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 562213 | Solid waste combustors and incinerators | 7.0 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 7.4 |
| 562219 | Other nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal ............ | 10.5 | 15.4 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 6.2 |
| 5629 | Remediation and other waste management services | 6.9 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| 56291 | Remediation services | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 1.5 |
| 56292 | Materials recovery facilities | 11.8 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 1.5 |
| 56299 | All other waste management services | 9.5 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 5.3 | 2.4 |
| 562991 | Septic tank and related services | 14.7 | 13.8 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 4.1 |
| 562998 | All other miscellaneous waste management services ............. | 14.8 | 9.6 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 56.1 | 7.0 | 4.1 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-7.13. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT SERVICES (NAICS 561) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| Health insurance. | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 9.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 11.2 | 2.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.3 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Expensed equipment. | 8.9 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 5.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 5.0 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 4.0 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels) | 8.2 | 9.7 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 5.2 | 9.7 | 1.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 16.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items... | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices.... | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 2.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 6.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 6.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-7.13. Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services (NAICS 56) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 -Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


[^208]Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-8.1. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance ... | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services ...................................... | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians ............................................... | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health practitioners ................................ | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) ......... | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners ........................... | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrists ......................................... | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health practitioners ............ | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers . | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 6.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers ..................................... | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers . | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers ........ | 5.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laboratories | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories . | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging centers | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services. | 3.8 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services ......................... | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| 622 | Hospitals. | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ........................... | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals... | 4.6 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals ........ | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.1. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities ........... | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.0 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly. | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| 624 | Social assistance . | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services ......................................... | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities .............. | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 62421 | Community food services ... | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 62422 | Community housing services. | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services ................................ | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ........................................... | 5.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>

Table A-8.2. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 6211 | Offices of physicians ............................................... | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 6212 | Offices of dentists | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 6213 | Offices of other health practitioners | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 62131 | Offices of chiropractors | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 62132 | Offices of optometrists | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 62133 | Offices of mental health practitioners (except physicians) .......... | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| 62134 | Offices of physical, occupational and speech therapists, and audiologists $\qquad$ | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 62139 | Offices of all other health practitioners ............. | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 621391 | Offices of podiatrists | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| 621399 | Offices of all other miscellaneous health practitioners ........... | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 15.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 25.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers . | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers ........ | 6.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers | 6.9 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 5.2 |
| 6215 | Medical and diagnostic laboratories | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 621511 | Medical laboratories | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 621512 | Diagnostic imaging centers | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services | 4.8 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ............................ | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals ........ | 6.4 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.2. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities . | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 5.9 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.4 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities ........... | 5.1 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly | 3.0 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities ......................... | 5.5 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly ............................................. | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 6.3 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| 624 | Social assistance ................................................... | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 5.4 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services . | 8.1 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 2.4 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities ............. | 7.9 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 4.2 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 7.9 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 8.1 | 4.4 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 62421 | Community food services . | 21.7 | 24.7 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 2.5 | 16.1 | 2.9 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 25.8 | 27.0 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 20.1 | 7.2 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services ................................ | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services .................................. | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ........................................... | 7.7 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.0 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>

Table A-8.3. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services .................................... | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers .............................................. | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 62141 | Family planning centers ............................................ | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers ............. | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers ........................................ | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers ........ | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers .................................. | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 8.5 | 8.4 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services . | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 10.6 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ... | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals ......................... | 5.9 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0.9 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals ........ | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities ....... | 3.5 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities ... | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities ........... | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly .......................... | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities ........................ | 4.5 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly ........................................... | 5.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities .................................... | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1.4 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.3. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 62422 | Community housing services | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services ............................... | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services ..................................... | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| 6244 | Child day care services ............................................. | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-8.4. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 62 | Health care and social assistance | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 621 | Ambulatory health care services | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 6214 | Outpatient care centers | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 62141 | Family planning centers | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| 62142 | Outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers . | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 62149 | Other outpatient care centers | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 621491 | HMO medical centers | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 621492 | Kidney dialysis centers | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 621493 | Freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers. | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| 621498 | All other outpatient care centers | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| 6216 | Home health care services | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| 6219 | Other ambulatory care services | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| 62191 | Ambulance services . | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| 62199 | All other ambulatory health care services | 11.2 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 5.8 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals . | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly. | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly ........... | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.4. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007—Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

|  | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAICS code |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 624 | Social assistance | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 6241 | Individual and family services | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 62411 | Child and youth services | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 62412 | Services for the elderly and persons with disabilities | 4.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 62419 | Other individual and family services | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 6242 | Community food and housing, and emergency and other relief services $\qquad$ | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| 62421 | Community food services | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 62422 | Community housing services . | 5.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 62423 | Emergency and other relief services ................................ | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| 6243 | Vocational rehabilitation services .................................... | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| 6244 | Child day care services .............................................. | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-8.5. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 622 and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 622 | Hospitals | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 6221 | General medical and surgical hospitals ............................... | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 6222 | Psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| 6223 | Specialty (except psychiatric and substance abuse) hospitals .......... | 6.6 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| 623 | Nursing and residential care facilities ................................... | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| 6231 | Nursing care facilities ................................................ | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| 6232 | Residential mental retardation, mental health and substance abuse facilities $\qquad$ | 6.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 |
| 62321 | Residential mental retardation facilities | 8.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 1.0 |
| 62322 | Residential mental health and substance abuse facilities | 6.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| 6233 | Community care facilities for the elderly ........................... | 3.6 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 623311 | Continuing care retirement communities ........................ | 6.3 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| 623312 | Homes for the elderly. | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 6239 | Other residential care facilities ........................................ | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 1.9 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error <br> $2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS (NAICS 6211) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 6.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 |
| Medicaid | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 7.3 | 5.5 | 4.2 |
| Worker's compensation . | 8.9 | 9.0 | 4.6 |
| Private insurance | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Private health insurance | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 14.1 | 11.5 | 9.5 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 4.7 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified. | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 6.6 | S |
| OFFICES OF DENTISTS (NAICS 6212) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 27.1 | 23.5 | 7.0 |
| Medicaid | 12.3 | 12.6 | 5.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 20.9 | 18.9 | 8.8 |
| Worker's compensation | 17.9 | 13.7 | 8.9 |
| Private insurance | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Private health insurance | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 12.8 | 12.4 | 6.7 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 24.9 | S |
| OFFICES OF OTHER HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (NAICS 6213) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Medicaid | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.1 | 8.9 | 4.1 |
| Worker's compensation | 6.7 | 7.7 | 3.7 |
| Private insurance | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Private health insurance | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 4.4 | 4.8 | 2.3 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 8.0 | 6.8 | 3.9 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 7.9 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error$2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| OFFICES OF CHIROPRACTORS (NAICS 62131) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 5.5 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| Medicaid | 14.1 | 13.2 | 8.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | S | S | S |
| Worker's compensation | 4.3 | 5.3 | 3.4 |
| Private insurance | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| Private health insurance | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.5 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 5.0 | 4.1 | 2.7 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 14.1 | 8.5 | 12.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 16.6 | S |
| OFFICES OF OPTOMETRISTS (NAICS 62132) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 5.4 | 4.2 | 2.9 |
| Medicaid | 8.0 | 7.5 | 3.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 20.2 | 9.3 | 24.0 |
| Worker's compensation | 19.9 | 16.6 | 39.0 |
| Private insurance | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.3 |
| Private health insurance | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.3 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 3.3 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S | 15.2 | S |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 8.7 | S |
| OFFICES OF MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS (EXCEPT PHYSICIANS) (NAICS 62133) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 7.8 | 7.2 | 2.8 |
| Medicaid | 7.2 | 6.2 | 3.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 14.0 | 9.2 | 5.7 |
| Worker's compensation | 22.4 | 20.1 | 7.0 |
| Private insurance | 4.2 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
| Private health insurance | 4.6 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 26.2 | 25.1 | 7.1 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 5.8 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 13.0 | 14.1 | 10.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 6.7 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error <br> $2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICAL, OCCUPATIONAL AND SPEECH THERAPISTS, AND AUDIOLOGISTS (NAICS 62134) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 6.9 | 7.6 | 2.5 |
| Medicaid | 14.3 | 9.9 | 7.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 23.0 | 17.3 | 6.5 |
| Worker's compensation. | 9.0 | 10.4 | 5.7 |
| Private insurance | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Private health insurance | 5.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 15.9 | 15.8 | 7.5 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket). | 11.6 | 10.3 | 5.8 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 10.6 | 9.0 | 5.2 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources. | S | 18.0 | S |
| OFFICES OF PODIATRISTS (NAICS 621391) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| Medicaid | 10.9 | 8.8 | 5.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 9.3 | 8.9 | 11.6 |
| Worker's compensation | 8.5 | 5.3 | 9.0 |
| Private insurance | 4.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| Private health insurance | 4.6 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance . | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket). | 6.6 | 6.3 | 4.5 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 20.8 | 19.9 | 11.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources | S | S | S |
| OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 6214) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 3.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| Medicaid | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 4.5 | 3.4 | 2.8 |
| Worker's compensation | S | 3.4 | S |
| Private insurance . | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Private health insurance | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 16.5 | 15.7 | 8.5 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.5 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.6 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources ...... | S | 2.1 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error <br> 2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CENTERS (NAICS 62142) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 18.3 | 19.3 | 5.5 |
| Medicaid | 5.8 | 5.2 | 1.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 7.9 | 6.4 | 3.7 |
| Worker's compensation. | S | 28.9 | S |
| Private insurance | 7.7 | 7.5 | 2.7 |
| Private health insurance .. | 7.9 | 7.7 | 2.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 8.6 | 7.7 | 5.9 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 9.2 | 9.8 | 8.5 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 8.1 | S |
| OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 62149) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 4.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| Medicaid | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| Worker's compensation | S | 3.3 | S |
| Private insurance | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Private health insurance | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 17.4 | 16.5 | 8.9 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | S | S |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS CENTERS (NAICS 621492) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| Medicaid | 4.0 | 4.8 | 1.9 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 8.0 | 9.1 | 2.1 |
| Worker's compensation. | S | S | S |
| Private insurance | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 |
| Private health insurance | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 4.7 | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) ........... | 12.2 | 14.2 | 14.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 4.6 | 4.7 | 1.1 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources ... | S | 5.6 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error <br> $2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| FREESTANDING AMBULATORY SURGICAL AND EMERGENCY CENTERS (NAICS 621493) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 7.0 | 4.2 | 7.8 |
| Medicaid | 6.8 | 8.3 | 4.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 7.0 | 5.5 | 7.3 |
| Worker's compensation | 9.2 | 4.5 | 9.1 |
| Private insurance | 5.8 | 3.0 | 6.5 |
| Private health insurance | 5.9 | 3.1 | 6.5 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | 28.9 | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 9.5 | 7.3 | 7.1 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 14.4 | 12.3 | 27.0 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 11.7 | S |
| ALL OTHER OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 621498) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 7.3 | 3.0 | 7.2 |
| Medicaid | S | S | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 6.4 | S | S |
| Worker's compensation | S | 5.0 | S |
| Private insurance | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Private health insurance | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 17.9 | 12.6 | 9.7 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | S | 6.3 | S |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | S | 5.0 | S |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | S | S |
| MEDICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORIES (NAICS 6215) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Health practitioners | 6.7 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
| Hospitals | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.8 |
| Outpatient care facilities | 11.8 | 15.2 | 8.7 |
| All other health care providers | 6.3 | 6.0 | 3.4 |
| Medicare | 2.9 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| Medicaid | 4.5 | 2.6 | 3.5 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 16.5 | 16.9 | 7.3 |
| Worker's compensation | 13.2 | 11.1 | 9.3 |
| Private insurance | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Private health insurance | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 22.8 | 25.8 | 7.9 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 6.9 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error <br> $2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| HOME HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6216) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Medicaid | 5.1 | 4.9 | 2.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 11.1 | 12.4 | 8.5 |
| Worker's compensation | 21.1 | 12.9 | 36.1 |
| Private insurance | 5.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 |
| Private health insurance. | 5.5 | 6.3 | 4.4 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | 23.7 | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 9.2 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 9.6 | 12.6 | 8.1 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 15.8 | S |
| OTHER AMBULATORY CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6219) <br> Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare . | 4.5 | 4.9 | 1.9 |
| Medicaid .. | S | S | S |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.0 | 12.7 | 4.8 |
| Worker's compensation | 10.6 | 10.9 | 2.0 |
| Private insurance | 5.2 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| Private health insurance . | 5.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 15.2 | 18.3 | 5.5 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 17.9 | 15.6 | 2.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 5.8 | S |
| HOSPITALS (NAICS 622) |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| Medicaid | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 2.3 |
| Worker's compensation | 4.9 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| Private insurance | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Private health insurance | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 20.5 | 11.1 | 42.3 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified... | 5.7 | 6.2 | 4.2 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources .. | S | 5.0 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error$2007 / 2006$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| NURSING AND RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Medicaid | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 5.2 | 4.3 | 2.6 |
| Worker's compensation .. | S | S | S |
| Private insurance | 3.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| Private health insurance | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance . | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 4.3 | 5.5 | 6.5 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 4.8 | S |
| NURSING CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 6231) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| Medicaid . | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 12.9 | 10.4 | 19.3 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S | S |
| Private insurance | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Private health insurance | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 4.4 | 4.3 | 2.4 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits . | 9.6 | 9.1 | 9.7 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 9.3 | 10.3 | 7.6 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources | S | 7.4 | S |
| RESIDENTIAL MENTAL RETARDATION, MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE FACILITIES (NAICS 6232) |  |  |  |
| Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 17.5 | 16.9 | 9.9 |
| Medicaid | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 6.3 | 5.9 | 3.5 |
| Worker's compensation | 12.0 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
| Private insurance | 6.5 | 7.1 | 1.6 |
| Private health insurance . | 6.8 | 7.5 | 1.7 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | 13.2 | 16.8 | 2.7 |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) . | 7.6 | 10.9 | 4.8 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 10.1 | 14.4 | 8.3 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits . | 9.4 | 10.0 | 5.5 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 7.4 | 6.6 | 10.8 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources . | S | 11.0 | S |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.6. Selected Health Care Services (NAICS 621, 622, and 623)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue for Employer Firms by Source: 2006 and 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  | Standard error2007/2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| COMMUNITY CARE FACILITIES FOR THE ELDERLY (NAICS 6233) Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Medicare | 7.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 |
| Medicaid | 5.4 | 4.5 | 2.9 |
| Other government (Veterans, NIH, Indian Affairs, etc.) | 18.5 | 23.8 | 22.7 |
| Worker's compensation | S | S | S |
| Private insurance | 9.0 | 9.4 | 8.4 |
| Private health insurance | 9.1 | 9.4 | 8.4 |
| Property/Casualty and auto insurance | S | S | S |
| Patient (out-of-pocket) | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Payment from patients and their families | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| Patients' assigned Social Security benefits | 16.8 | 15.1 | 28.9 |
| All other patient care sources not elsewhere classified | 12.4 | 16.7 | 21.4 |
| Non-Patient Care Revenue |  |  |  |
| All other sources ........................... | S | 3.5 | S |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-
0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 621) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total .............................................................................................. | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 1.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense.. | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Medical supplies. | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Expensed equipment. | 4.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels).. | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 6.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 1.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

[^209]Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OFFICES OF PHYSICIANS (NAICS 6211) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Health insurance. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 11.7 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 4.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Medical supplies.. | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 4.3 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 3.1 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7.4 | 5.4 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 11.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels).. | 4.8 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 2.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 10.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices............... | 7.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 13.0 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 4.6 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 5.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 4.2 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.8 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 1.5 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 9.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 9.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services..................................... | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| OUTPATIENT CARE CENTERS (NAICS 6214) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| Health insurance. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Medical supplies.. | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| Expensed equipment. | 5.1 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 3.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 1.6 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| Professional liability insurance.. | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]


See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| KIDNEY DIALYSIS CENTERS (NAICS 621492) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | S | S | 2.7 | 2.8 | S | S | 1.8 |
| Health insurance. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 2.4 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Medical supplies.. | S | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed equipment. | 9.2 | 16.0 | 27.2 | 29.2 | 21.3 | 30.4 | 40.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies... | 4.4 | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| Expensed purchased services.. | S | S | 2.8 | 2.7 | S | S | 2.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 13.0 | 14.9 | 14.0 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 8.7 | 9.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | S | S | 3.7 | 3.6 | S | S | 1.4 |
| Purchased electricity. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | S | S | 2.7 | 2.6 | S | S | 2.2 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | S | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6.5 | S | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | S | S | S | 6.6 | S | S | S |
| Professional liability insurance. | S | S | 5.3 | 5.1 | S | S | 1.6 |
| Other operating expenses... | S | 3.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | S | 7.6 | 2.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | S | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | S | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| All other operating expenses.. | S | 3.5 | 7.9 | 7.5 | S | 12.1 | 3.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | S | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| FREESTANDING AMBULATORY SURGICAL AND EMERGENCY CENTERS (NAICS 621493) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 6.4 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 8.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 9.1 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 6.9 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| Health insurance. | 6.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 8.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 11.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 7.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 7.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 14.9 | 18.1 | 12.5 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 29.2 | 10.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| Medical supplies. | 5.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 14.0 | 19.9 | 7.8 | 18.2 | 26.2 | 25.9 | 15.3 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | S | 14.3 | 15.1 | 18.6 | S | 48.7 | 8.7 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Purchased electricity. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 10.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 7.0 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 15.4 | 4.4 | 2.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 10.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 2.2 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 10.5 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 8.1 | 10.9 |
| Professional liability insurance.. | 10.3 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 1.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 7.5 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 5.8 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 2.2 |
| All other operating expenses.... | 8.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 11.4 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 10.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 10.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 11.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| HOME HEALTH CARE SERVICES (NAICS 6216) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 3.7 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 11.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| Health insurance. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 9.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 4.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 7.3 | 8.2 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 11.5 | 2.6 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 6.3 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| Medical supplies. | 7.6 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 8.8 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 4.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 9.3 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 7.2 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.1 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 13.2 | 5.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 10.4 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 3.9 |
| Purchased electricity. | 8.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 22.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 6.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 8.0 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 4.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 12.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 4.2 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 26.7 | S | 4.2 | 4.2 | S | S | 3.4 |
| Other operating expenses... | 5.4 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 2.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 8.0 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 2.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 15.9 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 2.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 5.3 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 6.1 | 2.7 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 15.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 23.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses. | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| HOSPITALS (NAICS 622) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Health insurance. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans.. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3.4 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Medical supplies.. | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Expensed equipment. | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels).. | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.7 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Other operating expenses..... | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 5.7 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 1.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NURSING AND RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623) Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Personnel costs. | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Health insurance. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 7.2 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 2.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.8 |
| Medical supplies.. | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Expensed equipment. | 3.7 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 3.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.7 | 4.2 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 4.1 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels).. | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.6 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 2.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 5.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Other operating expenses.... | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| All other operating expenses. | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 9.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services.. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 2.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| NURSING CARE FACILITIES (NAICS 623110) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 3.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Health insurance. | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 4.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 7.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 10.0 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Medical supplies.. | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| Expensed equipment. | 6.1 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 1.9 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies... | 5.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 1.5 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 3.5 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 5.8 | 6.9 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 4.8 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 4.9 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 1.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 4.5 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 4.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices... | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| Professional liability insurance. | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Other operating expenses. | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges.. | 4.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| All other operating expenses. | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 8.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 3.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8.7. Health Care and Social Assistance (NAICS 62)-Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007^{1}$-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses.]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| SOCIAL ASSISTANCE (NAICS 624) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................................................. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Health insurance. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 3.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 1.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 10.1 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.7 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.4 |
| Medical supplies.. | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Expensed equipment.. | 10.3 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 10.5 | 6.4 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 2.3 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 7.3 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 4.9 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.. | 8.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services.. | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 4.2 |
| Professional liability insurance. | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Other operating expenses.. | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 3.2 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 7.2 |
| All other operating expenses... | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services.. | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services... | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses... | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available. X Not applicable. D Estimate in table is withheld to avoid disclosing data of individual companies; data are included in higher level totals. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.1. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation ........ | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| 711212 | Racetracks . | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 11.2 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 6.7 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events ... | 4.0 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures $\qquad$ | 5.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 5.0 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries .... | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 5.3 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 21.7 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 18.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers ............................. | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers .................................................... | 7.9 | 7.4 | 18.2 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.7 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 6.3 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.2. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs ........................................... | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| 711212 | Racetracks . | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 11.2 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 6.7 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events .. | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures | 5.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 9.9 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 3.5 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries .................... | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades . | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 5.0 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 8.6 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries ... | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries ......................... | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs . | 8.6 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.4 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 21.7 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 18.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers ................................................... | 7.9 | 7.4 | 18.2 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 7.7 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries ................... | 7.4 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.3. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events | 4.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 1.1 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 4.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 7.4 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 1.0 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>

Table A-9.4. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS <br> code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation. | 14.7 | 16.3 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 21.6 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries ... | 14.7 | 16.3 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 18.3 | 12.2 | 21.6 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies .......................................... | 13.4 | 17.7 | 19.8 | 13.9 | 22.3 | 70.7 | 17.4 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers .......................... | 24.9 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 25.0 | 24.1 | 13.6 | 34.3 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.5. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Sources of Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Taxable Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PERFORMING ARTS COMPANIES (NAICS 7111) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| Admissions revenue | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 6.1 |
| All other revenue | 9.3 | 10.2 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 12.3 | 4.8 |
| SPORTS TEAMS AND CLUBS (NAICS 711211) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue . | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| Admissions revenue | 3.1 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
| All other revenue | 3.9 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 |
| RACETRACKS (NAICS 711212) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue | 4.7 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 4.2 |
| Admissions revenue | 13.0 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 1.1 |
| All other revenue | 5.7 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| OTHER SPECTATOR SPORTS (NAICS 711219) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue . | 11.2 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 6.7 |
| Admissions revenue | 16.0 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 12.2 | 9.9 |
| All other revenue | 13.3 | 11.9 | 14.9 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 7.0 |
| AMUSEMENT AND THEME PARKS (NAICS 71311) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total revenue | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Admissions revenue | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| All other revenue | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.6. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation ........ | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies. | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 2.4 |
| 7112 | Spectator sports | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 711211 | Sports teams and clubs | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 711212 | Racetracks . | 5.7 | 5.8 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| 711219 | Other spectator sports | 10.1 | 10.9 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events .. | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| 7114 | Agents and managers for artists, athletes, entertainers, and other public figures $\qquad$ | 5.6 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 6.5 |
| 7115 | Independent artists, writers, and performers | 10.7 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 6.2 | 19.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries ...................... | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 7131 | Amusement parks and arcades ..................................... | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 71311 | Amusement and theme parks | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 71312 | Amusement arcades | 5.3 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 4.7 |
| 7132 | Gambling industries | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 71321 | Casinos (except casino hotels) | 9.0 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| 71329 | Other gambling industries ..... | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 71392 | Skiing facilities | 14.0 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 71393 | Marinas | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 71395 | Bowling centers. | 8.6 | 7.3 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries .................... | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.7. Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation Services (NAICS 71) - Coefficients of Variation for Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Tax-Exempt Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 71 | Arts, entertainment, and recreation | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 711 | Performing arts, spectator sports, and related industries | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 7111 | Performing arts companies | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 7113 | Promoters of performing arts, sports, and similar events | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| 712 | Museums, historical sites, and similar institutions | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 713 | Amusement, gambling, and recreation industries | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 |
| 7139 | Other amusement and recreation industries | 4.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 |
| 71391 | Golf courses and country clubs | 5.8 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| 71394 | Fitness and recreational sports centers | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 71399 | All other amusement and recreation industries | 8.0 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 0.9 |

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-9.8. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| PERFORMING ARTS, SPECTATOR SPORTS, AND RELATED INDUSTRIES (NAICS 711) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Gross annual payroll. | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Health insurance. | 3.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans. | 3.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 8.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 3.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 11.7 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 3.1 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| Expensed equipment.. | 9.9 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 9.0 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 6.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 6.1 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| Expensed purchased services... | 2.8 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.4 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 11.6 | 6.7 | 15.8 | 25.8 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 23.5 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
| Purchased electricity... | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 7.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items....... | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance.. | 4.9 | 5.6 | S | S | 4.7 | S | S |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment.... | 6.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 1.5 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 7.9 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 1.7 |
| All other operating expenses.... | 4.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 5.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 6.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 8.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.................................................... | 4.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-9.8. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$ —Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| MUSEUMS, HISTORICAL SITES, AND SIMILAR INSTITUTIONS (NAICS 712) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Personnel costs. | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Health insurance. | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 4.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 5.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other. | 5.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense... | 8.5 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 4.2 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale) | 7.1 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 8.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 4.5 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 7.6 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| Expensed purchased services.. | 3.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.8 | 5.2 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 4.4 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 |
| Purchased electricity.. | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 6.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments.. | 4.8 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items.... | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices... | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 5.1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment. | 6.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices. | 6.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services. | 4.5 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| Other operating expenses. | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 4.6 | 7.7 | 17.3 | 16.5 | 6.8 | 12.2 | 3.7 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services. | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 5.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments. | 6.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 6.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 5.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-9.8. Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries (NAICS 711), Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar Institutions (NAICS 712), and Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries (NAICS 713) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $2007{ }^{1}$ _Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]


NA Not available. S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-10.1. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) $\qquad$ | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 81* | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) ${ }^{1}$ | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 8111 | Automotive repair and maintenance .................................. | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| 81111 | Automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 811111 | General automotive repair | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 811112 | Automotive exhaust system repair ................................ | 7.6 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.0 |
| 811113 | Automotive transmission repair .................................... | 11.4 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| 811118 | Other automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 6.2 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| 81112 | Automotive body, paint, interior, and glass repair .................. | 6.4 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 |
| 811121 | Automotive body, paint, and interior repair and maintenance | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| 811122 | Automotive glass replacement shops .............................. | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| 81119 | Other automotive repair and maintenance | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| 811191 | Automotive oil change and lubrication shops | 7.9 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 4.2 |
| 811192 | Car washes | 6.6 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| 811198 | All other automotive repair and maintenance | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | 7.0 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 5.4 |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 12.4 | 13.4 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance ............... | 12.1 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 5.8 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 2.0 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 9.7 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance ............... | 6.9 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 7.5 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair ................................. | 7.9 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 3.6 |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair | 9.6 | 8.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 11.1 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 812* | Personal and laundry services ${ }^{1}$ | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| 8121 | Personal care services ................................................ | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 |
| 81211 | Hair, nail, and skin care services .................................... | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 812111 | Barber shops ......................................................... | 12.6 | 12.9 | 11.0 | 10.6 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 812112 | Beauty salons ....................................................... | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 812113 | Nail salons | 7.5 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| 81219 | Other personal care services ........................................ | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 6.3 |
| 812191 | Diet and weight reducing centers ................................. | 17.7 | 15.3 | 13.4 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 19.6 |
| 812199 | All other personal care services ................................... | 6.8 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-10.1. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 8122 | Death care services | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 81221 | Funeral homes and funeral services | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| 81222 | Cemeteries and crematories | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| 8123 | Drycleaning and laundry services. | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 81231 | Coin-operated laundries and drycleaners | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| 81232 | Drycleaning and laundry <br> services (except coin-operated) .. | 12.8 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| 81233 | Linen and uniform supply . | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| 812331 | Linen supply | 6.3 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 1.2 |
| 812332 | Industrial launderers | 3.9 | 3.4 | S | 2.9 | 1.4 | S | S |
| 8129 | Other personal services | 5.6 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| 8129* | Other personal services ${ }^{1}$ | 6.4 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 1.8 |
| 81291 | Pet care (except veterinary) services | 5.5 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 |
| 81292 | Photofinishing. | 13.4 | 8.8 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.0 |
| 81293 | Parking lots and garages | 6.7 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 1.2 |
| 81299 | All other personal services ............... | 8.3 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 8132 | Grantmaking and giving services . | 5.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 8133 | Social advocacy organizations | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 8134 | Civic and social organizations ... | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| 8139 | Business, professional, and other organizations (except labor and political organizations) ..... | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 |

S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than 30\%) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S200_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.
${ }^{1}$ Excludes NAICS 81291 (Pet care services).

