



Remediation Discussion Issues CRE, ERI, and Remediation Policy

Matthew N. Wojcik

April 1, 2011

CRE Entry Example

ID	cre:org.example.cre:513
DESCRIPTION	Enable or disable ICMP Redirects via the HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Tcpip\Parameters\EnableICMPRedirect registry key.
Parameters	enable / disable
PLATFORM	cpe:/o:microsoft:windows_7
REFERENCES	(1) Microsoft Security Compliance Manager Windows 7 Baseline
Created	2010-10-15
Modified	2010-10-15
Deprecated	False
Version	1
Submitted By	ACME Inc.

ERI Example

ID	eri:com.example.eri:37
CRE REFERENCE	cre:org.example.cre:513
INDICATORS	CCE-8513-4
PRE-REQUISITES	None
SUPERSEDES	None
OPERATIONAL IMPACT	Disabling ICMP redirects may interfere with normal network operations.
PARAMETER MAPPING	enable = 1; disable = 0
REBOOT	False
Created	2010-10-15
Submitted By	ACME Inc.
Deprecated	False

CRE Search, Selection, Prioritization and ERI

- **The Platonic ideal of a CRE list would be an entry for every remediation action we commonly take for any security-motivated reason**
- **Remediation Policy allows an organization to specify which CREs {should, may, must, must not} be taken in response under various conditions**
- **Problem: How does an organization find the CREs they need to consider for inclusion in their policy? How do they decide between them?**
- **Current answer: ERI**
 - **But how? What metadata do we need about CREs?**

Platforms and the Search Problem

- **A Group Policy CRE might be set on a Windows Server 2008 R2 machine, but applied to address an issue on a Windows 7 client**
 - You want to fix Windows 7
 - You know what domain server versions you have
- **What kind of search criteria will you use?**
- **What results do you want to see?**
- **What data do we need to support that?**

Discussion: Human Readability

- **Generate human-readable policy, or just machine-readable?**
- **Having one source document avoids maintenance problems**
- **Certain level of readability required for selecting between remediations allowed by policy, and potentially adjusting values**
- **Readability will be required if any manual tasks should be supported (e.g., help desk tickets)**

Discussion: Remediation Preference

- **Should policy support saying that remediations are:**
 - Required?
 - Preferred?
 - Allowed?
 - Disallowed?

- **Express preference order?**

Discussion: Asset Types

- **What categories of asset types should be supported?**
 - Installed operating system or applications
 - Discovered vulnerabilities
 - Current configuration of software or hardware
 - Organizational unit
 - Network location
 - Geographical location
- **How should these be expressible?**
 - By SCAP “fact” IDs, such as CPE, CVE, CCE
 - By OVAL definition or ID, for arbitrary machine-measurable statements of applicability
 - By OCIL questionnaire or ID
 - By other conventions for system metadata (IF-MAP or similar?)
 - Free text, for human use?
 - **N.B. – Earlier proposal for expanding CPE Language scope**

Discussion: CRE Parameters in Policy

- **CREs are parameterized**
 - E.g., one CRE for setting the file permissions on a particular file
 - Policy will have to specify parameter values
- **Remediation Tasks will have to include parameter values in a predictable, parseable format**
- **Humans tailoring policy or selecting between CREs during task selection will need “friendly” values**
- **Implies policy should map between human- and machine-readable parameters**
 - This topic was anticipated earlier this week
 - Similar problems faced in SCAP today
 - Current theory: conceptual in CRE, how to map in ERI, both in policy, literal in tasking

Discussion: Dates, Deadlines, Deferment

- **What dates are needed for the policy itself?**
 - Creation, modification, effective on, expires on

- **Are deadlines needed in remediation policy, or are compliance deadlines sufficient?**
 - Possible deadlines:
 - Issue tasks by date
 - Receive task result
 - Receive “success” result

- **Remediation tasks are often deferrable by end-users**
 - Opportunity to save work
 - Don’t interrupt a presentation or deadline crunch
 - How should policy specify what deferral is allowed?

Discussion: Authority, Scope, Exceptions

- **Who issued the policy?**
- **Who does it apply to?**
- **Is it mandatory or optional?**
 - In whole or in part?
- **What is their authority?**
- **Should the policy indicate when and how an exception must be reported?**
 - Or are exceptions handled as part of compliance checking?
 - Decision not to comply may be because the remediation options allowed/required by policy are unworkable in the local environment