# Quarterly Workforce Indicators Update and Review 

John M. Abowd and Bryce Stephens January 29, 2003

## Introduction

- Quarterly Workforce Indicators
- Data demonstration
- Version 3.0 Approach
- Future Research Direction


## The Quarterly Workforce Indicators

- Version 2.0
- Employment and earnings statistics
- Level of detail
- Version 3.0 (beta)
- Employment and earnings statistics
- Enhanced level of detail
- Web interface


## Data Demonstration

## U.S. Census Bureau



## Objective in Developing Version 3.0

- Finest level of geographic and demographic detail possible
- Location of place-of-work
- Industry classification of place-of-work
- Core challenges:
- $30 \%-40 \%$ of state-level employment is concentrated in multi-unit businesses. State-level data (except for MN) do not provide place-of-work for employees of these businesses.
- Disclosure proofing



## Approach

- Identify two relationships that help explain the likelihood of the physical location of work, given the identity of the multi-unit employer
- Physical distance between place-of-residence and place-of-work
- Distribution of employment within a business entity
- Example


## Data

- ES-202 data provide us with 3 important firm (SEIN) characteristics
- Economic structure of business
- Geography
- Distribution of employment within SEINs
- Ul wage data provide
- Employer identifier (SEIN)
- Employment history of worker
- Person identifier
- CPR (IRS/Census/CMS)
- Person identifier
- Place of residence


## Model Specification, Estimation, and Application

- Estimate probability of employment at a particular reporting unit using MN UI data. Key factors include:
- Distance between individual residence and establishment location
- Number of employees
- Key points
- Identify feasible units
- Suppress false labor market transitions
- Estimate at the time of separation of a worker from employing firm
- Apply parameter estimates to partner states' data
- Generate QWIs


## Quality Assurance: Results of Benchmarking

## MN Known Unit vs. MN Imputed Unit Unweighted
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## MN Known Unit vs. MN Imputed Unit

 Across Workforce Investment Areas
## Percent Discrepancy: Beginning-of-Period Employment




## MN Known Unit vs. MN Imputed Unit

 Across Workforce Investment Areas

## MN Known Unit vs. MN Imputed Unit <br> Across Workforce Investment Areas



## Research Direction

- Under-Coverage of UI Wage Records
- Due to universe discrepancies
- Due to problematic quarters (data quality)
- Defective archives
- Incomplete most recent quarter reports
- Continued improvement of imputation methodology
- Additional Demographic Characteristics