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-10.2. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Export Revenue and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { NAICS } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) | 11.5 | 11.2 | S | 10.3 | 2.4 | S | S |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance ................................................ | 11.5 | 11.2 | S | 10.3 | 2.4 | S | S |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance .......... | 24.8 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance ............. | 19.8 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 8.2 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance ............... | 14.3 | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | S | 27.1 | 25.3 | 16.0 | S | 9.1 | 7.7 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 12.2 | 13.2 | S | 16.8 | 2.1 | S | S |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance ............... | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance | S | S | ZZ | ZZ | S | S | Z |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair ................................. | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair .................................... | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | Z | Z | Z |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance ....... | ZZ | ZZ | ZZ | S | Z | Z | S |

Z Absolute value is less than 0.05 . ZZ Absolute value is less than 0.5 . S Estimate does not meet publication standards because of high sampling variability (coefficient of variation is greater than $30 \%$ ) or poor response quality (total quantity response rate is less than $50 \%$ ). For a description of publication standards and the total quantity response rate, see http://www.census.gov/quality/S20-0_v1.0_Data_Release.pdf.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-10.3. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 81 | Other services (except public administration, religious, labor, and political organizations, and private households) | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 811 | Repair and maintenance | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 8111 | Automotive repair and maintenance | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| 81111 | Automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 811111 | General automotive repair | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| 811112 | Automotive exhaust system repair | 7.6 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| 811113 | Automotive transmission repair | 12.4 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| 811118 | Other automotive mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance | 5.9 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 |
| 81112 | Automotive body, paint, interior, and glass repair .... | 6.0 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| 811121 | Automotive body, paint, and interior repair and maintenance | 6.4 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| 811122 | Automotive glass replacement shops | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| 81119 | Other automotive repair and maintenance | 5.0 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 811191 | Automotive oil change and lubrication shops | 9.2 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 |
| 811192 | Car washes | 6.6 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.1 |
| 811198 | All other automotive repair and maintenance | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| 8112 | Electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| 811211 | Consumer electronics repair and maintenance | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| 811212 | Computer and office machine repair and maintenance | 12.9 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| 811213 | Communication equipment repair and maintenance | 13.0 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 3.1 |
| 811219 | Other electronic and precision equipment repair and maintenance | 5.8 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| 8113 | Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment (except automotive and electronic) repair and maintenance | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| 8114 | Personal and household goods repair and maintenance ... | 5.9 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 81141 | Home and garden equipment and appliance repair and maintenance $\qquad$ | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 81142 | Reupholstery and furniture repair | 7.3 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 3.6 |
| 81143 | Footwear and leather goods repair | 8.3 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 2.7 |
| 81149 | Other personal and household goods repair and maintenance | 10.9 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 3.6 |
| 812 | Personal and laundry services. | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 8121 | Personal care services | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| 81211 | Hair, nail, and skin care services | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 812111 | Barber shops | 19.2 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 14.1 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| 812112 | Beauty salons | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 812113 | Nail salons | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 29.8 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 11.6 |
| 81219 | Other personal care services | 10.2 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 8.4 |
| 812191 | Diet and weight reducing centers | 26.4 | 22.4 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 23.7 |
| 812199 | All other personal care services | 7.3 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 4.5 |
| 8122 | Death care services | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 81221 | Funeral homes and funeral services | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 81222 | Cemeteries and crematories | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 8123 | Drycleaning and laundry services. | 5.3 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 81231 | Coin-operated laundries and drycleaners .... | 5.5 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 |
| 81232 | Drycleaning and laundry services (except coin-operated) | 13.3 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
| 81233 | Linen and uniform supply | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 812331 | Linen supply . | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 2.0 |
| 812332 | Industrial launderers | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-10.3. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Total Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through 2007-Con.
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| NAICS code | Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| 8129 | Other personal services | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 1.7 |
| 81291 | Pet care (except veterinary) services | 5.9 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 11.7 |
| 81292 | Photofinishing | 8.1 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| 81293 | Parking lots and garages | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.1 |
| 81299 | All other personal services | 9.6 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 813 | Religious, grantmaking, civic, professional, and similar organizations (except religious, labor, and political organizations) . | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| 8132 | Grantmaking and giving services ..................................... | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 8133 | Social advocacy organizations | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| 8134 | Civic and social organizations .......................................... | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 |
| 8139 | Business, professional, and other organizations (except labor and political organizations) | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 |

[^210] information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

Table A-10.4. Other Services (Except Public Administration, Religious, Labor, and Political Organizations, and Private Households) (NAICS 81) - Estimated Coefficients of Variation for Selected Expenses and Standard Error of Percent Change for Employer Firms: 2004 Through $200 \mathbf{7}^{1}$
[Estimates are based on data from the 2007 Service Annual Survey and administrative data and are published as percents. Estimates for 2006 and prior years have been revised to reflect historical corrections to individual responses]

| Kind of business | Coefficient of variation |  |  |  | Standard error |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2007/2006 | 2006/2005 | 2005/2004 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Personnel costs. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Gross annual payroll.. | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Employer's cost for fringe benefits. | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| Health insurance. | 2.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Pension plans.. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined benefit pension plans. | 4.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Defined contribution plans. | 2.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Other.. | 2.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Temporary staff and leased employee expense. | 6.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Expensed materials, parts and supplies (not for resale). | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Expensed equipment. | 3.9 | 5.8 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 3.2 |
| Expensed purchase of other materials, parts, and supplies. | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| Expensed purchased services. | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| Expensed purchases of software. | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 2.2 |
| Purchased electricity and fuels (except motor fuels). | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Purchased electricity. | 2.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased fuels (except motor fuels). | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments. | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Lease and rental payments for machinery, equipment, and other tangible items...... | 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Lease and rental payments for land, buildings, structures, store spaces, and offices. . | 2.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repair and maintenance. | 4.4 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 11.6 | 2.6 |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to machinery and equipment... | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased repairs and maintenance to buildings, structures, and offices.. | 6.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased advertising and promotional services... | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Other operating expenses.. | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| Contributions, gifts, and grants paid. | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization charges. | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Governmental taxes and license fees. | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Data processing and other purchased computer services.. | 9.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased communication services. | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Water, sewer, refuse removal, and other utility payments.. | 5.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Purchased professional and technical services. | 2.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| All other operating expenses.. | 1.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

NA Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Due to the addition of detailed expense data to the 2007 Service Annual Survey, the 2007 expenses may not be comparable to previously published estimates.

Note: The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>.

# Appendix B. Explanation of Terms 

## TERMS COMMON TO MULTI PLE NAI CS I NDUSTRI ES

E-commerce receipts/ revenue. Sales and receipts from any transaction completed over an Internet, Extranet, EDI network, electronic mail, or other online system. Transactions are agreements between buyers and sellers to transfer ownership of, or rights to use, goods or services. Payment for these goods and services may, or may not be, made online. Examples of e-commerce revenue include:

- Revenue from online orders for goods or services placed by a buyer.
- Revenue from online services provided where charges are based on the usage of those services. (e.g., commissions or fees from the use of computerized reservation systems, financial transaction processing systems, etc.)
- Commissions or fees from the trading of securities or the sale of other financial products online.
- Commissions or fees from selling or from facilitating the sale of third party products through a company's Web site.
- Revenue from orders or contracts negotiated online with a buyer and seller on the price and terms for transferring ownership or the rights to use goods and services.
- Revenue from telephone transactions using interactive voice response systems.

Examples of receipts/revenue excluded from e-commerce figures are:

- Online billings where the order or contract was not negotiated online.
- Delivery of services online where the order or contract was not negotiated online.
- Provision of telecommunications and related infrastructure systems (e.g., data transfer, Web hosting, Internet
access) where the order or contract for such services was not negotiated online.
- Orders for goods or services placed by facsimile machine or over a switched telephone network.

Establishment. A single physical location where business is conducted or services are performed.

Exports. A tangible or intangible product (e.g., good, license agreement, reproduction right, service) that is sold or transferred to a customer or client (individual, government, business establishment, etc.) located outside the United States (i.e., outside of the 50 states, District of Columbia, U.S. Commonwealth Territories, or U.S. possessions). Products transferred to, sold to, or services performed for unaffiliated or affiliated foreign firms (i.e., foreign parent firms, subsidiaries, branches, etc.) are included. Products or services provided to domestic subsidiaries of foreign firms are excluded.

Federal income tax status. Firms that indicate all or part of their income are exempt from federal income tax under provision of Sections 501 or 521 of the Internal Revenue Service are classified as tax-exempt. Firms indicating no such exemption are classified as taxable. For all firms, the tax status classification is based upon administrative records.

Firm. A business organization or entity consisting of one or more domestic establishments/locations under common ownership or control.

Taxes. Includes sales, amusement, and other taxes collected directly from customers and paid directly to a local, state, or federal tax agency.

Total expenses. (Basic dollar volume measure of expenses for firms exempt from federal income tax.) Costs incurred during the survey year whether or not payments were made in that year. Total expenses include annual payroll; employee benefits, interest, and rent expenses; supplies used for operating; cost of merchandise sold; and other expenses allocated to operations during the year. Also included are contracted or purchased
services; fees paid to other organizations for fundraising; depreciation expenses; and expenses of locations providing support services (e.g., repair services, administrative services, etc.) for service establishments.

Total expenses exclude outlays for the purchase of real estate (land and buildings); for construction; for additions, major alterations, and improvements to existing facilities; all other capital expenditures; funds invested; income taxes; assessments (dues) paid to the parent or other chapters of the same organization. Firms engaged in raising funds, exclude funds that are transferred to charities or other organizations.

Total operating expenses. Costs incurred during the survey year, even though payment may be made at a later date. Excludes interest on loans and sales taxes and other taxes collected from customers and paid directly to a taxing authority.

Total operating revenue. Includes charges or billings for services rendered and any sales of merchandise during the survey year, even though payments may be received at a later date.

Excludes income from interest, investments, gifts, loans, contributions, or grants; the sale of securities, real estate,
etc; sales taxes or other taxes collected from customers and remitted directly by the firm to a local, state, or federal tax agency; revenue from the sale of merchandise and equipment from retail establishments; and revenue from a domestic parent organization, or from franchise locations owned by others and any franchise or license fees.

Total revenue. (Basic dollar volume measure for firms exempt from federal income tax.) Charges or billings to customers or clients for services rendered and merchandise sold during the survey year whether or not payment was received in that year. Also includes income from interest, dividends, contributions, gifts and grants, rents, royalties, dues and assessments from members and affiliates, and net receipts from fundraising activities. Receipts from taxable business activities, as well as taxexempt activities are included.

Excludes sales taxes or other taxes (real estate, admissions, etc.) collected by the organization from customers or clients and paid directly to local, state, or federal income tax agencies; income from the sale of real estate, investments, or other assets; or amounts transferred to operating funds from capital or reserve funds. Firms providing legal services report payments received in the survey year regardless of when services are rendered.

# Appendix C. <br> NAICS Codes, Titles, and Descriptions 

## 484 Truck Transportation

Industries in the Truck Transportation subsector provide over-the-road transportation of cargo using motor vehicles, such as trucks, truck-tractors, and trailers. The subsector is subdivided into general freight trucking and specialized freight trucking. This distinction reflects differences in equipment used, type of load carried, scheduling, terminal, and other networking services. General freight transportation establishments handle a wide variety of general commodities, generally palletized, and transported in a container or van trailer. Specialized freight transportation is the transportation of cargo that, because of size, weight, shape, or other inherent characteristics require specialized equipment for transportation.

Each of these industry groups is further subdivided based on distance traveled. Local trucking establishments primarily carry goods within a single metropolitan area and its adjacent nonurban areas. Long-distance trucking establishments carry goods between metropolitan areas.

The Specialized Freight Trucking industry group includes a separate industry for Used Household and Office Goods Moving. The household and office goods movers are separated because of the substantial network of establishments that has been developed to deal with local and long-distance moving and the associated storage. In this area, the same establishment provides both local and long-distance services, while other specialized freight establishments generally limit their services to either local or long-distance hauling.

## 4841 General Freight Trucking

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing general freight trucking. General freight establishments handle a wide variety of commodities, generally palletized, and transported in a container or van trailer. The establishments of this industry group provide a combination of the following network activities: local pickup, local sorting and terminal operations, line-haul, destination sorting and terminal operations, and local delivery.

## 48411 General Freight Trucking, Local

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing local general freight trucking. General freight establishments handle a wide variety of commodities, generally palletized and transported in a container or van trailer. Local general freight trucking establishments usually provide trucking within a metropolitan area which may cross state lines. Generally the trips are same-day return.

## 48412 General Freight Trucking, Long-Distance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing long-distance general freight trucking. General freight establishments handle a wide variety of commodities, generally palletized and transported in a container or van trailer. Long-distance general freight trucking establishments usually provide trucking between metropolitan areas which may cross North American country borders. Included in this industry are establishments operating as truckload (TL) or less than truckload (LTL) carriers.

## 484121 General Freight Trucking, Long-Distance, Truckload

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing long-distance general freight truckload (TL) trucking. These long-distance general freight truckload carrier establishments provide full truck movement of freight from origin to destination.

## 484122 General Freight Trucking, Long-Distance, Less Than Truckload

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing long-distance, general freight, less than truckload(LTL) trucking. LTL carriage is characterized as multiple shipments combined into a single truck for multiple deliveries within a network. These establishments are generally characterized by the following network activities: local pickup, local sorting and terminal operations, linehaul, destination sorting and terminal operations, and local delivery.

## 4842 Specialized Freight Trucking

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing local or long-distance specialized freight trucking. The establishments of this industry are primarily engaged in the transportation of freight which, because of size, weight, shape, or other inherent characteristics, requires specialized equipment, such as flatbeds, tankers, or refrigerated trailers. This industry includes the transportation of used household, institutional, and commercial furniture and equipment.

## 48421 Used Household and Office Goods Moving

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing local or long-distance trucking of used household, used institutional, or used commercial furniture and equipment. Incidental packing and storage activities are often provided by these establishments.

## 48422 Specialized Freight (Except Used Goods) Trucking, Local

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing local, specialized trucking. Local trucking establishments provide trucking within a metropolitan area that may cross state lines. Generally the trips are same-day return.

## 48423 Specialized Freight (Except Used Goods) Trucking, Long-Distance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing long-distance specialized trucking. These establishments provide trucking between metropolitan areas that may cross North American country borders.

## 492 Couriers and Messengers

Industries in the Couriers and Messengers subsector provide intercity and/or local delivery of parcels. These articles can be described as those that may be handled by one person without using special equipment. This allows the collection, pick-up, and delivery operations to be done with limited labor costs and minimal equipment. Sorting and transportation activities, where necessary, are generally mechanized. The restriction to small parcels partly distinguishes these establishments from those in the transportation industries. The complete network of courier services establishments also distinguishes these transportation services from local messenger and delivery establishments in this subsector. This includes the establishments that perform intercity transportation as well
as establishments that, under contract to them, perform local pick-up and delivery. Messengers, which usually deliver within a metropolitan or single urban area, may use bicycle, foot, small truck, or van.

## 4921 Couriers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing air, surface, or combined courier delivery services of parcels generally between metropolitan areas or urban centers. The establishments of this industry form a network including courier local pickup and delivery to serve their customers' needs.

## 4922 Local Messengers and Local Delivery

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing local messenger and delivery services of small items within a single metropolitan or within an urban center. These establishments generally provide point-topoint pickup and delivery and do not operate as part of an intercity courier network.

## 493 Warehousing and Storage

Industries in the Warehousing and Storage subsector are primarily engaged in operating warehousing and storage facilities for general merchandise, refrigerated goods, and other warehouse products. These establishments provide facilities to store goods. They do not sell the goods they handle. These establishments take responsibility for storing the goods and keeping them secure. They may also provide a range of services, often referred to as logistics services, related to the distribution of goods. Logistics services can include labeling, breaking bulk, inventory control and management, light assembly, order entry and fulfillment, packaging, pick and pack, price marking and ticketing, and transportation arrangement. However, establishments in this industry group always provide warehousing or storage services in addition to any logistic services. Furthermore, the warehousing or storage of goods must be more than incidental to the performance of services, such as price marking.

Bonded warehousing and storage services and warehouses located in free trade zones are included in the industries of this subsector.

## 49311 General Warehousing and Storage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating merchandise warehousing and storage facilities. These establishments generally handle goods in
containers, such as boxes, barrels, and/or drums, using equipment, such as forklifts, pallets, and racks. They are not specialized in handling bulk products of any particular type, size, or quantity of goods or products.

## 49312 Refrigerated Warehousing and Storage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating refrigerated warehousing and storage facilities. Establishments primarily engaged in the storage of furs for the trade are included in this industry. The services provided by these establishments include blast freezing, tempering, and modified atmosphere storage services.

## 49313 Farm Product Warehousing and Storage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating bulk farm product warehousing and storage facilities (except refrigerated). Grain elevators primarily engaged in storage are included in this industry.

## 49319 Other Warehousing and Storage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating warehousing and storage facilities (except general merchandise, refrigerated, and farm product warehousing and storage).

## 511 Publishing I ndustries ( except Internet)

Industries in the Publishing Industries (except Internet) subsector group establishments engaged in the publishing of newspapers, magazines, other periodicals, and books, as well as directory and mailing list and software publishing. In general, these establishments, which are known as publishers, issue copies of works for which they usually possess copyright. Works may be in one or more formats including traditional print form, CD-ROM, or proprietary electronic networks. Publishers may publish works originally created by others for which they have obtained the rights and/or works that they have created in-house. Software publishing is included here because the activity, creation of a copyrighted product and bringing it to market, is equivalent to the creation process for other types of intellectual products.

In NAICS, publishing-the reporting, writing, editing, and other processes that are required to create an edition of a newspaper-is treated as a major economic activity in its own right, rather than as a subsidiary activity to a manufacturing activity, printing. Thus, publishing is classified in the Information sector; whereas, printing remains in the NAICS Manufacturing sector. In part, the

NAICS classification reflects the fact that publishing increasingly takes place in establishments that are physically separate from the associated printing establishments. More crucially, the NAICS classification of book and newspaper publishing is intended to portray their roles in a modern economy, in which they do not resemble manufacturing activities.

Music publishers are not included in the Publishing Industries (except Internet) subsector, but are included in the Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries subsector. Reproduction of prepackaged software is treated in NAICS as a manufacturing activity; on-line distribution of software products is in the Information sector, and custom design of software to client specifications is included in the Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services sector. These distinctions arise because of the different ways that software is created, reproduced, and distributed.

The Publishing Industries (except Internet) subsector does not include establishments that publish exclusively on the Internet. Establishments publishing exclusively on the Internet are included in Subsector 516, Internet Publishing and Broadcasting. The Publishing Industries (except Internet) subsector also excludes products, such as manifold business forms. Information is not the essential component of these items. Establishments producing these items are included in Subsector 323, Printing and Related Support Activities.

## 5111 Newspaper, Periodical, Book, and Directory Publishers

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in publishing newspapers, magazines, other periodicals, books, directories and mailing lists, and other works, such as calendars, greeting cards, and maps. These works are characterized by the intellectual creativity required in their development and are usually protected by copyright. Publishers distribute or arrange for the distribution of these works.

Publishing establishments may create the works in-house, contract for, purchase, or compile works that were originally created by others. These works may be published in one or more formats, such as print and/or electronic form, including proprietary electronic networks. Establishments in this industry may print, reproduce, or offer direct access to the works themselves or may arrange with others to carry out such functions.

Establishments that both print and publish may fill excess capacity with commercial or job printing. However, the
publishing activity is still considered to be the primary activity of these establishments.

## 51111 Newspaper Publishers

This industry comprises establishments known as newspaper publishers. Establishments in this industry carry out operations necessary for producing and distributing newspapers, including gathering news; writing news columns, feature stories, and editorials; and selling and preparing advertisements. These establishments may publish newspapers in print or electronic form.

## 51112 Periodical Publishers

This industry comprises establishments known as magazine or periodical publishers. These establishments carry out the operations necessary for producing and distributing magazines and other periodicals, such as gathering, writing, and editing articles, and selling and preparing advertisements. These establishments may publish magazines and other periodicals in print or electronic form.

## 51113 Book Publishers

This industry comprises establishments known as book publishers. Establishments in this industry carry out design, editing, and marketing activities necessary for producing and distributing books. These establishments may publish books in print, electronic, or audio form

## 51114 Directory and Mailing List Publishers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in publishing directories, mailing lists, and collections or compilations of fact. The products are typically protected in their selection, arrangement and/or presentation. Examples are lists of mailing addresses, telephone directories, directories of businesses, collections or compilations of proprietary drugs or legal case results, compilations of public records, etc. These establishments may publish directories and mailing lists in print or electronic form.

## 51119 Other Publishers

This industry comprises establishments known as publishers (except newspaper, magazine, book, directory, mailing list, and music publishers). These establishments may publish works in print or electronic form.

## 511191 Greeting Card Publishers

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in publishing greeting cards.

## 511199 All Other Publishers

This U.S. industry comprises establishments generally known as publishers (except newspaper, magazine, book, directory, database, music, and greeting card publishers). These establishments may publish works in print or electronic form.

## 5112 Software Publishers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in computer software publishing or publishing and reproduction. Establishments in this industry carry out operations necessary for producing and distributing computer software, such as designing, providing documentation, assisting in installation, and providing support services to software purchasers. These establishments may design, develop, and publish, or publish only.

## 512 Motion Picture and Sound Recording I ndustries

Industries in the Motion Picture and Sound Recording Industries subsector group establishments involved in the production and distribution of motion pictures and sound recordings. While producers and distributors of motion pictures and sound recordings issue works for sale as traditional publishers do, the processes are sufficiently different to warrant placing establishments engaged in these activities in a separate subsector. Production is typically a complex process that involves several distinct types of establishments that are engaged in activities, such as contracting with performers, creating the film or sound content, and providing technical postproduction services. Film distribution is often to exhibitors, such as theaters and broadcasters, rather than through the wholesale and retail distribution chain. When the product is in a mass-produced form, NAICS treats production and distribution as the major economic activity as it does in the Publishing Industries subsector, rather than as a subsidiary activity to the manufacture of such products.

This subsector does not include establishments primarily engaged in the wholesale distribution of videocassettes and
sound recordings, such as compact discs and audio tapes; these establishments are included in the Wholesale Trade sector. Reproduction of videocassettes and sound recordings that is carried out separately from establishments engaged in production and distribution is treated in NAICS as a manufacturing activity.

