

Immunohistochemical (IHC) Marker Template For Integral Markers in Clinical Trials

This is a template to describe the analytical and clinical performance of an assay that is essential for performance of a trial. It will be used to assess whether assays are ready for use in a trial by Disease Steering Committees and CTEP. The FDA may also use it to evaluate integral assays and diagnostics for their pre-IDE evaluation. Not all parameters may be known a priori. Please enter as much information as you can and N/A for not available or applicable where appropriate.

This template requires detailed information that may be known only by laboratorians, scientists who work in clinical laboratories, and should be collaborating closely with clinical trialists. Please be sure to collect the appropriate responses before filling out this form. The template has the following sections with information needed from trialists and laboratorians:

- 1. Assay, Patient and Specimen Information Trialists and Laboratorians
- 2. Primary Antibody Characteristics Laboratorians
- 3. Design of Immunohistochemical Assay Laboratorians
- 4. Assay Performance Laboratorians
- 5. Laboratory Information Trialists and Laboratorians



Ι

Section 1. Assay, Patient and Specimen Information

A. Name of marker (Please use HUGO gene or protein name for molecular marker or the Atlas for Genetics in Hematology and Oncology for cytogenetic or FISH markers)

HUGO Site: <u>http://www.genenames.org/</u>______ Atlas Site: <u>http://atlasgeneticsoncology.org/index.html</u>______

B. How will assay and its marker be used in clinical trial?

Integral Marker	Integrated Marker	Research Marker
-----------------	-------------------	-----------------

- Integral markers are required for the trial to proceed (e.g., patient eligibility, assignment to treatment, stratification, risk classifier or medical decision-making often requires performance in a CLIA laboratory).
- Integrated markers are performed on all or a statistical subset of patients but are not used for medical decision-making.
- Research markers are all other assays and commonly referred to as correlative research.
- For other definitions, please see References at end of form.

B1. Assay Purpose

C. Assay type

D. Will assay be performed in a Central Reference CLIA lab, multiple CLIA-certified labs, or research labs?

Central Reference CLIA Lab Multiple CLIA Labs Research Labs

E. Anatomic source of specimens (organ site)

E1. Type of Specimen

E2. Tissue collection

F. Patient conditions or co-morbidities that may affect assay and must be noted:



Protocol Investigator:

G. Preanalytic Specimen Requirements

G1. Maximum Warm ischemia time (=time from cutting blood supply to removal from body) allowed in minutes if known:

G2. Maximum Cold ischemia time (=time until specimen fixed/frozen after removal from body) allowed in minutes if known:

G3. Type of stabilization of Specimen:	fixed	frozen	both

G3a If fixed, what fixation buffer to be used?

G3b. If Other fixative, what is it? (free text)

G3c What is shortest fixation time allowed (Hours or fraction thereof)

G3d What is longest fixation time allowed (Hours or fraction thereof)

G3e If frozen, how will specimen be frozen:

H. How will specimens be stored?

I. Specimen size to be stored length width height in cm

J. Tissue section thickness on slide in microns

K. Antigen retrieval solution/procedures



Section 2. Primary Antibody Characteristics

A. Source of primary antibody (purchased from xxx as lot # xxx, or generated in house, etc.)

B. What was the immunogen (e.g., peptide, oligosaccharide, phosphorylated protein, other)?

Protein	Peptide	Oligosaccharide	Phosphorylated Protein	Other
B1. Please describe	if Other			

C. Species of immunogen (e.g., human or mouse gene product)

D. Are there specific isoform(s) of the immunogen that are recognized (e.g., one or all isoforms or unknown)?

One Isoform All isoforms Unknown

E. Preparation of immunogen (e.g., purified protein, recombinant, synthetic peptide or oligosaccharide)

purified protein recombinant synthetic peptide oligosaccharide

F. Other attributes of primary antibody (e.g., mono- or polyclonal) Monoclonal Polyclonal

F1. What species:

F1a. If other species, what is it? Include chicken

G. How was the antibody specificity demonstrated?

G1. Please specify if Other



Protocol Investigator:

Ι

H2. Are there band(s) at the expected mass(es) on Western blot? Yes No Unknown

H2a. If not, please explain

H3. Is immunostaining abolished in knock out/knock-down cells or with epitope-absorbed antibody?

Yes No Unknown

H4. Is immunostaining abolished when antibody absorbed or blocked with epitope? Yes No Unknown

I. What is the targeted organ/tissue/cell (e.g., normal melanocytes? breast ductal carcinoma)?

11. What non-targeted organ/tissue/cell is also stained?

J. Have any cross-reactive proteins or peptides been identified that may confound interpretation of IHC?

Yes No Unknown

J1. If yes and known, what are they?

