## Peat

By David E. Morse

Peat is a renewable, natural organic material of botanical origin and commercial significance. Peatlands are situated predominately in shallow wetlands areas of the Northern Hemisphere, where large deposits developed from the gradual decomposition of plant matter under anaerobic, or oxygen free, conditions. Peat has widespread use as a plant-growth medium in a variety of horticultural and agricultural applications, where its fibrous structure and porosity promote a unique combination of water-retention and drainage characteristics. Commercial applications include potting soils, lawn and garden soil amendments, and turf maintenance on golf courses. In industry, peat is used primarily as a filtration medium to remove toxic materials from mine and process waste streams, pathogens from sewage effluents, and deleterious materials suspended in municipal storm-drain water. In its dehydrated form, peat becomes hydrophobic and is a highly effective absorbent for fuel and oil spills on land and water.

The United States continued as a significant producer and consumer of peat for horticultural, agricultural, and industrial purposes. A variety of peat types was extracted and processed from more than 59 identified operations in 20 of the lower 48 States, and Alaska; varieties included, in order of importance, reed-sedge, sphagnum moss, humus, and hypnum moss. About $90 \%$ of U.S. peat production was from the Southeast and Great Lakes States; Florida, Michigan, and Minnesota ranked as the dominant producers. The United States imported about one-half of its total domestic peat requirements, principally from Canada, where deposits of sphagnum peat moss are extensive. A small amount of peat was exported.

## Production

Peat production in the United States decreased 9.3\% compared with 1995, according to the annual survey of domestic peat producers. This was in line with a long-term trend of declining U.S. peat production, a concomitant drop in the number of domestic operations, relatively flat domestic consumption, overall, and the capture of an important market share by Canadian sphagnum peat moss producers shipping to the United States. Domestic production data for peat production are developed from a voluntary survey of U.S. operations by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS. Of the the 92 operations to which a survey request was sent, 51 responded, representing $95 \%$ of the total production shown in tables 1 and 2, and 34 were idle.

Geographically, domestic production was dominated by several operations in the Great Lakes region and the Southeast; the major producers were, in order of importance, Florida, Michigan, and Minnesota according to information reported to
the USGS by the industry. About $56 \%$ of U.S. production was from operations in the Midwest, the Northeast, and the Western States. Reed-sedge peat accounted for about $77 \%$ of domestic production by weight. (See tables 2, 3, and 4.)

## Consumption

Domestic peat sales volume in 1996 decreased to 640,000 metric tons, or $52 \%$ of total U.S. apparent domestic consumption. Packaged materials were $49 \%$ of total domestic sales tonnage and commanded premium prices. Canadian exports to the United States continued to supply about one-half of apparent domestic consumption.

About $90 \%$ of domestic peat was sold for use in general soil improvement, potting soils, and the nursery business, in order of importance. The remainder was used in a variety of applications, including seed inoculants, vegetable cultivation, mixed fertilizers, and packing for flowers and plants and in the industrial sector. (See tables 3, 5, and 6.)

## Stocks

U.S. peat stocks fell by about $11 \%$, to 340,000 tons, and represented nearly 100 days of consumption. Reed-sedge peat was $85 \%$ of total stocks. (See table 4.)

## Prices

The total reported f.o.b. plant value of domestic peat sold in the United States was $\$ 18.5$ million, according to the annual survey of peat producers. The total sales value of domestic peat increased compared with 1995 in spite of a decline in sales volume; the average unit value increased to $\$ 28.88$ per ton, compared with $\$ 25.80$ in 1995 . On a unit-value basis, packaged sphagnum moss was valued at nearly $\$ 87$ per ton, f.o.b plant; hypnum moss, $\$ 78$ per ton; humus, $\$ 20$ per ton; and, reed-sedge, $\$ 29$ per ton. (See tables 1, 3, 5, 7, and 8.)