## 5121 Motion Picture and Video I ndustries

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in the production and/or distribution of motion pictures, videos, television programs, or commercials; in the exhibition of motion pictures; or in the provision of postproduction and related services.

## 51211 Motion Picture and Video Production

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in producing, or producing and distributing motion pictures, videos, television programs, or television and video commercials.

## 51212 Motion Picture and Video Distribution

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acquiring distribution rights and distributing film and video productions to motion picture theaters, television networks and stations, and exhibitors.

## 51213 Motion Picture and Video Exhibition

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating motion picture theaters and/or exhibiting motion pictures or videos at film festivals, and so forth.

## 512131 Motion Picture Theaters (except Drive-Ins)

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating motion picture theaters (except driveins) and/or exhibiting motion pictures or videos at film festivals, and so forth.

## 512132 Drive-In Motion Picture Theaters

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating drive-in motion picture theaters.

## 51219 Postproduction Services and Other Motion Picture and Video Industries

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing postproduction services and other services to the motion picture industry, including specialized motion
picture or video postproduction services, such as editing, film/tape transfers, titling, subtitling, credits, closed captioning, and computer-produced graphics, animation and special effects, as well as developing and processing motion picture film.

## 512191 Teleproduction and Other Postproduction Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing specialized motion picture or video postproduction services, such as editing, film/tape transfers, subtitling, credits, closed captioning, and animation and special effects.

## 512199 Other Motion Picture and Video I ndustries

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing motion picture and video services (except motion picture and video production, distribution, exhibition, and teleproduction and other postproduction services).

## 5122 Sound Recording Industries

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in producing and distributing musical recordings, in publishing music, or in providing sound recording and related services.

## 51221 Record Production

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in record production (e.g., tapes, CDs). These establishments contract with artists and arrange and finance the production of original master recordings. Establishments in this industry hold the copyright to the master recording and derive most of their revenues from the sales, leasing, and licensing of master recordings. Establishments in this industry do not have their own duplication or distribution capabilities.

## 51222 Integrated Record Production/ Distribution

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in releasing, promoting, and distributing sound recordings. These establishments manufacture or arrange for the manufacture of recordings, such as audio tapes/cassettes and compact discs, and promote and distribute these products to wholesalers, retailers, or directly to the public. Establishments in this industry produce master recordings themselves, or obtain reproduction and distribution rights to master recordings produced by record production
companies or other integrated record companies.

## 51223 Music Publishers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acquiring and registering copyrights for musical compositions in accordance with law and promoting and authorizing the use of these compositions in recordings, radio, television, motion pictures, live performances, print, or other media. Establishments in this industry represent the interests of the songwriter or other owners of musical compositions to produce revenues from the use of such works, generally through licensing agreements. These establishments may own the copyright or act as administrator of the music copyrights on behalf of copyright owners. Publishers of music books and sheet music are included in this industry.

## 51224 Sound Recording Studios

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing the facilities and technical expertise for sound recording in a studio. Establishments in this industry may provide audio production or postproduction services for producing master recordings, and may provide audio services for film, television, and video productions.

## 51229 Other Sound Recording I ndustries

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing sound recording services (except record production, distribution, music publishing, and sound recording in a studio). Establishments in this industry provide services, such as the audio recording of meetings and conferences.

## 515 Broadcasting (except Internet)

Industries in the Broadcasting (except Internet) subsector include establishments that create content or acquire the right to distribute content and subsequently broadcast the content. The industry groups (Radio and Television Broadcasting and Cable and Other Subscription Programming) are based on differences in the methods of communication and the nature of services provided. The Radio and Television Broadcasting industry group includes establishments that operate broadcasting studios and facilities for over the air or satellite delivery of radio and television programs of entertainment, news, talk, and the like. These establishments are often engaged in the production and purchase of programs and generating revenues from the sale of air time to advertisers and from donations, subsidies, and/or the sale of programs. The

Cable and Other Subscription Programming industry group includes establishments operating studios and facilities for the broadcasting of programs that are typically narrowcast in nature (limited format, such as news, sports, education, and youth-oriented programming) on a subscription or fee basis.

The distribution of cable and other subscription programming is included in Subsector 517, Telecommunications. Establishments that broadcast exclusively on the Internet are included in Subsector 516, Internet Publishing and Broadcasting.

## 5151 Radio and Television Broadcasting

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating broadcast studios and facilities for over-the-air or satellite delivery of radio and television programs. These establishments are often engaged in the production or purchase of programs or generate revenues from the sale of air time to advertisers, from donations and subsidies, or from the sale of programs.

## 51511 Radio Broadcasting

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting audio signals. These establishments operate radio broadcasting studios and facilities for the transmission of aural programming by radio to the public, to affiliates, or to subscribers. The radio programs may include entertainment, news, talk shows, business data, or religious services.

## 515111 Radio Networks

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in assembling and transmitting aural programming to their affiliates or subscribers via over-the-air broadcasts, cable, or satellite. The programming covers a wide variety of material, such as news services, religious programming, weather, sports, or music.

## 515112 Radio Stations

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting aural programs by radio to the public. Programming may originate in their own studios, from an affiliated network, or from external sources.

## 51512 Television Broadcasting

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in broadcasting images together with sound. These
establishments operate television broadcasting studios and facilities for the programming and transmission of programs to the public. These establishments also produce or transmit visual programming to affiliated broadcast television stations, which in turn broadcast the programs to the public on a predetermined schedule. Programming may originate in their own studios, from an affiliated network, or from external sources.

## 5152 Cable and Other Subscription Programming

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating studios and facilities for the broadcasting of programs on a subscription or fee basis. The broadcast programming is typically narrowcast in nature (e.g., limited format, such as news, sports, education, or youthoriented). These establishments produce programming in their own facilities or acquire programming from external sources. The programming material is usually delivered to a third party, such as cable systems or direct-to-home satellite systems, for transmission to viewers.

## 516 I nternet Publishing and Broadcasting

Industries in the Internet Publishing and Broadcasting subsector group establishments that publish and/or broadcast content exclusively for the Internet. The unique combination of text, audio, video, and interactive features present in informational or cultural products on the Internet justifies the separation of Internet publishers and broadcasters from more traditional publishers included in subsector 511, Publishing Industries (except Internet) and subsector 515, Broadcasting (except Internet).

## 517 Telecommunications

Industries in the Telecommunications subsector include establishments providing telecommunications and the services related to that activity. The Telecommunications subsector is primarily engaged in operating, maintaining, and/or providing access to facilities for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound, and video. A transmission facility may be based on a single technology or a combination of technologies. Establishments primarily engaged as independent contractors in the maintenance and installation of broadcasting and telecommunications systems are classified in Sector 23, Construction.

## 5171 Wired Telecommunications Carriers

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating, maintaining or providing access to facilities for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound,
and video using wired telecommunications networks. Transmission facilities may be based on a single technology or a combination of technologies.

## 5172 Wireless Telecommunications Carriers (except Satellite)

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating, maintaining or providing access to facilities for the transmission of voice, data, text, sound, and video using wireless telecommunications networks. Transmission facilities may be based on a single technology or a combination of technologies.

## 517211 Paging

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating paging networks. The establishments of this industry may also supply and maintain equipment used to receive signals.

## 517212 Cellular and Other Wireless Telecommunications

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating cellular telecommunications and other wireless telecommunications networks (except paging).

## 5173 Telecommunications Resellers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in purchasing access and network capacity from owners and operators of the networks and reselling wired and wireless telecommunications services (except satellite) to businesses and households. Establishments in this industry resell telecommunications; they do not operate and maintain telecommunications switching and transmission facilities.

## 5174 Satellite Telecommunications

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing point-to-point telecommunications services to other establishments in the telecommunications and broadcasting industries by forwarding and receiving communications signals via a system of satellites or reselling satellite telecommunications.

## 5175 Cable and Other Program Distribution

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged as third-party distribution systems for broadcast programming. The establishments of this industry deliver
visual, aural, or textual programming received from cable networks, local television stations, or radio networks to consumers via cable or direct-to-home satellite systems on a subscription or fee basis. These establishments do not generally originate programming material.

## 5179 Other Telecommunications

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) providing specialized telecommunications applications, such as satellite tracking, communications telemetry, and radar station operations; or (2) providing satellite terminal stations and associated facilities operationally connected with one or more terrestrial communications systems and capable of transmitting telecommunications to or receiving telecommunications from satellite systems.

## 518 Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services

Industries in the Internet Service Providers, Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services subsector group establishments that provide: (1) access to the Internet; (2) search facilities for the Internet; and (3) data processing, hosting, and related services. The industry groups (Internet Service Providers and Web Search Portals, Data Processing Hosting, and Related Services) are based on differences in the processes used to access information and process information. The Internet Service Providers and Web Search Portals industry group includes establishments that are providing access to the Internet or aiding in navigation on the Internet. The Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services industry group includes establishments that process data. These establishments can transform data, prepare data for dissemination, or place data or content on the Internet for others. In addition, the shared use of computer resources is included in the Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services industry group.

Establishments that are publishing exclusively on the Internet are included in Subsector 516, Internet Publishing and Broadcasting and establishments that are retailing goods using the Internet are included in Sector 44-45, Retail Trade.

## 5181 I nternet Service Providers and Web Search Portals

This industry comprises establishments known as Internet service providers or known as Web search portals. Establishments in this industry provide clients access to the Internet or operate Web sites that use a search engine to
provide Internet search services. Establishments in this industry generally provide related services, such as Web hosting, Web page design, and related advice and assistance. Web search portals often provide additional Internet services, such as e-mail, connections to other Web sites, auctions, news, and other limited content, and serve as a home base for Internet users.

## 518111 I nternet Service Providers

This U.S. industry comprises establishments known as Internet service providers. Establishments in this industry provide clients access to the Internet and generally provide related services such as Web hosting, Web page designing, and hardware or software consulting related to Internet connectivity. Establishments in this industry may provide local, regional, or national coverage for clients or provide backbone services (except telecommunications carriers) for other Internet service providers. Internet service providers have the equipment and telecommunication network access required for a point-of-presence on the Internet.

## 518112 Web Search Portals

This U.S. industry comprises establishments known as Web search portals. Establishments in this industry operate Web sites that use a search engine to generate and maintain extensive databases of Internet addresses and content in an easily searchable format. Web search portals often provide additional Internet services, such as e-mail, connections to other Web sites, auctions, news, and other limited content, and serve as a home base for Internet users.

## 5182 Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing infrastructure for hosting or data processing services. These establishments may provide specialized hosting activities, such as Web hosting, streaming services or application hosting, provide application service provisioning, or may provide general timeshare mainframe facilities to clients. Data processing establishments provide complete processing and specialized reports from data supplied by clients or provide automated data processing and data entry services.

## 519 Other I nformation Services

Industries in the Other Information Services subsector group establishments supplying information, storing information, providing access to information, and searching and retrieving information. The main components of the
subsector are news syndicates, libraries, and archives.

## 51911 News Syndicates

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in supplying information, such as news reports, articles, pictures, and features, to the news media.

## 51912 Libraries and Archives

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing library or archive services. These establishments are engaged in maintaining collections of documents (e.g., books, journals, newspapers, and music) and facilitating the use of such documents (recorded information regardless of its physical form and characteristics) as are required to meet the informational, research, educational, or recreational needs of their user. These establishments may also acquire, research, store, preserve, and generally make accessible to the public historical documents, photographs, maps, audio material, audiovisual material, and other archival material of historical interest. All or portions of these collections may be accessible electronically.

## 51919 All Other I nformation Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing other information services (except news syndicates and libraries and archives).

## 5231 Securities and Commodity Contracts I ntermediation and Brokerage

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in putting capital at risk in the process of underwriting securities issues or in making markets for securities and commodities; and those acting as agents and/or brokers between buyers and sellers of securities and commodities, usually charging a commission.

## 52311 I nvestment Banking and Securities Dealing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in underwriting, originating, and/or maintaining markets for issues of securities. Investment bankers act as principals (i.e., investors who buy or sell on their own account) in firm commitment transactions or act as agents in best effort and standby commitments. This industry also includes establishments acting as principals in buying or selling securities generally on a spread basis, such as securities dealers or stock option dealers.

## 52312 Securities Brokerage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acting as agents (i.e., brokers) between buyers and sellers in buying or selling securities on a commission or transaction fee basis.

## 52313 Commodity Contracts Dealing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acting as principals (i.e., investors who buy or sell for their own account) in buying or selling spot or futures commodity contracts or options, such as precious metals, foreign currency, oil, or agricultural products, generally on a spread basis.

## 52314 Commodity Contracts Brokerage

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acting as agents (i.e., brokers) in buying or selling spot or future commodity contracts or options on a commission or transaction fee basis.

## 52392 Portfolio Management

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in managing the portfolio assets (i.e., funds) of others on a fee or commission basis. Establishments in this industry have the authority to make investment decisions, and they derive fees based on the size and/or overall performance of the portfolio.

## 52393 I nvestment Advice

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing customized investment advice to clients on a fee basis, but do not have the authority to execute trades. Primary activities performed by establishments in this industry are providing financial planning advice and investment counseling to meet the goals and needs of specific clients.

## 532 Rental and Leasing Services

Industries in the Rental and Leasing Services subsector include establishments that provide a wide array of tangible goods, such as automobiles, computers, consumer goods, and industrial machinery and equipment, to customers in return for a periodic rental or lease payment.

The subsector includes two main types of establishments:
(1) those that are engaged in renting consumer goods and
equipment and (2) those that are engaged in leasing machinery and equipment often used for business operations. The first type typically operates from a retaillike or store-front facility and maintains inventories of goods that are rented for short periods of time. The latter type typically does not operate from retail-like locations or maintain inventories, and offers longer term leases. These establishments work directly with clients to enable them to acquire the use of equipment on a lease basis, or they work with equipment vendors or dealers to support the marketing of equipment to their customers under lease arrangements. Equipment lessors generally structure lease contracts to meet the specialized needs of their clients and use their remarketing expertise to find other users for previously leased equipment. Establishments that provide operating and capital (i.e., finance) leases are included in this subsector.

Establishments primarily engaged in leasing in combination with providing loans are classified in Sector 52, Finance and Insurance. Establishments primarily engaged in leasing real property are classified in Subsector 531, Real Estate. Those establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing equipment with operators are classified in various subsectors of NAICS depending on the nature of the services provided (e.g., Transportation, Construction, Agriculture). These activities are excluded from this subsector since the client is paying for the expertise and knowledge of the equipment operator, in addition to the rental of the equipment. In many cases, such as the rental of heavy construction equipment, the operator is essential to operate the equipment. Likewise, since the provision of crop harvesting services includes both the equipment and operator, it is included in the agriculture subsector. The rental or leasing of copyrighted works is classified in Sector 51, Information, and the rental or leasing of assets, such as patents, trademarks, and/ or licensing agreements is classified in Subsector 533, Lessors of Nonfinancial Intangible Assets (except Copyrighted Works).

## 5321 Automotive Equipment Rental and Leasing

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing the following types of vehicles: passenger cars and trucks without drivers, and utility trailers. These establishments generally operate from a retail-like facility. Some establishments offer only shortterm rental, others only longer-term leases, and some provide both type of services.

## 53211 Passenger Car Rental and Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing passenger cars without drivers.

## 532111 Passenger Car Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting passenger cars without drivers, generally for short periods of time.

## 532112 Passenger Car Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in leasing passenger cars without drivers, generally for long periods of time.

## 53212 Truck, Utility Trailer, and RV (Recreational Vehicle) Rental and Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing, without drivers, one or more of the following: trucks, truck tractors or buses: semitrailers, utility trailers, or RVs (recreational vehicles).

## 5322 Consumer Goods Rental

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting personal and household-type goods. Establishments classified in this industry group generally provide short-term rental although in some instances, the goods may be leased for longer periods of time. These establishments often operate from a retail-like or storefront facility.

## 53221 Consumer Electronics and Appliances Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting consumer electronics equipment and appliances, such as televisions, stereos, and refrigerators. Included in this industry are appliance rental centers.

## 53222 Formal Wear and Costume Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting clothing, such as formal wear, costumes (e.g., theatrical), or other clothing (except laundered uniforms and work apparel).

## 53223 Video Tape and Disk Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting prerecorded video tapes and disks for home electronic equipment.

## 53229 Other Consumer Goods Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting consumer goods (except consumer electronics and appliances, formal wear and costumes, and prerecorded video tapes).

## 532291 Home Health Equipment Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting home-type health and invalid equipment, such as wheel chairs, hospital beds, oxygen tanks, walkers, and crutches.

## 532292 Recreational Goods Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting recreational goods, such as bicycles, canoes, motorcycles, skis, sailboats, beach chairs, and beach umbrellas.

## 532299 All Other Consumer Goods Rental

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting consumer goods and products (except consumer electronics and appliances; formal wear and costumes; prerecorded video tapes and discs for home electronic equipment; home health furniture and equipment; and recreational goods). Included in this industry are furniture rental centers and party rental supply centers.

## 5323 General Rental Centers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting a range of consumer, commercial, and industrial equipment. Establishments in this industry typically operate from conveniently located facilities where they maintain inventories of goods and equipment that they rent for short periods of time. The type of equipment that establishments in this industry provide often includes, but is not limited to: audio-visual equipment, contractors' and builders' tools and equipment, home repair tools, lawn and garden equipment, moving equipment and supplies, and party and banquet equipment and supplies.

## 5324 Commercial and Industrial Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing commercial-type and industrial-type machinery and equipment. The types of establishments included in this industry group are generally involved in providing capital or investment-type equipment that clients use in their business operations. These establishments typically cater to a business clientele and do not generally operate a retail-like or store-front facility.

53241 Construction, Transportation, Mining, and Forestry Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing one or more of the following without operators: heavy construction, off-highway transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment.

## 53242 Office Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing office machinery and equipment, such as computers, office furniture, duplicating machines (i.e., copiers), or facsimile machines.

## 53249 Other Commercial and I ndustrial Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting or leasing nonconsumer-type machinery and equipment (except heavy construction, transportation, mining, and forestry machinery and equipment without operators; and office machinery and equipment).
Establishments in this industry rent or lease products, such as, manufacturing equipment; metalworking, telecommunications, motion picture, or theatrical machinery and equipment; institutional (i.e., public building) furniture, such as furniture for schools, theaters, or buildings; or agricultural equipment without operators.

## 54111 Offices of Lawyers

This industry comprises offices of legal practitioners known as lawyers or attorneys (i.e., counselors-at-law) primarily engaged in the practice of law. Establishments in this industry may provide expertise in a range or in specific
areas of law, such as criminal law, corporate law, family and estate law, patent law, real estate law, or tax law.

## 54119 Other Legal Services

This industry comprises establishments of legal practitioners (except lawyers and attorneys) primarily engaged in providing specialized legal or paralegal services.

## 5412 Accounting, Tax Preparation, Bookkeeping, and Payroll Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing services, such as auditing of accounting records, designing accounting systems, preparing financial statements, developing budgets, preparing tax returns, processing payrolls, bookkeeping, and billing.

## 541211 Offices of Certified Public Accountants

This industry comprises establishments of accountants that are certified to audit the accounting records of public and private organizations and to attest to compliance with generally accepted accounting practices. Offices of certified public accountants (CPAs) may provide one or more of the following accounting services: (1) auditing financial statements; (2) designing accounting systems; (3) preparing financial statements; (4) developing budgets; and (5) providing advice on matters related to accounting. These establishments may also provide related services, such as bookkeeping, tax return preparation, and payroll processing.

## 541213 Tax Preparation Services

This industry comprises establishments (except offices of CPAs) engaged in providing tax return preparation services without also providing accounting, bookkeeping, billing, or payroll processing services. Basic knowledge of tax law and filing requirements is required.

## 541214 Payroll Services

This industry comprises establishments (except offices of CPAs) engaged in the following without also providing accounting, bookkeeping, or billing services: (1) collecting information on hours worked, pay rates, deductions, and other payroll-related data from their clients and (2) using that information to generate paychecks, payroll reports, and tax filings. These establishments may use data processing and tabulating techniques as part of providing
their services.

## 541219 Other Accounting Services

This industry comprises establishments (except offices of CPAs) engaged in providing accounting services (except tax return preparation services only or payroll services only). These establishments may also provide tax return preparation or payroll services. Accountant (except CPA) offices, bookkeeper offices, and billing offices are included in this industry.

## 54131 Architectural Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in planning and designing residential, institutional, leisure, commercial, and industrial buildings and structures by applying knowledge of design, construction procedures, zoning regulations, building codes, and building materials.

## 54132 Landscape Architectural Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in planning and designing the development of land areas for projects, such as parks and other recreational areas; airports; highways; hospitals; schools; land subdivisions; and commercial, industrial, and residential areas, by applying knowledge of land characteristics, location of buildings and structures, use of land areas, and design of landscape projects.

## 54133 Engineering Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in applying physical laws and principles of engineering in the design, development, and utilization of machines, materials, instruments, structures, processes, and systems. The assignments undertaken by these establishments may involve any of the following activities: provision of advice, preparation of feasibility studies, preparation of preliminary and final plans and designs, provision of technical services during the construction or installation phase, inspection and evaluation of engineering projects, and related services.

## 54134 Drafting Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in drawing detailed layouts, plans, and illustrations of buildings, structures, systems, or components from engineering and architectural specifications.

## 54135 Building Inspection Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing building inspection services. These establishments typically evaluate all aspects of the building structure and component systems and prepare a report on the physical condition of the property, generally for buyers or others involved in real estate transactions. Building inspection bureaus and establishments providing home inspection services are included in this industry.

## 54136 Geophysical Surveying and Mapping Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in gathering, interpreting, and mapping geophysical data. Establishments in this industry often specialize in locating and measuring the extent of subsurface resources, such as oil, gas, and minerals, but they may also conduct surveys for engineering purposes. Establishments in this industry use a variety of surveying techniques depending on the purpose of the survey, including magnetic surveys, gravity surveys, seismic surveys, or electrical and electromagnetic surveys.

## 54137 Surveying and Mapping (Except Geophysical) Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in performing surveying and mapping services of the surface of the earth, including the sea floor. These services may include surveying and mapping of areas above or below the surface of the earth, such as the creation of view easements or segregating rights in parcels of land by creating underground utility easements.

## 54138 Testing Laboratories

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in performing physical, chemical, and other analytical testing services, such as acoustics or vibration testing, assaying, biological testing (except medical and veterinary), calibration testing, electrical and electronic testing, geotechnical testing, mechanical testing, nondestructive testing, or thermal testing. The testing may occur in a laboratory or on-site.

## 5414 Specialized Design Services

This industry group comprises establishments providing specialized design services (except architectural,
engineering, and computer systems design).

## 54141 I nterior Design Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in planning, designing, and administering projects in interior spaces to meet the physical and aesthetic needs of people using them, taking into consideration building codes, health and safety regulations, traffic patterns and floor planning, mechanical and electrical needs, and interior fittings and furniture. Interior designers and interior design consultants work in areas, such as hospitality design, health care design, institutional design, commercial and corporate design, and residential design. This industry also includes interior decorating consultants engaged exclusively in providing aesthetic services associated with interior spaces.

## 54142 Industrial Design Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in creating and developing designs and specifications that optimize the use, value, and appearance of their products. These services can include the determination of the materials, construction, mechanisms, shape, color, and surface finishes of the product, taking into consideration human characteristics and needs, safety, market appeal, and efficiency in production, distribution, use, and maintenance. Establishments providing automobile or furniture industrial design services or industrial design consulting services are included in this industry.

## 54143 Graphic Design Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in planning, designing, and managing the production of visual communication in order to convey specific messages or concepts, clarify complex information, or project visual identities. These services can include the design of printed materials, packaging, advertising, signage systems, and corporate identification (logos). This industry also includes commercial artists engaged exclusively in generating drawings and illustrations requiring technical accuracy or interpretative skills.

## 54149 Other Specialized Design Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing professional design services (except architectural, landscape architecture, engineering, interior, industrial, graphic, and computer system design).

## 5415 Computer Systems Design and Related Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing expertise in the field of information technologies through one or more of the following activities: (1) writing, modifying, testing, and supporting software to meet the needs of a particular customer; (2) planning and designing computer systems that integrate computer hardware, software, and communication technologies; (3) onsite management and operation of clients' computer systems and/or data processing facilities; and (4) other professional and technical computer-related advice and services.

## 541511 Custom Computer Programming Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in writing, modifying, testing, and supporting software to meet the needs of a particular customer.

## 541512 Computer Systems Design Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in planning and designing computer systems that integrate computer hardware, software, and communication technologies. The hardware and software components of the system may be provided by this establishment or company as part of integrated services or may be provided by third parties or vendors. These establishments often install the system and train and support users of the system.

## 541513 Computer Facilities Management Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing on-site management and operation of clients' computer systems and/or data processing facilities. Establishments providing computer systems or data processing facilities support services are included in this industry.

## 541519 Other Computer Related Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing computer related services (except custom programming, systems integration design, and facilities management services). Establishments providing computer disaster recovery services or software installation services are included in this industry.

## 54161 Management Consulting Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged
in providing advice and assistance to businesses and other organizations on administrative management issues, such as strategic and organizational planning, financial planning and budgeting, marketing objectives and policies, human resource policies, practices, and planning: production scheduling; and control planning.