K. Is antigen stable when the period between tissue sectioning and staining is

<7 days 7-30 days >30 days Not Known



Section 3. Design of Immunohistochemical Assay

A1. Describe the platform of	y details are ne	eeded if multiple labs will perform the assay). instrument (manufacturer, model, UDI number if
known) A1a. Platform		
A1b. Manufacturer		
A1c. Model Number		
A1d. UDI Number (Unive	ersal Device Nu	mber)
A1e. Is the platform clea	red or approve	ed by the FDA
Yes	No	Unknown
A2. Is there an SOP?		
Yes	No	Unknown
A2a. Is the SOP attached	l as an Appendi	ix?
Yes	No	Unknown
B. Type of Immunoassay		
B1. Is the assay qualitative, s	•	•
Qualitative	Semiquan	ntitative Quantitative
B1a. If an image analyze	er is used, what	manufacturer and model was used?
B1b. Is it cleared or appr	oved by the FD	A
Yes	Νο	Unknown
B2. Nature of reporter signal		
B3. Assay method (e.g. direct Direct		ep immunoperoxidase assay) 3-step Immunoperoxidase Other
If other, please specify		
B3a. What secondary	reagent(s) is us	ed for the indirect or 3-step assay
C. Are there positive and negativ Yes	e controls for t No	he assay Unknown
C1. If there are controls, what	t are they?	



Protocol Investigator:

Ι

D. Specimen size – What is the smallest specimen that can be analyzed by the assay in cm? cm

D1. Is the minimum specimen size determined by a particular characteristic of the tissue?				?	
Yes		No	Unknown		
D1a. If so, is it	Number of cell nucl	ei	Nuclear area	Cytoplasmic area	Other

D1b. Please specify if Other



I

Section 4. <u>Assay Performance</u>

	tails regarding how the a . What statistical test(s) w	•		
A2	. How was a clinically rele	evant threshold sele	ected?	
A3. W	/ere results obtained on r Samp	• •	ospective data sets?	
	A3a. Training sets or oth	er validation metho	bd	
A4. W	hat is the cut-off?			
A5. Ho	ow well was the cut-off va	lidated before usin	g it in these trials?	
	ere assay conditions stan nd/or stainers)?	dardized to minimi	ize variance, e.g., automated tissue processo	rs
	Yes	Νο	Unknown	
	A6a. If yes, what tissue pi	ocessor/stainer wa	ns used?	
	alibrators or controls we luded on each slide or int	-	stained separately with each batch of slides,	
	A7a. Were calibrators/co	ontrols used?		
	Yes	Νο	Unknown	
	A7b. Were the controls s	tained as separate	slides with slides?	
	Yes	Νο	Unknown	
OR	A7c. Were the controls in Yes	ncluded in each slid No	le and stained as internal controls? Unknown	
OR	A7d. Were the controls r Yes			



Protocol Investigator:

Ι

B. Reproducibility of assay B1. Was reproducibility assessed? No

Yes

Unknown

B1a. If yes, please describe the specimen type(s) used

B1b. If not, please explain

B2. How many replicates were done?

B3. What is the intra-lab reproducibility (%CV)?

B4. What is the inter-lab reproducibility (same specimens, different lab, number of different technicians)?

B4a. How many on the same specimens?

B4b. How many different labs?

B4c. How many different technicians?

B4d. What types of specimens (e.g., tissue sections, TMA)?

B4e. Over how many different days?

B4f. How many readers?

B5. What is the agreement between readers?

B5a. How are differences resolved?



Ι

C. Image Measurement

- C1. What strategy was used to select the fields to be analyzed?
- C2. How was a threshold to distinguish positive from negative determined?
- C3. How were the cells of interest distinguished from other cells?

C4. Was reference material used to generate a standard curve? Yes No Unknown C4a. What was the reference material? C4b. Has it been cleared by the FDA? Yes No Unknown

D. Assay Discrimination

D1. What is the accuracy of the assay for detecting the analyte?

D2. How are staining and tissue artifacts identified and handled (especially if image analysis is used)?



Ι

Section 5. Laboratory Information

A. Is the lab a research or clinical lab? Research

Clinical

Unknown

B. Does the lab meet GLP standards Yes No

C. What is the training and experience of the Technicians/Operators?

References

Section Ref # Citation

1. 20215558 Dancey JE, Dobbin KK, Groshen S, Jessup JM, Hruszkewycz AH, Koehler M, Parchment R, Ratain MJ, Shankar LK, Stadler WM, True LD, Gravell A, Grever MR; Biomarkers Task Force of the NCI Investigational Drug Steering Committee. Guidelines for the development and incorporation of biomarker studies in early clinical trials of novel agents. Clin Cancer Res. 2010 Mar 15;16(6):1745-55.

