

Going Public

Ben Yandell, PhD, CQE

Clinical Information Analysis

Norton Healthcare, Louisville, KY

ben.yandell@nortonhealthcare.org

Norton Healthcare Quality Report

We don't have to do this, but ...

In a spirit of openness and accountability,
we will show the public our performance
on nationally endorsed
lists of quality indicators and practices.

- Not: invent or choose indicators that make us look **good**
- Not: hide or redefine indicators that make us look **bad**

>270 indicators + safe practices

● **National Quality Forum (NQF)**

- Hospital care
- Adult cardiac surgery
- Nursing-sensitive indicators
- Safe practices
- Shell in place for ambulatory indicators

● **JCAHO**

- JCAHO/CMS adult core measures
- National patient safety goals

● **AHRQ**

- **Patient safety indicators (PSIs)**
- **Inpatient quality indicators (IQIs)**

● **Others** (e.g., pediatric ORYX, NICU mortality)

Also: financials, patient satisfaction

Surgery

brief description	desired	AUD	NH	SW	SUB	KCH	KY	U.S.
% select surg. patients given preop. antibiotic on time	high	80	80		96		63	69
% select surg. patients given recom. preop. antibiotic	high							
% select surg. pats. w/antibiotic discontinued on time	high	58	80		81		68	64
% gall bladder surgery done laparoscopically	high	87	84		79	77	91	75
% incidental appendectomy in those over 64 years old	low	0	1.5		0.2		2.6	2.4
% inpatients with a reported complication of anesthesia	low	0.07	0.13		0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08
% surgeries where foreign body was unintentionally left	low	0	0.01		0	0.02	0.00	0.01
% select surgeries encountering technical difficulties	low	0.10	0.81		0.23	0.41	0.34	0.35

Red or green if outside 99% C.I. based on U.S.

September 14, 2005 posting

How we use PSIs and IQIs

- Publicly report rolling 12 months
- Risk-adjusted (not smoothed) rates straight from AHRQ software. Period.
- Use KY hospital discharge database, despite limited # of diagnosis codes
- Create service line report cards (only that patient population; no U.S.)

Surgery

brief description	desired	AUD	NH	SW	SUB	KCH	KY	U.S.
% surgeries w/ postoperative bleeding	low	0.15	0.23		0.30	0.19	0.22	0.22
% abdominal surgeries w/ postop wound dehiscence	low	0.00	0.20		0.22	0.24	0.16	0.20
% w/ pneumothorax resulting from medical care	low	0.09	0.08		0.05	0.07	0.07	0.08
% surgeries w/ postoperative physiologic derangement	low	0.05	0.09		0.05	0.03	0.09	0.11
% surgeries w/ postoperative respiratory failure	low	0.6	1.6		0.6	0.9	0.8	0.4
% surgeries w/ postoperative PE or DVT	low	1.1	1.5		0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9
% surgeries w/ postoperative sepsis	low	5.2	3.1		1.8	0	1.9	1.2
% craniotomy patients who die (AHRQ risk-adjusted)	low	6.5	6.8		6.6		7.4	7.4

Red or green if outside 99% C.I. based on U.S.

September 14, 2005 posting

Chart review vs. administrative data

- Our data validation process
 - Secondary review of measure failures
 - Interrater reliability reviews on data from retrospective medical records
- Rarely find a coding error
- Initial physician reaction:
Then I just won't write that down.

PSI 13. Postop. sepsis

● **Include**

- Elective surgery inpatients with a stay of 4+ days

● **Exclude**

- Principal dx of sepsis or certain infections
- Any dx of immunocompromised state or cancer

● **Adjust for**

- Patient age, sex
- DRG
- comorbid secondary dx

**Although they were correctly coded,
as many as **50%** of these patients did not have postop sepsis.**

- **PSI 1 – complications of anesthesia**
Nurse reviewer uncomfortable that any true post-admission complication found in 4 of the 5 cases (1 hx-only from previous admission; 2 PONV)
- **PSI 7 – infection due to medical care (IV lines)**
Comments on 23% of 97 reviews.
POA; redness only w/ no or negative cultures
- **PSI 8 – postop hip fracture**
In 3 of 5 cases the hip fx was pre-admission.
- **PSI 10 – postop physiologic derangement**
2/5 present on admission
- **PSI 11 – postop respiratory failure**
Comments on 49% of 84 reviews. POA or missed risk factor.
- **PSI 15 – accidental puncture or laceration in surgery**
Mostly accurate, but many unavoidable or trivial.
- **PSI 19/28 – vaginal deliveries with “3rd- & 4th-degree lacerations”**
Many not 3rd/4th. Near vs. injury to...

Results

- New pressures and attention on physician documentation and medical records coding. Financial still first, but clinical now relevant.
- Physicians generally accepting so far
- **Go Green**
- KHA has patient safety committee (AHRQ)
- Kentucky govt. may publish PSIs/IQIs
- More scrutiny of indicator definitions

Some requests

- Imitate AHRQ in documenting inclusions, exclusions, risk adjustment, etc.
- Need more comparative data.
Remove restrictions on use of aggregate data
- Guidance on analysis and display
- Fix obvious data gaps: present on admission, DNR
- Better documentation of rationale
E.g., why not use the relevant 99 code?
- Name indicators operationally – not by their presumed cause (“failure to rescue”)
- Recognize that the public is not the only audience in public reporting

Final thoughts

- Data do not become valid until used.
- The number is what the number is.
- Even lousy indicators improve care.