## 54162 Environmental Consulting Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing advice and assistance to businesses and other organizations on environmental issues, such as the control of environmental contamination from pollutants, toxic substances, and hazardous materials. These establishments identify problems (e.g., inspect buildings for hazardous materials), measure and evaluate risks, and recommend solutions. They employ a multidisciplined staff of scientists, engineers, and other technicians with expertise in areas, such as air and water quality, asbestos contamination, remediation, and environmental law. Establishments providing sanitation or site remediation consulting services are included in this industry.

## 54169 Other Scientific and Technical Consulting Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing advice and assistance to businesses and other organizations on scientific and technical issues (except environmental).

## 5417 Scientific Research and Development Services

This industry group comprises establishments engaged in conducting original investigation undertaken on a systematic basis to gain new knowledge (research) and/or the application of research findings or other scientific knowledge for the creation of new or significantly improved products or processes (experimental development). The industries within this industry group are defined on the basis of the domain of research; that is, on the scientific expertise of the establishment.

## 54171 Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in conducting research and experimental development in the physical, engineering, or life sciences, such as agriculture, electronics, environmental, biology, botany, biotechnology, computers, chemistry, food, fisheries, forests, geology, health, mathematics, medicine, oceanography, pharmacy, physics, veterinary, and other
allied subjects.

## 54172 Research and Development in the Social Sciences and Humanities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in conducting research and analyses in cognitive development, sociology, psychology, language, behavior, economic, and other social science and humanities research.

## 54181 Advertising Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in creating advertising campaigns and placing such advertising in periodicals, newspapers, radio and television, or other media. These establishments are organized to provide a full range of services (i.e., through in-house capabilities or subcontracting), including advice, creative services, account management, production of advertising material, media planning, and buying (i.e., placing advertising).

## 54182 Public Relations Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in designing and implementing public relations campaigns. These campaigns are designed to promote the interests and image of their clients. Establishments providing lobbying, political consulting, or public relations consulting are included in this industry.

## 54183 Media Buying Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in purchasing advertising time or space from media outlets and reselling it to advertising agencies or individual companies directly.

## 54184 Media Representatives

This industry comprises establishments of independent representatives primarily engaged in selling media time or space for media owners.

## 54185 Display Advertising

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in creating and designing public display advertising, campaign materials, such as printed, painted, or electronic displays, and/or placing such displays on indoor or outdoor billboards and panels, or on or within transit vehicles or facilities, shopping malls, retail (in-store) displays, and
other display structures or sites.

## 54186 Direct Mail Advertising

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) creating and designing advertising campaigns for the purpose of distributing advertising materials (e.g., coupons, flyers, samples) or specialties (e.g., key chains, magnets, pens with customized messages imprinted) by mail or other direct distribution; and/or (2) preparing advertising materials or specialties for mailing or other direct distribution. These establishments may also compile, maintain, sell, and rent mailing lists.

## 54187 Advertising Material Distribution Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the direct distribution or delivery of advertisements (e.g., circulars, coupons, handbills) or samples. Establishments in this industry use methods, such as delivering advertisements or samples door-to-door, placing flyers or coupons on car windshields in parking lots, or handing out samples in retail stores.

## 54189 Other Services Related to Advertising

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing advertising services (except advertising agency services, public relations agency services, media buying agency services, media representative services, display advertising services, direct mail advertising services, advertising material distribution services, and marketing consulting services).

## 5419 Other Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services

This industry group comprises establishments engaged in professional, scientific, and technical services (except legal services; accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and related services; architectural, engineering, and related services; specialized design services; computer systems design and related services; management, scientific, and technical consulting services; scientific research and development services; and advertising and related services).

## 54191 Marketing Research and Public Opinion Polling

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in systematically gathering, recording, tabulating, and presenting marketing and public opinion data.

## 54192 Photographic Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing still, video, or digital photography services. These establishments may specialize in a particular field of photography, such as commercial and industrial photography, portrait photography, and special events photography. Commercial or portrait photography studios are included in this industry.

## 541921 Photography Studios, Portrait

This industry comprises establishments known as portrait studios primarily engaged in providing still, video, or digital portrait photography services.

## 541922 Commercial Photography

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing commercial photography services, generally for advertising agencies, publishers, and other business and industrial users.

## 54193 Translation and I nterpretation Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in translating written material and interpreting speech from one language to another and establishments primarily engaged in providing sign language services.

## 54194 Veterinary Services

This industry comprises establishments of licensed veterinary practitioners primarily engaged in the practice of veterinary medicine, dentistry, or surgery for animals; and establishments primarily engaged in providing testing services for licensed veterinary practitioners.

## 54199 All Other Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the provision of professional, scientific, or technical services (except legal services; accounting, tax preparation, bookkeeping, and related services; architectural, engineering, and related services; specialized design services; computer systems design and related services; management, scientific, and technical consulting services; scientific research and development services; advertising and related services; market research and public opinion polling; photographic services; translation and
interpretation services; and veterinary services).

## 561 Administrative and Support Services

Industries in the Administrative and Support Services subsector group establishments engaged in activities that support the day-to-day operations of other organizations. The processes employed in this sector (e.g., general management, personnel administration, clerical activities, cleaning activities) are often integral parts of the activities of establishments found in all sectors of the economy. The establishments classified in this subsector have specialized in one or more of these activities and can, therefore, provide services to clients in a variety of industries and, in some cases, to households. The individual industries of this subsector are defined on the basis of the particular process that they are engaged in and the particular services they provide.

Many of the activities performed in this subsector are ongoing routine support functions that all businesses and organizations must do and that they have traditionally done for themselves. Recent trends, however, are to contract or purchase such services from businesses that specialize in such activities and can, therefore, provide the services more efficiently.

The industries in this subsector cannot be viewed as strictly "support." The Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services industry group includes travel agents, tour operators, and providers of other travel arrangement services, such as hotel and restaurant reservations and arranging the purchase of tickets, serves many types of clients, including individual consumers. This group was placed in this subsector because the services are often of the "support" nature (e.g., travel arrangement) and businesses and other organizations are increasingly the ones purchasing such services.

The administrative and management activities performed by establishments in this sector are typically on a contract or fee basis. These activities may also be performed by establishments that are part of the company or enterprise. However, establishments involved in administering, overseeing, and managing other establishments of the company or enterprise, are classified in Sector 55, Management of Companies and Enterprises. These establishments normally undertake the strategic and organizational planning and decisionmaking role of the company or enterprise. Government establishments engaged in administering, overseeing and managing
governmental programs are classified in Sector 92, Public Administration.

## 56111 Office Administrative Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing a range of day-to-day office administrative services, such as financial planning; billing and recordkeeping; personnel; and physical distribution and logistics for others on a contract or fee basis. These establishments do not provide operating staff to carry out the complete operations of a business.

## 56121 Facilities Support Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing operating staff to perform a combination of support services within a client's facilities. Establishments in this industry typically provide a combination of services, such as janitorial; maintenance; trash disposal; guard and security; mail routing reception; laundry; and related services to support operations within facilities. These establishments provide operating staff to carry out these support activities; but are not involved with or responsible for the core business or activities of the client. Establishments providing facilities (except computer and/or data processing) operation support services and establishments operating correctional facilities (i.e., jails) on a contract or fee basis are included in this industry.

## 56131 Employment Placement Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in listing employment vacancies and in referring or placing applicants for employment. The individuals referred or placed are not employees of the employment agencies.

## 56132 Temporary Help Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in supplying workers to clients' businesses for limited periods of time to supplement the working force of the client. The individuals provided are employees of the temporary help service establishment. However, these establishments do not provide direct supervision of their employees at the clients' work sites.

## 56133 Professional Employer Organizations

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing human resources and human resource management services to staff client businesses.

Establishments in this industry operate in a coemployment relationship with client businesses or organizations and are specialized in performing a wide range of human resource and personnel management duties, such as payroll accounting, payroll tax return preparation, benefits administration, recruiting, and managing labor relations. Employee leasing establishments typically acquire and lease back some or all of the employees of their clients and serve as the employer of the leased employees for payroll, benefits, and related purposes. Employee leasing establishments exercise varying degrees of decision making relating to their human resource or personnel management role, but do not have management accountability for the work of their clients' operations with regard to strategic planning, output, or profitability. Professional employer organizations (PEO) and establishments providing labor or staff leasing services are included in this industry.

## 5614 Business Support Services

This industry group comprises establishments engaged in performing activities that are ongoing routine business support functions that businesses and organizations traditionally do for themselves.

## 56141 Document Preparation Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) letter or resume writing; (2) document editing or proofreading; (3) typing, word processing, or desktop publishing; and (4) stenographic (except court reporting or stenotype recording), transcription, and other secretarial services.

## 56142 Telephone Call Centers

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in answering telephone calls and relaying messages to clients and (2) establishments primarily engaged in providing telemarketing services on a contract or fee basis for others, such as promoting clients' products or services by telephone; taking orders for clients by telephone; and soliciting contributions or providing information for clients by telephone. These establishments never own the product or provide the services they are representing and generally can originate and/or receive calls for others.

## 561421 Telephone Answering Services

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in answering telephone calls and relaying
messages to clients.

## 561422 Telemarketing Bureaus

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing telemarketing services on a contract or fee basis for others, such as: (1) promoting clients' products or services by telephone, (2) taking orders for clients by telephone, and (3) soliciting contributions or providing information for clients by telephone. These establishments never own the product or provide the services they are representing and generally can originate and/or receive calls for others.

## 56143 Business Service Centers

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in providing mailbox rental and other postal and mailing services (except direct mail advertising); (2) establishments generally known as copy centers or shops primarily engaged in providing photocopying, duplicating, blueprinting, and other document copying services, without also providing printing services (e.g., offset printing, quick printing, digital printing, prepress services); and (3) establishments engaged in providing a range of office support services (except printing services), such as mailing services, document copying services, facsimile services, word processing services, on-site PC rental services, and office product sales.

## 561431 Private Mail Centers

This U.S. industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in providing mailbox rental and other postal and mailing (except direct mail advertising) services or (2) establishments engaged in providing these mailing services along with one or more other office support services, such as facsimile services, word processing services, on-site PC rental services, and office product sales.

## 561439 Other Business Service Centers (including Copy Shops)

This U.S. industry comprises (1) establishments generally known as copy centers or shops primarily engaged in providing photocopying, duplicating, blueprinting, and other document copying services, without also providing printing services (e.g., offset printing, quick printing, digital printing, prepress services) and (2) establishments (except private mail centers) engaged in providing a range of office support services (except printing services), such as document copying services, facsimile services, word
processing services, on-site PC rental services, and office product sales.

## 56144 Collection Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in collecting payments for claims and remitting payments collected to their clients.

## 56145 Credit Bureaus

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in compiling information, such as credit and employment histories on individuals and credit histories on businesses, and providing the information to financial institutions, retailers, and others who have a need to evaluate the credit worthiness of these persons and businesses.

## 56149 Other Business Support Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing business support services (except secretarial and other document preparation services; telephone answering or telemarketing services; private mail services or document copying services conducted as separate activities or in conjunction with other office support services; monetary debt collection services; and credit reporting services).

## 561491 Repossession Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repossessing tangible assets (e.g., automobiles, boats, equipment, planes, furniture, appliances) for the creditor as a result of delinquent debts.

## 561492 Court Reporting and Stenotype Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing verbatim reporting and stenotype recording of live legal proceedings and transcribing subsequent recorded materials.

## 561499 All Other Business Support Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing business support services (except secretarial and other document preparation services; telephone answering and telemarketing services; private mail services or document copying services conducted as separate activities or in conjunction with other office support services; monetary debt collection services; credit reporting
services; repossession services; and court reporting and stenotype recording services).

## 56151 Travel Agencies

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in acting as agents in selling travel, tour, and accommodation services to the general public and commercial clients.

## 56152 Tour Operators

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in arranging and assembling tours. The tours are sold through travel agencies or tour operators. Travel or wholesale tour operators are included in this industry.

## 56159 Other Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services

This industry comprises establishments (except travel agencies and tour operators) primarily engaged in providing travel arrangement and reservation services.

## 561591 Convention and Visitors Bureaus

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in marketing and promoting communities and facilities to businesses and leisure travelers through a range of activities, such as assisting organizations in locating meeting and convention sites; providing travel information on area attractions, lodging accommodations, restaurants; providing maps; and organizing group tours of local historical, recreational, and cultural attractions.

## 561599 All Other Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services

This U.S. industry comprises establishments (except travel agencies, tour operators, and convention and visitors bureaus) primarily engaged in providing travel arrangement and reservation services.

## 56161 I nvestigation, Guard, and Armored Car Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing one or more of the following: (1) investigation and detective services; (2) guard and patrol services; and (3) picking up and delivering money, receipts, or other valuable items with personnel and equipment to protect such properties while in transit.

## 561611 I nvestigation Services

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing investigation and detective services.

## 561612 Security Guards and Patrol Services

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing guard and patrol services, such as bodyguard, guard dog, and parking security services.

## 561613 Armored Car Services

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in picking up and delivering money, receipts, or other valuable items. These establishments maintain personnel and equipment to protect such properties while in transit.

## 56162 Security Systems Services

This industry comprises establishments engaged in (1) selling security systems, such as burglar and fire alarms and locking devices, along with installation, repair, or monitoring services or (2) remote monitoring of electronic security alarm systems.

## 561621 Security Systems Services (Except Locksmiths)

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) selling security alarm systems, such as burglar and fire alarms, along with installation, repair, or monitoring services or (2) remote monitoring of electronic security alarm systems.

## 561622 Locksmiths

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) selling mechanical or electronic locking devices, safes, and security vaults, along with installation, repair, rebuilding, or adjusting services or (2) installing, repairing, rebuilding, and adjusting mechanical or electronic locking devices, safes, and security vaults.

## 56171 Exterminating and Pest Control Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in exterminating and controlling birds, mosquitoes, rodents, termites, and other insects and pests (except for crop production and forestry production). Establishments providing fumigation services are included in this industry.

## 56172 J anitorial Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in cleaning building interiors, interiors of transportation equipment (e.g., aircraft, rail cars, ships), and/or windows.

## 56173 Landscaping Services

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in providing landscape care and maintenance services and/or installing trees, shrubs, plants, lawns, or gardens and (2) establishments primarily engaged in providing these services along with the design of landscape plans and/or the construction (i.e., installation) of walkways, retaining walls, decks, fences, ponds, and similar structures.

## 56174 Carpet and Upholstery Cleaning Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in cleaning and dyeing used rugs, carpets, and upholstery.

## 56179 Other Services to Buildings and Dwellings

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing services to buildings and dwellings (except exterminating and pest control; janitorial; landscaping care and maintenance; and carpet and upholstery cleaning).

## 5619 Other Support Services

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing day-to-day business and other organizational support services (except office administrative services; facilities support services; employment services; business support services; travel arrangement and reservation services; security and investigation services; and services to buildings and dwellings).

## 56191 Packaging and Labeling Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in packaging client-owned materials. The services may include labeling and/or imprinting the package.

## 56192 Convention and Trade Show Organizers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in organizing, promoting, and/or managing events, such as business and trade shows, conventions, conferences, and meetings (whether or not they manage and provide
the staff to operate the facilities in which these events take place).

## 56199 All Other Support Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing day-to-day business and other organizational support services (except office administrative services, facilities support services, employment services, business support services, travel arrangement and reservation services, security and investigation services, services to buildings and other structures, packaging and labeling services, and convention and trade show organizing services).

## 562111 Solid Waste Collection

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) collecting and/or hauling nonhazardous solid waste (i.e., garbage) within a local area; (2) operating nonhazardous solid waste transfer stations; and (3) collecting and/or hauling mixed recyclable materials within a local area.

## 562 Waste Management and Remediation Services

Industries in the Waste Management and Remediation Services subsector group establishments engaged in the collection, treatment, and disposal of waste materials. This includes establishments engaged in local hauling of waste materials; operating materials recovery facilities (i.e., those that sort recyclable materials from the trash stream); providing remediation services (i.e., those that provide for the cleanup of contaminated buildings, mine sites, soil, or ground water); and providing septic pumping and other miscellaneous waste management services. There are three industry groups within the subsector that separate these activities into waste collection, waste treatment and disposal, and remediation and other waste management.

Excluded from this subsector are establishments primarily engaged in collecting, treating, and disposing waste through sewer systems or sewage treatment facilities that are classified in Industry 22132, Sewage Treatment Facilities and establishments primarily engaged in longdistance hauling of waste materials that are classified in Industry 48423, Specialized Freight (except Used Goods) Trucking, Long-Distance. Also, there are some activities that appear to be related to waste management, but that are not included in this subsector. For example, establishments primarily engaged in providing waste management consulting services are classified in Industry

54162, Environmental Consulting Services.

## 5621 Waste Collection

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) collecting and/ or hauling hazardous waste, nonhazardous waste, and/or recyclable materials within a local area and/or (2) operating hazardous or nonhazardous waste transfer stations. Hazardous waste collection establishments may be responsible for the identification, treatment, packaging, and labeling of waste for the purposes of transport.

## 562111 Solid Waste Collection

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) collecting and/or hauling nonhazardous solid waste (i.e., garbage) within a local area; (2) operating nonhazardous solid waste transfer stations; and (3) collecting and/or hauling mixed recyclable materials within a local area.

## 562112 Hazardous Waste Collection

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in collecting and/or hauling hazardous waste within a local area and/or operating hazardous waste transfer stations. Hazardous waste collection establishments may be responsible for the identification, treatment, packaging, and labeling of wastes for the purposes of transport.

## 562119 Other Waste Collection

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in collecting and/or hauling waste (except nonhazardous solid waste and hazardous waste) within a local area. Establishments engaged in brush or rubble removal services are included in this industry.

## 5622 Waste Treatment and Disposal

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) operating waste treatment or disposal facilities (except sewer systems or sewage treatment facilities) or (2) the combined activity of collecting and/or hauling of waste materials within a local area and operating waste treatment or disposal facilities. Waste combustors or incinerators (including those that may produce byproducts, such as electricity), solid waste landfills, and compost dumps are included in this industry.

## 562211 Hazardous Waste Treatment and Disposal

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) operating treatment and/or disposal facilities for hazardous waste or (2) the combined activity of collecting and/or hauling of hazardous waste materials within a local area and operating treatment or disposal facilities for hazardous waste.

## 562212 Solid Waste Landfill

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) operating landfills for the disposal of nonhazardous solid waste or (2) the combined activity of collecting and/or hauling nonhazardous waste materials within a local area and operating landfills for the disposal of nonhazardous solid waste.

## 562213 Solid Waste Combustors and Incinerators

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating combustors and incinerators for the disposal of nonhazardous solid waste. These establishments may produce byproducts, such as electricity and steam.

## 562219 Other Nonhazardous Waste Treatment and Disposal

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) operating nonhazardous waste treatment and disposal facilities (except landfills, combustors, incinerators and sewer systems or sewage treatment facilities) or (2) the combined activity of collecting and/or hauling of nonhazardous waste materials within a local area and operating waste treatment or disposal facilities (except landfills, combustors, incinerators and sewer systems, or sewage treatment facilities). Compost dumps are included in this industry.

## 5629 Remediation and Other Waste Management Services

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in remediation and other waste management services (except waste collection, waste treatment and disposal, and waste management consulting services).

## 56291 Remediation Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged
in one or more of the following: (1) remediation and cleanup of contaminated buildings, mine sites, soil, or ground water; (2) integrated mine reclamation activities, including demolition, soil remediation, waste water treatment, hazardous material removal, contouring land, and revegetation; and (3) asbestos, lead paint, and other toxic material abatement.

## 56292 Materials Recovery Facilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) operating facilities for separating and sorting recyclable materials from nonhazardous waste streams (i.e., garbage) and/or (2) operating facilities where commingled recyclable materials, such as paper, plastics, used beverage cans, and metals, are sorted into distinct categories.

## 56299 All Other Waste Management Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in waste management services (except waste collection, waste treatment and disposal, remediation, operation of materials recovery facilities, and waste management consulting services).

## 562991 Septic Tank and Related Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) pumping (i.e., cleaning) septic tanks and cesspools and/or (2) renting and/or servicing portable toilets.

## 562998 All Other Miscellaneous Waste Management Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing waste management services (except waste collection, waste treatment and disposal, remediation, operation of materials recovery facilities, septic tank pumping and related services, and waste management consulting services).

## 621 Ambulatory Health Care Services

Industries in the Ambulatory Health Care Services subsector provide health care services directly or indirectly to ambulatory patients and do not usually provide inpatient services. Health practitioners in this subsector provide outpatient services, with the facilities and equipment not usually being the most significant part of the production process.

## 6211 Offices of Physicians

This industry comprises establishments of health practitioners having the degree of M.D. (Doctor of medicine) or D.O. (Doctor of osteopathy) primarily engaged in the independent practice of general or specialized medicine or surgery. These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 6212 Offices of Dentists

This industry comprises establishments of health practitioners having the degree of D.M.D. (Doctor of dental medicine), D.D.S. (Doctor of dental surgery), or D.D.Sc. (Doctor of dental science) primarily engaged in the independent practice of general or specialized dentistry or dental surgery. These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers. They can provide either comprehensive preventive, cosmetic, or emergency care, or specialize in a single field of dentistry.

## 6213 Offices of Other Health Practitioners

This industry group comprises establishments of independent health practitioners (except physicians and dentists).

## 62131 Offices of Chiropractors

This industry comprises establishments of health practitioners having the degree of D.C. (Doctor of chiropractic) primarily engaged in the independent practice of chiropractic. These practitioners provide diagnostic and therapeutic treatment of neuromusculoskeletal and related disorders through the manipulation and adjustment of the spinal column and extremities, and operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 62132 Offices of Optometrists

This industry comprises establishments of health practitioners having the degree of O.D. (Doctor of optometry) primarily engaged in the independent practice of optometry. These practitioners provide eye examinations to determine visual acuity or the presence of vision
problems and to prescribe eyeglasses, contact lenses, and eye exercises. They operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers, and may also provide the same service as opticians, such as selling and fitting prescription eyeglasses and contact lenses.

## 62133 Offices of Mental Health Practitioners (Except Physicians)

This industry comprises establishments of independent mental health practitioners (except physicians) primarily engaged in (1) the diagnosis and treatment of mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders and/or (2) the diagnosis and treatment of individual or group social dysfunction brought about by such causes as mental illness, alcohol and substance abuse, physical and emotional trauma, or stress. These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 62134 Offices of Physical, Occupational and Speech Therapists, and Audiologists

This industry comprises establishments of independent health practitioners primarily engaged in one of the following: (1) administering medically prescribed physical therapy treatment for patients suffering from injuries or muscle, nerve, joint, and bone disease; (2) planning and administering educational, recreational, and social activities designed to help patients or individuals with disabilities, regain physical or mental functioning or to adapt to their disabilities; and (3) diagnosing and treating speech, language, or hearing problems. These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 62139 Offices of All Other Health Practitioners

This industry comprises establishments of independent health practitioners (except physicians; dentists; chiropractors; optometrists; mental health specialists; physical, occupational, and speech therapists; and audiologists). These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 621391 Offices of Podiatrists

This U.S. industry comprises establishments of health practitioners having the degree of D.P. (Doctor of podiatry) primarily engaged in the independent practice of podiatry. These practitioners diagnose and treat diseases and deformities of the foot and operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 621399 Offices of All Other Miscellaneous Health Practitioners

This U.S. industry comprises establishments of independent health practitioners (except physicians; dentists; chiropractors; optometrists; mental health specialists; physical, occupational, and speech therapists; audiologists; and podiatrists). These practitioners operate private or group practices in their own offices (e.g., centers, clinics) or in the facilities of others, such as hospitals or HMO medical centers.

## 62141 Family Planning Centers

This industry comprises establishments with medical staff primarily engaged in providing a range of family planning services on an outpatient basis, such as contraceptive services, genetic and prenatal counseling, voluntary sterilization, and therapeutic and medically indicated termination of pregnancy.

## 62142 Outpatient Mental Health and Substance Abuse Centers

This industry comprises establishments with medical staff primarily engaged in providing outpatient services related to the diagnosis and treatment of mental health disorders and alcohol and other substance abuse. These establishments generally treat patients who do not require inpatient treatment. They may provide a counseling staff and information regarding a wide range of mental health and substance abuse issues and/or refer patients to more extensive treatment programs, if necessary.

## 62149 Other Outpatient Care Centers

This industry comprises establishments with medical staff primarily engaged in providing general or specialized
outpatient care (except family planning centers and outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers). Centers or clinics of health practitioners with different degrees from more than one industry practicing within the same establishment (i.e., Doctor of medicine and Doctor of dental medicine) are included in this industry.

## 621491 HMO Medical Centers

This industry comprises establishments with physicians and other medical staff primarily engaged in providing a range of outpatient medical services to the health maintenance organization (HMO) subscribers with a focus generally on primary health care. These establishments are owned by the HMO. Included in this industry are HMO establishments that both provide health care services and underwrite health and medical insurance policies.

## 621492 Kidney Dialysis Centers

This industry comprises establishments with medical staff primarily engaged in providing outpatient kidney or renal dialysis services.

## 621493 Freestanding Ambulatory Surgical and Emergency Centers

This industry comprises establishments with physicians and other medical staff primarily engaged in (1) providing surgical services (e.g., orthoscopic and cataract surgery) on an outpatient basis or (2) providing emergency care services (e.g., setting broken bones, treating lacerations, or tending to patients suffering injuries as a result of accidents, trauma, or medical conditions necessitating immediate medical care) on an outpatient basis. Outpatient surgical establishments have specialized facilities, such as operating and recovery rooms, and specialized equipment, such as anesthetic or X-ray equipment.