1.A 18327244 Deutsch EW, Ball CA, Berman JJ, Bova GS, Brazma A, Bumgarner RE, Campbell D, Causton HC, Christiansen JH, Daian F, Dauga D, Davidson DR, Gimenez G, Goo YA, Grimmond S, Henrich T, Herrmann BG, Johnson MH, Korb M, Mills JC, Oudes AJ, Parkinson HE, Pascal LE, Pollet N, Quackenbush J, Ramialison M, Ringwald M, Salgado D, Sansone SA, Sherlock G, Stoeckert CJ Jr, Swedlow J, Taylor RC, Walashek L, Warford A, Wilkinson DG, Zhou Y, Zon LI, Liu AY, True LD. Minimum information specification for in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry experiments (MISFISHIE). Nat Biotechnol. 2008 Mar;26(3):305-12.

1.B OBBR <u>https://brd.nci.nih.gov/BRN/brnHome.seam?conversationId=12427</u>

1.F1 12414521 Dash A, Maine IP, Varambally S, Shen R, Chinnaiyan AM, Rubin MA. Changes in differential gene expression because of warm ischemia time of radical prostatectomy specimens. Am J Pathol. 2002 Nov;161(5):1743-8.

1.F2 19734848 Khoury T, Sait S, Hwang H, Chandrasekhar R, Wilding G, Tan D, Kulkarni S. Delay to formalin fixation effect on breast biomarkers. Mod Pathol. 2009 Nov;22(11):1457-67.

1.F1-2. 19415952 Middleton LP, Price KM, Puig P, Heydon LJ, Tarco E, Sneige N, Barr K, Deavers MT. Implementation of American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists HER2 Guideline Recommendations in a tertiary care facility increases HER2 immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization concordance and decreases the number of inconclusive cases. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2009 May;133(5):775-80.

2.E 20093391 Brevet M, Arcila M, Ladanyi M. Assessment of EGFR mutation status in lung adenocarcinoma by immunohistochemistry using antibodies specific to the two major forms of mutant EGFR. J Mol Diagn. 2010 Mar;12(2):169-76.

20359301 Bordeaux J, Welsh A, Agarwal S, Killiam E, Baquero M, Hanna J, Anagnostou V, Rimm D. Antibody validation. Biotechniques. 2010 Mar;48(3):197-209.

18796405 Pradidarcheep W, Labruyère WT, Dabhoiwala NF, Lamers WH. Lack of specificity of commercially available antisera: better specifications needed. J Histochem Cytochem. 2008 Dec;56(12):1099-111.

2.E7 NCBI Blast Search <u>http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi</u>

4. 20524870 Fitzgibbons PL, Murphy DA, Hammond ME, Allred DC, Valenstein PN. Recommendations for validating estrogen and progesterone receptor immunohistochemistry assays. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2010 Jun;134(6):930-5.

ISBN978-0-387-72805-6 Discrete Multivariate Analysis: Theory and Practice. Authors: Paul W. Holland (Author)Stephen E. Fienberg (Author)Yvonne M. Bishop (Author)F. Mosteller (Contributor)R.J. Light (Contributor). Springer. 30 July 2007. English. Paperback, 558 pages

18829475 Chau CH, Rixe O, McLeod H, Figg WD. Validation of analytic methods for biomarkers used in drug development. Clin Cancer Res. 2008 Oct 1;14(19):5967-76.

Appendix to CLSI document IL-28a

Appendix to CLSI document IL-28a

4.B5 2189948 Cicchetti DV, Feinstein AR. High agreement but low kappa: II. Resolving the paradoxes. J Clin Epidemiol. 1990;43(6):551-8.

4.B 11459866 Pepe MS, Etzioni R, Feng Z, Potter JD, Thompson ML, Thornquist M, Winget M, Yasui Y. Phases of biomarker development for early detection of cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2001 Jul 18;93(14):1054-61.

4.B 20586616 Hammond ME, Hayes DF, Dowsett M, Allred DC, Hagerty KL, Badve S, Fitzgibbons PL, Francis G, Goldstein NS, Hayes M, Hicks DG, Lester S, Love R, Mangu PB, McShane L, Miller K, Osborne CK, Paik S, Perlmutter J, Rhodes A, Sasano H, Schwartz JN, Sweep FC, Taube S, Torlakovic EE, Valenstein P, Viale G, Visscher D, Wheeler T, Williams RB, Wittliff JL, Wolff AC; American Society of Clinical Oncology; College of American Pathologists. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for immunohistochemical testing of estrogen and progesterone receptors in breast cancer (unabridged version). Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2010 Jul;134(7):e48-72.

4.8 2578140 Gross DS, Rothfeld JM. Quantitative immunocytochemistry of hypothalamic and pituitary hormones: validation of an automated, computerized image analysis system. J Histochem Cytochem. 1985 Jan;33(1):11-20.