XCCDF Technical Deep Dive

October 29, 2009



Significant Remaining Open Issues

Proposed Dates	Topic
Oct 29, Security	XCCDF Values: appropriate data types,
Automation	appropriate formats (singleton, list,
Conference	RDF?), and global vs. local variables
~Nov 17-18	External Profiles
~Dec 8-10	Multiple proposals regarding XCCDF
	extension processing
~Jan 12-14	Value population
~Feb 2-4	Mapping of XCCDF-check language
	results & scoring model suggestions
~Feb 23-25	Miscellaneous clarifications of
	ambiguous items



Wrap-up from Previous Discussion

- Explicit versioning in check-refs and results
- 2 follow on discussions
 - What is the appropriate format of a URI reference
 - href="file", name="name#version"
 - href="file#name#version"
 - Practicality
 - Noted that, without meticulous adherence to policy specifications, OVAL Definitions won't reflect low-level changes
 - Solutions
 - Rely on policy
 - New definition_trace structure in OVAL
 - Drop use of versions and go to signatures

New Topic: XCCDF Values

- More standardized approach to Value datatype?
- Support for more complex Value structures
 - Lists, named records, etc.
- Support for global vs. local mappings

XCCDF Values – Discussion Questions

- 1. Is allowing Values to contain lists sufficient or do we need named-values?
- 2. Do we want to have new structures in profiles to add/removed members from lists/name-value pair?
- 3. Do we want to have each element of a list/name-value pair added in its own XML element in Value, or do we want to force an encoding so special characters are not confused.
- 4. Is it necessary for XCCDF to identify the data-type of its contents? If so, should this typing utilize standardized types and which standard list of types should be used?
- 5. Is there a need for global Values?
 - a. Where would a global mapping be declared? Current location? A separate section? Profiles?
 - b. Could local mappings override global mappings or would this be an error?
 - c. How would multiple mappings of the same scope be handled? Error? Last one (structurally) prevails?