## 621498 All Other Outpatient Care Centers

This industry comprises establishments with medical staff primarily engaged in providing general or specialized outpatient care (except family planning centers, outpatient mental health and substance abuse centers, HMO medical centers, kidney dialysis centers, and freestanding ambulatory surgical and emergency centers). Centers or clinics of health practitioners with different degrees from more than one industry practicing within the same establishment (i.e., Doctor of medicine and Doctor of dental medicine) are included in this industry.

## 6215 Medical and Diagnostic Laboratories

This industry comprises establishments known as medical and diagnostic laboratories primarily engaged in providing analytic or diagnostic services, including body fluid analysis and diagnostic imaging, generally to the medical profession or to the patient on referral from a health practitioner.

## 621511 Medical Laboratories

This industry comprises establishments known as medical laboratories primarily engaged in providing analytic or diagnostic services, including body fluid analysis, generally to the medical profession or to the patient on referral from a health practitioner.

## 621512 Diagnostic I maging Centers

This industry comprises establishments known as diagnostic imaging centers primarily engaged in producing images of the patient generally on referral from a health practitioner.

## 6216 Home Health Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing skilled nursing services in the home, along with a range of the following: personal care services; homemaker and companion services; physical therapy; medical social services; medications; medical equipment and supplies; counseling; 24-hour home care; occupation and vocational therapy; dietary and nutritional services; speech therapy; audiology; and high-tech care, such as intravenous therapy.

## 6219 Other Ambulatory Health Care Services

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing ambulatory health care services (except offices of physicians, dentists, and other health practitioners; outpatient care centers; medical laboratories and diagnostic imaging centers; and home health care providers).

## 62191 Ambulance Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing transportation of patients by ground or air, along with medical care. These services are often provided during a medical emergency but are not restricted to emergencies. The vehicles are equipped with lifesaving equipment operated by medically trained personnel.

## 62199 All Other Ambulatory Health Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing ambulatory health care services (except offices of physicians, dentists, and other health practitioners; outpatient care centers; medical and diagnostic laboratories; home health care providers; and ambulances).

## 622 Hospitals

Industries in the Hospitals subsector provide medical, diagnostic, and treatment services that include physician, nursing, and other health services to inpatients and the specialized accommodation services required by inpatients. Hospitals may also provide outpatient services as a secondary activity. Establishments in the Hospitals subsector provide inpatient health services, many of which can only be provided using the specialized facilities and equipment that form a significant and integral part of the production process.

## 6221 General Medical and Surgical Hospitals

This industry comprises establishments known and licensed as general medical and surgical hospitals primarily engaged in providing diagnostic and medical treatment (both surgical and nonsurgical) to inpatients with any of a wide variety of medical conditions. These establishments maintain inpatient beds and provide patients with food services that meet their nutritional requirements. These hospitals have an organized staff of physicians and other medical staff to provide patient care services. These establishments usually provide other services, such as outpatient services, anatomical pathology services, diagnostic X-ray services, clinical laboratory services, operating room services for a variety of procedures, and pharmacy services.

## 6222 Psychiatric and Substance Abuse Hospitals

This industry comprises establishments known and licensed as psychiatric and substance abuse hospitals primarily engaged in providing diagnostic, medical treatment, and monitoring services for inpatients who suffer from mental illness or substance abuse disorders. The treatment often requires an extended stay in the hospital. These establishments maintain inpatient beds and provide patients with food services that meet their nutritional requirements. They have an organized staff of physicians and other medical staff to provide patient care services. Psychiatric, psychological, and social work
services are available at the facility. These hospitals usually provide other services, such as outpatient services, clinical laboratory services, diagnostic X -ray services, and electroencephalograph services.

## 6223 Specialty (Except Psychiatric and Substance Abuse) Hospitals

This industry consists of establishments known and licensed as specialty hospitals primarily engaged in providing diagnostic and medical treatment to inpatients with a specific type of disease or medical condition (except psychiatric or substance abuse). Hospitals providing longterm care for the chronically ill and hospitals providing rehabilitation, restorative, and adjustive services to physically challenged or disabled people are included in this industry. These establishments maintain inpatient beds and provide patients with food services that meet their nutritional requirements. They have an organized staff of physicians and other medical staff to provide patient care services. These hospitals may provide other services, such as outpatient services, diagnostic X -ray services, clinical laboratory services, operating room services, physical therapy services, educational and vocational services, and psychological and social work services.

## 623 Nursing and Residential Care Facilities

Industries in the Nursing and Residential Care Facilities subsector provide residential care combined with either nursing, supervisory, or other types of care as required by the residents. In this subsector, the facilities are a significant part of the production process and the care provided is a mix of health and social services with the health services being largely some level of nursing services.

## 6231 Nursing Care Facilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing inpatient nursing and rehabilitative services. The care is generally provided for an extended period of time to individuals requiring nursing care. These establishments have a permanent core staff of registered or licensed practical nurses who, along with other staff, provide nursing and continuous personal care services.

## 6232 Residential Mental Retardation, Mental Health and Substance Abuse Facilities

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing residential care (but not licensed hospital care) to people with mental retardation, mental
illness, or substance abuse problems.

## 62321 Residential Mental Retardation Facilities

This industry comprises establishments (e.g., group homes, hospitals, intermediate care facilities) primarily engaged in providing residential care services for persons diagnosed with mental retardation. These facilities may provide some health care, though the focus is room, board, protective supervision, and counseling.

## 62322 Residential Mental Health and Substance Abuse Facilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing residential care and treatment for patients with mental health and substance abuse illnesses. These establishments provide room, board, supervision, and counseling services. Although medical services may be available at these establishments, they are incidental to the counseling, mental rehabilitation, and support services offered. These establishments generally provide a wide range of social services in addition to counseling.

## 6233 Community Care Facilities for the Elderly

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing residential and personal care services for (1) the elderly and other persons who are unable to fully care for themselves and/or (2) the elderly and other persons who do not desire to live independently. The care typically includes room, board, supervision, and assistance in daily living, such as housekeeping services. In some instances these establishments provide skilled nursing care for residents in separate onsite facilities.

## 623311 Continuing Care Retirement Communities

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing a range of residential and personal care services with on-site nursing care facilities for (1) the elderly and other persons who are unable to fully care for themselves and/or (2) the elderly and other persons who do not desire to live independently. Individuals live in a variety of residential settings with meals, housekeeping, social, leisure, and other services available to assist residents in daily living. Assisted-living facilities with on-site nursing care facilities are included in this industry.

## 623312 Homes for the Elderly

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily
engaged in providing residential and personal care services (i.e., without on-site nursing care facilities) for (1) the elderly or other persons who are unable to fully care for themselves and/or (2) the elderly or other persons who do not desire to live independently. The care typically includes room, board, supervision, and assistance in daily living, such as housekeeping services.

## 6239 Other Residential Care Facilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing residential care (except residential mental retardation facilities, residential health and substance abuse facilities, continuing care retirement communities, and homes for the elderly). These establishments also provide supervision and personal care services.

## 624 Social Assistance

Industries in the Social Assistance subsector provide a wide variety of social assistance services directly to their clients. These services do not include residential or accommodation services, except on a short stay basis.

## 62411 Child and Youth Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing nonresidential social assistance services for children and youth. These establishments provide for the welfare of children in such areas as adoption and foster care, drug prevention, life skills training, and positive social development.

## 62412 Services for the Elderly and Persons With Disabilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing nonresidential social assistance services to improve the quality of life for the elderly, persons diagnosed with mental retardation, or persons with disabilities. These establishments provide for the welfare of these of individuals in such areas as day care, nonmedical home care or homemaker services, social activities, group support, and companionship.

## 62419 Other Individual and Family Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing nonresidential individual and family social assistance services (except those specifically directed toward children, the elderly, persons diagnosed with mental retardation, or persons with disabilities).

## 62421 Community Food Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the collection, preparation, and delivery of food for the needy. Establishments in this industry may also distribute clothing and blankets to the poor. These establishments may prepare and deliver meals to persons who by reason of age, disability, or illness are unable to prepare meals for themselves; collect and distribute salvageable or donated food; or prepare and provide meals at fixed or mobile locations. Food banks, meal delivery programs, and soup kitchens are included in this industry.

## 62422 Community Housing Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing one or more of the following community housing services: (1) short term emergency shelter for victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, or child abuse; (2) temporary residential shelter for the homeless, runaway youths, and patients and families caught in medical crises; (3) transitional housing for low-income individuals and families; (4) volunteer construction or repair of low cost housing, in partnership with the homeowner who may assist in construction or repair work; and (5) repair of homes for elderly or disabled homeowners. These establishments may operate their own shelter; or may subsidize housing using existing homes, apartments, hotels, or motels; or may require a low-cost mortgage or work (sweat) equity.

## 62423 Emergency and Other Relief Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing food, shelter, clothing, medical relief, resettlement, and counseling to victims of domestic or international disasters or conflicts (e.g., wars)

## 6243 Vocational Rehabilitation Services

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in providing vocational rehabilitation or habilitation services, such as job counseling, job training, and work experience, to unemployed and underemployed persons, persons with disabilities, and persons who have a job market disadvantage because of lack of education, job skill, or experience and (2) establishments primarily engaged in providing training and employment to persons with disabilities. Vocational rehabilitation job training facilities (except schools) and sheltered workshops (i.e., work experience centers) are included in this industry.

## 6244 Child Day Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing day care of infants or children. These establishments generally care for preschool children, but may care for older children when they are not in school and may also offer prekindergarten educational programs.

## 711 Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related I ndustries

Industries in the Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries subsector group establishments that produce or organize and promote live presentations involving the performances of actors and actresses, singers, dancers, musical groups and artists, athletes, and other entertainers, including independent (i.e., freelance) entertainers and the establishments that manage their careers. The classification recognizes four basic processes: (1) producing (i.e., presenting) events; (2) organizing, managing, and/or promoting events; (3) managing and representing entertainers; and (4) providing the artistic, creative and technical skills necessary to the production of these live events. Also, this subsector contains four industries for performing arts companies. Each is defined on the basis of the particular skills of the entertainers involved in the presentations.

The industry structure for this subsector makes a clear distinction between performing arts companies and performing artists (i.e., independent or freelance). Although not unique to arts and entertainment, freelancing is a particularly important phenomenon in this Performing Arts, Spectator Sports, and Related Industries subsector.

Distinguishing this activity from the production activity is a meaningful process differentiation. This approach, however, is difficult to implement in the case of musical groups (i.e., companies) and artists, especially pop groups. These establishments tend to be more loosely organized and it can be difficult to distinguish companies from freelancers. For this reason, NAICS includes one industry that covers both musical groups and musical artists.

This subsector contains two industries for Industry Group 7113, Promoters of Performing Arts, Sports, and Similar Events, one for those that operate facilities and another for those that do not. This is because there are significant differences in cost structures between those promoters that manage and provide the staff to operate facilities and those that do not. In addition to promoters without facilities,
other industries in this subsector include establishments that may operate without permanent facilities. These types of establishments include: performing arts companies, musical groups and artists, spectator sports, and independent (i.e., freelance) artists, writers, and performers.

Excluded from this subsector are nightclubs. Some nightclubs promote live entertainment on a regular basis and it can be argued that they could be classified in Industry Group 7113, Promoters of Performing Arts, Sports, and Similar Events. However, since most of these establishments function as any other drinking place when they do not promote entertainment and because most of their revenue is derived from sale of food and beverages, they are classified in Subsector 722, Food Services and Drinking Places.

## 7111 Performing Arts Companies

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in producing live presentations involving the performances of actors and actresses, singers, dancers, musical groups and artists, and other performing artists.

## 7112 Spectator Sports

This industry comprises (1) sports teams or clubs primarily participating in live sporting events before a paying audience; (2) establishments primarily engaged in operating racetracks; (3) independent athletes engaged in participating in live sporting or racing events before a paying audience; (4) owners of racing participants, such as cars, dogs, and horses, primarily engaged in entering them in racing events or other spectator sports events; and (5) establishments, such as sports trainers, primarily engaged in providing specialized services to support participants in sports events or competitions. The sports teams and clubs included in this industry may or may not operate their own arena, stadium, or other facility for presenting their games or other spectator sports events.

## 711211 Sports Teams and Clubs

This industry comprises professional or semiprofessional sports teams or clubs primarily engaged in participating in live sporting events, such as baseball, basketball, football, hockey, soccer, and jai alai games, before a paying audience. These establishments may or may not operate their own arena, stadium, or other facility for presenting these events.

## 711212 Racetracks

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating racetracks. These establishments may also present and /or promote the events, such as auto, dog, and horse races, held in these facilities.

## 711219 Other Spectator Sports

This industry comprises (1) independent athletes, such as professional or semiprofessional golfers, boxers, and race car drivers, primarily engaged in participating in live sporting or racing events before a paying audience; (2) owners of racing participants, such as cars, dogs, and horses, primarily engaged in entering them in racing events or other spectator sports events; and (3) establishments, such as sports trainers, primarily engaged in providing specialized services required to support participants in sports events or competitions.

## 71131 Promoters of Performing Arts, Sports, and Similar Events With Facilities

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in (1) organizing, promoting, and/or managing live performing arts productions, sports events, and similar events, such as State fairs, county fairs, agricultural fairs, concerts, and festivals, held in facilities that they manage and operate and/or (2) managing and providing the staff to operate arenas, stadiums, theaters, or other related facilities for rent to other promoters.

## 71132 Promoters of Performing Arts, Sports, and Similar Events Without Facilities

This industry comprises promoters primarily engaged in organizing, promoting, and/or managing live performing arts productions, sports events, and similar events, such as state fairs, county fairs, agricultural fairs, concerts, and festivals, in facilities that are managed and operated by others. Theatrical (except motion picture) booking agencies are included in this industry.

## 7114 Agents and Managers for Artists, Athletes, Entertainers, and Other Public Figures

This industry comprises establishments of agents and managers primarily engaged in representing and/or managing creative and performing artists, sports figures, entertainers, and other public figures. The representation and management includes activities, such as representing
clients in contract negotiations; managing or organizing client's financial affairs; and generally promoting the careers of their clients.

## 7115 Independent Artists, Writers, and Performers

This industry comprises independent (i.e., freelance) individuals primarily engaged in performing in artistic productions, in creating artistic and cultural works or productions, or in providing technical expertise necessary for these productions. This industry also includes athletes and other celebrities exclusively engaged in endorsing products and making speeches or public appearances for which they receive a fee.

## 712 Museums, Historical Sites, and Similar I nstitutions

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the preservation and exhibition of objects, sites, and natural wonders of historical, cultural, and/or educational value.

## 713 Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation I ndustries

Industries in the Amusement, Gambling, and Recreation Industries subsector (1) operate facilities where patrons can primarily engage in sports, recreation, amusement, or gambling activities and/or (2) provide other amusement and recreation services, such as supplying and servicing amusement devices in places of business operated by others; operating sports teams, clubs, or leagues engaged in playing games for recreational purposes; and guiding tours without using transportation equipment.

This subsector does not cover all establishments providing recreational services. Other sectors of NAICS also provide recreational services. Providers of recreational services are often engaged in processes classified in other sectors of NAICS. For example, operators of resorts and hunting and fishing camps provide both accommodation and recreational facilities and services. These establishments are classified in Subsector 721, Accommodation, partly to reflect the significant costs associated with the provision of accommodation services and partly to ensure consistency with international standards. Likewise, establishments using transportation equipment to provide recreational and entertainment services, such as those operating sightseeing buses, dinner cruises, or helicopter rides, are classified in Subsector 48-49, Transportation and Warehousing.

The industry groups in this subsector highlight particular
types of activities: amusement parks and arcades, gambling industries, and other amusement and recreation industries. The groups, however, are not all inclusive of the activity. The Gambling Industries industry group does not provide for full coverage of gambling activities. For example, casino hotels are classified in Subsector 721, Accommodation; and horse and dog racing tracks are classified in Industry Group 7112, Spectator Sports.

## 7131 Amusement Parks and Arcades

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating amusement parks and amusement arcades and parlors.

## 71311 Amusement and Theme Parks

This industry comprises establishments, known as amusement or theme parks, primarily engaged in operating a variety of attractions, such as mechanical rides, water rides, games, shows, theme exhibits, refreshment stands, and picnic grounds. These establishments may lease space to others on a concession basis.

## 71312 Amusement Arcades

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating amusement (except gambling, billiard, or pool) arcades and parlors.

## 7132 Gambling I ndustries

This industry group comprises establishments (except casino hotels) primarily engaged in operating gambling facilities, such as casinos, bingo halls, and video gaming terminals, or in the provision of gambling services, such as lotteries and off-track betting. Casino hotels are classified in Industry 72112, Casino Hotels.

## 71321 Casinos (Except Casino Hotels)

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating gambling facilities that offer table wagering games along with other gambling activities, such as slot machines and sports betting. These establishments often provide food and beverage services. Included in this industry are floating casinos (i.e., gambling cruises, riverboat casinos).

## 71329 Other Gambling I ndustries

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating gambling facilities (except casinos or casino
hotels) or providing gambling services.

## 71391 Golf Courses and Country Clubs

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in operating golf courses (except miniature) and (2) establishments primarily engaged in operating golf courses, along with dining facilities and other recreational facilities that are known as country clubs. These establishments often provide food and beverage services, equipment rental services, and golf instruction services.

## 71392 Skiing Facilities

This industry comprises establishments engaged in (1) operating downhill, cross-country, or related skiing areas and/or (2) operating equipment, such as ski lifts and tows. These establishments often provide food and beverage services, equipment rental services, and ski instruction services. Four season resorts without accommodations are included in this industry.

## 71393 Marinas

This industry comprises establishments, commonly known as marinas, engaged in operating docking and/or storage facilities for pleasure craft owners, with or without one or more related activities, such as retailing fuel and marine supplies; and repairing, maintaining, or renting pleasure boats.

## 71394 Fitness and Recreational Sports Centers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating fitness and recreational sports facilities featuring exercise and other active physical fitness conditioning or recreational sports activities, such as swimming, skating, or racquet sports.

## 71395 Bowling Centers

This industry comprises establishments engaged in operating bowling centers. These establishments often provide food and beverage services.

## 71399 All Other Amusement and Recreation I ndustries

This industry comprises establishments (except amusement parks and arcades; gambling industries; golf courses and country clubs; skiing facilities; marinas; fitness and recreational sports centers; and bowling centers) primarily engaged in providing recreational and amusement services.

## 811 Repair and Maintenance

Industries in the Repair and Maintenance subsector restore machinery, equipment, and other products to working order. These establishments also typically provide general or routine maintenance (i.e., servicing) on such products to ensure they work efficiently and to prevent breakdown and unnecessary repairs.

The NAICS structure for this subsector brings together most types of repair and maintenance establishments and categorizes them based on production processes (i.e., on the type of repair and maintenance activity performed, and the necessary skills, expertise, and processes that are found in different repair and maintenance establishments). This NAICS classification does not delineate between repair services provided to businesses versus those that serve households. Although some industries primarily serve either businesses or households, separation by class of customer is limited by the fact that many establishments serve both. Establishments repairing computers and consumer electronics products are two examples of such overlap.

The Repair and Maintenance subsector does not include all establishments that do repair and maintenance. For example, a substantial amount of repair is done by establishments that also manufacture machinery, equipment, and other goods. These establishments are included in the Manufacturing sector in NAICS. In addition, repair of transportation equipment is often provided by or based at transportation facilities, such as airports, seaports, and these activities are included in the Transportation and Warehousing sector. A particularly unique situation exists with repair of buildings. Plumbing, electrical installation and repair, painting and decorating, and other constructionrelated establishments are often involved in performing installation or other work on new construction as well as providing repair services on existing structures. While some specialize in repair, it is difficult to distinguish between the two types and all have been included in the Construction sector.

Excluded from this subsector are establishments primarily engaged in rebuilding or remanufacturing machinery and equipment. These are classified in Sector 31-33,
Manufacturing. Also excluded are retail establishments that provide after-sale services and repair. These are classified in Sector 44-45, Retail Trade.

## 8111 Automotive Repair and Maintenance

This industry group comprises establishments involved in providing repair and maintenance services for automotive
vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailers. Establishments in this industry group employ mechanics with specialized technical skills to diagnose and repair the mechanical and electrical systems for automotive vehicles, repair automotive interiors, and paint or repair automotive exteriors.

## 81111 Automotive Mechanical and Electrical Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing mechanical or electrical repair and maintenance services for automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks and vans, and all trailers. These establishments specialize in or may provide a wide range of these services.

## 811111 General Automotive Repair

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing (1) a wide range of mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance services for automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailers or (2) engine repair and replacement.

## 811112 Automotive Exhaust System Repair

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in replacing or repairing exhaust systems of automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans.

## 811113 Automotive Transmission Repair

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in replacing or repairing transmissions of automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans.

## 811118 Other Automotive Mechanical and Electrical Repair and Maintenance

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing specialized mechanical or electrical repair and maintenance services (except engine repair and replacement, exhaust systems repair, and transmission repair) for automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailers.

## 81112 Automotive Body, Paint, Interior, and Glass Repair

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing one or more of the following: (1) repairing or
customizing automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailer bodies and interiors; (2) painting automotive vehicle and trailer bodies; (3) replacing, repairing, and/or tinting automotive vehicle glass; and (4) customizing automobile, truck, and van interiors for the physically disabled or other customers with special requirements.

## 811121 Automotive Body, Paint, and Interior Repair and Maintenance

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing or customizing automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailer bodies and interiors; and/or painting automotive vehicles and trailer bodies.

## 811122 Automotive Glass Replacement Shops

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in replacing, repairing, and/or tinting automotive vehicle, such as passenger car, truck, and van, glass.

## 81119 Other Automotive Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing automotive repair and maintenance services (except mechanical and electrical repair and maintenance; transmission repair; and body, paint, interior, and glass repair) for automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailers.

## 811191 Automotive Oil Change and Lubrication Shops

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in changing motor oil and lubricating the chassis of automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans.

## 811192 Car Washes

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in cleaning, washing, and/or waxing automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and trailers.

## 811198 All Other Automotive Repair and Maintenance

This U.S. industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing automotive repair and maintenance services (except mechanical and electrical repair and
maintenance; body, paint, interior, and glass repair; motor oil change and lubrication; and car washing) for automotive vehicles, such as passenger cars, trucks, and vans, and all trailers.

## 8112 Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair and Maintenance

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing electronic equipment, such as computers and communications equipment, and highly specialized precision instruments. Establishments in this industry group typically have staff skilled in repairing items having complex, electronic components.

## 811211 Consumer Electronics Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and maintaining consumer electronics, such as televisions, stereos, speakers, video recorders, CD players, radios, and cameras, without retailing new consumer electronics.

## 811212 Computer and Office Machine Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and maintaining computers and office machines without retailing new computers and office machines, such as photocopying machines; and computer terminals, storage devices, printers; and CD-ROM drives.

## 811213 Communication Equipment Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and maintaining communications equipment without retailing new communication equipment, such as telephones, fax machines, communications transmission equipment, and two-way radios.

## 811219 Other Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and maintaining (without retailing) electronic and precision equipment (except consumer electronics, computers and office machines, and communications equipment). Establishments in this industry repair and maintain equipment, such as medical diagnostic imaging equipment, measuring and surveying instruments, laboratory instruments, and radar and sonar equipment.

## 8113 Commercial and I ndustrial Machinery and Equipment (Except Automotive and Electronic) Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in the repair and maintenance of commercial and industrial machinery and equipment. Establishments in this industry either sharpen/install commercial and industrial machinery blades and saws or provide welding (e.g., automotive, general) repair services; or repair agricultural and other heavy and industrial machinery and equipment (e.g., forklifts and other materials handling equipment, machine tools, commercial refrigeration equipment, construction equipment, and mining machinery).

## 81141 Home and Garden Equipment and Appliance Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and servicing home and garden equipment and appliance without retailing new equipment or appliances. Establishments in this industry repair and maintain items, such as lawnmowers, edgers, snow- and leafblowers, washing machines, clothes dryers and refrigerators.

## 81142 Reupholstery and Furniture Repair

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) reupholstering furniture; (2) refinishing furniture; (3) repairing furniture; and (4) repairing and restoring furniture.

## 81143 Footwear and Leather Goods Repair

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing footwear and/or repairing other leather or leather-like goods without retailing new footwear and leather or leather-like goods, such as handbags and briefcases.

## 81149 Other Personal and Household Goods Repair and Maintenance

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in repairing and servicing personal or household-type goods without retailing new personal and household-type goods (except home and garden equipment, appliances, furniture, and footwear and leather goods). Establishments in this industry repair items, such as garments; watches; jewelry; musical instruments; bicycles and motorcycles; motorboats, canoes, sailboats, and other recreational boats.

## 812 Personal and Laundry Services

Industries in the Personal and Laundry Services subsector group establishments that provide personal and laundry services to individuals, households, and businesses. Services performed include: personal care services; death care services; laundry and drycleaning services; and a wide range of other personal services, such as pet care (except veterinary) services, photofinishing services, temporary parking services, and dating services.