## Foreign Trade

The United States continued to export minor tonnages of peat, which amounted to 19,000 tons, according to reports issued by the Bureau of the Census. U.S. peat exports were valued at $\$ 1.99$ million, or about $\$ 104$ per ton, f.a.s. (See table 8.)

Canadian sphagnum moss import volume of 665,000 tons in 1996 carried a customs value of $\$ 115$ million, or $\$ 173$ per ton. Imports from other countries were less than 2,000 tons.

## World Review

According to information available to the USGS, 23 countries were known to produce peat. Estimated production from countries in the former Soviet Union (FSU) account for a significant portion of global peat production, although a continuing decline was believed to be the result of political restructuring and unfavorable economic trends. Because the quantity of peat produced for agricultural purposes in the FSU is not reported on a consistent and reliable basis, worthwhile estimates cannot be made. The quantity of peat produced for agriculture in the FSU was, therefore, not estimated and is not included in world production tabulations, even though the quantity produced is thought to be significant. Peat production outside the FSU was dominated by Ireland, Finland, Germany, Sweden, and Canada, in order of importance. The remainder was produced principally by the United Kingdom and the United States, with minor contributions from countries in Europe, Latin America, and Oceania. (See table 9.)

## Outlook

The outlook for horticulture and associated businesses is bright because global demand for various plants, flowers, ornamental trees, natural turf, and outdoor recreational activities continues to grow at impressive rates. The U.S. Department of

Agriculture anticipates that the growth in monetary value for this industry in the United States will outpace that of traditional agriculture throughout the remainder of the decade. The outlook for the domestic peat industry, therefore, will likely be governed by several variables, including future wetlands environmental regulation, the ability to permit new bogs, growth and competition from recycled yard wastes and other natural organic materials, Canadian competition, and the degree of market penetration by flowers and ornamentals from offshore.
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[^0]TABLE 1
SALIENT PEAT STATISTICS 1/

|  |  | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of active producers |  | 71 | 67 | 70 | 64 | 59 |
| Production | thousand metric tons | 599 | 616 | 574 | 589 r/ | 549 |
| Sales by producers | do. | 652 | 612 | 552 | 660 | 640 |
| Bulk | do. | 288 | 343 | 255 | 339 | 325 |
| Package | do. | 365 | 268 | 297 | 320 | 314 |
| Value of sales | thousands | \$16,700 | \$16,800 | \$15,300 | \$17,000 | \$18,500 |
| Average per metric ton |  | \$25.70 | \$27.50 | \$27.20 | \$25.80 | \$28.90 |
| Average per metric ton, bulk |  | \$19.30 | \$19.60 | \$18.70 | \$22.50 | \$23.90 |
| Average per metric ton, packaged or baled |  | \$30.70 | \$37.70 | \$26.40 | \$29.20 | \$34.00 |
| Exports | thousand metric tons | 22 | 8 | 23 | $20 \mathrm{r} /$ | 19 |
| Imports for consumption | do. | 639 | 648 | 669 | 669 | 667 |
| Consumption, apparent 2/ | do. | 1,230 | 1,290 | 1,240 | 1,110 r/ | 1,240 |
| Stocks, December 31: Producers' | do. | 308 | 269 | 252 | 384 | 342 |
| World: Production | do. | 29,300 r/ | 21,500 r/ | 24,700 r/ | 24,300 r/ | 25,800 e/ |

e/ Estimated. r/ Revised.
1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits; except prices.
2/ Apparent consumption equals U.S. primary production plus imports minus exports plus adjustments for industry stock changes.

TABLE 2
RELATIVE SIZE OF PEAT OPERATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES $1 /$

| Size in metric tons per year | Active operations |  | Production (thousand metric tons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1995 | 1996 |
| 23,000 and over | 10 | 8 | 405 | 359 |
| 9,000 to 22,999 | 6 | 7 | 88 | 105 |
| 5,000 to 8,999 | 10 | 9 | 61 | 53 |
| 2,000 to 4,999 | 9 | 7 | 22 | 19 |
| 1,000 to 1,999 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| Under 1,000 | 22 | 21 | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 64 | 59 | 589 r/ | 549 |

r/ Revised.
1/ Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.

