

# Mask Attribute - Deprecate or Fix?

Danny Haynes  
The MITRE Corporation

# Overview

- **Background**
  
- **How the mask attribute works**
  
- **Known issues**
  - Masking values that you are not checking
  - Conflicting mask attribute values
  - Misplaced Schematron rule
  - Modifies the OVAL Definitions document
  - Overlap with the OVAL Results Directives
  - Other issues
  
- **Wrap-up**

# Background

- **Introduced in OVAL 5.3**
  
- **Goal of the mask attribute**
  - Prevent sensitive information, that is needed for evaluation, from being disclosed to unauthorized parties
  
- **Example**
  - Check the minimum password length policy used by a system
    - May not want to pass this information along
  
- **How is the mask attribute being used today?**
  - Does anyone use the mask attribute in their content?
  - Do vendors support the mask attribute in their tools?
  - Challenges?

# How the mask attribute works

- "If the **mask** attribute is set to **'true'**, then the **value** of this field, along with the **operation** used, **should not appear in the results file.**"

[oval-def:EntityAttributeGroup/@mask]

- The mask attribute can be specified on all object and state entities
  - The value and operation should be removed from all instances in the OVAL Results document (object, state, and item entities)
- 
- "A **system characteristics** file that is **not held within a results file should not use the mask attribute.**" [oval-def:EntityAttributeGroup/@mask]
    - The OVAL System Characteristics document would become unusable

# How the mask attribute works (continued)

## ■ OVAL Definitions Document

```
<passwordpolicy_test id="oval:sample:tst:1" check="all" comment="Test the password policy.">
  <object object_ref="oval:sample:obj:1"/>
  <state state_ref="oval:sample:ste:1"/>
</passwordpolicy_test>

<passwordpolicy_object id="oval:sample:obj:1" comment="Collect the password policy settings."/>

<passwordpolicy_state id="oval:sample:ste:1"
  comment="Minimum password length is greater than 14.">
  <min_passwd_len datatype="int" operation="greater than" mask="true">14</min_passwd_len>
</passwordpolicy_state>
```

# How the mask attribute works (continued)

## ■ OVAL System Characteristics Document

```
<passwordpolicy_item id="1">  
  <max_passwd_age datatype="int">15552000</max_passwd_age>  
  <min_passwd_age datatype="int">86400</min_passwd_age>  
  <min_passwd_len datatype="int">3</min_passwd_len>  
  <password_hist_len datatype="int">12</password_hist_len>  
  <password_complexity datatype="boolean">1</password_complexity>  
  <reversible_encryption datatype="boolean">0</reversible_encryption>  
</passwordpolicy_item>
```

# How the mask attribute works (continued)

## ■ OVAL Results Document

```
<passwordpolicy_test check="all" comment="Test the password policy." id="oval:sample:tst:1">  
  <object object_ref="oval:sample:obj:1"/>  
  <state state_ref="oval:sample:ste:1"/>  
</passwordpolicy_test>
```

```
<passwordpolicy_object comment="Collect the password policy settings." id="oval:sample:obj:1"/>
```

```
<passwordpolicy_state comment="Minimum password length is greater than 14."  
id="oval:sample:ste:1">  
  <min_passwd_len datatype="int"/>  
</passwordpolicy_state>
```

```
<passwordpolicy_item id="1">  
  <max_passwd_age datatype="int">15552000</max_passwd_age>  
  <min_passwd_age datatype="int">86400</min_passwd_age>  
  <min_passwd_len datatype="int"/>  
  <password_hist_len datatype="int">12</password_hist_len>  
  <password_complexity datatype="boolean">1</password_complexity>  
  <reversible_encryption datatype="boolean">0</reversible_encryption>  
</passwordpolicy_item>
```

# Masking values that you are not checking

- Want to check to see when a user's password was last changed
  - unix-def:shadow\_test can check this information
    - Encrypted passwords are also collected!

```
<shadow_state id="oval:sample:ste:1" comment="...">  
  <password mask="true" operation="pattern match"/>  
  <chg_lst datatype="int" operation="greater than">14000</chg_lst>  
</shadow_state>
```