The Personal and Laundry Services subsector is by no means all-inclusive of the services that could be termed personal services (i.e., those provided to individuals rather than businesses). There are many other subsectors, as well as sectors, that provide services to persons. Establishments providing legal, accounting, tax preparation, architectural, portrait photography, and similar professional services are classified in Sector 54, Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services; those providing job placement, travel arrangement, home security, interior and exterior house cleaning, exterminating, lawn and garden care, and similar support services are classified in Sector 56, Administrative and Support, Waste Management and Remediation Services; those providing health and social services are classified in Sector 62, Health Care and Social Assistance; those providing amusement and recreation services are classified in Sector 71, Arts, Entertainment and Recreation; those providing educational instruction are classified in Sector 61, Educational Services; those providing repair services are classified in Subsector 811, Repair and Maintenance; and those providing spiritual, civic, and advocacy services are classified in Subsector 813, Religious, Grantmaking, Civic, Professional, and Similar Organizations.

## 8121 Personal Care Services

This industry group comprises establishments, such as barber and beauty shops, that provide appearance care services to individual consumers.

## 81211 Hair, Nail, and Skin Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) providing hair care services; (2) providing nail care services; and (3) providing
facials or applying makeup (except permanent makeup).

## 812111 Barber Shops

This industry comprises establishments known as barber shops or men's hair stylist shops primarily engaged in cutting, trimming, and styling boys' and men's hair; and/or shaving and trimming men's beards.

## 812112 Beauty Salons

This industry comprises establishments (except those known as barber shops or men's hair stylist shops) primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) cutting, trimming, shampooing, weaving, coloring, waving, or styling hair; (2) providing facials; and (3) applying makeup (except permanent makeup).

## 812113 Nail Salons

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing nail care services, such as manicures, pedicures, and nail extensions.

## 81219 Other Personal Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing personal care services (except hair, nail, facial, or nonpermanent makeup services).

## 812191 Diet and Weight Reducing Centers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing nonmedical services to assist clients in attaining or maintaining a desired weight. The sale of weight reduction products, such as food supplements, may be an integral component of the program. These services typically include individual or group counseling, menu and exercise planning, and weight and body measurement monitoring.

## 812199 Other Personal Care Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing personal care services (except hair, nail, facial, nonpermanent makeup, or nonmedical diet and weight reducing services).

## 81221 Funeral Homes and Funeral Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in preparing the dead for burial or interment and conducting funerals (i.e., providing facilities for wakes, arranging transportation for the dead, selling caskets and related merchandise). Funeral homes combined with crematories are included in this industry.

## 81222 Cemeteries and Crematories

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in operating sites or structures reserved for the interment of human or animal remains and/or cremating the dead.

## 81231 Coin-Operated Laundries and Drycleaners

This industry comprises (1) establishments primarily engaged in operating facilities with coin-operated or similar self-service laundry and drycleaning equipment for customer use on the premises and (2) establishments primarily engaged in supplying and servicing coin-operated or similar self-service laundry and drycleaning equipment for customer use in places of business operated by others, such as apartments and dormitories.

## 81232 Drycleaning and Laundry Services (Except Coin-Operated)

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in one or more of the following: (1) providing drycleaning services (except coin-operated); (2) providing laundering services (except linen and uniform supply or coinoperated); (3) providing dropoff and pickup sites for laundries and/or drycleaners; and (4) providing specialty cleaning services for specific types of garments and other textile items (except carpets and upholstery), such as fur, leather, or suede garments; wedding gowns; hats; draperies; and pillows. These establishments may provide all, a combination of, or none of the cleaning services on the premises.

## 812331 Linen Supply

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in supplying, on a rental or contract basis, laundered items, such as table and bed linens; towels; diapers; and uniforms, gowns, or coats of the type used by doctors, nurses, barbers, beauticians, and waitresses.

## 812332 I ndustrial Launderers

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged
in supplying, on a rental or contract basis, laundered industrial work uniforms and related work clothing, such as protective apparel (flame and heat resistant) and clean room apparel; dust control items, such as treated mops, rugs, mats, dust tool covers, cloths, and shop or wiping towels.

## 8129 Other Personal Services

The industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing personal services (except personal care services, death care services, or drycleaning and laundry services).

## 81291 Pet Care (except Veterinary) Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing pet care services (except veterinary), such as boarding, grooming, sitting, and training pets.

## 81292 Photofinishing

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in developing film and/or making photographic slides, prints, and enlargements.

## 81293 Parking Lots and Garages

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing parking space for motor vehicles, usually on an hourly, daily, or monthly basis and/or valet parking services.

## 81299 All Other Personal Services

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in providing personal services (except personal care services, death care services, drycleaning and laundry services, pet care services, photofinishing services, or parking space and/or valet parking services).

## 813 Religious, Grantmaking, Civic, Professional, and Similar Organizations

Industries in the Religious, Grantmaking, Civic, Professional, and Similar Organizations subsector group establishments that organize and promote religious activities; support various causes through grantmaking; advocate various social and political causes; and promote and defend the interests of their members.

The industry groups within the subsector are defined in terms of their activities, such as establishments that
provide funding for specific causes or for a variety of charitable causes; establishments that advocate and actively promote causes and beliefs for the public good; and establishments that have an active membership structure to promote causes and represent the interests of their members. Establishments in this subsector may publish newsletters, books, and periodicals for distribution to their membership.

## 8132 Grantmaking and Giving Services

This industry comprises (1) establishments known as grantmaking foundations or charitable trusts and
(2) establishments primarily engaged in raising funds for a wide range of social welfare activities, such as health, educational, scientific, and cultural activities.

## 8133 Social Advocacy Organizations

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in promoting a particular cause or working for the realization of a specific social or political goal to benefit a
broad or specific constituency. These organizations may solicit contributions and offer memberships to support these goals.

## 8134 Civic and Social Organizations

This industry comprises establishments primarily engaged in promoting the civic and social interests of their members. Establishments in this industry may operate bars and restaurants for their members.

8139 Business, Professional, and Other Organizations (Except Labor and Political Organizations)

This industry group comprises establishments primarily engaged in promoting the interests of their members (except religious organizations, social advocacy organizations, and civic and social organizations). Examples of establishments in this industry are business associations and professional organizations.

# Appendix D. <br> Sample Design and Estimation Procedures 

A new sample was introduced with the 2005 Service Annual Survey (SAS). The new sample was designed to produce estimates based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). This section describes the design, selection, and estimation procedures for the new sample. For descriptions of prior samples, see the Service Annual Survey publications.

## Sampling Frame

The sampling frame used for the Service Annual Survey (SAS) has two types of sampling units represented: Employer Identification Numbers (EINs) and large, multiple-establishment firms. Both sampling units represent clusters of one or more establishments owned or controlled by the same firm. The information used to create these sampling units was extracted from data collected as part of the 2002 Economic Census and from establishment records contained on the Census Bureau's Business Register as updated to December 2004. The next few paragraphs give details about the Business Register; the distinction between firms, EINs, and establishments; and the construction of the sampling units. Though important, they are not essential to understanding the basic sample design and readers may continue to the
Stratification, Sampling Rates, and Allocation section.

The Business Register is a multi-relational database that contains a record for each known establishment that is located in the United States or one of its territories and has paid employees. An establishment is a single physical location where business transactions take place and for which payroll and employment records are kept. Groups of one or more establishments under common ownership or control are firms. A single-unit firm owns or operates only one establishment. A multiunit firm owns or operates two or more establishments. The treatment of establishments on the Business Register differs according to whether the establishment is part of a single-unit or multiunit firm. In particular, the structure of an establishment's primary identifier on the Business Register differs depending on whether it is owned by a single-unit firm or by a multiunit firm.

A single-unit firm's primary identifier is its EIN. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issues the EIN, and the firm uses it as an identifier to report social security payments
for its employees under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA). The same act requires all employer firms to use EINs. Each employer firm is associated with at least one EIN and only one firm can use a given EIN. Because a single-unit firm has only one establishment, there is a one-to-one relationship between the firm and the EIN. Thus the firm, the EIN, and the establishment all reference the same physical location and all three terms can be used interchangeably and unambiguously when referring to a single-unit firm.

For multiunit firms however, a different structure connects the firm with its establishments via the EIN. Essentially a multiunit firm is associated with a cluster of one or more EINs and EINs are associated with one or more establishments. A multiunit firm consists of at least two establishments. Each firm is associated with at least one EIN and only one firm can use a given EIN. However, one multiunit firm may have several ElNs. Similarly, there is a one-to-many relationship between EINs and
establishments. Each EIN can be associated with many establishments but each establishment is associated with only one EIN. Because of the possibility of one-to-many relationships, we must distinguish between the firm, its ElNs, and its establishments. The multiunit firm that owns or controls a particular establishment is identified on the Business Register by way of the establishment's primary identifier.

The primary identifier of an establishment owned by a multiunit firm consists of a unique combination of an alpha number and a plant number. The alpha number identifies the multiunit firm, and the plant number identifies a particular establishment within that firm. All establishments owned or controlled by the same multiunit firm have the same alpha number. Different multiunit firms have different alpha numbers, and different establishments within the same multiunit firm have different plant numbers. The Census Bureau assigns both the alpha number to the multiunit firm and plant numbers to the corresponding establishments based on the results of the quinquennial economic census and the annual Company Organization Survey.

To create the sampling frame, we extract the records for all establishments located in the United States and classified in select service sectors as defined by the 2002 NAICS. For
these establishments, we extract revenue, payroll employment, name and address information, as well as primary identifiers and, for establishments owned by multiunit firms, associated EINs.

To create the sampling units for multiunit firms, we aggregate the economic data of the establishments owned by these firms to an EIN level by tabulating the establishment data for all service establishments associated with the same EIN. Similarly we aggregate the data to a multiunit firm level by tabulating the establishment data for all service establishments associated with the same alpha number. No aggregation is necessary to put single-unit establishment information on an EIN basis or a firm basis. Thus, the sampling units created for single-unit firms simultaneously represent establishment, EIN, and firm information. In summary, the sampling frame is a complex amalgam of establishments, EINs, and firms.

## Stratification, Sampling Rates, and Allocation

The primary stratification of the sampling frame is by industry group based on the detail required for publication. We further stratify the sampling units within industry group by a measure of size (substratify) related to their annual revenue. Sampling units expected to have a large effect on the precision of the estimates are selected "with certainty." This means they are sure to be selected and will represent only themselves (i.e., have a selection probability of 1 and a sampling weight of 1). Within each industry stratum, we determine a substratum boundary (or cutoff) that divides the certainty units from the noncertainty units. We base these cutoffs on a statistical analysis of data from the 2002 Economic Census. Accordingly, these values are on a 2002 revenue basis. We also used this analysis to determine the number of size substrata for each industry stratum and to set preliminary sampling rates needed to achieve specified sampling variability constraints on revenue estimates for different industry groups. The size substrata and sampling rates are later updated through analysis of the sampling frame.

## Sample Selection

The first step in the sample selection identified firms selected with certainty: the estimated annual revenue of the firm was greater than the corresponding certainty cutoff.

All firms not selected with certainty were subjected to sampling on an EIN basis. If a firm had more than one EIN, we treated each of its EINs as a separate sampling unit. To be eligible for the initial sampling, an EIN had to
have nonzero payroll in 2003. The EINs were stratified according to their major industry and their estimated revenue (on a 2002 basis). Within each noncertainty stratum, a simple random sample of EINs was selected without replacement.

## Sample Maintenance

Periodically, we update the sample to represent new EINs appearing on the Business Register. These new EINs, called births, are EINs recently assigned by the IRS on the latest available IRS mailing list for FICA taxpayers and assigned an industry classification (if possible) by the Social Security Administration (SSA).

The EIN births are sampled on a quarterly basis using a two-phase selection procedure. To be eligible for selection, a birth must either have no industry classification or be classified in an industry within the scope of SAS, the Annual Wholesale Trade Survey (AWTS), or the Annual Retail Trade Survey (ARTS), and it must meet certain criteria regarding its number of paid employees or quarterly payroll. In the first phase, births are stratified by broad industry groups and a measure of size based on quarterly payroll. A relatively large sample is selected using equal probability systematic sampling. The selected births are canvassed to obtain a more reliable measure of size, consisting of sales in 2 recent months, company affiliation information, and a new or more detailed industry classification code. Births that haven't returned their questionnaire after 30 days are contacted by telephone.

Using this more reliable information, the selected births from the first phase are subjected to probability proportional-to-size sampling with overall probabilities equivalent to those used in drawing the initial SAS, AWTS, and ARTS samples from the December 2004 Business Register. Because of the time it takes for a new employer firm to acquire an EIN from the IRS, and because of the time needed to accomplish the two-phase birth-selection procedure, births are added to the sample approximately 9 months after they begin operation.

The births that are selected in the quarterly birth-selection procedure in November of the survey year are included in the initial mailing of the SAS questionnaires in January of the following year. To better represent all EIN births in the reference year, and specifically to account for the time it takes to identify and select new ElNs, we add births to the SAS sample that are selected in February, May, and August the year following the reference year. We mail survey forms to these births in February, June, and August to supplement the initial survey mailing.

To be eligible for the sample canvass and tabulation, an EIN selected in the noncertainty sampling operations must meet both of the following requirements:

- It must be on the latest available IRS mailing list for FICA taxpayers from the previous quarter.
- It must have been selected from the Business Register in either the initial sampling or during the quarterly birth-selection procedure.

If a firm was selected with certainty and had more than one establishment at the time of sampling, any new establishments that the firm acquires, even if under new or different EINs, are included in the sample with certainty. However, if a single-unit firm was selected with certainty, only future establishments associated with that firm's originally-selected EIN are included in the sample with certainty; any new EINs that might later be associated with that firm are subjected to sampling through the quarterly birth-selection procedure.

ElNs selected into the sample with certainty are not dropped from canvass and tabulation if they are no longer on the IRS mailing list. Rather, the firm that used the EIN is contacted, and if a successor EIN is found, it is added to the survey. For both inactive and reactivated EINs, data are tabulated for only the portion of the reference year that these EINs reported payroll to the IRS.

## Estimation Method

The current sample was introduced with the 2005 SAS to compute estimates based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). This sample replaced one that was designed to produce estimates based on the 1997 NAICS. For more information on the NAICS industries covered by the 2006 SAS, see the Coverage section in the introduction.

Totals estimated from this sample survey are computed as the sum of weighted data (reported and imputed) for all selected sampling units that meet the tabulation criteria given in the Sample Maintenance section. The weight for a given sampling unit is the reciprocal of its probability of selection into the sample. The sample-based estimated totals are then adjusted to the 2002 Economic Census using the procedure described below.

For industries affected by the change from 1997 to 2002 NAICS, published census-adjusted revenue estimates for 1998 through 2004 from the prior sample are restated on a

2002 NAICS basis, using revenue distributions from the 2002 Economic Census that link the two sets of classification codes. Of particular note, the estimates for Sector 51 (Information) are revised due to the creation of new industries for Internet publishing and broadcasting and Web search portals. For industries not affected by the change from 1997 to 2002 NAICS, there is no need to restate the published census-adjusted revenue estimates from the prior sample.

Revenue estimates for 2005 and subsequent years from the current sample are adjusted to the 2002 Economic Census by linking these estimates to the published census-adjusted estimates from the prior sample, after historical corrections are made to data from the current sample for 2004 and 2005. The linking is performed by multiplying the samplebased revenue estimate for a given detailed industry, which is generally defined by a 6 -digit NAICS code, by a ratio. The numerator and denominator of the ratio are as follows:

- The numerator is the 2004 published census-adjusted revenue estimate of the industry on a 2002 NAICS basis from the prior sample.
- The denominator is the 2004 revenue estimate of the industry on a 2002 NAICS basis from the current sample.

For data items other than revenue, such as total expenses and other revenue items, a similar method is used to adjust the estimates for 2004 and subsequent years from the current sample, by linking these estimates to those from the prior sample. First, the ratio described above is applied to the sample-based estimates for the given detailed industry for 2004 and subsequent years. Then, the difference between the 2004 adjusted estimate from the current sample and the 2004 published adjusted estimate from the prior sample is taken into account by applying a second ratio to the published adjusted estimates for 1998 through 2003 from the prior sample. The numerator and denominator of the second ratio are as follows:

- The numerator is the 2004 adjusted estimate for the industry on a 2002 NAICS basis from the current sample.
- The denominator is the 2004 published adjusted estimate for the industry on a 2002 NAICS basis from the prior sample.

Total estimates at 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-digit NAICS levels are computed by summing the adjusted totals for the
appropriate detailed industries comprising the aggregate. Year-to-year change estimates are computed using the appropriate adjusted totals for the industry and time period.

Note that estimates for the following Truck Transportation (NAICS 484) data items are produced directly from the
sample, without adjustment:

- Inventories of Revenue Generating Equipment by Type of Carrier;
- Number of Truck Miles.


## Appendix E. Report Forms

The 2007 SAS forms are available at the following website:
http://www.census.gov/svsd/www/services/sas/sas_forms/forms.htm.
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[^19]:    13 The early (1978-1980) cable operator surveys showed movies as the most highly valued programming. The 1978 survey placed a particularly high value on movies, but it was rightly criticized for not properly informing the respondents that they. were valuing the programming shown on distant signals, as opposed to cable programming services including premium movie services such as HBO and Showtime.

[^20]:    15 Report of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal in Docket No. CRT 84-1 83CD, 51 Fed. Reg. 12,792, 12810 (Apr. 15, 1986).
    16 Report of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal in Docket No. CRT 91-2-89CD, 57 Fed. Reg. 15,286, 15,301 (Apr. 27, 1992).

[^21]:    17 ld. at 15,300.

[^22]:    ${ }^{18}$ Id. at 15,299-300. Note that if values were attributed to noncommercial and Canadian stations where no such stations were actually carried, the same approach would need to be followed for cable systems that carried no distant commercial signals or no distant signals at all.

[^23]:    ${ }^{19} \mathrm{ld}$. at $15,300$.

[^24]:    20 Id. at $15,301$.

[^25]:    ${ }^{21}$ 1998-99 CARP Report at 19-20.

[^26]:    ${ }^{22}$ 1990-92 CARP Report at 65.
    ${ }_{24}^{23}$ 1998-99 CARP Report at 22.
    ${ }^{24}$ Id. at 22.

[^27]:    ${ }^{25}$ ld. at 21.

[^28]:    26
    id. at 21.
    It is possible that some or all of these identified systems did carry compensable sports programming. For example, in one of the instances, we were able to determine that distant signals on the responding cable system consistently carried compensable sports programming in several years other than the year in which this system was included in the survey (2004). However, program listings and other information specific to 2004 were unavailable for the distant signals in question. As such, we could not definitively verify that such programming was carried in 2004.

[^29]:    ${ }^{28}$ Testimony of James M. Trautman (JSC 04-05 Ex. 4)

[^30]:    ${ }^{29}$ 1998-99 CARP Report at 24. The 1998-99 CARP also did not accept an adjustment methodology proposed on behalf of public television by Dr. William Fairley. Id.
    ${ }^{30} / d$. at $26-28$. The CARP did not accept an adjustment proposed by the PTV Claimants to account for this issue. /d. at 26-28.

[^31]:    4b. Now I'm going to read back the categories and your estimates. (REREAD CATEGORIES AND RESPONSES $\operatorname{IN}$ RANDOM SEQUENCE ORDER TO ALLOW RESPONDENT TO REVIEW THE ESTIMATES.)

[^32]:    4b. Now l'm going to read back the categories and your estimates. (REREAD CATEGORIES AND RESPONSES IN RANDOM SEQUENCE ORDER TO ALLOW RESPONDENT TO REVIEW THE ESTIMATES.)

[^33]:    1 A distant broadcast station, in general, is a station that is not located in the cable system's television market and whose carriage was not mandated under the FCC's 1976 or current "must carry" rules.

[^34]:    ${ }^{2}$ Copyright Act of 1976, Pub. L. No. 94-553 § 111, 90 Stat. 2541 (1976).
    A "non-network" broadcast signal is the signal of a broadcast station that is not affiliated with the major television networks ABC, CBS and NBC. My understanding is that Fox is not considered a network for purposes of Section 111.
    ${ }^{4}$ Nat'l Ass'n of Broadcasters v. Copyright Royalty Tribunal, 772 F.2d 922, 939 (D.C. Cir. 1985) (CRT "should rely, as it has in the past, on marketplace criteria"); Report of the Copyright Royalty Tribunal in Docket No. CRT 79-1, 45 Fed. Reg. 63,026, 63,037 (Sept. 23, 1980) (compulsory license should not deprive any copyright owner of "relative copyright payment [it] would have received in a free marketplace"); Report of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel in Docket No. 94-3 CARP CD $90-92$ at $23-24$ (May 31, 1996) (hereinafter, "1990-92 CARP Report").
    ${ }^{5}$ Report of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel in Docket No. 2001-08 CD 98-99 at 9 (Oct. 21, 2003) (hereinafter, "1998-99 CARP Report").

    Id. at 10 .

[^35]:    7 Id. at 12.
    8 "The Committee recognizes, however, that it would be impractical and unduly burdensome to require every cable system to negotiate with every copyright owner whose work was retransmitted by a cable system. Accordingly, the Committee has determined to . . . establish a compulsory copyright license . . . "H.R. Rep. No. 94-1476, 89 (1976), reprinted in 1976 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5659, 5704.
    ${ }^{3}$ I have discussed this point in more detail in my testimony in prior proceedings. See Testimony of Robert W. Crandall (1990-92 Proceeding) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 5 at 7).

[^36]:    ${ }^{10}$ Various regression analyses have also been offered from time-to-time in these proceedings, including by the JSC in the 1979 proceeding, Program Suppliers in the 1990-92 proceeding and by the Commercial Television claimants in the 1998-99 proceeding. The 1998-99 CARP noted that the regression analysis presented in that proceeding was useful in that it provided some corroboration of the results of the Bortz survey. 1998-99 CARP Report at 50.

[^37]:    11 The CARP considered but rejected the Nielsen viewing study sponsored by the Program Suppliers in the 1998-99 proceeding finding that it "does not afford an independent basis for determining relative value." 1998-99 CARP Report at 44. The CARP explained that because the Nielsen Study "'fails to measure the value of the retransmitted programming in terms of its ability to attract and retain subscribers,' it can not be used to measure directly relative value to [cable system operators]." Id. at 38. As I have testified in prior proceedings, this conclusion is consistent with my own views of the Nielsen study. See Testimony of Robert W. Crandall (1990-92 Proceeding) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 7); (1989 Proceeding) (JSC 04-05 Ex. 6 at 15-18).
    1998-99 CARP Report at 31. The Panel also found that the Bortz results would serve as a "floor" for determining the relative marketplace value of PTV and that the Canadians were not sufficiently represented in that survey. ld. The Panel resolved the Canadians' share by relying in part on a

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Source: Cable Data Corporation (JSC 04-05 Ex. 22).
    ${ }^{2}$ Id.

[^39]:    ${ }^{3}$ To a lesser extent, devotional and Canadian programming may also add a unique element to the programming mix that might otherwise be unavailable to a cable operator.

[^40]:    1. More details on the overall structure of public television are found at PTV 04-05 Ex. 1.
[^41]:    6. Harris Interactive Poll, July 2005 (1,354 parents polled).
    7. Different PBS children's programs are designed to address the development of physical/motor skills, social/emotional skills, critical thinking/problem solving, language/literacy, cognitive skills, science, life skills, the appreciation and understanding of cultural/social diversity, and music/art appreciation and performance.
[^42]:    8 Suzanne C. Ryan, "'Sesame Street' Marks 35 Years on the Block in Crowded World of Kids' Media, It's Going Strong," Boston Globe, at N7 (Apr. 4, 2004).

[^43]:    *Source: Nielsen NetRatings
    **Statistics for the PBS "supersites" - PBS KIDS (www.pbskids.org), PBS TeacherSource (www.pbs.org/teachersource) and PBS Parents (www.pbs.org/parents) are included as part of the overall pbs.org traffic figure. All figures are based on internal server statistics (Jan-May 2005).

[^44]:    1 Program Suppliers v. Librarian of Congress, 409 F.3d 395 at 399-400, 403-404 (D.C. Cir. 2005).
    2 CARP Report, Cable Royalties for the Years 1990-92, Docket No. 94-3 CARP CD-90-92, May 31, 1996, pp. 2224; Report of the CARP to the Librarian of Congress, In the Matter of the Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, October 21, 2003, pp. 9-11.
    ${ }^{3} 17$ U.S.C.A. Sect. 111 (f) (2008).

[^45]:    4 Based on data supplied by Cable Data Corporation (CDC). These and other data in my report represent data supplied by Form 3 systems. Form 3 systems accounted for 91 percent of subscribers in 1998-99 and 94 percent in 2004-05; they paid 96 percent of royalties in 1998-99 and 97 percent in 2004-05. The CDC data used in my analyses are described in the Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin, also submitted in this proceeding.
    5 Based on data supplied by CDC. The total includes Low Power and Mexican, which averaged 0.01 signal per subscriber or less.

[^46]:    6 PTV also achieved similarly small increases by other measures. PTV accounted for 0.039 DSEs out of a persubscriber average of 1.190 DSEs in 1998-99 and 0.044 out of 1.145 in 2004-05. PTV accounted for 3.2 percent of fees generated in 1998-99 and 3.4 in 2004-05. [Data provided by CDC.] Compared to subscriber incidents, however, PTV accounts for a smaller percentage of average DSEs per subscriber and of fees generated due to the arbitrary designation of PTV signals at one-quarter of a DSE. As I explained in an earlier report, fees generated reflect the payment framework of the compulsory license and attribution methods, rather than the value of the programming on the retransmitted stations. See Testimony of Linda McLaughlin, In the Matter of Distribution of the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2008-2 CRB CD 2000-2003, January 30, 2009, PTV 04-05 Ex. 9.
    ${ }^{7}$ Based on data supplied by CDC. Low Power and Mexican stations accounted for less than 1 percent of subscriber incidents.