TABLE 3
U.S. PEAT PRODUCTION AND SALES BY PRODUCERS IN 1996, BY STATE 1/

| Region and State | Active operations | Production Quantity (thousand metric tons) | Sales |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Quantity (thousand metric tons) | Value 2/ <br> (thousands) | Percent packaged |
| Northeast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania | 6 | 5 | 4 | \$166 | 7 |
| Other 3/ | 7 | 40 | 40 | 1,600 | 88 |
| Total | 13 | 45 | 44 | 1,770 | 90 |
| Great Lakes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | 9 | 171 | 168 | 4,650 | 92 |
| Minnesota | 7 | 19 | 20 | 1,540 | 56 |
| Other 4/ | 12 | 64 | 69 | 4,250 | 92 |
| Total | 28 | 254 | 257 | 10,400 | 89 |
| Southeast |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 9 | 224 | 298 | 5,550 | 12 |
| Other 5/ | 1 | 15 | 15 | 311 | 100 |
| Total | 10 | 239 | 313 | 5,860 | 15 |
| West |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington | 2 | 2 | 2 | 68 | -- |
| Other 6/ | 6 | 9 | 24 | 345 | 78 |
| Total | 8 | 11 | 26 | 413 | 38 |
| Total or average | 59 | 549 | 640 | 18,500 | 49 |

1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
2/Values for f.o.b. producing plant.
3/ Includes Maine, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, and West Virginia.
4/ Includes Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin.
5/ Includes North Carolina and South Carolina.
6/ Includes Colorado, Iowa, Montana, and North Dakota.

TABLE 4

## U.S. PEAT PRODUCTION AND PRODUCERS' YEAREND STOCKS

IN 1996, BY KIND 1/

| Kind | Active operations | Production (metric tons) | Percent of production | Yearend stocks (metric tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sphagnum moss | 11 | 46,500 | 8.5 | 18,500 |
| Hypnum moss | 7 | 29,400 | 5.4 | 1,220 |
| Reed-sedge | 28 | 423,000 | 76.9 | 291,000 |
| Humus | 16 | 50,400 | 9.2 | 32,200 |
| Total | 59 2/ | 549,000 | 100 | 342,000 |

1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
2/ Number of active operations includes plants producing multiple kinds of peat.