- **Must write the entity so that it does not affect evaluation**

- `<chg_lst datatype="int" operation="greater than or equal">0</chg_lst>`
- `<file_read_attributes datatype="boolean" var_check="only one"  
 var_ref="oval:sample:var:1" />`
- **Very awkward**



# Conflicting mask attribute values

```
<file_test id="oval:sample:tst:1" check="all">  
  <object object_ref="oval:sample:obj:1"/>  
  <state state_ref="oval:sample:ste:1"/>  
</file_test>
```

```
<file_object id="oval:sample:obj:1">  
  <path>/tmp</path>  
  <filename mask="true">sample.txt</filename>  
</file_object>
```

```
<file_state id="oval:sample:ste:1">  
  <filename mask="false">sample.txt</filename>  
</file_state>
```

- No documentation regarding what to do here
  - Take the safe approach and always mask

# Misplaced Schematron rule

```
<sch:rule context="oval-sc:system_data/**|
  oval-sc:system_data/**/*" >
  <sch:assert test="not(@mask) or @mask='false' or.=''" >
    item <sch:value-of select="../@id":/> - a value for the
    <sch:value-of select="name()"/> entity should only be
    supplied if the mask attribute is 'false'
  </sch:assert>
</sch:rule>
```

*Reminder: "A system characteristics file that is not held within a results file should not use the mask attribute."*

- Used to enforce the masking of all item entities
- We do not want to enforce this in a standalone OVAL System Characteristics document
  - We need to enforce this in an OVAL Results document
- Let's move it to the OVAL Results schema

```
<sch:rule
  context="/oval-res:oval_results/oval-res:results/oval-res:system/oval-sc:system_data/**|
  /oval-res:oval_results/oval-res:results/oval-res:system/oval-sc:system_data/**/*" >
  <sch:assert test="not(@mask) or @mask='false' or.=''" >item <sch:value-of select="../@id"/>
  - a value for the <sch:value-of select="name()"/> entity should only be supplied if the mask
  attribute is 'false'</sch:assert>
</sch:rule>
```

# Modifies the OVAL Definitions document

*Reminder: "The value and operation should be removed from all instances in the OVAL Results document (object, state, and item entities)."*

## ■ Original OVAL Definitions document

```
<textfilecontent54_object id="oval:sample:obj:1">
  <filepath>/etc/sensitive-config.txt</filepath>
  <pattern mask="true" operation="pattern match">password=(*)</pattern>
  <instance mask="true" datatype="int">1</instance>
</textfilecontent54_object>
```

## ■ OVAL Definitions document in the OVAL Results document

```
<textfilecontent54_object id="oval:sample:obj:1">
  <filepath>/etc/sensitive-config.txt</filepath>
  <pattern mask="true"/>
  <instance mask="true" datatype="int"/>
</textfilecontent54_object>
```

## ■ Validation Errors

- *The datatype for the instance entity is 'int' but the value is not an integer*
- *Operation attribute for the pattern entity of a textfilecontent54\_object should be 'pattern match'*

## ■ Changes the meaning of the OVAL Definition

- Does not accurately describe what was checked
- Invalidates signature

# Overlap with the OVAL Results Directives

- **OVAL Results Directives were introduced in OVAL 5.0**
  - Allows users to specify what level of detail is reported in the OVAL Result document
  - Expanded in OVAL 5.8
- **include\_source\_definitions = "false" (default directives)**
  - Remove the entire source OVAL Definitions document from the OVAL Results document
- **content = "thin" (default and class directives)**
  - Include the ID and evaluation result of the OVAL Definition
  - Exclude the criteria and system characteristics information associated with the OVAL Definition
- **Is the level of granularity provided by the mask attribute needed?**
  - Are OVAL Results Directives sufficient?
  - Are there other solutions that can help us here?

# Other issues

## ■ Comments may hurt you

- `<passwordpolicy_state id="..." comment="Minimum password length is greater than 14.">`

## ■ XCCDF uses OVAL

- Tailoring values may or may not appear in XCCDF Results
  - Community is generally including the tailoring values

# Wrap-up

- **Is this a valuable feature for the community?**
  - Do we need to make improvements?
  - Should we deprecate it?
  - Do we need a different solution?
  
- **Any other questions, comments, or concerns?**