[^47]:    8 Testimony of James M. Trautman, Bortz Media \& Sports Group, Inc., Cable Operator Valuation of Distant Signal Non-Network Programming, June 1, 2009 (Trautman, 2009).
    9 Trautman, 2009, pp. 7-8.
    ${ }^{10}$ Including the omitted systems that carry only PTV and Canadian distant signals would have increased the size of the eligible sample by 6 to 8 percent in 1998-99 and 4 percent in 2004-05.
    ${ }^{11}$ For example, in 2004, 59 of the 162 eligible respondents carried distant PTV signals and 11 carried distant Canadian signals (Trautman, 2009, pp. 15-16).
    ${ }_{12}$ The observed increase is within the range of sampling variation.
    ${ }^{13}$ Trautman, 2009, p. 6, Table I-2. Detail may not sum due to rounding.

[^48]:    ${ }^{14}$ Trautman, 2009, Appendix B, 2004 System Operator Programming Questionnaire, 4a. A similar question was asked for 2005.
    ${ }^{15}$ The programming category specifically includes all programming on these station types. For PTV the category is: "PBS and all other programming broadcast during 2004 by U.S. noncommercial station __ [the PTV station(s) carried by the cable operator]."
    ${ }^{16}$ Trautman, 2009, pp. 7-8; Report of the CARP to the Librarian of Congress, In the Matter of the Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, October 21, 2003, pp. 25-26.

[^49]:    ${ }^{17}$ An omitted cable operator with distant PTV and Canadian stations, but no other distant stations, would have been asked to divide the value among the two programming types. For such cable operators, the split in the relative value between PTV and Canadian station programming would not be self-evident. No such cable operators were omitted from potential respondents in 2004 and only two such operators were omitted in 2005.
    ${ }^{18}$ Statement of Richard V. Ducey, June 1, 2009, also submitted in this proceeding.

[^50]:    ${ }^{19}$ The relatively small size of the augmented result for PTV, like the size of the original PTV result, reflects the fact that only about 30 percent of Form 3 systems and about 25 percent of Form 3 subscribers receive a distant PTV signal (based on CDC data). The value given to PTV by respondents to the original 2004 survey for systems that carried PTV was 11 percent, a value higher than those given to Canadian and devotional programming, but less than other programming types (Trautman, 2009, p. 16, Table II-2). The augmented survey, if restricted to those that carried distant PTV stations, would give PTV a value of about 19 percent in 2004, a value in the same range as news, syndicated programming and movies, and greater than the values given to devotional and Canadian programming.

    It is not surprising that distant PTV programming is highly valued by those that carry it. In 2004-05, virtually all subscribers received a local and/or distant PTV signal. A substantial portion of those with a distant PTV signal had no local PTV signal. In 2004-05, 27 percent of Form 3 subscribers with a distant PTV station had no local PTV station. [Data provided by CDC.] For such subscribers, a distant PTV signal is the only way they can receive PTV programming.

[^51]:    ${ }^{20}$ Methodology and sources are described in Appendix 2. Detail may not sum due to rounding.

[^52]:    ${ }^{21}$ Report of the CARP to the Librarian of Congress, In the Matter of the Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, October 21, 2003, p. 26, fn. 10.

    22 Based on data provided by CDC.
    ${ }^{23}$ Based on Chart 4 and data supplied by CDC. Since only syndicated programming participates in the syndex fund, the other categories are also adjusted for their fund participation. (The nonsyndex adjustment factor, 99.97 percent in 2004 and 2005, produces virtually no change.) All categories other than PTV are adjusted for the increase in PTV's share. Detail may not sum due to rounding.

[^53]:    I Trautman, 2009, p. 46, Table A-1.
    ${ }^{2}$. Trautman, 2009, pp. 47-48.
    3 Trautman, 2009, pp. 49-50.
    4 These summaries gave a single figure for each programming category in a particular strata; individual responses were not provided.

[^54]:    5 I considered running a simulation, that is, a series of independent, random trials such that the share of trials with the system included would match the overall probability for its stratum. Over a large number of trials, this method would produce a result similar to the estimation method used and would not add precision.

[^55]:    1 CARP Report, Cable Royalties for the Years 1990-92, May 31, 1996; pp. 22-24; Report of the CARP to the Librarian of Congress, In the Matter of the Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, October 21, 2003, pp. 9-11.
    2 This does not mean that any revenue from the secondary market has no effect on the supply of programming. Expected revenues from the secondary market can be used to fund programming. Where secondary revenues become large relative to primary market revenues (e.g., motion pictures), expected secondary revenues can also influence the type of programming, that is, programming likely to generate more total revenues from the primary and secondary markets combined. That is not the case with respect to cable retransmission royalties, which are small relative to other program rights revenues.
    ${ }^{3}$ Where the seller bears the distribution cost-unlike the case of retransmitted programming - the additional cost of distribution is a relevant supply-side consideration. For example, the cost of clearing DVD rights; manufacturing a DVD, and getting it placed in stores relative to the expected DVD demand explains why some old movies are not available on DVD.

[^56]:    4 See, e.g., Copyright Royalty Tribunal, Adjustment of the Royalty Rate for Cable Systems, Docket No. CRT 812, November 19, 1982, 47 FR 52146 at -47, citing Jack Valenti's testimony that "the royalty fee schedule [since adjusted for inflation] was not based on any supporting data or economic analysis, but was the product of political compromises and of Congress's perception of the economic needs of the then [1976] infant cable industry,' and at -54 the Tribunal's conclusion that "the current statutory rates [since adjusted for inflation] could not be considered those that would result from full marketplace conditions if the compulsory license did not exist. The rates were established as a legislative compromise, they are arbitrary, and they were intended to require only a minimum payment on the part of cable operators [footnote omitted]."

[^57]:    5 Form 3 systems accounted for 92 percent of the subscribers and 97 percent of the royalties paid in 2000-03 (Cable Data Corporation [CDC]). Data and discussion of the royalty payment system throughout this section of my report concern Form 3 systems.
    6 I understand that the calculation of royalties is described in detail in the Direct Testimony of Marsha Kessler, also submitted in this proceeding.

[^58]:    7 There is a small third category, which generates a syndex fee and also arises from changes to the pre-1981 rules.
    8 For the five years ending June 2000, these percentages were slightly smaller: 0.893 percent for the first DSE, 0.563 percent for the second through fourth, and 0.265 percent for the fifth or more. Library of Congress, Copyright Office, Adjustment of Cable Statutory License Royalty Rates, Docket 2000-04, October 20, 2000.
    9 See, e.g., Federal Communications Commission, In the Matter of the Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming, Tenth Annual Report, MB Docket No. 03172, January 28,2004, q 20 , fn 25.
    ${ }^{10}$ In 2002, for example, approximately 90 percent of subscribers purchased the two packages combined. For systems surveyed in July 2002, the average basic service rate was $\$ 14.45$ and the total for both packages, including equipment, was $\$ 40.11$ for a total of 63 channels. Federal Communications Commission, In the Matter of Implementation of Section 3 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Report on Cable Industry Prices, MM Docket No. 92-266, July 8, 2003, $\mathbb{1}$ and $\{25$, Table 1.

[^59]:    11 Systems in larger markets can generally import two or three distant independent stations under the basic fee, with any additional distant independent stations falling into the 3.75 category. See Library of Congress, Copyright Office, Section 109 Report to Congress, Notice of Inquiry, Docket No. 2007-1, April 10, 2007, p. 5, and Television Digest, 1982 Cable and Station Coverage Atlas, pp. 58a-59a, Federal Communications Commission Rules, $\S 76.61$ and $\S 76.63$. In this case, an additional distant independent station would generate fees almost four times the first one ( 3.75 is 3.9 times 0.956 ) and almost six times the second one ( 3.75 is 5.95 times 0.63 ).
    12 A minor example of this arbitrariness is illustrated by the increase in the relative royalty percentages for the first and second DSE when the rates were adjusted for inflation in July 2000. Just before this adjustment, the second signal fee equaled 63 percent of the first $(0.563 / 0.893)$; after the adjustment the second signal fee equaled 66 percent of the first $(0.630 / 0.956)$.

[^60]:    ${ }^{13}$ See http://web.archive.org/web/20030425085821/http:/www.ctv.ca/generic/generated/tvist/CFTOtvlist.html for an April 2003 schedule of CFTO-TV, a CTV station and Broadcasting \& Cable, April 28, 2003, p: 16 and May 5, 2003, p. 12 for comparable schedules in prime time for ABC, CBS, NBC, Fox, WB, UPN and Pax. CFTO's schedule also includes prime time programming from Fox and Pax, and syndicated programming in other dayparts.
    ${ }^{14}$ Neither the noncompensable nor the partially duplicative programming explains the particular ( 75 percent) reduction chosen.
    ${ }^{15}$ See footnote 13 above.
    ${ }^{16}$ CDC. Stated differently, about 25 percent of all Form 3 subscribers receive no DSEs, 50 percent receive some DSEs but no more than one, and the remaining 25 percent receive more than one.

[^61]:    17 The average of 0.956 and 0.63 is 0.793 .
    ${ }^{18}$ I understand that CDC's allocation of royalties is described in detail in the Direct Testimony of Jonda K. Martin, also submitted in this proceeding.
    19 CDC.

[^62]:    ${ }^{20}$ Library of Congress, Copyright Office, Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act Section 109 Report: a Report of the Register of Copyrights, June 2008, p. 68, citing comments of Program Suppliers. The comparison cited does not give an exact measure of the extra amount cable operators were willing to pay, for example, the extra royalty amount paid for WTBS was less than the full royalty fund in 1998 and, on the other side, the operators' saving in common carrier costs is not considered; nevertheless, TBS's conversion did show that cable operators were willing to pay more for the channel than they did under the compulsory license.

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ This amounted to less than $2 \%$ of all Form 3 cable systems.
    ${ }^{2}$ Despite this increase in the number of systems carrying no distant signals, the total royalty fund only went down by about $32 \%$.

[^64]:    ${ }^{3}$ "Nonpermitted" refers to a station that could not have been carried prior to June 24, 1981, the date on which the FCC eliminated its rules restricting the number of distant signals cable systems were permitted to retransmit. The $3.75 \%$ Fee is $3.75 \%$ of gross receipts per DSE, in lieu of the base rate fee.

[^65]:    

[^66]:    1 I understand that the 2004 and 2005 cable operator surveys are being presented by Sports witness James Trautman in this proceeding.
    2 DMAs are television markets defined by the Nielsen television ratings company. Each DMA encompasses the group of counties in which the market's television stations are predominantly viewed. Non-overlapping DMAs cover all of the continental United States, Hawaii and parts of Alaska.

[^67]:    3 This was the cutoff for the second half of 2005. Prior to this during the 2004-2005 period, systems were classified as Form 3 if they had semiannual gross receipts in excess of $\$ 379,600$.

[^68]:    4 See Waldfogel, Joel. "Preference Externalities: An Empirical Study of Who Benefits Whom in Differentiated-Product Markets." RAND Journal of Economics, vol. 34, no. 3, Autumn 2003, pp. 557-68; George, Lisa; Waldfogel, Joel. "Who Affects Whom in Daily Newspaper Markets?" Journal of Political Economy, vol. 111, no. 4, August 2003, pp. 765-84; and Waldfogel, Joel. "Who Benefits Whom in Local Television Markets?" Brookings-Wharton Papers on Urban Affairs, 2004, pp. 257-84.

    5 See Sinai, Todd; Waldfogel, Joel "Geography and the Internet: Is the Internet a Substitute or a Complement for Cities?" Journal of Urban Economics, vol. 56, no. 1, July 2004, pp. 1-24.

    6 See George, Lisa M; Waldfogel, Joel. "The New York Times and the Market for Local Newspapers" American Economic Review, vol. 96, no. 1, March 2006, pp. 435-47.

[^69]:    7 consumers: its availability affects voter turnout. See Oberholzer-Gee, Felix; Waldfogel, Joel. "Strength in Numbers: Group Size and Political Mobilization" Journal of Law and Economics, vol. 48, no. 1, April 2005, pp. 73-91; and Oberholzer-Gee, Felix; Waldfogel, Joel. "Media Markets and Localism: Does Local News en Español Boost Hispanic Voter Turnout? (with Felix Oberholzer-Gee), forthcoming, American Economic Review (revised version of NBER Working Paper 12317, June 2006).

[^70]:    9 These content suppliers are (1) Program Suppliers, (2) Sports, (3) Commercial TV, (4) Public TV, (5) Music, (6) Devotional, and (7) Canadian. Because Music cannot be identified separately from the programs in which it is integrated, it is not covered in the regression analysis.

[^71]:    Source: TVData; Cable Data Corporation; The Lifestyle Market Analyst

[^72]:    11 The programs delivered on "superstation" WGN, identified as WGNA for "WGN America," include a substantial number of substituted syndicated programs and Devotional programs that are separately licensed for transmission and therefore are not eligible to receive any share of the royalties in this proceeding. Hence, in making our proposed share calculations, we consider only compensable programs.
    12 Although the point estimates of the coefficients for Devotional and Low Power are negative, neither is individually statistically significantly below zero. The respective estimates imply a 29 percent probability that the Devotional coefficient is above zero, and a 37 percent probability that the Low Power coefficient is above zero.

[^73]:    1 The station/system pairs that were dropped from the analysis were identified by a basis of carriage code of " $Z$ " and a DSE of 0 . There were two instances in which a station with a basis of carriage code of " $Z$ " had a positive DSE. In these cases, the observations were not dropped from the analysis. Rather, it was assumed that the basis of carriage code was incorrect and that the station was either a partially or fully distant signal. The station was assumed to be fully distant if it was an independent station with a DSE of 1 or an educational or network station with a DSE of 0.25 , otherwise it was assumed to be partially distant.

[^74]:    (continued)

[^75]:    1 Non-dramatic performances are any performances that occur outside of a staged, theatrical context. "Dramatic" or "dramatico-musical" performances, in contrast, are those one can see on theatrical stages throughout the country, such as operas, musical comedies, and other forms of musical theater. "Dramatico-musical" performances are usually licensed directly from the copyright owners.

[^76]:    ${ }^{2}$ I understand that $C S I$ was the third show among the top-three in the average Nielsen ratings.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Qualified Viewing Households for the Contract Period December 1, 2004 through December 31, 2005 will be based upon data compiled by Nielsen for the nine November, February and May sweeps months between the period November 2001 and May 2004; for each subsequent year, Qualifying Yiewing Ifouseholds will be based upoin data compiled by Nielsen for the zine November, February and May sweeps months prior to July 1 of the year preceding the Contract Period.
    2 The number of Market Qualified Viewing Households in Puerto Rico shall be determined based upon data provided by Media Fax, or some other comparable provider of household audience information. The number of Market Qualified Viewing Households in the Virgin Islands and Guam (or in any other market or territory in which household audience information is unavailable) shall be determined by calculating the number of television households in the U.S. as a percentage of the total U.S. population; multiplying that percentage by the population of the market for which audience information is umavailable to derive the number of television households in the market; and multiplying the resulting number by a fraction the numerator of which is the number of licensed stations in the market and the denominator of which is the total number of stations.in the market. For purposes of assigning an allocable share of the industry-wide blanket license fee to television markets in the Virgin Islands, Guam and Puerto Rico, the number of Market Qualified Viewing Households in each of these markets is to be given the same weight as the Nielsen DMA that most closely approximates the number of Market Qualified Viewing Househoids in these markets.

[^78]:    ${ }^{3}$ For example, on the East Coast, prime-time occupies Monday - Saturday 8:00-11 p.m. and Sunday 7:00-11:00 p.m.
    ${ }^{4}$ The fees for each of the licensed stations in the Virgin Islands and Guam shall equal the amount of the industry-wide fee assigned to the market divided by the total number of licensed television stations in that market.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ BMI and ASCAP operate on a not-profit-making basis. All royalties collected by BMI and ASCAP for public performance of music in each catalog, less expenses and reasonable reserves, are distributed to its songwriter, composer and publisher members and affiliates. SESAC operates as a private for-profit corporation.

[^80]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Music Claimants are part of the group of Settling Parties who have entered into a settlement regarding the distribution and allocation among themselves of their collective share of the 2004-05 distant signal cable royalties. As part of this joint claim, the Music Claimants are introducing evidence of the value of Music's share of the 200405 funds in order to provide the Judges with a value for Music's share of the Basic, $3.75 \%$ and Syndex Funds.

[^81]:    ${ }^{3}$ I understand that a minuscule portion of the overall cable funds have been attributed to the carriage of distant radio stations, and all of that value, save for the amount awarded to NPR for its non-music programming, has been awarded each year to the Music Claimants for the copyrighted music on radio.
    ${ }^{4}$ Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, 69 Fed. Reg. 3603, 3619-20 (Jan. 26, 2004)(Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99).

[^82]:    

[^83]:    6. E.g. on the East Coast, prime-time occupies Monday-Salurday 8:00-11:00 p.m. and Sunday 7:00-11:00 p-m.
[^84]:    1 I understand that the Music Claimants are part of the group of Settling Parties who have entered into a settlement regarding the distribution and allocation among themselves of their collective share of the 2004-05 distant signal cable royalties. As part of this joint claim, the Music Claimants are introducing evidence of the value of Music's share of the 2004-05 funds in order to provide the Judges with a value for Music Claimants' share of the Basic, 3.75\% and Syndex Funds.

[^85]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Music Claimants also license noncommercial television stations. However, as set forth in more detail below, I will focus my analysis on commercial television stations.
    3 During 2004 and 2005 UPN and The WB were separate non-Big 3 Networks. In 2006 UPN and The WB "merged" to become a new non-Big 3 Network, The CW. The CW is a joint venture between CBS Corporation, the former owners of United Paramount Network (UPN), and Warner Bros., former majority owner of The WB (Warner Brothers) Television Network.
    4 Based on data on high power commercial television stations from M Street, a subscription-based data service that tracks television station licenses and affiliations, provided to me by BMI.

[^86]:    5 The license for Univision covers network programiming as well as local programming on the television stations that are owned by Univision.

[^87]:    61978 Cable Royalty Distribution Proceeding, Docket No. CRT 79-1, Notice of Final Determination, 45 Fed. Reg. 63026, 63035-37 (September 23, 1980), [hereinafter, the "1978 CRT Determination"].
    7 In the matter of Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Docket No. 2001-8 CARP CD 98-99, Report of the Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel To The Librarian of Congress (October 21, 2003), [hereinafter, "CARP Report"], Section II.B-C; see Distribution of 1998 and 1999 Cable Royalty Funds, Librarian of Congress, Final Order, 69 Fed. Reg. 3606, 3608 (January 26, 2004) (affiriming CARP decision), aff'd, Program Suppliers v. Librarian of Congress, 409 F.3d 395, 401-02 (D.C. Cir. 2005).
    8 CARP Report, Section III.A-C.
    9 CARP Report, Section III.A.2.g.

[^88]:    10 In the 1998-99 distribution proceeding, data concerning a music ratio was first admitted into evidence during the rebuttal phase by the Joint Sports Claimants, and, accordingly, the CARP had only limited and incomplete data. See CARP Report, Section III.F.3.
    11 CARP Report, Section III.F.3.
    12 U.S. Census Bureau, Current Business Reports, BC/98, Anrrual Survey of Communications Services: 1998, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 1999. As the name of the report indicates, this report is a survey, and results are based on a sample of the industry covered; it is not a census of the entire broadcast television industry. Specifically, the report is based on sample of 2,000 employer establishments from the population of roughly 40,000 employer establishments categorized as providing telephone communication, radio and television broadcasting, cable television and other communication services (SIC 48). The overall sampling rate is therefore $5 \%$.
    13 The 1998 Annual Survey of Communication Services breaks television broadcast services (SIC 4833) into "taxable firms" and "taxable and tax-exempt firm." I use the expenditures indicated for taxable firms here.

[^89]:    ${ }^{16}$ The TMLC is an industry committee which represents local television stations in negotiations for industry-wide music performance rights.

[^90]:    ${ }^{17}$ Individual data points for music license and broadcast rights payments are no longer available from the U.S. Census Bureau. After 1998, the U.S. Census Bureau combined the Annual Survey of Communication Services with the Transportation Annual Survey into a single report called that the Service Annual Survey. The Census Bureau also changed its coverage from the SIC system to the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) and used NAICS 51312 (television broadcasting) for broadcast television services. The Service Annual Survey provides less detail than did the Annual Survey of Communication Services. Notably, the Service Annual Survey provides an aggregate number for the sum of music license fees and broadcast rights payments, instead of individual numbers for the two components as was the case in the Annual Survey of Communication Services. The Service Annual Survey also aggregates data for taxable and tax-exempt firms into a single number for all firms. It also continues to include expenditures made by the Big 3 Networks on music license fees and broadcast rights payments.

[^91]:    18 The NAB reports music license fees paid to PROs based on a survey of television stations. As stated above in footnote 17, by 2004 the U.S. Census Bureau no longer reported actual expenditures on music license fees by the television broadcasters as it did in the 1998 Annual Survey of Communication Services.
    19 The Big 3 networks pay a separate music license fee to cover their network programming.
    ${ }^{20}$ The break down for blanket licenses for local commercial television stations are: for ASCAP; $\$ 97$ million for 2004 and $\$ 85$ million for 2005; for BMI, $\$ 85$ million for both 2004 and 2005; and for SESAC, $\$ 13.5$ million for 2004 and $\$ 16$ million for 2005.
    21 Television stations that are affiliated with the non-Big 3 networks pay music license fees for station and network programming, except for stations owned by the Univision network. The Univision network pays a blanket license fee covering all the programming of the stations that the network owns. The blanket license fees paid by Univision ( $\$ 5.31$ million in 2004 and $\$ 5.72$ million in 2005 ) are included as part of the Other network category in Table 2.

[^92]:    ${ }^{23}$ NAB and Broadcast Cable Financial Management Association, 2006 Television Financial Report. The 2006 NAB Survey provides revenue and expense data for the year 2005. The data included in the 2005 NAB Survey was based on $63.1 \%$ response rate ( 690 facilities, representing 797 television stations returned usable questionnaires out of a universe of 1,263 stations contacted). This is different than the U.S. Census Bureau's Annual Survey of Communication Services (1998) and the Service Annual Survey (1998-2005) which are based on samples of stations and networks in the television broadcasting industry.

[^93]:    27 As a rule of thumb, distant subscriber half years can be divided by two to roughly estimate the number of subscriber instances in a given year. This assumes that the number of subscriber instances did not materially change from one half-year period to another.

[^94]:    28 Form SA1-2 is the "short form" for use by cable systems with semiannual gross receipts for secondary transmission that is less than $\$ 527,600$. Form SA3, known as Form 3, is the "long form" for use by cable systems with semiannual gross receipts for secondary transmission that is more than $\$ 527,600$. CDC estimates that Form 3 cable systems are responsible for roughly $95 \%$ of the payments into the pool of royalty funds. Also, Form 1 and 2 cable systems are not required to distinguish between local and distant signals. As discussed earlier, I excluded several "small" television stations that would not be included in the NAB Survey, in the calculation of broadcast rights payments. I identified these same small stations in the CDC data and segregated the associated distant signals into a category called "small" in Table 9.

[^95]:    30 A majority of total distant signal half years for both 2004 and 2005 are associated with WGN. WGN transmits two types of signals: one for its local market in Chicago (WGN-TV, channel 9, in Chicago, Illinois) and one designed for distant carriage (WGN America, formerly known as Superstation WGN). In 2004 and 2005, WGN was affiliated with WB in its local broadcasts. However, WGN America's transmissions did not (and do not) include programming from WB because the network was (and is) available in most markets around the country. Therefore, I include distant signals for WGN in the independent station category.
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    Network affilation as shown herein is based on information supplied by the networks for use in Nielsen Television Index (NTI) For additional details, see the Local Reierence Supplement
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[^100]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Ph.D., Economics, Vanderbilt University, 1998; Professor of Economics, Auburn University.
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    I S. Comm. on Commerce, SCl., \& TranSp., 109 Th Cong., Open Forum on Decency 9-12 (Comm. Print 2006) [hereinafter Open Forum on Decency] (statement of Kevin J.