TABLE 5
U.S. PEAT SALES BY PRODUCERS IN 1996, BY TYPE AND USE 1/

| Use | Sphagnum moss |  |  | Hypnum moss |  |  | Reed-sedge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity |  | Value (thousands) | Quantity |  | Value (thousands) | Quantity |  | Value (thousands) |
|  | Weight (metric tons) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume } 2 / \\ \text { (cubic } \\ \text { yards) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Weight (metric tons) | Volume (cubic yards) |  | Weight (metric tons) | Volume (cubic yards) |  |
| Earthworm culture medium | -- | -- | -- | 227 | 500 | \$4 | 1,200 | 2,640 | \$26 |
| General soil improvement | 24,400 | 130,000 | \$1,960 | 8,330 | 21,600 | 513 | 294,000 | 681,000 | 5,380 |
| Golf courses | 1,830 | 8,120 | 97 | 1,360 | 3,000 | 28 | 6,890 | 24,400 | 386 |
| Ingredient for potting soils | 1,940 | 9,220 | 106 | 10,500 | 24,100 | 198 | 162,000 | 362,000 | 3,040 |
| Mixed fertilizers | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 22,700 | 50,000 | 475 |
| Mushroom beds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Nurseries | 16,100 | 95,900 | 1,210 | 1,160 | 4,570 | 44 | 29,800 | 87,200 | 887 |
| Packing flowers, plants, shrubs, etc. | 2,830 | 26,000 | 135 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Seed inoculant | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5,510 | 12,200 | 2,840 |
| Vegetable growing | -- | -- | -- | 1,360 | 3,000 | 24 | 2,350 | 5,200 | 51 |
| Other | 1,830 | 8,140 | 97 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 48,900 | 278,000 | 3,610 | 22,900 | 56,700 | 810 | 525,000 | 1,220,000 | 13,100 |
|  |  | Humus |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qua |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Weight (metric tons) | Volume (cubic yards) | Value <br> (thou- <br> sands) | Weight (metric tons) | Volume (cubic yards) | Value (thousands) |  |  |  |
| Earthworm culture medium | 200 | 450 | \$6 | 1,630 | 3,590 | \$36 |  |  |  |
| General soil improvement | 14,600 | 23,900 | 292 | 342,000 | 856,000 | 8,150 |  |  |  |
| Golf courses | 1,330 | 3,100 | 45 | 11,400 | 38,600 | 556 |  |  |  |
| Ingredient for potting soils | 9,870 | 15,700 | 211 | 185,000 | 411,000 | 3,550 |  |  |  |
| Mixed fertilizers | 1,010 | 1,590 | 24 | 23,700 | 51,600 | 499 |  |  |  |
| Mushroom beds | 196 | 300 | 4 | 196 | 300 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Nurseries | 1,430 | 3,060 | 44 | 48,400 | 191,000 | 2,190 |  |  |  |
| Packing flowers, plants, shrubs, etc. | 272 | 500 | 3 | 3,100 | 26,500 | 138 |  |  |  |
| Seed inoculant | -- | -- | -- | 5,510 | 12,200 | 2,840 |  |  |  |
| Vegetable growing | 1,150 | 2,530 | 31 | 4,860 | 10,700 | 105 |  |  |  |
| Other | 12,800 | 20,700 | 323 | 14,600 | 28,800 | 420 |  |  |  |
| Total | 42,900 | 71,900 | 982 | 640,000 | 1,630,000 | 18,500 |  |  |  |

1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
2/ Volume of nearly all sphagnum moss was measured after compaction and packaging.

TABLE 6
AVERAGE DENSITY OF DOMESTIC PEAT SOLD IN 1996 1/ 2/
(Kilograms per cubic meter)

|  | Sphagnum <br> moss | Hypnum <br> moss | Reed- <br> sedge | Humus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Bulk | 285 | 550 | 564 | 624 |
| Package | 205 | 475 | 558 | 827 |
| Bulk and package | 231 | 529 | 561 | 780 |

1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits.
2/ To convert kilograms per cubic meter to pounds per cubic yard multiply by 1.685 .

TABLE 7
PRICES 1/ FOR PEAT IN 1996
(Dollars per unit)

|  | Sphagnum <br> moss | Hypnum <br> moss | Reed- <br> sedge | Humus | Average |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulk: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per metric ton |  |  | 20.74 | 21.62 | 36.58 | 23.90 |
| Per cubic yard | 11.83 | 8.72 | 9.33 | 17.58 | 9.75 |  |
| Packaged or baled: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per metric ton | 86.53 | 78.36 | 28.68 | 19.68 | 34.02 |  |
| Per cubic yard | 13.54 | 28.44 | 12.24 | 12.45 | 12.86 |  |
| Average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per metric ton | 73.66 | 35.34 | 24.91 | 22.89 | 28.88 |  |
| Per cubic yard | 12.99 | 14.29 | 10.69 | 13.66 | 11.34 |  |
| Imported, total, per metric ton $2 /$ | $X X$ | $X X$ | $X X$ | XX | -- |  |
| $X X N$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

XX Not applicable.
1/ Prices are f.o.b. plant.
2/ Average customs value.

