UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

CERTAIN CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, INCLUDING MOBILE PHONES AND TABLETS

Investigation No. 337-TA-839

NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL DETERMINATION SETTING THE TARGET DATE FOR COMPLETION OF THE INVESTIGATION

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("the Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No.2) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov*. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on April 24, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Pragmatus AV, LLC of Alexandria, Virginia ("Pragmatus") alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain consumer electronics, including mobile phones and tablets, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,854,893; 6,237,025; 7,054,904; 7,185,054; and 7,206,809. 77 *Fed. Reg.* 24514 (Apr. 24, 2012). Complainant named ASUSTeK Computer, Inc. of Taipei City, Taiwan, ASUS Computer International, Inc. of Fremont, California (collectively, "ASUS"); HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan, HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington (collectively, "HTC"); LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul,

Republic of Korea, LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of San Diego, California (collectively, "LG"); Pantech Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea, Pantech Wireless, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia (collectively, "Pantech"); Research In Motion Ltd. of Ontario, Canada, Research In Motion Corp. of Irving, Texas (collectively, "RIM"); Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd of Seoul, Republic of Korea, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, and Samsung Telecommunications America, L.L.C. of Richardson, Texas (collectively, "Samsung") as respondents. The Commission Office of Unfair Import Investigations is also a party in this investigation.

On April 25, 2012, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 2) setting the target date for completion of the investigation as December 2, 2013, *i.e.*, approximately 19.5 months after institution of the investigation. On April 30, 2012, complainant Pragmatus filed a petition for review of the ID. Respondents ASUS, HTC, LG, Pantech, and Samsung filed a joint response in opposition to the petition. Respondent RIM and the Commission investigative attorney also filed responses opposing the petition.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ALJ's ID, the petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for this action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in sections 210.42-.46 and .50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. §§ 210.42-.46,.50).

By order of the Commission.

/s/ Lisa R. Barton Acting Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 29, 2012