[^101]:    Martin, Chairman, Fed. Commc'ns Comm'n). Some cable and satellite MVPDs have begun to offer some form of family tier. Some of these, however, require consumers to subscribe to a basic tier of programming in order to benefit from a family package. Comcast, http://www.comcast.com/Localization/Localize.ashx?Referer=/shop/buyflow/default.ashx (enter "1600 Pennsylvania Avenue" and "20001" in the address and zip code boxes; select "Washington, DC" and follow "Thanks! On you go" hyperlink; follow "See All Features" hyperlink under "Family Tiers" heading) (demonstrating the White House could not subscribe to a Comcast Family Tier without also subscribing to a basic programming tier).
    ${ }^{2}$ In re À La Carte and Themed Programming and Pricing Options for Programming Distribution on Cable Television and Direct Broadcast Satellite Systems, Further Report on the Packaging and Sale of Video Programming Services to the Public, MB Docket No. 04207, I 111 (Feb. 9, 2006) thereinafter 2006 À La Carte Report]. À la carte programming allows consumers to subscribe to individual channels of their choosing.
    ${ }^{3}$ Consumers Having Options in Cable Entertainment Act, S. 3457, 109th Cong. (2006); see also John McCain \& Kevin J. Martin, John McCain: Make Cable Go À La Carte, L.A. Times, May 25, 2006, at B11.
    ${ }^{4} 152$ Cong. REC. S5600 (daily ed. June 7, 2006) (statement of Sen. John McCain).
    5 See generally Consumers Having Options in Cable Entertainment Act, S. 3457, 109th Cong. (2006)

    6 Kid Friendly TV Programming Act of 2005, S. 946, 109th Cong. § 3 (2005).
    7 See, e.g., Cesar. V. Conda, Cable, à La Carte?, Nat'l Rev. Online, Jan. 12, 2006, http://www.nationalreview.com (select "Search" tab; select "National Review Online" hyperlink; enter "cable, a la carte" in the keyword box; select "NRO articles" in the "Sections" drop-down menu; select "Search" and then select "Cable, a la Carte?" hyperlink to view article by Cesar v. Conda) ("Conservatives of all stripes should commend FCC chairman Martin for using the enlightening power of the Bully Pulpit"); Press Release, Con-

[^102]:    17 "Poirot" is a British television series documenting the investigations of Agatha Christie's fictional detective Hercule Poirot. See Poirot Television Show, TV.com, http://www.tv.com/poirot/show/3573/summary.html (last visited Nov. 12, 2006). The program is broadcast internationally and has appeared in the United States on the Arts \& Entertainment Network (A\&E). Id.
    18 MTV.com, True Life: I'm on Steroids, http://www.mtv.com/ontv/dyn/truelife/ episodes, jhtml (select "Epsiode Guide" hyperlink and then select "Episode 27") (last visited Nov. 12, 2006). The True Life program series presents short documentaries about the lives of young adults, ranging from following a person through a diet to documenting a soldier's return from Iraq. Id.
    ${ }^{19}$ MTV.com, Homewrecker, http://www.mtv.com/ontv/dyn/truelife/series.jhtmi\#/ontv/ dyn/homewrecker/series.jhtml (last visited Nov. 12, 2006). In each episode, the host of the series helps one roommate creatively "trash" his or her cohabitant's personal space. Id. (follow "Read More" hyperlink).
    ${ }^{20}$ See SpikeTV.com, Stripperella, http://www.spiketv.com/\#/shows/index.jhtml (select "Shows" hyperlink; select "Vintage" hyperlink; and follow "Striperella" hyperlink) (last visited Nov. 12, 2006); Internet Movie Database, Stripperella, http://www.imdb.com/title/t $0369171 /$ (last visited Sept. 21, 2006).
    ${ }^{21}$ Keith Brown \& Peter J. Alexander, Bundling in Cable Television: A Pedagogical Note With a Policy Option, 6 Int'L J. Media Mgmt. 162, 162 (2004).
    ${ }^{22}$ Id. at 165.

[^103]:    23 See Thomas Hazlett, et al., Debate: Cable TV Rates: Has Deregulation Failed?, Manhattan Institute for Policy Research, Center for the Digital Economy (Nov. 21, 2003) (transcript available at http://www.manhattan-institute.org/html/cdel 1-21-03.htm).

    24 In re $\AA$ La Carte and Themed Programming and Pricing Options for Programming Distribution on Cable Television and Direct Broadcast Satellite Systems, Report on the Packaging and Sale of Video Programming Services to the Public, MB Docket No. 04-207, at 5 (Nov. 18, 2004) [hereinafter $2004 \AA$ À La Carte Report]. However, the Media Bureau's 2006 report on the topic noted that "the cross-subsidization that the [2004] Report portrays as a valuable feature of bundling can actually be harmful to consumers and society." $2006 \AA$ La Carte Report, supra note 2, $\$ 38$ (citations omitted).

    25 Brown \& Alexander, supra note 21, at 165.
    ${ }_{27}$ Id. at 162.
    ${ }^{27}$ Burt Helm, Cable a la Carte: Choice v. Cost?, Bus. Wk., Dec. 7, 2005, http://www.businessweek.com/technology/content/dec2005/tc20051207_647629.htm.

[^104]:    ${ }^{28} 2006$ À La Carte Report, supra note 2, II 60-61. The National Cable and Telecommunications Association reports that there are 32.9 million digital cable subscribers as of June 2006, roughly half of the 65.5 million cable households in the country. Nat'l Cable \& Telecomm. Ass'n, Statistics, NCTA.com, http://www.ncta.com/ContentView.aspx ?contenUd=54 (last visited Nov. 13, 2006).
    ${ }^{29}$ See Eric Hellweg, Watching Channel Zero, Tech. Rev., Aug. 5, 2004, http://www.technologyreview.com/read_article.aspx?id=13719.
    ${ }^{30}$ See infra note 34 and accompanying text.
    31 Open Forum on Decency, supra note 1, at 34-35 (statement of David J. Moskowitz, Executive Vice President/General Counsel, EchoStar). Senator McCain has discussed the efforts of a cable system in Sun City, Arizona to drop expensive music channels like MTV from the subscriptions of its senior citizens subscribers, "but the owners of the music video channels have forbid [cable systems] to do so without serious repercussions. So the residents of Sun City continue to subsidize the cost of these channels for viewers around the country." 152 Cong. Rec. S5600 (daily ed. June 7, 2006) (statement of Senator John McCain).

[^105]:    32 If the value exceeds the cost, then bundling is profitable.

[^106]:    ${ }^{33}$ See supra note 31 and accompanying text.
    ${ }^{34}$ An advertiser pays a cable or satellite MVPD according to numbers of viewers and/or subscribers. Likewise, a programmer charges a cable or satellite MVPD for the programming according to the number of subscribers. This is known as the "payment-per-eyeball" approach. See generally Jim Surmanek, Media Planning: A Practical Guide (3rd ed. 1996).
    ${ }_{36}$ The value of the advertisements, $S$, is a function of the viewership of the channel.
    ${ }_{37}^{36}$ See generally Surmanek, supra note 34.
    ${ }^{37}$ See infra note 42 and accompanying text.
    See id.

[^107]:    39 William J. Adams and Janet L. Yellen, Commodity Bundling and the Burden of Monopoly, 90 Q. J. Econ. 475 (1976). These are "relevant" options in that other options are less profitable.

[^108]:    40 See supra notes 31-33 and accompanying text.
    ${ }^{41}$ See 47 U.S.C. § $325(b)$ (2000) (prohibiting the retransmission of a signal without the consent of the originating station); 47 C.F.R. §76.64 (2005).

[^109]:    42 See generally Wilinam J. Baumol, et al., Contestable Markets and the Theory ${ }^{0}{ }_{43}$ INDUSTRY STRUCTURE (1982), for an explanation of contestable markets theory.
    ${ }^{43}$ Without price discrimination, $\mathrm{C}(2,2) / 2$ is equal to average incremental cost.

[^110]:    ${ }^{44}$ The value, positive or negative, of the actual advertisement (not the product advertised and potentially purchased) is included in $\boldsymbol{V}$. In other words, if advertising has negative value, then $V$ will lessen the more that advertising content appears on the channel.

[^111]:    45 See generally Michael Mussa \& Sherwin Rosen, Monopoly and Product Quality, 18 J. Econ. Theory 301 (1978); David de Meza, Product Diversity Under Monopoly: Two High Quality Results, 49:2 Bull. Econ. Res. 169 (1997); Lawrence Abbott, Quality and Compettion: An Essay in Economic Theory (1995).
    ${ }^{46}$ Hal R. Varian, Entry and Cost Reduction, Feb. 18, 1994 (unpublished manuscript, available at http://www.sims.berkeley.edu/~hal/Papers/entry.pdf).

    47 U.S. Gen. Accounting Office, Telecommunications: Wire-Based Competition Benefited Consumers in Selected Markets 4 (2004). See also T. Randolph Beard, et al., Fragmented Duopoly: A Conceptual and Empirical Investigation, 78 J. Bus. 2377 (2005)². for a discussion of the effects of wireline distribution network competition on cable television market prices, demand, and quality.

    482004 A La Carte Report, supra note 24 , at 85.
    49 In re A La Carte and Themed Tier Programming and Pricing Options for Programming Distribution on Cable Television and Direct Broadcast Satellite Systems, Comments of the Progress and Freedom Foundation, MB Docket No. 04-207, at 2 (July 15, 2004) (accessible via FCC Electronic Comment Filing System).

[^112]:    ${ }^{50}$ Brown \& Alexander, supra note 21, at 165.
    ${ }^{51}$ Id.
    2006 À La Carte Report, supra note 2, 9II 99-101.

[^113]:    ${ }_{54}$ See id. TH 101, 103.
    54 See Brown \& Alexander, supra note 21, at 165.
    Id.
    Id.
    Kid-Friendly TV Programming Act of 2005, S. 946, 109 th Cong. (2005).
    58 One might consider a requirement for a "family tier" of programming akin to a local zoning regulation that instructs bars in residential neighborhoods to tum their music down after a certain time, or a law that limits the sale of tobacco or adult magazines within a certain distance of a school.

    59 See discussion supra Part III.B.

[^114]:    ${ }^{60}$ S. 946 § 3.
    ${ }_{62}$ See 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 155 (2002).
    62 Viacom.com, Welcome to Viacom: Cable Television, http://www.viacomcom/cable.jhtml (last visited Nov. 12, 2006) (listing Nickelodeon and Noggin as a Viacom brand names).
    ${ }_{64}^{63}$ Id. (listing SpikeTV and MTV as a Viacom brand names).
    ${ }^{64}$ Consumers Having Options in Cable Entertainment Act, S. 3457, 109th Cong. (2006).

    65 S. 3457 § 3 (eliminating the requirement that video service providers obtain state or local video franchises).

    66 Id. at § $3(\mathrm{~b})(1)(\mathrm{A})(\mathrm{ii})$ (limiting the fee that local governments can charge eligible video services).
    ${ }^{67}$ See S. 3457 § 3.
    ${ }^{68}$ See id. The CHOICE Act also requires video service providers with an ownership interest in video programming networks not to prohibit other video providers from offering

[^115]:    the top seven cable companies (i.e., Comcast, Time Wamer, Cox, Cablevision and Advance/Newhouse) have ownership interests in satellite-delivered national programming networks." In re Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming, Twelfth Annual Report, 21 F.C.C.R. 2503, If 159 (Feb. 10, 2006) [hereinafier Twelfih Annual Report].
    ${ }^{69}$ See id. $\$ 162$.
    70 See 47 U.S.C. $\S 325$ (2000); 47 C.F.R. §76.64 (2005).
    ${ }^{71}$ See, e.g., In re A La Carte and Themed Tier Programming and Pricing Options for Programming Distribution on Cable Television and Direct Broadcast Satellite Systems, Discovery Communications, Inc., Ex Parte Notice, MB Docket No. 04-207 (Oct. 19, 2004) (accessible via FCC Electronic Comment Filing System) (arguing that retransmission consent policies result in forced carriage of cable programming networks affiliated with broadcast networks that displace services that subscribers would prefer); In re A La Carte and Themed Tier Programming and Pricing Options for Programming Distribution on Cable Tele $\begin{gathered}\text { ision } \\ \text { and Direct Broadcast Satellite Systems, Reply Comments of Turner Broadcast- }\end{gathered}$ ing System, Inc., MB Docket No. 04-207, at 17 (Aug. 13, 2004) (accessible via FCC Electronic Comment Filing System) (internal citations omitted).

    72 Consumers Having Options in Cable Entertainment Act, S. 3457, 109th Cong. § 4(a) (2006).
    ${ }^{73}$ Compare S. 3457 with Kid Friendly TV Programming Act of 2005, S. 946, 109th Cong. (2005).
    ${ }^{74}$ See S. 3457 § 3 (A)(1)(a).

[^116]:    75 Advertisers, of course, do not generally care if consumers like or dislike the channel; advertisers only care if the channel is watched. And stated simply, what parents would like their children to watch and what their children actually watch are two different things.
    ${ }^{76}$ See discussion supra Part III.C.

[^117]:    77 See 47 U.S.C. § 325 (2000); 47 C.F.R. §76.64 (2005).

[^118]:    ${ }^{78} 2004$ À La Carte Report, supra note 24, at 23.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ The backup to Mr. Lindstrom's June 1, 2009 Direct Testimomy inctuded annual datasets recording household viewing of distant signals, "MPAA04 ANNUAL VWG.txt" and "MPAAO5 ANNUAL VWG.txt".

[^120]:    ${ }^{2} 2004$ MPAA HHLDS W-COUNTY.xls and 2005 MPAA HHLDS W-COUNTY.xis.

[^121]:    ${ }^{3}$ In 2004 there were 31 non-top- 50 educational stations while in 2005 there were 18 non-top- 50 educational stations. The number of top-50 educational stations was 13 and was the same in both years.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dr. Hoynoski reports that the Nielsen sample includes "approximately 7000 multichannel [cable] households" (Written Direct Testimony of Dr. Hoynoski dated June 1, 2009, p. 14).
    ${ }^{5}$ These numbers are calculated as total distant signal viewing divided by the number of households and days in the sample. For 2004, the calculation is $2.18=5,576,385 /(366 \times 7,000)$. For 2005 , the calculation is $3.24=8,266,954$ / $(365 \times 7,000)$. (Note that 2004 is a leap year and therefore has 366 days.)
    ${ }^{6}$ I was not provided with the actual monthly sample size, only the number of households viewing distant signals. As a result, I could not calculate the relevant yearly sample sizes for 2004 and 2005. Note that Dr. Hoynoski reports that the total number of multichannel and broadcast households in the Nielsen sample increases from

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix 1.

[^123]:    1 "The Impact of the 1992 Cable Act on Household Demand and Welfare," RAND Journal of Economics, v3ln3 (Autumn 2000), 422-449; "Monopoly Quality Degradation and Regulation in Cable Television," (with Matthew Shum), Journal of Law and Economics, v50n1 (February 2007), 181-209; "Bundling, Product Choice, and

[^124]:    Efficiency: Should Cable Television Networks Be Offered A La Carte?," (with Joseph Cullen), Information Economics and Policy, v19n3-4 (October 2007), 379-404; "The Discriminatory Incentives to Bundle: The Case of Cable Television," Quantitative Marketing and Economics, v6nl (March 2008), 41-78.
    ${ }^{2}$ The NBER is a private, nonprofit research organization dedicated to studying the science and empirics of economics. It is the largest economics research organization in the United States. The chapter is titled, "Cable Regulation in the Satellite Era," Chapter 5 in Rose, N., ed., "Economic Regulation and Its Reform: What Have We Learned?" forthcoming, University of Chicago Press.
    3 Neither of these reports submitted on behalf of the Program Supplier claimants addresses the relative market value of music, a program element, in the distant signal market. Accordingly, my rebuttal testimony is focused on the program category claimants and does not address a determination of the Music Claimants' share.

[^125]:    ${ }^{4}$ "Only one distribution criterion appears to have stood the 'test of time' and has served as the principal basis for allocating cable copyright royalties - 'relative marketplace value'", Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel ("CARP") 1998/99 Report at 9 ; "The 1990-92 Panel ... concluded that 'market value' is the only logical and legal touchstone," CARP 1998/99 Report at 10.
    ${ }^{5}$ 1998/99 CARP Report at 10 (citing prior CARP, Librarian, and Court decisions).
    ${ }^{6}$ I understand that evidence regarding these general patterns has previously been introduced in this proceeding, including the Statement of Richard V. Ducey, at $7-8$ ( $93 \%$ of non-superstation distant signal carriage within 150 miles), Testimony of Jerald N. Fritz (distant carriage of his company's stations in adjacent, generally smaller markets), and Statement of Joel Waldfogel, at 5 (the smaller the market, the fewer the local stations and the more the distant signals the cable system carries).

[^126]:    ${ }^{7}$ I understand that another witness in this proceeding, Dr. Michael Topper, will be presenting an analysis that shows that the viewing to distant signals measured in the MPAA Special Study previously introduced by Program Suppliers in this proceeding represented less than one-half of one percent of all television viewing done in just the cable households where distant signals were viewed at all.
    ${ }^{8}$ I have reviewed the testimony of Commercial Television Claimants witnesses Dr. Ducey and Mr. Fritz in this regard.
    ${ }^{9}$ Even if distant cable carriage could somehow have some influence on broadcast station program choices, that influence would presumably already have had its effect, given that stations have been carried as distant signals for decades.
    ${ }^{10}$ For many cable networks, which offer "local avails" to cable systems that carry the network, cable operators may earn some incremental revenue through local advertising sales in addition to their principal subscription revenues. But for a number of other channels they choose to carry in their basic video service bundles, including distant signals, local television stations, cable networks such as CSPAN, the Sundance Channel, Turner Classic

[^127]:    ${ }^{13}$ Spence, A. And B. Owen, "Television Programming, Monopolistic Competition, and Welfare," Quarterly Journal of Economics, v91 (1977), 103-126, Chapter 4 in Owen, B. and S. Wildman, Video Economics, Harvard University Press (1992), Anderson, S. and S. Coate, "Market Provision of Broadcasting: A Welfare Analysis," Review of Economic Studies, v72 (October 2005), at 960-61.
    ${ }^{14}$ For cable channels in which the cable operator sells no advertising, such as a distant signal, the relative amounts of subscriber viewing have no direct relation to the relative intensity of subscriber preferences, or subscriber willingness to pay. For example, a consumer may be willing to pay much more to watch 3 hours of his favorite football team than to watch 20 hours of old movies. For cable channels for which cable operators can earn both subscription fees and advertising revenue, there is a trade-off between these objectives, and the content selected reflects a mix of the incentives to attract both advertiser and subscriber payments.

[^128]:    15 "Bundling, Product Choice, and Efficiency: Should Cable Television Networks Be Offered A La Carte?," (with Joseph Cullen), Information Economics and Policy, v19n3-4 (October 2007), at 388.
    ${ }^{16}$ For example, MTV (Music Television) targets its programming to appeal to young adults and Lifetime targets its programming to appeal to adult women. As a result, it would not be surprising if young adults had higher than average tastes for MTV and lower than average tastes for Lifetime, while their mothers had the opposite preferences. That is, there is negative correlation in tastes for MTV and Lifetime across these consumers.

[^129]:    ${ }^{17}$ A Type 1 Subscriber will pay $\$ 18$ for a sports-news bundle ( $\$ 14+\$ 4$ ) but a Type 2 Subscriber will pay only $\$ 15$ $(\$ 7+\$ 8)$. To entice both subscribers to purchase the bundle, the cable system will charge the lower amount, $\$ 15$, and make total revenues of $\$ 30$. With the sports-weather bundle, a Type 1 subscriber will pay $\$ 21$ but a Type 2 subscriber will pay only $\$ 12$. Again the cable system will prefer to charge the lower amount, $\$ 12$, but total revenues in this case would be only $\$ 24$.
    ${ }^{18}$ Adams, J. and J. Yellen, "Commodity Bundling and the Burden of Monopoly," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, v90n3 (1976), 475-498, Bakos, Y. and E. Brynjolffson, "Bundling information goods: Pricing, profits, and efficiency," Management Science, v45n2 (1999), 1613-1630, Crawford, G. and J. Cullen, "Bundling, Product Choice, and Efficiency: Should Cable Television Networks Be Offered A La Carte?," Information Economics and Policy, v19n3-4 (October 2007), 379-404, Crawford, G., "The Discriminatory Incentives to Bundle in the Cable Television Industry," Quantitative Marketing and Economics, v6nl (March 2008), 41-78.
    ${ }^{19}$ See, e.g., Carlton, D. and J. Perloff, Modern Industrial Organization, $4^{\text {th }}$ International Edition, Addison-Wesley (2005), Example 10.4, p. 325.

[^130]:    ${ }^{20}$ It may be expected as well that the costs of physical acquisition and transport increase somewhat with distance, but the array of broadcast stations within a 150 -mile radius of the cable system may be assumed to entail similar such costs.
    21 "The Discriminatory Incentives to Bundle: The Case of Cable Television," Quantitative Marketing and Economics, v6nl (March 2008), 41-78.
    ${ }^{22}$ ld. at 57, 63, 69.
    ${ }^{23}$ The General-Interest networks were WTBS, USA, TNT, Family, Nashville, and A\&E, and the Special-Interest networks were Discovery, ESPN, CSPAN, Lifetime, CNN, Weather, QVC, Learning, and MTV. See Id. at 54, Table 2.
    ${ }^{24}$ Corroborative evidence of these effects is plentiful. For example, the special-interest networks for which I found evidence of negative correlation in Crawford (2008) included a sports network (ESPN), a news network (CNN), and a public-affairs network (CSPAN). Similarly, the FCC found in its most recent Report on Competition in the Video Marketplace that of 101 regional cable channels offered in the U.S., 43 are sports networks and 51 are news networks (Federal Communications Commission (2009), "Thirteenth Annual Assessment on the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming (2006 Report)," available at http://www.fcc.gov/mb/csrptpg.html, at 10, 108-110.

[^131]:    ${ }^{25}$ It is difficult to be definitive as there are two effects at work: a mean effect (what I call the average demand) and a correlation effect. Niche programming has less viewing, which suggests but does not imply a lower average demand. If it does have lower average demand, then one must balance that against the positive profit effect of any negative correlation.
    ${ }^{26}$ "In essence, the simulated scenario of market value assumes there is a hypothetical broadcaster in the distant market that airs the same mix of programming as found on the 2004-05 distant signals," Ford Report, fn 10.

[^132]:    ${ }^{27}$ Non-broadcast cable networks are nationally distributed program channels that are offered to multichannel video providers who in turn offer them to subscribers. Examples include ESPN, CNN, USA Network, and A\&E.
    ${ }^{28}$ Ford Report at 50.

[^133]:    29 "Arkansas state residents who reside in out-of-state DMAs are frequently rabid University of Arkansas Razorback fans and have intense interest in viewing any programming about the teams," Fritz testimony, p.4.

[^134]:    ${ }^{30}$ Of course, even if some advertising revenues associated with distant signal programs were somehow to become available to the cable operator in the absence of the compulsory license, the relative value of such programs to the cable operator would never be determined exclusively by advertising revenues, as Dr. Ford assumes for his analysis. The lion's share of the value of the programming would likely continue to be a consequence of its ability to attract and keep cable subscribers.

[^135]:    ${ }^{31}$ Ford Report at 46, 49.
    ${ }^{32}$ Id. at 49-50.
    ${ }^{33}$ This issue is not presented in a survey of responsible cable system business officials, because it may appropriately be assumed that if their system carries a distant signal, it has already been identified as having some value to the cable operator.
    ${ }^{34}$ This difficulty is also not present for a survey of cable operators, who aggregate the demand of all the households in their service area in assessing the relative value of distant signal programs in making their own programming decisions.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ We do not believe the the final results from the Pilot Study can be extrapolated to the larger universe of cable subscribers due to the small size of the sample frame ( 110 respondents from 7 systems, all carrying WGN as the only distant signal). The Pilot Study was designed to test the Gruen Surveys to see whether changes would be necessary in order to make the results reliable. The use of pilot studies is routine in the industry in order to explore concerns such as the wording of a survey or whether the right group is being targeted.
    The methodology used to conduct the Pilot Study here is described in Appendix B, along with the results from the study. A copy of the survey questionnaire is described in Appendix C.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the sake of convenience, I have attached a copy of the 2005 Gruen Survey as Appendix D.

[^137]:    ${ }^{3}$ Gruen W.D.T. at 23

[^138]:    ${ }^{4}$ Rubin W.D.T. at 10.

[^139]:    ${ }^{5}$ Before conducting the subscriber surveys at issue here, Gruen conducted a Field Test and Pilot Study, but it does not appear that anyone attempted to test the impact of using these examples prior to administering the Gruen Surveys.
    ${ }^{6}$ Testimony of Alan Rubin at 4 (hereinafter "Rubin W.D.T.").
    ${ }^{7}$ Gruen Oral Testimony, Tr. 1889-90.

[^140]:    ${ }^{8}$ Gruen Oral Testimony, Tr. 1885-86.

[^141]:    ${ }^{13} I d$. at 41.
    ${ }^{14}$ The number of multi-person households in the Gruen Surveys was approximately $76 \%$ in 2004 and $73 \%$ in 2005.

[^142]:    Of the TEN Dollars, what is the value to you, if any, of all $\qquad$ [INSERT PROGRAM CATEGORIES LISTED IN QUESTION 5 - ASK VALUES SEPARATELY FOR EACH ANSWER GIVEN IN Q.5, RECORD VALUE ABOVE] programs shown on these same stations? (ADD VALUES RECORDED ABOVE, IF ANY, AND RECORD ABOVE)

    INTERVIEWER: REPEAT AS NECESSARY FOR EACH PROGRAM CATEGORY LISTED IN QUESTION 5.

[^143]:    1 See Shari Seidman Diamond, "Reference Guide on Survey Research," Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence, pp. 229-276 (2d ed. 2000) ("Reference Manual").

[^144]:    2 Reference Manual at p. 239.
    Reference Manual at p. 239.
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[^165]:    Note: Estimates cover taxable and tax-exempt firms and are not adjusted for price changes. The introduction and appendixes give information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, sample design, and definitions. Links to this information on the Internet may be found at <www.census.gov/svsd/www/cv.html>. Appendix A, Table A-3.1.2 provides estimated measures of sampling variability.
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