TABLE 8
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF PEAT MOSS, 1/ $2 /$ BY COUNTRY

|  | 1995 |  |  | 1996 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Country | Quantity <br> (metric tons) | Value 3/ <br> (thousands) |  | Quantity <br> (metric tons) | Value 3/ <br> (thousands) |
| Canada | 667,000 | $\$ 121,000$ |  | 666,000 | $\$ 115,000$ |
| Denmark | 17 | 9 |  | 362 | 90 |
| Ireland | 1,030 | 88 | 544 | 64 |  |
| Other 4/ | $600 \mathrm{r} /$ | $284 \mathrm{r} /$ | 441 | 256 |  |
| Total |  | 669,000 | 121,000 | 667,000 | 116,000 |

1/ Data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
2/ Poultry and fertilizer grade.
3/ Customs value.
4/ Includes Germany, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Sri Lanka, and the United Kingdom.

Source: Bureau of the Census.

TABLE 9
PEAT: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY 1/ $2 /$
(Thousand metric tons)

| Country 3/ | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 e/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentina: Agricultural use | 1 | $3 \mathrm{r} /$ | $3 \mathrm{r} /$ | $4 \mathrm{r} /$ | 4 |
| Australia e/ 4/ | 11 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Belarus e/ 5/ | 350 | 350 | 348 6/ | $3156 /$ | 279 6/ |
| Burundi | 12 | $10 \mathrm{e} /$ | 10 | $10 \mathrm{r} /$ | 10 |
| Canada: Agricultural use | 740 | 801 | 914 | 877 r/ | $783 \mathrm{p} /$ |
| Denmark: Agricultural use (sales) | 195 | 189 | 190 e/ | 190 e/ | 185 |
| Estonia e/ 5/ | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,274 6/ | 952 6/ | 950 |
| Finland: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use | 355 | 350 e/ | 550 | $500 \mathrm{e} /$ | 450 |
| Fuel use | 5,103 | 3,945 | 5,000 e/ | 5,000 e/ | 5,000 |
| France: Agricultural use e/ | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Germany: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use | 2,718 | 2,739 | 2,800 e/ | 2,800 e/ | 2,800 |
| Fuel use | 188 | 180 | 180 e/ | 180 e/ | 180 |
| Hungary: Agricultural use e/ | 65 | 65 | 65 | $48 \mathrm{r} / 6 /$ | 45 |
| Ireland: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use e/ | 300 | 300 | 250 | 300 | 300 |
| Fuel use | 5,414 r/ | 3,975 r/ | 4,696 r/ | 4,788 r/ | 7,087 6/ |
| Latvia e/ 5/ | 300 | 300 | 647 6/ | 455 r/ 6/ | 463 6/ |
| Lithuania e/ 5/ | 400 | 400 | 411 6/ | 214 6/ | 200 |
| Netherlands e/ | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Norway: e/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Fuel use | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Poland: Agricultural and fuel use | 134 | $110 \mathrm{r} /$ | 109 r/ | $199 \mathrm{r} /$ | 200 |
| Russia 5/ | 7,800 | 2,500 | 2,900 | 3,000 e/ | 2,500 |
| Spain e/ | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Sweden: e/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use | 260 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Fuel use | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Ukraine e/ 5/ | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| United Kingdom e/ | 390 | 380 | 500 | 590 | 550 |
| United States: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural use | 599 | 616 | 574 | 589 r/ | 549 6/ |
| Fuel use | W | W | W | W | W |
| Grand total | 29,300 r/ | 21,500 r/ | 24,700 r/ | 24,300 r/ | 25,800 |
| Of which: Fuel use | $12,100 \mathrm{r} /$ | 9,500 r/ | 11,300 r/ | 11,400 r/ | 13,700 |

e/ Estimated. p/ Preliminary. r/ Revised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; not included in "Total."
1/ World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.
2/ Table includes data available through June 25, 1997.
3/ In addition to the countries listed, Austria, Iceland, and Italy produced negligible amounts of fuel peat; Venezuela was a major producer, but output was not officially reported and available information was inadequate for formulation of estimates of output levels.
4/ Excludes data from some States.
5/ The majority of production appears to be for fuel use. This country also produced unreported quantities for agricultural use.
6/ Reported figure.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prior to January 1996, published by the U.S. Bureau of Mines.

